

A Defense of the Apologie of the Churche of Englande.

Conteininge an Answere to a certaine Booke
lately set foorth by M. Hardinge, and
Entituled, *A Confutation of &c.*

Whereunto there is also newly added an Answere vnto an
other like Booke, written by the saide M. Hardinge, Entituled, *A*
Detection of sundrie foule Errours &c. Printed at Louaine, Anno.
1568. and inserted into the former Answere, as occasion,
and place required, as by special Notes added
to the Margins is made appeare.

By Iohn Iewel Bishop
of Sarisburie.

3. ESDRAE. 4.
Magna est Veritas, & proualeat.
Greate is the Truthe, and preuaileth.



Imprinted at London in Fleetestreate, at the
Signe of the Elephante, by Henry VVykes,

Anno. 1571. 16. Septembris.

Cum Gratia & Priuilegio Regiæ Maiestatis.

A Defence of the Apologie of the Church of England.

Containing an Answer to a certain Book
lately published by M. Harding, and
Entitled, A Confutation of the

most famous and also most famous and
other like Works, written by the late Dr. Harding, and
Thomas of Saint Paul's Church, London, Anno
1702, and inserted into the former, as a new
and place, as by Special Notice added
to the original in more places.

By John Jewel Bishop
of Salisbury.

Printed at the
Mansion of Vintners, & printed
in the Temple, and printed.



Printed at London in Fleetstreet, at the
Signe of the Elephant, by Henry VVyer.

Eden. 1771. 16. September.

Cambridge & printed by John Mitchell.

TO THE MOSTE VERTVOVS,
and Noble Princeſſe, Queene Elizabeth, by
the Grace of God, Queene of Englande,
France, and Irelande, Defender
of the Faithe, &c.



I Had benne greatly to be wished,

moſte Graciously Soueraigne Lady, that, as God of his mercie
hathe geuen vs, ever ſithence the firſte time of your Maieſties
moſte happy governemente, ſuche ſucceſſe in al civill affaires,
ſuche concord, and quietneſſe in al Eſtates, as our Fathers ſe-
dome have ſene before: ſo our hartes with like felicitie might
thorowly have conſented in the profeſſion of one undoubted
Truthe, and al our Willes, which nowe are ſo violently rente
aſunder, and ſo farre diſtracted, might fully have joined toge-
ther in the Wil of God: that al quarrelles, and contentions ſette

aparte, wee might with one mouth, and one minde glorifie God, the Father of our Lorde Jeſus
Chriſte. Howe be it, it appeareth by the continual ſtorie, and whole diſcourſe of the Holy Scri-
ptures, that Almighty God, of his deepe Judgements, and ſecret Providence, ſuffreth ſomme
men oftentimes to deſire in darkneſſe, to withſtande the Goſpel, to ſeek occasions, and wiſſfully to
ſette them ſelves againſt the knowledge, and Truthe of God. I write not this, Moſte Gracious
Lady, ſo thintente to make them odious in your Maieſties ſight, that this daie are the procurers
of al theſe troubles. God is hable even of the harde unſenſible ſtones to raiſe up children unto
Abraham, and to make them the veſſels of his Mercie. Neuertheleſſe, as S. Paule teacheth
vs, ſuche menne there have benne in times paſte, that have had their Conſciences burnt with
hote irons, ſpeakinge and mainteininge Lies in Hypocriſie: that have geuen them ſelves over into
reprobate, and wiſſful mindes, and have deſpiſed the Wiſedome of God within them ſelves. And,
notwithſtandinge ſuche battiles, and diſſenſions, ſpecially in the Church of God, which is cal-
led the Houſe of Vnitie, be offenſive, and greivous unto the Godly, and therefore worke greate
hinderance unto the dewe paſſage of the Goſpel of Chriſte, yet in the ende the trouble hereof in-
Goddes Eleite is recompensd abundantly with greate advantage. For Goddes Truthe is
mighty, and ſhal prevaile: Dragon ſhal ſulle downe headlonge before the Arke: the Darkneſſe
ſhal flee before the Lighte: and the more ſiercelly maner Wiſedome ſhal withſtande, the more
glorious ſhal God be in his Victorie.

1. Timoth. 4.
Roman. 1.

But, ſhortely to diſcourſe unto your Maieſtie the particulare occasions hereof from the be-
ginninge, after it had pleaſed Almighty God, at the firſte entrie of your Maieſties Reigne, by a
moſte happy exchange, and by the means of your Maieſties moſte Godly trauailes, to reſtore
unto vs the Lighte, and comfort of his Goſpel, there was written, and publiſhed by vs a Little
Booke in the Latine tongue, entituled, An Apologie of the Church of Englande, contei-
ninge the whole ſubſtance of the Catholique Faith, nowe profeſſed, and freely preached through-
out al your Maieſties Dominions: that thereby al foreine Nations might vnderſtande the
conſiderations, and cauſes of your Maieſties dooings in that behalf. Thus in olde times did
Quadratus, Melito, Juſtinus Martyr, Tertullian, and other Godly, and Learned Fathers, upon
like occasions, as wel to make knowne the Truthe of God, and to open the groundes of their Pro-
feſſion, as alſo to put the Inſidels to ſilence, and to ſtoppe the mouthes of the wicked.

This Apologie, beinge thus written firſt in Latine, and afterwarde, upon the conſiderable
reporte of your Maieſties moſte Godly enterpriſes, tranſlated into ſundrye other tongues, and ſo
made common to the moſte parte of al Europe, as it hath benne wel allowed of, and liked of the
Learned, and Godly, as it is plaine by their open teſtimonies, touching the ſame, ſo hath it not
hitherto, ſir ought, that maie appeare, benne any where openly reprov'd, either in Latine, or other-
wiſe, either by any one mannes Private writinge, or by the Publique Authoritie of any Nation.

Onely one M. Hardinge, not longe ſithence your Maieſties Subiecte, now miſlikinge the pre-
ſente

To the Queenes

sent state, and resiant in Louaine, hath of late taken vpon him, against the said Apologie, with the whole Doctrine, and al the partes of the same, to publishe an open Confutation, and to offer the same vnto your Maiestie: wherein he sheweth him selfe so vehement, and so sharpe, and busie in findinge faultes, that he doubteth not to seeke quarrels against vs, euen in that wee maintaine the Baptisme of Christian Infantes, the proceedinge, and Godhed of the Holy Ghoste, the Faith of the Holy, and Glorious Trinitie, and the General, and Catholique Profession of the common Creede. Thus, for that he hath once seuered him selfe from vs, he beareth nowe the Worlde in hande, wee can beleue nothinge without an errour. The maigne grounde of his whole plea is this, That the Bishop of Rome, what so euer it shal like him to Determine in Iudgement, can neuer erre: that he is alwaies vndoubtedly possessed of Goddes Holy Sprite: that at his onely hande

Conf. fo. 334. b.

Con. fo. 285. a.

Confu. 324. b.

Confu. 204. b.

Confu. 306. b.

Confu. fo. 180. a.

Et 305. b.

Con. fol. 247. b.

Con. fo. 248. b.

Con. fol. 178. b.

Con. fo. 178. b.

wee muste learne to knowe the Wil of God: that in his onely Holinesse standeth the Vnitie, and safetie of the Church: that who so euer is diuided from him, must be iudged an Heretique: and, that without the obedience of him, there is no hope of Salvation. And yet, as though it were not sufficient for him, so vainely to soothe a man in open Errours, he telleth vs also sadly, and in good earnest, that the same Bishop is not onely a Bishop, but also a King: that vnto him belongeth the Authoritie, and right of bothe Swerdes, as wel Temporal, as Spiritual: that al Kinges, and Emperours receiue their whole power at his hande, and ought to sweare obedience, and Fealtie vnto him. For these be his wordes, euen in this Booke so boldly dedicate vnto your Maiestie: It is a greate eie soare, saith he *M. Hardinge*, to the Ministers of Antichriste, to see the Vicare of Christ about Lordes, and Kinges of this world, and to see Princes, and Emperours promise, and sweare obedience vnto him. And whereas Pope Zacharie by the consent, or conspiracie of the Nobles of France, deposed Chilperichus, the true, natural, and liege Prince of that Realme, and placed Pipinus in his roume, Lo saith *M. Hardinge*, yee must needs confesse, that this was a Diuine power in the Pope: for other wise he could neuer haue done it. Thus mutche he esteemeth the dishonours, and ouertrowes of Goddes Annointed.

Con. fol. 182. a.

Whereas also Pope Boniface the Eighth, for that he could not haue the Tresurie of France at his commaundement, endeuoured with al his both Ecclesiastical, and Worldly puissance, to remoue Philip the Frenche Kinge from his estate, and vnder his Bulles or Letters Patentes, had conueighed the same solemnely vnto Albertus the Kinge of Romaines, *M. Hardinge* here telleth your Maiestie, that al this was very wel done, to thintent thereby to fraie the Kinge, and to keepe him in awe, and to reclaime his minde from disobedience. Nowe, touchinge your Maiesties most Noble Progenitours, the Kinges of this Realme, whereas we, as our loialtie, and allegiance bindeth vs, iustly complaine, that Pope Alexander 3. by violence, and tyrannie forced Kinge Henrie the Seconde to surrender his Crowne Emperial into the handes of his Legate, and afterwarde for a certaine space to contente him selfe in Priuate estate, to the greate indignation, and griefe of his louinge Subiectes: And that likewise Pope Innocentius the thirde stirred vp the Nobles, and Commons of this Realme against Kinge Iohn, and gaue the Enbeuissance, and Possession of al his Dominions vnto Ludouicus the Frenche Kinge, (as for the miswisinge of your Maiesties moste deere Father of moste Noble Memorie, Kinge Henrie the Eighth, for as much as the smart thereof is yet in freash remembrance, I wil saie nothing): To these, and al other like tyrannical iniuries, and iust causes of griefe, *M. Hardinge* shortly, and in his manner thinketh it sufficient to answer thus: VVhat though Kinge Henrie the Seconde were il entreated of Pope Alexander 3? VVhat though Kinge Iohn were il entreated of that Zelous, and Learned Pope Innocentius 3? VVhat though Kinge Henrie the Eighth were likewise entreated of the Popes in our time? I knowe right wel, most Soueraine Lady, the goodnesse of your Graceous Nature delireth not in suche rebeursals. Neither doe I make report hereof, for that such thinges sometimes haue ben done: but for that the same thinges euen now at this time, either so lightly are excused, or so boldly are defended. Such humble affection, and obedience these men by their open, and publique Writings, teach your Maiesties true Subiectes to beare towards their Natural Prince. It shal mutche warrant the honour and safetie of your Roial Estate, if your Maiestie shal sometimes remember the dishonours, and dangers, that other your Noble Progenitours haue felte before you.

Confuta. Folio 182. b.

M. Hardinge
Fol. 340. b.

M. Hardinge
Fol. 318. b.

But concerninge the Maiestie, and right of Kinges, and Emperours, *M. Hardinge* telleth vs, They haue their first Authoritie by the Positiue Lawe of Nations, and can haue no more Power, then the people hath, of whom they take their temporal Iurisdiction: as if he would saie, Emperours, and Kinges haue none other right of gouernment, then it hath pleased their

Maiestie.

sed their Subiectes by composition to allowe unto them. Thus he saith, and saith it boldly: as if God him selfe had neuer saide, *Per me Reges Regnant*: By mee, and my Authoritie Kinges beare rule ouer their Subiectes: Or, as if Christe our Sauceur had neuer saide unto Pilate the Lorde Lieutenant, *Thou shouldest haue no Power ouer mee*, were it not geuen thee from aboue: Or, as if S. Paule had not saide, *Non est Potestas, nisi à Deo*: There is no Power, but onely from God. And yet further, as if their whole studie were fully bent, in respectie of the Pope, to deface the Authoritie, and Maiestie of al Princes, euen now one of the same companie doubteth not to teach the world, That the Pope is the Heade, and Kinges, and Emperours are the feete: Like as also an other of the same faction saith, The Emperours Maiestie is so far inferiour in dignitie to the Pope, as a Creature is inferiour vnto God. Wee diuise not these thinges of malice, Mofse Gracious Lady, but reports the same truly, as wee finde them proclaimed, and published this daie by their vaine, and dangerous writings, whiche notwithstandinge they would so saue haue to be taken as Catholique. If this Doctrin maie once take roote, and be freely receiued emongest the Subiectes, it shal be harde for any Prince to holde his Righte. As for your Maiestie, for that it hath pleased Almighty God in his Mercie, to make you an instrumente of his Glorie, as in Olde times he made many other Godly, and Noble Princes, so resurme his Church from that huge, and lothsome heape of filthe, and rubbe, that either by violence, or by negligence had bene throwen into it, therefore M. Harding euen in this selfe same Booke, vnder certaine general threates, chargeth you with disordred presumption, by the example of Ozias the wicked King, vpon whom, as he saith, God sente his vengeance for the like. For be the Abuses, and Errours of the Church neuer so many, be the falles, and dangers neuer so greates, be the Priestes, and Bishops neuer so blinde, yet by this Doctrin, it maie neuer be lawfull for the Prince, be he neuer so learned, or so wise, or so zelous in Gods cause, to attempte any maner of Reformation. And therefore thus he saith vnto your Maiestie, and with al his skil, and cunninge, laboureth to perswade your Maiesties Subiectes, if any one, or other happily of simplicitie wil beleue him, that the Godly Lawes, whiche your Maiestie hath geuen vs to lue vnder, are no Lawes: that your Parlamentes, are no Parlamentes: that your Clerergie, is no Clerergie: Our Sacramentes, no Sacramentes: Our Faith, no Faith. The Church of Englande, wherof your Maiestie is the most Principal, and Chiefe, he calleth a malignant Church, a Newe Church erected by the Diuel, a Babylonical Tower, a Hearde of Antichriste, a Temple of Lucifer, a Synagoge, and a Schoole of Sathan, full of Robberie, Sacrilege, Schisme, and Heresie. And al this he furnisheth with futchie libertie of other vncourteous, and vnseemly talkes, as if he had ben purposely hired to speake dishonour of your Maiesties most Godly dooings.

Of al these, and other like Tragical fantasies, for as mutche as he hath so boldly aduentured to make a present vnto your Maiestie, wee haue great cause to reioice in God, for that our controuersies are brought to be debated before futchie a persnage, as is hable so wel, and so deeply to vnderstande them. For I haue no doubt, but as by your great Learninge, and maruailous Wise dome, you shal soone see the difference of our Pleadings. so of your Maiesties gracious inclination vnto al Godlinesse, you wil readily finde out the Falshe, and geue sentence with the Truthe. Verily, after that your Maiestie shal haue thorowly considered the manifest Vntruthes, and corruptions, together with the Abuses, and Errours of the contrarie side: the Weaknesse of the Cause, the Boldnesse of the Man, and the immoderate bitterness of his speech, I haue good hope, the more aduisedly you shal beholde it, the lesse cause you shal finde, wherfore to like it. For the discoverie hereof, for my poore portion of Learninge, I haue endenoured to doo, that I was hable: And the same here I humbly presents vnto your Maiestie, as vnto my most Gracious, and Soueraine Liege Lady, and, as now, the onely Nource, and Mother of the Church of God within these your Maiesties most Noble Dominions. It maie please your Maiestie graciously to weigh it, and to iudge of it, not according to the skil, and habilitie of the Writer, which is but simple, but according to the weight, and woorthinesse of the cause. The poore labours haue benne mine: the Cause is Goddes. The goodnesse of the one wilbe adwaies hable to counteruaile the simplicitie of the other.

God euermore enflame, and directe your Maiestie with his Holy Sprite, that the Zelo of his House maie thorowly deuoure your Gracious harte: that you maie safely walke in the waies of your Father Dauid: that you maie vtterly abandon al Greaues, and Hille Altars: that you maie liue an Olde Mother in Israel: that you maie see an ende of al dissensions, and stablish Peace, and Vnion in the Church of God, Amen.

Your Maiesties moste Humble Subiecte,
and Faithfull Oratoure,
John Sarisburie.

Proverb. 8.

Iohan. 19.

Roman. 13.

Dorman. fo. 15

Stanislaus O-

richonini in

Chimara, Fol.

97.

M. Hard. fol.

298. a.

Confita. 277.

Confita. 328. a

Confita. 172. b

Reioind. 314.

Confita. 87. a.

Confita. 269. b

Reioind. 42. a

Confita. 43. a

Confu. 269. a.

323. b. 334. a.

338. a. 348. b.

A Preface to the Reader conteininge

the causes of this newe Impression.



Good Christian Reader, I doubt not, but the very Title, and firste entrie of *M. Hardinges* laste Booke is sufficiente to assonne thy senses. For thus he beginneth: *A Detection of Lies, Cauilles, Schlaunders, &c.* As if *Hercules Fureus*, or *Ajax Mastigophorus* were newly turned into English. If I should quite him, either with number of Bookes, or with courtlye of Sprache, I should be like vnto him, and encombe the world with needlesse Laboures. Wherefore beinge moued estones to printe my late Booke, intituled, *The*

Defense of the Apologie of the Church of Englande, I thoughte it good by a shorthe Augmentation, to discharge al sutch quarrelles, as *M. Hardinge* in his said Detection hath moued against certaine parcelles of the same: and therein to vse sutch temperance of wordes, not as maie beste answer *M. Hardinges* eloquence, but as maie be comely for the cause.

So we if thou shalte vouchesaue to examine our beinges, I beseeche thee to iudge byrightly, and not to suffer thee selfe by thewes, and wittes to be beguiled. What man would seme to deale so plainly, as a Juggler? He will strike by his scemes, and make bare his armes, and open his handes, and fingers, and laie al thinges befoze thee, and bid thee beholde: and thou wilt thinke him to be a good plaine man, and maruelle that thou shouldst possibly be deceiued. And yet in darde his whole skil, and seekinge is nothinge els, but to deceiue thee: and the more simply, and plainly he would seme to deale, so muche the sower he wil deceiue thee. otherwise he were no Juggler. Thou shalt thinke, thou seekest al: and yet seekest nothinge. Thou shalt thinke, thou seest it sensibly with thy fingers, that thou holdest it faste, and canst not lose it: And yet shalt thou open thy handes, and finde nothinge. So easie a thinge it is to enueigle thy senses.

When thou hearest a Counseller pleadinge for his Client at the Barre, perhaps thou wilt thinke it is al Lawe, that he speaketh, and that the case is plaine, and nothing to be saide to the contrarie. But, when an other Counseller shal stande for thee, and replie against him, and rippe by his tale, and weigh his reasons, and disclose the errours, & weaknesse of his pleadinge, then wilt thou begin to mislike, that thou likedst befoze, and wonder at thine owne simplicitie, that euer thou were so sonde to beleue the former. Vea the Judge him selfe, notwithstandinge vpon somme good likelihoods of the cause, he be inclined to fauour the one side, yet sometimes by weight, and force of better reason, he changeth his opinion, and giveth Sentence with the other. There is greate darkenesse in mannes wisdom. Oftentimes it is true, that we take so be false. Our phantasie is no righte measure of Goddes causes.

But *M. Hardinge* telleth thee, That I falsifie al that I take in hande: That I forge, and counterfette, and leaue out, either the beginninge, or the middle, or the ende, and repozte nothinge as I finde it: Briefly, that al my writings are freight with Lies. It is no harde matter for *M. Hardinge*, thus to saie. It were more maruelle, if he would saie otherwise. *Chrysostome* saith, *Qui mendax est, neminem putat verum dicere.* He is a partie, and would be lothe, to yeelde to any thinge against him selfe.

For thy better satisfaction in these cases, good Reader, I haue here laide for thee befoze thee, a fewe sutch examples, as wherein *M. Hardinge* would seme to haue founde moste aduantage. This haue I donne in as fewe wordes, and as briefly, as the cause would beare: and yet, I trust, so openly, and so plainly, that thou maist easily see the truthe, and bysightnesse of his dealinge. And althoughe perchappes thou be his friende, and for somme particulare affection wishe fauourably vnto his side, yet I wil not refuse to make thee Judge bitwene vs both.

J. H.

A Preface to the Reader.

Firste therefore to beginne with that thinge, whereof *M. Hardinge*, and certaine his wilfullers haue made greatest triumphe, where I saie, that one Euppsychius, bringe within Holy Orders, and the Bishop of Caesarea in Cappadocia, married a Wife, and shortly after, beinge as yet but newly married, was put to death for the name of Christe, and died a Martyr; *M. Hardinge* hereunto answereth thus: *M. Iewel* moste falsely corrupteth the Reporter of this storie: Beholde the falsedde of this man: Sozomenus nameth him Εὐψυχίου Κατωπία κατωπία τῶν ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ, As mutche as, *Euppsychius*, one of the Lordes, or one of the Nobilitie of the Citie of Caesarea in Cappadocia. *M. Iewel* was so bolde to falsifie the place, and to put in of his owne, this woorde, *Episcopum*, to heale his mater. Thus he taketh vpon him, to make him a Bishop, who was a Laie man, as wel a Bishop, as he him selfe is &c. Herein therefore, god Reader, standeth the strife. I saie, Euppsychius was a Bishop: *M. Hardinge* saith, he was a mere Laie man, and no Bishop. The issue is this, whether this Euppsychius were a Bishop, or no. And as thou shalt finde him true herein, so maist thou truste him in the reste. Firste in the seconde Council of Nice this selfe same Euppsychius is called Euppsychius Presbyter Caesarex: Euppsychius a Priest of the Church of Caesarea. Here maist thou see, that Euppsychius was a Priest, that is, I trowe, somewhat more then a mere Laie man, and in somme possibilitie to be a Bishop, as *M. Hardinge* waste wordes, of Lordes, and Noble menne, notwithstandinge. If this Authozitie suffice him not, let him further be aduised by that Ancient, and Godly Learned Father Athanasius, whom Socrates calleth the greates Starre of Aegypte. He will telle him by plaine wordes, that Euppsychius, and this selfe same Euppsychius in very orde was a Bishop. Thus he saith of him, Scripta Leontij, & Euppsychij Episcoporum Cappadociae: The Writings of Leontius, and Euppsychius Bishoppes of the Kingdome of Cappadocia. Marke, god Reader, and telle *M. Hardinge*, Athanasius saith not, Euppsychius the Lorde, or Noble man, but Euppsychius the Bishop. He was the Bishop of Caesarea: and Caesarea was the chiefe Citie of Cappadocia. This is plaine dealinge. I leade thee not aboute, as *M. Hardinge* dothe, with conjectures, & gheasses. I shewe thee by expresse, and plaine wordes, that Euppsychius was a Bishop: and that I prone by sufficiente Authozitie of Ancient Fathers. Here thou hast the same Countrie Cappadocia, the same Citie of Caesarea, the same time of Persecution vnder Iulianus Apostata, the same man, the same Party, and the same name. If this be true, as in orde it is, what maist thou then thinke of *M. Hardinge*, that saith, It is false? what maist thou then thinke of him, that so boldly telleth thee, that this Euppsychius was neuer, neither Bishop of Caesarea, nor of any other place els: no not so mutche as a Priest, or Deacon, or Subdeacon? What maist thou then thinke of him, that blushteth not, thus basely to blotte his papers, and thus to telle the world: *M. Iewel* hath fathered a shameful Lie vpon Cassiodorus: *M. Iewel* hath belied, and falsified Sozomenus, and his Translator? What maist thou then thinke of him, that so impatiently crieth out without cause, Phy vpon sutch shamelesse Liers: O lamentable state, where the people of God is compelled to heare sutch Prophetes. It were better for *M. Hardinge*, to be wise, and sober, then thus to fare.

Where as againste the inordinate Ambition, and Pride of the Bishoppes of Rome, I allege these wordes out of the Booke called Opus Imperfectum, bearinge the name of Chrysostome, Who so desireth Primacie in Earthe, shall in Heauen finde Confusion, *M. Hardinge* answereth, These woordes are not, neither in Opus Imperfectum, nor in any other Booke of Chrysostome: Chrysostome hath no sutch sayinge: It is a forgerie: and that you knowe wel yenough: yet yee are not ashamed to deceiue the ignorant. Here haue we a very peremptorie Negatiue boldly presumed. And a man woulde thinke, that a Doctour of Diuinitie woulde not so stoutely warrante a mater, onlesse he knewe it. For so perhaps he might be founde ignorant, and be deceiued. But, god Reader, if thou happen at any time to haue access vnto him, I beseeche thee, euen as thou hast affiance in his wordes, and tenderest his credits, desire him to

A lly

open

M. Hard. in. Prafa. ad La- dor. xxxiiij.

Con. Nicen. 2 Actio. 6. pag. 311.

Socra. Lib. 4. cap. 23.

Athana. in oratione, 1. contra Arianos.

M. Har. De- test. f. 302. A.

M. Har. De- test. f. 183. A.

A Preface to the Reader.

3.
*Chrysostom. in
in Opere im-
perfecto, Hom
35.
Distinct. 40.
Multi.*

4.
*M. Har. De-
rect. 5. 249.*

*Conci. Aphri-
ca. ca. 102. 103
In Graco. pa.
82.*

*Concil. Flo-
ren. Sessione,
20.*

open his Booke, called *Opus Imperfectum*, and to turne to the five and thirtie Homilie of the same. There shalte thou finde these selfe same wordes, whiche he so constantly telleth thee, can neuer be founde in al the worlde: Quicunque desiderauerit Primatum in Terra, inueniet in Caelo Confusionem: Who so euer ambitiously desireth Primacie in Earthe, He in Heauen shal finde Confusion. These wordes, I saie, M. Hardinge shal finde, not onely in his owne Gratian, whiche thinge vnto him had benne sufficiente, but also in the Authour him selfe. Aske him therefore, what he meante, so out of season to talke of forgerie. Aske him what so baineous offense M. Jewel hath committed herein, whercof he ought to be ashamed.

Where I saie, Pope Zosimus, the better to mainteine his ambitious Claime, corrupted the Holy Council of Nice, M. Hardinge answereth, Neuer did any honest man saie so from the beginninge of the worlde. Good Reader, I beseeche thee euen for the Truthe sake, hearken aduisedly, what I shal saie. The case is cleare: thus it standeth: Aboute eleuen hundred yeres agoe Pope Zosimus began to claime Superiortie, and Jurisdiction ouer al the Churches, and Bishoppes of Aphrica. The Bishoppes of that Countreie, to the number of two hundred and seuentiene, assembled together in the Aphricane Council, mistooke the strangenesse of his attempte, and openly refused him, and tolde him plainly, They knewe of no sutch Authortie, that he had ouer them. Pope Zosimus for his warrante alleged a Decree, or Canon, that he him selfe, or somme other his Predecessour, had forged vnder the name of the Council of Nice. The Bishoppes answered him, that they them selues also had Copies of the same Nicene Council: But any sutch Canon, touchinge sutch Superiortie of the Pope, they founde none there. The Pope saide, these Copies were corrupted: and they saide the like of the Popes. For trial of the mater, they agreed together to sende vnto the moste famous Churches of al the East, vnto Constantinople, Alexandria, and Antioche: and from thence they receiued the true Copies of the Greeke Originalls, vnder the Authentical Seales of the Patriarches there. By conference whercof it was euident vnto al the worlde, that the Popes onely Copie disagreed from al the reste, and was falsly corrupted, to serue his turne, and so consequentely, that the Pope was a Falsifier, and a Forger of Councelles. Thus standeth the very true Storye of this whole mater, as it is euident by the Council of Aphrica.

And therefore Marcus, the Archebishop of Ephesus, together with Iosephus the Patriarke of Constantinople, Bessarion the Archebishop of Nice, and Hieronimus the Metropolitane of Syria, with others moe Archebishoppes, and Metropolitanes of greate Provinces, and Countreies, and a greate number of other Inferiour Bishoppes, saide thus openly in the late Council of Florence by waie of Protestation against the Pope: Nonne vides, Reuerende Pater, Summum Pontificem (Zosimum) falsum Decretum, & non in Synodo promulgatum, ad tantum Concilium pro re tam magna misisse? And see you not, Reuerende Father, that Pope Zosimus, beinge then the Highest Bishop, for a mater of greate weighte sente vnto that worthy Council (of Aphrica) a false, or a falsified Canon sutch as neuer was published in the Council of Nice? Bidde M. Hardinge marke wel these wordes. This Canon (saie they) is false, and falsified: and sutch, as neuer was Decreed in the Council of Nice. As if they woulde saie, wil you beare vs downe, or auance youre Authortie by this Canon? It is wel knowne to be a forgerie. What can there be moze plainly spoken? They saie expressely, euen as we saie, This newe Canon was forged, and falsified, and that, either by Pope Zosimus, or by somme other Pope, his Predecessour. And yet can M. Hardinge so sadly telle vs, That neuer honeste man saide so from the beginninge of the worlde? Or, can he make vs beleue, that of al these Metropolitanes, Archebishoppes, and Patriarches in the Council of Florence, and of the other two hundred and seuentiene Bishoppes in the Council of Aphrica, amonge whom was Alypius, and S. Augustine, there was not one honest man? D. Tonstal in his Sermon pronounced openly befoze Kinge Henrie, dis-
closed,

A Preface to the Reader.

closed, and reproued the same falsehed. Likewisse Doctour Redman in open writing acknowledged, and confessed the same: yet were they not vn honest menne.

Whereas I saie, It was specially provided by the Council of Carthage, that the Bishop of Rome should not be called the Vniuersal Bishop, Ne Romanus Episcopus appelleretur Vniuersalis. *M. Hardinge* answereth, A shamelesse man: An impudent Gloster: Three impudent Lies: These be not the wordes of the Council of Carthage: They are to be referred to the thirde parte of the Distinction, that foloweth afterwarde. If these be not the wordes of the Council of Carthage, yet at leaste they are Gratians wordes, repoztinge the wordes of the Council of Carthage. Verily, whose wordes so euer it shal please *M. Hardinge* to make them, by his owne confession, they are none of mine. The wordes be plaine, Vniuersalis autem nec etiam Romanus Episcopus appelleretur: Let not the Bishop of Rome him selfe be called the Vniuersal Bishop. And this, saith *M. Hardinge*, is the very meaninge of Pope Pelagius, that foloweth nexte afterwarde in the same Distinction. Howe if these be the expresse, and plaine wordes of Gratian, if they be estones vttered, and confirmed by the Glose, if by implication, and meaninge they be the wordes of the Council of Carthage, and of Pope Pelagius, to be shotte, if they be no wordes of mine, was not then *M. Hardinge* wel occupied, so vainely to crye out, Three impudente Lies: A shamelesse man: An impudente Gloster? A sober man woulde be better aduised, what he speaketh.

Where I saie, Pope Liberius was an Arian Heretique, *M. Hardinge* answereth, Or els yee are an errante Schlaunderous Lier. Judge thou bitwene vs indifferently, god Christian Reader: and let the Lier haue his mæde. This is not my iudgment of Pope Liberius: it is witten, and repozted by sundrie others, euen by sutch, as *M. Hardinge* mase not susteynely condemne for errante Liers. Here wil I speake nothinge of S. Hierome. For *M. Hardinge* vtterly refuseth his iudgement in this behalfe, and saith, he was muche deceiued. Howe be it, errante Lier, I trowe, he wil not calle him, for his Anthonisties sake. But Sabellicus saith, Liberius, vt quidam scribunt, ex confesso factus est Arianus: Pope Liberius, as somme menne saie, by open profession became an Arian. Alphonsus de Castro saith in plaine wordes, De Liberio Papa constat, fuisse Arianum: As for Pope Liberius, it is wel known, he was an Arian Heretique. The same is auouched by Rhegino, by Platina, by Cardinal Cusanus, by Anselmus Rid, and by others, as afterwarde it shalbe alleged in place conueniente moze at large. All these, and others moe haue repozted, that Pope Liberius was an Heretique. Therefore, god Reader, bid *M. Hardinge* to be better aduised, what, and howe many they be, whom he so rashely condemneth for errante Liers.

Where as I saie, Pope Celestinus was a Nestorian Heretique, *M. Hardinge* answereth, VWho euer hearde sutch an impudent man? A moste impudente Lier: A wicked Schlaunderer. All this vaine winde is sone blowen ouer. Laurentius Valla, a Canon of the Church of Rome, saith thus, Papa Celestinus sensit cum Hæretico Nestorio: Pope Celestinus agreed in Iudgemente with the Heretique Nestorius. Howe iudge thou, god Christian Reader, who is impudente, and who is a Lier. And bid *M. Hardinge* to construe these wordes, and to be better assured, befoze he speake. For rather iudgemente argueth somme folse.

Where I saie, Pope Bonifacius 2. condemned S. Augustine, and al the whole Council of Aphrica, and saide, they were al leade by the Diuel, for that they withstode the Ambition of the Church of Rome, *M. Hardinge* answereth, Here is Bonifacius 2. sowly belied: It is an mpudente Lie: This man is not ashamed to lie. Pope Bonifacius 2. neuer condemned S. Augustine by name, nor the Council of Aphrica by any solemne Sentence. A man woulde thinke, so many greates wordes shoulde brare somme weighte. Howe solemne the Pope was in his Sentence, it fozeeth not greatly. Certaine it is he condemned Aurelius the Bishop of Carthage, with al others his felowe Bishoppes, and saide, they were al entised, and leade by the Diuel: and one of his saide felowe Bishoppes, was S. Augustine the Bishop of Hippo, as it is moste

M. Hardinge. fol. 190. b. 191. a.

Dist. 99. Prima.

M. Hardinge. fol. 249. b.

M. Hardinge. Confut. par. 6. ca. 5. dist. 2. Sabel. Enne. 7 Lib. 8. Alpho. contra Hæres. Lib. 1. cap. 4.

M. Hardinge. De test. fol. 253. b. Laurent. Val. de Donatione Constant.

M. Hardinge. De test. fol. 172. a.

A Preface to the Reader.

Bonifa. 2. Epist. ad Eulium.

moste euidente by the Subscription of the Council of Aphrica. The wordes of the late Pope Bonifacius be these: Aurelius Carthagenensis Ecclesie olim Episcopus, cum collegis suis, instigante Diabolo, superbire cepit contra Romanam Ecclesiam. Therefore, good Reader, once againe thou maist telle M. Hardinge, that Pope Bonifacius 2. in dede, and vndoubtedly condemned S. Augustine, and saide, He was leade by the Diuel, onely so: that he would not yeld to his Predecessours ambitious folie. This is neither Impudence, nor Lie, but manifeste Truthe.

M. Har. fol. 98. a.

Where I saie, your Fathers in the Council of Basile, and your friends in the late Council of Tridene, yielded, and gaue place to the Bohemians, and vnto such others, as you calle Heretiques, M. Hardinge answereth, This Lie is so cleare, and so euidente, that our Confutation is needelless. Whether this Lie be so cleare, & so euident, as here it is told vs, or whether it be any Lie at al, Let M. Harding him selfe be the Judge. In the Council of Basile it is writtten thus, Indultum Liberationis Communione sub vtriusque specie: The graunt of deliueringe the Communion vnder bothe kindes. The like thinge M. Hardinge might haue founde recozded by Cardinal Cusanus, that was present at the Council of Basile. For thus he writteth to the Bohemians: Scribitis, nos Compactionis ignorare: Tee saie, that wee knowe not the Agreemente, or Composition. Where also he expressely maketh mention of that parcel of the Agreemente, wherein the Libertie of the Communion vnder bothe kindes was conteyned: and calleth it Caput de Libertate Communione. Also therefore M. Hardinge, what cleare eyes he had, to see this Lie to be so euidente.

Concil. to. 3. In apend. Con. Basile. pa. 243. Hac Sanctus Nicol. Cusan. ad Bohemos, Epist. 2.

M. Hard. fol. 83. b.

Where I saie, The Gospel was preached in this Realme, either, as Theodoretus saith, by S. Paule the Apostle, passinge this waie into Spaine, or by Simon Zelotes, as saith Nicephorus, M. Hardinge answereth, Here M. Iewel extremely beliethe Theodoretus, and Nicephorus. Good Reader, notwithstandinge the reporte hereof were true, yet, as thou seest, it containeth not any such extremitie, or bozroure of Lyinge. Whether S. Paule were here, or no, it is no Article of our Faith. We maie wel either receiue it, or refuse it without danger. It were greates folie, to be ouer earnest in so smal a mater.

Centur. 1. Li. 2. Cap. 2.

Howe be it, touchinge S. Pauls beinge, and preachinge within this Realme, these Learned menne of our time, Matthias Flacius Illyricus, Iohannes VVigandus, and Matthias Iudex haue writtten thus: Theodoretus Libro nono de curandis Græcorum affectibus indicat, Paulum è priori captiuitate Roma dimissum, Britannijs, & alijs in Occidente Euangelium prædicasse. Idem ferè tradit Sophronius Hierosolymitanus Patriarcha: Theodoretus in his ninth Booke, De curandis Græcorum affectibus, sheweth vs, that S. Paule beeinge sette at Libertie after his first imprisonment in Rome, preached the Gospel to the people of the Ileslands of Britaine (nowe called Englande) and to other Nations of the Weaste. But perhaps M. Hardinge will yelde smal credite to these these menne, notwithstandinge their Learninge. Therefore, for his better satisfaction, maie it like him rather to beleue Theodoretus him selfe. These be his wordes: Nostri illi Piscatores, & Publicani, Sutorij ille noster (Paulus) cunctis Nationibus Legem Euangelicam detulerunt. Neque solum Romanos, quique sub Romano viuunt Imperio, sed Scythas quoque & Sauromatas, Indos præterea, Ethiopas, Persas, Seras, Hyrcanos, Britannos, Cimmericos, & Germanos, vti semel dicam, omne hominum genus, Nationesque omnes induxerunt Crucifixi Leges accipere: Our Fishers, and Publicanes (that were the Apostles) and our Cobler (S. Paule) carried the Lawe of the Gospel to al Nations. And they forced, not onely the Romaines, and others lininge vnder the Romaine Emperie, but also the Tartarians, the Sarmatians, or Polonians, the Indians, the Ethiopians, the Persians, the Serians, the Hyrcanians, the people of Britaine, (nowe called Englande) the Cimmericians, and the Germanes, and to be shorte, al kindes, and Nations of menne to receiue the Lawes of Christe Crucified. We haue we the people of Britaine: Here haue we S. Paule the Apostle, whom Theodoretus calleth a Cobler, for that by his occupation, he sewed skinnes togather, to make Centes. I see, what maie be M. Hardinges answer. But if he wil saie, S. Paule

Act. 18.

A Preface to the Reader.

S. Paule came neuer into this Ielande, then let him telle vs, what other of the Apostles came euer hither. For that one of them came hither, and here preached the Gospel, by Theodoretus wordes it seemeth euident.

Touchinge Simon Zelotes, Nicephorus saith thus: Simon Zelotes Doctrinam Euangelij ad Occidentalium Oceanum, Insulasque Britannicas perferit. Therefore for M. Hardinge so basely, and so vnciuilely to crye out in so light a matter without cause, I wil not calle it, Extreme Lieinge. But verily somme man maie wel calle it, Extreme Folle.

Where as I saie, Pope Hildebrande, as he was charged by the Council of Bri-xia, was an Aduouterer, a Churchrobber, a perjured man, a Banisher, a Sorcerer, and a Renegade of the Faith, M. Hardinge answereth, I knowe that you Lie, I maie saie it sauinge my charitie, rather then your woorthip. For Pope Hildebrande was a very holy man. You shal finde your selfe a Lier, and Pope Hildebrande a vertuous man. Marke this thinge, I beseeche the, good Reader: In al this whole place, touchinge Pope Hildebrande, I allege not one worde of mine owne: but onely repute the wordes of the Council of Bri-xia. Be the repute of the Council true, or false, certainly my repute is true. For I repute onely, that I finde. The Council in moste plaine wise calleth Pope Hildebrande, Virum procacissimum, Sacrilegia, & Incendia prædicantem, Periuriam, & Homicidia defendentem: Hæretici Berengarij Antiquum Discipulum, Diuinationum, & Insomniorum Cultorem, Manifestum Necromanticum, Pythonico Spiritu Laborantem, & à Vera Fide exorbitantem. If thou doubte the credite of this Council, Naclerus, Lambertus Scaphnaburgensis, Anselmus Rid, Sigibertus Gemblacensis, and others haue Confirmed the same.

Naclerus herof writeth thus, The Clergie saide, that Pope Hildebrande had defiled the Apostolique See with Simonie, Heresie, Murder, and Aduouterie: that he was a Renegade, and had forsaken the Faith of Christ: and that therefore he was for good causes, and iustly excommunicate by al the Bishoppes of Italie.

Sigibertus Gemblacensis saith, Pope Hildebrande in his time troubled al the states of Christendome, and for his outrage, and crueltie beinge banished, and driven out of Rome: in the ende, when he sawe death approche, He made his Confession to one of his Cardinalls, that he had abused his Pastoral Office, and that he had troubled mankind with malice, and mischief by the counsil, and procurement of the Diuel.

All this notwithstandinge, M. Hardinge saith, Pope Hildebrande was a very vertuous, and a Holy man: and so constantly telleth vs, I knowe, that you Lie: you shal finde your selfe to be a Lier.

Where as I allege a Dialogue, of Anselmus, sometime Archebishop of Canturburie, to proue, that in his time, it was not thoughte vnlawful for Priestes to Marrie Wives, their Holy Orders notwithstandinge, M. Hardinge answereth, This is none of Anselmus Dialogues: It is a risserraffe: It is a forgerie: I wil not calle it plaine Lieinge. Good Reader, Here M. Hardinge ouer boldly presumeth, as his worte is, before he knowe. If he knewe moze, he woulde saie lesse. In deede it is one of Anselmus Dialogues. I haue serued it, and readde it in Authentical Copies: as otherwhere I haue proued moze at large. And the wordes of the saide Dialogue are euen as I haue alleged them. Desideramus certificari tua solutione, super vulgari toto Orbe questione, quæ ab omnibus penè quotidie ventilatur, & adhuc his celatur indiscussa, An liceat Presbyteris post acceptum Ordinem Vxores ducere: Whether it be lawful for Priestes beinge in Orders to Marrie Wives, or no. And this question, saith he, at that time, that is to saie, in the Daies of Kinge Henrie the firste, was beaten, and disputed throughout the whole worlde, and yet late stil vndiscussed. If M. Hardinge had benne better informed, he woulde not haue called this either Risserraffe, or plaine Lieinge. But oftentimes he is hardiest man to speake, that hath the least to saie.

Laste of al, where as I allege an Authoritie of Damasus, taken out of Gratian, to proue, that sundrie Popes were Priestes Wives, O, saith M. Hardinge, who

Nicoph. Lib.
2. Cap. 40.

M. Hard. fol.
256. b.

Abbas Vesper.

Naclerus
Generatio. 36

Sigibertus
Gemblacensis.
Se suadente
Diabolo, contra humanum
genus odium,
& iram concitasse.

M. Hard. fol.
308. a. b.

Pagina, 564.
& 565.

S.
An. Do. 1109

A Preface to the Reader.

M. Hard. fol.
167.

6.

Page. 207.

*M. Har. Con-
futa. 340. a.*

M. Hard. fol.
408. 409.

*Ghesnerus.
Cornel. Agrip-
de Vanitate
Scientiarum.*

who ever sawe so impudente a man? what shal I saie to this selowe? Phy for shame man. A mini-
ster of fables: A minister of Lies: Foolishe ignorance: Shamelesse malice: So ignorante: So wit-
lesse: howe coulde Damasus write of so many Popes so longe to comue after his deathe?

So terrible is *M. Hardinge* in his dealinge. But be not afralde, god Reader,
of al this smoke. Thou shalte see it suddainely blowen al to vanitie, from whence
it came. Firste of al, as touchinge the very truthe of the storie, every of thesse
Popes by Gratian alleged was in verbe a Priestes Sonne. And this onely was
it, I had to saie. And nowe the same I saie againe. Every of thesse Popes was
a Priestes Sonne, not one excepted, as in place it shal be proued. What cause then
had *M. Hardinge*, so vainely to disquiete him selfe, and thus to erie out vpon poore
Ministers, of Lies, and Fables: What I saie is true: and Truthe is no Lie:
Truthe is no Fable.

But you wil saie, Damasus was deade longe befoze thesse Popes were bozne.
Howe then coulde he knowe, who were theire fathers? Herein I graunte, there
is an errour. But, god Reader, it is Gratiens errour: It is not mine. I reposithe
Gratian onely as I finde him. I put not to him: I take not from him. I alter not
one Letter of al his wordes. Therefore telle *M. Hardinge*, it is Gratian his
owne Doctor, and Darling, that thus hathe written. Bidde him calle Gratian
Ignorante, Foolishe, Shamelesse, and Witlesse, and what him listeth, and let him
not spare. Let him hardely strike him, that did him hurte. For *M. Hardinge* hathe
tolde vs otherwheres, that who so striketh one man for an other, is but a Fole.

Thus, god Christian Reader, thou seeest one whole Jurc of *M. Hardinges*
greateste Antitruthes, wherein he reposithe his greateste truste, is clearly attain-
ted. As he hathe dealete vppightly herein, so maiste thou truste him in al the reste.
By a smal draught of Sea water, thou maiste iudge the verdure of the whole.

But O god God, what sturre kepeth *M. Hardinge* emongeste other his li-
le prentie petite quarrelles? He telleth the, I haue named Iohannes Camotensis
for Iohannes Carnotensis: And therein, as in a mater of greate weighte, he hathe
bestowed greate stoare of boide wordes, and foure whole Pages of his Booke.
Merely a simple trespass, whereof to grounde so greate a battaile. It is too light a
laboure, to strine for names. If he like not the one, let him hardly take the other.
Certainely Ghesnerus in his Epitome, and Cornelius Agrippa calle him plainly,
as I doe, Iohannes Camotensis. But be it Camotensis, be it Carnotensis, or what
you wil. His wordes be euen as I allege them: In Ecclesia Romana sedent Scri-
bae, & Pharisei. Ipse Papa iam factus est intolerabilis: Papae Legati sic Bacchantur in Prouincijs,
nullus Tyrannorum vnquam aequauit: Papae Legatus sic egreditur a facie Domini. Vim faciunt Scri-
pturis, vt habeant plenitudinem Potestatis. Let *M. Hardinge* graunte thus much,
and vse the names at his pleasure.

Againe he saithe, I haue named Zarabella, for Zabarella: Herurus, for Iohan-
nes Parisiensis: Iohannes Parisiensis, for Herurus, and Petrus à Scoto, for Domini-
cus à Scoto. He saithe, I allege Herurus by Chapters, whereas Herurus is di-
uided by certaine other Distinctions, and not by Chapters. He saithe, I haue
named Pius Secundus by the name of Pope Pius, a père, or a monethe, or somme
certaine time befoze he was Pope. No doubt, a perillous case. The man was
one, and his wordes were one, but his name was changed. In one place, he
saithe, I haue leaste out, Enim: In an other place, I haue leaste out, Hoc: In an o-
ther place, my *M. Hardinge* hathe misplaced Schemate, for Schismate: Pigghius, for Pi-
ghius: and I knowe not what. Outche weightie causes hathe *M. Hardinge* pighte
out, to make vp his tale. Hereat he lighteneth, and thundreth, & shaketh hande,
and sea together. Hereat and at other maters of like force he crieth out, Lewde
wretches, lewishe, Heathenish, Shamelesse, Blasphemous Villaines: As if it were
lawful for a Doctor of Louaine, to saie what him listeth. If he elspe any suche sim-
ple ouersight, as I haue shewed, or any Sentence misalleged, or any one Authour
misquomed,

A Preface to the Reader.

thou art misnamed, or any thing els, that may be wrested from the purpose, he
brateth the maine, False Ministers: False Harlots: Yee bragge: Yee boaste: Yee Lie falsely: Yee Lie
for advantage: Yee are impudent Liers: Lewde Lies: Heapes of Lies: Nothinge but Lies: And al is
Lies: *Wit* as greate wisdom, and discretion, as did sometime Anaxagoras,
the Philosopher of Græcia: who, when he had sene a litle Peple stoone fallen
downe from Heauen, imagined therefore, that the Sunne, the Moone, the Starres,
and al the whole Heuens were made of stonnes. By these pteatie posies, and
flowes of speache, we make easily discrite M. Hardinge from al his felowes.
Howe best, herein I truste to be so unlike vnto him, as he is like vnto him selfe.
Neither can our cause appere the worse in the Iudgemente of the Masse, for that
M. Hardinge hath so good a grace to speake ill. Al speache is not alwayes proufe
sufficiente of god matier. Maxentius saith, Hæretici cum perversitatis suæ non
possunt reddere rationem, ad maledicta convertuntur: *Hæretiques*, when they finde
them selves not able, to yeelde a reason of their wilfulnesse, then they falle to plaine railing.

*Digen. La-
etius.*

But one thinge specially much misliketh M. Hardinge above al the reste, that,
the better to disclose the deformities, & weakenesse of his Doctrines, I have alleged
so many Canonistes, & Schoole Doctours. For al them he weigheth no better now,
then Esopes Fables. And therefore he saith, As for the gewgawes of the Schoolemenne,
and Canonistes, I despise them vterly. What then? Would M. Hardinge that we should
rather allege S. Augustine, S. Ambrose, S. Hierome, S. Chrysostome, S. Basile, and o-
thers the Ancient, and Learned Fathers, touching his Private Masses, his Halfe-
communions his Doumbe Praiers in a tongue unknowne, & other like disorders of
the Church of Rome? Did ever any of these Fathers, either receiue the Holy Sa-
crament him selfe alone, the whole people lookinge on him: Or minister the Com-
munion vnto the people in one onely kinde: or saie & publique praers in a strange
tongue, the people not knowinge, what he saide? Would any of these Fathers
ever haue saide, The Pope hath Vniuersal power ouer al the world: we al receiue of the Popes
fulnesse. The Pope cannot erre. The Pope is above al General Councelles: The Pope hath power
ouer the Angelles of God: Kings, and Princes holde their Crownes, and Dominions of the Pope?
Alas these good Fathers were deade many hundred yeres, before these, and o-
ther like vanities were knowne in the worlde.

Dial. 277.

But maye it not be lawfull for vs, with M. Hardinges god fauoure, to allege
suche witnesses, as best knowe the cause? Was there euer any man iustly
reproued before any indifferent and reasonable Iudge, for prouokinge of honest,
and lawfull witnesses? Or, are al the Schoole Doctours, and Canonistes, now be-
come Intestabiles, that is to saie, so farre out of Credite, so infamous, and so vile,
that they maye not be allowed to beare witness: Whose then are they? Of whe-
ther doe stande they? Are they not al M. Hardinges owne Doctours? Is he now
ashamed of his owne? Are they not al swozne to the See of Rome? And what
were they? What rounes bare they in the Church? Were they not Abbates,
Bishoppes, Archebishoppes, Cardinales, Sainctes, and Popes? Was not Panormi-
tane an Abbate: was not Albertus Magnus a Bishop: was not Antoninus an
Archebishop: was not Holcote a Cardinal: was not Caietanus a Cardinal: Is
not Bonauentura a Sainct: Is not Thomas a Sainct: was not Innocentius .2.
a Pope: was not Adrianus a Pope: Did not Pope Gregorie .9. write the Decretals?
Did not Pope Bonifacius .8. write the Sextus? Did not Pope Clemens write the
Clementines? Did not Pope Iohannes .22. and other Popes write the Extra Va-
gantes? Were not these Christes Vicars? Were they not Peters Successours?
Were they not the Lighte of the worlde? Were they not the Beles of the King-
dome of Heauen? Is not Gratian called Fundamentum Iuris Canonici, and that
euen by Eugenius .3. the Pope him selfe? Were not these for the space of five
hundred yeres together the onely Rulers of the Church of God? Were not
theire wordes holden for Lawes? Were there any others better, or better lear-
ned, or at al any other Doctours in the Church? Of the Schoole Doctours, was
not

A Preface to the Reader.

Not one called Subtilis, an other Solennis, an other Irrefragabilis, an other Angelicus, an other Seraphicus? What warrante had we then of the faith of Christe, what Doctrine, what Sacramentes, what Discipline, what Correction, what Order, what Comforte, but at theire handes? Howe coulde any Consistorie be holden, howe coulde any man recover his righte, howe coulde the Pope him selfe mainteine his vniuersal power, and his Triple Crowne, but onely by them:

And yett will M. Hardinge suddainely condemne them al, euery one, by one Sentence, Abbates, Bishoppes, Archebishoppes, Decrees, Decretalles, Cardinales, Sainctes, and Popes, and al togeather: Will he make them al vnable to beare witness: Will he thus despise them, and calle them Gewgawes, as if they were al Sinkers, and Coblers, and no better?

I trowe M. Hardinge was not very wel instructed, when he suffered thesse thinges, so vnadvisedly to passe from him. Otherwise, to take reuenge vpon hisouerlaries, he would not so sturdily haue plaide Samsons part, and so rashly haue cruiste the Millers, whereby he leane, and haue shaken downe the whole house vpon him selfe. For I beseeche the, aske M. Hardinge, what hath the Pope to stande vnto, when the Schoole Doctours, and Canonistes be gonne from him? What Olde Father, what Aunciente Doctoure, what Councel, what Scripture is there leaue him? What will he saie to the Doctours of our side, that so peremptorily, and scornewfully despiseth his owne, and calleth them Gewgawes? It might haue pleased him to haue suffered them, as witnesses, quietly to haue tolde theire tales, specially in suche cases, as no other man could knowe, so wel, as they. For by them, and by them onely, and by none els, we maie see that greate, and horrible blindenesse, and miserie, that Satan had broughte into the Church of God. For although it be nowe remoued from our eyes, yett in these Canonistes, and Doctours Bokes, as in Publique Records, it remaineth stil. Goddes holy name be praised for euer, that of his greate mercie hath deliuered vs by the clearenesse, and gloze of his Gospel.

Wittily S. Paule, to auance the Truthe of God, and to confounde his enemies, thought it no reproche, to take witness of the Heathen Poetes, Menander, Epimenedes, and Aratus. The Learned Fathers, Tertullian, Clemens, Eusebius, Arnobius take witness of the Noble Philosophers: S. Augustine of the Sibylles: Lactantius of Apollos Oracles. Yea, M. Hardinge him selfe, when he is bable, & when neede so requirerh, is contented to take witness of Leontius, of Abdias, of Amphilocheus, of Homere the Greake Poete, of Priscian, the poore Grammarian, of Scholasticall Doctours, of Canonistes, of Decrees, of Decretals, of Gloses, and Margines, and spareth nothinge. Yett now muste they be despised altogether, and goe for Gewgawes, for children to plaie withal.

But we must pardon M. Hardinge. He dealeth indifferently: and is nothing partial. For euery with like reuerence he useth also the Aunciente Doctours of the Church, and others of later time, that fighte of his side, and are allied vnto him.

*M. Hardinge
Delect. 238.
239.
Delect. 271.b
Consu. 232.b.
Consu. 285.b.
Consu. 232.b.
Delect. 307.b
Delect. 307.a
Consu. 206.
Delect. 225.b*

Tertullian (he saith) was an Heretique, and wrote this, and that, in defense of his Heresie. *S. Cyprian* (he saith) stood in an ill Cause, and defended a falsehoode, and was driuen to the very same shifts, whereunto al Heretiques are driuen. Againe he saith, VVee neuer tooke oure selues bounde to any priuate opinion of what so euer Doctoure. If in a secrete pointe of Learning S. Augustine, or S. Cyprian teach singularly, wee folowe them not. It seemeth (saith he) S. Hierome was deceiued by a rumoure, As beinge farre from the places, where the truthe might be knowen. VVee binde not our selues (saith he) to mainteine, what so euer Albertus Pighius hath written. Cardinal Caietan (saith he) hath his errors: Erasmus, and Agrippa be men of smal credite: Alphonsus de Castro, Beatus Rhénanus, Platina, Appendix Concilij Basiliensis, are stiffe lide woorth. Againe Iohannes Gerson, the greatest Learned man of his time, and the onely Diredoure, and Leader of the Councel of Constance, whom Trithemius calleth Theologorum sui temporis longè Principem, is no better, then good plaine Father Gerson. To be shorte, Nilus, a Greake Minister, and the Archebishop of Thessalonica, if it like M. Hardinge, muste beare the bable.

Thus

A Preface to the Reader.

Thus witte ſe, M. Hardinge hath a commiſſion, to controulle al maner of Doctours, what ſo euer, Greekes, Latines, Olde, Newe, his owne, and others, if they comme not readily to his pourpoſe. Nicolaus Cusanus ſaith, Papa Eugenius dicit, hoc verum eſſe, ſi ipſe velit, & non aliter: Pope Eugenius telleth vs, this thinge is true, if his Holineſſe wil haue it true: And that otherwiſe it is not true. Dutche a power, I trowe, M. Hardinge would claime vnto him ſelfe, that nothinge maie be taken for true, or falſe without his likinge. Marcolphus wil not lightly finde a ſit tree to hange him ſelfe on.

*Nicol. Cusan.
de Concordant.
Li. 2. Cap. 20.*

But M. Hardinge ſaith, I allege greate troupes of Doctours, and other VVriters: But vſe no manner diſcourſe of witte, as he him ſelfe doothe, to enlarge my maters: As though, who ſo hath moſte wordes, ſpake alwaies beſte to pourpoſe. The Learned Father Tertullian ſaith ſometime vpon like occaſion: Rhetorici quomodo nos prouocant Hæretici: Theſe Heretiques would haue vs playe the Rhetoricians, to embroſſe out our maters with ſhewe of wordes, and of a ſolbiſ to make a ſountaine, as they do. As for M. Hardinge, what diſcourſes he vſeth, it maie eaſily appeare, by that in his laſte Detection, he hath diſcourſed vs two hundred, and ſixe whole ſides of paper onely in Perambles, and Prefaces; beſore he ones ſtepte into his mater. And good reaſon is it, that, when Scriptures, and Doctours wil not ſerue, then diſcourſe of Witte ſhoulde holde the plough. Although M. Hardinge wante weighte of mater, yet at leaſte he hath wordes at wil. They coſte him but little. Weſſelles neuer geue ſo great a ſounde, as when they be emptye. A Courtheſiane of Rome wil pranke her ſelfe moze trimly, then a Vertuous Lady. It is an eaſie mater, with Waſhes, and Alſardes, and longe Diſcourſes, to fraſe the ſimple. The poore Aſſe wil roare out ſometimes like a Lion.

*Tertul. de Reſ.
ſurrections
Carnis.*

I goe directly, and ſhortely to the mater, & deſſe not, as M. Hardinge dothe, to ſet my wordes to ſale by diſcourſe of ſpeache: But thinks it ſufficient onely to allege the wordes, and Authozities of the Ancients. Of the other ſide, M. Hardinge out of the Ancients Fathers allegeth, yet nothinge at al, or very little, or little to the pourpoſe: but ſedeth vs with wordes penaughe of his owne, that is to ſaie (and let him pardon my plainneſſe) not with the beſte, or wiſeſt wordes, that might be ſpoken.

The Proteſtantes (ſaith he) are diuided, and ſcattered into Sectes, and factions, not one of them agreeing with the other. They haue twentie ſundrie opinions touchinge Juſtification: They haue ſixe and thirtie opinions touchinge the Communion in bothe kindes. So preſſely M. Hardinge keepeth his rekeninges. And yet perhaps if he were called ſuddenly to an Audite, of al theſe ſixe & fiftie ſundrie opinions, he were not hable to reckon ſoure. But M. Hardinge maie lawfully diſcourſe at pleaſure, as a man likinge wel his owne witte, and in reſpecte thereof miſlikinge al others.

*Detect. fol.
35. 4.*

Againe he ſaith, The Proteſtantes haue forſaken Chriſte: The Proteſtantes are becomme Iewes: The Proteſtantes wil be circumciſed accordinge to Moſes Lawe: The Proteſtantes require to haue the Paſchal Lambe: The Proteſtantes telle their people, there is no Helle at al: The Proteſtantes teache vs, that God is bounde to obeie the Diuel: The Proteſtantes at their nexte proceedinge wil vriterly denie God. So likewiſe ſaith Cardinal Poole, a man, that would highly be commended for his modeſtie: The Proteſtantes (ſaith he) wil ſhortely denie, that Chriſte is Meſſias, the annointed of God. An other of them ſaith with like diſcretion, The Proteſtantes denie, that Chriſte euer appeared in the Fleaſhe. And againe he ſaith, Peter Martyr denied, that Chriſte is our Interceſſour. There is no tale ſo vnliſkly, but by ſutche preaty diſcourſes it maie be proued.

*Detection fol.
34. 4.
Duel. 33. b.
Detect. 82. b.
Detect. 139. 4.
Ad Henricum 8. lib. 3.
Copius Dial. 6.
Pap. 878.
Copius Dial. 3.
Pag. 331.*

Againe throughout the whole diſcourſe of al his Bookes: he telleth vs, that the Bleſſed Succeſſion of Peter ſhal neuer faile. That the Popes Chaire is the very knot of Chriſtian Vnitie: That the Church of Rome is the Vniuerſal Church of God: That the Pope is the onely Vniuerſal Governour of al the worlde: That the Pope maie not be controlled, or iudged by any power: That the Pope is aboue al General Councelles: That the Pope hath the Authoritie ouer the Soules in Purgatorie: And ouer the Angelles of God: That S. Auguſtine, S. Hierome, S. Ambroſe,

S. Chry.

A Preface to the Reader.

S. Chrysostome, and al other the Doctors, and Holy Fathers mighte erre: But the Pope onely can neuer erre.

If thou telle him, that many Popes haue erred, and shamefully erred, as it is euident, and easie to proue, he will answere thee, They erred onely as menne, but not as Popes. For the Pope hath sundrie Capacities in one person: Sommetimes wee muste take him, as a man, and Sommetimes as no man.

If thou telle him, that Popes haue erred, even as they were Popes, then will he answere thee, that the Pope maie erre, and hath erred in somme certaine particulare cause, or question: But in Faith, or Doctrine he cannot erre.

If thou telle him, that sundrie Popes haue mainteined open, and known Heresies, and therefore haue vndoubtedly erred in Faith, and Doctrine, then will he telle thee, that they mainteined sutch Heresies, onely as their owne priuate opinions, but not as Doctrine for the Church. Againe if thou telle him, that certaine Popes haue published, and preached, and taught Heresies, and sente abroade Preachers, to confirme the same, Then will he telle thee, that although they preached, and confirmed Heresies, yet they neuer confirmed them by Publique Decree, and open Sentence in their Consistories: As if the Popes Consistories were of greater weight in mater of Doctrine, then his Pulpit.

Thus M. Hardinge discourseth aboute the fieldes, A Primo ad Vltimum, from Erroure, to Pan: from Pan, to Pope: from Pope, to Iudgemente: from Iudgemente, to Faith: from Faith, to Opinion: from Opinion, to Preaching: from Preaching, to Decree: And so from one thinge to an other: And where as one thinge will not serue, by discourse of witte he seeketh a better.

Al these Songes he singe vs befoze, in his Answere, in his Reioinder, and in his Confutation. And now, when al is donne, as a sely Spinster, is faine to falle to Discourfinge, and to singe the same aboute againe. Otherwise he shoulde lacke worke for his Printer.

To shadowe the notorious Ambition of the Church of Rome, openly condemned in the greate Council of Africa, and called the smoky pompe of the worlde, either ignorantlly, or guilefully he corrupteth the plaine Texte, and turneth Petentes, into Potentes, that is to saie, he turneth poore Suiters, into Princes. Good Reader, turne to the place, and consider the Answere, that I haue made hereto: and then aske of M. Hardinge, what Discourse, or what witte was this, to corrupte his Translation, to falsifie the sense, to turne, Pe, into Po, and one worde into an other: Aske of him, what he meante, with so sensible forgerie to saie, to mocke thee.

Againe, where as S. Basile writeth thus vnto Athanasius the Patriarke of Alexandria, Visum est vtile, vt scribatur ad Episcopum Romanum, vt consideret res nostras, & det Consilium: It seemeth good wee write to the Bishop of Rome, that he consider of our case, and geue vs Counsel, M. Harding by his proper discourfinges hath thought it better, thus to Englishe the same wordes, It hath seemed good, that wee write to the Bishop of Rome, that he visite vs, and determine the mater by his Sentence. That the Bishop of Rome consider our case, saith S. Basile: That the Bishop of Rome visite vs, saith M. Harding: That the Bishop of Rome geue vs Counsel, what wee maie doo, saith S. Basile: That the Bishop of Rome determine the mater by his Sentence, saith M. Harding. Thus by his discourfinge he hath changed, Consideringe, into Visitinge, and Geeuinge of Counsel, into Sentence in Iudgemente.

But aske him, I praise thee, what discourse of witte moued him to change al the Latine Translations of S. Basile, that euer he saue, and to forge vs a newe Translation of his owne. Aske him wherefore this Craike worde, ΕΙΣΗΛΕΤΟ ΤΟΙΟΥΤΙ, maie not wel be Englisshed, To consider. Aske him, wherefore thesse Craike wordes, ΑΙΟΥΝΟΝ ΥΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝΟΝ, maie not wel be Englisshed, To geue Counsel. Aske him, where he ever reade, in any allowed Craike Myster, thesse wordes, ΑΙΟΥΝΟΝ ΥΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝΟΝ, used for a Decree, or Sentence in Iudgemente. Aske him, what made him so bolde,

to

Quibuscumq;
Petentibus.

Quibuscumq;
Potentibus.

ΤΙΝΑΝ ΑΙΟΥΝΟΝ
ΥΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝΟΝ.

Page. 123.

Basil. in Epist.
ad Athana-
sium.

M. Har. De-
rect. 179. b.

Defens. 129.

Vt Confide-
ret res no-
stras.

Vt det Con-
silium.

A Preface to the Reader.

to shewe vs newe Graeke wordes of his owne, such as no Grecian ever heard before. *ETIOLOGIA*, saith the *Sp. Hardinge*, and againe, *ETIOLOGIA*, twise together in one place. But bid him, leave his longe discourses, & shewe of witte, and plainly to telle the, euen as he wil be counted a Grecian, in what *Histographer*, in what *Orator*, in what *Philosopher*, in what *Poete*, he ever heard of this worde *ETIOLOGIA*. Telle him, good Reader, telle him, This Verbe is not *ETIOLOGIA*, as he imagineth, but *ETIOLOGIA*. And bid him, not to truste to his Dictionary, for it wil deceiue him. *ETIOLOGIA*, I saie, is the Original Verbe, and not, *ETIOLOGIA*. And therefore the firste Indefinite is not *ETIOLOGIA*, as *Sp. Hardinge* telleth the, but *ETIOLOGIA*. It was sufficient for him, to mocke the with Latine, & Englishe. But of him selfe to diuise newe Graeke wordes, and newe Grammares, where with to mocke the, it was too muche.

By his discourses he is hable to defende these wordes of Hostiensis, Deus, & Papa faciunt vnum Consistorium: Excepto Peccato, Papa potest quasi omnia facere, quia potest Deus: God, and the Pope haue one Iudgements Seate: And, Sinne onely excepted, the Pope can doo in a manner al things, that God can doo. Whereby, I trowe, is meante, that, as God is omnipotent, so in a manner is the Pope.

*Detection. 67.
Defense.*

By his discourses he is hable, to defende that most horrible, and blasphemous Prayer, devised, & vsed in the Church of Rome, Tu per Thomam Sanguinem &c. to the manifest prejudice, and derogation of the Bloud of Christe. By his Discourses, he is hable to defende these wordes of Catharinus, lately pronounced in the Councel of Tridente, Our Lady is Christe most faithful slave, makinge a Creature Equal, and felowe with Christe the Creatour, which is God blessed for euer.

Detection. 35.

To be shorthe, by his Discourses, he is wel hable, to defende these most vile, and filthy wordes, touching Priests Concubines, uttered to most vile, and filthy purpose, Si non caste, tamen caute: If they cannot liue chastely, yet let them deale chaſtly. The circumstance considered (saith the *Sp. Hardinge*) al this maie seeme, to be wel, and discretely (and chaſtely) saide, and to geue vs very good counsel. O merciful God, whither wilt *Sp. Hardinge* leade vs at laste with his Discourses: Dutche discrete, and wholesome counsel he might haue learned of Mitio in the Heathen Comedie, for thus he saith, Non est flagitium, mihi crede, adolescentem Scortari.

*Detection. 62.
399. b. 400.*

Yet further to proue, that he hath a ready witte to couer at pleasure, he saith vnto vs frankly, and by wafe of graunte, What if somme haue written, that Poyson was ministred in the Blessed Sacramente: What if the Pope suffred Francis Dandalus, the Venetians Embassadour, to lye vnder his Table like a dogge, while he was at dinner: What if Popes haue suffred greate Princes, and Monarkes, to kisse their shete, to bolde their stropes, and to leade their horses: What if Pope Alexander bled Frederike the Emperoure more proudly, then became a man of his callinge, treadinge him downe to the grounde, and settinge his foot on his necke: Be these things neuer so true, neuer so tyrannical, and neuer so horrible, yet if *Sp. Hardinge* once saie, What if, by Discourse of his witte they maie some be salued.

*M. Hardinge
in his Preface
to the Reader.*

By such Discourses he is hable to proue his Priuate Masses, his Dimi Communion, his Primacies, his Pardones, his Purgatories, and what thinge so euer shal come to hande. When Scriptures faile, then Discourse of wit must come in place: And when witte, and discourse wil not serue, then good plaine rounde Railinge must serue the turne. Then, (saith he) Yee are proude, ye are Luciferlike, ye serue the Deuil. Then he telleth the worlde, M. Iewel is worse then Ananias, that condemned S. Paule: M. Iewel plaith the parte of Antichriste: M. Iewel is the open enimie of the Church: M. Iewel is the enimie of God: M. Iewel honoureth that Idole Caluine more then Iesus Christe: M. Iewel is Messias: M. Iewel is Christe: M. Iewel is better, then Christe. Then he discourseth, and singeth nowe at his Lutheranes: nowe at his Huguenotes: nowe at Browne: nowe at the Puritanes: nowe at Bale: nowe at Illyricus.

*M. Hardinge
Detect. 39. b.
Detect. 210.
245.
Detect. 47.
Detect. 359.
Detect. 210.
Detect. 212.*

Thus he impeteth, and confeteth, this wafe, and that wafe, as a man railinge

A Preface to the Reader.

without a marke, or a Ship sailing without a Rudder. Thus he sheweth vs a Mountaine of wordes without substance, and a House full of smoke without fire: and imagineth, that his little Elder Pipe, by discourse of witte, will resemble the sounde of a double Canon. When al is donne, we maie see of him, as the poore man saide, that shoure his Dower, Here is greate crye, and little woulle.

Good Reader, Truthe is plaine, and homely, and hath no neede of these Vaulementes. But who so wil take vpon him to mainteine Vntruthe, muste needes be forced to discourse aboute with longe Vagaries, to leade his Reader from the purpouse, to feede him with wordes, for wante of mater, and bylesly to dw, euen as here M. Hardinge dothe.

I cannot imagine, that any my poore labours shalbe hable to ende these quarrells. For a contentious man wil neuer lache wordes. Notwithstanding in this Augmentation I haue endeoured for my simple parte, to saie so muche, as to a reasonable man maie seeme sufficiente. I haue cleared such places, as seemed darke: I haue supplied such things, as seemed to wante: I haue resourmed so muche, as reason required: Bylesly I haue answered the substance of al M. Hardinges longe Discourses. If any thinge be leaste vnanswared, either it was nothinge, or nothinge worthe.

Wile it please the, good Christian Reader, to peruse bothe, and to iudge by rightly. Endeavour thourghly to knowe the cause. An ignorant Judge was neuer indifferente. M. Hardinge woulde haue the onely to solowe the example of thy late deceiued fathers, and farther to searcke, and knowe nothinge. And oftentimes thine ignorance maketh him bolde. He leadeeth the into the darknesse of Deathe: and yet woulde haue the beleue, thou arte in Paradise. The Blinde man is leade, he knoweth not, whither. But searcke thou the Scriptures, as Christe him selfe biudgeth the: Learne to knowe the wil of God, as S. Paule aduised the: Haue pleasure in Goddes Holy worde, as the Prophete David warneth the: And thou shalt neuer be deceiued. Whereas buildinge vpon the sande of wittles Discourses, and the variable weakenesse of mennes iudgements, sometimes allowed, and sometimes rejoyced, thy House muste needes comme to grounde.

Telle M. Hardinge, It shal be harde for him, to kisse stil againste the spurre. God hath sowed the seede of Life. No power is hable to rote it out. God hath disclosed the man of Sinne: No Cloke is hable to hide him longer. Heauen, and Earthe shal passe: But Goddes worde shal neuer passe. God open the eyes of thy harte, that thou maiste haue comforte in his Lighte, and repose in his Mercies, and patiently waite for that Blessed Hope, that is laide vp for the, and continue Faithfull vnto the ende. Amen.

From Sarisburie. 11. Decemb. 1569.

I. S.

Good Christian Reader, I have here sette befoze thee certaine principall flowers of *M. Hardinges* modeste speech. Taste no more, then make wel like the. And iudge therof, as thou shalt see cause.

M. Hardinge, in the Preface before his Confutation of the Apologie.

The manner of writinge, whiche I have here used, in comparison of our Adversaries, is sober, soft, and gentle, &c. And in respecte of their heate, bitterness, and railing, as many telle me, over colde, swete, and milde.

Again, in the same Confutation.

There is no man of wisdome, or honestie, that woulde with so immoderate upbraidinges, empaire the estimation of his modestie. Fol. 300. b.

M. Hardinge.

Your Diuellishe spite. Reioinder. fol. 13. b.
 Your Diuellishe vickednesse. Reioinder, Preface to the Reader.
 Your Diuellishe Villanie. Confuta. fol. 156. b.
 Your railing vwoordes of Sathans prompting. Reioinder. 67. a.
 You are ioined to Sathan, Sathan your Schoolmaster. Reioinder. n. b.
 Yee are moued by the instincte of Sathan. Confuta. 43. b. 155. a.
 Your Father the Diuel. Confuta. 2. a.
 Your Babylonical Tovvtr. Confuta. 42. a.
 Your Nevve Church sette vp by Sathan. Confuta. 42. a.
 The Diuel haie you faste bounde. Con. 24. a.
 Yee are of the Schole of Sathan. Con. 69. b.
 Your Sathanical Sprite. Confuta. 10. b.
 Yee are the Children of the Diuel. Con. 105. a.
 A Page, a slave, a Clavvebacke of the Diuel. Confuta. 131. a.
 Yee are the Limmes of Antichriste. Con. 101. a.
 Yee are the practised Ministers of Antichriste. Confuta. 195. b.
 Yee have taken a paterne frō Sathan. Con. 113. b.
 Your reprobate Congregation. Con. 119. a.

Your Synagoge of Sathan. Con. 341. b.
 Your confuse series of Sathan. Con. 114.
 Your Synagoge of Antichriste, and Lucifer. Confuta. 112. b.
 The Hearde of Antichriste. Confuta. 48. a.
 The Notice of the Diuel. Confuta.
 The Diuel, and his Ministers. 116. b.
 Yee have learned of the Diuel. Confuta. 118. b.
 Your Father the Diuel. Confuta. 348. b.
 Sathan your Maister. Confuta. 348. b.
 Sathans broode. Con. 348.
 He haie conceived hatred by the inspiration of Sathan. Con. 188. b.
 Sathan holdeth you captiue. Con. 342. b.
 The Sprite of Sathan is in you. 173. a.
 Yee are faste bounde in Sathans fetters. Con. 68. a.
 Yee are enemies of Vnitie. Con. 155. b.
 Enemies of the Sacrifice. Confuta. 67. a.
 Yee are loose Apostates. Con. 113.
 Sacrilegious Church robbers. 113.
 Therues. Con. 115. b.
 Abominable. Con. 171. a.
 Lecherous Lourdaines. 75. b.
 Profane Helhounds. Confuta. 114. b.

Despisers of God. Cō. 11. b.
 Your vicked, and blasphemous Sprite.
 Your darke, and malicious Soule. Reioinder. 104.
 Your Heresies, blasphemies, Sathanismies.
 Your filthy railing rabble. Con. 71. b.
 Calvinistes, Sathanistes. Confuta. 81. b.
 Your vicked Chams brood 114. b.
 Your profane malice. c. 119. b.
 Your vilenesse. 135. a.
 Your damnable side. 135. a.
 Your blasphemous tongues your detestable blasphemies
 Your Diuellish rabble 109. b.
 Your Turkish Huguenotes, vwoorse then infidels. 111. b.
 Your Malignant Church, Your Congregation of Reprobates. Con. 119. b.
 Your vile Heresies. 119. a.
 Your Diuellishe stoutnesse of hate.
 Your Turkishe, and Heathenish hate. 195. b.
 Your Turkish doctrine, ibid
 Ye bragge Luciferlike. 173. b.
 Yee speake villanie.
 Your quarrel is againste Christe. Con. 178. b.
 Yee would saie, if ye durst, that Christe is the Abomination of Desolation, and Antichriste is the True God. 194. a.
 When were there ever such Theeves in the Church of God? 111. a.
 Your

M. Hardinge.

- Your Doctrine is Heresie, 7
 your life is iniquitie. 71. b.
 As crafty knaues in a Com-
 medie. Reioind. 11. a.
 Vaine bragginge, Vanitie,
 Scurrilitie, extreme im-
 pudencie, passinge mad-
 nesse, proude vauntinge
 Goliathlike. Re. in pre. 2.
 He is a foole. 186.
 Reasonlesse, vvitlesse. Re-
 ioind. 191. a.
 Foolishe Negatiues. R. pre:
 They are Apes. Con. 1. b.
 They are Asses. Con. 1. b.
 Any soue vould be asha-
 med to make such ar-
 gumentes. Con. 51. b.
 He hath on his foolcs corse
 if he plaie the Vise vvel,
 and Verlelike. Re. 171. b.
 The canker of this false do-
 ctine. Reioind. prefa.
 False Gospellers. Re. pre.
 Vnshamefast. 54. a.
 Impious: Impudente. 113. a.
 Yee are paste al shame. 186. a.
 A greute Lier.
 Boldnesse in Lieinge.
 Yee Lie for a vantage.
 Your schoole of Lieinge.
 Yee professe Lieinge.
 False Lies.
 Impudente Lies.
 Vaine Lies. Bolde Lies.
 Loude Lies. Fovle Lies.
 Shamelesse Lies.
 Railinge Lies.
 Manifeste Lies.
 Notorious Lies.
 Sclaundersous Lies.
 Horrible Lies.
 VVee vvil proclaime you a
 Lier. 158. b.
 Your Libertie of lieinge.
 Your Arte of lieinge. 118. b.
 Your Figure of Impudente
 Lieinge. 113. a.
 Yee be desperate in youre
 Lieinge. 177. b.
 You Lie in the plural num-
 ber. 303. a.
 And vvhat shal I saie more
 but al is Lies. 155. b.
 Leave your Railinge. Re.
 VVe like not your Railing:
 10. a.
 I leaue your vile eloquence
 to your selfe. 11. b.
 Your Railinge termes.
 Your vile eloquence.
 Your scoldinge tale,
 Your spiteful vvoordes.
 Con. 149. b.
 Your rancoure. Your spite.
 Your filthy Railinges.
 Your vile vpbraidings.
 Con. 175.
 Your Malitious Railinge.
 184. b.
 Your false cankered sclaun-
 ders. 184. b.
 Cease youre barking. Re.
 ioind. prefa. to the Read.
 The poison of your tongue
 Reioind. Prefa.
 Your droppe of poison.
 Your Serpentine tongue.
 Reioind. 67. a.
 Suche crakinge Chalen-
 gers. Re. prefa.
 Your Railinge Sprite.
 Spit out your Malice.
 Spit out your poison. Re-
 ioind. prefa.
 Your hote raginge Sprite.
 Con. 3. a.
 Spit forth your Galle.
 Confu. 13. a.
 Your Heresies, and Villa-
 nies. Con. 10. b.
 Your vaine boaste in vvick-
 kednesse, vvroughte by
 the povver of Sathā. 19. b.
 Your filthy railinge rabble.
 71. b.
 It liketh your filthy Sprite.
 101. a.
 Ye cursed Chananees. 111. b.
 VVhat crake yet. 118. b.
 The Diuel the Schoolemai-
 ster of your Malice. 163. a.
 Your Bavvy Bale. 168. b.
 Luther, that filthy Freere.
 191. a.
 Breinus, that shamelesse
 railinge Heretique. 188. a.
 Cough vp the cromme of
 your Heresie. Re. 167. b.
 Cough out that vile poi-
 son. Re. 10.
 Your Diuellishe Blasphemie,
 and Villanie. 146. b.
 A blasfe of your railinge
 Sprite. 166. b.
 Luthers stinkinge sinke. 41. b.
 Zwinglius rabble. 41. b.
 Your vile, spiteful, blasphemous
 talke. Re. prefa.
 If there vvere any spasse of
 shame in you. 94. a.
 The stinkinge breath of your
 vile vvoordes. 11. b.
 This Desyder is like a mad
 Dogge. 107. b.
 Your vile, venomous, and
 lothesome stuffe. 146. b.
 I vvold I could plucke mas-
 lice from youre blasphemous
 harre. 191. b.
 VVith suche spitefulnesse
 of vvoordes, as the Diuel
 hath inspired the vvith-
 al. Con. 341. b.
 It spirith you, and the Di-
 uel. 179. a.
 Raile, and reuel vvhile yee
 vvil. 154. a.
 Yee raue, and crie out. 177. b.
 The fiodes of Hel vvare not
 yet let loose, that begate
 Lutherians, and Calumi-
 nies. Confu. 183. b.
 The Diuel comminge from
 Helle, hath carried you
 avvaie. 115. b.
 In youre hartes the Diuel
 hath made his shop. 131. a.
 Yee shalbe bounde hande
 and foote vvith the cor-
 des of the Diuels cleve.
 121. a.
 The Diuel possesseth you,
 and rideth you. 155. a.
 The Sprite of the Diuel is
 vvithin you. 155.
 Ye boile in rancoure, and
 malice. 169. b.
 Stampe, and rage. Sturdy
 dogge eloquence. 41. b.
 Stinte your barking. R. pre.
 The Diuel reigneth in your
 hartes. 87. b.
 Maugre the malice of the
 diuel, and of al the Sacra-
 mentaries. 51. b.
 Your Malice seemeth to
 passe the malice of the
 Diuel. Re. prefa.
 Yee barke vvith vvoordes
 more vile, then the bar-
 kinge of a dogge. Re.
 Raile vntil youre tongues
 borne in your headdes in
 Helle fire. 11. a.
 Barke vntil your bellies bre-
 ake, yee Helhounds of
 Zwinglius and Luthers
 littoure. 178. b.
 VVithout al vvitte, and mo-
 destie, Con. 170. b.
 Cumerus Petri de Brunwerf-
 hagen, Pastor. S. Petri Lo-
 uani indignus approbanit.
 Anno 1565. 10. Aprilis.

M. Hardinge, in his Preface to M. Jewel

before the Rejoinder.

Vvee fyare your woorshippes, and put you in minde of your outrage with more Courteous language. Against, I seeme to menne of right good discretion, rather to offende of lenitie, and softenesse. And many doo wishe, I had tempered mine inke with sharper ingredience.

Thus mutche onely out of the Deape. For to late for the al,
it were to make an other Booke.

One demanded this Question of Zoilus the Railer :

Why takeste thou satche pleasure in speakinge it ?

Zoilus made Answer.

Because, whereas I woulde rather do ill, I am not habile.

Scoffes, and Scornes.

M. Hardinge beasof shew reproveth his Adversarie.

Your scornful scoffes. Re. prefa.

Your Lothesome scoffes. Re. prefa

Your scoffinge Sprite. Confu. 19.

Your inkelome curtes. Re. prefa.

Your arte of scoffinge. Re. prefa.

Your scoffinge heade. 124. a.

Ye launce your vvordes vvith scoffes. 29. 76. Your bitter tvvitinges. ibidem.

Yee plaie Hickscornes. Re. prefa.

Yee plaie the Vise in an Enterlude. Re. pre

Your boileishe and Childishe disposition
314. b.

Your boileishe scoffes. 300. a.

Your boileishe mockeries. 149. a.

M. Hardinge of him selfe.

Satche grace in writinge I neither vse, nor couete: nor haue I it: nor if I had it, should I thinke it meete to vse. The Truthe of God would nor be sette foorth with scoffes. VVhole desire to vaderstand the Truthe is colde, the same is to be sturred vp rather with graue and earnest exhortations, then with scornes, and mockes. Reioind. In the Preface to the Reader.

M. Hardinges perfourmance of the same.

His Ministerlike talke: vvith
somme sadde Hypocritise.
Re. prefa.

His Eusebical meekenesse.
Ful coldely, and demurely
he promisseth. Re. 18. b.

His solemne Praiser prote-
stantlike. Re. 18. b.

His Rhetorical persuasions
in pulpit: vvith holy hol-
dinge vp of handes, and
casting vp of eyes to Hea-
uen. Re. prefa.

His Ministringe vvordes.
Re. 135.

His pulpit buzzing. R. pre.

His holy Companions. 170. b.

This blessed Brotherhoode.
Confu. 13. b.

Ye speake like a liberal gen-
tleman Con. 124. a.

This gait Rhetorician. Re.

ioind. prefa.

This iolly telovve. 9. a.

This iolly Defender. 33. b.

This vvorthy Captaine.
Re. 33. b.

This substantial Doctoure.
Cuckovlike.

His glorious Sermon. prefa.

His vvorthy courage. R. pr.

He proneth it like a clerk 117

Vvel, and Clerkely reason-
ed forsoothe. 219. a.

His forsaide vvorthipful
reasons. 176. a.

His Clerkely provves. 149. b.

Like propre getlemen. 140. a.

Thei haue tried them selues
like proper menne. 130. b.

Luther your Radix lesse.
Con. 43. b.

Caloine your Patriarke 20. a.

Your greate Rabbine Peter

Marrys. Con. 21. a.

Novve come in these reue-
rende Fathers of our nevv
Clergie. Re. 135. a.

Our ministring clergi. 146. a.

Our ministring prelates 43. a.

Our nevv Gospel Prelates.

Our M. Iohn of Sarisburie.
151. b.

Hovve saie you Sir Minister
Bishopt 56. b.

The confusion of your Go-
liathship. Re. prefa.

Yee speake mutche of your
Ministerhippes good-
nesse. 170. b.

This is false, sauinge youre
Ministerships. Con. 147. b.

Your Superintendentes
shippes. 145. b.

Your Maisterships. Re. pre.

Your Maisterships. 147. a.

M. Hardinge. Confuta. fol. 109. b.

Thus wee comme within you Defenders, as it were: and claspinge with you, wringe your weapon out of your hande: and with the other ende of it strike you downe: As it is not harde vs, by learninge to ouerthrowe you. &c.

To the Christian Reader. H.M



I pitieth mee in thy behalfe, good Christian Reader, to see thy conscience thus assaulted this case with so contrarie Doctrines of Religion: and specially if thou have a zeale to folowe, and seeke not, what: and wouldeste saue please God, and knowest not, howe: no: finde the selfe sufficiently armed with Goddes Holy Spirit: no: hable either to discern the meate from poison, or to be winde the self out of the snares. For Satan transformeth him selfe into an Angel of Light: The wicked is moze watchful,

2. Corin. 11.

and belemente, then the Godly: and falsched is oftentimes painted, and beautified, and shineth moze glorious then the Truthe.

2. Tim. 2.

Mattha. 24.

2. Tim. 2.

Johan. 10.

Roman. 8.

These be the thinges, that, as S. Paule saith, woork the subuersion of the Hearers: and by meane whereof as Christe saith, If it were possible, the very Electe of God shoulde be decciued. Howe be it, God knoweth his owne, and no Power can pulle them out of his hands. God is hable to worke comforte out of confusion, and to force his Lighte to shine out of darkenesse. All thinges woork vnto good, vnto them, that be in Christe Iesu. Be falschede neuer so rashely coloured, yet in the ende the Truthe will conquere.

Notwithstandinge, God in these daies hath so amazed the Aduersaries of his Gospel, and hath caused them so openly, and so grossely to lase abroad their folies, to the sight, and face of al the worlde, that noman now, be he neuer so ignorant, can thinke, he mafe lustely be excused. They deale not now so suttly, as other Heretiques in old times were wont to do: thei hide not the lothsomnesse of their errors: they cloke not them selues in shypeskinnes: they dissemble nothing: they excuse nothing: but, without either shame of man, or feare of God, thei rake vp those thinges, that befoze were buried, that them selues had forsaken, the wile had abhorred, the worlde had lothed. It had benne moze policie for them, to haue yielded in somewhat, and to haue staled in the rest. So there might haue appeared somme plainnesse in their dealings.

Esa. 30.

But this is Goddes iust iudgement, that they, that wilfully withstande the Truthe, shoulde be geuen ouer to mainteine Lies, as beinge the Children of Vntruthe, Children, that wil not heare the Lawe of God.

For trial whereof, I beseeche the, god Reader, aduisedly to peruse these fewe notes, truly taken out of M. Hardinges late Confutation. Judge thereof, as thou shalt see cause. Let no affection, or fantasie cause thinges to seeme otherwile, then they be.

M. Harding.
fol. 334. b.

The two principal Groundes of this whole Booke are these: Firste, That the Pope, although he maie erre by personal errors, in his owne Priuate Judgemente, as a man, and as a particulare Doctour in his owne opinion: yet as he is Pope, as he is the Successour of Peter, as he is the Vicare of Christe in Earthe, and as he is the Sheepeheard of the Vniuersal Church, in Publique Judgement, in deliberation, and definitiue Sentence, he neuer errith, nor neuer erred, nor neuer can erre. As if he woulde saie, The Pope walkinge in his Gallerie is one man: and sittinge in his Consistorie, or in Judgement, is another. Which thinge to hold, Alphonsus de Castro saith, it is mere folie. Yet is this M. Hardinges chiefest, or rather, as I mighte in manner saie, his onely grounde.

Alphons. lib. 1
cap. 4.
Confu. fo. 16. b
fil. 261. b.

The seconde is this, The Church of Rome is the whole, and onely Catholique Church of God: and, who so ener is not obedient vnto the same, muste be iudged an Heretique. These two groundes bringe once wel, and surely lase, he mafe builde at pleasure, what him listeth.

Cofu fol. 43. b
Cofu fo 335 a
C 43. b.

As for the Pope, the better to countenance his estate, he saith, that Peter receiued Power Ordinarie, to him selfe, and to his Successours for euer: but his Fellowes had power onely by Dispensation: That Peter was the Shephearde, and the Apostles

To the Christian Reader.

Apostles the Sheepe: and, that the Apostles were subiect vnto Peter, as the Sheepe are subiect to the Sheepehearder: And that James the Apostle was a Member of Peter. For better warrant wherof Pope Leo saith, Petrum Christus in Consortium Individuæ Vnitatis assumptum, id, quod ipse erat, voluit nominari: Christ receiving Peter into the Compaignie of the Indivisible Vnitie, woulde him to be called the same, that he was him selfe. Confut. Fol. 282. a. Leo Epist. 89.

Upon affiance, and truste of these wordes, *M. Hardinge* endoweth the Pope with Christes onely Prerogative, and calleth him by Christes principal Title, The Prince of Pastours. And further he saith, Of the Pope hangeth the safetie of the whole Church of God: That vnto the Pope is given al manner of Power, as wel in Heaven, as in Earthe: And that, if any man saie, The Pope hath Authoritie to commaunde the Angels, and Archangels of God to come, to goe, to waite, to renne, to carrie, to fetch, *was mai not be scrupulous, (for these be his wordes) in any such matters: That neither Kings, nor Emperours, nor Clergie, nor Council, nor any Power alius can haue Authoritie to iudge the Pope, be his life neuer so vile: That the Pope is endewd with a Diuine, or a Godly Power, and that by the same he is hable to Depose Kings: That the Pope, even by the Institution of Christe, is a Temporal Prince, and hath the Righte, and Interest of bothe Swerdes, as wel Temporal, as Spiritual: That al Kings, and Emperours, by the Commandements of Christe, receiue theire whole Power, and Authoritie from the Pope: That the Pope in a right god sence maie be called the King of Kings, and Lorde of Lordes: Like as also in somme god sence, he maie be called Our Lorde, and God: (And therefore one of M. Hardinges felowes saith, The Pope is the Heade, and Kings and Princes are the Feete): That the Pope, what so euer he be, is alwaies a Christian man, by the Nature of his Office: And therefore an other of them saith, Iudasne sit, an Petrus, an Paulus, Deus attendi non vult: sed hoc, quod sedet in Cathedra Petri: Whether the Pope be Iudas (the Traittour) or Peter, or Paule, thereof God neuer bade vs be careful. This onely is sufficient for vs, that he sitteth in Peters Chaire. Likewise M. Hardinge saith, It shalbe sufficiente for vs to doo, as Peters Successours bid vs to doo: Christe (saith M. Hardinge) nowe requireth not of vs to obeye Peter, or Paule, but him, that sitteth in theire Chaire.* Confu. f. 50. b. Confu. 204. b. Confu. 248. b. Confu. 250. a. Confu. 248. b. Confu. 182. a. Confu. 247. b. & 305. b. Confu. 180. b. & 248. b. Confu. 250. a. Confu. 249. b. Dorman. pag. 15. Confu. 312. b. Hosius in Confessione Petri. couien. ca. 29.

Againe he saith, The whole Church of God is the Popes Vineyarde: The Pope is the Heade, and al the Faithful of Christe be his Members: The preservation of the whole Church standeth in the Vnitie of the Pope: And therefore Hosius saith, Absque Vno (Papa) Ecclesia Vna esse non potest: Without One Pope the Church of God cannot be One. So conclude, *M. Hardinge* saith, Without the obedience of the Pope, there is no hope of Salvation. Thus mutche hath *M. Harding* taughte vs, as moſte ſounde, and moſte Catholique Doctrine, touchinge the Authoritie of the Pope.

Of the other ſide he ſaith, The Church of Rome is the Uniuerſal, and onely Church of God: and that, what ſo euer Doctrine hath benne taughte in the ſame, ought to be taken, as godly, and Catholique: And, that al other Churches of the other Apostles mighte erre, and faile: but the Church of Rome, euen by Goddes especial Promise, what ſo euer ſhe teache, can neuer erre.

He ſighteth as ſcrayly for his Pardones, and Purgatories, as he coulde doo for the Faith of the Holy Trinitie. Nathan ſaide vnto David, Our Lorde hath put away thy ſinne: Thou shalt not die: Againe S. Paule saith, Beare one of you an others burthens: Hereby (saith M. Hardinge) it is plaine, that the Pope hath Power to geue Pardones: And Christe gaue S. Peter ſpecial Authoritie to beſtowe the ſame. 2. Regum. 12. Galat. 6. Confu. 251. a. & b.

But the Determination, and ſul diſcuſſion of theſe greates matters, of Pardones, and Purgatorie, he ſaith, is very harde, and marvellous intricate. Confu. 180. b. Confu. 252. b.

Whereas they, not without manifeſte Blaſphemie, haue vniuerſally taughte the people, thus to calle vpon the Bleſſed Virgine, the Mother of Christe, Thou art the Lady of Angels: Thou art the Queene of Heauen: Geue commandement vnto thy ſonne: Let him knowe, thou arte the Mother: Where as also an other of them saith, Our Lady

A Preface to the Reader.

Council. Tri- Lady is Gouldes moste Faithful Fellowe, Fidelissima eius socia, thus makinge a Crea-
dent: Sesion. 2 ture equal in felowship vnto God: *Al this (saith the M. Hardinge) is nothinge els, but*
Confu. 123. a. a (preaty kind of) Spiritual dalliance: and he is most impious, and impudent, that findeth faulte with it.

Jacob. Nan-
clantius in E-
pist. ad Rom.
Cap. 1.

Jaco. Payna,
Lib. 9.

Polydor. de
Inuentorib.
Lib. 6.

Cap. 13. Hac
pars Pietatis
differt non
multum ab
Impletate.

Confu. fo. 292. b

Reioin. f. 23. b

Confu. 254. a.

Confu. 274. a

Confu. 271.

Confu. 331. a.

Reioin. In
the Preface to
the Reader.

** ii. b.*

** iii. a.*

** iii. b.*

** * y. a.*

And notwithstandinge euen nowe they teache the people to falle downe, and to worship the Image of Christ, not, as they were wunte befoze to saie, geuinge the whole honour vnto Christe, that is signified by the Image, but euen with the selfe same honour, that is drue to Christe him selfe, and that without any scruple, or doubt of Conscience: not withstandinge also somme of his owne companie saie, The selfe same kinde of Denotion, that is nowe donne to Images, differeth but litle from open wickednesse: Yet M. Hardinge saith, We knowe no kinde of Idolatrie entered in the Church of Rome: Neither is there any Idolatrie committed by vs, in Vvorshippinge of Sainctes, in Praisinge to them, or in the Reuerence, we exhibite vnto theire Images. Notwithstandinge sundrie others the best learned, and wisest of his Age, haue plainly confessed diuerse greate errors, and besozmities in theire Church: Notwithstandinge the Pope, his Cardinallcs, and his Bishoppes flugge, and sleepe, and do nothinge: Notwithstandinge Goddes Curr- lashinge Light, as mutche as in them lieth, be hidde vnder the bushel: Notwith- standinge the Watchemene be blinde: the Dogges be dourbe: the Walte be vn- sauerie: the people of God lye in darkenesse, and in the shadowe of deathe, know- neither theire owne pprofesson, nor the vse, and meaninge of theire Sacramentes, nor what they Belieue, nor what they Hope, nor what they Worship, nor what they Prase: (As for his offeringe vp of Fourmes, and Accidentes in a Sacrifice vnto God, so; so fondely he witteth, I trowe, to relieue bothe the Quicke, and the Deade, with other errors of greater importance, wherewith he make more easily asonne the people, I will saie nothinge) Al this notwithstandinge, M. Hardinge doubteth not to saie, If Christe him selfe, or his Apostles were aline againe, the Wordes, that is to saie, the Doctrine of our Beliefe, nowe preached, and receiued in the Catholique Church (of Rome) neither shoulde be altered, nor coulde be bettered.

And therefore, he saith further, Suche wicked changes in Religion, as yet haue made it is lawfull to make, neither with a Council, nor without a Council: Againe, our Do- ctrine hath bene too longe approued, to be put in dauringe in these daies: And therefore ag- aine, for a final conclusion he saith thus, Sette your hartes at reaste: It shal not be so.

These be the special Contentes, and Implemantes of M. Hardinges whole Booke of Confutation: whiche he wissheth vs to receiue, vnder his warrant, as the whole, and onely Catholique Faith of Christe: by so;ce whereof he thinketh him selfe hable to subdew, and binde the whole worlde. If the thinges, that he mainteineth, be so sonde, what mate we then thinke of other thinges, that he de- nseth?

Nowe, what substance of Learninge, out of the Scriptures, Councelles, and Doctours truly alleged, he hath brought vs for the so; better furniture of the same, I leaue it wholly to be weigthed by others. Merily, utterances, and eloquence, and sounde of wordes, and boldnesse of speache he wanteth none. Howe be it, of- tentimes in the fairest rose thou maist finde a canker.

But here, god Christen Reader, Our Booke thus laide in the mides befoze thee, I beseeche thee to consider, with what indifferente Iudgemente M. Hardinge woulde haue thee to passe bitwene vs.

First he saith, What shoulde wee seeke for Truthe? Let vs onely beholde the custome of the Church. Againe, What Argumentes, what Allegations, what sueue of disproofs so euer he bringe againste these thinges, wee ought to make smal account thereof. Againe, I would blesse mee selfe from him, as from the Minister of Satan, and as from the Disciple of Antichriste; and as from Goddes open, and professed enimie. Againe, Al Jewella Re- plie, and other like Heretical Bookes, are vnlawfull to be readde, by order of the Church, without special licence: and are utterly forbidden to be readde, or kept, vnder paine of Ex- communi-

To the Christian Reader.

communication. And againe, *As for the Replie, none other waie wil serue, but to throwe al into the fire.*

Of the other side, touching the VVoorde of God, with mosse terrible wordes he scatheth thee from it, and biddeth thee to consider of other thinges, and to behold, I knowe not, what. *The prostitute the Scriptures (he saith) as Baudes doo their Harlots, to the Vngodly, Vnlearned, Rascal people: Againe, Pransifor, Light Personnes, and the rissaffe of the people: And againe, The Vnlearned people were kepte from the Readinge of the Scriptures by the speciall providence of God, that precious stoanes should not be throwen before Swine. In surche regarde these menne haue, as wel the Holy Scriptures, as also the People of God. The Scriptures they resemble to common Harlots, and the vildest creatures of the sties: The people of God they calle, Vngodly, Rascalles, Rissaffe, and Filthy Swine.*

Thus he suffereth thee not to reade, either my poore Booke, whercof thou shouldest Judge: or the Holy VVoorde of God, whereby thou mightest be able to Judge: but onely biddeth thee to folowe him, and to saie, as he saith: and al is safe. Thus, firste he blindeth thine eyes, and then willethe thee to looke about, and to condemne the thinge, thou neuer knetweste. So saith S. Hierome, *Isti tantum sibi assumunt Authoritatem, vt sine dextra doceant, sine sinistra, id est, sine bonis, sine mala, nolint Discipulos ratione discutere, sed se Praeceptores sequi: These menne take so muche vpon them selues, that, whether they teache with the Righte hande, or with the Left, that is to saie, whether they teache good thinges, or badde, they wil not haue their Hearers, or Learners, to enquire causes, wherefore they shoulde doo this, or that: but onely to folowe them, beinge their Leaders.*

But beware, I beseeche thee, good Christian Reader. A simple eie is sone beguiled. It is very course Waulle, that wil take no colour. It is a desperate cause, that with wordes, & eloquence maye not be smoothed. Be not decciued. Remember, of what maters, and with what Aduersaries thou hast to deale. With feare, and reverence be careful of thine owne Saluation. Take downe al affection, and fauoure of parties. Judge iustly of that shalbe alleged. Unless thou knowe, thou canst not Judge: Unless thou heare bothe sides, thou canst not knowe. If thou like ought, knowe, why thou likest it. A wise man in eche thinge wil searcho the cause. He that cannot iudge Golde by sounde, or in sighte, yet maye trie it by the Poise. If thou canst not weigh these maters, for wante of Learninge, yet, so sensible, and so grosse they are, thou mayest feele them with thy fingers. Thou mayest sone finde a difference bitwene Golde, and Brasse: bitwene Iacob, and Esau: bitwene a face, and a Visarde: bitwene a fulle Body, and an emptie Shadowe.

Saie not, thou arte settled in thy Beleifs, before thou knowe it. Vaine Faith, is no Faith. S. Augustines counsel is good: *Beleue no more of Christe, then Christe hath willed thee to beleue: Nemo de Christo credat, nisi, quod de se credi voluit Christus.* Likewise he saith, *Fides stulta non prodest, sed obest: Fonde Faith is hurtful, and doothe no good.* S. Hilarie saith, *Non minus est, Deum fingere, quam negare: To diuise fantasies of God, is as horrible, as to saie, There is no God.* The Antiente Father Tertullian, speakinge of the Enemies of the Crosse of Christe, that disdained to submitte their willes to the wil of God, saith thus: *Amant ignorare, cum alij gaudeant cognouisse. Malunt nescire, quod iam oderunt. Adeo quod nesciunt, prauidicant id esse, quod, si scirent, odisse non possent: They desire to be ignorant, whereas other folkes desire to knowe. They woulde not knowe the Truthe, because they hate it. (What so euer it be) they imagine it to be the same thinge, that they hate. But if they knewe it in deede, they could not hate it.* Let Reason leade thee: let Authority moue thee: let Truthe enforce thee. The VViseman saith, *Who so seareth the Lorde, wil not be wilful againste his Woordes.* God of his mercie confounde al Errours: geue the Victory to his Truthe: and Gloie to his Holy name. Amen.

From London. 17. Octobris. 1567.

C

A Table.

Reisind, in the Preface to M. Jewel. A. i.

Consu. 213. 4. Reisind, in the Preface to the Reader. M. Hard. in his Answer, Artic. 13. Diui 7.

Hieron. in E-saiam. Lib. 9. Cap. 30.

August. de Tempore, Sermon. 145. Augu in question. Veter. Testament. quest. 43. Hilar. in Psal. 1. Tertull. in Apologetico.

Eccle. 2.

A Table of the principal maters Conteined in this Booke.

A.		Holinesse in Apparel.	383. 384.	Power to Binde or Loose.	186.
A mbas Ioschimus.	490.	Choise of Apparel.	385.	187. 189. 170.	
Abiathar depofed by Sa-	688.	Appeales to the Pope.	124.	In Bindinge and Loofinge, the	
lomon.		Appeales to Rome.	471.	iudgemente of Man goethe	
Abfolution of a man in Phreves-		Arabicke the Heretiques.	644.	before the iudgement of God	
fe, or againft his evil.	173.	Archidamus the Succellour of		187. 188. 189.	
Abfolution of a deade man.	174.	Hercules.	749.	In Bindinge and Loofinge, the	
The Manicheis gluttenous Abfti-		The Arians had moe Councelles,		Prieftes hath power ouer the	
nence.	7.	then the Christians.	515.	Prince.	187.
Abufes confefled.	17.	Balaams Affe habile to fpeake.	3.	The Popes fingulare power in	
Abufes wilfully maintained.	17.	An Affe ietting in a Lions fkin.	3.	Bindinge and Loofinge.	189.
The Abuse of Confeflion.	188.	An Affe vvoorft hipped as God.	4.	The Prieft in Bindinge and Loos	
Abufes in the Maffe.	487.	Athenes not rafed.	414.	finge hath no Power.	190.
Chrift came to fave Accidentes.		Auguftine the blacke Monke.	13.	The Pope Bindeth and Loofeth	
188.		S. Auguftine condemned by the		by a deputie.	193.
Accidentis M. Hardinge calleth		Pope.	120.	Bifhop and Prieft al one.	127.
things material.	310.	S. Auguftine condemned at Lo-		105. 139.	
Adoration of the Sacrament.	312.	uain, as the Minifter of the		One Bifhop, One Bifhoprike.	
Adoration of Images.	740.	Diael.	107.	114. 115. 117.	
Aeneas Syluius.	47.	S. Auguftine condemned by Pig-		A Bifhop, no Bifhop.	190.
Affiance in Ceremonies.	583.	ghius.	525.	Euery Bifhop the Hightefte Bi-	
Agreemente againfte God.	601.	S. Auguftine doubteth of Purga-		fhop.	196.
Al, vfed in fteede of many.	473.	torie.	343.	All Bifhoppes are bounde, and	
Petrus Aloifius.	410.	S. Auguftine denieth Purgato-		haue Authoritie to heale o-	
S. Ambrofe place expounded.		rie.	344. 345.	thers.	127.
189.		Auguftine the Italian Monke.	519.	The Pope aboue other Bifhoppes	
Anabaptiftes.	31.	Aultars.	373. 374.	onely by righte.	117.
Anacletus for Calixtus.	170. 171.	Aurea legenda.	161.	All Bifhoppes equal with the	
Angelles, Archangelles, Powers,		Auriculate Confeflion, feldome,		Pope.	115. 116. 117. 118.
Dominions.	105.	or neuer readde in the An-		The Pope maie make a Bifhop	
The Pope condemneth the An-		n ciente Fathers.	181. 187. 188.	by his bare vwoorde.	147.
gelles of God.	372.	B.		No Bifhop maie be without the	
Anfelmus.	343.	Rome vnder the name of Babys		Popes confente.	148.
Antichrift.	149. 431.	lon.	746. 747.	The Popes Confirmation of Bi-	
Antichriftes fhall fite in the		Roger Bacon.	426.	fhoppes.	143. 149. 150.
Church of Chrift.	113.	The Fourme of Baptifme alte-		All Bifhoppes are in the Pope, and	
Antichriftes power.	439.	red.	144.	receiue of his fulneffe.	559.
Antichriftes, Latious.	465.	Baptifme, the Faith of the Pa-		Bifhoppes fworne to the Pope.	
Antichrift in the See of Rome.		rentes, &c.	157. 158.	655.	
462. 490.		In Baptifme wee are made Parta-		The Calendare of Bifhoppes	
Antichrift the Vniuerfal Bifhop.		kers of Chriftes Diuine Sub-		names.	412. 413.
465.		ftance.	102.	Councelles of Bifhoppes.	704.
Antichrift the Abomination of		Baptifme the power of Refurre-		Certaine Bifhoppes Entituled	
defolation, Antichrift is the		ction.	125.	with fpeciall Names of Ho-	
Deuil.	479.	By the Sacrament of Baptifme.	67.	noure.	468.
Antichrift in the Church of		S. Bafile fowly corrupted.	115.	Bifhoppes conuented before the	
God.	496.	Becket.	685.	Prince.	624. 665. 666. 667. 668.
The Pope Antichrift.	570.	Thomas Becketh betraileth his		The Bifhoppes at the Council of	
Antiquitie.	512. 519. 523.	Princes right to the Pope.	350.	Tridente.	618.
Antiquitie pretended.	523.	Thomas Becket a Defender of		Onely fourtie Bifhoppes in the	
The Apologie not fubfcribed.	30.	manifeft wickedneffe.	350.	Council of Tridente.	736.
Apoftates.	152.	Beda corrupted.	520.	The Emperoure in Summoninge	
The Apoftles without Authori-		To Beloue in God.	86.	of Councelles directed by the	
tie.	113.	Pluralitie of Benefices.	554.	Bifhoppes.	697.
The Apoftles equal vnto Peter.		Bernardes Authoritie.	552.	Councelles of quarrellinge Bi-	
113. 114.		Eigamine.	211.	fhoppes.	738.
The Apoftles and Martyrs of		In Bindinge and Loofinge, the		Conftantine fitteth beneath the	
Chrift in Purgatorie.	340.	Prieft maie be a Iudge, al-		Bifhoppes.	710.
Apparel Ecclefiaftical.	344.	thought he neuer heare Pri-		Kings annointed by Bifhoppes.	
Monkes Apparel.	651. 653.	uate Confeflions.	185. 186. 188.	726.	

The Table.

Bishoppes bounde to preach. 154.	Simon Zelotes in Britannie. 13.	temple of Princes. 613. 614.
Good Bishoppes reckoned as 20 Bishoppes. 718.	Brunichildis the Queene, 666. C.	Catholique Tragedies. 82.
Bishoppes Married. 199. 200. 200. 201. 214.	Caiaphas had the Sprite of God. 651.	Ceremonies maie be abolished, &c. hoire. 347.
Bishoppes deceiuers, Pilates, Diuelles, and the Darkenesse of the worlde. 491. 493. 494.	The Calendars of Bishoppes Names. 411. 413.	Affiance in Ceremonies. 382.
The pride of Bishoppes. 444. 617.	Calistus for Anacletus. 129. 170.	Ceremonies borrowed of the Heathens. 14.
Blasde Prophecies, the Christians shal haue an ende. 25. 26.	Camotensis. 646. 647.	Ceremonies condemned by S. Augustine. 346.
The Bloude of the Grape, of the Soule, of the Earthe. 308.	Canonistes & Schoole Doctours. 377.	Heathen Ceremonies brought into the Church of God. 334.
Eatinge of Bloude. 510.	Cardinalles like vnto Kinges. 579.	Ceremonies more regarded, then the Commandementes of God. 346.
The Sacramente is one thinge, and Christes Body is an other thinge. 147. 148.	Cardinalles proued by the Scriptures. 514. 515.	Certaintie of Saluation. 78.
The wicked Eate not the Body of Christe. 251. 284. 315.	Cardinal Poole. 18.	The Councel of Chalcedon reproued by Leo. 58.
This is my Body, expounded. 508. 509.	Cardinalles and Bishoppes, not thing els but Laie Prioces. 673.	The Pope is Goddes Chancelaire. 561.
Christes Body is Eaten by Faith. 164. 267.	Six Cardinalles drowned by Pope Urbanus. 641.	The Readinge of Chapters in the Church. 680. 681.
Christes Body is Eaten by Faith onely. 177. 178. 179. 184. 309. 313. 314. 316. 317.	Pope Pius complaineth of his Cardinalles. 44. 45.	Chastitie is the gifte of God. 105.
Christes Body entreth not into our Bodies. 165.	Carriage about of the Sacrament. 331. 333.	Vchaste Chastitie. 511.
The Body of Christe Eaten by 1000 fidelles. 609. 610.	Io. Casa. 419. 420.	Antichriste a professour of Chastitie. 511.
Iudas Earth the Body of Christ. 609. 610.	Sinon Casté, tamen Caute. 400.	Heretiques make boaste of their Chastitie. 511.
Guilty of the Body and Bloude, &c. 609. 610.	Catharinus against Sotus. 661.	The Vow of Chastitie annexed to Priesthoode. 543.
Christes Body in the Communion vessels. 161.	The Catholique Church in twoo persones. 56. 97.	The Chaste of the Popes bo-some. 512.
Christes Body in one place. 177. 317. 319.	Catholiques, false Catholiques. 17.	Chilperichus depofed. 438. 440.
VVee Eate Christes Body sittinge in Heauen aboue. 312. 319.	The Catholiques haue forsaken the Auncient Traditions of the Church. 14.	Christes Akenfion. 87.
The Breade consumed into nothinge. 191. 193.	The Catholiques sowly abuse the Scriptures. 60.	Christes Body laide vpon the Communion Table, in what sense. 374.
The Breade changed into a Diuine Substance. 196. 197.	Catholiques charged with the name of Heretiques. 658.	Christes Body Crushed with teethe. 388.
The Breade is changed in the Sacramente, and yet remaineth. 190. 304. 306. 307.	M. Hardinges Catholique Faith is doubtful, and changeable. 386. 387.	The Eatinge of Christes Body, is Spiritual. 314. 315. 316. 314.
Pope Iulius of dippinge the Breade. 551.	Errours emongest the Catholique Fathers. 391.	Christes Body muste be in one place. 88. 39. 91.
Drinkinge of Breade. 570.	The Catholiques will suffer no Reformation. 613. 655. 656.	Christes Body without Fourme or proportion. 88.
The nature of Breade, and VVine remaineth stil. 193. 301.	Errours and abuses confessed by Catholiques. 655.	Christes Body Eaten by Faith onely. 177. 178. 184. 303. 313. 314. 316.
The Breade and VVine remaine stil. 189. And the place of S. Ambrose touchinge the same, expounded. 189. 190.	The Catholiques refuse to be tried by the Scriptures. 495.	Vchemente Extraordinarie speeches, touchinge the Eatinge of Christes Body. 124. 185. 186. 188. 191. 316.
Britannie had retained the Faith longe before the comminge of Augustine. 11. 13.	The Catholiques wil yelde to nothing. 614.	Christes Body Eaten by Moufe, or Dogge. 388.
Eleutherius the Apostle of Britannie. 12.	The Catholiques haue yekled to them, whom they cal Heretiques. 45.	The Sacramente is one thinge, and Christes Body an other thinge. 147. 165.
Paule in Britannie. 11. 13.	The Catholiques triumphe of their Learning. 397.	Christes Body in one place. 177. 318.
	The Learninge of the Catholiques and Protestantes. 598.	Christes Body presente. 315.
	The Catholiques condemne before they knowe. 44. 45.	Christes Body entreth not into our Bodies. 165.
	Corruption of the Church confessed by the Catholiques. 655.	
	The Catholiques writte in con-	

The Table.

The wicked receive not Christes Body. 314. 315. 312.	The Pope is the whole Church. 316.	The Scriptures folowre the Church. 705. 706. 707.
The truth of Christes Body the Remission of Sinnes. 396.	The whole Church dependeth of the Pope. 39.	The Decree of the Church is the expresse woorde of God. 241.
The applieinge of the dearbe of Christe for Sinne. 336.	The Church standeth in the Vnitye of the Pope. 496.	Only the Canonical Scriptures to be readde in the Church. 548. 549.
The Dearbe of Christe available onely for Sinne committed before Baptisme. 337.	The Church without the Pope is no Church. 497. 498.	Dreames and Fables, readde openly in Churches. 549.
Marie is Christes selowe. 737.	The Church governed by al Bishops hoppe together. 117.	The Heade of the Church. 677.
Christes Godheade is not Eaten. 231. 233. 234.	The Pope not Heade of the Church. 558. 711.	The Heade of Churches. 721.
Christe as presente in Baptisme, as in the Sacramente of his Body. 314.	The Pope ruler over parte of the Church, not over the whole. 459.	The paterne of the Olde Church for resourmunge of Errours. 730. 731.
Christe dranke his owne Bloude, and norre drinketh the same beinge in Heauen. 306.	The Church dependinge of one man, muste needes falle. 481. 483. 484.	Images Painted in Church-walles. 531.
To see, and touche Christe in the Sacramente. 314. 315.	A Church standinge onely of three Laie menne. 113.	The Popes ambitious Chaimes. 557.
To receive, or see, or holde, or to beare Christe. 315. 319.	The Church of God in a fewe. 598.	The Clerergie exempted from the Iurisdiction of the Prince. 671. 677.
The receiuinge of Christe. 519.	The Church is the Popes Vines yarde. 631.	The loosenesse of the Clerergie of Englands. 350.
Christe was a Kinge. 624.	A Church Coniectural. 418.	Cobylon. 751.
Christes promesses made to the Church. 427.	The Church Corrupted. 369. 435. 494.	Celestinus Pope, an Arian Heretic. 101.
Christe is the onely Sacrifice for Sinne. 354.	The Church of God maie be dusked with Erroure. 470. 471.	Comforte in affliction. 11.
The Eatinge of Christes Fleashe, the cause of Resurrection. 365.	The Church increased in wealth abated in vertue. 475.	VVilfull breakinge of Goddes Commandementes. 370.
Christe is not the onely Heade of the Church. 170.	Antichriste in the Church of God. 479. 480.	The Communion vnder bothe Kindes. 271. 273. 274.
The Christians persecuted. 376.	The Church of God in vwoorde case, then the Synagoge of the Iewes. 346.	Communion vnder One Kinde. 553. 554.
The Authoritie of the Church. 1.	The Church reformed by little and little. 40. 41. 41.	The Communion vnder One Kinde, and the causes thereof. 359. 370.
The Pope the Bridegrome of the Church. 96.	Churches reformed by prouincial Councelles. 736.	A Concubine. 338.
The Church cannot erre. 357. 358. 359. 690.	God can resourme his Church without Councelles. 743.	Priestes Concubines. 400. 403. 404.
Corruption of the Church confessed by Catholiques. 655.	The Church reformed by the Scriptures. 743.	A Prieste keeping a Concubine, ought not to be eschewed in his Service. 406.
In what cases we are not bounde to beleue the Church. 22.	The Church broughte into a fewe. 475. 476.	Priestes keeping Concubines not refused in their Ministerie. 551. 552.
The Church endureth for euer. 15.	The Church onely in our Lady. 476.	Cōcupiscence is sinne. 157. 259. 260.
The Church folowreth not the Scriptures. 61.	The Church a Stranger in this worlde. 9.	Confession, and three Kindes thereof. 153.
The Readinge of Chapters in the Church. 580. 581.	The Church Inuisible. 417.	Confession Sacramental. 158.
The Church knowen by Goddes VVoordes. 3.	Markes wherby to knowe the Church. 494. 495.	No Priuate Confession in Chrysostomes Church. 157.
The Scriptures of God, take Authoritie of the Church. 459. 476. 504. 515. 517.	The Vnitye of the Church standeth in Christe. 498.	Priuate Confession not necessarie. 157. 176. 187. 188.
The Church about the woorde of God. 526. 527.	A true Church not shewed in the Scriptures. 496.	Priuate Confession not Commanded by the Scriptures. 188. 189.
The name of the Church, abused against the Church. 1.	The true Church is knowen onely by the Scriptures. 496. 497. 499.	Priuate Confession set at libertie. 177. 186.
The whole Vniuersal Church conueighed into the Church of Rome. 1.	The Church about the Scriptures. 496.	Open or publique Confession. 188. 174. 175. 181. 185.
The Church better governed by the Pope then by Christe. 579.		Confession made by a Bille of hande. 172.
		Confession to God alone. 174.
		The Prieste hearinge Confession. 11.

The Table.

Is a doubtful iudge. 174. 184.	Faith.	336.	in the Church?	349
The abuse of Confession. 132.	The applyinge of the Deathe of	Christe for sinne. 336.	E.	
Confirmation of Councils. 631.	The Deathe of Christe available,	openly for sinne committed	Kinges, and Princes dealing in	Ecclesiastical causes. 662. 663.
In confirminge of Councils the	Pope's authoritie. 695. 698.	before Baptisme. 337.	664. 665. 667. 668. 676. 678. 711.	
Coniurers. 533.	Deceiuers of the people velle	mently reprov'd. 2.	713. 714. 720. 721. 722. 723.	
Consecration whether it standeth.	149. 150.	The Popes Decrees of what Au-	The Emperours disputeth with	Ecclesiastical Lawes. 720. 721.
Intention to Consecrate. 251.	Consecratio of a Bishop, by three	other Bishoppes. 148. 152.	The Emperour maketh Ecclesia-	stical Lawes againste the Popes
Before Consecration the Sacra-	mente a Figure. 311.	Constantines Donation. 437.	celles. 721.	
Constantine in the Council of	Nice. 710.	Constantine sitteth beneath the	Bishoppes. 710.	
Cornelius the Bishop of Biton-	to. 248.	The Sacramente is a Creature.	274. 275.	
No Creature maie iudge the	Pope. 563.	The Creede, or Articles of the	Christian Faith. 83. 84.	
The Creede in diuers and sund	erie fourmes. 85.	Columus. 705.	The Authoritie of Councilles.	11. 16.
A Councel General. 740.	The allowinge of Councilles. 56.	631.	Councilles allowed whether the	Pope wil, or no. 59.
Voice definitiue in Councilles.	47. 48.	The Pope aboute general Coun-	celles. 47. 48.	
The Councel hangeth of the	Pope. 555.	The Popes Authoritie to calle	Councilles. 557. 558.	
The Pope aboute the Councel.	631. 633.	Theodosius in the Council. 712.	Courtregians of Rome. 408.	
Cyprian had power ouer al the	world. 150.	Cyprian condemned for a Schis-	ter by M. Hardinge. 186.	
S. Cyprian reprov'd the Pope.	733.	S. Cyprian manifestly corrupted	by M. Hardinge. 376.	
Damasus of Priestes soanes. 200.	Fraocif. Dandalus. 448.	David George. 52.	The Deathe of Christe applied to	us not by Sacramentes, but by
Faith.	336.	The applyinge of the Deathe of	Christe for sinne. 336.	
The Deathe of Christe available,	openly for sinne committed	before Baptisme. 337.	Deceiuers of the people velle	mently reprov'd. 2.
The Popes Decrees of what Au-	thoritie. 58.	The Decree of the Church is the	expresse woorde of God. 241.	
Decretal Epistles forged. 567.	The Definition of Heresie. 49.	Departinge from the Pope. 591.	593. 594. 595. 598. 599. 600. 601.	
Departinge from the Church of	Rome. 460. 461. 433. 723.	The Pope bindeth and looseth	by a Deputie. 115.	
Vaine Devotions. 332. 333.	Io. Diazus. 419. 421.	The vvhole worlde is the Popes	Diocese. 558.	
Dioscorus and Iuuenalis condem-	ned. 714.	Pope Iulius of Dipping the bread	351.	
Disputation. 45.	Dissension in Religion. 377. 378.	The Ancient Fathers Dissenting	in Faith. 378.	
Dissensions in the Church of	Rome. 381. 389.	Heresie to Dissent from Thomas	of Aquine. 380.	
Dissention offendeth the Hartes	of the weakc, & chalet them	from the Gospel. 379.	The Diuel imagineth Dissensions	where none be. 381.
Antichrist is the Diuel. 478. 479.	Diuersitie of tongues in Vnitie	of Faith. 545.	The Diuidinge of the Sacrament	into three pieces, and the Mys-
teries therof. 551.	The Authoritie of the Doctours.	11. 62. 64. 65.	The Authoritie of the Holy Do-	ctours. 55. 59. 72.
Schoole Doctours Despised. 23.	Doctours alleged by Heretiques	63.	The Doctours founded vpon the	Scriptures. 72. 73.
Catholique Doctrine. 95. 97.	The Doctrine of Rome not Ca-	tholique. 97.	The Donatistes. 34.	
Dreamer, and Fables read openly				
The Emperours make Lawes tou-	ching Religion. 720. 721.	The Patriarkal Sees allowed by	the Emperour. 336.	
The Pope calleth the Emperours	his Lorde and Maister. 416.	The Emperoure commaundeth	the Pope. 721.	
The Pope subiecte to the Empe-	roure. 725. 564.	The Pope conuented before the	Emperour. 671.	
The Pope the Emperours Priest.	658.	The Emperoure hath the power to	Depose Popes. 439. 440.	
The Emperoure depriveth the	Pope. 723. 724.	Councilles summoned by the	Emperoure, not by the Pope	61. 691. 694. 697. 700. 701.
The Emperoure summoned the	Pope to come to the Council.	694. 698.	The Emperour dispenseth with	Ecclesiastical Lawes. 721.
The Emperoure in summoninge	of Councilles directed by the	Bishoppes. 697.	By the Emperours VVarrant the	Pope summoneth the Councils.
The Pope hath nothinge but	from the Emperour. 564.			

The Table.

The Emperour giveth the Pope his Authoritie.	711.	Faith without woordes.	359. 360.	Fornication allowed, and Marriage forbidden.	7.
The Emperours dewties towards the Pope.	446.	True Faith in Infidels.	318.	A Priest living in Fornication, is no Priest.	11.
The Emperour hath al his power of the Pope.	435.	Faith enkedled by surdisme.	337.	Fornication better then Marriage.	400. 401.
The Emperours inferiour to the Priest, and howe.	117.	The Pastore of the Faith.	730.	Fornication defended.	400. 401.
The Pope leuentie and seven times greater then the Emperour.	366.	The Romaine Faith heard of throughout the whole world.	418.	Simple Fornication no Sine.	357. 401.
The Pope striketh of the Emperours Crowne with his foot.	451.	The Church of Rome is not the onely Standarde of the Faith.	698.	A Priest maie not be depoled for Fornication.	403. 404.
The Pope treadeth on the Emperours necke.	454.	Judas had but a meane Faith.	315.	Fourme, and Substance.	30. 31.
The Emperour placed at the Popes seate.	661.	The Faith of Parentes, &c. in Baptisme.	158.	The Councel of Frankford.	740.
The Emperours the lowest Seruante of the Church.	573.	Christs Body is Eaten by Faith.	164. 167.	Free will.	35.
The Emperour the Popes man.	447. 565.	Christs Body is Eaten by Faith onely.	177. 178. 179. 184. 308. 313. 314. 315.	The Frenche King Phillip depoled by the Pope.	441.
The Emperour summoneth Councells, by the varrant of the Pope.	694.	Every Faithful Christian is a Priest, in what sense.	154.	VVilful Forwardnesse.	405.
The Pope alloweth the Emperours Lawes.	713.	Al the Faithful of God be partes, and members of the Popes Body.	631.	The Fruite of the Vine.	306. 307.
Henric the Emperour depoled by his Sonne.	451.	Falscheade vnder the name of Truthe.	9.	Difference betwene Fruite and Generation.	308.
Henric the Emperour poisoned in the Sacramente.	443.	Truthe deuoureth vp Falscheade.	609.	The Pope hath the Fullnesse of power.	311.
The Emperour dissolved.	10.	Superstitious Faste.	311. 313.	Al Bishoppes are in the Pope, and recieue of his Fullnesse.	319.
The King of Englande poisoned.	443.	Monkes Fastinge.	631. 633.	Gerson.	435.
The Popes pride, and disleinesful tyrannie towards the Kinges of Englande.	715.	The Ancient Fathers dissentinge in Faith.	378.	The Church knowen by Goldenes VVoorde.	3.
The losensse of the Clergie of Englande.	350.	Feede my Sheepe.	11. 107.	God ought to obeie the Diuel.	11.
Enim, least out.	146.	Feedinge is gouerninge.	108.	The Godheade of Christe is not Eaten.	181. 183. 184.
Epiphanius refused by M. Hardinge.	535.	Feede my Sheepe, spoken onely to Peter.	115.	Nazareth the beginnigne of the Gospel.	37.
Decretal Epistles forged.	507.	The Pope Feedeth not.	108.	Princes Courtes receiue the Gospel.	37.
The Keie of Erroure.	191.	Feined Miracles.	39. 40.	The Gospel sprange first from simple places.	417.
An Erroure in a Storie.	449.	Figure, or Token.	16.	The Gospel cause of true obedience.	419. 430.
Errours amongst the Catholique Fathers.	391.	A Figure of Christs Body.	76.	The Gospel forbiddeth not a Christian man to be a King.	430. 431.
Eupychius.	541.	A Figure is not the Truthe.	145.	The Professours of the Gospel charged with treason.	414.
Eupychius beinge a Bishop married a wife.	114. 115.	The Sacramente is a Figure, &c. and howe.	311.	M. Hardinges seloues late professours of the Gospel.	713.
Excommunication vniuile.	45.	The Sacramente a Figure before Consecration.	311.		754.
The Popes Excommunication.	607.	A Figure of Christs deathe, not of his Body.	311.	The Gospel selandered.	305.
The Popes intolerable Exactions, and thirst of Manie.	357.	Strange Figures diuised by M. Hardinge.	311.	The Gospel qualified.	314.
F.		Double Fire, and double Purgatorie.	343.	Gospel grosse and sensual.	34.
Christian Faith called Heresie.	54.	Flamines, Archiflamines, Protoflamines.	136.	Grace is not tied to the Sacramente.	158.
Faith without Faith.	86.	The Popes Flatterers.	80. 110. 138. 160. 558. 571. 572. 647. 654.	Sacramentes containe Grace, in what sense.	245.
Faith onely.	77. 361.	Flatteringe of Princes.	614.	Popes ignorant of their Grammare.	187.
Paul, and S. James agreed upon the same.	78.	Resurrection of the Fleashe.	312.	Gratian, Crasian, neuer allowed by Publique Authoritie.	30.
Foolishe Faith vnprofitable.	331.	Fastinge from Fleashe.	17.		
Foolishe Faith hurteth.	571.	Manie Fonde thinges had in Reserence, for that they haue beneged in the Church of Rome.	584. 585. 586.		

The Table.

The Cherche of Rome receiued the Faith out of Gracia. 601.	M. Hardinge saith it is presum- puous to solorve the example of Chrifte. 600.	Heretiques allege the Scriptures 75. 498.
The Grecians condemne the Churche of Rome. 39.	M. Hardinge saith the Pope is a King. 682. 784.	Heretiques holde by Tradition. 240.
The Grecians abhorre the Bi- shop of Rome. 488.	M. Hardinge cannot telle who firste saide Priuate Masse. 136.	Heretiques make their boast of Chastitie. 571.
The Grecians neuer beleened Purgatorie. 340.	M. Hardinge reasoneth from Pope to Pope. 155.	Heretiques boasting them feluca to knowe more then Paule, or Chrifte. 504.
The Grecians neuer receiued Purgatorie. 604.	M. Hardinge condemneth S. Cy- prius for a shifter. 166.	Heretiques claimed the name of the Church. 464. 466.
The Grecians be Heretiques. 488.	M. Hardinges felowes condemne S. Augustine, as a Minister of the Diuel. 107.	Heretiques vnder the name of Catholiques. 464. 466.
Priuate Masse in Gracia. 605.	M. Hardinges felowes condemne Sozomenus, and Socrates to ou- ching the Storie of Paphou- tius. 211.	popes Heretiques. 151. 161.
The Greeke Churche lesse cor- rupted, then the Latine. 602.	M. Hardinges Catholique Faith is doubtful, and changeable. 387.	489.
Guiltie of the Body, and Bloude &c. 610. 611.	M. Hardinges vweake Arguments 630.	An Heretique maie be a Pope, but a Pope maie not be an Heretique. 642.
H	M. Harding recanteth his error touchinge S. Hierome. 484.	Pope Honorius an Heretique. 162.
M. Hardinge allegeth the eight Booke of Socrates, where as Socrates neuer wrote but fe- urns. 708.	One Heade. 376. 377.	Heretiques refusinge the Scri- ptures. 504. 510.
M. Hardinge abuseth the Scri- pture without sense. 575.	Peter the Heade of the Apostles. 114.	All ancient Heretiques condem- ned by the Protestantes. 373.
M. Harding corrupteth the cou- cel of Africa, puttinge Po- tentibus, in steede of Peten- tibus. 119.	The Heade of the Church, of the People, of Nations. 98.	374.
M. Hardinge corrupteth S. Bi- ble. 115.	The Heade of the Prophetes, the Heade of Nations. 114.	Heretiques condemned to death 513.
M. Hard. corrupteth the Coun- cel of Nice. 110.	The Heade of the Church. 677.	Archidamus the Successour of Hercules. 749.
M. Hard. corrupteth the woordes of Chrifte, Hoc facile, make this. 150. 151.	The Heade of Churches. 721.	Herode burne the Genealogica. 511.
M. Har. corrupteth the woordes of S. Paule. 147. 148.	The Pope not Heade of the Church. 558. 721.	S. Hierome corrupted by M. Hardinge. 515.
M. Hardinge corrupteth S. Hie- rome. 555.	Peters charge ouer the Heathens 146. 147.	S. Hilarie married. 198.
M. Hardinges vntreue reportes of Hofius. 695.	Haathen Ceremonies broughe into the Churche of God. 334.	Hildebrande Pope. 453.
M. Hardinge refuseth Epipha- nius. 531.	Henrie the Emperour depofed by his Sonne. 451. 452.	Pope Hildebrande an Heretique. 162.
M. Har. corrupteth the woordes of Cyprian. 151. 377.	Henrie the Emperour poisoned in the Sacrament. 443.	Pope Hildebrand caused the Em- perour, to vvaite vpon him bare legged. 162.
M. Hardinge abuseth the Scri- ptures. Chrifte came to saue Accidentis. 158. 159.	The definition of Heresie. 51.	Holinesse, and vertue by Suc- cession. 141. 143.
M. Hardinge refuseth S. Hie- romes witnesse. 640.	Heresie to denie the Supremacie of the Church of Rome. 2.	Holinesse in Apparel. 324. 325.
M. Hardinge disagreeinge with him selfe. 555.	Christian Faith called Heresie. 54.	The Pope Holy by his office. 143.
M. Hardinge contrarie to him selfe. 694.	Light maters accompted Heresie 51.	The Holy Ghoste is God. 95.
M. Hardinge presumeth before he knowe. 133.	Heresie to dissent from Thomas of Aquine. 380.	Holy orders, and vses, and abuses thereof. 100. 101.
M. Harding mistaketh Iofua for Oree. 101. 106.	Heresies growe vp together with the Gospel. 375.	Holy water to purge Sinne. 15.
M. Hardinge defendeth this saie- inge, Si non Casté, tamen Cauré, and calleth it good & wholefome counfel. 400. 401.	An olde Heresie standinge in the honouringe of our Lady. 353.	Pope Honorius depofed them for Heretiques, whose errors he fauoured with his harte. 162.
	Heresie vsed in good parte. 53.	Hofius of Corduba. 696.
	VVhat thinge maketh Heresie. 50. 51.	Hofius mistaken, and answared, 102. 103.
	Heresie maie not be dissembled, so Heretiques allege the Doctours. 63.	vntreue reportes of Hofius by M. Hardinge. 696.
		Hulderichus Augustanus. 117.
		Huffe. 10.
		I.
		James the ruler of all Churches throughout the worlde. 98.
		C iiii
		S. James

The Table.

S. James Epistle.	434. 435.	171. 172.	wered by the Apostles.	139.	
S. James about Peter.	431.	Multiplication of Keies.	178.	Lente, and Lenten meates.	312.
Idolatrie.	312.	179. 181.		Pope Leo the firste, and his Au-	
Idolatrie in the church of Rome.	656.	Knowledge is not the Keie.	124.	thoritic.	199. 210. 212. 213. 215.
Idolatrie the better it is tried,		The Keie of power without		Pope Leo an Arian Heretique.	161.
the better it is condemned.	753.	knowledge.	178.	Leo reproveth the Council of	
Testing at the Sprite of God.	429.	The Keie of errour.	191.	Chalcedon.	12.
930.		The Popes Keies doe not open,		Letters communicatorie be-	
Ignorance excuseth.	55.	but shutte.	177.	weene Bisshoppes.	131.
Ignorance highly pleaseth God,		The Kinges Authoritie in cases		Libanius saith, the Scriptures are	
and is sufficiente to Salvation.	469.	of Religion.	14.	but toles in comparison of	
		Kinges and Princes Authoritie		Julianus.	377.
Ignorance is the greatest main-		in General Councelles.	662.	Liberius Pope an Arian Hero-	
tenance of the Pope.	727.	Kinges and Princes dealing in		tique.	137. 440.
Images painted in Churche		Ecclesiastical causes.	662. 663.	Libertines.	171.
walles.	530. 532.	664. 665. 667. 668. 676. 690. 698.		A Lier pretendeth most truth.	
The Adoration of Images.	40.	711. 712. 714. 720. 721. 722. 723.		The Life of the Professours of	
Easte and VVests India.	40.	The Kinge hath charge over		the Gospel.	394.
The Body of Christe Eaten by		meanes Soules.	725.	The Life of the clergy of Rome	
Infidelles.	610.	The Kinge was commanded to		387.	
By the Inspiration of Peter.	121.	write out a Copie of the Law.		The readinge of the scriptures	
Intention to Consecrate.	351.	681. 682.		breedeth good Life.	376.
Ioachim Abbas.	490.	The Kinge is the Supream Head		Lightes in the Church.	14.
Iohane the woman Pope.	412.	of the Churche.	677.	The Pope is that Lighte that is	
Iohn Kinge of Englande poison-		Christ was a Kinge.	684. 685.	come into the worlde.	737.
ned.	443.	Kinges anointed by Bisshoppes.		Liturgia.	140.
The sixthe Chapter of S. Iohn		726.		The Cittie of London burne.	
perteineth nothinge directly		Kinges take al their Authoritie		350.	
to the Sacramente.	510.	of the Pope.	565.	The Loosenesse of Priestes single	
Pope Iohns Heresie.	440. 441. 442.	The Pope is a Kinge.	683. 684.	Life.	406. 407.
Pope Iohn elected by him selfe.	641.	685.		The Loosenesse of the Cittie of	
		The Kinge was a Priest.	683.	Rome.	420. 421.
Pope Iohns treasure.	642.	Kinges are Kinges by the Pope.		The Loosenesse of the Clergie	
Irenaeus reproveth the Pope.	733.	562.		of Englande.	350.
Israel & Iuda shal make to them		The Kinge inferiour to a Priest,		Luther.	134.
selues one Heade.	107.	in what sense.	433.	The Church was before Luther.	
Iudas had but a meane Faith.	315.	The Kinge inferiour to a Priest.		95.	
Iudas eateth the Body of Christ.	610.	681.		Lutherans and Zuinglians.	
		The Popes pride and disdainful		352.	
The Pope Iudge of him selfe.	631.	tyrannie towards the Kinges		Reconciliatio betwene the Lu-	
The Pope Iudge in his owne		of Englande.	756.	therans and the Zuinglians.	
cause.	662.	Kissinge the Popes feete.	444.	391.	
Pope Iulius of dippinge the		L.		M	
Breade.	551.	A Church standinge onely of		The Booke of the Machabees	
Iustification.	357. 358. 559. 361.	three Laie men.	153.	not Canonical.	233. 415. 416.
Iustinus Martyr converted.	376.	The Church onely in our Lady.		Madde menne, and Madnesse.	83.
Iuuenalis and Dioctorus condem-		475.			
ned.	714.	The Lambe laide vpon the table.		An euil Man succedeth a good	
K.		323. 324.		Man.	369.
The Keies of the Church, and		The Mystical Latine tongue.		The Manicheis forbade Mar-	
vse of them.	370. 371.	547.		riage, and allowed Fornica-	
The Keies are the vwordes of		The Latine Church more cor-		tion.	74.
God.	172. 173. 178. 179. 184.	rupted, then the Grecke.	602.	The Manicheis ministred the	
A Laie man maie haue the Keis,		No man habile to reforme the		Sacramente vnder one Kinde	
and maie binde and loose.	171. 172.	Lawe of God.	355.	8.	
The Popes Keies equal to others.	192. 193. 194.	Al Lawe is in the Popes breaste.		The Manicheis saide, the Scri-	
Al Priestes haue not the Keies.		370. 371.		ptures were falsified, and full	
		The Learning of the catholiques		of errours.	8.
		and the Protestantes.	598.	Manners, and liues compared	
		The Popes Legates placed in		together.	57.
		Councelles.	696.	Many.	102.
		Lente, and Lenten faste not deli-		Pope	

The Table.

Pope Marcellianus condemned.	ful.	354.	P.			
157.	Mercie and Merite.	353.359.	Palladium.	465.		
Marie the Virgine, our Lady and Goddesse.	360.357.		Paphoutius.	211.		
655.	Metropolitanes.	117.118.	Pardounes.	571.576.		
Marie is Christes felowe.	737.	Miracles, or tokens of true doctrine.	Pardounes grewe out of Purgatorie.	577.		
Marie the Virgine sette in place of Christe.	313.	719.	Parentes.	199.		
The Virgine Marie not saued by Christe.	313.	Feined Miracles.	40.	The Parlements.	619.	
Marie the Virgine vickedly called, our Lady and Goddesse, and Goddes felowe.	312.	Miscetur quodammodo.	125.	Parlements without Archbishops and Bishops.	614.	
Marie the Virgine more blessed for beleeuing in Christe, then for bearinge of Christ.	313.	129.	Monasteries suppressed.	624.337.	Parlements contrarie to the Popes decrees.	620.
Fornication better then Marriage.	406.407.	The foundation of Monkes.	71.	Actes of Parlements concerning Religion.	620.621.	
Marriage hindreth prayer.	120.117.	Christe and the Apostles were Monkes.	71.	The foure Patriarkes.	22.	
Marriage of Priestes forbidden.	101.	Monkes bounde to bodily labour.	335.336.337.	The Popes rule ouer the other Patriarkes.	563.569.	
Marriage after a Vowe woorse then Aduoutrie, in vyhat sense.	103.	Monkes Goddes seruantes.	336.	The Popes imaginarie Patriarkes.	569.	
Seconde Marriage condemned.	193.	Monkes ministringe the Sacramentes.	536.	Patriarkes of the Popes owne makinge.	741.	
Marriage is not sinne, but a burthen.	197.198.	Monkes Hypocrisie.	633.	S. Paule accused of sedition and tumulte.	5.	
Marriage hindreth not.	118.	Moses was a Prieste.	681.683.	S. Paule if he were alieue woulde be counted an Heretique.	488.	
179.		To reioice in multitude & number of persones.	42.	Paule the ruler of al the worlde.	101.113.	
The forbiddinge of Marriage, the cause of much ill.	113.114.	Multitude maketh smal prouise of truthe.	31.	Paule had the charge of al the worlde.	98.139.	
The fruites of the forbiddinge of Marriage.	117.118.	Multitude or scarcitie of beleeuers.	192.	Paule vvente vp to Hierusalem to visite Peter.	631.	
Priestes Marriage not forbidden by God.	29.			S. Paule had a wife.	100.	
Marriage within Holy Orders.	213.214.215.216.541.544.	N.		Pauperes a Lugduno.	486.	
Marriage after a Vowe.	104.205.206.207.210.	Nature, for qualirie.	191.191.	The name of Peace.	763.	
The Apostles doctrine touchinge the Marriage of Priestes.	215.	Natural reason howe farre it is hable to knowe God.	40.41.	Sundrie sortes of Perfection.	355.	
Marriage within Holy Orders e-mongest the Priests of Grecia.	543.544.	Nazareth the beginninge of the Gospel.	37.	Persequution.	27.	
Married Bishoppes.	100.116.198.199.	Nazianzenes Father a Married Bishop.	199.	To Persequute and to kille for loue.	13.	
Married Popes.	101.101.	Nazianzene not hindred by his VVife.	118.119.	The crueltie of Persequution.	33.	
Martyrs.	31.	Negariues.	604.	Persequution encreaseth the Church of God.	13.24.	
One Masse in one daie.	553.	Nestorius and his Heresie.	310.	Persequution for loue.	13.	
Private Masse in Grecia.	601.603.	The seconde Council of Nice.	531.	The vicked complaine of Persequution.	601.	
The Masse is good bicause the Pope saith it is good.	145.	Pope Syluester deade before the Council of Nice.	696.	The Persequution of the Christians.	376.583.	
The sale of Masses.	332.	Noble menne in the Lawe were called Priestes.	683.684.	Persequution of the Faithful.	583.	
Marrimonie forbidden and condemned.	195.196.197.210.213.214.	Nouatus.	189.	Persona, and Substantia, and other vwoordes not vsed in the Scriptures.	141.	
Marrimonie chaste and holy.	539.	Noveltie in Religion.	518.519.	Scriptures not meete for the People.	613.	
Mediatoure of Salvation and Intercession.	348.			Peter the ruler of al the worlde.	97.108.109.	
Saintes Mediatoures of Salvation.	349.351.	O.		Peter Christes Vicare.	108.	
Mediatours vnto God not needed.		True Obedience caused by the Gospel.	419.430.	Peter graue Authoritie to the Apostles.	111.	
		Obedience without reason.	706.	Peter had ordinarie power ouer the Apostles. Christe had ouer them power Extraordinarie.	111.	
		Obedience vnto man for religious sake.	385.			
		Howe farre the Pope is to be Obieid.	44.			
		VVee are not bounde to Obieie Peter or Paule, but onely the Pope.	461.			
		Oile halowed.	77.			
		Oile superstitionally abused.	213.			
		Opus Operatum.	336.			
		Origine mangled.	512.			
		Our God, our Lorde.	413.			

The Table.

S. Peter euen now heareth can- fer, and maketh Larves. 113.	The Pope is the life of the world. 445.	The Pope is the Bridegroom of the Church. 91.
Pope Paulus the thirde, leaueth out Christe, and putteth Peter in his rounce. 737.	The Pope Lord and God. 570.	The Popes authoritie in Coun- celles. 695.
By the inspiration of Peter. 111.	The Pope is God. 565.	The Popes authoritie in confir- ming of Councelles. 695.
Peter aunced about measure. 111.	The Popes diuine power. 438.	The Pope is the Lighte that is come into the VVorld. 737.
Peter not the heade. 98.	The Popes Power increased. 438.	The Pope is the Lorde of al tem- poral goodes. 715.
Peter blamed for settinge him selfe before the reste. 114.	The Pope is not a mere natural man. 571.	The Popes resolution in doubt- ful cases. 713.
Peter is the Rocks no more then Paule. 111.	The Pope the springe of Larves. 571.	The Pope maie dispense againste Goddess vwoorde. 371.
Peter hadde the charge of al the whole Church, and so had Paule. 139.	The Popes power ouer purgato- rie. 571.	By the Popes warrant the Empe- rour summoneth Councelles. 449.
Peters charge ouer the heathens. 145. 146.	The Pope holie by his office. 143.	The Pope alloweth the Empe- rours Larves. 711.
The Pope before S. Peter. 113.	The Pope hath a supernatural power. 715.	The Popes Porphirie stooke. 444.
VVherin the Pope succeedeth Peter. 751. 751.	The pope succeedeth Christe in al his substance. 710.	Reasons to proue the Popes Su- premacie. 494.
The Pope vnlike vnto S. Peter. 751.	The whole worlde is the Popes Diocese. 559.	The Pope cannot commit Simo- nie. 533. 539. 530.
VVhat thinges S. Peter didde in Rome. 751.	The Pope can doo, whatsoeuer God can doo. 550.	The Pope cannot erre. 57. 51. 637.
S. Peter correcteth the Pope Leoes Epistle. 113.	The Pope ruleth in Earthe, and Christe in Heauen. 579.	The Pope hath many cies, and many handes. 711.
Peter denied Christe, but not the Faith. 640.	The Pope the righte Lorde of al temporal possessions. 565.	The Pope hath two capacities, by the one he may erre, by the other he cannot erre. 710.
Phariseis sitting on Moses chaire 481. 481.	It were good that the temporal- ties of the worlde were vnder the Pope alone. 566.	The Popes immoderate claimers. 47. 56. 57. 80. 99.
Philip the French Kinge deposid by the Pope. 441.	The Pope the Seruante of Ser- uantes. 573.	The Popes ambitious claimers. 557.
Pope Pius complaineth of his Cardinalles. 45.	The Pope the Lorde of Lordes. 573.	The Popes flatterers. 30. 110. 138. 150. 513. 571. 571. 647. 634.
Pius Secundus, Pope. 47. 48.	The Pope commaundeth the An- gelles of God. 571.	The Popes impudente Parasties. 415. 511.
Pluralitie of benefices. 554.	The Pope, Summus Sacerdos, & Vniuersalis Episcopus. 555.	VVee muste beleue the Pope, al- though he telle vs, our right hande is our lefte. 516.
Pope Victor poisoned in the cha- lice. 443.	The Pope gouerneth the church in as good order, as the Apo- stles of Christe. 579.	The Pope geueth reuerence to no man aliue. 443.
Polycrates a Bishop married. 199.	The Pope is Doctoure of bothe Larves. 511.	The Pope maie not be iudged by any Creature. 563.
Pontificuli. 103.	Al Larve is in the Popes breast. 370. 371.	The Pope iudge in his owne cause. 110. 661.
The Pope hath bothe Sverdes. 12. 551. 557.	The Pope is euer holie. 455.	Departing from the Pope. 551. 593. 594. 595. 598. 599. 600. 601. 643. 644.
The Pope ouer al. 11. 338.	VVithout the Pope there is no Vnitie. 304. 111.	The Pope disclosed, & by whom. 31.
The Pope about General Coun- celles. 47. 613. 631. 633. 635.	The authoritie of the Popes De- crees. 52.	The Pope, as Vniuersal Bishop, & provide name. 511.
The Pope about the Scriptures of God. 57.	The truth is tied to the Popes Chaire. 59. 704.	The Pope the forerunner of An- tichriste. 570.
The Pope more then God. 57. 433.	The whole Church dependeth of the Pope. 59.	The Pope Antichriste. 570.
The Pope is about al the church 69. 70.	The Pope is hable of nothing to make somethinge. 60.	The Pope the roote of al il. 415.
The Pope about al the powers of Heauen. 117.	The true Church standeth in the vnitie of the Pope. 496.	The Popes holinesse abated. 19.
The Pope about al Kinges. 434. 435.	The Pope nothinge els but a Prince. 673.	Al il flowinge from the Pope. 27.
The Pope is Peter, the Pope is Christe. 111.		The Popes ambition. 101.
The Pope is Christe. 493. 601.		The
The Pope is the whole Church. 477. 586.		

The Table.

The Popes ambition complained of.	119. 131. 139.	The Pope deprived by the Emperour.	713.	against the Prince.	431.
The Pope claimeth to be called the vniuersal Bishop.	140.	Howe farre the Pope is to be obeyed.	44.	The Popes Policie increased the power of the Turke.	438.
The Pope maie not be called the vniuersal Bishop.	135.	The Popes saizings are to be taken for truth, in what cases.	71.	The Popes Legates.	437.
The Popes power limited with in certaine boundes.	137.	The Pope abuseth the ignorance of Princes to keepe them vnder.	717.	Popes married.	101. 102.
The Pope equal with other Patriarkes.	13. 63. 117. 139. 140.	The Popes pride and disdainfull tyrannie towards the Kinges of Englande.	715.	The Popes Pardons.	176.
The Pope litle regarded before the Council of Nice.	118.	The Popes intolerable exactions, and thirst of moone.	717.	The Popes Excommunication.	631.
The Pope no Bishop, onlesse he doo the office of a Bishop.	118. 119. 145.	Popes inflamers of warre.	13.	Parlamentes contrarie to the Popes decrees.	610.
The Pope placed before others, and wherefore.	118. 119.	The Pope is a Christian man by the nature of his office.	704.	To appeale from the Pope.	635.
The Pope summoned by the Emperour to appeare in Council.	61.	The Pope succedeth not Peter, but Neto.	611.	The Pope cannot enter into the harte of man.	679.
The Popes keyes equal to others.	121. 123. 124.	Whereto the Pope succedeth Peter.	711. 712.	Vnder what condition a man maie be reconciled to the Pope.	748. 751.
The Pope hath a superioure euen in spiritual causes.	456. 457.	The Pope vnlike vnto S. Peter.	711.	The Popes supercondite.	660.
The Pope inferioure to the Prince.	457.	The Pope condemneth S. Augustine.	110.	Cyrrillus representeth the Popes person.	699.
The Pope commaunded by the Emperour.	711.	The Pope iudgeth before he knoweth the cause.	715.	The Popes Recantation.	43.
The Pope subiecte to the Emperour.	584.	The Pope reproued by others.	120. 144. 145.	The Power of Antichrist.	439.
The Pope hath nothings but first the Emperour.	584.	The Pope reproued by Irenaeus, and by S. Cyprian.	733.	The Popes Diuine Power.	438. 439.
The Pope not Heade of the Church.	558. 711.	Popes disagreeinge among them selves.	425.	Praier without knowledge of the Scriptures is barren.	437.
The Pope not Heade, nor first, nor chiefe.	380.	The Pope by S. Bernarde called a VVoulfe, a Traioure, a Diuel.	432.	Marriage hindreth Praier.	210.
The Pope hath no vniuersal authoritie ouer al the worlde.	559.	Popes Heretiques.	483. 527.	Praier in an vnknown tongue without profite.	545. 546.
The Pope woulde not be called the vniuersal Bishop.	484.	Popes vncleane.	637. 733.	I haue Praied for thee Peter.	463. 467. 475. 483. 639. 663.
The Pope had no power sufficient to summe Councelles.	623.	Popes ignorant of their Grammar.	100.	Praier vnto Sainctes.	349.
The Pope summoneth Councelles by the Emperours warrant.	694.	The euill life of Popes.	358. 359. 493.	The Emperour President of the Council.	711.
The Emperour alloweth the Popes Lawes.	711.	The Pope riding in his Pontificalibus.	333.	Prefumption.	60.
The Pope receiveth his authoritie of the Emperour.	711.	Pope Iohane the woman.	412.	Prefumption in error.	56.
The Pope summoned by the Emperour, to come to the Council.	694. 696.	Two Popes in one person.	635.	It is Prefumption, saith M. Harding, to folowe the example of Christ.	600.
The Pope him selfe summoned to Councelles.	558.	The Popes rule ouer the other Patriarkes.	508. 509.	The Pride of Bishoppes.	444. 613.
The Pope not president in Councelles.	699.	Popes imaginarie Patriarkes.	509.	Priest and Bishop al one.	117. 118. 139.
The Popes Legates placed in Councelles.	696.	The Pope hath a priuiledge to doo ill.	563.	The Priest hath the same power that Christ had.	172.
A simple poore man ought to be beleeued before the Pope.	634.	The Pope threwe the Sacrament into the fiere.	183.	The Emperour inferiour to the Priest, and howe.	187.
		The Popes keyes doo not open but shutte.	177.	The Priest in Binding, and Loosing hath no Power.	190.
		The Pope decreieth bothe him selfe, and them that heare him.	571.	The Priest hearing confession is a doubtful iudge.	174. 184.
		The Pope disdainfully abuseth Princes.	431. 431.	All Priests haue not the keyes.	171. 178.
		The Pope teacheth disobedience		Priests vncleane.	184. 101. 617. 618.
				The loosenesse of Priests singls life.	406.
				Priests keepinge Concubines not refused in their Ministrie.	338. 339.
				A Priest keepinge a Concubine ought not to be clicheved in his seruice.	406.
				Priests Concubines.	400. 403.
				Damasus of priesters sonnes.	107.
				Priests	

The Table.

Priesters of Englands neuer vota- ries, 203.	and the Papistes compared to- gether. 633.634.	maie be Recensiled to the Pope. 742.752.
The Priesters of Grecia marrie within holy orders. 542. 544	The Protestantes departures re- liued the Church of Rome. 599.600.	Reconciliation betwene the Lu- theranes and the Zuinglianes, 392.
Priesters marriage forbidden. 208.	The Protestantes condemne al the ancienne Heretiques. 373.	Reformation to be hoped for in Councelles. 737.
Priesters marriage not forbidden by God. 209.	Purgatorie proued by natural reason. 505.	Dissention in Religion. 378. 380.
A Prieste the Husbando of one wife. 211.212.	Purgatorie founded vpon Par- donnes. 345.	Noveltie in Religion. 378.379.
A Prieste liuinge in fornication, is no Prieste. 10.	No water in Purgatorie. 344.	Representare. 251.
The Prince is the executioner of the Priesters wil, saith M. Har- dinge. 681.691.694.	Double fiere, and double Purga- torie. 343.	The Representation of the Sacra- mentes in feedinge, &c. 245.
The Prieste inferioure to the Prince. 691.	Praier for the deade proueth no Purgatorie. 339.	Resurrection of the flesh. 301.303.
Primacie in earth, confusion in Heauen. 132.	Scriptures fondely applied to proue Purgatorie. 339.	The causes of our Resurrection. 303.306.
Primates. 117.	Purgatorie the Popes peculiare. 338.	Peter is the Rocks no more then Paule. 111.
The time of the Primitive Church purer then the times followinge. 35.	Purgatorie an ancienne erreur. 338.	Rome the Mother Church. 700.
The Primitive Church, and the Church of Rome are al one. 597.	The paper walles of Purgatorie. 338.	The Church of Rome is the V- niuersal Church. 38.39.60.
Princes courtes receiue the Gos- pel. 33.	The Apostles and Martyrs of Christe in Purgatorie. 339.	No saluation without the Church of Rome. 39.43.
The Prince hath a voice in the Councel. 714.715.716, 717.118.	The Popes pover ouer Purga- torie. 571.	The Church of Rome not bounde to folowe the Scri- ptures. 81.
The Pope abuseth the ignorance of Princes, to keepe them vns- der. 727.	Pardones greue out of Purga- torie. 577.	The holy Scriptures take Autho- ritie of the Church of Rome, 469.470.503.504.577.
The Prince of Priesters, of the A- postles, of the people. 556.	Purgatorie neuer beleued of the Grecians. 340.341.	The Church of Rome reliued by the departures of the Pro- testantes. 599.
Private confession set at libertie, 177.186.	Purgatorie neuer receiued of the Grecians. 604.	The vvhole Church conuerged into the Church of Rome. 2.
Private confession not necessa- rie. 168.177.187.	S. Augustine doubteth of Purga- torie. 342.	The Church of Rome cannot erre. 467.477.
No Private confession in Chrys- ostomes Church. 158.	S. Augustine denieth Purgatorie, 344.345.	The Church of Rome decayed in faith. 39.
Private Confession not com- manded by the Scriptures, 169.183.	There is no Purgatorie. 343.	The Church of Rome void of the Grace of God. 205.
Private Masse in Grecia. 604. 605.	Quodammodo miscetur. 185. 189.	The disordred Church of Rome. 694.695.538.
Private Masse continued from the Apostles saith M. Har- dinge. 155.	R.	The Church of Rome is schisma- tical. 601.
The Pope hath a Priuiledge to doe il. 363.	Realles and Nominales. 383.	Rome the mother of Idolatrie and Fornication. 396.
The Protestantes doctrine is old and Catholique. 96.	Real presence. 162.163.	The Church of Rome holdeth by moonie. 707.
The Protestantes not allowed to speake in Councel. 48.49.	Readinge of the Scriptures. 533.	The Church of Rome a bough broken from the tree. 752.
Protestantes had no place in the Council of Tridente. 653. 654.655.658.659.	The Readinge of the Scriptures in the Church is by M. Har- dinges iudgement the worke of Sathan. 582.	The Church of Rome is not the onely standerde of the Faith. 608.
The Protestantes learninge and the Catholiques. 589.	The Readinge of Chapters in the Church. 680.	The riches and brauerie of the Church of Rome. 674.675.
The Churches of the Protestantes	Natural Reason howe farre it is hable to knowe God. 41.	The soude seruice of the Church of Rome. 522.
	Rebellion in defense of the faith. 18.19.	the list of the Clergie of Rome 395.
	The Popes Recantation. 48.	Stewes in Rome. 409.
	The Receiuinge of Christe. 519.	The Church of Rome maie faile. 746.
	Receiuinge without comforte. 279.	
	Vnder what conditions a man	

The Table.

Departing from the Church of Rome.	450. 461. 463. 713.	The Pope throws the Sacrament into the fire.	359.	Hardinge.	71. 76. 106. 107.
The Church of Rome maie erre	477. 478.	The Sacramente geuen to the deade.	338.	Howe knowe you the Scriptures to be Scriptures.	141.
Rome an Vniuersitie.	479. 486.	The carryinge about of the Sacramente.	332. 333. 334.	Scriptures harde, and not meete for the people.	611. 613.
VVhat thinges S. Peter did at Rome.	479.	Disleigneoful speeches of the Sacramente.	311.	The Scriptures euertlastinge, in what sense.	136.
The Church of Rome litle regarded before the Council of Nice.	691.	Sacramental Confession.	107.	Reuerence towardes the Scriptures.	107.
The Church of Rome hathe forrenaken the Gracians.	601.	Sacerdos Summus.	555. 556.	Besides the Scriptures nothinge oughte to be beleued.	516.
The Gracians abhorre the Bishop of Rome.	438.	Sacrifice.	154. 177.	Praier without knowledg of the Scriptures is barren.	1362.
Recourse vnto the Church of Rome.	380.	The Sacrifice wherein it standeth.	166.	Readinge of the Scriptures.	533.
Rufinus an Heretique.	701.	Sainctes Mediatours of Saluatiō.	349. 351.	It is lawfull for the people to reade the Scriptures.	611. 613.
S.		The Sainctes of God vsed as Idoles.	351. 352.	Comforte in readinge the Scriptures.	136. 137.
Sacramentes, Signes, Representations.	141.	Sainctes appointed to seuerall offices.	352.	The readinge of the Scriptures breedeth good life.	376.
The Sacramente is a Creature.	174. 176.	The Duke of Sauoie spoiled.	411.	The Scriptures in the vulgare tongues.	611.
The Sacrament is one thinge, & the thing signified is an other thinge.	139. 303. 314.	Scottishe Queene.	19. 120.	The Scriptures by circumstance and conference expounde the selfe.	64.
VVee maie not beholde the Sacramentes, but the power, & vertue of the Sacramentes.	198.	Scottishe Kinge Slaine.	120.	The Scripture standeth not in the woordes, but in the meaninge.	75.
Sacramentes, and Ceremonies without vnderstanding.	144.	The Scottishe againste the Thomistes &c.	733.	The Scriptures in the hartes of meene.	1361.
The Sacramentes of the old and newe Lawe, and theire difference.	146. 147.	The Scriptures accused of contrarietie.	5.	The Church reformed by the Scriptures.	743.
Sacramentes without Faith.	336.	The Scriptures folow the church.	61. 81. 701. 706.	Heretiques confounded by the Scriptures.	64. 65. 66. 69.
The Sacrament of Christes body in what sense.	301.	The Scriptures exposed by the sense of the Church of Rome.	80. 106. 107.	The Scripture reprocuethe all error.	511.
To see and touch the Christe in the Sacramente.	314. 315.	The Scriptures cannot be exposed without tradition.	81.	The Church knowen by the Scriptures.	67. 69.
The representation of the Sacramentes in feedinge &c.	146.	The Scriptures of God take Authority of the Church.	584.	The Scriptures preferred by the enemies thereof.	118.
The vertue of the Sacrament.	147.	The Scriptures changeable.	706.	Second mariage condemned.	198.
Sacramentes forgue sinnes, and howe.	178.	Traditions equal with the Scriptures.	137.	Seruetus.	31.
Sacramentes doo not iustifie.	334.	The Scriptures without Authority of them selues.	504.	Simonie is a moste godly vertue.	538.
Sacramentes necessarie.	181.	The Scriptures changed according to the change of times.	469.	The Pope cannot commit Simonie.	533. 539. 590.
Onely two Sacramentes.	144. 153. 154. 155.	The Holy Scriptures take Authority of the Church of Rome.	470. 504.	Simple and Solemne vowe.	517.
Christe as present in Baptisme, as in the Sacrament of his body.	333. 314.	Scriptures fowly abused.	499. 576.	No man liueth without Sinne.	354.
The Sacrament in one kinde.	510.	The Scriptures disleigneofully scorned.	136.	The loosenesse of the Priestes Single life.	406.
In the Sacramente the breade is changed, and yet remaineth.	190. 304. 306. 311.	The Scriptures scornfully defaced.	504.	The Sheepe persecut not the VVoulfe.	17. 138.
The woork of God in the Sacrament.	137. 138. 191. 198.	The Scripture burnt.	505. 506. 507.	One Shepheard, one flock.	106. 107.
In the Sacramente the change standeth in Accident, and not in Substance.	300. 301.	Scriptures forged.	141.	VVhereas Socrates neuer wrote but seuen Bookes, M. Hard, allegeth the eighte Booke of Socrates.	701.
The diuidinge of the Sacrament into three pieces, and the mysteries thereof.	551.	Scriptures fondely applied to proue Purgatorie.	339.	Sophocles.	81.
		Scriptures misconstrued.	60.	Sozomenus and Socrates condemned at Louaine touching the storie of Paphnutius.	117.
		The Scriptures corrupted by M.		D	The

The Table.

The Pope had Authoritie ouer	Tenne menne make a people. 185	the Popes policie. 432.
Spaine, so had S. Ciprian. 107.	Teset the Pardones. 37.	V.
Speaches disdainful of the Sacramente. 310. 311.	The Therse, the wolfe. 130.	Vaine deuotion. 331. 332.
Speaches reherente and extraordinary touching the Raising of Christes Body. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189.	Theodosius in the Councel. 711.	Valdo. 30.
Speaches vnseemely and vile of the receiuing of Christes Body by Miss. &c. 303. 304.	Theophylactus of Transubstantiation. 181. 186. 187. 188. 189.	Venial sinnes. 131.
The Sprite of Councelles. 630.	Theophylactus corrupted by Oecolipadius, a slanderer. 185.	Peter Christes Vicare. 103.
The eatinge of Christes Body is Spiritual. 313. 314.	The Thomistes againste the Sco- tistes aboute the Conception of our Ladie. 733.	Christ Peters Vicare. 103.
Pope Steuin vnburied and defaced his predecessours carkeffe. 103. 104.	Token or figure. 15.	The Vicious life of Popes. 414.
Stewes allowed. 7.	Dineritie of Tongues in vnitie in Faith. 348.	Victor the Pope poisoned in the Chalice. 443.
Stewes in Rome. 409.	A barbarous Tongue. 147.	Victoria proined. 338.
An errour in storie. 442. 443.	Traditions. 155.	Vigilantius. 17.
Substance. 195.	Traditions equal with the Scriptures. 137. 140.	Vigilius deposed. 714.
Substance for Accidente. 196.	Traditions signifie the woordes of God. 136. 137.	The Church is the Popes Vine- yarde. 692.
Succession. 141. 707.	Heretiques holde by Tradition. 140.	The perpetual Virginitie of our Lady. 141.
Succession of Doctrine. 144.	Traditions abused. 71.	Voto the Romaines, Vnfaithful- nesse can haue no access. 471.
Succession of place and Doctrine. 144. 145. 146.	Traditions reprocured by Gods woordes. 70. 71.	Vnitie in Truthe. 319. 320. 321.
Vertue and holinesse by Succession. 142.	Traditions broken. 137.	Vnitie is Falsched. 323. 320. 321.
Christe had no Succession. 143.	Olde Traditions abolished and newe diuised. 179. 183.	Vnitie cannot be preferred with- out the Pope. 104.
The Catholiques haue no Suc- cession. 144. 145.	The Catholiques haue forsaken the ancient Traditions of the Church. 14.	The Vnitie of the Pope is the V- nitie of the Church. 407. 412.
The Succession of Popes. 117.	Traditions rowed out by the woordes of God. 70.	The Vnitie of the Church standeth in Christe. 498.
The Pharisees Succession from Aaron and Moses, and when they began. 141. 143.	Catholique Tragedies. 81.	In Vnitie of Faith diuersitie of tongues. 143.
Succession in Peters Chaire. 43.	Against Transubstantiation. 174. 175. 190.	Vniuersal Bishoppe, a prouide name. 131. 132.
Euerie Pope is not Peters Suc- cessor. 43.	Transubstantiation a newe vn- dertaine fantasie, and no arti- cle of the Faith. 180. 181.	The Vniuersal Bishop, the onely Bishop. 104. 421. 431.
VWherein the Pope Succeedeth Peter. 731.	The Councel of Tridente. 611.	The Pope would not be called the Vniuersal Bishop. 424.
An euil man Succeedeth a good man. 749.	In the Councel of Tridente one- ly fourtie Bishoppes. 736.	Vniuersitie in Rome. 417. 418.
Superintendents. 611. 612.	The Councel of Tridente was not General. 740.	An Vnknown tongue to cause the people more to wonder. 547.
The originall of Superstition. 196.	Truthe preuaileth. 363. 369.	Prater in an Vnknown tongue without profite. 546.
Superstitious faste. 311. 313.	Truthe sheweth it selfe by litle and litle. 363.	Vnlearned Priesters. 176. 184. 101.
Heresie to denie the Supremacie of the Church of Rome. 1.	Truthe a stranger in this world, and subiecte to slander. 4.	Vnlearned Popes. 637. 731.
Reasons to proue the Popes Su- premacie. 103. 104. 105. 107. 109. 110.	All Truthe is to be tried by the Scriptures. 166. 167.	A Voice is a body. 80. 81.
Sursum corda. 317.	Truthe mingled with falsehead and Traditions. 6.	Priesters of Englande neuer Vo- taries. 103.
Syluerius deposed. 713.	The Truth fastened to the Popes chaire. 59. 704.	Vowre simple, and soleme. 127.
Pope Syluester Christened Con- stantinus. 700.	Truthe is Truthe if it please the Pope, and not otherwise. 630.	The Vowre of Chastitie annexed to Priestehood. 143.
Pope Syluester deade before the Councel of Nice. 437.	The Truthe of God hangeth not of menne. 418.	The Vowre of chastitie annexed to Orders. 103.
T.	Truthe denoueth vp falsched. 609.	After a Vowre marriage. 104. 105. 106. 107. 130.
The Pope is the Lord of al Tem- poral goodes. 757.	The Turkes power increased by VVemen disguised in womens apparel. 521.	Pope Urbanus drowne with fire Cardinales. 647.
Temples. 363.		VV.

The Table.

apparel.	113.	icles of the Church. 171. 173.	the VVorlde.	699.
The VVicked ate not the Body		177. 178. 184.	The VVoulte, the Theefe.	107.
Christe.	121. 124. 315.	Traditions rooted out by the	Z.	
The VVicked complaine of per-		VVoordes of God.	Pope Zozimus corrupted the	
secution.	713.	VVoordes not vied in the Scri-	Councel of Nice. 157. 158. 646.	
The vyicked cannot preuaile a-		ptures.	647.	
gainste God.	717.	Faith without VVoorkes, 318.	Pope Zozimus allegeth the Coun-	
VVicked Councelles.	577.	319.	cel of Sardica for the Coun-	
VVicklefe.	10.	Good VVoorkes haue their re-	cel of Nice.	645. 646.
VVittenberg.	37.	warde,	Zoenkfeldius,	13.
The Authoritie of Gods VVord		the whole VVorlde is the Popes	Zuinglius.	13.
463.		Diocle,	Zuinglians, and Lutheranes,	
The VVoordes of God are the		The Emperour is the Lord of al	32.	

FINIS.

[illegible]

21113.

AN ANSWEARE TO A CER-
taine Booke lately set foorth by M. Hardinge,
and entituled, A Confutation of the Apo-
logie of the Church of Englande.

The Title of the Apologie.

A An Apologie, or answer in defence of the Church of En-
glande, with a briefe, and plaine Declaration of the true Re-
ligion professed, and used in the same.

The Confutation by M. Hardinge.

Whereas these defenders take vpon them the name of the Church of Englande, setting forth thereby a face of authoritie, they do much like the Ass that Esop telleth of, whiche, to make the beastes affrayed, had put on him a Lions skinne, and therewith letted abroad terribly. For as the Lions skinne was but lapped about him, and grew not to his bodie: so they being in deede no lively members nor parte of the Church, couer them selues vnder the title and name of the Church, the rather to begyle the simple. And verely herein they folow the want of al Heretikes. For neuer was there any secte of Heretikes hitherto, which hath not claymed to be accompted and called the Church. For which cause, of certaine auncient Fathers, they haue bene likened to Apes, whose proprietie is, though they be Apes, yet to counterfeite men, and to couet to seme men. Nouatianus, as saith S. Cyprian, after the manner of Apes, would challenge to him selfe the authoritie of the Catholike Church. And where as him selfe was not in the Church, but contrariwise a rebell against the Church, tooke vpon him to affirme, that all other were Heretikes, and presumed to vpholde, the Church was on his side. Irenaeus and Tertullian, who were before him, write, that Heretikes made so much ado to perswade, that the Church was amonge them selues onely, that they feared not to call the right beleuinge and Catholike Church, Hereticall and Schismaticall. S. Hilary declaringe how paciently he demaened him selfe towards the Arians his enemies, by whome he was bannished, writeth, that in five yeares space, whiles he liued in bannishment, he neuer spake nor wrote euill woordes against them, whiche falsely saide them selues to be the Church of Christ, and were the Synagoge of Antichrist. The Donatistes, against whome S. Augustine wrote much, saide, that the Christianitie was quite losse and gone out of so many nations that be in the worlde, and remained onely in Aphrike, and that the Church was onely there. In S. Bernardes time also the Heretikes who would be called Apostolikes, as they of our time call them selues Gospellers, saide that they were the Church.

But what meane all Heretikes (may we iudge) by coueringe so much to be seme that which they are not? Forsooth they meane none other thinge, then their Father the Deuil meaneth, when he goeth about to begyle man. For then what dothe he? vseth he not this policie, to chaunge his owngly hewe, and put him selfe in goodly shape of an Angell of light? For he is not vnwittinge, that if he shewed him self in his owne forme, such as he is, that euery one would flie fro him, and none lightly would be deceiued by him. Heretikes doo the like. Although they hate the Church neuer so deadly, yet to haue the more opportunitie to hurte it, pretende themselves to be of the Church. For they be not ignorant, how great the authoritie of the Church is. Of whose gouernours Christ saide, he that heareth you, heareth me: and he that despiseth you, despiseth me. And againe, Tell the Church. They consider in what credite the Church is with all Christen people: how they slay them selues by it, as being the pillour and grounde of the truthe: how they loue her, even as their common Mother, how they honour it, as the Body and fulnes of Christ, as the spouse of Christ through Faith, accordinge to that is written, I will despoise thee to me in faith: As the true Syon, which our Lorde hath chosen to be his habitation, and his resting place for euer: As the safe Arke to keepe vs from drowninge in the dangerous Seas of this world, out of whiche nolesse then once out of the Arke of Noe, is no saluation. Again they know the Church must needes be greatly esteemed amonge all the godly, for the singular promises Christ hath made to it, that he would be with it al daies to the ende of the world: That he would pray to his father for the holy Ghost to be given to it, the spirit of truth to remaine with it for euer. But as Heretikes impugne the lawe by the woordes of the lawe, as S. Ambrose saith, so to ouerthrowe the Church, they presume to take vnto them the name of the Church. But what doo Heretikes when they are viced and wroonge, when by force of argumentes they are straightened, and as it were driuen to the walle? when it is plainly proued to their face, which is sone done, that they be not in, nor of the Church, specially beinge of Heresie openly denounced, and by iuste Excommunication cutte of from the Church? In this case the practise of the Gospellers is, vitally to sette the Church at naught, and with a hote raginge spirit to defie it, and to saie, that them selues be the

A

Catholike

In Epist. ad
Iubianu
de Hereti-
cis bapti-
zandis.
De pra-
script. her.
Cetera Co-
stantium.
Aug. con-
tra epist.
Parmen. li.
2. ca. 1.
Bernard. in
cont. Ser-
mo. 46.
Apostolici.

2. Cor. 11.

Luc. 10.

Math. 18.

1. Tim. 1.

1. Phel. 1.

Ofce. 2.

Psal. 131.

Gen. 7.

In com-
ment in e-
pist. ad Tit.
cap. 1.
The wone
of the Go-

Catholike Church, and that the Catholikes be the Papistall Church, the Church of Antichrist, the whore of Babylon, a denne of Theeves, and I can not tell what.

The Answer by the Bishop of Sarisburie.

spellers be-
ing excom-
municate
out of the
Church.



Dan swereth *W. Harding* to every parcel of his Booke, beinge so longe, it would be too tedious. Wherefore leauinge many his impertinent speeches, and other vnnecessarie and waste wordes, which sundrie his friends thinke, he might better haue spared, I wil touche onely so muche thereof, as shal beare somme shewe of substance, and may any way serue worthie to be answered.

First touching the Church of God, we be true, and confesse al that *W. Harding* hath here saide, or otherwise can be saide. It is the Pillar of the Truthe, the Body, the Fulnesse, and Spouse of Christe. Al these wordes are undoubtedly true and certaine. And therefore, *W. Harding*, you are the more blame worthy, that of the House of God, being so glorious, haue made a cause of Schismes: and haue turned the beautie of Zion into the confusion of Babylon.

True it is, that Heretiques haue euermore appatelled them selues with the name of the Church: as Antichriste also shal procure him selfe credite vnder the name of Christe. Thus did your fathers, *W. Harding*, long agoe. They saide then, euen as you saie now, *Wee are the Children of Abraham: wee are the Enheretours of Goddes promise: wee haue the Temple of God, the Temple of God. The Lawe shal neuer passe from the Prieste, nor counsell from the wise, nor the wordes from the Prophete. Thus continuing wilfully in the open breach of Goddes commaundement, neuertheless they chearished them selues then, as you do now, onely with the bare title of the Church: in whose name what so euer credite yet can any wise winne, your meaninge is, skillfully to conueigh the same ouer wholly to the Church of Rome: as if that Church onely were the Church of God, and without that, there were no hope of Saluation. And therefore you defende, and holde so; truthe, that your Church hath authoritye aboue Goddes Word. And Pope Nicolas saith, *Who so denieth the Priuiledge, and Supremacie of the See of Rome, hath renounced the Faith, and is an Heretique. And thus, as Leo saith, Ecclesie nomine armamini, & contra Ecclesiam dimicatis: Tee arme your selues with the name of the Church, and yet yet fight against the Church. Likewise saith S. Cyprian: Diabolus excogitauit nouam fraudem, ut sub ipso nominis Christiani titulo fallat incautos: The Diuel hath deuised a newe kinde of policy, vnder the very title of the name of Christe to deceiue the simple.**

Now concerninge that hote raginge Spite, wherewith *W. Harding* saith, the Gospellers desie the Church and set it at naught, verily I thinke it a harde matter for any Gospeller, be he neuer so hote, in such kinde of eloquence to matche *W. Harding*. Further yet may he wel condemne al such, as in the like cases haue bene hote, and earnest. Esay the Prophete saith, *O ye Princes of Sodome, and ye people of Gomorra: Iohn the Baptiste saith to the Scribes, and Phariseis, O ye Serpents, ye generation of Vipers, and Adders: Christe saith vnto them, Wee be vnto you, ye Scribes, and Phariseis, ye Hypocrites: Ye are of your Father the Diuel. In these examples we see, the Spite of God can sometimes be hote and earnest against the deceiuers of the people, and the professed enemies of the Crosse of Christe. Neither did either Esay the Prophete, or Iohn the Baptiste, or Christe, desie the Church of God, and set it at naught, as *W. Harding* imagineth of vs: but rather by these seruent speeches uttered the vehement zeale, and iuste griefe, they had conceiued against them, that vnder the name of the Church abused Goddes people, and defaced the Church.*

For they are not al Heretiques, *W. Harding*, that this daie espie your grosse, and palpable errours, and mourne to God for reformation. S. Augustine saith, *Non debet Ovis pellem suam deponere, quod Lupi aliquando se ea contegant: It is no reason, the Sheepe should therefore leaue of his fleise, for that he seeth the Woulfe sometime in the same*

1. Tim. 3.
Ephes. 1.

Iohan. 2.
Hierem. 7.
Hierem. 18.

De Maior. Obedi. Vnam
Sanctam.
Dist. 22. Omnes.
Leo Epist. 83. Ad
Talestinos.
Cypri. De Simplicitate prela.

Esai. 1.
Matth. 3.
Matth. 23.
Iohan. 8.

August. Lib. 2.
De Sermon. Co-
mi. in moue.

same apparel. Likewise, it is no reason, that we should therefore geue over the right, and inheritance, we haue in the Church of God, for that you be intruded, and vn-
 lawfully meanes haue intituled your selues vnto the same. It becometh vs rather to
 searche the Scriptures, as Christe hath aduised vs, and thereby to assure our selues
 of the Church of God. For by this trial onely, & by none other, it maye be knowen.
 Therefore S. Paule calleth the Church the Spouse of Christe; for that she ought in
 al thinges to geue eare to the voice of the Bridegrome. Likewise he calleth the
 Church the Pillar of the Truth, for that she staileth her selfe onely by the Word
 of God: without which Word the Church, were it neuer so bewittful, should be
 no Church. The Ancient Father Irenaeus saith, Columna, & firmamentum Ec-
 clesiae est Euangelium, & Spiritus vitæ: The Pillar and buttresse of the Church is the Ga-
 thered, and the Sprite of life. S. Augustine saith, Sunt certi Libri Domini, quorum au-
 thoritati vtriusq; consentimus. Ibi quæramus Ecclesiam: ibi discutimus causam nostram:
 There be certaine Bookes of our Lorde, vnto the authoritie whereof eche parte agreeth: There
 let vs seeke for the Church: thereby let vs examine and trie our matters. And againe, Nolo
 humanis documentis, sed Diuinis oraculis sanctam Ecclesiam demonstrari: I wil, yet
 shewe me the holy Church, not by decrees of menne, but by the word of God. Likewise
 saith Chrysostome, Nullo modo cognoscitur, quæ sit vera Ecclesia Christi, nisi tantum-
 modo per Scripturas: It can no waye be knownen, what is the Church, but onely by the Scrip-
 tures. And againe, Christus mandat, vt volentes firmitatem accipere Veræ Fidei, ad
 nullam rem fugiant, nisi ad Scripturas. Alhoqui si ad alia respexerint. Scandalizabun-
 tur, & peribunt, non intelligentes, quæ sit vera Ecclesia. Et per hoc incident in Abom-
 inationem Desolationis, quæ stat in Locis Sanctis Ecclesie: Christe commendalet,
 that who so wil haue the assurance of True Faith seeke to nothing els, but vnto the Scriptures.
 Otherwise, if they looke to any thinge els, they shalbe offended, and shal perishe, not understand-
 inge, whiche is the True Church. And by meane hereof they shal fall into the Abomination
 of Desolation, whiche standeth in the Holy Places of the Church.

By these Ancient learned Fathers it is plaine, that the Church of God is
 knowen by Goddes Word onely, and none otherwise. And therefore M. Har-
 ding, you so carefully see the same, and condemne it for Heresie, and often burne it,
 lest thereby the defozmities of your Church should be knowen. For the il dwer
 sleeth the light.

Nowe, whereas it so wel liketh M. Hardinge to cal vs al Heretiques, and for
 his pleasures sake to liken vs to Apes, to Ases, and to the Diuel, notwithstanding
 we might safely retorne the same whole, from whence it came, yet I thinke it not
 samely, nor greatly to purpose to answer al such intemperate humours. Salo-
 mons aduise is good, Answerare not folie wityd like folie. Notwithstandinge, the
 poore simple Asse, vnto whome we are compared, was hable sometime to see the An-
 gel of God, and to open his mouth, and to speake, and to reprove the lewde attempt
 of Balaam the false Propete. What so ever account it pleaseth M. Hardinge to
 make of vs, by the grace of God we are that we are. If we be hable to beare Christ
 with his Crosse, it is sufficient.

But who they be, that haue of long time setted so terribly vnder the Lions
 skinne, and onely with a painted Asarde, or emptie name of the Church, haue fea-
 red al the cattel of the feld, it is needlesse to speake it: the worlde now seeth it: it
 can no longer be dissembled. Euen he, that lately bare him selfe as the Lion of the
 tribe of Iuda, and called him selfe a Kinge of Kinges, and b laide, he had power ouer
 the Angels of God, & amased the hartes of the simple with the terror of his Lions
 pelte, onely for that he sate in Peters Chaire, is now reuelled and better knowen,
 and esteemed as he is worthy: he may nowe sette vp and downe with more ease,
 and lesse terrour. And why for these poore Ases, whome M. Harding so mutche dis-
 daineth, haue stripe of his counterfeit skinne, that made him so hardy, and haue
 caused him to appeare euen as he is.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Division. 1.

It hath bene an olde complainte, euen from the firste time of the

A y

Patriarches

Iohan. 5.

Ephes. 1.

1. Timoth. 3.

Irene. Lib. 3.

Cap. 11.

August. De vi-
 ta Eccl. Cap. 3.

August. in eodẽ
 Cap.

Chrysostom. In
 Opere Imper-
 fecto, Hom. 49.
 Chrysostom. in
 eadem Homilia.

Daniel. 9.
 Matth. 24.

Iohan. 3.

Prover. 16.

Numer. 22.

a Augustinus
 strenuus, de
 Primatu.

b See the fift
 parte hereof, the
 6. Cap. and 15.
 Division.

Terrull. in Apo-
logico.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

2. Thome. 1.

Patriarkes and Prophetes, and confirmed by the writings and testimonies of every age, that the Truth wandereth here and there as a stranger in the world, and dothe readily finde enemies and schaunderers amongst those, that knowe her not. Albeit perchance this may seeme vnto some a thinge harde to be beleued, I meane to sutch as haue scante wel and narrowly taken heede therunto, specialy seing al mankinde of natures very motion without a teacher doth coueite the Truth of their owne accorde: and seinge our Sauour Christe him selfe, when he was on earth, would be called the Truth, as by a name moste fitte to expresse al his diuine power. Yet wee, whiche haue bene exercised in the holy Scriptures, and which haue both readde & seene, what hath happened to al godly menne commonly at al times, what to the Prophetes, to the Apostles, to the Holy Martyres, and what to Christe him selfe: with what rebukes, reuillings, and despites, they were continually vaxed, whyles they here liued, and that onely for the Truthes sake: Wee (I saie) doo see, that this is not onely no newe thinge, or harde to be beleued, but that it is a thinge already receiued, and commonly vsed from age to age. Nay truely, this might seeme much rather a merueille, and beyonde al beliefe, if the Diuel, who is the father of lies, and enemie to al Truth, woulde nowe vpon a suddaine chaunge his nature, and hope, that Truth might other wise be suppressed, then by belieing it: Or that he would beginne to establish his owne kingdom by vsing nowe any other practises, then the same, whiche he hath euer vsed from the beginninge. For since any mans remembrance, wee can scante finde one time, either when Religion did firste growe, or when it was settled, or when it did as the springe vp againe, wherein Truth and Innocencie were not by al vnwoorthy meanes, and most despitesfully intreated. Doubtles the Diuel wel seeth, that so longe as truthe is in good safetie, him selfe cannot be safe, nor yet maintaine his owne state.

Cornel. Tacitus.
Annali. li. ii.
Tertull. in Apo-
logico.

For, letting passe the auncient Patriarkes and Prophetes, who, as wee haue saide, had no parte of their life free from contumelies and schaunderers: We knowe, there were certaine in times paste, which saide and commonly preached, that the olde auncient Jewes (of whome we make no doubt but they were the woorthippers of the onely and true God) did worship either a Sowe, or an Ile in Goddes freede, and that al the same Religion was nothinge els, but sacrilege, and a plaine contempt of al godlines. We know also, that the Sonne of God, our Sauour Iesus Christe, when he taught the Truth, was counted a Sorcerer and an Enchaunter, a Samaritane, Belzebub, a deceiuer of the People, a Drunkarde, and a Glutton. Againe, who woteth not, what woordes were spoken against S. Paule, the most earnest and vehement Preacher, and maintainer of the Truth? Sometime, that he was a seditious and busie man, a raiser of tumultes, a causer of rebellion: sometime againe, that he was an Heretique: sometime, that he was mad: sometime, that onely vpon strife and stomake he was bothe a blasphemour of Gods lawe, and a despiser of the Fathers ordinances. Further who knoweth not, how S. Steuin, after he had thoroughly

and

and sincerely embraced the truthe, and beganne frankly and stoutly to preache and set forth the same, as he ought to doo, was immediatly called to answere for his life, as one that had wickedly uttered disdainful and haynous wordes against the Lawe, against Moyses, against the Temple, and against God: Or who is ignorant, that in times past there were some, whiche reproued the holy Scriptures of falschhed, sayinge, they contained thinges bothe contrary, and quite one against another: and howe that the Apostles of Chryste did severally disagree betwixt them selues, and that S. Paule did varie from them al: And not to make rehersal of al, for that were an endles labour, who knoweth not, after what sorte our Fathers were raised vpon in times past, whiche first began to acknowledge and professe the name of Chryste: how they made priuate conspiracies, diuised secreete Counsels against the common wealth, and to that ende made early and priue meetings in the darke, killed yonge Babes, fedde them selues with mens flesh, and like sauage and brute beastes, did drinke their Bloude: In conclusion, howe that after they had put out the candels, they committed Adulterie betwene them selues, and without regarde wrought incest one with another: that Brethern laie with their Sisters, Sonnes with their Mothers, without any reuerence of nature or kinne, without shame, without difference: and that they were wicked men without al care of Religion, and without any opinion of God, being the very enemies of mankinde, vnwoorthy to be suffered in the worlde, and vnwoorthy of life:

Hiero. in Proemia in 1. pist. ad Galat.

Hieron in Matthe. li. i. ca. 1.

Tertull. Contra Marcion. Lib. 1.

Lib. 4.

Li. 5. ca. 2. La. Cant.

Euseb. Li. 5. c. 11.

Tertull. in Apologie.

All these things were spoken in those daies against the people of God, against Chryste Iesus, against Paule, against Steuin, and against al them, whosoever they were, whiche at the firste beginninge embraced the truthe of the Gospel, and were contented to be called by the name of Christians: which was then an hateful name among the common people. And although the thinges which they saide, were not true, yet the Diuel thought it shoulde be sufficient for him, if at the least he coulde bunge it so to passe, as they might be beleued for true: & that the Christians might be brought into a common hatred of every bodie, & haue their death and destruction sought of al sortes. Whereupon Kinges and Princes beinge ledde then by such persuasions, killed al the Prophetes of God, lettinge none escape: Esay with a Sawe, Ieremie with stones, Daniel with Lions, Amos with an iron barre, Paule with the sword, and Chryste vpon the Crosse: and condemned al Christians to imprisonmentes, to tormentes, to the pikes, to be throwne downe headlong from rockes and steepe places, to be cast to wilde beastes, & to be burnt: and made great fiers of their quicke bodies, for the onely purpose to giue light by night, and for a very scozne and mockinge storae: and did coumpt them no better, then the vilest filthe, the offscouringes & laughinge games of the whole worlde. Thus (as ye see) haue the Authours and professours of the truthe euer bene entreated.

Tertull. in Apologie.

Suetonius Tranquil. in Nerone.

M. Hardinge.

VWho would not thinke, that these defenders were true men, that in the beginninge of their Apologie speake so muche of the truthe? Yet who knoweth not, that oftentimes euil meaninge is hidde vnder good wordes? VWho hath not hearde, that filthy queanes in time and place vse the ho-

nest talke of chaffe matrones? The therse commendeth iuste dealinges, and many times sheweth a stomake against false harlottes, noman more. Amonge al, none pretende truthe in woordes so much as Heretiques. I feare me, saithe S. Paule, lest, as the Serpente beguiled Eue by his sutteltie, so your wittes be corrupted, and fallen away from that plainenes, whiche is in Christe. The Apostle feared because of the craftie Iewes: who the rather to deceiue, mingled Scriptures with their owne traditions, and truthe with falshead. So bringeth the Heretique his hearer to errour in faith by colour and pretence of truthe.

They are much like to the Manicheis, who promised their hearers to discusse, and set forth the truth most evidently vnto them, and to deliuer them from all maner of errours. By which faire promises, S. Augustine was allure to be a diligent scholar of theirs for the space of nine yeares.

Christe gaue vs a lesson how to discerne them. By their fruites ye shall knowe them, saithe he. And now to you Sirs. Euen in the beginnunge, and as I may saie, in the foreheade of your Apologie, whiles I examine it diligently, I finde two foule faultes: the one in your Rhetorike, the other in your Logike. By whiche two faultes bothe the vnskill of your Secretarie, and the weakenes of your mater maie be espied, as the Ass, I spake of right now, was by his two eares staring out vnder the Lions skinne. Your diuinitie is nothing els in grosse, but a lumpe of lies, errours, and Heresies.

First touching your Rhetorike, amongst many faultie proemes, one of the worst is that, which is suche, as the aduersarie may vse: whiche by them is called *Exordium commune*, that is to witte, suche a beginnunge, as will serue the Defendante, no lesse then the Plaintife, or contrariwise. Of that sorte is the beginnunge of your Apologie. For declaringe at large, that truthe hath euer benne persecuted, what saithe it therein (the faultes amended) that we may not saie the same? That Shipmaister is accounted very bad, who at the settinge out of the hauen driueth the Ship on the rocks.

Allcaginge Tertullian to healepe your cause, ye iniurie the Doctor by alteringe his woordes. Yee were not wise, by falsifyinge the first sentence, so much to impaire your credite. Tertullian saithe not, that truthe readily findeth enemies and slanderers amongst those, that knowe her not: but that truth sone findeth enemies *inter extraneos*, amongst aliantes and strangers. Now the Christian Catholikes, whom ye cal Papistes, be not in respect of the truthe, aliantes and strangers. For your selues in sundry places of your Booke, reprove them for resistinge the truthe whiche they knowe.

You haue geuen Tertullian a newe liuerie with your owne badge, and haue made exchange of *Extraneos* with *Ignotos*. Tertullian meante by aliantes no other then Infidels, and Paynimes: emonge whom Christen people then liued, and were dayly persecuted. But after the Gospell had benne sounded abroad by the Apostles, and their successours through all the earth, after that the Emperours them selues, and all the people euery where had receiued the faith, then was the truth no more a wanderer, stranger, or Pilgrime in the earthe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Toucheinge this comparison of Whores, and Charyes, and other like, M. Hardinges vngentle speeches, as I haue before protested, I will saie nothinge. He is very doubte, and can speake but little, that cannot speake ill.

It is true, M. Hardinge, that you saie: Most Lyes oftentimes pretende most Truthe: as, if there were none other example, maie sone appeare by the whole tenour and substance of your Bookes. The Diuel him selfe, the better to sounde his Lyes, beareth him selfe oftentimes as the Angel of Truthe.

2. Corinth. 11.

Matth. 19.

Hierem. 2.

Hierem. 3.

2. Corinth. 11.

See what is answered in the fourth parte herof. Ca. 1. Di. 1. In quest. in Nouum Testa. q. 72.

But the example, that ye bringe of the Jewes, who, as you saie, the rather to deceiue, mingled Scripture with their owne Traditions, and Truthe with falshead, maketh most plainly against your self. For you knowe, that this is the general complainte of al the Godly this day throughout the whole Church of God, that you haue mingled your Leade with the Lozdes Coule, and haue filled the Lozdes Varnish of your Darnel: that you haue broken Goddes manifest commandments, to vpholde, and mainteine your owne Traditions: That you haue dammed by the Springes of the Water of Life, and haue broken vp poodles of your owne, such as be hable to holde no water: That for your byrames sake, you haue caused the people to forget the name of God, and haue ledde them from that simplicitie, that is in Christe Iesu. This is the minglinge of Traditions with the Scriptures of God. I marueile, ye coulde so fraily bitter so mutche, and so directly against your selfe.

As for the example of the Manicheis, it was bitterly impertinent, and from the purpose. Yet (good Reader) that thou maist vnderstande, what manner of Heretiques these Manicheis were, and what erroours they defended: first they forbade Lawful Marriage, and allowed fornication, as M. Hardinges Catholiques do now. So saithe S. Augustine of them, *Nuptiarum aditus intercludunt: & promiscue conuenire hortantur.*

Addition.

Addition

Addition. Hereunto **M. Hardinge** saith, You slander vs **M. Jewel**, moste vnjustly and impudently. The Catholiques neuer forbade lawfull Marriage. And it is knowen to al

*M. Hard. fo. 81. a
M. Hard. fo. 81. b*

1. the worlde, that Fornication was neuer allowed in the Catholique Church at any time or age. And the Manicheis by your pretended prouise out of **S. Augustine** excluded al men from Marriage generally.

2. **The Answer.** To the first parte herof, I graunt: Lawfull Marriage was neuer forbidden in the Catholique Church, that is to saie, in the whole vniuersal Church throughout the world. For it is knowen, that the Priestes in India, Aethiopia, Aphrica, Asia, Græcia &c. haue continued still in Lawfull Marriage, from the beginninge, vnto this daie, without any restraint, or force to the contrarie. But in the Church of Rome, the Marriage of Priestes, hath benne, and is forbidden. And the same in al other Churches is iudged lawfull, as it was also in the Patriarkes, Prophetes, Apostles, Martyres, and other Holy Fathers, & generally in the whole Christian Church of Christe. Neither is it Marriage, but the vnlawfull restrainte of Marriage, that **S. Paule** calleth the Doctrine of Demilles.

1. Timoth. 4

3. Secondly, notwithstandinge **M. Hardinge** saie, Fornication was neuer allowed in the Catholique Church, yet verily in the Church of Rome, it hath benne allowed, as by god recozde, and general practise it maie appeare. **M. Hardinge** him selfe calleth the open Stewes in Rome, A necessarie il, for auoiding of other disorders, that would be greater: and so farre sothe, he maketh them allowable by waie of necessitie.

*M. Hard. Confu-
sation. fol. 162. b
M. Hard. Confu-
sation. fol. 162. a*

And further, to this purpose, in defense herof, vnbawfully he allegeth these wordes of **S. Augustine**: *Take Harlots awaye from amonge menne, and yee fille al the countrie with ribauderie, and villanie.* Vnbawfully, I saie, he allegeth these wordes. For when **Augustine** wrote the same, he was neither Sainde, nor Bishop, nor Priest, nor Christian man. In the conclusion, he saith vnto vs, In good sooth, Maisters, yee are too yonge to controule the Citie of Rome in her dooings. Here **M. Hardinge**, I praye you, tel vs, wherefore maie we not controule the Citie of Rome in her open filchinesse, onlesse in somme special respectes you thinke it lawfull? In seuerall wordes, touching the allowance, and smotheringe of Fornication, the practise of the Church of Rome is this. *Etsi notoria sit Fornicatio Presbyterorum, tamen non propter eam abstinentiam est ab officiis illorum: Yea, although the Fornication of the Priestes be notorious, yet maie no man therefore refraine from his seruice.* That thinge is notorious, saith the Lawe, that needeth neither Accuser, nor Iudge, but is cleare and manifest of it selfe. And notwithstandinge somme of the Canonistes haue written otherwise of Fornication, yet by these wordes it is passed, as a matter allowable.

*August. de ordi-
ne. li. 2. ca. 4.*

*M. Hard. Con-
futa. fol. 163. a*

*Dist. 32. Nullus.
Iohan. Andreæ.*

4. Thirdely, whereas **M. Hardinge** saith, The Manicheis excluded not onely their Priestes and Clergie, but also generally al manner of men from the vse of Marriage, as thinking it in al men to be vnlawfull: **S. Augustine** manifeste wordes to the contrarie, are able both to cleare the poore Manicheis in this behalf, and also to reprove his open erreure. These be his wordes: *Hic non dubito vos esse clamatueros, inuidiamque facturos, perfectam Castitatem vos vehementer commendare, non tamen Nuptias prohibere. Quandoquidem Auditores vestri, quorum apud vos secundus est gradus, ducere, atque habere non prohibentur Vxores: Here, I doubt not, but you, Manicheis, wil make outcries vpon vs, and procure vs displeasure. For you wil saie, yee greatly commend perfect Chastitie, and yet, for al that forbiddeth not Marriage. For, your Hearers, which are an inferiour, or seconde degree amonge you, are not forbidden to Marrie, and haue Wives. Likewise he writeth in an Epistle vnto Deuterius: Auditores, qui appellantur apud eos, & carnibus vescuntur, & agros colunt, & si Voluerint, Vxores habent: quorum nihil faciunt illi, qui vocantur Electi: They, that amonge them be called the Hearers, doe bothe eat fleshe, and till their groundes, and, if they list, Marrie Wives: of al which thinges, they that be called Electi, doe nothinge.*

M. Hard. fo. 81. b

*August. De Mo-
ritu Manich.
Lib. 2. Cap. 18.*

August. Epist. 74

Nowe, good Reader, examine thou a litle these witnesss, and compare them together. **M. Hardinge** saith, The Manicheis forbade al men from Marriage generally without exception. **S. Augustine** saith, The Manicheis forbade not their Hearers, which were of their Laitie, so be Married, nor thought it vnlawfull for them to haue Wives. Thei thought Marriage vnlawfull onely in their Priestes, and Ministers, which amonge them were called Electi. And euen so, as I said, do this daie **M. Hardinges** Catholiques.

M. Hard. fo. 81. b

A lly

Therefore

2. Cat. 11.

De Vitis
Credendi
Honoru.
Li. 1. Ca. 1.
Confer.
1. cap. 4.
Man. 7.

8 The Defense of the Apologie of the

Wherefore hauinge him selfe misreported bothe S. Augustine, and the Manicheis, & also differing so many Contraries in one place, there was no cause, why he should vse this pitiful outerie in the end: Behold Reader, how immoderately M. Iewel scau- dereth vs. For, as it maie hereby appeare, it is the truthe: it is no scaunder.

Leo Serm. 4. De
Quadragesima.
August. 1. p. 119.
August. con. Fau-
stus. Li. 32. ca. 19
M. Har. fo. 120. b

Secondly they receiued, & ministered the Holy Mysteries vnder one kinde, con- trarie to the general order of the Catholique Church. And so dothe M. Hardinge nowe. Thirdly they yielded more credite to their owne diuises, then to Gods Ho- ly Word. And where as the Scriptures were plaine againste them, they saide, e- uen as M. Hardinge saith, The Scriptures were falsified, and full of Erroures.

Addition. ¶ Albeit in daue he bareth not so boldly by expresse wordes to chalenge the Scriptures: he thinketh it better skill rather to laie the faulte in vul- gare Translations. And yet the worst Translation, that this daie is commonly vsed, either in the Englishe, or in the Frenche, or in the Dutche tongue, as he him selfe wel knoweth, is farre better, and truer, then the Dole common Translation in the Latin.

Addition
17

Aug. de Maiorib.
Maniche. Lib. 2.
Cap. 15.
Peregrinas &
exquisitas fru-
ges multis sepe
culis variatur.
Aug. de Maiorib.
Maniche. Li. 2.
Cap. 13.

Fourthly they abstained from fleashe: and yet in their fast, they had and vsed al manner delicate and strange scittes, with sundrie sortes of Spices in great aboun- dance: They abstained from Wine, and yet vsed other liquours more deintie, and pretions, then any Wine, and thereof dranke while their bellies would be holde. S. Augustines wordes thereof be these: Dissenti, & crepantes. I leaue the rest. Poole iudge thou, gentle Reader, whether partie seemeth to resemble the Manicheis.

But whereas he thus vpholdeth vs, By their fruites ye shal knowe them. Nextly whiles the Bishop of Rome euen in the Cittie of Rome maintaineth his houses of Rihaudrie, and open Stewes, and M. Harding is ready, and hable by his eloquence and Diuinitie to defende the same, they haue no iuste cause, greatly to boaste them selues of their fruites. How be it, it maie be thought, Christ gaue vs this lesson, not thereby to trie the true Doctrine from the false, but a true professour from an Hypo- crite. Otherwise our liues must be tried by the Gospel, not the Gospel by our liues.

Nowe let vs examine that horrible Heresie, that M. Hardinge hath espied in the Rules of Rhetorique, by which, saith he, the Secretaries vnskill is betrayed, euen in the forepage of our Booke. This entrie, saith M. Hardinge, touchinge the com- plaint of Truthe, is so indifferent and common to both the parties, that either maie vse it as well as other. Whiche kinde of beginninge, saith he, is called Exordium commune, & by the learned in Rhetorique is mistaketh as faultie. First of al, M. Har- dinge, this seemeth to me a strange kinde of beginninge, in so deepe a disputation of Diuinitie, to make your first quarrel vnto Rhetorique. Wilke for lacks of better Entrie, ye thought it good to rushe in as you might. In daue either of vs maie seme to stande in Defense of Truthe. I denie it not. For as we haue the substance, and Seuthe it selfe, so are you content to claime the name. And so farre soth Truthe is common to vs bothe. So the wicked Heathens Celsus, and Antiphon, notwithstanding they published their Bookes againste the Truthe, yet they entituled the same *Aduersus* the Booke of Truthe. S. Hierome saith, *Mendacium semper imitatur Ve- ritatem: Falsed euermore beareth a shewe of Truthe.* In this sorte Truthe is common to either partie. Origen saith, *Veritas Christus: simulata Veritas Antichristus: Christus is the Truthe his selfe: Antichrist is the Truthe counterfeite.* At this notwithstanding, Christe refused not to vse the same kinde of Entrie, that M. Harding so much mistaketh: but saide vnto the Phariseis, *I seeke to murder me, a man that haue tolde you the Truthe.* And S. Paule to the Romaines saith, *They haue turned the Truthe of God into falsed.* The like might I saie of Tertullian, Cyprian, and other fathers. Yet, I trowe, M. Hardinge will not therefore, reprove either Christe, or Paule, or Tertulli- an, or Cyprian: nor saie, they vsed such a Beginninge, as their aduersaries might haue vsed as well as they, and had forgotten their Rules of Rhetorique.

Lethe I am so longe to stande in so light a mater. But I maruelle muche, that M. Hardinge beinge so greates an Artificer in so smal cases, had no better rie to his owne Entrie. For if in wittinge the Defense of Truthe, it be a fault in Rhetorique, to be

Origen contra
Celsum, Lib. 3.
Lib 4
In Hierem. ca. 23
Origen in Mat-
the. Tracta 27.

Ioh. 8.
Roman. 1.

to beginne with the ill intreatinge, and complaints of Truthe, what then maie we thinke it to be in the Defence of manifest and knowne errours, to beginne, as *W. Hardinge* dothe, with Whores, with Thieves, with Apes, with Ases, with Children of the Diuel, and with many other like basely scottes, and scarnes? What Rhetorique, what Eloquence, what Arte, what Skill is this? What Orator euer used it? What Rhetorician, what Sophiste, Critike, or Latine euer taught it? Certly in this way sometime accompted an olde rule in Rhetorique, which it seemeth *W. Hardinge* has quite forgotten: *Scurrius Oratori dicacitas magnopere fugienda est.* This Secretaries Beginninge, by *W. Hardinges* owne confession is sutch, as either partie indifferently might wel haue vsed. But *W. Hardinges* Beginninge is sutch, as neither partie, with any modestie, might haue vsed.

Cic. De Orator.
Lib. 2.

W. Hardinge also might haue remembred, that the skillful in Rhetorique, as they make Exordium Commune, so they also mislike Commune Argumentum, that is a Reason, or Prooue so Common, that it maie indifferently serue both parties. Which kinde of proofes if *W. Hardinge* would haue worded out of his Booke, he shoulde haue leaste neede little to trouble the Printer.

Cicer. Lib. 1. De
Inuentione: Ar-
gumentum com-
mune vitiosum
est.

Where he saith in grose, that oure Apologie is nothinge els, but a lump of Lies: the Truthe thereof, I trust, shal appeare by this Treatise. In the meane season, good Christian Reader, it maie please the to consider, that *W. Hardinges* mouth is no iuste measure in this behalfe. For somme men thinke, it wil oftentimes utter Antitrope without measure. But he saith, we haue falsified Tertullians meaninge, placinge this worde Ignotus, in steade of Extraneos, and so at our pleasure makinge exchange of wordes, and geuinge the olde Father a newe Luetic, and thereby ruynatinge our whole credite. A greate outcrie in so smal a mater. This greate exchange of wordes is nothinge so hainous as it is pretended. For bothe Ignotus is Extraneus, and Extraneus also in Tertullians meaninge is Ignotus. And *W. Hardinge* wel knoweth, that Tertullian speaketh namely, and onely of sutch Aliens and Strangers, as knewe not the Truthe of God. Whether of these two wordes it shal like him to leane vs, the sense is al one: it forceth nothinge. If he wil needs refuse this worde, Ignotus, so that he receiue the other worde, Extraneus, I graunt, that he and his seloues be Strangers, to Goddes Truthe, it shalbe sufficiente.

Nowe be it he addeth further, that they of his side are nowe no Strangers, but knowe God, and his deare frindes, and kinfolke. Euen so said the Phariseis of them selues, Nunquid & nos Cœci sumus? What be we blinde too? But Christe answered them, If ye were blinde, then had ye no sinne. Nowe ye see, that ye see: Therefore your sinne remaineth still. And againe, I am come to Iudgement into the worlde, that they that see, maie be made blinde. And againe, The Children of the kingdome shalbe throwen forth into utter darkenesse.

Iohan. 9.

Iohan. 9.
Matthe. 8.

Yet further *W. Hardinge* saith, After that the Gospel had ben sounded abroade by the Apostles, and their Successours, then was the Truthe no more a Stranger, or a Pilgrime in Earthe. Wherby he woulde closely conclude, that his Church of Rome can neuer erre. But this is to batne a Paradise. For Daniel speakinge of the later daies saith, Veritas prosterneetur in Terra: The Truthe shalbe ouerthrowen in Earthe. Chrysostome saith, Abominatio Desolationis stabit in Sanctis Locis Ecclesiarum: The Abomination of Desolation shal stande in the Holy Places of the Churches. S. Augustine saith, Usque ad huius seculi finem, inter persecutiones mundi, & consolationes Dei peregrinando procurrit Ecclesia: Until the worldes ende, the Church goeth forward, as it were in a Pilgrimage, betwene the persecutions of the worlde, and the comforts of God. And againe, Tota Ciuitas Dei peregrinatur in Terris: The whole Citie of God (whiche is the Church) is a Stranger, and a Pilgrime in the Earthe. Certly as longe as Satan the Prince of darkenesse is Prince of this worlde, so longe the Truthe of God passeth in this worlde as a Stranger: and beinge amonge Strangers, as Tertullian saith, easily findeth enemies, and is ill intreated. He saith further, Cœterum vnum hoc gestit, ne ignorata damnetur: This onely thinge Truthe desireth, that no man condemne her, before he knowe her.

Daniel. 8.

Chryso. in Ope-
re Imperfecto.

Ham. 49.

August. de Ci-
uita Dei. Li. 18.

Cap. 51.

De Ciuit. Lib. 19

Cap. 27.

Tertullian. in
Apologetico.

The

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division 1.
 whether we ought to beate it the more quietly, which haue taken
 vpon vs to prolesse the Gospel of Christe, if wee for the same cause be
 handled after the same sorte: and if we, as our forefathers were longe
 agoe, be likewise at this date tomented, and baited with raylinges,
 with spiteful dealinges, and with Lies: and that for no deserte of oure
 owne, but onely because we teache and acknowledge the Truthe.

M. Hardinge.

O blessed folowers of the Patriarkes, Prophetes, Apostles, Martyres, and Christe him selfe, that
 suffer so much persecution in your innocencie, hauinge deserued nothinge at al, and onely because ye
 acknowledge, and teache the Truthe. But Sirs, by your leaue, howe followeth this (VWherefore) of
 your former common place so largely treated? This is your owne faulte, which you make in your
 Logike: Howe proue ye this argumente: The Truthe is persecuted, and the professors of the Truthe
 haue euer benne euil treated: wherefore wee oughte to beare it quietly beinge likewise handled for
 the same cause, &c. If you make this argumente, which ye seeme priuily to make, leauinge out the
 Minor, The professors of the Truthe be persecuted for the Truthe sake: wee be professors of the
 Truthe: Therefore wee suffer persecution for the Truthe sake: If ye saie thus, wee embarre you from
 your Conclusion, by denyinge your Minor, which ye can neuer proue.

And if ye reason thus, which waie also ye seeme to vse: The professors of the Truthe suffer
 persecution: VVe suffer persecution. Ergo, we are professors of the Truthe. VVe graunt your Minor
 is true. But your Argument is naught. So might al Heretikes saie, and by that argument proue them
 selues right beleuers. VWherefore until ye proue, that ye succede the Patriarkes, Prophetes, Apostles,
 Martyres, and Christe him selfe in professinge the Truthe, boaste not, as ye doo, of your forefathers.
 For not they whom ye name in your Proeme, but Hus, VVickleffe, Peter Bruse, Berégarius, VValden-
 ses, Albigenes, Donatistes, Aerians, Manicheis, and suche the like Heretikes, iustly condemned of the
 Church, were your forefathers.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge pretendeth Logique, and enoeth in Sophistrie. The Argumente,
 we make, wherewith he plaicth so pleasantly, is founded vpon these wordes of
 Christe: *The Scholare is not above his Maister, nor the Seruaunts above his Lorde: If they
 haue persecuted me, they wil persecute you. Al these things shal they doo vnto you for my names
 sake, because they knowe neither my Father, nor me.* The Minor is this, wee succede the
 Apostles, and Martyres, and are the professors of the Truthe. Whiche Minor, by
M. Hardinges iudgement, wee can neuer proue. But Goddes holy name be blessed
 for euer: The greater parte of Christendome this date seeth this Minor is true,
 and that both we are the professors of Goddes knowen Truthe, and you the pro-
 fessours of manifeste falsehood. Touchinge the Donatistes, and Manicheis, and al-
 other like condemned Heretiques, and Heresies, wee utterly abhorre them, euen as
 the gates of Hell. As for Iohn VVickleffe, Iohn Hulse, Valdo, and the rest, for
 oughte wee knowe, and I beleue, settinge matter aside, for oughte you knowe, they
 were Godly menne. Their greatest Heresie was this, that they complained of the
 dissolute, and vitious liues of the Clergie, of worshippinge of Images, of feined
 Myracles, of the tyrannical pside of the Pope, of Ponties, Frères, Pardons, Pilgr-
 images, and Purgatorie, and other like deeuilinge, and mockinge of the people, and
 that they wished a reformation of the Church. Wee succede not them, nor beare
 their names. Wee succede him, whose worde we profess: whose worde, *M. Har-
 dinge*, thei of your side haue so often condemned, & vnder a colour of false Transla-
 tion haue burnt for Heresie.

Addition. Here *M. Hardinge* addeth farther, VVickleffe helde, that a

Bishop beinge in deadly sinne is no Bishop: That God ought to obdie the Diuel, &c. These and
 other like errours were alleged againste him, fourtie yeres after he was drad,
 and coulde not be present to make his answere.

As touchinge the former of these two Errours, wee defende it not. But if it
 be an Heresie, and an heresie so gilenous as *M. Hardinge* maketh it, why then is not
 Pope Zacharie charged also with like Heresie? For thus he writeth, as it may seme
 to none other pourpose then VVickleffe did: *Quis sapiens iudicabit eos esse Sacerdotes, qui nec à Fornicationibus abstinent? What wise man wil reckon them to be Priestes,
 that abstaine not so muche as from Fornication?* This saietinge is no lesse prejudiciall,

no3

Marth. 10.
Iohan. 15.

Alphonfus de
Heretibus.

Bartholome. A-
bramus in cons-
cil Ferrarien.

M. Hard. fo. 82. b

Conc. Tom. 2. E-
pist. Zacharie
ad Bonifacium
Pag. 454

nor lesse maine to the Clergie of Rome, then the other of VVickleffe. For it is re-
corded for mater of truthe, Pauci sunt illo vitio inueniuntur: There are fewe Priests
founde without that faulte. And so by the iudgement of the Pope him selfe, in the whole
multitude of the Romaine Clergie we maie happen to finde not many Heretikes. If
this Heretic be so horrible in the one, why is it so easly dissembled in the other?

Diff. St. Max-
imians in gloss.

The second Errour, that here is noted, That God ought to obeie the Diuel, hath
neither colour, nor fauour of any truthe. It importeth, that God is weake, & the Di-
uel Omnipotent: or rather, that God is a Creature, & the Diuel is God. Which blas-
phemie the Diuel him self would neuer haue vttered. God be thanked: W. Harding
can allege no suche wordes out of any Booke written by VVickleffe, although he
wrote many. The report of an enemy maketh no proufe. Neither is there any eni-
mie so malicious, no not W. Hardinge him selfe, if he beholde his owne conscience,
that wil beleue it.

But alas, good Christian Reader, were these the Errours,
that so troubled the world, & caused so many Archbishops, Bishops, Abbates,
and Freres, to the number of one thousande and thre hundred, to meet together
in General Council: So certainly, there were other greater Heresies, that vexed
them more. They saide, that the Pope, and his Clergie, by these fewe Articles
of Transubstantiation, and other like fantasies, had deceived the people. They saide,
that in the Holy Sacrament, after the Consecration, there remaineth still, the very
material Substance, of Breade and Wine, as the Ancient Catholique learned Fa-
thers S. Augustine, S. Chrysostome, Theodoretus, and others haue said before them.
They saide, Christe is not in the Sacramente really, or in fleschly manner, or by
the natural presence of his Body. They saide, as the Olde learned Fathers saie,
That the Sacrament by Nature is very Breade, and that the same by wate of a Fi-
gure is Christes Body: And that vndoubtedly these wordes, This is my Body, signifie
a figure, as wel as these wordes, Iohn Baptiste is Elias.

Council. Constans
tium.

Council. Constans.
Sess. 10. 11. Artic. 1
Artic. 3.
Artic. Ioh. Hus.

They saide, It cannot be proued by the Gospel, that Christe ever ordained the
Mass, as then it was vsed. They saide, that a Bishop Excommunicating a Clerke,
appealing either to the King, or to the Parliament, is a traitour both to the King,
and his Countrey. They saide, It was greates folie to put affiance in Popes War-
dons. They saide, It is not necessarie to saluation, to beleue, that the Church of
Rome is the Heade of al other Churches, And that the Pope, is not the nexte, and
immediate Vicare of Christe.

Artic. VVicle-
ffe. 5.
Artic. 12.
Artic. 41.
Artic. 41.

These were the causes, that enflamed the Bishops to so cruel displeasure, to
burne Iohn Husse at the Council of Constance, contrarie to the Emperours safe
Conduite, and their owne special promise: and contrarie to al humanitie, and sense
of Nature, to digge vp, and to burne the body of Iohn VVickleffe fourtie yeres af-
ter he had benne buried.

Hereof we forme our argument in this sort: Christe the Sonne of God was
persecuted, & carried as a Lamme vnto the Slaughtehouse for speaking the Truthe:
Therefore it becometh vs so: the same cause sufferinge the like, to take it with pa-
tience. So saith the S. Peter, Christe died for vs, leauing vs an example, that we should fol-
lowe his steppes. If we suffer patiently for doinge wel, this thinge is thankes woorthy before God.
So the Holy Father and Martyr Ignatius comforted him selfe, when he was in the
midde of his tormentes: Iam incipio esse Discipulus Christi: Nowe beginne I to be
Christis Disciple. So Tertullian: Quid debeo, nisi sanguinem, quem pro me fudit Fili-
us Dei? What thinge owe I els, but the Bloude, whiche the Sonne of God hath shedde for me?
The like comforts in like cases Christe geueth to his Disciples, Reioyce yee (saith he)
and be gladd, for youre rewardes is greates in Heauen. For thus haue they persecuted the Pro-
phets, that were before you.

1 Petr. 2.

Ench. li. 3. ca. 36
Tertul. de Fuga.
in persecut. one.
Matth. 5.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 2.

They crie out vpon vs at this presente euery where, that we are al
Heretiques. & haue forsaken the Faith, & haue with newe persuasions
and wicked learninge vtterly dissolued the conuoyde of the Church.

M.

M. Hardinge.

Vatruthe.

If ye have forsaken the Faith, ye were Baptized in, if ye be gone from the Faith, which Damianus and Fugatus within aule more then one hundred yeres after Christes death, if ye refuse the Faith which Gregorie the Great that holy Pope caused to be preached to our Auncellours the Englishe nation by Augustinus, Melitus, and other holy Priestles, and have thereby dissolved the vnitie of the Catholique Church, and leaue not to mainteine the Doctrine, whereby the same vnitie is dissolved: al this presupposed, wee see not but that this crie made vpon you is true: For then are ye Heretikes in deede.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

As wel this reposit of Eleutherius, as also the other noted in the margin of Augustine, that the one was the firste Apostle of the Britannes, the other of the Englishe, are bothe vntreue. For it is certaine, that the Church of Britannie, nowe called Englande, receiued not first the Faith from Rome. Lucius the Kinge of this Countreis had receiued the Gospel of Christe, and was Baptized welnere one hundred and fiftie yeres befoze the Emperoure Constantine: and the same Constantine the firste Christened Emperour was borne in this Ilande. And notwithstanding Eleutherius the Bishop of Rome, at the Kinges special request, sente hither Fugatus, and Damianus, to enforme the Bishoppes and Clergie, and to bringe thinges to better order, yet vndoubtedly the Church and Faith of Christe had benne planted here a long while befoze they came, either by Ioseph of Arimathæa: or (as Theodoretus writteth) by S. Paule the Apostle passinge this waie into Spaine: or (as Nicephorus saith) by Simon Zelotes: or by the Crakes: or by somme others.

Gildas.

Polydorus.

Theodoret. De

Curandis Graecorum

corum affectibus.

Nicephorus lib. 2. c. 4.

M. Har. fo. 83. b.

Addition. Here M. Iewell (saith M. Hardinge) extremely beliethe Theodoretus, and Nicephorus. *The Answer.* The extremitie of these so horrible Lies, standeth onely in that I saie, Paule the Apostle, and Simon Zelotes were in person within this Ilande, and preached the Gospel: which thing, whether it be true, or no, it weigheth not greatly, I dismis it not. It is alleged by Flacius Illyricus, Iohannes

Centurius 1. li. 2.

cap. 2.

VVigandus, & Mattheus Iudex, and auouched by the Authozitie of Theodoretus, and Sophronius the Patriarke of Hierusalem. Their wordes be these: Theodoretus lib. 9 De curandis Graecorum affectibus indicat, Paulum e priori Captiuitate Roma dimissum Britannis, & alijs in Occidente, Euangelium predicasse, Idem ferè tradit Sophronius Hierosolimitanus Patriarcha. This therefore, good Reader, is not so greate extremitie of Lieinge, sauinge that it liketh M. Hardinge so to calle it. I allegge mine Authoures, and shewe the places. What Copies these Learned menne folowed, I haue not to answer.

Nicephorus lib. 2.

cap. 4.

Againe, where I saie, Simon Zelotes arrived once into this Ilande, & here planted the Gospel, so: proue thereof alleginge the Authozitie of Nicephorus, al this M. Hardinge hath likewise condemned so: an other extreme Lie. Wherein whether of vs tw is more extremely deceived, I am contente him selfe shal be the Judge. The wordes of Nicephorus are these: Simon Zelotes Doctrinam Euangelij ad Occidentalium Oceanum, Insulasque Britannicas perferit: Simon Zelotes carried the Doctrine of the Gospel into the Weaste Ocean Sea, and into the Ilandes of Britannie. If M. Hardinge had weighed the mater better, he would not haue benne so ready in dealinge of Lies.

Galfridus li. 3.

cap. 4.

As for our Augustine of Englande, for it was not S. Augustine the learned Doctor, neither was he so goodly a man, as M. Hardinge maketh him: for as it maie appere by that Galfridus writteth of him, he was cruel, disdesigneful, proude, & arrogant, and no waie meete to be called an Apostle.

Addition. Here M. Hardinge saith, I haue vnder the name of Galfridus, immoderately slandered that Blessed Apostle, so: so he nameth him.

Beda li. 2. cap. 2.

The Answer. But howe Blessed he was, & howe like vnto one of Christes Apostles, it maie appere. In deede al these wordes are not expressly vttered neither by Galfridus, nor by Beda. Howe be it, the whole effect thereof maie sone be founde. First, his pride was apparant in that, he, bringe a mere stranger, lately arrived, & vnacquainted, dissigned to stand by from his Chaire, or to shew any kind of courtisie

Damianus and Fugatus firste Apostles of the Britannes and Melitus of the Englishe.

Addition

(C. 1)

Addition

courtesie to the Bishops of this Realme, appearinge before him at a Synode: which thinge, as Beda witnesseth, they iudged to procede of immoderate stateliness, and in contempte of them. Againe he shewed his crueltie, in that, as it is written in frenche by Thomas Graie, an olde Chronicker, beinge refused of the Christian Brittaines, he enflamed Ethelbertus the Kinge of Kent, to leise his power, and to warre against them, him selfe also beinge in companie, as in the Olde Abstrace of Chroicles it is recorded, and marchinge with him towards the slaughter. Of the bloody crueltie, & extremitie, that there was shewed, Thomas Graie saith thus, They had no more regard of mercie, then a wolfe hath vpon a Shepe. Of y Innocent Christian Soules, that there were slaine, Galfridus saith thus, Mille ducenti eorum in ipsa die Martyrio decorati, regni coelestis adepti sunt sedem: A thousand and two hundred of them beinge that daie benoyed with Martyredome, obtained a seate in the Kingedome of Heaven. Nowe M. Hardinge if they were Saintes, & Martyres, that so were murdered, what sinne ac then was your Augustine, that was the Kasser, & procurer of that murder?

Neither was he the first planter of the Faithe within this Islande. For the Faith was planted here many hundred yeres before his comminge. Tertullian saith of his time, Britannorum inaccessa Romanis loca subduuntur Christo: The countreies of Britannie, which the Romaines could neuer attaine vnto, are nowe subiecte to Christe. Origen saith of his time, Terra Britannia consentit in Religionem Christum: The Land of Britannie hath agreed to Christes Religion. Athanasius of his time saith, Episcopi Africæ vniuersæ, Siciliæ, Sardinia, Hispaniarum, Galliarum, Britanniarum sese ad Concilium contulerunt: The Bishoppes of al Africka, Sicilia, Sardinia, Spaine, Fraunce, and Britannie came thither to the Councel, (holden at Sardica.) Constantinus the Emperour in his time maketh mention of the Christian Churches in Britannie. S. Hilarie in his time intituled his Letter in this wise: Clericis Tolosanis, & Prouinciarum Britannicarum Episcopis: To the Clergie of Toulouse, and to the Bishoppes of Britannie. Chrysostome of his time saith, Et Insula Britannica extra hoc mare sita, & in ipso Oceano positæ senferunt virtutem Verbi Dei: The Ilandes of Britannie beinge in the very Ocean, farre out of this our Sea, haue filte the power of Goddes Woordes. Theodoretus of the time of the Emperour Iouinian saith thus, Huic Fidei consenserunt omnes Ecclesiæ, quæque in Hispania sunt, quæque in Britannia: To this Faithe haue agreed al the Churches, bothe of Spaine and of Britannie. These recordes make some sufficient, if it please M. Hardinge to receiue them. And al, & euery of these liued sundrie hundred yeres before the arrival of Melitus, and Augustine.

If any man shal happen to replie, The Faithe was then vnto rooted out by the inuasion of the Englishe menne beinge Heathens, that mater is already answered in my former Replie to M. Hardinge. Certainly Beda saith, the Quene of England was then Churshened, and that there were then in this Realme seuen Bishoppes, and one Archebishop, with other moe greate learned Christian menne.

As touching this Augustine, we are not bounde to al his doings. Althoughe M. Hardinge allowe him Apostlike authoritie, yet al his heailes were not Gospel. The Church in his time was growen to much corruption, as it maie many waies appeare by sundrie places of S. Gregorie. Writly Beda saith, The Bishoppes, and learned Christians of this Countrey vnto refused to receiue this newe Apostle with his Newe Religion. And yet were they right Catholique, and Godly menne.

And Galfridus saith, Erant septem Episcopatus, & Archiepiscopatus Religiosissimis Præsulibus muniti, & Abbatibus complures, in quibus grex Domini rectum ordinem tenebat: There were then in Englande seuen Bishoprikes, and one Archebishoprike possessed with very godly Prelates, and many Abbies, in which the Lordes flocke helde the right Religion.

Addition. Here (saith M. Hardinge) M. Jewel belicth Beda twise. First he applieth that to the Englishe men, which he spaketh of the Brittaines, namely of seuen Bishoppes, and one Archebishop &c. **The Answer.** If there had benne somme erreure herein, yet M. Hardinge mighte haue vttered it in more courteous manner. Howe be it in dede it is not so. I speake not one woerde of Englishe Bishoppes, but onely of the Bishoppes

Thomas Graie.

The Abstracie of Chroicles.

Galfridus, li. 2. cap. 4.

Tertul. contra Iudæos.

Origen in Exe-chiel. Homil. 4.

Athanas. Apolog. 2a secunda.

Theodoret. Hist. Eccl. li. 1. ca. 10.

Hilarus De Synodis.

Chrysost. in Homilia. Quod Christus sit Deus.

Theodoret. Hist. Eccl. li. 4. ca. 3.

Art. 3. Dñi. 24. Beda. li. 1. ca. 26.

Beda. li. 2. ca. 2.

Beda. li. 2. ca. 26.

Galfridus li. 2. cap. 4.

M. Hard. fo. 83. b.

Damian and Fug-tius frith Apollon the Britan Angulus and Melit of the Englishe.

Addition

Addition

Addition

Bishoppes of the Brittaines, who, as *M. Hardinge* wel knoweth, and as it may also appeare by *Galsfridus*, and *Beda*, were then the Bishoppes, and the onely Bishoppes of this Countre.

M. Har. fo. 14.

Beda lib. 2. ca. 2.

*Priscus abdica-
re moribus,
deserere suas
traditiones.*

Yet is there here (saith *M. Hardinge*) an other Lie. For they refused to obey him as their Arch-bishop: They refused not his Religion. Certainly, good Reader, the wordes of *Beda* seme plaine to the contrarie. *The Brittaines* (saith he) made answer, that they could not leave their Ancient vsages without the consent of their Fellowes. They came to an Holp man, and demanded his aduise, whether at this *Augustines* requeste, they shoulde forsake, and geue over their olde Traditions. He answered them, If he be a prowde man it is certaine, he is not of God, neither oughte we to care for his word. In the ende he saith, *Canctis, quæ dicebat, contradicere laborabant: They withstood him, in al that he saide.* I trowe, he saide some what els, besides his Archebishopshe, and his shalke: otherwise his heade had benne very ambitious.

M. Har. fo. 83. b.

But *M. Hardinge* addeth further, It is wel known that I haue saene a certaine Booke, whiche he calleth the Returne of Vntruthes, and that one of the same was presented vnto me at Oxforde, at what time the *Quenes Maestie* was there in Progress: And wel *M. Hardinge* is assured hereof, I cannot saie. It may passe in companie with the reste of his Truthes. I assure this, good Reader, there was neuer such Booke offered to me, neither at Oxforde, nor elswhere, neither did I euer reade one line thereof in al my life.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 3.

That wee reuewe, and, as it were, fetche againe from Hel the Olde & many a date condemned Heresies: that we sowe abroade newe sectes, and sutch broples as neuer earlie were hearde of: also that wee are already diuided into contrarie partes and opinions, and coulde yet by no meanes agree wel amonge our selues.

M. Hardinge.

Siue that yee raise vp againe the Heresie of *Aërius* in denyinge praier for the deade, who was for the same accompted an Heretike eleuen hundred yeeres passe: siue that yee raise vp the Heresie of *Manicheus* that liued before him, in takinge awaie Free wil: siue that yee raise vp the Heresie of *Vigilantius*, in refusinge to praie to Saintes, and to honour their holy Reliques, and to keepe Lightes in Churches to the honour of God, and many other Heresies beside of old time condemned: siue that yee raise vp the Heresies of *Berengarius* in denyinge the presence of Christes very Body in the blessed Sacrament of the Altar: and siue that yee adde to those moe Heresies of your owne, as the appointing of the supreme Pastorship or regiment of the Church in al thinges and causes spiritual to a laic Magistrate, the denyinge of the external Sacrifice of the Church, whiche wee cal the Masse, the maintenance of the breach of Vowes, of Pueritie, Chastitie, and Obedience: Againe siue that your Diuision into sundrie sectes can neither be dissembled nor defended, whereof wee shal speake hereafter more largely: al these thinges beside sundrie other of like enormitie bringe true, as they be moste true, this other crie made vpon you is true.

That those
Defenders
be renew-
ers of olde
Heresies.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Artic. 3. Dini. 6.

This heape is greete in shewe, and light in substance. Touchinge *Berengarius*, gentle Reader, for shortnesse sake I muste referre the to my former Replie to *M. Hardinge*. *Aërius* the Arian Heretique, the Breche of *Towes*, the Dissension of *Judgementes* in Religion, shalbe answered (God willinge) hereafter, eche mater severally in his place. We flatter not our Prince with any newe imagined extraordinary power, but onely geue him that prerogative and Chieftie, that euermore hath benne betwe vnto him by the ordinance, & word of God: that is to saie, to be the Surce of Gods Religion: to make Lawes for the Church: to heare & to take vp causes, and questions of the Faith, if he be hable: or otherwile to commit them ouer by his authoritie vnto the learned: to commande the Bishops & Priests to do their duties, and to pounishe sutch as be offenders. Thus the goodly Emperour *Constantinus* late in Judgement in a cause Ecclesiastical, betwene *Cicilianus*, and *Donatus à Calis Nigris*, and in the ende him selfe pronounced Sentence. Greater authoritie then *Constantinus* the Emperour had and vled, our Princes require none. This, I truste, hitherto is no greate Heresie.

*August. contra
Cresc. li. 3. ca. 71*

S. Hierome repponed *Vigilantius*, for that he founde faulte with the Vigils, or night

night wakes, that then were bled, with Praying to Saints, with Whipping of Reliques, with Lightes; and other such like weightie matters. Touching which whole controversie, Erasmus geneth this Judgement: In hunc ita conuijs debachatur Hieronymus, vt plusculum in eo modestie cogar desiderare. Vtinam argumentis tantum egisset, & a conuijs temperasset: *Againste this Vigilantius S. Hierome saileth, that I wante in him somme peece of sobrietie. I woulde rather, he had deale with argument, and had spared his railing.*

Erasm. in praefatio in Epistolam Hieronimi contra Vigilant.

Of prayer to Saints, and Lightes, we shal speake hereafter. Night wakes afterwarde were condemned, as I remember, in the Councel of Carthage, & so Sentence geuen by the Church with this greates Heretique Vigilantius againste S. Hierome. Clericly the Fathers in a former Councel holden at Eliberis in Spaine decreed thus: Placuit prohiberi ne foeminae in coemeterio peruigilent: quia (xpc sub obsecrationis scelera latenter committunt). *It liketh vs that Women be forbidden to wathe at the place of burial: For often vnder pretence of prayer, priuily they committe wickednesse. To be shorte, if Vigilantius were an Heretique for reprobuinge of Nighte Watches, why hathe the Church of Rome so longe sthence condemned & abolished the same Watches, agreeably to Vigilantius, and contrarie to the Judgement of S. Hierome?*

Council. Eliberi. Cap. 31.

Reliques were subiecte to muche villanie, and are welneare worat out of them selfe.

The Manicheis amonge other their fantastical errors were wont to saie, that the body of Man was made, not by God, but by the Angels of the Diuel, which they called Gentem tenebrarum: and that in Man there be two soules of contrarie nature: the one of the substance of God: the other of the substance of the Diuel: and that either soule contineweth still as it is, and cannot alter: That is to saie, that the god soule can neuer be ill: and that the ill soule can neuer be good. And in this sense they saide, that Man hathe no free wil.

August. de continent cap. 9. August. contra duas epistolas Iulian. Li. 2. cap. 2. August. ad Quodvultdeum

All these, and other like errors we abhorre & detest, as frantique furies. We saie, that the soule of Man is not the substance, but the Creature of God: and that it maie be changed from god to ill: from ill to god: that Dauid maie saie: that Paule maie rise: that God geueth vs a newe harte, and a newe Spite within our breastes.

But as touching the freedome of wil, & power of our selues, we saie with S. Augustine, O malum Liberum Arbitrium sine Deo: O euil is Free Wil without God. As gaue, Libero Arbitrio male vtens homo, & se perdidit, & Arbitrium: *Man misusinge his Free Wil, spileth bothe him selfe, and his Wil.* Againe, Quid tantum de Naturae possibilitate praesumitur? Vulnerata, saucia, vexata, perdit est. Vera confessione, non falsa defensione opus habet: *What doo menne so muche presume of the Possibilitie of Nature? It is wounded, it is mangled, it is troubled, it is loste. It behooueth vs rather truly to confesse it, then falsely to defende it.* Againe, Liberum Arbitrium captiuatum, non nisi ad peccatum valet: *Free wil once made thralle, auaieth nowe nothinge but to sinne.* Againe, Quod bene viuimus, quod recte intelligimus, Deo debemus. Nostrum nihil est, nisi peccatum, quod habemus: *That wee liue wel, that wee vnderstande aright, wee haue it of God. Of our selues wee haue nothinge, but onely sinne, that is within vs.*

August. de Verb. Apostoli, serm. 11. August. in Enchiridio, cap. 30. August. De Natura & Gratia cap. 33. August. ad Bonifaciu. Li. 3. cap. 8. August. de Verbis Apostoli. Ser. 10.

The better to cleare this whole case, I thoughte it good to vse the moe wordes. Thus maie we learne to knowe our selues, and humbly to confesse our imperfection, and to geue the whole glorie vnto God.

Therefore to conclude, S. Augustine saith, Nos volumus: Sed Deus in nobis operatur velle. Nos operamur: Sed Deus in nobis operatur & operari pro bona sua voluntate. Hoc nobis expedit & credere & dicere. Hoc est pium: hoc est verum: vt sit humilis, & submissa Confessio, & detur totum Deo. Tutiores viuimus, si totum Deo damus, non autem nos illi ex parte, & nobis ex parte committimus: *Wee wil: but it is God, that woorketh in vs to wil. Wee woork: but it is God, that woorketh in vs to woork, according to his good pleasure. This is behoouefull for vs both to beleue, and to speake: This is a Godly, this is a True Doctrine, that our Confession maie be humble, and lowly, and that God maie haue the whole. Wee liue in more safetie, if wee geue al vnto God, rather then if wee committe oure selues partly to our selues, and partly to him.*

August. De Bono perseverant. c. 13. Cap. 6.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 4.
That wee be accursed Creatures, and use the Spantes doo warre
against God him selfe, and line cleane without any regarde of
Whippinge of God.

M. Hardinge.

What yee be, God knoweth, and your owne conscience shoulde knowe. Our Lorde amende
bothe you and vs. But to saie somewhat to that your gylt minde imagineth the VVorlde to reporte
of you, as they whiche take away and abhorre the external Sacrifice, wherein Christe according to his
owne Institution, is offered to his Father, make no warre against God: if they whiche make Christe
a Minister of Shadows, Signes, Figures, they, whiche feare not to breake their solemne
Yowes made to God, and defende the same as wel done: they, whiche assure them selues of their Sal-
uation, and therefore liue dissolutely without due care and feare of God: If (I saie) they be not cursed
Creatures, and like Gyautes that warre against God, then are ye cleare of this charge.

Luc. 11.
1. Col. 11.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

To answer Jhs with Jhs, and wordes with wordes, it were greafe folie.
Therefore leauinge the answer of wordes, and assurance of Saluation to their se-
neral places, first we denie not the Sacrifice of Christ. Christ onely vpon his Crosse
is our whole and onely Sacrifice for sinne, & beside him we haue none other. Howe
be it, I haue spoken herof more at large in my former Reple to M. Hardinge.

In the 17. Article.

Johan. 1.

Tertul. contra

Martion, lib. 4.

Augu. in 1. al. 3.

Augu. contra

Ad mantum.

Cap. 12.

Neither make we Christe, as it liketh M. Hardinge to saie, a Minister of Signes
and Figures. We knowe, that Christ is the fulfilling & perfection of the Lawe,
and that Grace, and Truthe are wrought by him. Yet neuertheless we saie, that
the Sacramentes of the Newe Testamente are Signes, and Figures. The Olde
father Tertullian expoundeth Christes wordes in this sort, Hoc est Corpus me-
um, Hoc est Figura Corporis mei: This is my Body, that is to saie, This is a Figure of my
Body. S. Augustine saith, Christus adhibuit Iudam ad Conuiuium, in quo Cor-
poris & Sanguinis sui Figuram Discipulis suis commendauit, & tradidit: Christe recei-
ued Iudas vnto his Banket, whereas he deliuered to his Disciples the Figure of his Body, and
Bloude. And againe, Non dubitauit Dominus dicere, Hoc est Corpus meum, cum
daret Signum Corporis sui: Christe doubted not to saie, This is my Body, whereas he gaue a
Token of his Body.

I leave other Holy Fathers of like wordes and sense welneare innumerable.
Yet were thei neither Spantes, nor Rebels against God, nor accursed Creatures.
If they had neuer vsed theise wordes, nor called the Sacramente the Figure, or
Token of Christes Body, then might M. Hardinge haue benne bolde to saie somme-
what, and to leade a waie his Reader with a Tragical exclamation of Signes, and
Figures. Howe be it, be him selfe, as I haue shewed in my former Answer, in
the Exposition of theise wordes of Christe, This is my Body, and other like phrases
incider vnto the same, to anolde one vsual and common Figure, is forced to wiste
him selfe into thirtie other vnnecessarie and chilothe Figures: as knowing that not
so muche as his open vntruthes can wel stande without Figures.

Art. 12. Dial. 16

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 6.

That wee despise al good deides: that wee vse no discipline of Ver-
tue, no Lawes, no Customs: that wee esteeme neither right, nor order,
nor equitie, nor Justice: that wee geue the bydel to al naughtinesse, and
prouoke the people to al licentiousnesse and luste,

M. Hardinge.

Ye teache men to false for policie, not for Religion. And by your Statute of VVednesdayes faste, False for
who so euer shal write or saie that forbearinge of Fleashe is a Seruice of God, otherwise then as other
politike lawes are, they shal be punished as spreaders of false Newes are and ought to be: VVhen yee
Preache onely Fairthe not to remoue the merite of woorkes before Baptisme, as S. Paule meant it, but
also after Baptisme: VVhen ye take awaie the Sacramente of Confession and Absolution, geue ye not Roman.
t'e bydel to al naughtinesse? Doo not some of your Gospellinge maidens of London refuse to serue,
excepte they may haue libertie to heare a Sermon before noone, and a Plaie at after noone?

False for
policie. See
Pag. 2.
Epist. ad
Rom.
Bridle gen.
to lewde
by this in
Gospel.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I thoughte M. Hardinge had knowen a difference betwene Fastinge, and Ab-
stinence, or chosse of meates. True Fastinge is a Religious worke, or deined to re-
stie

Wise our humble, and to make the fleashe the more obediente unto the Sprite, that two may be the quicker to please, & to al good works. But Abstinence from this or that meate with opinion of Holinesse, Superstitious it may easily make a man, but Holy it can not. S. Paule saith, Cibus non commendat Deo: It is not meate that maketh vs acceptable vnto God. Againe, It is good to confirme the harte with Grace, and not with meates: Wherein they that haue walked, haue founde no profite. The meate serueth for the Belly, and the Belly for the meate: The Lorde wil destroye them bothe. And againe, The Kingedome of God is not Meate, and Drinke. Likewise Christe saith, The thinge that entereth into the mouthe, defileth not the man.

Hereby it is easie to see, that Fastinge is one thing, and Abstinence from Fleashe is an other. The Nazaries in the Olde Testament abstained not from Fleashe, and yet they fasted. Elias was fedde with Fleashe, Iohn the Baptiste ate the Fleashe of Locustes: and yet they bothe fasted. Socrates saith, That many Christians in the Lente season did eate fishe, and Birdes: many abstained vntil thre of the clocke in the after noone, & then receiued al kindes of meate, either Fishe, or Fleashe, without difference. Likewise Epiphanius saith, Some eate al kindes of Birdes, or Fowle, abstaining onely from the Fleashe of fouer footed beastes. And yet they kept their Lente truly, & fasted as wel as any others. Wherefore Abstinence from any one certaine kind of meate is not of it selfe a worke of Religion to please God, but onely a mere positive policie. S. Augustine saith, Non querro quo Vescaris, sed quo Delecteris: I demaunde not, what thou Eatest, but wherein thou hast Pleasure. And S. Hierome saith of the Manicheis, Ieiunant illi quidem: Sed illorum Ieiunium est saturitate deterius: They Fast in deede: but their Fastinge is worse, then if they filled their Bellies.

Of onely Faste, and Confession, we shal speake hereafter.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 7.

That wee laboure and seeke to ouerthrowe the state of Monarchies and Kingedomes, and to bringe al thinges vnder the rule of the rawe inconstant People, and vnlerned multitude.

M. Hardinge.

Can Monarkes and Princes seeme to be maintained by your sectes, who teache the people to rebel for pretended Religion? Allowe ye the Monarchie of the Romaine Empire, who so muche complaine in your Apologie, that the Pope made Charlemaigne Emperoure of the VVeste? Hathe the Queene of Scotlande cause to praise the proceedings of your Gospel, through occasion whereof shee ruleth not her Subiectes, but is rather ruled of her Subiectes?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here is an other greates Antitrueth amonge the reste. For M. Hardinge righte wel knoweth, that we neuer armed the people, nor taught them to rebel for Religion againste the Prince. If any thinge haue at any time happened otherwise, it was either somme wilful rage, or somme fatal furie: It was not our Counsell: it was not our Doctrine. We teache the people, as S. Paule dothe, to be subiecte to the higher powers, not onely for feare, but also for conscience. We teache them, that who so striketh with the Swerde by private authoritie, shal perishe with the Swerde. If the Prince happen to be wicked, or cruel, or burthenous, we teache them to saue, with S. Ambrose, Arma nostra sunt Preces, & Lachrymæ: Teares, and Prayers be our Weapons. Notwithstandinge, what rebellion hathe benne moued in Englande by somme of your side, in the late Raignes of Kinge Henrie the eight, & Kinge Edwarde the first in defense of your Religion, ye maye wel remember.

Addition



Here M. Hardinge hathe shortly shuffled together a whole troope of wordes, written, or spoken by Luther, Melancton, or others, in somme parte true, in moste parte false, and quite rachte from their meaning: but in no parte touching any parte of our Doctrine. Neither dothe any of al these teache the people to rebelle againste their Prince, but onely to defende them selues by al lawfull meanes againste oppression, as did Dauid againste Kinge Saule. So do the Nobles in France at this date. They sake not to kille, but to saue their owne lines, as they haue openly protested by publike writings vnto the worlde.

It is

As for

1 Cor. 14.

Hebr. 13.

1 Cor. 6.

Rom. 14.

Marth. 15.

3. Regum. 17.

Marth. 3.

Socra. li. 1. ca. 22.

Cassiodor. lib. 9.

Cap. 33.

Epiph. de He-

ref. lib. 3. in O-

ratione de fide

Catholica, oi

μὴν τετραπύ-

δων μένου

ἀπὸ χορταί,

λαμβάνουσι

τὴν ἐσθλάν.

Augustin.

Hieronim. in

Ioclem. cap. 1.

Roman. 13.

Matth. 26.

Ambrosius.

M. Hard. fo. 24. b

As for vs, we are strangers vnto these cases. They them selues are best acquainted with the Lawes, and Constitutions of their Countrey: and therefore are best able to yeelde account of the groundes, and reasons of their doings. But let *M. Hardinge* shewe vs, in so many Kingedomes, and Countreies, that haue withdrawn them selues from the obedience of the Pope, what one Prince hath there euer benne removed for Religions sake by the Professours of the Gospel. Where maie he finde the very paterne, and practise of our Doctrine. Perhappes many good Princes maie be founde, that haue benne removed by the Pope, and by others of his deuotion.

I will not speake of enterprises attempted within this Countrey. And yet is it knowen what hath benne donne, and at whose becke, and in whose behalfe.

M. Hardinge fol. 87. a

Facian. part. 7.

*Reginaldus
Polus Lib. 3.*

*Niccol. Machia-
uellus Florenti-
nus.*

*159. 6. Nos Sa-
lorum Ead. A-
lius.*

M. Hardinge fol. 87. a

But, for as muche as you saie, VVee openly proteste before God, and the worlde, that wee condemne, and desie al suche attemptes. I meane that any subiecte, or subiectes, whatsoever of their owne priuate Authoritie should take Armes against their Prince for matters of Religion: How like you then the attemptes of Thomas Arundel the Archbishop of Canturburie, againste *Richarde 2.* Kinge of Englande? you wil saie, It was no Matter of Religion: yet can you not saie, but the saide Archbishop was a Subiecte, and Kinge *Richarde* was his Prince. How like you the late Insurrection in the North, in the time of Kinge *Henrie 8.* who kindled that fire? who raised that tempeste? whose right was attempted? whose crowne was assaulted? had Protestantes the leadinge of those bandes? Was *VViate* the General of that fildes: howe like you the Counsel of Cardinal *Poole*, in his imagined Oration to *Charles* the Emperoure, callinge backe his Maestie from the Turke, to leaue al other affaires, and to bende his banners againste Englande, and encouraginge the Subiectes of this Realme boldly to rebelle againste their Prince? There be in Englande (saith he) whole Legions of men, that haue not bowed their knee to Baal. If thou once arrive there, O Emperour, God wil bringe them to thy hande. Englishe menne are a people that oftentimes haue deposed their Kings for lighter causes &c. They haue stil the same courage &c. Nothinge staies them from reuenging so greate wronges by their Kings committed, but onely the waitinge for your Maestie &c. The Booke is abroade in printe, and maie be seene. I shewe you onely a fewe examples out of the heape, and, for that thei be odious, I haue rather touched them lightly, then laide them open.

Nicolas Machiavel, in his Italian Historie saith, that the Bishoppes of Rome them selues through their Ambition, and crueltie, haue raised suche deadly discorde, and bloudy warres betwene Christian Princes, as fewe the like haue benne seene in Christendome these many yeres. Yet wil you protest openly againste them al? Againste your Archebishoppes, againste your Cardinalles, and againste the Pope him selfe, the Successour of Peter, and the Vicare of Christe? Will you condemne, and desie their attemptes before God, and before the worlde? How then if the Pope this daie, to recover his losses, would release the Natural Subiectes of this Realme, from their Othe, and allegiance to the Quenes Maestie, as he hath often donne, as wel here, as in other Countreies, and saith, He maie iustly doo it by the right of his Office: what would you then do your selfe, *M. Hardinge*? or what would you aduise your frendes to do? why should you dissemble: you would do euen as your Fathers haue done before you.

But what meane you to deale so nicely in this matter? you saie, You proteste before God, and the worlde: you condemne and desie al suche attemptes. A man woulde thinke, yet speake in earnest. Wherefore then haue you set so favourable a construction to these wordes? I meane (saie you) that any Subiecte, or Subiectes what so euer, of their owne Priuate authoritie, should take armes against their Prince for matters of Religion. Wherefore excepte you onely the case of Religion? It is lawfull, by your iudgemente, for the Subiecte in any other case, either of Life, or of Gouvernemente, to arme him selfe againste his Prince: and would you thus perswade the people? is this your Religion? is this your Doctrine?

Or what meane you, By their owne Priuate Authoritie: maie then the Subiecte arme

arme him selfe against his Prince, by the Common abuse, and by the Publique Authority of the Realme: If so, wherefore then blame you the Nobles of Scotlande? For what soever was donne there, a fewe onely excepted, was donne by the Consente, & agreement of the whole. But perhaps by Private Authority, you meane, what soever is attempted in this behalfe, without the Authority of the Pope: to whome you haue geuen the righte, and Authority of Bothe Swerdes, Spiritual, and Temporal: and from whome, you saie, the Kinges, and Princes of the world haue receiued their Power. Verily the Pope him selfe saith, *Materialis gladius stringendus est manu Regum, & Militum, sed ad nutum, & patientiam Sacerdotis*: The Material, or Temporal Swerde muste be drawen by the handes of Kinges, and Souldiers (how best, not by the Princes owne Authority, but) at the becke, and patience of the Priest: by which Priest, is meante the Pope. And what soever is donne at his becke, hath Authority sufficient, & is wel donne. But greates was the patience of the Princes, and Powers of the world, that coulde so longe, and so quietly beare his beckinge. Now P. Hardinge, if you thinke it lawfull for Subiectes to drawe the Swerdes in Defense of the Pope, why maie you not also thinke it lawfull for them, to defende them selues in Defense of Christe?

M. Harri. Confu.
rat fol. 247. b.
248. b.
De Maiorit. &
Obedient. Vnam
sanctam.

The displacinge of the Emperoure of Constantinople, and the placinge of Charlemaigne the French Kinge, serueth P. Hardinge to smal purpose: onlesse it be to disclose the Popes conspiracie against the Emperour. Certainly, as any man maie sensibly see, it was the aduancinge of the Pope, the strengtheninge of the Saracenes, and after of the Turkes, and the Division, and dissolution of the State of Christendome. Platina saith: *Ab hoc tempore perijt & potestas Imperatorum, & virtus Pontificum*: After this time the power of the Emperours, and the Holinesse of the Popes were bothe losse.

Platina in A.
driano. 2.

Touchinge the Queene of Scotlande, I will saie nothinge: The Kingedames, and States of the world haue sundrie agreements, and compositions. The Nobles, and Commones there, neither drew the Swerde, nor attempted force against the Prince. They sought onely the continuance of Goddes vndoubted Truthe, and the Defense of their owne liues against your Barbarous & cruel Inuasions. They remembred, besides al other warninges, your late dealing at Vassei, where as great numbers of their Brethren were suddainely murthered, beinge together at their Prayers in the Church, and holdinge by their Innocente handes to Heauen, and callinge vpon the name of God. Achab saide sometime to the Prophete Elias: *Thou art he, that troublest the whole Countrey of Israel*. But Elias made him answere, *It is not I, that trouble the Countrey: It is thou, and thy Fathers house, whiche haue forsaken the commandementes of the Lorde, and haue followed after Baalim*.

At Vassei. An.
na. 1562.

3 Regum. 17.

Addition

Addition. ¶ VWhat is a Lie, (saith P. Hardinge) if this be none? The Answer. In dede the Nobles, and Commones of Scotlande were in the fielde: we denie it not. So was Dauid in the fielde againste Kinge Saul. They stode in armour, not to innade, or attempte force against their Prince, but onely to defende them selues, as by waie of retiere. And therefore they withdrew them selues with their power into the Marches of England, not for wante of strength, or courage, (for they had then double moe men in the fielde, then came againste them) but onely for reuerence of their Prince, that came vpon them: lest they should be forced by rage of their enemies, and furie of warre to strike the Anointed of the Lorde. Such troubles haue often happened, in many Countreies, as by sundrie Stories it maie appeare.

The Subiecte is bounde to obey his Prince: how be it not in al thinges without exception: but so far as Goddes glorie is not touched. These Nobles had learned of S. Peter, *It is better to obey God, then man*: And of the Prophete Dauid, *Better it is to truste to God, then to truste in Princes*. For they are mortal, and shal die: theire Swarte shalbe taken from them, and then shal they retorne into the Earth. Neither maie a godly Prince take it as any dishonour to his estate, to see God obeyed before him. For he is not God, but the Minister of God. Leo saith, *Christus quæ Dei sunt, Deo,*

Actor. 9.
1. sol. 118.
1. sol. 146.

Leo De Passione
Domi. Sermon. 10.

Ambros. Lib. 5.
Epi. 33.

Deo, quæ Cæsaris sunt, Cæsari reddenda constituit, &c. Hoc est verè non impugnare Cæsarem, sed iurare: *Christe commaunded, that is dewe vnto God, to be genen to God: that is dewe vnto Cæsar, to be genen to Cæsar. Verily this is not to rebel againste, but to helpe Cæsar.* Likewise S. Ambrose, bringe him selfe in manner a Capitaine vnto the people in Goddes quarrel against Valentinian the Emperoure: *Quid præsentius dici potuit à Christianis viris, quàm id, quod hodie in nobis Spiritus Sanctus loquutus est? Rogamus, Auguste, non pugnamus: Non timemus, sed Rogamus? What could be more boldly spoken of Christian menne, then that the holy Ghoste spake in you this daie? (Thus ye saide) Wee beseeche thee Noble Emperour, wee fight not. Wee feare thee not, but wee beseeche thee.*

To conclude, the Queene of Scotlande is still in quiet possession of her estate: and is obiect of her Subiectes, so far as is convenient for goodly people to obeye their Prince.

Addition. ¶ Here (saith M. Hardinge) what wil you sticke to saie, or write, which doe saie, write, and sette out in Printe suche a palpable, and manifest falsehood: suche, I saie, as euen the Tankerdebearers in London can witnesse against you? *The Answer.* ¶ *Addition*

At the time of the wrytinge, and firste entrie into the printinge of my Booke, these wordes were true. For then was the Queene of Scotlande in the full possession of her estate. Neither could I prophesie, what thinges would folowe. Shortly afterwarde the whole case was altered, as it is known. In the ende of the Printe, by so great fulnesse, this place escape my handes without correction, and so slippe awaie vnwares, as it was printed at the firste. In dede as I could not foresee the restraint of the saide Queenes libertie whiche folowed, but wrote of her state, as it then presently was, as in dede doinge, trulle I could doe none other: so could I not foresee the causes that occasioned that alteration. For that the Kinge should shortly after the time of my wrytinge be so murdered, & the house where he lodged blowen by with powder, that a wicked man, accompted the certaine Authour of that Parricidal murder, hauinge him selfe a wife yet liuinge, should attaine to the Marriage of the same Queene, whose husbände he had so traitterously slaine, were thinges vnknewen vnto me, and vnto al men also when I did wryte this: and what way, the Nobles and house of the Realme, would take for the safetie of the yonge Prince, who seemed to all men to be in greate daunger, was likewise vnknewen vnto me. And M. Hardinge knoweth right wel, that these were the occasions of the alteration of the state, from that it was when I did wryte, and not Religion, whiche might wel be knowne by that, that many, of the saide Queenes Religion were against her, and many Protestantes were and are her friends. And it seemeth, that M. Hardinge so openly protestinge before God, and the world, that they condemne and desiee suche attemptes, that any subiectes should of their owne Private Authoritie, take armes against their Prince, by his exceptinge of maters of Religion onely, should thinke it reason that Parricides, Murders, and shedding of bloude, specially bloude Royal, Rapes, Incestes, and suche like, should not passe without al controlment. Surely God hath not suffered suche great faultes to escape unpunished euen in Princes, as doeth, wel appeare by the examples of Quene Iesabel in Israel, Quene Iohanne in Napels, Kinge Tarquine in Rome: whome for theire great wickednes, God, by stirring their owne subiectes against them, depriued of their Princely estates. For Princes also are Goddes subiectes, against whome, for their offences against his Maiestie, he proceedeth as wel, as against the basest sortes of men, by suche waies, as to his heavenly wisdom it seemeth good.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 7.

That wee haue seditiously fallen from the Catholique Church, and by a wicked schisme and diuision haue shaken the whole worlde, and troubled the common peace and vniuersal quiet of the Church: & that, as Dathan and Abiron conspired in times paste against Moyses & Aaron, euen so wee at this daie haue renounced the Bishop of Rome, without any cause reasonable.

M. Har.

M. Hardinge.

Before Luthers time all Christen people came together peaceably into one Church, vnder one Heade, as Sheepe into one folde vnder one Shepheard, and so liued *Unanimes in domo*, in one accorde. But after that Sathan, who at the beginninge begyled Eue, had perswaded some to taste of the poysoned apple of Luthers Newe Doctrine: they went out from vs, who were not of vs (for if they had benne of vs, they had remained with vs) forsooke the Catholike Church of Christe, sorted them selues into Synagoges of Antichriste, withdrewen them selues from obedience towardes their Pastour and Iudge, and sundred them selues into diuerse Sectes. This schisme, diuision, and conspiracie against the Head Shepheard, is nolesse wicked, then that of Dathan and Abiron against Moyse and Aaron was. For as God commanded Moyse and Aaron to be obeyed of the children of Israel, so Christ commaunded al his Shepe to obey and heare the voice of him, whome in Peter, and succedinge Peter, he made Shepheard ouer his whole flocke.

Grete Vn-
truthes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Before the time that Goddes holy wil was, that Doctour Luther should begin after so longe time of ignorance, to publishe the Gospel of Christe, there was a general quietnesse: I graunte: sutch as in the night season, when folke be asleepe. Yet, I thinke, to continue sutch quietnesse, no wise man wil wishe to sleepe still.

Ye say, They haue forsaken the Catholique Church: They went from vs, who were not of vs. Nay rather, W. Hardinge, we are returned to the Catholique Church of Christe: and haue forsaken you, because you haue manifestly forsaken the wales of God. But what if a man would a litle put you friendly in remembrance: Sir, it is not so longe sithence your selfe were out of your owne Catholique Church: and so were gonne out from your selfe: because your selfe were not of your selfe. For if your selfe had benne of your selfe, you would haue remained better with your selfe. It is no wisdom, in carpinge others, to offer occasion against your selfe. I beseeche God to geue you grace, that you may Redire ad cor, and returne againe to your selfe. But here you bringe in a grete many Antruthes in a thronge together. You saie, that as God commaunded the people of Israel to obey Aaron, so Christe commaunded al his Shepe to obey the Pope succedinge Peter: You saie, Christe made the Pope Shepheard ouer his whole flocke: You cal him our Pastour, and our Iudge: you cal him the head Shepheard: and so prouise hereof, for some countenance of Truthe, ye allegge the one and twentieth Chapter of S. Iohn, in whiche whole Chapter notwithstandinge, ye are not able to finde, neither any sutch commaundement of Christe: nor any mention of Peters Successour: nor Al his Shepe: nor Shepheard ouer his whole flocke: nor our Pastour: nor our Iudge: nor our Headshepheard. It is mutche to repozte Antruthe of a man. But to repozte Antruthe of Christe, and of his Holy Woorde, and that willingly, and wittingly, and without feare, some menne thinke it to be the sinne against the Holy Ghoste.

As for these wordes, *Feed me my Sheepe, Feed me my Lammes*, they pertaine as wel to other the Apostles, as to Peter. Christe saide generally to al his Disciples, *Goe ye into al the world, and preach the Gospel.* And Paule saith of him selfe, *Ego plus omnibus laboraui: I haue taken more paines (and moze ledded the flocke) then al the rest.* Surely me thinketh it is a weake kinde of reasoninge, to saie thus, Christe bade Peter feede his Shepe, Ergo, he made him headshepheard ouer al the worlde.

Matthe. 28.
1. Corinthe. 15.

But if this whole prerogative hange of feedinge the flocke, what then is the Pope fæde not? What if he neuer minde to fæde, as thinkinge it no parte of his office? To conclude, what if he be utterly ignorant, as many haue benne, and cannot fæde? Yet muste he needes be the headshepheard ouer the whole flocke: and must al the Shepe obey him, and heare his voice, that cannot speake? Nextly S. Augustine saith, *Qui hoc animo pascunt oues Christi, ut suas velint esse, non Christi, se conuincuntur amare, non Christum, vel gloriandi, vel dominandi, vel acquirendi cupiditate: Who so euer they be, that Feede the sheepe to the ende to make them theirs, and not Christe, they loue them selues, and not Christe, for desire either of glorie, or of rule, or of gaine.*

Augustin. in 100
ban. Tracta. 123.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 8.

That wee set naught by the authoritie of the Auncient Fathers and Councils of olde time: that wee haue rashly and presumptuously disanulled

disanulled the olde Ceremonies, which haue benne wel allowed by our Fathers and Forfathers many hundred yeres paste, both by good customes, and also in ages of more puritie: and that wee haue by our owne private head without the authoritie of any Sacred and General Council brought Newe Traditions into the Church: and haue donne al these thinges not for Religions sake, but onely vpon a Desire of contention and strife. But that they for their parte haue chaunged no manner of thinge, but haue helde and kept stil sutch a number of yeres to this very daie al thinges, as they were deliuered from the Apostles, and wel approued by the most Auncient Fathers.

M. Hardinge.

The auncient Fathers are but men, if they please you not. But if ye finde any colour of aduantage but in the newe Schoolemen, ye make muche of it. So that your owne opinion is the rule to esteeme them, or despise them. Councils ye admitte as your phansie and pleasure leadeth, sometimes three, sometimes foure, sometimes five or sixe. But al ye would neuer admitte: and yet so many, as are general and haue bene confirmed by the See Apostolike, they are al of like authoritie. Concerninge Ceremonies, if ye shewe vs not the vse of Chrisme in your Churches, if the signe of the Crosse be not borne before you in Processions, and otherwheres vsed, if Holy VVater be abolished, if lightes at the Gospel and Communion be not had, if peculiar Vestimentes for Deacons, Priests, Bishoppes be taken awaie, and many suche other the like: iudge ye, whether ye haue duly kepte the olde Ceremonies of the Church.

As for your newe Traditions, Rites and Ceremonies, I can not tel, what to make of them, nor whether I maie so terme them.

No manner of thinge haue wee changed, that is of necessitie either to be beleued, or to be obserued.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

W^e allow the Auncient Fathers the same credite, that they them selues haue ever desired. S. Augustine hereof w^riteth thus: Neque quorumuis Disputationes, quantumuis Catholicorum, & laudatorum hominum, velut Scripturas Canonicas habere debemus, vt nobis non liceat, salua reuerentia, quæ illis debetur, aliquid in illorum Scriptis improbare, aut respuere, si forte inuenerimus, quod aliter senserint, quam Veritas habet. Talis sum ego in scriptis aliorum: tales volo esse intellectores meorum: Wee receiue not the Disputations or Writings of any manne, be they neuer so Catholique, or praiseworthy, as wee receiue the Canonical Scriptures: but that sauinge the reuerence due vnto them, wee maie wel reprove or refuse some thinges in their Writings, if it happen wee finde, they haue otherwise thought, then the Truthe maie beare them. Sutch am I in the Writings of others: and sutch would I wishe others to be in mine. Likewise he w^riteth to S. Hierome, Non puto, Frater, te velle Libros tuos legi, tanquam Apostolorum, aut Prophetarum: I reckon not, my Brother, that ye would haue vs so to reade your Bookes, as if they were written by the Apostles, or Prophetes. It is certaine, Tertullian, Cyprian, Clemens Alexandrinus, Papias, Irenæus, Victorinus, Lactantius, Hilarius, and other Auncient Fathers were oftentimes muche deceiued. S. Hierome scoffeth at S. Ambroses Commentaries vpon Luke, and calleth them, Nugas, Trifles, & nicknameth S. Ambrose, sometime callinge him Coruus, sometime Cornicula. Likewise S. Augustine saith, Ecclesiastici Iudices, vt homines, plerunque falluntur: The Iudges, or Doctours of the Church, as beinge menne, are often deceiued. And Thomas of Aquine saith, Non tenemur de necessitate Salutis, credere non solum Doctoribus Ecclesie, vt Hieronymo, aut Augustino, sed ne ipsi quidem Ecclesie, nisi in his quæ pertinent ad substantiam Fidei: Wee are not bounde vpon the necessitie of Saluation to beleue not onely the Doctours of the Church, as Hierome, or Augustine, but also neither the Church it selfe, sauinge onely in matters concerninge the Substance of Faith.

Touchinge the authoritie of Councils, S. Augustine saith, Ipsa plenaria Concilia sæpe priora à posterioribus emendantur, cum aliquo experimento aperitur, quod clausum erat: The very General Councils are often corrected, the former by the later, as often as by trial and experience the thinge is opened, that before was shut. Likewise Pannormitane saith, Plus credendum est vni privato fidei, quam toti Concilio, & Papæ,

August. ad Fortunatianum. Epist. iiii.

August. ad Hieronymum. Epist. 9.

Petrus Mirandula in Apologia. August. contra Crescentium. Li. 2. Cap. 2.

Petrus Mirandula in Apologia. Thomas in Quodlibet. 9. Art. vltimo.

August. contra Donatist lib. 2. Cap. 3.

Abbas Pannormitanus.

Councils

Ceremonies of the Church abolished by the Gospel.

si meliorem habeat auctoritatem, vel rationem: Wee ought to geue more credite to one priuate Laye man, then to the whole Councel, and to the Pope, if he bringe better authoritie, and more reason. If the Councel be wicked, & carried with malice, as many haue benne, specially within these selue late hundred yeres, *Ula* saie, as the Prophete Esai saithe, Inite Consilium, & dissipabitur: Loquimini verbum, & non stabit: quia nobiscum est Dominus: Take Counsel together, and it shalbe broken: Speake the woordes, and it shal not holde: For the Lorde is with vs.

As for the late Schole Doctors, your selues witghe them as litle, as nyman lesse. You saie in your common talkes, Bernardus non vidit omnia: You haue controlled your Dodour of al Dodoues, Peter Lombard, with this common Caueat in the Margine, *Hic Magister non tenetur*: Here our Dodour is no Dodour. You your selfe M. Hardinge, in this your very Booke againt our Apologie saie, that your Dodour Gratian was deceiued, and in stede of Anacletus alleged Calixtus. You your selfe againe saie, If in a secrete pointe of learninge S. Augustine, or S. Cyprian teache singularly, wee folowe them not: impeche lesse do wee binde our selues to beleue, what soeuer Albertus Pighius hath written. And againe, VVee binde our selues neither to the woordes of Syluester, nor of Pighius. And againe, VVee take not vpon vs to defende al that the Canonistes, or Scholemen saie, or write. And an other of your companie saithe, that your Dodour Gratian hath published greate vnturthes, and wilfully falsified the General Councel. *Nomen vniuersalis*, saithe he, *assutum est a Gratiano*. Thus M. Hardinge, ye vse your Dodours, euen as the Marchante vseth his Counters: sometimes to stande for an hundred pounde, sometimes for a prante.

But nowe let vs a litle examine the particulars of your bill. Ye come in with Processions, with Lightes, with Torches, with Tapers, with Chryisme, with Oile, with Candles, and Chibbles, with Holy Water, and holy Breade, and I knowe not, what els: as if al these thinges had descended directly from the Apostles, and without the same the Church of God were no Church.

Nextly, M. Hardinge, we hate not any of al these thinges. For we knowe, they are the Creatures of God. But you haue so misused them, or rather so defiled, and beraied them with your superstitions, and so haue with the same mocked, and deceiued Goddes people, that we can no longer continue them without greate conscience. I will passe ouer the rest, and speake onely of your Oile, whereof you seime to make moste accompte. In your Pontifical thus are ye taught to blesse your Oile: *Fiat Domine hoc Oleum te benedicente, vnctio Spiritualis ad purificationem Mentis, & Corporis*: O Lorde, let this Oile by thy blessinge, be made a Spiritual Ointement to purifie bothe Soule and Body. And againe, *Emitte quæsumus, Sancte Pater, Spiritum Sanctum Paracletum tuum de Cælis in hanc pinguedinem Oliuæ, ad resurrectionem Corporum, & Sanationem animarum*: O holy Father, wee beseeche thee sende downe thy holy Sprite the Comforter from Heauen into this fainesse of the Oliue, to the refreshinge of Body and Soule. In like sorte ye are taught to praye ouer the sick: *Per hanc Sanctam vnctionem, & suam pijsimam misericordiam ignoscat tibi Deus, vt per hanc vnctionem habeas Remissionem omnium peccatorum*: By this holy enointing, and by his greate Mercie God pardone thee, that by this enointing thou maiste haue Remission of al thy sinnes. These thinges cannot be denied: they are written in al your Manuals, used and practised in al your Churches. Ye call it Holy Oile, and Oile of Salvation: Ye beate the people in hande, that thereby they shal haue healthe of Body and Soule: And yet in darde ye haue no Chryisme at al. For Pope Innocentius saithe, *Chrisma conficitur ex Oleo, & Balsamo*: The Chryisme is made of Oile and Balsame. And to the makinge thereof the Balsame is as necessarie, as the Oile. But these many hundred yeres ye haue had no Balsame, nor hath there benne any to be had: Therefore ye haue had no Chryisme this longe while, but haue deceiued the people with quid pro quo, geuinge them one thinge for an other.

Now that your Oile came not from the Apostles, your owne Dodour Panormitan is witness. For thus he writeth, *Apostoli olim conferebant Spiritum Sanctum sola manus impositione. Et quia hodie Prælati non sunt ita beati, fuit institutum,*

De Electio. & Electi test. cap. significasti.

Esai. 8.

M. Hardinge,

Fol. 92. b.

M. Hardinge,

Fol. 232. b.

M. Hardinge,

Fol. 258.

Copus, Dialo. 20.

1. pag. 33.

De Consec. Oile.

Extra. De Sacra vnctione.

Panormitan. De Consecrad. ca. 9. tum,

Extra De Sacra
vntion. Vagis
tur.

In Annotationi.
in 5 lib. contra
Martirem.

Augu de tempo.
in Natali Do.
sermo 3.

Eusebius de vita
Constant. Orat. 3.
Htero. aduer.
Vigilantiun.

M. Hardinge.
Pol. 7. b.
Lact. li. 6. ca. 2.

Tertul de Idol.
latia.

Augu. Steuchus
in librum nu-
mer. Cap. 19.

Copus Dialo. 1.
Pa. 18. b.

ΕΝΝΕΥΣ ὁμῆς
ἐν τῷ παλαιῷ
ἱερί: Plato in
Timaeo: &

Theodoret. De
corruptis Grae-
corum offici-
bus. Lib. 1.

Tertull. in Apo-
logetica.

tum, vt illi conferrent hoc Sacramentum cum Chrismate: The Apostles in olde time gaue the Holy Ghoste onely by lairinge on of handes. But nowe a daies because Bishoppes be not so Holy order hath benne taken, that they shoulde geue this Sacramente with Chrisme.

Neither is this matter so thorowly approued by al Antiquitie, as P. Hardinge imagineth. For Pope Innocentius is witness, that in olde times there were some, that founde faulte with these ovinges. These be his wordes: Non Iudaizat Ecclesia, cum vnctionis celebrat Sacramentum, sicut Antiqui mentiantur: The Church is not Jewishe in solemnizinge the Sacramente of Vnction, as the Elders haue misreported.

Touchinge your Lightes, and Tapers, Beatus Rhenanus a man of greates learninge, and iudgement, doubteth not, but ye borrowed the vse thereof from the Heathens. I graunte, the Christians in olde time had Lightes in their Churches, when they mette together at their common Prayers. But it appeareth by the Ancient Fathers, that the same Lightes serued to solace them against the darke, and not for any vse of Religion. S. Augustine saith, Vouent alius Oleum, alius Ceram ad luminaria noctis: They promise (to the Church) one Oile, an other waxe, for the night Lightes.

Likewise saith Eusebius, ἡμετέραν φῶς, ὅσον ἱερατικῶν πρὸς ἡδυνῶν τοῖς ἐκχούτοις, Ignis Purus, quantum satis esset ad præbendum lumen precantibus: A cleare Lighte, so much as might suffice the people at their Prayers. So likewise saith S. Hierome, Cereos non clara luce accendimus, sed vt noctis tenebras hoc solatio temperemus: Wee light not our Tapers at middle daie, but onely by this comforte to ease the darknesse of the night. Therefore, P. Hardinge, vnto you, that set vp Lightes in your Churches, as your selfe saie, to the Honour of God, the Antient Father Lactantius saith thus, Num mentis suæ compos putandus est, qui Authori, & Datori Luminis Candelarum, & Cerarum lumen offert pro munere? What, maie wee thinke, he is wel in his wittes, that vnto God the Maker and Geuer of Light wil offer vp Candels, and Tapers for a present? Verily Tertullian saith, Accendant quotidie lucernas, quibus lux nulla est, &c. His competent & Testimonia tenebrarum, & auspicia poenarum: Let them that haue no lighte (of God) set vp their Tapers euery daie, &c. To them belongeth bothe the Testimonie of darknesse, and the beginninge of Paine.

But what shal I saie of your Holy Water? No doubt it must needs serue, as your Oile dothe, to the saluation of body, and soule. Augustinus Steuchus, a principal Doctor of your side saith, Non inane institutum est, quod Aquas sale & Orationibus sanctificamus, vt ad eorum aspersum delicta nostra deleantur: It is no vaine inuention, that wee hallowe Water with Salte and Prayers, that by the sprinklinge thereof our sinnes maie be forgiven. Thus profanely, a Heathenlike he writeth, as if the Blonde of Christe were quite dyed vp.

Beside al this, one of your Doctors of Louaine telleth vs in good sadnesse, by repute of one of the Iesuites, that in India Holy Water is very wholesome to drinke awaile Wife, and to make barren Wemen to conceiue. I saie not this matter: The place maie be saue. P. Hardinge, I muste needs saie of your companie, that one saide sometime of the Grecians, Theise that cal them selves Catholiques, are euer Children.

For saie, ye haue chaunged nothings, that is of necessitie either to be belated, or to be obserued. What necessitie ye meane, I can not wel coniecture. For when you liste, your Holy Water, and Holy Bzande must needs be of necessitie. But in darke of the ancient Godly orders of the Church ye haue in a maner leaste nothing, onlesse it be such as ye might best haue spared: and the same ye haue so defaced with superstition, that it hath nowe quite loste his former vse, and is not the same it was befoze. Certainely if you had changed nothings, then should you haue nowe no Priuate Masse.

Therefore wee maie iustly saie to you, as Tertullian saith in like case vnto the Romaine Heathens: Vbi Religio? vbi veneratio Maioribus debita? Habitu, victu, instructu, sensu, ipso denique sermone Proauis renuntiaſtis. Laudatis semper Antiquitatem: noue de die viuitis. Per quod ostenditur, dum à bonis Maiorum institutis deceditis, ea vos retinere & custodire, quæ non debuistis: cum quæ debuistis, non custoditis: Where is your Religion? Where is the reuerence due to your forefathers? You haue forsaken

forfaken them in your apparel, in your diet, in your order, in your meaninge, and in your speech: Tet change your life dailey: Tet yee praise Antiquitie. Whereby it appeareth, while yee leane the good orders of your Elders, that yee keepe the thinges, yee shoulde not keepe: seeinge yee keepe not the thinges, yee shoulde keepe.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 12.

And that this mater shoulde not seeme to be donne but vpon priuie sleaunders, and to be tolled to and fro in a cozner onely to spite vs, there haue brume besides wilily procured by the Bishop of Rome certaine persons of eloquence yenoughe, & not vnlearned neither: whiche shoulde put the healpe to this cause now almoste despaired of, and shoulde polithe and set foot the same, bothe in bookes and with longe tales, to the ende, that, when the mater was trimly and eloquently handled, ignozant and vnskillful persons mighte suspecte there was some great thinge in it. In deepe they perceiued, that their owne cause did every where go to wacke, that their sleighes were now espied and lesse esteemed, and that their healpes did daylie faile them, and that their mater stood altogether in greate neede of a cunninge spokesman.

M. Hardinge.

Cōfidence
of Catho-
likes tou-
ching their
cause.
Luc. 21.
Matth. 23.
Johan. 14.
The Ca-
tholike
Church al-
turedly de-
fended.

VVee cannot despaire of this cause, onlesse wee woulde forsake our Faith, as ye haue. For be- leuinge Christe, which our faith leadeth vs vnto, wee cannot mistrust the continuance of this cause, Heauen and Earthe shal passe, but my woordes shal not passe, saithe Truthe it selfe. And his woordes tel vs, that he wil be with his Church al daies to the worldes ende: And that he hath besoughte his Father to geue to it the Sprite of Truthe to remaine with it for euer. Then be wee most assured of this cause. VVee tel you therefore, it standeth, and shal stande by Christes presence, and by the Holy Ghostes assistance, to the ende. Your cause yet standeth not, but wauereth and tottereth, as that whiche S. Paule termeth a puffe of doctrine, and doubtlesse shortly shal fall, as al Heresies haue fallen.

The authours and professours of them be dead and rotten, in Hel fire with weeping and grina- tinge of teeth. The like iudgemente looke yee and your felowes to haue, if yee repente not, and re- furedly de- uoke your Heresies by time.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

VVee cannot despaire, saithe M. Hardinge, of the continuance of our cause. For Hea- uen and Earthe shal passe: but Christes VVoorde shal not passe. He wil be with vs al daies to the worldes ende, &c. These wordes, M. Hardinge, be true and certaine: and therefore our hope is the firmer. Christe hath promised that the Sprite of Truthe shal re- maine for euer, but not in the Pope and his Cardinales. For thereof he made no promise. Saie rather the Propheete Esai saithe, The Sprite of God shal rest vpon the pure, and make harted, that trembleth at the Word of the Lorde.

Isaie. 66.

The Church of God shal stande still, yea though Rome were possessed with Antichriste. It is true that Christe saithe, Every plante, whiche my Heauenly Father hath not planted, shal be rooted vp: vpon whiche wordes S. Hilarie saithe, Significat, Traditionem hominum eruendam esse, cuius fauore transgressi sunt Præcepta Legis: He meaneth, that the Tradition of man, for whiche Traditions sake they haue broken the Lawe of God, shal be taken vp by the rootes. Heauen and Earthe shal passe: and your fantas- ies, and diuises, M. Hardinge, shal passe: the Lorde hath spoken it: But the Word of God, and his Church shal endure for euer.

Matthe. 13.

Hilar. in C. 4. 35.

Matthai.

But M. Hardinges Almanake saith, Our Doctrines shal fall, & that very shortly. Herein I professe, I haue no skil. Goddes wil be donne. It is his cause: what so euer shal happen, his name be blessed for euer. In like sorte the Heathens in olde times, as S. Augustine saithe, baunted them selues againste the Faith of Christe: Ad certum tempus sunt Christiani: postea peribunt, & redibunt Idola: redibit quod e- rat antea. Verum tu, cum expectas, miser Infidelis, vt transeant Christiani, transis ipse sine Christianis: These Christians are but for a while: Fal they shal, and that shortly. Then shal our Idols come againe, and it shalbe as it was before. But, O thou miserable Infidel, while thou lookest that the Christians shoulde passe, thou passest awaie thee selfe without the Christians.

August. in Psal.

70.

C

Againe.

August. in ewi-
dem psaluum.

Againe he saith, *Ecce veniet tempus ut finiantur, & non sint Christiani. Sicut ceperunt ad aliquo tempore, ita usque ad certum tempus erunt. Sed cum ista dicunt, sine fine moriuntur, & permanet Ecclesia prædicans brachium Domini omni generationi venturæ: They saie, behold, the daie wil come, when al these Christians shal haue an ende. As they had a time to beginne, so shal they haue a time to continue. But while they make these crakes, they them selues die without ende. But the Church continueth still praisinge the almighty arme of God to euery generation that is to come.*

August. in psal.
36. par. 3.

But yet saie, The Authours and Professours of our Doctrine be damned in Hel fire, and crie, *Peccavi. This is a very terrible kinde of talke. But it is a rashe parte for you, M. Hardinge, to suddainely to skip into Goddes Chaire, and there to pronounce your Sentence Definitive like a Iudge. But God wil iudge of your iudgement. S. Augustine saith, Alia est Sella terrena, aliud Tribunal Cælorum: Ab inferiori Sententia accipitur, a superiori Corona: The earthly Chaire is one thinge: the Iudgements seat in Heauen is another. From the one wee receiue Sentence from the other we receiue a Crowne. M. Hardinge, God graunt you maie once crie, Peccavi: least the time come that yet shal crie out, as it is written in the Booke of VVisedome: These are they whom wee sometime had in derision, and in a parable of reproche. Wee fooles thoughte their life madnesse, and their ende without honoure. But nowe are they counted amonge the Children of God, and their portion is amonge the Saintes.*

sapien. 5.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 2.

Nowe as for those thinges whiche by them haue benne laide against vs, in parte they be manifestly false and condemned so by their owne iudgements, whiche spake them: partely againe, though they be as false too in dede, yet beare they a certaine weve and colour of truthe, so as the Reader (if he take not good heede) maie easily be tripped and brought into error by them, specially when their fine and cunninge tale is added thereunto: And parte of them be of such sort, as wee ought not to thinne them as crimes or faultes, but to acknowledge and confesse them as thinges wel donne, and vpon very good reason. For mostely to saie the truthe, these folke falsely accuse and sciaunder al our dooings, yea the same thinges, whiche they them selues cannot denie but to be rightly and orderly donne: and for malice doo so misconstrue and depaue al our sayings & dooings, as though it were impossible, that any thinge coulde be rightly spoken or donne by vs. They shoulde more plainely and sincerely haue goune to wooke, if they woulde haue dealede truly: But nowe they neither truly nor sincerely, nor yet Christianly, but darkely and craftily charge and batter vs with lies, and doo abuse the blindenesse and fondenesse of the people, together with the ignorance of Princes, to cause vs to be hated, and the Truthe to be suppressed.

Hierom. aduer.
Ius Rufinum.

This, lo ye, is the power of darkenesse, & of men, whiche leane more to the amased wonderinge of the rude multitude, & to darkenesse, then they doo to Truthe and Light: and as S. Hierome saith, doo openly gaine saie the Truthe, closinge vp their eyes, & wil not see for the nonce. But we geue thanks to the most good and mighty God, that such is our cause, where againste (when they would failest) they were hable to utter no despite, but the same, which might as wel be increased against the Holy Fathers, againste the Prophetes, againste the Apostles, againste Peter, againste Paule, and againste Christe him selfe.

M. Hardinge.

The Catholikes doo not burden you with ought, wherein by their owne iudgements they condemne

Tim. 1.

condemne them selues, as ye slea under them, not onely here, but oftentimes in your Booke. For if they iudged otherwise, they woulde not wittingly doo against their iudgemente. That is the special properie of an Heretike, whome S. Paule biddeth al men to auoide, knowinge that he that is luche, is peruered, and seemeth euen condemned by his owne iudgement.

They shewe bothe your blasphemous Heresies, and your wicked actes. Luther, ye know, powdered out his Heresies and villanies, &c. Your robbing of Churches, persecuting of men for standing stedfastly in the faith of the Holy Forefathers, your incestuous mariages of Monkes, Friars, and Nonnes, your breache of solemne vowes for fleshly pleasure, your prophaning and abandoning of Holy Sacramentes, your contempt of auncient and godly ordinaunces and discipline of the Churche, and suche other thinges of like estimation.

Genes. 10.
Iohan. 1.
Igdippus.

For in dede the Catholikes doo persecute you, (if luche deserued entreatinge of euill persons maye be called persecution) and al good folke besides, wee graunt, and shal so doo, so longe as they loue the Truthe, and keepe them in the vnitie of the Churche. Yet with no other minde doo they persecute you, then Sara did Agar. then Christe the Iewes, whome he whipt out of the Temple: then Peter did Simon Magus.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Petris, M. Hardinge, it might please you to remember, that Bartholomæus Latomus a Doctour of your side confesseth in wittinge to al the worlde, that our request touchinge the Holy Ministration vnder Bothe Kindes, accordinge Chyristes firste Institution, is iuste and reasonable:

Bar. Latomus
aduersus Eucerni

That Gerardus Lorichius an other of your owne side saith, Pseudocatholici, qui Reformationem Ecclesie quoquo modo remorari non verentur: *They be false Catholiques* (and this, M. Hardinge, he speaketh of you, bringe one of your owne) *they be False Catholiques, that hinder the Reformation of the Churche by al means they be able.* He saith, you be false Catholiques, far contrarie to the common opinion: and concludeth in the ende, that the wilful maintenance and sequel of your Doctrine in this pointe, is, as he calleth it, Hæresis, & Blasphemia pestilens, & execrabilis: *An Heresie, and a Blasphemie against God, Pestilent and Cursed:*

Gerard. Lorichius
De Missa
protoganda. In
7. par. Canonis.

That others of your side confesse, that this your vse of Ministration vnder One kinde, whiche now ye cal Catholique, began firste, not of Chyriste, or his Apostles, or any Ancient Learned Father: but onely of the errour, or, as he better termeth it, of the simple Deuotion of the People:

Struin Gard. in
the Vnuelosophie.

That Albertus Pighius, the stoutest gallante of al your Campe, graunteth there haue benne, and be many Abuses in your Masse, notwithstandinge ye haue tolde vs far otherwise:

Albertus Pighius
De Missa
Prinaria.
Platina in Tit.
2.
Io. Sleddanus,
Lib. 4.

That Pope Pius oftentimes graunted, and vsed commonly to saie, He saue greate causes, why Priestes shoulde be restored to the libertie of Marriage: That Pope Adrian by his Legate Cheregatus confessed openly at Norenberg in the General Diet of al the Princes of Germanie, that al the ill of the Churche came firste à culmine Pontificio. *From the toppes Castle of the Pope:*

And to be short, M. Hardinge, it might haue pleased you to remember, that your selfe in your firste Booke, in the Defense of your Disuante Masse, haue witten thus: Mary, I denie not, but that it were more commendable, and more Godly on the Churches parte, if many wel disposed, and examined, woulde be partakers of the blessed Sacramente with the Priest.

M. Hard. fo. 22 b

Al these thinges, M. Hardinge, be they Altes, or Abuses: Reasonable, or Unreasonable: Right, or Wrong: Better or worse: Be they neuer so wicked, neuer so Blasphemous, neuer so cursed, yet be they stoutely defended still, and no hope offered of amendement: With what Conscience of your parte, be onely seeth, that seeth the Conscience. You graunte, ye persecute your brethren, where ye haue the sword, and maie persecute: but as Sara did Agar: as Christe did the Iewes: and not otherwise. Verily, M. Hardinge, that Christe or Sara were persecutors, I haue not greatly hearde. But I remember Chrysostome saith thus: Nunquid Ovis Lupum persequitur aliquando? Non, sed Lupus ouem. Sic enim Caim persequutus est Abel: non Abel Caim. Sic Ismael persequutus est Isaac, non Isaac Ismael: Sic Iudæi Christum, non Christus Iudæos: Hæretici Christianos, non Christiani Hæreticos. Ergo ex fructibus eorum cognoscetis eos: *What, doothe the Sheepe persecute the Woulfe at any time? No, but the Woulfe doothe persecute the Sheepe. For so Caim persecuted Abel,*

Genes. 16.
Iohn. 2.

Chryso. in Matthea. Homil. 19.

Persequu-
tion for
Loue.

28

The Defenſe of the Apologie of the

Abel Cain : So Iſmael perſecuted Iſaac, not Iſaac Iſmael : So the Jewes perſecuted Chriſte, not Chriſte the Jewes : So the Heretiques perſecute the Chriſtians, not the Chriſtians the Here- tiques. Therefore ye ſhal knowe them by their Fruites.

*Auguſt. contra
Litt. Perilia,
Lib. 2. Cap. 19.
Chryſoſt. in O-
pere Imperfecto
in Matthe. Ho-
mil. 19.
Inveſpis.*

S. Auguſtine ſaith, Non eo modo perſecutionem paſſi ſunt Pſeudoprophetae ab Elia, quomodo ipſe Elia a Rege nequiſſimo: Neither were the False Prophets ſo perſecu- ted by Elias, as Elias was perſecuted by the wicked Kinge. Surely Chryſoſtome ſaith, Quem videris in ſanguine perſecutionis gaudentem, Lupus eſt: Whome ſo ever ye ſee reioyninge in the Bloudde of perſecution, he is the Wolfe.

*Auguſt. contra
Litt. Petilian
Lib. 2. Cap. 87.*

But ye pretende greate good wil, and ſaie, Ye perſecute and murder your Bre- thren for Loue, as Chriſte perſecuted the Jewes. So I trowe, Ariſtophanes ſaith, Philippiades took a cudgel, and beate his Father, and al ſo for Loue. Howe be it, W. Harſinge, neither are you armed, as Chriſte was armed: nor was Chriſte armed, as you are armed. I muſt ſaie to you, as S. Auguſtine ſaith unto the Heretiques the Donatiſtes: Hanc formam ne ab ipſis quidem Iudæis perſecutoribus accepisti. Illi enim perſequuti ſunt Carnem ambulantis in terra: Vos Euangelium ſedentis in Cælo: Ye learned not this ſourme of perſecution, no not of the Jewes. For they perſecuted the Fleaſhe of Chriſte walkinge in the Earthe: You perſecute the Goſpel of Chriſte ſittinge in Heauen. Robert Holcote emonge other his doubtles moneth this queſtion, An A- mor ſit odium: Whether Loue be hated, or no. If he were nowe alive, and ſawe your dealinge, and the kindneſſe of your Loue, I beleue, he woulde put the mater out of queſtion, and ſaie, undoubtedly your Loue is hated, it is no Loue. So Moſes ſaith, Iſmael plaid or ſported with Iſaac. But S. Paule ſaith, The ſame pleaſinge and ſpor- tinge was perſecution. For thus he writeth: He, that was after the Fleaſhe, perſecuted him, that was after the Sprite.

*Holcote. in. 1. Scē-
ten. Queſt. 3.
Art. 8. Dubi. 2.
Orig. in Geneſ.
Homil. 7.
Gen. 21.
Galat. 4.*

I doubt not, but you thinke, of your parte it is wel done. For ſo Chriſte ſaith, Who ſo ever ſhal Murder you, ſhal thinke he offereth a Sacrifice unto God. And your ſelves haue ſette to this note in greate Letters in the Margine of your Decrets: Iudæi mortaliter peccaſſent, ſi Chriſtum non Crucifixiſſent: The Jewes had Sinned deadly, if they had not hangd Chriſte upon the Croſſe. Benedictus Deus, qui nō dedit nos in captio- nem Dentibus eorum: Blessed be God, that hath not given us to be a Preiſe unto their Teeth.

*Diſt. 13. Item.
in Margine.*

To al the reſte it is ſufficiente for W. Harſinge to ſaie, They be Blaſphemous Hereties: wicked Actes: Luthers Hereties, and Villanies: Robbinge of Churches: Breaches of Vowes: Fleaſhely pleaſures: Abandoninge of the Holy Sacramentes: Malices, Sclaunders, and Lies. And beſides theſe thinges, in effeate he anſweareth nothinge. How to anſweate no- thinge with ſome thinge, it were worthe nothinge.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuiſion. 5.

Howe therefore, if it be leſſel for the folkes to be eloquent & ſineton- qued in ſpeakinge euil, ſurely it becommeth not vs in our cauſe, bringe ſo very good, to be downbe in anſweringe truly. For, menne to be careleſſe, what is ſpoken by them and theire owne mater, be it neuer ſo faulſely & ſclaunderouſely ſpoken, (eſpecially when it is ſutche, that the Maieſtie of God, & the cauſe of Religion maie thereby be dammaged) is the parte doubteſſe of diſſolute and retcheleſſe perſons, and of them, whiche wickedly winke at the iniuries donne vnto the Name of God. For althoughe other wronges, yee oftentimes greate, maie be dozne & diſſembled of a milde and Chriſtian man: yet he that goeth ſmoothely awaie and diſſembleth the mater when he is noted of Heretie, Kuſti- nus was woont to denie, that man to be a Chriſtian. Wee therefore wil doo the ſame thinge, whiche al Lawes, which natures owne voice doothe commaunde to be donne, and whiche Chriſte him ſelfe did in like caſe when he was checked and reuiled: to the intent wee maie put of from vs theſe mennes ſclaunderous accuſations, and maie defende ſo- berly and truly our owne cauſe and innocencie.

M. Hardinge.

Yee haue not proued, the Truthe to be of your side, nor euer shal be able to proue, mainteininge the Doctrine of the Lutherans, Zwinglians, and Caluinistes, as ye doo. Nowe al dependeth of that pointe. And because yee haue not the Truthe, what so euer ye saie, it is soone confuted: and what so euer ye bringe, it is to no purpose.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This is the very issue of the case: Whether the Doctrine that we profess, be the Truthe, or no. Whiche thinge through Goddes Grace, by this our conference, in parte maie appeare. I beseeche God the Authour of al Truthe, and the Father of Light, so to open our hartes, that the thinge that is the Truthe in deede, maie appeare to vs to be the Truthe.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 2.

For Christe verily, when the Phariseis charged him with Sorcery, as one that had some familiare Sprites, and wrought many thinges by their helpe: I, saide he, haue not the Diuel, but doo glorifie my Father: but it is you, that haue dishonoured me, and put me to rebuke and shame. And S. Paule, when Festus the Lieutenaunt scorned him, as a mad man: I (saide he) moste deere Festus, am not mad, as thou thinkest, but I speake the wordes of Truthe and sobrenesse. And the ancient Christians, when they were slaundered to the people for mankillers, for Adulterers, for committers of incest, for disturbers of the common weales, and did perceiue, that by such slaunderous accusations the Religion whiche they professed, might be brought in question, namely if they shoulde seeme to holde their peace, and in manner confesse the faulte: lest this might hinder the free course of the Gospel, they made Orations, they put vp Supplications, and made meanes to Emperours, and Princes, that they might defende them selues and their felowes in open Audience.

M. Hardinge.

VWhen ye prouue, that ye haue the Truthe, then maye ye be admitted, in your Defense to alleage the example of Christe, of S. Paule, and of the firste Christians. But nowe wee tel you, beinge as you are, these examples serue you to no purpose. And for ought yee haue saide hitherto, the Anabaptistes, Libertines, Zwenkfeldians, Nestorians, Eunomians, Arians, and al other pestiferous Heretikes might saie the same aswell as ye. Christe was charged of the Iewes with vsinge the power of impure Sprites, blasphemously: Paule was scorned of Festus, as a mad man, without cause: the Ancient Christians were accused by the Infidels of hainous crimes, falsely. But ye are accused of Heresies and sundrie impieties, by Godly, VVise, and Faithful men, vpon Zeale, by good aduise, and truly. And as for those Auncient Christians, when they made Apologies or Orations in the Defense of the Christen Faithe, they did it so as became Christen men, plainly and openly. Either they offered them to the Emperours with their owne handes, or put to their names, and signified to whome they were they gaue the same. As S. Hilary deliuered a Booke in Defense of the Catholique Faithe againste the Arians to Constantius. Melito and Apollinaris wrote their Apologies to the Emperours. S. Iulian the Philosopher and Martyr gaue his firste Apologie for the Christians to the Senate of the Romaines, the seconde to Antonius Pius Emperoure: Tertullian to the Romaines. S. Apollonius the Romaine Senatour and Martyr, did Reade his Booke openly in the Senate house, which he had made in Defense of the Christian Faithe. But ye doo your thinges that ought to be donne openly, in Hucker Mucker. Ye set forth your Apologie in the name of the Church of Englande, before any meane parte of the Church were prouide to it, and so as though either ye were ashamed of it, or afraide to abide by it. The inscription of it is directed neither to Pope nor Emperoure, nor to any Prince, nor to the Church, nor to the general Councel then beinge when ye wrote it, as it was moste conuenient. There is no mans name set to it: It is Printed without Priuilege of the Prince, contrary to the Lawe in that behalfe made: allowed neither by Parliament, nor by Proclamation, nor agreed vpon by the Clergie in Publike and lawfull Synode. This packinge hecommeth you: it becommeth not the vpright Professours of the Truthe. VVherefore your vnlawfull Booke, as it is, so it maie be called an Inuective, or rather a Famous Libel, and slaunderous VVrite, as that whiche seemeth to haue benne made in a corner, and caste abroade in the streetes, the Authours wherof the Ciuil Lawe punisheth sharply.

Vntruthe.

Luke. 11.
Act. 26.
Tern. in
Apolog.
The Apo-
logies of
the old Fa-
thers were
they gaue
the same. As
lawfully
the Arians to
Constantius.
Melito and
Apollinaris
wrote their
Apologies to
the Emperours.
S. Iulian
the Philosopher
and Martyr
gaue his firste
Apologie for
the Christians
to the Senate
of the Romaines.
the seconde
to Antonius
Pius Emperoure:
Tertullian to
the Romaines.
S. Apollonius
the Romaine
Senatour and
Martyr, did
Reade his Booke
openly in the
Senate house,
which he had
made in
Defense of the
Christian
Faithe. But
ye doo your
thinges that
ought to be
donne openly,
in Hucker
Mucker. Ye
set forth your
Apologie in the
name of the
Church of
Englande,
before any
meane
parte of the
Church were
prouide to it,
and so as
though either
ye were
ashamed of it,
or afraide to
abide by it.
The inscription
of it is directed
neither to Pope
nor Emperoure,
nor to any
Prince, nor to
the Church,
nor to the
general Councel
then beinge
when ye wrote
it, as it was
moste conuenient.
There is no
mans name
set to it: It is
Printed without
Priuilege of the
Prince, contrary
to the Lawe
in that behalfe
made: allowed
neither by
Parliament,
nor by Proclamation,
nor agreed vpon
by the Clergie
in Publike and
lawfull Synode.
This packinge
hecommeth you:
it becommeth
not the vpright
Professours of
the Truthe.
VVherefore
your vnlawfull
Booke, as it is,
so it maie be
called an
Inuective, or
rather a Famous
Libel, and
slaunderous
VVrite, as that
whiche seemeth
to haue benne
made in a
corner, and
caste abroade
in the streetes,
the Authours
wherof the
Ciuil Lawe
punisheth
sharply.

*The Bishop of Sarisburie.*Iohan. 8.
Act. 6.

Howe farre forth these examples maie serue vs, we remit the iudgements thereof to the discrete Christian Reader. It is not penough thus to crye out, Impieties, and Heresies. M. Hardinges bare Witnes in this behalfe is not sufficient to warrant an euidence. Certainly amonge other greates comforts, that we haue in Goddes mercies, this is one, and not the leake, that touchinge the Innocencie, and right of our cause, we maie saie to you, as Christe saide to the Phariseis, *Wee haue not the Diuel, but wee glorifie our Father: Di.* as S. Paule saide vnto Festus, *Wee are not madde (M. Hardinge) as yee reporte of vs: but wee utter vnto you the Wordes of Truthe and Sobrietie.*

But this is a pitious fault: The names of al the Bishoppes, Deanes, Archdeacons, Personnes, Vicars, and Curates of Englande are not set to our Apologie. It is directed neither to the Emperoure, nor to the Pope, nor to the Council. Neither is it Printed with Priviledge of the Prince. This lastt clause is a manifestt Untruthe, and maie easily be reponed by the Printer. Hereof ye conclude, It is a sleaundersous Libel, and was witten vnder a Hedge, and, as you saie, in Hucker Pucker.

Firste, were it graunted, that al ye saie of Hilarie, Melito, Iustinus, Tertallian, and Apollonius were true: Yet muste it needes folowe, that al Bookes, that are not subscribed with the Authours names, were witten in a corner: Firste to beginne with the Scriptures, tel vs, M. Hardinge, who wrote the Bookes of Genesis, of Exodus, of Leuiticus, of Numeri, of Deuteronomium, of Iosue, of the Iudges, of the Kinges, of the Chronicles, of Iob, &c. Who wrote these Bookes? I saie: Who Authorized them? Who subscribed his name? Who sette to his Seale? The Booke of VVisedome by some is fathered vpon Philo, by some vpon Salomon. The Epistle vnto the Hebrewes, some saie, was witten by S. Paule: Some, by Clement: Some, by Barnabas: Some, by some other: and so are we vncertaine of the Authours name. S. Marke, S. Luke, S. Iohn, neuer once named themselves in their Gospels. The Apostles Creede, the Canons of the Apostles, by what names are they subscribed? Howe are they authorized? To what Pope, to what Emperoure were they offered? To leaue others the Auncient Doctors of the Church, whiche, as you knowe, are often misnamed, Ambrose for Augustine, Greeke for Latine, Helue for Olde: your Doctor of Doctors, the fairest flower, and crosse of your garlande Gratianus, is so wel knowne by his name, that wise menne can not wel tel, what name to geue him. Erasmus saith of him thus, *Quisquis fuit, siue Gratianus, siue Crasianus: What so euer name wee maie geue him: be it Gratianus, or Crasianus.* And againe he saith, *Eruditi negant, illam Gratiani, nescio cuius, congeriem vlla vnquam Publica Ecclesie autoritate fuisse comprobata: The learned saie, that Gratianns Collection, or heape of matters, was neuer allowed by any Publicke Authoritie of the Church.* And againe, *Non constat vllis argumentis, quis fuerit Gratianus, quo tempore opus suum exhibuerit, cuius Pontificis, cuius Concilij fuerit Autoritate comprobatum: It cannot appeare by any tokens of recorde, neither what this Gratian was: nor at what time he offered up his Booke: nor what Council, nor what Pope allowed it.*

Who subscribed the late Council of Colaine? Who subscribed the Booke not longe sithence set abroade vnder the name of the Church of Colaine, and named Antididagma? To be shorte, who subscribed your owne late Booke intituled the Apologie of Priuate Masse? Where were they witten? Where were they subscribed? By what authoritie, and vnder what names were they allowed? I wil saie nothinge of your late famousse Volume bearinge the name of Marcus Antonius Constantius. This Booke, as you se, hath three greates names: Notwithstandinge the Authour him selfe had but two: and yet not one of al these three. What, M. Hardinge, would you make your B:ethzen beleue, that al these be but sleaundersous Wiffers, bluffed onely in Hucker Pucker, and vnder a Hedge?

The Decree, the Apostles made in the fiftenthe Chapter of the Actes, it appeareth not, it was so curiously subscribed with al their names. The Protestation of the

Erasm. In Praefat. in tertiam Seriem quart. Tom. Hieronymi.
Erasm. in Praefat. tunc in. 4. Tom. Hieronymi.

Act. 15.

of the Bohemians in the Councel of Basile, the Confession of the Churches of Geneva and Helvetia, so oughte that I knowe, haue no sutch Publique Subscriptions. Neither is it necessarie, nor commonly vsed, to toyne Priuate mennes names to Publique maters: neither in so mightie and ample a Realme, vpon al incident occasions is it so easie to be done. By this, our Apologie is confirmed by as many names, as the highe Courte of Parliamente of Englande is confirmed.

Neither was the same conceiued in so darke a corner, as M. Harding imagineth. For it was afterwarde imprinted in Latine at Parise: and hath bene sitthence Translated into the French, the Italian, the Dutche, and the Spanishe tongues: and hath bene sente, & bozne abroade into France, Flaunders, Germanie, Spaine, Poole, Hungarie, Denmarke, Sweelande, Scotlande, Italie, Naples, and Rome it selfe, to the iudgement, and trial of the whole Church of God. Yea it was readde, and sharply considered in your late Couent at Trident, and greates threats made there, that it shoulde be answered, and the mater by two notable learned Bishoppes taken in hande, the one a Spaniarde, the other an Italian: whiche two notwithstandinge, these fine whole peeres haue yet donne nothinge, nor, I beleue, intende any thinge to do. In this certaine of your Brethren haue bene often gnawinge at it: but suche as care nothinge, nor is cared, what they write.

But if names be so necessarie, we haue the names of the whole Clergie of Englande, to confirme the Faith of our Doctrine, and your name M. Hardinge, as you can wel remember amongst the reste: onlesse, as ye haue already denied your Faith, so ye wil notwe also denie your name.

To conclude, it is greater modestie to publishe our owne Booke, without Name, then, as you do, to publishe other mennes Bookes in your owne Names. For in this, M. Hardinge, the Bookes ye sende so thicke ouer, are not yours. Ye are but Translatours: ye are no Authours. If euery birde shoulde fetch againe his owne feathers, alas your poore Chickens woulde die so colde.

But you saie, We offered not our Booke to the Pope. So, neither ought we so to do. He is not our Bishop: He is not our Iudge. We made saie vnto him, as the Emperour Constantius said sometime to Pope Liberius, Quota es tu pars Orbis terrarum? What greates portion arte thou of the whole Worlde? As for your Councel of Tridente, God wote, it was a selty Couent, so oughte that mate appeare by their Conclusions.

We offered the Defense, and profession of our Doctrine vnto the whole Church of God: and so vnto the Pope, and to the Councel too, if thei be any parte, or member of the Church: and by Goddes mercie, shal ever be habile in al places bothe with our handes, and with our Bodies to payde an accompte of the hope we haue in Iesus Christe. Whereas it liketh you to terme our Apologie a Schlaundersous Libel, I doubt not, but who so ever shal indifferently consider your Booke, shal thinke M. Hardinges tongue wanteth no schlauder.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 3.

But wee truely, seeinge that so many thousandes of our brethren in these laste twentie peeres haue bozne witness vnto the Truthe, in the middes of moske painefull tormentes, that coulde be diuised: and when Princes desirous to restraine the Gospel, sought many waies, but preuailed nothinge, and that now almoste the whole woorld doeth beginne to open their eyes to behold the lighte: wee take it, that our cause hathe already bene sufficiently declared and defended, and thinke it not needful to make many woordes, seeinge the mater saithe yenough for it selfe.

M. Hardinge.

The reasons and examples ye bringe for it, conclude nothinge. Bicause lackinge Truthe, ye builde vpon a false grounde, what so ever ye set vp, cistones it falleth, beinge staied by no iuste proufe. Here ye goe forth, and saie would ye proppe vp that mater: but youre Reasons be as weak as before.

*Theodor. in
Hist. Ecclesiast.*

murdered the Sainces of God, first to rote out their Tongues, for feare of speaking: and then afterwarde to tel the people, they were Anabaptistes, or Ariens, or what ye liked. With suche pollicie Nero sommetyme that Bloudy Epianne burnte the Christians in heapes together, and made open Proclamations, that they were Traitors, and Rebelles, and had sired the Cittie of Rome.

It pleaseth you for lacke of other Question, to cal the storie of Martyres a Dunghil of Lies. But these Lies shal remaine in Recorde for ever, to testifie, and to condemne your Bloudy doings. We haue imprisoned your Bishops, we haue scripture them naked, we haue scourged them with Roddes, we haue burnte their handes and armes with flaminge Torches, we haue sanded them, we haue drowned them, we haue summoned them beinge deade, to appeare before you out of their graues, we haue ripte by their buried Carcasses, we haue burnte them, we haue thowen them out into the Dunghil: we toke a poore Babe fallinge from his Mothers Embrace; in moste cruel, and Barbarous maner threwe him into the fiere.

All these thinges, M. Hardinges, are true: they are no Lies. The cries, and confessions of many thousandes can witnesse your doings. The Blood of innocent Abel crieth to God from the earth: and vndoubtedly he will require it at your handes. Chrysostome saith, as it is alleged before, *Quem videris in sanguine persecutionis gaudentem, is Lupus est: Who so euer hath pleasure in the Bloude of persecution, the same is a Wolfe.* We slewe your Bishops so cruelly, not for Murder, or Robberie, or any other greuous crime, they had committed, but onely for that they trusted in the Liuinge God. Howe be it, we maie saie with the Olde Father Tertullian, *Crudelitas vestra nostra gloria est: Your crueltie is our glorie.*

Whereas we auouche the Power, and Authoritie of Goddes Holy worde, for that the more it is trodden downe, the more it groweth, & for that the Kings, and Princes of this worlde with al their puissance, and pollicie were neuer able to rote it out, your answere is, that this reason maie serue Chénes, as wel as vs. To disssemble youre odious comparisons, howe lightly so euer it shal please you to weighe this reason, yet your forefathers the Phariseis in olde time samed to make somme account of it. For thus they murmured, and misliked amonge them selves: *Videtis, nos nihil proficere, Ecce Mundus totus post eum abiit: Tere see, we can doe no good. Lo the whole worlde (for al that we can doe) is gonne after him.* Tertullian likewise saith, *Exquisitior quæque crudelitas vestra illecebra magis est Sectæ. Plures efficiuntur quoties metimur à vobis. Semen est Sanguis Christianorum: The greatest crueltie, that ye can devise, is an entisement to our Secte. Howe many of vs so euer ye murder, when ye com to the viewe, ye finde vs more and more. The Seede of this increase is Christian Bloude.* So S. Augustine, *Ligabantur, includebantur, exdebantur, torquebantur, vrebantur: & multiplicabantur: They were fettered, they were imprisoned, they were beaten, they were racked, they were burnte: and yet they multiplied.* S. Cyprian saith, *Sacerdos Dei Evangelium tenens, & Christi præcepta custodiens, occidi potest, vinci non potest: The Priests of God holdinge the Testaments in his hande, killed he maie be, but ouercome he can not be.* So likewise Nazianzene, *Morte viuunt: vulnere nascitur: depasum augetur: By death it liueth: by woundinge it springeth: by diminishinge it increaseth.*

Thus these Holy Fathers, when they saue, the Gospel of Christ increased and grew by persecution, contrarie to al iudgement of reason, and worldly pollicie, they were enforced, contrarie to M. Hardinges iudgement, therein to acknowledge the mightie power, and hande of God, and an vndoubted testimonte of the Truth. Iustinus a Goodly Learned Father, and Martyr saith thus of him selfe, *Cum audirem Christianos publice traduci, & exagitari ab omnibus, viderem autem eos ad mortem, & ad omnia, quæ ad terrorem excogitari possent, esse intrepidos, cogitabam, nullo modo posse fieri, ut illi in aliquo scelere viverent: When I (beinge an Heathen, and one of Platoes Schollars) heard that the Christians were accused, and reuiled of al menne, and yet sawe them goe to their death: and to al manner terrible, and cruel tormentes, quietly, and without feare, I thought with mee selfe, it was not possible, that suche menne shoulde liue in any wickednesse.* The like writeth Sozomenus of the Christians in the Primitive Church:

Nec

sursum. Tran
gullina. indio
rone. 1. 1. 1.

Chrysost in
Matth. Hom. 19

Tertul. ad sca
pulam

Iohan. 12.

Tertul. in Apo
logetica

August. de Ciu.
lib. 22. cap. 6.

Cyprian. Lib. 1.
Epist. 3.

Gregorius.
Nazianzen. in
reditum suum

ex agro.

doxacta. 2. 1.

καὶ τὰς ἑτέρας

φύλας, καὶ
ἀδελφὰς ἀνα
παύσαντας.

Euseb. li. 4. ca. 8.

34 The Defenſe of the Apologie of the

2 Cor. 11. 1. ca. 1.
 ὁ δὲ λόγος τοῦ κυρίου
 ἐπορεύθη, ὡς
 ὑπὲρ μοῖρας
 ἀλλὰ τὸν αἰ-
 γῶνα ὑπομει-
 νούσι.
 Psal. 2.
 Prover. 11.

Nec adulatione victi, nec minis perterriti, magnum omnibus argumentum dabant, sese de maximis premijs in certamen descendere: The Christians neither relenting by flatter means, nor shrinking for threats, made it well appear to every man, that it was for some greate reward, they suffered such trouble.

These learned Fathers therefore saie, that M. Hardinge could not see, the en-creakinge of the Gospel through death, & persecution, maugre the might of worldly Princes, is an evident token of the Truthe. The Prophete David saith, The Prince came, and consulted together against God, and against his Christe. But he that dwelleth in Heauen, wil laugh them to scorne. There is no wisdom, there is no policie, there is no counsel against the Lorde.

Rom. 1.
 Psalm. 2.

Further you saie, Our Gospel is grosse, and the people dulle, and sensual, and geuen to their belly, and beastly pleasure, and therefore the apter, and readier to receive the same. M. Har- dinge, what a desperate cause is this, that cannot stande without such manifeste blasphemie of the Gospel of Christe, and dispitful repoeche of Goddes people? Cer- tainely S. Paule saith, The Gospel is the power of God vnto Saluation. And the Prophete David saith, The people is Christes inheritance. What hath y people so muche offended you, that you should either in this place, so scornfully, and so repoechfully repoche of them, or in your former Booke so disdainfully cal them swiene, and Dogges? Yet is it not so longe sithence your selfe were an earnest professour of the same Gos- pel, were it neuer so grosse. Where was then your finenesse, and sharpnesse of witte? Where was your belly? Where was the rest? You should not so sone haue forgotten your owne selfe.

M. Har. fo. 115. b.

Surely, M. Hardinge, neither wil the sensual man, drowned in filth, & beastly pleasures, take vp his Crosse, and folowe Christe, & yelde his necke to your swerde, or his body to your fiere: neither is it a grosse, or sensual Gospel, that wil leade him to the same.

Psalm. 90.
 2. Tim. 2.

You saie, it standeth not with Goddes promise, to forsake his Church a thou- sande yeres. It is muche for you, M. Hardinge, openly to breake Goddes Com- mandementes, to defile his Holy Sanctuary, to turne Light into darkenesse, and darkenesse into light: and yet neuertheless to binde him to his promise. Al menne be liers, but God onely is true, and preuaileth, when he is iudged. God knoweth his owne. Christ wil be euermore with his Church, yea although the whole Church of Rome conspire against him.

M. Har. 90. b.

Addition. Here M. Hardinge saith, VVe succede the Donatistes, and re- newe their wretched obiection againste the Church &c. Howe be it, we are no Donatistes, as his owne conscience wel knoweth. The Donatistes enclosed the Church of God within the bandes of one Countrie, and saide there was no Church, but onely their owne, whiche was in Africka. In like sort, and with like Truthe, M. Hardinge so often, and so constantly telleth vs this daie, There is no Church, nor Saluation, but vnder the obedience of the See of Rome. It is easie therfore to see, who are the children of those Fathers. We saie, as al the Holy, and Learned Doctours haue saide: The Church of God hath bene euer from the beginninge, and shal conti- nue vnto the ende, and ouerspreadeth al the partes of the world, without limita- tion of time or place. Notwithstandinge, sometimes by care, and diligence she is kepte neate, and cleane: sometimes by negligence she is laide wast, and over- grown with weeds: and therfore she is compared vnto a gardine. Sometimes her light is cleare, and beautiful: sometimes she waneth, & groweth darke: And therfore she is compared vnto the Mone.

Canic. 4.
 Canic. 6.

M. Har. fo. 39.

The Church, you saie, hangeth not of your wel doinge. Goddes name therfore be blessed. For if it honge of you, it could not stande: It hangeth onely of the as- surance of Gods Promise: And the same Promise God extended as wel to Hierusa- lem, and Antioche, as he did to the See of Rome. But nowe Antioche, where Peter had his first Apostolique seate, and Hierusalem, where Christe him selfe first plan- ted his Gospel, are bothe forsaken, and leaste vterly without faishe, and know- ledge of God. Notwithstanding, God is true in al his wordes, yet vnto the wicked he saith,

Addition

he saith, Non est mihi voluntas in vobis: I have no wil, nor pleasure in you. I wil receive no Sacrifice at your hands. And S. Paule saith to the Church of Rome, even to the same Church, wherein you so much extol your glorie, and assure your selfe, it cannot erre, Noli autem sapere, Sed time: Presume not, but stande in awe. For if God have not spared his owne people of Israel, and Hierusalem (unto whom he made his Promise, that his name should dwell there for ever,) howe muche lesse wil he spare thee? Marke wel the mercie, and sharpnesse of God. His sharpnesse againste them, that are fallen from him: but his mercie towards thee, (o thou Church of Rome) if thou continewe stil in his mercie. Otherwise even thou shalt likewise be hewen downe. Thus, W. Hardinge, S. Paule aduise the Church of Rome to walke in awe, with feare and reuerence: And not to presume of her selfe, that she cannot erre.

Malach. 1.

Rom. 1.

The Argumente that you forme thereof, taken, as you saie, out of the Scriptures, is very simple. For thus it standeth: Christe hath promised to be with his Disciples, & with his Faithful for ever vnto the ende of the world, Ergo, the Church of Rome can neuer erre in matter of faith.

The Prophete Hieremie aduise the better: Saie not (saith he) The Temple of God, The Temple of God. We haue his Temple, We haue his Worde, We haue his Promise. Put no confidence in such wordes. They be wordes of Lyinge, and Vanitie, saith the Prophete: They wil deceiue you.

Hierem. 7.

So saith the Prophete Micheas of the Priestes, that deceiued the people: Super Dominum requiescunt, dicentes, Nonne est Ichoua in medio nostri? ideo non veniet super nos malum. Idcirco propter vos Sion, vt ager arabitur, & Hierusalem erit in aceruum, & Mons Domus in excelsa sylux: They reaste them selues quietly vpon the Lorde, that is to saie, they embolden them selues vpon his promises. Beholde, saith he, is not the Lorde in the middeste amongst vs? Therefore none shal happen to vs. Euen, therefore (saith the Prophete) shal the Castle of Sion be ploughed up, as the corne fild, and Hierusalem shal lie as a heape of stones, and the Mounts of the Temple, shal stande waste as a fire.

Michea. 3.

But why do you so muche abate your reckninge? Why make you not by your ful accounte of your fiftiene hundred threescore & five yeres, as ye were wonte to do? We haue here liberally, and of your selfe quite stricken of five hundred threescore and five yeres, that is to saie, the whole time, wherein the Apostles of Christe, and Holy Martyres, and other Learned Fathers, and Doctours liued: in whiche whole time, it appeareth by your owne secrete Confession, the Church of God might wel stande bothe without your Priuate Masse (for then was there none) and also without many other your like fantasies. Neither ought you, W. Hardinge, so deeply to be grieved, and to cal vs Apostates, and Heretiques, for that we haue reformed either our Churches to the Patern of that Church, or our selues to the example of those Fathers. Merily in the iudgemente of the Godly, five hundred of those firste yeres are moze worth, then the whole thousande yeres that folowed afterwarde.

M. Har. fo. 94. b.

Addition. This (saith W. Hardinge) is a manifest Blasphemie, and litle becometh a Christian man. But wherefore, I heare smal reason. The Primitive Church, whiche was vnder the Apostles, and Martyres, hath euermore benne counted the purest of al others without exception. And therefore the Anciente Fathers oftentimes appeale to the iudgemente thereof, as vnto the infallible standerde and measure of Truthe. S. Chrysostome speakinge hereof, although not specially to this purpose, saith, Verè tum Cœlum Ecclesia fuit, Spiritu cuncta administrante, cuncta Ecclesie capita moderante, &c. Nunc verò vestigia tantum rerum illarum tenemus: Then verily the Church was a Heauen, the Sprite of God orderinge al thinges, and directinge al the heades of the Church. But now we scarcely haue the steppes and tokens of those thinges. And immediately after he saith further, The Church now made be likened to a woman, that hath loste her olde modestie, hauinge onely certayne tokens and shewes of her former felicitie, as the chastes, and forreles of pretious thinges, becinge utterly doide of the Treasure. Thus saith S. Chrysostome, touching the decaye of the Church: and yet he thoughte, his wordes imposed no Blasphemie. If the

Chrysost. 1. Cor. Homil. 36.

the fourme of the Church were so much altered in Chrysostomes daies, that is aboue eleuen hundred yeres passe, What maie we then thinke of the times of Darke- nesse, that haue folowed since: It was no Blasphemie therefore M. Hardinge, to saie, as we saie, The first five hundred yeres of the Church are moze worthie, then the whole thousande, that folowed afterwarde. This rather is open Blasphemie, to compare the Church of Rome, that now is, to a perfit man, as you do, and the Primitive Church of the Apostles, and holy Martyres, unto an Infante. This surely is Blasphemie againste God.

Hieron. ad Pama-
machium &
Oceanum.

Therefore I wil answer you with the wordes of S. Hierome: Quisquis es as- sertor novorum Dogmatum, quæso te, ut parcas Romanis auribus: parcas Fidei, quæ Apostolico ore laudatur. Cur post quadringentos annos docere nos niteris, quod antea nescimus? Cur profers in medium, quod Petrus, & Paulus edere noluerunt? Vt- que ad hunc diem sine ista Doctrina Mundus Christianus fuit: Thou, that arte a Main- tainer of newe Doctrines, what so ever thou be, I praise thee, spare the Romaine eares: spare the Faith, that is commended by the Apostles mouth. Why goest thou about nowe after foure hun- dred yeres to teache us that Faith, which before we neuer knewe? Why bringest thou us forth that thing, that Peter, and Paule neuer uttered? Evermore until this daie the Christian, worlde hath benne without this Doctrines.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Division. 7.

For if the Popes woulde, or els if they coulde wrighte with theire owne selues the whole mater, & also the beginninges and proceedinges of our Religion. howe in a manner al theire trauaile hath com me to naughte, no body dviuinge it forwarde, and without any worldely healse: and howe on the other side, oure cause, againste the wil of Em- peroures from the beginnunge, againste the willes of so many Kinges, in spite of the Popes, and almoste maugre the heade of al menne, hath taken encrease, and by litle and litle spreadde ouer into al Countreies, and is com me at lengthe into Kinges Courtes and Pallaces: These same thinges me thinketh might be tokens greate yenoughe to them, that God him selfe doothe strongly sighte in oure quarrel, and doothe from Heauen laugh at their enterpuses: and that the force of the Truth is such, as neither Hannes Power, nor yet Belle gates are hable to roote it out.

M. Hardinge.

It is wel, that ye vse the terme of the beginnunge and proceedinges of your Religion. For in deede of late yeres it beganne, not at Ierusalem, but at VVittenberg. Neither was it firste deliuered vnto you by an Apostle, but by an Apostata. Stil it proceedeth, and the farther of from the ende. And wel maie ye name it your Proceedinges, for there is no staie in it. VVhat liketh to daie, misliketh to morowe. The seely beguiled soules that folowe it, be as S. Paule saith, euer learninge, and neuer reachinge to the knowledge of the Truthe.

Vatruthe.

Did not your Religion beginne firste of Couetise, and grewe it not afterwarde of rancoure and malice, whiche Martin Luther conceiued againste the Dominican Friers in Saxonie, because Albert the Archbishop of Mentz and Electour of the Empire, had admitted them to be Preachers of the Pardon of a Croisade againste the Turke, contrary to an Anciente custome, whereby the Augustine Friers, of whom Luther was one, had of longe time benne in possession of that preferment. Is it not wel knowne what a sturre Frier Luther made againste Iohn Tetzel the Frier of S. Dominikes order, for that the saide Tetzel was made chiefe Preacher of a pardon, wherein was greate gaine, and thereby him selfe was bereft of that sweete Morfel, whiche in hope he had almoste swallowed downe.

VVhere you saie, your Religion is spreadde abroad, and hath taken so muche encrease againste the willes of Princes, and almoste maugre the heade of al men: that is as false, as your Religion is.

Did not the greates slaughter of youre hundred thousande Boudes of Germanie signifie to the worlde, your cause to haue had the helpe of man? VVhat maie we iudge of the greates League of Ger- maine Princes made at the diete of Smalcalde, for defense of your Lutheran Gospel?

The troubles and tumultes of Fraunce raised by your Brethren the Huguenotes, and the lamen- table outrages committed there for your Gospels sake, be they not a witnesse of freshe memorie, that your Religion is maintained, sette soorth, and defended with power and helpe of menne.

That Fraunce.

2. Tim. 3.

The firste
beginning
of this new
Gospel, &
for the occasi-
on that firste
moued La-
relie.

The diem
of Smal-
calde.

The Hu-
guenots of
That Fraunce.

That it is nowe at lengthe come euen into Kinges Courtes and Palaices, it moueth wise men no lesse to suspecte it, then to praise it.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Ye make youre selfe game, M. Hardinge, for that the preachinge of the Gospel issued firste out of VVittenberg, and not from Rome: notwithstandinge VVittenberg is a noble, & a famous Antuerfitie, so generally frequented out of al foraine Countries, and so muche commended for al kindes of tongues, and liberal knowledges, as not many the like this date in Christendome.

But be it, that VVittenberg were so simple a Burrough, as M. Hardinge imagineth. Yet were it not more simple, then was the towne of Nazareth: in whiche poore Towne notwithstandinge, firste appeared the moste glorious, & greatest Light of the worlde. Christian modestie would not disdaine the Truthe of God in respect of place. That rather becommeth the Proude Lookes of the Scribes, and Phariseis. They despised Christe and his Disciples, and called them Nazarenes, in despite of his Countrie. And there hence, it is likely, firste grewe that scornefull question vsed by Nathanael, Nunquid ex Nazareth potest aliquid esse boni? Can any good thinge come from Nazareth, to peere a Towne? So Celsus the Heathen dispised the Religion of Christe, because it came (not from Rome, or Athens, but) from the Barbarous Jewes. For thus Origen reporteth of him: Dogma Christianum affirmat a Barbaris cepisse ortum, hoc est, a Iudæis: He saith, the Doctrine of the Christians had his beginninge onely from a Barbarous Nation, that is to saie, from the Jewes.

Iohan. 1.

Origen. contra Celsum. Lib. 1.

But this is the mightie hande and power of God. He chuseth the weake thinges of the worlde, to confounde the stronge: and the lowly thinges of the worlde, to confounde the wise. He ouerthroweth the greates Goliath with a sely Sling, and bloweth downe Antichriste in al his glorie with the breathe of his mouthe. I thanke thee, O Father, saith Christe: for that thou hast hidde these thinges from the wise, and Politique: and hast reueled the same vnto the simple. The faith of Christe is not bounde to place. The whole Earthe is the Lordes, and al the fulnesse of the same. There is nowe no distinction of Greeke, and Barbarous: We are al one in Christe Iesu.

1. Corinth. 1.

1. Reg. 17.

2. Thess. 2.

Matthæ. 11.

Psalm. 24.

Galat. 3.

Notwithstandinge, the Gospel of Christe that we professe, neither had his beginninge from that Learned Father Doctor Luther, nor came first from VVittenberg. It is the same Gospel, whereof it is written by the Prophete, The Lawe shall come out of Sion, and the Worde of God out of Hierusalem.

Mich. 4.

Touchinge youre longe tale of Doctors Luthers auarice, & sale of Pardones, I wende not, nor neede nor to answere you. It is a simple scale sclander. Yet it often serueth youre turne of course, when other thinges beginne to faile. In darde frere Tecel the Pardoner made his Proclamations vnto the people openly in the Churches in this sorte: Although a man had lasne with oure Lady the Mother of Christe, and had begotten her with Childe, yet were he habile by the Popes power to Pardonne the faulte. Against this, and other like soule Blasphemies Doctor Luther firste beganne to sprake. Howe whether this occasion were sufficiente, or no, let M. Hardinge him selfe be the Judge.

Iohan. Sleidas nus. Lib. 13.

We graunte, the Princes, and Estates of the worlde haue nowe laide theire power to assaile the Gospel. Goddes Holy Name therefore be blessed. Howe be it, the Gospel came not firste from them. It sprang vp, and grewe by them many wheres againste their willes.

Neither is the Gospel therefore the more to be suspected, because it hath entered into Princes Courtes. Daniel was in Kinge Nabuchodonosors Palace, & taught him to knowe the Livinge God. S. Paule reioiced, and toke comfort in his bandes, for that there were some euen in Neroes Court, that began to harken to the Gospel. And Eusebius saith, Valeriani Aula erat iam Ecclesia Dei: Valerian the Emperours Courte was nowe become the Church of God. Athanasius saith vnto the Emperoure Iovinian, Conueniens est Pio Principi, &c. The Studie and loue of Godly thinges, is very meete for a Godly Prince. For so shal you surely haue youre harte euermore in the hande of God.

Philip. 1.

Theod. li. 4. ca. 3.

D

Lithewts

Cyrl. in Epist.
ad Theodof.
Valentinian.
Sizom li. 9. ca. 3

Likewise saith the S. Cyril to the Emperours Theodosius, and Valentinian: Ab ea, que erga Deum est, pietate Reipub vestre Status pendet: *The state, and assurance of your Empire hangeth of your Religion towards God.*

So likewise saith the Sozomenus of the Emperour Arcadius, *Μὴν δὲ εὐσεβείας ἀγαπᾷ πρὸς σωτηρίαν τοῦ βασιλείου: ἄνω ἡ τέχνη μὴ ἀπὸ τῶν σπυρίματων.*

psalm 2.
Esaie. 49.

Therefore it becometh Princes to vnderstande the cases of Goddes Religion, & to receiue Christe with his Gospel into their Courtes. For God hath ordered Kinges, as the Prophete Dauid saith, to serue the Lorde: and, as Esaie saith, to be Pources vnto his Church.

If there be occasions of vanities, or wickednesse in Princes Courtes, yet is there no Courte therein comparable to the Courte of Rome. For there, S. Bernarde saith, Mali proficiunt: Boni deficiunt: *The Wicked waxe: the Godly want.*

Act. 5.

Be strue in batne, M. Hardinge: This Council is not of Man: it is of God. If Princes with their powers coulde not staie it, mutche lesse can you staie it with vnturthes and fables. The poore beguiled soules, of whom ye speake, are neither so simple, nor so simple, but they are hable to espye your foltes. The Truthe of God will stande: Vanitie will falle of it selfe. Remember the Counsel of Gamaliel: Fight not againste the Spite of God.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Diuision. 3.

For they be not al madde at this daie, so many free Citties, so many Kinges, so many Princes, which haue fallen awaie from the Seate of Rome, and haue rather ioynd them selues to the Gospel of Christe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinges answere hereto is longe: the effecte thereof in shorte is this:

The Faith of the Holy Romaine Church, is the very Catholique Faith, which who so forsake, shalbe companions with Diuelles in euerlastinge fiere.

And where ye saie, so many free Citties, so many Kinges, I prae you, howe many free Citties can you name, that haue receiued your Sacramentarie Religion? Nay the free Citties of Germanie, as many as haue forsaken the Catholique Church, doo they not persecute you the Sacramentaries? But, saie ye, they be fallen from the Seate of Rome. So be the Greekes also in a point or two: yet condemne they you for Heretikes.

Neither be al the free Citties in al the Countrie of Germanie fallen from the See Apostolike. Of fise partes of that greate Countrie, at leaste twoo remaine Catholique.

Let vs see, howe make ye vp the number of so many Kinges ye speake of? The Realme of Englande, and Scotland, because by Goddes providence the gouernement of them is deuolued to VVomen, for as muche as they be no Kinges, though they haue the ful right of Kinges, of them I speake not. Nowe onely two Christened Kinges remaine, the Kinge of Denmarke, and the King of Sweden. Geate you nowe vp into youre Pulpites like bragginge cockes on the rowle: Flappe youre whinges, and crowe out aloud, So many free Citties, so many Kinges.

But what thinke you of al the worlde before this daie? VVere al Citties, and Prouinces, al Countreies, al Kinges, al Princes &c. til Frier Luther came, and with his Nunne tolde vs a newe Doctrinne, and controlled al the olde, were al these madde?

The Vertuous menne of the Societe of Iesus, haue they not broughte many Countreies, many Kinges, many Princes to the Faith of Christe by preaching the Doctrinne of the Catholique Church? VVee wil not solowe your foolishnesse in boasting &c. The Faith professed in the Holy Romaine Church, is is nowe preached in Peru in the Kingedome of Ignamban, in the Kingedome of Monopotapa, in Cambaia, in Giapan, in Cina, in Tartario, in Basnaga, in Taprobana, in Ormuz, in Ceilon, in Zimor, in Bacian, in Macazar.

The Miracles wrought by theise Holy Fathers whiche converted these Countreies, I trowe, ye wil not accompte to be madnesse. Thus your vaine boaste in wickednesse wrought by the power of Satan is put to silence &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Faith of the Romaine Church (saith the M. Hardinge) is the very Catholique Faith of Christe: whiche who so forsaketh, be he Kinge, or Emperour, he shalbe Companion with Diuelles in euerlastinge fiere. Thus mutche, I trowe, M. Hardinge learned of the counterfeste Decree of Anacletus. For thus it pleaseth him to glasse, and interlace the wordes of Christe: Super hanc Petram, id est, Super Ecclesiam Romanam, ædificabo Ecclesiam meam: *Upon this Rocke, that is to saie, Vpon the Church of Rome, I wil builde my Church.* And therefore Pope Bonifacius, for a ful resolution of the mater saith thus: Subesse Romano Pontifici, omni humanæ creaturæ

Anaclet. Epist. 1.
Extra De Ma-
ior & Obedi-
entiam Sacram.

turę declaramus, dicimus, definimus, & pronuntiamus, omnino esse de necessitate salutis: Wee declare, saie, determine, and pronounce, that it is of the necessitie of Saluation for every mortal creature to be subiecte vnto the Bishop of Rome. And the Glose likewise vpon the same, Quicquid saluatur, est sub Summo Pontifice: What so euer creature is saued, is vnder the Highest Bishop. Here is no mention neither of Christe, nor of his Gospel. Saluation, and Damnation hangeth onely of the Bishop of Rome. This thinge once graunted, the case is cleare: The Pope him selfe hath resolved the doubt. What shoulde wee make a better trial? Notwithstandinge Cornelius the Bishop of Bionto in the late Chapter at Tridende, of the Bishop, and Holy See of Rome, saith thus, Vtinam à Religione ad Superstitionem, à Fide ad Infidelitatem, à Christo ad Antichristum, à Deo ad Epicurum, velut prorsus vnanimis non declinassent, dicentes in corde impio, & ore impudico, Non est Deus: O woulde God (the Pope and al his Cardinales) had not fallen with common consente, and altogether, from true Religion to Superstition, from Faith to Infidelitie, from Christe to Antichriste, from God to Epicure, saiering with wicked hearts, and shamelesse mouthes, There is no God. In like sense of the same Bishoppes, and See of Rome, S. Bernarde saith, Serui Christi seruiunt Antichristo: The Seruantes of Christe serue Antichriste. Cornelius saith, The Bishoppes of Rome are fallen to Superstition, to Infidelitie, to Antichriste, to Epicure: and are not ashamed to saie, There is no God. Yet, saith the M. Hardinge, the Faith of Rome is the Catholique Faith: and who so departeth from the same, be he King or Emperoure, he shalbe companion with the Diuel in Hel here. And thus to saie, is no more madnesse.

Glosse in eodem Cap.

Cornelius Episc. Biont. in Conc. Tridentino.

Psalm. 14. Bernard. in Cant. sic, Cantico.

That the Princes, and free Citties of Germanie euer persecuted vs, it is vtterly vntrue, and like the reste of your tales. Notwithstandinge somme of them haue dealeth sharply with certaine of this side, in consideration either of Conscience, as they thought, or of the state, and quietnesse of their Subiectes, yet in open Consultation and publique Diete, they neuer suffered this Doctrinne to be condemned. They reioice in God in our behalfe: and beinge there, they receiued vs vnder theire protection, and offered vs such freidome, and courtesie, as they seldome haue offered the like to any Nation. Certaine of them by your owne Confession, haue already agreed to al the pointes of oure Doctrinne. But none of them al, no not one would euer suffer the same Doctrinne of oures to be condemned in open assemblie, and to be iudged for Heresie.

What the Grecians this daie thinke of vs, I cannot tel. Notwithstandinge, it appeareth by their letters witten yourpously to the Churches of Bohemia, that they allowe wel of our Doctrinne, and vtterly condemne yours. For thus they write vnto them: Ουκ ον τοδεστα τοι αδελφοι και υιοι αι στω ες ης, δε εκδομας, και ελπιζομας, επι στωατη την μεθ' ημας ενωσιν: Wherefore louinge Brethren, and Children, if it be so as wee heare, and hope, make haste, that wee maie ioine together in Vnitie.

Epist. Ecclesie Constantinopolitane ad Ezech. siam Pragensem in Concil. Lateranen, cap. 4.

And againe, thus it is recozded in the late Councel of Laterane, Graeci in tantum coeperunt abominari Latinos, vt si quando Sacerdotes Latini super eorum Altaria celebrassent, ipsi non vellent prius in illis Sacrificare, quam ea, tanquam per hoc inquinata lauissent: The Greekes beganne so muche to abhorre the Latines, that, if it had happened the Latine Priestes had Ministred vpon their Altars, they woulde not afterwarde make Oblation vpon the same, before they had washed them: as thinkinge their Altars defiled by the Latins handlings. Wherefore, M. Hardinge, what so euer the Grecians thinke of vs, it appeareth hereby, they vtterly refuse your Communion, and condemne you for Heretiques.

But who woulde haue thoughte, M. Hardinge had benne so skilful in propositions? The whole Countrie of Germanie, saith he, beinge diuided in equal portions, two of five remaine stil in the obedience of the See of Rome. Wee gene God thanks, it is, as it is. In dede certaine towne subiecte to the Bishoppes, in outwards blynde of their Churches, remaine stil, as they were before. Yet neuertheless, in most places where the Churches are Popish, the people of al sortes are Protestantes, and loue the Truthe, and are muche ashamed of your folles. It was Goddes se-

crete prouidence, that certaine of yowes shoulde remaine amongst vs a season, as the Cananites remained amongst the people of Israel. Otherwise within few yeres ye woulde denie, that euer your disorders had benne so greate.

Lut. 13.

Eusebius.

Ioh. 4. 12.

1. Cor. 15.

It is very harde, and in manner not possible, to conuerthe the whole people of so greate a Countre al at once. The Heares of a mannes Earde, or Heade, neuer were white al together. Christe compareth the Kingdome of God vnto Leauen, whiche the woman taketh, and laeth in a lump of dough. It worketh, and labourerth by litle, and litle, vntil it haue Leauened al the whole. In this sorte Eusebius seemeth to compare the two partes of the Romaine Empire dissentinge in Iudgements of Religion: the Eastre parte to the Right, and the Westre to the Daie. But Christe wil drawe al vnto him selfe: and then shal God be al in al.

But here to matche oure so many Kinges, and so many Princes, M. Hardinge hathe brought vs a many of newes out of Ignamban, Monopotapa, Cambaia, Giapan, Bisnaga, Ormuz, and other strange, and farre Countreies. There, he saith, so many, and so many Kinges, and Princes are lately Conuerted, and brought to the obedience of the See of Rome. I maruile, he saith not, the Span in the Spone was likewise newly Christened, to make by the Muster.

Howe be it, al these things must nedes be true. For Christes owne felowes, or, as M. Hardinge uttereth it in better wise, the Holy Fathers of the Societie of Iesus, haue sente home word as wel hereof, as also of sundrie Miracles wroughte by the same Holy Fathers. Merily, so the name of Christe be published, whether it be by them, or by vs, by Light, or by Darkenesse, Goddes Holy name be blessed. But of many their Miracles I haue no skil. For thus these Holy Fathers write, as it is saide before, that with Holy Water they haue diuen offe out of the Countre, and made Barren Women to beare Childen, and sutch other like maruellous Miracles. Further are we bounde of necessitie to belene al sutch Miracles what so euer, without exception. Alexander of Hales saith, In Sacramento apparet Caro, interdum Humana procuracione, interdum operatione Diabolica: In the Sacrament it selfe there appeareth Fleashe, sometime by the conuiance of Men, sometime by the workinge of the Diuel. Likewise saith Nicolas Lyra, Aliquando in Ecclesia fit maxima deceptio Populi in Miraculis fictis à Sacerdotibus, vel eis adhaerentibus, propter lucrum: Sometime euen in the Church the People is shamefully deceined with feined Miracles wroughte either by the Priestes, or els by their companions for lakers sake. Miracles be not euer moze vndoubted proofes of true Doctrine. Therfore S. Augustine saith vnto Faustus the Manichee: Miracula non facitis: quæ si faceretis, tamen ipsa in vobis caueremus: Ye worke no Miracles: and yet if ye wrought any, at your handes wee woulde take heed of them. The Prophete Ieremie saith, Seduxerunt Populum meum in mendacijs suis, & in Miraculis suis: They haue deceined my People by their Lies, and by their Miracles.

Copus Dialo. 1.

Pag. 13.

Alexander de

Hales. par. 4.

que 33. mem. 4.

Ar. 3. solut. 2.

Nicola. Lyra, in

Daniel. ca. 14.

Augusti contra

Faust. Lib. 11.

Iheremi. 23.

Vesputius.

Touchinge the Conversion of the Eastre India, Vesputius writeth, there were many Godly Bishoppes there, and sundrie whole Countreies Conuerted, and Christened, longe before that either the Portugales, or the Iesuites came thither: and yet had neuer hearde of the name of the Bishop of Rome.

As for the reste of the Westre Spanishe Indies, the People there liued not onely without al manner knowledge of God, but also wilde, & naked, without any Ciuile gouernement, offeringe by mennes bodies in Sacrifice, & inkinge mens bloude, and eatinge mennes fleashe. Some of them worshipped the Sunne, and the Mone: somme, an ancient olde Tre: somme, what so euer they sawe first in the morninge, they thoughte the same for that cause to be their God. Somme worshipped certaine familiare Diuelles, and vnto them sacrificed yonge boyes, and girles.

Petr. Martyr

Mediolanen.

Beinge in this miserable state, and naturally by the very sense, & iudgemente of common reason abhorringe, and loathing their owne blindnesse, what maruile in it, if they were easie to be leade into any Religion, specially carryinge sutch a shewe of apparel, and Holy Ceremonies.

M. Har. fo. 96 b.

Addition. Here (saith M. Hardinge) M. Iewel attributeth the glorious con- Addition
uerion of the Indians to the leadinge of natural reason. This (saith he) is his Heathenish har-
Vvhat

What could Porphyrie, or Iulius, or Celsus saie more? And what maketh you, M. Hardinge, to be so belemente? Is Nature so blinde, that she can no waie discern, what is likely or unlikely in any parte of Religion? Wherefore then dothe S. Paule saie, speakinge onely of Natural mentie, and of the lighte of Nature, That thinge, that maie be knowen of God, is opened vnto them. The inuisible thinges of God are knowen (Naturally) by the Creatures of the worlde: euen the euerlastinge power of God, and his Diuinitie? Or why saithe he, The Heathens, that haue not the Lawe of God, yet by Nature doo the thinges, that pertaine to the Lawe: and hauinge no Lawe, they are a Lawe to them selues, and shewe forth the woorkes, or effecte of the Lawe written within (by Nature) in their hartes? S. Ambrose addeth further, Gentilis, duce Natura, credit in Christum: Gentilis per Solam Naturam intellexit Authorem: The Heathen by the leadinge of Nature beleueneth in Christe. The Heathen onely by Nature hath knowen God, the maker of the worlde. In the shorte Commentaries, that beare the name of S. Hierome, it is written thus, Cognouerunt Deum, siue per Naturam, siue per facturam, & Rationem: The Heathens knewe God, either by Nature, or by Creation, and waie of Reason. And againe, Homo Naturaliter potest scire de Deo, & quod sit, & quod iustus sit: Man maie by Nature knowe of God, bothe that he is, and that he is iuste. If thesse sayings be so heathenlike, and Ie wishe in vs, why are they suffered in S. Paule, in S. Hierome, in S. Ambrose, and in other Holy Fathers?

Rom. 1.

Rom. 2.

Ambros. ad
Roma cap. 2.

Hieronym. ad
Roma cap. 1.

I saie not, that Nature alone is hable to leade vs into the perfection of Faith, or to endewe our hartes with the Spirit of God. But thus I saie, Nature of her selfe is oftentimes hable to discern betwene Truthe and falsehood. Cicero saithe onely of the habilitie, and force of Nature, Vtinam tam facile vera inuenire possem, quam falsa conuincere. By which confession it appeareth, although he were not hable by skill of Nature to finde the Truthe, yet was he hable by the same to reprove the falsehood. And therefore speakinge of the vanitie of his owne heathen Religion, he saithe, Quid negotij est hinc Postarum, & Pictorum portenta conuincere? What maisterie is it (euen onely by the light of Natural wisdom) to reprove the fabulous woonders of Painters and Poets.

De Natura
Deorum, lib. 1.

Tu scula 1.

The harte of man is Naturally inclined to Religion: notwithstandinge beinge blinded with Original sinne, in the choller thereof it oftentimes falleth into horrible Erroures. When the Christians in Rome had chosen a void platte in the Citie, and therein had builded them selues a Church for the seruice of Christe, and the Tauerne, or Titulers there, had laide claime to the same platte, for that it seemed to stand commodiously for their utterance, Alexander Severus the Emperour, beinge an Heathen, and void of Faith, and leade onely by the guiding of Nature, hauinge the hearinge of the matter, answered the Tauerne in this sorte: It is better that God be any waie worshippinge in that place, then you shoulde haue it. The Tartarions of late yeres, hauinge no certaine Religion of their owne, submitted them selues to the Religion of the Turkes. Nature taughte them, it was better to haue the Turkish Religion, then none at all.

Alexander Lampri-
dus in Alexan-
dro.
Vespasianus in
Nouo Orbe, fol.
485.

This therefore is it, that I saie, M. Hardinge: The poore Indians, liuinge by mannes fleathe, & goinge naked, hauinge no manner sense, nor knowledge of God, but fallinge downe either before an olde Tree, or before the Sunne, and the Mone, or what so euer thinge they sawe firste in the morninge, when they sawe the Religion of Rome, with so many Ceremonies, and shewes of Holinesse, very Nature taughte them, to thinke the same farre better, then their own. What heathenish Infidelitie finde you herein, or what Blasphemie against the power of God? As blind as your Indians are this daie, if they might see bothe your, & our Religion sette open before them, I doubt not, but Nature her selfe would leade them to iudge, that ours is the Light, and yours Darkenesse. S. Paule speakinge of the Disorder of praisinge vnto God, that he sawe among the Corinthians, saithe thus, Nonne Natura ipsa docet vos? Doothe not very Nature teache you this thinge?

1. Corinth. ii.

At this notwithstandinge, I saie not, that Nature is hable to leade vs into all the secrettes, and mysteries of Christes Gospel: Christe him selfe saithe, God often-

42 The Defense of the Apologie of the

Matthe. 11.
Iohan. 14.
Iohan. 6.
Matthe. 16.

times hideth these things from the (natural) wise, and greates learned menne, and revealeth the same vnto babes. And againe, No man commeth to my Father, but by mee: And No man commeth to mee, onlesse he be drawen by my Father. And vnto Peter he saith, *Fleash, and Bloude hathe not revealed these things to thee, but the Sprite of my Father which is in Heauen.*

Matthe. 23.

As for the Conversion of the wilde Indians, whiche you cal so Glorious, I praye God, it maie not iustly be saide vnto you, that Christe saide vnto the Pharisees, *Seeke aboute by sea, and by lande, to finde one Nouice: And when yee haue gotten him, yee make him the childe of Helle, double woofe, then your selues.*

And what if God would vse this meane for the time, afterwarde the better to leade the saide nations to the cleare Light of the Gospel, as S. Augustine saith, the *Thomas* vseth his bridle not to seue withal, but to drawe in his threde? No doubt *M. Harding*, if your Doctrine, and ours were laid together, the very Indians them selues, be they neuer so rude, would be hable to see a greates difference.

But let the Bishop of Rome winne abroad, and lose at home. You remember the olde *Prouerbe*, *Dionysius* Corinthi, *Dionysius* when he had loste his Kingdome at home at *Syracuse*, he gotte him selfe to Corinth, and there became a Scholemaster, and so serued to continue a Kingdome still.

We make no boaste, *M. Harding*, nor grate vs to rowle, as ye saie, nor flappe our winges in the behalfe of these Princes, and Countreies, so many, and so many, as it pleaseth you to spothe, that haue submitted them selues to the Gospel of Christ. Neither are the same, so many, and so many, so fewe as you would seme to make them. The Kingdome of Englande, the Kingdome of Scotlande, the Kingdome of Denmarke, the Kingdome of Sweden, the Dukes of Saxonic, the Duke of Brunswike, the Palsgrave of Rhene, the Duke of VVittenberg, the Landgraue of Hesse, the Marques of Brandeburg, the Prince of Russia, al other the Carles, and Noble men, and greates Citties throughte the whole Countreie of Germanie, the mightie common weales of Heluetia, Rhetia, Vallis Tellina, with so many hundred thousandes besides in Fraunce, Italie, Spaine, Hungarie, and in the Kingdome of Poole: Certainly al these wel reckened cannot seme so fewe, as ye would haue it. *Petwisse, M. Harding* it groweth you ful soze, they are so many. If God of his mercie blesse those things, that he hath mercifully begonne, it wil be highe time for you hoistly to take a newe refuge.

Isal. 19.
Luc. 2.
Apocahp. 19.
Tertul contra
Iudeos.

We boaste not oure selues of these things. There is no cause. It is not the worke of man: it is the onely hande of God. *Dauid* saith, *Let the Heauens reioice: let the Earthe be gladd: The voice (of the Apostles) is sounded abroad into al the worlde: The Angelles of God singe, Glorie be to God on highe: The Angel in the Apocalyps crieth againe, Cecidit, cecidit Babylon illa magna: Downe, downe is fallen that greates Babylon.* *Tertullian* saith, *Parthi, Medi, Elamiti, &c. The Parthians, the Medians, the Elamites, the people of Mesopotamia, of Armenia, of Phrygia, of Cappadocia, of Pontus, of Asia, of Pamphilia, of Egypte, of Aphrica, of Rome, of Hierusalem, of Getulia, of Mauritania, of Spaine, of Fraunce, of Britannie, of Sarmatia, of Dacia, of Germanie, of Scythia, and many other nations, and Prouinces, knowne, and vnkowne haue receiued the Gospel of Christe.* Thus saide they, the Angelles, and Prophetes of God, and Holy Fathers, and yet without boasting, or flinging to rowle, or clappinge of winges. True vs leaue there soze, *M. Harding*, in the like case to solace our selues with the consideration of Gods mightie, and merciful workes, and humbly to reioice at the triumphe of the Crosse of Christe.

Arnobius contra
Gentes. li. 8.

Arnobius saith vnto the Heathens: *Ne nobis de nostra frequentia blandiamur. Multi nobis videntur: sed Deo admodum pauci sumus. Nos gentes, nationes distinguimus: Deo vna Donus est mundus hic totus: Let vs not flatter our selues of oure greates multitudes. Vnto our selues we seme many: but vnto God wee are but fewe. Wee put difference betweene Nation and Nation: But vnto God this whole worlde is but one house.* This counsel, *M. Harding*, is wholesome for either parte to folowe, that who so wil reioice, maie reioice in the Lorde.

The

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Division. 4.

And although the Popes had neuer hitherunto leasure to consider diligently and earnestly of these maters, or though somme other cares doo now let them, and diuers waies pulle them, or though they coumt these to be but common and trifelinge studies, and nothinge to appertaine to the Popes woozthinellie, this maketh not why our matter ought to seeme the woozse. Or if they perchance wil not see that, whiche they see in deede, but rather wil withstande the knowen Truth, ought wee therfore by and by to be coumpted Heretiques, because wee obeye not theire wil and pleasure?

M. Hardinge.

Iohn. 21. Sith Christe hath geuen to the Pope in Peter, whose lausfull successour he is, commission to feede his Sheepe: Holesome feedinge beinge thend of that commission, it is not to be doubted, but he that ordeined thend, hath also ordeined meanes belonginge to thend. Therefore it is not the dutie of a good and humble sheepe, to geue forth a malicious surmise, that the shepheard wil not see that he seeth in deede, but rather wil withstande the knowen Truth. And what so euer sheepe be disobedient, and refuse to heare the voice of their shepheard, whom Christe hath made ouer them, the same be not of Christes folde. And mainteininge contrarie Doctrine to their shepheardes true Doctrine, iustly may they be accompted Heretikes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

To be Peters lausful Successour, it is not sufficient to leape into Peters stalle. Lausful Succession standeth not onely in possession of place, but also, and mutche rather in Doctrine and Diligence. Yet the Bishoppes of Rome, as if there were nothinge els required, euermore put vs in minde, and tel vs many gale tales of their Succession. Pope Symmachus saith, In Papa si desint bona acquisita per meritum, sufficiunt quæ a loci Prædecessore præstantur: If the Pope wante vertues, and goodnesse of his owne, yet the vertues that are geuen him by (Peter) his predecessour, are sufficient. And the Glose vpon the same: Petrus fecit Papam hæredem bonitatis suæ: Peter hath made the Pope Heire of his goodnesse.

Dist. 40. Non nos.

Glosa ibid. in verb. Cum hæreditatem.

Dist. 40. Non est facile.

Alphonfus Contra Hæres. Lib. 1. Cap. 9.

But S. Hierome saith the same otherwise: Non Sanctorum filij sunt, qui tenent loca sanctorum: They be not euermore Holy mennes Children, that sitte in the roomes of Holy menne. Likewise saith Alphonsus de Castro, a special assistant of that side, Quamuis teneamur ex Fide credere, verum Petri Successorem esse Supremum totius Ecclesiæ Pastorem, tamen non tenemur eadem Fide credere, Leonem, aut Clementem esse verum Petri Successorem: Norwithstandinge wee be bounde by Faith to beleue, that the true Successour of Peter is the highest Shepheard of the whole Church: yet are wee not bounde by the same Faith to beleue, that Leo, or Clement (beinge Bishoppes of Rome) are the true Successours of Peter.

The wordes, that Christe spake vnto Peter, impoſte no Souerainetie, but were common to al the reſte. S. Cyprian saith, Hoc erant vtique cæteri Apostoli, quod fuit Petrus, pari consortio præditi & Honoris, & Potestatis: The reſte of the Apostles were euen the ſame, that Peter was, al endewed with like ſellowſhip bothe of Honour, and of Power. What ſpecial priuilege then can the Pope claime by the Succession of S. Peter? Or what talketh he of ſedinge the whole ſtocke of Chriſte, that neuer ſedeth a ny parte thereof: wherein is the Pope like S. Peter? or wherein euer was S. Peter like the Pope?

Iohn. 21.

Cyprian. de ſimplicitate prælati.

Yet S. Hardinge doubteth not to geue his Definitive Sentence, VVhat ſo euer Sheepe is not obedient to the Headſhepherd, is not of the ſtocke of Chriſte. The Pope alſo him ſelfe boldely warranteth the ſame. Thus he ſaith of him ſelfe, Quicumque præceptis noſtris non obediſſet, peccatum Idololatriæ, & Paganitatis incurrit: Who ſo euer obedieth not our commaundementes, ſalleth into the ſinne of Idolatrie, and Infidelitie. And therfore Pope Steuin thus auanceth the authoritie of his owne See: Sacroſancta Domina noſtra Romana Eccleſia: Our Holy Lady the Church of Rome.

Dist. 81. si quis sunt: In glosa.

Dist. 79. Operetur.

Iohn. 10.

Luc. 6.

But Chriſte ſprakinge of him ſelfe ſaith, I am the True Shepheard: And, Who ſo heareth theſe wordes of mine, and doeth the ſame, I wil liken him to a wiſe man. S. Paule

D ity

beinge

44 The Defense of the Apologie of the

Galat. 3.

De Cōcess. pra-
bende. Tropo-
suis. Hosien.
De Rescriptis.
Si quando. ver.
Sed nunquid.

beinge but one of the shepe, saith thus, I withstoode Peter (as M. Hardinge saith, the Headshepherd) euen vnto his face, for that he walked not uprightly to the Gospel of Christe. Yet was he a shepe of the flocke of Christe, Hostienus in this case seemeth reasonable: His wordes be these: Omnes debent obedire Papæ, quicquid præcipiat: nisi sequi possit peccatum: Al men muste obeie the Pope, what so euer he commaunde, so there shewe no sinne of his commaundement. Likewise Felinus a notable Canonist: Non obstante Plenitudine Potestatis, quæ est in Papa, non est obediendum ei, peccato imminente: Notwithstandinge the fulnesse of power, that is in the Pope, when sinne shal shewe his biddinge, wee maie not obeie him.

August. in lo-
han. tracta. 46.

If the Pope wil claime the Headpastourship ouer the whole flocke of Christe, or rather if he wilbe taken for any Pastour at al, let him then serue the Flocke: Let him breake the breade of Life, without Leauen: Let him speake the wordes of God truly, without fables: and we wil heare him. Otherwise S. Augustine hath war- ned vs: Sua si docere velint, nolite audire, nolite facere. Certè enim tales sua querunt: non quæ sunt Iesu Christi: If they wil teache you Doctrines of their owne, see, yee heare them not: (and what so euer sutch thinge they commaunde you) see, yee doo it not. For undoubtedly sutch men seeke for their owne matters: and not the thinges that pertaine to Iesu Christe.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Diuision. 6.

If so be that Pope Pius were the man (wee saie not, whiche he woulde so gladly be called) but if he were in deede a man, that either woulde accoumpt vs for his brethren, or at least woulde take vs to be menne, he woulde first diligently haue examined our reasons, and would haue seene, what mighte be saide with vs, what against vs: and would not in his Bul, whereby he lately pretended a Council, so rashly haue condemned so greate a parte of the worlde, so many Learned and Godly men, so many common wealthes, so many Kinges, & so many Prin- ces, onely vpon his owne blinde prejudices, and forcedeterminations, and that without hearinge of them speake, or without shewing cause why.

M. Hardinge.

Speake of Pope Pius, what yee wil, and what ye can. Neither your praise can aduance his estimation, nor your dispraise abase it. His singular vertues be wel known. God is highly to be praised, for that he hath provided for his flocke so good a Shepheard. As for you, as he taketh you to be men, so not his brethren, because yee haue cut of your selues from the Catholike Church. Your reasons haue bene diligently and exactly examined already. In respect of your reasons, Learninge, and Holy Scriptures, whiche yee bringe, yee are sounde such as Balsasar Kinge of Babylon was signified by the hande, that appeared writinge before him in the walle.

VWhat it is, Heretikes to be admitted to reasoninge, it is and hath bene euermore too wel known. Be they neuer so thoroughly confuted, they yeelde not. Overcome they may be, reformed they wil not be. Therein no good lightly is done.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Oratio Pij
Pape.

ἡμεῖς ὁ δὲ
ὁνὺς ὁ ἰσῶς.

Matthe. 23.
Iohan. 20.

If Pope Pius were so good a man, and so fit and worthy a Pastour for the Church of God, why then did his Cardinales of late labour so earnestly by trea- son, and conspiracie to depose him, beinge, as you saie, so good a man? Or if it were not so, why then did he him selfe complaine thereof so bitterly in an Oration pro- nounced openly in Rome in the Consistory: Is it not lausful for so good a man to liue in Rome? In the same Proclamation nowe published amonge the Cardinales in Rome, that was sometime vsed in the Council house at Ephesus, Nemo nostrum frangi esto: Let no good man be amongst vs? This Oration is abroad in printe. If you doubt the authoritie thereof, we wrote it not: it is none of ours.

Ye saie, Pope Pius woulde not vouchsaue to cal vs his Brethren. No mat- uelle, sainge his owne deere, and likte begotten Childzen the Cardinales woulde no lenger haue him to be their Father. It is sufficient for vs, that Christe the Sonne of God is contente to cal vs his Brethren.

Pa

We haue Excommunicate *2* vs, as the Phariseis did the Apostles, because we speake vnto you in the name of Christe. But your owne Lawe saith, Excommunicatus non potest Excommunicare: He that is Excommunicate him selfe, cannot geue Sentence to Excommunicate others. And your owne Gelasius saith, Neminem ligare debet iniqua Sententia: A wicked Sentence (of Excommunication) bindeth noman. But before al others God him selfe saith, Ego Benedicam Maledictionibus vestris: I wil Blesse, that you Curse, saith the Lorde. You saie, you haue weighed our Reasons, and haue founde them too light. Nowe saie, that is a good Light answere. Euen in such Light softe Iulianus the Apostata wrote sometime vnto the Christian Bishoppes: *Ἀνέγνω, ἔγνω, κατέγνω:* I haue readde your reasons: I knowe them wel yenough: and therefore I haue condemned them. But the same Christian Bishoppes answered him againe, *Ἀνέγνω: ἀλλὰ οὐκ ἔγνω:* it yee ἔγνω, οὐκ αὖ κατέγνω. It maie be, yee haue readde our reasons: but yee vnderstoode them not. For if yee vnderstoode them, yee woulde not condemne them. S. Paule also likewise saith, Nam si cognouissent, nunquam Dominum Gloriz crucifixissent: If they had knowen, they woulde neuer haue Crucified the Lorde of Glorie.

Iohan. 9. 12. 15.
24. 9. 1. Audiemus.
11. Quae. 3. Cui
esset illata.
Mala. 2.
Socrumen. lib. 1.
Cap. 18.
1. Corinth. 2.

But vnto many of your side, *M. Harding*, I feare me we maie ouer truely saie as S. Hilarie saith vnto the Arian Heretiques: Verè Deum nesciunt: atque vtinam nescirent: Cum procliuiori enim venia ignorarent: In deede they knowe not God: and you lde God they knowe him not: Then their ignorance were the easier to be pardoned.

Hilar. De Syno-
dis aduersus
Arianos.
In Appendice
Con. Basili. Hac
Sanctus.
Concil. Trident.
Session. 6.
M. Hard. 98. d.

You saie, There is no Disputation to be had with Heretiques. Yet your Father in the Council of Basile, and your friends in the laste Council of Trident, I wil not saie, had Disputations, but certainly yelded, and gaue place vnto the Bohemiens, and vnto such others, as you cal Heretiques.

Addition

Addition. This Lie, saith *M. Harding*, is so cleare, and euident, that our Confutation is needlesse. Neither is it tolde, wherein these Councilles should yelde, not where that yeldinge should be founde. The Answere. So cleare, saith *M. Harding*, is this Lie. How be it, if he had better considered his booke, he mought haue founde, that this so cleare a Lie, is an euident Truthe. Firste the Council of Basile, contrarie to the order of the Romaine Church, yelded vnto the Bohemians, the Holy Communion in Bothe Kindes: And yet the same Bohemians they called Heretiques. The wordes there emonge others are these: Indultum liberationis Communions sub vtraque Specie. The like might you haue founde in Cardinal Cusanus, if yee had wel sought it. Thus he writeth vnto the Priestes of Bohemia: Neque ita est, vt scribitis, nos compactiones ignorare. Nam illz aliquam correctionem, nobis tunc presidentibus in Natione Germanica, receperunt: maxime in capite de Libertate Communions: Vbi apponi fecimus, facultatem in euentum dari Sacerdotibus, posse Communicare populum modo, qui ibi ponitur: Neither is it so, as you write, that wee knowe not the Agreement, or composition. For the same Composition was corrected, when I was presidente in Germanie, specially in that Article, that toucheth the Libertie of the Communion. Whereunto I caused to be added, that vpon a further prouise, the Priestes should haue Libertie to Communicate the people in that sorte, that there is mentioned. Here haue you that thing, whiche, you saie, is not possible to be founde: And you haue it confirmed, and avouched by a Cardinal of the Church of Rome. Touchinge the same Article, the late Council of Tridente hath likewise yelded, how be it, with many longe and solemne protestations. The wordes beginne thus: Vt sub vtraque specie Communicare volentes &c. Wee ordaine, that what so euer Church, or Nation wil Receiue the Communion vnder Bothe Kindes, firste they agree bothe in harte, and woorde, with al the ordinances of the Church of Rome. Secondly that they shalbe subiecte, and obediende vnto the Pope, as reuerente Children vnto their Father, &c.

Council. rom. 3. in
Appendice Con-
cil Basili. pag.
243. Hac Sans
ctus.
Nicol. Cusanus
ad Bohemos E-
pis. 6.
De Libertate
Communions.
Posse Communis
care populum.

Thus, *M. Harding*, haue your Councilles yelded euen vnto them, that they them selues haue condemned for Heretiques. Now haue I tolde you, bothe where, in these two Councilles haue yelded, & also where this yeldinge maie be founde.

Council. Trident.
Session. 6.

Therefore I reade you henceforth, not to be so ready in dealing of Lies.

But yee haue reason: yee can foresee your beste advantage. It were the readiest waie

A compari-
son be-
tweene the
Defenden
and Babil-
on King of
Babylon.

*Tertull. in Apo-
logico.
1. Regum, 5.*

waite to disclose your shame. You neuer yet came to Disputation, but some of your companie shanke awaie from you. As I before haue reported out of Tertullian: *Veritas nihil veretur, nisi abscondi: Truth feareth nothinge, but least shes be hid.* If the God of Israel come into the Temple, the Idole of Dagon must needs fall downe.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 1.

But bicause he hath already so noted vs openly, least by holdinge our peace wee should seeme to graunt a fault, and specially bicause wee can by no meanes haue audience in the Duplike assemblie of the General Councel, wherein he would no creature should haue power to geue his voice, or to declare his opinion, excepte he were sworne, & straightly bounde to mainteine his Authozitie: For wee haue had good experience hereof, in the laste Conference at the Councel of Trident: where the Embassadors and Diuines of the Princes of Germanie, and of the free Cities, were quire shut out from their companie. Neither can wee yet forgeate, howe Julius the thirde, aboue tenne yeeres past, provided warily by his write, that none of our sorte should be suffered to speake in the Councel, excepte that there were some man peraduenture, that would recante, and chaunge his opinion. For this cause chiefly wee thought it good, to yelde vp an accompte of our faith in writinge, and truely and openly to make answere to those thinges, wherewith wee haue benne openly charged: to the ende the worlde maie see the partes and fundacions of that Doctrine, in the behalfe wherof, so many good men haue litle regarded their owne liues. And that al men maie vnderstande what manner of people they be, and what opinion they haue of God and of Religion, whome the Bishop of Rome, before they were called to tel their tale, hath condemned for Heretiques, without any good consideration, without any example, & vtterly without Lawe or right: onely bicause he hearde tel, that they did dissent from him and his in some pointe of Religion.

M. Hardinge.

Yee allage twoo causes, The firste is, lest by holdinge your peace, ye should seeme to graunt a faulte. The seconde, whiche ye make more special, is because by no meanes ye could haue audience in the late general Councel. Your seconde cause is false, as hereafter it shalbe shewed. Your firste is naught, as that whiche sheweth your pride, vaine glorie, and pertinacie. VVell, yee doo but as Heretikes before you haue euer done. It must not be looked for at your handes, that ye acknowledge any faulte. For that were Humilitie, whiche vertue al Heretikes be farre from, &c. To geue a voice or suffrage, and ytter Sentence definitiue it pertaineth onely to Bishoppes. Nowe yee be no Bishoppes, but some of you mere laie men, and most of you Apostates.

VVhether the Ambassadors and Diuines of the Princes of Germanie and of the free Cities there, were at any time vpon any consideration of their misliked demeanour, or for any other iuste cause restrained from the companie of the Fathers in the late general Councel at Trent: Againe whether Pope Iulius the thirde provided by any write, that none of your sorte should be suffered to speake in the Councel, the cause of recantation excepted: what ye saie touchinge this matter, because ye say it without prouise, wee haue founde you in so many other pointes of greater importance, so farre to steppe aside from trueth, that for this wee cannot beleue you. But that your selues by no meanes could haue audience in the Councel at Trent, and that the Ambassadors and Diuines of the Princes and free Cities of Germanie were from thence quire shutt out: howe true that is, I reporte me to the three safe conductes, whiche the three Popes, vnder whome that Councel was holden, graunted forth and confirmed in that behalfe. VVherefore belie the Councel aomore, complaininge that yee could not there haue audience and be heard.

Yee yelde vp an accompt of your faith in writinge, yee say. But to whome doo ye yelde it vp? and by whome is it yelded? from whome commeth the same? Do ye acknowledge no laful iudge, no laful consistorie in the whole worlde? Committe ye your whole mater to the temeritie of the people? VVhy haue ye not set your names to the Booke, that conteineth the profession of your faith, and of your whole conscience?

The

*Two
m-
les of
ma-
kinge
the
Apologie.
In Coun-
celles any
man may
shewe his
opinion,
but now
may geue
a voice, or
suffrage,
and Sen-
tence Def-
initiu, but
onely Bi-
shoppes.*

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge thought it not weare sufficient, to vpholde vs with suspicion of Antitruthe. How be it I haue no doubte, but bothe the Truthe, and the Antitruthe by the particulars wil soone appeare.

Firste, that al Bishoppes, hauinge voice Definitive, & interest in Councelles, are solemnely sworne in at their Decrees, and Canons to vpholde the Authority of the Pope. he thought it the safest waie to dissemble it. For it had benne greente wante of modestie, to denie it. The fourme of the Othe recorded in the Popes owne Decretalles, is this: Ego N. &c. Papatum Romanæ Ecclesiæ & regulas Sanctorum Patrum adiutor ero ad defendendum, & retinendum, saluo ordine meo, contra omnes homines: I N swaie, that I wilbe an helper, to defende, and maintaine the Papacie of the Church of Rome, and the Rules of the Holy Fathers (the Popes) mine owne order saved, againste al men aliae. But these Rules, & Privileges of the Holy Fathers the Popes, are these: That the Pope is aboue al General Councelles: That his bare wil must be holden as a lawe: That, what so euer he do, noman maye saie vnto him, why do you thus: That his iudgement is moze certaine, then the iudgement of al the world: That if the whole world geue Sentence in any mater contrarie to the Popes pleasure, yet it seemeth, we are bounde to stande to the Iudgement of the Pope: and, as M. Hardinge saith, That the Pope, what so euer he saie, or do, as beinge Pope, can neuer erre. These, and other the like be the Privileges, that the Pope claimeth vnto him selfe. Al to the Bishoppes are bounde by Othe, and by their allegiance to defende againste al menne aliae.

Nowe, where you saie, that Bishoppes onely haue Sentence Definitive in the Council, yet same willingly, and without cause to reporte Antitruthe. For Aeneas Syluius, beinge him selfe afterwarde Pope, and named Pius Secundus, woulde haue tolde you the contrarie. These be his wordes: Apparet, alios, quam Episcopos, in Concilijs habuisse vocem decidentem: It is plaine, that certaine others, beside Bishoppes, had voice Definitive in the Councelles.

Addition. In the repaite herof, M. Hardinge hath founde greete advantage. For when he wrote that Booke, (saith he) he was Aeneas Syluius Piccolomineus, not Pius Secundus. And afterwarde beinge Pope, he recanted that errour. Thus, god Reader, thou seest, the man is al one: but his name is changed. Yet here is mater sufficiente to raise a Tragedie. Firste, touching his name, it forceth not greatly. Neither was Paule an Apostle, when he was borne at Tharsus in Cilicia. Yet notwithstandinge S. Augustine saith, Dicimus Paulum Apostolum in Tharso Ciliciæ fuisse natum: Wee saie that Paule the Apostle was borne in the Countrie of Cilicia, and in the Cittie of Tharsus. Suche quarrelles are scarcely meete for children.

But afterwarde (saith M. Hardinge) beinge Pope, he recanted this errour. No doubte, vpon greete deliberation and god aduise. For beinge one of the Council of Basile, he saide, The Council is aboue the Pope. Afterwarde beinge Pope him selfe, he was better instructed. I see (saith he) before I was mutche deceived. Nowe the Pope is aboue the Council. Suche a thinge it is to be rapte by suddainely into the thirde Heauens.

But for as mutche as M. Hardinge saith, al this that I haue here alleged out of Pius Secundus, and Iohannes Gerson, is Little woorth the stuffe, for so he calleth it, and that I haue added to these wordes, In Concilijs, of mine owne, whiche wordes, he saith, are not founde in the Authour, and that this is, Lyinge for aduantage, for this is the ordinarie modestie of his spæche, I haue thought it god to laie forth the somme parte of the saide wordes more at large.

Thus therefore saith Aeneas Syluius, who afterwarde was named Pius Secundus: Nec in Concilijs dignitas Patrum, sed ratio sectanda est &c. Nec ego cuiusuis Episcopi mendatium, quamuis ditissimi, veritati præponam pauperis Presbyteri &c. In Councelles, Reason, or Truthe is to be considered more, then the dignitie of the Prelates. Neither wil I more esteeme a Lie pronounced by a Bishop, be he neuer so ritche, then the Truthe uttered by a poore simple Priest. Nor should a Bishop disdeigne, beinge rude, and vnlarned, if the people be not ready to folowe after him. Nor doo you that the Bishoppes, despise your

Extra. De Iure
turan. Ego N.
Extra de elect.
E. & h. l. p. 10. f.
Significasti.
Extra. de tran.
la. Episcopi.
Quanto in
gloss.
Extra. de Con.
cess. Præben.
Proposuit. In
gloss.
d. Fitzh. li. 6.
Cap. 13.
e. 2. q. 3. New. o.
In glossa.
f. M. Hard. fol.
334. b.
Aeneas Sylui. de
gestis concil.
Basilen. li. 1.
M. Hard. 99. b.

August. De Con.
sensu Euar. g.
li. 2. c. 17.

M. Har. fo. 100. a

De Gestis Con.
cil. Basilen. li. 1.
in Oratio. Aue.
lacen.
In Concilijs. a

Conciliorum. your inferiours. The firste man, that died for Christe, and shewed the waie vnto Martyrdome, was not a Bishop, but a Leuite &c. And, to reporte vnto you somme examples of Olde Councilles, wee shal finde in them al, that the Bishoppes were there together with their inferiours &c. In the Actes of the Apostles thus it is written: It hath seemed good to the Holy Ghoste, and to vs. To vs, that is to say, to the Apostles, and Elders. Neither doothe this woorde, *Vilsum est*, signifie a consultation, but a full discussinge, and decision of the mater. Therefore it appeareth, that certaine others, besides Bishoppes, had a voice Definitive in the Council. And in the Actes, when the Apostles had any greate mater to determine, they durste not to discuss it by them selues alone, but called the multitude to sitte with them &c. It appeareth, that the Apostles gaue vs an Example, that in greate weighty matters, wee shoulde calle somme others to vs. And therefore in the Councilles, that were holden afterwarde, wee finde, that inferiour Priests sate together with the Bishoppes, &c.

Et nobis.

In Concilio.

In Concilijs.

But here *M. Hardinge* findeth faulte, that I haue corrupted mine Authour, and thruste in these wordes, *In Concilijs*, of mine owne. And yet in the very same place, he mighte haue founde these very wordes: *Concilium, Conciliorum, In Concilio, In Concilijs*, used sundrie times altogether. And in dede, the whole speech there, is onely of Councilles.

The Popes Recantation.

These, good Reader, and other like, be the Errours, that Pius, beinge once Pope, would nedes recante: When he saide, That in his Councilles the dignitie of the Prelates, is moze to be weighed then the Truthe. When he saide, That a Lie pronounced by a Bishop, beinge ritche, is moze to be esteemed, then the truthe, uttered by a simple Priest. When he saide, That a Priest beinge him selfe vnlearned, and ignorant, ought to disdeigne, if the people be not ready to folowe after him. These be the thinges, that he recanted.

M. Hard. 100, 4.

How be it, *M. Hardinge* telleth vs, these are not the wordes of Aeneas Sylvius, but of one Ludouicus the Cardinal of Arles. And this he rekeneth for a greate maine Lie. Here make I easily answere *M. Hardinge*: If this were not Aeneas Sylvius owne sayinge. Why then did he, as you haue confessed, recante it afterwarde? If he did afterwarde recante it, howe make it appeare, it was not his owne? Are Popes so full of humilitie, that they wil recante wordes they neuer spake? *¶*

M. Har. fo. 99 b

Io. Gerson, Que veritates sint Credide. Corol.

Likewise saith the Gerson, *Etiam ad Laicos hoc potest extendi: & plus aliquando, quam ad multos Clericorum: This Privilege of geuinge Sentence in Council, may be extended euen vnto the laie sorte: yea and that oftentimes better then vnto many Priestes.* But hereafter more at large.

4.

M. Hard. 100, 6.

Addition. *¶* Hereto, saith the *M. Hardinge*, you falsely, impudently, and slowly, belie Gerson, for Gerson in this place speaketh not of the Authoritie of geuinge Sentence Definitive in General Council. *The Answer.* It is reason, that *M. Hardinge* make doale of Lies at his pleasure. Verily thus mutche at least Gerson saith: *The deliberation, or conference, and knowledge of maters of Faith maye belonge to the Laie people, and vnto them mutche more, and better sometime, then to many Priestes.* This *M. Hardinge* is as mutche as I either saie, or can require, and this is yenough: onlesse ye thinke, your Priestes haue Authoritie to iudge, and conclude without knowledge, for so saith Gerson, by his Division, to allowe Conclusion and Iudgemente, to the Priestes: and Deliberation, and knowledge, to the people. *¶*

Sallust. in bello Carilin. Concil. Trident. sub Paulo. 3.

But whether we be Bishoppes or no, *M. Hardinge* is no competent, nor indifferent Judge. For who so wil Judge by sightly, muste be void of anger, hatred, love, ennie, and other like affections. Whiche Sentence bringe otherwise profane, is vsed and halowed by the Apostolique Legates in the Council of Trident: Surely the Godly saie, that as your Bishoppes do no parte of Bishoppes betwix, and therefore in dede are no Bishoppes at al: so your late Couente at Trident, what so ever glorious name it pleaseth you to geue it, yet not withstandinge, in dede, and verily was no Council.

Whether Pope Iulius by his Bulle utterly embarred the Duties, and Embassadours of the Princes, and free Citties of Germanie from al audience, and Disputation in the Council, or no, I reposit me to Pope Iulius owne Bulle touchinge the same.

same. His wordes be these, Erit Concilium, vt qui temere loquuti sunt, aut dicta recantaturi veniant, aut eorum inaudita causa, in executionem iam ordinatarum Constitutionum Hæretici declarentur, & condemnentur: There shalbe a Council, that they that haue spoken rashly, either maie recante their sayings, or els without further hearinge, or reasoninge of the matter, they maie be denounced and condemned for Heretiques, accordinge to the Constitutions already made.

Likewise saith the Iohn Sleidane, touchinge the Conference had sometime at Augusta: In Colloquio frequenter ad initium actionis hoc dicebant: nolle se vel tantillum de Opinione, & Doctrina sua decedere: sed quicquid facerent, eo fieri, vt in sententiam suam nos adducerent: In the Conference that was had betwene vs, and them, they tolde vs at the firste, that they woulde not yeelde one whit, from their Opinion, and Doctrine: But that, what so euer they did, they did it onely to the intent, so bringe vs to their Iudgement.

I could farther allege Matthias Flacius Illyricus, Iohannes Fabricius Montanus, Petrus Paulus Vergerius the Bishop of Iustinopolis, to like purpose. But perhaps M. Hardinge would refuse these Authorities, and cal them partial. Yet in a matter so euident, and so openly knowen, it had benne greates folie for them to dissemble. Illyricus saith, Nostri audiri non potuerunt, quamuis id Amplissimi Caesaris Legati Orarent: Our Divines, and Oratours coulde in no wise bee heard, notwithstandinge the Emperours moste worthie Embassadors had desired it. Iohannes Fabricius saith, Fateor extensionem factam esse ad alias nationes: Sed tamen additur, eam formam non nisi ad illos pertinere, qui respiscere, & ad Ecclesie gremium redire velint: I graunt, the Saueconduite was extended to other Nations: But it is added withal, that the same forme, or libertie should pertaine to none others, but onely to them, that woulde repente, and returne to the bosome of the Church. And againe he saith, Tantum aberant ab Acte Disputationum, vt ne ad vestibulum quidem accedere potuerint: The Divines of the Prince of Germanie were kepte so far off from the highe Castel of Disputation, that they could not be suffered to approche to the entrie. Petrus Paulus Vergerius saith, that the Bishop of Vegla in Dalmatia was soare shaken vp in the same Council, and threatened with Deprivation, and other extremities, onely for a litle inblyng of the Truthe.

Now be it, what neede we more Authorities? Roman is herein so plaine, and preemprorie, as M. Hardinge him selfe. This is his determinate answer, and his resolution in the case: Your reasons are nomore to be heard, onlesse yee repent, and reuoke your errors. Again, Our Doctrine hath bene approued too longe, to be put a dayinge in these daies. Again, Such wicked changes, as ye haue made, it is lausful to make, neither with Council, nor without Council. Again, VVe tel you, that your change of Religion, and manifolde Heresies, ought not to haue benne attempted, nor without the Bishop of Romes commaundement, nor with his commaundement. These be your wordes, M. Hardinge: This you saie, you tel vs plainely, and therefore, I trowe, we muste beleue you. And so ye seme to conclude with the wordes written in the Prophete Hieremie: Non audiemus Verbum, quod loquutus es nobis in nomine Domini: Sed faciendo faciemus omne verbum, quod egredietur ex ore nostro: Wee wil not heare the Woordes, that thou haste spoken to vs in the name of the Lorde: But we wil doo euery Woorde, that shal come from our owne mouthe.

We maie therefore saie of you, as S. Augustine sometimes saide of the Heretiques the Donatistes: Cum omnis anima suspensa expectaret, in tanta collectione quid ageretur, illi vehementer instabant, vt nihil ageretur. Quare hoc? nisi quia causam suam malam sciebant, & facillime se posse conuinci, si ageretur, dubitare non poterant? When euery body was lookinge carefully, what shoulde be donne in so greate Assemblie, they (the Donatistes Heretiques) laboured what they coulde, that nothinge utterly shoulde be donne. And why so? They knewe, their cause was naught: and coulde not doubte, but that if any conference, or Disputation shoulde be had, they shoulde soone be reprov'd.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 2.

And although S. Hierome woulde haue no bodie to be patient when he is suspected of Heresie, yet we wil deale herein neither bitterly, nor trawlingly, nor yet be carid awaye with angre & hate: though

Brian. l. ij. c. 11. d. 11.

Iohan. Sleidan, lib. 13.

Illyr. in Recusatione Cont. Tridentini, Iohan. Fabrici.

Petr. Paulus Vergerius de Concil. Trident.

Matth. Flac. b. Mat. fol. 27. b. Mat. fol. 27. a. Mat. fol. 33. b.

Hierem. 44.

Augustin. Epist. ad Rom. 132.

he ought to be reckened neither bitter, nor brabler that speaketh the Truthe. Wee willingly leaue this kinde of eloquence to our Aduersaries, who, what so euer they saie againste vs, be it neuer so shrewdly or despitefully saide, yet thinke, it is saide modestly and comely yenough, and care nothinge whether it be true, or false. Wee neede none of these Mistes, whiche doo maintaine the Truthe. Further, if wee doo shewe it plainly that Gods Holy Gospel, the Auncient Bishoppes, and the Primitive Churche doo make on our side, and that wee haue not without iuste cause lefte these menne, and rather haue returned to the Apostles and olde Catholique Fathers: And if wee shalbe founde to doo the same, not colourably or craftily, but in good faith befoze God, Truly, Honestly, Cleerely, and Plainely: and if they them selues which flie our Doctrine, and woulde bee called Catholiques, shal manifestly see, how al these titles of Antiquitie, whereof they boaste so mutche, are quite taken out of their handes, and that there is more pithe in this our cause, then they thought for: we then hope and truste that none of them wil be so negligent and carelesse of his owne Saluation, but he wil at length studie and bethinke him selfe, to whether parte he were beste to ioine him. Undoubtedly excepte one wil altogether harden his harte, and refuse to heare, he shal not repent him to geue good heede to this our Defense. and to marke wel, what wee saie, and how truly and iustly it agreeth with Christian Religion.

M. Hardinge.

I see wel, we must looke to your fingers. Yee spit soorth your gal, and cholar, by and by at the first. Through your whole Booke in woordes ye pretende Truth, zeale, plainnesse, and sober dealing: But in deede power out little other then Lieinge, Spite, Scoffes, and immoderate railing. The effecte of the reste is this: Ye haue ioined youre selues to the Synagog of Antichriste. Ye serue the stage, ye haue begonne to plaie your Tragedie on falsely, shamefully, darkely, and guilefully: your bragges, and promises, your crakes of Goddes Holy woordes, your errors, your Heresies, your contagious poison, your sleanders, your newe Clergies Doctrine, &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Saieringe of S. Hierome is auouched by the like Saieringe of Ruffinus an Aunciente Writer. Thus he saithe, Vnam notam Hærescos qui dissimulat, non est Christianus: Who so dissembleth, when he is called Heretique, is no Christian man. For the rest blame me not, good Christian Reader, if I vie no more wordes, then neede requirerth. If I thoughte it worthy the while, I coulde answer al these thinges more at large. I trust, in our whole Apologie there appeareth no suche immoderate kinde of railing. But if I should folowe M. Hardinges humour, and write but the one halfe of that he writeth, then perhappes I might worthily be called a railer.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Diuision. 2.

For where they calle vs Heretiques, it is a crime so hainous, that vnlesse it maie be seene, vnlesse it maie be felte, and in manner maie be holden with handes & fingers, it oughte not lightly to be iudged or beleued, when it is lasde to the charge of any Christian. For Heresie is a forsakinge of Saluation, a renouncinge of Goddes Grace, a departing from the Body and Sprite of Christe.

M. Hardinge.

The Definition ye seeme to make of Heresie, is not sufficient. For as ye define it, so euery deadly sinne is Heresie. For euery deadly sinne, is a forsakinge of Saluation, a renouncinge of Gods Grace, a departing from the Body and Sprite of Christe. Heresie is a false Doctrine againste the right beleefe, by him that professeth the Faith stubbournly, either auouched or called in doubt. In whiche Definition, this woorde, stubbournly, is added, because it is not erreure onely in those thinges that be of sufficient Faith, The true

The definition of Heresie is not sufficient. For as ye define it, so euery deadly sinne is Heresie. For euery deadly sinne, is a forsakinge of Saluation, a renouncinge of Gods Grace, a departing from the Body and Sprite of Christe. Heresie is a false Doctrine againste the right beleefe, by him that professeth the Faith stubbournly, either auouched or called in doubt. In whiche Definition, this woorde, stubbournly, is added, because it is not erreure onely in those thinges that be of sufficient Faith, The true

Definition of Heretic. Lib. 12. De Civit. Dei. Cap. 31.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We saie, This is not the right Definition of Heresie. Herily, W. Hardinge, this is but a simple quarrel. It was not my minde in this place to utter any Definition of Heresie, either right, or wronge. You knowe right wel, that suche curiositie in this kinde of writinge is not needeful. It is sufficient, our wordes be true, although they include no Definition.

For iustke proufe of Heresie thre things necessarily are required: First, that it be an erreure: Secondly, that it be an erreur againste the Truthe of Goddes Worde: For other wise euery erreur maketh not an Heresie. Thirdly, that it be stoutly and wilfully mainteined. Other wise an erreur in Goddes Truthe without wilful mainteinance, is not an Heresie. S. Augustine saith, Errare possum, Hæreticus esse non possum: *In an erreur I maie be: but an Heretique I cannot be.*

It was not so necessarie in this mater, so precisely to take vp Definitions. I thought it sufficient, onely to declare the horriour of Heresie. For as touching the Definition, S. Augustine saith, *Quid sit Hæresis, regulari quadam Definitione comprehendere, sicut ego existimo, aut omnino non potest, aut difficillimè potest: To expresse by orderly Definition, what thinge maketh an Heretique, as I iudge, it is either impossible, or very harde.* Therefore you, W. Hardinge, and your felowes are the more blame worthy, for that of every your fantasies you haue made an Heresie. Ludouicus Viues one of your owne Schole thus complaineth thereof: *Hæresis nomen rebus leuissimis impingitur: Idem facerent Scotistæ de Thomistis, nisi Scholarum consuetudo aures emolliuisset: The name of Heresie is laide upon every light mater. So would the Scotistes handle the Thomistes: sauinge that the custome of the Schooles hath brought their eares in vne.* Thus Pope Nicolas saith, *Qui Romanæ Ecclesiæ Priuilegium auferre conatur, hic proculdubio labitur in Hæresim: Who so euer goeth aboute to abrogate the Priuilege of the Church of Rome, he no doubt is an Heretique.*

That yet speake of stubbornnesse in defense of Heresie, I praise God, & Haringe, it do not ouer neare touche your selfe. I praise God, you do not wilfully defende that thinge, wherein you knowe, and see manifest, and open erroure. Eusebii S. Hierome saith: Quicumque aliter Scripturam intelligit, quàm sensus Spiritus Sancti flagitat, quo scripta est, licet ab Ecclesia non recesserit, tamen Hæreticus appellari potest: *Who so euer expoundeth the Scriptures otherwise, then the sense of the Holy Ghoste, by whome they were written, dothe require, although he be not yet departed from the Church, yet maie he wel be called an Heretique.* Likewise the olde Father Tertulian saith: Quicquid contra veritatem sapit, Hæresis est, etiam vetus Consuetudo: *What so euer thinge fauoureth againste the Truthe, it is an Heresie, be it neuer so muche an olde custome.* Likewise pour Tyrannical, and filthy restraining of Wittes lausful Marriage, Vdalricus the Bishop of Augusta calleth, Periculosum Hæresis Decretum: *A dangerous Decree of Heresie.*

False touching simple error, and wilful defense, S. Hilarie saith, Illis in eo, quod nesciunt, potest adhuc in tuto esse salus, si credant: Tibi verò iam omnia ad salutem clausa sunt, qui negas, quod iam ignorare non potes: They, for so mutche as they knowe not the Truthe, maie haue theire saluation in safetie, if afterwards they beleene: But al hope of healtie is shut from thee, for as mutche as thou deniest that thinge, that thou canste not chuse but knowe.

To conclude, unto you, W. Hardinge, who oftentimes of smal errors, oftentimes of vndoubted, and knowne Truthes, without regarde of Definition, haue fanctied great, and horrible Heresies, Alphonsus de Castro a Doctor of your owne

C 4

fat be

Answered:

Augustin. ad
Quod videtur.

Ludovicus VI.
aes. De Corrupt.
Arist.

Diff. 22. Owner.

24. Quest. 3.
Heresis est:

Tertull. de vir-
ginib. velandis.

Vdalricus Au-
gustinus Epif-
copus ad Nitou-
la, Papam,

Nilavi, de Tril-
nitare, Lib. 6.

Alphonſus de
Caſtro de Here.
Li. 1. Ca. 7.

ſaith thus: Idcirco ſit, vt hi, qui tam leuiter de Hereſi pronunciant, non expenden-
tes de qua re loquantur, ſæpe ſua ipſorum ſagitta feriantur, incidantque in eam fo-
ueam, quam alijs parabant. Nam velle humanas Scripturas in Diuinarum ordinem
connumerare, hoc verius ego dixerim Hereſim: quod faciunt hi, qui humanis Scriptis
diſſentire impium autumant, perinde ac Diuinis: *Therefore it happeneth, that they, that ſi-
raſhly pronounce, and cal every thinge Hereſie, not conſideringe wherof they ſpeake, be often
ſtricken with their owne darte, and ſul into the ſame pit, that them ſelues haue digged for others.
For this woulde I rather cal Hereſie, to account menies writings emonges the Scriptures of
God. So doo they, that think it a wicked matter to diſſent from the writings of man, moſleſſe, then
if it were the Iudgement of God.*

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Diuiſion. 3.

But this was euer an olde and ſolemne proppertie with them and
their forefathers: if any did complaine of their errors and faultes,
and deſired to haue true Religion reſtored, ſtreight waie to condemne
ſuche for Heretiques, as men newe ſangled, and factious. Chriſte for
no other cauſe was called a Samaritane, but onely for that he was
thought to haue fallen to a certaine newe Religion, and to be the au-
thour of a newe ſecte. And Paule the Apoſtle of Chriſte, was called be-
foze the Iudges, to make anſweare to a matter of Hereſie: and therefore
he ſaide: Accordinge to this waie, whiche they cal Hereſie, I doo wooze
Wip the God of my fathers, beleeuinge al thinges whiche be written
in the Lawe, and in the Prophetes.

M. Hardinge.

If yee meane Huſ, Hierome of Prague, VVickleſſe, Almaricke, Abailard, the Apoſtolikes, Peter-
bruſians, Berengarians, VValdenſes, Albingenſes, Imagebreakers, or ſuche like, whiche euer founde
faulſe with the Church in their time, and cried for a reſtoringe of Religion, as though it had benne
quite loſte, and would them ſelues haue the glory of it, by bringinge in their Hereſie in place of the
Catholique Doctrin, vnder the name of Goddes woorde, whiche hath alwaies bene the proppertie of
al Hereſikes: if, I ſaie, ye meane theſe, or any of theſe: wee alſo cal them Heretikes, and for ſuche wee
condemne them.

But ſirs ye forget your ſelues ſouly. How agreeeth this with that ye ſaie hereafter oftentimes, that
the Light of the Truthe was quite put out, and that Luther and Zuinglius firſte brought the Goſpel
abroade into the worlde?

VVe it true that Chriſte was called a Samaritane for the cauſe ye aſſigne, thereof what con-
clude ye? VVe ſee where about ye goe. Ye woulde ſeeme to ioine with Chriſte, with Paule, and with
the firſt Chriſtians. But truly they reſuſe your companie.

But wee tel you, Chriſte was the true Samaritane in deede, that is to ſay, the Keeper, as he that
is Keeper of mankind: and therefore he ſhunned not the name. Yet was he not a Samaritane as Samari-
the Iewes meant. Paule likewise, (who was not as yee ſaie, to ſpeake properly, called before the
Iudges to make anſweare to a matter of Hereſie) beinge accuſed to Felix by Tertullus that he was of
the ſecte, or Hereſie of the Nazarens (ſo were the Chriſtians firſte called) did not onely not denie, but
openly confeſſed, that accordinge to that way or ſtate of life, whiche the Iewes called a ſecte or He-
reſie, he worſhipped God. For it is to be conſidered, that in thoſe times the name of Hereſie was not
ſo infamous, as it may be iudged by the place of the Actes, cap. 5. onleſſe ſomewhat be added where-
by it may be vnderſtanded to be taken in rate of a vice, as, 1. Cor. 11. Galat. 5. So the woorde was then
indifferent, and might be taken in good parte, or euil parte. Tertullian vſeth it in good parte, where
ſpeakinge of the Chriſtians, he calleth them *Se. Tam*, a ſecte, into whiche Latine woorde, the Greeke
woorde *Hereſis* is turned. Nowe theſe examples of Chriſte, Paule, and the firſt Chriſtians ſerue not
your deſenſe. Chriſte was called of the Iewes a Samaritane, vnuoorthely after the ſenſe of their
thought: Ye are called Heretikes, woorthely. Paule burdened with the name of Hereſie, for as much
as thereby was ſignified the kinde of life of thoſe that beleued in Chriſte, the woorde beinge indif-
ferent, was honoured rather then reuiled. And Tertullian calleth the Chriſtian people, a ſecte (as he
might) without blemiſhe or note of any euil. Your caſe is not like: For yee are charged with Hereſie,
as it is taken in the woorthle parte.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

Shoulde many waite wordes hath M. Hardinge to ſpare? Here once againe he
commeth in with Huſ, Hierome of Prague, VVickleſſe, Almarik, Abailard, Apoſto-
liques, Peterbruſians, Berengarians, VValdenſes, Albingenſes, Imagebreakers, to ſtate
his

his simple Reader with a terrour of strange names. Wee saie, Luther and Zuinglius were the firste Publishers of the Gospel: and yet againe we saie, the same Luther and Zuinglius were not the first: Wee haue so gotten our selues soulp: Wee write contradiction againste our selues: Wee would same to soine with Christe & Paule, but truly they refuse our companie: And so saythe, I knowe not what.

Verily, M. Hardinge, we neuer saide, Luther, and Zuinglius were the firste Publishers of the Gospel. If we should so saie, we should repoze vnturthe, as you do often. Christe, and his Apostles were the firste.

Addition

J

Addition. M. Hardinge, A greace Vnturthe. You saie no lesse, Pag. 17. thus Doctor Luther began to preache the Gospel of Christe. If he that beginneth to Publish, be the firste Publisher, then you saide, that Luther was the firste Publisher. *The Answer.* It is a great losse of time, M. Hardinge, to quarrel at wordes, your selfe beinge assured of the meanings. You knowe wel, I meante not, that Doctor Luther was the firste man, that euer preached the Gospel of Christe. For Christe him selfe, and his Apostles were before him. But thus I saie, In this later age, after your so longe Darke-nesse, he was the firste. But I haue saide, Doctor Luther began to publishe the Gospel: There you saie, by mine owne Confession, Doctor Luther was the firste Publisher of the Gospel: Grauntie, M. Hardinge, and sober dealinge would become you better. I saie, he began: Ergo, saie you, he was the firste. How foloweth this Conclusion of these Premises? That you maie vnderstande your erreure by the like, S. Peter saithe, Incipiam vos commonere: I wil beginne to aduertise you. Will you conclude hereof, that S. Peter aduertised them neuer before? or, That noman euer gaue them aduertisement before S. Peter? In the Actes of the Apostles it is written thus, Peter and Iohn were beginninge to enter into the Temple. Will you therefore tel vs, that Peter and Iohn were neuer in the Temple before that daie? Or, that noman euer came there before them? This is not that Grauntie, M. Hardinge, that you haue professed vnto the worlde. Hauinge respecte to these later daies, Doctor Luther was the firste, that preached the Gospel. But hauinge respecte to the Gospel it selfe, so Doctor Luther was not the firste. For it had benne preached by Christe, and his Apostles, and by infinite other Holy Fathers, many hundred yeres before Luther was borne.

M. Har. fo. 101. b

2. Pet. 1.

Act. 3.

Incipientes intrare in Templum.

¶

These worthy, and learned Fathers, Luther, and Zuinglius, and other like Godly and zealous menne, were appointed of God, not to erect a newe Church, but to reforme the olde, whereof you had made a Caue of Vnwares: to handle againe the Light, that you had quenched: and to beare witnesse to the Truthe of God.

You saie, Christe, and Paule will none of our companie: as if you were priue of their counsel. But perhappes, M. Hardinge, this is Clavis errans, and therefore can neither open, nor shut: Or, as S. Hierome saithe, Pars aliqua supercilij Pharisaei: Some parte of the highe lookes of the Phariseis. Christe him selfe hath saide, Who so loueth me, wil keepe my wordes: and my Father loueth him: And my Father, and I wil come to him, and dwell with him. S. Peter saithe, Who so trusteth in him, shal not be confounded.

Hieronym. in 16.

Cap. Matthe.

Iohan. 14.

1. Pet. 2.

Of Abailarde, and Almarike, & certaine other your strange names, if they haue taught any thinge contrarie to the Truthe of God, we haue no skill: They are none of ours. Of Iohn Hus, Hierome of Prage, and Berengarius, and other like vertuous Learned menne, we haue no cause to be ashamed. Their Doctrines standeth stil, and encreaseth daiesly: because it is of God. But as for yours, because it is onely of your selues, (for it is knowen for the moste parte, at what time, & vnder what Pope eche thinge first began, as your Transubstantiation vnder Pope Innocentius the thirde, anno. 1214. See.) therefore it falleth daiesly, and is now forsaken the world thorough.

Addition

J

Addition. Here M. Hardinge crieth out impatiently, The more is your shame: if any sparke of shame be leafe in you: Neither the VVhoore taketh shame of her filthinesse: Thou haite gotten thee a VVhoore foreheade. Pass shame wretches.

M. Har. fol.

13. 4.

¶

You saie, that the simple name of Heretike, or Hereffe, wherewith S. Paule was charged, was not so infamous, or odious in those daies: that Tertullian called the Religion of Christe a Heretike, or Hereffe, without any manner blemishe or note of euil.

Christian
Faith cal-
led Here-
sie.

Cicer. in Para-
dox.

Act. 24.

Iohan. 7.

Iohan. 8.

Iohan. 16.

Marth. 10.

Nicola. Lyra in
24. Cap. Act.

Tertul. in Apo-
logetica.

Tertul. in Apo-
logetica.

August. De Ci-
uitate. li. 2. ca. 1.

Euseb. li. 4. ca. 18

Hieronym. in
Epist. ad Titum.
Cap. 3.

Act. 14.

It was necessarie for M^r. Harding, to auouch the truth so earnestly without cause.
I graunte the name of Heresie, or Secte among the Philosophers was not in-
famous. Cicero saith, Cato in ea Heresi est, quæ nullum sequitur florem Orationis.
But in case of Religion it was euermore amongst al men taken in it parte, and
condemned, and counted odious. Touchinge S. Paule, in howe good parte the
Iewes called him Heretique, it maie easily appeare by the wordes of Tertullus his
accuser: Inuenimus hunc virum pestilentem, & mouentem seditionem omnibus Iu-
dexis per vniuersum orbem, ac Principem Sectæ Nazarenorum: Wee haue founde this
man to be a Pestilent, and a wicked slowe, mouinge sedition, amonge the Iewes throughout the
whole worlde, and a Capitaine of the Heresie of the Nazarenes. In sutch good parte
they saide vnto Christe: Art thou greater then was our father Abraham? Thou
art a false Prophete, and deceivest the People: Thou art a Samaritane, and haste
the Diuel.

The like good parte Christe promised afore hande to his Disciples: They shal
caste you out of their Synagoges: We shal be hated of al men for my names sake. In
sutch good parte & meaninge was S. Paule called an Heretique. And so, M^r. Harding,
besides others, your owne Doctour Nicolaus Lyra woulde soone haue tolde you.

But muche more I maruelle, ye shoulde so vnadvisedly, saie, that Tertullian
called the Christian people a Secte, or Heresie in good parte, and, as you saie, with-
out any blemishe, or note of euil. For the same Tertullian in the same Apologie
saith, the Heathens commonly called the Christians, Incestos, Homicidas, Infanti-
cidas, Sacrilegos, Pessimos, Nocentissimos, Publicum odium, Hostes humani generis,
Omnium Scelerum reos: Deorum, Imperatorum, Legum, Morum, Naturæ totius ini-
micos: Aduerterous againste kinde, Mankillers, killers of Children, Churchrobbers, most
wicked, most hurtful, the publique hatred, the enemies of Mankind, guiltie of al kinde of wic-
kednesse: Enemies againste the Goddes, againste the Emperours, againste the Lawes, againste
good order, againste Nature it selfe. Where so ever they tolde them, they made an out-
crie vpon them, Christianos ad Leonem: Non licet esse Christianos: Haue these Chri-
stians to the Lion: It is not Lawful, these Christians shoulde liue. So S. Augustine saith,
Factum est vulgi Proverbium, Pluuia deficit causa Christianorum: It is nowe become a
common Prouerbe amonge the people, Our raine faileth v, because of these Christians. So Eu-
sebius saith, the Religion of Christe was called Impiorum Christianorum Hæresis:
The Heresie of the Godlesse Christians. These wordes, M^r. Harding, I trouble, were
neuer vttered without al manner blemishe, and note of euil. S. Hierome saith,
Quod magis mirum sit, etiam illud de Actibus Apostolorum videtur esse relegendum,
Fidem nostram in Christum, & Ecclesiasticam Disciplinam iam tunc a peruersis ho-
minibus Hæresim nuncupatam: And that wee maie the more maruelle, wee maie once
againe reade this place of the Actes of the Apostles: where wee finde, that the Christian Faith,
and Ecclesiastical Discipline was euen then of wicked menne called an Heresie.

Such as rightly, M^r. Harding, and vpon as good groundes, you haue againe this
daie condemned the same Gospel of Christe, and in as good parte, and meaninge
haue called it Heresie. But wee maie truely, and simple saie with S. Paule, Accor-
dinge to this Secte, whiche you calle Heresie, wee worship the God of our Fathers, whiche is the
Father of our Lorde Iesu Christe.

The Apologie, Cap. 8. Diuision. 1.

But the more soe, and outrageous a crime Heresie is, the more it
ought to be proued by plaine and strong argumentes, especially in this
time, when menne beginne to geue lesse credite to their wordes, and to
make more diligent searche of their Doctrines, then they were wonte to
do. For the people of God are other wise instructed now, then they were
in times past, when al the Bishoppes of Romes Saieringes were
allowed for Gospel, and when al Religion did depende onely vpon
theire Authoritie. Nowe a daies the Holy Scripture is abroad,

the

the writings of the Apostles and Prophetes are in print: whereby
al Truthe and Catholique Doctrine maie be proued, and al Heresie
maie be disproued and confuted.

M. Hardinge.

Where ye require your Heresie, for so much as it is so hainous a crime, by plaine and strong arguments to be proued: it is not vnknown howe sufficiently and substantially that is perswained already by menne of excellent learninge, as wel of this age, as of times past. Vvas not Berengarius, the firste Authour of your Sacramentarie Heresie, by moste plaine and stronge argumentes confuted of Lanfrancus Bishop of Canturburie, and Guimundus Bishop of Auerca? VVere not the Peterbruggians so, whose Heresie ye holde againste the blessed Sacrifice of the Masse, of the learned Abbot Petrus Cluniacensis? VVas not VVickleffe so of Thomas VValden, a learned man of England: hath not Luther and Oecolampadius benne so confuted in our time, of that Holy and learned Father Bishop Fisher?

But what shal I speake of particular men, were they neuer so excellent, by whom they haue bene confuted with by Publike sentence of the Church they haue benne condemned, both in general and Prouincial Councelles? Therefore we thinke it not needeful nowe againe to proue your doctrine, so sufficiently condemned, to be Heresie. That the people be nowe otherwise instructed, then they were in times past, we confesse. But whether better nowe, then in our Forefathers daies, they that can consider the liues of them nowe, and of them that were then, maie easily iudge.

The saicings of the Bishop of Rome were neuer allowed for the Gospel. His priuate saicings and common talke mighte be erroneous; no lesse then other mennes. But what he saide by waie of iudgement and sentence definitiue in doubtful pointes touchinge Religion, suche saicings of Peters Successoure (for whom Christe praied, that his Faith might not faile, and who was commaunded by Christe to strengthen his Brethren) we take for Truthe, and the same obediently receiue. So the Fathers assembled in Council at Chalcedon, receiued and agreed to the saicing and writinge of Pope Leo, no lesse then if Peter the Apostle and firste Bishop of Rome him selfe had spoken. The Popes authoritie we acknowledge Supreme aboue al other authoritie in Earthe, touchinge the gouernment of the Church: yet was it neuer laide, ne thought by the Catholiques, that al Religion depended only thereon, as your sclanderous reporte beareth men in hande.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Lanfrancus, Guimundus, Abbas Cluniacensis, Thomas VValdensis, Iohn Fisher, and other your like Doctours; *M. Hardinge*, are ouer ponge, al within the spote of these laste five hundred yeres, sette vntilke S. Augulhne, S. Hierome, S. Ambrose, S. Chrysostome, and others the Ancient Learned Fathers, & Doctours of the Church. Neither is there any sufficiente cause to the contrarie; but that Berengarius, Iohn VVickleffe, Iohn Hus, Doctour Luther, Zuinglius, Oecolampadius, & others, either for Leantage, or for Truthe, or for Iudgemente in the Scriptures, or for Antiquitie maie wel and safely be compared with them. At the leaste I hope wile maie saie of them, as S. Augulhne once saide of the Doctours, and Fathers of his time: Neque quorumlibet Disputationes, quamuis Catholicorum, & Laudatorum hominum, velut Scripturas Canonicas habere debemus; vt nobis non liceat, salus honorificentia, quae illis debetur, aliquid in eorum Scriptis improbare, aut respuere: si forte inuenerimus, quod aliter senserint, quam Veritas habet: Neither weighe we the Writings of al menne, be they neuer so woorthy, and Catholique, as wee weighe the Canonical Scriptures: but that, sauing the reuerence that is dewe vnto them, wee maie mislike, and refuse somewhat in their Writings, if wee happen to finde, that they haue thought other wise, then the Truthe maie beare.

Likewise the Councelles, ye meane, are very fewe, and therefore beare the lesse authoritie, for that they be so many waies contrarie to the Olde. Herof hereafter more at large. Certainly, there is none of your erroours so grosse and palpable, but by somme of your late Councelles it hath benne confirmed.

Addition. This talke, saithe *M. Hardinge* is Luciferlike, and sauey, and malepente. For wherein (saithe he) are the late Councelles, so many waies contrarie to the Olde.

The Answer. He might as wel haue demaunded, wherein is Durkenesse so many waies, contrarie to the Light, for he is so greates a stranger in these cases? I doubt not, but he doth wel remember, It was decreed in the Olde Council of Aphrica, that no Priest should appeale out of that Countrie to the Bishop of Rome. Likewise it is concluded in the Olde Councils holden at Tela, Hippos, & Mileuetum, that no Priest should appeale, but onely to the Councelles holden in Aphrica. But the later fewe Councelles haue made it lawfull, to appeale to the Pope,

© 1171

from

Vntruthe.

Vntruthe.

Aug. ad Fortu-
narian Ep. l. iii.

M. Hard. sa. m. b.

Council. Aphri-
can. cap. 92.
Council. Tolen.
Cap. 17.

In Bulla Leonis
10.

Ruffinus. l. ca. 6

M Hard. in his

Answe. Art.

4. fo. 86. b.

Concl. Lateran.

Sub Leone 10.

Sessio. 10.

August. contra

Maximin. l. 3.

Cap. 14.

M Har. fo. 112. b.

Sozom. Lib. 6.

Cap. 13.

Theodoretus.

Lib. 1. cap. 22.

Chrysost. in

Psalm. 9.

Cyprian ad

lubatunum.

from the furthest endes of the worlde. And Pope Pius 2. and Pope Iulius 2. haue solemnly determined, that who so appealeth from the Pope to a Councel, shalbe iudged an Heretique.

The Olde Councel of Nice, alloweth the Bishop of Rome equal power with the other three Patriarkes, makinge every of them, within theire owne Prouinces in Iurisdiction, and Authoritie like vnto other. But the later Newe Councelles haue made the same Bishop of Rome Heade, and Prince ouer al Primates, and Patriarkes throughout the worlde. And M. Hardinge saith, The saide Patriarkes were onely the Popes Deputies, that is to saie, serued him at commandment, as his men. And in the late Newe Councel of Laterane vnder Pope Leo, these wordes are openly pronounced, & wel allowed of, In Papa est omnis potestas supra omnes potestates, tam Cœli, quam Terræ: In the Pope there is al power above al powers, at wel of Heauen, as of Earthe. The like mighte be saide of Priuate Masse, of the Halfe Communion, of Transubstantiation, and of the reste. But by these fewe we maye easily see, howe neare the Newe Councelles resemble the Olde.

Therefore we maye safely saie to you, as S. Augustine sometime saide to Maximinus the Arian Heretique, Nec ego Nicenam Synodum tibi, nec tu mihi Ariminensem debes, tanquam præiudicaturus, obijcere. Scripturarum Authoritatibus, res cum re, causa cum causa, ratio cum ratione concertet: Neither maye I laie to thee the Councel of Nice, nor mayst thou laie to mee the Councel of Ariminum, either of vs thinkinge thereby to finde prejudice againste the other. But let vs laie mater to mater, cause to cause, and reason to reason, by the Authoritie of the Scriptures.

Pet we saie not, as you, M. Hardinge woulde saie gather, that S. Augustine by these wordes despised the authoritie of the Nicene Councel. For certainly he had it ever, as we also haue it, in greate reuerence. But thus we saie, S. Augustine disputinge with an Heretique, as we do with you, and saieinge, That Councelles mighte be alleged againste Councelles, as the Councel of Ariminum againste the Councel of Nice, was therfore contented, not to vse the Authoritie of any Councel at al, but, as him selfe saith, by the Authoritie of the Scriptures, so laie mater to mater, and cause to cause.

Addition. The Councel of Ariminum, though there were at it eighte hundred Bishoppes, yet was it allowed for no Councel (saith M. Hardinge) for wante of Damasus the Popes confirmation, as Sozomenus, and Theodoretus haue witnessed.

The Answer. Here M. Hardinge, you haue conueiged in a pretty Fittonne vnder some colour of Truthe: Bothe Theodoretus, and Sozomenus wil reprove you. For the Councel of Ariminum was misliked, not onely for that it was not confirmed by the Pope, as ye woulde seeme to telle vs, but also for that it lacked the Confirmation of sundrie others. Sozomenus saith thus, They allowe not the Decrets of the Councel of Ariminum, for that neither the Bishop of Rome, nor other Bishoppes had consented vnto it, and for that the saide Decrets had misliked many, that were there assembled. So like pourpose witerd also Theodoretus, & in manner with like wordes. I graunte, the Bishop of Rome was the chiefe. Pet his onely authoritie was not sufficient to allowe, or disallowe General Councelles. For, as it appeareth by poure owne An- thours, the consents of others, was required thereto, as wel, as his. What M. Hardinge, ye seeke vnduely to beguile the simple by vntreue reporte.

We graunte, there is moze lighte and knowledge now, then was befoze. The greater is either poure faulte, or poure folle, M. Hardinge, that in the broade daie, & open light so busily sette forth the workes of Darkenesse. S. Chrysostome saith, Hic est multo impudentior. Ex furibus enim leges eos grauius puniunt, qui interdum furantur: He is very shamelesse, that woorketh deceite in the open Light: For of al theues the Lawe moste sharpely pounisheth them, that robbe in the daie time. Therefore S. Cyprian saith vnto you: Ignosci potuit simpliciter erranti. Post inspirationem verò & reuelationem factam, qui in eo, quod errauerat, perseverat prudens, & sciens, sine venia ignorantie peccat. Præsumptione enim, atque obstinatione superatur: He that is de- ceined, and erreth of simplicitie, maye be pardoned. But after that the Truthe is once reueled, who

who so continueth neuerthelesse in his former error willingly and willingly, someth without par-
donne of ignorance, as beinge overcome by presumption, and wilfulnesse.

You saie, notwithstandinge at this greate Light we talke of, yet our lines are
nothinge comparable to the lines of them that have benne before vs. This, M. Har-
dinge, hath euer benne an olde complainte in al ages, as maie appeare by S. Cypri-
an, S. Augustine, and other Anciente Fathers: It was a common Proverbe in olde
times, *res aevi satius*: Things a yere past are evermore better, then things pre-
sente. For every thinge to us seemeth the greater, as it seemeth nearest to touch our
sences. And because we seele not our Fathers eassles, therefore we imagine, they
had no eull at al. The VVise man saith, *Nedixeris, quæ causa est, quod priora
tempora meliora fuerint, quam præsentia*. Stulta enim est huiusmodi interrogatio:
Neuer demaunde wherefore the times passe, were better then the times present. For in dede it is
a folishe question.

But, M. Hardinge, wherefore do you this condemne our lines in respect of our
Fathers? Certainly you muste needs confesse, there are fewer Blasphemies,
fewer Othes, fewer breaches of Patrimoine, fewer Steales, fewer Concubines,
fewer Fraies, fewer Murthers amongst us this date, then commonly were at any
time among our Fathers. Howe be it, to let our Fathers passe, if it shal please you
to late our lines to your lines, although we acknowledge many our imperfections,
yet Goddes name be blessed, we haue no cause to sue the comparison.

The Popes wordes, you saie, were neuer taken for Gospel. Yes, M. Hardinge,
and sommetwhat also aboute the Gospel. For proufe whereof it maie please you to re-
member the wordes of certaine poure late Doctours. Syluester Prierias late Mas-
ter of Pope Leoes Palace, writeth thus: *Indulgentiar autoritate Scripturæ non in-
notuere nobis: sed autoritate Ecclesiæ Romanæ, Romanorumque Pontificum, quæ
maior est: Pardonnes are not warranted vnto vs by the authoritie of Goddes Wordes: but by
the authoritie of the Romaine Church, and of the Bishoppes of Rome, which is more then Goddes
Wordes.* If this be not sufficient, he addeth further: *A Doctrina Romanæ Ecclesiæ,
& Romani Pontificis Sacra Scriptura robur, & autoritatem trahit: The Holy Scripture
taketh strength, and authoritie of the Doctrine of the Bishop and Church of Rome.*

Your greatest Doctoure Albertus Pighius saith, *Apostoli quædam conscripse-
runt, non ut scripta illa præssent Fidei & Religioni nostræ, sed potius ut subessent:*
*The Apostles wrote certaine thinges, not to the ende that suche writinges shoulde be ouer oure
Faith, and Religion: but rather, that they shoulde be vnder.* Your Canonistes saie, a Papa
potest dispensare contra Ius Diuinum: The Pope maie dispense againste the Lawe of God:
b Papa potest dispensare contra Ius Naturæ: The Pope maie dispense againste the Lawe of
Nature: c Papa potest dispensare contra Apostolorum: The Pope maie dispense against
S. Paule the Apostle: d Papa potest dispensare contra Nouum Testamentum: The Pope
maie dispense againste the Newe Testamente: e Papa potest dispensare de omnibus
præceptis veteris, & Noui Testamenti: The Pope maie dispense with al the Commande-
mentes, bothe of the Olde, and also of the Newe Testamente. Hereof moze hereafter, as
farther occasion shalbe offered.

These be your owne Doctours wordes, M. Hardinge: they be truly reported:
they be no sleanders. And therefore Franciscus Zabarella a Cardinal of Rome saith
thus: *Peruaserunt Pontificibus, quod omnia possent, & sic quod facerent, quicquid
liberet etiam illicita, & sint plusquam Deus: They haue made the Popes beleue, that they
might doo al thinges, what so euer they list, yea notwithstandinge they were thinges vnlawful:
and thus haue they made them more then God.*

You saie, The Pope in his common talke maie be deceiued, and erre, as other
menne maie: but in his Iudgement Seate, and Sentence Definitive of Religion he
cannot erre: as if ye would saie, The Pope hath one Spite in the Conscience, and
an other at home: mutche like, as one saide sommetimes vnto Cicero in reproche of
his Inconstancie, Aliud stans, aliud sedens de Republica loqueris: Touchinge the Com-
mon Weale, ye haue one minde sitinge, and an other standinge.

But Christe saide vnto Peter, I haue prayed for thee, that thy Faith shoulde not faile:

Therefore

Cyprian ad
Demetrianum,
August. De Ci-
uitat. Det.
Ecclesiastes. 7.

Syluester Prie-
rias contra
Lutherum.

Albert. Pighius
Heterach. Lib. 1.
Cap. 2.
a 16. q. 1. Quæ
cunq; in Glossa.
b 15. q. 6. Autho-
ritate. in Glossa.
c Dist. 34. Leclor
d Dist. 82.
Presbyter.
d Abbas Panop.
Extra. De Di-
uortijs, ca. fin.
et summa Angel.
in Dictione
Pape.
Franciscus
Zabarella.

Salust. in Cita-
tione.
Lucæ. 22.

58 The Defense of the Apologie of the

Therefore, saie you, We receiue obediently, what so euer the Pope speaketh in place of Judgemente. Hereby ye saie to geue vs secretly to vnderstande, that Christes Prayers were available for the Pope, to keepe him from errour, not in the Church, or Pulpit, or Closet, or any other Common, or Private place, but onely in the Consistorie, and Councel, in debatinge doubtful cases of Religion.

*Augustin in Q. 1.
Noni Test. 9. 75.
Ioh. 1. 17.*

But howe holdeth this Argumente? Christe praised for Peter, that his Faith should not faile: Ergo, the Pope cannot erre. Verily S. Augustine saith, Nunquid pro Petro rogabat: pro Iohanne, & Iacobo non rogabat? Vt de ceteris taceamus: What did Christe praise for Peter: and did he not praise for Iohn, and Iames? I wil not speake of the reste. Neither did Christe praise for Peter onely, or for the Apostles, but, for al the faithful, that euer should be: as him selfe saith, I praise not onely for them, but also for al them, that through their preachinge shal beleue in me.

*Platina in Str-
phano, in
Romano.*

Howe be it, what, saith M. Hardinge, be so obediently receiue the Popes Decrees: Welwa, the Popes them selues wil not so receiue them. Platyna saith, Acta Priorum Pontificum sequentes Pontifices aut infringunt, aut omnino tollunt. Nihil enim aliud isti Pontificuli cogitant, quam vt nomen, & dignitatem maiorum suorum extinguant: The nexte Pope either breaketh, or utterly repealeth his Predecessors Decrees. For these little petie Popes, had none other studie to busie them selues withal, but onely to deface the name, and dignitie of the Former Popes.

*Ambrosius ad
Hieronem.
Socrus. li. 1. ca. 33
36. Quest. 2.
Quia septima.*

Where you saie, The whole Councel of Chalcedon so esteemed the voice of Pope Leo, as if it had benne the voice of Peter him selfe, this, M. Hardinge, is a manifeste Untrueth, as it shal sone appeare. I graunte the name of Leo, for his greate Learninge, and grauitie was muche regarded. So S. Ambrose for the like cause was called, Orbis terrarum oculus, Sacerdotum Archisacerdos, & Fundamentum Fidei: The eye of the worlde, the heade Priest of al Priests, and the Foundation of the Faith. So Paphnutius, beinge no Pope, was hearde against al the reste of the Councel of Nice: So S. Hierome beinge neither Pope, nor Bishop, was receiued againste this whole Councel of Chalcedon.

Concil. Chalcedon. Actio. 2.

Neither did the Councel folowe Leo alone, as the vniuersal Bishop, & Heade of the Church, but ioined him together with others, as esteeming them of equal Authoritie. For thus they made their general shoute: Omnes ita credimus: Leo Papa ita credit: Cyrillus ita credit: Leo, & Anatolius ita credunt: Thus wee al beleue: Thus Pope Leo beleueth: Cyrillus thus beleueth: Leo, and Anatolius thus beleue.

*Concil. Chalcedon. Actio. 16.
Pag. 936.*

And with what credite can M. Hardinge saie, The whole Councel of Chalcedon yielded vnto Pope Leo, as if it had benne vnto Peter him selfe? For it is certaine, that the same whole Councel decreed againste Leo: and likewise Leo againste the Councel. For the Councel decreed, contrary to the olde Canons, that the Bishop of Constantinople, amonge the foure Patriarches, should be the seconde in dignitie, and that the same Bishop of Constantinople, should haue and enioie one Authoritie, and like Privileges with the Bishop of Rome. The wordes be these, Aequa Sanctissimæ Sedi Nouæ Romæ Privilegia tribuerunt, rationabile indicantes, Verum eam ornatum iam Imperio, & Senatu, & quibus Senioris Regis Romæ Privilegijs frui, & in Ecclesiasticis, sicut illa habet, Maiestatem habere negotijs: The Fathers gaue equal Privileges vnto the Holy See of Newe Rome (whiche was Constantinople) thinkinge it to be reasonable, that the same Cittie of Constantinople, beinge nowe furnished with Empire, and Councel, should enioie equal Privileges with the Princely Cittie of the Olde Rome, and in al Ecclesiastical affaires, should beare the same Maiestie, that Rome beareth. This thinge Pope Leo muche mistooke, and founde greate faulte with the Councel, and woulde in no wise consente vnto it. Thus he writeth, Quæ per occasionem Synodi male sunt attentata reprehenderam: I reprobued those thinges that were euil attempted by the Councel of Chalcedon. And againe, Nullum vnquam potuerunt nostrum obtinere consensum: They were neuer hable to geate our consente.

Leo. Epist. 59.

Leo. Epist. 33.

And when these matters were passe by the consente of al the Bishoppes, Lucen-
tius Pope Leos Legate, came whining in, and besought the Councel, that the whole
matter might be repealed. The wordes written in the Councel be these: Lucen-
tius

Concil. Chalcedon. Actio. 16.

tius dixit: Sedes Apostolica, quæ nobis præcepit, præsentibus humiliari non debet. Et ideo quæcunque in præiudicium Canonum hesternæ die gesta sunt, nobis absentibus, sublimitatem vestram petimus, ut circumduci iubeatis. Viri illustrissimi Iudices dixerunt, Quod interloquuti sumus, tota Synodus approbavit: *Lucentius the Popes Legate saier, The Apostolique See of Rome, whose commission wee haue, maie not by any theise dooings be defaced. Therefore wee beseeche your honours, that what so euer was concluded here yesterdaie in our absence, in preiudice of the Canons, yee wil commaunde the same to be blotted out. The honourable Iudges made him answere: That wee haue talkte of, the same the whole Councel hath allowed.*

Thus many waies, *M. Hardinge*, the truth of your tale plainly appeareth. For the Councel of Chalcedon esteemed not the voice of Leo, as if it had benne the voice of Peter, as you saie: but rather contrariwise made lighte of it, and weighed it none otherwise, then they saue cause.

Therefore *Liberatus* saith thus, touching the same: Cum Anatolius, consentiente Concilio, Primatum obtinisset, Legati verò Romani Episcopi contradicerent, à Iudicibus, & Episcopis, omnibus illa contradictio suscepta non est. Et licet Sedes Apostolica nunc vsque contradicat, tamen, quod à Synodo firmatum est, Imperatorio Patrocínio permanet, quodammodo: *When Anatolius (the Bishop of Constantinople) by consente of the Councel, had obtained the Primacie, notwithstandinge, the Bishop of Rome Legats stood againste it, yet their gaineſaieringe could not be receiued, neither of the Iudges, nor of the Bishoppes: And albeu, the Apostolique See of Rome withstande it stil, yet the Decree of the Councel by the Emperours Warrant, after a sorte continueth in force.*

Liberatus, ca. 13

But you neuer taught vs, *pe saie*, that the whole state of the Church dependeth of the Pope. It is not your Doctrine: you neuer spake it. And therefore we are railers, and sclanderers, that so reposit you. If it be so in deede, *M. Hardinge*, as you saie, wherefore then suffer you Cardinal Cusanus to write thus, Veritas adhæret Cathedræ. Quare membra Cathedræ vnita, & Pontifici coniuncta, efficiunt Ecclesiam: *The Truthe cleaueth faile to the (Popes) Chaire. Therefore the members united to the Chaire, and ioined to the Pope, make the Church.* Wherefore suffer you Iohannes de Parisijs, one of your Catholique Doctors, to write thus: Fiet vnum Ouille, & vnus Pastor. Quod quidem de Christo intelligi non potest: Sed de aliquo alio Ministro, qui præsit loco eius: *There shalbe one Flocke, and one Sheepeheard. Whiche thinge cannot be taken of Christe: Wee muste needes vnderstande it of somme other. Minister, that ruleth in his freede.*

Nicolaus Cusanus ad Bohemos Epist. 2. Iohan. de Parisijs, de potestate Regiæ. Parisijs, cap. 3.

Wherefore suffer you Hosius your Grande Captaine to write thus: Vnum toti præesse Ecclesiæ vsque adeo est necessarium, ut absque hoc, Ecclesia vna esse non possit: *It is so necessarie a thinge, that one onely man ouerrule the whole Church, that without the same the Church cannot be one.* Wherefore suffer you your Canonistes to saie, Constitat Ecclesiam ideo esse vnam, quia in Vniuersali Ecclesia vnum est Caput Supremum, Scilicet Papa: *It is plaine, that therefore the Church is one, because that in the whole Vniuersal Church there is one Supreme Heade, that is the Pope.*

Hosius in Confessione Petri. comi. Cap. 17. Clemens. Lib. 3. Ad nostrum: in Glossa.

So be shorthe, why do you your selfe, *M. Hardinge*, allege S. Hieromes wordes directly, as ye woulde haue vs beleue, to this purpose? Ecclesiæ salus à Summi Sacerdotis dignitate pendet: *Whiche wordes into Englishe ye haue turned thus: The saluie of the Church, hangeth of the woorschip of the Highe Priest. He meaneth the Pope Peters Successour.* In which laste clause, ye misconstrue, and racke S. Hieromes wordes farre contrarie to his meaninge. For S. Hierome meante not hereby the Bishop of Rome, but every seuerall Bishop within his owne Charge: eueri whiche Bishop, he calleth the Highe Priest, as in my former Replie it is declared moze at large.

M. Hard fo. 80. b Hierony. contra Luciferianos.

If these thinges be true, why are they now denied: if they be false, why are they not condemned? I truste it maie appere by these fewe, that we reposit the Truth truly, and are no sclanderers.

Art. 4. Diui. 15.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 2.

Since then they bringe forth the none of these for them selues, and
cal

cal by neuerthelesse Heretiques, which haue neither fallen from Christ, nor from the Apostles, nor yet from the Prophetes, this is an iniurious and a verie spitefull dealinge.

M. Hardinge.

Vntothe.

Nay Sirs, ye shal not so carie awaie the Conclusion with a Lie. But contrariwise, sithens we bring forth the many Scriptures for the Truth, which ye impugn, as your selues shal see, when we come to confute your Doctrine, whiche here foloweth: and sithens notwithstanding that ye wil not yeelde to the Scriptures, but peruerie the True meaning of them, with Gloses and Interpretations of youre owne Heades, frame newe opinions contrarie to that ye haue receiued, and that the Church hath euer taught: the Catholikes wil stil cal you Heretikes, and the Church wil condemne you for Heretikes and so accompte you, vntil ye recant, and repente.

But ye haue not fallen from Christ, ye saie, nor from the Apostles, nor yet from the Prophetes. As though they that departe from the Romaine Church, whiche is the Catholike Church, whiche diuerse times in the Apologie ye confesse, sei not from Christ, and consequently from the Apostles, and Prophetes. Saith not Christ in the Gospel: He that heareth not the Church, let him be to thee as an Heathen, and a Publicane? Saith he not also, He that despiseth you, despiseth mee?

That the
Defender
be fallen
frō Chō.
Matth. 18.
Luce. 11.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Whether parte rightly & reuerently vseth the Scriptures of God, and whether peruerteth them by Gloses, and Gloses, I trust, it shal in parte appere by this conference. In daide, *M. Hardinge*, it is no greates matter, by your Interpretations, and handlinges, to haue scoare yenough and plentie of Scriptures. For this is one special grounde of your Disuinitie, a Papa potest ex nihilo facere aliquid: & Sententiam, quæ nulla est, facere aliquam: *The Pope is habile of nothinge to make somethinge: and of no Sentence, to make somme Sentence: By your Doctrine, it is lawfull, & god Logique to reason thus: b An gloriabitur terra aduersus eum, qui trahit illam? Shal the same boaste against him, that draweth it? c Non est Seruus supra Dominum: There is no Seruant about his Lorde: Ergo, A man maie dare to iudge the Pope. d Thus, Omnia munda mundis: Coinquinatis autem, & Infidelibus nihil est mundum: All thinges are cleane to the cleane: but vnto the filthy, and Infidels nothinge is cleane: Ergo, It is not lawfull for: Priestes to Marrie.*

*a Extra de translatione Epie.
Quæro in Gloss.
b Dist. 21. Infe.
runt sedes,
Esaie 10.
c Matth. 10.
Concil. Roman.
sub Syluestro 1.
d Ad Tit. 1. Dist.
81. Proposuit.
e Matthe. 7.
M. Hard. in his
former Booke,
fol. 155. b.
f Hieronym. ad
Pammachium;
Exempla capitula
seruunt ad
Victorian.
g Hieronym. in
1. Ca. ad Galat.
h Cyprian ad
Donatianum 13. 2
i Matthe. 18.
Luce. 10.*

d. as you, M. Hardinge, sometimes haue delighted to reason: e Nolite dare Sanctum Canibus: *Gene not Holy thinges to Dogges: Ergo, It is not lawfull for the Christian vulgare People to Reade the Scriptures.*

Thus maie you easily be wel scoared, and full feight of Scriptures yenough, and, as *S. Hierome* saith, maie carrie them captiue to serue your turne. But *S. Hierome* coulde also haue tolde you, a Non in verbis Scripturarum est Euangelium, sed in sensu: *The Gospel standeth not in the bare wordes of the Scriptures, but in the meanings: Therefore we maie saie vnto you, as S. Cyprian once saide to the Nouatian Heretiques: b Audite Nouatiani, apud quos Scripturæ Coelestes leguntur potius, quam intelliguntur: Hearken hereto, ye Nouatian Heretiques, amongst whom the Heavenly Scriptures are readde rather, then wel perceived.*

You saie, the Church of Rome by youre owne Confession, is the Catholique Church: whiche Church, for as muche as we haue forsaken, we haue forsaken Christ, and his Apostles. For saith he not Christ in the Gospel, saie you, i He that heareth not the Church, let him be vnto thee as an Heathen, and a Publicane? And, He that despiseth you, despiseth mee?

We graunte, *M. Hardinge*, the name of the Church of Rome is Catholique: but the Errours and abuses thereof, are not Catholique. Neither is it the Church, that we finde faulte withal: but the greates corruptions, and soule desozmitties, that you haue brought into the Church.

Howe be it, your pollicie herein is apparent. Your Reader, be he neuer so simple, maie sone see your whole drift. We magnifie the Church with al maner titles of Authority, not for any special regarde, ye beare the Church in daide, but onely to set the your selues in an infinite Errannie, and to make vs beleeue, that you onely are the Church, and to geue credite to al your fantasies: yea althoughe ye be the desozcers, and enemies of the Church. Merely the bare name of the Church is not sufficient.

ente. S. Paule saith, That Antichriste the Pan of sinne, shal sitte in the Temple of God: whereby no doubt he meante the Church. 2. Theſſ. 2.

But, M. Hardinge, heare you the voice of God: leane your Eares: speake Gods Holy Word, and speake it truly: be ye faithful Ministers of the Truthe. Then who so ever shal be founde to despise your Doctrine, be he Kinge, or Emperour, wil wil not doubt to cal him an Heathen, and Publicane. But if he be an Heathen, that wil not heare your Church, what is he then that wil not heare Christe? Aeneas Syluius, beinge afterwarde Pope him selfe, saith thus: Si Romanus Pontifex non audiet Ecclesiam, Christum quoque non audiet, & tanquam Ethnicus, & Publicanus haberi debet: If the Bishop of Rome wil not heare the Church, he wil not heare Christe: and therefore muste be taken as an Heathen and Publicane. S. Augustine saith, Oues meæ vocem meam audiunt, & sequuntur me. Auferantur Chartæ Humanæ: sonent voces Diuinæ: My Sheepe heare my voice, and folowe mee. Awaye with Manna Writings: Let the voice of God sounde vnto vs.

Soerly Doctour Luther him selfe againste whom M. Hardinge so vehemently, and so often enflameth his choler, in humble, and reuerente manner writeth thus: Nos colimus Romanam Ecclesiam in omnibus. Tantum illis resistimus, qui pro Ecclesia obtrudunt Babyloniam: Wee honoure the Church of Rome in al thinges. Onely wee withstande them, that in steede of the Church, haue thruste in the Confusion of Babylon. In like sence S. Cyprian saith, Non est pax, sed bellum: nec Ecclesia iungitur, qui ab Euangelio separatur: It is not Peace: It is Warre. Neither is he ioined to the Church, that is diuided from the Gospel. Nowe, howe carefully the Church of Rome is leade by the Gospel of Christ, wee maie easily learne by Nicolaus Cusanus, a Cardinal of the same Church of Rome. Thus he saith: Sequuntur Scripturæ Ecclesiam: & non e conuerso: The Scriptures of God folowe the Church: but contrariwise the Church followeth not the Scriptures. To conclude, wee maie saie vnto you, as S. Augustine saide sometime to Petilian the Donatian Heretique: Vtrum nos Schismatici sumus, an vos, nec ego, nec tu, sed Christus interrogetur, vt indicet Ecclesiam suam: Whether of vs be Schismaticus, wee, or you, aske you not mee: I wil not aske you: Let Christe be asked: that he maie shewe vs his owne Church.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 1.

With this swerde did Christe put of the Diuel, when he was tempted of him: with these weapons ought al presumption, whiche doothe auance it selfe againste God, to be ouerthrowen and conquered. For al Scripture, saith S. Paule, that cometh by the Inspiration of God, is profitable to teache, to confute, to instructe, and to reprove, that the man of God may be perfecte, & thoroughly framed to euer good woork. Thus did the Holy Fathers alwaie fight against the Heretiques, with none other force, then with the Holy Scriptures.

M. Hardinge.

That the Holy Fathers did euermore fighte againste the Heretiques with none other force, then with the Holy Scriptures, that wee denie.

For what did the Fathers in the firste General Council holden at Nice? did they fighte againste Arius, and the mainteiners of his Heresie, with no other force, then with the Scriptures? VVhen those Heretikes refused the worde Homousion, whereby it is signified the Sonne of God to be of one and the same Substance with God the Father, for that it was not to be founde in the Scriptures, besides whiche they stiffely denied, as ye doo, that any thinge ought to be receiued: did not the Catholike Bishoppes of the other side, flie to the Anciente Fathers? did they not appeale to the iudgements of those Fathers, which had geuen sentence of the mater then beinge in controuersie, before that Arius, and those that helde of his side were borne?

In the second Council assembled at Constantinople, were not the Heretikes of sundrie sectes by a witte and a godly policie contriued betwene Nestarius the Bishop, and Theodosius the Emperoure, through the suggestion of the greate Clerke Sisinianus, driuen to receiue the Doctours, who liued before their Heresies were hearde of, as witnesses of true Christian Doctrine woorthy of credite?

Macedonius in that Council was condemned, who therefore denied the Holy Ghost to be God, because the Scriptures geue not vnto him that name. But the Bishoppes there assembled, as Photius that leained Bishop writeth, declared out of the teachinge of the Fathers; and Diuines before their time,

Aeneas Sylui. De
Gestis Concil.
basilien.
Paral. vrsberg.
Pag. 411.
Augu. De Oni-
bus cap. 14.

Paral. vrsberg.
Pag. 472.

Cyprian sermo-
ne 5. De lapsis.

Nicol. Cusanus
ad Bohemos
Epist. 2.
August. contra
Litteras Petilia-
ni. Lib. 2. Ca. 85.

2. Tim. 3.

Hilary. Tri-
part. Lib. 5.
Cap. 10.

Macedoni

62 The Defense of the Apologie of the

time, that the Holy Ghost is to be adored, worshipped, and glorified, as beinge of one nature and substance together with the Father, and the Sonne.

In the third Council kept at Ephesus, the Heretike Nestorius boasted, as ye doo, of the Scriptures, sayinge: they were of his side, and would neither speake, nor heare ought but Scriptures, Scriptures. And alleginge a place or two out of the Gospel, where Marie is called the Mother of Iesus, stoutly, finde mee in al Scripture (quoth he) where Marie is called the Mother of God. Hereto what saide that holy and learned Bishop Cyrillus, chiefe in that Council? *Hanc nobis fidem diuini tradidit Discipuli: & licet nullam fecerint dictionis huius mentionem, ita tamen sentire à sanctis Patribus edocti sumus.* This Faith (saith he) the Disciples of God haue by tradition lesse vnto vs. And although they haue made no expresse mention of this woorde (*Deipera*) yet so to thinke wee haue benne taught of the Holy Fathers.

VVhen they reasoned about rules touchinge Faith to be made, (saith Vicentius Lirinensis writinge of that Council) to al the Bishoppes there assembled, to the number almoste of two hundred, this seemed moste Catholique, moste faithfull, and beste to be donne, that the sentences of the Holy Fathers should be brought forth amonge them: to the end, that they by their consent and Decree, the Religion of the Olde Doctrines should be confirmed, and the blasphemie of the Prophane noueltie condemned. Beholde Sirs what weapons the Fathers haue vsed against Heresies, besides the Holy Scriptures.

In the fourth Council, which was celebrated at Chalcedon, the Heretike Eutyches, as ye and al Heretikes haue donne, craked muche of the Scriptures, and required his matter to be discuffed by Scriptures. Tel me (quoth he mockingly to euery one that reasoned with him) in what Scripture lie the two natures?

But let vs heare what the Learned Bishoppes of that Council saide thereto. VVe finde in the first action of the Council, that they cried out aloude, *Ea qua sunt Patrum teneantur*: The things that the Fathers haue taught, let them be kepte. Again, when they come to the definitiue sentence, they saie: *Sequentur igitur Sanctis Patribus, &c.* Followinge the Holy Fathers, wee doo al with one accorde, teache menne to confesse one, and him selfe the Sonne our Lorde Iesus Christ, perse him selfe in Godhead, and perse him selfe in Manhoode. And for Authoritie of the Fathers in high points of Faith, a Bishop in that reuerende assemblie named Eudoxius, pronounced a notable sentence, saieinge thus: Euery one that consenteth not to the exposition of the Holy Fathers, dothe alienate him selfe from al Priestly Communion, and from the presence of Christe. Thus we haue alleged the foure firste General Councilles, which S. Gregorie honoureth as the foure Gospels.

But the thinge beinge so euident as it is, and so wel knowne euen to your selues, if ye be learned, the Authoritie of these chiefe Councilles maie suffice.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, ye haue taken in hande a needlesse labour. For you knowe right wel, we despise not the Authoritie of the Holy Fathers: but rather in this selfe same place haue alleged together S. Augustine, S. Hierome, & S. Ambrose, three of the moste Aunciente and approued Fathers: and throughout the whole discourse of this Apologie, in the Defense of the Catholique Trinitie of oure Religion, next vnto Goddes Holy Word, haue bled no prouise, or Authoritie so muche, as the Expositions, and Iudgements of the Holy Fathers. We despise them not therefore, but rather geue God thanks in their behalfe, for that it hath pleased him to prouide so worthy instrumentes for his Church: and therefore we faultly reppone you, for that so vnadvisedly, and without cause, ye haue forsaken the steppes of al Holy Fathers.

The foure General Councilles, wherein you dwell so longe, as they make nothinge againste vs, so in sundrie pointes they fight expressly againste you.

First, they were summoned by the Emperours, Constantinus, Theodosius 1. Theodosius 2. and Martianus: and not by any righte, or authoritie of the Pope: as hereafter it shalbe shewed in place convenient more at large. Julius the Bishop of Rome was summoned by the Emperours wist to appeare at the Council of Nice, as wel as others: And Pope Leo afterwarde was charged by like Authoritie to appeare at the Council of Chalcedon.

In the Council of Nice the Bishop of Rome was not President, but Eustathius the Bishop of Antioche. In the same Council of Nice, the Bishop of Rome had his Authoritie and Iurisdiction made equal, and leuel with the other three Patriarches: And in the Council of Chalcedon the Bishop of Constantinople, is made equal in Authoritie, with the Bishop of Rome. To be shorte, if the saide Council of Chalcedon, for this laste, and some other like causes, Leo the Bishop of Rome would not allowe.

aSoro. li. 1. ca. 17
bConcil. Chalcedon. Actis. 1.
Fol. 742.
Eodem tenore
Leo vocatus est.
cEnsis de Vita
Constant. Orat. 3
dTheodoretus,
Lib. 1. cap. 7.
Concil. Nicen.
Can. 6.
eConcil. Chalcedon. Actis. 16.
Pag. 636.
fLiberat. cap. 13

Nestorius
euer called
for Scrip-
ture, as the
Heretikes
at this time
doo, when
so euer
settle they
be of.
Epist. 1.
Tom. 4.
Deipera
not found
in Scrip-
ture, yet
received it
kepe.
Eutyches
claimed his
opinion to
be tried by
the Scrip-
tures.
The re-
change of
the Fathers
to be kepte.
Actis. 5.
A notable
sayinge of a
Learned
Father, for
the exposi-
tion of the
Fathers.

not allowe. Whiche thinge notwithstandinge, the Council standeth still in force, whether the Pope will, or no.

These be the foure firste General Councelles, whiche M. Hardinge comparcth to Authortie with the foure Euangelistes.

But these Heretiques, Arius, Nestorius, Macedonius, and Eutyches in these foure General Councelles, utterly despise al the Aunciente Fathers, and boasted them selues (saith M. Hardinge) of the Scriptures, and euermore cried out, Scriptures, Scriptures. Touchinge the Arians, that they alleged certaine doubtful, and darke places of the Scriptures, to serue theire purpose, it is certaine, and manifest. But that either they despised, or that the Catholiques againste them auouched the Exposition and Authortie of any Father, M. Hardinges onely worde muste be our warrant. For neither allegeth he any one Authour so; proueth hereof, nor yet nameth any of al these Fathers.

Notwithstandinge, let vs graunte, these Heretiques cried out, as M. Hardinge saith, Scriptures, Scriptures. Euen so did the same Heretiques likewise crye out, euen as now M. Hardinge dothe, Fathers, Fathers. Socrates saith, Et Ariani Origenis Libros citabant in Testimonium, ut illi quidem iudicabant, sui Dogmatis: And the Arian Heretiques alleged the Learned Father Origenes Bookes, as they thoughte, for proueth, and witness of their Doctrine.

The Heretique Eutyches saide, Ego legi Scripta Beati Cypriani, & Sanctorum Patrum, & Sancti Athanasij: I haue readde the Writings of S. Cyprian, and of other Holy Fathers, and of S. Athanasius.

Likewise the Eutychian Heretique Carosus saide, Ego secundum expositionem trecentorum octodecim Patrum sic credo, sic Baptizatus sum. Aliud quid mihi dicas, nescio: This is my Faith, accordinge to the exposition of the three hundred and eightieth Fathers, (in the Council of Nice) in this Faith was I Baptized. What ye should saie more to mee, I cannot telle.

Euen so saide Eutyches him selfe, Sic à Progenitoribus meis accepi, & credidi. In hac Fide Baptizatus sum, & signatus: & usque ad hunc diem in ea vixi, & in ea opto mori: Thus haue I receiued of my Forefathers, and thus haue I beleened. In this Faith was I Baptized, and signed: and in the same haue I lined until this date: and in the same I wishe to die. Thus, S. Augustine saith, the Donatian Heretique Cresconius alleged the authortie of S. Cyprian: Thus the Nestorian Heretiques alleged the authortie of the Council of Nice.

To be shorte, thus the Heretique Dioscorus cried out in the open Council of Chalcedon: Ego habeo Testimonia Sanctorum Patrum, Athanasij, Gregorij, Cyrilli. Non transgredior in aliquo, Ego cum Patribus eijcior: Ego defendo Patrum Dogmata: Ego horum habeo testimonia, non simpliciter, aut transitorie, sed in ipsorum libris: I haue the Testimonies of the Holy Fathers, Athanasius, Gregorius, Cyrillus: I alter not from them in any point: I am throwen forth, and banished with the Fathers: I defende the Fathers Doctrine: I haue their Iudgements uttered, not by chance, or unadvisedly, but remaininge expressed in their Bookes.

I doubt not, M. Hardinge, but you maie hereby easily see, that the Heretiques ye speake of, cried not onely Scriptures, Scriptures, as ye saie: but had leasure also sometimes to crye, as you do. Fathers, Fathers: and that as wel to your purpose, and as rightly, as you of longe time haue vsed to crye, hauinge in darke in the cases we speake of, neither Scriptures, nor Fathers.

To come neare the mater, we saie not, that al cases of doubt are by manifest, and open wordes plainly expressed in the Scriptures. For so there shoulde neede no exposition. But we saie, There is no case in Religion so darke, and doubtful, but it maie necessarily be either proued, or reproued by collection, and conference of the Scriptures.

S. Hierome saith, Moris est Scripturarum obscuris manifesta subnectere: It is the order of the Scriptures, after harde things, to ioin other things that be plaine. S. Augustine likewise saith, Solet circumstantia Scripturarum illuminare sententiam: The Circumstance of the Scriptures is wont to geue light, and to open the meaninge. The like rule

¶

Tertullian

Socr. li. 4. ca. 26.
ὡς ὡρτο.

Council Chalced.
Actio 2. pa. 792.

Council Chalced.
Actio. 4. pa. 877

Council Chalced.
Actio. 1. pa. 751.

August. contra
Cresc. li. 4. ca. 17
Contra. 1. pa. 168.

Council Chalced.
Actio. 1. pa. 767

Hieronym. in
Esai. cap. 19.
August. in li. 83.
Questio 69.

Tertull. aduer-
sus Praxeam.
Epiphani. Lib. 3
De semiarian-
is. οὐ νόϋς
παταχῶς.

Seneca.

August. epist. 19
ad Hieronym.

August. contra
Faust. Li. II. ca. 5

Augu. de Unit.
Ecclesi. Cap. 10.

Theod. Li. I. ca. 7

Troph. li. 2. ca. 5

σαφῶς ἡμῶς

ἀχρη πρὶ τῷ

θεῷ φρονεῖν

ἐκπαίδευσι

Socrat. li. I. ca. 6

καὶ ἀναπύου

σαντὶ τὰς

θείας γραφὰς

πολλὰς

ἀντιθέτας

αὐτοῦς.

August. contra

Maxi. li. 3. ca. 14

Athanas. ad Se-

rapion spirit.

sanct. non esse

creatum.

Tertullian also geueth : Oportet secundum plura intelligi pauciora : *The fewer places must be expounded by the more.* Therefore touching this worde, Homousius, which sp. Harding here inueth, and the whole contention of the Arians, Epiphanius wri- teth thus : Nomen Substantiz simpliciter, & nudè in Veteri, & Noua Scriptura non proponitur : Sententia autem eius nominis ubique occurrit : *This worde, Substance, plainly, and nakedly is not founde, neither in the Olde, nor in the Newe Testamente. But the sense, and meaninge of that worde is founde euerywhere.*

In this conference, and Iudgemente of the Holy Scriptures, we neede often- times the discretion, and wisdom of Learned Fathers. Yet notwithstandinge make we not geue them herein greater credite, then is conueniente, or then they them selues, if it were offered, woulde receiue. We make reuerently sale of them, as Seneca in the like case sometime saide, Non sunt Domini, sed Duces nostri: *They are our Leaders, but not our Lordes.* They are not the Truthe of God it selfe, but onely witnesses vnto the Truthe.

Therefore S. Augustine saith, Alios Scriptores ita lego, vt quanta libet Sanctita- te, Doctrinaque præpollent, non ideo verum putem, quòd ipsi ita senserint, sed quòd id mihi, vel per alios Authores Canonicos, vel probabili ratione persuadere potuerint: *Other Writers, or Fathers (besides the Holy Scriptures) I reade in this sorte, that be their Learninge, or Holinesse neuer so greate, I wil not thinke it true, because they haue thought so, but because they are hable to persuade me so, either by other Canonical Writers, or els by somme likely reason.* Likewise againe he saith, Hoc genus Literarum, non cum credendi necessitate, sed cum iudicandi libertate legendum est: *This kinde of writinges (of Holy Doctours, and Fathers) must be reade, not with necessity to beleue eche thing, but with libertie to iudge eche thing.* And to that ende he saith, Ne Catholicis quidem Episcopis consentien- dum est, sicubi fortè falluntur, vt contra Canonicas Dei Scripturas sentiant: *Wee make not consente vnto the Bishoppes, notwithstandinge they be Catholique, if they iudge contrarie to the Holy Canonical Scriptures.* In this authoritie and credite we haue, and oughte to haue the Holy Fathers.

Nowe let vs see, whether the Bishoppes, and others in these Councelles, confu- ted these Heretiques, as we sale, by the Scriptures: or els, as sp. Hardinge saith to sale, for wante, or weaknesse of the Scriptures, used therein the Authoritie of the Fathers. Firste, the Emperoure Constantinus in the Council of Nice, instru- cinge the Bishoppes there, howe they might best debate their quarrelles, and ende al strifes, saith thus vnto them: Euangelicæ & Apostolicæ Literæ, & Veterum Pro- phetarum Oracula perspicuè nos instituunt, quid oporteat sapere de voluntate, & sensu Dei. Ponentes ergo contentionem, ex diuinitus inspiratis oraculis quæramus solutionem eorum, quæ proponuntur: *The Euangelistes and Apostles Writinges, and the saicings of the Olde Prophetes, doo clearly instructe vs, what iudgemente wee oughte to haue of the meaninge and wil of God. Therefore laicinge aside al contention, out of these Heauenly Oracles, let vs seeke for the assweringe of our questions.*

Socrates also touching the same Council of Nice, saith thus of the Arian He- retiques: Explicantes Sacrosanctas Scripturas sæpè illos evertimus: *By openinges, and expoundinge the Holy Scriptures, oftentimes wee ouerthrowe them.*

Likewise S. Augustine disputinge againste the same Arians, refuseth, as I haue saide before, both Councelles, and Fathers, and appealeth onely to the Scriptures: Nec ego Nicenam Synodum tibi, nec tu mihi Ariminensem debes obijcere: Scriptura- rum Autoritatibus, res cum re, causa cum causa, ratio cum ratione concertet: *Neither wil I allege the Council of Nice againste you: nor shal you allege the Council of Ariminum againste mee. By the Authoritie of the Scriptures, let vs weighe matter with matter: cause with cause: reason with reason.*

Touchinge the Council holden at Constantinople against Macedonius, and the Diuinitie of the Holy Ghoste, Athanasius saith, Ne interrogas, sed solum ex Sacris Literis condiscas. Sufficiunt, enim Documenta, quæ in illis reperias: *Neuer mooue question hereof, but onely learne of the Holy Scriptures. For the onely proofes, that yee shal there finde, are sufficiente (to warrant the Godhead of the Holy Ghoste.)* So likewise saith

saith Euanrius of the other two Councilles, of Ephesus, and Chalcedon: Ex Euangelicis, & Apostolicis, de Domino vocibus scimus, Viros illos Diuinos constituisse: Wee knowe, that these Godly Fathers concluded this matter by such woordes, as the the Euangelistes, and Apostles haue vitered of our Lorde.

Therefore the Aunciente Father Origen saith, Vide quam propè periculis illi sint, qui negligunt exerceri in Diuinis Literis: ex quibus Solis examinationis huiusmodi agnoscenda discretio est: Consider, in what daunger they be, that haue no care to reade the Holy Scriptures. For by the same Scriptures, Onely the iudgemente of this trial muste be allowed. Euen so saith Chrysostome, Etiam in ipsis Veris Ecclesijs, quæ Dei sunt, dixerint, Christum apparuisse, nolite eis credere dicentibus ista de me. Non enim digna est Diuinitatis meæ hæc notitia: Ostendens per hæc, quod ab ipsis sæpè Veris Ecclesijs exeunt Seduciores. Propterea ne ipsis quidem credendum est, nisi ea vel dicant, vel faciant, quæ conuenientia sint Scripturis: Yea if they saie, that Christe hath appeared in the very True Churches of God, yet beleue them not. For this is no woorthy, or sufficient knowledge of my Godhead. By this he sheweth, that out of the very True Churches oftentimes come forth the Deceiuers. Therefore wee maie not beleue, no not them (that speake vnto vs in the name of the Church) onlesse they speake, and doo suche thinges, as are agreeable to the Scriptures. In like manner againe saith Origen, Necesse nobis est in testimonium vocare Sanctas Scripturas. Sensus quippe nostri, & enarrationes sine ijs testibus, non habent fidem: Wee muste needes call to witnesse the Holy Scriptures: For our iudgements and expositions without those witneses, carrie no credite.

And, to leaue al other like authorities, that might be alleged, for thõr conclusion, S. Augustine saith, Solis Canonicis Scripturis sine vlla recufatione consensum debeat: I ouer my consente, without gaine sayinge (not vnto the Doctours, or Fathers, but) Onely vnto the Canonical Scriptures.

But the Bishoppes in those Councilles, saith M. Hardinge, broughte forth, and folowed the Expositions of the Aunciente Learned Fathers. And wherfoze might they not? What man euer taught, or saide the contrarie? Yet notwithstandinge they alleged them, not as the Foundations, or groundes, but onely as appoynted, and faithful witnesses of the Truthe. Whiche thinge if M. Hardinge happily will denie, maie easily appeare, by the woordes of Cyrillus, pronounced and published openly in the Council of Chalcedon: Gratulamur nobis mutuo, quod & nostræ, & vestræ Ecclesiæ fidem habent consentientem, & diuinitus adspiratis Scripturis, & Traditionibus Sanctorum Patrum: We reioice together, eche of vs in others behalf, for that the Faithe bothe of our Churches, and also of yours, is agreeable bothe vnto the Heavenly inspired Scriptures, and also to the Tradition, and exposition of our Fathers. Whiche woordes of Cyrillus beinge heard, and the consente of the Fathers beinge known, the whole Council for ioie made a shoute together, Omnes ita credimus, Papa Leo ita credit, &c. Thus wee al beleue: Pope Leo thus beleueth: Thus beleueth Leo, and Anatolius: Thus Cyrillus beleueth: This is the Faithe of our Fathers: This is the Faithe of the Apostles: Thus haue the Apostles taught.

Thus maie you see, M. Hardinge, (wee saie not to you, as you doo to vs, If you be Learned, for thereof wee haue no doubt: God graunte, ye maie direct your Learninge to his glorie) but thus maie you see, to what ende the Bishoppes in the Councilles, ye speake of, alleged the Expositions of the Auncient Fathers, and holue sarre they weighe them vnder the Authority of the Scriptures. In like sorte doo we also this daie allege againste you the manifest, and vndoubted, and agreeable iudgements of the moste Auncient Learned Holy Fathers: and thereby, as by approued, and faithful witnesses, we disclose the infinite folies, and errours of your Doctrine. And seeinge you haue forsaken the fellowship of the saide Holy Fathers, as hereafter, shal more fully appeare, we saie, vnto you, as Eudoxius saide vnto the Heretique Abbate Eutyches in the Council of Chalcedon: We haue removed your selues, bothe from al Priestly Communion, and also from the presence of Christe.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision: 2.

S. Augustine, when he disputed againste Petilian the Donatian Heretique:

Euanrius.

Orig. in cap. 16.
ad Rom. Lib. 10

Chrysost. in O-
pere imperfe-
cto. Hom. 49.

Orig. in liere.
Hom. 1.

Aug. de Natura
& Gratia. ca. 61

Euanrius. lib. 3.
Cap. 18.
τοῖς θεοπνεύ-
στοις γεγραμμένοις.

Council Chalcedon.
Action. 2.

De Unitate Ec-
cle. ca. 3. Et con-

Heretique: Let not theſe woordes, quod he, be hearde betweene vs: I ſate, or, you ſate: Lette vs rather ſpeake in this wiſe: Thus ſaith the Loꝝd. There let vs ſeeke the Church: there let vs boult out the cauſe.

M. Hardinge.

Concerninge this place of S. Auguſtine, it ought not to be ſtretched to al maters in general, that be in queſtion, as though wee might not vie the Teſtimonies and Authorities of the Fathers againſt Heretikes: but it pertaineth onely to the queſtion in that booke *De Unitate Eccleſie*, treated of, which is, where the Church is. Petilian the Donatiſt, and the maintainers of that Heresie con- tended the Church to be onely in Aphrike, or at the furtheſt, in parte Donati, amonge them onely that helde with Donatus. The ſame Heresie weare they aboute to proue by Scriptures. But when S. Auguſtine ſawe howe weak their proues were, which they brought out of the Scriptures, he pro- uoked them, the better to ouerthrowe them, to comine to the trial of the Scriptures. And in deede where the Scriptures be maniſteſt for proues of any matter, what neede is there of Diſtillours? But where the ſence of the Scriptures is obſcure, and maie be wreſted by euil wittes, to the maintenance of an Heresie, there the expoſitions of the Fathers by al Olde VVriters haue bene a ſea of aſſurance, to ſupplie the Scriptures obſcuritie, and to declare the ſence of the Church, which the Holy Ghoſt hath prompted. And in ſuche caſes S. Auguſtine him ſelfe vſeth the Teſtimonies of the Fathers not ſeldome, namely againſt Iulian the Pelagian; VVhere beſide Scripture, touching Original ſinne, he allegeth againſt the Pelagians a greate number of Fathers, and at length in one place ſpeaking of the Authoritie, reuerence, and credite he had in them, he ſaith thus: *Quod credunt, credo, &c.* VVhat they beleue, I beleue: what they hold, I hold: what they theache, I teache: what they preach, I preach. From the ſpecial to the general negatiuely, the argument holdeth not, ye knowe, if ye haue not forgotten your Logike.

Contra Iu-
lianū Pe-
agian. l. i.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge, as wel here, as els where, thinketh it an eaſie matter, with a bolde Aſſereration to ſumme the big vnlearned ſimple Reader, ſpecially ſutche a one, as hath no eyes to looke after him. Theſe woordes of S. Auguſtine, ſaith he, pertaine onely vnto the matter he had then in hande, and therefore maie not be ſozed to any other. And here he remembzeth vs of a profounde point in Logique, that a Negatiue Con- cluſion from the ſpecial to the General, cannot holde. Here it were a matter worthie the hearing: firſt, howe *M. Hardinge* coulde enter ſo deeply, ſo knowe ſo muche of S. Auguſtines meaninge: next, ſo as muche as in reſpecte of him ſelfe, he ſurely ſanctiſh vs to be vnlearned, howe he were able to teache vs to knowe the ſame. He aſſereth vs vpon his worde, that theſe woordes of S. Auguſtine muſt needs be pounde, and reſtrained to that onely matter, and maie not in any wiſe be ſtretched farther: and this, he imagineth, was S. Auguſtines meaninge. Thus, good Reader, by *M. Hardinges* handlinge, thou haſt here a meaninge of S. Auguſtines, that S. Auguſtine him ſelfe neuer meant. For S. Auguſtine in the ſame mat- ter, and againſt the ſame Heretique Petilian, although not in the ſame Booke, writ- teth thus: *Sive de Chriſto, ſive de eius Eccleſia, ſive de quacunque re alia, quæ pertinet ad Fidem, vitamque noſtram, nomēcam, Si Nos, Sed, ſi Angelus de Cælo nobis annuntia- uerit, præterquam quod in Scripturis Legalibus, & Euangelicis accepisti, Anathema ſit: Whether it be of Chriſte, or of his Church, or of any thinge els what ſi euer, pertaininge ei- ther to our liſe, or to our Faith, I wil not ſaie, If I mee ſelfe, but if an Angel from Heauen ſhal teache vs otherwiſe, then wee haue received in the Bookes of the Lawe, and in the Goſpells, holde him accuſed.*

Auguſt. contra
Lirer. Petilian,
Lib. 3. Cap. 6.

Galat. 1.

M. Hardinge ſaith, S. Auguſtine meante onely of one matter: S. Auguſtine him ſelfe ſaith, he meante of al manner maters, touchinge either ſaith, or liſe.

M. Hardinge ſaith, S. Auguſtine meante this onely of him ſelfe: S. Auguſtine him ſelfe ſaith, he meante it of any other, yea euen of the Angells of God. And ſhal wee thinke, *M. Hardinge* knoweth S. Auguſtines meaninge, and S. Auguſtine him ſelfe knewe it not?

De quacun-
que re.

Si Angelus
de Cælo.

Auguſt. contra
Maxim. l. 3. ca. 14

Alſo S. Auguſtine in an other caſe concerninge the Arians, as I haue touch- ed befoꝛe, likewiſe reſuſeth the Determinations of al Councelles, and Fathers, and ſtandeth onely to the Scriptures: Neither wil I, ſaith he, allege againſt the, the Councel of Nice: nor ſhalt thou allege againſt me, the Councel of Ariminum, &c. Neither dwelle S. Auguſtine onely ſaith thus, but alſo yeldeth a reaſon, why he ſaith

saith he. These be his wordes: *Auferantur de medio, quæ aduersus nos inuicem, non ex Diuinis Canonis Libris, sed aliunde recitamus. Queret fortasse aliquis, Cur vis ista auferri de medio? Quia nolo humanis Documentis, sed Diuinis Oraculis Ecclesiasticam Sanctam demonstrari: Hanc auiaie al those Authorities, that either of vs allegeth againste the other, sauinge sutch one, as be taken out of the Heauenly Canonical Scriptures. But perhaps somme man wil aske me, Wherefore would ye haue al sutch other Authorities put awaye? I answer, Because I would haue the Holy Church to be proued, not by the Dictum of manne, but by the Woorde of God.*

So saith S. Augustine vnto other the Donatistes: *Auferantur de medio Chartæ nostræ: procedat in medium Codex Dei. Audi Christum dicentem: audi Veritatem loquentem: Take awaye from amongst vs any our owne Bookes: Let the Booke of God come amongst vs. Heare what Christe saith: Herken, what the Truthe speaketh. Againe he saith, Audi, dicit Dominus: Non, dicit Donatus, aut Rogatus, aut Vincentius, aut Hilarius, aut Ambrosius, aut Augustinus: sed, dicit Dominus: Heare this: The Lorde saith. Heare not this, Donatus saith, Rogatus saith, Vincentius saith, Hilarius saith, Ambrose saith, Augustine saith: But herken to this, The Lorde saith.*

In like sort of wordes saith S. Ambrose: *Nolo nobis credatur: Scriptura recitetur, Non ego dico à me, In principio erat Verbum, sed audio. Non ego effingo sed lego: I would not, ye should beleue vs: But reade the Scriptures: I saie not of mee selfe, In the beginninge was the Woorde: But I beare it. I make it not: but I reade it.*

Like wise saith Chrysostome, *Oro vos omnes, vt relinquatis, quid huic, aut illi videatur: & de his à Scripturis hæc omnia inquirite: I beseeche you al, weighe not, what this man, or that man thinketh: but touching al these things searche the Scriptures.*

Nowe, where as it pleaseth M. Hardinge, to telle vs of an Argumente Negative from Special to General, and so to cal vs to the remembrance of our Logique: pleaseth it him also to remember, that the Argument, that we grounde of S. Augustines wordes, holoweth not, as it is here imagined, from Special to General: but from the imperfection, and weakenesse of the wisdom of man, to the stabilitie, and certaintie of Goddes Holy Word. And therefore the Olde Learned Father Origen saith, as it is alleged before, *Sensus nostri, & Enarrationes sine his testibus non habent fidem: Our iudgements, and Expositions without these witnessers (of the Scriptures) haue no credite. In like sort S. Hierome, Quamuis Sanctus sit aliquis post Apostolos, quamuis disertus sit, non habet Authoritatem: After the Apostles of Christ, notwithstandinge somme man be Holy, notwithstandinge he be eloquent, yet he wanteth Authoritie. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Cedamus, & consentiamus Scripturæ Sacre, quæ nec falli potest, nec fallere: Let vs yeelde, and consente to the Holy Scripture, whiche can neither deceiue, nor be deceiued. For this cause, M. Hardinge, S. Augustine not onely in the mater that late bitwene him and Petilianns, but also in al other maters what so euer, so often appealed from al Fathers, and Councelles vnto the Scriptures.*

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 3.

Like wise S. Hierome: *All those things (saith he) whiche without the Testimonie of the Scriptures, are holden, as deliuered from the Apostles, be thoroughly smitten downe by the Swerde of Goddes Word.*

M. Hardinge.

Yee would faine remoue vs from a good holde, I see wel, whiche is the Authoritie of the Holy Fathers, of Ancient Traditions, and of the Vniuersal Church. Al these would yee to be of no force against Heretiques. For ye know the Fathers and the Church to be against you, and that so longe as they are beleued, your Doctrine shal not be receiued, as alwaies founde to be newe, and of priuate deuise. If we were driuen from these, ye doubt not, but to marche vs wel yenough in the Scriptures. And as ye would handle the mater, I thinke so my selfe verely. For when al Authoritie and iudgemente of the Fathers and of the Church, is shaken quite of in any controuersie, by whome shal wee be tried? By the Scriptures ye saie. But when bothe ye and wee alleage Scriptures to a contrary purpose, and when we vary aboute the sense of the Scriptures, by whome then shal we be iudged? Perhaps ye wil referre the iudgement of doubtful maters, to the Holy Ghost. VVee refuse not that arbitrement

Augu. de Vita, Ecclæ. contra Pelagian, Cap. 3.

Augu. in Psal. 57

Augu. in Epistol. 48

*Ambros. De In-
carcat. Domini,
Sacramen. ca. 3.*

*Chrysost. in 2. ad
Corinth. Rom. 3.*

Origen. in Hieron. Hom. 1.

Hieron. in Psal. 86

*August. De Per-
secutor. Merit. &
Remiss. li. 1. ca. 12*

ment and Vmpiership of the Holy Ghoste. For the same hath bene promised by Christe to the Church, to remaine with the Church for ever, to teache what thinges so ever he saide, to leade men into al Truthe.

And thus for iudgements and trial of Truthe, wee shalbe returned to the Church and to the Fathers, by whome the Holy Ghoste speaketh vnto vs, whose Authoritie and due estimation ye goe aboute to remoue from vs.

But let vs see, what force ye bringe to driue vs from this holde. Makinge your batterie against it, what shoote ye of, but VVinde and Paper? Your Artillerie maketh a noyes, but it geueth no blowe. As in the laste allegation ye falsified the sence of S. Augustine, so in this ye falsifie bothe the sence and wordes of S. Hierome. The wordes, as ye allege them, seeme to be spoken againste what so ever Traditions of the Apostles. VVhiche wordes, or any the like to suche purpose, were neuer vttered by any Catholike Doctour of the Church, much lesse by S. Hierome. Looke ye againe and vewe better the place, ye shal saie your selues, that I finde the faulte of falschynge in you not without cause.

S. Hierome in his Commentaries vpon those wordes of the Prophete Aggeus, *Et vocauit siccitatem super terram, & super Montes*: I haue called the drought to come vpon the Earthe, and vpon the Hilles, &c. Firste, shewing the literal sence accordingly as the Hebrew wordes there by him noted, signifieth *Siccitatem*, drought, then treatinge Mystically, as the seuenthy Interpreters haue turned that wordes into Romphzam, that is a Swerde, and vnderstandinge by the Swerde, the VVoorde of God: thereof taketh occasion briefly to saie, what this swerde doothe, how it destroyeth the negligent Soule, whiche is expounded to be drie earthe, and howe it plagueth Mountaines that lifte vp them selues againste the knowledge of God, whereby he meaneth Heretikes. Of whome he telleth, how they flatter the deceiued people with their Breade, VVine, and Oile, (by whiche he meaneth their Heresies) as it were with meates, and drinckes, and refection.

Their Breade (saith he) any man maie very aptly call it, the Breade of VVaylinge, and their VVine, the madnesse of Dragons, and the madnesse of Serpentes incurable: And their Oile, the promisinge of Heauenly thinges, wherewith they doo as it were anointe their Disciples, and promise them rewardes of their labours: whiche the Prophete detesteth sayinge, the Oile of the sinner shal not anointe my head. After this folowe the wordes of S. Hierome, whiche ye haue falsified to the intent they might seeme to serue your false meaninge. *Sed & alia quæ absq; Authoritate & Testimonijs Scripturarum, quasi Traditione Apostolica reperiunt, atque consingunt, percutit Gladius Dei*. But the Swerde of God striketh also other thinges, whiche the Heretiques (for of them he speaketh) deuise and faine of their owne heades, without the Authoritie and witness of the Scriptures, as though they came by Tradition from the Apostles. He that compareth this place with your falsified allegation, maie soone espie greates odds betwene them. For ye make S. Hierome to saie, that al those thinges, whiche without the Testimonies of Scriptures are holden (so your allowed interpreter tourneth, *Afferuntur*), as deliuered from the Apostles, be thoroughly smitten downe by the Swerde of Goddes VVoorde. By this Swerde of your Goddes VVoorde, yet woulde quite smite downe al Apostolike Traditions at a blowe. But thanked be God, that your Swerde is a forged Swerde, a paper Swerde, a Swerde that neither with Edge cutteth, nor with weight beareth downe. S. Hierome putteth not al thinges, whiche we haue by Tradition from the Apostles without the expresse Scriptures, to the Swerde of Goddes VVoorde. He speaketh not Generally. His wordes is, *Alia*, other thinges: and ye make it, *Omnia*, al thinges. Againe he speaketh of suche thinges as be deuised and fained by Heretikes, of their owne braine, without Authoritie and Testimonies of the Scriptures: vnto whiche they geue Estimation, as though they came by Tradition from the Apostles. These circumstances and exaggerations doo ye omitte, and saie, that S. Hierome putteth al Apostolike Traditions so that dreadful Swerde of Goddes VVoorde. Nowe what S. Hierome saith, wee holde with it, and allowe it wel. But your saieinge we refuse, as falsely fattered vpon S. Hierome. VVhat he condemneth, we condemne. Neither can that place be iustly alleged against vs: For we inuente not, ne faine not any thinges of our owne accorde, or of our owne heades, as though they were deliuered by the Apostles besides the Scriptures: that is the parte of Heretikes, specially of the Tatians, as in that place S. Hierome saith. VVee finde, deuise, and faine nothinge in the Catholike Religion. VVee doo but keepe and mainteine thinges Deuised by the Holy Ghoste, and leaste to the Church by the Apostles, or by Apostolike menne, or by the General Councelles, whose Authoritie is in the Church most healthful, saith S. Augustine. But concerninge the force whiche the consent of the Fathers had in the iudgement of S. Hierome, it appeareth in his Epistle to Enagrius: where, by the Authoritie of the Auncient Doctours before his time, he proueth against an Heretike, that Melchisedech was a man of the Lande of Chanaan, and not the Holy Ghoste.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Faine woulde M. Hardinge haue his Reader beloue, that we utterly despise al Holy Fathers. But we despise them not, M. Hardinge, as maie partly appere, by that we haue already saide. We reade their wordes: we reuerence them: we geue God thanks for them: we calle them the Pillers, the Lightes, the Fathers of Goddes Church: we despise them not. This thinge onely we saie: Were their Learninge, and Holinesse neuer so greates, yet be they not equal in credite

credite with the Scriptures of God.

Thus also saith S. Augustine, Nos nullam Cypriano facimus iniuriam, cum eius quilibet literas, a Canonica Diuinarum Scripturarum Autoritate distinguimus: Wee offer no wronge to S. Cyprian, when wee seuer any his Letters, or Writings, from the Canonical Authoritie of the Holy Scriptures. And againe, joininge al the Doctours, and Fathers together, he saith thus: Ipse mihi pro his omnibus, imò supra hos omnes Apostolus Paulus occurrit. Ad ipsum confugio: ad ipsum ab omnibus, qui aliter sentiant, literarum tractatoribus prouoco: In steede of al these Learned Fathers, or rather about them al, Paule the Apostle commeth to my minde. To him I renne: To him I appeale from al manner Writers (Doctours, and Fathers) that thinke otherwise.

So likewise S. Hierome, Ego Origenem propter eruditionem sic interdum legendum arbitror, quomodo Tertullianum, Nouatum, Arnobium, Apollinarium, & nonnullos Ecclesiasticos Scriptores Græcos pariter, & Latinos: vt bona eorum eligamus, vitemusque contraria: I thinke, that the Auncient Father Origen, in respecte of his Learninge, maie be readde sometimes, as Tertullian, Nouatus, Arnobius, Apollinarius, and sundrie other Ecclesiastical Writers, as wel Greekes, as Latinos, that in them wee maie take the good, and flee the contrarie. Of this iudgemente were S. Augustine, S. Hierome, and sundrie others: whose wordes for shortnesse I passe ouer: yet were they not therefore condemned, as despisers of the Holy Learned Fathers. Wee remoue you not, as you saie, from your holde, S. Hardinge. This is nothings els, but a courage of poor countenance. The Fathers, ye speake of, are againste you. I truste, it appeareth already by your former writings, that in the Special cases, that lie before vs, ye haue but fewe Fathers to holde by.

Ye saie, Wee euermore cal you to the Scriptures. This faulte, I hope, is not so hatnous. Christe hath commaunded vs so to do: Search ye, saith the Christe, the Scriptures. And S. Hilarie saith vnto the Emperoure Constantius, Fidem, Imperator, queris? Audi eam, non de nouis chartulis, sed de Dei Libris: Doe the your Maiestie seeke the Faith? Heare it then, not out of any newe scollor, but out of the Bookes of God. He is rather to be suspected, that fleeth the light, and wil not be iudged by the Scriptures.

When the Scriptures be darke, and doubtful, and are alleged of bothe partes, then ye saie, ye refuse not the Imperiump, and iudgemente of the Holy Ghoste. But ye adde farther, The Holy Ghost is promised onely to the Church. Nowe by your opinion there is no Church, but the Church of Rome. And the Church of Rome is no Church without the Pope: For one of your greates Doctours saith, Potestas Papæ solius excedit potestatem totius residuæ Ecclesiæ: The Popes onely power passeth al the power of the whole Church besides: And an other like Doctour saith, Papa virtualiter est tota Ecclesia: The Pope by power, and vertue is the whole Church: And thus your reason goeth rounde aboute, a Primo ad vltimum: Ergo, There is neither Holy Ghoste, nor Interpretation, or sence of the Scriptures, but onely in the Pope. This is, Summa Summarum: whiche thinge beinge graunted, what shoulde a man saie any farther? The whole mater is at an ende.

It is true, As the Scriptures were written by the Sprite of God, so muste they be expounded by the same. For without that Sprite, we haue neither eares to heare, nor eyes to see. It is that Sprite, that openeth, and noman shutteth: the same shutteth, & noman openeth: The same Sprite prepared and opened the Bilketwo mans harte, that the should geue eare to, and consider the thinges, that were spoken by S. Paule. And in respecte of this Sprite, the Prophete Esai saith, Erunt omnes docti à Deo: They shalbe al taught of God.

But God hath not bounde him selfe, that this Sprite should euermore dwell in Rome: but vpon the lowly, & humble hartes, that trembleth at the Word of God. Chrysostome saith: Qui propria loquuntur, falso prætendunt Spiritum Sanctum: They that speake of them selues, falsly pretende the Holy Ghoste. And againe, Si quid præter Euangelium sub titulo Spiritus obtrudatur, ne credamus. Quia sicut Christus Legis, & Prophetarum impletio est, ita est Spiritus Euangelij: If any thinge be brought vnto vs vnder the name of the Holy Ghost, besides the Gospel, let vs not beleue it. For as Christe is the

flours.

August. contra Cresion. Gram. Lib. 2. Cap. 19. August. ad Hieron. Epist. 19.

Hieron. ad Tranquillum. To. 2.

Iohan. 1.

Hilarie ad Imper. Constantium

Petrus De Potestate Papæ. Art. 4. Henricus de potestate Papæ. His præmissis.

2. Petri. 1.

Matth. 11.

Apo. 3.

Act. 16.

Iohan. 6.

Esai. 66.

Chrysostom. De sancto & adorando Spiritu.

is the fulfilling of the Lawe and the Prophetes: so is the Holy Ghoste the fulfilling of the Gospel.

Nowe, with what spite the Bishoppes of Rome haue expounded vnto vs the Holy Scriptures of God, we shal shewe it hereafter, as siter occasion shal require. Here, you saie, we haue corrupted bothe the wordes, and the sence of S. Hierome: That we haue taken, Omnia, in steede of, Alia: And that you haue diuised, and made nothinge of your selues: that S. Hierome meane not hereby the Traditions of the Apostles, but onely the sonde fantasies, and dreames of the Heretiques called Tatians.

Of al these thinges, we muste needs confesse, one thinge is true. In deede we toke, Omnia, in steede of, Alia: and so by oversight gaue somme occasion vnto the quarrel. Howe be it, I doubt not, but the indifferent gentle Reader wil sone pardonne that faulte. It proceeded onely of negligence, and not of malice. Notwith- standinge this wante maye easily be supplied by a sufficient Commentarie. For Christe saithe, Omnis plantatio, quam non plantauerit Pater meus Coelestis, eradicabitur. Every plante, that my beauenly Father hath not planted, shalbe rooted out. Here, M. Hardinge, ye make borrowe, Omnia, to heape S. Hierome.

Matth. 15.
Omnis.

Cyprian. Lib. 1.
Epist. 8.
Quodcunq.

So, if this like you not, S. Cyprian maye telle you, Adulterum est, Impium est, Sacrilegum est, quodcunq; humano furore instituitur, vt Dispositio Diuina violatur: It is aduouerous, it is wicked, it is abominable, what so euer is ordered by the rashnesse of man, that Goddes order shoulde be broken.

It is true, S. Hierome speaketh not these wordes of the Traditions of the Apostles: It is true. Yet, M. Hardinge, he speaketh these wordes of such fantasies, as were brought into the Church of God, and magnified vnder the name and colour of the Traditions of the Apostles. So Eusebius saithe, The Heretique Cerinthus brought vs in his owne monstrous Diuises, vnder the pretence of Reuelations, as written by some greate Apostle.

Euseb. li. 3. ca. 28
Αὐτὸς ἐκπορεύων
Ἰσχυρὸς, ὡς ὑπὸ
Ἀποστόλου γὰρ
ὑπομνήσκειται.
Anno. 1548.
Ilyricus de
sect. pag. 109.
In Confe. Terri-
coulens. De Cere-
monijs. pag. 289
Copus Angl.
Dial. 2. pag. 194
Copus Angl.
Dial. 2. pag. 184
Iohan. 16.
1. Cor. 11.

Suche, M. Hardinge, be your Inventions, wherewith ye haue of longe time deceiued the worlde. One of your companions the Suffragane of Sidon, at the late dicte at Augusta, in the presence of the whole Emperre, doubted not to saie, that your whole Canon worde by worde, euen as it is nowe bled in your Masses, came directly from the Apostles. Your Hosius of Polonia saithe, that the Apostles appointed your orders of Monkes. An other of your side saithe, Christus Dux, & Signifer Vitæ Monasticæ: Christe was the Captaine, and Stander beare of Monkes life: Imagininge, I trowe, that Christe was an Abbate. And yet afterwarde the same Doctor, either by some obliuion, or els vpon somme better remembrance, saithe thus: Elias, & Elizeus Duces Instituti Benedictini: Elias, and Elizeus were the firste Captaine of S. Bennets Order. And you, M. Hardinge, haue tolde vs often, that ye haue your priuate Masse, your halfe Communion, and I know not what els al from the Apostles. And al this ye proue, God wote, by sul simple coniectures, because Christe saithe to his Apostles, I haue many thinges to saie vnto you: but yee are not habile to heare them yet: And because S. Paule saithe to the Corinthians, I wil order the reste, when I come. Hereby ye beare vs in hande, that al your moste triflinge Vanities were brought vnto you by S. Paule euen from the thirde Heauens.

And although it were true, that ye saie, ye haue not diuised these thinges of your selues, but haue receiued them al from the Apostles, and Holy Fathers, which thinges your conscience knoweth to be moste vnture, yet notwithstanding the same thinges so receiued, ye haue sithence sooly defaced with sundrie your superstitions. Ye haue made them necessarie to saluation: ye haue bounde the people to them no lesse then to the Lawe of God: and so haue ye made them snares of Christian consciences. Although the thinge it selfe came from the Fathers, yet the abuse thereof came from your selues: and so; the same ye haue taught the people to breake Goddes expresse commandement. Thus haue you blended Goddes beauenly wine with your puddle water: Thus haue ye strained gnautes, and swallowed Camelles. This is the very Leauen of the Scribes, and Phariseis, whiche Christe calleth Hypocrisie. Therefore al be it the thinge it selfe, ye haue thus receiued, in respect of substance

Esa. 1.
Matth. 23.
Matth. 16.

hance be al one: yet now, being thus abused, in respect of your Superstitions, and desormities, it is not one.

The Apostles, and Holy Fathers, used Aile: yet they used it not, as ye do, for the Saluation of Body and Soule.

Moses erected by the Brazen Serpent in the wilderness: Yet not to be adoured with Godly honoure, as it folowed afterwarde.

God commanded the people to faste: yet not with Hypocrisie, as the wicked fasted. And therefore God saith vnto them, Non est hoc Ieiunium, quod ego elegi: This is not the fasting, that I haue chosen.

God commanded the people to keepe the Calendes, and Priue Mones: Yet not with such Superstition, and Abuses, as the people kepte them. And therefore God saide vnto them, Who required these things as your hands?

God commanded sundrie Bathinges, and Washinges: yet vnto them, that most precisely used the same, Christe saide: Woe be vnto you yee Scribes, and Pharisees: in vaine they worship mee, teaching the Commandementa and Doctrinam meam.

Yet you, M. Harding, haue inforced the Apostles of Christe, not onely with the Substance of the thinges, whiche, ye saie, ye haue receiued by Tradition, but also with al your Abuses, Superstitions, Corruptions, & Idolatries: whiche ye haue diuised of your selues. And therein ye wel resemble the Tician Heretiques, of whome onely, ye saie, S. Hierome speaketh. But whether S. Hierome meante onely, I know not what fantastical dreames of the Tatians, (as you imagine onely of your selfe, without prouise, in particulare naminge nothinge) or els also al such Superstitious Vanities, as we haue often, & fully reprimed in you, it maie some appeare by these wordes (immediatly folowinge: Omnem laborem manuum, & Ieiunia eorum, & obseruationes varias, & xaxuvias, id est, humi dormitiones: Al their hande Labour, and their Fastings, and their Obseruations, and Vjages, and harde Sleepinge on the grounde. These and such other like be the thinges, whiche, menne imagine, came from the Apostles, and are stricken and consumed with the Swerde of Goddes Word.

This, M. Hardinge, notwithstandinge your longe Glose vnto the Texte, seemeth to be the very meaninge of S. Hierome.

If ye wil yet force the contrarie, and turne al from your selues to the Tatians, as you do, the very two lines nexte folowing must needs make you blasse at your owne error. The wordes are these, Hæc autem vniuersa, quæ dixi, possunt de Ecclesiæ Rectoribus intelligi: Al these thinges, that I haue spoken, maie be vnderstanded of the Rulers of the Church. Tel vs nomore therefore, M. Hardinge, of your Tatians. For S. Hierome him selfe telleth you, he meante not onely them, but also the Bishoppes, and Rulers of the Church. And a litle before he saith, Infertur Gladius super Montes eleuantes se aduersus scientiam Dei: The Swerde of Goddes Woode is laide vpon the Mountaines, that lift them selues up againste the knowledge of God.

In this sense writteth S. Cyprian: Si ad Diuinæ Traditionis Caput, & Originem reuertamur, cessat omnis error humanus: If wee returne to the Heade, and beginninge of our Lordes Tradition, al error of Man must needs geue place.

In like sense also writteth Tertullian: Ipsa Doctrina Hæreticorum cum Apostolica comparata, ex diuersitate, & contrarietate sua pronuntiabit, neque Apostoli alius Authoris esse, neq; Apostolici: The very Doctrin of Heretiques compared with the Apostles Doctrin, by the diuersitie and contrarietie, that is betwene that, and the other, wil some pronounce sentence of it selfe, that neither Apostle, nor Apostolique man was author of it.

Even thus it saith, M. Hardinge, with a greate heape of your Doctrin. Ye saie, ye haue it by Tradition from the Apostles. Yet is it utterly boide of al authoritie, or testimonie of the Scriptures. And therefore, as S. Hierome saith, it is consumed and stricken downe in the Conscience of the Godly, by the onely Swerde of Goddes Holy Word, as our eyes see this date: and beinge compared with the Apostles Doctrin (the difference, and contrarietie is so greate) it easily betwixteth it selfe, as Tertullian saith, that it neuer came from any Apostle, nor from any other Apostolique Doctour of the Church.

Num. 21.

Iohann. 3.

Iosel. cap. 7.

Esai. 65.

Esai. 1.

Matth. 23.

Hieronym. in

Agge. Cap. 1.

Cyprian. Ad

Pompeium.

Tertul. de Præ-

scrip. Hære.

The

S. Ambrose also to Gratian the Emperour: Let the Scripture (saith he) be asked the question, let the Prophetes be asked, and let Christe be asked. For at that time made the Catholique Fathers and Bishoppes no doubt, but that our Religion mighte be prooued out of the Holy Scriptures. Neither were they euer so hardie to take any for an Heretique, whose error they could not evidently and apparently reprocure by the selfe same Scriptures. And wee verily doo make answere on this wise, as S. Paule did: Accordinge to this wale whiche they calle Heresie, wee doo worship God, and the Father of our Lorde Jesus Christe: and doo allowe al thinges whiche haue benne written either in the Lawe, or in the Prophetes, or in the Apostles woordes.

M. Hardinge.

Gratian the Emperour bucklinge him selfe as it were to encounter with the Heretikes, at the firste he giveth warninge to al to beware of him, (for that he endeouoreth to prooue his false Doctrine, (namely for the firste pointe, that the Sonne is vnlike the Father) *Perfuta disputacionibus*, VVith subtil and craftie reasonings. He allegeth to that purpose S. Paule to the Collossians, *Cauete ne quis vos decipiat per Philosophiam*: Beware that no man spoile you through Philosophie, and vaine deceit, &c. For (saith he) these Heretikes put al the force of their poisons in Logike, or Dialectical disputation, which by the opinion of Philosophers is defined, not to haue power to prooue, but an earnest desire to destroe and disprooue. Hauinge geuen this wholesome warninge, lest him selfe might seeme to vse that, whiche he counsellere others to beware of: to withdrawe the Emperour and other from the guileful Logike of Arius, at his firste entrie he saith: I wil not, that thou geue credite, Holy Emperour, to argumente, and to our disputation, (then followe the woordes, whereof the Defenders take holde,) *Scripturas interrogamus*, &c. Let vs aske the Scriptures, let vs aske the Apostles, let vs aske the Prophetes, let vs aske Christe. VVhat neede many woordes! let vs aske the Father, &c.

Capa.

Ambrosius
De Fide
lib. 1. Capa.

Vntrathe.

And to this pointe of our beleefe, whiche is very high and secrete, is that sayinge of S. Ambrose to be strained. But that for confirmation of the Truthe in pointes whiche be neater to common sense, and for confutation of those Heresies whiche be of lesse subtiltie, of whiche sorte, these Gospellers grosse errors be, to this ende, that we ought not to vse the Testimonies of the Holy Fathers againste Heretikes, (for which purpose they alleage this place:) S. Ambrose neither in al that Booke, neither in al his woorkes speaketh so muche as one worde.

But contrariwise in sundry places of that worke, he allegeth the auctoritie of the Nicene Councel, as a Testimonie of good force againste the Arians, and declareth a diuine Myserie to haue benne signified by such special number of the Fathers there assembled: Saieinge *Sic nempe nostri secundum Scripturas dixerunt Patres*: Euen thus, accordinge to the Scriptures, haue the Fathers saide. *Seruiamus Precepta maiorum*, &c. Let vs keepe the Preceptes of our Forefathers, neither with Temeritie of rude boldnes let vs breake the Hereditarie Seales, (he meaneth the Doctrine sealed by the Fathers, and left to the posteritie as it were by Heritage). VVhiche of vs wil be so hardy, as to vnseale the Priestly Booke, sealed by the Confessours, and nowe consecrated with the Martyrdome of many a one! Lo heare ye not Sirs: howe muche S. Ambrose is againste you!

*Nothings.

And though he saie, touchinge this Myserie, Let vs aske the Scriptures, Apostles, Prophetes, and Christe: yet thereby dothe he not quite exclude the Fathers. He saith not, let vs reiecte the Fathers. The Scriptures and the Fathers be not contrarie: and therefore the allowinge of them, is not the disallowinge of these. VVho so euer maketh this argumente, whiche in your woordes is implied, The Scriptures are to be asked, Ergo, the Holy Fathers are not to be asked, maketh a foolish argument.

*Vntrathe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The greatest force hereof is answered already. S. Ambrose, ye saie, by this appeale to the Scriptures, excludeth not the iudgement of the Learned Fathers, but onely the casillations, and subtilties of Philosophers: Sopbisters. For S. Ambrose him selfe in the same treatise often allegeth the Auctoritie of the Fathers. At this, M. Hardinge, is true in deede: Notwithstandinge there is a certaine secrete Vntrathe lapped in it. For S. Ambrose allegeth the Fathers, not as Groundes, or Principles, or Foundations of the Faith: but onely as Interpreters, or Witnesses, or Consenters vnto the Faith: whiche thinge of our parte was neuer denied. Now, whether S. Ambrose meante thus, or no, let S. Ambrose him selfe be the Judge.

His

His wordes be these, Sic nempe nostri secundum Scripturas dixerunt Patres: Thus haue our Fathers saide (not of them selues, but) accordinge to the Scriptures. He allegeth the Fathers, not as hauinge sufficient credite and substance in them selues, but onely as Expounders, and Interpreters of the Scriptures.

So saith the godly Father Athanasius: Nos ista hausimus à Magistris diuinitus afflatis, qui Sacros Libros euoluerunt: These things haue wee learned of our Masters (or Fathers) inspired from Heauen, whiche haue read and perused the Holy Scriptures. For S. Augustine verie wel saith, Secundum hos Libros de coeteris Literis, vel Fidei, vel Infidelium libere iudicamus: Accordinge to those Bookes of the Scriptures, wee iudge frankly of al other writings, whether they be of the Faithful, or of the Unfaithful. Therefore S. Hierome saith, Omni studio legendæ nobis sunt Scripturæ, & in Lege Domini meditandum die ac nocte: vt probati trapezitæ sciamus, quis numus probus sit, quis adulterinus: Wee muste Reade the Scriptures with al diligence, and muste bee occupied in the Lawe of our Lorde bothe daie and night: that wee maie become perfite exchangers, and be hable rightly to discerne, what monie is lawfull, and what is counterfeit. S. Hilarie saith, Hoc proprium est Apostolicæ Doctrinæ, Deum ex Lege, ac Prophetis in Euangelij prædicare: This is the very order of the Apostles Doctrine, in the Gospel to Preache God out of the Lawe and the Prophetes.

Otherwise, touchinge the discourse of natural reason, S. Ambrose saith, No Creature either in Earthe, or in Heauen, is hable to reach the depth of these things. Thus he saith, Mens deficit: Vox fileit, non mea tantum, sed Angelorum. Supra potestates, supra Angelos, supra Cherubim, supra Seraphim, supra omnem sensum est: The minde is astounded: the voice faileth, not onely mine, but also of the Angelles. It is aboue the powers, aboue the Angelles, aboue the Cherubins, aboue the Seraphins, and aboue al maner vnderstandinge. And therefore he saith, as it is alleged once before, Nolo nobis credatur: Scriptura recitetur: Non ego dico a me, In principio erat Verbum, Sed audio: I woulde not, yete should beleeue mee: Lette the Scriptures be readde: I saie not of mee selfe. In the beginninge was the VVoorde: but I heare it spoken. And againe he saith in the same Booke, vnto the Emperoure Gratian: Faceat nostra Sententia: Paulum interrogemus: Let our Iudgements stande aparte: and let vs aske S. Paul the question. But M. Hardinge saith, VVho so euer maketh this argumente, whiche in your VVoorde is implied, The Scriptures are to be asked. Ergo, the Holy Fathers are not to be asked, maketh a foolish argumente.

It seemeth no greate pointe of Wisdome, M. Hardinge, to vpholde others with folie without cause: God encrease bothe you and vs in al wisdome, and vnderstandinge in Christe Iesu. Howe be it, our Argumente, howe so euer it hath pleased you to fashion, and to handle it, as we meante it, and made it, had no sutch folie. Wherefore, what so euer folie is nowe come to it, it is your owne: it is not ours. For we denie not the Learned Fathers expositions, and iudgements in doubtful cases of the Scriptures. Wee reade them our selues: Wee follow them: Wee embrace them: and, as I saide before, we moste humbly thanke God for them. But thus we saie, The same Fathers opinions, and iudgements, for as muche as they are sometimes disagreeable one from an other, and sometimes simple contrarieties, and contradictions, therefore alone, and of them selues, without farther authoritie, and guidinge of Goddes Word, are not alwaies sufficient Warrantes to charge our Faith. And thus the Learned Catholique Fathers them selues haue euermore taught vs to esteeme, and to weighe the Fathers.

The Ancient Father Origen saith thus, as it is reported before: Ex Solis Scripturis examinationis nostræ discretio petenda est: The discussinge of our Iudgements, muste be taken Onely of the Scriptures. And againe, Sensus nostri, & enarrationes sine Scripturis testibus non habent fidem: Our Iudgements, and Expositions without witnesse of the Scriptures haue no credite. Likewise S. Augustine, Ego Solis Canonicis Scripturis deo sine vlla recusatione consensum: My consent without exception I owe not vnto any Father, were he neuer so wel learned, but Onely to the Holy Canonical Scriptures.

Ambros. ad Gratian. De Fide, li. 1.

Athanas. De Humanitate Verbi.

August. Contra Crescon. Gramma. li. 1. Ca. 19.

Hieronym. in Epist. ad Ephes. lib. 3. Cap. 5.

Hilari. in Psal. 65.

Ambros. ad Gratian. De Fide, lib. 1. Cap. 5.

Ambros. De Incarnationis Dominica Sacramenta, ca. 3.

Ambros. ad Gratian. Imperator. lib. 1. Cap. 7.

Orig. in epist. ad Rom. li. 10. ca. 16. Ex Solis.

Origen. in Hieron. Hic. 1.

August. De Natura et Gratia ca. 61.

His

Augustin, in Iohann, Tracta. 96.

August. De Pastorib, Ca. 14.

His reason is this: Nam cum Dominus tacuerit, quis nostrum dicat, Illa, vel illa sunt? Aut si dicere audeat, unde probat? For where as the Lord him selfe hath not spoken, who of vs can saie, It is this, or that? Or if he dare saie so, howe can he proue it?

And therefore he concludeth directly and in like wordes with S. Ambrose: Ego vocem Pastoris inquirō. Lege hoc mihi de Prophetis: Lege de Psalmo: Recita de Lege: Recita de Euangelio: Recita de Apostolo: I require the voice of the Shepheards: Reade me this mater out of the Prophete: Reade it mee out of the Psalmes: Reade it out of the Lawe: Reade it out of the Gospel: Reade it out of the Apostles.

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Division. 1.

Wherefore if wee be Heretiques, and they (as they woulde faine be called) be Catholiques, why doo they not, as they see the Fathers, whiche were Catholique men, haue alwaies donne: why doo they not conuince and maister vs by the Diuine Scriptures? Why doo they not calle vs againe to be tried by them: why doo they not laie before vs, howe wee haue gonne awaie from Chyriste, from the Prophetes, from the Apostles, and from the Holy Fathers: why sticke they to doo it: why are they afraide of it? It is Goddes cause: why are they doubtful to commit it to the trial of Goddes woorde: If wee be Heretiques, whiche referre al our controuersies vnto the Holy Scriptures, and repoite vs to the selfe same woordes, whiche wee knowe were sealed by God him selfe, and in comparison of them, sette litle by al other thinges, what so euer maie be diuised by menne, howe shal wee saie to these folke, I prae you: what manner of men be they, and howe is it meete to calle them, whiche feare the iudgement of the Holy Scriptures, that is to saie, the Iudgement of God him selfe, and doo pferre before them their owne dreames, and ful colde inuentiones: and to maintaine their owne Traditions, haue defaced and corrupted nowe these many hundred yeres the ordinaunces of Chyriste, and of the Apostles?

M. Hardinge.

VVee doo so. For they condemne those that went against the Tradition of the Fathers, and so doo wee. The Scriptures consist not in Inke and Paper, but in the sense. VVhiche sense the Holy Iohann. 14. Ghoste by Christes promise hath taught the Church.

Epiphanius refuting the Heretikes whiche named them selues Apostolikes, saith, that the Scriptures haue neede of Speculation (that is to witte, to be wel studied and considered) to the ende the force and power of euery argument maie be known. It behoueth vs also (saith he) to vse the Tradition: For wee can not haue al thinges of the Holy Scripture. Thus Epiphanius. By Tradition without doubte he meaneth the sense and vnderstandinge receiued of the Fathers. For that is the Keie of the VVoorde of God, as S. Peter taught, by report of S. Clement. This sense and vnderstandinge of the Lawe had the Ministers of the Lawe, to whome the Traditions of Moses and of the Elders came as it were by handes. Nowe wee require you to admitte this Tradition, that is to saie, the Catholike sense and vnderstandinge of the Scriptures, whiche hath benne deliuered vnto vs by the Holy Fathers of al Ages, and of al Countries, where the Faith hath benne receiued. And then wee wil calle you againe to be tried by the Scriptures.

This haue the Catholikes laide before you oftentimes, and this doo we shewe you in this Confutation. He that despiseth you, despiseth mee, saith Christe of his Church. Ye despise the Catholike Church, and therefore you despise Christe.

VVhat neede so many questions Sirs? Your hote Rhetorike sheweth more courage in woordes, then Victorie in deede. Yee calle vs forth to the Scriptures, as it were to the fildes. Yee strike vs downe with woordes, before ye come to encounter. To shewe your brauerie in the moustre, ye referre your controuersies to the Holy Scriptures, ye repoite you vnto the woordes sealed by God him selfe: but we the Catholikes, as ye pretende, sticke at it: we be afraide of it, we doubt of the mater, we feare the Iudgement of Holy Scriptures, wee pferre our owne dreames and colde inuentiones. VVell, nowe that ye haue tolde your lusty tale, heare our sober answere. Oftentimes the true Scriptures are stretched forth to serue euil and false purposes. The Iewes wente aboute by the Scriptures to proue, that Christe was not so much as a Prophete. For they saide, "Search the Scriptures, and see, that a Prophete riseth not out of Galilee." By the Scriptures they woulde needes shewe him unworthy

* Vntrithe. For the woordes are otherwise.

Luc. 10.

Greater bragges made by the Defenders, of the assurce of the Scriptures. Iohann. 7.

Iohan. 19. woorthy to die. VVee haue a Lawe, quod they, and by our Lawe he ought to die, because he hath
Math. 4. made him selfe the Sonne of God. The Diuel by alleaging Scripture, woulde haue deceiued out
De Fide. Sanioir him selfe, and saide vnto him: *Scriptum est*, It is written. The Arians were sal of the Scri-
Lib. 1. cap. 1. pures, and by the same, as S. Ambrose writeth, wente aboute to prooue, that Christe the author of al
Mark. 10. goodnesse was not good. It is written, quoth they, *Nemo bonu nisi unus Deus*, None is good,
but onely God. Likewise the Macedonians, the Nestorians, the Eutychians.

Nowe in this case, your selues doinge the like, what may wee doo better, then honour the Scri-
ptures, and seeke for their right Sense and vnderstandinge? *Scriptum est*, It is Scripture (saith S.
Ambrose to the allegation of the Arians) I acknowledge, but the letter hath not the error: woulde
God the Arians interpretation had not. *Apices sine crimine sunt sensus in crimine*. The letters be
without crime: the sense is in crime. From the vnderstandinge cometh Heresie, nor from the Scri-
pture: the sense, nor the woorde, becommeth to be a crime, saith S. Hilary. Sithens then al standeth
in the sense, let vs agree firste vpon the sense and interpretation of the Scriptures: and then if wee be
not as ready as ye, come forth when ye liste, vpbraide vs hardely, and saie lustely, as here ye doo, why
flicke they to doo it? why are they afraide of it?

**Hilari. De
Trinita.
Lib. 1.**

As for the true sense and interpretation of the Scriptures, where shal we finde it, but, as before
wee saide, in the Catholike Church? The Church hauinge Christe remaininge with it al daies to
the ende of the worlde, hauinge by promise of Christe the Sprite of truth, remaininge in it for ever,
hauinge by Goddes owne ancient promise bothe the woordes, whiche the Father hath put in the
mouthe of Christe, and the Sprite whiche he put in him, whereby it may vnderstande the meaninge
of Goddes woordes? wee maie not now seeke for the true sense, vnderstandinge, and interpretation
of the Scriptures any where, but in the Church. Your owne Doctour Iohn Caluine him selfe, whom

**Math. 7.
Iohan. 4.
Eph. 19.**

**In Epist. ad
Hebr. 10.**

ye folow and esteeme so muche, admonisheth very wel, and saith, it is specially to be noted, that out
of the Church there is no light of the sounde vnderstandinge of the Scriptures. This grounde beinge
laide, on whiche eche parte must stande and be tried in, crowe no more against vs, boaste your selues
no more: VVee feare not the iudgement of the Holy Scriptures. Nay it is your selues, that feare this
iudgement. For your owne conscience telleth you that on this grounde ye are the weaker side. If ye
stande with vs on this grounde, ye shal neuer be able to defende your Maister Iohn Caluines doctrine
touchinge Baptisme, whiche he maketh to be of so litle force, againste the manifest Scripture: Let e-
uery one of you (saith S. Peter) be Baptized in the name of Iesus Christe, to Remission of Sinnes.
Keepinge this grounde * ye shal be borne from your Doctrine touchinge Absolution, denyinge the
Prieste to haue power to absolue penitentes by his Priestly Authoritie, but by Preachinge the Gospell
to them, contrarie to the plaine Scripture: VVhose Sinnes yee forgeue, they are forgiven to them:
VVhose ye retaine, they are retained. If ye refuse not this grounde, ye shal be forced to restore the
Sacrament of extreame Vnction, and the vse of Holy Oile againe, whiche ye haue abandoned. For
what haue ye to saie againste the Scripture. Is any sicke amonge you? Let him cause the Priestes of
the Church to come in to him, anointinge him with Oile, in the name of our Lorde? Abidinge in
this grounde, ye shal be driuen to forsake your Zwinglian doctrine, whiche putteth Signes and Fi-
gures: onely in the Sacrament of the Altar, for the True and Real Body of Christe there a present,
contrarie to the cleare Scripture, *This is my Bodie*. Beinge on this grounde, ye shal soone geue
ouer the maintenance of the Doctrine of your special Faith, and of your Iustification by Faith onely,
as beinge contrary to the plaine Scripture, Man is iustified by woorkes, and not by Faith onely.
To conclud (for, to shewe in how many pointes ye maie be confuted by euident Scriptures, it were
in maner infinite) if ye wil admitte this for a good grounde, as ye muste needes admitte: then shal
ye not maintaine the Presumptuous Doctrine of your certaintie of Grace and Saluation, contrary to
that S. Paule counelleth, VVith feare and tremblinge worke your Saluation.

Iacob. 5.

Iacob. 2.

Philip. 2.

Vntruth.

Vntruth. For
he debaseth
not the Sacra-
ment of Bap-
tisme.

*Vntruths.

Three euident
Vntruths.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Wherreas we make reasonable request, that God maie be vmpaire in his owne
cause, and that al our controuersies maie be iudged, and tried by the Holy Scri-
ptures, **¶** Hardinge thereto answereth thus: The Scripture standeth not in the
Woordes, but in the Sense, and the same Sense is continued by Tradition in the
Church. Otherwise, he saith, the Jewes, the Arians, the Nestorians, the Eutychi-
ans, and al other Heretiques, were alwaies hable to claime by the Scriptures. To
conclude, he maketh vp a great emptie heape, of the soice of Baptisme, of holy Oile,
of Extreame Vnction, of Absolution, of Signes, of Figures, of Onely Faith, and,
as it liketh him to calle it, of the Presumptuous Doctrine of the Certaintie of Sal-
uation: in euery of whiche thinges, he saith, the Scriptures are cleare of his side,
and directly against vs.

To answer al these pointes in particulare, it would require an other Booke.
But briefely to touche so mutche onely, as shal be needeful, firste, that the Sub-
stance of the Scriptures standeth in the right Sense, and Meaninge, and not onely
in the naked, and bare woordes, it is true, and generally graunted without ex-
ception,

Oile.
Figure.
Signe.

Hieron. contra
Luciferian.
Hilari. De Tri-
nitate. Lib. 4.

76

The Defense of the Apologie of the

ception, and needeth no farther proufe. S. Hierome saith, Non in Legendo, sed in
Intelligendo Scripturæ consistunt: *The Scriptures stande, not in the Readings, but in the*
Understandinge. And S. Hilarie, Non Diuinorum dictorum, sed intelligentiæ nostræ
à nobis ratio præstanda est: *Wee muste yeelde an accompte, not of Goddes Diuine Wordes,*
but of our owne Expositions.

But if that onely be the righte Meaning, and Sense of the Scriptures, that
within these fewe late hundred yeres is copen into the Church of Rome: and if it
be al Gospel, what so euer it be, that ariseth from thence: and if they be al Here-
tiques, and Schismaticques, and Despisers of Christ, and of the Apostles, and of the
Aniuerſal Church, that make ſtate at it, or cannot receiue it, then is the whole ma-
ter already concluded: w^{ch} ſhal neede no more adu.

Ye ſaie, the Iewes, the Arians, the Nestorians, and other Heretiques alleged the
Scriptures. Yea verily, W. Hardinge, and that euen with like ſaith, and in like
ſenſe, and to like purpoſe as you allege them nowe: as hereafter, I truſte, it ſhal
appeare. By the waie, for example hereof, in this very place, where you allege the
wordes of the Phariſeis anouchinge the Scriptures, it maie pleaſe you to remem-
ber, that either wittingly, or of ſome errour, and ouerſight, ye haue manifeſtly cor-
rupted the Scriptures. For, whereas you haue tranſlated the place thus, *Searche*
the Scriptures: the Phariſeis ſaid nothinge els, but Scutare, & Vide, Searche, and See:
and ſpake not one worde of the Scriptures. And although the matter importe not
much, yet to charge you with your owne rule, whiche muſt needes be good againſt
your ſelfe, any ſmal faulte in Goddes Wordes muſt be counted greate. In deede S.
Chryſoſtome, and S. Auguſtine ſeeme to ſupplie this worde, *Scriptures*, although it
were not in the Texte.

Iohan. 7.
Ερευνήσω,
και ιδω, οτι
προφητης εν
της Γαλιλαιας
εν ιερουσαλαι.
Chryſoſtom.
Auguſtinus.
Nicol. Lyra in
7. Cap. Iohan.

Touchinge the matter it ſelfe, Nicolaus Lyra ſaith, Hoc Verbum eorum ſim-
pliciter falſum eſt. Quia, ſi intelligatur de Prophetis generaliter, aliqui fuerunt nati de
Galilæa: videlicet, Elizeus, Tobias, & Debora Prophetiſſa, & forte plures alij: *This*
woorde of the Phariſeis is plainly falſe. For if it taken generally of al Prophetes, then were
there certayne of them borne in Galilee, namely Elizeus, Tobias, Debora, and perchance o-
thers moe. In ſuche ſorte, W. Hardinge, euen with the like ſaith and credite, you
alſo haue vſed, to allege the Scriptures.

But whereto vſue you al this longe tale? will you in the ende conclude thus:
The Iewes, & Heretiques alleged the Scriptures: Ergo, Faithful Chriſtians maie
not allege them: Or thus, The Iewes haue ſometimes armed them ſelues: Ergo, True
menne maie not be armed: Nay, we maie rather ſaie thus vnto you: The Iewes,
and Heretiques alleged the Scriptures: What accompte then maie we make of
you, that ſie, and condemne, and burne the Scriptures?

Certainly, not withſtandinge Phariſeis, and Heretiques wickedly miſalleged
the Scriptures, as ye ſometimes doe to ſerue your purpoſe, yet for al that, Chriſte
ſaide vnto them, *Scrutamini Scripturas: Searche the Scriptures:* And, as it is ſaide be-
foze, the Catholique learned Fathers in al their caſes, and controuerſies appealed
euermore to the Scriptures.

Iohan. 5.

Cap. 9. Diſſi. 1.

Where you ſaie, *The Scriptures are ſo cleare of your ſide, and make ſo directly*
againſt vs: woulde God ye woulde in deede, and vnfainedly ſtande to that triall.
Your fanſies, and ſolles woulde ſone come to grounde.

Touchinge your greate heape of examples, of the Sacramente of Baptiſme de-
ſaced, as you ſaie, by M. Caluine: of Abſolution, of extreme unction, of Holy Oile,
of Signes, of Figures, of Onely ſaith, and of the certaintie of Salvation, whiche
you calle Preſumptuous: Firſt of the Sacramente of Baptiſme, M. Caluine euery
where writeth with al manner reuerence, callinge it a Diuine, & an Heauenly My-
ſterie, and the Sacrament of our Redemption: wherein alſo ſometimes he ſilly re-
proueth you, for that ye haue ſo many waies, ſo proſanely, & ſo vnreuerently abuſed
the ſame. Of Abſolution we ſhal haue occaſion to ſaie more hereafter.

Touchinge your Oile, in deede in ſheue of wordes, S. James ſaith to make
ſomewhat for you. Notwithſtandinge, neither dothe he calle it Holy Oile, as ye
do.

Now, neither dothe he calle it a Sacramente of the Church: nor dothe he saie, as ye saie, it shoulde serue for the Saluation of Body, and Soule: nor dothe he teache you to salute it, and to speake vnto it, as to a liuely, and reasonable Creature, Aue Sanctum Oleum: *Alwaile Holy Oile*: nor with these wordes to minister it vnto the sicke: Per hanc Sanctam Vnctionem, & suam pijsimam Misericordiam, ignoscat tibi Deus: *By this Holy enointinge, and his deere mercie, God Pardon thee*. To be shorte, it was a miraculous gifte of Healinge, lastinge onely, as other like Miracles did, for the time: not a necessarie Sacramente of the Church to continue for ever.

As for the Obligation of signes, and figures, for shortnesse of time, I must reserue this, gentle Reader, vnto my former Replie to M. Hardinge. The wordes of Christe, whiche are thought to be so plaine, the Ancient Learned father Tertullian expoundeth thus: Hoc est Corpus meum: Hoc est, Figura Corporis mei: *This is my Body: that is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body*. Likewise S. Augustine, Non dubitauit Dominus dicere, Hoc est Corpus meum, cum daret Signum Corporis sui: *Our Lords doubted not to saie, This is my Body, when he gaue a Signe of his Body*.

To rehearse al other like Ancient Authorities, it were too longe. What triumphes would M. Hardinge make, if none of al the Olde Learned fathers coulde be founde, that euer had called the Sacramente, the signe, and figure of Christes Body? But, as before he alleged an imagined Sense of the Scriptures without wordes, so now he allegeth the wordes alone without sense. He shoulde haue remembered better, that S. Hierome saith, Ne putemus, in Verbis Scripturarum esse Euangelium, sed in Sensu: *Let vs not thinke, the Gospel standeth in the Wordes of the Scriptures, but in the Meaninge*.

Two other greates quarrelles M. Hardinge moueth: the one of Onely Faith: the other, as he calleth it, of the Presumptuous Certaintie of Saluation. Wherein Iudge thou vprightly, good Christian Reader, how iuste cause he hath to rapine our Doctrine.

As for the firste hereof, S. Paule saith, Iustificamur Gratis ex Gratia ipsius: *Wee be Justified Freely of his Grace*: Wee Iudge that a man is Justified by Faith, without the Woorke of the Lawe: Wee knowe, that a man is not Justified by the Woorke of the Lawe, but by the Faith of Christe. M. Hardinge will saie, yet hitherto of, Sola Fides, that is, of Onely Faith, we heare nothinge. Notwithstandinge, when S. Paule excludeth al manner Woorke besides Onely Faith, what els then leaueth he, but Faith alone?

Howe be it, if it be so horrible an Heresie, to saie, Wee be justified before God by Onely Faith, that is to saie, Onely by the Merites and Crosse of Christe, let vs see, what the Holy Learned fathers of the Church so many hundred yeres agoe, haue taught vs thereof.

S. Ambrose saith, Iustificati sunt Gratis, quia nihil Operantes, neque vicem reddentes, Sola Fide Iustificati sunt dono Dei: *They are Justified Freely: Because woorkinge nothinge, and requitinge nothinge, they are Justified by Onely Faith through the gifte of God*. Again, Sic decretum est a Deo, vt, cessante Lege, Solam Fidem Gratia Dei posceret ad Salutem: *This was Goddes determination, that, the Lawe surceasinge, the Grace of God shoulde require Onely Faith vnto Saluation*. And againe, Sola Fides posita est ad Salutem: *Onely Faith is laide, or appointed vnto Saluation*. S. Basile saith, Nouit se esse inopem Veræ Iustitiæ: Sola autem Fide in Christum esse Iustificatum: *He knoweth him selfe to be void of True Righteousnes, and to be Justified by Onely Faith in Christe*.

Theodoretus saith, Non vllis Operibus nostris, sed per Solam Fidem Mystica bona consequuti sumus: *Not by any Woorke of ours, but by Onely Faith wee haue gotten the Mystical good thinges*.

Nazianzenus saith, Δικαιοσύνη καὶ τὸ πιστεύειν μόνον: *Credere solum est Iustitia*: *Onely Beleuinge is Righteousnesse*.

Origen saith, Vbi est gloriatio tua? Exclusa est. Dicit sufficere Solius Fidei Iustificationem: ita vt credens quis Tantummodo Iustificetur, etiamsi nihil boni Operis fecerit: *Where nowe is thy boasting (of thy good Woorke) it is shutte out*. Paule saith, *that the Justification of Onely Faith is Sufficiente*: So that a man Onely Beleuinge maie be

The. 12. Article.

Tertullian, contra Marcion.

Lib. 4.

Figure.
Signe.

Hieronym. in Epist. ad Galatas. Cap. 3.

Roman. 3.

Ambros. in Epist. ad Roma. Ca. 4.

Ambros. Eodem loco.

Ambros. in Epist. ad Roma. Ca. 9.

Basil. De Humilitate. Nisi ἡ μὴ τῆς εἰς Χριστὸν ἀδύνατον ἔσται.

Theodor. De Curandis Græcorum

affectionibus. Li. 7.

Nazian. περί ὑπερφανείας.

Orig. in Epist. ad Rom. Ca. 3. Li. 3.

Certaine:
tie of
Faith,

78

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Hefychi. in Le-
uit. Lib. 4.

Chryso. in epist.
ad Galat. cap. 3

Roman. 4.

Augu. in Li. 83.
qua. Q. 76.
Iacob. 5.

Thomas Aquin.
in Epist. Iacobi.
cap. 5.

Roman. 8.

Tertull. Contra
Marcion. Lib. 5.

Clemens in Pa-
da. opo. li. i. ca. 6

Cyprian. De
Mortalita. Ser-
mon. 4.

Justified, although he haue donne no good Worke at al.

Hefychius saith, Gratia ex Misericordia, atque Compassione præbetur, & Fide comprehenditur Sola: The Grace of God is given onely of Mercie, and Fauoure: and is embraced, and receiued by Onely Faith.

I leaue a greates number of others, that haue writtten the like, as wel Grækes, as Latines. In Rode of them al, S. Chrysostome saith thus: Illi dicebant, Qui Sola Fide nititur, Execrabilis est: Hic contra demonstrat, eum, qui Sola Fide nititur, Benedictum esse: They saide, who so stablish him selfe by onely Faith, is accursed: Contrariwise S. Paule proueth, that who so stablish him selfe by Onely Faith, he is Blessed.

Touching the wmoes of S. Iames, if M. Hardinge wel conuidered the Equino- cation, or double vnderstandinge of this worde, Iustification, he mighte see, and easily haue espied his owne erreure. For when S. Paule saith, Abraham was Iustified by Faith without Workes of the Lawe, he teacheth vs, howe Abraham was recei- ued into fauour, and Iustified before God: Of the other side, S. Iames, when he saith, Abraham was Iustified by Workes, and not by Faith Onely, he speaketh of the wmoes that folowe Iustification, and of the frutes of Faith: Without which frutes, Abrahams Faith had benne no Faith.

S. Augustine saith, Non sunt contrariæ duorum Apostolorum Sententiæ, Pau- li, & Iacobi: Cum dicit Paulus, Iustificari hominem sine Operibus: & Iacobus dicit, inanem esse Fidem sine Operibus. Quia Paulus loquitur de Operibus, quæ Fidem præcedunt: Iacobus de ijs, quæ Fidem sequuntur: The sayings of the two Apostles, Paule, and Iames are not contrarie, where as Paule saith, A man is Iustified without Workes, and Iames saith, Faith without Workes is in vaine: For Paule speaketh of the Workes that goe before Faith: Iames speaketh of the Workes, that folowe after Faith.

If M. Hardinge shal thinke, S. Augustines authoritie herein is not sufficient, Thomas of Aquine wil auouche the same. His wordes be these: Iacobus hic loqui- tur de Operibus sequentibus Fidem: quæ dicuntur Iustificare, non secundum quod Iustificare dicitur Iustitiæ infusio: sed secundum quod dicitur Iustitiæ Exercitatio, vel Offensio, vel Consummatio. Res enim dicitur fieri, quando perficitur, vel innotescit: Iames in this place speaketh of such Workes, as folowe Faith: which Workes are saide to Iustifie, not as Iustification is the procuringe of Righteousnesse: but in that it is an Exercise, or a Shewing, or a Perfitinge of Righteousnesse. For wee saie, A thinge is donne, when it is per- fect, or knowne to be donne.

Nowe concerninge the assurance, or Certainetie of Saluation, the Scriptures are full. S. Paule saith, There is no damnation to them that be in Christe Iesu: The Sprite of God beareth witness to our Sprite, that wee are the Children of God: I knowe, that neither Deathe, nor Life, nor Angels, nor Powers, nor Principalities, nor thinges presente, nor thinges to come, nor Highth, nor Deapth, nor any Creature els, shalbe hable to remoue me from that Love, that God beareth towards mee in Christe Iesu our Lorde.

But for as mutche as these wordes perhappes haue not the sense of the Church of Rome, without which, in M. Hardinges iudgemente, the Scripture of God is no Scripture, let vs see the sense, and Exposition of the Holy Fathers.

Tertullian saith, Ut certum esset, nos esse Filios Dei, misit Spiritum suum in corda nostra clamantem, Abba, Pater. That wee might be certified, that wee be the Chil- dren of God, he hath sente the Holy Ghoste into our hartes, crying, Abba, Father.

Clemens Alexandrinus saith, Re vera Sanguis Fidei est Spes, in qua continetur, vt Fides in anima. Cum autem Spes expirauerit, perinde ac si sanguis effluerit, vitalis Fidei facultas dissoluitur: In deede Hope is, as it were, the Bloude of Faith: in which Faith, Hope is contained, euen as Faith is contained in the Soule. And when Hope is gonne, then is al the lively power of Faith dissolved, as if the Bloude were sheadde out of the Body.

S. Cyprian saith, Et tu dubitas, & fluctuas? Hoc est Deum omnino non nosce: Hoc est Christum Credentium Magistrum peccato incedulitatis offendere: Hoc est, in Ecclesia constitutum, Fidem in Domo Fidei non habere: And dost thou stagger, and stande in doubt (of thy Saluation)? That were as mutche as not to knowe God: that were as mutche as with the Sinne of unbelieve, so offende Christ the Maister of Believers: That were as mutche

matche as beinge in the Church, in the House of Faith, to haue no Faith.

Prosper saith, Securi diem iudicii expectant, quibus in Cruce Domini gloriantibus mundus Crucifixus est, & ipsi Mundo: They, vnto whome the worlde is Crucified, and are Crucified vnto the worlde, waite for the daie of Iudgemente without feare.

But to leaue the Anciente Fathers of olde tyme, and to put the matter quite out of doubt, one Antonius Marinarius in the late Councel of Tridene in open audience saide thus: Si Coelum ruat, si Terra euanescat, si Orbis illabatur praeceps, ego in eum erectus ero. Si Angelus de Coelo aliud mihi persuadere contendat, dicam illi Anathema. O Felicem Christiani pectoris fiduciam: *If the Heauen should fall, if the Earthe should vanishe, if the whole worlde should come downe headlonge, yet would I stande preste, and bolde before God. If an Angel from Heauen would telle me otherwise, I woulde accurse him. O the Blessed truste (and certaintie) of a Christian Harte.*

Certainely, *Mr. Harding*, it were a very Presumptuous parte, to saie, that these Fathers, Greekes, Latines, Newe, Olde, your owne, & ours, were al Presumptuous. If it be so Presumptuous a matter to put assistance in the Desertes of Christe, what is it then, to put assistance in our own Merites? S. Paule hath taught vs to saie, God forbidde that I should glorie, but onely in the Crosse of Christe. S. Basile saith, Qui non fidit suis Meritis, nec expectat ex Operibus Iustificari, Vnam, & Solam spem habet salutis suae, Misericordias Domini: *Who so trusteth not in his owne Merites, nor looketh to be Iustified by his owne Woorkes, hath his onely hope of Saluation in the Mercies of our Lorde.*

So saith Iob in al his miseries, Etiam si me occiderit, sperabo in eum. Veruntamen vias meas in conspectu eius arguam: *Althoughe he kille me, yet wil I put my truste in him: Notwithstandinge I wil reprove my waies before his sighte.* So the Prophete Dauid, In thee O Lorde haue I trustad: I wil neuer be confounded. This is no Presumption, but a patient, and an humble waitinge for the redemption of the Children of God. It is moste true, that S. Paule saith, Wee muste worke our owne Saluation with feare and tremblinge. But this feare riseth in consideration of our owne weakenesse, and unworthinesse: not of any distrust, or doubt in Goddes mercie. But rather the lesse cause we finde to truste in our selues, the more cause we haue to truste in God. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Praesume, non de Operatione tua, sed de Christi Gratia. Gratia enim saluati estis, inquit Apostolus. Non ergo hic Arrogantia est, sed Fides. Praedicare quod acceperis, non est Superbia, sed Deuotio: *Presume thou, not of thine owne workinge, but of the Grace of Christe: For the Apostle saith, Yee are saued by Grace. Here therefore is not Presumption, but Faith. To proclaime that thou haste receiued, it is no Pride, it is Deuotion.*

Againe he saith, Non mea Praesumptione, sed ipsius Promissione in iudicium non venio: *It is not of my Presumption, but of his Promisse, that I shal not come into Iudgemente.* S. Basile saith, Paulus Gloriat de contemptione Iustitiae suae: Paule (presumptio) et) boasteth of the contempte of his owne Righteousnesse. So saith S. Ambrose: Non gloriabor, quia iustus sum: sed quia redemptus sum, gloriabor: Non quia vacuus sum a peccatis, sed quia mihi remissa sunt peccata. Non gloriabor, quia profui, neque quia profuit mihi quisquam: sed quia pro me Aduocatus apud Patrem Christus est: sed quia pro me Christi Sanguis effusus est: *I wil not glorie for that I am a iuste man: But for that I am redeemed, therefore wil I glorie: Not for that I am void of sinne: but for that my finnes be forgeuen mee. I wil not glorie, for that I haue donne good to any man, nor for that any man hath donne good to mee: but for that Christe is my Aduocate with the Father, and for that Christes Bloude was shedde for mee.*

Therefore S. Augustine saith, Quid retribuam Domino, quod recolit haec memoria mea, & anima mea non metuit inde? *What shal I render vnto our Lorde, for that I calle to remembrance al these my Sinnes, and yet my Soule thereof is not afraide.*

To be shorte, thus saith S. Bernarde: Vbi tuta firmaque infirmis securitas, & requies, nisi in vulneribus Saluatoris? Tanto illic securior habitus, quanto ille potentior est ad saluandum, &c. Peccaui peccatum grande: turbatur conscientia, sed non perturbatur: Quoniam vulnere Domini recordabor. Nempe vulneratus est propter iniquitates nostras: *What safe rest or suretie can the weake Soule finde, but in the woundes of*

Prosper, De Vita
nisi Cr. Tradit
Crisost. V. 1.

Par. l. cap. 16.

Council Tridene.

Act. l. An. 1546.

Calat. 6.

Basile. in Psal. 32.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑ ΤΗΣ

ΕΛΠΙΔΟΣ ΤΟΥ

ΘΕΟΥ.

Iob. 13.

Psalm. 70.

Philipp. 2.

Aug. De Verbis

Domini, sermo. 28

Aug. in 10.

ban. Tracta. 22.

Basile. De humi-

litate: ΚΑΥΧΑ-

ΤΑΙ ΠΑΥΛΟΣ

ΕΠΙ ΤΟ ΚΑΤΑ-

ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ ΤΗΣ

ΕΛΠΙΔΟΣ ΔΙΑΚΟ-

ΝΟΤΗΣ.

Ambros. de 14.

coro. c. 15.

Beata

August. Confess.

Lib. II. Cap. 7.

Bernard. in c. 15

in Cantor.

Sermo. 61.

Certaines
tie of
Faiche,
Estate, 33.

80

The Defense of the Apologie of the

oure Sanconre? As he is mightier to saue, so diuel? there with more safetie, &c. I haue committed a greate Sinne: My conscience is troubled: yet shal it not be shaken downe: because I wil remember my Lordes woundes. For he was wounded for our Sinnes.

Thus, M. Hardinge, to be assured of our Saluation, S. Augustine saith, it is no arrogant stoutnesse: It is our Faith. It is no Pride: It is Denotion. It is no presumption: It is Goddes Promise.

But youre whole Doctrine of the tricke in Penes Perites leadeth directly to Desperation. And therefore S. Cyprian saith wel of you: Asserunt Noctem pro Die: Interitum, pro Salute: Desperationem, sub obtentu Spei: Perfidiam, sub prætectu Fidei: Antichristum sub vocabulo Christi: They teache vs Nighte in steede of Daie: Destruction in steede of Health: Desperation under the colour of Hope: Infidelitie under the pretense of Faith: Antichriste under the name of Christe.

Solue a litle to viewe the groundes of M. Hardinges longe discourse: toberas he so often, and so earnestly telleth vs of the Sense of the Scriptures, as if we had Scriptures without Sense, his meaninge thereby is onely to leade vs awaie to the Sense of the Church of Rome: whiche Sense, Albertus Pigghius saith, Is the Infallible, and inflexible Rule of Truthe. Eckius saith, Scriptura, nisi Ecclesie Autoritate, non est Authentica: The Scriptures of God are not Authentical, or of credite, but onely by the Warrant and Authoritie of the Church. And Hosius in like manner, Apostoli, cum Symbolum traderent, nunquam dixerunt, Credo Sancta Biblia, aut Sanctum Euangelium: sed dixerunt, Credo Sanctam Ecclesiam: The Apostles, when they deliuered the Crede, they neuer saide, I beleue the Holy Bible, or the Holy Gospel: but they saide, I beleue the Holy Church. Thus nowe the mater is sure pendugo for euer. We haue neither Scriptures, nor Sense of Scriptures, but onely from Rome.

I wil not here reposite the basauerie Senses, that they haue imagined of the Scriptures. One example, or two for a taste maye be sufficient. Pope Boniface saith thus, Ecce duo Gladij hic: Beholde here are two Swerdes: That is to saie, The Pope hath the power bothe of the Spiritual Swerde, and of the Temporal.

An other saith: Omnia subiecisti sub pedibus eius, id est, Papæ: Pecora campi, id est, homines viuentes in terra: Pisces maris, id est, animas in Purgatorio: Volucres Cœli, id est, animas Beatorum: These wordes S. Paule applieth onely vnto Christe, meaninge thereby, that God hath auanced him aboue al Powers, and Dominions, & that al thinges are subiecte vnto him. But the Romaine Sense is farre otherwise: Thou hast made al thinges subiecte vnto him, that is to saie, to the Pope: The Cattails of the Fielde, that is to saie, Menne liuinge in the Earthe: The Fishes of the Sea, that is to saie, the Soules in Purgatorie: The Birdes of the Heauens, that is to saie, the Soules of the Blessed in Heauen. I leaue M. Hardinges owne peculiere Expositions, Drinke ye al of this, that is to saie, as he geathereth in Conclusion, Drinke ye not al of this: It is the Substance, that is to saie, It is the Accidens.

By sutch prettie Senses, I wil not saie, as S. Hierome saith, De Euangelio Christi, facitis hominis Euangelium, aut, quid peius est, Diaboli: Of the Gospel of Christ, ye make the Gospel of a Man: or, that is worse, the Gospel of the Diuel. I wil not so saie, But thus maye I saie with the Prophete Esaie, Yee make Light Darknesse: and Darknesse Lighte.

Yet muste we nedes beleue, vpon M. Hardinges Worde, that the Scripture without the Sense of the Church of Rome is no Scripture. And therefore Hosius saith, Si quis habeat interpretationem Ecclesie Romanæ de loco aliquo Scripturæ, etiamsi nec sciat, nec intelligat, an, & quomodo cum Scripturæ verbis conueniat, tamen habet ipsissimum Verbum Dei: If a man haue the Exposition of the Church of Rome, touching any place of the Scriptures, although he neither knowe, nor vnderstande, whether, and howe it agreeth with the Wordes of the Scripture, yet he hath the very Wordes of God. So saith Rabbi Abraham Hispanus, speakinge of the Expositions of the Rabbines, Licet videantur nobis verba nostra esse vera, & recta, nobis tamen Veritas abijcienda est in terram: quia Veritas cum illis est: Nor withstandinge our Expositions seeme to vs neuer so True, and right, yet muste wee throwe our Truthe to the grounde: For the Truthe in deede is with

Cyprian, De
Simpli. Præla.

Albertus Piggh
Hier. li. i. ca. 4
Eckius De Ec-
clesia.
Hosius in Con-
fessionem Petri.
conten. cap. 82.

De Maior. &
Obedien. Vnam
Sanctam,
Psalm. 8.
Antonin. in
Summa. Par. 3.
Titm. 22. cap. 5.
Hebræo. 2.

M. Hard in his
Former An-
swer. Art. 2.
M. Hard. Artic.
2. & 10.
Hierony. in Epist.
ad Galat. cap. 1.
Esaie 5.
Hosius de ex-
presso Verbo
Dei.

Rabbi Abraham.

with them. Lyra likewise reporteth the common opinion the Jewes had of these Rabbines: Recipiendum est, quicquid hoc modo proponatur, etiam si dicant Dextram esse Sinistram: Wee muste needs receiue, what so euer they laie vnto vs, yea although they telle vs, The Right hande is the Lefte.

Lyra in Deute-
ran, Cap. 17.

Nowe, gentle Reader, that thou maist the better see the constancie, and certaintie of these senses, and Expositions, whereunto M. Hardinge labourerth so earnestly to haue thee bounde, it maie please thee to consider these wordes of Nicolans Cusanus sometime Cardinal in the Church of Rome: Non est mirum, si Praxis Ecclesie vno tempore interpretatur Scripturam vno modo: & alio tempore, alio modo. Nam Intellectus currit cum Praxi. Intellectus enim, qui cum Praxi concurrit, est Spiritus Viuificans. Sequuntur ergo Scripturæ Ecclesiam: & non è conuerso: It is no maruile, though the Practise of the Church expounde the Scriptures at one time one waie, and at another time an other waie. For the understandinge, or Sense of the Scriptures renneth with the Practise: and that sense so agreeing with the Practise is the quickeninge Spirit. And therefore the Scriptures followe the Church: but contrariwise the Church followeth not the Scriptures. For suche kindes of Expositions of the Scriptures, S. Hilarie said sometime vnto the Arians, Fides ergo temporum magis est, quam Euangeliorum: The Faith therefore followeth the time, and not the Gospel.

Nicol. Cusanus
ad Bohemos
epist. 7.

Hilar. ad Cons-
tantium Aug.

This is the Sense of the Church of Rome, whereby onely M. Hardinge willetteth vs to measure, and to weighe the Word of God. But the Ancient Father Origen saith, Sicut omne Aurum, quodcumque fuerit extra Templum, non est Sanctificatum: sic omnis Sensus, qui fuerit extra Diuinam Scripturam, quamuis admirabilis videatur quibusdam, non est Sanctus, quia non continetur à Sensu Scripturæ: As what so euer Golde is without the Temple, is not Sanctified: so what so euer Sense is without the Holy Scripture, although vnto somme it seeme woonderful, yet is it not Holy, because it is not contained in the Sense of the Scripture.

Origen in Mat-
thea, Homil. 15.

To conclude, whereas M. Hardinge saith, We cannot vnderstande the Scriptures without Tradition, the Ancient Father Irenæus saith, This is one special marke, whereby wee maie knowe an Heretique: These be his wordes: Heretici, cum arguuntur ex Scripturis, in accusationem Scripturarum conuertuntur, quasi non res hæc habeant, nec sint ex autoritate, & quod variè sint dictæ, & quod ex his non possit inueniri Veritas ab illis, qui Traditionem nesciunt: Heretiques, when they be reprovèd by the Scriptures, they fall to the accusinge of the Scriptures, as though either they were not wel and perfite, or wanted authoritie, or were doubtfully vttered: or that they that knowe not the Tradition, were neuer liable by the Scriptures to finde out the Truth.

Irene. Li. 3. ca. 1

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Diuision. 2.

Men saie, that Sophocles the Tragical Poete, when in his olde daies he was by his owne Sonnes accused befoze the Iudges, for a dotinge and sottishe man, as one that fondly waisted his owne Substance, and seemed to neede a gouernour, to see vnto him: to the intent he mighte cleare him selfe of the faulte, he came into the place of Iudgemente, and wen he had rehearsed befoze them his Tragedie called Oedipus Colonzus, whiche he had wriitten at the very time of his accusation, marueilous exactly and cunningly, did aske the Iudges in his owne behalfe, whether they thought any sottishe or dotinge man coulde doo the like peece of woork. In like manner, bicause these menne take vs to be madde, and appeache vs for Heretiques, as menne whiche haue nothings to doo, neither with Chyriste, nor with the Church of God, wee haue iudged it shoulde be to good pourpose, and not vnprofitable, if wee doo openly and frakely set foorth our Faith where in wee stande, and shewe al that confidence whiche wee haue in Chyriste Iesu: to the intent al menne maie see, what is our Iudgement of euery parte of Chyristian

Christian Religion: and made resolute with them selves, whether the Faith which they had seen confirmed by the Wordes of Christe, by the writings of the Apostles, by the Testimonies of the Catholique Fathers, and by the examples of many ages, be but a certaine rage of furious and madde menne, and a conspiracie of Heretiques. This therefore is our beliefe.

M. Hardinge.

The comparison which ye make betwene your selves and Sophocles, gladly we admit. Yet we acknowledge, that as in many respects ye are like, so in some unlike. Sophocles was a Poete, that is to say, a fainer, and diuiner of things, that be not true, but fabulous: Ye also are fainers, and deuisers of nouelties, and folowers of Newe deuises, that be false. Sophocles, was a Tragical Poete: ye are Tragical Diuines. A Tragedie setteth forth the ouerthrowes of Kingdomes, Murder of Noble Personages, and other greates troubles, and endeth in wofull lamentations. Your Gospel inuadeth Christes Heauenly Kingdome the Church, it murdereth soules bought with a moſte deere price, it causeth a hellish garboile in mens consciences, in the ende it bringeth to euellasting weeping and gnashing of teethe. VVetake you not to be madde. VVould God ye were not worse then madde. VVere ye mad, ye should be tied vp. Els were ye suffered to goe abroade, for feare folke would flie from you. And then should ye doo little hurte. Now whiles ye offer venomous kisses with sugred lippes, whiles ye couer woluish crueltie vnder Lambes Skinnes, whiles ye hurt vnder pretence of benefite, wounde vnder colour of a medicine, begyle vnstable Soules with resemblance of Truthe: neither flinte ye to worke mischief, nor others can beware of you.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge. Sophocles him selfe, if he were alive, were not hable with al his eloquence to expresse the Tragical dealinges of your companie. Your whole life, and Religion is nothinge els, but a Tragedie. You haue riſte vp the graues, & digged out the deade, and practised your crueltie vpon the poore innocent carkeſſes.

*Marth. Bucerus,
Paul. Phagius,*

Your Pope Stephanus tooke vp Formoſus his Predecessours Body, choſt of his forefingers, cut of his heade, and threwe out the naked carkeſſe into Tiber.

*Platina in
Stephano, 6.*

Your Pope Iohn the twelfth cut of one of his Cardinales righte hande, and an others nose.

*Sabellicus, En-
neade, 9. Lib. 1.
Sabellicus, En-
neade, 9. Lib. 9.
Beno Cardinalis*

Your Pope Vrbanius the first thruste ſiue of his Cardinales alive into saches, and threwe them out into the Sea.

Your Pope Hildebrande poisoned ſixe other Popes his Predecessours, to make him selfe rounne to the Holy Seate. They are so skilful there, in these seates, that noman can telle, neither what to ſie, nor what to take: nor whome to doubt, nor whom to trust. They haue conuiged their poison, I wil not ſaie into their meates, or drinckes, ſo that is ouer groſſe, and Common, but euen into their Waſſe Bowes, into the Sacramente, into the Chalice. Camotenſis one of their owne ſide ſaith the wel of them: Sine Sanguinis effuſione non ingrediuntur in Sancta Sanctorum: With-
out ſheddinge of Bloude they enter not into that Holy Place, the Holy of Holies.

*Heb. 9.
Agrippa, De
Van. Scientiar
um,*

Howe be it, what ſpende I theſe wordes? It is not poſſible to ſaie al, that maye be ſaide. They haue inflamed Warres: They haue raiſed the Subiectes againſt their Princes: They haue armed the Sonne againſt the Father: They haue ouerthrowen Citities, and Countreies: They haue depoſed Binges: They haue ſette their ſete on Emperours neckes.

Theſe maters, *M. Hardinge*, be Tragical in deed. And herein ſtandeth the whole practice, and Policie of your Church of Rome.

Where you thinke your ſelfe a ſober man, in that you can ſo eaſily cal vs madde, and worſe then madde, you maye remember that this kinde of eloquence emongſt you is Ancient, and Catholique, and maye wel ſtande with your Religion. For ſo the falſe Prophete Semeias ſaide, that Hieremie the Prophete of God rauch, & was ſarke madde. So the wicked ſaide vnto Iehu, of Elizeus the Prophete, What haſte this madde Beldem Body to doe with thee? Euen ſo they ſaide of Chriſte, That he was madde, and ſpake in ſurie, he knewe not what. S. Auguſtine ſaith of S. Paule: Incidit in iſtorum ſacrillegam dicacitatem: & ab eis, qui ſanari nolunt, vocatur inſanus: S. Paule is fallen into their curſed railing: and of them, that wil neuer be made ſober, is called
a madde

*Hierem. 19.
4. Reg. 19.
March 3.
Augu. in ſal. 6.*

a madde man. So saith the Ancient Father Origen, of Celsus the wicked Heathen: Videamus igitur nos, qui iuxta hunc insanimus: Let vs therefore consider hereof, that in this mannes Iudgements are starke madde.

Origen contra Celsum, Lib. 4.

But, W. Hardinge, wherein are we so madde: or what tokens of madnesse haue we shewed: Can noman either speake the Truth, or disclose your errours, without madnesse: But, I trowe, it is euen as S. Hierome saide sometime, Deliberat, scilicet, qui in tuo Regno contra tuam sententiam loquebatur: He raues, and was madde, no doubt, that within thy Dominion spake any thinge against thy minde. So saith the Leo, Insanis Magistris Veritas scandalum est, & Cœcis Doctoribus fit caligo quod lumen est: Vnto Frantique Maisters the Truthe is a schaunder: and vnto Blinde Doctours the lighte is becomme Darkenesse.

Hieronym, ad Pamphil, contra errores Iohann, Hierosolymit.

So saith the S. Augustine of Kinge Dauid: Insanire videbatur: Sed Regi Achis insanire videbatur, id est, stultis, & ignorantibus: Dauid seemed madde: But vnto Kinge Achis he seemed madde, that is to saie, vnto fooles, and idiots.

Leo in Epiphon. Sermo. 5.

Augu. in 2. d. 22

As for our parte, we remember, what answere S. Paule made vnto Festus in the like case: O good Festus, I am not madde: but I utter vnto thee, the woordes of Truthe, and Sobrietie. Therefore we maie comforte our selues as the Vertuous Gentlewoman Paula did, when she was likewise supposed to be madde: Nos stulti propter Christum: Sed stultum Dei sapientius est hominibus: Wee are iudged fooles (and madde folkes) for Christes sake: But the foolishnesse of God is wiser then menne.

Act. 26.

Hierony in Epistolaphia Paule.

Cyprian ad Cornelium.

But, W. Hardinge, S. Cyprian wil tel you thus: Hæc est, Frater, Vera dementia, non cogitare, nec scire, quod mendacia non diu fallant: noctem tam diu esse, quam diu illucescat dies: O my Brother, this is madnesse in dede, not to thinke, or knowe, that (yours) Lies cannot longe deceiue vs, and that it is Night no longer, but vntil the daie springe. This in dede is very madnesse.

And therefore Chrysostome saith, Qui in manifestam foueam cadit, non negligens dicitur: sed insanus: Who so falleth into a pitte, that lieth wide open, is not saide to be negligent, but starke madde.

The ende of the firste Parte.

The Seconde Parte.

The Apologie, the firste Chapter, Division. 1.



We beleue, that there is one certaine Nature and Diuine Power, whiche wee calle God: and that the same is diuided into Three equal Persones, into the Father, into the Sonne, and into the Holy Ghost: and that thei al be of one Power, of one Nature, of one Eternitie, of one Godhead, & of one Substance. And although these Three Persons be so diuided, that neither the Father is the Sonne, nor the Sonne is the Holy Ghost, or the Father: yet neuertheless we beleue, that there is but one very God: And that the same one God hath created Heauen, and Earthe, and al thinges contained vnder Heauen.

We beleue, that Iesus Christe the onely Sonne of the Eternal Father (as longe befoze it was Determined, befoze al beginniges) when the fulnesse of time was comme, did take of that Blessed, and Pure Virgine, bothe fleashe, and al the Nature of Man, that he might declare to the

the worlde the secrete and hid wil of his Father: whiche wil had benne laide vp from befoze al Ages, and Generations: And that he mighte ful finishe in his Humaine Body the Myserie of oure Redemption: and might fasten our sinnes to the Crosse, & also that Handwritinge, which was made against vs.

Wee beleue, that for our sakes he died, and was buried, descended into Hel, the thirde daie by the Power of his Godhed returned to life & rose againe, and that the fourteth daie after his Resurrection, whyles his Disciples behelde and looked vpon him, he Ascended into Heauen, to fulfil al thinges, and did place in Maiestie, and Glorie the selfe same Body, where with he was bozne, wherein he liued on Earthe, wherein he was testid at, wherein he had suffered moste paineful tormentes, and cruel kinde of death, wherein he rose againe, and wherein he ascended to the Righte Hande of the Father, aboue al Rule, aboue al Power, al Force, al Dominion, and aboue every name, that is named, not onely in this worlde, but also in the worlde to comine: And that there he now sitteth, and shal sitte, til al thinges be ful perfitid. And althoughe the Maiestie, and Godhed of Christ be euerywhere abundantly dispersed, yet wee beleue, that this Body, as S. Augustine saith, muste needes be stil in one place: and that Christe hath geuen Maiestie vnto his Body, but yet hath not taken awaie from it the Nature of a Body: and that wee muste not so asserme Christe to be God, that wee denie him to be Man: and, as the Martyr Wigilius saith, that Christe hath lefte vs as touchinge his Humaine Nature, but hath not lefte vs as touchinge his Diuine Nature: And that the same Christe, though he be Absent from vs concerninge his Manhed, yet is cuer Present with vs concerninge his Godhed.

From that place also wee beleue that Christe shal comine againe to execute that General Iudgemente, as wel of them whome he shal then finde aliue in the Body, as of them that shal be already dead.

M. Hardinge.

In our Fathers daies before any change in Religion was thought vpon, Christen people liued together in perfite vnitie. If accompte of beleue had benne demaunded, (a) none was ashamed of the common Apostles Crede. Euery one constantly confessed, I beleue in God the Father Almighty Maker of Heauen and Earth, and in Iesus Christe, and so forth. But sithen Luther brought a (b) Newe Gospel into the worlde, wee haue seene greare diuersitie amonge men, not onely of Ceremonies, and Administration of the Sacramentes, but also of the Publike Confession of the Faithe. For as sundre Rulers, Countries, and common VVales received that new Doctrine: (c) so their Preachers and Ministers haue sette forth sundrie Creedes, and Confessions of their Faithe.

S. Hilarie in his time complaininge thereof, Nowe a daies there be (saith he) so many Faithes, as there be willes: so many Doctrines, as there be maners: so many causes of blasphemies springe vp, as there be vices: whiles Faithes either are so written as wee liste, or so vnderstanded as wee liste. And where as there is but one God, one Lorde, one Baptisme, and according thereto one Faithe, we steppe aside from that whiche is the onely Faithe: and whiles moe Faithes be made, they beginne to come to that point, that there be no Faithe at al.

But the manner of the vterance of youre Faithe is strange to Christen eares, who haue benne accustomed to heare, *Credo in Deum, Credo in Iesum Christum, Credo in Spiritum Sanctum*: I beleue in God, I beleue in Iesus Christe, I beleue in the Holy Ghoste. That other forme of wordes, which you vse, soundeth not so Christianlike. I beleue there is a God, I beleue that Iesus Christe is the Sonne of the Father, I beleue that the Holy Ghoste is God. Although this forme of wordes doo expresse a right Faithe, yet beinge such as maie be vtered by Deuilles, and hath (d) alwaies benne vtered by Heretikes their Ministers: the Ancient and Holy Fathers haue liked better the Olde forme and maner: after whiche every Christen man saith, I beleue in God, I beleue in Iesus Christe, I beleue in the Holy Ghost. For this importeth a signification of Faith with hope and charitie: that other of Faith onely, which the Diuelles haue and tremble, as S. Iames saith: wherein as in many other thinges these

Defenders

*Augu. Tract. 30
in Iohan.*

Act. 3.

*In Epist. ad
Dardanum,
Contra Euty-
chem. Lib. 1.*

*Fulgent. ad
Thrasymundum*

(a) Neither is any man nowe ashamed of the Apostles Crede. (b) Vntruth. It was the Olde. (c) Manifest vntruth: For wee keepe al one Crede.

(d) Vntruth. For the Heretiques saide, I beleue in God, as wel as did the Catholiques.

S. Hilaries complaint of many Faithes. One God, one Faith. The manner of the vterance of the Defenders fautes strange in Christen eares.

Iacobus

Defenders resemble them. S. Augustine in sundrie places putting a difference between these two
former of wordes, upon S. Iohns alleginge S. Pauls wordes. To one that beleueth in him who iustifi-
feth the wicked, his Faith is imputed to righteousness, demandeth, what is it to beleue in him? It
is by his answere, *Credendo amare, Credendo diligere, Credendo in eum ire, & eum mem-
bris incorporari*. VVith beleuinge to loue him, with beleuinge to goe into him, and to be incor-
porate in his members, that is, to be made a member of his Body.

The Article of Christes
ascension
much gra-
nd vpo by
the Defen-
ders to euil
purpose.
In Iohan.
Trist. 10.
Oportet
for Potest.

As this Defender proceedeth in dealing the beleefe of his newe Englishe Church, he great-
ly murthereth vpon the Article of Christes Ascension, as the manner is of al Zwinglians to doo. For these
minde geueth them, thereby they shal be able to bringe at leaste many of the simpler sorte to this
Sacramentarie Heresie, and to thinke, that the Body of Christ, wherein he ascended into Heauen, and
sitteth at the right hande of the Father, is so absent from Earth, as it maie not be beleued to be here
present in the Sacramente of the Altar. Thereto he allegeth S. Augustine, makinge him to saye, that
Christes Body wherein he rose againe, must needs be sit in one place. In which treatise that Holy Ea-
ther hath not the wordes, *Oportet*, that is, muste needs, as this Defender allegeth, but this wordes,
Potest, that is, maie, as the bookes haue that be not corrupted by the maintainers of that Heresie.
And whereas he saith, *Ad Dardanum*, alleged by this Defender, though Christe hath geuen
Maistie vnto his Body, yet he hath not taken awaie from it the Nature of a Body: this is not to be
stretched to Christes Body in the Sacramente, where, it is not after condition of Nature, but by the al-
mightie power of his wordes. And althoughe he hath not taken awaie from his Body the Nature of
a very Body, yet maie it please him to doo with his Body, beinge God nolesse then Man, that which
in besides and aboue the Nature of a Body. So it pleased him to doo, when he said, This is my Body.

Man. 26.
Cantab.
Mich. Li. 1
How Christ
hath left vs
to be nowe
in Earth.
I. Iohan. 1.
Expositio-
nis in Epist.
Iohan.
Trist. 10.
Ad Thra-
mondum
Regom.

And so it pleaseth him to be done, when so euer the same Body is offered in the daily Sacrifice of
the Church according to his commaundement and institution. That Vigilant saith, Christ hath
left vs touchinge his Humaine Nature, but hath not left vs as touchinge his Diuine Nature: it is to
be vnderstande of his visible shape, in which he shewed his Humaine Nature, when he walked here
on Earth, when he was so conuersant with men sensibly, that as S. Iohn writeth they heard him with
their eares, they sawe him with their eyes, they behelde him, and touched him with their hands. As
touching his Humaine Nature in this sensible wise, Christ hath left vs, after which S. Augustine saith,
Iam non inuenis Christum loqui in Terra: Nowe thou findest not Christe to speake vpon the
Earth. This manner of Christes Humaine Nature beinge taken from vs withstandeth not, but that
we maie haue the Substance of his Natural Body and Bloude presente in the Blessed Sacramente in
a Myserie by the almightie power of his wordes: which Faith these Defenders trauaile to im-
pugne. And (as God would) the Penman of this Apologie bringeth vawares, as it seemeth,
for confirmation of his Sacramentarie Doctrine, that out of Fulgentius, which ouerthroweth al that
he wrote aboute to builde againste the real presence: That Father, as he is by him alleged, saith,
Christum cum absit a nobis per Formam Serui, tamen semper esse nobiscum per Formam Dei:
That where as Christe is absent from vs according to the Forme of a Seruaunt, yet he is euer presente
with vs according to the Forme of God. VVhereby he meaneth, that Christe is no more here among
menne, as he was before his deathe, in Forme and shape of Man, in suche wise as we see menne line
on the Earth. VVhich wordes because they seeme to dash their whole purpose, the Prelates of this
newe Englishe Church haue altered the sense of them, by shifting in this worde (manhed) in steede
of the Forme or shape of a Seruaunt) which the Latine hath, and this worde (Godhed) in steede
of (the Forme of God).

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I marvell, M. Harding, that ye can publishe so manifest Vntruth without
blushing. For saie, that before these fewe late yeeres, there was but one fountaine
of Faith throughout the world. Yet beinge learned, & hauinge traualled through
the Ancient Writers, you muste needs haue seene the Apostles Cræde: the Nicene
Cræde: S. Bafilis Cræde: Damasus Cræde: S. Hieromes Cræde: S. Cyprians, or
Rufines Cræde: Gregorius Cræde: the Cræde called, Quicunque vult, written, as
somme thinke, by Athanasius, as somme others, by Eusebius Vercellenis: the Cræde
contained in the Hymne, called, Te Deum, whether it were written by S. Augu-
stine, or by S. Ambrose: suer of these vnder seuerall, and sundrie fountaines. You
knowe, that in diuers of the Oldest Councelles, as occasion was offered, so somme-
what was either added to the Cræde, or diminished, or altered: as it maie appeare
by Eusebius, Socrates, Theodoretus, Sozomenus, Euagrius, Nicephorus, and others.
You knowe, that S. Augustine vnto Laurentius, S. Hierome vnto Cyrillus, S. Ambrose
vnto the Emperoure Gratianus, and others moe in declaration of the Christian
Faith, haue not alwaies used one precise fountaine of wordes: and that the Empe-
rour Constantine maketh open Protestation of his Faith, as it is recorded in the
Counterfeite Donation, in sense, and Substance agreeing with al others, that
were

Vntruth. For
S. Augustines
wordes is

Oportet. I. Iohan.
S. Augustine
knowe no such
Body in the Sa-
cramente.

Vntruth. These
is neither such
Institution, nor
such com-
maundement.

Vntruth. For
Forme and
Substance are
bothe one.

were Catholique: but in wordes, ſarce diſagreeinge from al others, and peculnre onely to him ſelfe. To be ſhort, you knowe, that betwene your ſayde Crede, and the peoples Common Crede, as touchinge the wordes, there was great difference. It were too longe, to rebratſe al. Neiether was it neceſſarie, to ſaie ſo mutche, ſauinge onely to ſhewe the manifeſt vanitie of your talke. To expreſſe one Subſtance of Faith in ſundry Fourmes of Wordes, I neuer heard, it was forbidden, ſauinge onely now at the laſte by this late Decree of M. Hardinge.

Where you ſaie, the whole people beſore theſe ſeue late yeres, had one Faith, ye ſhoulde rather haue ſaide, they were al taught by you in a ſtraunge vnknewen Tongue, to pronounce, as they coulde, a ſtraunge vnknewen Fourme of Faith. For; God knoweth, they vnderſtoode not one worde, what they ſaide, nor ſcarcely one Article of their beleue. S. Hilarie ſaith, of the people deceived by the Ariens, as theſe haue benne by you, Credunt, quod non credunt; Intelligunt, quod non intelligunt: They beleue that, whiche they beleue not: They vnderſtande that, whiche they vnderſtande not. Cardinal Alcanius had a Popiniay, that was taught to ſaie diſtinctly al the Articles of the Crede, from the beginninge to the ende. Yet, I trowe, ye wil not ſaie, the ſame Popiniay beleued in God, or vnderſtoode the Chriſtian Faith. For Faith is in the harte, not in the Tongue. S. Auguſtine ſaith, Fieri poteſt, vt integra quis teneat Verba Symboli, & tamen non recte credat: It is poſſible, that a man maie pronounce the whole wordes of the Crede: and yet not haue the right Faith. In deede S. Hilarie, of whom ye ſpeake, worthily repproued the Arian Heretiques, for that they had altered the whole Faith of Chriſte, not onely in wordes, but alſo in Subſtance. But we hauinge publiſhed ſundry Confeſſions of our Religion, as the multitudes of your Abuses & Errours offered occaſion, and that in ſundry Countreies, and Kingedomes, in ſuche diſtance of places, and diuerſitie of Speeches, yet notwithſtandinge in the Subſtance, and groundes of the Truthe haue euermore ioined togeather, and neuer altered.

Where we ſaie, We beleue there is one God, M. Hardinge anſweareth, He cannot wel allowe this Fourme of Speech. We ſhoulde rather haue ſaide, ſaith be, we beleue In God. Were not this Controller ſo infortunate, ſuche ſimple petite quarrelles ſhoulde not be anſwered. I coulde neuer haue thought, it had benne ſo greate a ſinne, to beleue, that God is God. Verily, M. Hardinge, if enery of your Dopes, and Cardinales had beleued ſo mutche, I trowe, Cornelius the Biſhop of Bitonto in your late Councel at Trident would not ſo bitterly, & in ſo open ſort haue cried out of them, Vtinam non à Fide ad Infidelitatem, à Deo ad Epicurum, velut prorsus vnanimis declinaſſent, dicentes in corde impio, & ore impudico, Non eſt Deus: Woulde God they were not gonne, as it were with one conſente, from the Faith to Infidelitie, from God to Epicure, ſaieinge with wicked harte, and ſhameleſſe mouth, There is no God.

If no Catholique Writer had euer vſed the ſelfe ſame Fourme of ſpeech beſore, then mighte M. Hardinges quarrel ſeeme to haue ſomme reaſonable grounde. But bothe S. Paule, and alſo many other Catholique Fathers haue often vſed it. S. Paule ſaith, Accedentem ad Deum oportet Credere, Deum eſſe: He that cometh to God, muſte beleue, that there is a God. And Hermes, S. Paules Scholar, commonly called Paſtor Nuntius, Ante omnia crede Vnum Deum eſſe, qui condidit omnia: Before al other thinges beleue that there is One God, that hath made al. Origen ſaith, Primum credendus eſt Deus, qui omnia creauit: Firſt we muſte beleue there is a God, that hath created al thinges. S. Hilarie ſaith, In abſoluto nobis, & facilis eſt Aeternitas, Ieſum Chriſtum à mortuis ſuſcitatum Credere: Our euerlaſtinge liſt is ready, and eaſie, to beleue, that Ieſus Chriſte is riſen againe from the deade. Like wiſe Charles the Great in the Crede publiſhed in his name, Prædicandum eſt omnibus, vt credant, Patrem, Filium, & Spiritum Sanctum Vnum eſſe Deum Omnipotentem: The Goſpel muſte be preached vnto al, ſo the ende they maie knowe, that the Father, the Sonne, and the Holy Ghoſte is One God Almighty. To be ſhort, even in our late Fathers daies, this was counted a Catholique Fourme of Faith, and was commonly taught in al Scholes, Vnum Crede Deum: Beleue, that there is One God. If this were then wel ſpoken, & vniuerſally

Hilar. ad Con-
ſtantium Imper-
atorem.
Cornelius Rhodi-
gen. Antiquita.
Lib. 3. Cap. 32.
Auguſt. De Ba-
ptiſmo contra
Donatiſt. Li. 3.
Cap. 14.

Cornelius Bi-
ſhop of Bitonto
Councel Trident.

Hebra. ii.

Paſtor
Nuntius.
Origen. In
Apoc. In
prologo.
Hilar. De Tri-
nitat. Lib. 10.
ſymbolum Ca-
roli Magni.

nerfully vied, euen in the Church of Rome, without rebuke, I truste, M. Hardinge of his Courtlie wil nomore blame vs for speakinge wel. As for these phrascs (I beleue in God, I beleue in Christe) although in orde they be better, and more effectual, and carrie more force then the other, yet are they not, neither so peculiere, and special to God alone, nor so precisely vied, as M. Hardinge imagineth. For it is written in the Exodus, as it is noted by the skilful in the Hebrew tongue, Populus credit in Deum, & in Moysen: The People beleued In God, and In Moyses. And God him selfe saide vnto Moyses, as it is likewise noted in the Hebrew: Descendam, vt populus In te credat: I wil goe downe, that the people maie beleue In thee. S. Basile saith, Baptizati sunt In Moysen, & crediderant In illum: They were Baptized In Moyses, and beleued In him. And Hosius saith, Quid si In Sanctos quoque recte credi docet Paulus? What if Paule teach vs, that wee maie also wel beleue In Sainctes? And they of M. Hardinges side haue euermore wel liked this Fourme of Spache, Credo In Sanctam Ecclesiam: I beleue In the Holy Church. Wherein also perhaps they wil allege these wordes of Socrates, and of somme others, Credo In Vnam Catholicam Ecclesiam: I beleue In One Catholique Church. Notwithstandinge beside S. Augustine, & others, Paschasius saith, Credimus Ecclesiam, quasi Regenerationis Matrem: non Credimus In Ecclesiam, quasi Regenerationis Authorem. Recede ergo ab hac persuasione blasphemie. Non enim licet, nec In Angelum Credere: Wee beleue the Holy Church, as the Mother of Regeneration: But wee beleue not In the Church, as the Authour of Regeneration. Leave therefore this persuasion of Blasphemie. For it is not lawfull to beleue, no not In an Angel. Likewise S. Augustine saith, Credimus Paulo, non credimus In Paulum: Credimus Petro, non credimus In Petrum: Wee beleue Paule, but wee beleue not In Paule: Wee beleue Peter, but wee beleue not In Peter.

Herby we maie see, that whether we saie, Wee beleue that God is God, or, Wee beleue in God, bothe these phrascs are vied of the godly, and are therefore bothe good, and Catholique. If M. Hardinge finde any wante, or Imperfection in our wordes, let him supplie it with godd sauour: so he condemne not either S. Paule, or Hermes, or Origen, or Hilarie, or Charles the Create, or other Catholique, and godly Writers, as wel Greekes, as Latines: who, as I haue shewed, haue vied the like. Certainly the General Confession of al our people, and of our whole Church, is this: Wee beleue In God: Wee beleue In Christe: Wee beleue In the Holy Ghoste.

But M. Hardinge saith, we grate ouer busily vpon the Article of Christes Ascension into Heauen. What then? Should we haue least it out? Surely that would haue bene some godd countenance to your cause. And therefore when Pope Nicolas would haue brought vs your newe Article of Transubstantiation into the Crede, he should firste haue vtterly remoued this whole Article of Christes Ascension. For these two Articles maie not wel stand together by any construction in one Crede. As for vs, we haue saide nothinge herein, but that hath often benne saide, & avouched by the Holy Learned Fathers. Damasus the Bishop of Rome, in his Crede grateth hereon as muche, as we. His wordes be these: Deuicto mortis imperio, cum ea Carne, in qua natus, & passus, & mortuus fuerat, & resurrexit, Ascendit ad Patrem, sedetque ad dextram eius in Gloria: Hauinge overcome the empiere of deathe, with the same Fleashe, wherein he was borne, and suffered, and died, and rose againe, he Ascended vnto the Father, and sitteth at his Right hande in Glorie. Whiche wordes S. Hierome in larger manner expoundeth thus: Ascendit ad Coelum, Sedet ad Dextram Dei Patris, manente ea Natura Carnis, in qua natus, & passus est, & in qua resurrexit. Non enim exinanita est Humanitatis Substantia, sed glorificata: Christe Ascended into Heauen, and sitteth at the Right hande of the Father, the same Nature of Fleashe, wherein he was borne, and suffered, and rose againe, remaininge still. For the Substance of his Humaine Nature was not donne awaie, but glorified. Howe be it, gentle Reader, for thy better satisfaction here, in, I muste referre thee ouer to my former Replye to M. Hardinge.

Here foloweth a piteous outcrie, that we haue shamefully corrupted S. Augustines wordes, mistakinge in Oportet, in studeo, Potest. What newe fante is suddenly fallen into M. Hardinges head, I cannot tel. S. Augustines wordes, as they

Ex di. 4.
Exodi. 19.
Basilius de spir.
sanct. cap. 14.
eis μωσῆν
ἐκ τῆς ἰστορίας
ἐστὶν ἀντὶ
Hosius in Conf.
fess. Petriconis
en. Cap. 18.
Socrati. li. 1. ca. 25.
Paschasi. li. 1. de
spiritu sancto,

Augustin. in Io.
han. tracta. 29.

symbolum Damas.
apud Hieronymum. Tom. 4.

Hieronym. in
Explicatione
Symboli. Tom. 4.

In the sixthe
Article,

Christes
Body in
one place.

De Conserua.
Dist. 2. Prima.
Oportet.
Potest.

88

The Defense of the Apologie of the

be alleged by Gratian, are these, Corpus, in quo Resurrexit, in Vno loco esse Oportet: *The Body, wherein Christe rose againe, muste needs be in One place.* Here is not Oportet in stee of Potest, as *M. Hardinge* saith: but, Oportet, as it shoulde be, soz Oportet. If there haue benne any corruption wrought herein, it hath benne wrought by Gratian twelueate soure hundred yeres agoe, and not by vs. Yet is Gratian one of the highest Doctours of *M. Hardinges* side. And wil *M. Hardinge* make vs beleue, that his owne Catholique Doctours woulde be so bolde, to corrupte S. Augustine?

Aclor. 3.

Cyrl. in Iohan.
Lib. 11. Ca. 3.

Augustin. con-
tra Faustum. Li.
20. Ca. 11.

August. ad Dar-
dan. Epist. 57.
Origen in Mat-
the. Tract. 32.

Augustin. in
psalm. 46.

As soz this Verbe, Oportet, if it were wantinge in the place alleged, yet mighte it wel, and easily be supplied of other places. S. Peter saith, Oportet illum Coelos capere vsque ad tempora restitutionis omnium: *The Heauens muste containe, or holde him, until the time that al thinges be restored.* So saith Cyrellus, Christus non poterat cum Apostolis versari in Carne, postquam Ascendisset ad Patrem: *Christe could not be conuersante with his Apostles in the Fleashe, after he had Ascended vnto the Father.* Likewise saith S. Augustine, Christus secundum Praesentiam Corporalem in Sole, in Luna, & in Cruce simul esse non potuit: *Christe, accordinge to the Presence of his Body, could not be in the Sunne, in the Moone, and on the Crosse at one time.* And againe, Ne dubites, Christum esse in aliquo loco Coeli, propter Veri Corporis modum: *Doubte not, but Christe is in somme One Place of Heauen, because of the measure or forme of a very Body.* Therefore the Old Learned Father Origen saith, Non est Homo, qui est vbicumq; duo vel tres in eius nomine fuerint congregati: neque Homo nobiscum est omnibus diebus vsque ad consummationem saeculi: neque congregatis vbique fidelibus Homo est praesens: sed Virtus Diuina, quae erat in Christo: *It is not Christe, as beinge Man, that is where so euer two or three be gathered together in his name: neither Christe, as beinge Man, is with vs al daies vnto the worldes ende: nor Christe, as beinge Man, is Present with the Faithful euerywhere gathered together: but that Diuine power (or Nature) that was in Christe.* And soz that cause S. Augustine saith, Videte Ascendentem: Credite in Absentem: Sperate Venientem: Sed tamen per Misericordiam occultam etiam sentite Praesentem: *See you Christe Ascendinge into Heauen: Beleue in him beinge Absente: Truste in Christe, that is to come: And yet by his secreete Mercie feele him Presente.* Thus, *M. Hardinge*, thus haue the Old Catholique Learned Fathers vsed to grate, as ye terme it, vpon the Article of Christes Ascension.

You saie, S. Augustine in his Epistle to Dardanus, spake not of Christes Body, as it is now Present in the Sacrament. So maruelle. For S. Augustine neuer vnderstande any such kinde of Presence. And who taughte you, *M. Hardinge*, that Christe hath suche change of diuers Bodies: of one manner in the Sacrament, and of an other manner in Heauen? Christes Blessed Body, when it was bozne of the Virgine, when it bled, when it rose againe, when it Ascended into Heauen, was one, and vniforme. Howe became it afterwarde so diuerse, and so unlike it selfe? If either Christe, or the Apostles, or the Anciente Fathers haue thus taughte you, why are they not alleged? If they haue not thus taughte you, howe came ye by this knowledge? Or if ye saie, ye knowe, that they knewe not, who wil beleue you?

Ye telle vs, that the Body of Christe in Heauen hath the whole stature, and fourme, and proportion of a Man. This is true: It is the Doctrine of the Apostles, and of the Anciente Doctours of the Church. But ye tel vs farther of your selfe, that the Body of Christ in the Sacrament is vtterly vnde of al manner either stature, or fourme, or proportion: that is to saie, is neither longe, nor short: nor highe, nor lowe: nor thicke, nor thinne: and, beinge, as you saie, a very Natural Body, yet hath neither likenesse, nor shape of a Body. This is your Doctrine, *M. Hardinge*: and the moze unlikely to be true, the moze likely to be yours.

Athanas. contra
Arianos Sermos
ne. 3.

Suche fantastical imaginations the Arian Heretiques sommetime had of the Godhead of Christe. For thus they wrote thereof, as saith Athanasius: Creatura est: sed non vt villa ex rebus creatis: Opus est: sed non vt vllum ex Operibus: Res condita est: sed non vt villa ex rebus conditis: *It is a Creature: but not as any other of thinges created: It is a thinge wrought: but not as any other thinge, that euer was wrought: &c.*

Est

But what saith Athanasius him selfe to al these fantasies his answere is this: *Iam videtis vafritiem, & dolosissimam Hæresim, quæ non ignara, quam amarulenta sit ista sua malitia, fucos querit, & lenocinium sibi mutuatur ex verborum disertitudine. Nunc vobis see the crookednesse, and subtiltie of this Heresie: whiche knowinge her owne malice howe bitter it is, borroweth similes hewe, and colour, by sleight of wordes.*

Thus Flavianus reproueth the Heretique Eutyches, Adiecit & aliam impietatem, dicens, Corpus Domini, quod ex Maria factum est, non esse nostræ Substantiæ: *He added hereto another wickednesse, saieinge, that the Body of Christe, that was borne of Maria, is not nowe of our Substance.*

Leo resoluth the mater thus, Caro Christi ipsa est per Essentiam: non ipsa per Gloriam: *The Fleashe of Christe in Substance is nowe the same, it was before: but in Glorie it is not the same.*

Roman heretike writeth either moze plainely, or moze directly, then S. Augustine. His wordes be these, Christus sic venturus est, quemadmodum ire visus est in Cœlum, id est, in eadem Carnis Forma, atq; Substantia: Cui profecto immortalitatem dedit, Naturam non abstulit. Secundum hanc Formam non est putandus ubique diffusus. Cauendum est enim, ne ita Diuinitatem astruamus Hominis, vt Veritatem Corporis auferamus: *Christe shall come againe (to Judge) euen as he was seene gainge into Heauen, that is to saie, in the selfe same Fourme, and Substance of his Fleashe: Vnto whiche Fleashe undoubtedly he hath geuen Immortalitie: but he hath not taken from it the Nature of Fleashe. For wee muste take heede, wee doo not so maineteine the Godhead of Christes Humanitie, that wee denie the Truthe of his Body.*

And where pe fantasie, that the Body of Christe in the Sacramente hath in it selfe neither Fourme, nor Propoztion, nor Limitation of place, nor Distinction of partes, S. Augustine telleth you, Spatia locorum tolle Corporibus, & nusquam erunt: & quia nusquam erunt, nec erunt. Tolle ipsa Corpora qualitatibus Corporum, non erit, vbi sint: & ideo necesse est, vt non sint: *Take awaie from Bodies Limitation of place, and the Bodies wil be nowhere: and because they be nowhere, they wil be nothinge. Take awaie from Bodies the qualitis of Bodies, there wil bee no place for them to be in: and therefore the same Bodies muste needs be no Bodies at al.* Hereof wee make conclude, that the Body of Christe, which you haue imagined to be contained Crossely, and Carnally in the Sacrament, so; as mutche as, by your owne Confession, it hath neither Qualitie, nor Quantitie, nor Fourme, nor Place, nor Propoztion of Body, therefore, by S. Augustines Doctrine, it is no Body.

Epistola Flavianus ad Leonem, inter Leon. Epistolas, Leo De Resurrectione Domini, Sermon. 1.

Augustinus, ad Dardanum Epistola, 57.

Augustinus, in ead. Epist. ad Dardanum,

Addition

Addition. Here M. Hardinge answereth: Bodies doubtlesse leste to their own common Nature, haue alwaies the state, that S. Augustine speaketh of in his Epistle to Dardanum. But the precious Body of Christe made present in the Sacrament, is not bounde to that state or condition.

M. Hardinge, Pag. 117.

The Answer. M. Hardinge, when wil you learne to deale plainely? What speake you so vainely of Bodies leste to their owne common Nature? Dothe not S. Augustine in the same his Epistle vnto Dardanum speake namely, and specially of the Body of Christe? I meane, of that moste glorious Body, that is nowe in Heauen, about al Powers, and Dominions at the righte hande of the Father? Dothe not S. Augustine saie of the selfe same Body, Huic Corpori Immortalitatem dedit: Naturam non abstulit: Secundum hanc Formam Christus non est putandus ubique diffusus: *Vnto that same Body of Christe, God hath geuen Immortalitie: yet hath he not taken from it the very Nature of a Body. After this fourme, or proportion of Body, we maie not thinke that Christe is extended, or spreadde into al places? Doth not S. Augustine in the selfe same place saie, of the selfe same moste glorious Body of Christe, Christus Iesus ubique est per id, quod Deus: in Cœlo autem per id, quod Homo? Christe Iesus is euerywhere, and in al places by waie of his Godhead: and in Heauen by waie of his Manhead? Dothe not S. Augustine saie of the selfe same Body, as he is alleged by Gratian, Corpus Christi, in quo resurrexit, in vno loco esse oportet? The Body of Christe, wherein he rose againe muste needs be in One place? Is not this that Body, whereof Dardanum moued his question? Is not this that Body, whereof S. Augustine maketh his answere? Doth he any cause to speake of any other Body, but onely of this?*

Augustinus ad Dardanum Epist. 57.

De Consecra. Dis. 2. Prima.

But (you ſaie) The precious Body of Chriſte, made preſent in the Sacrament, is not bounde to that condition: Theſe be your owne phantaſies, M. Hardinge, not the wordes of S. Auguſtine: For S. Auguſtine neuer ſaies you of Chriſtes Body made preſente in the Sacramente. But thinke you, or would you haue your Reader to thinke, that Chriſte hath ſuch change of Bodies, the one precious, the other not precious? Or that the Bleſſed Body of Chriſte is more precious, and glorious in the Sacrament, then it is in Heauen at the right hande of God? Howe muche better were it for you to ſpeake the Truthe, and to leaue theſe Fables? Chriſtes Body doubtleſſe is not moſte glorious, as beinge the Body of the Sonne of God, endewd with immortallitie, and full of glorie: yet not withſtandinge it is a Body, and therfore in one place, as S. Auguſtine ſaith, and not in many.

Here it is a word to ſay, what pretie ſpoyle M. Hardinge maketh him ſelfe with the poore Penne-man of this Apologie. As God would, the Simple Body with-out-alleged Fulgentius cleane againſt him ſelfe. For the wordes of Fulgentius be theſe: Chriſtus; cum Abſit à nobis per Formam Serui, tamen ſemper eſt nobiſcum per Formam Dei: Whereas Chriſte is Abſent from vs by the Fourme of a Seruaunt, yet is he euermore Preſent with vs by the Fourme of God. Whereby, ſaith M. Hardinges Commentarie, he meaneth that Chriſte is no more here among vs, in Fourme, and ſhape of Man, in ſuche wiſe, as we ſee menne liue in the Earthe. And theſe wordes (ſaith he) claſſe their whole purpoſe: and therefore the Prelates of this newe Engliſhe Church, haue altered the ſenſe of them, by ſhiftinge in this wordes (Manhood) in ſteede of (the Fourme of a Seruaunt) and this wordes (Godhead) in ſteede of (the Fourme of God).

I beſeeche the, Gentle Reader, ſpare me a litle thinge indifferent care, leaſt in theſe miſſe cloudes of M. Hardinges Diſtinctions, thou happen to wander, & loſe thy waie. Al this greates adu riſeth onely of ſome notable difference, that is ſanſted to be betwene theſe two wordes, Fourme, and Subſtance. For M. Hardinge would ſaie haue the beleeue, that the Subſtance of Chriſtes Body is in many places: but the Fourme of the ſame Body can be onely in one place, and not in many.

If I ſhoulde demaunde M. Hardinge this queſtion by the waie, wherefoze Chriſtes Body in Fourme maye not as wel be in many places togeather, as the ſame in Subſtance: or how he knoweth it: or what Doctour, or Father euer taught it: or how we maye be wel aſſured of it: perhappes he would take a waie, to conſider it better. For thus a man mighte put him in minde of the groundes of his Religion: Sir, ye knowe, God is Omnipotent, and his power Infinite. We maye not make him thral, and ſubiecte to your ſenſes. That were Natural Reaſon: that were Inſolentie. Chriſte is as wel hable to diſpoſe of the Fourme of his Body, as of the Subſtance: and can as wel preſent the one in many places, as the other. Howe be it, thus muche onely by the waie.

M. Hardinge,
Pag. 113. a.

Addition.

To reprove al that maye be ſpoken in this behalfe, M. Hardinge thus frameth his newe oblation: The voice that is one in the Originie, pronounced by one man, if you wil beleeue Priſcian that Anciente learned Grammarian, is a very Body. And yet the ſelfe ſame one voice is driven into the eares of a thouſand perſons at once, as experience teacheth you by the common courſe of Nature. And yet you wil needes appointe the omnipotent power of God ſuche limites, as pleaſe you. The Anſwere. Here, M. Hardinge, ye haue broken S. Auguſtines hede in ſauour of Priſcian. But alas, what hard ſiſte is this: What ſhoulde this poore ſilly Grammarian be broughte ſorthe, as a Champion, to prove matters in Diuinitie. Muſt S. Auguſtine, S. Hierome, and S. Ambroſe ſtande backe, and geue place vnto Priſcian? Or if Priſcian telle you, that a voice is a Body, will you beleeue him? Certainely, M. Hardinge, as the voice of a man is a Body, ſo the Lighte in the ayre, and the chirpinge of a Sparrowe, and the blatinge of a ſhepe is a Body, and yong ſace in a glaſſe is likewiſe a Body. But Ariſtotele would haue tolde you, Sonus eſt qualitas ſenſibilis aeris: A voice, or ſounde, is a ſenſible qualitie of the ayre. It is an Accidents, ſaith the Ariſtotele, and not a Body: In the Predicaments of Qualitie, and not of Subſtance. Thus ye conſounde Nature, M. Hardinge, and builde vpon ſande.

But

But you saie, You muste sende M. Iewel, bringe Iesuites of Faith, vnto Aristotle, to learne witte of him. God encrease our faith, M. Hardinge, and supplie, that wanteth. I am not ashamed to learne witte, either of Aristotle, or of any the meanest of Goddes Creatures. Balaam mighte haue learned witte of his Asse. But if your selfe would goe to Aristotle, and telle him, that a voice is a Body, that is to saie, that an Accidente is a Substance, I trowe he would not greatly commend your wisdom.

Howe be it, let a voice be a Body, and, to please poore Priscian, let vs refuse no absurditie, nor repugnance in Nature. Yet is your purpose neuer the more. For the Natural order of hearinge standeth thus, as it is agreed by all Philosophers, firste the voice is a strikinge, or beatinge of the aire. When the aire is stricken, and proceedinge from one mouth, as from the Original, is multiplied forwarde by sundrie Circles, as we see rings multiplied in the water, at the castinge in of a stone, and by meane of the saide Circles, the saide voice is diuene, and falleth into the eares of the hearers, and bringe but one at the firste, by multiplication is made many. And to this purpose S. Augustine saith, *Sonus per moras temporum tendit, & diuidi potest: The sounde, or voice by leasure of time maie be extended a longe, and diuided into partes, that is, to satisfie the sense of euery hearer.* Therefore M. Hardinge, the voice, beinge one at the firste, and afterwards by multiplication encreasinge, and fallinge into a thousande eares, is no more one voice in the ende, then a thousande eares are one eare. For euery eare receiveth severally his particuler voice: and as many as be the eares hearinge, so many in number be the voices.

These thinges, gentle Reader, are true, and certaine: Although perhaps vnswerp, and darke vnto the simple. But let M. Hardinge beare the blame herof: For thus it pleased him to laie forth his Philosophie out of Grammarians, and to teache ther, that a voice is a Body. And to sende vs to Aristotle to learne witte. Cleerly it is but a simple kinde of arguinge to reason thus:

One voice maie falle into many eares:

Ergo, the Body of Christe hath not the proportion of a Body.

But nowe, what if al this greates imagined Difference be no Difference? What if these two wordes, Fourme, and Substance, as they be used by Fulgentius, be al one? What then will M. Hardinge doe with his pretty Close?

Verily Athanasius saith thus: *Natura, Essentia, Genus, Forma Vnum sunt: Nature, Substance, Kinde, and Fourme be al One thinge.* Leo saith, *Quid est, In Forma Dei? In Natura Dei: What is it, To be in the Fourme of God? He answereth, It is, To be in the Nature of God.* Chrysostome saith, *Forma Dei, Natura Dei est: The Fourme of God, is the Nature of God.* S. Augustine saith, *Secundum Formam Dei Christus ipse de se loquitur: Ego & Pater Vnum sumus: As concerninge the Fourme of God, Christe him selfe saith of him selfe, I and my Father are bothe One.* Likewise againe he saith, *Vna est Forma, quia vna est Diuinitas: The Fourme is One, because the Godhead is One.*

In like sorte of the Fourme of a Seruant, Leo saith, *Quæro quid sit Formam Serui accipere? Sine dubio Perfectionem Naturæ, & Conditionis Humanæ: What is it, To take the Fourme of a Seruant? He answereth, Doubtlesse it is, To take the perfection of Nature, and state of man.* Chrysostome saith, *Forma Serui Omnino est Natura Serui: The Fourme of a Seruant verily is the Nature of a Seruant.* S. Augustine saith, *Quando de Forma Serui in Christo cogitas, Humanam Effigiem cogita, si est in te Fides: When thou thinkest of the Fourme of a Seruant in Christe, thinke of the Shape of a man, if there be any Faith in thee.* Againe, Christum secundum Humanitatem, Visibilem, Corporeum, Localem, atque omnia membra Humana veraciter habentem credere conuenit, & confiteri: *Wee muste beleue, and confesse, that Christe accordinge to his Humanity, is Visible, hath the Substance and Properties of a Body, is contained in Place, and verily hath al the members, and the whole Proportion of a man.* To leane al others, k Haimo saith, *Formam Serui accepit, id est, In Veritate Hominem accepit: He took the Fourme of a Seruant, that is to saie, In very Truthe he took Man.*

Peace, M. Hardinge, by these Testimonies of the Ancient Learned Fathers,

H. Iij

farre

a Athanas. De
Definitionib.
b Leo Epist. 97
Cap. 1.
c Chrysostom. ad
Philipp. Hom.
mil. 6.
d Augustin. De
Tempor. Sermo.
177. De Ascen-
sio; Sermo. 4.
e August. Epist.
177.
f Leo Epist. 97.
Cap. 3.
g Chrysostom. ad
Philip. Hom. 6.
h Augustin. in
Iohan. Tract. 40
i Augustin. De
Essentia Disti-
nctis.
k Haimo. in E-
pist. ad Philip.
Cap. 2.

August. ad Dar-
dan. Epist. 57.

De Consecra.
Dis. 3. Prima.

Vigilius Lib. 1.
Contra Eury-
chsein.

Cyris. in Iohan.
Lib. 9. cap. 21.

Gregor. de Pas-
cha Homi. 30.

Augustin. in
Iohan. Tract. 78

farre contrarie to your vaine Distinction, that the Fourme of God is nothinge els, but God: and the Fourme of Man is nothinge els but Man. Wherefore then haue you thus diuised vs this newe Difference? Wherefore saie you of your owne head, that Fourme, and Substance be so contrarie, seeinge the Catholique Fathers saie, they be bothe One? Or wherefore be you so buisie, to trouble the Penne-man with out cause? Certainly S. Augustine joineth Fourme and Substance bothe together, and by the one expoundeth the other: In eadem Forma, atque Substantia: In the same Fourme, and Substance: and againe expoundeth the same Fourme, Veritatem Corporis: The truth of a Body.

By these it is plaine, that when Fulgentius saith, the Fourme of God, he meaneth thereby the Substance, the Nature, and the Diuinitie of God: And when he saith, the Fourme of a Seruant, he meaneth likewise, the Nature, the Substance, the Truth, the Perfection, and the Very Manhood of a Man. And the whole drift of his discourse is this, that Christe bringe bothe God, and Man, by the Nature, and Substance of his Godhead is euerywhere: but by the Nature, and Substance of his Manhood, and Truth of his Body, is onely in one place, and not in more: agreeing therein with these wordes of S. Augustine before rehearsed, Corpus, in quo Resurrexit, in vno Loco esse Oportet: The Body, wherein he rose againe, must needs be in one Place. As for that, of Bodily presence of Christes Body in the Sacramente, or the Body of Christe without Fourme, or Proportion of a Body, this Learned Father Fulgentius useth nothinge. Neither was there any such phantasie in those daies in the Church of God.

Here we are terribly charged with guileful dealinge, with a special note also in the Margine, Fulgentius fowly falsified. We haue shifted in this worde, Manhed, in steede of, the Fourme of a Seruant: this worde, Godhed, in steede of, the Fourme of God. And therefore both the Penne-man, and the Prelates of our Newe Clergie must needs be brought to the barre.

I will not here telle you, P. Hardinge, howe lowely ye haue demeaned your selfe towards her, whom it liketh you so often, and so scornfully to calle the Lady Interpreter: a Lady, I will not saie, of what Learninge, vertue, and grauitie: but certainly as far from al unwomanly Presumption, where with ye so rudely touch her, as you are from al manly modestie: and for ought, that maie appeare by these toses, and trifles, ye haue sente vs ouer, as full of wisdom, as you of folie.

But the Prelates of this Newe Clergie (you saie) haue fowly falsified both the wordes, & the sense of Fulgentius. And wherein, P. Hardinge? For as the in steede of these wordes, The Fourme of Man, & the Fourme of God, for the better understandinge of the vnlearned, they haue vsed these wordes, as more commonly knowne, Godhed, and Manhed. If this be so foule a faulte, wherefore then is the Olde Father, & Martyr Vigilius suffered thus to saie, Dei Filium secundum Humanitatem suam recessit a nobis: Secundum Diuinitatem suam semper est nobiscum: The Sonne of God accordinge to his Manhed is departed from vs: accordinge to his Godhed is euer with vs? Or againe, Christus est vbique secundum Naturam Diuinitatis suae: & loco continetur secundum Naturam Humanitatis suae: Christe is in al places accordinge to the Nature of his Godhed: and is contained in One place, accordinge to the Nature of his Manhed.

Wherefore dothe Cyrillus saie, Secundum Carnem Solam abiturus erat: Adest autem semper virtute Deitatis: Accordinge to the Fleashe Onely he woulde departe: But by the power of his Godhed he is euer presente. Wherefore dothe Gregorie saie, Verbum Incarnatum Manet, & Recedit: Manet Diuinitate, Recedit Corpore: The worde Incarnate both abideth with vs, and departeth from vs. It abideth with vs by the Godhed: It departeth from vs by the Body (or Manhed?) Wherefore dothe S. Augustine saie, Ibat per id, quod Homo erat: Manebat per id, quod Deus erat. Ibat per id, quod Vno Loco erat: Manebat per id, quod vbique erat: Christe departed by that he was Man: and abode by that he was God. He departed by that, that was in One Place: He abode by that, that is in al Places.

If ye wil yet stande in doubt, what these Holy Fathers meante by the Manhed of

hed of Christe, that departed from vs, let S. Augustine open bothe his owne, and theis meaninges. Thus he writeth in expresse and plaine wordes touchinge the same: Secundum Carnem, quam Verbum assumpsit: secundum id, quod de Virgine natus est: Secundum id, quod à Iudæis prehensus est: quod ligno confixus: quod de Cruce depositus: quod linteis inuolutus: quod in sepulchro conditus: quod in Resurrectione manifestatus, me non semper habebitis vobiscum: *Accordinge to the Fleashe, that the Woordes receiued: accordinge to that, he was borne of the Virgine: accordinge to that, he was taken of the Jewes: Accordinge to that, he was nailed to the Tree, taken downe from the Crosse, laide in a shete, laide in the graue, and was declared in his Resurrection (these wordes are true) Yee shall not haue me alwaies with you.* Likewise againe he saith, Dominus noster absentauit se Corpore ab omni Ecclesia, & Ascendit in Cœlum: *Our Lords, touching his Body, Absented him selfe from his whole Church, and Ascended into Heauen.*

Augustin. in Io.
hann. tracta. 90.

Augustin. in
sermo. in feri. 2.
Paschat.

To be shorte, if it be so painous an errour in this case to vse these wordes, Godhed, and Manhed, wherefore is not Fulgentius him selfe reprocued for so often vinge the same? These be his wordes, Secundum Humanitatem suam, Localiter erat in Terra: Secundum Diuinitatem, & Cœlum impleuit, & Terram. Vera Humanitas Christi Localis est: Vera Diuinitas semper immensa est. Caro Christi absque dubitatione Localis est: Diuinitas tamen eius vbique semper est. Permansit in Christo Immensa Diuinitas: Suscepta est ab eo Localis Humanitas. Quomodo Ascendit in Cœlum, nisi quia Localis, & Verus est Homo? Quomodo adest Fidelibus, nisi quia idem Immensus, & Verus est Deus? Christe accordinge to his Manhoode, was placed in Earthe: but accordinge to his Godhed he filled bothe Heauen, and Earthe. The Manhoode of Christe is contained in Place: The Godhed of Christe is infinite, and in al places. The Fleashe of Christe is doubtlesse in (One) place: The Godhead of Christe is for euer in every place. There remained stil in Christe the infinite Godhead: There was receiued of him a Local Manhoode. Howe Ascended he into Heauen, sauinge that he is very Man contained in Place? Howe is he present with the Faithful, sauinge that he is infinite, and True God? Laite of al he saith, Unus idemq; Christus, Secundum Humanam Substantiam, aberat Cœlo, cum esset in Terra: & dereliquit Terram, cum Ascendisset in Cœlum: Christe beinge One, accordinge to the Substance of his Manhoode, was absent from Heauen, when he was in Earthe: and forsooke the Earthe, when he Ascended into Heauen.

Fulgentius ad
Regem Thrasymum, l. 2.

Thus many times, M. Hardinge, we haue these wordes in one place together in Fulgentius him selfe: The Godhed: the Manhoode: very God: very Man: The Fleashe of Christe: The Substance of the Manhoode. And these be the selfe same wordes that you reprocue.

Blotte out therefore for shame that vnadvised note in your Margine: Look better to your Booke: Marke hereafter more discretely: and trouble not, neither Penne-men, nor others, without cause. Otherwise the Penne-man will tel you, what bird bare the feather, that made you a Penne.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Diuision. 2.

Wee beleue that the Holy Ghoste, who is the thirde Person in the Holy Trinitie, is very God: not made, not created, not begotten, but proceedinge from bothe the Father, & the Sonne, by a certaine meane vknownen vnto man, and vspeakable: and that it is his propertie to mollifie, and soften the hardnesse of mannes harte, when he is once receiued thereinto, either by the wholesome preachinge of the Gospel, or by any other waie: that he dothe geue menne light, and guide them vnto the knowledge of God: to al waie of Truthe: to newnesse of the whole life: and to euerlastinge hope of Saluation.

M. Hardinge.

As wee acknowledge this article to be true and Catholike, so wee demaunde of these Defenders, howe they can proue the same. Haue they either expresse Scripture for it, or any of the firste foue General Councils, waiche be esteemed of mooste Authoritie? * YVe are sure they haue not.

* Vnto the As
shal appeare.

Therefore

The Holy
Ghōste is
God.

*O folie.VVho
euer said other-
wise!

94

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Therefore wee doo them to vnderstande, and if they heare vs not, wee aduertise the Readers, that
feare God, and loue his truth, that al truth necessarily to be beleued is not * expresse in the Scri-
pture: and that * other Councils be to be receiued besides the foure firste, whiche are allowed in
Englande by Parliament.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Consider, *M. Hardinge*, notwithstandinge ye euer moze tel vs of Fathers, Fa-
thers, yet how contrarie oftentimes ye are in iudgement to the same Fathers. You
saye, as here we make greater by your wordes, that the Godhead of the Holy Ghost
cannot be proued by expresse wordes of the Scriptures: and thereof, ye saye, ye
are right sure. Yet S. Augustine nothinge doubteth, but it maye wel be proued by
plaine Scriptures. Thus he saith, Spiritus Sanctus est Deus. Vnde Petrus, cum
dixisset, Ausus es mentiri Spiritui Sancto, continuo sequutus adiunxit, quid esset Spi-
ritus Sanctus, & ait, Non es mentitus Hominibus, sed Deo: The Holy Ghost is God.
Therefore Peter when he had saide (vnto Ananias) thou haste enterprised to lye to the Holy
Ghōste, he followed readily, and tolde him, what was the Holy Ghōste: and saide, Thou haste
not lied vnto Man, but vnto God.

Augustin, contra
Litteras Petelia-
ni, Li. 3. Ca. 48.

Augustin. De
Morib. Eccle.
Catholi. Li. 1.
Cap. 16.
Augustin. E-
pistol. 174.

Againe he saith, Ostendit Paulus, Deum esse Spiritum Sanctum, & ideo non
esse Creaturam: S. Paule sheweth vs, that the Holy Ghōste is God: and therefore is no Crea-
ture. Likewise againe he saith, Ne quisquam Spiritum Sanctum negaret Deum,
continuo sequutus ait, Glorificate, & portate Deum in Corpore vestro: Least any man
shoulde denie, that the Holy Ghōste is God, Paule added immediately these wordes: Glorify
you therefore, and beare God in your Body. Here haue we S. Augustines Pea, and *M.
Hardinges* Ray. S. Augustine assureth vs, he hath Scriptures, to proue the God-
head of the Holy Ghost: *M. Hardinge* saith, we are sure, he hath none at al. Judge
thou nowe, Gentle Reader, whether of these Doctors thou wilt beleue.

Tertull. in Apo-
logico.

But what a vaine vanitie, and folie is this? will *M. Hardinge* haue vs beleue,
that God cannot be God, onlesse he be allowed by the Church of Rome, and by the
Pope? Then are we come againe to that, that Tertullian writeth merily of the
Heathens: Nisi Homini Deus placuerit, Deus non erit: Homo iam Deo propitius
esse debet: Onlesse God please Man wel (though he be God) he shal be no God. And so
nowe Man muste be friendly, and fauourable vnto God.

We beleue, *M. Hardinge*, that the Holy Ghost is very God in diuine, not vpon
the Popes, or his Clergies credits, but, as S. Augustine saith, vpon the special
warrant of the Word of God.

Nazianzen. De
spiritu sancto.
C. 1. ubi dicitur
Nemo
scit filium
patris nisi
pater voluerit
revelare ei.
Tol.

And therefore Nazianzene saith, Dicet aliquis, non esse scriptum, Spiritum San-
ctum esse Deum. Atqui proponetur tibi examen Testimoniorum, ex quibus osten-
detur, Diuinitatem Sancti Spiritus testatam esse in Sacris Literis: nisi quis valde insul-
sus sit, & alienus a Spiritu Sancto: Somme Man wil saye, It is not written, that the Holy
Ghost is God. I wil bringe thee forth a whole Swarme of Authoritie, whereby it shal wel
appeare, that the Godhead of the Holy Ghōste is plainly witnessed in the Holy Scriptures: On-
lesse a Man be very dull, and viterly void of the Holy Ghōste.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 1.

Woe beleue, that there is one Church of God, and that the same is
not shutte vp (as in times past among the Jewes) into some One cor-
ner or Kingdome, but that it is Catholique, and vniuersal, and disper-
sed throughout the whole worlde. So that there is nowe no Nation,
whiche maye truly complaine, that they be shutte forth, and maye
not be one of the Church and people of God: and that this Church is
the Kingdome, the Body and the Spouse of Christ: that Christ alone
is the Prince of this Kingdome: that Christ alone is the Heade of this
Body: and that Christ alone is the Bridegrome of this Spouse.

M. Hardinge.

It is a worlde to see these Defenders: They, whiche haue not kepte Vnity of Spirit in the
bande of Charitie, whiche S. Paule requireth, but haue seuered them selues from the Body of the
Church, tel vs nowe forsoothe, they beleue, that there is one Church of God. But what maye wee
thinke

Whike this one Church to be? Can they seeme to meane any other (what so euer they pretende) then this newe Church of late yeeres; set vp by Sathan, through the ministerie of Martine Luther and those other Apostates his companions, if it maie be named a Church, and not rather a Babylonical Tower? For as touching that Church, whereof (a) al Christen people hath euer taken the Successour of Peter to be the Heade vnder Christe, whiche is the true Catholike Church, in their Apologie they shewe not so faie plainly, that it is cleane fallen downe longe agoe. And therefore the beginninge of Luthers Seditions, and Heretical preachinge they cal Herbam, as muche to saie, as the greene Graffe; or firste Springe (this Interpreter nameth it the very firste appearinge) of the Gospel, leafe. F. 7. And in the leafe. F. 8. they saie that fortie yeeres agoe and vpwarde, that is at the firste settinge forth of Luther and Zuingleius, the Truthe was vnknowne and vheard of, and that they firste came to the knowledge and preachinge of the Gospel. Likewise in another place they graunt, that certaine and very strange sectes haue benne stirring: in the worlde euer since the Gospel did springe, meaninge the time when Luther firste braced to Germanie the paysoned Cuppe of his Heresies, Blasphemies, and Sathanismes.

Thus hauinge condemned the Church of God, which was before Luthers time, and allowinge that for the true Church, the Gospel whereof firste sprang out of Luther, howe can they auouch their beleefe, and by what reason and learninge can they make good, that there is one Church of God? VVee woulde faine knowe, whiche and where it is, Is Luther and his Congregation that one Church of God, or Zuingleius and his rable, or Osiander and his sorte, or Zueneckeldius and his secte, or Stancarus and his bande, or Balthasar Pacimoniane and his rancke? For al these, and certaine other sectes haue (b) Luther for their founder, and for their Radix lesse, as it were, from whence they springe. And in deede euery learned man easely seeth, how the gutters of their Doctrines runne out of Luthers sincke. Of al these there is none, but stoutly claimeth the name of the Church.

Then howe saie ye, Defenders, whiche Church be ye? name the Child. If ye name one, wee sette the others againste you. &c.

Howe often in his Bookes putteth Luther you, and your Captaines in the rolle of those, that he vtterly condemneth, naminge roundly together, Infidels, Turkes, Epicures, Heretikes, Papistes, Sacramentaries? And nowe if he should heare you challenge the name of the one Church of God to you, and denie him and his followers that claimed title; would he not (thinke yee) stampe and rage, would he not whet his dogge eloquence vpon you, and cal you woorse then these aboute reckened, yea and if he will howe, woorse then some of you be your selues? The like courtesie maie yee looke for at those other sectes, of whiche euery one claimeth the name of this one Church of God.

But ye saie, that this One Church is not shutte vp into some one corner, or Kingedome, but that it is Catholike, and Vniuersal; and disperfed through out the whole worlde. True it is, that ye saie, what so euer ye thinke. But the Holy learned and auncient Fathers, where they cal and beleue the Catholike Church, they meane (as Vincentius Lirinensis declareth) the Church to be Catholike, that is to saie, Vniuersal, (for so the woorde signifieth) in respecte of a threefolde Vniuersalitie: of places, whiche this Defender here toucheth: of times, and of men, whiche he toucheth not. In the Catholike Church wee muste haue a greete care (saith he,) that wee holde that, whiche hath euerywhere, euermore, and of al Persons benne beleued. If these Defenders proue not the Church, they professe them selues to be of, to haue this threefolde Vniuersalitie, then is their Congregation not this one Church, nor of this one Church of God, but the Synagog of Antichriste.

And although the Authours of this Apologie crake of the greete increase, and spreddinge abroad of their Gospel, and nowe glorie in the number of Kingedomes, Dukedomes, Countries, common VVales, and Free Citties: Yet hath it not gone so farre abroad as the Arians heresie did by three partes of foure: VVhiche was at length vtterly extinguished, as this shal be.

That the Catholike Church is the Kingdome, the Body and the Spouse of Christe, we acknowledge. Of the same Kingdome wee confesse and beleue Christe to be Prince alone, so as he is Heade of this Body alone, and so as he is Bridegrome of this Spouse alone. For Heade and Spouse alone he is in one respect, not alone in an other respect. Accordinge to the inwarde influence of Grace, Christ properly and onely is Heade of his Mystical Body the Church. But as touching the outwarde Government, the beinge of a Head is common to Christe with others. For in this respect certaine others maie be called Heads of the Church, as in Amos the Prophete, the "greate States be called the Heads of the People. So the Scripture speaketh of Kinge Saule, VVhen thou were a litle one in thinge owne eies, thou wast made Head amonge the Tribes of Israel. So Dauid saith of him selfe, he hath made me Head of Nations.

Men be called Heades, in as muche as they be in steede of Christe, and vnder Christe after which meaninge S. Paule saith to the Corinthians, For if I forgave any thinge, to whome I forgave it, for your sakes forgave I it, *In persona Christi*, In the Person of Christe. And in an other place, VVee are Ambassadors in steede of Christe, euen as though God did exhorte you through vs. To conclude in fewe, accordinge to inwarde influence of grace into euery faithfull member, Christe onely is Head of the Church: accordinge to outwarde gouerninge, the (c) Pope vnder Christe and in steede of Christe is Head of the same.

As touching the Bridegromeship, wee saie and beleue, that if wee woulde speake properly, Christe is the onely Bridegrome of the Church his Spouse, of whome it is saide, he that hath a true Bride. Spouse, is a Bridegrome. For from out of the Church he begetteth children to him selfe. But others yonge, the are called Bridegromes woorkinge together with Christe outwardly to the begettinge of spiritual children,

(a) Vntruth. For the Fathers in the Primitiue Church neuer took him so.

(b) Vntruth. As shal appeare.

(c) Vntruth. VVhat Scripture, or Doctour euer saide so?

Looke in the leaf. 11. 7. h. Leafe E. 2. In Latine they terme it, eorum laungeli.

Catholike Church. why is the Church called Catholike.

Christ alone Prince, Heade, Bridegrome, Spouse of the Church. 1. Cor. 6. 1. Reg. 17. Psal. 17.

1. Cor. 2.

1. Cor. 1.

Christ the true Bride. Spouse, the are called Bridegromes woorkinge together with Christe outwardly to the begettinge of spiritual children,

Catho-
lique in
many, or
fewe.

(d) Vntruth. For
the Auncient
Fathers neuer
called him so.

96

The Defense of the Apologie of the

children, whose neuer beleefe they begette not to them selues, but to Christe. And such are called the ministers of the Bridgrome, in as muche as they doo his steele. And therefore the Pope, who is an steede of Christe the Bridgrome of the whole Church, is (d) called also the Bridgrome of the vniuersal Church: a man maye terme him the vicegerent Bridgrome.

Pope vnder
bridgrome
of the
Church.
Ioan. 3.

The Bishop of Sarisburie

I trust, Gentle Reader, thou wilt not looke, I shoulde answer at M. Hardinges ordinarie idle talks. So shoulde I lose good time without cause, and be ouer troublesome to thine eares. O, saith he, VVhat a worke it is, to see these Defenders? They, which haue not kepte the vnitie of the Sprite, in the bande of Charitie, which S. Paule requireth, but haue seuered them selues from the Body of the Church, tel vs now, forsooth, that they beleue, that there is one Church of God. M. Hardinge, if we haue herein saide it, then beare witnesse of the it: If we haue saide wel, wherefoze make you these bitter outcries. What so euer ye haue conceiued vs to be, yet might ye suffer vs quietly to saie the Truthe, specially such Truthe, as you imagine maketh so mutche for your selfe.

We saie, we confesse, that our Church beganne onely aboute fourtie yeres thence, and was neuer before. No, M. Hardinge, we confesse it not: and you your selfe wel knowe, we confesse it not. It is your tale: it is not ours. We saie, and haue sufficiently proued, and you knowe it right wel, if ye would be knowen of that ye knowe, that our Doctrine is the Olde, and yours is the Newe. If ye will needes force your selfe to the denial, it maye easily be proued, and that by such Authoritie, as your selfe may not wel denie: onlesse ye will once againe denie noie, as ye haue twise done before.

We saie, that our Doctrine, and the order of our Churches is elder then yours by five hundred whole yeres and more. If ye wil not beleue vs, yet beleue M. Hardinge: he wil tel you euen the same. Marke wel his wordes: These they be: It standeth not with Christe his promises made to the Church, that he shoulde suffer his Church to continue in darkenesse these thousand yeres past.

M. Har. fol. 15, a

And thus by secreete confession, he leaueth vs husbanded thre scoze and five whole yeres at the least: that is to saie, the whole time of Christe, of his Apostles, and of al the Godly Learned Doctors, and Fathers of the Primitive Church. Whiche time notwithstanding is thought a great deale better, and purer, then al the time, that hath folowed afterwarde. In this Disson M. Hardinge bringe atteste, and ege vpon his cause, and claiminge as mutche, as he thought with any modestie he might be hable, hath claimed to him selfe onely a thousand yeres of the night: and hath leaue vs welneare five hundred yeres of the daye.

This is your owne witnesse, M. Hardinge: Consider wel of it, It is your owne. Therefore ye do your selfe greate wronge, and mutche deface your owne credite, to suddainly to saie, our Doctrine is Newe. Gods name be blessed, it hath the Ecclesimonic, not onely of Christe, and his Apostles, but also of the olde Learned Catholique Fathers of the Church. And this is it, that so mutche graueth you, that we resourine our Churches nowe accordinge to the paterne, & samplar of Christes, and his Apostles firste Institution. For thereby the disozder, and desozmitie of your Churches the more appeareth.

Vincetius Li-
ranensis.

Liranensis saith, That things muste be holden for Catholique, that euerywhere, euermore, and of al menne hath benne beleued. These general notes muste be limited with this special restrainte: VVhere as the Churches were not corrupted. For otherwise there was neuer any Doctrine so Catholique, no not the Confessed Doctrine of Christe him selfe, that hath benne receiued, Euermore, and, Euerywhere, and of al menne without any exception. For the Turkes receiue it not, and the Iewes abhorre it. And so the very Gospel of Christe it selfe by this rule shoulde not be Catholique. But, M. Hardinge, these selfe same notes of Liranensis utterly ouerthrowe the greatest parte of that whole Doctrine, that you would so saine haue counted Catholique. For neither reacheth it with in five hundred yeres of the Apostles time: nor hath it that Antiquitie in deed, that in face, and countenance is pretended, as it is plaine by your owne former Confession: nor was it euer vniuersally receiued, as hereafter by Particulars it shal be proued. It had neuer that vniuersalitie,

neither

neither of all times, and ages: nor of all places, and countries: nor was it ever uni-
versally received and allowed of all men. Therefore, what so ever ye call it, ye cannot
by your owne Definition call it Catholique.

The Catholique Church of God standeth not in multitude of Personnes, but
in weight of Truth. Otherwise Christ him selfe, and his Apostles had not bene
Catholique. For his flocke was very litle: and the Catholique, or Universal con-
sente of the Church stood against it. The Church of God is compared to the
Stone: for that she wareth, and waneth, as the Stone dothe, and sometime is full,
sometime is empty: and therefore, as S. Augustine saith, is called Catholica, quia
Universaliter perfecta est, & in nullo claudicat, & per totum Orbem diffusa est: Bi-
cause she is universally perfect, and halteth in nothing, and is (not now shut up in one onely
Country, as was the Church of the Jewes, but) poured throughout the whole Worlde.
Though the partes of menne have often changes, yet Gods Church is evermore
one: and, be it in many, or in fewe, is ever Catholique. Thus, P. Hardinge, it is
written by one of your owne sode: *Esi non nisi duo viri fideles remanerent in Mun-*
do, tamen in eis saluaretur Ecclesia, quæ est Vnitas Fidelium: Although there were but
two Faithful menne remaininge in the worlde, yet even in them twos the Church, whiche is
the Vnitie of the Faithful, shoulde be saved.

Augustin, de
Gen. ad liter.
Cap. 1.

Fortalium Fio
del. 1. 5.

Addition. Some saie, that at the time of Christs Passion, the whole
faith remained onely in the Blessed Virgine our Ladie: and that even now the
same faith maie be so creited, that it maie reste onely in one poore olde woman.
Whiche thinge if it shoulde happen, yet shoulde not Goddes Truth therefore wan-
der aboute the worlde, as it liketh you to teke, Tanquam Accidens sine Subiecto.
The Prophete Osee saith, *There is no Truth, there is no mercie, there is no knowledge of*
God in the Earthe. Yet notwithstandinge the Prophete David saith, *The Truth of*
God endureth for ever. God is True, though all menne be false. His Truth is a Substance, and
standeth mightily as the Heavens: it wandereth not vainely, as an Accidens.

Aeneas Sylvius
De gestis Cœci-
ly Basiliæ, lib. 1.
M. H. p. 126. a
Osee. 4.
Psalm. 116.
Rom. 3.

Luthers dogge eloquence, for so, P. Hardinge, it liketh you of your modestie to
call it, were it never so rough, and vehement, the same zeale of Gods glorie, and of
his Holy Temple, whiche you so miserable had defaced, so enforcing him, yet was
it never any thinge comparable to your eloquence. For, I beseeche you, if ye maie
have leasure, harken a litle, and heare your selfe talke. Beholde your owne wordes,
so many, so vaine, so bitter, so fiers, so furious, all together in one place: This newe
Church, ye saie, set vp by Sathan: Martine Luther, and other Apostates his companions: This Ba-
bylonical Tower: Luthers seditious, and Heretical preaching: Luther brinced to Germanie the poi-
soned Cuppe of his Heresies, Blasphemies, and Sathanismes: Zuingleius, and his rable: The gutters of
this Doctrine ranne out of Luthers sincke: Luther woulde stampe, and rage, and whette his dogge
eloquence upon you: You are the Synagog of Antichriste: These be the figures, & flowers
of your speache. Yet muste we thinke, that ye can neither stampe, nor rage: but vse
onely Angelles eloquence. Howe be it, I truste, no wise man will iudge our cause
the worse, for that your tongue can so readily serue to speake ill.

To the mater, ye saie, that, touchinge the influence of Grace, Christ is the
Head of the Church: but touchinge Direction, and Government, the Pope onely
is the Heade. All this is but your owne tale, P. Hardinge: Ye speake it onely of your
selfe. Other Authoritie of Scripture, or Doctour ye bringe vs none.

Addition. Here P. Hardinge allegeth sundrie Authorities to prove the
Universal Supremacie of the Pope. Chrysostome, saith he, writeth thus, Peter, be-
ing a Fisher, is the Head, and Feeder of the Church. And againe, Peter was the Maister
of the Worlde. And againe, Christ made Peter Ruler over all the Worlde. In the ende, he
concludeth with good likinge of his case, and saith: Howe saie you, are ye contented nowe?

M. H. p. 131. a
Chrysost. in Mat.
Hom. 87.
In Martine, Ho-
mil. 55.

The Answer. No doubt, P. Hardinge: Otherwise, I trowe I were to
blame. For here haue you brought vs greates, and worthy speeches of S. Peter: of
whose Authoritie we moued no question. But ye bringe vs not one worde of the
Pope. If every worde spoken to Peter, shoulde be applied vnto the Pope, then shoulde
the Pope be called Sathan: for so Christ called Peter.

Matthe. 16.

Neither do these wordes here alleged, proue of necessitie, that Peter him selfe was the Head, and Ruler of al the worlde. For you may finde the same wordes applied as wel to S. Iames, to S. Paule, and to sundrie other Holy Fathers. Clemens saith thus, *I sende greetinge unto Iames, the brother of our Lorde, And the Bishop of Bishoppes, Governour of the Holy Church of the Jewes at Hierusalem, and also of al the Churches that by Goddes providence are every where founded.* Here S. Iames is Head of al Churches what so ever. Likewise Chrysostome writteth of S. Paule: *The Nation of the Jewes, was committed to Michael, But bothe Lande, and Sea, and the habitation of al the worlde, is committed to S. Paule.* Likewise S. Gregorie saith, *Paule had the Princehood of al the whole Church.* So S. Hierome calleth Origen, *Magistrum Ecclesiarum: The Master, and Teacher of the Churches.* And in like maner Theodoretus calleth S. Chrysostome, *Doctorem orbis terrarum, The Teacher, and Instructor of al the worlde.* Yet neither Iames, nor Paule, nor Origen, nor Chrysostome, were Bishoppes of Rome, nor had they that power, that by these wordes is pretended.

Clemens Epist. 1.

Chrysost. in Act.

Homi. 3.

Gregor. in 1.

Reg. li. 4. ca. 4.

Hieronym. De

locis Hebraicis.

Theodoret. li. 5.

Cap. 32.

2. Corinth. 2.

2. Corinth. 5.

It is greater follie, to claime the Popes Authoritie by such general wordes, as may be common to so many.

We saie, S. Paule saith, *If I forgave any thinge, for your sakes I forgave it, in the personne of Christ: VVe are Embassadors in the steede of Christ, even as though God did exhort you through vs.* Hereof ye conclude, Ergo, The Pope vnder Christ, and in steede of Christ is Heade of the Church. If ye conclude not thus, ye wander idely, and speake in vaine, and conclude nothinge. These wordes of S. Paule nothinge touche the Pope, but onely the faithful, and zelous Preacher of the Gospel. For wherein dothe the Pope resemble S. Paule? Wherein dothe he represente the Personne of Christ? What exhorteth he? What teacheth he? What saith he? What dothe he? And yet if he would do any one parte of his whole durtie, how might this Argument stande for god? S. Paule bringe at the Citty of Philippi in Macedonia, exhorted the Corinthians, as in the Personne of Christ: Ergo, the Pope bringe at Rome in Italie, although he neither exhort, nor preach, yet is he the Head of the Universal Church? Although he do nothinge goe harde with you, yet ye shoulde have sene better to your Logique.

a Chrysostom. in
Epist. ad Roma.
hom. 18.

b Amos. 6.

c 1. Regum. 15.

d Psalm. 17.

e Artic. 4.

Dist. 32.

f Cyril. tomo. 4.

Epist. 1.

g Gregor. in 1.

Reg. li. 4. ca. 4.

h Prudentius in
Enchiridio.i Optatus Lib. 1
ca. 2.

k August. con-

tra Liter. Petri.

Lib. 1. Ca. 5.

l Gregor. Lib. 4.

Epist. 38.

Peter was a

Member of the

Church but not

the Heade.

I graunte, Bishoppes may be called the Heades of their severall Churches. So Chrysostome calleth Elias, *Caput Prophetarum, The Heade of the Prophetes:* So Amos saith, *The Prince is the Heade of the people:* So Saule is called *The Heade of the Tribes of Israel:* So David was made *Caput Gentium, The Heade of Nations.* Sundrie such other like examples, I alleged in my former Replie to the Hardinge: As that Cyrillus the Bishop of Alexandria, in the Council of Ephesus was called *Caput Episcoporum congregatorum, The Head of the Bishoppes,* that there were assembled: That S. Gregorie saith, *Paulus ad Christum conversus, Caput effectus est Nationum: Paule beeing once converted to Christ, was made the Head of Nations:* That Prudentius saith, *Sancta Bethlem Caput est orbis: Holy Bethlem is the Heade of the worlde.* In this sense Optatus saith, *There be foure sortes of Heades in the Church, the Bishoppes, the Priestes, the Deacons, the Faithful.* And al this onely in a certaine kinde of phrase, and maner of speache. But in verie and verily S. Augustine saith, *Paulus ipse non poterat Caput esse eorum, quos plantaverat: Paule him selfe could not be the Head of them, whome he had planted.* Therefore Gregorie saith, *Petrus Apostolus Primum Membrum Sanctæ & Vniuersalis Ecclesiæ est. Paulus, Andreas, Iohannes, quid aliud, quam singularium sunt plebium Capita? Tamen sub Vno Capite, omnes Membra sunt Ecclesiæ. Atque vt cuncta breui cingulo loquutionis astringam, Sancti ante Legem, Sancti in Lege, Sancti sub Gratia: Omnes hi perfectiores Corpus Domini in Membris sunt Ecclesiæ constituti. Et nemo se vnquam Vniuersalem vocari voluit: Peter the Apostle is (not the Head, but) the chiefe Member of the Holy Vniuersal Church. Paule, Androwe, and Iohn, what are they els, but the Heades of severall Nations? Yet notwithstandinge vnder one Head (Christe) they are al Members of the Church. And to speake shortly, the Saintes before the Lawe, the Saintes in the Lawe, the Saintes in the time of Grace, al accomplishinge the Lordes Body, are placed amonge the Members of the Church. And there was neuer yet one, that would haue him selfe called the Universal*

Uniuersal Bishop. Therefore, where as *M. Hardinge* saith, Al Christian People haue euer taken the Successour of Peter to be the Heade of the Catholique Church vnder Christe, he speaketh it onely of him selfe. And though the compariſon be odious, yet Christe saith, Cum loquitur mendacium, ex proprijs loquitur: When he speaketh Vntrueth, he speaketh it of his owne. *S. Gregorie* saith, Peter was the chief Member of the Church of Christe: but not the Head.

Iohan. 8.

But the Bishop of Rome, and his hired Proccours, haue taught vs far otherwise. *Panormitane* saith: Christus & Papa faciunt vnum Consistorium: & excepto peccato, potest Papa quasi omnia facere, quæ potest Deus: Christe, and the Pope make one Consistorie, and keepe one Courte: And, summe onely excepted, the Pope in a manner can doo al thinges, that God can doo. This, I trowe, is that Head of Direction, and Government, that *M. Hardinge* meaneth.

De Election, Ca. Licet, Abb.

As for the rest, that the Church is the Kingdome of Christe, and the Pope the Prince thereof, *M. Hardinge* in special wordes answereth nothinge. Notwithstanding, somme others haue saide, Petro & Cœlestis, & Terreni Imperij iura commissa sunt: Vnto Peter was committed the right bothe of the Heauenly, and also of the Earthly Empire.

Extra. Non h ille, in gloss.

Last of al he doubteth not, but the Pope maie be called the Spouse, or Bridegrome of the Uniuersal Church: and yet the same without the Authoritie of any Doctor. He allegeth onely *S. Bernarde*: But the same *S. Bernarde* in the selfe same place saith, and that by *M. Hardinges* owne confession, that the Pope is not the Bridegrome of the Church. And therefore he was faine to expounde his meaninge, and to weighe him downe of the other side with his pretie Close. But *S. Bernarde*, without Close saith plainly, Non sunt omnes amici Sponsi, qui hodie sunt Sponsi Ecclesie: They be not al the Bridegromes friends, that are this daie the Spouses of the Church. O miserandam Sponsam talibus creditam Paranympsis. Non amici Sponsi, sed emulhi sunt: O miserable is that Spouse, that is committed to such Leaders. They are not the friends, they are the enemies of the Bridegrome.

Bernard. In Concil. Remensi. Bernard. ad Eugen. de Confidera, Li. 3.

Wolde he it, we wæde not greatly to reche, what titles, and titles the Pope can touchelane to allowe him selfe. As he maie be called the Head, the Prince, and the Spouse: euen so, and by like authoritie, and trueth, maie he be called the Light, the Life, the Saueour, and the God of the Church.

God geue him an harte to vnderstande, that he maie be, although not the Head, yet a Member of that Body, although not the Prince, yet a Subiect in that Kingdome: although not the Bridegrome, yet a Child of the Church of God.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 1.

Furthermore (wee beleue) that there be diuerse degrees of Ministers in the Church: whereof some be Deacons, some Priestes, some Bishoppes: to whome is committed the office to instructe the people, and the whole charge, and settinge foorth of Religion.

M. Hardinge.

Sacrament
of Order.
By good
Reason.

Here it had benne your parte to haue declared your faith touching the Holy Sacrament of Order, agreeable to the faith of the Catholike Church: That there be seven orders in the Church, foure lesser, and three greater: for so by good reason they are called. And as for the institution, authoritie, and estimation of the greater, specially of Priestehood and Deaconship, ye might haue alleged the Scriptures: so for the lesser the example of Christe, the Tradition of the Apostles, and the testimonies written of the Apostles scollers, of those that bothe next, and soone after folowed them, namely, *Dionys. cap. 3. Hierarch. Ecclesiast. Ignatius epist. 8. ad Ecclesiam Antiochenam, Tertullian in prescript. aduersus Hereticos.* Gaius Pope and Martyr in Diocletians time, Sozimus in *S. Augustines* time, Isichius, Eusebius Cæsariensis in his Ecclesiastical historie, and Epiphanius in the ende of his Booke contra Hæreses.

Vntruthes
boldely pre-
sumed, as by the
answære it
maie appeare.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Gentle Reader, if I should leaue these, and other like *M. Hardinges* wordes vnsuauered, thou mightest happily thinke, he had saide somewhat. Here he saith, it had benne our parte to haue tolde the of seven Orders in the Church,

thre Greater, and foure Lesse: Hauinge in vnde him selfe cleane forgotten his owne Parte. For notwithstandinge this controulment, and account of so many Orders, yet he nameth no more Orders, then we haue named. And verily, if he would haue folowed his owne Authorities, it had benne harde for him, in any good Order to haue made vp his owne account.

Anacletus Epi-
stola. 3.

Hieronymus, ad
Eugrium,
Hieronymus, in
eadem Epistola,
Hieronymus, in
Isai, Cap. 19.
Catechumeni.

For his owne Anacletus saith, I cal him his owne, for that it is onely a forged Pamphlet, neuer written by that Holy Father Anacletus, as it is easie to be seene. But what so euer he were, thus he saith: Amplius, quam isti Duo Ordines Sacerdotum, (Episcopi, & Presbyteri) nec nobis a Deo collati sunt, nec Apostoli docuerunt: More then these two Orders of Priests (Bishoppes, and Elders) neither hath God appointed vs, nor haue the Apostles taught vs. And yet of these same two seuerall Orders S. Hierome seemeth to make onely one Order. For thus he writeth, Audio, quendam in tantam erupisse vecordiam, vt Diaconos Presbyteris, id est, Episcopis anteferet: I heare saie, there is a man broken out vnto suche wilful furie, that he placeth Deacons before Priests, that is to saie, before Bishoppes. And againe, Apostolus precipue docet, eosdem esse Presbyteros, quos Episcopos: The Apostle, Paule, specially teacheth vs, that Priests, and Bishoppes be al one. The same S. Hierome writtinge vpon the Prophete Esai, reckoneth onely fure Orders, or Degrees in the whole Church: The Bishoppes, the Priests, the Deacons, the Enters, or Beginners, and the Faithful. And other Order of the Church he knoweth none.

Hieronymus, ad
Titum, Cap. 1.

Addition. As for P. Hardinges prettie Imaginations of Termes General, and Termes Special, they are mere Tangles, not worth the bearinge. For S. Hieromes wordes be plaine enough: A Prieste, and a Bishop is al one thinge: And before that, by the workinge of the Diuel, partes were taken in Religion, and somme saide, I holde of Paule, somme, I holde of Appollo, and somme others, I holde of Peter, the Churches were gouerned by the common Councel of the Priests.

Clemens Epist. 2
De Conc. Dist. 3
Tribus gradib.

Clemens saith, Tribus gradibus commissa sunt Sacramenta Diuinorum Secretorum, id est, Presbytero, Diacono, & Ministro: The Mysteries of the Holy Secretis be committed vnto three Orders: that is, vnto the Priests, vnto the Deacons, and vnto the Ministers: And yet Deacons, and Ministers, as touchinge the name, are al one.

Dionysius, Ecclesi.
Hierar. Cap. 5.
Ignatius ad
Antiochen.
ΕΛΤΑΣ ΚΟ.
ΠΙΩΤΑΣ ΕΦΟΡ.
ΚΙΣΑΣ ΟΜΟΛΟΓ.
ΥΚΤΟΣ.
Clemens Epist. 1.
Hierony. De 7.
Ordinibus Eccl.

Dionysius likewise hath the three Orders, but not the same: For he reckoneth Bishoppes, Priests, and Deacons. And whereas P. Hardinge maketh his account of foure, of the Lesse, or Inferiour Orders, meaninge thereby, Ostiarios, Lectores, Exorcistas, Acoluthos: The Doore keepers, the Readers, the Coniurers, and the Waiters, or Followers: His owne Ignatius addeth thereto the other Orders: Cantores, Laboratores, Confiteantes: The Chaunters or Singers, the Labourers, and the Confessours. Clemens addeth thereto, Catechistas, The Instructors, or Teachers of them that were entringe into the faith. A little vaine Boke, bearinge the name of S. Hierome, De Septem Ordinibus Ecclesie, addeth yet an other Order, and calleth them Fossarios, that is, The Sextines, or Quersers of the Graues. And, leaste you shoulde thinke he reckoneth this Order, as amongst other necessarie offices to serue the people, and not as any parte of the Clergie, his wordes be these, Primus in Clericis Fossariorum Ordo est: qui in similitudinem Tobie Sancti sepelire mortuos admonent: The Firste Order of the Clergie, is the Order of the Sextines: which, as Holy Tobie was wonte to doo, cal vpon the people for the burial of the deade.

Isidorus, Etymos.
log. lib. 1. ca. 12.
Sextus in 4 Sen.
Dist. 24. Quæst. 1.
Ambr. in Epist.
Ad Ephe. Cap. 4.

Likewise to the three greater Orders Isidorus addeth an other distinct and seuerall Order of Bishoppes: vnto whome agreeth Gulielmus Altifiodorensis, and Gottofredus Pictauiensis, as appeareth by Iohannes Scotus. Againe of the other Inferiour Orders, S. Hierome leaueth out the Coniurers, & Vwaiters: S. Ambrose leaueth out the Vwaiters, and Doore Keepers: The Canons of the Apostles leaue out Coniurers, Vwaiters, and Doore Keepers, al three together.

In this so greate dissension, and darkenes, what wase wil P. Hardinge take, to folowe? By Anacletus, there be two Orders: by Clemens, and S. Hierome, three: by Hierome Countrescite, seuen: by others eight, by others nine, by others tenne.

At this notwithstandinge, he telleth vs, our parte had benne, to haue shewed, that there be iust Deane Orders in the Church, Thys Create, and Loue Lette, without doubt, or question.

Here, gentle Reader, it had benne M. Hardinges parte to haue shewed vs the Reasons, and Grounds of this Distinctie: Forse they be, as they are alleged by the beste of that side: Christe saithe, *I am the Doore*: Ergo, there muste be in the Church an Order of Doore Keepers. Christe saithe, *I am the Light of the Worlde*: Hereupon haue they founded the Order of Acolutes, to carrie Tapers. And so for the reste. Thus muche maie serue for a cause.

Petrus Lombard.
sen. li. 4. Dist. 24

Now let vs consider, what these Orders haue to do, and with howe Holy, and weighty offices they stande charged in the Church of God. Firste Clemens (of whose Authoritie M. Hardinge maketh no smal accompte, for he calleth him the Apostles fellowe) writeth thus: *Vnus Hypodiaconus det aquam manibus Sacerdotum: Duo Diaconi ex vtraque parte Altaris teneant stibellum confectum ex tenuibus membranis, vel ex Pauonum pennis, quibus leuiter abigant prateruolantes bestiolas, ne in Pocula incident: Let one of the Subdeacons geue Water to the Priestes handes: Let two Deacons stande at the two ends of the Altare: either of them with a fanne made of fine Parchement, or of Peacockes tailen, therewith softly to chase awaie the flus, that they fall not into the Communion Cuppes.* The offices of other Inferioure Orders be these, as they be noted by one of M. Hardinges owne side: *Ad Minores Ordines hanc spectant: Portare Cereos, & Vreolum: & Canes expellere de Ecclesia: To the lesse Orders these things belonge: to carrie Tapers, and Holy Water stockes: and to drive Dogges out of the Church.* These, I trowe, be the Spytual Holy Orders, wherof M. Hardinge saithe, *Our parte had benne, to haue made some longer discourse: beinge him selfe ashamed, as it maie appeare by his silence, eether to name them in particulare, or to open the secretes of theire offices.*

Clemens Constit.
Apost. li. 3. ca. 15

Aureum speculum
Papae.

How be it in dede, god Christian Reader, sundrie of these offices in the Primitive Church were appointed to very god, and sober purposes: The Doore keepers office was then, to keepe out Excommunicate persones, that they shoulde not presse in emonge the faithfull: The Psalmistes, or Singers office was, to singe the Psalmes, thereby to moue the peoples hartes to deuotion: The Exorcistes office was, by a speciall gifte of God, seruinge onely for that time, to cal forth the soules of spites out of the Bodies of them, that were possessed. The Readers office was, openly, and plainly, and distinctly to pronounce the Scriptures vnto the people: and to this vse the Bishop deliuered vnto him a Booke with this charge: *Accipe, & esto relator Verbi Dei: Take thou this Booke, and be thou a Pronouncer of the Woordes of God.* And therefore Isidorus saithe, *Tanta, & tam clara erit eius vox, vt quamuis longe positum aures adimpleat: Thy Readers voice must be so lowde, and so cleare, that it maie be hable to fille the eares of them, that stande far of.* The Acoluthes, or V Waiters office was, to attende vpon the Bishop, as a witness of his conuersation.

Dist. 23. Lectur.

Dist. 11. Clerus.

To sutch god vses these offices then serued in the Church of God. But nowe there is nothinge leaste, sauinge the bare name onely, without any maner vse, or Office. For neither doth the Ostiarius keepe out the Excommunicates: Nor doth the Acoluthus waite vpon the Bishop: Nor doth the Exorciste cast forth Diuels: Nor doth the Psalmiste singe Psalmes: Nor doth the Reader openly pronounce the Scriptures: (I mighte yet keepe a litle farther, to open the whole betwixt of the Clergie of Rome) nor doth the Deacon make prouision for the poye: nor doth the Bishop preache the Word of God.

This had benne our parte to haue opened at large: And for leauinge of the same, we were wrytten by M. Hardinges indgement, to be repproued.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 2.

Yet notwithstandinge, wee saie, that there neither is, nor can be any one man, whiche maie haue the whole Superioritie in this Universal state: for that Christe is euer present to assiste his Church, and

needeth not any man, to supplie his roome, as his onely heire to al his Substance, and that there can be no one mortall creature, whiche is hable to comprehend, or conceive in his minde the Uniuersal Church, that is to wite, al the partes of the worlde, mutche lesse hable rightly, and duly to put them in order, and to Gouverne them.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge answereth hereto is longe, and tedious. The Substance thereof in short is this: There was said, No one mortal man is hable to wealde the burden of the whole Church of God. *M. Hardinge* answereth: Where any thing is in debate, there whether it maie be, or no, to discusse, it is needefulle. Therefore whether any one man can be superiour, and chiefe ouer the whole Church, wee leaue to speake: that so it is, thus wee proue: Every Parishes hath his seuerall Vicare, or Person: And euery Dioces his owne Bishop. Ergo,

what reason is it, there be not one Chiefe Governour of the whole Christen people?

When questions be moued in matters of Faith, through diuersities of iudgements the Church should be diuided, onlesse by Authoritie of One it were kepte in Vnitie.

They, that saie otherwise, take from Christe the Glorie of his prouidence, and the praise of his greates lone towards his Church.

The Peace of the Church is more conveniently procured by one, then by many.

It is most meete, that the Church Militante, touchinge Governement, resemble the Church Triumphante. But in the Triumphante Church one is Governour ouer the whole, that is God. Therefore in the Church Militant order requireth, that one beare rule ouer al: accordinge to that the Holy Capitaine Iosue seemeth to speake, The Children of Iuda, and the Children of Israel shal assemble together, and they shal make to them selues one Heade: Thereof our Lorde saith in 3. Iohn. There shalbe One Fold, and one Shepheard.

In debate Christe is Head of his Body. Yet neede it not, for as muche as Christe nowe dwelleth not with vaine Visible Presence, his Church haue one Man, to doo his feede of outwarde rulinge in Earthe: And therefore he saide vnto Peter, Feede my Flocke: Confirme thy Brethren.

Thus wee see these Defenders Negative Doctrine, That no One Man maie haue the Superioritie ouer the whole state of the Church, disproued, as vnicely false.

To the first seconde reason, wee graunte, Christe needeth not any man to supplie his roome, that shoulde succede in his whole Substance. Neither is man of Capacitie of such succession: neither hath there any such fonde saicinge benne ritered by the Diuines.

But because Christe sawe the knot of Vnitie shoulde be moste surely kept knit by Governement of one, he committed the regiment of the whole Church vnto One: whose Visible Ministerie he might vse in steede of him selfe.

To the Thirde we saie, that man is not onely hable to comprehend in his minde, and conceiue the Uniuersal Church, but also to put it in order, and to Gouverne it so farre as is expedient.

Last of al, who so euer wil not be fedde nor ruled by his owne Shepheard, and breaketh out of this one folde, he is not of the Flocke of Christe, but of the Hearde of Antichriste.

Here, Gentle Reader, *M. Hardinge* hath brought this, not the Authoritie of any one Catholique Doctor, or Learned Father, but onely a fewe colde Reasons of his owne, with certaine Scriptures vnadvisedly Alleged, and violently forced from their meaninge, as shal soon appere.

His first Reason concludeth very weakely: Every parish is gouerned by One Vicare, or Personne: and euery Dioces is gouerned by One seuerall Bishop: Ergo, there is One Uniuersal Governour ouer the whole Church of Christe. Here is neither order in Reason, nor sequelle in nature. Therefore if any man would denie the Argument, *M. Hardinge* were neuer hable make it good. He mighte as wel, and in as good order reason thus: Every Kingdome, or Common Weale hath One Prince, or Magistrate to rule ouer it: Ergo, There muste be One Uniuersal Prince, to rule ouer the whole worlde. Or thus, Every Flocke of Sheepe hath One Seuerall Shepheard to ouersie them: Ergo, al the Flockes through the worlde ought to be ouersie by One General Shepheard. Otherwise, *M. Hardinge* imagineth, this foule absurditie muste needes solowe, that the parte is better Governed, then the whole.

Addition. Here *M. Hardinge* answereth thus, I see no absurditie in this Addition. And perhaps, when the mater is wel weighed, it maie seeme, the world was neuer in better state, then when it was gouerned by one good Emperour. *The Answer.* *M. Hardinge* why shoulde you so bairnely auouch vntuthe: What one Emperour had euer the

A greates ouersight: For these be the wordes, not of the Capitaine Iosua, but of the Prophete Osee.

* Vntuthe. For Panormitane saith, Papa potest quasi omnia facere, qua potest Deus.

* Vntuthe.

the regiment of al the worlde: Where stode his Palace? What was his name? Why telle you suche tales of those thinges, that neuer were: and you Certainly knowe, they neuer were?

In daies the Emperours of Rome, after the time of Augustus Cæsar, had a greate parte of the worlde vnder their handes. But the whole worlde, you knowe, they neuer had: no, neither the halfe deale, nor the thirde, nor the fourth parte of the worlde. Yet hauinge onely that they had, they grew so Tyranical, and so intolerable ouer their Subiectes, and so licenceous, and monstrous in their lines, that onlesse it be onely emonge the Popes, it is not possible to finde so many the like Examples of horreour in any State, or Kingdome of the worlde. From the deathe of Augustus Cæsar, vnto Theodosius, within the space of lesse then foure hundred yeres, more then fourtie Emperours were slaine with violence, one of them seekinge Traytorious meanes to murder an other. When the Princes estate was so vncertaine, and miserable, what maie we thinke, was then the miserie of the people? Per, saith the P. Hardinge, The worlde was neuer in better state.

The other three Reasons, touching the Prouidence of God, the Debattinge of questions in Faith, and conseruings of Peace, and Unitie in the Church, are answered already in my former Replie to P. Hardinge. In daies, I remember, to auouch al that P. Hardinge hath here saide, one sometimes wel inclined to that side, saide thus: Non videretur Dominus discretus fuisse (vt cum reuerentia eius loquar) nisi Vnicum post se talem Vicarium reliquisset, qui hæc omnia posset: *Christe our Lorde should not haue seemed to haue dealt discretly (to speake it with reuerence) onlesse he had leaste One suche Vicare behinde him, that might haue donne al these thinges.*

I graunte, Dissension, and quarrelles be the smiter ended, when al thinges be put ouer to one Man: So that the same one Man maie liue for euer, and stil continue in one minde, and neuer alter. But oftentimes one Pope is founde contrarie to an other: and sometimes one Pope hath benne founde contrarie to him selfe. Pope Sabinianus woulde haue burnt al Pope Gregories Bookes: And, as it is saide before, Pope Romanus utterly abolished al the Actes of his Predecessour Pope Steuin: The same Pope Steuin vnburied his Predecessour Pope Formosus, and defaced, and mangled his naked carkeffe, and utterly condemned al, that had benne donne by him before: And Platyna geueth this general iudgement of them, Nihil aliud isti Pontificuli cogitabant, quam vt Nomen, & Dignitatem Maiorum suorum extinguere: *These little Petits Popes had none other care in the worlde, but howe to deface the Name, and Estimation of other Popes, that had benne before them.* And thus, that one Pope lieth, an other mislieth: that one alloweth, an other condemneth. And yet by P. Hardinges iudgements, we haue none other rule to stae by in doubtful cases, but onely the Will, and Pleasure of the Pope.

Addition. Here P. Hardinge findeth greate faulte, for that I saie, Pope Steuin defaced, and mangled Formosus his Predecessours naked carkeffe. The storie (saith he) maketh no mention of any suche manglinge. For prouise whereof he allegeth Sabellicus. *The Answer.* For trial hereof, I reposite me to Platyna. His wordes be these: Hinc odium ortum est, &c. *Hereof greue that hatred, that caused Pope Stephanus to practise suche crueltie vpon the deade Body of Pope Formosus, for that in his life time he had hindred him from the obtaininge of the Popedom.* Martinus saith, that Pope Stephanus was so wode, and so ragged againste Formosus, that he haled his deade carkeffe out of the graue, and caused it to be laide before him selfe, and others in the Councel, and chopte of the two forefingers of his righte hande, and tye we them out into the streame.

Matthæus Palmerius Florentinus recordeth the same by these wordes: Stephanus, Formosi corpus Pontificalibus insignibus exuit? Deinde illud dilanians, omnium afficiens dedecore, manus eius proiecit in Tiberim: *Pope Stephanus spoiled and stripte Formosus his Predecessours Body out of his Pontifical robes: and after he had torne, and rente the same Body, and abused it with al kinde of villenie, he chopte of both his handes, and threwe them forth into Tiber.*

2. 3. 4.
In the Fourthe
Article, and in
the 18. and 19.
Diuisions.
De Maior. &
Obedien. Vnam
San. Fam. in
Glossa.

Platyna in Sabiniano
Sabellici Enneade, 9. Lib. 1.
Platyna in Stephano sexto,
Platyna in Romano, 1.

M. Har. p. 139. b.

Platyna in Stephano, 6.

Stephanum tantum
vabie de-
nisse.

Palmerius.

Dilanians.

Vnitie by
one Pope.

Abbas Vissperg.

Decollari pre-
cept.

Luitprandus

Memoriale Hi-

storianum.

104

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Abbas Visspergensis saith further, Pope Stephanus commaunded the Carkeffe of Pope Formosus to be beheaded. And the same Body stript out of his Holy Robes, and spoiled of thre fingers, to be throwen forth into the streame. The same storie touching the drowning of y^e carkeffe, is recorded also by Luitprandus Ticinensis. In an olde Chronicle written in Parchemente, intituled, Memoriale Historiarum, whiche I haue to shewe, there is added further: Quædam dictu horribilia in illum fecit: Pope Stephanus Practised certaine thinges vpon Pope Formosus, that are horrible to be spoken.

But verhapless W. Hardinge wil refuse the Authortie of these Writers, and of al others what so euer, and wil rather geue credite to Sabellicus, whom also he hath noted in his Margine. Let vs therefore see the reporte of Sabellicus. Thus he saith, Stephanus Formosi cadaver iterum refossum, tanquam sic quoque Pati aliquid atrocius posset, securi subiecit, corpus in Tiberim proijci iussit, vt sepultura, & omni Humano honore careret: Pope Stephanus digged vp Pope Formosus his Predecessours Body, and beheaded it, as mindinge to make it to feele more villanie, and threwe out his carkeffe into the Tiber, that he might bereue him of his Graue, and of al other Honour due to a man.

Sabellicus Ex-
made, 9. Lib. 1.

Al this notwithstandinge, W. Hardinge can disaile, howe to cut of the Popes Heade, howe to choppe of his handes, and fingers, howe to hale out his naked carkeffe from the graue, howe to spoile it, and trare it, and to abuse it with such villanie, as maie not be spoken, and how to throwe the same out into a renninge streame to be deuoured and swallowed of the fishes, and al this to doe gently, and in good order, without any manner defacinge, or manglinge of his Body. And therefore he concludeth, as his maner is, in this friendly sorte, Leue, leue that il propertie for shame, M. Iewel: adde not, diminishe not, &c.

Howe be it, this, I trowe, is not the readiest waie to procure Peace, and to mainteine Vnitie in the Church. And therefore Gregorie saith of Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, that claimed to him selfe this Vniuersal Power, Si hanc causam æquanimiter portamus, totius Ecclesiæ Fidem corrumpimus: Corrupt Vniuersa Ecclesia à statu suo, si is, qui vniuersalis dicitur, cadit: If wee quietly suffer this mater thus to proceede (that one Man shal be called the Vniuersal Bishop, wee seeke not waies to mainteine Vnitie, but) wee overthrowe the Faith of the whole Church: If he, that is called the Vniuersal Bishop, happen to fall, the whole Church falleth from her state. Thus therefore, to allowe any one Man Vniuersal Authortie ouer the whole Church, is a mater not behouful, and profitable, as W. Hardinge saith, but, as Gregorie saith, doubtful, and dangerous to the Church. For although al the worlde either woulde, or coulde geue care, and credite to one Man, yet were not that therfor alwaies Christian Vnitie. S. Augustine saith, Habet & Superbia appetitum quendam Vnitatis, & Omnipotentiz: Pride it selfe hath a certaine desire of Vnitie, and of Vniuersal Power.

Gregor. Lib. 4.

Epist. 34. 38.

Gregor. Lib. 4.

Epist. 76.

August. De Vera
Religione ca. 45

M. Har. pa. 141. d

Addition.

But W. Hardinge saith, Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople by this Title Vniuersal Bishop, meante to make him selfe the onely Bishop of al the whole worlde, that there shoulde be no Bishop, but him selfe alone. The Answer. This answer were somewhat, if any Olde Writer might be founde to saie the same: But your bare fantasie, W. Hardinge, as it maie appere, oftentimes wanteth weight. And howe can you thinke it likely, that the Bishop of Constantinople, were he neuer so proude a man, woulde suffer noman to be a Bishop, that is to saie, either to ordaine Priests, nor to Excommunicate, nor to Absolve, nor to sitte in Council, but him selfe alone? By what Euidence, by what recorde, by what practise of his parte, can you proueth? What pretty tales ye woulde tel vs, if we woulde beleue you?

In dede the Bishop of Constantinople, although he were ambitious aboue measure, yet he neuer, neither vsurped, nor claimed any other Authortie in the Church, then that sitthence hath benne vsurped, and claimed by the Pope. For thus it is written welneare by al that haue recorded the storie of Pope Boniface 3. Institutum fuit, vt Ecclesia Romana esset Caput omnium Ecclesiarum: cum prius Constantinopolitana Ecclesia id vsurpare tentasset: It was then ordained, that the Church of Rome should be

palmerius Vr-
spergensis.

should be the heade of al Churches: where as before the Church of Constantinople had claimed that title vnto her selfe. So saith the Sabellicus, Graeci illud decus ad se trahebant: The Greekes claimed the same Dignitie to them selues. The same Dignitie, he saith, that now is claimed by the Pope and none other.

Therefore, M. Hardinge, al that you telle vs of One onely Bishop throughout the whole worlde, excludinge al other Bishoppes, sauinge him selfe alone, is but a fable without face, or likelihood of any trache.

An other of M. Hardinges Reasons is this: The Church Labouringe here in Earthe must resemble the Church of the Saints triumphinge in Heauen. But in Heauen God onely is the Gouernour ouer the whole: Therefore, in the Church here, the Pope likewise must needs be Gouernour ouer the whole. Thus God must be rated to Gouerne aboue, and the Pope beneath: and so, as one sometime saide, *Diuisum Imperium cum Ioue Caesar habet*.

This is a valiant kinde of Arguement. It holdeth from Heauen to Earthe: from Angelles to Menne: from God to the Pope.

But howe knoweth M. Hardinge, what Orders of Angelles, and Archangelles there be in Heauen: what they do: howe they deale: who ruleth: who are ruled: what Lawes, and Policies they haue amongst them: They saie, they would frame their Church accordinge to the Samplar: And yet god menne they neuer knewe, nor sawe the Samplar, But onely of them selues they imagine Common Weales, and Orders in Heauen: and accordinge to the same, they woulde shape, and fashion their owne Church in Earthe.

Addition. Forsoothe (saith M. Hardinge) I maie easily knowe that, whiche is euident. For in the Forth of Matthewe, Angelles waite on Christe: And in the 12. to the Hebrewes, there is mention made of thousandes of Angelles. *The Answer.* Al this, M. Hardinge, we graunte: And Daniel saith further, *Thousande thousandes of Angelles Ministred vnto God, and tenne thousande thousandes stood before him.* But what is al this to proue your Orders: You saie, S. Paule nameth Thrones, Dominions, Principates, and Powers. You might haue added Archangelles, Cherubins, and Seraphins. But howe knowe you hereby, which Angel is highest in Order, and hath the Authoritie, and Gouernement ouer al the reste, that you maie applie this Heauenly Paterne vnto the Pope. Onlesse you shewe vs this, you shewe vs nothinge, And caste faire colourres without a grounde. S. Augustine in this case, speaketh modestly of him selfe: *Quid inter se dissent quatuor illa vocabula, Throni, Dominationes, Principatus, Potestates, dicant, qui possunt, si tamen possunt probare quod dicunt. Ego me ista ignorare confiteor: What difference there is betweene these foure wordes, Thrones, Dominions, Principates, and Powers, let them tel vs, that be hable, so that they can proue, that they tel vs. For my parte, I confesse, I knowe it not.* If S. Augustine confesse, he knoweth it not, what we safely learne it of M. Hardinge?

Howe be it, as little, as we knowe, or can knowe in these cases, yet hereof must we be taught to learne our Obedience, and Subiection to the Pope: That, as God alone ruleth ouer, and ouer al the Heauens, so oughte the Pope alone to rule ouer, & ouer the whole Earthe: And that, as al the Powers of Heauen cast of their Crownes, and crise, Holy, Holy, Holy. vnto God, so oughte the Powers, and States of the Earthe likewise to falle downe, and submitte them selues, and to yeelde al Honour vnto the Pope. If this be not youre meaninge, M. Hardinge, then telle youre tale plainly, that we maie knowe it.

The better waie, M. Harding, had benne, sayinge the whole mater hangeth onely vpon your fantasies, to saie, that God hath appointed one Principal Archangel to be Pope in Heauen: and al other Powers, Angels, and Dominions to be Subiectes. Thus mighte you easily haue made youre frame to agree with your Paterne, and the one of your fantasies to answer the other.

For to saie, as you saie, God ruleth al in Heauen aboue: Therefore the Pope muste rule al in the worlde beneath, it is but a slender kinde of reasoninge. S. Augustine saith, *Quid aliud in Pompa huius mundi homo appetit, nisi Solus esse, si fieri possit*

M. Hard. fol. 142

Daniel. 7.

Coloss. 1.

August. in En-
chirid. cap. 18.Augu. De Vera
Religione. ca. 45

Gregori. Lib. 4.
Epist. 78.

possit, cui multa subiecta sint: Perverſa, ſcilicet, imitatione Omnipotentis Dei? In the Vaine Pompe of this worlde what thinge els is it, that a man doothe deſire, but if it were poſſible, to make him ſelfe alone ſutche a one, unto whom many thinges maie be obedient: and that by a pecuniſhe counterfeitinge of God Omnipotent.

And this is it, that Gregorie ſaith of Iohn the Biſhop of Conſtantinople: Illum, videlicet, imitatur, qui ſpeta Angelorum ſocietate, aſcendere conatus eſt ad culmen Singularitatis: He ſiloweth Lucifer, who deſpiſinge the ſellowſhip of the Angells, laboured to geate up to the toppes of Singularitie, and ſaide, I wil mounte up about the North, and wilbe like unto the Higheſt.

In Opere Tri-
partit. Li. 2. ca. 5

Acertly, Dionyſius wiſtinge pourpoſely of the Politie, and Gouvernement of the Church, and Comparinge the ſame with the Glozious Government of the Angels and powers in Heauen, yet neuer uttered one word of the Uniuerſal Government of the Pope. Nay rather in a little Treatiſe ioined to the Councel of Laterane, out of this very place is ſourmed an Argument to the contrarie: In Cœleſti Hierarchia tota Congregatio Angelorum non habet Caput Vnum, præter ſolum Deum: Ergo, à Simili, in Eccleſiaſtica Hierarchia Hominum non debet eſſe Vnum Caput, præter ſolum Deum: In the Heauenly Government the whole Compagnie of the Angells hath none other One Heade, but onely God: Therefore of the like, in the Eccleſiaſtical Governemēte emongſt Men, there ought not to be any One Heade, but onely God.

M. Har. fo. 144 a

Addition. ¶ Here, ſaith the M. Hardinge, I marueile, ye had the face to bring this ſoorthe. You ſhewe your ſelfe to be ſhameleſſe. **The Anſwere.** And why ſo impatient, M. Hardinge: Mary (ſaie you) is it not there ſet ſoorthe for an obiection againſt the Truth? Neiſther doe I ſaie ſo: no; doe I uſe it, as an Argument taken againſt the Truth. It is laide ſo; the onely, as an Obiection againſt the Pope. Bitwene the Pope, and Truth there maie be a difference, as you knowe, & as it is euident vnto the world. But you ſaie, It is anſwered in the next Chapter. I graunt you, it is anſwered in deede: but God wote ſul ſclenderly, as the reſt. Yet neuertheleſſe my ſaieinge is true. For thus I ſaie, Out of this place is ſourmed an Argumente to the Contrarie, that is againſt the Supremacie of the Pope. Beholde my wordes better, M. Hardinge. This onely I ſaie: I ſaie no moze. And that I ſaie, you knowe, is true. We were to blame therefore, thus without cauſe, and out of ſeaſon, to waſte your choler.

Addition

Iosua for
Osee.

Neuertheleſſe M. Hardinge is wel hable to ſortifie al theſe thinges by the Authority of the Scriptures. And here in ſtede of the firſt Chapter of the Prophete Osee, he allegeth the firſt Chapter of the Booke of Iosua. And leaſte thou ſhouldeſt thinke, it were onely a Marginal errour, brought in by ſome ouerſight of the Priſter, as he bleth ſometimes to excuſe, and to ſhift the matter, he hath thus laide it wide open in his owne teſte, Hereof the Holy Captaine Iosue ſeemeth to ſpeake. Holue he it, one errour maie the better be diſſembled emongſt ſo many. Neiſther woulde I haue noted this ouerſight, were not M. Hardinge ſo immoderate in blaming others.

In deede the Prophete Osee, and not the Holy Captaine Iosua, ſpeaketh theſe wordes: but not, as M. Hardinge imagineth, of the ſtate of al Chriſtendome vnder One Pope, but of that Antitie, and conſent, that al the Faithful of the world, as wel Jewes, as Gentiles ſhoulde haue vnder One Chriſte: as it is moſt euident by the whole diſcourſe of the Teſte.

Osee cap. 1.

Thus lie the wordes: The number of the Children of Iſrael ſhalbe as the Sande of the Sea ſhoare, that cannot be numbred: And it ſhal comme to paſſe in the place, where it was ſaide vnto them, Yee are no people of mine, There ſhal it be ſaide vnto them, Yee are the Children of the Liuinge God. And the Children of Iuda, and the Children of Iſrael ſhal aſſemble together, and ſhal appointe vnto them ſelues One Heade. Upon whiche wordes S. Hierome wiſteth thus: Hec omnia ſient, quia magnus eſt Dies Seminis Dei, qui interpretatur Chriſtus: Al theſe thinges ſhal come to paſſe, becauſe it is the greate Daie of the Seede of God, whiche Seede is expounded (not the Pope, but) Chriſte. Likewiſe Nicolaus Lyra, Congregabuntur Filij Iuda, id eſt, Apoſtoli: & Filij Iſrael, id eſt, Gentiles conuerſi: Pariter, id eſt, in Vna Eccleſia: & ponent ſibi Caput Vnum, id eſt, Chriſtum: There ſhal aſſemble together

Hieronym. in
Osee, cap. 1.
Nicol. Lyra in
Osee, cap. 1.

gather the Children of Iuda, that is to saie, the Apostles: and the Children of Israel, that is to saie, the Heathens converted: Together, that is to saie, in One Church: and shal appoint unto themselves One Heade, that is to saie, (not one Pope, as M. Hardinge would haue it, but) One Christe. S. Augustine expoundinge the same wordes saith thus: Recolat Lapis ille Angularis, & duo illi parietes, vnus ex Iudeis, & alter ex Gentibus: Let vs remember that Corner Stone (that is Christe, and not the Pope) and the twoo Wallis, the one of the Jewes, the other of the Heathens.

Augu. De Citu-
rate. li. 18. ca. 18

The other wordes, whiche M. Hardinge allegeth out of S. Iohn, Christe him selfe expoundeth, not of the Pope, but of him selfe: I am the good Sheepeheard: I yeelde my life for my Sheepe: I knowe my Sheepe, and am knowne of them: I haue other Sheepe, that be not of this Flocke. Them I muste bringe, that they maie heare my Voice: and so shal there be one Sheepeheard, and one Flocke. These wordes Chrysostome expoundeth by the wordes of S. Paule: Vt duos conderet in Semetipso in Vnum Nouum Hominem: That he might worke twoo people into One Newe Man (not in the Pope, but) in him selfe.

Iohan. 10.

Ephes. 1.

Chrysost. in Ioh

han. Homil. 19.

Augu. in Iohan.

Tracta. 47.

Nicolaus Iyra

in Iohan. ca. 12.

S. Augustine expoundinge the same saith thus, Duobus istis Gregibus, tanquam duobus Parietibus, Christus factus est Lapis Angularis: Vnto these twoo Flockes, as vnto twoo Wallis (not the Pope, but) Christe was made the Corner Stone.

And what shoulde I allege any other the Olde Fatheres? Nicolaus Iyra, as simplean Interpreter, as he was, yet he likewise saith the same: Fiet Vnus Pastor, id est, Christus: There shalbe One Sheepeheard, that is to saie, (not the Pope, but) Christe. Neither is M. Hardinge hable to shewe vs any Learned allowed Interpreter, Olde, or Newe, that hath expounded this place other wise.

Al these thinges notwithstandinge, as wel these wordes of Christe, as also the other of the Prophecie Ofsee, M. Hardinge applyeth onely to the Pope. The Pope muste be the Heade: The Pope muste be the Sheepeheard. Bothe Christe, and Ofsee Prophecie these thinges of the Gloste, and Bingeome of the Pope. Iuda and Israel shal chuse Christe to be their Heade: Al the faithfull through the worlde are one Flocke, and Christe is the Sheepeheard: Ergo, the Pope is the General Heade of the Vniuersal Church of God.

Dutche Logique M. Hardinge is hable to teache vs: and with sutch feare, and reuerence can he vse Goddes Holy Worde. And like as the Emperoure Caligula sometimes toke of the Heade of his greate God Iuppiter, and set on an other Heade of his owne: Euen so by these Interpretations, and Closes, M. Hardinge smiteth of Christe, the Onely Heade of the Church, and setteth on the Pope. For Iohannes de Parisijs (out of whom, or somme other the like, he hath borrowed this whole matter) nothinge doubteth to telle vs, that Christe is not, nor cannot be the Heade of this Body; or the Sheepeheard of this Flocke. And, leaste M. Hardinge shoulde charge me with vntreue reposte, his wordes be these: Congregabuntur Filij Iuda, & Filij Israel, vt ponant sibi Caput Vnum: Et Iohan. 10. Fiet Vnum Ouile, & Vnus Pastor. Quod quidem de Christo intelligi non potest: sed de alio aliquo Ministro, qui præsint loco eius: The Children of Iuda, and the Children of Israel shal assemble together, to appoint vnto themselves One Heade: And in the tenth of Iohn, There shalbe made One Flocke, and One Sheepeheard: Whiche thinge doubtlesse cannot be expounded of Christe: but muste be taken of somme other Minister, that maie rule in his steede.

Sueton Traquil-
in Caligula.

Iohan. De Parl-
ijs. de potestate
hept. et Pa-
pali. cap. 3.

Thus we are taughte, that Christe is neither the Heade of his owne Body, the Church: nor the Sheepeheard of his owne Flocke, but onely the Pope. For consi- der wel these laste wordes of Iohannes de Parisijs, Doubtlesse (saith he) Christe cannot be this One Sheepeheard. It muste needs be somme other Minister (that is the Pope) that maie rule in steede of Christe. This One Sheepeheard, saith he, cannot be Christe. Then muste it Onely be the Pope. And yet Chrysostome saith, Qui non vtitur Sacra Scriptura, sed ascendit aliunde, id est, non concessa via, hic non Pastor est, sed Far: Who so euer vseth not the Holy Scripture, but commeth in an other waie that is not lawfull, (whiche is by false Closes, and Corruptions, so dothe the Bishop of Rome, and by sutch meanes hath inuonne his Vniuersal Authoritie, as it is easie to be proued) he is not the Sheepeheard of the Flocke: he is the Thiefe.

Chrysost. in Ioh-
han. Homil. 18.

Augustine. com. 17. 4

Douarist. li. 6.

L. Q. 3. Vocatur

Canis.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

cap. 21. v. 10.

So saith the S. Augustine, Ipsum characterem multi & Lupi, & Lupis imprimant. The note, or mark of a Bishop many give unto Wolves, and be Wolves themselves.

S. Hardinge saith further, For as much as Christe is Ascended into Heauen, and is now no more conuersante amonge us in this double Courme, as he was before, it behooved some one man to be put in Commission, for bearinge the charge, and takinge care of the whole Church. Therefore he said unto Peter, Feede my Flocke: Confirm thy Brethren. Firke; what Aunciente Learned Father euer thus scanned the wordes of the Popes Commission? Or why darthe S. Hardinge auouch to create a matter of him selfe only, without farther Authortie? And if this so large Commission be to Feede, and to Feede so many, why then darthe the Pope Feede so little? Or rather, why Feedeth he nothinge at all? Or howe can he claime by Feedinge, that neuer Feedeth?

Addition. Here S. Hardinge bathe taken occasion of sumbyle answeres. Firke he saith, *Pascere* is not a word, that signifieth to Feede only, as you knowe, but also to rule, and gouerne. And therefor Homere calleth Kinge Agamemnon, ποιμένα λαού, that is to saie, the ruler of the people. The Answer. Wherby Christe by this word, *Pascere*, meante Feedinge, or Gouerninge, it saith not greatly. To quarrel for wordes, I haue no skill. But why is Homere the blinde Heathen Poete here alledged as an Interpreter of Christes meaninge? Or why is Kinge Agamemnon Feedinge, or leadinge of his Subiects brought in, to proue the Feedinge of the Pope? Certainly Christe saith to Peter, and to other his Disciples, *Reges haue power, and gouernment ouer their Nations. But it shal not be so amonge you. The Feedinge that Christe meane, shode not in Swerde, or Scepter, but in word, and Doctrine. Therefore he said to his Disciples, Goe into all the worlde, and preache the Gospel to every creature. S. Paule saith, Let a man so esteeme us, as the Seruantes of Christ, and the Stewardes of Goddes Mysteries. And Christe him selfe saith, My Sheepe heare my voice, and followe mee. He that is of God, beareth the Wordes of God. The manner of this Feedinge, S. Augustine expieth thus: Non te pascere cogita, sed oues meas: Sicut meas pascere, non sicut tuas: Gloriam meam in illis quere, non tuam: Dominium meum, non tuum: Lucra mea, non tua: Thinke not to Feede thee selfe: Feede my Sheepe: Feede them as my Sheepe, not as thine owne: Seeke my Honour in them, seeke not thine: Seeke my ownership, and not thine: Seeke my gaine, and not thine owne. This is likely to be the Feedinge, that Christe spake of.*

Howe be it, as it male appeare by S. Hardinge, the Pope is not bounde to this kinde of Feedinge. It is sufficiente so; him to be as Agamemnon was, that is, a Ruler, and a Ruler of the people. God graunt, the wordes of the Prophete Ezechiel be not founde true in his Feedinge: Voc be to the Shepherdes of Israel, that Feede themselves. The Prophete Hieremie saith, Omnes Pallores tuos pascet ventus: Winds, and vanitie shal Feede all thy Feeders. The Apostle Iudas saith, They Feede them selves: They are Cloudes without water.

But S. Hardinge bathe here brought in Chrysostome, Ambrose, Arnobius, Pope Leo, and Pope Gregorie, to proue the Authortie of the Pope. The place of Chrysostome is alledged, and answered once before. His wordes be these: The charge to rule the whole Church was giuen to Peter. S. Ambrose saith, Christus Petrum Amoris sui velut vicarium relinquebat: Christe leasie S. Peter as the Vicare of his Loue. To what purpose these later wordes of S. Ambrose are alledged, I cannot telle. For it were harder for S. Hardinge to reason thus, Christe made Peter the Vicare of his Loue: Ergo, the Pope is Loue, and Feeder of all the worlde. Undoubtedly S. Ambrose in that whole place bathe not one word, neither of one General Feeder, nor of Peters Successour, nor of the Pope: Therefore S. Hardinge darthe wel, thus to qualifie the weaknesse of his euidence, so; thus he saith, S. Ambrose, saith in effecte, as muche as I saide. And what is that? Verily, good Reader, in effecte it is as muche as nothinge. S. Paule saith of him selfe, and others, Legatione pro Christo fungimur: Wee are Embassadors, or Messengers for Christe, that is to saie, We are his Vicars: And Christe saith to his Disciples altogether, As my liuinge Father sente me, enen so doo I sende you, that is to saie, you are the Vicars of my Loue. What wee therefore

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

M. Har. fo. 147. b

Addition
17

therfore hereof conclude, that Paule, and every of al the Disciples had Uniuersal power ouer the whole Church of God: *M. Hardinge*, howe so euer the Pope feade the people, you should not feade vs with sutch Vanities. But Chrysostome, you saie, saithe thus: The charge to Rule the whole Church was geuen to Peter. These wordes are pregnant, and proue the pontifice. But as I haue told you, Theodoretus hath writtten the like wordes of Chrysostome him selfe, Chrysostomus Doctor Orbis terrarum: Chrysostome the Doctor or Maister of the Worlde.

M. Hardinge. fo. 147. b.

*Theodoret. li. 5.
Cap. 32.*

Pet was not Chrysostome therfore, neither Uniuersal Bishop, nor Heade of the Church.

Howe for as mutche as you haue many times in one place alleged these selfe same wordes by Chrysostome spoken of Peter, as hauinge somme special affiance in the weight of them, make it please you therfore to consider, what wordes the same Chrysostome hath likewise vttered of S. Paule.

*M. Hardinge. fo. 145. b.
147. b. 151. b. 152. a.*

Thus therfore he saithe, Hoc docet nos Paulus Magister Orbis: This thing dooth the Paule teache vs beeing the Maister of the Worlde. Againe, Paulo tribuitur cura omnium Ecclesiarum: non vnus, vel duarum, vel trium, sed omnium, quæ sunt in toto Orbe: Vnto Paule the charge of al Churches is committed: not of one, or two, or three Churches, but of al the Churches, that be in al the Worlde.

*Chrysost. in Genesin, Homil. 7.
In Gene. Hom. 11
In Iohan. Hom. 11
In Acta hom. 33.
De Landib.*

Againe, Paule beeing a Persecutor, and a Blasphemer is made the Preacher of al Countries, and ruleth the Church throughout al the Worlde.

Paule governeth and ruleth the whole Worlde: Paule was the Apostle of the whole compass of the Earthe: All the Worlde was committed to Paule: Paule had the oversight: Paule was the Wardene of al the Worlde.

*Pauli.
In 1. Cor. hom. 11
In Sermone, De Hieronymo,
De prelat. li. 2.
Contra Iudaos.
Orat. 5.*

I passe ouer a greate number of other like Sentences of Chrysostome, and others, whereof somme parte I haue touched somme otherwhere. And, what wil you conclude hereof, *M. Hardinge*? That Paule was the Heade of the Uniuersal Church? Doubtlesse these wordes, thus vttered of him, importe no lesse. But what wil you then do with S. Peter? for to sette two Heades vpon one Body, it were ouer mutche.

But to passe by, and to dissemble al that maie be spoken of Paule and Peter, what if the Pope him selfe geue the same stile, and Title vnto sundrie others inferior Bishopps? what if they be called the Overseers of the whole Uniuersal Church, and that even by the mouthe of the Pope him selfe? Marke therfore what Pope Eleutherius writeth to the Bishopps of France: Vniuersalis vobis à Christo Ecclesia commissa est: The Vniuersal Church is committed to you by Christe: Will you therfore telle vs, *M. Hardinge*, that the Bishopps of France are the Popes of Rome? or, that they haue Uniuersal power ouer al the Worlde? Thus you se, how vainely ye busse your selfe, withdrawinge the god sayings, and sentences of the Holy Fathers from their meaninge.

*Epist. 2. leuth. ad
Episcopos Gallie.*

Further you saie, Christe leaue not onely Peter to be the Successoure of his Loue for his owne life onely, but also Peters Successours for euer, that is to saie, the Popes: for other Peters Successours, (you saie) wee knowe not. And are you wel assured, *M. Hardinge*, that euery Pope is the Successoure, and Heire of Christes Loue without exception? Woulde God they were. The Worlde should haue lesse cause to be offended. But Christes Loue passeth not by Enheritance, or Succession of Males. Who so euer is ready to geue him selfe for the flocke of Christe, be he Pope, or Bishop, or inferior Prieste, he is the Vicare of Christes Loue. In the ende hereof *M. Hardinge* of his modestie chargeth vs with boasting challenges, and bold talkes, and prating in Pulpitte.

M. Hardinge. fo. 148. b.

Againe, where learned *M. Hardinge* to reason thus? Christe is Ascended into Heauen: Ergo, the Pope is Heade of the whole worlde? Or thus, Christe saide to Peter, Feede my flocke: Ergo, the Pope hath Uniuersal power ouer the whole Church of God? Howe can he make these Argumentes to holde, I wil not saie by Diuinitie, but by any reasonable Mist of Logique.

But ye saie, God speaketh not now vnto vs mouthe to mouthe: nor sendeth

Chrysost. in Ce-
n. 1. 1. Homil. 2.

Hebra. 1.
Galat. 1.

Ephes. 4.

Cyprian De
Stuplect. Prae-
lationum.

Cyprian, Lib. 3.

Epist. 13.

Galat. 2.

Euseb. li. 5. ca. 26

August. Epist. 18

In Concil. Late-
ran. sub Iulio.
In Concil. Late-
ran. sub Leone.
In Oratore Ste-
phano Patrice
Panormitan. De
Ecl. Ca. Licet.

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 38.

vs downe his Angelles from Heauen: nor instructeth vs nowe by Visions, as he did others in the Old times. What of that? wil it therfore solowe, that al the world muste geue care to the Pope? Nay, M. Hardinge, Chrysostome saithe muche bet- ter: Because God speaketh not nowe vnto vs in sutch familiar sorte, Ergo, Sum erga Homines amicitiam innouare volens, quasi longe absentibus literas mittit, conciliaturus sibi Vniuersam Hominum Naturam: Therfore, God mindinge to renewe his fa- uour towards Man, sente (his Holy Scriptures, as it were) his Letters, thereby to reconcile to him selfe al Mankind. God speaketh not nowe vnto vs by his Angelles: but he hath already spoken vnto vs, as S. Paule saithe, by the mouth, and presence of his Only Sonne. And therfore he saithe againe, If an Angel from Heauen woulde nowe Preache vnto vs otherwise, then wee haue receiued, wee should holde him accursed.

But for the Unitie, and quiet government of the Church of God, S. Paule saith, Christe Ascendinge aboue al the Heauens hath geuen (not One Uniuersal Pope to rule the whole, but) some Apostles, some Prophetes, some Euangelistes, summe Pastours, summe Do- ctors, for the perfecting of the Saintes, for the woork of the Ministerie, for the building vp of the Body of Christe, that wee maie al comme into the Vnitie of Faith, and of the knowledge of the Sonne of God. By these meanes God thought it sufficiente, to preserue his Church in Unitie, and neuer made mention of One Uniuersal Pope.

Therfore S. Cyprian saithe, Vnus est Episcopatus, cuius a singulis in solidum pars tenetur: There is but One Bishoprike, parte wherof of euery seueral Bishop is holden in whole. And againe, Ideo plures sunt in Ecclesia Sacerdotes, vt vno Haresim faciente, coeteri subueniant: Therfore are there many Bishoppes in the Church, that if one fall into Heresie, the reste maie healepe. Thus, when Peter walked not vprightly to the Gospel, Paule came with healpe, and repproued him openly euen to his face: Thus Irenaeus repproued Pope Victor: thus sundrie godly Fathers, haue repproued others. Therfore S. Augustine saith, Deus docuit Petrum per posteriorem Paulum. A quocunque enim Verum dicitur, illo donante, dicitur, qui est ipsa Veritas: Thus God instructed Peter by Paule his punee, that was called after him. For by whom so euer the Truthe is spoken, it is spoken by his gusie, that is Truthe it selfe.

Pe saie, the Pope succedeth not Christe in al his Substancie, that is to saie, in al his Power: neither hath there any sutch sonde saieinge benne vttered (saie you) at any time by the Diuines. If this be true, wherfore then be these wordes writen, so wel allowed of in the Council of Laterane, Tibi data est Omnis Potestas, in Caelo, & in Terra: Vnto your Holinesse al Power is geuen as wel in Heauen, as in Earthe. Wherfore is Bernarde so wel allowed to foze the same farther with these wordes: Qui totum dedijt, nihil excludit: He that hath geuen thee Al, hath excepted Nothinge. Wherfore is Panormitane allowed to saie, Papa potest omnia, quia Deus ipse potest? The Pope is hable by his Power to doo, what so euer God him selfe can doo.

For the reste, M. Hardinge saithe, One Kinge is hable to rule One King- dome: Ergo, One Pope is hable to rule the whole Church. This reason is very simple, and is answered before. If the Couernemente of Princes we haue daily Practise: But of Popes, that euer exercised this Uniuersal Dominion ouer the whole Church of God, M. Hardinge is not table to shewe vs One. If he be table to saie with the One, let him shewe him for his Credit sake. If there be not One sutch Example to be founde from the Ascension of Christe vnto this daie, then although the Pope had it in claime by Charter, yet hath he lost it by Non vsure. Well were it with him, if he were but a Member of Christes Body, and a Shepe of his Flocke. S. Gregorie saide sometime to Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, claimeinge vnto him selfe the same Title, and thirking him selfe hable enoughe to rule the whole, Quid tu Christo Vniuersalis Ecclesie Capiti in Extremi Iudicii responsum es examine, qui cuncta eius Membra tibi met ceteris Vniuersalis appella- tione supponere? What answer wilt thou make in the trial of the Last Iudgemente, vnto Christe the Heade of his Vniuersal Church, that thou by the name of Vniuersal Bishop, seekest to bringe vnder thee al the Members of his Body?

Last of al, M. Hardinge concludeth without Premises: Who so euer wil not be

be ruled by this Shephearde, the Pope, is of the Hearde of Antichriste. So saith the one of the Popes hired Proctours: Quicquid Saluator, est sub Summo Pontifice: What so ever Soule is saved, it is under the Pope. This one thinge bringe graunted, P. Hardinges whole cause passeth cleare.

But God be thanked, it appeareth already to al them, that have eyes to see, that we have not departed from the servile Obedience of that See, but upon iuste cause, and good advise. And in sutch sort the Pope him selfe will not denie, but it is lawfull for any Church to dissent from the Church of Rome. These be his wordes, whiche must be holden for a Lawe: Quicquid sine discretionis Iustitie contra Romanæ Ecclesiæ Disciplinam actum fuerit, ratum haberi nulla ratio permittit: What so ever thinge is donne without discretion of Justice, against the Order of the Church of Rome, it mai not by any meanes be allowed. By whiche wordes it appeareth, Ex contrario Sensu, By an Argument of the contrarie, that, what so ever is donne by discretion of Justice, notwithstandinge it be against the Order of the Church of Rome, yet oughte it to be wel allowed.

Addition
¶

Addition. In this P. Hardinge answereth, If I had seen the folie hereof, I woulde never have printed it for very shame. For the Glose there, whiche otherwheres he condemneth, as peltinge, and beggerly, saith thus: Here the Argument of the contrarie Sense is avoided, & taketh no place. But in dede, as it maie appeare, this poore Gloser him selfe was void of somnewhat. For thus he concludeth, and that very wel, to P. Hardinges likinge, That without the Authoritie of the Church of Rome we maye doo nothinge, be it never so iuste, and true, and never so muche donne by discretion of Justice. Per, good Reader, Truthe is Truthe, and one for ever, whether the Church of Rome wil allowe it, or no.

S. Ambrose saith, as in the same place he is alleged: Ego cum Romam venio, Sabbatum ieiundicum Mediolani sum non ieiunans: When I came to Rome, I faste on the Saturday: When I am at Millaine, I faste not. By these wordes, that in the Church of Millaine the Saturday was not fasted: And yet did they wel, and accordinge to the discretion of Justice, and yet contrarie to the Order of the Church of Rome.

S. Augustine likewise in the same place saith, What so ever thinges are not contrarie, neither to the Catholique Faith, nor to good manners, they muste be taken as thinges indifferente. Thus the Glose, that P. Hardinge so muche commendeth, is quite contrarie to the Text. As for the Order of the Church of Rome, the Holy Catholique Fathers sometimes, as it maie appeare, have little esteemed it.

S. Hierome therof saith thus unto Euagrius, Quid mihi proferis vnius Urbis Consuetudinem? What bringest thou mee the Custome of Rome, that is but one Cittie? As if he would saye, Is that Order sufficient to binde the whole Church of Christe? If ye reckon Authorities, the world is greater then the Cittie of Rome. This had benne proudly, and disdaine fully spoken, had the Order of Rome benne a sufficient direction for al the world.

¶

S. Augustine saith, Ne Catholicis quidem Episcopis consentiendum est, si ubi forte fallantur, ut contra Canonicas Scripturas aliquid sentiant: Wee woe not geve our consent unto any Bishoppes, be they never so Catholique, if they happen to be deceived, and to determine contrary to the Scriptures.

And Pope Pius him selfe saith, Resistendum est quibuscumque in faciem, siue Paulus, siue Petrus sit, qui ad Veritatem non ambulat Evangelij: We are bounden to withstande any man, be it Peter, be it Paul, if he walke not to the Truthe of the Gospel.

To conclude, where the Church is broken in, it is better for the poore Shepe, to breake out. That the Church was broken in, beside the cruel spoile, and raveninge of Christian Bloude, it is plaine by the wordes of S. Bernarde. For thus he speaketh thereof in Open Council, and in the presence of Iundis Bishoppes: Non Custodiunt Gregem Domini, sed maculant, & decedunt: They keepe not the Lordes Flocke: but they kille it, and decurre it. Againe he saith, Propterea relinquamus istos, quia non sunt Pastores, sed Traditores: Therefore let vs leave them: For they are not Pastours, but Traitors. And therefore God thus warneth us in the like case:

B y

Exite

Dr. Majoret, &
Obedient. Vnam
sanct. in Glossa,

Dist. 12. Non
Decet,

M. Hard. pa. 155

Dist. 12. Illa,

Hieronym. Ad
Euagrius,

August. de Veritate
Ecclesiæ, Catholice, cap. 10.

Abbas Vrsperg.
Pag. 443.

Bernardus in
Council. Roman.
in eodem Con-
cilio.

**The other
Apostles
equalvvith
Peter.**

Numer. 16.

Peters Power
Ordinarie.
The Apostles
power Extraor-
dinarie.
Peter is the
Shephearde:
The Apostles
are the Sheepe.
Manifest, and
mere Vntruths.
* Peter harbe
Power to him,
and to his
Heires for euer:
The Apostles
haue Power
onely for terme
of Life.
Cyprian, De
Simplicitate
Prelatorum.

112

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Exite de medio horum hominum, ne cum illis pereatis: *Goe forth from the middes of those Menne, leaſte yee perishe al together.*

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 3.

**For al the Apostles, as Cyprian saithe, were of like power emonge
them selues, and the rest were the same, that Peter was.**

M. Hardinge.

Power is double, the one Ordinarie, the other by priuilege or Extraordinarie. Ordinarie Power is that whiche continueth in one and the same course for euer. Accordinge to which Power Peter was Heade of the Church, and his Successours after him. Power by priuilege, or Extraordinarie is that whiche is geuen besides the common course, by waie of dispensation. As where the other Apostles shoulde haue receiued Ordinarily their Power from Peter, as who had commission ouer al, bothe Lambes and Sheepe, amonge whom the Apostles had their place: Christe by special grace preueneth ordinarie course, and maketh them for the time, and in their Persons equal with Peter in the office of Apostleship. Thus concerninge Ordinarie Power, Peter is Heade of the Apostles, and by that reason they are subiecte vnto him, as Sheepe vnto their Shepheards. But by Priuilege true it is, as S. Cyprian saithe. They were of like power amonge them selues. * Nowe what oddes there is betwixte an Ordinarie auctoritie of iudgeing geuen to any officer, for him selfe, and his Successours in that Office for euer, and a special commission for life time onely: so muche is betwixte Peter and the reste of the Apostles.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

S. Cyprians wordes be plaine: Hoc erant vtique & Coeteri Apostoli, quod fuit Petrus, pari consortio praediti & Honoris, & Potestatis. Sed exordium ab Vnitate proficiscitur, vt Ecclesia vna monstratur: *The reste of the Apostles were the same, that Peter was, al endowd with one Fellowship bothe of Honour, and of Power. Yet the Beginnings is taken of One, so shewe, that the Church is One.* But al these wordes, be they neuer so plaine, are some shifted by a Picty Distinction, such as neither S. Cyprian, nor any other Learned Father, or Doctor euer knewe.

They are taught here to vnderstande, that there are two Powers: The one Ordinarie, the other Extraordinarie. By Ordinarie Power, saithe M. Hardinge, that is to saie, by Order, and of Common Course, Peter appointed al the rest of the Apostles, and gaue them Authority. And Christe also likewise gaue them Authority, but by Extraordinarie Power, that is to saie, besides Order, and out of Course. As, to Peter the water in plainer wise, Peter gaue Power to the Apostles by his Actual Authority, and by betwe foure of Lawe: But Christe gaue them Power, as M. Hardinge saithe, Onely for the time, and by waie of Dispensation, and besides the Lawe. And thus Peter is the Ordinarie Heade of the Apostles: Christ is their Head tw, howe be it, not in like sort, but Extraordinarie. For, as touchinge Order of gouernement, Peter is the Shephearde, and the Apostles are the Sheepe. Al other the Apostles holde their Power, as by Copie, for terme of life: Peter onely holdeth the same in the simple, so him, and to his Heires for euer.

And, good Christian Reader, leaſte thou shouldest thinke, I haue in scoone, and wilfully w: called M. Hardinges wordes, which otherwise might be vttered by him in some sober meaning: make it please the by these fewe to consider, what certaine others of that Age haue vttered, and published, touchinge the same.

Petrus de Palude
De Potesta. Apo-
stolor. Ar. 2.
Petr. De Palude
In eodem Artic.

Petrus de Palude saithe thus: Dico, quod nullus Apostolorum, praeter Petrum, factus est a Christo Episcopus: *I saie, that none of the Apostles, sauinge onely Peter, was made Bishop by Christe.* And againe, Videretur, in Nouo Testamento, quod alij Apostoli a Christo Nullam Potestatem Iurisdictionis receperunt: & per consequens relinquuntur, quod Omnis Potestas Iurisdictionis, quam habuerunt Apostoli, Specialiter post Christi Ascensum, fuit collata eis a Petro: *It would appeare, that in the Newe Testamento the reste of the Apostles receiued no manner Power of Iurisdiction at Christes handes: and so consequently it followeth, that al the Power of Iurisdiction, that the Apostles had, specially after Christes Ascension, was geuen vnto them by Peter.* Againe, be imagineth God the Father thus to saie vnto Christe: Constitues eos Principes, non per te, sed per tuum Vicarium: *Thou shalt make the Apostles Gouvernours ouer al the Church, not by thee selfe, but by Peter thy Vicars.* And againe, Paulus, & alij Apostoli a Petro, non debuerunt praedicare in Ecclesia specialiter Petro commissa, nisi de eius licentia.

Petr. De Palude
In eodem Cap:
Petr. De Palude
de Potestate Co-
rator. Ar. 6.

Vnde

Vnde à Christo habuerunt idoneitatem à Petro autem Authoritatem: Paule, and the other Apostles might not Preache in the Church committed vnto Peter, but with Peters Licence. For of Christe they had onely Habitatio: but of Peter they receiued Authoritie. In like manner writeth Pope Nicolas, Petrum in Consortium Individuū Vnitatis assumptum, id quod ipse erat, Dominus voluit nominari: Our Lorde took Peter into the Fellowship of the Holy Vnity, and would haue him called the same, that he was him selfe.

By such Amplifications, and outrage in speache, it would appeare, Christe were Peters Vicare: and not Peter Vicare vnto Christe. In this sense, and meaning M. Hardinge seemeth to saie, that by Ordinarie, & common Courte of Lawe, the Apostles had al their Power, not from Christe, but onely from Peter.

But here M. Hardinge intwaies falleth into a marvellous inconuenient. For, these thinges thus granted, it must needs follow, that during the time of Christes abode in Earthe, the Apostles had no manner Ordinarie Power at al: neither to Preache, nor to Baptize, nor to Binde, nor to Lose. For Hieronymus a Doctor of M. Hardinges Age, saith thus: Sciendum, quod, cum Christus conuersabatur cum hominibus, non fuit alius Papa præter ipsum: nec Petrus tunc habuit Potestatem Papalem: Wee muste vnderstande, that while Christe was conuersante amongst men in Earthe, there was none other Pope, but he alone: Neither then had Peter the Popes Authoritie.

So likewise saith de Palude: Non decebat esse simul, nisi Vnum Summum Pontificem. Vnde, Christo Ascensuro, debuit Petrus fieri Episcopus Summus, & non antea: It was not meete there should be more then One Highest Bishop at one time. Therefore when Christe was readie to Ascende into Heauen, it was conuenient to make Peter the Highest Bishop, and not before.

For so long time, Christe could not geue his Apostles any Ordinarie Authority: for M. Hardinge telleth vs, that his Power herein was onely Extraordinary: Of the other side, Peter could geue them none: for as Hieronymus and Paludensis saie, vntill Christes Ascension he was not Pope.

But to leaue these vaine fantasies, not worth the hearinge, S. Paule wil some remoue al these doubts. Thus he writeth of him selfe: Paule the Apostle not of Men, nor appointed by Menne, but by Iesus Christe, and God the Father. And S. Chrysostome hereof writeth thus, Paulus nihil opus habebat Petro, nec illius egebat voce: sed Honorat Pat erat illi. Nihil enim hic dicam amplius: Paule had no manner of lacke of Peter: nor stood in neede of his voice, or allowance: but in Honour was his Fellowe. For I wil here saie nomore. His meaninge is, he was his better.

Howebeit, what neede wordes? Let contention aparte: the case is cleare: for it was not Peter, that breathed ouer the Apostles: It was not Peter, that saide vnto them, Goe to the losse Sheepe of the house of Israel: Receiue the Holy Ghoste: Goe into al the Worlde, and Preache the Gospel. Al this Power was geuen them by Christe alone, and not by Peter.

Nowe, where as M. Hardinge teacheth vs, that Peter was the Shephearde, and the Apostles the sheepe, makinge them al as mutche inferior vnto Peter, as the sheepe is inferior vnto the shephearde. S. Hierome saith, notwithstanding he graunt, that of the twelue Apostles One was chosen, that, a Heade beinge appointed, occasion of discord mighte be remoued. yet he saith, Super Petrum fundatur Ecclesia: Licet ad ipsum in alio loco super Omnes Apostolos fiat, & cuncti clauis Regni Cælorum accipiant, & Ex Aequo super eos Ecclesia solidetur. For wil saie the Church be founded vpon Peter. Notwithstanding in another place the same thinge is donne vpon al the Apostles, and al euen the keys of the Kingdome of Heauen, and the strength of the Church is founded Equally vpon them al. Likewise the Learned Father Origene saith, Quod si Super Vnum illum Petrum tantum existimas edificari totam Ecclesiam, quid dicaturus de Iohanne Filio Tonitru, & Apostolorum vnoquoque? If thou thinke, the whole Church was builded onely vpon Peter, what wilt thou than saie of Iohn the Sonne of the Thunder, and of every of the Apostles?

Therefore S. Chrysostome of Peter saith thus: Duplex crimen erat: tum quia repugnauit, tum quia ceteris seipsum præposuit: Peter was in double faulte: both

Extra De Eccl.
et electi potest
fundamentum.

Hieronymus de potestate papæ.
Hic suppositus.

Petr. de Palude
De potestate Apo-
stolorum.

Galat. 1.
Chrys. in Epist.
Ad Galat. c. 2.

1 John 10.
Marc. 16.

Hieronymus. Aduers.
Iovinian. Lib. 1.

Origene in Matthe.
Tracta. 1.

Chrys. in Matthe.
Hom. 8.

the other
Apostles
equal with
Peter.

M. Har. fol. 157. b
Chrysostom. Ro-
man. Homil. 18.
Gregor. 1. Reg.
Lib. 4. Cap. 4.
Chrysost. De Lau-
dib. Pauli. Ho. 3.
Chrysost. in Mar-
the. Homil. 66.
August. Epist. 86.
August. in Epist.
Ad Galat. Cap. 2.
Gloss. Galat. 2.
Galat. 2.

booke for that he wisheth to see Christe, and also for that he sette him selfe before the rest.

Addition. It may be saide, That wordes are not specially spoken of the Supremacie of S. Peter. At this I graunt, yet by the same wordes he is bla-
mied for settinge him selfe before the rest. But in the same Homilie Chrysostome cal-
leth Peter, Veracem Apostolorum: The Heads of the Apostles, saith the M. Hardinges. So
both the same Chrysostome and Elias, Caput Prophetarum: The Heads of the Prophetes.
Yet had not Elias therfore al other Prophetes in subiection. S. Gregorie calleth Paule,
Caput Nationum: The Heads of Nations: And Chrysostome saith, Paule was in
a manner the Common Father of al the Worlde. Againe he saith, Nullus Paulum
antecedit. De ea re nemo omnium dubitat: Noman hath place before Paule. And therof
noman doubteth, yet was not Paule therfore the Bishop of Rome.

S. Augustine maketh Peter Fellowe, and Equal with the other Apostles: Inter
se concorditer viscerunt Petrus, & Condiscipuli eius: Peter and his Fellowes lived agree-
ably together. And againe, Christus sine personarum acceptione hoc dedit Paulo, ut
Ministraret Gentibus, quod etiam Petro dederat, ut Ministraret Iudæis: Christe without
any respect of Personnes gave the same (Authoritie) to Paule, to Minister amonge the Heathens,
that he gave to Peter, to Minister amonge the Jewes. And the deep Ordinarie Glose gei-
ueth these wordes to S. Paule: Non didici ab alijs, tanquam a Maioribus: sed contuli
cum illis, tanquam cum amicis, & Paribus: I learned not of (Peter, and) others, as of my
betters: but I had conference with them, as with my Equales, and Frondes. Likewise Paule
him selfe saith, Iacobus, Petrus, Iohannes, qui videbantur Columnæ esse, dextræ de-
derunt mihi, & Barnabas Societatis: James, Peter, and Iohn, that seemed to be the Pillers,
gave unto mee, and Barnabas the right handes of Fellowship: Which the Glose expoundeth
thus, Societatis, id est Aequalitatis: Of Fellowship, that is to say, of Equalitie.

Therfore, notwithstandinge M. Hardinges Ordinarie, and Extraordinarie
Distinctions, S. Cyprians wordes are plaine, and true, The rest of the Apostles were
even the same (in Authoritie) that Peter was, adorned with One Fellowship: both of Ho-
nour, and of Power.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 4.

And that it was saide indifferently to them al, Feede yee: indiffe-
rently to them al, Goe into the vvhole vvorld: in differently to them
al, Teache yee the Gospel.

M. Hardinges.

VVee denie, that it was saide indifferently to them al, Feede yee: Yea, or that it was saide at al,
Feede yee: To Peter, and none els was it saide, Feede my Lambs, Feede my Sheepe. VVhich wordes
of Hardinges so singularly spoken to Peter in the presence of the other Apostles, proueth, that it was
not indifferently saide to al, Feede yee. That they were sente into the whole worlde, and that they
were commaunded to teache, and in that respect shew to Feede; wee confesse vnder the distinction of
Ordinarie and Extraordinarie Power before mentioned.

The Bishop of Sarisburie. It is to be noted, that M. Hardinges denie, or graunte, bringeth neither
Reason, nor Authoritie, but onely his owne. But if Power were not given indis-
creetly to al the Apostles, tel vs then, as touching Feedinge, wherein is the odds?
What had Peter more? What had the others lesse? What did he do more, or
Learned Father euer saith this Difference.

Addition. Here M. Harding onely crieth out, Impudencie, and Shame vpon vs
the vvildly wordings. And no great maruile. For nothing was to be thewed.

Christe saide Equally vnto them al, Receive the Holy Ghoste: Whose Sinnes yee for-
geue, they are forgiven: Goe into the whole Worlde: Preache the Gospel to every Creature.
These wordes pertain equally vnto al. Peter had none the Holy Ghost, none
Power to forgeue Sinnes, none Commission to goe into the whole Worlde, no
more Authoritie to Preache the Gospel, then others had.

M. Harding saith, To the rest of the Apostles it was not saide at al, Feede yee.
To Peter, and none els, was it saide, Feede my Lambs: Feede my Sheepe.

Addition. Yet the Ancient Learned Father Origen, speakinge namely
of these

*Vntruth most
vaine and ma-
nifest.

M. Har. pag. 159

John. 21.
Matt. 28.

John. 21.
Matt. 28.

Addition

401

Iohn. 21.
Matt. 28.

Addition

Addition

of these wordes, Upon this Rocke wil I build my Church: And, To thee wil I geue the keyes of the Kingdome of Heauen. saith thus, Hæc velut ad Petrum dicta, sunt omnium Communia: These wordes beinge spoken as vnto Peter, are common to al the Apostles. These wordes saith he, are not spoken directly, or onely vnto Peter, but, As vnto Peter. And the other Apostles haue the keyes, and are the Rocke as wel as Peter. If this be true, as it is, with what good countenance then can M. Hardinge so boldly telle vs, To Peter, and none els was it saide, Feede my Lambes, Feede my Sheepe.

And S. Hierome saith, as it is before alleged, Al the Apostles receiued the Keyes of the Kingdome of Heauen: and the strength of the Church was builded Equally vpon them al. S. Paule saith, What is Apollo, what is Paule, but the Ministers of Christe, through whome ye haue beleened? Paule hath planted, Apollo hath watered, He that planteth, is nothinge: He that watereth, is nothinge. Chrysostome saith: Angeli, quamlibet magni, tamen Serui sunt, ac Ministri: The Angels of God, be they neuer so great, yet are they but Seruantes, and Ministers. Therefore, to conclude, he saith: Ne Paulo quidem obedire Oportet, si quid proprium dixerit, si quid humanum: sed Apostolo Christum in se loquentem circumferenti: Wee maie not beleue Paule him selfe, if he speake any thinge of his owne, or of worldly reason: but we must beleue the Apostle bearinge about Christe speakinge within him.

Addition. This is your owne badde stuffe (saith M. Hardinge) and proueth nothinge. The Answer. What stuffe it is, how muche it weigheth, and how wel it proueth, I wil not strue: but leaue the iudgemente vnto the Reader. This muche, I knowe, at least it proueth, That the Church was equally builded vpon al the Apostles, as wel vpon James, or Iohn, as vpon S. Peter: for so saith S. Hierome: And that Christe wordes spoken as vnto Peter, were common to al: for so saith Origen. This M. Hardinge, was my purpose to proue: And this, I thought, had benne sufficient, It proueth, that in the Apostleship, Peter, and Paule, and the reste of the Apostles were al one, and so one of them not so farre inferiour to an other, as is imagined. It proueth, that Peter, and Paule, as touchinge their personnes, and offices of Plantinge, and Wateringe, were bothe nothinge: for so saith S. Paule, Hæc et plantatio est, et irrigatio: And he that watereth, is nothinge. And what greater difference in dignitie can you finde, M. Hardinge, betwene nothinge, and nothinge? S. Peter, had chosen vessel, that planted Christe Church, be nothinge, what then is he, that hath watered by al thinges, and planteth nothinge?

The Apologie, Cap. 3. of the first. And, as Hierome saith, Al Bishoppes where so euer they be, be they at Rome, be they at Eugubium, be they at Constantinople, be they at Rhegium, be al of like Preeminence, and of like Priesthood: And, as Cyprian saith, There is but one Bishoprike, and a peece thereof is parately and wholly holden of euery particular Bishop.

M. Hardinge. The Interpreter, not without the wil and aduise of this Defender, hath altered the Sense of the Latine, as the Authour of the Latine hath altered the wordes of S. Hierome. For neither speaketh S. Hierome of Bishoppes in the plural number, neither saith the Latine Apologie, that the Bishoppes be al of like Preeminence, which this Translation hath, but of the same Merite, and of the same Priesthood. With the word Preeminence guilefully shifted into the sentence in place of this word Merite, these false playnes thought to winne the game. That is, that al Bishoppes, after the minde of S. Hierome be of like Preeminence, and so that al be of like Power, and auctoritie, and done apoue other.

Concerninge the place alleged, S. Hierome in an Epistle to Augustine speakinge against that, a particular custome of the Church of Rome shoulde Preiudicate the Auctoritie of the whole world, in p'sentinge Deacons before Priests, compareth Bishoppes of great Cities and little Townes together, and saith, that as touchinge the Honour, Dignitie, and Power of Bishoply Order and Office, and of Priesthood, as good and as great a Bishop in that respect is the One, as the other: and that the Bishop of Eugubium, and Rhegium, two little Townes in Italie, and of Thebes an other little Towne in Egypte, are Bishoppes and Priests, and haue as great a Merite in regarde of any other Vertue, and as great Power concerninge the order of Priesthood, as the Bishoppes of Rome, of Constantinople, of Alexandria, and of Antiochia be shoulde Bishoppes of other Dioceses, and the Bishop of Rome Peters Successour is (b) about al. For we beinge many are one Body in Christe, and euery

Origen, in Math. the. Tracta. 1.

Hierony. contra Iovinian. Lib. 1. 1. Cor. 3.

Chryso. in 1. pist. Ad Gal. ca. 1. 3. Chryso. in 2. pist. ad Timoth. 2. Rom. 12. M. Har. pag. 116.

1. Cor. 3.

Ad Eugubium.

De Siml. Pre-lator.

Vnto the Reader the Answer.

(a) This was no parte of the question. (b) Vnto the B. of Rome is equal with the other foure Patriarkes, as shal appeare.

man amonge our selues, or an others members. This knotte requireth a mutual consents of the whole Bodie, but chiefly the concord of Priests: amonge who is although dignitie be not common to them al, yet order is general, as Leo writteth. For euen amonge the moite blessed Apostles (farrthe he) in likenesse of Honour there was order of Power, And whereas the Election of them al was equal, yet to One was given to be ouer the rest: Out of which platte rose the distinction also of Bishoppes, and with greates Prudence it hath bene disposed, that al should not take al vpon them, but that in euery prouince there should be one, who in the firste geue his sentence amonge his brethren: and againe, that in the greates Cities he should be ordered for takinge vpon them matters of greater care, through who he the charge of the Vniuersall Church should haue course to the one see of Peter, and that nothinge should euer dissent from the Head.



Howe greater, and Honorable for each the countie is, that any Bishp appe is placed in, be he Archibishop, Metropolitan, Primase, Patriarke, or Pope him selfe: He is namore a Bishp, then any other of those, who occupie the lower countie. The diuision consisteth in this, that they are called to parte of charge in sundry proportions, as the Bishprikes are greater or lesser: the Pope hath committed vnto him (c) the charge of the whole Folde of Christs, and hath the fulnes of Power. For if al were of like Power, as these Defenders teache, Vaine coulde not be maintained. VVherfore (d) by very order of Christs him selfe hath benne ordered, that matters touching Faith and Religion, at least wherein is suche as be weighty, be referred to that one Prince of Pastors, who sitteth in the chaire of Peter, the Highest Bishop, whiche hath (e) alwaies benne donne and offered from the Apostles time to our daies by Catholikes, and not seldome also by Heretikes.

The Centurie that this Defender allegeth out of S. Cyprian, It seemeth he vnderstoode it not. Ye saie, that a peece of that one Bishoprike is perfectly and wholly holden of euery particular Bishop. But what meane ye by that? If by this woorde, *In solidum*, perfectly and wholly holden, ye meane, that euery particular Bishop is a Bishop without dependunge of any other, then ye speake against the wordes yee bringe out of S. Cyprian. VVho falslie, that as there are many beames of one Sunne, many boughes of one roote, many Riners of one Fountaine: so there are many Bishoppes of one Bishoprike. Therefore this Bishoprike is vnto particular Bishoppes, as the Sunne, as the Roote, as the Fountaine. (f) VVhat the Fountaine, Roote, and Sunne of this Bishoprike is, S. Cyprian declareth a litle before, shewing that it was saide to Peter, To thee I will geue the keyes of the Kingdome of Heauen. And, Feede my Sheepe.

The Bishop of Sarsburie.

Here *M. Harding* chargeth us with twm of his owne common faulces: firſt
with Corruption: next with Ignorance. *W*ith Corruption, in the words, and
ſenſe of *S. Hierome*: with Ignorance, in the place of *S. Cyprian*. But if we be able
ſufficiently, and truly to anſwere both, I truſte, *M. Harding* ſhal haue no great
cauſe to vaunte him ſelfe, either of his plaine dealinge herein, or of his
knowledg.

And here, to dissemble these childe like consultations of the altering of Numbers, the singular into the plural: and of the changinge of this worde, *Merito*, into this worde, *Preeminence*: which great faulte, if it were aw, by *Mr. Hardinges* owne Confession, proceeded onely from the Interpreter, and not from the Author: I saie, to dissemble, and to passe by all these saie quarrells, what *S. Hierome* meante hereby, *Erasmus* a man of great Learninge, and Iudgemente, expoundeth thus: *Hieronymus æquæ videtur omnes Episcopos inter se, perinde quasi omnes ex æquo Apostolis successerint. Nec putat ullum Episcopum alio minorem esse, quod sit humilior: aut maiorem, quod sit Opulentior: Nam æquat Eugubiensem Episcopum cum Romano. Deinde non putat, Episcopum quouis Presbytero præstantiorem esse, nisi quod ius habeat Ordinandi: Hierome seemeth to matche al Bishoppes together, as if they were al equally the Apostles Successors. And he thinketh not any Bishop to be lesse then other, for that he is poorer: or greater then other, for that he is richer. For he maketh the Bishop of Eugubium (a potts towne) equal with the Bishop of Rome. And further he thinketh, that a Bishop is no better then any Priests, sauinge that the Bishop hath Authority to Order Ministers.*

Addition.  *Pereto M. Darbings answereth thus: Erasmus, saith*
within five lines following, that the Metropolitan hath a certaine Dignitie and Iurisdiction above
other Bishoppes. Take the one, (saith he) with the other. *Addition* 

The Answerers. I am contented, M. Hardinge, Erasmus saith, The Metropolitane had a Dignitie above other Bishoppes. But he saith not, The Bishop of Rome had Jurisdiction over al Bishoppes throughout the world. In S. Hieromes time there were, Metropolitanes, Archbishoppes, Archdeacons, and others. But Christe

Epik 14.
Among
Bishopp
is differe
of Power
with like
necle of
Honor.

The diar-
istic bi-
ographer H.
Thompson,
whom is
confident.

De Simp-
litate Pro-
prietatum.

(e) Vntruth: For
Christe neuer
gaue the Pope
any such
charge.

(d) Vntruth. For
Chriſte neuer
gane ſutche or-
der.

(c) Vntruth: For
it hath not al-
waies benne
observed.

(f) Vntruth with
out any likeli-
hoode, or re-
garde of truth.

Erasm. in Schol.
in Epist. ad Eua-
grium.

M. Ford, 164, 4.

Addition
17

Christe appointed not these distinctions of Orders from the beginninge. These names are not founde in al the Scriptures. This is the thinge, that we defende. S. Hierome saith, Sciatis Episcopi &c. Let Bishoppes understande (whereunto we adde further, let the Bishoppes of Rome them selues understande) that they are in authoritie, ouer Priests, more by custome, then by order of Goddes Truthe. These be S. Hieromes wordes, truely translated. What he meante thereby I leaue to the iudgemente of the Reader. Erasmus likewise saith, in the selfe same place aboute alleged: Quod Episcopo minus tribuit Dignitatis &c. Whereas S. Hierome yeeldeth lesse Dignitie, and Authoritie vnto Bishoppes, then nowe a daies they seeme to haue, wee must understande, he spake of that time, wherein he liued. If he had seene our Bishoppes, that nowe be, he would haue saide otherwise. For nowe the Pope claimeth a power aboute al the powers in Heauen, and Earth: as it is written in the Council of Laterane. Againe Erasmus in another place speakinge hereof, saith thus: Sanctus vir ingenue fatetur, Episcopum Romanum non esse ceteris Episcopis sublimiorem Sacerdotio, sed tantum opibus: This Holy man S. Hierome saith plainly, and freely, and as he thinketh, that the Bishoppe of Rome is aboute other Bishoppes, not by Bishoprike, but onely by Richesse. By his Richesse onely, M. Hardinge, Erasmus saith, the Pope is aboute other Bishoppes. By Richesse onely, (he saith) not by righte of Goddes Word: not by Vertue, not by Learninge, not by diligence in Preachinge: but onely by Richesse. Nowe it maie please you, to folowe your owne rule, and to late the one salenge to the other.

But S. Hieromes wordes are plaine of them selfe, and haue no neede of other Expolitour. Thus he writeth: Quid facit, excepta Ordinatione, Episcopus, quod Presbyter non faciat? Nec altera Romanæ Urbis Ecclesia, altera totius Orbis exillimanda est. Et Gallia, & Britannia, & Aphrica, & Persis, & Oriens, & India, & Omnes Barbaræ Nationes Vnum Christum adorant: vnam obseruant regulam Veritatis.

Si Authoritas quaeritur, Orbis maior est Vrbe. Vbicunque fuerit Episcopus, siue Eugubij, siue Constantinopoli, siue Alexandriæ, siue Tanai, eiusdem Meriti, eiusdem est Sacerdotij. Potentia diuitiarum, & paupertatis humilitas, vel sublimiorem, vel Inferiorem Episcopum non facit. Coeterum omnes Apostolorum Successores sunt. Quid mihi proferat Vnius Urbis consuetudinem? What doothe a Bishop, sauinge onely the Orderinge of Ministers, but a Priesthe maie doo the same? Neither maie wee thinke, that the Church of Rome is one, and the Church of al the worlde beside is another. Fraunce, England, Aphrica, Persia, Leuante, India, and al the Barbarous Nations worshippinge one Christe, and keepe one rule of the Truthe. If wee seeke for Authoritie, The whole worlde is greater then the Citie of Rome. Where so euer there be a Bishop, he is at Eugubium, he is at Rome, he is at Constantinople, he is at Rhegium, he is at Alexandria, he is at Tanais, they are al of one worthinesse, they are al of one Bishoprike. The Power of Richesse, and the basenesse of Pouertie, maketh not a Bishop either Higher or Lower. For they are al the Apostles Successors. What bringe you me the Custome of Rome, beinge but one Citie?

Addition. Here M. Hardinge findeth greate faulte, for that I haue translated these wordes, Eiusdem Sacerdotij, Of one Bishoprike, and not, as he would haue it, Of one Priesthoode. God wote a very simple quarrel. Let him take, whether he liketh best: if either other of these wordes shal serue his turne. Erasmus saith, Id temporis idem erat Episcopus, Sacerdos, & Presbyter: These three names at that time were alone.

Now, if M. Hardinge will scale a waie in the darke, as his manner is, and saie, that S. Hierome spake onely of the Merite of Life, or of the Office of Priesthoode, let some man telle him, that this was no parte, neither of the question moued, nor of the answer of S. Hierome: And S. Hierome in plaine, and expresse wordes saith,

Si Authoritas quaeritur, If wee seeke (not for Merite of Life, but) for Authoritie in gouernement, therein the whole worlde is greater then the

Hieron. in Epist. ad Titum, Ca. 1.

Erasmus in schol. in Epist. ad Euagrium.

Sub Leone, Sessione 10. Erasmus in Apologia ad Rimum.

Hieronymus ad Euagrium.

Erasmus aduersus Albertinum.

Citie

Cittie of Rome. *P. Hardinge* in his glasse, *S. Hierome* saith onely of, *I knowe not* what: but *S. Hierome* him selfe saith, he speaketh namely of *Authoritie*.

And whereas *P. Hardinge* is so bigly offended with the chaunginge of this worde, *Merite*, into this worde, *Preceminence*, and saith the farther, that these *falsa* *Plaies* thought thereby to winne the game, it maie please him to remember, that, how so ever the game goe, *S. Hierome* him selfe plainly plaies the selfe same game: *I* meane, that *S. Hierome* vsinge this worde, *P. rite*, without question meante, *Preceminence*, and not *P. rite* of *Life*. For thus he saith, *Potentia Diuitiarum, & Paupertatis humilitas, vel Sublimiorem, vel Inferiorem Episcopum non facit: The Power of Richesse, and the basenesse of Povertie maketh not a Bishop either Higher, or Lower.* *P. Hardinge* might easily haue seene, that *Higher*, and *Lower*, pertaine not to *Merite* of *Life*, but to *Preceminence*. Therefore lette him looke better vpon his Booke, before he thus lightly condemne others of corruption.

I graunt, it is true, as *P. Hardinge* saith, this quarrel firste beganne aboute a particulare Custome of the Church of *Rome*, where as the *Deacons* haunted them selues, and would be placed aboute the *P. ristes*. But here *P. Hardinge*, as his manner is, willingly dissembleth, and suppresseth somewhat. *S. Augustine* moze liuely, and fully expresseth the same. For thereof he writeth thus: *Quidam, qui nomen habet Falcidij, Duce stultitia, & Romanæ Ciuitatis Incontinentia, Leuitas Sacerdotibus, & Diaconos Presbyteris coequare contendit: One Falcidius, Foolishnesse, and the Pride of the Cittie of Rome leadinge him thereto, laboureth to make the Deacons Equal with the Priests.*

August. in quæst. Veter. & Noui Testam. quæst. 101.

This lewd disorder *S. Hierome* controllith by the Examples of other Churches, and saith, that therein the *Authoritie* of the whole worlde is greater, then the *Authoritie* of the Church of *Rome*: Of whiche also he saith to speake scornefully, and with some disdaine. For thus he saith, *Quid mihi proferens Vnius Urbis Consuetudinem? What bringe you me the Custome of (Rome, beinge but) One Cittie? By whiche wordes it seemeth, he made smal accompte of the Cittie of Rome.*

But *P. Hardinge* saith, The *Primates* had *Authoritie* ouer other *Inferiour* *Bishoppes*. *I* graunte: they had so. How be it, they had it by agreement, and *Custome*: But neither by *Christe*, nor by *Peter* or *Paule*, nor by any *Righte* of *Goddess* *Word*. *S. Hierome* saith, *Nouerint Episcopi, se magis Consuetudine, quàm Dispositionis Dominicæ Veritate, Presbyteris esse Maiores, & in commune debere Ecclesiam regere: Let Bishoppes vnderstande, that they are aboue Priests, rather of Custome, then of any Truthe, or Right of Christes Institution: and that they ought to rule the Churches al together.* And againe, *Idem ergo est Presbyter, qui Episcopus: Et antequam Diaboli instinctu studia in Religione fierent, & diceretur in populis, Ego sum Pauli,*

Hieron. in Epist. ad Titum, cap. 1.

Hieronymus, eod. l. 10.

Ego Apollo, Ego Cepha, Communi Presbyterorum Consilio Ecclesiarum gubernabantur: Therefore a Priest, and a Bishop are bothe one thinge: And, before that by the inflaminge of the Diuel, parties were taken in Religion, and these wordes were uttered amonge the people, I holde of Paule, I holde of Apollo, I holde of Peter

August. Epist. 19

the Churches were gouerned by the Common Aduise of the Priests. *S. Augustine* saith, *Secundum honorum vocabula, quæ iam Ecclesiæ vsus obtinuit, Episcopatus Presbyterio maior est: The office of a Bishop is aboue the office of a Priest, (not by Authoritie of the Scriptures, but) after the Names of Honour, whiche the Custome of the Churches hath nowe obtained.*

ff. l. 2. De Iurisdic. omnium iudicum.

16. q. 6. Consuetudo: In Margine. M. Hard. 168. 6.

As for *Pope Leo*, his owne *Authoritie* in his owne cause cannot be greater. The *Emperoure* saith, *Qui Iurisdictioni præest, non debet sibi ius dicere: No Judge maie minister Lawe vnto him selfe.* And it is noted thus in the *Decrees*, *Papa non debet esse Iudex in causa propria: The Pope maie not be iudge in his owne cause.*

Addition. And whose cause pleadeth he but his owne? He is the Churches cause, saith *P. Hardinge*. But the Churches hath euer moze repined against it. *Addition*

St. S. Basile saith: Quid auxilij nobis conferet Occidentalium fastus? What good shal the Pride of the Westren Church do vs? Potinge thereby, as we maie reasonably con-
 tectore, the immoderate Pride of the Church of Rome. S. Bernarde in like sorte
 chargeth Pope Eugenius: Ambitio per te in Ecclesia regnare molitur. Murmur lo-
 quor, & querimoniam Communem Ecclesiarum. Truncari se clamant, & demem-
 brari: Pride strueth through thee to reigne in the Church. I speake of the murmuringe, and
 common complaints of Churches. They complaine, they be maimed, and dismembred. Altho so
 euer shal consider, howe the Popes haue enriched them selues, by the spoils of o-
 thers, be shal easily iudge, whether they haue sought their owne, or the thinges,
 that pertaine to Christe Iesu.

Basile ad Euseb.
 Epist. 11.

Bernard. De
 Considera. li. 3.

It is wel known, that the Pope hath sought for, and claimed this Universal
 Authoritie these many hundred yeres. Pope Coelestinus was therefore reprimed
 of Pride, and worldly Lordlinesse by the whole Council of Africa.

Council. Aphri-
 ca. ap. 101. Sin-
 perbum facit
 typhum.

Addition

Addition. Where I saie, Pope Coelestinus was reprimed by the whole

Council of Africa of Pride, and Lordlinesse, M. Hardinge thinketh, I vnderstand
 not the place. And therefore he hath taken vpon him to open it better: but so, as they
 re, that goe onely by gheasse. It seemeth (saith he) that the Pope had sente his Agentes,
 to require temporal aide, of the Nobles in Africa. VVhiche thinge nowe is called *Implorare bra-*
chium Seculare. And this is it, that misliked the Council. Therefore they saie to the Pope, wee

M. Hard. 170.4

beseeche you, sende not your Clerges, *Quibuscunque Potentibus*, that is, to any of the great men,
 leasse wee seeme to bringe the smolic stoutnesse of the Temporallie into the Church of Christe.

Potentibus.

By this sauourable construction he dischargeth the Pope, and his Clergie of
 Pride, and Statelinesse, and conuertyeth ouer the same vnto the Nobles, onely
 vpon occasion of thesse wordes, *Quibuscunque Potentibus*. And therfore, he saith,

VVhether M. Jewel vnderstoode this place, or no, I haue good cause to doubt. And yet there
 appeareth no litle greate difficultie in the mater, but that a meane learned man
 maie vnderstande it wel penough with smal studie. For withstandinge, M. Har-

dinge, whether you vnderstande this place, or no, M. Jewel hath no cause to doubt.
 For in dede you vnderstande it not. The ouer great sauoure, you beare the Pope,
 in smotheringe his faultes, what so euer, and the blinde erreure of Peter Crabbe, that

Potentibus.

compiled the Councilles, hath sowly beguiled you. For the true Copies haue not
Quibuscunque Potentibus, as you imagine: but, *Quibuscunque Petentibus*: as you

τινὲν αἰτῶν-
 τῶν.

might haue Learned by Theodorus Balsamon, printed at Parise, An. 1561. and by
 the wordes of the saide Epistle written in Graeke. For thus it is written: *Εὐχαι-*
σας δὲ τὸν υἱὸν ἀναγκῶς ὑμῶν τῶν αἰτῶντων μὴ ἔχετε ἀποστείλει: These wordes, as

you knowe, signifie not Lordes, and Gouernours, but Suppliantes, and Suters.
 But, M. Hardinge, is this the grammere, ye valde the Prince: when ye calle vpon
 him for aide, and assistance, wil ye saie, he is proude, & stately, and bringeth vaine

stoutnesse and statelinesse into the Church: Verily the Prince defendinge the
 Church, might seme to deserue some greater thanks. I doubt, whether there
 were euer sutch Pride, & Lordlinesse in any Prince worldly, as hath benne founde,

and seme in sundrie Popes. The Pope admitteth the Emperoure of Christendome
 to holde his Dirope, to leade his Buole, to beare his Crane, to kisse his Foote. No
 Emperoure euer receiued sutch seruice of the Pope. Doubtlesse, M. Hardinge, the

Basile ad Euseb.
 Epist. 11.

Council of Africa meante the stoutnesse of the Clergie, and not of the Nobles:
 the pride of Rome, and not of Africa. This same is it, that, as it is saide before,
 S. Basile calleth, *Occidentalium Episcoporum fastum*. But you, M. Hardinge, haue

turned Petentes into Potentes, that is to saie, Poore suiters, into great Noble menne.
 And to shifte the Pope from note of statelinesse, ye haue imagined a longe Com-
 mentarie of your owne, ye wisse a greate wate beside the texte.

Inter Decreta
 Bonifacii. 2.

Pope Bonifacius 2. condemned S. Augustine, and al the saide whole Council of
 Africa, and called them al Heretiques, and Schismaticques, for the same, & saide,
 they were al leade by the Diuel.

* Instigante
 Diabolo.

Addition

Addition. M. Hardinge. That Pope Bonifacius 2. condemned that

Blessed Father S. Augustine by name, or the Council of Africa, by solenne sen-

tence,

tence, it is an impudent lie. *The Answer.* These wordes be Dolmarie, M. Hardinge, and therefore moue me but litle. But what talke you of condemninge by Name, or by Solemne Sentence? I saie, Pope Boniface the seconde condemned S. Augustine. You adde, Name, and Sentence, of your owne. They are not mine. But whether hee condemned S. Augustine, or no, the indifferent Reader maie easily iudge. His wordes be these, Aurelius sometime the Bishop of Carthage, beganne with other his fellowe Bishoppes, by the Entisinge, or Leadinge of the Diuel, to be proude and arrogant against the Church of Rome. Here is Aurelius condemned with his fellowes. But who were his fellowes, M. Hardinge? Who sate with him in Councel? Who were parteners of his pride against the Church of Rome? Why carrie you this awaie so closely in a clowde? Verily you knowe right wel, and cannot chuse but know, that S. Augustine was one of that companie, togetther with Alypius Restitutus, and two hundred and fourtine Bishoppes besides, as appeareth by their Epistle vnto Bonifacius 1. In the subscriptions of euery of these Councelles, of Carthage, of Mileuetum, and of Aphrica, you maie finde these wordes, Ego Augustinus Episcopus Hipponensis subscripsi. You see therefore, that Pope Boniface 2. condemned, not onely Aurelius the Archebishop of Carthage, but also the greates learned Father S. Augustine, and two hundred, and fourtine other his fellowe Bishoppes, that sate with him in Councel, and that aboue one hundred yeres after they were dead. But you saie, Bonifacius condemned them not for Schismaticques. I graunte, by any sutch expresse wordes he condemneth them not. Perhaps he condemned them for god Catholiques. Certainly he diuided them from the Communion of the Church: & so; so muche he condemned them as Schismaticques. Heretiques in deede he calleth them not. And yet Pope Nicolas saith, Qui Romanæ &c. Who so seeketh to make void the Priuilege of the Church of Rome, falleth into Heresie. For he breaketh the Faith, who so euer withstandeth the Church of Rome, that is the Mother of Faith. This M. Hardinge, is it, that Pope Bonifacius meante, when he saide, Aurelius, S. Augustine, and two hundred other Learned, and godly Bishoppes in the Councel of Aphrica, were Ledde by the Diuel.

Epist. Bonif. 2. ad Eusebium.

Conc. Aphrican. Pag. 518.

Diss. 22. Omnes.

M. Hard. 172.4

Leo Epist. 89.

Leo Epist. 89.

Leo Epist. 92.

Leo Epist. 89.

Leo in eadem Epist.

Leo in sermo.

De Natali Petri

Pauli.

The pretty nice difference, that M. Hardinge here imagineth betwene Entisinge, and Leadinge, is not worthy of answer. For no Pope was ever so cruell, to condemne a man for that he was entised, but onely for that he perled and was Ledde by the Diuel.

Pope Zosimus, to maintaine this claime, corrupted the Holy Councel of Nice: Hilarie, the Bishop of Vienna, and other Learned Bishoppes of France, for usurping sutch vnlawful Authoritie, charged this same Pope Leo, of whome we speake, with Pride, and Ambition. *Addition.* And therefore thus Leo complaineth of him: Ipsius quoque Beatissimi Petri reuerentiam Verbis arrogantioribus minuit: By his arrogante wordes he abaseth the reuerence of the most Blessed Peter him selfe. By this reuerence betwene vnto Peter, he meante the reuerence, that he claimed to him selfe, for doubtlesse M. Harding him selfe cannot thinke, that Hilaries quarrel was against Peter the Apostle of Christe, not against him in Heauen. It was onely against that inordinate Ambition, and Pride of Peters Successours, that euen then sought to rule over the whole Church of God.

But, gentle Reader, that thou maist the better vnderstande, what credite thou oughtest to giue to this Pope Leo, specially settinge losse to his owne Authoritie, I beseeche thee, consider, with what Maiestie of wordes, and howe farre aboue measure, he auanceth the Authoritie of S. Peter. These be his wordes: Christus Petrum in Consortium Individuæ Vnitatis assumpsit: Christ receiued Peter into the Companie of the Indiuisible Vnitie. Authoritate Domini mei Petri Apostoli: By the Authoritie (not of Christe, but) of my Lorde Peter the Apostle: Deo Inspirante, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo: By the Inspiration of God, and of S. Peter the Apostle: Deus à Petro, velut à quodam Capite, dona sua velut in Corpus omne diffudit: God from Peter, as from the Head, hath poured out his gifts into all the Body: Nihil erit ligatum, aut solutum, nisi quod Petrus ligauerit, aut soluerit: There shalbe nothinge bounde, or loosed, but that Peter shal binde, or loose:

or loofe: Nunc quoque Petrus pascit Oves, & mandatum Domini Pius Pastor exequi-
tur: Even nowe Peter feedeth the Sheepe, and as a Godly shepheard, hee fulfilleth the com-
mandement of his Maister. Wache immoderate, and ambitious Dignitie Leo was
contente to yeelde to Peter, to thende that the possession, and fruite thereof mighte
redounde wholly vnto him selfe.

Addition

¶

Addition. ¶ But al these sayings, M. Harding, you saie, maie wel be iustified. Touchinge the firste, whereas Pope Leo saith, Christ tooke Peter into the felow-
ship of his indissoluble Vnitie, your answer is this, Leo meant thereby an Vnitie in Qualitie, an
Vnitie in Grace, an Vnitie, that is proper to Christe him selfe. But the indissoluble Vnitie in sub-
stance (you saie) he meane not. And yet, this (you saie) was my Diuinitie meaninge. In god
sothe, M. Harding, you doe me wronge: I neuer meante it. But thus I saie: True
these wordes of Pope Leo the moſte ſauourable construction you can diſſe, yet
maie not the ſame reaſonably be applied to any Creature: no not vnto the Blessed
Angelles, and Archangelles of God. You cannot ſaie, They are in the felowſhip of
Chriſtes Vnitie. I graunte, Peter is called the Rocke. So is Iohn: So is Iames: So are
the reſte. The Learned Father Origene ſaith: Petra eſt, quiſquis eſt Diſcipulus
Chriſti: Who ſo euer is Chriſtes Diſciple, he is the Rocke. Will you ſaie therefore M. Har-
dinge, that Chriſt receiued Peter to be his ſelow, and his ſelow in Vnitie? And
that in ſuche Vnitie, as mighte neuer be diſſolued? Wherefore then doth Chriſte
immediatly after calle him Sathan? For thus he ſaide vnto Peter: Geate thee behinde
me Sathan: Thou underſtandeſt not the thinges that are of God. Shal we ſaie, that being
Sathan, he was neuertheles in the felowſhip of Chriſtes Vnitie? In deed frere Am-
broſius Catharinus in his late declamation openly pronounced in the Councel of
Tridente, calleth the Blessed Virgine our Ladie: Fidelissimam Chriſti Sociam
Chriſtes moſte faithfull ſelow. And you, M. Hardinge, vpon warrante of Bernardes
wordes, haue no doubt to ſaie, The Pope by power is Peter: And by annointinge is
Chriſte. This, I trowe, is penough. The Pope is Peter: The Pope is Chriſte. Yet al this
you ſaie, is wel ſpoken, and maie be iuſtified.

Leo in serm. 3.
In Anniversario
die Assumptiois

M. Hard. 174. d.

Origen. in Mat-
the. tra. 1.

Matthe. 16.

Concil. Tridenti-
num.

M. Hard. Confu-
tation, Fol. 210. d.

Leo Epist. 89.
Vt aterni adifi-
catio Temple in
Petri ſoliditate
conſiſteret.

1. Corin. 10.
1. Corin. 13.

Origen. in Mat-
the. Tra. 1.

Hieronym. ad
uersus Iouinian-
num. lib. 1.

Ex a quo.

Leo saith further, Chriſte called Peter the Rocke, that the buildinge of the euerlaſting
Temple mighte ſtande in the ſoundeneſſe of Peter. Alas, M. Hardinge, and muſte this
likewiſe be iuſtified as the reſte? Is this the buildinge of the Church of God? We
are builte vpon Chriſte, and not vpon Peter. Chriſte is the Rocke, that ſtandeth for
euer. S. Paule ſaith, No man can laie other foundation, then that is already layde, whiche
is Chriſte Jeſus.

Howe be it, I denie not, but in ſomme reaſonable kinde of ſpeache, Peter alſo
maie be called the Rocke: but ſo, as Paule, and Iames, and Iohn, and al other the Apo-
ſtles of Chriſte maie likewiſe be called, and none otherwiſe. Origene ſaith, The
wordes of Chriſte ſpoken as vnto Peter, were common to al. So likewiſe S. Hierome, not
withſtandinge he calle Peter the Heade, or Principall of the Apoſtles, yet he ſaith,
Eccleſia fundatur ſuper omnes Apoſtolos. Ex a quo ſuper eos Eccleſia fortitudo ſol-
idatur: The Church is builte vpon al the Apoſtles: The ſtrength of the Church is ſoun-
ded, (not onely vpon Peter, but) Equally vpon them al. Vpon them al (he ſaith) the Church
is builte: And that Equally, that is to ſaie, nomore vpon Peter, then vpon the reſte.
What Vnitie then is this, M. Hardinge, to applie that thinge onely, or ſpecially
vnto one, that is equally common vnto ſo many?

You ſaie, Chriſte beeing him ſelfe the Rocke, gaue the ſame title vnto Peter: Therefore
Leo mighte iuſtly ſaie, Chriſte receiued Peter into the felowſhip of his indissoluble Vnitie.
This argumente hangeth very looſely, as it ſhall appeare. For Chriſte likewiſe
ſaith of him ſelfe: I am the lighte of the Worlde. And yet he ſaith to his Diſciples, You
are the lighte of the Worlde, giuinge them that name, that was proper, and peculiare
to him ſelfe. Shal we therefore ſaie, Chriſte tooke al his Diſciples into the felow-
ſhip of his indissoluble Vnitie? If ſo, where then is Peters Priuilege? Or, what hath
Peter aboue the reſte? Or, howe can theſe wordes of Pope Leo be excuſed? It is too
ambitious M. Hardinge: It is too mutche. No Learned Father euer gaue S. Peter
the like title. Juſtifie nomore then maie wel be juſtified.

Iohan. 8.
Matthe. 3.

L

Again,

Leo Epist. 89.

Againe Leo saith, Deo inspirante, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo: *By the inspiration of God, and of the moste Blessed S. Peter the Apostle.*

M. Hard. 179. a

M. Hard. 176. a

Here *M. Hardinge* in defense of these vnfaucy wordes, vnadvisedly uttered by Pope Leo, you haue vsed sutch vnmannely, and vnmanly speache, as maie become noman, but onely your selfe. Though I had misconceiued Pope Leo, and taken him otherwise, then he meante, as you haue often the Holy Fathers, yet so bylettie, and grauttie had benne sittinge, and seemely for a Doctoure. *Mutche talks you mooue, of the preposition, A, firste placed, and after repeted, and yet not expressed: of the Ablative case ruled, and of the Ablative case Absolute: and so you leade the simple alonge in the darke, he wateth not, whether: But in deede you haue purposely corrupted, and mispointed the whole place, as it maie easily appeare to any man, that shal compare your Booke, and Leoes Epistles bothe togeteer. For Leo hath two Commates bitwene these wordes, Apostolo, and, Decreta sunt, and readeth thus, as in al the Bookes, that I haue readde, it is easie to see: Obtestamur, vt ea, quæ à nobis, Deo inspirante, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo, discussis, probatisque nunc omnibus causis, decreta sunt, seruetis. By which manner of pointinge, it maie appeare to any man, that is hable to iudge, that these wordes, Deo inspirante, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo, are gouerned by one rule of construction, and goe together: As if in plainer sorte the whole sentence were Laide thus: Obtestamur, vt seruetis ea, quæ discussis, probatisque omnibus causis, decreta sunt à nobis, Deo inspirante, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo: Wee beseeche you, so keepe those thinges, which, al causes beinge discussed, and proued, haue benne decreed by vs, by the inspiration of God, and the moste Blessed Peter the Apostle: Neither is there any Grammarien, that, the pointes thus standinge, as they be in al Bookes bothe printed, and written, can expounde it otherwise.*

Nowe *M. Hardinge*, beholde Leoes wordes, as you haue staled them. Thus you write: Obtestamur, vt ea, quæ à nobis Deo inspirante, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo decreta sunt, seruetis. Marry, nowe it is, as you woulde haue it: But yet is it nothinge, as Leo leaste it. Laie these places together: vewe your wordes againe. Where is the Comma after this word, Apostolo? Where is the other Comma after this word, causis? Why haue you brought these wordes Apostolo, and Decreta sunt, so neare together? Coude you cutte of two Commates together in one place, and so cunningly beguile your simple Reader? In deede, ye were ashamed of the error: The sense was blasphemous, you thought it moste wisdome, to leaue out the pointes, and to alter your Booke, and to thiste awate Blasphemie by plaine corruption. I woulde neuer haue made so greate accompte of so simple rekeninges, had not you, *M. Hardinge*, geuen the occasion.

How be it, let vs paie vnto you, and contrarie to the credite of al your Bookes printed, or written. Awe, or Obe, let vs soloue your owne readinge, and saie thus, Obtestamur, vt seruetis ea, quæ Decreta sunt à nobis, & Beatissimo Petro Apostolo: Beware ye sal not from one erreure into an other. Here firste the Pope placeth him selfe before S. Peter. For thus he saith, *It is decreed by me, and by S. Peter.* This perhaps is no greate blasphemie. It maie rather seeme to be somme lacke of godd manner. Yet is it not likely, that Leo, bringe so Holy a man, as *M. Hardinge* imagineth, no, though he were neuer so mutche ambitious, woulde sette S. Peter before him, and him selfe before. That had benne venough for Pope Alexander. 3. Who, when he had sette his seate in Frederichus the Emperours necke, and the Emperour had tolde him, that he paided that Honour, not vnto him bringe Pope, but vnto Peter: Yes, saide the Pope, Et mihi, & Petro: Thou shalt stoupe to mee, and to Peter: geuinge the firste place vnto him selfe.

Carlton in Vita
Alexand. 3.

Wisdome this, by *M. Hardinges* construction Leo imagineth, that S. Peter is alwaies presente with the Pope, and sitteth with him in Consistorie, or in Clauet, discussinge of Cases, and diuininge of Lawes. I wil not saie, that this is blasphemie. Perhappes I maie thinke, it is great folie. For what parte of Peter is it, that sitteth there? His soule is in Heauen: his Body in the graue. If he be there neither

In Soule, nor in Body, howe is he there? Or, if S. Peter be there, and that in such order, that he is able to heare causes, to make Decrees, and to gouerne the Church, what neede hath he then of any Successour? A Successour hath no place, while the Predecessour is present. By such fables, M. Hardinge, and outrage of speache, ye abuse the poore people, and beare them in bande, that, what so euer is donne by the Pope, it is donne by S. Peter.

But, if Peter be yet still in Rome, & make Lawes now, as when he was liuinge, wherefore then M. Hardinge, haue you writtten thus in your late Confutation: Christe nowe requireth vs, not to obeie Peter, and Paule, but him, that sitteth in their Chaire. These be your wordes. You maie not forget them. If S. Peter be nowe in Rome, & make Lawes for you, as you saie, how can you saie, You are not bounde to obeie him? If ye be not bounde to obeie him, how can you telle vs, he maketh you Lawes? Certainly it is more, that the Lawemaker be euer obeyed. But we muste pardonne you, M. Hardinge: We walke in the darke, and saie, and vnfaie, ye know not what.

In the Life of this Leo, it is writtten thus: Leo wrote an Epistle against the Heretique Eutyches: and donbringe the habilitie of his owne learninge, he laide the same Epistle vpon Peters Altare, and fasted fourtie daies, and besought S. Peter, that if there were any errors therein, he woulde correcte it with his owne handes. The fable is true, Peter came downe from Heauen, with penne, and inke, as I trowe, and corrected it. After the fourth daie the Epistle was persite.

Leo him selfe saith, Omnes Sacerdotes proprie regit Petrus: In plaine manner of speache, or to speake conueniently, Peter gouerneth al the Priestes, that be. Againe he saith, Si quid nostris temporibus recte a nobis agitur, Petri gubernaculis est deputandum: If in our time any thinge be wel donne by vs we muste reckon it as donne by Peters gouernement. Againe, There shal nothinge be bounde, or loosed, but that Peter shal binde, or loose. And againe, Euen nowe Peter feedeth the sheepe &c.

S. Gregorie saith, Transmisimus vobis de benedictione S. Petri Apostoli Cucullam, & Tunicam: I haue sente you a Cowle, and a Coate of the Blessinge of S. Peter.

Likewise he writteth to Theodotus, I haue sente the S. Peters Blessinge, I meane a Kete taken from his blessed Body, that by that same Kete you maie haue life, bothe presente, and euerlastinge. Nowe, M. Hardinge, if S. Peter can sitte with the Pope, write letters, Discusse causes, Gouerne Priestes, Rule the Church, Feede the flocke, Blesse Cowles, Coates, and Ketes, and Binde, and Lose, why maie he not also enspire the Faithful.

Take heede, in hunninge one Blasphemie, ye commit not an other. Whilste Pope Leos wordes, as you shal thinke best: What so euer sense ye shal pike out of them, it wilbe Blasphemie.

Somme others haue thought, that as wel these Epistles of Leo, as also others moe, of other the Ancient Bishoppes of Rome, haue benne interlaced, and falsified by the ambitious Popes, that folowed afterwarde. Whiche thinge is the moze likely, bothe for that the selfe same wordes be likewise alleged, partly vnder the name of Pope Iulius, partly vnder the name of Pope Nicolas: and also for that Pope Zosimus, whiche was the firste before Leo, as it is saide before, doubted not, for an aduantage, to falsifie the Holy Councel of Nice.

Lastly, when the Councel of Chalcedon had offered vnto this same Leo, the Title of Vniuersal Bishop, as Gregorie witnesseth, he utterly refused it, and woulde none of it.

Nowe touchinge that S. Hierome saith, the poore Bishop of Eugubium, & the Bishop of Rome are bothe of one Authoritie (for of Authoritie he speaketh, as it is proued before): S. Cyprian also saith the same, that the Authoritie of the Bishoppes in Aphrica is as good, as that Authoritie of the Bishop of Rome: and calleth them al Letode, & Desperate Personnes, that woulde, as M. Harding doth, saie the contrarie.

Therefore, whereas M. Hardinge saith, By very Order of Christe him selfe it harbe benne ordeined, that maters touchinge Faith, and Religion be referred to that One Prince of Pastoures, who sitteth on the Chaire of Peter, the Highest

Confut. p. 191 b

In Vita Leonis, Ut ille suis manibus correctis absolueret.

Leo in Annuer-

sario die Assump-

tionis sue

sermo. 3.

In eod. sermone.

Leo in Natali

Petri, & Pauli,

serm. 1.

Leo in Annuer-

sario die Assump-

sue.

Greg. li. 8. Epi. 45

Greg. li. 6 Epi. 23

Ut per eam vos,

qui est cum eis.

& diligitis, &

presentem salu-

tem & eternam

habere valeatis,

Epist. Iulij. 1.

Extra. De Elect.

Fundamenta.

Concil. Aphrica,

Cap. 105.

Gregor. lib. 4.

Epist. 36.

Cyprian. lib. 1.

Epist. 3.

Nisi paucis des-

peratis, & per-

ditis.

Concil. Aphri-
can. Cap. 92.

1. Petr. 5.

In Concil. Car-
thaginen.

Concil. Constan-
tinop. 2. Ca. 36.

Τὸν ἰσὼν ὁ

πρωτεύων

πρεσβύτερος

τῆς πρεσβυ-

τέρως ἐκείνης

ἐκείνης.

Cyprian. De

Simpl. Prælat.

Cyprian. Lib. 4.

Epist. 2.

Cyprian. Lib. 4.

Epist. 9.

Cyprian. In O-

ration. Domini-

cæm.

Cyprian. De

Simpl. Prælat.

Cyprian. Lib. 3.

Epist. 13.

Hieronym. in E-

pist. ad Tit. Ca. 1.

Bishop, and that the same hath alwaies benne donne, and obserued from the Apo-
stles time vntill our daies: He bringeth vs two manifest vntrothies together,
without any manner proufe at al, onely auouched vpon him selfe. For it appeareth
not, that Christe ever took this Order, or ever made any such mention, either of
any such Prince of Pastours, or of Peters Chaire. And in the Council of Aphrica
it was decreed, that no matters shoulde be removed from thence to Rome. The
wordes of the Council are these, Ad transmarina Iudicia qui putauerit appellan-
dam, a nullo intra Aphricam in Communione suscipiatur: Who so ever shal thinke he
ought to appeale to the Iudgements beyonde the Seas (that is, to the Bishop of Rome) let no
man within Aphrica receiue him to the Communion.

Touchinge that M. Hardinge calleth the Pope the Prince of Pastours, he
might haue remembred, that the right of this name belongeth onely vnto Christe.
S. Peter saith, That when Christe the Prince of Pastours shal appeare, yee maie receiue the
Vnconquerrable Crowne. Now, to inkeasse the Pope with Christes pecullare Titles, a
man might thinke it were great blasphemie. Certainly S. Cyprian saith, Nemo
nostrum Episcopum se esse Episcoporum constituit: None of vs appointeth him selfe
Bishop of Bishoppes: But he lesse the Prince of al Pastours. And in the Council of
Constantinople it was Decreed, that the Bishop there shoulde haue Euen, and E-
qual Authoritie with the Bishop of Rome.

As for the other Authoritie of S. Cyprian, M. Hardinge saith, we vnderstande
it not: and therefore he willett vs, to looke better vpon our Bookes. The counsel is
good. But if M. Hardinge wil graunte, that S. Cyprian him selfe knew, what he him
selfe wrote, and vnderstande his owne meaninge, it shalbe sufficient. Verily the
wordes that he useth, seme not so darke. For thus he writteth: Episcopatus Vnus
est, cuius a singulis in solidum pars tenetur. Ecclesia Vna est, quæ in multitudinem las-
tius incremento Fœcunditatis extenditur: Quomodo Solis multi radij, sed lumen V-
num: & rami arboris multi, sed robur Vnum: The Bishoprike is One, a parte whereof of
euery seueral Bishop is possessed in whole. The Church is One, whiche by her greates increas
is extended vnto many: As in the Sunne the beames be many, but the light is one: and in a Tree
the boughes be many, but the body is One.

If there appeare any greates darkenesse, or doubt in these wordes, S. Cyprian
him selfe in other places thus expoundeth his owne meaninge in plainer wise: Vna
est Ecclesia a Christo per totum Mundum in plura membra diuisa: Item Episcopa-
tus Vnus, Episcoporum multorum concordia numerositate diffusus: There is One
Church diuided by Christe into many Members throughout the worlde: Likewise One Bi-
shoprike powred furre abroade by the agreeable multitude of many Bishoppes. Againe, Ec-
clesia Vna est, & connexa, & coherentium sibi inuicem Sacerdotum glutino copula-
ta: There is One Church, ioined, and fastened, in One, by the consent of Bishoppes agreeing
together. Againe, Quando Oramus, non pro Vno Oramus, sed pro toto populo:
Quia totus populus Vnum sumus: When wee Praie, wee Praie not for One, but for the
whole people. For wee the whole people are but One. Againe, immediately befoze these
wordes, whiche, M. Hardinge saith, we are not hable to vnderstande, he saith:
Hanc Vnitatem firmiter tenere, & vendicare debemus, maxime Episcopi, qui in Ec-
clesia præsidemus: vt Episcopatum quoque ipsum Vnum, & Indiuisum probemus:
This Unitie muste wee holde specially Bishoppes, that sitte as Rulers in the Church: that wee
maie declare our Bishoprike to be One, and without diuision. Againe, Etsi Pastores multi
sumus, Vnum tamen Gregem Pascimus: & Oves Vniuersas, quas Christus Sanguine
suo, & Pæssione quæ sinit, colligere, & fouere debemus: Notwithstandinge wee be many
Shepheards, yet wee Feede but One Flocke: and wee are al bounde to geather vp, and to nou-
rishe al the Sheepe, that Christe hath wonne by his Bloude, and Pæssion.

Neither the Authour of the Apologie, or the Interpreter vnderstande not S. Cy-
prian, yet, M. Hardinge, we maie geue S. Cyprian leaue, to vnderstande him selfe.

And in this sense S. Hierome saith, Communi Presbyterorum Consilio Ecclesiæ
gubernabantur: The Churches were ordred (not by the vniuersal Authoritie of the
Bishop of Rome, but) by Common Aduise of the Priestes. Likewise S. Cyprian saith,
Idcirco

Adiret copiosum est Corpus Sacerdotum, Concordia mutua glutine, atque Vnitatis vinculo copulatum, ut si quis ex Collegio nostro Hæresim facere, & Gregem Christi lacerare, ac vastare tentauerit, subueniant ceteri: *Therefore is the Body, or companie of Priests so copious, joined together with consents of Concord and Vnitie, that if any one of our Companie enterprize to raise an Heresie, and to scatter and waste the Flocke of Christe, the rest shoulde heaelp.* So likewise S. Basile: Interrogate Patres Vestros, & renuntiabunt vobis, quod, etiam si loci situ diuisi inter se sint Paræcia, tamen veluti Coronamento quodam Vnitæ, vnaque sententia gubernata fuerunt. Assidua quidem populi fuit inter se commixtio: Ipsi vero Pastores tanta præditi fuerunt mutua inter ipsos Charitate, ut alius alio Præceptore, ac Duce vti fuerint: *Aske of your Fathers and they will telle you, that although Bishoprikes be diuided, and sundred by distance of place, yet were they euer knitt together as with a Garlande, and euer ruled by One Aduise. In deede the People was euer mingled together: But the Bishoppes were also ioyned in Charitie, that euery of them was content to be taught, and to be leade by other.*

Cyprian. Lib. 3. Epist. 13.

Basile. ad Neocæsarienses.

Addition

Addition.

Here, M. Hardinge, dissemblinge al other Authorities, and piouses by me alleged, we take holde onely of S. Basile, sayinge meanes to shadowe one plaine Sentence of that Holy Father, by the manifest corruption of an other. S. Basiles meaninge (you saie) maie better be knowen by S. Basile him self writinge thus to Athanasius: *Vidum est utile scribere ad Episcopum Romanum, ut consideret rei nostræ, & iudicii sui decretum interponat: ut, quoniam de communi, & conciliari decreto aliquis inde huc amandari difficile est, ipse sua auctoritate negotium componat.* *Whiche whole sentence beinge by you vntreuly Latined, it hath pleased you to English it thus: It hath seemed good vnto vs, to write vnto the Bishop of Rome, that he wil consider our Cases, (or visite vs, for so the Greeke wordes, ἐπισκεψαι, maie be translated) and to determine the mater by his sentence: that, for as muche as it is harde for any to be sente hither from thence by Authoritie of a common, and Synodical Decree, he take the mater into his owne hande, and by his Authoritie strike the stroke.* *These be S. Basiles wordes, M. Hardinge, so miserable maimed by you, and so sette out of soint, as wel in the Latine, as in the English, that it is pittie to beholde them.* And yet, as though the case were cleare of your side, you saie further, *VWhy shoulde S. Basile beinge a Greeke of the East Church, thinke it conuenient to write to the Bishop of Rome, beinge in the VVeste, to consider of, or to visite them of the East (for so to the Greeke wordes, ἐπισκεψαι, as you saie, signifieth) their state, and to sende soorth a Decree of his iudgemente, and to geue sentence; onelesse he agnised the prerogative of the Bishop of Rome?* *In the ende you conclude thus: The more ye sturre the mater, the more it turneth stille to your shame.* *Butche is the modestly modestie of your talke.*

M. Har. fo. 179. b

M. Har. fo. 182. a

You saie, VWhy shoulde S. Basile desire the Bishop of Rome to visite them of the East, to sende soorth the Decree of his iudgement, and to geue sentence? Nay, nay, M. Hardinge, why shoulde you thus allege Greeke Authours, if you knowe them not? or if you knowe them, why shoulde you thus corrupte them? Merily in these fewe wordes you haue muche betrayed your wants of skil. *Firste ἀποβουλεύειν you expounde thus, To determine the mater by Decree, or by Sentence. And why so? what he ἀποβουλεύειν signifie to Determine, or is, ὑποβουλεύειν a Decree, or Sentence in iudgemente: Surely, M. Hardinge, ἀποβουλεύειν, is nothinge els, but to geue aduise, or to aide with Counsel. These wordes ἀποβουλεύειν ἑπὶ τὸ πρῶτον, you expounde thus, To take the mater into his owne hande, and by his Authoritie to strike the stroke. And why so? Who taught you this exposition? Every childe coulde haue tolde you, that ἀποβουλεύειν signifieth, neither strikinge, nor strokinge. S. Basiles meaninge is this, that, for as muche as a Council of al the partes of the VVeste Church coulde not be gathered vpon the suddaine, and the Church of Graecia stode in neede of present heaelp, that therefore the Bishop of Rome in the meane while shoulde vse his owne discretion, and interrate some of the VVestren Bishoppes to tranalle vnto them. And so muche in this place signifieth the Greeke wordes ἀποβουλεύειν.*

But ἐπισκεψαι (you saie) signifieth to visite. So dothe Bubo signifie a Nightingale. This we haue used this worde ἐπισκεψαι in one place: not only inclosing it in your texts, but also pitching it in your Margine, that your simple Reader might thinke there

Basilus Epist. 2.
ad Athanasium.

there were some mystrie in your Greke. But I praye you, M. Harding, in al þe Greke
Bookes, that euer you reade, where euer saue you in the firste Indefinite
of the Infinitive Mode: If ye euer once saue it, I wil p̄sbe vnto you. If you ne-
uer saue it once, whp haue you used it twice, and that euen together in one place:
Ετιςιν Ιαδαι, ye should haue sayde, M. Harding: Ετιςιν Ιαδαι, it is, and not Ια-
ου Ιαδαι. And yet Ετιςιν Ιαδαι in this place is not to Ride in Visitation, as you ima-
gine, but to consider of the miserable state of the East Church, and to be careful for
it. The true, and common translation is this, Visum est mihi consentaneum, vt scri-
batur Episcopo Romæ, vt, quæ hic geruntur, consideret, detque consilium: Et, quoni-
am difficile est, vt communi, ac Synodico Decreto aliqui illinc mittantur, ipse sua Au-
thoritate in hac causa vsus viros eligat ad ferendas itineris molestias accommodos: It
seemeth good vnto mee, that wee write vnto the Bishop of Rome, that he consider our estate, and
geue vs counsel: and, for as muche as it is a harde matter, for any to be sent hither from thence
by the Common Decree of a Council, that he, vsinge his owne Authoritie, or discretion in the case,
chuse suche men, as be hable to beare the trauayle of the iourney. &c.

Howe, M. Hardinge, compare your translation, and this together: Here is nei-
ther Visitation of the Church, nor Determination by Sentence, nor striking of
strokes. Ye could not haue missed so oftentimes in one thing without some stu-
dle. If I list to folowe some parts of your eloquence, I could saie phy, and thame,
and, I knowe not what, as you do.

Yet you saie, S. Basile thought it good, that the Bishop of Rome should consider their estate,
and sende forth a Decree of his Iudgement. O M. Hardinge, grosse erreure and igno-
rance maketh no proufe. But be it, that al this were true: Yet what Decree of Iudge-
ment could the Pope sende forth: he had hearde neither the one parte, nor the o-
ther, but was a mere stranger to their cases. And thinke you, he would determine
matters, before he knew them: So might he Caste Christe, and Quiste Barabbas. Here

Basil. Epist. 10.
Ad Eusebium.

of Basile him selfe writeth thus: Quid auxilij conferet nobis Occidentalium fastus:
qui quid in causa veri sit, neque sciunt, neque discere sustinent: falsis verò suspitioni-
bus præoccupati eadem nunc quoque faciunt, quæ antea in causa Marcelli, cum illis,
qui Veritatem annūtiabant, contentiose egerunt, & Hæresim interea per seipso corro-
borarunt. Ego quidem ipse non publica formula, sed priuatim volebam scribere ipsorū
Coryphæis: de Ecclesiasticis quidem rebus nihil, nisi tantum vt admonerem, ipsos neque
veritatem rerum nostrarum scire, neque viam, qua doceri possint, admittere: What
shal the pride of the Westren Bishops auail vs? For neither doo they knowe, nor wil they
Learne to knowe, the Truthe of our matters: But beeing leade away with vaine suspitions,
they doo euen now, as they did before in the case of Marcellus. For then they caualled contenti-
ously with them, that tolde the Truth, and by means thereof emboldened, and confirmed Heresie.
In deede for mine owne part, I was contented to write vnto the chiefe, or Principal of them al,
(that is to the Pope) not by any publique instrument, but mine owne priuate letter. Of mat-
ters Ecclesiasticall I would write nothing, but onely to telle him, that neither they doo knowe the
Truthe of our cases, nor wil abide the waie, whereby they maie know it. This M. Hardinge,
is that Decree, and Determination of Iudgement, S. Basile founde in the Pope.

The Pride of
the VVeste
Church.
Neither
knowe, nor
wil learne.
Confirmed
Heresie.

Chrysost. Epist.
prior, ad Iono-
centium.
Cyprian. Lib. 1.
Epist. 3.
M Har p. 179. b

Neither did he know the case, nor would he learne, howe to knowe it. Therefore
Chrysostome saith, Non est congruum, vt hi, qui sunt in Aegypto, iudicent eos, qui
sunt in Thracia: It is not meete, that they, that be in Egypt, should be Iudges ouer them, that
dwel in Thracia: S. Cyprian also likewise saith, Aequum, iustumque est, vt vniuscui-
usque causa illic audiat, vbi crimen admissum est: It is good reason, that euery mans
cause be hearde there, where the faulte was committed.

Basil. ad Episc.
Occident. Epist. 61

But you wil saie, S. Basile thought it good, to write to the Pope. I graunt you:
but in suche sort, as I haue tolde you. Againe ye saie, VVhy should Basile so doo
onelesse he knewe the priuilege of the Pope? Howe soloweth this, M. Hardinge: Till you
beare vs in hand, that euery Bishop vnto whom S. Basile wrote to like purpose, had
therefore the like priuilege: At the same time thus he wrote, not onely to the Pope,
but also, to al the Bishoppes of the West, Quantam poteritis consolationem, & opem
laborantibus, & afflictis Ecclesijs afferre ne detrectetis: Forslowe not to healpe our mis-
erable

nable afflicted Churches with such aid, and comfort, as you maye. Likewise he writeth to the Bishoppes of France, and Italie, Wee have good hope, that as soone, as wee shal see you in vnderstande the cause of our griefes, you wilbe moued to seeke heale for vs.

So like your purpose the whole Council of Alexandria writeth, not onely to the Pope, but also to al other Bishoppes of the Catholique Church, Vos vindices huius iniusticie imploramus: Wee beseeche you to reuenge this wronge. Yet had not therefore euery such Bishop Supreme Authoritie in the government of the Church. So writeth S. Basile vnto Athanasius, Quanto Ecclesiarum &c. The more the maladies of our Churches doe abounde, the more wee al turne our selues to thy goodnesse, beseeching, that the comfort of our griefes, reacheth onely in thy defense. For thou by the power of thy prayers, and by the skill of Governement, art thought habile to deliuer vs from this horrible tempeste. Thou carriest the care of al Churches: thou disputest, thou warrest, thou writest, thou sendest. Wee flee vnto thee, as vnto the Head of al: Wee vse thee, as our Counsellor, and as the Leader, and Prince of our causes. The whole state of the Church of Antioche dependeth of thee. Thou maist instructe summe, repress others, and make them quiete, and restore the strength of the Church. Such power, and Authoritie S. Basile giveth to Athanasius. Yet was Athanasius the Bishop of Alexandria, and not of Rome. Had you wel considered these thinges, M. Hardinge, yet would not so ratly haue thus concluded, S. Basile thoughte it good to write thus, and thus, to the Bishop of Rome: Ergo, He confessed, that the Bishop of Rome had a Priuilege aboue al others.

At this notwithstandinge, you saie, VVhy should S. Basile thinke it conuenient, to write to the Bishop of Rome &c. The Answer is easie. S. Basile him selfe saith thus, Quia nos loquimur, multis suspecta sunt &c. What so euer wee our selues saie, it is suspected of many, as if for our owne priuate quarrelles wee would keepe them in awe. But you (beinge strangers) the further yee dwell from them, the more credite you haue with our people. This was it, M. Hardinge, that S. Basile required of the Pope. Here is no Wisner, sal power, no Priuilege, no Decree, no Determination by Sentence, no strikinge of strokes. Abuisse your selfe better hereafter of your Authorities, before you alledge them.

Therefore, as many faithes in sundrie faithfulls, are but One faith: As many Churches are but One Church: As many Baptismes are but One Baptisme: Euen so, saith S. Cyprian, many Bishoppes are but One Bishopp: and therein, as wel the Bishop of Rome, as also euery other seueral Bishop, hath his portion.

I saie, The Bishopp of Rome is not this whole Bishopp, but a parte: Not the Body of the Sunne, but a beame: Not the Stemme of the Tree, but a Branche.

And thus, by S. Cyprians minde, neither dothe One Bishop holde of an other: Nor is any One Bishop Heade of the whole: Nor is One Bishop al in al: but al Bishoppes are onely One.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 6.

And accordinge to the indgemente of the Nicene Council, we saie, that the Bishop of Rome hath no more iurisdiction ouer the Church of God, then the rest of the Patriarkes, either of Alexandria, or of Antioche haue.

M. Hardinge.

If it be a shame to belie the Diuel, according to the olde Prouerbe, what is it to belie the Church of God represented in the Nicene Council?

The sixth Canon amonge al others of the Nicene Council is that you grounde youre surmise vpon, I knowe wel. For that hath benne wrested to your purpose by certaine of your side. And the same rightly construed (a) maketh moeste againste you. For it seemeth to acknowledge the Bishop of Rome his Supremacie and soueraignie of iudgement ouer other Patriarkes. These be the wordes of the Canon rightly Englished: Let the Ancient custome continewe in force which is in Egypte, Lybia, and Pentapoli: so that the Bishop of Alexandria haue Power ouer them al. *Quandoquidem etiam Episcopo Romano hoc consuetum est.* For as mutche as the Bishop of Rome hath thus used, Likewise in Antiochia also, and in other Prouinces, let the Churches keepe their Prerogative. VVhat can be gathered of the wordes of this Canon, but that for rarefyinge the iurisdiction of the Patri-

Basil. Ad italos
Ep. Gallos Epist.
69.

Athanas. in A-
polog. 2.

Basil. Ad Atha-
nas. Epist. 49.

Basil. Ad Atha-
nas. Epist. 12.

Athanasius
Heade of al.

Basil. Ad Atha-
nas. Epist. 48.

Basil. Ad Episc.
Occident. Epist.
74.

(a) Varruthe:
For the expresse
wordes are
plaine to the
contrarie.

The sixth
Canon of
the Nicene
Council
declared to
make a-
gainst the
Defenders
of the
Bishop of
Rome.

(b) Vntruth.
Vaine and chil-
dishe.

(c) This Exposi-
tion is like a
sicke mannes
dreme.

(d) O folie. The
woordes are
plaine:

*Quia Episcopo
Romano pari-
lis mos est.*

(e) Vntruth. For
the Bishop of
Rome neuer
had power, to
allotte Prouin-
ces: but him
selfe had his
Prouince allot-
ted him, as wel
as others.

*A Ne Recipi-
atur.*

arles of Alexandria, and Antiochia, the Fathers of the Nicene Council thoughte good to alter no-
thing: but to folowe the Ancient custome of Olde time, yf and allowed by the Bishop of Rome.
For it is as muche to saie, as this: In asmuch as the Bishop of Rome hath bene wont from the
beginninge to graunte to the Bishop of Alexandria iurisdiction ouer Egypte, Lybia, and Pentapoly:
the (c) Nicene Council folowinge his Authoritie and rule, or at the least his vsage, willett and graun-
teth, that the laide Bishop retaine and keepe his Aunciente righte: For if the Bishop of Alexandria
had not receiued such iurisdiction by Authoritie and graunt of the Bishop of Rome of olde time,
what reason shoulde haue moued those Fathers, for confirmation thereof to alleage the Custome of
the Bishop of Rome? And in that case, (d) whereto pertained the addition of the cause: *Quia
Episcopus Romanus hoc consuevit*, because this was the Bishop of Rome his custome? If this had
not bene the meaninge, they woulde neuer so haue spoken. For what was his custome other, (e)
then to allotte those Prouinces to the Bishop of Alexandria? If any other thinge be alleaged to haue
bene his maner and custome, besides that the woordes of the Canon beare it not, what had that
beene to the purpose, what so euer it be, for cause and confirmation of the Bishop of Alexandria his
iurisdiction ouer Egypte, Lybia, and Pentapoli? Alleage you, Defender, for olde custome of the Bi-
shop of Rome, what els you list, so that you make no violence to the Canon, and thereupon make
your Argumente, inferinge of your allegation the Conclusion? (*Ergo*, the Bishop of Alexandria
ought to haue iurisdiction ouer Egypte, Lybia, and Pentapoli) and you shal finde it to be such an
argument, as any Soore woulde be ashamed to make.

Against this if it shal like you to Replie, wee warne you before, that neither ye take aduantage of
a doubtful interpretation, as wee know that Canon to be found in diuers Bookees not so plainly
Translated, and therefore we require you to stande to the Original, as it is in Greeke: neither that ye
defende your lie with the wrested Exposition of Theodore Balsamon, who hath written Greeke Co-
mentaries vpon the Canons of the Councils, sithens the Schisme of the Greekes, him selfe beinge a
Schismaticke. For he beinge a Greeke borne, and prickt with the hatred of his Nation against the La-
tine Church, and specially the See of Rome: in the exposition of that sixthe Canon of the Nicene
Council swarveth bothe from learninge, and also from reason.

Theodore
Balsamon.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In dede it is a shame to belie any creature: so; that lyinge is shameful of it selfe.
And therefore, M. Hardinge, ye might doe much better, to vse it lesse: You haue
brought vs here an Exposition of the Council of Nice, such, as I thinke, from that
time vntil this time hath seldome benne hearde of. You saie, The Bishop of Rome
Custome was, to geue Iurisdiction to the Patriarkes of Alexandria, of Antioche,
and of Hierusalem: and that they had none Authoritie of Governement, but onely
so muche, as was limited, and allowed by him. And this, you saie, was the onely,
and vndoubted meaninge of that Council. This fantasie is not here auouched by
any Ancient Doctor, or Learned Father. Therefore we must thinke, it hat so euer
it be, it is your owne. And weighinge the strangenesse of the same, I must needs
saie of you, as S. Hierome saide sometime of one Rheticius in the like case, Rheticius
eloquens quidem est, sed ineptus Interpret: Rheticius is an eloquent man in dede: and
yet but a fowle Interpreter. So; it is certaine, and knowne euen vnto Childen, that
the Bishop of Rome, before the Council of Nice, had neither such Custome of Su-
perioritie, nor such dealinge of Iurisdications. Pope Pius Secundus saith, Ante Ni-
cenam Synodum vnusquisque sibi vixit: & parvus respectus ad Romanam Ecclesiam
habebatur: Before the Council of Nice, every Bishop liued to him selfe: and there was then smal
regard had of the Church of Rome.

Hieronym. Ad
Marcellam,

Anas Syluius,
Epi. 1. 188.

As for our sottish Argumentes, such as by your iudgement any sotte woulde be
ashamed to make, I maye leaue them wel to you, M. Hardinge: not for that ye lacke
them greatly, but for that, as it appeareth by your Bookes, ye knowe better howe to
vse them.

Touching the sixthe Canon of this Council, which you imagine, is so darke, and
doubtful, I truste it shalbe plainly, and clearely opened, by them that were neuer
hitherto accounted sottishe.

The wordes thereof are plaine yenough. The sense is this: The whole Body of
Christendome was diuided into foure Patriarkeshippes: wherof the firste was
Rome: the seconde Alexandria: the thirde Antioche: the fourth Hierusalem.
And eue of these was limited, and bounded within it selfe: Alexandria, to haue the
ouersight ouer Egypt, and Pentapolis: Antioche, ouer Syria: Hierusalem, ouer Iurie:
Rome, ouer Italie, and ouer Churches of the Weste. And herein we haue the Ex-
position

position of Theodorus Balsamon, that liued five hundred yeres agoe, and was Pa-
triarche of Antioche, and, as somme of M. Hardinges friendes haue thoughte a man
of greate Learninge. Yet for as muche as M. Hardinge here viterly refuseth him,
not onely as a Schismaticke, but also as a man void of Learninge, and Reason, let
vs therefore see somme others.

Addition

Addition. Here, saith M. Hardinge, Searche out, M. Iewel: why was Rome
the Firste, and not rather the Seconde, or the Thirde? Thereby shal you perceiue, howe your selfe vn-
wares are taken in your owne snare. This mater, M. Hardinge, is easily searched, & sone
founde. In vnde pour forged Anacletus saith, The Apostolique Church of Rome
hathe the Preeminence ouer al Churches, not from the Apostles of Christe, but from Christe him-
selfe. And therefore shal prettily be expoundeth the wordes of Christe, Super hanc
Petram, id est, Super Ecclesiam Romanam edificabo Ecclesiam meam: Upon this Rocke,
that is to saie, Upon the Church of Rome, wil I builde my Church. But sutchaine for-
geris make simple prouise. The very cause why the Church of Rome was placed in
order, and dignitie before al others, was not the word of Christe, as you imagine,
but the Empire, and Honour of that Cittie, which then in respect of worldly Glo-
rie, was the Ladie, & Heade of the worlde. And therefore in the Council of Chal-
cedon it is witten thus: Sedi Veteris Romæ propter Imperium Ciuitatis illius, Pa-
tres consequenter Priuilegia reddiderunt: The Fathers orderly gaue the Priuilege of
Chiefetie to the See of Olde Rome, because that Cittie had the Empire. And immediately
after it foloweth further, Et eadem intentione permoti æqua Sanctissimæ Sedi Nouæ
Romæ Priuilegia tribuerunt, rationi congruum iudicantes, vt Ciuitas illa ornata Im-
perio, & Senatu, æquis Seniori Regiæ Romæ Priuilegijs frueretur: The saide Holy Fa-
thers, moued with like consideration gaue the like Priuileges to the most Holy See of Constan-
tinople, which is called Newe Rome, thinking it agreeable vnto reason, that the same Cittie of
Constantinople, beinge honoured with Empire, and Senate, as Rome was, shoulde enioie the
same Priuileges, that Rome enioied.

The like hereof is witten in the Council of Constantinople. This, this, M.
Hardinge, was the cause, and not the voice of Christe our Sauour, as you woulde
telle vs. For Christe neuer spake one word of the Citties either of Constantino-
ple, or of Rome, or of the firste See, or of the Seconde.

Nilus a Græke Authoure, hereof willeth thus: Sed vt etiam liquidius appareat,
Papam non imperare alijs omnibus Episcopis, legatur Sextus Canon Synodi Nicenæ:
quo disertè præcipitur, vt alijs Ecclesijs Alexandrinus, alijs Romanus, alijs Antioche-
nus præsit: vt non liceat alteri alterius prouinciæ inuadere: That it maie the more
plainly appeare, that the Pope hathe no Gouvernement ouer al other Bishoppes, reade the Sixth
Canon of the Council of Nice. There it is expressly Commaunded, that the Bishop of Alexan-
dria shal haue the Rule ouer certaine Churches: and the Bishop of Rome ouer certaine: and the
Bishop of Antioche likewise ouer certaine: and that it be not lawful for any one of them to in-
uade an others Iurisdiction. Farther he saith, Quod si quis suis non contentus, alie-
na appetit, ille sanè meritò & Consuetudinis, & Sanctorum Canonum violator haberi
debet: If any one (of these Patriarches) not contented with his owne, craue Dominion ouer
others (as dothe the Pope) he ought of right to be called a breaker bothe of the custome, and
also of the Holy Canons.

If M. Hardinge wil yet saie, this Exposition is sottishe, let vs see, in what sort
Others haue expounded the same. Rufinus openinge the same Canon saith thus:
Statutum est in Concilio Niceno, vt apud Alexandriam, & in Vrbe Roma Vetusta
Consuetudo seruetur: vt vel ille Aegypti, vel hic Suburbicarum Ecclesiarum solitu-
dinem gerat: It was Decreed in the Council of Nice, that in Alexandria, and in Rome the
Olde Custome shoulde be kepte: that the Bishop of Alexandria shoulde Rule ouer Egypte: and
the Bishop of Rome (not ouer al the worlde, but) ouer the Churches of his Suburbs.

Likewise it was afterwarde ordered in the Council holden at Constantinople:
Definimus Sedi Constantinopolitanæ Paria Iura, & Priuilegia cum Sede Veteris Ro-
mæ: Wee Decree, that the See of Constantinople shal haue Rightes, and Priuileges Equal (&
one) with the See of Olde Rome.

There.

Lipomannus in
Præfatione.Copus Dial. 166
Balsamonem Vi-
rum certe doctum

epist. 3.

Anaclet. epist. 1.

Concil. Chalced.
Actio. 16. pa. 936Concil. Constans-
tinopol. Cap. 5.Nilus, De Pri-
uilegijs Romanæ
Pontificis.
καὶ ὡς ἐν ἑξῆς
ἐν ἐπὶ τῆς
ἀποστολικῆς
ἐκκλησίας.Rufinus Eccl.
Histor. l. 1. ca. 6
Suburbicarum
Ecclesiarum.Concil. Constans-
tinopol. 2. Cap. 36
τὰ μὲν ἱερά &
πολιτικά
πρεσβεύει.

The Cōū- cel of nice

Nicepho, Lib. 12
Cap. 13.
Athanas. ad So-
litarium Vitam
agentes.
Ced. De sacro-
sanctis Ecclesijs
Omni Inno-
centio.
Concil. Africane.
Cap. 105.
Natus, De Pri-
matu Papæ.

130

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Therefore Nicephorus saith, Romano, & Constantinopolitano Episcopo Ex Ae-
quo Paria sunt, & Dignitatis præmia, & Honorum iura: The Titles of Dignities, and
Rights of Honours, geuen to the Bishop of Rome, and to the Bishop of Constantinople, are
One, and Equal.

For this cause Athanasius saith, Romano erat Metropolis Romanæ Ditionis:
Rome was the Mother Church (not of the whole World, but) of the Romaine Jurisdi-
ction. In like sort the Emperour Iustinian saith, Ecclesia Urbis Constantino-
politane Romanæ Veteris Prærogatiua lætatur: The Church of Constantinople enioyeth
the Prærogatiue, or Privilege of the Church of Olde Rome. So likewise S. Augustine, &
other Learned, & godly Bishops in the Council of Africa, vnderstand the same Ca-
non. And therefore they called the Popes Presumption, cravinge Intuersal Juris-
diction ouer al the World, Fumosum Sæculi Typhum, The smokie Pride of the World.
To conclude, Nilus saith thus, Nunc, cum aliæ Regiones assignatæ sint Romano, aliæ
Alexandrino, aliæ Constantinopolitano, non magis hi sub illo sunt, quàm ille sub his-
ce: Seeinge there be certaine Countreies appointed out for the Bishop of Rome, certaine for the
Bishop of Alexandria, and certaine for the Bishop of Constantinople, they are nowe nomers
subiecte vnto him, then he vnto them.

But al these perhaps were Dotters, and their saicings Dottish: and noman is
hable rightly to vnderstande these maters, but he that can saie, Consuetudo, is La-
tine so; a Commission: or, Mos parilis, so; Intuersal Jurisdiction.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 7.

And as for the Bishop of Rome, who nowe calleth al maters be-
fore him selfe alone, excepte he doo his duetie, as he ought to doo. excepte
he minister the Sacramentes, excepte he instructe the People, excepte
he warne them & teache them, we saie, that he ought not of right once to
be called a Bishop, or so mutche as an Elder. For a Bishop, as saith
Augustine, is a name of Labour, and not of Honour: that the man, that
seeketh to haue Preeminence, and not to profite, maie vnderstande, him
selfe to be no Bishop.

M. Hardinge.

Neither the Bishop of Rome, nor any other Bishop is woorthy of the name of a Bishop, excepte
he doothe the duetie of a Bishop. Al this wee graunte. But that he ought not of right to be so cal-
led, of those whome he hath charge ouer, in case of omittinge his duetie: thereto wee saie, that al-
though in respecte of his demeanoure he be not woorthy to be called a Bishop, yet in respecte of the
Vocation, Degree, and Preeminence thought he leaue his duetie vndonne, for whiche he incurreth
daunger of damnation, that Title pertaineth vnto him of good righte, and so continually he is and
ought to be acknowledged for a Bishop, though an euil, and an vnwoorthy Bishop: likewise a Priest.
And whereas S. Augustine saith, that a Bishop is a name of Labour, and not of Honour, he is to be
vnderstanded so as the Scripture is: whiche in somme places speaking of twoo thinges, that are both
in deede to be affirmed, the one beinge of more importance then the other, denieth the one in com-
parison of the other, &c.

Yet it seemeth to be a secrete preparation towarde a pourpose againste sutch time, as the Princes
Gouernement shal mislike their phantasies. For where they Learned this opinion, concerninge Bi-
shoppes, there Learned they also the like, concerninge Ciuil Magistrates. I meane VVickleffe. Among
whole Heretical Articles condemned by the Church in the Council of Constance, this is reckened
for the fiftenth: *Nullus est Dominus Civilis, nullus est Prælati, nullus est Episcopus, dum est in*
peccato mortali. That is to saie, None is a Temporal Lorde, none is a Prelate, none is a Bishop, so
longe as he is in deadly sinne.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This mater shal neede no greate contention. The like wordes haue benne vt-
tered by sundrie other Holy Fathers. S. Chrysostome saith, Multi Sacerdotes, &
Pauci Sacerdotes: Multi Nomine, Pauci Opere: Many Priests there be, and fewe Priests
there be: Many in Name, and fewe in Labour. Againe, Quomodo potest esse Magister,
qui Discipulum non habet? Acquirere Discipulum, & esto Magister: Howe can he be
a Maister, that hath no Scholare? Geate the a Scholare, and then be a Maister.

S. Ambrose saith, Nisi bonum Opus amplectaris, Episcopus esse non potes:
Onelesse thou embrace the good Labour, a Bishop thou canst not be. S. Gregorie
saith,

Chryso. in Opere
Imper. Homi. 43
Chryso. in ead.
Hom. 1.
A. brof. De Di-
gnita. Sacerdo-
tali, cap. 4.

An euil Bi-
shop loo-
seth not the
name of a
Bishop, though he
looke the
merit of a
Bishop.
Vide Aug.
li. 2. contra
Epist. Parmen. ca. 11
Tom. 7.
1. Tim. 1.

saith, Sacerdotes nominamur, & non sumus: *Priests wee are called, but Priests wee are not.*

As for VVickleffe, his Scholare Iohn Hus expoundeth his meaninge, and that with M. Hardinges owne Construction. For theise be his wordes, even as they are alleged by his enimies: Papa, vel Prælati malus, & Præfatus, est æquiuocè Pastor: & verè Fur, & Latro: *The Pope, or any other wicked Prelate, in double, or doubtful speech is a Pastour: but in very deede he is a Thiefe, and a Murderer.* So Chrysostome saith: Qui ab hominibus ordinatus est quantum ad Deum attinet, non est Sacerdos, aut Diaconus: *He that is appointed by menne (and not by God) before God, is neither Priest, nor Diacon.*

If VVickleffe, vpon iuste zeale of the House of God, for that he then saith, the Bishops either knewe nothinge, or did nothinge, or cared for nothinge, either spake or meante more, then Truthe maie beare, wee defende it not. For withstandinge, touching that is objected of deadly sinne, it sameth, he folowed therein the Councel of Valentia in France. The wordes be theise: Quicumque sub Ordinatione, vel Diaconatus, vel Presbyterij, vel Episcopatus, Mortali Crimine dixerint se esse pollutos, a supradictis Ordinationibus submoueantur: *Who so euer after the Order, either of Deaconship, or Priestehood, or of Bishoprike, shal saie they haue benne defiled with Mortal Sinne, let them be remoued from the foresaide Orders.* If M. Hardinge shal blame any other Exposition hereof, I wil not greatly strue with him for it. So S. Augustine saith, as he is alleged by Gratian, *He that neither hath wiped of his owne Sinnes, nor corrected the Sinnes of his Children, ought rather to be called a shamelesse Dogge, then a Bishop.*

Yet notwithstandinge, to remoue all strife, what so euer the Bishop of Rome be, or what so euer he do, let him hardly be called a Bishop, because, as M. Hardinge saith, he standeth in reuue of a Bishop: Or as VVickleffe saith, let him so be called, Acquiuocè, that is to saie, by a worde of double meaninge: As Tinsauerie Walte is called Walte: Or, as the Prophetes of Baal are called Prophetes: Or, as a painted Man is called a Man: And, as S. Gregorie saith, *Let him in wordes be called a Priest, although he in deede be no Priest.* Let him be called a Teacher, although he Teache not: Let him be called a Father, although he Feare not. S. Cyprian saith of S. Paule, ipsum, quamuis inane Nomen, & Vmbram quādam Sacerdotis cogitans, expauit: *S. Paule was afraide, consideringe onely the empty Name, and Shadowe of a Bishop.* And in the late Councel of Tridēte the matter is concluded thus: Qui dicit, eos, qui non exercent Ministerium Verbi, & Sacramentorum, non esse Sacerdotes, Anathema sit: *Who so euer saith, that they, that Minister neither the Wordes of God, nor the Sacramentes, be no Priests, Accused be he.* But Athanasius saith, *Quid opus est Homini bus titulo Episcopis: What neede haue wee of theise menne, that beare onely the name of Bishops?* Therfore S. Hierome saith, *Auferet Dominus Nomina Vane Glorie, & Admirationis falsæ, quæ versantur in Ecclesia. Sed & Nomina Sacerdotum cum Sacerdotibus auferet, qui frustra sibi applaudunt in Episcopali, & in Presbyterij Dignitate, & non in Opere: The Lorde shal take awaie the Names of Vaine Glorie, and of feined wonderinge, whiche are in the Church: Yea he shal take awaie bothe the names of those Priests, and the Priests withal, whiche vaunte them selues in the Dignitie of Bishoprike, and Priestehood, but not in the Labour.*

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 1.

And that neither the Pope, nor any other worldly Creature can no more be Heade of the whole Church, or a Bishop ouer al, then he can be the Bridegroom, the Light, the Saluation, & Life of the Church. For these Priuileges, and Names belonge onely to Christ, and be properly, and onely fitte for him alone.

And that no Bishop of Rome did euer suffer him selfe to be called by such a proude name and title, before Phocas the Emperours time, (who, as wee knowe, by killinge his owne Soueraigne Mauritius the

Gregor. Lib. 4.

1 pist. 32.

in Conc. Const.

ten. sessione. 15.

Chryso. in Mat.

the. Hemil. 3.

Concil. Valentin.

sub Damas. ca. 4

2. Quæst. 7. Quæ

nec regim. au.

Cyprian. Lib. 1.

Epist. 3.

Concil. Tridēnt.

De Sacramento

Ordinis.

Athanasius. Ad

solit. vi.

cam agines.

Hierony. in so-

phoniam. cap. 1.

Provide
Name.

132

The Defense of the Apologie of the

the Emperoure, did by a Traiterous Willanie aspire to the Empire.)
whiche was about the sixth hundred and thirteenth yeere after Christ
was bozne.

M. Hardinge.

*Vntothe: For
it belonged not
vnto the Pope.

The name of Vniuersal Bishop, whiche this Interpreter meaneth, beinge taken in a right sense, is Vniuersal
no provide name, in respecte of him, * to whom it belongeth. VVhether any Bishop of Rome euer
suffered him selfe to be called by that name, or no, as you denie it, and proue it not, so it forceth not
whether any did so, or no. If they refused it of humilitie, that proueth it not to be vnlawful.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

If the name of Vniuersal Bishop be a Provide Name in others, why maie it
not also be a Provide Name in the Bishop of Rome? Hathe the Bishop there sutch
a special Privilege for Pride above al others? Maie Pride be Humilitie, and Humi-
littie Pride, onely in respecte of diuerse Personnes? You saie, This Title of righte
belonged to the Bishop of Rome: and therefore in him it was no Pride. This, M.
Hardinge, is a false Vntothe, as it shal appeare by the next Division. For these
be the wordes of the Council of Carthage, Or at leaste, by youre owne confession,
of Gratian, alleginge the Council of Carthage: Vniuersalis autem (Episcopus) nec i-
pse Romanus Pontifex appelletur: The Bishop of Rome him selfe maie not be called the
Vniuersal Bishop.

Dist. 59. Prime
sedu.

That the Olde Learned and Godly Bishoppes of Rome refused this Name, as
Provide, and Arrogant, it is so plaine by S. Gregorie, that I maruelle, any Learned
man woulde cal it in question. His wordes thereof be these: Nullus Decessorum
meorum hoc tam Profano Vocabulo vti consensit: Nullus Romanorum Pontificum
hoc Singularitatis Nomen assumpsit: Nos hunc honorem nolumus oblatum suscipere:
None of my Predecessours Bishoppes of Rome, euer consented to use this Vngodly Name: No
Bishop of Rome euer tooke vpon him this Name of Singularitie: Wee, the Bishop of Rome, wil
not receive this honour beinge offered vnto vs.

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 32. & 36.

If the Bishoppes of Rome in Olde times refused this Name, not for wante of
Righte, but onely, as M. Hardinge saith, of Humilitie, wherefore then did theire
Successours, that folowed afterwarde, so ambitiously labour to geate the same?
Platyna saith, Bonifacius Tertius obtinuit a Phoca, Magna tamen Contentione: Pope
Bonifacius the Thirde obtained of the Emperour Phocas (that Rome shoulde be called the
Heade of al Churches) but with Greate Contention, and much adoo. Wherefore then
doth S. Augustine, & the whole Council of Africa condemne the attempt of this
surped Jurisdiction, & calle it, Fumosum Sæcli Typhum? The
smokie Pride of the Worlde: And that euen in the Bishoppes of Rome?

Platyna in Bo-
nifacio 3.

If the Bishop of Rome be so full of Humilitie, as we are here bozne in hande,
why auanceth he him selfe so highe above al General Conncelles? Why saith he,
that no Creature maie iudge his doings: Why claimeth he the Swerde & Scepter
of al the Worlde? Why saith he, that Christes Consistorie, and his Consistorie
are al One, and that he can do al, that God can do? Why dothe he saie, That the
Emperour is but the Procurour, or Baille of the Church of Rome: Procurator, siue
Defensor Romanæ Ecclesiæ? Why doth he suffer Kinges, and Emperours to holde
his Sceptre, to leade his Palfray, and to kisse his Foot: Meritly this kinde of Humi-
littie in other places might goe for Pride. Helychius saith, Vbi Superbia regnat, &
Hypocrisis, Humilitas locum non habet: Where Pride, and Hypocrisie beare the swaie, there
Humilitie can haue no place. Likewise Chrysostome saith, Quicunque desiderauerit
Primum in Terra, inueniet in Cælo Confusionem: nec inter Seruos Christi compu-
tabitur, qui de Primatu tractauerit: Who so euer desireth Primacie in Earthe, in Heauen he
shal finde Confusion: Neither shal he be accounted amonge the Seruaunts of Christe, that wil
once intreate of Primacie.

Dist. 58. Si im-
perat, in Gloss.

Helychius serm.
Lib. 4. Dist. 17.
Cur ergo,
Dist. 40. Multi.

M. Hard. 183. a.

Addition. But hereto M. Hardinge answereth, VVhy did you not quote
the place, M. Iewel? Chrysostome hathe no suche saicinge. That, whiche goeth before in Gratian is
taken

Addition

taken out of *Opus Imperfectum*, Homil. 43. which is wel knowne not to be Chrysostomes. But this falsinge which here you alleage out of Gratian, is neither there, nor in Chrysostome. It is a forgerie: and that you knowe wel yenough. Yet you are not ashamed to vse it, to deceiue the ignorant Reader. Leauē, leauē, M. Jewel, to abuse the simplicitie of the vnlarned with such forged peccets and patches.

The Answer. M. Hardinge, howe shal youe M. Jewel be able to answer this kinde of Eloquence? It becommeth you so wel, that it were greate pittie, yf you shoulde speake better. Though this were a forgerie in deede, as you haue so sadly tolde vs, yet you knowe, it were your owne Gratiāns forgerie: It were not mine, you saie. The Booke entituled *Opus Imperfectum*, was neuer written by Chrysostome. I can easily yelde hereto, and neuer strue for it. And yet your Gratian allegeth the same Booke by the name of Chrysostome, as you knowe. Neither did I allege these wordes, as out of Chrysostome, but as out of the Booke of Gratiāns Decrees, allowēd to the world by Pope Eugenius 3. and commonly called *Fundamentum Iuris Canonici*. Who so is able truly to bringe smit the his Authour, ought not of right to be charged with forgerie. You doe wronge therefore, M. Hardinge, with such outcries, to raise the Countreie vpon him, that hath not offended.

But, I praye you, be these wordes neither in *Opere Imperfecto*, as you saie, nor in any other Booke of Chrysostome? And doe I knowe it, as you telle me? Will you saie, your selfe doe knowe it? No, if you so saie, make we beleue it? Surely if ye had sought these wordes better, ye might haue founde them. But ye sought them, where they were not, and sought in vaine. In the 35. Homilie of that same Booke, it is written thus, *Quicumque desiderauerit Primatum in terra, inueniet in Caelo confusionem: ut iam inter seruos Christi non sit de Primatu certamen*. These be the selfe same wordes, that you saie, cannot be founde, neither in *Opere Imperfecto*, nor in any other Booke of Chrysostome. Here is neither picing, nor patching, M. Hardinge. It is plaine dealinge: It is no forgerie. If these wordes thus placed doe not like you, as it appeareth, they doe not, then change them hardy, and take the contrarie: and rather saie thus, VVho so euer desireth Primacie in Earth, shal finde no confusion in Heauen. This perhappes maie seeme better to serue your turne. Where might I triumphe and saie to you, as you saie to me. Yet are you not ashamed,

&c. Leauē, leauē, M. Hardinge, &c.

To conclude, a Learned Man, one of M. Hardinges owne side, herupon hath noted thus: Bonifacius obtinuit a Phoca, vt Ecclesia Romana esset Caput Omnium Ecclesiarum. Ex quo posset modo consimili sumi Argumentum, quod ad Imperatorem pertinere: Primatum Ecclesie transferre, & de Ecclesijs Ordinare: Pope Bonifacius the thirde obtained of the Emperoure Phocas, that the Churche of Rome should be the Heade of al Churches. Whereof wee maie in like case geather an Argument, that it belongeth to the Emperoure, to translate the Primacie of the Churche, and to take Order for the Churches.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 2.

Also the Council of Carthage did circumspectly prouide, that no Bishop shoulde be called either the Highest Bishop, or Chief Pastor.

M. Hardinge.

Here by your leauē, Syr Defender, you plaie false, and are taken, as it were with false Dye, and therefore ye ought iustly to loose al that ye haue vniustly wonne by your false plaie, and false Dye: I meane your shameful falsifinge of this Council by you alleaged. And for this and other your falsched it is righte you loose the credite, which vniustly (because by false Teaching) you haue wonne amonge the Vnlarned. That your false plaie might not soone be espied, you doe as like to Maister Iuel, as though he were his Fathers Sonne. For that false sleight he vseth more, then any that ever I readde. For where as we haue seuen Councils of Carthage, neither shewe you, which of them it is that you alleage, nor geue any notice of the number, where the Canon maie be founde. But contrariwise as the Lapwing with her busie crie leadereth a man from her nest, so you leade vs from the place, where it is, by puttinge in the Margent of your Booke the number 47. that not findinge it by your note, wee shoulde geue ouer further lookinge for it. VVho dothe euill, hateth light, saith Christe. So here falsifinge (a) and forginge a Canon of a Council you woulde faise walke in cloudes, that your lyinge might not be deprehended, &c. So had it benne donne more circumspectly for furtherance of your falsched, if the mater shoulde neuer come to trial of Learninge.

M.

Nowe,

M. Hard. 133. b.

Dist. 40. Mult.

Homil. 35. In Opere Imperfecto.

Iohan. de Partis de Potesta. Reg. & Papali Cap. 13.

M. Hardinge is a merry man.

(a) Vntruth. For this Canon wil soone be found

Falsifinge of a Council

Iohan. 3.

Nowe, who ſo ever examineth the place truly, muſt needs cry out ſhame on you, Defender, who are th' auctour. The wordes, if you had liſted to have alleged them without falſhead, be theſe, VVhiche wee finde in the 26. Canon of the Thirde Council of Carthage, whiche Council was Authorized by the ſixth General Council holden at Conſtantinople in Trullo. *Ve prima Sedis Episcopuſ non appelletur Princeps Sacerdotum, aut Summus Sacerdos, aut aliquid huiſmodi; Sed tantum, Prima Sedis Episcopuſ.* And thus they are to be Engliſhed, It hath liked vs (ſaie the Fathers of that Council) that a Biſhop of a Firſt See be not called Prince of Priests, or Higheſt Prieste, or any ſuche other thinge, but onely a Biſhop of a Firſt See. Nowe cometh in this ioyly Defender, and ſaith, the Council of Carthage hath expreſſe wordes, (for ſo muche his Latine ſoundeth) that no Biſhop ſhoulde be called either the Higheſt Biſhop, or Chiefe Prieste. By whiche Canon, thus by him vntruely veried, he thought to deprime the Pope of this (b) Ancient Title, that al the world hath euer attributed vnto him, ſo as he be called no more *Summus Pontifex*.

(b) Vntothe, as ſhal appeare.

(c) Vntoth. For this Council namely reſtraineth the Biſhop of Rome.

(d) Vntothe, grounded vpon a fable.

(e) Vntothe, grounded as the Former.

For the right vnderſtandinge of this Canon, twoo thinges are to be conſidered. How farre the Authoritie of this Council ought to be extended, and what is meant by a Firſt See. (c) The Decrees of this Council pertained but to the Prouince of Aphrike. For Prouincial Councilles binde only the Prouinces, in which, and for Order of which they be kepte. Onely the General Councilles are to be receiued of al.

By theſe twoo wordes, *Prima Sedes*, thoſe Fathers vnderſtoode any Citie, in which a Patriarke or Primate, who are of one Office, though of diuerſe names, hath his See. I call it a Firſt See, or rather (if it might be permitted) a Primate See. (d) In greate Cities where the Higheſt Courtes for iuſtice were kepte, and where the chiefe Pagane Priests of the Latines named, *Primi Flamines*, were reſident before the comming of Chriſte, there after Chriſtes comming were Patriarkes or Primates placed: by whom the weightie maters of Biſhoppes ſhoulde be decided. (e) VVhiche Order was taken firſt by commaundement of S Peter, as Clement writeth: by the Apoſtles and Clement, as Anacletus witneſſeth: by the Apoſtles and theire Succellours afterwarde, as Lucius the Pope ſaith.

Vide Epil. Leon. 9. ad Petrum & Iohannem Aphricę Episcopos. Prima Sedes, quare meant. Diff. 99. de Primatu.

(f) Moſte mani- feſt, and moſte vaine Vntothe

(g) A greate Vntothe.

Nowe the Council of Carthage by this Defender alleged, and likewise the Aphrican Council ordeined and willed, that a Biſhop of any of the Primate Sees of Aphrike ſhoulde not be called, *Princeps Sacerdotum, aut Summus Sacerdos*, Prince or chiefe of the Priests, or Higheſt Prieste: by whiche worde a Biſhop is there ſignified: but onely a Biſhop of the Primate See, whereof he was Primate. By which Decree they willed (f) onely their Primates of Aphrike to keepe them ſelues within their limites, and not preſumptuouſly to take vpon them more glorious Titles, and further Iuriſdiction. When to them pertained, leaſte ſurely they might ſeeme to preiudicate the Popes Supremacie. Thus it is euident, th' auctoritie of that Carthage Council, beinge reſtrained to Aphrike onely, that by this Canon the Popes Primacie and Title is no whitte diminuiſhed or diſproued. And ſo for al this Defender, he remaineth as he hath (g) euer, Higheſt Biſhop.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

What, M. Hardinge, ſo mutche ſalied vpon vs at one time? Falſſeſinge of Councilles: Shameful Falſſeſinge: Falſe teachinge: Falſe ſeighting: Falſe Diſc: Falſe Plea: and al Falſe: Chriſte ſaith of him ſelfe, *I am the Truthe*. God geue you grace to credite him. For the erreure of quotation in the Margine, wherein you ſpende ſo many wordes, it maie pleaſe you to knowe, that I neither was the Printer, nor coulde be preſente at the Printinge. For the reſte, if there can be any one pointe of Falſhed ſounde in me, touching the allegation of this Council of Carthage, I wil not reſuſe to ſtande charged with the whole. But if euery of theſe horrible Falſheddes be ſounde an euident, and plaine Truthe, then it maie pleaſe you, to take home al theſe pety Titles to your ſelfe againe, as in euery of theſe wordes ſo often doubled, and ſo heapte togethەر, hauinge your ſelfe committed a ſeueral Falſhedde.

And herein ſo for trial of your courteous dealinge, I am contente, your ſelfe ſhal liſte, and be the Iudge. For, notwithstanding it be thought of many, that ye diſſemble deeply, and wil not beſtowe your voice to ſaie the Truthe: Yet I doubt not, but in this mater, if ye haue eyes, ye maie eaſily looke vp, and ſee the Truthe.

You ſaie, Sir Defender hath falſely alleged the Council of Carthage. And why ſo? For that he ſaith, The Council Decreed by expreſſe wordes, that the Biſhop of Rome ſhoulde not be called the Vniuerſal Biſhop. This, you ſaie, is forged, and falſified, and is no parte of that Council. For indifferent trial bothe of the Truthe, and of the Falſhed herein, I beſeeche you, behold the very wordes of the Council, even as they are alleged by your owne Doctoure Gratian. Theſe they are: *Primę Sedis Episcopuſ non appelletur Princeps Sacerdotum, vel Summus Sacerdos,*

Diff. 99. Prima.

vel

vel aliquid huiusmodi: Sed tantum, Primæ Sedis Episcopus. Vniuersalis autem nec etiam Romanus Pontifex appelletur: *Let not the Bishop of any of the Firſte Sees be called the Prince of Priestes, Or the Highest Prieste, or by any other like name, but Onely the Bishop of the Firſte See. But let not the Bishop of Rome him selfe be called the Vniuersal Bishop. And in the Close thereupon it is noted thus, In hac Distinctione dicitur, quod Papa non debet dici Vniuersalis: In this distinction it is saide, that the Pope oughte not to be called the Vniuersal Bishop.*

Addition

Here M. Hardinge crieth out bitterly, M. Jewel is a shamelesse man: Three maine Lies: O impudente Gloster. Are ye not ashamed &c. These be not the wordes of the Council of Carthage. They are to be referred to the thirde parte of the distinction, that foloweth afterwarde. Your speache is terrible, M. Hardinge, and argueth somme inordinate passion in your stomake. Referre these wordes, whither you wil. If they be not wrytten in the Council of Carthage, yet at the leaste they are the wordes of Gratian alleginge the wordes of the Council of Carthage. Be they his wordes, or be they the Councilles. I wil not strue. Wel you knowe, they are not mine. Thus he saith, by your owne Confession, Vniuersalis autem, nec etiam Romanus Pontifex appelletur: Let not the Bishop of Rome him selfe be called the Vniuersal Bishop. And this you confesse, is the meaninge of Pope Pelagius, that foloweth immediately in the same Distinction. Nowe, M. Hardinge, what greates pretence is this, as touchinge the righte of our cause, if we lose the Authoritie of the Council of Carthage, and geate the Authoritie of the Pope him selfe, who in your iudgemente, and as you haue wrytten and published to the worlde, is aboue the Authoritie of al Councilles. What so euer the Council of Carthage saie, the Pope him selfe saith, as it is noted in the Rubrike, Nec etiam Romanus Pontifex Vniuersalis est appellandus.

M. Hard. 150. b.

M. Hard. 191. a.

M. Hard. Confu-
tat. pag. 24. a.

Nowe touchinge the Close, ye renne vpon me with huc, and erie, O impudente Gloster, (you saie) Are you not ashamed to shewe youre preuisite falschedde? why leaste you out the beginninge of the sentence? Cruelly, M. Hardinge, I knowe not your dictes: Otherwise I coulde as god cheape haue serued you with altogether. I leaste out nothinge, that was necessarie. But, so; as mutche, as ye wante somme thinge, I knowe not what, ye shal haue free libertie to make it vp at your pleasure. The whole wordes be these: Hæc est Tertia pars Distinctionis, in qua dicitur, quod Papa non debet vocari Vniuersalis: This is the Thirde parte of this Distinction, wherein it is saide, that the Pope oughte not to be called the Vniuersal Bishop.

Nowe telle vs, good M. Hardinge, what parricide falsched, or what impudente gloster is this: or, sauinge somme parte of your sotte, wherof oughte any man to be ashamed: &c.

Touchinge, that you so pleasantly chere your selfe with these wordes, You doo as like to M. Jewel, as if you were his Fathers Sonne. I muste answer you, as S. Augustine sometime did the Perretique Cresconius: Serua potius Puerilia Pueris: Keepe such Childishe toys so plaie with your Children. God make vs bothe like vnto our Father, that is in Heauen.

Where you saie, of your selfe onely, without farther witness, that this Title is the Popes Ancient Righte, euer gauen to him by al the worlde, I doubte not, but the Intruder hereof by my former Replie, touchinge the same, made some appeare. Certainely, when the same Title was offered to S. Gregorie, he refused it bitterly, as none of his.

In order, this Council of Carthage notwithstandinge, The Title of Higheste

Ep

Bishop

August. contra
Cresc. li. 3. ca. 38.

Artic. 4.
Greg. n. lib. 7.
Epist. 30.

Higheste
Bishop,
Prince of
Priestes.

M. Hardinge
Amphilochius.
Rufinus. Lib. 2.
Cap. 23.
Nazian. ad He-
rouem.
Lactan. Lib. 4.
Cap. 30.
Hieron. contra
Luciferianos.
M. Hardinge in
his Answer to
the Apologie.
fol. 204. b.
In Quest. Ex
viroq. Testam.
mixtim Qu. 101
Concl. Chalced.
Cap. 12.
Chrys. ad Popul.
Antiochen.
Homil. 17.

Concil. Chalced.
Cap. 28.

Adrian. Papa.
In Capitulu ex
Synodu. & Ca-
nonib. diuer.
colloc. To. 2. pag.
611.

As these be the
wordes of
Gregorie.

136 The Defense of the Apologie of the

A Pri.
more
Sec.

Bishops was sometime geuen, not only to the Bishop of Rome, and other Patri-
arches, but also vnto al other Bishoppes. M. Hardinge owne Amphilochius cal-
leth S. Basile, Principem Sacerdotum: *The Prince, or Chiefe of Bishoppes*. Rufinus cal-
leth Athanasius, Pontificem Maximum: *The Higheste Bishop*. Nazianzenus calleth
the same Athanasius, Archisacerdotem Sacerdotum: *The Chiefe Bishop of Bishoppes*.
Lactantius calleth every Bishoplike, Summum Sacerdotium. Likewise S. Hierome
saith, Ecclesie Salus in Summi Sacerdotis dignitate pendet: *The Safetie of the Church*
standeth in the dignitie of the Higheste Prieste. By which Higheste Prieste, M. Hardinge
him selfe saith, is meante every seuerall Bishop within his owne Diocese. S. Au-
gustine saith, Quid est Episcopus, nisi Primus Presbyter, hoc est, Summus Sacerdos?
What is a Bishop, but the Firste, or Chiefe Prieste, that is to saie, the Higheste Prieste? There-
fore we maye safely spare the Pope this Title, of Higheste Bishop, not as Pe-
culiare to him alone, as M. Hardinge imagineth, but as Common, and General to
al Bishoppes.

Al that we haue here alleged of the Jurisdiction of the Flamines, is a mere fanta-
sie, grounded onely vpon an vnauery fable of Anacletus, and Clemens. Neither
are you hable to finde, either these names, Archiflamines, or Protoflamines, whiche
here are imagined, in any Ancient allowed Writer, or any such vniuersal Juris-
diction to them belonging.

The Firste, or Principal, or Mother Sees were limited, not by the Flamines,
but by the Prince. So it is written in the Councel of Chalcedon: Quasunque Ci-
uitates per Literas Regias Metropolitico nomine honorarunt: *What Cities so euer by*
the Princes Charter, they honoured with the name of the Mother See.

And therefore the Emperoure Theodosius vpon displeasure conceiued, toke
that Name of Honour from the Cittie of Antioche, mindinge it shoulde so be cal-
led no more. And so that cause was the Cittie of Rome chosen amongst others,
to be a Primat, or a Principal Mother Se, not so that either Christe, or Peter
had so appointed, as M. Hardinge telleth vs, but so that it was the moste Noble
Cittie, and of greatest renoume in al the Worlde. The wordes be plaine:

Sedi Veteris Romæ Patres merito dederunt Primatum, Quod illa Ciui-
tas aliis Imperaret: *The Fathers woorthily gaue the Chiefetie to the See of*
the Olde Rome: Bicause that Cittie had the Princehoode ouer
others.

Nowe concerninge this Decree of the Councel of Carthage, it touched as wel
the Bishop of Rome, as other Primates. And therefore Pope Adriane afterwarde
alleginge, and corruptinge the same, added thereto this special Prouiso for him selfe:
Nullus Archiepiscoporum, nisi qui Primas Sedes tenent, appelletur Primas, aut Prin-
ceps Sacerdotum, aut Summus Sacerdos, aut aliquid huiusmodi &c. Salua semper in
omnibus Authoritate Beati Petri Apostoli: *Let no Archebishop, sauinge such, as haue*
the Principal, or Firste Sees, be called either the Primat, or the Prince of Priestes, or the High-
este Prieste, or by any other like name, &c. Sauinge alwaies, and in al thinges, the Authoritie of
Blessed S. Peter the Apostle.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 3.

And therefore, sithence the Bishop of Rome wil nowe a daies so be
called, and chalengeh vnto him selfe an Authozitie, that is none of his:
besides that, he doothe plainely contrarie to the Anciente Councelles,
and contrarie to the Olde Fathers: We beleue, that he doothe geue to
him selfe, as it is written by his owne Companion Gregorie, a Pre-
sumptuous, a Prophane, a Sacrilegiouse, and an Antichristian name:
that he is also the Kinge of Pride, that he is Lucifer, which preferreth
him

him selfe before his Brethren: that he hath forsaken the Faith, and is the Forerunner of Antichriste.

M. Hardinge.

Here is muche ado about naught, and a number of bitter wordes piked out of S. Gregories Epistles, pretended to be written against the Bishop of Rome, to no purpose. For if wee saie, (a) as wee maie saie truly, that he challengeth to him selfe no such name, then what hath this Defender to saie? Let him shewe vs howe many Bishoppes of that See euer tooke the name of the Vniuersal Bishop vpon them, specially as Gregorie vnderstandeth it to signifie. If he can shewe none, why blot- teth he so muche Paper with so impudent Lies?

(b) In deede the sixe hundred and thurte Fathers of the General Councel of Chalcedon, gaue to Pope Leo that name, as Gregorie in three sundrie Epistles writeth, and certaine other in their writings haue attributed to the Pope the same. But that either Leo, or any other his Successour (c) affected so to be called, Gregorie denieth. (d) And that any since Gregories time to our daies, euer called or wrote him selfe Vniuersal Bishop, wee denie.

VWhereas Pelagius, and Gregorie, writinge againste the Presumption of Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople for takinge vpon him this name, are muche alleged by the enemies of Vnitie; against the Authoritie of Peters Successour ouer the whole Church: wee saie, that they following the Steppes of their Predecessours, refused the name of Vniuersal Bishop in such sense, as Pelagius, and specially Gregorie oftentimes declareth, that where one is called Vniuersal Bishop, he seemeth to be called (e) Bishop alone, so as Bishoplike shoulde be taken awaie from al others. But they refused not so to be called after this meaninge, as though by that refusal, the Authoritie of the Bishop of Rome should be restrained, and not extended ouer the whole Church. They denie, that any man mighte so be Vniuersal Bishop, as he should be also the peculiar Ruler, and Gouvernour of euerie particular Church. For so al other Bishoppes had benne in vaine: and that is contrarie to Christes Institution, who ordeined al the Apostles to be Bishoppes. To saie al in fewe, they refused the name that mighte odiously be taken, they refused not the Primacie (f) which Christe to them had committed. Therefore Gregorie writinge to Morice the Emperoure, alleaginge the wordes that maie for Peters Authoritie ouer the whole Flocke of Christe, saith of Peter, (g) The charge of the whole Church and Principa- litye is committed to him, and yet is he not called Vniuersal Apostle. VWhere it is plaine, that Gre- gorie doothe bothe affirme the charge of the whole, and denieth the name of Vniuersal. Let these Defenders graunte the thinge, and wee strue not for the name.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here it pleaseth M. Hardinge of his Courtlesie to saie, We haue blotted oure papers with so many, and so many impudent Lies. His whole discourse standeth onely in the Construction of this worde, Vniuersal, in what sense it maie be either refused, or claimed. Howe be it, vnderstande thou, gentle Reader, that al this is M. Hardinges owne onely Commentarie. For other Doctour, or Father he alle- geth none.

Addition
12

Addition. But M. Hardinge saith, M. Iewel misliketh with me for saie- inge, that the name of Vniuersal Bishop in a righte sense is no proude name, in respecte of him, to whom it belongeth. By a right sense, I meane that sense, which S. Gregorie allowed, and that, which the fourth General Councel of Chalcedon allowed.

The Answer. But what is that right sense, M. Hardinge? The same, (you saie) that is allowed by the Councel of Chalcedon, and by S. Gregorie. But what sense, that maie be, neither the Councel of Chalcedon, nor S. Gregorie euer told you. Truly, S Gre- gorie hauinge occasion largely to dispute hereof, euen in the same sense, & meaninge, as it was claimed by the Bishop of Constantinople, saith, that the same Title with the same sense was offered by the Councel of Chalcedon to the Bishop of Rome. In the same sense, I saie, M. Hardinge, and in none other: And in what sense it was of- fered by the Councel, in the same sense the Pope refused it. The sense therefore bringe one, why do you so vainely imagine such choise of senses? The sense that was offered, (you saie) was good: The sense, that was refused, (you saie) was naught. And yet bothe theise senses were al one sense. Otherwise shewe you ma, where S. Gregorie euer called him selfe the Vniuersal Bishop in any kinde of sense. Certainly, spras- hinge of the same Title of Vniuersal Bishop, and of the same sense, and of none o- ther he saith, Petrus Vniuersalis Apostolus non vocatur: S. Peter is not called the Vni- uersal Apostle. If this title, and this sense neuer belonged vnto S. Peter, howe then maie it belonge vnto the Pope? Therefore, M. Hardinge, touchinge the righte sense of theise wordes, I thinke him not in his righte wittes, that can blasse such

¶ 13

distin-

(a) Vntruth For
Platina saith,
Bonifacius 3.
Obtinuit a
Phota, Ma-
gna nomen
Contentione.

(b) There ap-
peareth no such
mater in al that
Councel.

(c) Vntruth. As
appeareth by
the Former Au-
thorine of Pla-
tina.

(d) Vntruth. As
further appea-
reth by the An-
swere.

(e) Vntruth, and most vaine
fantasie. For no
Bishop of Con-
stantinople euer
dreamed of
suche Autho-
ritie.

(f) Vntruth. For
Christe neuer
erected any
such Primacie.
(g) The like
whole Charge
was geuen to
Paule, Iohn,
and Mathewe:
as shal appeare.
M. Har. pa. 186. b

Lib. 4. Epist. 32.

distinction of Senses without any difference. Him S. Gregorie meant to claime the name of Vniuersal Bishop, that sought to subdue al the Members of Christes Church vnto him selfe. And this is that selfe same Title, and that selfe same Sense, that this daie is claimed by the Pope, as it is euidente vnto the worlde. Pope Clemente saith, Omnes subiecti sunt motioni Papæ: & sunt in illo, quasi membra de membro: *Almenne are subiecte to the Popes wil: and are in him, as Members of a Member.* Durandus saith, Omnes Episcopi descendunt à Papa, tanquam Membra à Capite: *Al Bishoppes comme from the Pope, as Members from the Heade.* An other saith, *Papa est Ordinarius totius Mundi: The Pope is the Ordinarie, or Bishop of al the whole Worlde.* And therefore Pope Bonifacius 8. hath concluded by Solcme Sentence, *That e- uery Creature muste submitte it selfe vnto the Bishop of Rome, vpon the paine of everlastinge damnation.* This is the right sense, that you meane, *W. Hardinge: Thus the Pope claimeth this daie, to be the Vniuersal Bishop.* And this same Title, and Sense is it, that S. Gregorie condemneth.

Clemente Lib. 5.
De Hæreticu.
Ad Vestrū.
Durand. De Mi-
nistriis & Ordi-
nibus, Lib. 2.
De Major. &
Obediētijs Vnam
Sanctam.

Firste, where it is saide, that S. Gregorie by this worde, Vniuersal Bishop, meante him, that woulde be Bishop alone ouer al the worlde, excludinge al others, this exposition is not onely strange, but also vaine, and sonde, and void of reason. For what Bishop of Constantinople euer was there, that called him selfe the Onely Bishop, and excluded al others? Doubtlesse Iohn, that firste laide claime vnto this name, as he called him selfe the Vniuersal Bishop, so he wrote his Letters vnto others, and neuer refused to calle them Bishoppes.

But, to leaue theise Closes, and fantasies, by the Title of Vniuersal Bishop, S. Gregorie meante sutch a one, not, as woulde be Bishop alone, but as woulde claime Iustitie Authoritie, and Vniuersal Jurisdiction ouer al other Bishoppes through- out the Worlde: and that, as S. Cy prian saith, woulde calle him selfe, Episcopum Episcoporum: *The Bishop of Bishoppes.*

Cyprian in Con-
cil. Carthagen.
Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 38.

Therefore S. Gregorie thus writeth vnto Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, the firste Usurper of this Title: *Quid tu Christo Vniuersalis Ecclesie Capiti in Ex- tremi Iudicii responsurus es examine, qui cuncta eius Membra tibi met Vniuersalis co- naris appellatione supponere? What Answer wilt thou make vnto Christ the Head of the Vniuersal Church, when thou shalt be examined at the laste Iudgement, (not that thou hast called thee selfe y onely Bishop, but) that thou giest about by the name of Vniuersal Bishop, to make al his Members subiecte vnto thee? It was this immoderate Vniuersal Jurisdi- ction, that S. Gregorie repproued, and not the makinge of him selfe Bishop alone, ex- cludinge al others.*

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 30.

Likewise he writeth of him selfe vnto Eulogius: *Beatitudo vestra mihi sic loquitur, Sicut Iussistis. Quod Verbum Iussionis, peto, à meo auditu remoue- te. Non Iussi: Sed quæ utilia visa sunt, indicare curauī: Tour Holinesse saith thus vnto mee, (beeing the Bishop of Rome) As you haue Commaunded. Haue away*

this word of Commaundinge from my hearing. I beseeche you. Commaunded you not: but that I tooke to be the beste, I thought good to shewe you. The faulte there- fore that Gregorie findeth with Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, stode not in callinge him selfe the Onely Bishop, soz so he neuer did, but in Biddinge, and Com- mandinge, and Claiminge Vniuersallitie of Jurisdiction ouer the whole Church of Christe. And soz that cause he saith vnto Eulogius: Ecce in Prefatione Epistolæ, quam ad meipsum, qui prohibui, direxistis, Superbæ Appellationis Verbum Vniuersalem me Papam dicentes imprimere Curastis. Quod, peto, dulcissima mihi Sanctitas vestra vltra non faciat: quia vobis subtrahitur, quod alteri plusquam ratio exigit præbatur. Beholde euen in the Title of your Letter yee haue written this Proude Poesse, naminge mee The Vniuersal Pope: notwithstandinge I haue forbidden.

Gregor. Lib. 7.
Epist. 30.

forbidden it. I beseeche your Holinesse, to doo so nomore. For what so euer is geuen vnto any o-
ther aboute reason, the same is taken from your selues.

M. Hardinge saith, Gregorie affirmeth the Charge of the whole, and denieth the name of
Vniuersal. Let these Defenders (saith he) graunte the thinge, and wee strue not for the name.
Verily this plaie had benne too vaine for Children: to allowe the thinge it selfe, and
to caull oneip aboute the name: that is to receiue the Bodie, and to shunne the Soa-
dowe: As Christe saith, to swalowe a Camel, and to straine a gnatte. So Ap-
pian saith, Iulius Cesar nicely refused to be called a King: and yet in al maner
Anthonie and Gouvernemente bare him selfe none otherwise, then as a King.

It was not the bare Name of Vniuersal Bishop, that so muche offended the
Holy Fathers: but the Pride, and Tyranie, and Vniuersal Gouvernemente, and
Jurisdiction, that is signified by that name. If the name were naught, then was the
Assurpation of the thinge it selfe a greate deale worse.

But S. Gregorie saith, *The Charge, and Principalitie of the whole Church was com-
mitted vnto Peter. This is not denied: In like sort Chrysostome saith, The like
Charge, and Principalitie of the Church was committed vnto Paule. For thus he writeth,*
*Paulo Totus Orbis creditus est: Paulus gubernat Orbis Ecclesiam: Pa- lus Vniuersum
gubernat Orbem: Vnto Paule the whole Worlde is committed: Paule governeth the Church
of the Worlde: Paule ruleth the whole Worlde. And yet Chrysostome meante not hereby,*
*that Paule had the Vniuersal Gouvernemente of the whole: but that his care and
Charge was general, as not bounde or limited vnto one place, but indifferente, and
common vnto al. So he saith, Paulus tam anxie omnium salutem curabat, ac si To-
tus Mundus vnica esset Domus: Paule was so careful for the Salvation of al menne, as if
the whole Worlde had benne but one House. Againe, Paulum tangebatur sollicitudo Omni-
um Ecclesiarum: non Vnius, aut Duarum, aut Trium, sed Omnium, quæ erant per
Orbem Terrarum: Paule was moued with the care of Al Churches: not of One, or Two, or
Three, but of Al the Churches throughout the Worlde. Likewise he saith of S. Iohn, Co-
lumna erat Omnium, quæ in Orbe sunt, Ecclesiarum: Hee was the Pillar of Al the
Churches in the Worlde. Likewise againe of S. Matthewe, Mattheus Vniuersi Orbis
curam gerebat: The whole Worlde was vnder Matthewes Charge.*

Wherefore, if **M. Hardinge** wil geather out of these thre wordes of S. Gregorie
(*Totius Ecclesiæ Cura*) that Peter had Vniuersal Jurisdiction ouer
al, it muste needes folowe by the same wordes, that Paule, Iohn, and Matthewe had
the same Jurisdiction ouer al. What other thing he hopeth to gaine by these wordes
of S. Gregorie, I cannot tel: Onlesse happily he wil founde his reason thus: S. Gre-
gorie saith, *Petrus Vniuersalis Apostolus non vocatur: Peter is not called the Vniuer-
sal Apostle: Ergo, The Pope is the Vniuersal Bishop.*

So handsomely these thinges are geathered together, to serue the Purpose.
Pope Leo (ye saie) of Humilitie refused this Name openly in the Councel of Chalcedon.
In dede Pope Gregorie so repositeth it. Notwithstandinge it appeareth not by any
thinge donne in that Councel, that this Title was euer offered him. And to refuse
a thinge before it be offered, it is no greate pointe of Humilitie.

To be short, the beste we can geather hereof, is this: Iohn the Bishop of Con-
stantinople ambitiously craued this name: Pope Leo godly refused it beinge offer-
red vnto him: The Popes afterward gladly receiued it without offeringe. If it
were a good name, why was it refused: If it were an ill name, why was it receiued?

Now let vs see, what they of **M. Hardinges** side haue meante by this worde,
Vniuersal, and with what Humilitie the Popes haue receiued the same. Thus
therefore they writte: *a Papa Totius Orbis obtinet Principatum: The Pope hath the
Princedome of Al the Worlde: And when the Pope is Consecrate, the Chiefe Minister
saith vnto him, b Ego inuestio te de Papatu, vt præsis Vibi, & Orbi: I doo inueste
thee with the Popedome, that thou maist rule bothe the Cüræ, and the Worlde.*

And whereas **M. Hardinge** demaundeth, how many Popes haue claimed this
name, it maie please him, to remember, that in the late Councel of Constance, it

M. H.

was

Matth. 23.

Appianus:

Isi dñ Td 4e

ya Ecclesiæ.

Chrys in sermo.

De Ecclesiis.

Chrysost. in Act.

Hom. 133.

Chrysostom. De

Laudibus Pauli.

Hom. 12.

Chrys. in Homil.

De Profectu

Euangeliij.

Chrys. ad Rom.

Aniuche Ho-

mil. 73.

Chrysost. in Pro-

logo in Iohann.

Chrysost. in Nat-

he. Homil. 48.

a Extra. De Patu

Regulæ. Per.

culosa. in Cl. ff.

b Ceremoniar.

Lib. 1. ap. 4.

*Paralipome.
Vrberger. De
Disputatione
Lissia.
Francis Zabarella.*

was not only claimed, but also published, as an Article of the Faythe. The wordes are these, *De necessitate Salutis est credere, Papam esse Oecumenicum: It is of the necessity of Salvation to beleue, that the Pope is the Vniuersal Bishop.*

Of this Vniuersalitie of Power Francis Zabarella writeth thus: *Papa iam occupauit Omnia iura inferiorum Ecclesiarum, ita, vt inferiores Prælati sint promisso: The Pope hath nowe gotten the righte of All Inferiour Churches, so that the Inferiour Prelates stande nowe for nothinge.*

For these causes Gregorie calleth the name of Vniuersal Bishop, a Propbane, a Presumptuous, a Wicked, and an Antichristian name: Comparing the Usurper thereof with Lucifer, and Antichriste.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Division. 1.

Further wee saie, that the Minister ought lausfully, duely, and orderly to be preferred to that office of the Church of God, and that no man hath the Power to weaste him selfe into the Holy Ministerie at his owne pleasure. Wherefore these personnes doo vs the greater wronge, whiche haue nothinge so common in their mouthes, as that wee doo nothinge Orderly, and comely, but all thinges troublesomely, and without Order: And that wee allowe euery man to bee a Priest, to bee a Teacher, and to be an Interpreter of the Scriptures.

M. Hardinge.

Ye saie, that the Minister ought lausfully to be called (for so hath your Latine) and duely and orderly to be preferred to that office of the Church of God. VVhy doo ye not so? VVhy is not this obserued amonge you Gospellers? VVhat so euer ye meane by your Minister, (a) this is wee assured of, that in this your newe Church, Bishoppes, Priestes, Deacons, Subdeacons, or any other Inferiour Orders, ye haue none.

(a) Vntruth. For it is knowen, we haue them.

For whereas after the doctrine of your newe Gospel, like the Forerenners of Antichriste, ye haue (b) abandoned the external Sacrifice, and Priesthoode of the Newe Testamente, and haue not in your Secte consecrated Bishoppes, and therefore beinge without Priestes made with lawful laicinge on of handes, as Scripture requireth, al Holy Orders beinge geuen by Bishoppes onely: how can ye saie, that any amonge you can lawfully Minister, or that ye haue any lawful Ministers at al?

(b) Vntruth. For we haue abandoned neither the Priesthood, nor the Sacrifice, that Christ appointed.

This then beinge so, let me haue leaue to oppose one of these Defenders consciences. And that for the better vnderstanding I maie directe my wordes to a certaine person, let him be the Authour of this Apologie, or bicause his name to me is vnknownen, let him be M. Iewel. For with him gladly would I reason in this point, the rather for acquaintance, and for that he beareth the name of a Bishop in that Church, where my selfe had a rounge. Howe saie you, Sir Minister Bishop, ought the Minister to be lawfully called? ought he dewly and orderly to be preferred to that office, or (as the Latine here hath) promoted, or put in Authority ouer the Church? in the Apologie this Defender saith, yea.

How many Bishoppes can you reckon, whome in the Church of Salisburie you haue succeeded as wel in Doctrine, as in outwarde sittinge in that Chaire? Howe many can you tel vs of, that beinge your Predecessours in order before you, were of your Opinion, and taught the faithful people of that Dioces the Doctrine, that you teache? Did Bishop Capon teache your Doctrine? did Shaxton? did Campegius? did Bishop Audley? Briefly, did euer any Bishop of that See before you teache your Doctrine? (c) It is moste certaine they did not.

(c) Vntruth. For B. Shaxton, and Bishop Capon taught the same.

If you cannot shewe your Bishoply Petigree, if you can proue no Succession, then whereby holde you? VVil you shewe vs the Letters Patentes of the Prince? VVil maie they stande you in some strede before men: before God, who shal cal you to account for presuminge to take the Highest office in his Church (d) not duely called thereto, they shal serue you to no purpose.

(d) Vntruth. For he was chosen by Canonical Election of the Chapter, as al other Bishops haue benne before.

You knowe what Tertullian saithe of such as ye be: *Edant Origines Ecclesiarū suarum, &c.* VVee saie likewise to you M. Iewel, and that we saie to you, wee saie to eche one of your Companions: Tel vs the Original and firste springe of your Church. Shewe vs the Register of your Bishoppes continually succeedinge one an other from the beginninge, so as that firste Bishop haue some one of the Apostles, or of the Apostolike men for his Authour, and Predecessour. For by this waie the Apostolike Churches shewe what reputation they bee of. As the Church of Smyrna telleth vs of Polycarpe by Iohn the Apostle placed there. The Church of the Romaines telleth vs of Clemente ordeined by Peter. S. Austustine hauinge reckened vp in order the Bishoppes of Rome to Anastasius Successour to Siricius, who was the Eighte and thirteenth after Peter, saithe that in al that Number and rolle of Bishoppes there is not founde One, that was a Donatiste: and thereof hee concludeth, *Ergo*, the Donatistes be not Catholikes. So after that wee haue reckened al the Bishoppes of Salisburie from Bishoppe Capon vpwarde, wee shal come at lengthe in respecte of Doctrine and Orders to S. Austustine the Apostle of the Englishe, who was made Bishoppe by Gregorie.

In prelationibus
aduersus
Hæreticos.
These be
Tertullian
wordes.
Epist. 165.

Gregorie, and from Gregorie vpwarde to S. Peter. And in al that rewe of Bishoppes (e) wee shal finde neuer a one that beleueed, as M. Iewel beleueeth. *Ergo*, your Zwinglian and Caluinian Belecte, M. Jewel, and of the reste of your felowes is not Catholike.

Therefore, to go from your Succession, which ye cannot proue, and to come to your Vocation, how saie you Syr? You beare your selfe as though you were Bishop of Salisbury. But howe can you proue your Vocation? By what Authoritie Vsurpe you the Administration of Doctrin and Sacramentes? VVhat can you alleage for the right and Proufe of your Ministerie? who hath called you? VVho hath laide handes on you? By what example hath he done it? Howe, and by whom are you consecrated? VVho hath sente you? VVho hath committed to you the office you take vpon you? Be you a Prieste, or be you not? If you be not, howe dare you Vsurpe the name and Office of a Bishop? If you be, tel vs (f) who gaue you Orders? The Institution of a Prieste, was neuer yet but in the power of a Bishop. Bishoppes haue alwaies after the Apostles time, accordinge to the Ecclesiastical Canons, bene consecrated by (g) three other Bishoppes, with the consent of the Metropolitan, and confirmation g) of the Bishop of Rome.

Athana. in Apolog. 2. Macarius a Prieste of Athanasius, (as it was laide to his Charge by his accusers) pulled Iſchyraſ from the Altar, as he was at (h) Masse, ouerthrewe the Holy Table, brake the Chalice. The matter brought to iudgement, Athanasius and those Bishoppes bothe denied the facte, and also thoughte it were graunted, yet defended the same as wel done, because Iſchyraſ was not a lawfull Minister of the Church. And why so? because he was not lawfully made Prieste, nor with Churchely laieringe on of handes consecrated. By whiche example besides other pointes we are taught, what to iudge of your pretended Communion.

Contrah. nre Lib. 2. in Dialogo contra Luciferianos. Again, what saie you to Epiphanius, who writeth againſt one Zachæus of his time, for that beinge but a Laie man, with wicked Presumption tooke vpon him to * handle the Holy Mysteries, and rashely to do the office of a Prieste? Hereof S. Hierome saith notably: *Hilarius cum Diaconus de Ecclesia recesserit, &c.* Hilary for asmuche as he wente from the Church being a Deacon, and is onely (as he thinketh) the multitude of the world, can neither consecrate the Sacrament of the Altar beinge without Bishoppes and Priestes, nor deliuer Baptisme without the Euchariste. And whereas now the man is deade, with the man also the secte is ended, because breeinge a Deacon he coule not consecrate any Clerke that shoulde remaine after him. And Church is there none, whiche hath not a Prieste. But lettinge goe these fewe of litle regarde, that to them selues bee bothe Laie, and Bishoppes, listen what is to be thought of the Church. Thus S. Hierome there. In whome leauinge other thinges I note, that if there be no Church, where is no Prieste, VVhere is your Church like to become, after that our Apostates, that nowe be fledde from vs to you, shalbe departed this life? Therefore this beinge true, it remaineth, M. Iewel, you tel vs, whether your Vocation be Ordinarie or Extraordinarie. If it be Ordinarie, shewe vs the letters of your Orders. At leaſt shewe vs, that you haue receiued Power to don the Office, you presume to exercise, by due order of laieringe on of handes and Consecration. * But Order, and Consecration you haue not. For who could geue that to you of al these Newe Ministers, how so euer els you cal them, whiche he hath not him selfe? If it be Extraordinarie (as al that ye haue done hitherto, is besides al good Order) shewe vs some Signe of Miracle. If you faile in al these, why ought not you to be put awaie.

Sacerdos. Finally, what can you answere to that, whiche maie be objected to you out of S. Cyprians Epistle to Magnus, touchinge Nouatian? It was at those daies a question, whether Nouatian Baptized and offered, specially where as he vsed the Forme, Maner, and Ceremonies of the Church. Cyprian denieth it. For he can not (saith he) be compted a Bishop, who settinge at naught the Tradition of the Gospel, and of the Apostles, *Nemini succedens a seipso ordinatus est*, Succedinge no man is ordeined Bishop of him selfe. For by no meanes maie one haue or holde a Church, that is not ordeined in the Church.

Lib. 1. Epi. 6. Iulianus Epist. Hist. lib. 6. ca. 43 in Græco. This beinge so, we doo you no wronge, as ye complaine, in tellinge you and declaringe to the VVorld, that touchinge the exercise of your Ministerie, ye doo nothinge orderly, or comely, but al thinges troubleſomely and without Order. Onlesse yer meane furche Order, and comeliesse, as Thecurs obserue amonge them selues in the distribution of their Robberies.

Lailly, if ye allowe not every Man, yea and euery VVoman to bee a Prieste, why driue ye not some of your felowes to recante, * that so haue Preached? VVhy allowe ye the Bookes of your New Euangelistes, that so haue written?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here hath M. Hardinge taken some paines more then ordinarie. He thought, if he coulde by any colour make the world beleue, we haue neither Bishoppes, nor Priestes, nor Deacons this daie in the Church of Englande, he mighte the more easily claime the whole right vnto him selfe. And in darde, if it were certaine, that the Religion, and Truthe of God passeth evermore orderly by Succession, and none otherwise, then were Succession, wherof he hath to be vs so longe a tale, a very good substantial Argumente of the Truthe. But Christe saith, In Cathedra Moſi sedent Scribæ, & Pharisei: (By order of Succession) the Scribes, and Phariseis sitte in Moſes Chair. Annas, and Chaiphaz, touchinge Succession, were as wel Bishoppes,

(e) Vntruthe, By your owne Confession.

(f) Euen he that gaue M. Hardings Orders in the time of K. Edwards.

(g) Vntruthe two together in one Sentence. See the Answer.

(h) A vaine Vntruthe. For if it had benne any thinge, it had benne a Communion, and not a Masse. But Athanasius saith plainly: *Neg, Ecclesia ibi erat, neg, Sacra fiebant, neg, temporaria mysteria agebatur.*

M. Hardinges Brethren Apostates.

* A manifest Vntruthe: For we haue both Order, and Consecration.

M. Hardinges modeltie.

* An odious Vntruthe: For touchinge the Ministerie of the Church, wee haue neither Preaced so, nor witten so.

Matth. 23.

Act. 20.

Dist. 40. Non est
Facile.

M. Hard. Pag.

197. 2.

M. Hard. Pag.

139. b.

In Esate Ca. 8.
Ioseph. Antiqui-
tat. Li. 13. Ca. 21.

Math. 16.

Math. 15.

Ibidem.

Iohan. 10.

Math. 23.

Iohan. 10.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tractat. 46.

Dist. 40. Non
est.

as Aaron, and Eleazar. Of Succession S. Paule saith to the Faithful at Ephesus: Scio, quod post discessum meum intrabunt Lupi rapaces. Ex Vobis ipsis exurgent viri peruersi loquentes: I knowe, that after my departure hence, Raueninge Wolves shal enter, and Succeede me. And out of your selues there shal (by Succession) springe vp menne speakinge peruersely. Therefore S. Hierome saith, Non Sanctorum filij sunt, qui tenent loca Sanctorum: Thei be not aduaites the Children of Holy Menne, that (by Succession) haue the places of Holy Menne.

Addition. Naie. (saith M. Hardinge) you bunge these woordes, as in the reproche of Succession: whereas Christe of the same made an argument for Succession. Coulede you not perceiue, that Christe made a plaine argumēt, why, and why onely the Scribes, and Phariseis should be obied? Thus Christe saith, Obie them only for Successions sake: because by order of Succession they sit in Moses Chaire. This place so maketh for Succession, that it can neuer be auoided.

The Answer. And thinke you in dede, M. Hardinge, that the Scribes, and Phariseis came from Moses by Succession, as the Pope would haue to come from Peter: were they the Dukes, and Princes of the Countre, as Moses was? Or, were they the high Priests, or Bishoppes, as was Aaron? Or, had they continued their estate, & doctrine euen from the time of Moses until Christe welneare by the space of two thousande yeres? Certainly S. Hierome saith, The Scribes and Phariseis growe out of twoo houses in Iewrie, the one called Sammai, the other Hillel not longe before Christe was borne. Non multo prius quam dominus nasceretur. And Iosephus saith, The Phariseis were firste brought to the gouernment of the state by the pollicie of Alexandra, after the death of Alexander her husbāde, whiche was not aboue thre score yeres before Christe. Now, M. Hardinge, if the Scribes, and Phariseis had neither the office of Moses, nor his Doctrine, nor his Lawes, nor his Swerde, but onely had shifted in them selues so many yeres after him, howe then can you saie, That Christe commaunded them to be obied onely for Successions sake, for that by order of Succession they had placed them selues in Moses Chaire? In dede they had set them selues in the roome of Moses, and were his Successours, as touchinge place. But other Succession of continuance, or vniuersally of euery parte of Doctrine I knowe none. Vniuersally, I saie, and of euery parte. For otherwise in many partes they taught Moses Lawe, and taught it truely. How be it, as the Scribes, and Phariseis succeeded Moses, peruerthinge, and breakinge the Lawes of Moses: euen so do the Bishoppes of Rome this daye succede Christe, peruerthinge, & breakinge the Lawes of Christe.

But, I praye you, did Christe commaunde the people to obie the Phariseis, what so euer they saide, true, or false, onely because of their Succession? wherefore then saide he to his Disciples; Beware of the Leauen of the Scribes, and Phariseis? Blinde they are, and leade the blinde, and both fall into the pitte. They breake the Lawes of God to uphold their owne Traditions. They are Theeues and Murtherers: They seeke onely to destruy and Kille. They shutte vp the Kingdome of God before menne? Yet wil you telle vs, that Christe commaunded the people to folowe the Phariseis absolutely, and without exception, breakinge, and defacinge Goddes Holy Lawes: shutting vp the Kingdome of God: destroyinge, and killinge the soules of Goddes people: and leadinge them headlonge into the pitte: Naie, M. Hardinge, Christe rather saith, My sheepe heare my voice, and folowe me: and wil not heare the voice of Strangers: By Strangers he meante the Scribes and Phariseis, and other like. For sal answere hereto, S. Augustine saith thus: Sedendo in Cathedra Moysi Legem Dei docent &c. Sittinge in the Chaire of Moses, they teache the Lawe of God. Therefore it is God that teacheth in them. But if they wil teache any thinge of their owne, then (saith S. Augustine) heare it not: then do it not.

Thus, M. Hardinge you see, neither had the Phariseis deliue Succession, and Continuance from Moses, no more then the Pope hath from S. Peter: nor did they vniuersally, and in al pointes teache the true meaninge of Moses Lawe: nor did Christe wil the people vniuersally, and absolutely to obie them. So muche this place maketh for your Succession.

Not withstandinge the Pope him selfe wil saie, as it is before alleged, In Papa si desint bona acquisita per meritum, sufficiunt, quæ a Locis Prædecessore Prestantur: If the Pope wante good thinges gotten by his owne Merites: the good thinges, whiche he

Addition

Q. 21

hath (by Succession) of Peter his Predecessour, are sufficient. And the Close therupon, Petrus fecit Papas Heredes bonitatis tue: Peter made the Popes Heres of his goodnesse (by Succession.) And againe, Papa Sanctitatem recipit a Cathedra: The Pope receiveth his Holinesse (by Succession) of his Chaire.

Addition *The effect of M. Hardings answer here to is this: The Pope may be holy, although not by virtue, that should be within him, yet by his office, that is without him.*

M. Hard, pag. 204.

The Answer. And yet it is Universally confessed among the Learned, that Holinesse is a Vertue, and that Vertue is an ornamente of the minde, and is with in vs. Sanctitas est virtus: virtus est habitus animi. But the Pope hath a privilege above others, and may be holy without Holinesse. So the Scribes, and Pharisees, and Annas, and Caiphas, were they never so wicked, might be holy by their Office. And so by this conclusion, it may stande well with reason, that Cathedra, and Holinesse may dwell together. But S. Hierome saith, Si quis hominem, qui Sanctus non est, Sanctum esse crediderit, & Dei eum iunxerit societati, Christum violat, cuius membra sumus: If any man beleue, that man to be Holy, that is not Holy, and will ioint him to Goddes company, he doth violence to Christ, whose members wee are.

Causa. 11. q. 3. 91
qui loquuntur.

But the assistance sometime had the Scribes, and Pharisees in their Succession. Therefore they saide, Wee are the Children of Abraham: Unto vs hath God made his promise: Art thou greater then our Father Abraham? As for Christe, wee knowe not, from whence he came, or, what he can shewe for his Succession. And, when Christe beganne to reforme their Abuses, & Errours, they saide unto him, By what Power doest thou these things? And who gave thee this Authoritie? where is thy Succession? Upon which wordes Beda saith, Intelligi volunt, Diaboli esse, quod facit: They would haue the People vnderstande (for that he had no solemne Succession) that at that he did, was of the Devil. And Cyrillus frameth their wordes in this sort: Tu Ortus ex Iuda, commissos nobis fauces usurpas: Thou beinge of the Tribe of Iuda, (and therefore hauinge no righte by Succession unto the Prieasthode) takest vpon thee the office, that is committed vnto vs. Likewise Chrysostome imagineth, the Pharisees thus to saie: Tu de Sacerdotali Familia natus non es: Senatus tibi hoc non concessit: Caesar non donauit: Thou arte not of the house of Priestes: The Councell hath not graunted it thee: The Emperour hath not geuen it thee. Thus to mainteine them selues in credite, for that they had Succession, and continuance from Aaron, and saie in Moses Chaire, they kepte Christe quite out of possession: and saide vnto him then, even as M. Hardinge saith nowe vnto vs: Who euer taught vs these things before thee? What Ordinarie Succession, and Vocation haue thou? What Bishop admitted thee? Who confirmed thee? Who allowed thee?

Ioh. an. 8.

Ioh. an. 9.

Luc. 20.

Marc. 11.

Beda in Luc.

Lib. 5. ca. 80.

Cyrl. in Cathedra

na in Luc. 20.

Chryso. in Mat.

the. 1. 39.

Addition *Addition.* But M. Hardinge saith, Christe had a moste perfect Succession from Adam, til Ioseph the husbando of the Virgine Marie.

The Answer. I graunte, as touching his Birth, Christe had his Succession from Adam downewarde. So hath every man liuinge, what so euer he be. Euen you your selfe, M. Hardinge, are lineally descended from Adam by vndoubted Succession, although vnknewen: onlesse wa shal thinke, we were borne without Father, or Mother, and are none of Adams children. But we speake not now of Succession of Birth, but of Succession of Office. And you know the Office of Priesthode, wherof the Pharisees spake, was neuer in the house of David, that is reckoned in Luke, and Mathew, but onely in the house of Levi. Otherwise tel me, in that whole race of Davids descende, who was euer accounted a Priest, or offered the Sacrifice, or had Ordinarie power to teache the Gospel before Christe? You do ouer vainly abuse your Reader, thus confoundinge, and blindinge your things together. Touchinge the Ordinarie Office of Priesthode, and instructinge the people, wherof we speake, Christe saith plainly, As many as came before me, they were Theenes, and Murderers. As if he would saie vnto them, I haue no Ordinarie descende from the Bishoppes, and Priestes of the house of Levi. I am habile to shewe you no such Succession. My liuinge Father hath sente me to you. Consider better, what you write, M. Hardinge, for shal the lesse deceiue the simple.

Further

M. Har. pag.
213, 4.

De Maiorib. &
Obedient. Vnam
sanctam.

Cornel. Episc.
Bitontin. in
Concil. Trident.

August. Epist.
165.

M. Har. f. 48. 27

Augustin. contra
Crescent. Lib. 1.
Ca. 33.

Further you saie, S. Peters Chaire to the Newe Lawe, is that, whiche Moses Chaire was to the Olde Lawe. Who tolde you this tale, M. Hardinge? In what Scripture maie we finde it: your owne word carrieth no such credite: p[ro]ue it better, that we maie be: eue you. Hereto p[er] adde further, VVhat so euer Kinge, or Queene, or Prieste setteth vp a Succession againste Peters Chaire, (you meane, that is not obediēte to the Pope) before Christes seconde comminge, is a Schismaticke, and without repentance shalbe damned in Hel fire with Idolaters for euer. And is this your Definitive Sentence, M. Hardinge? or maie not a poore man appeale from it? In daie so saide Pope Bonifacius in his Manistie, I haue Decreed, and Determined (saith he) that euery Creature be subiecte to the Bishop of Rome, vpon the necessitie of his Saluation. Thus al other Christians, what so euer, dwellinge in Gracia, Armenia, Aphrica, Asia, Aethiopia, India, &c. muste needs be damned. For certainly they neuer knew any such subiection to the Pope. But God be thanked, S. Peter him selfe, of whose Chaire we speake, saith thus, Before God there is no difference, nor choise of persones. But in euery Nation, who so euer feareth God, and worketh rightuousnesse, is acceptable vnto him. But of any such necessitie of Obedience to the See of Rome, he telleth vs nothinge.

Therefore, good Christian Reader, lette not these M. Hardinges great wordes mutche abash the the. The Scribes, and Pharisees in the like cases vsed the like language longe agoe.

Touching the Church of Rome, I wil saie namo[re] for this p[re]sente, but onely, that was spoken openly by Cornelius the Bishop of Bitonto in the late Council of Tridente: Vtinam non a Religione ad Superstitionem, a Fide ad Infidelitatem, a Christo ad Antichristum, velut prorsus Vnanimis declinassent: Woulde God they were not gonne as it were utterly by consente together, from Religion to Superstition: from Faith to Infidelitie: from Christe to Antichriste. These fewe wordes, consideringe either the speaker, or the place, where they were spoken, maie seme sufficient. They are gonne from Faith to Infidelitie: from Christe to Antichriste. And yet, al other thinges failing, they muste holde onely by Succession: and, onely because they sitte in Moses Chaire, they muste claime the possession of the whole, This is the right, and vertue of their Succession.

The wordes of Tertullian, M. Hardinge, whiche you haue here alleged were spoken of certayne your Ancient Fathers, that had raised vp a Newe Religion of them selues, as you haue also donne, without either Word of God, or example of the Apostles, and Holy Fathers. And therefore he saith, not vnto vs, but vnto you, and such, as you be, Edant Origines Ecclesiarum suarum: Lette them shewe forth the Originall of thire Churches. Euen so we saie vnto you, shewe vs the Originall of your Doctrine: shewe vs any one of the Apostles of Christe, or of the Learned Catholique Doctors of the Church, that euer saide your Private Masse: shewe one at the leaste, either Greke, or Latine. S. Augustine saith, of so many Bishops of Rome, there could not one be founde, that had benne a Donatist. Euen so in like sort we saie to you, of al the sainte Bishoppes of Rome, there cannot one be founde, that euer agreed with M. Hardinge in saieinge Masse. Or if there were any such, shewe vs his name, with other Circumstances, when, and where, and who were witness of the doctinge. shewe vs your Originall, M. Hardinge: Confesse the Truthe: Decree vs no longer: It is a newe Disease: ye haue it onely of your selues: and not by Succession from the Apostles.

Addition. Hereto, M. Hardinge answereth, You saie not euen right so, as Tertullian saide, For he called not for the Originall of doctrine, but of Churches. For by the Churches the doctrine is knowen, to be good, or euil, to be allowable, or reprobable.

The Answer. I graunt, M. Hardinge, greates, and wanty is the Authoritie of the Church of God. Yet is not the Truthe of Goddes Gospel alwaies knowen by the name of the Church: But contrariwise the true Church is alwaies knowen by the Gospel. S. Augustine saith vnto Cresconius, Ecclesiam sine vlla ambiguitate sancta Scriptura demonstrat: The Holy Scripture sheweth forth the Church without doubtfulnesse. And the Authour of the Booke, called Opus Imperfectum, speakinge p[ro]p[ri]etely

purposely hercof, saithe thus, Ideo mandat, vt Christiani volentes &c. Therefore Christe commaundeth, that Christian menne Willinge to be assured of the true Faith, resorte to nothinge els, but onely to the Scriptures. For if they haue regarde to any thinge els, they shalbe offended, and perishe, not knowinge, whiche is the true Church.

Further you saie, It is cleare, that S. Chrysostome saide Masse, and yet had no man to receiue with him.

The Answer. This is al vntrue, M. Hardinge. For your credites sake pprone it better. It is euiden by Chrysostome, that, when he Ministred the Holy Communion, greate multitudes, as wel of the Laie people, as also of the Clerergie receiued with him. For prouise whereof, it maie please the, god Reader, to consider somme parte of my Replie to M. Hardinge.

Againe you saie, VVee saie Priuate Masse, bicause the Popes and other Bishops, who sitte in Peters, and in other the Apostles Chaires, doo telle vs, that it is lawful to saie Priuate Masse.

The Answer. If this Rule be infallible, then happy are you. Followinge the Pope, whither so euer he leade you, you can neuer goe amisse. This is that Districie, that y^e haue disclosed to the worlde in your late Confutation. Thus you saie, It shalbe sufficient for vs to doo, as Peters Successours bid vs to doo: Christe nowe requireth not of vs to obeye Peter, or Paule, but him, that sitteth in their Chaire, that is the Pope.

But wherfore telleth vs M. Hardinge this longe tale of Succession? Haue these menne theire owne Succession in so safe Recorde? Who was then the Bishop of Rome nexte by Succession vnto Peter? Who was the Seconde? Who the Thirde? Who the Fourthe? Irenaeus reckoneth them togeather in this order: Petrus, Linus, Anacletus, Clemens: Epiphanius thus, Petrus, Linus, Cletus, Clemens: Optatus thus, Petrus, Linus, Clemens, Anacletus. Clemens saithe, that he him selfe was nexte vnto Peter: And then muste the rekeninge goe thus: Petrus, Clemens, Linus, Anacletus. Hereby it is cleare, that of the foure firste Bishoppes of Rome, M. Hardinge cannot certainly telle vs, who in order Succeeded other. And thus talkinge so mutche of Succession, they are not wel hable to blase their owne Succession.

I might farter saie, that Peters & Apostolike was ouer the Iewes, and not at Rome ouer the Heathens. For so S. Paule saithe, Mihi concreditum est Euangelium Praeputij, sicut Petro Circumcisionis: Qui potens erat Petro in Apostolatu Circumcisionis. Potens erat in me inter Gentes: The Gospel of the Vncircumcision emonge the Heathens, was committed vnto me, as the Gospel of the Circumcision, emonge the Iewes, vnto Peter: God that was mighty in Peter in the Apostleship of the Circumcision, was mighty in me emonge the Heathens. Therefore if the Pope this daie wil claime onely by Peters Title, and require nomore then Peter had, then muste he seke his Primacie emongst the Iewes, where Peter had his Iurisdiction limited, and not at Rome emonge the Heathen Christians, emonge whome, as S. Paule saithe, he had not mutche to do.

Addition

Addition. Here saithe M. Hardinge, The lewdnesse of this licencious Minister passeth al reason. His malicious and sonde conceite: you are so impudent: Shame it is to you M. Iewel: The shame of ignorance, I meane, or whiche is more likely, the shame of impudencie.

The Answer. You are liberal, M. Hardinge. It is your god wil, I shoulde wante no shame. Of lewde, and licencious Ministers, I haue no shil. Butche licencious sprache mighte wel be spared. But why are you so impatiently moued without cause? You saie, I exclude, not onely the Pope, but also S. Peter, from the Gouvernemente of his owne Chaire in Rome. You maie not beare false witness, M. Hardinge, be you neuer so angrie. The mouth that seeketh butche advantages, killeth the soule. You know wel, we exclude not the Pope from the gouernemente of his owne Chaire in Rome. Otherwise why should we so oftentimes calle him the Bishop of Rome? God geue him grace, that he maie so gouerne his Chaire, as the Seruante of Christe, and the Steward of Goddes Mysteries: Leaste his Chaire be laide to his confusion. S. Hierome saithe, It is no ense mater to stande in the place of Peter, and Paule, and to holde the Chaire of them nowe raininge with Christe. And Chrysostome saithe, as he is alleged by Gratian, Non Cathedra facit Sacerdotem, sed Sacerdos Cathedram: It is not the Chaire, that maketh the Priest: But it is the Priest, that maketh the Chaire.

M. Hard, pag.
211. a. 212. a.

1. Corinth. 4.
Hieron. ad He-
liodorum.
40 Dist. Mult.
In opere Imper-
fecto Homil. 43.

But

Gal. 2.

Origen. in Mat.
the. Tract. 14.

August. in Epist.
ad Galat. Lib. 1.
Hieron. in Epist.
ad Galat. Ca. 2.

Chrysost. in Epist.
ad Galat. Cap. 2.

Ambros. ad Gal.
lat. Cap. 2.
Anselm. in Epist.
ad Galat. Ca. 2.

M. Harding. 227.

Theoph. in Epist.
ad Galat. Cap. 2.
Chrysost. ad Ga-
lat. Cap. 2.

But I haue saide, S. Peters See Apostolique was ouer the Jewes. This is it, that hath offended you. Yet, I saide not, his See was onely ouer the Jewes, utterly excludinge al manner Heathens. Beholde my wordes better. Thus I saie, speakinge of the Agrément taken betwene Peter and Paule, At Rome emonge the Heathen Christians S. Peter had not muche to doo. This (you saie) is lewdnesse, and impudencie, and ignorance, and a malicious, and fonde conceite, and I knowe not what. I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, and omthe not S. Paule saie the same? Did not Peter, and Paule diuide the Charge betwene them selues, that Peter, James, and Iohn should haue the Jewes for their portion: and Paule, and Barnabas for their portion, shoulde haue the Heathens? Was not these S. Pauls owne expresse, and plaine wordes: When James, Peter, and Iohn, that are taken as the pillars, or chiefe emonge them, had knowen the grace, that was geuen to me, they ioined with mee, and Barnabas the righte handes of felowship, that wee shoulde preach emonge the Heathens, and they in the Circumcision emonge the Jewes? Weigh wel these wordes, M. Hardinge. What signifie they? what meane they? was not this the conclusion of their Agrément, that James, Peter, and Iohn shoulde vse their Apostolique Authoritie ouer the Jewes: and Paule, and Barnabas their like Authoritie ouer the Heathens? If you can diuise vs any other exposition hereof, I praye you, shewe it. Origen writinge vpon the Gospel of S. Mathewe expoundeth it thus, Peter and Paule gaue right handes of felowship, the one to the other, that Peter should goe vnto the Circumcision, to preach vnto the Jewes, lininge vnder the Lawe, as vnto an Ass vnder the Yoke: But Paule shoulde goe vnto the Heathens, as vnto a Colte, that had neuer benne broken.

S. Augustine expoundeth it thus, They agreed, that Paule, and Barnabas shoulde goe to the Heathens: and them selues, James, Peter, and Iohn vnto the Circumcision, whiche seemed contrarie to the Heathens. S. Hierome thus, Vnus atque idem &c. One God hath committed vnto me, the preachinge of the Gospel emonge the Heathens: and to Peter emonge the Jewes. He sente me vnto the Gentils, and placed Peter in Iewrie. By Gods prouidence, one Apostle is geuen to the Jewes: and an other vnto the Heathens. Chrysostome thus, One thinge pleased them both, that Peter, and his side shoulde preach to the Jewes: and Paule, and Barnabas to the Heathens. Afterwarde he imagineth S. Paule thus to saie, In prædicandi munere partiti sumus inter nos Orbem terrarum: In the Office of preachinge, wee haue diuided the Worlde betwene our selues. And a little before he saith thus, Paulus nihil opus habebat Petro, nec illius egebat voce: sed honore par erat illi. Nihil enim hic dicam amplius: Paule had no lacke of Peter, nor stood in neede of his voice: but in honoure was his Peere. I wil saie nomore. S. Ambrose saith thus, Paule saith, That the Grace, he had receined of God, was allowed of them, that he might be thought worthy to haue the Primacie in Preachinge emonge the Heathens, as Peter had in preachinge emonge the Jewes. Anselmus a Later writer saith thus: These twoo Principal Apostles were chosen to the Saluation of twoo Peoples: Peter to the Saluation of the Jewes: and Paule to the Saluation of the Heathens.

What shal we neede moe Authorities in a matter so euident? This is the Exposition of al the Learned Fathers, bothe Greekes, and Latines. Yet wil you stil telle vs, The lewdnesse of this licenceous Minister passeth al reason? Wil you stil crye out, Shame, Impudencie, and Ignorance, &c.

But you saie, I haue leaste out this woorde, Enim, whiche in Englishe dooth signifie, for. This woorde, for, (you saie) geueth greate light to S. Pauls meaninge. A fowle faulte no doubt, M. Hardinge, and wel worthy to be proclaimed by printed Booke vnto the world. Perhappes yet woulde rather, I had begonne the firste Sentence with, Enim, as dothe your Hippolytus. But if there be any sute special Vertue in Enim, let him come in againe Hardly, M. Hardinge, and take his place. Theophylactus vpon this, Enim, hath written thus, Quin etiam parem hoc loco se Petro demonstrat: Yea further Paule in this place sheweth him selfe to be equal to Peter.

Likewise vpon the same, Enim, saith Chrysostome, Nunc Paule sheweth him selfe to be equal in Honoure vnto the reste: not onely vnto others, but also vnto (Peter) the Chiefe of al. Likewise it is written in the Ioynte Scholies, that beare the name of S. Hierome,

S. Hierome, Ego in nullo sum illo inferior: ? (saith S. Paule) am in nothinge inferioure vnto Peter. Verily if he thought not him selfe inferior to Peter, then he thought not Peter to be his Heade.

Nowe haue you pour, Enim, M. Hardinge, and, as we maie Learne by theise Ancient Fathers, the very Light of S. Pauls meaninge: that is, that Paule shoulde deale emonge the Heathens, and Peter emonge the Iewes. And therefore Erasmus expoundeth it thus, Foedus, ac societatem inierunt, vt idem Euangelium concordibus animis in sua quisque portione predicarentur: Illi inter Iudæos, nos inter Gentes: They ioynd in League, and fellowship with vs, that with consentinge mindes wee shoulde preache one Gospel, eche man within his owne portion: they emonge the Iewes, and wee emonge the Heathens. Thus were they charged by their owne agrément, eche of them within his owne Particulare portion. By theise owne agréments, I saie. For otherwise Christe saith, not onely to Peter, but also equally vnto them al, Goe you into the whole Worlde, and preache the Gospel. But by this Commission, Thomas, and Thaddee might haue preached in Rome, as well as Peter. By theise owne agrément they knewe their portions. It is no euen dealinge, M. Hardinge, to diuide portions betwene two, or moe, and afterwarde to geue ouer the whole to one alone.

Here will you saie, Had Peter then nothinge to doo emonge the Heathens? Or, had Paule nothinge to doo emonge the Iewes? Yes verily, M. Hardinge. For we know, that Peter preached vnto the Heathen Centurion: And Paule oftentimes entred into the Synagoges of the Iewes, as by the Actes of the Apostles it maie appeare: And of him selfe he saith thus, Factus sum Iudæis quasi Iudæus: Vnto the Iewes I was as a Iewe. And againe, I wishe me selfe to be accursed, and diuided from Christe, for (the Iewes sake, which are) my bretherne, and kinsmenne accordinge to the fleshe.

Where so euer they saue occasion offered, they were alwaies ready to doe good to al men, without choise of persons, whether they were Iewes, or Heathens.

Howe be it, this question is very wel moued, and answered by S. Hierome. Thus he saith, Occulta hic oritur questio. Quid igitur, Petrus, si inuenisset ex Gentibus, non eos adducebat ad Fidem? Aut Paulus &c. Here ariseth a secrete question. If Peter had founde any of the Heathens, did he not traine them to the Faith? Or, if S. Paule had happened vpon any of the Iewes, did he not exhorde them to be Baptized? This question, saith he, is thus assailed. Wee muste saie, that either of them had a Principal, or a Special Commission, the one ouer the Iewes, the other ouer the Heathens: that the Iewes, that defended the Lawe, might haue One, Whome to followe: And the Heathens, that chose rather the free Grace of God, and leaue the Lawe, might likewise haue a Teacher to goe before them. But generally this purpose was common to them bothe, that out of al Nations, as well Iewes, as Heathens, they might geather a Church vnto Christe. That is to saie, Peters Apostleship was Ordinarie emonge the Iewes, & Extraordinarie emonge the Heathens: of the other side, Pauls Apostleship was Ordinarie emonge the Heathens, & Extraordinarie emonge the Iewes.

Thus muche haue I added for your sake, M. Hardinge. For otherwise it had benne needlesse. Herby it is euidente, That by Goddes secrete Providence, and by the Apostles agreement betwene them selues, Peter was placed in Iewrie, and Paule emonge the Heathens: For so saith S. Hierome, That Paule had the Primacie emonge the Heathens, as Peter had emonge the Iewes: For so saith S. Ambrose, That Paule was equal vnto Peter? For so saith Chrysostome, That Paule was in no pointe inferioure vnto Peter: For so saith he, that beareth the name of S. Hierome: Briefly, that either of them was allotted to his feneral portion, and neither had the charge Vniuersal of the whole. This is neither Letwdenesse, nor Licentious Ministerie, nor Shame, nor Villanie. Leauelutche wilde talke, M. Hardinge, and learne your tongue to speake better.

Where you saie, that, accordinge to the Ecclesiastical Canons, euer from the Apostles time, Bishoppes haue euermore benne Consecrate by three other Bishoppes, with the Confirmation of the Bishop of Rome, as if without him no man might be allowed to be a Bishop, ye shoulde not so vnadvisedly repute so manifest Untruth. For, I beseeche you, where be these Ecclesiastical Canons? Who do

Hieronymus, ad
Galat. Cap. 2.
Eras. Caraphora.

Mat. 16.

Act. 9. 21. & 26
1. Cor. 9.
Cupio anathema
esse.

Hieronymus, ad
Galat. Cap. 2.

Principalis singu-
lari in Iudeos.
& Gentes fuisse
mandatum.

Confir-
mation.
Conse-
cration.

*Felen. De Consti-
tu. Ca. Canonum
Statuta.
Colum. 6. Ver.
Fallit.
Panor. De Consti-
tution. Triflato.*

*M. Har. Confus.
14. 38. 4.*

*M. Har. Dece.
Elton. 23. 6.*

*Nicol. Cusanus.
ad Bohem. Epi. 2.*

*Nilus de Pri-
matu Romani
Pontificis.*

M. Har. fo. 22. 4

uised them? Who made them? Who gaue the Pope that singular Priuilege, that no Bishoppes should be admitted in al the Woꝛlde, but onely by him? I remember, your Canonistes haue saide, Papa potest Solo Verbo facere Episcopum: The Pope maie make a Bishop onely by his Woordes, without any farther Consecration. And Abbate Panormitane moueth a doubte, whether the Pope by the fulnesse of his power, maie depriue al the Bishoppes in the Woꝛlde at one time. But thus they saie, that care not greatly, what they saie.

Addition. ¶ *M. Hardinge answereth hereto,* Amonge the Canons of the Apostles, this is the firste, *Episcopus a duobus aut tribus Episcopis ordinatur*. Yet can you aske, where be these Ecclesiastical Canons? VVho diuised them? VVho made them?

The Answer. ¶ *Von sozegeat your selfe mutche, M. Hardinge.* This was not the question. You are demanded one thinge, and answerest another. We denie not the Consecration of these Bishoppes: We denie not the Confirmation of the Metropolitan. We our selues are so Consecrated, & so Confirmed. The water that lieth betwene vs, is this, VVhether through the whole Church of Christe noman maie be allowed for a Bishop, without the Confirmation of the Pope. Thereto I saie, where be your Ecclesiastical Canons? Who diuised them? Who made them? If ye haue any, shewe them for the hardely: they will further your cause. If ye haue none at al, why shoulde you thus vaunte your selfe of emptie Noare? Why shoulde you talke so valuely of your Ecclesiastical Canons, so Olde, so Anciente, so longe continued in the Catholique Church from the Apostles time until this date?

Christe (you saie) loued Peter, and inspired him, and gaue the Bishop of Rome, Peters Successour, this Priuilege, that no Bishop ought to be a Bishop without his consente. Certly, this had benne a toly large Commission. And was it graunted vnto the Pope, as you saie, and not vnto Peter? for so you saie: Beholde your wordes: Christe gaue the Bishop of Rome Peters Successour, this Priuilege, that no Bishop ought to be a Bishop without his consente. Of Peters Priuilege, or consente you tel vs nothinge: You speake onely of the Consente, and Priuilege of the Pope, the Successoure of Peter. But where maie we finde this Commission? In what Gospel? In what Scripture? In what General Councel? In what Anciente Father? Are sutch Manumentes laide by onely in the Rolles, and Pipes of your memoꝛie? Or, is it sufficient for you to tel vs, Christe inspired, and Loued Peter: Christe gaue Priuileges to the Pope, Without any manner worde of prouise? I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, howe many Bishoppes can you reckon, that were Confirmed by S. Peter? Where dwelte they? Where preached they? What were their names? Cardinal Cusanus saith, Tam Petri primatus inter Apostolos, & Iudæos, quam Pauli Primatus inter Gentes immediate à Christo Consecratur: &c. Nec in hoc alter alteri suberat: Sed ambo sub Christo immediate: As wel Peters Primacie emonge the Apostles, and Iewes, as also Pauls Primacie emonge the Heathens, was geuen by Christe immediatly, (that is to saie) without the Consecration, and Confirmation of any man &c. And in this respect neither of them was vnder other: But either was immediately vnder Christe. What is to saie, S. Paule was nomore Confirmed by S. Peter, then S. Peter was Confirmed by S. Paule. And maie we thynke, S. Peter knewe not his owne Authoritie? Or, that he had sutch Priuileges, and Chartas geuen him by Christe, and woulde not vse them? Here, it seemeth, somewhat woulde ye tel vs of Pope Anacletus, if ye wisse what it were. But what saith he? That Peter Confirmed al the reste of the Apostles: Or, that the Pope ought to Confirm al other Bishoppes throught the woꝛlde? It pitteth me, M. Hardinge, in your behalfe, to se these folies.

Certly, Nilus a Græke Letter saith thus, Constantinopolitanus Cæsariensem Episcopum, aliosq; sibi subiectos ordinat: Romanus vero nec Constantinopolitanum, nec alium quenuquam Metropolitanum: The Bishop of Constantinople dooth Order the Bishop of Cæsaria, and other Bishoppes vnder him: But the Bishop of Rome dooth Order neither the Bishop of Constantinople, nor any other Metropolitan.

Addition. ¶ *M. Hardinge answereth hereto:* It filleth not mutche, what Nilus dooth saie. He speaketh of a mater of Faile, and not of Power: He saith not, that the Bishop of Rome is not hable, or hath no power, to Order some Metropolitan, but onely that he dooth not so.

Addition

Ad. 14

Addition

not so. Or, if he were so foolish to thinke so, yet you M. Iewel, shoulde not in that behalfe beare the bable with him. You, that can cal so many Gloses to your remembrance, coulde you not remember that Agapetus, that good Bishop of Rome Consecrated and ordered with his owne handes Mennas, makinge him Bishop of Constantinople?

The Answer. And most Nilus, and poore M. Iewel needes beare the Bable? I praye you, M. Hardinge, what wil you do the while? Will you stande by, and do nothinge? Verily Nilus was a Bishop, and, as it maie appeare by his writings, a learned man, and woulde thinke scozne to beare the Bable in your presence. But you saie, Could you not remember that Pope Agapetus with his owne handes ordered Mennas, and made him the Bishop of Constantinople? Yes, M. Hardinge, I remember it very wel. And further, I can remember, that Liberatus your Authoure saith, Tunc Papa principis fauore Mennam ordinauit Antistitem: The Pope (saith he) ordered Mennas the Bishop of Constantinople (not by any Right, or Authoritie of his owne, but) by the licence, and fauoure of the Emperoure. Againe, I can remember, that Pope Agapetus was then present in Constantinople, to deale with the Emperour about certaine great matters touchinge the State. And beinge there present in his owne personne, and a newe Bishop beinge by occasion to be entailed, it was most meete, that he shoulde haue the Honour of Consecration. And, as for the takinge of that longe, and painefull iourney, thus muche also I can remember, that, when so euer the Emperoure by his Messenger had willed the Pope to come vnto him, although it were from Rome to Constantinople, straightwaie he went alonge, all occasions sette aparte, yea although he certainly knewe, that he shoulde neuer retorne home againe, but be sente into banishment. Further, I can remember, that Pope Agapetus him selfe saide thus at the time of the saide Consecration, in the behoufe, and commendation of the saide Mennas, Et hoc Dignitati eius accedere credimus, quod a temporibus Petri Apostoli, nullum alium vnquam Orientalis Ecclesia suscepit Episcopum nostre Sedis manibus Consecratum: This also maie bee an augmentation to his Dignitie, that from the time of Peter the Apostle, vntil this daie (which was by the space of nure hundred yeres) the East Church neuer receiued any one Bishop Consecrate by the handes of any Bishop of Rome, but onely Mennas. Mennas was the first as it appeareth by the Popes owne witness: And for ought, that I knowe to the contrarie, he was also the last. And had not Pope Agapetus bypon occasion benne there present in his owne personne, then had he not Consecrated Mennas neither. Consider better what ye saying vs, M. Hardinge: Ye shal hardely thisue by such Authorities. Laste of al I can remember, that the Bishoppe of Rome him selfe is alwaies Consecrate by the Bishop of Hostia. Yet is not the Bishop of Hostia therefore about the Bishop of Rome. It is no great maisterie to remember these thinges, M. Hardinge. But I meruaile muche, wherein this remembrance shoulde relieue you.

But herof I haue spoken more at large in my former Replie to M. Hardinge. Certainly S. Cyprian willett, that Sabinus, beinge lawfully elected, and Consecrate Bishop in Spaine, shoulde continue Bishop still, yea although Stephanus his luge then Bishop of Rome, woulde not Confirme him.

Addition. By this a man maie know, what a dodger you are, and whence your great Bookes proceede. Verily from certaine Heretical Notebookes, made by some Grammarians, or Scholemasters of Germanie.

The Answer. I maruaile, M. Harding, you saide not as wel, from Tailers and Shomakers: for we poore menne must take our Learninge at Deliuerte, as it may like you to allow it. The matter it selfe by your owne confession is true. For S. Cyprian willed, that Sabinus, beinge once elected and Consecrated Bishop in Spaine, shoulde continue so still, yea although the Bishop of Rome had disallowed him. If ye doubt herof, leaue dodginge in your note Bookes, and reade S. Cyprian, and ye shal finde it. Twisse together in one place ye tel vs, that Pope Stephanus restored Basilides by his Decree: As if his onely Authoritie had benne sufficient to set vp Bishoppes, and to pulle them downe at his pleasure. But in so sayinge, you condemne Pope Stephanus of manifest folse. For what twisse come had it benne, to be so ready

225 b.

Liberatus ca. 21.

Liberatus ca. 21.

Liberatus cod. loc.

Eutropi. in Ap.
pen. in Sylueria.

Concil. Constant.
S. Act. 2.

Artic. 4. Diu. 25

Cyprian. Lib. 1.

Epist. 4.

M. Hard 226

Cyprian Lib. 1.

Epist. 4.

Confir-
mation.
Conse-
cration.

Seneca

150

The Defense of the Apologie of the

with his Sentence, & to publish his Decrees, before he had heard both parties together: A Judge must walke with sette of lead. A certaine graue wise man saith thus:

*Qui statuit aliquid, parte inaudita altera,
Æquum licet Statuerit, haud æquus fuit.*

Who so euer Decreed any thinge, before he hearde both parties, although his Decree were iuste, yet was he no iuste man in his doinge.

Pope Stephanus, P. Hardinge, intreated onely for Basilides, that he might be restored. As for Decree, or Sentence in Judgement, he gaue none. But, if S. Cyprian had made such accompte of the Popes pleasure, and had thought it vnlawfull for Sabinus to occupie the see of a Bishop without his special Confirmation, he woulde haue writtten vnto Stephanus, to calle the parties before him, and to consider better of the mater. He woulde also haue writtten to the Clergie, and people of Spaine, to staie their attemptes, vntill the Pope had taken further Order. But contrariwise, notwithstandinge he knewe, what the Pope had writtten, yet he saith vnto them, Plebs ipsa habet potestatem, vel eligendi dignos Sacerdotes, vel indignos recusandi: The people haue power of them selues, either to chuse woorthy Bishoppes, or els to refuse them that be unworthy. As if he woulde saie, Thus maie the people doe of them selues, whether the Pope wil, or no.

Cyprian. Lib. 1.
Epist. 4.

And to comme neare vnto the mater, thus he saith, Ferè per prouincias vniuersas tenetur, vt ad Ordinationes ritè celebrandas, ad eam plebem, cui præpositus Ordinatur, Episcopi eiusdem prouincie proximi quique conueniant, & Episcopus Deligatur, plebe præsentè, quæ singulorum vitam plenissimè nouit, &c. Quod & apud vos factum videmus in Sabini Collegæ nostri Ordinatione, &c. Neque rescindere Ordinationem iure perfectam potest, quod Basilides post crimina sua detecta, Romanam pergens, Stephanum collegam nostrum longè positum fefellerit &c. This Order is kepte well neare throughout al Countreies, that at the Consecration of a Bishop, the Bishoppes of the same Prouince next adioining, resort together to the people of that Diocese, ouer which the Bishop must be consecrate, that the Bishop maie be chosen in the presence of the people, that best knoweth eche mans Life. This Order, I see, you haue kepte in the Consecration of my Brother Sabinus. Neither maie that thinge hinder his Consecration, beeing lawfully donne, that Basilides, after his fautes were aspiied, wente to Rome, and beguiled my Brother Pope Stephanus dwellinge so farre of. Parke wel thesse wordes P. Hardinge: Neither Basilides renninge to Rome, nor the Popes letters writtten in his fauoure, were habile to auoide the Consecration, of the other Bishop notwithstandinge, it was neuer Confirmed by the Pope.

But you wil saie, The Bishop of Rome, dwellinge so farre from Spaine, yet had Authoritie ouer the Bishoppes of Spaine. I denie it not. So had S. Cyprian the like Authoritie, notwithstandinge he dwelt from Spaine a great waie further then the Bishop of Rome. For as Basilides fledde to Rome for succour to Pope Stephanus, so Sabinus his aduersarie fledde to Carthage for succour to S. Cyprian. As the Pope Decreed, that Sabinus shoulde not be Bishop: So S. Cyprian Decreed, that Sabinus shoulde be Bishop. There was like Authoritie in them bothe. This onely was the difference: the Popes Decree was auoided by a wylle of Errour: But S. Cyprians Decree took place, and continued still. And leasse you shoulde thinke, I imagine vaine of S. Cyprian, extending his power from Carthage into Spaine, Gregorie Nazianzene of his Authoritie writteth thus: Pastor erat, & Pastorum potentissimus, & spectatissimus. Non enim Carthaginensium tantum Ecclesie præfuit &c. Cyprian was a Bishop the mightiest, and noblest of al Bishoppes. For he had Rule not onely ouer the Church of Carthage, nor onely ouer Africka, that vntill this daie is famous of him, and by his meanes, but also ouer al the VVeste, and in a manner ouer al the East, likewise ouer bothe Northe, and Southe.ouer al thesse Constes and Countreies, saith the Nazianzene, S. Cyprian bare Rule: And yet was he onely the Bishoppe of Carthage, and not Pope of Rome. P. Hardinge, if you coulde shewe vs but one such sentence of the Pope, who shoulde

Nazianzen. De
Cypriano.

Περὶ αὐτοῦ

shoulde be hable to staie your triumphe: Deale substantiallyl henceforth. It wil better become you. Thus vainely triflinge with your Grammaticians, and Note

In dēde, touchinge every Metropolitane severall Jurisdiction, Gratianus setteth thus: Illud generaliter clarum est, quod si quis præter Sententiam Metropolitanam fuerit factus Episcopus, hunc magna Synodus definiuit Episcopum esse non oportere: This is generally cleare, that if any man be made Bishop, without the consente of his Metropolitane, the great Councell (of Nice) hath decreed, that such a one maie not be Bishop.

Dist. 64. Cap. fin.

So likewise saith the Socrates of the Bishop of Constantinople: Præter Sententiam Episcopi Constantinopolitani Electio Episcopi ne fiat: Without the Consente of the Bishop of Constantinople let no man be chosen Bishop. Here is a right, specially reserved to the Bishop of Constantinople, and to every Metropolitane within his owne Province: but of the Bishop of Rome Aniversal right of Confirmation, we heare nothing.

Socr. li. 7. ca. 28

ΧΡΥΣΟΣΤΟΜΟΥ
ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ ΜΑ
ΤΙΝΗ.

γίνε. 3.

Addition

Addition. **¶** Hereto, **¶** Harding saith, For lacke of the Popes Confirmation, any Bishop newly elected could not rightly haue enjoyed his Bishoprike, as it appeareth by many examples, which would require a discourse ouer longe for this place.

M. Har. fo. 227. 4

The Answer. **¶** Harding, wil you deale so straitly with your friends? **¶** So many, and so many examples, maie we not see so mutche as one? **¶** I speake not now of Bishoppes within the Territorie of the Romaine Province. For they were Confirmed by the Bishop of Rome. **¶** I speake of the Bishoppes of al other Churches, and Jurisdictions throughout the worlde. You saie, It would require a discourse ouer longe for this place. Therefore it was good Nil, thus courtesly to passe it over. Silence serueth best, where nothing can be saide.

Neither doth **¶** Hardinges Counterfeit Anacletus claime al the Bishoppes through the worlde, as beloninge to his Admission, but onely a parte. These be his wordes, Omnes Episcopi, qui huius Apostolicæ, Sedis Ordinationi subiacent: Al the Bishoppes that are under the Orderinge, or Confirmation of this Apostolike See. So likewise writeth Damasus to the Bishoppes of Illyricum, Par est, omnes, qui sunt in Orbe Romano, Magistros consecrare: It is meete, that al the Teachers within the Romaine Jurisdiction, shoulde agree together.

Anaclet. Epist. 3.

Dist. 93. Iuxta

sanctorum.

Sozomen. Lib. 6.

Cap. 23.

Addition

Addition. **¶** **¶** Hardinges answer hereto is this: The Romaine world, or Jurisdiction was bothe East, and West, as farre as the Romaines had conquered.

Fol. 228. 4

The Answer. And dothe the Jurisdiction of Rome reache from the East to the West: from the North, to the South, and so ouer and ouer the whole worlde? Howe happeneth it then, that no Learned Father, no; Ancient Writer, Greek, or Latine, what so euer, vouchsafed euer to telle vs of it? Did no man euer heare it, or knowe it, but **¶** Harding? **¶** No, is it yenough for **¶** Harding to speake it, and to shewe vs nothing? At leaste he shoulde haue brought forth the Constantines Donation, or somme other such Antiquitie of like value. But if the Romaine Jurisdiction, were so large, as it is here imagined, wherfore then dothe **¶** S. Hierome saie, Maior est Autoritas Orbis, quam Urbis? The Authoritie of the worlde is more then the Authoritie of the Citie of Rome? For by **¶** Hardinges supposalls, the one had bene equal to the other. **¶** Wherefore dothe Gennadius the Patriarke of Constantinople, together with the whole Councell of Bishoppes there assembled, write thus vnto the Bishop of Rome? Curet Sanctitas tua Vniuersas tuas Custodias, tibi subiectos Episcopos: Let your Holinesse see vnto your owne charge, and vnto the Bishoppes, that be vnder you. It had benne wronge to restraine the Pope to a fewe, hauinge, as you saie, a charge general ouer the whole.

Hieronym ad

Euagrium.

Gennadius in

Con: constanti-

nopol.

Againe, that you saie, A Bishop hath alwaies bene Consecrate by other three Bishoppes, whether it be true, or no, it maie wel be called in question, as bringe of your part hitherto very weakely affirmed. Surely Petrus de Palude, one of your owne Doctors, would haue tolde you thus: In Ecclesia Vnus Episcopus sufficit ad alium Consecrandum: nec est, nisi propter Solemnitatem ab Ecclesia inuentum, et Tres concurrenti

Petr. de Palude,

De Potestate A-

pistol.

Confir-
mation.
Conse-
cration.

Seneca

Ioh. an Maior in

4. Sent. Dist. 24

Quest. 3.

152

The Defense of the Apologie of the

concurrant: In the Church One Bishop is sufficient to Consecrate an other: and it is nothing els, but for the Solemnitie of the mater, that the Church hath dimised, that Three Bishoppes shoulde ioine together. Likewise, Iohannes Maior an other of your owne Doctors woulde haue saide vnto you, Quis ordinauit Petrum? Non dabunt Tres Ordinatores. Dico ergo, esse Constitutionem Humanam, quod Episcopus Ordinatur à Tribus. Paulus enim non quæsiuit Duos pro Ordinatione Titì, & Timothei: Who Ordered Peter, and made him Bishop? They cannot shewe me Three Bishoppes that Ordered him. Therefore? saie, that a Bishop be Ordered by other Three Bishoppes, it is an Ordinance made by Man. For Paule, when he Ordered Titus, and Timotheus, sought not aboute for other Two Bishoppes.

Whereas it farther pleaseth you, to calle for my Letters of Orders, and to demaunde of me, as by somme Authoritie, Whether I be a Priest, or no? What hands were laide ouer me: and by what Order I was made: I answere you, I am a Priest, made longe sithence, by the same Order, and Ordinance, and, I thinke also by the same Man, and the same handes that you, M. Hardinge, were made Priest by, in the late time of that moste Vertuous Prince King Edward the Sixthe. Therefore ye cannot wel doubt of my Priesthode, without like doubtinge of your owne.

Farther, as if ye were my Metropolitan, ye demaunde of me, Whether I be a Bishop, or no. I answere you, I am a Bishop, and that by the free and accustomed Canonical Election of the whole Chapter of Sarisburie, assembled solemnely together for that purpose. Of whiche companie you, M. Hardinge, were then One, and, as I was enfourmed, beinge presente there in your owne personne emongest your Brethren, gaue his, and open consente vnto the Election. If you denie this, take heede, your owne breath blowe not againste you.

As for the impertinente tales of Ischyas, and Zacchæus, they touche vs nothing. They were none of ours: We knowe them not. Our Bishoppes are made in Fourme and Order, as they haue benne euer, by free Election of the Chapter: by Consecration of the Archbishop, and other Three Bishoppes: and by the Admission of the Prince. And in this sorte not longe sithence, the Pope him selfe was admitted: and, as Platyna saith, without the Emperours letters Patentes, the Pope was no Pope: as hereafter it shalbe shewed more at large.

Platyna in Epi-
scopo Papa.

M. Har. fo. 134. a

Addition. What Fourme or Order meane you? (saith M. Hardinge) In the old Fourme, after the Election, notice was giuen to the Bishop of Rome and to all the Bishop. of the Church, that such a man was lawfully chosen in the Church, and not Scismatically, &c. But so ye were not made Bishoppes. If ye were, shewe vs to what Bishoppes out of Englande ye wrote any such letters.

Addition

The Answer. What folie is this M. Hardinge? What such letters haue the Bishoppes of Spaine vsed to write to the Bishoppes of Englande? What letters haue the Bishoppes of Fraunce vsed to write to the Bishoppes of Spaine? or when did the Pope write his letters Communicatorie to the Patriarkes of Constantinople, of Alexandria, of Antioche, or to the other Bishoppes of the East? But you confesse, This order is broken by your selues. Beholde your owne wordes. Thus you saie, The Custome of these Letters is out of vse. And dauninge broken it your selues, M. Hardinge, woulde ye haue vs to heape it? Or woulde you haue vs to reuerence those thinges, that your selues haue refused?

Fol. 134. b.

But you saie, After that the Custome of those Letters became to be out of vse, the onely Bishop of Romes Confirmation was in steede of the said notice. Thus you saie, and saie it only, a proue nothinge: as if there were some weight in your saieinge. But who made vs these Lawes? Where sprang they? When began they? In what Synode, in what Council were they written? If ye had any good thinge to shewe, it is likely, ye would not hide it.

Therefore we neither haue Bishoppes without Church, nor Church without Bishoppes. Neither doth the Church of Englande this daie depende of them, whome you often calle Apostates, as if our Church were no Church, without them. They are no Apostates M. Hardinge: That is rather your owne name, and of god

of god right belongeth vnto you. They are for a great part learned and graue, and coole menne, and are muche ashamed to see your folles. Notwithstandinge, if there were not one, neither of them, nor of vs leaste alme, yet woulde not therfore the whole Church of Englande sta to Louaine. Tertullian saithe, Nonne & Laici Sacerdotes sumus? Scriptum est, Regnum quoque, & Sacerdotes Deo, & Patri suo nos fecit. Differentiam inter Ordinem, & Plebem constituit Ecclesie Authoritas, & honor per Ordinis Confessum Sanctificatus a Deo. Vbi Ecclesiastici Ordinis non est Confessus, & Offert, & Tingit Sacerdos, qui est ibi solus. Sed & vbi Tres sunt; Ecclesia est, licet Laici. Vnusquisque enim de sua Fide viuunt: And wee beinge Laiemenne, are wee not Priestes? It is written, Christe hath made vs bothe a Kingedome, and Priestes vnto God his Father: The Authoritie of the Church, and the Honour by the Assemblie, or Councel of Order Sanctified of God, hath made a difference betweene the Laie, and the Clergie. Where as there is no Assemblie of Ecclesiastical Order, the Priestee beeing there alone, (without the companie of other Priestes) doothe bothe Minister the Oblation, and also Baptize. Yea, and be there but Three together, and, though they be Laiemenne, yet is there a Church. For every man liueth of his owne Faith.

Tertullian in
Exhorta. ad
Cast. c. 2. c. 10.

Addition

Addition. For answere hereto M. Harding hath yalued vs wordes enough. A thousand fautes (he saithe) and many thousand lies are in my Booke. Ye woulde blushe (he saithe) if any shame were in you, &c. The total somme herof is this: Tertullian was an Heretique, and wrote this Booke against the Church: There is a difference bitwene Priestes, and Laiemenne: There is Priesthode Internal, and Priesthode External: where the External Priesthode is denied, as amonge the Lutheranes, and Calvinistes, there is no Church, &c.

M. Har. fo. 238. a.

Fol. 142. a.

Touchinge the firste parte hereof, I graunte, Tertullian in some parte fel from the Truthe of God, euen as you haue also. M. Harding, and folowed Montanus the Heretique in his Erroures. The Erroures of Montanus were theise: Firste he saide, He knewe more then the Apostles of Christ: and that the Apostles knowledge was vncertaine, and wanted perfection. Further he saide, That Seconde Marriage was Fornication and Filchinesse. But the thinge, wherein you haue taken holde, touching Priestes, and Laie People, was no parte of Montanus Erroures. If it were, bringe it forth out of some recorde: let it appeare, that we maie see it. Neither maie you iustly conclude, because Tertullian in one or two pointes was an Heretique, that therfore euery sentence in his Booke, is full of Heresies. As for theise wordes, he had them not out of Montanus the Heretique, but out of S. Peter the Apostle of Christ. Thus saithe S. Peter, Vos estis Regale Sacerdotium: You are the Kingly Priesthode: And thus he saithe, not onely vnto Priestes and Bishoppes, but also vnto the whole Christian people. Likewise S. Iohn the Euangeliste saithe, Christe with his Bloude hath washed vs from our finnes, and hath made vs Kings, and Priestes vnto God his Father. But per telle vs, There is a Priesthode Internal, and a Priesthode External: and, there is a difference bitwene Laiemenne, and Priestes. What needed this talke, M. Harding? There is not one of vs, that euer taught otherwise. We knowe, that the Priestee, or Minister of the Church of God is diuided from the reste of his Brethren, as was the Tribe of Levi from the Children of Israel, and hath a special Office ouer the people. Neither maie any man force him selfe into that Office without lawfull callinge. But as touching the Inwarde Priesthode, and the exercise of the Soule, we saie, euen as S. Peter, and S. Iohn, and Tertullian, haue saide, in this sense euery faithful Christian man is a Priestee, and offereth vnto God Spiritual Sacrifices. In this onely sense, I saie, and none otherwise.

1. Pet. 2. 2.

Apocal. 1.

Numer. 8.
Deuter. 10.

Nowe, if any man shal thinke it strange, to heare a Laie Man in any sense called a Priestee, maie it please him to peruse some parte of that hereafter foloweth in this Defense. Where shal he finde by the Authorities of S. Augustine, S. Ambrose, S. Hierome, and S. Chrysostome, that who so euer is a member of Christes Body, who so euer is a Child of the Church, who so euer is Baptized in Christe, and beareth his name, is fully inuested with this Priesthode, and therfore maie iustly be called a Priestee. And where so euer there be thre sutch together, as Tertullian saithe, Yea though they be onely Laie menne, yet haue they a Church.

Defense. parte. 6.
Cap. 11. Diu. 4.
C. 1.

The

Origen. in Leuit.
zi. Homil. 9.
August. in Expo-
sition inchoata.
ad Roman.
Cyprian. de Vn-
dione Chris-
matu.

M. Har. fo. 240. a

Roma. 12.

Hebr. 14.

Hebr. 13.

Iohan. 1.

Hebr. 4.

August. in li. 80.

Quest. que. 61.

August. contra

Fausl. li. 20. ca. 21.

Euseb. de De-

monstration. li. 1.

Hieronym. in

Psalm. 51.

The Olde Father Origen saith: Omnes quicunque vnguntur Sacri Chrismatis delibuti sunt, sicut Sacerdotes: All they that are bathed with the ongement of Holy Chrism, are made Priests. S. Augustine saith: Holocaustum Dominicæ Passionis offert quisque pro peccatis suis, &c. Every man offereth up the Sacrifice of our Lords Passion for his finnes. Likewise S. Cyprian, Omnes, qui à Christi nomine dicuntur Christiani, offerunt Deo quotidianum Sacrificium, ordinati à Deo sanctimonie Sacerdotes: All menne, that of the name of Christe be called Christians, offer up vnto God the daely Sacrifice, beeing ordained of God, the Priestes of Holinesse. Thus we see, al Christian menne are Priestes, and offer vp to God the daely Sacrifice, that is the Sacrifice of Christs Passion. Therefore, M. Hardinge, if ye condemne Tertullian in this behalfe, ye muste also condemne so many Holy, and Learned Fathers for the same.

But you Protestantes (ye saie) haue no External Sacrifice: and therefore ye haue no Church at al. It pitieth me, M. Hardinge, to see the vanitie of your dealinge. Haue we no External Sacrifice, saie you? I beseeche you, what Sacrifice did Christe or his Apostles euer commaunde, that we haue refused? Leave your miste cloudes, and generalities of wordes, and speake it plainly, that ye may seme to seke some Truthe.

We haue the Sacrifice of Prayer, the Sacrifice of Almoose deues, the Sacrifice of Praise, the Sacrifice of Thankesgeuinge, and the Sacrifice of the Deathe of Christe. We are taught to present our owne bodies, as a pure, and a Holy, and a wel pleasing Sacrifice vnto God, and to offer vp vnto him the burninge Oblation of our lippes. These (saith S. Paule) be the Sacrifices wherewith God is pleased. These be the Sacrifices of the Church of God. Who so euer hath these, we cannot saie, he is holde of Sacrifice. Howe be it, if we speake of a Sacrifice Propitiatorie for the Satisfaction of finnes, we haue none other but onely Christe Iesus, the Sonne of God vpon his Crosse. He is that Sacrificed Lambe of God, that hath taken away the finnes of the Worlde.

You wil saie, Ye offer not vp Christe really vnto God his Father. So, M. Hardinge: neither we, nor you can so offer him: nor did Christe euer geue you Commission to make sutch Sacrifice. And this is it, wherewith you so foolishly beguile the simple. Christe offereth and presenteth vs vnto his Father. For by him we haue access to the Throne of Grace. But no Creature is hable to offer him. Christe Iesus vpon his Crosse was a Prieste for euer, accordinge to the Order of Melchisedech. As for our part, S. Augustine saith, Holocausti eius Imaginem ad memoriam Passionis sue in Ecclesia celebrandam dedit: Christ hath geuen vs to celebrate in his Church, an Image, or token of that Sacrifice for the remembrance of his Passion. Againe he saith, Huius Sacrificii Caro, & Sanguis post Ascensionem Christi per Sacramentum memorie celebratur: After Christs Ascension into Heauen, the Fleche, and Bloude of this Sacrifice, is continued by a Sacramente of remembrance. Eusebius saith, Sacrificium incendimus illi Memoriam Magni illius Sacrificii, &c. Iussit nos offerre memoriam pro Sacrificio: Wee burne a Sacrifice vnto God, the remembrance of that great Sacrifice, vpon the Crosse, &c. Christe Commaunded vs to offer up a remembrance (of his Deathe) in steade of a Sacrifice. It were an infinite labour to repute al, that maye be saide. To be shorte, S. Hierome saith, turninge him selfe vnto Christe: Tunc acceptabis Sacrificium, vel cum te pro nobis Offers Patri, vel cum à nobis Laudes, & Gratiarum actiones accipis: Then shalt thou, O Christe, receive Sacrifice, either when thou offerest up thee selfe for vs vnto thy Father, (whiche was onely vpon the Crosse) or els, when thou receivest of vs Praise, and thankesgeuinge.

All these thinges are true, M. Hardinge: you cannot denie them. You maye therefore laie by the thousande faultes, and so many thousand lies, with the same, and blasse, that ye speake of. Bewaunde nomore, neither Montanus, nor Tertullian. Though in some pointes they were accused, as Heretiques, yet herein they were Catholique, and agreed with others the Goble Learned Fathers of the Church. Goddes name be blessed for euer. We wante neither Church, nor Priesthode, nor any kinde of Sacrifice, that Christe hath leaft vnto his Faithful.

Againe, ye demaunde of me, VVhat Bishop of Sarisburie euer sithence Augustines time,

maintained

S. Ambrose, and other Holy Fathers neuer knewe it, nor hearde of it. Therefore, it was never deliuered from the Apostles.

Howe be it, you saie, You cannot telle, when your Priuate Masse firste beganne, or who was the firste that euer saide it. God be thanked, that thus hath forced you to utter somme Truthe. For we are hable to telle you, when the Holy Communion firste began. We knowe, in what place, and at what time it was firste ministered: we knowe that Christe Iesus the Sonne of God was the firste, that euer saide it.

But because ye are not hable to shewe vs the Antiquitie and first Originall of your Masse, muste we therefore thinke, M. Hardinge, that Christe, or his Apostles were the firste that euer saide it? Or, is your ignorance cause sufficiente to proue it god? What if you cannot tel, as, I rechen, you cannot, in what time, or vnder what Pope, your Steues and Pardonnors were firste bluffed? Will you therefore tel vs, and must we beleue, that Christ and his Apostles firste bluffed them? As for your Priuate Masse, you your selfe haue confessed, It grew not, either from the Apostles, or of the Spytte of God, but onely of the Negligence and wante of deuotion of the people. Christe saith by waye of a Parable vnto his Disciples: The Kingdome of Heauen is likened vnto a man, that sowed good seede in his ground: but when the folkes were a sleepe, that mannes enemy came, and sowed Tares, and Cockles amonge the Corne. Christe is the housbandman: Hee planted the Holy Communion in his Church: And so it continued many hundred yeres. Afterward when the Priestes and Bishoppes became carelesse, and selle faste a sleepe, the Diuel came with Negligence, and ill disposition, and wante of deuotion of the people, and planted your Masse. The Seruantes sowe to their Master, Sir, did not you sowe good Corne in your felde? From whence then be these Tares? Curn so mate we saie, did not Christe deliuer vs the Holy Communion, that the People shoulde eate and Communicate together, and publishe the benefites of his Passion? From whence then is this Priuate bankette, that one man receiueth al alone? The Seruantes knewe not, who sowed the Tares: Neither do you knowe, who sowed your Masse. Notwithstandinge there is nolesse difference in the Church of God betwene your Masse, and the Holy Communion, then is in the felde betwene wilde Tares, and wholesome Corne. The one was planted by daye, the other by night. But Christe saith, Every plante, that my Heauenly Father hath not planted, shalbe taken vp by the roote.

Touchinge the Bishoppes of Sarisburie, you your selfe haue already named two, Bishop Shaxton, and Bishop Capon, bothe Learned and graue Fathers, and bothe Preachers, and Professours of the Gospel. For the reade of the Bishoppes, that were before them, what Faith they helde, and what they either liked, or disliked, by theire writings, or Sermons it dothe not greatly appeare. I trust, they helde the Foundation, and liued, and died in the Faith of Christe. If they had liued in these daies, and sene, that you se, they woulde not haue bene partakers of your willfulnesse.

Addition. M. Hardinge, These be the woordes of an Antichriste: who seeking to make him selfe equal with Christe, doothe vse sutch phrases by his wicked Members.

The Answer. The subiectie of these wordes needeth no answer.

To be short, we succede the Bishoppes that haue benne before our daies. We are Elected, Consecrated, Confirmed, and Admitted, as they were. If they were deccined in any thinge, we succede them in Place, but not in Erroure. They were our Predecessours, but not the Rules and Standardes of our Faith. Or rather, to sette aparte al comparison of Personnes, the Doctrin of Christe this daie M. Hardinge, succedeth your Doctrin: as the Daye succedeth the Night: as the Light succedeth Darkenesse: and as the Truthe succedeth Erroure.

Nowe for as muche as ye haue thought it so god, to examine the Petite Degré of the Bishoppes of Sarisburie, I truste ye wil not thinke it ill, if I a litle touche the like in the Bishoppes of Rome: that we maye thereby be the better hable to see some of the branches of your Succession. Therefore shoytely to saie, you knowe that Pope Marcellinus committed Idolatrie.

Addition.

M. Hardinge, in
his Answer
fo. 12. a.

Matth. 13.

Add

Ad

maintained this Doctrine. I might likewise, and by as good authoritie demaunde of you, what Bishop of Rome ever before the same English Augustines time maintained your Doctrine: Or, as I saide before, what Bishop of Rome ever before that time either saide, or knewe your Private Masse?

Addition. **M. Harding.** The questions are not like, M. Iewel: Many things haue benne, or might haue benne lawfully concluded betwene this, and Augustines (the Italian Masse) time, albeit the same had not benne used before, &c.

The Answer. I graunt you, M. Hardinge many piete things haue spronge by within these thousande yeres, your Masse Communion, your private Masse, your Unknowne Prayers in Strange Tongues, your Adoration of Images, your Pardons, &c. But how wel, and how lawfully, it were harde to saie. Verily, these be the things, that neither Peter, ever planted, nor Paule euer watered.

M. Hardinge. Al the Bishoppes of Rome, as wel before Augustine, (the Donkes) time, as since, maintained our Religion. That I proue, because the Bishop of Rome, that nowe is, dothe allowe our Religion. For wee communicate with him, and he with vs. And this Pope agreed with his Predecessour Pius the fourth: and so vpwarde from Pope to Pope, &c.

The Answer. This doubtlesse is a migthful Argumente, and concluded from Pope to Pope, A primo ad Vltimum. By this reason ye might very wel iustifie Annas, and Chaiphaz. For either of them folowed the Bishoppes their Predecessours, that had benne before them, and so vpwarde vnto Aaron, that was the first. Yet Christe saith vnto them, *Ye haue broken the Commandementes of God to mainteine your owne Traditions: From the beginning it was not so: They are Blinde Guides, and leade the Blinde: they haue defaced the House of God, and turned it into a Cane of Theennes.*

By the same reason ye maye saie thus, The Bishop of Rome, that nowe is, alloweth the Stewes: and therein wee Communicate with him, and he with vs: for in al Catholique Townes and Countreies of our Religion, open Stewes be maintained, as wel as in Rome: And this Pope agreeth with his Predecessour, Pius the fourth: and he againe with his Predecessour Paulus the fourth: and so vpwarde from Pope to Pope &c. Ergo S. Peter, that was the first Bishop of Rome maintained the Stewes. So weighty, M. Harding, be the Arguments that you sende vs.

M. Hardinge. But, seeinge M. Iewel can name no man, who began to sic, or allowe Private Masse, and yet, seeinge it is saide, and allowed throughout al Christendome, it is S. Augustines owne rule, that the said vic of Private Masse came from the Apostles themselves: For thus he writeth, *Quod vniuersa tenet Ecclesia, nec in Concilio institutum sed semper retentum est, non nisi Authoritate Apostolica traditum restitutum creditur:* VVhat thing the whole Church keepeth, and hath not ben instituted in Councelles, but hath benne alwaies retained, the same is moste rightly beloued, to haue benne deliuered none otherwise, then by Apostolique Authoritie.

The Answer. S. Augustines Rule I like very wel M. Hardinge: But your vnderstandinge of it, and applyinge of the same, I cannot like. For the matters ye speake of, are no waie applicable to the Rule. For he believeth you S. Augustines wordes: *Quod vniuersa tenet Ecclesia: Quod semper retentum est: That thinge (saith S. Augustine) that the whole Vniuersal Church useth: That thinge that hath alwaies, and euermore benne obserued.* But he a thinge muste it be, M. Hardinge, if ye will haue S. Augustines Rule to agree vnto it. Nowe thinke you, that your Private Masse hath benne vniuersally allowed throughout the whole Church of God: In dede ye haue saide so: but ye haue saide it fol vntreuly, as in place hereafter moze conueniente it shal appeare. Or thinke you, that your Private Masse hath benne holden and used alwaies, and euermore from the beginninge? Or if it be so, is your learninge, or your lucke so ill, that so the space of so many hundred yeres, out of the Remembrance of so many Learned Fathers, with such conference of studie, such deale of friends, such time, and such leasure, ye are not able to shewe one good proue, neither of place, where it was saide, nor of person, that saide it, nor of witness that heard it? No, no, M. Hardinge, if you stande to S. Augustines Rule, then muste your Masse nedes be condemned. It was neuer vniuersally used throughout the whole Church of God: It hath not benne continued alwaies, and euermore from the beginninge: S. Augustine him self, S. Hierome, S. Chrysostome, S. Am-

M. Har. fo. 241 b.

Matth. 19.

Matth. 19.

Matth. 19.

Matth. 21

M. Har. fo. 242 a.

Defense, parte 2.
Cap. 15. Diu. 2.

Addition. **M. Hardinge** answereth: Yet this very Idolatour, because he was S. Peters Successour, and sat in the first See, was in case for the roome he occupied, to be iudged of no man in the earth, as the Council of the three hundred and thirtie Bishoppes assembled at Sinuesla pronounced about twelue hundred yeres passe.

The Answer. The reason hereof is declared in a Council holden about the same time at Rome, by Syluester the first. *Præsul summus non iudicabitur a quocquam. Quia scriptum est, non est Discipulus supra Magistrum: The Higheste Prelate shalbe iudged of no man. For it is written, There is no Scholare above his Maister.* Thus the Pope is the Scholemaster, & al the Bishops through the worlde are his Scholares, and maye not controule him, what so euer he do, and al this he claime, by the Authoritie of the Scriptures. If it be so, M. Hardinge, then is it true, that the Accusers of Pope Symmachus saide in the presence of Kinge Theodoricus, Successores Petri, vna cum Sedis Privilegijs, peccandi quoque licentiam accepisse: That the Popes, Peters Successours, together with the Privileges of their See, haue receiued libertie to doo ill.

In dede the Council of Sinuesla used y Pope Marcellinus with much Courtisie, as it maye appeare. Yet, good Reader, that thou maist see the simplicitie, and plainnesse of M. Hardinges dealinge, where as he saith, It was Decreed by the Council of Sinuesla, that Marcellinus beinge Peters Successour, shoulde be iudged of no man in earth, he might also haue told thee, that the same Pope Marcellinus the Idolatour, notwithstanding the Succession of S. Peter, and al the Privileges of his See, is founde five times, not onely iudged, but also condemned in the same Council. And, for that the mater it selfe is not otherwise weightie, sauinge that it maye witness the Truthe of M. Hardinges report, for shortnes sake, I wil laie forth the wordes thereof onely in Latine without translation.

Thus therefore it is written in the Council of Sinuesla, Introiuit & Marcellinus Vrbis Romæ Episcopus, adhuc nomen tenens Episcopatus: Nondum enim fuerat damnatus &c. Againe, Episcopus Quirinus dixit, Infudisti cor tuum, Pontifex, malitia &c. Vulnerasti vniuersa membra tua. Againe, Te non condemnauimus Præsul: quoniam ex ore tuo iustificaberis, & ex ore tuo condemnaberis: Marcellinus in conspectu Synodi cecidit in terram. Ibi ergo iacentem eum, & moram facientem damnauerunt. Againe, Subscripserunt igitur in eius damnationem, & damnauerunt eum extra Ciuitatem. Againe, Sacerdos Helchiades subscripsit primas in eius damnationem, non tantum pro hoc tempore, sed etiam in exemplum futuri temporis. And againe, Diocletianus Imperator audiuit, quod trecenti Episcopi in eandem damnationem conuenissent. Nowe you saie, VVhat haue you wonne by this example? Thus matche verily, M. Hardinge: first, that the Successour of Peter maye be an Idolatour, for so was Marcellinus. Secondly, that the same Marcellinus was iudged by the Council of Sinuesla: whereof also you maye finde your selfe guiltie of greatesse Untruthe: onlesse ye wil saie, so many graue Fathers condemned the Pope openly without Iudgemente.

That Pope Syluester 2. was a Conturer, and gaue him selfe whole Body, and Soule vnto the Diuel, and by the Diuelles procurement was made Pope: That Pope Zosimus for ambition, and claime of gouernment, corrupted the Holy Council of Nice.

Addition. **M. Hardinge.** You saie it: but neuer did any honeste man saie it from the beginninge of the worlde. You shewe your selfe to be a man of ill disposition. No man euer saide it but Sillyricus, or bawdy Bale. You mainteine the Succession of your generation.

The Answer. This is M. Hardinges Ordinarie eloquence, Good Reader. Therefore let it not offende thee. For the Truthe of this mater, I referre thee to the Council of Aphrica, whereat were presente S. Augustine, Alypius, and twue hundred, and fiftie other Bishoppes. The discourse is longe. Shortly to open it, thus it standeth. Zosimus the Bishop of Rome claimed a Soueraintie in Iudgemente, and Jurisdiction ouer al Aphrica. The Bishoppes there withstood him, and saide, he had no such righte to deale amonge them. Zosimus, for prouise of his Claime, alleged a Canon of the Council of Nice, touching the vniuersal Authoritie of the Pope. They answered, they had likewise the Council of Nice, but

M. Hardinge.
fol 249 a. & b.Council, Aphrica
Ca. 101, 102, 103.
104, 105.

D In al

Council Cartha.
6. Cap. 4.

In Conc. Aphri-
ca, cap. 102, 103.
In Gratian, Pa. 82.

Copus Dialo.
1. pag. 78.

Council, Florent.
Session, 10.

In ead. Sessione

in al the same coulde finde no such Canon. Alypius the Bishop of Tagasta, speaking herof, saith thus, This thinge moveth me muche, that when wee laide together, and examined the Originall of the Nicene Council, written in Greeke, these thinges (concerning the Superioritie of the Pope) wee founde not there. But howe it cometh to passe, I cannot telle. The Pope sheweth saith the one Copie of the Council: they shewed saith the an other. They were not so suppliant, or simple, to thinke the Popes Copie was undoubtedly true, and therefore to yeilde unto him without further searche. They imagined, that either he soughte to deceive them, or was deceived him selfe. In conclusion they agreed, that either parte shoulde sende into the Caste, to the Bishoppes of Constantinople, Alexandria, and Antiochia, for a Copie of the same Council, taken out of the very Originall, that remained amonge them, that thereby it mighte appeare, whether theire Copie were falsified, or the Popes. Hereunto Atticus the Bishop of Constantinople, and Cyrillus the Bishop of Alexandria returned theire Answeres. Theire Epistles are extante to be seene until this date, as wel in Greeke, as in Latine. By this Certificate it was evident to al menne, that the Popes Copie disagreed from al the reste, and was corrupted: but by whome, and to what purpose, a Babe more easily understood. Al other Copies were true: The Popes onely Copie was false. If this be not falsifyinge, and Corruption, M. Hardinge, geve it any other name, that more like you better. And withal I beseeche you to consider, whether of these two thinges in your owne iudgements seemeth more likely, either, that the Pope, to mainteine his estate, and Authority, shoulde falsifie one Copie, or els, that al the Bishoppes of Aphrica, Asia Minor, Aegypte, and Græcia, without cause, or hope of any manner gaine should falsifie al the Copies through the worlde. Verily the one is not unlikely: The other almoste impossible.

But you saie, That Pope Zosimus corrupted the Nicene Council, never did any honeste man saie it from the beginninge of the worlde. No than ever saide it, but Illyricus, or Bawdy Bale, Yes, M. Hardinge, there were some, that saide it, before either Illyricus, or Bale was borne. And that M. Cope, one of your Detraitors, coulde haue tolde you. For thus he saith, althoughe disdainfully, and in scornful meaninge: Cretè doctissimi quique ex omni Græcia, & inter eos Bessarion, cum Florentino Concilio interessent, iactabant Nicenum Canonem per Romanos Pontifices depravatum: Verily the beste Learned manne of al Græcia, and amonge them, Bessarion, beinge presents at the Council of Florence, make crakes, that the Canon of the Nicene Council was corrupted by the Bishoppes of Rome. In order these wordes they spake, and spake them with modestie, as might becommen them: as for their crakes, and bragges, I remember none.

Thus there saide, Marcus the Archbishop of Ephesus, in the name of al the rest: Nonne vides, Reverende Pater, Summum Pontificem (Zosimum) falsum Decretum, & non in Synodo promulgatum ad tantum Concilium, pro re tam magna misisse? And see you not, Right Reverende Father, that Pope Zosimus beinge the Higheste Bishop, for a matter of so greate weight, sente unto that woorthy Council of Aphrica, a false, or a falsified Decree, such as never was published in the Council of Nice? Again he saith, Nullam equidem de Zosimo fecissem mentionem, Reverende Pater, nisi meum de eo sermonem verum esse, possem ostendere: I woulde not have spoken one worde of Pope Zosimus, Reverende Father, unless I had benne habile to proue, my tale to be true. They that gave consente hereunto, and avouched the same, and at that time saie presents in the Council, were Iosephus the Patriarche of Constantinople: Bessarion the Archbishop of Nice, and afterwarde Bishop of Tusculum, and Cardinal of Rome, Isidorus the Metropolitane of Syria, besides severall others Archbishops, and Metropolitans, with a greate number of other inferior Bishoppes, and Learned Fathers, that attended upon them.

It were very harde for you, M. Hardinge, to saie, that al these were dishoneste menne, or of ill disposition, or the mainteiners of the Succession of Lirs. They lived in the Lighte, and commendation of the worlde above a hun dred and fourtie

fourtie yeres agoe, longe befoze either Flacius Illyricus, or Iohn Bale was hearde of. They saide openly in the Councel of Florence, That Pope Zosimus had falsified the Councel of Nice, and further saide they were hable to proue it, and that other wise they would not haue saide it. Marke therefore, M. Hardinge, the truthe, and courtisie of your words. Thus you saie, Neuer did any honeste man thus saie from the begininge of the

world.

That Pope Liberius was an Arian Heretique.

Addition

Addition. M. Hardinge. Or els ye are an errante sclanderous Lier. Howe seemeth not this wicked generation to springe of the Diuel, seeinge it maketh the woortle of euery thinge, speakinge il of that, whiche maie wel, and oughte charitably to be defended? And yet, if he had benne an Arian with al his harte, so longe, as he neuer decreed any thinge accordinge to the Arian Heresie, nor did set it forth by publike Authoritie of the See of Rome, that shoulde not hurte our mater of Succession.

M. Hard. 149. b.

M. Hard. 151. a.

The Answer. Who so is hable to allege, the allowed Authoritie of good Writers, and imagineth nothings of him selfe, M. Hardinge, is neither a Delaun-derer, nor a Lier. Firste your selfe haue graunted, that Pope Liberius subscribed, and gaue his Hand vnto the Arian Heretiques. Wherein it maie reasonably be thought, that the Arians beleued, he gaue also the inwarde agramente of his harte, and therefore embraced him, as one of theire owne. Further Regino saith in plaine wise, Liberius reuersus ab exilio Hæreticis fauet: Pope Liberius after he returned from out of banishment, bare good wil to the Heretiques.

Regino. Lib. 1.

Alphonus de Castro saith, De Liberio Papa constat, fuisse Arianum: Touchinge Pope Liberius it is wel known, he was an Arian. Sabellus saith, Ariani precibus suis apud Constantium Liberio reditum ad Urbem consecere. Quo ille beneficio commotus, ex confesso Arianus, vt quidam scribunt, est factus: The Arian Heretiques by theire entreatie vnto the Emperoure Constantius, obtained of him, that Pope Liberius mighte be restored againe vnto the Citie. With whiche good turne Liberius beeing moued, as somme haue written, became an Arian Heretique (Ex Confesso) in good earnest, and with his harte.

Alphon. Lib. 1.

Cap. 4.

Sabell. Tractat.

7. Lib. 8.

Platina saith, Liberius Imperatoris beneficio motus, vt quidam volunt, in rebus omnibus sensit cum Hæreticis &c. Pontifex tamen cum Arianis sentiebat, tamen Ecclesias Dei diligenter exornabat: Pope Liberius moued with the Emperoures gentleness, as somme thinke, agreed in al things with the Heretiques. Marke wel these wordes, M. Hardinge. He agreed (saith he) in al things with the Heretiques. And againe, Pope Liberius, notwithstandinge he were of one Judgemente with the Arians, yet he diligently furnished the Church of God. In an Eldre Pontificale, written in Aclum, you maie finde this whole mater recorded thus: Imperator Liberium, qui sibi, & Arianis consenserat, in Sede reposuit: & sic infelix Liberius Basilicam S. Petri vi tenuit septem annos: & tum facta est magna persecutio in Vrbe, ita vt Clerici Leberio contradicentes Martyrio coronarentur: The Emperoure Constantius beeing an Arian Heretique, restored Pope Liberius to his See, for that he agreed with him, and with the Arians. And thus wicked Liberius helde Peters Church seuen yeres by violence. Then was there raised a greate persecution in the Citie of Rome, so that the Priestes there for withstandinge Liberius, were crowned with Martyrdome. Nicolaus Cusanus saith, Liberius, & Honorius, & alij in Cathedra Petri aliquando infedentes, in errorem Schismaticum seducti ceciderunt: Sedes tamen absque vitio remansit: Pope Liberius, and Pope Honorius, and other Popes sittinge for a while in Peters Chaire, beeing misleadd, felle into Schismatical erreure: yet Peters Chaire remained stil without faulte. Againe afterwarde he saith, Liberius consensit errori Ariatorum: Pope Liberius gaue his consente to the erreure of the Arians, and for prouise thereof he allegeth S. Hierome in Chronicis. Anselmus Rid saith, Liberius primus a Pontificum Romanorum integritate recessit: Pope Liberius was the firste, that forsooke the pure Doctrine of the Bishoppes of Rome.

Platina in Liberio.

Nicol. Cusan. De Concordantia.

Lib. 1. cap. 14.

Nicol. Cusan. De Concordantia.

Lib. 2. Cap. 1.

Anselmus Rid.

By these we maie see, that Pope Liberius felle into the Schismatical erreure of the Arians: That he subscribed, and gaue his name, and hande vnto the Arians: That he fauoured the Arians: That he consented vnto the Arians: That it is certainly known, he was an Arian: That in good earnest, and with his harte, he be-

D g

caus

came an Arian: And that in al matters, & pointes of Doctrine, he was of one minde, and iudgemente with the Arians. If al these Ministers were errante Liers, and sclaundersers, as you saie, M. Hardinge, why then are they not so published to the worlde? I rechen, ye wil not saie, they were al Lutherans.

But, touchinge that Pope Liberius was a fauourer of the Arian Heretique, I haue saide further, The Authour hereof is S. Hierome, De Scriptoribus Ecclesiasticis in Fortunatiano. And one of M. Hardinges owne principal Doctours saith, De Liberio Papa constat, fuisse Arianum. Versunto M. Hardinge, you haue answered thus: Here haue wee twoo newe Vntruthes added to the olde. S. Hierome in the place by M. Iewel alleged, saith not, that Pope Liberius was a fauourer of the Arians.

The Answer. Then, M. Hardinge, maie it like you, to heare Cardinalis Cusanus, what he can telle you. I truste, you wil not discredite him with so many Vntruthes. He was a Cardinal of the Church of Rome. His wordes be these, as you haue hearde before: Redijt Liberius ab exilio victus, & consensit errori, vt scribit S. Hieronymus in Chronicis; Pope Liberius returned from Bannishment, and yeelded, and consented vnto the error (of the Arians) as S. Hierome writeth in Chronicis. If yee bing, and consentinge be not sufficient, Rhegino saith further, He fauoured the Heretiques. Sabellicus saith, A somme haue written, he became an Arian in good earnest. Platina saith, By the reporte of somme, he agreed in al thinges with the Heretiques. But hereof wee haue sufficiently spoken before.

Further yee saie, But who is that one of M. Hardinges principal Doctours, that saith these wordes, De Liberio constat, fuisse Arianum? VVhat is the cause, that here M. Iewel, nameth him not? Is he growen more shamesfaced, then heretofore, that he woulde be lothe, to be founde a notorious Lier, wel thoughte he were ashamed to be taken with a Lie, yet was he not ashamed to make a Lie. This principal Doctoure of mine is Alphonsus de Castro. Howe maie this be knownen? Footnote euen by M. Iewel him selfe, who in his pretended Defense writeth thus, where without all error, ye maie be sure, he bringeth muche matter, to shewe, that the Pope doothe erre. Certainly Alphonsus saith, &c. And where saith Alphonsus thus? Marie, saith M. Iewel, in his Marginal quotation, Alphonsus contra Hereses, Lib. 1. Cap. 4. But reade that Chapter who wil: Verily in the Bookes of sundrie printes, that I haue seene, he saith it not. If it were once so priated, and afterwarde by the Authour reuoked, it ought not to be alleged.

The Answer. But who (saie you) is that one of M. Hardinges principal Doctours? Petrus, M. Hardinge, ye are not ignorant, who it is. Al poure game is spilt in vaine. Roman was ready to spare you the laughter. Alphonsus it is: And Alphonsus de Castro, in his Booke intituled, De Hæresibus, printed at Parise by Iodocus Badius in Folio: An. 1534. If it haue ben otherwise altered since, we maie easily imagine, who were the doers. Certainly thus saith Alphonsus, Omnis homo errare potest in Fide, etiam si Papa sit. Nam de Liberio Papa, constat fuisse Arianum: & Anastasium Papam fuisse Nestorianis, qui Historias legerit, non dubitat. Quod autem alij dicunt, eum, qui errauerit in Fide obstinatè, iam non esse Papam, ac per hoc affirmant, Papam non posse esse Hæreticum, est in re seria verbis velle iocari. Non enim dubitamus, An Hæreticum esse, & Papam esse, in vnum coire possint: Sed id quærimus, An hominem, qui aliàs in Fide errare potuisset, dignitas Pontificalis efficiat in Fide indeuibilem. Non enim credo, aliquem esse adeo impudentem Papæ assentatorem, vt ei tribuere hoc velit, vt nec errare, nec in Interpretatione acrarum Scripturarum hallucinari possit. Nam, cum constet, plures eorum adeo esse illiteratos, vt Grammaticam penitus ignorent, qui sit, vt Sacras Literas interpretari possint? Every man maie erre in the Faith, yea although he be the Pope. For, as touchinge Pope Liberius, it is certaine, that he was an Arian Heretique. Neither can any man doubt, that hath readde the Stories, but Pope Anastasius was a fauourer of the Nestorians. But whereas some saie, that he, that Erreth wilfully in the Faith, is nowe no lenger Pope, and therefore saie, the Pope cannot be an Heretique, they seeme in a sadde matter to dallye with wordes. For wee make no doubt, whether the Pope, and an Heretique maie agree in one personne. But this is oure question, whether a man, that otherwise mighte haue Erred in the Faith, by vertue of the Papal Dignitie be made sutch, as cannot erre? For I doo not beleue, that there is any so impudent a Flatterer of the Pope, that wil geue him this preeminence, that he can neither be deceined, nor misse in the expoundinge of the Scriptures. For seeinge

m. Har. fo. 26. a.

Nicol. Casan. de
Concordan. Lib.
2. Cap. 5.
Hæreticus
Ex confesso.
In rebus omni-
bus consensit
cum Hæreticis.
M. Har. fo. 63.

Lib. 1. Cap. 4.

Yea although
it be the
Pope.
It is certaine.

wee make no
doubte.

So impudent
a flatterer.

it is well knownen, that many Popes be so utterly void of Learning, that they knowe not the Principles of their Grammar, howe maie it be, that they shoulde be habile to expounde the Scriptures.

Thus saith my Alphonsus, *M. Harding*, printed at Paris five and thirtie yeres agoe. In like sorte, and with the very same wordes was the same Booke afterward printed at Colajne by Arnolde Brickman, Anno 1539. What other newe Booke, or newe spectacles you have gotten you, I cannot imagine. Even to like your pope writteth Nicolaus Cusanus, a Cardinal of Rome, *Iudicium Fidei non est semper in potu unius Pontificis definibile: quia Hæreticus esse potest: The Judgement of Faith, is not alwaies to be determined by the becke of the Pope alone: for the Pope maie possibly be an Heretique.*

That Pope Leo, as appeareth by the Legende, was likewise an Arian.

Addition. *M. Harding*. As it appeareth by the Legende. VVhat an obscure proufe is this? Forsooth there is an olde Motheaten Booke, wherein Saintes Lives are saide to be contained &c. It shal not greatly skil, who was the Authour of it. Certaine it is, that emonge somme true Stories, there be many vaine Fables written. Emonge which is one &c.

The Answer. This Booke was not so mutche Motheaten, nor so farre out of credite, *M. Harding*, as you telle us. It was readde safly vnto the people, and had in reuerence, when the Holy Scriptures of God were Matheraten, and shrowen in to corners: and it was called Aurea Legenda, as you knowe, the Golden Legende, for the excellencie, that it seemed to haue aboue al other Stories. Thus were you habile in your Kingdome of darkenesse, to geue the people Drosse, and to calle it Soule: and to leade them into the Clowdes, and shadowes of Deathe: and yet to make them beleue, they walke in the Lighte.

As for this mater of Pope Leo, I repute it not, as a certaine truthe, as it might appeare vnto you by my wordes. I leaue the credite thereof to the Authour. If it be a Fable, it is your owne: it is not ours. Thus mutche at the leaste, we maie reasonably gather hereof: Although Pope Leo were no Arian, yet, notwithstanding his Popedom, and the Succession of Peter, by this Authours iudgemente, he might wel haue benne an Arian.

That Pope Coelestinus was a Nestorian Heretique.

Addition. *M. Harding*. VVho euer hearde such an impudent man? It was Coelestinus, which condemned Nestorius &c. A moste impudent Lier: A wicked Schlaunderer.

The Answer. These outeries shal wel become you, *M. Harding*. Bestow your shame vpon him, that hath best deserved it. Pope Coelestinus (you saie) condemned Nestorius, and al his Heresies. So did Pope Liberius, as it is saide before, condemn the Arians: And yet afterwarde him selfe became an Arian. This is a case, that often happeneth, and maie be proued by sundrie examples. You your selfe, *M. Harding*, haue preached mightily, and terribly againste the Romaine Religion, as you maie remember: And yet sitheence, ye knowe, ye are become a Defender, and Patrone of the same. This mater, that so mutche graueth you, touching Pope Coelestinus, is recozded by Laurentius Valla, no Lutherane, I trowe, but a grate Learned man, and a Canon of the Church of Rome. His wordes be these: Neque aliquem sua dignitas ab increpationibus tutum reddit, quæ Petrum non reddidit: multoque alios eodem præditos gradu, vt Marcellum, quod Dijs libasset, vt Coelestinum, quod cum Nestorio Hæretico sentiret: No mannes dignitie maie defende him from controlmente, (if he offende) for Peter was not so defended: nor many others, that were auanced to the same degree: as Pope Marcellus (or rather Pope Marcellinus) for that he had offered up Sacrifice vnto Idoles: And as Pope Coelestinus, for that he agreed with the Heretique Nestorius. Here you finde plainly by expresse wordes, *M. Harding*, that Pope Coelestinus was a Nestorian Heretique. Nowe, if you be so mutche ashamed hereof, retorne the shame to Rome againe hardy, from whence it came: either to Laurentius Valla, that sente it hithe: or to the Pope him selfe, that best deserved it.

That Pope Honorius was a Monothelite Heretique.

Addition.

Succession.

Popes know not their Grammar Rules.

Alphonsus Cordus, An. 1539. Nicol. Cusanus, de Concordant. lib. 1. Cap. 16.

The Pope maie be an Heretique.

M. Harding, lib. 4. c. 6.

Laurent. Valla, de Donatione Constant.

Pope Coelestinus a Nestorian Heretique.

M. Hard. 131.

Juſtly charged.
In deeds.Nicol. Lyra in
Matthe. cap. 16.
M. Har. fo. 135.Fulgoſius. De in-
ſtitutu Mortu
generib.
10 queſt. 3. Vaio.Con. Baſilien. in
Epiſt. Synodali.Aeneas Sylui. De
Coffis Concil.
Baſil. Lib. 1.
Very Many.

M. Har. 256. b.

M. Hard. 257. a.

ſatell. Ennead. 9
Lib. 3.
Blondus Decad.
2. Lib. 3.

Benot.

Addition. ¶ M. Hardinge. Nowe at length, M. Iewel, you ſaie that, which hath ſome face of Truthe. For Honorius in deepe ſelle into the Hereſie of the Monothelites. But he ſelle into it, when as yet it was not evidently condemned by the Church in any General Councel &c. It ſtandeth wel together, that Pope Honorius, al be it in his owne perſonne he fauoured that Hereſie, yet durſt not to publiſh it in common aſſembly. but contrariwiſe did there, as they gaue him Councel. VVhereby it came to paſſe, that he both depoſed the Monothelites openly, and yet fauoured their opinion priuily. And this is the onely Pope, who maie juſtly be burdened with Hereſie.

The Anſwere. Here is a proper Deſenſe, and a proper Pope. Openly, abroade, he condemneth them as Heretiques, whome priuily in his harte he alloweth, and liketh as Catholiques. This onely Pope (you ſaie) maie be juſtly charged with Hereſie. For in deepe he ſelle into the Hereſie of the Monothelites. At this is your owne Confeſſion, M. Hardinge. And yet, whereas I ſaie, the ſame Pope Honorius was a Monothelite Heretique, you telle me, That this tale hath ſomme face of Truthe. Somme face, you ſaie, and nemoje. For Truthe it ſelfe ſe dare not allowe me: be it neuer ſo true.

That Pope Iohn 22. was reſponed by Gerson, and the Schole of Pariſe for an Heretique: Petrarcha ſaith, Rome is a Sanctuary of al Hereſies: Lyra ſaith, That many Popes haue fallen into Hereſies.

Addition. ¶ M. Hardinge. He ſaith many, as wel Princes, as Chiefe Priests haue fallen from the Faith: but not that many haue Deceaded Hereſies, as to be folowed. But I finde not thoſe Many, nor yet M. Iewel him ſelfe, as diligente as he is aboute it.

The Anſwere. I maruelle not, M. Hardinge, though ye finde not theſe Many. For ye ſaie, as a man, that woulde not finde them. I haue already reckened ſixteen Popes, that were Heretiques. Ye maie adde thereto Pope Anaſtaſius 2. an Arian Heretique. If al theſe maie not be counted Many in the Citie of Rome, ye maie at your pleaſure calle them Fewe. The Lawe ſaith, Decem faciunt populum: Tenne menne are yenough to make a people. Putche more eighte menne are yenough to make Many. Verily I knowe not any one Citie in al Europe, wherein you can lightly finde ſo Many Heretiques, as we haue founde ſittinge in Peters Chaire. Nicolaus Lyra ſaith, Many Popes haue fallen from the Faith. But you make ſmal account of his Authority. Adde thereto to him the Councel of Baſile. There ſhal you finde the ſelfe ſame wordes, Multi Pontifices in Errores, & Hereſes lapſi eſſe leguntur: Wee reade, that Many Popes haue fallen into Errors, and Hereſies. Adde alſo Aeneas Syluius, bringe afterwarde a Pope him ſelfe. Thus he ſaith: De Romanis Pontificibus liceret exempla admodum multa adferre, ſi tempus ſinceret: quoniam aut Hereſici, aut alijs imbuti vitijs ſunt reperti: Of the Popes of Rome wee might ſhewe forth the very Many examples, if time woulde ſuffer it, that they haue benne ſounde either Heretiques, or elſe deſiled with other vices. Thus you haue by the witneſſe bothe of a Pope, and of a Councel, that Manie, and Verie Manie Popes haue benne Heretiques.

You knowe, that Pope Hildebrande, as he was charged by the Councel of Briſcia, was an Aduocater, a Churchrobber, a Perſured man, a Murtherer, a Bojceſſer, and a Renegate of the Faith.

Addition. ¶ M. Hardinge. I knowe that you Lie: I maie ſaie it, ſauinge my Charitie, rather than your worſhip. For Pope Gregorie the Seuenth was a very holy man &c. If you were but a Ciuile honeſte man, M. Iewel, you woulde not take that for a Truthe, whiche one enimie ſaith of an other. By peruſinge of Marianus Scotus, Platina, Lambertus Scaphnaburgensis, and Nauclerus, you ſhal finde your ſelfe a Liar, and Pope Hildebrande a vertuous man.

The Anſwere. No doubt, M. Hardinge, a Holy, and a Vertuous man, and very muche like vnto the Apoſtles of Chriſte: But wherein, I beleue, ye cannot readily telle vs: onleſſe it were, for that he was proud, and ſtately aboue al meaſure, and ſuffered the Emperour Henrie the Fourth to waite vpon him in Italie beſide the gates of Canoſſus, without his Imperial Roabes, in the winter ſeaſon, in harde froſte, and extreme colde, with bare legges, and bare ſaite, thre daies together, beſore he woulde once admiſſe him to his preſence. Thus, I trowe, Peter, and Paule but ſeldome did.

Benot, (you ſaie) was his enimie, and wrote of diſpleaſure, and therefore his words weigh the leſſe. So maie I ſaie, Marianus Scotus was his friende, and wrote of fauoure, and therefore

Addition
¶Addition
¶Addition
¶

foze his wordes like wise weighe the lesse. In deede Beno, that liued with him, and best knewe him, saith thus: That Hildebrande beinge as yet but a Cardinal, smote Pope Alexander with his fist, & laide him in prison: That he poisoned fire Popes his Predecessours, to make him selfe waie to the Popedom: That he was a Coniurer, and a raiser of Diuelles, and that in his suite he threwe the Sacramente into the fiere. But, leaste onely Beno shoulde beare al the blame, a grate number of Catholique Bishoppes in Italie, at the Council of Brixia, called Hildebrande then beinge Pope, *A false Monke: An Usurper of the See of Rome: Not appointed by God: but intruded by fraude, and Monie: A disturber of the Empire, A Subuerter of the Church.* Now be it, you saie, Al these Bishoppes conspired together againste our Lorde, and against his Vicare.

Anselmus Rid saith, That Pope Hildebrande by his meanes had made the leadden Suerd of the Popes Authoritie so mightie, that it had vicerly dulled, and defaced the yron Suerd of the Empire: and that in the time of his Popedom bothe the Ecclesiastical, and the Temporal Jurisdiction was shaken, and broken with infinite miseries.

Sigibertus Gemblacensis saith, Pope Hildebrande troubled the States of Christendome: raised up the Saxons againste their liege Prince: discharged the Subiects from their Othe of Fidelitie: caused Rodolphus the Duke of Burgundie to Proclaime him selfe Emperour, and sente him a Crowne Imperial with this Poee,

Petra dedit Petro: Petrus Diadema Rodolpho.

In the ende the Citizens of Rome expelled him, and chased him out of the Cite: not for any his greates vertue, or Holinesse, I trowe: for Rome is Holy. By meane of the griefe, and agonie hereof, he fel into Melancholie, and deadly Diseases, and findinge him selfe past al recovery, he called vnto him one of his Cardinales, whome he specially trusted, and confessed vnto him, that he had fowly abused his Pastoral Office, and that he had troubled mankind with Malice, and mischief, by the procurement and Counsel of the Diuel. And yet, *Woe bing, must we belene you vpon the credite of your word, That Pope Hildebrande was so vertuous, and so goodly a man: Or is it a mater of sute Vertue, and Holinesse to trouble the Church of God with Warres, and Murders, and to folowe the Counsel of the Diuel: Though y^e make falsely discredit others, yet make you not discredit the Pope him selfe.* This is the Popes owne Confession, deuoutely uttered vnto his Chockely Father, immediately before his deathe. Though Beno were an enemie vnto him, yet was he no enemie vnto him selfe.

But you saie, Reade Lambertus Scaphnaburgensis, Naclerus, and others: and you shal finde your selfe to be a Lier. What saie they then, *W. Harding: Clerisy Lambertus saith thus, Clerici clamant, Hildebrandum esse hominem plane Hereticum, & vesani Dogmatis: The Priestes crie out againste Pope Hildebrande, and calle him a plaine Heretique, and a man of wicked Doctrine.* You will saie, Lambertus uttereth not this, as his owne Judgemente. I graunte you that. Yet he uttereth it, as the Judgemente of the Clergie.

In like sort also saith Naclerus, *The Clergie saide, that Pope Hildebrande, for good causes, was excommunicate by al the Bishoppes of Italie: that he had Defiled the See Apostolique with Simonie, Heresie, Murder, and Aduoutrie: that he was an Apostata, and had forsaken the Faith of Christe.* And, leaste you shoulde saie, He uttereth these wordes, as spoken by his enemies, and not as of him selfe, in the ende he concludeth thus: *Quæ Hildebrandi tempore in Republica Christiana sunt acta, huiusmodi ferè sunt, vt Scriptores dubitent, an Honestatis argumento, & Fidei zelo ab eo gesta sint: The thinges that were donne in the time of Pope Hildebrandus, were sutch for the moste parte, that the Writers doubt, whether they were donne in consideration of Honeste Order, and zeale of Faith, or rather otherwise.*

You saie, He was zelously bent, to correcte such faultes, as were in the Clergie at that time, specially Simonie. Yea, *W. Harding*, but Sigibertus saith thus, speakinge of Pope Hildebrande by name: *Notam Auaritix Honesti nomine prætexunt, dum hoc, quod se gratis dare iactant, sub Charitatis nomine vendunt: vt de Montanis dicit Eusebius, Sub nomine Oblationis artificiosius munera accipiunt: They couer the shame of their Conuoufnesse*

W. Harding. Ar. 1580.

M. Hard. f. 257. a. Anselmus Rid.

Sigibertus Gemblacensis. An. 1074.

Sigibertus An. 1081.

se vultu peccasse Pastoralis cura & suadente Diabolo contra humanum genus uitium, & trauis conuassasse.

M. Hard. 257. a. Lambertus Scaphnabur.

Nacler, Gener. 26.

Naclerus Generatio. 37.

M. Hard. 257. a. Sigibertus Gemblacen. an. 1074.

troufesse with the cloke of an Honest name: They selle things under the name of Charitie: and yet they boaste, they geue them freely. So saithe Eusebius of the Heretiques called Montanists, They take bribes cunningly, and with better skil, under the name of Oblations.

Nowe, M. Hardinge, I beseeche you, iudge uprightly: He that smiterh the Pope violently with his fist, and with force and Villanie imprisoneth his Person: That is a false Monke, a Poisoner, a Coniurer, a Burner of Sacramentes, a Simoniste, an Usurper of the See, a Disturber of the Empire, a Subuerter of the Church, an Ad-uancer of Traitors, a Raisher of Rebelles, a Person iustly Excommunicate, and an Heretique, maye he neuertheless be called a Vertuous, and a Holy man? Is Vertue, and Holinesse so rise in Rome?

Save your Charitie hardly, and what Worshipp you like. What so euer ye pre-sume, to finde in others, it is wisdom to se aloze Hande, what maye be founde worthily in your selfe.

*Platina in Ro-
mano. l.*

*Petrus Parisien.
in Speculo Vita-
tur ab Iherico
De Teclibum.*

*That Platina calleth the Popes sometimes in scoone, Pontificulos, Little Petine Popes: sometimes Monstra, & Portenta, Monsters, and unnatural, and il shapen Crea-
tures. Pope Adrian the fourth was wonte to saie, Succedimus, non Pe-
tro in Pascendo, sed Romulo in Parricidio: Wee Suc-
ceede, not Peter in Feedinge, but Romulus in Killinge.*

*Iohan. sarisbu-
rien. in Polycra-
tico,*

*And to leaue Dame Iohane the woman Pope, with many others moe of like car-
rie, and Holinesse, as hauinge no pleasure in this rehersal: And, for as much as M.
Hardinge beganne this mater with Sarisburie, to ende it with the same, Iohannes
Sarisburiensis saith, In Romana Ecclesia sedent Scribae, & Pharisei: In the Church of
Rome (by Succession) sitte the Scribes, and Phariseis.*

*This is M. Hardinges Holy Succession. Thoughe faithe falle, yet Succession
muste holde. For vnto such Succession God hath bounde the Holy Ghost. For lacke
of this Succession, for that in our dayes in the Churches of Englande, we finde not
so many Idolatours, Necromancers, Heretiques, Adouocaters, Churchrobbers,
Periured Persones, Mankillers, Renegates, Monsters, Scribes, & Phariseis, as we
maye easily finde in the Church of Rome, therefore, I trowe, M. Hardinge saith,
we haue no Succession: we are no Bishoppes: we haue no Church at al.*

*Addition. M. Hardinge. Are you not ashamed, thus notoriously, and withal
moste sleaundersly to belie that moste Blessed Succession of the Bishop of Rome?*

*But S. Paule saith, Faith commeth (not by Succession, but) by hearinge: and hea-
ring commeth (not of Legacie, or Enheritance from Bishop to Bishop, but) of the Word
of God. They are not alwayes Godly, that succede the Godly. Manasses succeeded
Ezechias: & Hieroboam succeeded Dauid. By Succession the Turke this daye posses-
seth, and holdeth the foure greate Patriarhal Sees of the Church, Constantinople,
Alexandria, Antioche, and Hierusalem. By Succession, Christe saith, Desolation
shal sitte in the Holy Place: And Antichriste shal presse into the rōme of Christe.*

Matthe. 24.

*M. Hard. 266. l.
267. d.*

*Addition. M. Hardinge. Doothe Christe saie, It shal be so by Succession? You falsifie the woordes of God, and Man: and that verily by Succession. For so haue al Heretiques
your Forefathers donne before you. Succession is the chiefe waie for any Christian man to auoide
Antichriste &c. For Antichriste commeth not, excepte Desolation, and Apostacie goe before.*

2. Thessal. 2.

*Aug. de Anti-
christo. Tomo 9.
Chrys. in 2. Epist.
ad Thessa Ho. 3.*

*The Answer. I would be lothe, M. Hardinge, to falsifie the woordes ei-
ther of God, or of Man. Touchinge the Succession of Place, whereof onely we
spoke, Christe saith, Desolation shal stande in the Holy Place. And S. Paule saith,
Antichriste shal sitte in the Place of Christe. The same Place, that receiued the one,
shal receiue the other. Otherwise telle vs, I praye you, M. Hardinge, in what other
Place shal he sitte? M. why dothe S. Paule saie, Antichriste shal sitte in the Temple of
God. M. why dothe S. Augustine, and S. Chrysostome saie, Antichriste shal sitte in
the Church of Christe.*

*Succession (you saie) is the chiefe waie, for any Christian man to auoide Antichriste. I
graunt you, if you meane the Succession of Doctrine. Therefore S. Paule saith, In
the*

the later daies somme shal departe from the Faith. He saith not, They shal depart from their Place, but from their Faith. And S. Iohn saith, If any man comme unto you, and bringe not this Doctrine, salue him not. He saith not, If he keepe not his Place, but, If he bringe not this Doctrine. It is the Doctrine, whereby Antichrist shal be knowen, and not his Place. For, as I haue saide, He shal sitte in the Place of Christe.

You saie, Antichriste shal not comme, except a Defection, or Departinge goe before. What Defection, or what Departinge, M. Hardinge? Meane you a Departinge from the Pope? What so euer you meane, verily S. Paule meaneth a Departing from Christ. And so the Old Learned Fathers haue expounded it. In like sense S. Paule saith, The time shal come, when they shal not beare wholesome Doctrine. They shal stoppe their eares against the Truth. They shal turne them selues to heare fables. And, what if the Pope him selfe be Departed from Christe? Yet must we needes keepe him companie, and Departe together? Verily, as I haue otherwheres reported, The Bishop of Bitonto doubted not, openly to saie in the late Councel of Tridente, Vtinam non a Christo ad Antichristum, velut prorsus vnanimis, declinasset: Would God, they were not gonne from Christe to Antichriste, as it were, with one consente. And thus he pronounceth of the Pope, and of his Clergie of the Church of Rome. Nicolaus Lyra about two hundred and fiftie yeres agoe, saide thus: Ab Ecclesia Romana iam diu est, quod recessit Gratia: It is longe sithence the Grace of God is Departed from the Church of Rome. This, this, M. Hardinge, is the Departinge that S. Paule speaketh of. Not our Departinge from the Pope, but the Popes Departinge from the Grace of God. From whiche Grace, who so euer is Departed, is Departed from Christe.

Now, M. Hardinge, if the Pope, and his Romaine Clergie, by his owne frendes Confession, be fallen from Goddes Grace, and Departed from Christ to Antichrist, what a miserable Clatme is it for them, to holde onely by bare Succession?

It is not sufficient, to claime Succession of place: It behoueth vs rather to haue regarde to the Succession of Doctrine. S. Bernarde saith, Quid prodest, si Canonice eligantur, & non Canonice viuunt: What auileth it, if they be chosen in Order, and liue out of Order? So saith S. Augustine, Ipsum Characterem multi, & Lupi, & Lupis imprimunt: The outwardes marke, or righte of a Bishop, many geue to Wolves, and be Wolves them selues. Therfore the Anciente Father Irenaeus geueth vs this good Counsell: Eui qui sunt in Ecclesia, Presbyteris, obaudire oportet, qui Successionem habent ab Apostolis, qui cum Episcopatus Successione, Charisma Veritatis certum, secundum beneplacitum Patris acceperunt: It becommeth vs, so obeie those Priestes in the Church, which haue their Succession from the Apostles: and together with the Succession of their Bishoppes, accordinge to the good wil of God the Father, haue receiued the vndoubted guiste of the Truth. S. Cyprian beinge likewise charged for dissentinge from his Predecessours, answered thus: Si quis de Antecessoribus meis, non hoc obseruauit, & tenuit, quod nos Dominus exemplo, & Magisterio suo docuit, potest simplicitati eius venia concedi: Nobis vero ignosci non potest, qui nunc a Domino admoniti, & instructi sumus: If any of my Predecessours haue not obserued, and kepte the same, that our Lorde hath taughte vs bothe by his example, and also by his Commandemente, his simplicitie may be pardoned. But wee (if wee doe the like) can hope for no pardone, beinge nowe admonished, and instructed of our Lorde.

Addition. M. Hardinge. Cough vp man. It wil choke you, if you let it tarry within your throte. Here is but halfe the boane. There is yet in Cyprian no sul pointe. It foloweth in the same sentence, Ut Calicem Dominicum vino mixtum, secundum quod Dominus obtulit, offeramus. That we should offer our Lords Cuppe mixte with wine, accordingly as our Lorde offered the same &c. Doo they offer our Lordes Chalice at al? Or, doo they graunte that our Lorde in his Supper offered it? Doo they mingle water with wine at the time of Consecratinge the Mysteries? What folie, what madnesse &c.

The Answer. There is no suche danger of Boanes, M. Hardinge. The child shal doe wel penoughe by Goddes grace. I make no mention (you saie) of offeringe our Lordes Cuppe. I graunte you. Somoze doe I of many other thinges in the same Epistle contained. What then? Shoulde I for your pleasure haue alleged, and translated the whole Epistle? No, haue you Decreed it to be an Heresie, if a man

1. Tim 4.
2. Ioh 8.

M. Hard. 167 a

2. Timoth. 4.

Council. Trident.

Nicol. Lyra in 2.
ad Thessalon. 2.

Bernard, in Council. Remen.

August. contra Donatist lib 6.

1. q. 3. de Canon. caus.

Irenae. li. 4. ca 43

1. b. 2. ap. 3.

M. Hard. 169 a

M. Hard. 169 b

a man allege nomoze, then is needful? Or, haue I reported any maner Untrueth? Or, stande the wordes otherwise, then I haue reported them? In this place I haue cause onely to speake of Succession: but, to speake of Sacrifice, I had no cause. Howe be it, as you knowe, in five hundred other places, as time required, I haue spoken also fully, and largely of the Sacrifice: And yet perwisse without any great danger of Chokinge. But the childlike toies are meete for children.

S. Cyprian saith, Wee offer our Lordes Cuppe mixte with Wine. But he saith not, as you saie, VVee offer vp the Sonne of God Substantialy, and Really vnto his Father. Take awaye onely that Blasphemie, where with you haue Deceiued the worlde: and then talke of minglinge the Cuppe, & of the Sacrifice, while ye liue. S. Cyprian saith, Wee offer the Lordes Cuppe, meaninge thereby, the Wine contained in the Cuppe. So likewise S. Augustine saith, Ecclesia offert Sacrificium Panis, & Vini: The Church offereth vp the Sacrifice of Breaide, and Wine. If there be any Darkenesse in this manner of sprache, bothe S. Cyprian, and S. Augustine haue plaineely expounded their meaninge.

S. Cyprian in the same Epistle before alleaged, saith thus, Calix in Commemorationem Christi offertur: Vino Christi Sanguis ostenditur: Ideo Vinum ponitur, vt Domini Sanguis Vino intelligatur: Aqua sola (sine Vino) non potest exprimere Sanguinem Christi: In Aqua Populus intelligitur: In Vino ostenditur Sanguis Christi: Passiois Christi memoriam in omnibus Sacrificijs facimus: Passio Domini est Sacrificium, quod offerimus: The Cuppe is offered in Remembrance of Christe: By the Wine Christes Bloude is shewed (or Signified): Therefore Wine is used, that by Wine wee maie vnderstande the Lordes Bloude: Water onely without Wine, cannot expresse the Bloude of Christe: In the Water wee vnderstande the People: In the Wine Christes Bloude is represented: In al our Sacrifices, wee worke the Memorie of Christes Passion: The Sacrifice, that wee offer, is the Passion of our Lorde. Thus mutche S. Cyprian in the same Epistle. S. Augustine saith, In hoc Sacrificio est gratiarum actio, & Commemoratio Carnis Christi, quam pro nobis obtulit, & Sanguinis eius, quem pro nobis effudit: In this Sacrifice is a thankes geeuinge, and a Remembrance of the Fleashe of Christe, that he hath offered for vs, and of the Bloude of Christe that he shedde for vs. Thus saith S. Cyprian: Thus saith S. Augustine: Thus saith the Elde Godly Learned Fathers of the Church of Christe. These are no Soanes, M. Hardinge: they are the Foure of euerlastinge Life.

Compare the vse, and order of our Churches, M. Hardinge, with the Primitive Church of God, and ye shal easily see the right of our Succession. S. Cyprian saith, Si Canalis aquae, quae copiose prius, & largiter profluebat, subito deficiat, nonne ad Fontem pergatur? &c. Hoc & nunc facere oportet Dei Sacerdotes Praecepta Diuina seruantes, vt in aliquo si nutauerint, & vacillauerint Veritas, ad originem Dominicam, & Evangelicam atq; Apostolicam Traditionem reuertantur: & inde surgat actus nostri ratio, vnde & Ordo, & Origo surrexit: If the Pipes of the Conduit, which before ranne with abundance, happen to faile, doe wee not vse to searche the Heade? &c. The Priestes of God keepinge Goddes Commandementes, muste doe the same: that if the Truthe haue fainted, or faile in any pointe, wee returne to the very Original of our Lorde, and to the Tradition of the Gospel, and of the Apostles: that therebence wee maie take the discretion of our dooings, from whence the Order is selfe, and Original firste beganne.

Addition. M. Hardinge. It is to be knowen, M. Iewel, that S. Cyprian vsed that falcinge in an euill case. And therefore, he defendinge a Falsehoode, was driuen to the very same shiftes, whereunto al Heretiques are driuen.

The Answer. What so euer were S. Cyprians case, verily, M. Hardinge hath diuised but a poore defense for him selfe. For, alas, what a simple Mistake is this, to saie, that so Holp a Father, and Partye of Christe, was driuen to vse the shiftes of al Heretiques? Graunte wee, that S. Cyprian stode in somme erreour. Yet let vs see, what were his Heretical Mistakes. Thus he saith, If the Truthe fainted, or faile in any pointe, wee oughte to returne to the Original of our Lorde, and to the Tradition of the Gospel, &c. And is this the Mistake of al Heretiques, to submitte them selues, and their causes, to the trial of Goddes Truthe? Or, dothe noman vse this kinde of Mistake,

August. de Fide
ad Petrum, ca. 19
Cyprian Lib. 2.
Epist. 3.

August. de Fide
ad Petrum, ca. 19

Cyprian ad
Rompeum con-
tra Epist. Ste-
phani.

M. Hard, 171.

Addition

shifte, but onely Heretiques: Wherefore then dothe Chrifte so often vse the same? Wherefore dothe Chrifte saie, *From the beginninge it was not thus: It is written in the Scriptures: Seache the Scriptures?* Wherefore dothe the Prophetesaie saie, *Resorte to the Lawe, and to the Testimonie of our Lorde?* Wherefore dothe Tertullian saie, *Persequere ad Vniuersas Hæreses iam hinc præiudicatum est, id esse verum, quodcunque primum: id esse adulterum, quodcunque posterius?* By this Rule, wee maie equally prescribe againste al Heretiques: That is true, that was firste appointed: That is false, that was afterwarde Diuised. It were harde to saie, that Chrifte, his Apostles, the Prophetes, and Holy Fathers were Shifters, and Heretiques.

Yet notwithstandinge, M. Hardinge saith, VVee maie not be leadde by S. Cyprians Rule. It is a shifte, and a practise of Heretiques. This therefore muste be his Rule: Although the Truthe faile, or faile in any point, yet maie wee not returne to the Original of our Lorde, nor to the Tradition of the Gospel. S. Cyprian saith, *Non debemus hominis Consuetudinem sequi, sed Veritatem Dei: Wee maie not folowe the Custome of man, but the Truthe of God.* Nay saith M. Hardinge, This is the shifte of al Heretiques. Wee maie not folowe the Truthe of God, but the Custome of man. S. Cyprian saith, *Relicto Errore, sequamur Veritatem: Forsakinge Errour, let vs folowe the Truthe.* Nay, saith M. Hardinge, So doo Heretiques: This is but a shifte. VVee muste leaue the Truthe, and folowe Erroure. If you will needs shifte of, and so miserably glose S. Cyprians sayings, M. Hardinge, thus verily muste you saie: And in dede, his Rule hath of longe time benne Canonized in the Church of Rome, and accompted Catholique. But suche are they, of whom Chrifte saith, *Yes haue broken the Commandementes of God, so mainteine your owne Traditions.*

on nor necessary.

Matth. 23.
Iohan. 1. 27.
Tertul. aduersus Proximum.

Cyprian. Lib. 2.
Epist. 3.

Cyprian. ad Pompeium contra Epistol. 57.
Phant.

Matth. 23.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 1.

Moreouer wee saie, that Chrifte hath geuen to his Ministers power to Binde, to Loose, to Open, to Shutte.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The difference that is betwene vs, and our Aduersaries in this whole matter, is not greate: so muche that it liketh wel M. Hardinge to busse him selfe with needlesse quarrelles without cause. These kindes of Confession are expressed vnto vs in the Scriptures. The firste made secretly vnto God alone: The seconde openly before the whole Congregation: The thirde privately vnto our Brother. Of the two former kindes there is no question. Touchinge the thirde, if it be discretely vsed, to the greater comfote, and better satisfaction of the Penitent, without superstition, or other ill, it is not in any wise by vs reprobued. The Abuses, and Errours sette aparte, we doe not mislike a Private Confession, then a Private Sermon.

Thus muche onely we saie, that Private Confession to be made vnto the Minister, is neither commanded by Chrifte, nor necessarie to Salvation. And therefore Chrysostome saith, *Non dico tibi, vt te prodas in publicum, neq; vt te accuses apud alios. Sed obedire te volo Prophetæ dicenti, Reuela Domino viam tuam: I wil thee, not to bewraie thee selfe openly, nor to accuse thee selfe before others: But I counsel thee, to obey the Prophetes sayinge, Open thy waie vnto the Lorde.*

Chrysost. ad Hebræos. Hom. 30.

Addition

Addition. M. Hardinge. S. Chrysostome in this place speaketh not in dede of Sacramental Confession, but of that, which is daiely to be made to God alone &c. But in other places he hath taught vs, that vnto our Priests it is geuen vterly to cleanse, not the Lepe of the Body, but the filthe of the Soule &c.

M. Hard. 274. b

M. M. fol. 275. a

The Answer. S. Chrysostome (you saie, in these woordes, speaketh not of Sacramental Confession. No greate maruella, M. Hardinge. For, I beleue, you cannot finde, that any Confession was knowen by that name in Chrysostomes time. But in these wordes, he speaketh of Confession to be made vnto others. Cal it Sacramental, or Private, or Auricular, or what you list. Of this kinde of Confession Chrysostome saith, *I wil thee not to betraie thee selfe openly, nor to accuse thee selfe before other.* Thus, I trow, he would not haue said, had he thought this kind of Confession to be necessary.

But you saie, S. Chrysostome saith, Our Priests haue power vterly to cleanse the filthe of the

Socrum. li. 3. ca. 19

Chrysost. de sa-
cerdo. li. 3.

M. Har. fo. 27. b

De Tæren. dis.
1. Quamuis
plenitudo.

De Pœnit. Diss.
3. In Pœnitentia
in Glossa.

De Pœnit. Diss.
1. Quidam
De Pœnit. Diss.
16 Pœnitent.

M. Har. fo. 71. a

of the Soule. And who saith the other wise? When we Consecrate Priestes, we pro-
nounce Christes wordes ouer them: *Whose sinnes you doe foregeue, they are foregeuen.*
But are sinnes foregeuen onely by Priuate Confession? If so, howe happened it
then, that there was no Priuate Confession vsed in the Church of Constantinople,
duringe the whole time, that S. Chrysostome was Bishop there.

Notwithstandinge, good Christian Reader, that thou maist vnderstande the
truth of M. Hardinges dealinge, in that whole place of Chrysostome, there is no
mention at al of any Confession, either Priuate, or Publique, or Sacramental, or Auri-
culare, or any other. Reade the place. If thou finde it otherwise, I will yelde. You
will saie, He speaketh of foregeuenesse of sinnes. I knowe it wel: yet not of Confession.
He speaketh of the Sacrament of Baptisme: of Preachinge, & of Prayer. By these
meanes S. Chrysostome saith, The Priestesse remitteth sinnes, and reconcileth God
vnto the people. Who would thinke, that M. Hardinge would allege those pla-
ces for Priuate Confession, whereas is not so mutche as one worde once spoken of
Confession?

M. Hardinge. Againste your Heretical proposition I wil set S. Basiles Catholike Iudgement.
Thus he saith, It is necessarie to confesse sinnes vnto them, to whome the Dispensation of the Myste-
ries is committed. For so they, that in olde time did penance, are founde to haue donne before the
Saintes. For it is written in the Gospel, that they confessed their sinnes to Iohn Baptiste: In the
Actes, that they confessed them to the Apostles. By S. Basile it is necessarie to confesse sinnes vnto the
Priestes. By M. Iewel it is not necessarie at al. VVho is the Likelier of these twoo to be a Lier?

The Answer. M. Hardinge, why do you thus abuse your simple Reader?
By wordes are these, as they lie plainly before you: *That Priuate Confession be
made vnto the Minister, it is neither commaunded by Christe, nor necessarie to Saluation.*
To proue this Heretical Proposition, for so it pleaseth you to calle it, you haue
broughte in the Catholique Iudgemente of S. Basile: But, I beseeche you, amonge al
thesse wordes of S. Basile, is there any one worde of Priuate Confession? If there be
any, let it appeare: If there be none, why do you allege it? Marke the wordes,
& examples, that S. Basile vseth. Thus (saith he) the people confessed their Sinnes to Iohn
Baptiste: Thus they confessed their Sinnes to the Apostles. Thus saith S. Basile. But
did either the Apostles, or Iohn Baptiste, heare Priuate Confessions? Did they sitte
downe vpon a stole, in a cozner, and hearken what eche man shoulde seuerally saie
vnto them? No, no, M. Hardinge, S. Basile spake onely of Publique offenses, that
were knowen to many. Butche offenses it was necessarie, for the satisfaction of the
Church, to be confessed vnto the Priest, as vnto the common Minister of the
whole, not secretly, or in a cozner, but openly, and in the hearing of al the people.
This Confession, M. Iewel saith, is stil necessarie in the Church of God. Howe
therefore your selfe maie iudge, to vse your owne courtous wordes, VVhether of vs is
likelier to be the Lier.

And Gratian, hauinge thorowly disputed, and debated the whole mater of bothe
sides, in the ende leaueth it thus at large: Cui harum sententiarum potius adhaeren-
dum sit, Lectoris Iudicio referuatur: Vtraque enim fautores habet Sapientes, & Reli-
giosos Viros: Whether of these twoo opinions it were better to folowe, it is leaft to the discretion
of the Reader: For either side is fauoured, bothe by Wise, and also by Godly menne. There-
fore the Glose there concludeth thus: Melius dicitur Confessionem institutam fuisse
a quadam Vniuersali Ecclesie Traditione potius, quam ex Noui, vel Veteris Testa-
menti Autoritate: It is better to saie, that Confession was ordeined by somme Tradition of
the Vniuersal Church, then by the Authoritie of the Newe, or Old Testaments. Likewise saith
Theodorus, sometime Archebishop of Canturburie, a Græke bozne: Quidam Deo so-
lummodo confiteri debere peccata dicunt, vt Græci: Some saie, Wee are bounde to confesse
our sinnes onely to God, as doe the Grecians. Whereupon the Glose noteth thus: Apud
Græcos Confessio non est necessaria: quia non emanauit ad illos Traditio talis: Among
the Grecians Confession is not necessarie, for that no such Tradition euer came amongst them.

But what neede many wordes: M. Hardinge him selfe in the discourse hercof, is
forced to confesse, that the expresse Terme of Auricular, or Secret Confession, is seldome mentio-
ned in the Ancient Fathers. His tale had benne truer, if he had saide thus, The Expresse
terme

terme of Articulare, or Secrete Confession, is neuer mentioned in the Anciente Fathers. Howe to passe ouer certaine other M. Hardinges Vnecessarie saydes, he groweth to the mater in this sorte:

M. Hardinge.

Concerninge the Ministers of the Church, we saie, that they open and shutte by dispensinge the Sacramentes, who haue their vertue of the merites of Christe. For where as the Sacramentes haue issued and flowed out of the side of our Sauour Christe sleeping on the Crosse, (as by allusion wee maie vse the woordes of the old figure) wherewith the Church is builded, therefore in the Sacramentes of the Church, the efficacie of the passion remaineth. And for that cause to the Ministers also of the Church, who be dispensours of the Sacramentes, a certaine power is geuen to remoue the barre, that excludeth vs from Goddes fauour, not through their owne, but through Goddes vertue, and power, and merite of Christes Passion. And this power is called by a metaphore, the Keie of the Church, whiche is the Keie of Ministerie: whereof we shal speake hereafter. This power, so much as concerneth release of sinnes, is exercised in the Sacramente of Penance, to the benefite of them, that after Baptisme be relapsed, and fallen into sinne againe. Of whiche power, no Christen man doubteth, onlesse he holde the Heresie of the Nouatians, who were condemned for Heretiques by the Church, bicause they denied, that Priestes in the Church had authoritie to remitte sinnes, *and so denied the Sacramente of Penance.

The Keie
of the
Church.

For whom
serueth the
Sacrament
of Penance
Nouatian
denied Pe-
nauce.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

That betwely receiuinge the Holy Sacramentes ordeined by Christe, we receiue also the Remission of sinnes, it is not any waie denied. For the Substance of al Sacramentes is the Worde of God, whiche S. Paule calleth Verbum Reconciliationis: The Worde of Atonement. This Worde is the Instrumente of Remission of sinne. The Sacramentes are the Seales affixed to the same: The Priest is the meane. S. Augustine saith, In Aqua Verbum mundat. Detrahe Verbum: quid est Aqua, nisi Aqua? In the Water is the Worde of God, that maketh cleane. Take the Worde awaie: and what is Water els, but Water. Hereof we shal haue cause to saie moze hereafter.

*This sauou-
reth of vntuth.
For Nouatus
denied not Pri-
uate, but Open
Penance.
2. Corinths. 5.

Augu. in Iohas.
tracta. 80.

Al that is here brought in touchinge Nouatus, it is utterly from the purpose. For Nouatus neuer denied, but a Sinner might Confesse his sinnes, either secretly to God alone, or publicly and openly befoze the whole Congregation. As for Articulare Confession to the Priest, so ought that may appeare, he neuer hearde of it. But herein stode his whole erreure, that he thought, who so euer had committed any great notorious sinne after Baptisme, notwithstanding any Submission, or Satisfaction, he was hable to make, yet might he neuer be reconciled vnto his Brethren, or be receiued againe into the Church: not that he would hereby vsue the Penitent sorrowful sinner to despair of Gods merite, but (as some Learned men haue thought) onely for example and terrour vnto others. And therefore Beatus Rhenanus saith, In hac sententia veterum permulti fuerunt, & in ijs etiam Augustinus.

B. Rhenanus in
Argumento Li-
belli Tertii. De
Remitent.
Augustinus, li-
pistola. 54.

S. Augustine saith, Cautè, salubriterque prouisum est, vt locus illius Humilima Penitentia semel in Ecclesia concedatur: ne medicina vilis minus utilis esset ægrotis: It hath ben discretely and wholesomely provided, that it shoulde not be graunted to any man, to doo that moste humble Open Penance, but onely once in the Church, and neuer more afterwarde: least the Medicine, beinge made ouer common, shoulde not be profitable to the Sicke.

Thus much difference therefore we see, there was betwene the Church, and Nouatus. The Church graunteth the Open Sinner one onely time of Open Reconciliation, and neuer moze: But Nouatus graunteth none at al. Therefore the whole mater of Nouatus, might haue serued M. Hardinge to some other purpose. For confession, whether it were Priuate or Publicke, was no parte of his Erreure.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 2.

And (we saie) that the office of Loosinge consisteth in this point: that the Minister, either by the Preachinge of the Gospel, offereth the Merites of Christe, and full Pardon to such as haue lowly & contrite hartes, and doo vnfainedly repente them selues, pronouncinge vnto the same a sure, and vndoubted forgettenesse of their sinnes, and hope of Euerlastinge Salvation: Or els that the same Minister, when any

P

haue

haue offended their Brethrens mindes with somme greate offence, or notable, and open crime, whereby they haue, as it were, bannished, and made them selues straungers from the Common Fellowship, and from the Body of Christe, then after perfitte amendement of suche personnes, dothe reconcile them, and bzing them home againe, and restore them to the Companie, and Unitie of the Faithful.

M. Hardinge.

*Vntruhe. For Christe neuer gaue the Priestle any suche Commission.

*Vntruhe. For S. Hierome saithe, Soluunt Sermone Dei, & testimoniis Scripturarum.

*Vntruhe. By M. Hardinges owne Doctrine Reade the Answer.

*The same power, because they haue the same woordes, and not otherwise.

*Vntruhe iointed with vaine folie.

*Vntruhe. For the Church asfoiled not mad men: but onely pronounced them to bee asfoiled before, when they were sober.

O dangerous Doctrine.

*Vntruhe. Reade the Answer.

*Manifest Vntruhe. For S. Augustine speake these words of Open Confession before the people

The summe of al these gaye woordes abridged doth attribute Loosinge, or Absolution first to Preachinge, nexte to asloinge such as be Excommunicate. As touchinge the firste, these Defenders confounde the offices of Preachinge and of Absolution. The Preacher teacheth the hearers, and reporteth the woordes of Christe, as out of the mouthe of Christe, sainge: Thus saith Christe, &c. The Priestle, whiche is the Minister of Absolution, accordinge to the Authoritie geuen to him by Christ, in his owne persone asloileth the Penitent, sainge: I asloile thee in the name of the Father, &c. *The Preacher in that he preacheth onely, doth not asloile sinners, neither geueth he the Merites of Christ, nor full pardon by pronouncinge vnto them the Gospel. *For if that great benefite consisteth in pronouncinge, or denouncinge of the Gospel, then why might not euery laye man, yea womanne, yea yonge boyes, and gyrls asloile sinners? yea why mighte not euery man asloile him selfe? And woulde ye Sirs appointe vnto vs suche for Iudges constituted by Christe?

For the woordes of Christ be so plaine, as they cannot be so violently wrestled. For Christe saide not, To whome ye offer by preachinge of the Gospel my Merites, and Pardon, or whose sinnes yet pronouce by the gospel to be remitted, but *quorumcumque remiseritis*, whose so euer sinnes yee remit, they are remitted to them. For as the sonne of man remitted sinnes to him that was sicke of the Palsey, and to Mary Magdalene, that ye may knowe (saith he) that the sonne of man hath Power to remitte sinnes, &c. Euen so hee hath transferred *the same power vnto Priestles, saith Chrysostome. VVhich Priestles he hath sent, as the Father sent him. And if Absolution consisteth in pronouncinge of the Gospel, which profiteth so much as it is beleued, *then the power of the Keyes whiche Christ hath geuen to the Church, consisteth not so much in the Minister, as in the sinner that heareth and beleueth, and so is forgeuen by Luthers Opinion. And by this meanes the Priestle hath no special power. But we saye with the Church, that a Sacrament hath his efficacie of the institution of Christe in him, to whom it is adhibited. In this sence the Catholike Church of Christe hath euer taught, that God woorketh our Saluation by Sacramentes, and in this faithe it hath alwaies Baptized infantes, that their sinnes being remitted, they might be made the children of God. *Likewise by the Keyes of the Church, it hath asloiled persons bereft of the vse of speache and reason, as the Learned and Anciente Holy Father, Leo teacheth in his Epistle *ad Theodorum Episcopum Ferasiensem*, and S. Augustine, *de adulterinis coniugijs*, Lib. 1. Cap. 26. & ultimo.

Finally, if the office of Loosinge, that is Absolution, consisteth in Preachinge the Gospel, and offeringe the Merites of Christe by pronouncinge the woordes, in which the remission of our sinnes is expressed, as this Defender teacheth: then had not the Catechumens of olde time, neither nowe shoulde they be in any danger, if they shoulde die without Baptisme, and the grace of reconciliation, that is, not beinge asloiled. For they lacked no preachinge, as now they lacke not where any such be. The contrarie whereof, the Church hath euer taught, and for witness of the same, besides other fathers, we haue the plaine Doctrine of S. Augustine: VVho saith, that a Catechumen, how much so euer he profiteth, beareth stil the burthen of his iniquitie so longe, as he is not Baptized.

I denie not, but cases of iuste necessitie be excepted in the one, and the other, hauing right and firme willes, and desire in eche case: VVhen not the contempt of Religion, but the point of necessitie excludeth the Myserie of Baptisme, as S. Augustine saith. Then how dangerous and pernicious is the Doctrine of these Defenders our New Ministring Prelates, who more with swete and Holy woordes, then with trueth, teache Christen people, that the office of Loosinge consisteth in offeringe, by preachinge of the Gospel (as they call it) the Merites of Christe, and full pardon, and by pronouncinge (I knowe not howe) a suer and vntoubted forgiuenesse of sinnes, and hope of Euerlasting Saluation to suche, forsooth, as haue lowly and contrite hartes, and doo vnfaignedly repent them? The contrition of harte, they seeme to speake of, sufficeth not for Loosinge of sinnes, onlesse it be contrition formed with charitie, as the Diuines teache. VVhiche charitie, seeketh and requirith the Sacrament of Penance, and the grace of reconciliation, which cannot be ministred, but by a Priestle. *Neither is it possible, the Priestle to iudge truly, who are lowly and contrite of harte, and repente them vnfaignedly, forasmuch as he cannot seache the Harte, onlesse the Penitentes humble them selues vnto him, and declare their repentaunce by simple and lowly Confession of their sinnes. VVhiche Confession, these Newe Gospellers haue abandoned out of their Congregations. Howe much is more the Catholike and wholesome Doctrine of S. Augustine to bee embraced and folowed, whiche he vttereth in these woordes? Doo ye penance, suche as is donne in the Church, that the Church maie praie for you. Let no man saie to him selfe, I doo penance secretly, before God I doo it: God, who forgiueth me, knoweth, that I doo it in my harte. But what saith S. Augustine hereunto? VVhy then (saith he) it was saide in vaine, VVhat things ye Loose in Earth, they shalbe Loosed in Heauen.

Then

Iohan. 22.
Lib. 1. de
Dignitate
Sacerdotali

Trad. in
Iohan. 11.

Lib. 50.
Homiliari
Homi. 49.
Mar. 11.

Then without cause the Keyes be geuen to the Church. VVe make void the Gospel of God: wee make frustrate the woordes of Christe.

S. Augustine saith, doo ye penance, not sutch as liketh your selues, not sutch as Newe fangled selfepleasinge Preachers teach you; but sutch, as is donne in the Church, whiche consisteth in Contrition of harte, confession of mouthe, and satisfaction of worke, that so ye maie bee affoiled, and perfectly reconciled.

Touchinge the seconde pointe, we doo not attribute the Loosinge of sutch, as he excommunicate, to the offeringe of Christes Merites, and pronouncinge of the Gospel vnto them, as you doo, but to the power of Iurisdiction by Christe geuen to the Church.

By the Fathers Excommunication in consideration of the necessitie of it, is called *Nervus Ecclesiasticae Disciplinae*, the sinewe of Churchely Discipline: by the Canons, *Macra Episcopi*, the Swerde of a Bishop: by S. Augustine, *Episcopalis Iudicii damnatio, quae pœna nulla in Ecclesia maior est*. The condemnation of a man by Bishoply Iudgemente, then the whiche there is no greater punishment in the Church.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

W^e commit the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen, only vnto the Priest, and to none other: and to him onely we saie, What so euer thou bindest in Earthe, shalbe bounde in Heauen. Yet neuerthelesse hathe not every Priest the vse of these Keyes, Peter Lombaerde him selfe saith, *Sanè dici potest, quod alteram istarum Clauium, id est, Scientiam discernendi, non habent omnes Sacerdotes: Wee maie safely saie, that al Priests haue not the one of these two Keyes: I meane the knowledge to discern. If they haue not the Keyes, then can they neither Open, nor Shutte.*

Neither doth it folowe of our Doctrin, that either Children, or Layemen be, or maie forgiue Sinnes. And yet Goddes Word be maie be mightie, be the Pronouncer of it neuer so simple. S. Augustine saith, *Cum Christus Petro diceret, tibi dabo Claves Regni Cœlorum, Vniuersam significabat Ecclesiam: When Christ saide vnto Peter, Vnto thee wil I geue the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen, hee signified thereby the whole Church. And againe, Quæcunque ligaueris super Terram, erunt ligata in Cœlo. Cœpisti habere Fratrem tuum, tanquam Publicanum: Ligas illum in Terra. Cum autem correxeris, & concordaueris cum Fratre tuo, soluisti illum in terra. Cum solueris in terra, solutus erit in Cœlo: What so euer things thou shalt Binde in Earthe, they shalbe Bounde in Heauen. Thou (beinge a Laiman) haste begonne to haue thy Brother as a Publicane: Thou Bindest him in Earthe. But when thou haste corrected him, and haste agreed with thy Brother, thou haste Loosed him in Earthe. And when thou haste Loosed him in Earthe, he shalbe Loosed in Heauen.*

Likevnto Theophylacte saith, Si tu offensus habes eum, qui te affecit iniuria, sicut Publicanum, & Gentilem, erit ille & in Cœlo talis: si autem solueris eum, hoc est, si illi condonaueris, erit illi & in Cœlo condonatum. Non enim solum, quæ soluant Sacerdotes, sunt soluta: sed quæcunque & nos iniuria affecti vel ligamus, vel soluimus, & ipsa erunt ligata, vel soluta: If thou beinge offended, haue him, that hathe donne thee wronge, as a Publicane, and as an Heathen, sutch shal be also in Heauen. But if thou Loose him, that is to saie, if thou pardon him, he shalbe pardoned also in Heauen. For, not onely the things, that Priests Loose, are Loosed: but also What so euer we, (beinge Laimen) hauinge taken wronge, doo Binde, or Loose, the same things shal also be Bounde, or Loosed.

This Doctrin may not seeme so strange to M. Gardinge, Onlesse he be a stranger amongst his owne. For by the Order of his owne Church of Rome, an Olde wife, or a Yonge goyle may Minister the Sacramente of Baptisme. And, I trowe, he wil not saie, they maie Minister Baptisme without Remission of Sinnes.

In this Church of Rome, the Power of the Keyes is lapped vp oftentimes in a Bulle of Leade, and sente abroade into the worlde by a Laye Pardoner: and so thoughte neuerthelesse good, and sufficient, vnto the Recceiuer for Remission of Sinnes, notwithstandinge the messenger be no Priest.

Some of the late Doctors of his said Church haue taught vs, that a man maie make his Confession by a bil of his hande, and recieue Absolution by a Trusteman, or by a Broker. Peter Lombaerde the Grande Maister of their whole Schole saith, Si tamen defuerit Sacerdos, Proximo, vel Socio est facienda Confessio: If thou wante a

Senten. 4. Dis. 19

August. in Iohann
tracta. 124.

August. De
Verb. Dom. se.
cund. Matthe.
Sermo. 16.

Theophylact. in
Matthe. Cap. 18.

De Card. dis. 1.

Quem Pœnitent

scotus 4. Senten.

Dis. 17. Artic. 3.

A Laiman
remitteth
Sinne.

Senten. 4. dis. 17
Nunc prorsus
Senten. 4. Eadem
Dist.
Extra. De offi.
Iudic. ordinat.
Pastoralis. in
Glossa.

Chrysost. in
Mathe. in Ope-
re imperfect.
cap. 23.
Hieronym. in
Esa. Lib. 6. ca. 14

Augustin. in
Iohan. tract. 82.

Ambrosi. De
Cain & Abel.
Cap. 4.
Roman. 1.
2. Corin. 5.
Chrysost. De
Sacerdot. 11. 3.
Iohan. 6.
Matth. 11.
Esaie. Cap. 22.

Act. 16.
Marke. 16.
4. Sent. Dist. 18.
Sed queritur.
Clemens in Pa-
rænetica.

Prieste, thou muste make thy Confession vnto thy neighbour, or vnto thy fellowe. And Beda saith, as he is alleged by the saide Peter Lombarde, *Coequalibus quotidiana, & le- uia: grauiora verò Sacerdoti pandamus: Let vs open our smal, and daiesly sinnes vnto our fellowes: and the greater vnto the Prieste.*

And to be shorte, vpon the Decretales it is noted thus, In necessitate, Laicus potest & Audire Confessiones, & Absoluere: In case of necessitie, a Laie man maie bothe heare Confessions, and Absolue.

This is the Order and Doctrine of M. Hardinges owne Church. His owne Doctors tel him, that Laie Men and Women maie Absolue the Penitente, and so; geue Sinnes. Therefore hee bathe the lesse cause to mislike it.

M. Hardinge saith further, Christ saith not, To whome ye offer, by preachinge of the Gospel, my Merites, and Pardon: or whose sinnes ye pronounce by the gospel to be remitted: but *quorumcumque remiseritis*, whose so euer sinnes ye remit, they are remitted. If M. Hardinge wil conclude of this Negatiue, Ergo, Sinnes be not forgiven by the preaching of the Gospel. I trowe it wil be but a simple Argument. For Chrysostome saith, *Clauicularij sunt Sacerdotes, quibus creditum est Verbum Docendi, & Interpretandi Scripturas: The Keiebearers be the Priestes, to whom is committed the Woordes of Teaching, and Expoundinge the Scriptures.* And S. Hierome saith, *Quicumq; solueritis super terram, erunt soluta & in Cælo. Soluunt autem eos Apostoli Sermone Dei, & Testimonijs Scripturarum, & exhortatione Virtutum: What so euer thinges ye Loose vpon Earthe, they shalbe Loosed also in Heauen. But the Apostles Loose them by the Woordes of God, and by the Testimonies of the Scriptures, and by exhortation vnto Vertue.*

Likewise S. Augustine saith: *Iam vos Mundi estis propter Verbum quod lo- quutus sum vobis. Quare non ait, Mundi estis propter Baptismum, quo loti estis? Nisi quia & in aqua Verbum mundat: Nunc are you cleane because of the Woordes, that I haue spoken to you. Why saith he not, you are cleane because of the Baptisme, wherewith ye are washed? Saunge that euen in the Water, it is the Woordes, that maketh Cleane.* Likewise S. Ambrose, *Remittuntur peccata per Verbum Dei, cuius Leuitas est Interpres: Sinnes be forgiven by the Woordes of God, the Expounder wherof, is the Laite, or Prieste.*

All the Power is in the word of God, which S. Paule calleth *The Power of God vnto Salvation: & Verbum Reconciliationis, The Woordes whereby we be Reconciled vnto God.*

And for this cause Chrysostome saith, as it is alleged by M. Harding, that the Priest hath the same Power that Christ had: For that he preacheth the same Word of God, that Christ preacheth. And in this sense, Christ saith vnto his Disciples: *As my liuing Father sente me, euen so (and with like Commission) doo I sende you.*

Otherwise the Power of Christ sarre surmounteth and passeth al Creatures: not onely in Earthe, but also in Heauen. Christ him selfe thereof saith thus: *All thinges are deliuered to mee of my Father. And the Prophete Esaie saith, Ponam Clauem Domus David super humerum eius. Aperiet, & nemo Claudet: Claudet, & nemo Aperiet. I wil set the Keie of the House of David, vpon his shoulder. Hee shal Open, and no man shal Shutte, Hee shal Shutte, and no man shal Open.*

Of this Doctrine, saith M. Hardinge, soloweth a greate inconuenience. For then (saith he) the Power of the Keies consisteth not so muche in the Minister, as in the Sin- ner, that heareth and beleueth. This inconuenience is nothinge so greate, as it is pre- tended. The Errour hereof, standeth in the Equiuocation, or doubtful takinge of one Word. For one thinge maie be in an other sundrie waies. As Remission of Sinnes maie be in the Prieste, as in the Penitente: In the Word of God, as in the Instrumente: In the Penitente partie, as in the Receiver. The offeringe hereof is in the Minister: but the effeate, and force, is in the Sinner. Therefore S. Luke saith, *God Opened the Harte of the Silkewoman, that shee shoulde geue eare vnto the wordes that were spoken by S. Paule. And Christ saith, Who so euer shal beleue, and bee Baptized, shalbe saued; but he, that beleueth not, shalbe damned.* Likewise Peter Lom- barde him selfe saith, *Ex his aperte ostenditur, quod Deus ipse Pœnitentem soluit, quando intus illuminat inspirando veram cordis Contritionem: Hereby it plainly ap- peareth, that God him selfe Looseth the Penitent, when by geuing him inward light, hee in- spireth*

spereh into him the true Contrition of the Heart. And therefore Clemens Alexandrinus saith; Fides nostra est Clavis Regni Cœlorum, Our Faith is the Key of the Kingedome of Heauen. And S. Augustine likewise saith, Cor clausum habent, quia Clauem Fidei non habent: They haue their hearts shutte, because they lacke the Key of Faith. Again, he saith: Suscitari mortuus, nisi intus clamante Domino, non potest: The deade man cannot be raised againe, vntill the Lorde Crie within him.

And to come neare to the purpose, Gratian him selfe saith, Voluntas Sacerdotis nec prodesse, nec obesse potest: sed meritum benedictionem poscentis: The wil of the Priest can neither further, nor hinder: but the Merite of him, that desireth Absolution.

Touchinge the Obligation of Frantique Persones, and madde menne, in what sorte, and howe farre Absolution taketh place in them, so; as mutche, as it is an Extraordinary case, I thinke it neither needful, nor easie to define. I haue made a question is moued by Pope Innocentius the thirde, whether, and in what sorte a man, either in his madnesse, or in his sleepe may be Baptized. And S. Augustine seemeth to witnesse, that Children sometime were Baptized in theire Mothers wombe. Likewise he writeth of a frende of his owne. Cum iaceret sine sensu in dolore letali, & desperaretur, Baptizatus est nesciens, Whereas hee laye in a Trance, without sense, in deadly paine, and was despaired of, hee was Baptized, and knewe not of it.

Bonauentura addeth somme force vnto the mater, and demaundeth this Question; An aliquis possit Absolui inuitus: Whether a man maye bee Absolued againste his wil, or no.

But, concerninge the Absolution of Madde menne in the tyme of their pœnence, Ie hauee, this was bothe the meaninge of Leo, and the goodly discretion of the Church: that time, that if a man, standinge Excommunicate, had happened to be bereft of his senses, and beinge in that case, had bene likely to departe this life, vpon prouise of his former repentance, he shoulde be restored, that he mighte departe in peace, as a Member of the Church of God. The practise hereof was made in the Council of Carthage by these wordes: Si is, qui Pœnitentiam in infirmitate petit, in Phrenesim versus fuerit, dent Testimonium, qui eum audierunt, & accipiat pœnitentiam: If hee, that desired Reconciliation by Pœnence in his sickness, afterwarde fall Madde, let them, that hearde him, beare witnesse with him: and so let him receiue Pœnence.

This was onely a publique Testimonie vnto the Church, that the partie Excommunicate was repentant before, when his minde was quiet. And what thing els M. Hardinge can graether hereof, I cannot telle. Certainly in this Order, and manner they restored, not onely Madde menne, but also Deade menne vnto the Church. For it is noted vpon the Decrees: Ex quo, cum per eum non stabat, ei Communicare debemus. Et ita est Absoluendus post mortem: Wherefore, seeinge there was no Lacke in his parte, wee ought to Communicate with him. And so hee must be Absolued after his deathe.

The wordes that S. Augustine, often useth vnto Beginners, or Entres of the Faith, called Catechumeni, are vttered rather so; terrour of orders, then so; rigour of Truth, as shal appeare. For otherwheres he writeth thus: Catechumeni secundum quendam modum per Signum Christi sanctificantur, The Catechumeni, or Beginners, after their sorte, are Sanctified by the Signe of Chrille. Again he saith to them: Non dum renati estis, sed per Crucis Signum in vtero Sanctæ Matris Ecclesiæ iam concepti estis: Ye are not yet borne anewe, but by the Signe of the Crosse, ye are already conceived in the Wombe of the Holy Church your Mother.

Therefore hauing thus once entred into the Faith of Chrille, although they happened afterwarde to departe this life without Baptisme, yet the Church oftentimes thought it good, to Iudge wel of them. S. Ambrose doubted not, but the Emperoure Valentinian departed hence in Goddes sauoure: And yet was the same Emperour but a Beginner, and a Pouce in the Faith, and departed hence without Baptisme.

August. in Ioban tracta. 39.

Augustin. in Psalm. 101.

1 quæst. 1. Distum est.

Extra. De Baptismo. et eius effectu. Maltore ecclesiæ. Item quæritur.

Augustin. Contra Iulian. li. 6. Cap. 3.

Augustin. Confession. li. 3. ca. 4. Bonauentura in 4. Senten. Dist. 18 quæst. 6.

Council. Carthage. in 4. Cap. 76.

24 quæst. 2. De Communi. in Glossa.

Augustin. De Peccator Meritis. et Remissi. li. 2. Cap. 26. Augustin. ad Catechumen. li. 2. Cap. 1.

Ambros. De Valentinian. li. 1. Valentinian.

Augustin. Com-
fess. l. 12. ca. 3.

Beate. Rhenanus
in Teste. de Sac-
rament. Ut sacer-
dos. l. 1. c. 1. Item.
librum bene-
dicant.
Chrysost. ad He-
braeos. Homil. 31.
Chrysost. in ser-
mone de Confessione et
Poenitentia.
Chrysost. Hom. 9.
De Penitentia.
De 1. c. 1. Item.
Dist. 1. Omnia
qui.
In eod. capite.

4. sententia dist. 18.
Non autem.

Augustin. De
Ecclesiasti. dog-
matib. l. 1. ca. 3.

Cyprian. Lib. 1.
epist. 13.

Origen. in Psal.
37.
Serm. men. Li. 7.
Cap. 16.

¶ Hardinge saith further, Onlesse the Penitente make particulare rehearsal of al his sinnes, the Priestle, or Minister can be no Iudge. *Uthercunto* I adde also sur- ther, Notwithstandinge any rehearsal that maie be made, yet can the Priestle neuer be, but a doubtful Iudge. S. Augustine saith, Quid ergo mihi est cum Homini- bus, ut audiant Confessiones meas, quasi sanatori sint Omnes Languores meos? Vnde sciunt, cum à meipso de meipso audiunt, an verum dicam? Quandoquidem nemo scit Hominum, quid agatur in Homine, nisi Spiritus Hominis qui est in Homine: What haue I to doo with menne, that they should heare my Confessions, as if they were habile to heale al my griefes? When they heare me speake of mee selfe, howe can they tel, whether I doo saie the Truthe, or no? For noman knoweth, what is donne in Man, but the Spirit of Man, that is in Man.

¶ Howe be it, hercof haue growen many vnnecessarie and curious questions, what Priests, what Monethes, what Daies, what Houres, what Spanner, what Order of Penance shoulde serue for euery seuerall Sinne. In Resolution of whiche doubtles stode the Iudgemente of the Priestle. And therfore Carolus Magnus in his Lawes straitly commaundeth, that the Priestles shoulde be skilful in the Booke of Penance.

¶ But as touching the Iudge of Sinnes, S. Chrysostome saith: Ante Deum confiteri peccata tua. Apud Verum Iudicem cum Oratione delicta tua pronuntias: Confesse thy Sinnes before God. Before the True Iudge, with Prayer pronounce thine offenses. And againe: Cogitatione fiat delictorum exquisitio: Sine Teste sit hoc Iudicium: Solus te Deus confitentem videat: Lette the examination of thy sinnes be wroughte in thy Harte: Let this Iudgemente be without VVitnesse: Let God Onely heare thee, when thou makest thy Confession. And againe he saith, Medicinæ locus hic est, non Iudicii: non poenas, sed peccatorum Remissionem tribuens: Deo Soli dic peccatum tuum: Heere is place of Medicine, and not of Iudgemente: geeuinge not pounishmente, but Remission of Sinnes. Open thy Sinne to God Alone.

¶ And therfore in W. Hardinges owne Canons it is noted thus: Confessio sit ad ostensionem poenitentiae: non ad impetrationem veniae: Confession is made (vnto the Priestle) not thereby to obtayne forgiveness, but to declare our repentance. And againe, Confessio Sacerdoti offertur in Signum veniae acceptae: non in causam Remissionis accipiendae: Confession is made vnto the Priestle, in token of Remission already obtained, and not as a cause whereby to procure Remission.

¶ And yet is the Priestle a Iudge, al this notwithstanding: and pronounceth sen- tence as a Iudge, of Doctrine, of Open Sinne, of the Offence of the Church, and of the Humilitie, and Penance of the Penitente: and as a Iudge, together with the Elders of the Congregation, he hath the Authoritie, bothe to Condemne, and to Absolue. Peter Lombarde him selfe saith, Etsi aliquis apud Deum sit solutus, non tamen in facie Ecclesiae solutus habetur, nisi per iudicium Sacerdotis: Albeit a man be Absolued before God yet is he not accounted Absolued in the face of the Church, but by the Iudgemente of the Priestle.

¶ Likewise saith S. Augustine, Hortor, prius publica Poenitentia satisfacere, & Sacerdotis Iudicio reconciliatum Communioni sociari: I exhorte you firste, to make Sa- tisfaction (vnto the Church) by open penance: and so to be restored to the Communion by the discretion of the Priestle.

¶ The Order hercof, as it is set forth by S. Cyprian, was this: Firste, the Sinner by manie outward gestures and tokens shewed him selfe to be penitente, and sor- rowful for his Sinne: After that, he made humble Confession therof before the whole Congregation, and desired his brethren to praye for him: Lastly, the Bishoppe and the Clergie laide their handes ouer him, and so reconciled him. So saith Origen, Qui lapsus est, procedit in medium, & Exomologesin facit: Hee, that hath offen- ded, cometh forth into the middes (of the People) and maketh his Confession. Sozo- menos likewise describinge the same Order, saith thus: Rei ad terram sese pronos abiciunt cum planctu, & lamentatione: Episcopus ex aduerso occurrit cum Lachry- mis, & ipse ad pavementum lamentando prouoluitur: & vniuersa Ecclesiae multitudo Lachry-

Lachrymis suffunditur: They, that haue offended fall downe flasse with weeping, and lamentation to the grounde. The Bishop commeth to him with teares, and him self likewise falleth downe: and the whole multitude of the Church is poured ouer and ouer with teares.

Use the moe wordes herein, for that the whole mater is longe sentence growen bitterly out of vse. Notwithstandinge this is the Confession, and Penance, that S. Augustine speaketh of. Of Open Confession, M. Hardinge, he saith. The Keyes were not geuen to the Church in vaine. Of open Confession he saith. What so euer yet Loose in Earthe, shall be Loosed in Heauen. Of Open Confession he speaketh at these wordes: and not of any Auricular, or Private dealinge. Of M. Hardinge happen to doubt hereof, let him looke better vpon his Bookes. There shall be finde, euen in the very same place, he hath alleged, these wordes partly goinge before, partly followinge: Agite Pœnitentiam, qualis agitur in Ecclesia, vt oret pro vobis Ecclesia: Job dicit, Si erubui in conspectu populi confiteri peccata mea: Propterea Deus voluit, vt Theodosius ageret Pœnitentiam publicam in conspectu populi: Nolite permittere viros vestros fornicari: Interpellate contra eos Ecclesiam: Duo Pœnauce, sutch as is donne in the Church, that the Church maie praie for you: Job saith, I was not ashamed in the sight of al the people to confesse my sinnes. Therefore God would, that Theodosius (bringe the Emperoure of the worlde) should doo Open Penance euen in the presence of al the people. Yee Wiues, suffer not your Husbando to lue in fornication: Comme before the Congregation, and crye againste them.

August. in Li.
p. Homiliarum
Homil. 49.

This is the Confession, that S. Augustine speaketh of: not Secrete, or Private, or in the ear: but Publique, and Open, and in the sight, and Hearinge of al the People. In like maner saith S. Ambrose, Multos necesse est vt ambias, & obsecres, vt dignentur interuenire. Fleat pro te Mater Ecclesia, & culpam tuam Lachrymis lauet: Thou muste needes humble thee selfe, and desire many to intreate for thee. Let the Church, thy Mother, weepe for thee: and let her washe thy offence with her teares.

Ambros. De
Pœnitentia. 11.
2. Cap. 10.

This therefore, M. Hardinge, was no plaine dealinge, with sutch sleight to turne Publique into Private: and the Open audieice of the whole people, into Onely mannes secrete care: and so mutche to abuse the simplicitie of your Reader. Certainely these wordes of S. Augustine, Open Penance: Confesse Openly: In the sight of al the people: That the whole Church maie praie for thee: these wordes, I saie, wil not easily serue to proue your purpose, for Private Confession.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 3.

Wee saie also, that the Minister dothe execute the Authozitie of Bindinge and Shuttinge, as often as he Mutteth vp the Gate of the Kingdome of Heauen against vnbeleueing, and stubborne persones, Denouncing vnto them Gods vengeance, and Euerlasting punishment: Or els, when he dooth quite Mut them out from the bosome of the Church, by open Excommunication. Out of doubt, what sentence so euer the Minister of God shal geue in this soyle, God him selfe dothe so wel allowe it, that, what so euer here in Earthe by their meanes is Loosed, and Bounde, God him selfe wil Loose, & Binde, and confirme the same in Heauen.

M. Hardinge.

* Here againe you confounde the Power of Bindinge, and the office of Preachinge, as you did before speakinge of the power of Loosinge. VVhereto wee saie, as wee saide before of that other, that Bindinge and Shuttinge consisteth not in denouncinge of Goddes vengeance, but in the exercise of the Keye of iurisdiction committed to the Church. The Ministers whereof Binde sinners; whom for iuste cause they Loose not, but knowe that they are not to be Loosed. And to that Keye pertaineth Excommunication, and by the same it is exercised.

* Vntruther. For we confounde them not.

VVhat so euer by them is thus Loosed or Bounde in Earthe, God him selfe alloweth for Loosed and bounde in Heauen. Suche Priestes because yee, 1. haue not in your Newe Church, at leaste after this wise, 2. vsinge Priestly Authoritie, 3. and none wil suffer to be made, 4. nor suche Authoritie to be exercised: yee, 5. defraude the faithful people of the great benefite of the Sacrament of penance, keepinge them false bounde to their sinnes after Baptisme committed. And so ye cause their Euerlastinge

* Vntruther. fine together, as maie further appeare by the answere.

Bindinge
and Loosinge.
Sinne
forgiuen
vwithout
Confes-
sion.

176

The Defense of the Apologie of the

sinne Damnation, for whome Christe hath shedde his Blood, the Price of their Redemption.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Will Confounde not these heies, M. Hardinge, but speake plainly, & distinctly of either other. Will saie, that the Power, as wel of Loosinge, as also of Bindinge, standeth in Gods Word: and the exercise, or execution of the same standeth either in Preachinge, or els in Sentence of Cozrection, and Ecclesiastical Discipline.

Of the later hereof, there is no question: of the former, M. Hardinge pronounceth precisely, although, as it appeareth, not moste aduisedly: Bindinge (saith he) and Shuttinge standeth not in denouncing of Goddes Vengeance. And hereof he certainly assurcth vs, as of a moste vndoubted Veritie. How be it, in so sayinge, he seemeth not to consider the Power, and Weight of the Word of God.

Iohn 12.

Christe him selfe saith, If any man shal heare my Wordes, and shal not beleue, I condemne him not. He that refuseth mee, and receiveth not my Wordes, hath one, that condemneth him. The Wordes, that I haue spoken, is it, that shal Iudge him at the laste daie.

2 Cor. 1.

Like- wise saith the S. Paule, Wee are the good sauour of Christe in them, that be saved, and in them, that perishe. Vnto them, that perishe, wee are the sauour of Deathe vnto Deathe: In them

2 Cor. 1. 4.

that be saved, wee are the sauour of Life vnto Life. And againe, If the Gospel be hidden, it is hidden from them, that perishe.

Ezechiel 3.

So saith the God vnto the Prophete Ezechiel, If thou geue warninge to the Wicked, and he wil not be turned from his Wickednesse, he shal perishe in the same. Yet haste thou discharged thine owne soule.

Augustin. Epist.

49. ad Deo gratias

114.

To be shorte, The whole Scriptures are ful hereof. And therefore S. Augustine saith, Prædicatur Euangelium, quibusdam ad præmium, quibusdam ad iudicium: The Gospel is Preached, to somme vnto rewards, to somme vnto Iudgemente.

For the reike, M. Hardinge saith, Suche Priestes bicause yee haue not in your Newe Church, at lease after this wise vinge Priestly Auctoritie, and none wil suffer to be made, and suche Auctoritie to be exercised, ye defraude the faithfull people of the great benefite of the Sacramente of Penance, keeping them false bounde to their sinnes after Baptisme committed: And so ye cause their euerslashinge Damnation, for whom Christe hath shed his Blood, the Price of their Redemption.

These great wordes are not very wel seasoned: They are bigge in sounde, and smal in weight: they are full of errorre, and void of wiste. For the Church of Englande hath Authozitie this daie by Goddes Word, to Binde, and Lose, as mutche as euer Christe gaue any to his Apostles: And by the same Authozitie the same Church of Englande is able to Binde, not onely M. Hardinge, and his fellows, as Peter bounde Simon Magus, or as Paule bounde Elymas the false Prophete: but also the Pope him selfe, if he be an open Offender: and as S. Paule saith, is able to deliuer him ouer vnto Satan: And vndoubtedly beinge so Bounde in Earthe, he shal also stande Bounde in Heauen.

1. Iohan. 1.

Act. 4.

Our People remaine not Bounde, nor perishe in their sinnes, as these menne so vncharitably, and fondly haue imagined. They be so certain of the Remission of these sinnes in the Bloude of Christe, as if Christe him selfe were presente, and spake it to them. They are taught, and know, that The Bloude of Christe, the Sonne of God, hath made vs cleane from al our sinnes: and, that there is no name vnder Heauen, whereby wee shalbe saved, but onely the name of Iesus Christe.

Interim Caroli

3. An. 1548.

As for Private Confession, Abuses, and Errours set aparte, as it is saide before, we condemne it not, but leaue it at libertie. And thereto we make same to folowe the abuse of Charles the Emperoure in his late, Interim: For thus he writeth, Confessio, & Peccatorum Enumeratio, vt non nimis laxanda est, ita vicissim non nimis est astringenda.

4. Senten. dist.

19. 102.

Bonauent. 4.

senten. dist. 18.

9. 1. 1. E.

Touchinge the Priestes of your makinge, M. Hardinge, of whom ye saie to make so greate accompte, your owne Peter Lombarde saith of them, as it is saide before, Sanè dici potest, quod alteram Clauem, id est, Scientiam discernendi, multi Sacerdotes non habent. And in like manner saith your owne Bonauentura, Omnes scilicet ita sunt Simpliciter, & Idiotæ post susceptionem Sacerdotij, sicut antè: Al Priestes for the moste parte are as Simple, and Vnlearned after the receiuinge of Orders, as they were before.

But, be it graunted, that your Priestes be fully furnished with al his Reies. Yet is it not he, that by any his Authozitie forgiueth sinnes. Your owne Gratian saith,

saith, Evidentissime datur intelligi, quod sine Confessione Oris, Peccata possunt deleri: *It is evidently geuen vs to vnderstande*, that without Confession of mouthe, *Sinnes maie bee forgeuen*. And againe, Ore tacente, veniam consequi possumus: Though wee saie nothinge, yet wee maie haue pardon. Againe, Luce clarius constat, Cordis Contritione, non Oris Confessione, Peccata dimitti: *It is apparente, and more cleare then the lighte, that Sinnes be forgeuen by Contrition of the Harte, and not by Confession of the mouthe*. And againe, Dominus ostendit, quod non Sacerdotali Iudicio, sed largitate Divina Peccator mundatur: *Our Lorde hath taught vs, that the Sinner is made cleane, not by the Iudgement of the Priest, but by the Mercie of God*.

Thus, *M. Hardinge*, it is plaine by the Iudgemente of your owne Doctors, that, were your Auriculare Confession quite abolished, yet might the People notwithstandinge haue full Remission of their Sinnes. But of you it maie be verified, that Christe saide vnto the Pharisees: *Tee haue taken awaie the Keies of the Kingdome of Heauen: And neither doo yee enter your selues, nor wil you suffer others, that woulde enter*. Of your Hcles Vefelus saide longe sithence, *Claues Papæ, & Prælatorum non aperiant Regnum Dei, sed claudunt potius: The Popes, and the Prelates Keies doo not Open the Kingdome of God, but rather Shutte it*.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 1.

And touchinge the Keies, wherewith they maie either Shutte, or Open the Kingdome of Heauen, wee with Chrysostome saie, They be the Knowlledge of the Scriptures: with Tertullian wee saie, They be the Interpretation of the Lawe: and with Eusebius wee cal them the V Voorde of God.

M. Hardinge.

The let, whereby the whole Nature of man is shutte out of Heauen by the sinne of our Firſt Parent, is taken awaie by the Passion of Christe. But because before that benefite be receiued, Heauen yet remaineth shutte bothe for sinne Original contracted, and sinne actual committed: wee haue neede of the Sacramentes, and Keies of the Church.

The Holy Fathers for good considerations grounded vpon Scripture, haue diuided the Keies into the Keie of Order, and the Keie of Iurisdiction: And either of them into the Keie of Knowledge, whiche they cal also the Keie of Discretion, and into the Keie of Power.

To these Defenders wee saie, that they confounde the Keies, and seeme not to know, what the Keies are. Verely these be not onely the knowledge of the Scriptures, nor the Interpretation of the lawe, nor the V Voorde of God, although these also doo open or shutte the Kingdome of Heauen in their kinde, as Chrysostome, Tertullian, and Eusebius maye wel saie: and not onely these, but also Miracles, and Plagues, and al other thinges, whiche prepare the wil, or vnderstandinge of man, whereby hee maie receiue the benefite of those moſte principal Keies, that nowe wee speake of.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Gentle Reader, for the better vnderstandinge hercof, it maie please the to consider, that the Word of God, accordinge to the sundrie effectes, and properties thereof, hath sundrie names. For example, for that it encreaseth, and multiplieth, it is called Seede: for that it cutteth the Harte, and diuideth the fleashe from the Sprite, it is called a Swerde: for that it taketh, and encloseth vs, and bringeth vs togetheather, it is called a Net: for that it washeth vs cleane, it is called V Vaters: for that it Enflameth vs, it is called Fire: for that it feedeth vs, it is called Bread. And euen so, for that it Openeth, and geneth vs an Entrie into the House, it is called the Keie. This House is the Kingdome of Heauen: Christe is the Dore: the Word of God is the Keie.

For thus saicinge, *M. Hardinge* telleth vs, VVee confounde maters, and seeme not to knowe, what wee saie. Notwithstandinge, herein we imagine nothinge of our owne, but onely repute the very Wordes, and Sentences of the Ancient Learned Catholicall Fathers.

Tertullian saith, Quam Clauem habebant Legis Doctores: nisi Interpretationem Legis? What Keie had the Doctors of the Lawe, sauinge the Exposition of the Lawe? S. Hierome saith, Duces Ecclesie habent Clauem Scientie, vt aperiant Scripturas creditis sibi Populis. Vnde præcipitur, vt Magistri aperiant, & Discipuli ingrediantur:

The

De Penit. dist. 1.
Quæ assignado.
De Penit. dist. 1.
Conuersioni.

Luce. 11.

Vefelus, de Subditu. super vortib.

Tertull. contra Marcion Lib. 4.
Hieronymus in Isaiam li. 6. ca. 24.

Ambros. De
Carn. & Abel.
Lib. 2.

Chrysost. in O-
pere Imperfecto
Homil. 44.

August. De San-
ctu. Homil. 27.

The Captaines of the Church haue the Keies of Knowledge, to open the Scriptures vnto the People to them committed. Therefore Commandements is geuen, that the Masters shoulde open, and the Scholars shoulde enter. S. Ambrose saith, Remittuntur peccata per Dei Verbum, cuius Leuites est Interpret: Sinnes be forgiven by the Woordes of God, the Expounder whereof is the Priest.

Thus these, and other like Ancient Fathers haue opened the meaninge of these Keies. And yet were they neuer therefore condemned of Ignorance, as men, that wisse not, what they saide. Certainly Chrysostome saith, Clauis est Scientia Scripturarum, per quam aperitur Ianua Veritatis: The Keie is the knowledge of the Scriptures, whereby is opened the gate of the Truth. And S. Augustine saith, Clauis est dicenda, qua ad Fidem pectorum dura referantur: That ought to be called the Keie, whereby the hardnesse of mennes hartes is opened vnto Faith.

Here hath M. Hardinge wel multiplied, and increased his Keies, and hath brought vs forth a whole Bunche of them altogether: The Keies of Orders: The Keies of Iurisdiction: The Keies of Discretion: The Keies of Power: The Keies moste Principal, and the Keies not so Principal. And thus hath he Keies of Order without Iurisdiction, and Keies of Iurisdiction without Order: Keies of Discretion without Power, and Keies of Power without Discretion. And al these pretty fiftes of Keies hath he diuised, to auoide Confusion: and, to make vp his tale, as if the Popes Crosse Keies were not sufficient, Plagues, and Miracles, and, I knowe not what thinges els, are brought forth vnto vs in the likenesse of Keies. And this distinction, and limitation of Keies, (saith he) hath vpon good considerations benne diuised by the Holy Fathers. And yet of al these Holy Fathers, for modesties sake, he nameth not one.

What answere were it beste to make to such Sanities: In deede, when the right Keie of Knowledge was losse, and gonne, it was time to disaile somme other pretty Pikelockes to worke the seate. Bonauentura hereof wisteth thus, as it is partly alleged before: Omnes ferè Sacerdotes ita sunt simplices, & idiotæ post susceptionem Sacerdotij, sicut antè. Dicendum ergo, quod Scientia non est Clauis Principalis, nec per se, sed prout iuncta est Authoritati Ligandi, vel soluendi. Et hæc Clauis non est de Esse Ordinis, sed de bene esse: Al Priests, for the moste parte, are as simple, and as rude after the receiuinge of Priesthoothe, as they were before. Therefore wee muste saie, that Knowledge is not the Principal Keie, nor any Keie at al of it selfe: but as it is ioyned with the Authoritie of Bindinge, or Loosinge. And this Keie (of Knowledge) is not of the Substance of the Order of Priesthoothe, but of the better beinge of the same. And therefore, to encrease M. Hardinges number of Keies, he saith, Quidam habent Scientiam Clauium: quidam Clauiculam: quidam nullam: Somme haue the Knowledge of the Keies: somme a pretty litle Keie: somme no Keie at al. In this case it were good for M. Hardinge, to resolue his Reader, when the Priests hath nothinge els, but a pretty litle Keie, or no Keie at al, what Authoritie he hath, either to open, or to shutte.

M. Hardinge replieth farther, VVee haue Remission of Sinnes in the Ministracion of the Sacramentes: Therefore wee haue it not onely by the Hearinge of the VVoorde of God. This Obiection is touched, and partly answered a litle before. S. Augustine calleth the Sacramentes, Verba Visibilia: Woordes Visible: for that in them, as in lively Images, the Deathe of Christe is sensibly sette before our eyes. For the Wordde of God is the Substance, and Life of al Sacramentes: and without the same, Sacramentes, what so euer, are no Sacramentes. And therefore S. Augustine saith, as it is alleged before, Quare non ait, vos mundi estis propter Baptismum, quo loti estis: Sed ait, propter Verbum, quod locutus sum vobis? Nisi quia & in Aqua Verbum mundat. Detrahe Verbum: & quid est Aqua, nisi Aqua? Why saith he not Christe, you are cleane because of the Baptisme, wherewith yee are washed: But, because of the Woordes, that I haue spoken to you? Sauius for that, it is the Woorde, that cleanseth in the Water. Take the Woordes awaie: and what is Water els, but Water.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 2.

Moreover that Christes Disciples did receiue this Authoritie, not

Bonauent. 4. Sentent. Diff. 18.
Quæ. 1. E.

Eodem loco.

August. contra
Faustum. Lib. 19.
Cap. 16.

August. in Io-
han. Tracta. 80.

not that they should heare the Priuate Confessions of the people, and listen to their whisperinges, as the common Hallinge Priests doo euerywhere now adaies, and doo it so, as though in that one pointe laie al the Vertue, and vse of the Keies: but to the ende, they shoulde see, they shoulde Teache, they shoulde Publishe abroad the Gospel, and be vnto the Beleeuinge a sweete Sauoure of Life vnto Life: and vnto the Undeleuinge, and Unfaithful, a Sauoure of Deathe vnto Deathe: and that the mindes of Godly persons beinge brought lowe by the remoysse of thire former Life and errours, after they once begonne to looke vp vnto the Light of the Gospel, and beleue in Christus, might be opened with the woorde of God, euen as a dooze is opened with a Keie. Contrariwise, that the wicked, and wilful, & lutche, as would not beleue, nor returne into the righte way, should be leaste til as faste locked, and shutte vp, and, as S. Paule saith, waxe woorse, and woorse. This take we to be the meaninge of the Keies: and that after this sorte mennes Consciences be either opened, or shutte.

M. Hardinge.

Here ye Harpe muche vpon one stringe, which so iarreth in the eares of the Hearers, as your confuse Harmonie can like noman, onlesse he be a Minstrel of your owne Secte. The Auditorie and power of the Keies consisteth not altogether, nor Principally in Preachinge, or pronouncinge of the Gospel, as already wee haue proued. VVhat maie wee iudge of you? proceedeth this of Malice, or of Ignorance, that thus ye confounde the Keies, the Powers, and the Ministeries?

Preachinge is one thinge, to gouerne the Church is an other, to remitte and reteine sinnes is an other, to distribute the Sacramentes is an other. Dothe not S. Paule in cleare woordes speake Seuerally, and distinctly of Ministeries, where he saith, that he was not sente of Christe to Baptise, but to Preache the Gospel? This Doctrine of yours, whereby ye confounde the Keies, Powers, and Ministeries, dothe not onely obscure the Scriptures, and bringe the people to greate errours: but also vnder pretence of a loue towards preachinge of the Gospel, leadeth them into contempt of the Sacramentes, and specially of the Sacrament of Penance, without whiche, if after Baptisme wee haue sinned, (not beinge letted by case of necessitie, wherein VVil, Desire, and Vowe is accepted) (a) wee can not attaine to Saluation. As you solow Caluine your Maister in this and sundrie other false, and perillous Doctrines, so it is to be feared, if your wicked temeritie be suffered to proceede, that at length hauing brought al Religion to bare Preachinge, ye will abandon al the Sacramentes of the Church, as things not necessarie. For so that wicked Maister of yours teacheth: That, where Christes deathe maye be remembered otherwise, there (b) al the Sacramentes be Superfluous. And that I seeme not to slander him, I remitte you to his Commentaries vpon the first Epistle of S. Paule to the Corinthians, where expoundinge these woordes, Doo this in my remembraunce, he saith thus: The Supper is a token of remembrance ordeined to lifte vp, or helpe our infirmitie: for if otherwise we were minded inoughe of Christes deathe, this helpe (he meaneth the Blessed Sacramente of the Altar) were superfluous, whiche is common to al the Sacramentes, for they be helpes of our infirmitie. Lo, by Caluines Doctrine, if we remember the deathe of Christe, bothe the Euchariste, and al other Holy Sacramentes be voide, and Superfluous. And then, because no other thinge bringeth to our remembrance the Deathe of Christe, more then Preachinge, to what purpose serue al the Sacramentes?

Thus these Defenders with their Maister Caluine haue founde a shorter waie to Heauen, then was knowne before.

In an other place he seemeth to derogate muche of the necessitie of Baptisme of Christen mens Children. VVhere he saith, that by reason of Gods promise the issue whiche cometh of Faithful parentes, (c) is borne Holy, and is a Holy Progenie, and that the children of such, beinge yet enclosed in the wombe, before they drawe breathe of life, be neuerthelesse chosen into the couenaunt of life everlastinge. This doctrine, when it shal take place, as by you Defenders it is sette in a good furtherance, what shal we looke for, but that the necessarie Sacramente of Baptisme (without whiche who is to be compted a Christen man?) and the moste Blessed, and comfortable Sacramente of the Altar, and the Holesome Sacrament of Penance, and Absolution, and the reste of the Sacramentes, shal be nomore esteemed and vsed, then nowe ye esteeme, and vse the Masse, Holy Breade, and Holy VVater? This beinge once brought to passe, shal not the people easely be induced either to receiue Mahometes Religion, or somme other, as farre from God, as that is: or to allowe the pleasant trade of life of the (d) Epicureans, the moste parte beinge already thereto inclined, and no smal number wel entred:

But to returne againe to the Keies, which seeme to you to haue no force ne vse but in Preaching: Firste, as touching the scorneful scoffes vttered by you, Sir Defender, in Latine, and by your interpreter in Englishe, against Priuate Confessions, and against the Ministers of the Church appointed by God

2. Timoth. 3.

(a) Vntruthe, as by the Answere may further appeare.

(b) A greates vntruthe, ioined with a slander. Reade the Answer.

(c) These be not M. Caluines woordes, but S. Paules: *Fili vestri Sancti sunt, 1. Cor. 7.*

Quid si Cælum ruat?

(d) Otherwise called the Romaines.

(e) Vntruth. For it is not required of necessitie, as shal appeare.

(f) Vntruthes, two together. See the Answer.

(g) vntruth. For M. Hardinges owne Doctours saie, It was ordeined by Tradition, and not by Christe.

(h) Vntruth, In mistaking, and abridging S. Hieromes wordes.

This Consistorie stood sometime in Excommunication, somETIME in Preachinge.

This saicing pertaineth, not to Confession, but to the Authority of Gods wordes.

(i) Vntruth. As it is prooued before.

Confession grounded vpon Natural Reason (k) A vaine sortie.

(l) Vntruth. For he speaketh onely of open Confession.

God for grace of reconciliation to be imparted to penitentes: your lighte mockinge spirit deliteth your selues not so muche, as it pitieth vs to see you bothe so faste bounde in Satans letters. Next concerninge Confession (e) necessarily required to the vse, and power of the Keyes, whiche you speake of at your pleasure, thus we saie accordinge to the Scriptures: Among sundrie effectes, for which Christ gaue the Keyes to the Apostles, and their Successours, this is one, that by Power of them they shoulde remitte, and retaine sinnes, as him selfe saide, VVhose sinnes ye remitte, they are remitted vnto them: Mat. 18. 18. and whose sinnes yee retaine, they are retained. (f) But sinnes cannot duely be remitted, or retained, onlesse they be knowne to him, that hath auctoritie therto: and knowledge of sinnes (specially such as are priue) can not be had of man, who cannot see into the harte of man, (f) but by Confession of the sinner: VVherfore consequently it foloweth, that they receiued this auctoritie to heare the Confession of Christen people desirous to be absolved, and reconciled.

VVherfore the Confession, yea of Secrete sinnes, is necessarie to Saluation by (g) the institution of Christe. For in that he instituted the ende, he instituted also the meanes, whiche shoulde be necessarie to the obtaininge of the ende: onlesse wee woulde make Christe our Lawmaker to haue failed his Church in thinges necessarie. That sinnes cannot either be remitted, or retained, except the Priest knowe them, we are bolde to saie with the Fathers, and specially with S. Hierome, who so (h) vnderstode the wordes of Christe: where he promised the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen to Peter. *Sacerdos pro officio suo cum peccatorum audierit Varietates, scit qui Ligandus sit, qui Soluendus.* Mat. 18. 18. The Priest (saith he) when as accordinge to his office he hath hearde the diuersitie of sinnes, knoweth who is to be bounde, who is to be loosed. Right so as in the time of Moses Lawe he pronounced not, who was cleane of Lepre, who was not, before that he had vewed the colour, the bunches, and al other tokens of that disease. And thus it foloweth of the wordes of Christe, that Confession of al sinnes, at leaste deadly, must be made to the Priest, before they can be remitted. VVhich Priest is the Minister of this Sacrament, and hath auctoritie to absolue, either Ordinarie, or by Commission of the Superiour.

Againe, for proufe that Confession is necessarie, wee saie, that to remitte and retaine sinnes committed againste God, as to binde and to loose, be iudicial actes. And therefore by these wordes Christ ordeined a Courte, a Consistorie, a seate of Iudgemente in the Church, and appointed the Apostles and their Successours to be Iudges. And that this maie appeare not to be a fantasie of our owne heades, S. Augustine expoundeth these wordes of S. Iohn in his Reuelation: *Et vidi Sedes, &c.* And I sawe Seates, and somme sittinge on them, and Iudgemente was geuen. VVee must not thinke (saith he) this to be spoken of the laste Iudgement, but wee must vnderstande the Seates of the Rulers, and the Rulers them selues, by whom nowe the Church is gouerned. And as for the Iudgement geuen, it seemeth not to be taken for any other, then for that, whereof it is saide, VVhat thinges yee binde in Earthe, they shal be bounde also in Heauen: and what thinges yee loose in Earthe, they shal be loosed also in Heauen. Sundrie other Fathers haue vttered in their writings the same Doctrine. Hilarius vpon the sixteenth Chapter of Matthewe saith, *Beatus Caluianitor, &c.* Blessed is the Porter of Heauen, whose earthly Iudgement (that is to saie, whiche is geuen here on Earthe) is a foreiudged auctoritie in Heauen, that what thinges be bounde, or loosed in Earthe, they haue the condition of the same Statute also in Heauen. S. Cyprian hath the like saicinge in an Epistle to Cornelius. Chrysostome saith, that Christe hath translated al Iudgemente, whiche he receiued of the Father, vnto the Apostles, and Priests. Gregorie Nazianzene in an Oration to the Emperoure and his Princes, saith to the Emperour, *Quis mea es, & nos habemus Tribunalia:* Thou art my Sheepe, and we haue our seates of Iudgemente. S. Gregorie the Pope compareth the Sacramente of Penance with a Courte of Iustice, in whiche causes be firste examined and tried, and afterwarde iudged. That the same is to be donne by the Priest, S. Bernarde sheweth: who, as also the learned Father Hugo de S. Victore, be not afraid to saie after S. Cyprian, Hilarie, and Chrysostome, that the sentence of Peter remittinge sinnes, goeth before the sentence of Heauen. This Ordinance of Christe requireth, that al Trespases, Offenses, Disorders, Transgressions, and Sinnes committed againste him and his Lawes, be referred to this Consistorie.

VVwhether these Defenders allowe Publike Confession, or no, wee knowe not: but whereas they inueigle againste Priuate Confession, and saie in spiteful wordes, whiche they haue learned in the Schole of Satan, being lothe the sinnes of the people, whereby he holdeth his Kingedome, shoulde be remitted, that Christes Disciples receiued not the auctoritie of the Keyes, that they shoulde heare Priuate Confessions of the people, and listen to their whisperinges. VVee tel them, that Confession of al deadly sinnes is of the Institution of God, not of Man. But concerninge the maner of confessing secretly to a Priest alone, it is moste agreeable to Natural Reason, that secrete sinnes be confessed secretly.

(k) Clemente amongst those thinges that he acknowledgeth him selfe to haue receiued of Peter, this is One, as he writeth in his firste Epistle translated by Rufine the Priest: That, if it fortune either Enuie or Infidelitie priuily to creepe into any mannes harte, or any other like euil: he whiche regardeth his Soule, be not ashamed to confesse those thinges to him, that is in Office ouer him, to the ende, that by him, through the VVoorde of God, and holisome Counsel, he maye be healed. So as by persite Faith, and good VVoorke he maye escape the paines of euerlastinge Fier, and comme to the rewardes of Life that endureth for euer. No man speaketh more plainly of Secrete Confession (l) then Origen, and that in sundrie places, to whiche for breuities sake I remitte the Reader. In 2. Cap. *Leuitici*, Homil. 2. *De Principijs*, Lib. 3. In Psalm. 37. Homil. 2.

VVhere

Where he compareth the state of a Sinner, to a man, that hath euil and vndigested humours in his stomake. And saith that as by remaininge of suche euil matter, the man feelet him selfe very sicke, and by vomitinge of it forth, he is eased: so the sinner by keepinge his sinnes secreete, is the more greuously charged in his owne conscience, and standeth in daunger to be choked with the Fleume and humour of his sinnes. But if he accuse him selfe, and confesse his fautes, he bothe vomiteth forth his sinnes, and digesteth the cause of the same. S. Cyprian as in many other places, so moste plainly speaketh of Secrete Confession, *Sermone. 5. de lapsis*. Although (saith he of certaine deuoute personnes) they be entangled with no greate Sinne, yet bicause at leaste they thought of it, the same vnto the Priestes of God Confesse they sorowfully and simply. They make Confession of their Conscience, they laie forth the burthen of their minde, &c.

S. Augustine treatinge of the Power of the Keyes in many places, but specially of Confession in Psalm. 68. Where speakinge muche of the necessitie of Confession, he saith thus: VVhy fearest thou to be Confessed? If not beinge Confessed thou remaine hidden, not beinge Confessed thou shalt be damned. And afterwarde thus: To this ende God requireth Confession, to deliuer the humble, to this ende he damnneth him that confesseth not, to punishe the Proude. Therefore be thou sorie before thou be Confessed: beinge Confessed, Reioyse: thou shalt be hole.

By these and many other Holy Fathers, of whome there is no doubte, but they had the Holy Ghoste for their Teacher and prompter of al Truthe, the Catholike Church hath bene perswaded, that the recital and reherlinge of (m) al Sinnes before the Prieste is necessarie to Saluation: onlesse necessitie for lacke of a Prieste or otherwise, exclude vs from it: and that a (n) General Confession in no wise suffiseth. True Faith acknowledgeth, that Confession is to be made of al (n) Sinnes, as (n) commaunded by Christe, and the Apostles, commended to vs by the (n) Fathers of the Primitiue Church, by al (n) learned Doctours, and general vse of the (n) whole Church. And if the expresse terme of Secrete or auricular Confession be (o) seldome mentioned in the Ancient Fathers, as that of Publike Confession is oftentimes, as in the Nicene Council, and in sundrie other places: that is nothinge repugnant to the Doctrine of the Catholike Church.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Al this greate shewe of Authorities of Fathers, and Doctours, P. Hardinge himselfe in the ende dischargeth easily with one word. For, notwithstandinge al, that he coulde beste diuise to saie herein, his Conclusion at the laste is this, The expresse Terme of Secrete, or Auricular Confession is Seldome mentioned in the Ancient Fathers. Seldome, he saith, as if it were sometimes vsed, although but Seldome. But if he had leaste, Seldome, and saide, Neuer, I trowe this tale had benne the truer.

Addition. Here P. Hardinge allegeth againste me, the Authority of Pope Leo, condemninge Publike Confession offered by in writinge, to be published openly to the people, and allowinge rather Confession made onely priuately to the Priest: Least (saith Leo) the Penitentes should be ashamed, or afraide, to open their deedes vnto their enemies, of whome they maie be troubled therefore by the Ordinaunce of the Lawes, &c.

The Answer. The name of Pope Leo is great: But his reasons are very weak. For, touching the firste reason, for that special cause, in Olde times, the Penitentes were forced to make open Confession, to the ende they might shewe them selues ashamed of these Sinnes, and be an example vnto others. As for feare of enemies, and troubles, and suites in Lawe, that might happen to growe vpon sutch Confessions, if the Ancient Holy Fathers had caste sutch doubt, Publike Confession had neuer benne vsed.

For the reste, we saie, as before: We make no Confusion of the Keyes. Our Doctrine is plaine, that there be two Keyes in the Church of God: The one of Instruction, the other of Correction. Whereof the one worketh inwardely, the other outwardely: The one before God, the other before the Congregation. And yet either of these standeth wholly in the Word of God. And therefore S. Paule saith, *Omnis Scriptura Diuinitus inspirata utilis est ad Doctrinam, ad Redargutionem, ad Correctionem, ad Institutionem, &c.* *All Scriptures inspired from God, are profitable, To teache the Truthe: To reprove the Falsehede: To correcte the Wicked: To Nourture, and instruct the Godly.*

Of the former of these Keyes S. Paule saith, *Beleeue in the Lorde Iesus: and thou shalt be safe, with al thy House.*

Of the other he saith, *The Weapons of our Warfare are not Fleashely: but mightie through God, to throwe downe holdes, castinge downe every Highe thinge, that is builded up against*

Al this belongeth to open Confession.

(m) Vntruthe: Reade the Answer.

(n) Vntruthe, many, and manifest, as shal appeare.

(o) Vntruthe. For it is neuer founde. Otherwise let him shewe it.

1. Timoth. 3.

Act. 16.

2. Corin. 10.

against the knowledge of God, and to bringe al understandinge captiue to the Obedience of Christe.

This Doctrine seemeth to be simple, and plaine, and without Confusion.

Touchinge M. Caluine, it is greate wronge, vntrely to repozte so Reuerende a Father, and so Worthy an Ornamente of the Church of God. If you had euer knowne the order, of the Church of Geneva, and had seene foure thousande people, or moe, receiuinge the Holy Mysteries together at one Communion, ye coulde not without your greate shame, and wante of modestie, thus vntrely haue published to the worlde, that by M. Caluines Doctrine, the Sacramentes of Christe are Superfluous. Certainely to leaue al, that he hath otherwise spoken of the Sacramentes in general, Of the Sacramente of Christes laste Supper, he writeth thus: *Magnum Consolationis, ac suauitatis fructum ex hoc Sacramento colligere possunt piz animæ: quod illic Testimonium habeant, Christum sic nobis adunatum esse, sic nos illi vicissim insertos, adeoq; in vnum Corpus cum ipso coaluisse, vt quicquid ipsius est, nostrum vocare liceat: The Godly mindes maie take greate fruite of pleasure, and Comfort of this Sacramente: for that therein they haue a witnesse, that Christe is so made one with vs, and wee so grafted into him, and are so growen bothe into one Bodie, that, what so euer is his, wee maie nowe calle it ours.*

Institution, Cap.
18. 2.

But Caluine (you saie) writeth thus: The Supper is a token of remembrance, to lifte vp, or to healepe our infirmitie, For if otherwise we were mindesful yenough of Christes Deathe, this healepe were Superfluous. M. Hardinge, holwe farre malice maie beare a man? Because M. Caluine saith, Wee are weake, and haue neede of outwarde Sacramentes, to quicken the dulnesse of our Senses, saith he therfore, That the Sacramentes be Superfluous? If he had likewise saide, Our bodie be weake, & haue neede to be refreshed with Meate, and Drinke, would you geather thereof, that Meate, and Drinke are Superfluous? Nay contrariwise he concludeth, Wee haue neede of Sacramentes: Therefore Sacramentes be needefull. And the greater our weakenesse is, the moze neede haue wee of suche remedies. His wordes emongst many others of like sense be theise: Sic est exigua nostra Fides, vt nisi vndiq; fulciatur, atque omnibus modis sustentetur, statim concutitur, fluctuet, vacillet: So smal is our Faith, that onlesse it be borne vp of euery side, and by almeanes be maintained, it shaketh, it wauereth, and is like to falle.

Institution, Cap.
16. 3.

If this be so dangerous a Doctrine, as you telle vs, why then are the Ancient Catholique Fathers suffered to holde, and mainteine the same? Dionysius, whom you so often calle S. Pauls Scholar, writeth thus, Nos imaginibus sensibilibus, quantum fieri potest, ad Diuinas adducimur Contemplationes: Wee, as mutche as maie be, by Sensible Images, or Sacramentes, are brought vnto Diuine Contemplations.

Ecclesiast. Hie-
rarch. Cap. 1.

In Question.
Ver. Testament.

Likewise S. Augustine saith, Sacramenta propter Carnales Visibilia instituta sunt: vt ab illis, quæ oculis cernuntur, ad illa, quæ intelliguntur, Sacramentorum gradibus transferamur: Visible Sacramentes are ordeined for Carnal Menne: that by the steppes of Sacramentes wee maie be leaddes from the thinges, that wee see with eie, vnto the thinges, that wee vnderstande. So saith S. Cyprian, Fidei nostræ infirmitas Symboli argumento edocta est, &c. The weakenesse of our Faith is taught by the vnderstandinge of the Sacramentes, &c. So S. Chrysostome, Si incorporei essemus, nuda, & incorporea nobis hæc ipsa daret. Nunc, quia Corporibus insertas habemus animas sub visibilibus spiritualia tradit: If wee were Bodilesse, God woulde geue vs these thinges bare, and Bodilesse.

Cyprian. De
Cena Domini.
Chrysost. ad Ro-
pul. Antiochen.
Homil. 60.

But for asmuche, as wee haue Soules fastened vnto our Bodies, therefore God geueth vs thinges Spiritual vnder thinges Visible. Againe he saith, Rectis & Fidelibus Scripturæ non sunt Necessariæ, dicente Apostolo, Lex iustis non est posita: To the Godly, and Faithful the Scriptures are not Necessarie: For so the Apostle saith, There is no Lawe provided for the iuste. And againe, Oportuerat quidem nos nihil indigere auxilio Literarum, sed tam nudam in omnibus vitam exhibere, vt Librorum vice Gratia Spiritus vteremur: It behooued vs to haue no neede of the Scriptures: but in al thinges to shewe our Lines so pure, and cleane, that in steepe of Bookes wee might vse the Grace of the Holy Ghoste.

Chrysost. in Mat-
the. Homil. 22.

Chrysost. in Mat-
the. Homil. 1.

Hieronym. in
Proc. nro in La-
men. Hieremie.

In like manner S. Hierome saith, Cum meruerimus esse cum Christo, & similes Angelis fuerimus, tunc Librorum Doctrina cessabit: When wee shal obtaine to be with Christe,

Christe, and shalbe like vnto the Angells, then the Doctrins of Bookes shal gene place.

Nowe tel vs, M. Hardinge, muste we hereof conclude, as you do, that these Holy Fathers, S. Cyprian, S. Augustine, S. Hierome, S. Chrysostome, Helde false, and perillous Doctrines, and with wicked temeritie (as you saie) would abandonne bothe Scriptures, and Sacramentes, as thinges not Necessarie? Certainly for ful resolution hereof, M. Caluine him selfe saith thus, Facile patior, vt, quæ Christus nobis dedit, Salutis adiumenta, eorum vsus Necessarius dicatur: quando, scilicet, datur facultas. Quamquam Semper admonendi sunt Fideles, non aliam esse cuiusuis Sacramenti Necessitatem, quam Instrumentalis Causæ, cui nequaquam alliganda est Dei virtus. Vocem sanè illam nemo pius est, qui non toto pectore exhorreat, Sacramenta res esse Superfluas: *can wel suffer, that, what so euer helpes of Saluation Christe hath geuen vs, the vse thereof be counted Necessarie: I meane, when wee maie haue oportunitie, and time to vse them, Howe be it, this muche the Faithful muste be warned, that the Necessitie of any Sacrament is none otherwise, but as of a Cause Instrumental: vnto whiche Cause wee maie not in any wise binde the Power of God. But that the Sacramentes be thinges Superfluos, no Godly man can abide to heare it.*

*Iohan. Caluinus
in Antidoto ad
7. sessionem Co-
cilij Tridentini.*

Whereas you further charge M. Caluine, for saieinge, The Children of the Faithful are borne Holy, We shoulde rather herewith haue charged S. Paule. For thus he saith, Nunc Liberi vestri Sancti sunt: *Nowe are your Children Holy.* We shoulde haue remembred, M. Hardinge, that these be S. Pauls wordes, and not M. Caluines. His meaninge is, that the Children of the Faithful, notwithstanding by Nature they be the Children of Anger, yet by Gods free Election they be Pure, and Holy. This is S. Pauls vndoubted Doctrinie: Whiche notwithstanding, He neuer neither despised the Sacramentes of Christe, nor leadde the people (as you saie) to Mahomete, or Epicure.

1. Cor. 7.

Here at the laste, M. Hardinge, to returne, as he saith, to his Beies, firste beginaeth with the spiteful woordes, and scorneful scoffes, and light Sprite of Sir Defender, whiche (he saith) he learned in the Schoole of Sathan, and nowe lieth bounde in Sathans fetters.

To answer al such M. Hardinges vanities, it were but vaine. Wise men will not greatly weighe these childlike Tragedies.

But he saith, The Priest holdeth a Conistorie, and is a Iudge ouer the Sinnes of the People. But beinge a Iudge he cannot discern Sinnes, onlesse he knowe them. Neither can he knowe them, but by Confession. Therefore (saith M. Hardinge) wee tel them, that Confession of al Deadly Sinnes is of the Institution of God: and not of Man. Mary (saith he) touching the manner of Confession, secretly to the Priest alone, it is most agreeable to Natural Reason, that secreete Sinnes be Confessed secretly.

Here, I beseeche the, good Christian Reader, note this one thinge by the waie: M. Hardinge, contrarie to comimon order, hath brought vs the Institution of God without any manner worde of God. And thus (he saith) we tel them: As if his bare tellinge shoulde stande for proufe.

Truly, notwithstandinge Christe gaue his Apostles Power of Bindinge, and Loosinge, yet it appeareth not, that he spake any one worde of secreete Confession.

And Gratian a famous Doctoure of that side doubteth not to saie, Latentia peccata non probantur Necessario Sacerdoti Confitenda: *It is not prooued, that Priue sinnes*

De Penit. dist. 1.

ought of Necessitie to be Confessed vnto the Priest. And againe, Datur intelligi quod etiam, ore tacente, Veniam Consequi possumus: *Wee are geuen to vnderstande, that al-*

Quis aliquando.

De Penit. dist. 1.

Conuertimini,

though wee vtter nothinge with our mouthe, yet wee maie obtaine pardonne, or Absolution of our Sinnes. Therefore, notwithstandinge al this M. Hardinges tellinge, his owne Doctour Gratian telleth him, that Auriculare Confession is not Gods Institution.

But wherefore speaketh M. Hardinge so precisely, & specially of Deadly Sinnes?

O, why maie not his Venial Sinnes come likewise in the rekeninge as wel, as others? In dede it is specially prouided in the late Chapter at Trident, that Little

Concil. Trident.

Pettie Sinnes neede not to be vttered in Confession. And Rob. Holcote saith, Cap. 5. De Con-

Cap. 5. De Con-

De Venialibus Confitori, magis est Supererogationis, quam Necessitatis: *To make Con-*

fessione,

fession of Venial Sinnes, is more of Deuotion, then of Necessitie. And Thomas of Aquine

Rob. Holcot in

saith, Quidam probabiliter dicunt, quod per ingressum Ecclesie Consecratæ,

4. senten. Q. 4.

4. Senten. Q. 83
Artic. 3.
Extra. De Pœnis
ten. & Remiss.
Omnia utriusque
in Glossa.

homo consequitur Remissionem peccatorum Venialium: *Somme saie, and that not without good reason, that a man maye obtayne Remission of his Venial Sinnes, onely by entring into a Church, that is Consecrate. And it is purposely noted in the Glose upon the Decretales, Venialia tolluntur, vel per Orationem Dominicam, vel per Aquam Benedictam: Venial Sinnes maye be remoued, either by a Pater noster, or by Holy Water. And therefore perhappes M. Hardinge wil saie, accordinge to the iudgements of these, and others his owne Dodoures, that his Litle Pretie Venial Sinnes oughte not of dewtie to be rekened in Confession: but maye otherwise be remitted, and haue no néede of Christes Bloude. This is a Myster waie to Heauen, then either Christe, or his Apostles euer taught vs.*

Beda in 3. Cap.
Iacobi Citatur
à Magistro 4.
Senten. Dist. 17.

Howe be it, at this error seemeth firste to haue growen of mistakinge these wordes of Beda: Cœqualibus quotidiana, & Leuia; grauiora verò Sacerdoti Pandamus: Let vs open our smal, and dailely Sinnes vnto our felowes: and our great Sinnes vnto the Priestte.

For the reste, M. Hardinges Resolution maye stande with god sauoure. For seinge his Auriculare Confession can holde no better by Dinitie, that it maye same to holde by somewhat, he did wel, to saie, It holdeth wel by Natural Reason.

Chrysostom. De
Panit. Homil. 9.

M. Hardinge saith, The Priestte can be no Iudge without particular knowledge of euery Sinne: Nor can he knowe without hearing: Nor can he heare without Confession. For answere hereto, Chrysostome saith, as he is before alleged: Medicinæ locus hic est, non Iudicij: Non Pœnas, sed Peccatorum Remissionem tribuens: Deo Soli dic Peccatum tuum: Here is a place of Medicine, and not of Iudgement: renderinge not pounismente, but Remission of Sinnes: Open thine offences to God onely.

4. Senten. Dist. 19
Postquam.

But, if the Priestte can be no Iudge without knowledge, then doubtlesse, M. Hardinge, your Priesttes, for the moze parte, can be no Iudges at al. For your owne Peter Lombarde saith, Scientiam discernendi Omnes Sacerdotes non habent: Al Priesttes haue not knowledge to discern betweene sinne, and sinne. And many of them be utterly ignozant, and knowe nothinge.

1. Cor. 2.
3. Reg. 8.
Roman. 8.
August. Confes-
sion. Lib. 10. Ca. 3

Notwithstandinge, be the Priestte neuer so wise, or wel learned, yet howe is he hable to enter into the breaste of man, and to knowe the Secretes of the harte? S. Paule saith, What man knoweth, what is in man, but the spirit of man, that is within him? Salomon saith, God Onely knoweth the thoughtes of menne. S. Paule saith, God Onely searcheth the Harte, and Reines. And S. Augustine purposely speakinge hereto, as it is saide before, saith thus, Vnde sciunt, cum à me ipso de me ipso audiunt, an verum dicam? Howe knowe they, when they heare mee speake of my selfe, whether I saie Truths or no? Therefore the Priestte iudginge that, that he cannot knowe, muste néedes wander vncertainely, and be a very doubtful Iudge.

Neuerthelesse, admittinge the Priestte to be a Iudge, yet, if it maye be proued, either, that he maye be a Iudge ouer the sinnes of the people, without Particulare knowledge of the same: or, that he maye comme to certaine, and particulare knowledge thereof without any manner Auriculare Confession, then, I truste, this whole mater wil sone be answered.

Roma. 1.
Heb. 4.
κρίτισμός ἐν
ἐννοίᾳ καὶ
ἐννοίᾳ καὶ
ἐννοίᾳ.
August. De san-
ctu. Homil. 26.
Tertullian De
Pudicitia.
Act. 2.

Firste therefore I saie, that a Priestte hauinge Authoritie to pronounce the Word of God, is thereby a Iudge ouer Sinne. For the Word that he speaketh, is the Power of God vnto Salvation: and a Twoedged swerde, hable to sunder the Soule, and the Sprite, and the marie from the Bones: and is hable to Iudge, (for so S. Paule saith) the thoughtes, and cogitations of the Harte. And thus M. Hardinge him selfe graunteth, That a Priestte pronouncinge Goddes VVoordes, maye therewith bothe Binde and Loose: That is, in this case, to do, the office of a Iudge. S. Augustine saith, Clavis ea dicenda est, qua pectorum dura referantur: That thinge ought to be called the Keie, wherewith the hardnesse of the harte is opened.

So saith Tertullian, Ipse Clauem imbuat. Vides, quam? Viri Israelitæ, auribus mandate, quæ dico Iesum Nazarenum Virum à Deo nobis destinatum: He endued the Keie. And know you, what Keie? This Keie, I meane: Ye menne of Israel, marke, what I saie: Iesus of Nazareth, a man appointed vnto you from God. These wordes, saith Tertul-

Tertullian, are the Beise. So saith the S. Augustine, Loquimur in auribus vestris. Vnde scimus, quid agatur in Cordibus vestris: Quod autem intus agitur, non à nobis, sed ab illo agitur. Prospexit ergo Deus, vt Soluat filios mortificatorum. Wee speake in your eares. But howe knowe we, what is wrought in your hartes? Howe be it, what so euer is wrought within you, it is wrought, not by vs, but by God. God therefore hath looked forth, so Loose the Children of them, that were appointed to Deathe. Thus is the Priest a Judge, and Bindeth, and Looseth, without any hearinge of Priuate Confessions.

Of the other side, I saie, that in open crimes, and Publike Penance, the Priest is likewise appointed to bee a Judge. For notwithstandinge in the Primitive Church, either the whole people, or the Elders of the Congregation had Authority herein, yet the direction, and Iudgement rested evermore in the Priest. And in this sense S. Paule saith vnto Timothee, Receiue no accusation againste an Elder, unless it bee vnder two, or three witnesses. 2 Tim. 4.

And, notwithstandinge these Orders, for the greatest parte thereof, be nowe utterly out of vse, yet, I trust, it shal not be neither impertinente to the mater, nor vnpleasant vnto the Reader, to consider howe the same were vsed in those times. Therefore, as it is Learnedly noted by Beatus Rhenanus, The Sinner, when he beganne to mislike him selfe, and to be penitente for his wicked life, for that he had offended God, and his Church, came firste vnto the Bishoppe, and Priestes, as vnto the Mouthes of the Church, and opened vnto them the whole burthen of his harte. Afterwarde he was by them brought into the Congregation, and there made the same Confession openly before his Brethren: and further was appointed to make Satisfaction by open Penance. Whiche Penance beinge dewly and humbly donne, he was restored againe openly vnto the Church, by laiesinge on of the handes of the Priestes and Elders.

Hereof S. Paule saith vnto the Corinthians, If you haue forgeuen any thinge to any man, I haue likewise forgeuen it. For I mee selfe, what so euer I haue forgeuen, I haue forgeuen it for your sakes in the Personne of Christe. In this Limitation of Penance, leaste any thinge shoulde passe vnadvisedly, and out of Order, the Priest was appointed to be the Judge. S. Basile saith, Modus Conuersionis debet esse conueniens illi, qui peccauit: The Order of Conuersion, or Open Penance, muste bee agreeable vnto him, that hath offended. And therefore S. Augustine saith, Quia Plurimque, &c. For as much as, for the moste parte, the grieue of one mannes harte is vnknewen vnto an other, neither cometh forth into the knowledg of others, either by wordes, or by other tokens, as beinge before him, vnto whom it is saide, My Mourninge is not hidde from thee, therefore it is very well, that by them, that haue the oversight of the Church, certaine times of Penance are appointed, that the Congregation maie be satisfied. Againe he saith, Hortor Prius Publica Pœnitentia satisfacere, & ita Sacerdotis Iudicio reconciliatum Communioni sociari: I Counsel him, that firste he make Satisfaction by Open Penance: that beinge so reconciled, he maie afterwarde be restored vnto the Communion by the Iudgemente, and discretion of Priestes. Likewise saith Casiodorus, Rei expectant Communionis tempus, quod decreuit Episcopus: The Penitent parties waite for the Communion time, appointed vnto them by the Bishop.

S. Ambrose demaunded of the Emperoure Theodosius, beinge then excommunicate, Quibus Medicamentis incurabilia vulnera tua, plagasque curasti? By what Medicines haue you healed your woundes, and cuttes, that were incurable? The Emperour answered, Tuum Opus est, & Docere, & Medicamenta temperare: Meum verò, oblata suscipere: It is your parte, to Instrutte mee, and to Minister Medicines: and it is my parte to receiue, that you Minister. And therefore Peter Lombarde saith, Etsi aliquis apud Deum sit solutus, non tamen in facie Ecclesiæ solutus habetur, nisi per Iudicium Sacerdotis: Although a man be assailed before God, yet is he not accounted assailed in the Face, or Sight of the Church, but by the Iudgemente of the Priestes.

By these, M. Hardinge, I doubt not, but ye maie see, that the Priest maie be a Judge ouer Sinne, notwithstandinge he neuer heare Priuate Confessions, nor haue particulare knowledg of euery seuerall Sinne.

¶ It

This is

Origen. in
Iſam. 37.Tertullian. De
Penitentia.Hieronym. in
Matthe. Cap. 16.4 Senten. Dist. 18
Nec ideo.Chryſoſtom. in
Eſai. Homil. 5.

This is that Confession, that the Holy Fathers haue so often spoken of. It was made, not secretly, or in a corner: but publickly, and openly, and in the sight of the Congregation. Whereof Origen saith, as it is reported before: Si quis sibi sit conscius, Procedat in Medium, & ipse sui accusator existat: If any man finde him selfe guilty, let him come forth into the middes of the Church, and let him be his owne accuser. Likewise Tertullian saith, Aduolui Presbyteris, & Aris Dei adgeniculari, & Omnibus Fratribus legationes deprecationis suae iniungere: To falle downe before the Priests: To kneele before the Altare, or Communion Table: and to desire All the Brethren, to pray for him.

Touchinge S. Hierome, M. Hardinge, ye late in his wordes as faithfully, as Ananias sommetime laide in his monie at the Apostles feete. Ye serue vs with a parcel, and holde backe the rest. It is true, that S. Hierome saith, It is the Priestes parte to discern the diuersitie betwene sinne, and sinne. As in cases of Excommunication, and publique offences. But wherefore coulde ye not espye: or rather, why woulde you so closely dissemble so many, and so plaine wordes goeing immediately before? For thus S. Hierome writeth of the Power of the Bishops, and of the vse of Confession: Istum locum Episcopi, & Presbyteri non intelligentes, aliquid sibi de Pharisaeorum assumunt Supercilio: vt vel damnent innocentes, vel soluere se noxios arbitrentur: cum apud Deum non sententia Sacerdotum, sed reorum vita quaeratur: This place the Bishoppes, and Priestes, not understandinge, take vnto them somme parte of the Proude lookes of the Phariseis: thinkinge them selues habile either to Condemne the Innocent, or to Absolve the guiltie: Whereas in deede it is not the Absolution of the Priest, but the life of the Sinner, that is weighed before God. These wordes, M. Hardinge, woulde not thus haue benne dissembled, if ye had meante simple dealinge. S. Hierome saith plainely, That your Bishoppes, and Priestes vnderstande not the vse of the Bishops: That ye haue taken vpon you somme parte of the Proude lookes of the Phariseis: And, That it is not the Absolution of the Priest, but the Life of the partie, that is accepted before God.

In the ende, he concludeth thus, Alligat, vel soluit Episcopus, vel Presbyter, non eos, qui insones sunt, vel noxij: Sed pro officio suo, cum Peccatorum audierit varietates, scit, qui ligandus sit, qui soluendus: The Bishop, or Prieste neither Bindeth the innocent, or Looseth the guiltie: but accordinge to his office, when he hath heard the diuersitie of Sinnes, (as in publique offences) he knoweth who ought to be Bounde, who ought to be loosed. In like sorte writeth Peter Lombarde, Dominus tribuit Sacerdotibus potestatem Ligandi, & Soluendi: id est, ostendendi hominibus Ligatos, vel Solutos: God hath geuen to Priestes Power to Binde, and to Loose: that is to saie, to declare vnto menne, that the Penitentes be either Bounde, or Loosed.

Al this notwithstanding, al be it M. Hardinge were habile to proue, that the Fathers had somewhere made mention of Confession in Secrete, yet should not that greatly either farther his purpose, or hinder ours. For, Abuses, and Errours removed, and specially the Priestes beinge Learned, as we haue saide before, we mislike no manner Confession, whether it be priuate, or publique. For as we thinke it not vnlawful, to make open Confession before many, so we thinke it not vnlawful, Abuses alwaies excepted, to make the like Confession in priuate, either before a fewe, or before one alone. And, as the Holy Fathers, vpon good considerations, were forced to remove the vse of Open Confession, even so we saie, that vpon like good Considerations, priuate Confession also maye be removed.

Onely this we saie, that Christ, when he sent his Disciples into the world, and gaue them authoritie to Binde, and to Loose, made no manner mention of any such hearinge of Confessions, but onely bade them, Goe, and Preache the Gospel.

Hilarie, Bernarde, and Hugo, as ye haue alleged them, seeme to saie, That the Iudgemente of Man goeth before the Iudgemente of God. Other the like, or rather more vehemente speeches ye might haue founde in Chrysostome: Coelum accipit Authoritatem Iudicandi à Terra. Iudex sedet in Terris: Dominus sequitur Seruum: Heauen taketh Authoritie of Iudgemente from the Earthe. In Earthe sitteth the Iudge: The Lords

Lord foloweth the Seruante. These, and such other the like extraordinarie speeches, with good Construction, may be comfortable to the afflicted minde. But as one said sometime, they must be receiued with a Graine of Salte: For otherwise, of them selues they be vnfauerie. For S. Hierome saith, as I haue alleged before, Apud Deum, non sententia Sacerdotis, sed reorum vita quaeritur: It is not the Sentence, or Absolution of the Priest, but the life of the Penitente, that is accepted before God. And againe, Tunc vera est sententia Praesidentis, quando Aeterni sequitur sententiam Iudicis: Then the iudgement of the President, or Priest is true, (not when it goeth before, but) when it foloweth the Iudgement of the Euerlasting Iudge. And Gratian him selfe saith, Non Sacerdotali Iudicio, sed largitate Diuinæ Gratiae Peccator emundatur: The Sinner is made cleane, not by Iudgement of the Priest, but by the abundance of the Heauenly Grace.

Hieronym in Matthe. Cap. 16. 11 Quasi. 3. Tunc vera. De Peccat. dist. 1. Conuersioni.

But Nazianzen, as he is here alleged, saide vnto the Emperoure, Ouis nostra es: Thou arte our Sheepe. No maruile. He meant, that the Emperour was One of the flocke, and folde of Christe. So S. Ambrose saide sometime vnto the Emperoure Valentinian, Quid honorificentius, quam vt Ecclesiae Filius dicatur Imperator? Imperator enim bonus intra Ecclesiam, non supra Ecclesiam est: What thinge is there more honourable, then for the Emperoure to be called a Childe of the Church? For a good Emperour is Within the Church: but not About the Church. S. Chrysostome saith, Deus ipse subiecit Caput Principis manui Sacerdotis: God him selfe hath set the Head of the Prince vnder the Hande of the Priest. For, as touchinge Faith, and the Obedience of the Gospel, the Highest Prince is but a Subiect. All this proueth wel the Authoritie, and Dignitie of Goddes Word: but it maketh nothinge for Confession.

Ambros. ad Valentinian. Epist. 32. Chrysostom. De Verbu. Esaiæ. Homil. 5.

To conclude, M. Hardinge saith, It hath bene perswaded, that the recitinge, and rehearsal of al sinnes before the Priest, is necessarie to Saluation: and that a General Confession is in no wise sufficient. And againe he saith, True Faith acknowledgeth, that Confession is to be made of al Sinnes, as Commaunded by Christe and his Apostles: Commended vnto vs by the Fathers of the Primitiue Church, and by al Learned Doctours, and General vse of the whole Church.

God Reader, Thou wouldest thinke, that amongst so many great Wordes, there were somme Truthe: and that M. Hardinge of his modestie, and for his Credit sake, woulde not speake so boldly without somme god grounde. But, I beseeche thee, Consider these setwe: and thereby Iudge indifferently of the reste.

Chrysostome saith, Non dico, vt Confitearis Conseruo tuo peccata tua Dico Deo, qui curet ea: I wil thee not to Confesse thy Sinnes vnto the Priest, that is thy fellow seruante. Confesse them vnto God, that maye heale them. Againe, Cogitatione tua fiat delictorum exquisitio: Sine teste sit hoc Iudicium: Solus Deus te Confitentem videat: Examine thy Sinnes in thy harte within thee. Let this Iudgement be withoute witnesse: Let God onely see thee makinge thy Confession. Beatus Rhenanus, a man of greates reauinge, and singular Iudgement, hercof writeth thus: Tertullianus de Clancularia ista Confessione admissorum nihil loquitur. Neque eam vsquam olim Præceptam legimus: Tertullian of this Priuie Confession of Sinnes saith nothinge. Neither doo we reade that the same Kinde of Priuie Confession in Olde Times was euer Commaunded.

Chrysostom in Psal. 90. Homil. 2. Chrysostom in Homil. De Penitent. & Confessione. Beat. Rhenan. in Argum. Libelli Tertull. De Penitentia.

M. Hardinge saith, It was Commaunded, Rhenanus saith, It was not Commaunded. If Rhenanus wordes be true, as they be in deed, then are M. Hardinges wordes moke vntrue. Likewise it is noted in the very Glose vpon M. Hardinges owne Decrees: Fortè tunc (tempore Ambrosij) non erat facta Institutio Confessionis, quæ modo est: Perhaps then (in the time of S. Ambrose, which was foure hundred yeres after Christe) the manner of Confession, that nowe is vsed, was not appointed.

De Peccat. dist. 1. Petrus in Gloss.

And Gratian saith, Antequam Sacerdoti Ora nostra ostendamus, id est, Peccata nostra Confiteamur, à Lepra Peccati mundamur: Before wee open our mouthe vnto the Priest, that is to saie, before we make Confession of our Sinnes, the Leprosie of our Sinne is made cleane. Theodorus saith, Quidam Deo solummodo confiteri debere peccata dicunt, vt Graeci: Somme saie, wee ought to Confesse our Sinnes onely vnto God, as doo the Grecians. Peter Lombard the Chief Founder of M. Hardinges Diuinitie, saith thus: Sanè dici potest, quod sine Confessione Oris, & solutione Poenæ exterioris, Peccata delentur per Contritionem, & humilitatem Cordis: Wee maye safely say, that

De Peccat. dist. 1. Conuersioni. De Peccat. dist. 1. Quidam 4 Senten. dist. 17. Quid ergo.

De Penit. dist. 1.
Quamvis.

De Penit. dist. 5.
In Penitentia.
In Glossa.

without Confession of the Mouth, and Absolution of the outward pain, Sinnes be forgiven by the Contrition, and humilitie of the Heart.

Wherfore, Gratian hauinge thorowly debated the mater of bothe sides, that is to saie, bothe for Confession, and againste Confession, in the ende concludeth thus, as it is saide before: Cui harum sententiarum potius adhaerendum sit, Lectoris Iudicio reseruatur. Vtraque enim sententia fautores habet Sapientes, & Religiosos Viros: Whether of these saicings it is beste to folowe, it is leaste to the Iudgemente of the Reader: For either Saicinge, is mainteined, and fauoured, bothe by VVise, and by Godly Menne. And, whereas M. Hardinge saith, Confession of al Sinnes is Commaunded by Christe, and his Apostles, His owne Glose vpon his owne Decrees openly repproueth his erreure, and teacheth him the contrarie. The wordes be these, Melius dicitur, Confessionem institutam fuisse à quadam Vniuersalis Ecclesie Traditione, potius quam ex Noui, vel Veteris Testamenti Autoritate: It is better saide, that Confession was appointed by somme Tradition of the Vniuersal Church, then by any Authoritie, or Commaundement of the Newe, or Olde Testament.

Nowe good Christian Reader, I beseeche thee, examine a litle the Truthe of M. Hardinges tale. Firste he saith, The Recitinge and Rehearsal of al Sinnes before the Priest, is Necessarie to Salvation: Chrysostome answereth, Lette God Onely heare thy Confession. There is one Intruthe. M. Hardinge saith, General Confession is not sufficient: Peter Lombarde answereth, Sine Confessione Oris, &c. VVithout any Confession made by Mouth our Sinnes maie be forgiven. There are two Intruthes.

M. Hardinge saith, Confession of al Sinnes is commaunded By Christe, and his Apostles: His owne Glose saith, It is Onely a Tradition of the Church, commaunded neither in the Olde Testamente, nor in the Newe. There are three Intruthes. M. Harding saith, It was comended vnto vs by the Doctours, and Fathers of the Primitiue Church. Rhenanus saith, VVee reade not, that this kinde of Priuie Confession, in the Olde times was euer Commaunded: And his owne Glose saith, In the time of S. Ambrose, (whiche was foure hundred yeres after Christe) perhappes it was not used. There are foure, and fve Intruthes. M. Hardinge saith, It hath euer benne allowed by al the Learned Doctours. His owne Gratian answereth him, The Contrarie hath benne mainteined, and fauoured bothe by VVise, and by Godly Fathers. There are five Intruthes.

To be shorte, M. Hardinge saith, It hath benne Commaunded vnto vs by the General vse of the whole Church: Theodorus answereth him, The whole Church of Grecia vseth it not. There are seuen apparente, and greate Intruthes, disclosed by M. Hardinges owne Doctours. We coulde not haue compyled so mutche Intruthe, in so narrowe roome, without somme studie.

Erasmus a man of great Iudgemente saith thus, Apparet tempore Hieronymi nondum Institutam fuisse Secretam admissorum Confessionem: quam postea Ecclesia salubriter instituit: si modo recte vtantur ea & Sacerdotes, & Laici. Verum in hoc labuntur Theologi quidam parum attenti, quod, quæ Veteres scribunt de huiusmodi Publica, & Generali Confessione, ea trahunt ad hanc Occultam, & longè diuersi generis: It appeareth, that in the time of S. Hierome, (which was foure hundred yeres after Christe) Secrete Confession of Sinnes was not yet ordeined: Which notwithstandinge was afterwarde wholesomely, and profitablie appointed by the Church, so that it be wel used, as wel by the Priestes, as by the People. But herein certaine Diuines, not considering aduisedly, what they saie, are mutche deceived: for what so euer the Anciente Fathers write of General and Open Confession, they wreste, and drawe the same to this Priuie, and Secrete kinde, whiche is farre of an other sorte.

Here M. Hardinge, Erasmus telleth you, ye are sowly deceived: and sheweth you also the cause of your erreure: for that, as he saith, Ye consider not aduisedly, what ye either reade, or write: but where so euer ye heare this woorde Confession, ye imagine streight waie, It must needs be your owne Auriculare Confession, and can be none other.

Laste of al, ye graunt, The expresse Terme of Auriculare or Secrete Confession is seldome mentioned in the Anciente Fathers. Here wanteth, M. Hardinge, somme parte of your simplicitie

Erasm. in Schol.
in Epitaphium
Fabiola.

Amplified. If ye would haue your Reader to beleue you, ye should haue alleged certaine of the Anciente Fathers, One at the leaste, if ye could haue founde so many, that had at any time vsed the expresse terme of Auriculare, or Secrete Confession. Otherwise it had benne the better, and plainer waie, to haue Confessed expressely, that the same expresse terme is neuer vsed in any one of al the Ancient Fathers. Howe be it, if Auriculare, or Secrete Confession had then benne vsed, it could neuer so longe haue laste a name.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 3.

See saie, that the Priest in deede is a Iudge in this Case, but yett hathe no manner of Righte to chalenge an Authoritie, or Power, as saith Ambrose.

M. Hardinge.

VWhereas ye make preachinge of the Gospel to be the Keies, howe cal ye the Priest Iudge in this case? Preache ye neuer so mutche, the conscience of man beinge so secrete a thinge as it is, howe can ye iudge, who inwardly and thoroughly repenteth, and who repenteth not? And though one repente and be sory, and haue remorse of his former life, though he looke vnto the light of the Gospel, as ye saie, and beleue in Christe, what then? howe can ye iudge of sutch a Personne? *Doo ye knowe his harte by lookinge in his face?

De Penit.
Dicit C.
Vetus Dei.

The Priest dewly vsinge the Keie of knowledge and discretion, doothe the office of a Iudge, and as he seeth the cause, either Looseth or Bindeth. As touching the Priestes Authoritie, or Power, whiche to chalenge hee hathe no right, for so your Interpreter maketh you to speake, and impute it to S. Ambrose: we denie that S. Ambrose saith euen very so. But as we may gather of his wordes, as hee meaneth that a Priest exerceith not the righte of any his owne proper power in remittinge sinnes: so in the very place by you alleaged, he saith the contrarie to your Doctrine. For the Right of Loosinge and Bindinge (saith he) is graunted to Priestes onely, and therefore the Church chalengeth it rightly, whiche hathe true Priestes. Lo he vseth the woorde of chalenge. Againe in the same place he auoucheth, that he whiche receiueth the Holy Ghoste, (whome Priestes receiue, when they bee Consecrated in the Sacramente of Order) receiue also Power to Loose and Binde Sinnes. For proufe thereof, he alleaged the Scripture: Take ye the Holy Ghoste, whose sinnes ye remitte, they are remitted: and whose sinnes ye retaine, they are retained. And if you, Defender, were accustomed to make your humble Confession, and so to be assailed, you should haue some Ghostly Fathers saie to you, after certaine other wordes, *Auctoritate mihi commissa ego te Absoluo, &c.*

Iohann. 20.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In what sense the Priest without hearinge Confessions maie bee a Iudge, we haue sufficiently saide before. Neither knowe I, what fantasie M. Hardinge can haue in so often rehearsal of one thinge. With what wordes, or colour of Commission, M. Hardinge can furnishe out his Authoritie, it soereth not greatly. Verily without somme shewe, or countenance, his credite would not holde. In deede, Hugo, and Bernarde saie, *The Iudgement of God foloweth the Iudgement of man.* And Pope Iulius saith, *Habet Sacrosancta Romana Ecclesia Potestatem, singulari sibi Priuilegio Concessam, Aperire, & Claudere ianuas Regni Coelestis, quibus voluerit: The Holy Church of Rome, hathe Power graunted vnto her by Singular Priuilege, to Open, and to Shutte the gates of the Kingdome of Heauen, to whom shee listeth.* And Cardinal Cusanus saith, *Hec Ligandi, & Soluendi Potestas, non minor est in Ecclesia, quam in Christo: This Power of Bindinge, and Loosinge is no lesse in the Church, then it is in Christe.*

Concil. T. 1.

De Primatu.

Rom Ecclesi.

Nicol. Cusanus.

Ad Bohemos

Epist. 2.

But it is no greate wisdom to geue ouer mutche credite vnto them, that so often haue deceiued vs. If their Authoritie be so greate, as they make it, lette them shewe forthe the wordes of their Commission.

The saieinge of S. Ambrose is cleare, and plaine: *Verbum Dei dimittit Peccata. Sacerdos est Iudex Sacerdos quidem officium suum exhibet: Sed nullius potestatis iura exercet: The Woordes of God forgeueth Sinnes. The Priest is the Iudge. The Priest exerciseth his office, But he exerciseth the right of no Power.* And to this ende in an other place he allegeth the wordes of the Prophet Esaie spoken in the person of God, *Ego sum, ego sum, qui deleo iniquitates tuas: I am he, I am he, that put awaye thine iniquities.*

De Penit. dist. 1.

Verbum Dei.

Ambros. De Noe

Arca. Cap. 13.

Esaie. 4.

And, to laie more weight to S. Ambroses wordes, S. Augustine saith in like sense, *Officium Baptizandi Dominus concessit multis: Potestatem vero, & Auctoritatem*

August. De scan

lis Paradisi.

Ambros. De Pa-
nitent. li. 2. ca. 7

Ambros. Lib. 3.
Ep. 176.

2. Corin. 5.
Tertullian De
Baptismo.
August. in 10.
lib. Tract. 5.
Luke. 12.
Eusebius. Hist.
Eccles. lib. 10.

Hosius in Con-
fessione Petri-
can. Cap. 32.

Hieronym. in
Matth. Cap. 16.
August. De Fide
& Operibus.
Cap. 14.
Richard. De San-
cto Victore. De
Potestate. Lig. an.
& Soluendi. Par. 2.
Cap. 24.

4. Senten. Dist. 18.
Nec idea.

Bonavent. 4. Sen-
tent. Dist. 1.
In Prece. 10.
1. qu. 1. Dist. 1. est.

ritatem in Baptismo remittendi peccata, sibi Soli reseruauit: Our Lorde hath graunted the office of Baptizinge vnto many: but the Power, and Authoritie in Baptisme to foregeue Sinnes, he hath reserved Onely to him selfe. So saith S. Ambrose, Nostrum est onera remittere: Illius est resuscitare: Illius est educere de Sepulchro: It is our parte, to remoue the stone from the graue (by Preachinge, by Counsel, and by Exhortation): But it is the Lordes worke to raise up the dead: It is the Lordes worke, to bringe him from the Piste.

Like wise again he saith, Neque Legatus, neque Nuntius, sed ipse Dominus saluum fecit populum suum. Solus remanet: quia non potest hoc cuiquam hominum cum Christo esse commune, vt peccata condonet. Solus hoc munus est Christi, qui tulit peccata mundi: It is not the Embassadoure: It is not the Messenger, but the Lorde him selfe, that hath saved his people. The Lorde remaineth alone. For noman can be partener with God in forgeuinge of Sinnes. This is Christes Onely office, that hath taken awaie the Sinnes of the Worlds.

And yet is not therefore the Priestes office boide of Powver. He hath Powver, and Commission to open the Will of God, and, as S. Paule saith, To speake vnto the people, euen as in the Personne of Christe. So Tertullian saith, Dandi Baptismi ius habet Summus Sacerdos, id est, Episcopus: The Chiefe Prieste, that is to saie, the Bishop, hath Right, and Powver, to geue Baptisme. But S. Augustine saith, Ministerium dedit seruis: Potestatem sibi retinuit: God gaue the Ministerie (of Remission of Sinnes) vnto his Seruauntes: But the Power thereof he retained to him selfe. So, when Christe sente out his Disciples to Preache the Gospel, he gaue them Authoritie, and Powver, as it is written in S. Luke.

It is Hardinge will saie, There is no Powver, or Authoritie in the Reading, or Publishinge of Goddes Word, his owne Doctoure Hosius will tel him, that, when the Bishop Ordereth a Reader, euen after the manner of the Church of Rome, he saith vnto him, Habe Potestatem legendi Epistolas in Ecclesia Sancta Dei, tam pro viuus, quam pro defunctis: Haue thou Powver to Reade the Epistles in the Holy Church of God, as wel for the Quick, as for the Deade.

In deede, this is a very special Powver, sutch as, I trowe, Christ and his Apostles neuer taught vs. Neither woulde I haue noted it in this place, sauinge that Hosius, the profoundest Doctour of that side, thoughte it a mater worthy the notinge. Sutch Powver therefore belongeth to Priestes, and Ministers in the Church of God. But the Powver of Geuinge Remission of Sinne, belongeth to God alone, and to none other. If any man wil presume further, S. Hierome saith, as it is alleged before. He hath put on the proude lookes of the Phariseis. And saith he further, that sutch Priestes, and Bishoppes vnderstande not the Wordes of Christe. For, saith he, It is not the Judgements, or Absolution of the Prieste, but the Life of the Penitente, that is regarded before God. And therefore S. Augustine saith, Inde nata sunt Scismata, cum homines dicunt, Nos Sanctificamus immundos: Nos Iustificamus impios: nos petimus: nos impetramus: Hereof growe Scismes, and Diuisions in the Church, when menne saie, wee Sanctifie the vncleane: wee Iustifie the wicked: wee praie: wee obtaine.

Howe be it, here commeth in Richardus de Sancto Victore, God wote, with a ful colde distinction, betwene these two wordes, Dimittere, and Remittere. For thus he saith: Christus potuit Dimittere peccata: Nos vero non possumus Dimittere Peccata, sed tantum Remittere.

Peter Lombarde saith mutche better, Christus Sacerdotibus tribuit potestatem Ligandi, & Soluendi, id est, ostendendi hominibus Ligatos, vel Solutos: Christe hath geuen Powver vnto Priestes to Binde, and to Loose: that is to saie, to declare vnto menne, whoe be Bounde, whoe be Loosed.

So saith Bonauentura of the Priestes vnder the Lawe of Moses: Mundare dicebantur, quia mundatum ostendebant: They were saide to cleanse the Leprosie, because they shewed, who was cleansed. So saith S. Augustine, Nec voluntas Sacerdotis obesse vel prodesse potest, sed Meritum Benedictionem Poscentis: The wil of the Prieste can neither further, nor hinder: But the Merite of him, that desireth Absolution.

This

This therefore is the meaning of S. Ambrose, *The Priest is a Judge to discern betweene sinne, and sinne, as wel Private, as Publique. But Right, Power, or Authoritie to forgiue sinnes, he hath none.*

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 4.

And therefore our Sauour Iesus Christe, to reprove the Negligence of the Scribes, and Phariseis in teachinge, did with these wordes rebuke them, sayinge: Voe bee vnto you Scribes, and Phariseis, vvhiche haue taken awaie the Keies of Knowlledge, and haue Shurte vp the Kingedome of Heauen before Menne.

Seeinge then the Keie whereby the waie, and entrie to the Kingdome of God is opened vnto vs, is the Word of the Gospel, and the Expoundinge of the Lawe, and Scriptures, we saie plainly, where the same Word is not, there is not the Keie.

M. Hardinge.

By this wee are induced to graunte, that the knowledge of the Scripture is a Keie, whereby the gate to the Truthe is opened, the vse whereof consisteth in expoundinge of the Lawe, and Prophets, as they shewe Christ. But we say, this is not to be the special Keies, which Christ gaue to the Church, but one Keie alone. And so Christ calleth it, where he rebuked the Scribes and Phariseis. Though you haue put it otherwise then the Gospel hath, in the Plural number. And this Keie is common to the Lawe, and to the Gospel. But the Keies, whiche we speake of, are an other thing. By the name of these Keies, we vnderstande the whole Spiritual Power, whiche Christe firste promised to Peter, and afterwarde gaue to the Apostles, and from them is transferred to al Bishoppes and Priestes. By whiche Power, Priestes teache the Gospel, Consecrate the Body and Bloude of Christe, and Minister the Sacramentes, through Authoritie of the VVoorde Absolue Penitentes, and Excommunicate Publique and hainous sinners. The Keie that you confusely speake of, naming it to be the VVoorde of the Gospel, and Expoundinge of the Lawe, and Scriptures, is one parte pertaininge to this Spiritual Power, it is not the whole Power. And where this VVoorde is not, that is to saie, where the Scriptures be not taught, and the Gospel preached, there is not the Keie saie ye: there is not the exercise of that Keie, saie we. Yet there is this Spiritual Power, that is to witte, there be the Keies. Ye we saie that a simple Priest * though he haue no great learninge, yet hath he the Keies, though he might doo better, and more worthely vse them, hauinge learninge and knowledge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge mutche and often complaineth of the Confusion of the Keies: so; that we appointe not distinctly, as he saith, eche Keie to his seuerall office. Marke therefore, I beseeche thee, Gentle Reader, how distinctly, and plainly M. Hardinge him selfe intreateth of the same.

Firste, of the two Keies, that Christe hath deliuered vs in the Gospel, he hath made a greates many Keies: The Keie of knowledge: The Keie of Order: The Keie of Power: The Keie of Discretion: The Keie of Sacramentes: And, so; as mutche, as Sacramentes by his Doctrine be Seven in number, there must also be of this sorte Seven other seuerall Keies. And yet ouer, and besides al these Keies, beinge so many, the Pope hath also One special Pastur Keie. Afterwarde by a sleighte, and by nimblinesse of his fingers, he conueigeth al this heape of Keies into two Onely Keies: Whereof the One he calleth the Keie of knowledge, and the other, the Keie of Power: Which two Keies notwithstanding, in the ende, are suddainely consumed bothe into One onely Keie. For whereas the Keie of knowledge is losse, there, by M. Hardinges Iudgement, Power onely remaineth without knowledge: And that Keie alone dischargeth al offices, and is utterly al in al.

This Keie of Power without knowledge, muste nedes be the Keie of Ignorance: As they them selues haue vsed to cal it, Clauis Errans: *The Keie of Error.* Of whiche Keie vndoubtedly Christe saide sommetime, Hoc est tempus vestrum, & Potestas Tenebrarum: *This is your time, and the Power of Darkenesse.* Peter Lombard him selfe saith, *Many Priestes be Ignorant, and haue not the Keie of knowledge:* Alexander of Hales saith, *Scientia non est Clauis: Knowledge is no Keie at al.*

Pet M. Hardinge answereth vs, that the simple Ignorant Priest, that knoweth nothinge, hath not onely One Keie, but bothe Keies (so; so he calleth them in the

*Vntruthe. The Keie of knowledge, without knowledge.

De Pot. dis. 1.
Verbum Dei.
Luc. 22.
4 Senten. dis. 19.
Postquam
Alexand. de
Hales par. 4.
Quaest. 79.

in the plural number) that is to saie, as wel the Keie of knowledge, as the Keie of Power. And thus haue they forged a Keie of knowledge, without knowledge: and with the same Keie they expounde and open the Scriptures: they Minister the Sacraments: they Binde: they Loose: and breake vp a bywaie into the Kingdome of Heauen. Yet muste we beleeue, that they speake simple, and plainely of these Keies, and without confusion.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Diuision. 5.

And, seeinge one manner of woordes is geuen to al, and one onely Keie belongeth to al, we saie, there is but one onely Power of al Ministers, as concerninge Openinge, and Shuttinge. And, as touchinge the Bishop of Rome, for al that his flatteringe Parasites singe these woordes in his eares, To thee wil I geue the Keies of the Kingdome of Heauen, (as thonghe these Keies were fitte for him alone, and for no body els) excepte he goe so to woorde, as Menne's Consciencers maie be made pliaunte, and be subdued to the woorde of God, we demer, that he doothe either Open, or Shutte, or hath the Keies at al.

And although he taught, and instructed the People (as woulde God he might once truely doo, and perswade him selfe, it were at the leaste any peece of his dwtie) yet wee thinke his Keie to be neuer a white better, or of greater force, then other Menne's. For who hath seuered him from the reste? who hath taughte him more conningely to Open, or better to Absolue, then his byetherne?

M. Hardinge.

Your grounde beinge false, what ye builde thereon, sone faileth. The whole Power of the Keies pardy (howe often times muste we tel you one thinge?) standeth not in preachinge onely, but in fundrie other excellent Ministeries also, as we haue proued. If the Bishop of Rome preache not, he doothe neither Open, nor Shutte by preachinge: we graunte. Yet menne's Consciencers beinge made pliant and subdued by the VVoorde of God, by others that preache at his appointement, he maie by vertue of the Keies, either Open or Shutte, Loose or Binde, as by discretion he seeth cause. And whereas Christe saide to Peter, and therefore to the Bishop of Rome Peters Successour, To thee wil I geue the Keies of the Kingdome of Heauen: wil ye cal them flatteringe Parasites, that yeele to him that; whiche Christe gaue to him? Sutche vomite sheweth what humour your stomake is charged withal. Denyinge the Bishop of Rome, whome, for al the spite ye beare towards him, ye muste acknowledge to be a Bishop, to haue the Keies at al, onelisse he preache in his owne Personne, ye declare your greate ignorance, and sowe temeritie. Knowe ye not, that a Power annexed to an Order, and Vocation, is not taken awaie from one by not exercisinge the same in his owne Person?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The effect hercof, besides other ordinarie idle talke, standeth in two pointes. Firste, *M. Hardinge* saith, The Pope, thonghe he doo nothinge him selfe in his owne Personne, yet he maie sufficiently feede the Flocke of Christe, and Binde, and Loose, and discharge al dewties by his Deputies, and Vndericars, that is to saie, by his Cardinales, and Bishops, whiche are as careful and zelous for their offices, and tender theire charge, as mutche, as he. This grounde, I frowe, is not false: and therefore what so euer *M. Hardinge* shal builde thereon, it can neuer faile. Notwithstandinge, I do not remember that either Christ, or his Apostles ever vsed to do the like. One saide some time, Impudens est Imperator, qui, cum alienis oculis omnia ei agenda sint, postulet sibi aliorum Capita, & Fortunas committi: He is a shamelesse Captaine, that whereas he muste needes guide al thinges by the eyes of others, wil require other menne's liues, and goods to be committed to his handes.

*Titus Livius
Decade. 3. Lib. 6.*

*Damasus Papa
Episto. 4.*

Pope Damasus saith, Illi Episcopi, qui talia sibi præsument, videntur mihi similes esse Meretricibus: quæ statim, vt pariant, infantes suos alijs nutricibus tradunt educandos: vt suam citius libidinem explere valeant. Sic & isti Infantes suos, id est, populos sibi Commissos, alijs educandos tradunt, vt ipsi suas libidines expleant, id est, vt pro suo libitu Sæcularibus curis inhient, & quod cuique visum fuerit, liberius agant: Sutche Bishoppes takinge sutche matters vpon them selues, seeme like vnto Harlots:

Whiche

Which as soone, as they be once deliuered, streightwaie deliuer out their Children vnto Nour-
ces, that they maie the rather folowe their pleasures. Euen so these Bishoppes deliuer out their
Children, that is to saie, the people committed to their Charges, to be reared, and broughte up by
others, that they them selues maie the better accomplishe their pleasures, that is to saie, that they
maie geue them selues ouer to worldly cares, and doo what so euer shal like them beste.

Further, saith the *M. Hardinge*, Christe saide vnto Peter, To thee wil I geue the
Keyes: Feede my Sheepe: Confirme thy Brethren: Ergo, The Pope is a Lord Paramount,
and hath a Power Pierelesse ouer al the Worlde. Peter of Palus hereof writeth
thus: Christus dixit Apostolis, Quæcunque ligaueritis in terra, erunt ligata & in
Cælo: Non dixit, In Cælis, sicut Petro dixerat: Sed in Cælo Vno. Vnde non sunt
tantæ perfectionis, sicut Petrus: Christe saide vnto the Apostles, What so euer ye shal Binde
in Earthe, it shalbe Bounde in Heauen. He saide not, It shalbe bounde in Heauens, as he saide
to Peter: But in One Heauen. Therefore the other Apostles were not of such perfection,
as Peter was.

Petrus de Palus
de, De Potestate
collata Aposto-
lis, Artic. 1.

Againe he saith, Illa verba, Quæcunque Ligaueris, intelliguntur de vtroque Fo-
ro, & de vtraque Potestate. Illa autem verba, Quoruncunque Ligaueris, intelligun-
tur tantum de Foro Conscientiæ: These wordes (spoken vnto Peter) VWhat so euer
thou shalte Binde, are vnderstood of bothe Courtes, as wel of the Courte of Iudgemente, as of
the Courte of Conscience. But these other wordes (spoken vnto the other Apostles) VWhat
so euer you shal Binde, &c. are vnderstood onely of the Courte of Conscience.

Petr. de Palude.

This fantasie, it seemeth, he learned out of these wordes of Origen: Non mo-
dica differentia est, quod Petro quidem datæ sunt Clauæ, non Vnius Cæli, sed mul-
torum Cælorum: vt quæcunque Ligauerit super terram, sint Ligata, non tantum Vno
in Cælo, sed etiam in Omnibus Cælis. Ad alios dicit, vt soluant, & ligent, non in Cæ-
lis, sicut Petrus, sed in Vno Cælo: quia non sunt in tanta perfectione, sicut Petrus, vt
Ligent, & Soluant in Omnibus Cælis: The difference is greates: For vnto Peter are ge-
uen the Keyes, not of One Heauen, but of many Heauens: that, what so euer he Bindeth in
Earthe, shalbe Bounde, not onely in One Heauen, but also in Al the Heauens. But vnto the
reste he geueth Power to Binde, and Loose, not in the Heauens, as Peter doothe, but in One
Heauen: for that they were not in such perfection, as Peter was, to Binde, or Loose in Al the
Heauens.

Origen in Mar-
tha, Tracta. 6.

This multiplication of Heauens, as I haue saide, is but a fantasie: and yet to *M.
Hardinges* purpose it maketh nothinge. For Origen by this worde Peter, meant
not Peter the Apostle, but any other Godly Learned Priest, or Bishop: whome he
expresseth here vnder the name of Peter. For it foloweth immediatly, Ergo, quan-
to melior fuerit, qui soluit, tanto beator erit, qui soluitur: quoniam in omnibus solu-
tus est Cælis: Therefore the better man he is, that Looseth, the more Blessed is he, that is Loos-
ed: for that he is Loosed in al the Heauens. Againe he saith, Quod si nos idem lo-
quimur, quod Petrus loquutus est, efficiamur Petrus. Et nobis dicetur, Tu es Petrus. Pe-
tra enim est, quisquis est Discipulus Christi: If wee speake the same, that Peter spake, wee
are made Peter: And vnto vs it shalbe saide, Thou arte Peter. For he is the Rocke, that is
the Disciple of Christe.

Origen in Mar-
tha, Tracta. 1.

And againe he saith, Hoc dictum, Tibi dabo Clauæ Regni Cælorum, Cæteris
quoque commune est. Et, quæ sequuntur, velut ad Petrum dicta, sunt omnium com-
munia: This saieinge, to thee wil I geue the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen, is common
to the reste of the Apostles. And the wordes that folowe, as spoken vnto Peter, are common
vnto al.

In eodem Tra-
cto.

So saith, S. Augustine, Petrus, quando accepit Clauæ, Ecclesiam Sanctam signi-
ficauit: Peter, when he receiued the Keyes, signified the Holy Church. So saith S. Basile,
Petrus, inquit, Amas me? Pasce Oues meas: Et consequenter Omnibus Pastoribus, &
Doctoribus eandem Potestatem tribuit. Cuius signum est, quod omnes ex Aequo, &
Ligant, & Absoluunt, quemadmodum ille: Christ said vnto Peter, Louest thou mee? Feede
my Sheepe. And in like sorte vnto Al Pastours, and Doctours he gaue the same Power. A to-
ken whereof is this, that al others Binde, and Loose Equally, as wel as Peter.

Augu in Iohan.
Tracta. 90.
Basile, in Vita
solitaria. ca. 23.

Likewise saith S. Ambrose, Dominus dixit Petro, Pasce Oues meas. Quas O-
ues,

Ambros. De Di-

gnita, sacerdoti, cap. 2.

Cyprian de simplicitate Presbyterorum.

Beda in Homil. in Euang. Quem me dicunt.

Iohann. De Potestate Regia. & Pa. post. cap. 12.

Alphonfus Aduersus Hares. Lib. 2. De Absolutione.

Origen in Martir. Tracta. 1.

Augustin de Agone Christiano. cap. 30. Augusti. De Agone Christiano. no. cap. 31.

ues, & quem Gregem non solum tunc Beatus Petrus suscepit, sed & nobiscum eas suscepit, & cum illo eas nos suscepimus omnes: Our Lorde saide vnto Peter, Feede my Sheepe. Which Sheepe, and Flocke, not Onely Blessed Peter then receiued, but he receiued the same together with vs: And al wee haue receiued the same together with him. Likewise S. Cyprian, Christus eandem dedit Apostolis omnibus Potestatem: Christe gave vnto al his Apostles Like, and Equal Power.

So likewise saith Beda, Potestas Ligandi, & Soluendi, quamuis Soli Petro à Domino data videatur, tamen absque vlla dubietate noscendum est, quod & Carteris Apostolis data est: The Power of Bindinge, and Loosinge, notwithstandinge it seems to be geuen Onely vnto Peter, yet without al doubt wee must vnderstande, that it was geuen also to the reste of the Apostles.

Wiseley H. Hardinges owne Scholastical Doctors Confesse, that the Power of the Apostles was One, and Equal. But they saie, that the whole multitude of the Church was committed onely vnto Peter, and not likewise to any other: and that therein onely standeth al the difference. Hieronymus saith, Quamuis Apostoli eandem habuerint à Christo, & æqualem Potestatem Clauium, & Iurisdictionis, tamen Iurisdictionem, siue Materiam subiectam, non habuit, nisi Petrus, & cui eam Petrus committere voluit: Notwithstandinge the Apostles receiued of Christe Equal Power of the Keyes, and Iurisdiction, yet the Iurisdiction, or mater wherein to vse their Power, none had, but Onely Peter, and to whom so euer Peter would committe the same. And thus he imagineth, that al the Apostles, sauinge Onely Peter, had Keyes geuen them, but no House to Open: and Iurisdiction of Governement, but no People to gouerne.

Certly Alphonfus de Castro saith, Quando Absoluit Simplex Sacerdos, tantum Absoluit de Culpa, sicut Papa: When a Simple Priest Absolueth, he Absolueth as muche, touchinge Sinne, as doothe the Pope.

But touchinge the Pope, howe greate so euer he fancie his Aute to be aboue al others, if he neuer vse the same, and if he either knowe not, or vtter not the Word of God, we saie, as before, wel mafe he shutte vp the Kingdome of God before men: but open it he cannot. Origen saith, Qui funibus peccatorum suorum constringitur, frustra vel Ligat, vel Soluit: He that is bounde with the bandes of his owne Sinnes, Bindeth, and Looseth but in vaine.

To conclude, S. Augustine saith, Cum Petro dicitur, Omnibus dicitur, Amas me? Pasce Oves meas: These wordes of Christe, Louest thou mee? Feede my Sheepe: When they are spoken vnto Peter, they are spoken vnto Al Priests, or Ministers. He addeth further, Itaque miserum in Petro Petram non intelligunt, & nolunt credere, datas Ecclesie Clauis Regni Cœlorum, ipsi eas de manibus amiserunt: Therefore wretched menne, while in Peter they vnderstande not (Christe, that is) the Rocke, and while they wil not beleue, that the Keyes of the Kingdome of Heauen are geuen (not vnto Peter alone, but) vnto the Church, they haue quite loste the Keyes out of their handes.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 1.

We saie, that Matrimonic is Holy, & Honorable in al sortes, & states of Persons: as in the Patriarches, in the Prophetes, in the Apostles, in the Holy Martyres, in the Ministers of the Church, and in Bishops: and that it is an Honeste, and Lawful thinge (as Chrysostome saith) for a man, liuinge in Matrimonic, to take vpon him therewith the Dignitie of a Bishop.

M. Hardinge.

Matrimonic is Holy and Honorable in al persons, and an vndefiled bedde, as saith S. Paule. Yet is it not lawful for them to marie * whiche either haue by deliberate vowe dedicated al manner their Chastitie vnto God, * or haue receiued Holy Order. For the Vowed be forbidden Mariage by expresse VVoorde of God: Those that haue taken Holy Orders, by Tradition of the Apostles, and Aunciente Ordinaunce of the Church.

Touchinge the firste, the Scripture is plaine: because a Vowe is to be performed. Vowete & red- dite Domino Deo vestro: Vowe ye and pay (or render that ye vowe) to our Lorde God. Christe also saith in the Gospel, There be somme Eunuches that haue made them selues Eunuches for the Math. 19 Kingdome

* Vntruthes, two together, as better appeareth by the Answer.

Kingedome of Heauens sake. He that can take, let him take. Againe S. Paule speakinge of yonge VVidowes, whiche haue vowed and promised Chastitie, saithe, that when they waxe wanton against Christe, they wil marrie, hauinge damnation, because they haue broken their firste Faith. VVhether these Scriptures pertaine hereto, and be thus to be vnderstanded, we refer vs to the Primitiue Church and to al the Holy Fathers.

Sutche Mariages, or rather slidings and falles from the holier Chastetie, that is Vowed to God, S. Augustine doubteth not but they be woorse then Aduoutries. S. Cyprian calleth this case plaine Incest. S. Basile accompteth the Mariages of vailed virgens to be voide, of no force, and Sacrilegious.

Shce that hath depoused her selfe to our Lorde (saith S. Basile) is not free. For her Husbände is not deade, that shee maie marrie to whom she list. And whiles her immortal Husbände liueth, shee shal be called an Aduoutresse, whiche for lustes of the Fleashe hath brought a mortal man into our Lordes Chamber.

Clerkes bounde to continencie. L. 1. Ca. 11 Paphnutius. 1. c. 23
Touchinge the seconde, the Apostles forbidde those, that come single to the Cleregie, to Marrie, excepte sutche as remaine in the interior Orders, and procede not to the greater, as we finde in their *Canons: Can. 25. Paphnutius*, as Socrates, and Sozomenus recorde in their Ecclesiastical storie, saide at the Nicene Council, that it was an olde Tradition of the Church, that such as come to the Degree or Order of Priestthoode single, shoulde not Marrie wiues. And this is that Holy Bishop Paphnutius, whom these Euangelical Vowebreakers pretende to be their proctour for their vnlawful Mariages.

Read e who litle the Epistle of *Siricius ad Himerium Tarraconensem, Cap. 7.* the second Epistle of Innocentius to Victritius Bishop of Roen, Cap. 9. and his thirde Epistle to Exuperius Bishop of Tolouse, Cap. 1. And weighinge wel these places, he shal perceiue, that these Holy Popes forbad the Ministers of the Church the vse of VVedlocke by the same Reason, by whiche the Priestes of Moses Lawe were forbidden to come within their owne houses in the time, when their course came to serue in the Holy Ministeries. By the same reason also by whiche S. Paule requireth Married folke for a time to forbear the vse of their wiues, that they might attende Praicinge.

1. Corin. 7.
The place of Chrysostome, alleged by this Defender, wel considered, disproueth no parte of the Catholike Doctrin in this behalfe, but condemneth both the Doctrin, and common Practise of his companions these newe fleshely Gospellers. His woordes be these vpon the saicinge of S. Paule, that a Bishop ought to be without crime, the Husbände of one wife. The Apostles (saith he) stoppeth the mouthes of Heretikes, whiche condemne Mariage, shewing, that it is not an vnleane thinge, but so reuerende that with the same a man maie Ascende to the Holy throne, or seate (he meaneth the state of a Bishop) and herewith he chastiseth and restraineth the vnchaste persones, not permitting them, who haue twise Married, to attaine suche a rounge. For whereas he keepeth no beneuolence towards his wife * deceased, how can he be a good gouernour? yea what greuous accusations shal not he be subiecte vnto dailely? For ye al knowe righte wel, that albeit by the Lawes the seconde Mariages be permitted, yet that mater lieth open to many accusations. And therefore he would a Bishop to geue no occasion (of euil) to those that be vnder him. He putteth bigamie * that is to witte, maryinge an other after the firste or a widowe, to be lawful rather then commendable.

Strumpets.
So wee see the impure Bigamie of our Holy Gospellers condemned bothe by Chrysostome, and S. Paule, of whom many beinge Priestes, and (as they saie) Bishoppes, at leaste presuminge to occupie that Holy seate for custodie of their Chastitie, after their former olde yokefelowes decease, solace them selues with newe Strumpetes. By a better name I woulde cal them, if I wiste I shoulde not offende. For what woman so euer coupleth her selfe in sutche damnable yokinge, howe can shee appeare either to be honeste, or to haue care of her soule Healthe? As for the simple that be deceived by the importunitie and craft of those Lurdens, as they are not to be borne withal, so yet I thinke them to be pitied.

After holy Orders received, Mariage neuer compted lawfull amonge Catholikes Priests married in Englande in the time of Anselmus.
But if this Defender presse vs with Chrysostome, wee answere, that though Chrysostome graunte, that a Married man maie ascende to the Holy seate, yet he saith not, that a man descende from that Holy seate to the Bride Bedde. For wee denie vtterly, that any man after that he hath received Holy Orders, maie Marrie. * Neither can it be shewed, that the Mariage of sutche was euer accompted lawfull in the Catholique Church. In deepe wee knowe, that in Germanie, and in Englande, and certaine other Prouinces at dissolute times, when the discipline of the Church was shaken of, Priestes haue benne Married, as wee reade of the time in whiche Anselmus was Bishop of Cantorburie. But that disorder was alwaies by * due correction of Bishoppes punished, and redressed.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, I graunte, M. Hardinge is like to finde some good advantage, as hauinge vndoubtedly a greate number of the Holy Fathers of his side. For Single Life in the eyes of Politique wise menne, for many causes sameth worthy of fauour. But, good Christian Reader, that thou maist be the better vable to see, and iudge bowe vprightly, and indifferently the Fathers haue dealt herein, either in the auantinge of Virginitie, or els in the disgracinge of Lawfull Matrimonic, it maie please thee to consider the fourme, and manner of their sayings.

In deepe Origen saith, Potest aliquis de Castitate plus sapere, quam oporteat sapere: A man maie thinke more of Chastitie, then is conueniente. Likewise saith S. Hierome:

R y

S. Hierome:

The first Faith S. Hierome expoundeth, The Faith of Baptisme. See the Answere.

This Pope Siricius shamefully abuseth the woordes of S. Paule, and condemneth al Mariage.

* Vntrute. For M. Hardinge sowly mistaketh S. Chrysostomes meaninge. * Vntrute, standinge in false exposition

* Vntrute. For it was vndoubtedly compted lawfull. * That is by allowinge of Concubines.

Origen in Epist ad Roman. Cap. 12. Lib. 2.

Hierony. in Ma-
lachi. cap. 2. Vos
autem recessistis

Eccle. 7.

Testal. in ex-
horta. ad Casti-
tatem.

Eodem loco.

Chrysost. in O-
pere Imperfe-
cto, Homil. 1.

S. Hierome : Declinare ad Dextram, est abstinere à Cibis, quos Deus creauit, & Con-
demnare Matrimonium, & incurrere in illud, quod dictum est, Noli iustus esse nimi-
um: To turne to the Righte hande, is to absteyne from Meates, whiche God hath made, and to
Condemne Matrimonie: and to falle into the danger of the Woordes written by the Wiseman,
Neuer be ouer iuste.

Firste therefore Tertullian in the disproufe of Matrimonie, saith thus : Ecquid
tibi videtur Stupri affine esse Matrimonium ? Quoniam in illo deprehendo, quæ stu-
pro competunt : Doctte not thou thinke, that Matrimonie is like vnto Fornication ? Cer-
tainly I finde the same thinges in the One, that I finde in the Other. Farther he saith:
Ergo, inquit, iam & Primas, id est, vnas Nuptias destruis. Nec immerito : Quoniam
& ipsa ex eo constant, quod est stuprum : Then, thou wilt saie to mee, thou condemnest
also the Firste Marriage. Yea verily, and not without cause: For bothe Matrimonie, and
Fornication consist bothe of one thinge. Chrysostome saith, Hæc ipsa Coniun-
ctio Maritalis malum est ante Deum. Non dico, Peccatum, sed malum : The very
Copulation of Matrimonie is an euil thinge before God. I saie not, It is Sinne : but I
saie it is an euil thinge. And yet immediatly after he saith, Si in Maritis, &
Adulteris vna est libido, quomodo potest fieri, vt vna, eademque res pro dimidia parte
sit Iustitia, pro dimidia autem sit Peccatum ? Aut enim tota est Iustitia, aut tota est
Peccatum : Quia res Vna est : If the Married man, and the Adulterer haue one kinde of
pleasure, howe can it be, that one, and the selfe same thinge, for the one halfe shoulde be Righte-
nesse, and for the other halfe shoulde be Sinne ? Either it is al Sinne, or al Righteousnesse: For the
thinge it selfe is One.

M. Har. fo. 283. b

Addition. ¶ Here M. Hardinge saith, I doo falsely demaine mee selfe, and
beguile my vnlearned Reader. For the Authour by these woordes, *Coniunctio Maritalis*, meant not
the Copulation of Matrimonie, as you translate it, as though he saide, Matrimonie it selfe were an
euil thinge : God forbidde, any shoulde so speake of Goddes Holy Ordinance. But he meaneth the
Coniunction of the Husband with his VVife in the acte of Generation. Besides al this he telleth vs of
Fides, Proles, and Sacramentum: of *Malum Culpa*, and *Malum Pena* : Of Immoderate Con-
cupiscence, Inordination, and Rebellion of the Fleashe &c. And al to censure these woordes
written in *Opere Imperfecto*, vnder the name of Chrysostome, *The Copulation of Ma-
trimonie, or the Coniunction of Man, and Wife, is an euil thinge before God.*

Addition
¶

M. Har. fo. 284. a

The Answer. First, M. Hardinge, where you charge me with false translation,
and corruption of mine Authour, besides that my woordes of them selfe be platne pe-
nough, I meante also the very same thinge, that you meane. Onely I sought to ex-
presse my meaning modestly, & in comely termes without offense. Neither euer was
there any Heretique, that I haue heard of, that condemned the very state of Matrimo-
nie of it selfe, otherwise then in respect of the Coniunction, that soloweth afterward.
Therefore it was needlesse for you so spitefully to crie out, God forbidde, any man
shoulde so speake of Goddes Holy Ordinance, to saie, that Matrimonie it selfe were an euil thinge.
And yet God ordeined, not onely the state of Matrimonie it selfe, but also the very
acte it selfe of Generation. And therefore you haue brought vs a vaine distinction,
M. Hardinge, without sense, or sauour. You might better haue saide, God forbid,
that any man should saie, The acte of Generation it selfe were an euil thinge, or should
so speake of Goddes Holy Ordinance.

M. Har. fo. 283. b

But you tel vs a tale of *Malum Pena*, and *Malum Culpa*. *Malum Pena*, is that ne-
cessitie, infirmitie, and miserie, that is fired, and moztified vnto our bodies, as Care,
Trouble, Hunger, Thirste, Sickenesse, Deathe, and such other. But are these
il thinges before God ? Are these the thinges whereof S. Paule complaineth of him
selfe, saieinge, I doo not that good thinge, that I woulde doo: but I doo that euil thinge, that I
woulde not doo.

Roman. 7.

You will saie, The Authoure of the woorke, called *Opus Imperfectum*, speaketh
not of these Natural infirmities of the bodie : but of that Concupiscence, whiche you
calles a Rebellion of the Fleashe. If the Authoure meante thus, then, I praise you,
against what thinge dothe Concupiscence worke this Rebellion ? you muste needs
saie, Againste the VVil, and Sprite of God. For so saith S. Paule. And will you saie,
This

This is onely an ill thinge: It is no sinne? Is it no sinne, to Rebelle againste Gods Holy Spirit, and to withstande his Will? Merily S. Augustine saith plainely, Concupiscentia Carnis, aduersus quam bonus concupiscit Spiritus, & Peccatum est, & poena peccati, & causa peccati: The Concupiscence of the Fleashe, againste whiche the good Sprite desirath, or laboureth, is bothe Sinne it selfe, and also the paine of Sinne, and the cause of Sinne. Here S. Augustine saith, that the same Concupiscence, and Rebellion, wherof you speake, is not onely an euil thing, but also very Sinne in order. Therefore leaue this nicenesse, M. Hardinge, and telle vs plainely, that the Coniunction of Man, and Wife is not onely an euil thinge, but also Sinne before God.

**But S. Augustine saith farre other wise, Paulus modestè deterret à Nuptijs, non tanquam à re mala, & illicita: Sed tanquam ob onerosa, & molesta. Aliud est enim admittere Carnis turpitudinem, aliud habere Carnis tribulationem. Illud est Criminis facere: Hoc laboris est pati: S. Paule in modest manner withdraweth menne from Marriage: not, as from a thinge euil, and vnlawful: but, as from a thinge greuous, and burthenous. For, to committe the filthinesse of the Fleashe, it is one thinge: To haue the trouble of the Fleashe, it is an other thinge. The one is to committe a fault: the other is to suffer a paine. Thus, by S. Augustines iudgement, Marriage is not an euil, or vnlawful thinge, but onely a bur-
thenous, and a greuous thinge.**

But why shoulde you thus Defende an open error? This Authour bearinge the name of Chrysostome, saith, that the Copulation, whiche is in Marriage, not withstandinge it be no sinne, yet is an euil thinge before God. Where did God him selfe euer so saie in al the Scriptures? Where did the Holy Ghost euer saie, that VVedlocke is an euil thing? Neither doe I here meane the degree, or state of Wedlocke; but the very fellowship, and vse of Wedlocke. Where did the Holy Ghost euer saie hercof, It is an euil thinge before God?

Merily S. Paule saith, Marriage is Honourable in al Degrees, and a bedde undefiled. The Marriage bedde, saith S. Paule, is no euil thinge before God: It is pure, and holy, and undefiled. God him selfe ordeined Marriage: He ordeineth no ill. God him selfe commaunded the Coniunction it selfe, and vse of Marriage: He commaundeth no ill. Vnto the cleane, al thinges are cleane. He that bestoweth his Virgine in Marriage (saith S. Paule) Doothe wel. S. Paule saith not, He doothe euil before God: But contrariwise, He doothe wel. But, how could he doe wel, in geuinge her occasion to doe ill? The Holy Father Paphnutius in the greate Councell of Nice said thus, Congressus cum legitima vxore, est Castitas: The companie of a man with his lawfull Wife, is Chastitie. Touching the disordered affections, that male happen in y^e vse of Marriage, I wil saie nothing. If ye reason thus, Sutche affections be ill: Ergo, the vse of Marriage it selfe is ill. We fall into a fowle error in arguing, called, as you knowe, Paralogismus Accidentis. S. Augustine saith, Non, quia incontinentia malum est, ideo Concubinum, vel id, quo incontinentes Copulantur, non est bonum: Wee maie not saie, because Incontinencie is an euil thinge, therefore Wedlocke, or that Copulation, that is betwene (Man and Wife) that continue not, is no good thinge. S. Augustine calleth the very Copulation of Man, & Wife, and the vse of Marriage, a good thing, and that foure times together in the same one place. Howe then can you saie, that the same Copulation, and vse of Matrimonie, is an euil thinge before God? Beware, M. Hardinge, ye be not founde in the Companie of them, that saie, Good is Euil, and Euil is Good.

S. Hierome saith, Si bonum est, Mulierem non tangere, malum est ergo tangere. Nihil enim Bono contrarium est, nisi Malum: If it be good for a man not to touche his Wife, then is it euil to touche his wife. For there is nothinge contrarie to Good, but Euil. Againe he saith, Quam diu impleo Mariti officium, non impleo Christiani: As longe as I doo the duetie of a Husbando, I doo not the duetie of a Christian man. Againe, Non negamus Viduas, non negamus Maritatas Sanctas Mulieres inueniri. Sed quæ Vxores esse desierint: quæ in ipsa necessitate Coniugij Virginum imitentur Castitatem: Wee denie not, but Widowes, and Married weemen maie be Holy. But sutche, as haue leaue, and forefaken wiues dueties, and in the very necessitie of Matrimonie doo counterfite the Chastitie, that is in Maidens.

August. contra
iulian. li. 3. ca. 3.

August. de san-
cta Virginitate,
ca. 16.

Hebra. 13.

Tit. 1.
1. Corinth. 7.

Socra. Li. 1. ca. 11.

August. de Gen.
ad Literam. Li.
9. cap. 7.

Esai. 5.

Hieronym. con-
tra Iouinianum,
Lib. 1.
Ibidem.

Hieronym. con-
tra Helvidium.

The like accompte we maie make of sundrie others: These fewe maie suffice for this presente.

Nowe, touching the Marriage of Widowers, and Widowes, a greate many of the Ancient Fathers saie exp: self, and utterly to condemne it.

Athenagoras in
Apologia pro
Christianis,
Hieronym. ad
Gerontiam,
Hieronym. con-
tra Iovinian.
Lib. 1.
In eod. Libro.

Athenagoras saith, Secundæ Nuptiæ decorum quoddam sunt Adulterium: The Seconde Marriage (of Widowers, or Widowes) is a faire kinde of Adulterie. And S. Hierome calleth Widowes so married, Harlots, and Naughtie VVemen, Malas, & Prostitutas: And saith, Digamia non nascitur in terra bona: Seconde Marriage groweth not in good soile. Againe he saith, Tolerabilius est, vni prollutam esse, quam multis: More tolerable it is, to be Concubine vnto one, then vnto many. Againe, Vbi numerus Maritorum est, ibi vir, qui propriè vnus est, esse desijt: Where as there is a number of Husbendes, there the Husbende, that in proper speach is but one, is no Husband. Likewise againe, Non damno Digamos. Etiam Scortatorem recipio Pœnitentem. Quicquid æqualiter licet, æqua lance pendandum est: I condemne not Widowers, or Widowes, that haue Married againe. No, I refuse not the Fornicatoure vpon his repentance. VVhat so euer is equally lawful, muste be weighed in One ballance.

Nazian, in di-
ctum Euang. Cū
perfecisset Iesus
Origen, in Lau-
cām, Homil. 17.

Nazianzenus saith, Hic sermo videtur mihi reijcere Secundas Nuptias. Si enim Duo sunt Christi, Duo item sunt Mariti, & Dux Vxores: This sayinge seemeth to condemne Seconde Marriage. For, if there be Two Christes, then are there Two Husbendes, and Two Wiues. Origen saith, Nunc & Secundæ, & Tertix, & Quartæ Nuptiæ repetuntur: & non ignoramus, quod tale coniugium eijcet nos de Regno Dei: Nowe the Seconde, and Thirde, and Fourthe Marriage is reteinied. And wee knowe, that sutch Marriage shal caste vs out of the kingedome of God.

By these fewe examles we maie see, It was harde for these Holy Learned Fathers, in so large Amplifications of Praisinge, or Dispraisinge, to holde measure. Yet, al these vehement wordes, and Amplifications notwithstandinge, partly the same, partly other the like Holy, and Learned Fathers, bothe vied Marriage them selues in their owne Personnes, and also otherwise wrote, and spake thereof with greate reuerence.

Hieronym. De
Scriptor. Eccle.
Socrus, Lib. 1.
Cap. 11.
Hilarius.

Tertullian, as S. Hierome witnesseth, was a Married Priest. Spiridion the Bishop of Cyprus, sometime famous in the Councel of Nice, was Married, and had Children. So was S. Hilarie the Bishop of Poitiers, as appeareth by his Epistle to his Daughter Abra.

Addition. This Authoritie of Hilarie (saith the P. Hardinge) is a simple ragge, Addition and a peeuishe Apocryphal forged write &c.

The Answer. It is euen so in dede. I neuer toke it to be otherwise. Neither do I allege it in sutch greate sort, as you imagine, but onely as a Pamflet of your owne. For, howe so euer you weigh it now, they were your owne friends, that firste forged this forgerie: they were not of vs. If you would shake of al sutch the like ragges, that you, and your Fathers haue patched vp vnder the names of Anacletus, Athanasius, Amphilochius, and others, a greate parte of your Bookes must needs goe naked. But notwithstandinge these Writtes be forged, and full of fables, yet the Fathers them selues, whose names they beare, in their owne persons, were not forged. For Anacletus in dede was Bishop of Rome: Athanasius in dede was Bishop of Alexandria: Amphilochius in dede was Bishop of Iconium. Euen so, althoughe this Epistle, whiche somme of you haue forged, vnder the name of S. Hilarie, be a fable, yet S. Hilarie in dede in his owne persone was a Learned Father, and Bishop of Poitiers in France aboue eleuen hundred yeres agoe: And might in dede haue a wife: And Abra in dede might be his daughter. And what maruile? For the Priestes in France liued til in wedlocke vntil tyme of Pope Hildebrande, whiche was aboue seven hundred yeres after the deathe of S. Hilarie. Nextly, Baptista Mantuanus witnesseth, that Hilarius in the time of his Bishopricke had a wife, and liued with her.

Baptist. Mantua-
nus, in Factis.
Niceph. Lib. 11.
Cap. 19.

So was Gregorie S. Basiles Brother, the Bishop of Nyssa. So was Gregorie the Bishop of Nazianzum, father vnto Gregorie Nazianzene, as appeareth by Rufinus:

nus: Yet was he neuertheless A Faithful Seruante, and a Stewarde of the Mysteries of God: A man of Spiritual desires: The God of Pharaos: The Pillar, and Buttresse of the Church: and the Starre of the worlde. For in such wise his owne Sonne Gregorie Nazianzene reporteth of him. Dutche a one was he, his Wife, and Familie not withstandinge. So was Prosper of Aquitania, the Bishop of Rhegium, as it appeareth by his Epigramme written vnto his wife: Mearum Comes irremota rerum.

So was the Holy Father Cheremon the Bishop of Nilus: Who, as Eusebius writeth, was sente into banishment with his Wife. So Polycrates bringe like wife a Bishop, sometime said, that seuen of his Fathers, or Ancesters had bene Bishoppes. The Greeke worde is συγγενής. Ruffinus translateth it, Parentes.

Addition
□

Addition. **W. Hardinge.** The Greeke worde (you saie) is συγγενής: and Ruffinus translateth it Parentes &c. You meane not, I trowe, that Polycrates had seuen Fathers. For that were too many by sixe, you knowe. One Father is yenough pardy for one man. VVhat geather you hereof, that Polycrates was Married, because he had seuen Ancesters?

The Answer. **We** are a pleasant man, **W. Hardinge:** I see, a litle thinge make you mery. But, you saie further, I haue belied Ruffinus. For he translateth not συγγενής, Patres, but, Parentes: whiche (you saie) goeth further of in signification, then the worde, Patres, doothe, as the Learned in the Ciuile Lawes doo knowe. In deede herein, I confesse, there was an oversight. It is true, Ruffinus hathe not Patres, but Parentes. And yet where you saie, I belie Ruffinus, ye are ouer bitter. You knowe, the difference betwene Patres, & Parentes, is not so greate. For oftentimes in good signification both Patres be Parentes, and Parentes be Patres: Cicero solneth them in one, and saith, Pater, Parentisque bothe together. You saie, This worde, Parentes, goeth further in signification, then this worde, Patres, as the Learned in the Ciuile Lawe doo knowe. I denie it not, **W. Hardinge.** But wil you also saie, that Ruffinus was so curious in choise of wordes, or that he wente to the Ciuilians, to learne Latine? Terile S. Hierome speaketh scornfully of him in that behalfe, & thinketh him not hable to speake good Latine. Howe be it, let vs see, what signification this worde Parentes beareth amonge the Ciuilians. Thus therefore saith Caius one of the Fathers of the Lawe: Appellatione Parentis, non tantum Pater, sed etiam Auus, & Proauus, & deinceps omnes superiores continentur: Sed & Mater, & Auiua: Vnder this name Parens, is contained, not onely Father, Grandefather, and Greategrandefather, and al other orderly goeing upwards, but also Mother, and Grandmother. Al these, saith, Caius, are contained vnder this word, Parens. Nowe, **W. Hardinge,** for that it liketh you to make needlesse quarrelles, & to plaie with wordes, I rechen, ye wil not saie, that Polycrates Mother, or Greate mother, or Greategrandmother were Bishoppes before him. For so, I trowe, your Learned Ciuilians wil not saie. Then it resteth, that Polycrates saide, His Father, his Grandefather, & his Greategrandfather &c. were Bishops, and that one of them had bene father vnto an other. And this is al that I saie. Therefore your mirth is at an ende.

Justinianus the Emperoure semeth the more to esteeme Epiphanius the Bishop of Constantinople, for that his Father, and other his Ancesters had bene Priestes, and Bishoppes.

Ignatius, S. Iohn the Euangelistes Scholare, saith, Peter, and other the Apostles of Christe, were Married menne. So writeth Clemens Alexandrinus: So writeth Eusebius. Origen saith, by the reporte of others his Ancestours, That S. Paule, and his wife were called to the Faith, bothe at one time.

Addition
□

Addition. **W.** Of whiche Wife, as he saith, he writeth thus in his Epistle to the Philippiens: Rogo te Germana Compar &c. I beseeche thee, faithfull yokefellowe, healpe those weemen, that haue laboured with me in the Gospel. **W.** S. Ambrose saith, Omnes Apostoli, excepto Iohanne, & Paulo, Vxores habuerunt: Al the Apostles had Wiues, onely Iohn, and Paule excepted.

Addition
□

Addition. **W.** Here, saith **W. Hardinge,** VVhether is truer man, M. Iewel, that wrote the Replie, or M. Iewel, that wrote this pretended Defense. There he saith thus, The twelue Apostles, saith S. Ambrose, onely S. Iohn excepted, were al Married: Here he

R. iij

saith

Nazianzen in
Laudem Patris:
θεῶν πατέρα,
ἐνδοξον καὶ
ἐκδοξον καὶ
τὴν ἐκδοξον
αὐτῶν, καὶ τὸν
ἐκδοξον αὐτῶν
Prosper.
Euseb. li. 6. ca. 42
χερῶν.
Euseb. li. 5. ca. 25.
Novell. Consti-
tu. 3. §. finale. E.
Graco.

Hieronym. In A-
pologia aduer-
sus Ruffinum.
συγγενήδης
ἀρχιερέως.
De Verb. & Pat
significa. lib. 50

Ignat. ad Philas
de Iphien.
Clemens Stro-
mat. 3.
Euseb. li. 3. ca. 30
Origen in Epist.
ad Rom. cap. 1.
Lib. 1.
Philipp. 4.
Ambros. in 2.
Corinth. 11.
M. Hard. fol.
236. a. b.

saith otherwise, S. Ambrose saith, *Al the Apostles had Wives*, onely S. Iohn, and S. Paule excepted. Here S. Paule hath no wife: There S. Paule hath a wife. If you were a true man there, then are you false here. If you be true here, then were you false there. Or he had a wife, or he had not. Saie which ye wil: M. Iewel is contrarie to M. Iewel. Faine would I knowe, which of these M. Iewelles were to be trusted.

Ambros. in 2.
Corinth. Cap. 11

The Answer. Here we haue M. Iewel in his Replie: and M. Iewel in his Defense: M. Iewel contrarie to M. Iewel: True here, and False there: False here, and True there. *What a merry pange was this.* M. Hardinge per lachte but somewhat to make your selfe sport. S. Ambrose saith, *The twelue Apostles, onely S. Iohn excepted, were al Married.* Againe S. Ambrose saith, *Al the Apostles had Wives*, onely S. Iohn, and S. Paule excepted. I praye you, good M. Hardinge, what contrarietie finde you in these wordes? Do I make S. Ambrose first to saie, S. Paule had a VVife: And do I make him afterwarde to saie, S. Paule had no VVife? I troule ye were not awake. Per laughte at somewhat in your dreame. You knowe, though S. Paule were an Apostle of Christe, yet was he none of the twelue Apostles. What should you be tolde those thinges, that Chylozen knowe? Per mutche miscreken youre selfe. These sayings make wel stande together. Al the twelue Apostles, Iohn onely excepted, had wives. And yet S. Paule, bringe none of the twelue, had no Wife. What meane you then with This M. Iewel, and that M. Iewel: M. Iewel here, and M. Iewel there? Bot he here, & there M. Iewel saith the Truthe. But bothe here, & there, you are one man still. With should you so vainely bestowe your wittes?

Ignatius ad
Pheladelphien.

As touching S. Paule, Clemens Alexandrinus, Eusebius, and Ignatius, that saue Christe after his Resurrection, & was in companie with the Apostles, saie in plaine wordes, *He had a Wife.* Of the other side S. Ambrose, S. Chrysostome, S. Hierome, and others, that liued welneare foure hundred yeres after Christes Ascension, saie plainly, *He had no wife.* Here haue you Doctours against Doctours: Ebie against thie: and that namely touching the Marriage of S. Paule. Will you therefore come in with thise gewegawes, and telle vs, Faine would I knowe, which of these Doctours were to be trusted? Leane, leane this vnseemly sportinge, M. Hardinge. Perwise it becommeth you not. There is nothing here worthy the laughing at, but onely your folie.

Clemens. Stros
ma. Lib. 7.
Hieronym. Ad.
uers. Iouinian.
Lib. 1.

Clemens Alexandrinus saith, *Perfecti Christiani Edunt, Bibunt, contrahunt Matrimonium*: They that be perfite Christian menne, doe Eate, and Drinke, and contracte Matrimonie. S. Hierome wittinge againste Iouinian, saith thus, *Quasi non hodie quoque plurimi Sacerdotes habeant Matrimonia*: As though nowe a daies very many Priestes were not Married. And therefore he saith, as he is alleged by Gratian, Legant Episcopi, & Presbyteri, qui Filios suos secularibus literis erudiant: Let Bishoppes, and Priestes reade these thinges, that bringe vp their Children in worldly learninge (and not in the Scriptures of God.)

Dis. 37. Legant.
Dist. 36. Osim.

Pope Damasus, and others haue shewed vs, that a greate number of Bishoppes of Rome were Priestes Sonnes: As Pope Syluerius: Pope Deusdedit: Pope Adrianus 2. Pope Iohn 15. Pope Felix 3. Pope Hosius: Pope Agapetus: Pope Gelasius: Pope Bonifacius: Pope Iohn 10. Pope Theodorus. And concludeth thus, *Complures etiam alij inueniuntur, qui de Sacerdotibus nati Apostolicæ Sedi præfuerunt*: Many others beside there are founde, that beinge Priestes Sonnes ruled the Apostolique See of Rome.

M. Har. fo. 287. a

Addition. M. Hardinge. VVhat shal I saie vnto this fellowe? VVho euer sawe so impudente a man? Dooth Pope Damasus shewe you al this, M. Iewel? Phy for shame man. You a Minister of Goddes VVoorde? Nay a Minister of vaine Fables, and a Minister of open Lies. VVhat maie wee cal this in you? Foolishe ignorance, or shamelesse malice? Howe coulde you be so ignorant, or so witlesse, as once to dreame, that Damasus, that Learned Pope, should thus write! that you might seeme a ioly proctour for your brothers, the married Apostates, Sacrilegious, Incestuous, and abominable yokinge, muste Damasus needes be made a Prophete? Consider, Reader, I praie thee (for it booteth not to tel it M. Iewel) howe this tale hangeth together. Damasus was the 19. Pope: Syluerius was the 60. Pope: Deusdedit was the 70. Pope: Adrianus 2. was the 109. Pope &c. VVhat a marueilous Prophete then was M. Iewelles Damasus, that coulde thus Prophecie of so many Popes so longe to come after his deathe? As for Pope Hosius, he is a Pope of M. Iewelles owne makinge.

Addition

kinge. In the Registers of the Popes I finde none so named. Gratian hath not so many Popes by three. For he nameth not Iohn 10. nor Iohn 15. nor Adrian 2. &c.

The Answer. What meane these terrible exclamations, M. Hardinge: you crye out, Vaine, Foolishe, Ignorant, V Vitlese, Impudent, Shamelesse, Sacrilegious, Incestuous, Abominable yoking. Who hath thus offended you: what wise man would be so vehemēt without some cause: It were much better for you, to come againe to your selfe, and to learne to be sober. Pope Damasus (you saie) coule not write of Syluerius, and Denfledis, and Adriannus, and Felix, and others, that were borne so many yeeres after his death. What then: wil you therefore thus sondly falle out, and rage with me: It is your owne friende Doctor Gratian, M. Hardinge, that thus hath writ- ten. I am the reporter onely: I write it not. Calle him, Vaine, Foolishe, Ignorant, V Vitlese, Impudent, Shamelesse, and what you liste. Falle out rather with them, that haue called his Booke, The Foundation of the Canon Lawe: Falle out with Pope Eugenius, that commended his Booke vnto the worlde. As for me, I knowe, what he is. I allege him, as I finde him. Compare my wordes with Gratian: you shal see, I doe righte. Onely I leaue out certaine Popes names for shortnes sake: whiche faulte here for your pleasure I haue resourmed.

But (you saie) Hosius is a Pope of M. Jewelles owne makinge. For in the Register of Popes yee finde none so named. Yet, if you had better conferred with Gratian, you shoulde haue founde, that Pope Hosius is the firste in al the ranke. Howe truly he is either so named, or so placed, I make none account. It is your own Gratian, that so reporteth: And, as I haue saide before, it is the grounde, and Foundation of al your Lawes. Pope Damasus (you saie) coule not write of those Popes, that so many hundred yeeres folowed after him. That, I graunt you, is also an error. Bidde your Gratian resourme it. For his onely erreure it is: It is none of ours. Howe be it, as for the truthe of the mater it selfe, reade the lines of al these Popes: And ye shal finde it true, that Gratian saith, that every of them had a Priest to his Father. For example, Platina saith, Pope Syluerius was the Sonne of Bishop Hormisda: Pope Bonifacius 1. was the Sonne of Iucundus a Priest. And so the reste. Therefore, sommet what to relieue your Gratiens credite, notwithstandinge he erred in the name of Damasus, yet in the true storie of these Popes, and of their Fathers, he erred nothinge. For in dede, al they, whose names he rehearseth, were Priestes Sonnes.

Further, you saie, Gratian hath not so many Popes names by three, as I haue reckened. For he nameth not Pope Iohn 10. nor Pope Iohn 15. nor Pope Adrian 2. This, I confesse, was mine owne oversighte, in that I mingled these names with the reste, neither alleging, nor noting mine Authour. Notwithstandinge, this error proceeded onely of negligence, and not of malice, as to any Indifferent man it maie some appeare. For touching the truthe of the mater it selfe, Platina saith, Every of these three Popes had a Priest to his Father, as had the others, whome we haue named. These be his wordes: Pope Iohn the eleventh (which in other computations is reckened the tenth) was Sonne vnto Pope Sergius: Pope Iohn the sixteenth (who of some others is counted the fiftenth) was Sonne to a Priest, called Leo: Pope Adrian the Seconde, was the Sonne of a Bishop, named Taralus. Here you haue your whole reckeninge, M. Hardinge, And no cause, why ye shoulde be further offended.

But you tel your Reader, That this Authoritie is brought in by Gratian vnder the name of Palea: And that Palea is as much to saie, as Chaffe, and signifieth, that al that foloweth, is litle woorth. Sutch Chaffe (saie you) is M. Jewel driuen to take holde at, to mainteine his brothers filthinesse, for lacke of better stuffe. And is it true, M. Hardinge, that al, that Gratian laieth out vnder the name of Palea, is nothinge els, but Chaffe, and vaine stuffe, of litle woorth? What wil you then doe with your greate Donation of Constantine, whereby the Pope claimeth his Iurisdiction over the other three Patriarkes, his whole Triple Crowne, and the whole Emptere of the Weste: Shal this also goe for Chaffe, and Forgerie, and Vaine stuffe? Doe you not knowe, that the saide Donation is intituled, Palea, as wel, as this: Will you for the sauegarde of your Priestes childzen, leaue the Pope with al his Aniuersal Power, and Authoritie in the

Dist. 16. Hosius.

Fundamentum
Iuris Canonici.

Platina in Syl-
uerio. & in Bo-
nifacio. 1.

Platina in Io-
hann. 11.
in Iohann. 6.
in Adrian. 2.

M. Har. fo. 287. b

Distinct. 96.
Constantinus.

the Chaffe: Thus you vse your Authoures, and Doctors, M. Hardinge: Somme times they are Chaffe, Sommetimes they are Corne: Sommetimes they are Drosse, Sommetimes they are Goulde.

Here you haue the whole mater truly proued: At these Popes, by your Gratian alleged, founde Priests, Sonnes: The other thre Popes with the Priestles theire Fatherly fully auouched: your vaine Chaffe blown into the winde: And your Gratians exours, touchinge the names of Damasus, and Hosius sufficiently answered. Leau therefore your ordinarie folke of Foolishe, VVittlesse, Impudente, and Shamelesse men, M. Hardinge, leaste your Reader happen to open his eyes, and espie whose dralinge is Impudente.

*Ennas Syluius
De gestis Con
cil. Basil. Lib. 2.*

*Polydorus in
Historia An
glor. Lib. 6.
Ann. Dom. 970.
Polydorus De
Inuentoribus
Rer. Lib. 5.
An. Dom. 1100.
Fabian, Pa. 293.*

Pope Pius saith, A Married man, hauinge his wife aliue maie be chosen Pope. His wordes be these: Cur enim disputant Doctores, an Electus in Papam Vxor suae debitum soluere teneatur, &c. For, wherefore doo the Canonistes moue this doubt, VVhether a man beinge chosen Pope, be bounde to yeelde Marriage duetie to his VVife, unlessse a Married man maie be chosen to that roume? Polydorus Vergilius saith, that the restraint of Priestles Marriage was first attempted in Englande about the yere of our Lord Niene hundred three scoore and tenne: and that the same was afterward concluded in the Masse Church, aboute the yere of our Lord a thousande and a hundred, and neuer before.

Fabian saith, that Bishoppes, and Priestles liued a thousand yeres together with their VVives, no Lawe beinge to the contrarie.

These two Principles beinge thus laide, the one of immoderate, and extraordi narye sprache of the Holy Fathers, the other of the continual, and Ordinarie Prai se of the Church, we maie now be the better habls to consider the Substance of M. Hardinges reasons.

M. Har. fo. 32 b

Addition. M. Hardinge. VVhat wil M. Iewel make this sonde, and Childish Argumente, Certaine Fathers spake ouer vehemently concerninge Matrimonie: Item, Some of them were called to dignitie of Bishoppes from the state of Married menne: Ergo, Priestles, Monkes, Freers, Nonnes, who haue vowed Chastitie, maie lawfully Marie VVives, and take Husbendes: Of what final substance this reason is, the veriest Coblers of al their Ministers, if they can reade any Englishe, besides their Communion Booke, maie easily perceiue.

*Erasm. in Pro
nerbio. A Remo
ad Trilana.
Chryso. in 2. ad
Timo. Homil. 5.*

The Answer. Coblers we haue none in the Holy Spiriterie, M. Hardinge. And yet if we had any sutch, I see no cause, but they mighte do God better seruice in his Church, then many that haue benne, and yet are Priestles, and Cardinales in the Church of Rome. And what greate wonder were it, if a god simple godly man were made a Priest: You maie remember, that Iulius the 2. a man vtt erly void of Learning, a Cleric, from a wheary slaue, not longe sithence became a Pope. Cicerily S. Chrysostome is not ashamed oftentimes to cal S. Paule, Sutorum Pellium, whiche wordes you maie Englishe, A Clowter of Skinnes, or, A Cowler. But, to leaue these your vaine, and needlesse quarrelles, there is no Cowler so simple, but it pitieth him, to see you, in in so weightie maters, to shewe sutch folle.

The Childish Argumentes, that you haue imagined, are your owne, M. Har dinge: you knowe ful wel, they are none of mine. Thus onely I saie: Diuerse the Holy Fathers haue written ouer basely, I wil not saie, vilely, and sclaunderously of the state of Matrimonie in general, Callinge it in al kinde of men, Fornication, an il thing, and like to Aduouerie: Therefore, I saie, they maie mutche lesse be taken, as indiffe rente Iudges in Priestles Marriage. Againe, Diuers the Holy Fathers, beeinge them selues Priestles, and Bishoppes, had wives, and liued in Matrimonie: Therefore, I saie, they condemned not Priestles Marriage.

First of al, his Obiection of Clowes toucheth the Clergie of En glande. For it is knowne, and Confessed, that the Priestles of Englande were ne uer Notaries.

M. Har. fo. 290 b

Addition. Further, where I saie, The Clergie of Englande was ne uer bounde to sutch Vowe of Chastitie, you saie, VVhat moueth you to saie, the Priestles of Englande are no Notaries? VVhat priuilege haue they about al other Priestles of Christendome, at leaile, of the Latine, and VVestle Church? &c.

The

The Answer. You knowe, *M. Hardinge*, neither the Priestes of Englande euer offered any suche Vowe, nor the Bishop euer required it. And howe can he be a Notarie, that maketh no Vowe? how can there be a vowe, where nothing is vowed?

You saie, This Vowe is annexed to Holy Orders by statute of Holy Church: And Bishoppes, Priestes, and Deacons be tied to Chastitie. To Chastitie, saie you, *M. Hardinge*? Would God it were so. Woulde God the wo:ld be saue not the contrarie. Howe be it, here you allege Pope Gregorie, The Emperour Iustinian, The Councel of Carthage, Pope Leo, and others. Pra, althoughe the Bishop require nothinge, and althoughe the Priest promise nothinge, Yet (you saie) Othes, and promises maie lawfully passe without woordes. And here you bzing in many proper thinges, of laieinge handes on a Booke, of Holdinge vp twoo fingers, of Souldiers Badges, and I wote not, what. In the ende you conclude, A becke is as good, as a Dieu garde. Al thesse woordes, *M. Hardinge*, are not worthe one worde of Answer.

M. Hardinge,
Fo. 291. a, 291. b.

M. Har. fo. 293. a

M. Har. 293. b.

If the Priestes of Englande were alwaies Notaries, and haue so continued from the beginninge, howe is it then, that the same Priestes neuerthelesse continued stil in Lawfull Patrimoine, for the space of moze then a thousande yeres together after Christe, and that without repproue, and without offense of the Church of God? Were they al bounde by Vowe to Live single: and yet did they altogether, al that while, contrarie to theire Solemne Vowe, live lawfully in open Wedlocke? Who woulde thus saie, but *M. Hardinge*? Where then was the Pope, that shoulde haue depriued them? Where was then *M. Hardinge*, that shoulde haue cried out upon them, Fleashely, Incestuous, Sacrilegious, Vowebreakers? Was Incest, and Sacrilege so lightly weighed among our Fathers so longe a time? Was there neither Bishop, nor Priest, nor other Holy man within this Realme, duringe the space of so many hundred yeres, that would repproue it? Did al the Godly Priestes of this Realme make solempne Vowes, for no other cause, but onely to bzeake them? Let vs thinke better of our Fathers, *M. Hardinge*. Certainly they made no suche Vowe, neither by Becke, as you saie, nor by Dieu garde.

Againe, If the Vowe of Chastitie be of it selfe annexed to Orders, as you saie, What shal we then thinke of the Priestes of Græcia, and Asia, & of al other Christened Countries throughe the wo:ld? Are they al bounde to Chastitie by Solemne Vowe, and yet live they al in open Patrimoine againste their Vowe? Are they al Fleashly, Incestuous, Sacrilegious Vowebreakers without exception? Wherefore then doth Pope Stephanus saie, Aliter se Orientalium traditio habet Ecclesiarum, aliter huius Sanctæ Romanæ Ecclesiæ. Nam illarum Sacerdotes, Diaconi, & Subdiaconi Matrimonio copulantur: The Tradition of the East Church is one, and the Tradition of this Holy Church of Rome is an other: For the Priestes, Deacons, and Subdeacons of the East Church, are ioined in Matrimonie? Wherefore is it noted there in the Rubrike, Orientalis Ecclesia Votum Castitatis non obtulit: The East Church hath not offered the Vowe of Chastitie? Wherefore do you your selfe, *M. Hardinge*, secretly Confesse, That the Priestes of the East Church are no Notaries? Remember your woordes: Thus you saie, VVhat priuilege haue the Priestes of Englande aboue al other Priestes of Christendome, at leaste of the Latine, and VVeste Church, you saie: you dare not saie, The East Church was euer subiecte to any suche Vowe. Wherefore dothe Cardinal Caietane saie, Nec ordo, in quantum Ordo, Nec ordo, in quantum Sacer, est impeditiuus Matrimonij: Neither the Order of Priestehood, in that it is an Order, nor the same Order, in that it is Holy, is any hinderance to Matrimonie? Neither Pope Stephanus, nor Gratian, nor Cardinal Caietane, nor you your selfe moughte thus haue witten, if the Vowe of Chastitie were necessarily annexed to Holy Orders.

Dist. 31. Aliter.

M. Har. fo. 290. b

Cardinal Caiet.
can. in Quodlibet.

M. Har. 291. a.
Concl. Ancyran.
Cap. 10.

You allege a Canon of the Councel of Ancyra, where, you saie, it is thus Decreed, touchinge Deacons: If a Deacon receiue Orders of the Bishop, and holde his peace, it shal not be lawful afterwarde for him to Marrie. By this Canon you teache vs, that the Vowe of Chastitie is evermoze toined with Holy Orders. But in the same Canon it is also Decreed, That, if the Deacon make Protestation, and telle the Bishop, that he wil Marrie, for that he is not hable to live single, then if he afterwarde Marrie, he shal stil continewe in the Ministerie.

M. Hard, 292. a.
Origen, in Nu-
mer. Homil. 23.

sterie. At this, I saie, is written in the same Canon: I saie not, in any other, but in the same. We woulde not thus haue beguiled your Reader, if we had meante plainely. Notwithstandinge, I will not here touche your credite, M. Hardinge, nor vse your ordinarie exclamations of Falschod, and Forgerie. Yet here you sae, in this case, the Councel thoughte it lawfull for a Deacon to Marrie, notwithstandinge he were in Holy Orders. And thus it is plaine by the same Canon, we haue here alleged, That then your Vowe was not annexed to Holy Orders.

Further you saie, Origen, whome I maie wel allege for witnesse of the Church of his time, saith, that none maie offer the Continual Sacrifice, but suche onely, as haue Vowed Continual Chastitie.

The Answer. By the Continual Sacrifice you woulde beare vs in hande, that Origene meante onely that Sacrifice, that you haue imagined in your Masse. But in deede, M. Hardinge, Origene in that place speaketh not one worde, neither of your Masse, (for he neuer knewe it: he would haue abhorred it) nor of your imagined Sacrifice, nor of Priestes, nor of Deacons, nor of any outward Ministerie of the Church. If it be otherwise, for your credites sake, let the wordes appeare. If there be in Origen not one such word, no not one, what opinion maie your friends haue in your dealinge, whom they see so aptly to toggle before their eyes? Verily Origene by the Continual Sacrifice, meante none other, but onely the Sacrifice of Faithful Prayer: Whiche Sacrifice also thus many waies he expreth: Si sine intermissione, &c. If wee Pray without ceasinge. If our Prayer early in the morninge, rise up as a pleasant perfume in the sight of God: If the liftinge up of our handes be vnto him an Evening Sacrifice. This is the Sacrifice, that Origene there speaketh of, M. Hardinge. Of any other your Sacrifice he speaketh nothinge. Of this Sacrifice he saith, No man can offer it, that liueth in Wedlocke. And thus he saith, not onely of Priestes, and Deacons, as you seeme to telle vs, but Generally of euery of Goddes Faithful People. No man (saith he) can offer up this continual Sacrifice of Prayer, unless he continue in Chastitie, and liue a Virgine. And this, M. Hardinge, was not the General Order of the Church in that time, as you repute it, but one of Origenes particulare, and knowne Errours.

Thus you haue proued, That your Vowe was annexed to Holy Orders, By the Authority of him, that speaketh not one worde, neither of Priestes, nor of Deacons, nor of any other Ecclesiastical Order: but Generally of al Faithful Christian people: and of the particulare Errours of one man, we haue framed a General Order of the Church.

Cyrl. in Leuiti-
cum. lib. 3.

Yet for further Answer, we graunte, it is reason, and conueniente, that who so hath made a Vowe vnto God, shoulde keepe his promise. Cyrillus saith, Si Castitatem Promiserit, & seruare non poterit, pronuntiet Peccatum suum: If he haue promised, or Vowed Chastitie, and cannot keepe it, let him pronounce, and confesse his Sinne.

M. Har. fo 292. a

Addition. M. Hardinge. As for the saieinge, you alleage out of the thirde Booke of Cyrillus in Leuiticum, it can serue you to no purpose, but to witnesse your forgerie, and falschod. For there is no such saieinge in that Booke.

Addition
17

Cyrl. in Leuiti-
ticum. lib. 3.

The Answer. M. Hardinge, why shoulde you take so vaine pleasure in euill speache? Is there no such saieinge in al that Booke? What Booke had you to seeke in? Or, what Spectacles had you to beholde it? Your manner is, to pronounce ouer boldly, before you knowe. I graunte I haue not alleged al the wordes, that S. Cyril vseth. Otherwise I shoulde haue taken out of him moze, then thirtie lines altogether. Notwithstandinge I haue neither forged, nor altered, nor disguised any manner thinge of mee selfe, as it shal appeare.

Firste S. Cyril saith thus: Nos, cum venimus ad Dominum, & Vouerimus, nos ei in Castitate (velle) seruire, pronuntiamus labijs nostris, & iuramus, nos (velle) castigare Carnem nostram &c. When wee come to our Lorde, and make a Vowe, that wee wil serue him in Chastitie; wee pronounce with our lippes, and make an othe, that wee wil chasten our Fleashe &c. Here haue we by expresse wordes a Vowe, and a Vowe of Chastitie. After certaine lines it foloweth thus, Si istum ordinem promiseris, & seruare non quieris, audi, quid Legis ordo precipiat: Si peccauerit, inquit, vnū aliquod de istis pronuntiet

pronuntiet peccatum suum, quod peccauit: If thou haue promised to keepe this Order, and canste not keepe it, Heare, what the order of the Laue commandeth thee. Thus saith the Laue, If a man shal offende in any of these thinges, lette him pronounce the same, that he hath sinned. Here you maie not saie, M. Hardinge, that these later wordes were uttered vpon occasion of somme other matter, that went bitwene. For S. Cyril spake these selfe same wordes namely, and onely of him, that hath Vowed, and sworne Chastitie. Wee make a Vowe (saith Cyril) to serue God in Chaste life: Wee pronounce it, and geue it out from our Lippes: Wee sweare, that wee wil chasten our Fleashe. Yet, saith he, If thou haue promised to keepe this Order, and canste not keepe it, pronounce thy sinne, that thou hast sinned. These, M. Hardinge, be the wordes, that, you say, cannot be founde in S. Cyril. This is my falsched: This is my Forgerie. You say, your ouer haasty iudgemente made some deceiue you.

Howe be it, touching Virginitie, or Chastitie, we saie, It standeth not in our Choise, or Vowe, but in the singulare gifte of God. Christe him selfe saith, Al menne take not this Woorde: but they, unto whom it is geuen. Iustinus Martyr saith, Multi castrauerunt se propter Regnum Caelorum. Verum hoc non cuius datum est: Many haue ghilded them selues for the Kingdome of Heauen. But this thinge is not geuen to al menne. S. Ambrose saith, Sola Virginitas est, quæ suaderi potest: imperari non potest: Onely Virginitie is a thinge, that maie be Counsellid: but commaunded it maie not be. So S. Hierome, Vnde inter Dominus, Qui potest capere, capiat, vt vnusquisque consideret vires suas: vtrum possit Virginalia, pudicitie præcepta implere. Per se enim Castitas blanda est, & quemlibet ad se alliciens. Sed considerande sunt vires: vt, qui potest capere, capiat. Our Lorde addeth, He that can take, lette him take: That every man maie consider his owne strength, whether he be hable to accomplishe the Lawes of Virginitie, and Chastitie, or no. For Chastitie of it selfe is faire, and pleasant, and hable to allure any man vnto it selfe. But wee muste weighe our habilitie: That he maie take it, that can take it.

Hereof the Ancient Father Origen began to complaine so longe agoe in his tyme: Non solum quæ docent, non faciunt, sed etiam crudeliter, & sine misericordia iniungunt alijs maiora virtute ipsorum, non habentes rationem Virium vnus cuiusque: vt, qui prohibent habere, & ab eis, quod expedit, ad immoderatam munditiam compellunt: Not onely they doo not, that they teache, but also cruelly, and without mercie, they commaunde others, to doo, that they be not hable, not consideringe, or weighing the mannes strength. Sutebe be they, that forbidde Menne to Marrie, and from that thinge, that is lawfull, driue, and force menne to an immoderate kinde of Cleanenesse.

S. Hierome saith, Si quis consideret Virginem suam, id est, Carnem suam lasciuire, & ebullire in libidinem, nec refrænare se potest, duplex illi incumbit necessitas, aut capiendæ Coniugis, aut ruendi: If any man consider, that his owne Virgine, that is to saie, his owne Fleashe, groweth proude, and boileth vnto luste, and cannot staire it, there is laide vpon him a double necessitie, either to take a wife, or els to falle.

In this case S. Cyril saith, Oportet compati, & commetiri Doctrinam pro virium qualitate: & huiusmodi, qui non possunt capere Sermonem de Castitate, concedere nuptias: Wee muste haue consideration of Menne, and measure our Doctrine accordinge to every mannes habilitie: and vnto sutebe menne, as cannot take the woorde of Chastitie, wee ought to graunt Marriage.

If M. Hardinge will Replie, that this Counsel maie take place in al others, but not in them, that haue Towed, or promised the contrarie, let him vnderstande his owne rule written in the Popes owne Decrees: In malis promissis rescinde fidem: In turpi Voto muta decretum. Quod incautè vouisti, ne facias. Impia est Promissio, quæ scelere impletur: In an euil promise breake thy Faith. In an vn honest Vowe change thy pourpose That thou hast vnadvisedly Vowed, see thou doo it not. It is an euil promise, that is kepte with wickednesse.

Addition. M. Hardinge. VVhat reliefe bringeth al this vnto his cause, onlesse M. H. saie, that he be hable to proue, that Chastitie is an ill, and a wicked thinge?

The Answer. No, M. Hardinge, Chastitie is no ill thinge: It is the special gifte

Mathe. 19.
Iustinus Apolo.
gia. 2.
32 Quæst.
Integritas.
Hieronym. in
Mathe. Cap. 19.

Origen in Mar
the. Tracta. 24.

Hierony. Contra
Iouinian. Lib. 1.

Cyrril. in Leni
tium. Lib. 14.

22. Quæst. 4.
In malis.

gifte of God. But an vncleane, and a filthy life vnder coloure of a Vowe, as the name of Chastitie, is a wicked thinge before God. You knowe, it is commonly saide vnder the name of S. Hierome, Simulata sanctitas, duplex est iniquitas: Counterfeite holinesse is double wickednesse.

Cyprian Lib. 1.
Epist. 11.

S. Cyprian hauinge occasion to write of certaine Maides, that had Vowed Virginitie, and liued in disorder, saith thus: Quod si perseverare nolunt, vel non possunt, melius est, vt nubant, quam vt ignem delicijs suis cadant. Certè nullum Fratribus, aut Sororibus scandalum faciant: If they either cannot, or wil not continue in Chastitie, better it is for them to Marrie, then to falle into the fiere (of Velle) with their pleasures. At the leaste, lette them breede no schaunder to their Brother, or Sister.

August. De Bono
Coniugali, Dist.
27. Quidam.

S. Augustine saith, Quidam, nubentes post votum, asserunt Adulteros esse. Ego autem dico vobis, quod grauitur peccant, qui tales diuidunt: Somme menne there be, that saie, They be Adulterers, that Marrie after that they haue made a Vowe. But I tel you, that they Sinne greuously, that put sutch a funder.

Epiphanius, contra
Apostolic. Lib. 2.
Παρεργασίας
τῶν ἀσκήσεων
γυναικῶν καὶ τῶν
νόμων.

Epiphanius likewise saith, Melius est, Vnum habere Peccatum, quam multa. Melius est cadentem a studio, aperte sibi vxorem assecere secundum Leges, &c. Et a Virginitate longo tempore agere Poenitentiam, &c. Et non quotidie occultis iaculis vulnerari: Better it is, to haue one Sinne, then many Sinnes. Better it is for a man fallinge from his course, openly to take vnto him a wife accordinge to the Lawe, &c. And a longe time to repente him selfe of the breach of his Virginitie, &c. And not to be wounded with priue darts euery daie.

Hieronym. ad
Virginem De
Metriadem.

S. Hierome saith, Sanctum Virginum Propositum, & Coelestis, Angelorumque familie Gloriam quarundam non bene se agentium nomen infamat. Quibus aperte dicendum est, vt, aut nubant, si se non possunt continere: aut contineant, si nolunt nubere: The name of certaine Virgins not wel behauinge them selues, defameth, and shameth the Holy pourpose of Virgins, and the Glorie of the Heauenty, and Angelical Familie. Whome wee muste plainely charge, that either they Marrie, if they cannot Containe: or that they Containe, if they wil not Marrie.

August. De San-
cta Virginitate,
Cap 34

To like pourpose S. Augustine saith: Multas earum reuocat a nubendo, non amor praelari propositi, sed aperti dedecoris timor, veniens & ipse a Superbia: qua formidatur, hominibus magis displicere, quam Deo. Nubere nolunt: & ideo non nubunt, quia impunè non possunt: quæ melius nuberent, quam vrerentur, id est, quam occulta flamma concupiscentiæ in ipsa Conscientia vastarentur: quas poenitet Professionis, & piget Confessionis: Many of them are kepte from Marriage, not for loue of their goodly pourpose of Virginitie, but for feare of open shame, whiche shame also proceedeth of Pride: For that they are more afraide to displease Man, then God. They wil not marrie: and therefore they marrie not, because they cannot without rebuke. Yet, better were it for them to marrie, then to burne, that is to saie, then with the flame of theire Concupiscentie in theire owne Conscience to be wasted. They are soary of their Profession: And yet it greueneth them to Confesse, &c.

Mathe. 6.

Actor. 23.

21 Que 4 Inter
cetera.

Alphonfus Pbi
lippica 19.

It is not sufficiente to saie, I haue Vowed. Herode Vowed Iohn Baptistes head: The Iewes Vowed S. Paules deathe: Hubaldus, as it is noted by Gratian in the Decretis, made a Vowe, that he would neuer heaue his owne Mother, or Brother, were theire neede neuer so greate.

Alphonfus Viruesius, one of the Hardinges greatest Doctors, saith thus: Si quis Vouerit, & continere non possit, & omnia expertus, nihil promouerit, ego illi author essem, non vt proprio Consilio, sed vt autoritate Pontificis saluti suæ consulat per Matrimonium: If any man haue Vowed, and Cannot containe, and hauinge asailed al meanes, yet be neuer the neare, I woulde aduise him, that he shoulde provide for the safetie of his Soule by Marriage: And yet not of his owne Counsel, but by licence, and Authoritie of the Pope.

Thomas 2. 2. qu.
88. Artic. 12.

Likewise Thomas of Aquine saith, Potest contingere, quod in aliquo casu sit, vel simpliciter malum, vel inutile, vel Maioris boni impeditium. Et ideo necesse est, quod determinetur, in tali casu, Votum non esse seruandum: If maie happen, that in summe

some case a Vowe maie be, either viterly il, or vnprofitable, or an hinderance vnto somme other good thinge of more weighte. And therefore it muste of necessitie be determined, that in sutch a case, a Vowe oughte not to be keapte. Wherefore it was thus Decreed in the Councel of Toledo: Non est seruandum Sacramentum, quod male, & incaute promittitur: A Vowe il, and vnadvisedly promised, ought not to be keapte.

*Concil. Tolosan.
S. Can. 2.*

Addition

Addition. ¶ *M. Hardinge*, Here *M. Iewel* allegeth the saicings of sundrie Holy Fathers, Counsellinge those, that either cannot, or wil not keepe Chastitie, to take the remedie, that God hath ordeined, that is to saie, to Marrie. VVhiche Counsel is vnderstanded to be geuen vnto them, that haue made no Vowe at al to the contrarie.

M. Hard. 294. a.

The Answer. Howe then, *M. Hardinge*, if you be not hable to keepe Chastitie? Is your Vowe sufficiente to make you hable? Or wil you keepe your Vowe, and lye in filthinesse? Or, if you so lye, shal you be excused by your Vowe? *S. Paule* saith, Fornicators, and Adnouterours the Lorde wil iudge: They shal haue no parte in the Kingdome of Christe, and God. Woe be to that Vowe, that worketh the destruction of the Soule.

But (you saie) this Counsel is geuen to them, that haue made no Vowe. Thus you saie, and yet you knowe, you saie vntruely. Beholde the Authozitties: The wordes be plaine: The Holy Fathers spake expressely of them, that haue Vowed. Lette *S. Cyprian* be an erample for the reste. Thus he saith, Quod si se ex Fide Christo dicauerunt, pudice, & caste sine vlla fabula perseuerent, &c. Sin autem perseuerare nolunt, vel non possunt, melius est, vt nubant, &c. If they haue in Fairthe Vowed them selues to Christe, let them continewe Chastely, and honestly without guile, &c. But, if they wil not, or cannot continewe, better it is for them to Marrie, then with their pleasures to falle into the fiere of Helle. Beholde, *M. Hardinge*, *S. Cyprian* speaketh namely of them, that haue Vowed them selues to Christe. Vnto them he directeth his Counsel: Of sutch he saith, It is better for them to Marrie.

M. Hard. 294. a.

*Cyprian, Lib. 1.
Epist. 11.*

You saie, *S. Cyprian* speaketh of them, that haue made a Vowe, *S. Cyprian* him selfe saith, He speaketh of them, that haue made no Vowe. And muste we belue you before *S. Cyprian*? Why shoulde you with sutch vntruthes, and vniustities deceiue the world?

Yet not withstandinge you telle vs, contrarie to al that *S. Cyprian* hath taughte you, If a man haue once made a Vowe, though he be not hable to lye Chaste, yet he maie in no wise Marrie: That is to saie, he muste lye in Fornication, or other filthinesse. *S. Paule* saith, If they lye not Chastely, let them Marrie: Better it is to Marrie, then to burne. But by your aduise, we muste take *S. Pauls* wordes, and turne them backward, and saie thus, Marrie not, though you lye vnchastely: Better it is to burne in Concupiscence, then to Marrie.

¶

Here, *M. Hardinge*, ye crye out in your inordinate heate: Fleasliely Gospellers: Euangelical Vowebreakers: Impure Bigamine: Filthie railinge rabble: Lordaines: Strumpettes: And I wote not, what: as if this were the onely waie, to winne the Masse. Vnto this kinde of eloquence I wil make you none other answer, then that *Demetrius* sometime made vnto *Lysimachus*: Scortum apud nos modestius viuit, quam apud vos Penelope: One of these, whome it liketh you, to calle Strumpettes, liueth more soberly amongest vs, then dooth amongest you your Chaste Penelope.

It appeareth by *S. Augustine*, *M. Hardinge*, that your error is Anciente, and beganne longe agoe. For he saith, that euen then in his time there were somme, that mainteined the same. But he answered them then, as we do you now, They spake fondely, and vnadvisedly: and vnderstoode not, what they saide. His wordes be these, Qui dicunt, talium Nuptias non esse Nuptias, sed potius Adulteria, mihi non videntur satis acute, ac diligenter considerare, quid dicant: They that saie, The Marriage of sutch menne, or weemen, (as haue Vowed Chastitie) is no Marriage, but rather Adulteris, seeme vnto me not to consider discretely, and wisely, what they saie. And againe, Fit per hanc minus consideratam opinionem, vt cum volunt eas separatas reddere Continentix, faciant Maritos earum Adulteros Veros, cum suis Vxoribus viuis, alteras duxerint: It cometh to passe by this Vnadvised Opinion, that

*August. De Bonis
Viduitatis, ca. 10.*

In eod. Capite.

S ij

Whereas

Whereas they wil remooue theise weemen, that so haue Vowed, from their Husbantes, and force them to continue in their Single Life, they make the Husbantes of them Aduouterers in deede, in case they Marrie againe, their owne Wines beinge first aline.

Thus therefore, M. Hardinge, you maie imagine, S. Augustine saith vnto you, as he did vnto them: *Te speake fondly, and vnadvisedly, and vnderstande not, what ye speake.*

Dorman fol. 16.

And yet one of your Companions there telleth vs, That he is vndoubtedly the Diuelles Minister, that wil saie, (as S. Augustine saith) that the Marriage of such personnes is true, and very Marriage. Thus by the Judgemente of your late Louanian Clergie, S. Augustine is become the Minister of the Diuel.

But M. Hardinge hath further to saie, that S. Augustine called this kinde of Marriage V Voorse then Aduouterie: An other calleth it Inceste: and an other Sacrilege. That the Anciente Fathers haue thus written, it is true, and not denied. Howe be it, good Reader, as I haue partly shewed before, theise, and other like wordes haue proceeded, more of zeale, and heate of minde, then of profounde consideration, and Judgemente of the cause. Neither maie we alwaies straine such sayings to the vttermoste. For, whereas S. Augustine saith, *Suche Marriage is worse then Aduouterie*, he saith neuertheless immediately after in the same place,

August. De Bonis
Viduitatis. cap. 9

It is true, and very Marriage in deede before God, and Man, and no Aduouterie. His wordes be theise: Non quod ipse Nuptie vel talium damnandæ iudicentur. Damnatur Propositi fraus: Damnatur fracta Voti Fides, &c. Damnantur tales, non quia Coniugalem Fidem Posterius inierunt, sed quia Continentiæ Primam Fidem irritam fecerunt: Not that the Marriage of such personnes is to be blamed, That defraudinge of their purpose, and the breach of their Vowe is blamed. Suche are blamed, not for that they haue entred into the Faith of Matrimonie: but for that they haue broken their Firste Faith, or promise of continence Life. So likewise S. Augustine saith of a Whore, that used to painte her face: Etsi impudica circa homines non sit, tamen corruptis, violatisque, quæ Dei sunt Peior Adultera detineris: Although thou be no euill Woman, as touching menne, or the misusinge of thy body, yet thus colouring, and corrupting thy face, whiche thou hast of God, thou arte worse then an Aduouteresse. Here, by way of comparison, and in teaste of speache, S. Augustine saith, That paintinge of the face is worse then Aduouterie. In like sense S. Cyprian saith, Diabolo peior est, qui foeminarum aspectibus feritur: He that is stricken, or moued with the sighte of Women, is worse then the Diuel. Likewise againe he saith, Multo grauior, & Peior est Mœchi causa, quam Libellatici: An Aduouterers case is worse, then is the case of him, that hath betrayed the Faith.

August. De Do-
ctrina Christia-
na. Lib. 4. Cap. 11.

Cyprian. de Sin-
gularitate Cle-
ricorum.

Cyprian. Lib. 4.
Epist. 2.

Cyprian. De
Singularitye
Clericorum.

Such comparisons, as I haue saide, maie not wel be rackte to al purposes: but muste be taken so farre forth onely, as they were meante. Otherwise the same S. Cyprian saith, Peius est, quam mœchari, Continentiam ducere criminofam: To liue Vnchastely vnder the colour of Chaste life, (as your Clergie dothe) is worse then Aduouterie. Here, M. Hardinge, S. Cyprian saith, that your vnchaste Chastitie, and coloured Chaunte of Virginitie, is worse then Aduouterie.

Ambros. ad Vir-
ginem Lapsam.
Cap. 5.

So S. Ambrose, when he had saide, *If shee haue a minde to Marrie, shee committeth Aduouterie, shee is made the Handmaide of Deathe.* He addeth further, as of a greater euill, *Si hoc ita est, quid de illa dicendum est, quæ occulta, & furtina turpitudine constupratur, & fingit, se esse, quod non est? Bis Adultera est: This beinge so, what then shal we saie of her, that is defiled with secrets, and priuie filthinesse: and sineth her selfe to be, that in deede shee is not? Shee is twise an Aduouteresse.*

Chrysost. Tom. 5.
Quod Regular-
es Ecclésiæ cū
Viris cohabitēt.

Here S. Ambrose calleth your painted Virginitie, Double Aduouterie. So S. Chrysostome, *Virginitas ista cum Viris plus ab omnibus arguitur, quam stuprum ipsum: This Virginitie of Women amongst menne, is more reprooued of al menne, then Fornication it selfe.*

2. Timoth. 3.

By these fewe we maie the beter vnderstande the place, that M. Hardinge hath here alleged, of S. Paule vnto Timothee, *When they waxe wanton against Christ, they wil Marrie, hauinge damnation, because they haue broken their Firste Faith.* Act with Hardinge

standinge in derde S Paule spake these wordes, neither of Wives, nor of Wives breakers: but of the Widowes, that were appointed to attende upon the Olde, and sicke personnes, and therefore were relieved, and sounde by the Church.

But let vs imagine, as *W. Hardinge* would haue vs, that S. Paule spake al this of the breach of Wives. And let Prima Fides: The Firste Faith, be the Wowe of Chastitie. Wowe be it, in derde our Firste Faith, is the Faith, that we promise in Baptisme, and none other. And so S. Hierome seemeth to take it. For thus he writeth, Non sunt digni Fide, qui primam Fidem irritam fecerunt: Marcionem loquor, & Basilidem: They be not woorthie to be beleued, that haue forsaken their Firste Faith: I meane Marcion, and Basilides. These two famous Heretiques Marcion, and Basilides, were not condemned for breakinge any Wowe of Chastitie, but for refusinge the Faith of Christe: which S. Hierome calleth the Firste Faith.

Hierony. in Proo-
emio in Epist.
ad Titum.

S. Paule maketh no mention of any Wowe, but onely rebuketh such light, and waueringe Wemen, as beinge of longe time sounde by the Charges of the Congregation, purposely to relinse the sicke, and the feeble, afterwarde forsooke bothe the Congregation, and Christe too, and became Heathens, and followed the Diuel. For so S. Paule saith, Nonnullæ iam deflexerunt post Satanam: Many such Wemen are already gonne after Satan. But if S. Paule spake this of the breach of Wives, with what else then, *W. Hardinge*, do you reade his wordes? What advantage can you hope to finde in such Authorities, as do so expressly rise againste your selfe? S. Paule saith euen there, in the same place, Vidua eligatur non minor annis sexaginta. Iuniores Viduas rejice. Volo Iuniores Viduas nubere: Liberos gignere: domum administrare: nullam occasionem dare Aduersario, vt habeat maledicendi causam: Let no Widowe be chosen vnder threescore yeares of age. Refuse yonge VVidowes. I wil, that yonge VVidowes be Married: bringe vp their Children: ouersee their house: and geue no occasion to the aduersarie to speake il.

1. Timoth. 5.

If these wordes of S. Paule muste needs be taken of Wives, and none otherwise, why do you so violently, contrarie to S. Pauls commandement, either force or suffer yonge Maides and Girles to receiue these Wives? Why too ye not staie them until they be stricken in age, as S. Paule willeth you, that they maie be threescore yeeres Olde, before they Wowe? It is no reason, ye should in one place claime by one Wowe, and breake so many.

You say, The Vowe of Chastitie is annexed vnto Holy Orders by the Anciente Constitution of the Church, and by the Apostles of Christe. For trial of your Truthe herein, I beseeche you, to consider these fewe in steade of many.

Fol. 79 b.

Your owne allowed, and principal Doctor Gratian saith thus: Copula Sacerdotalis, vel consanguineorum, nec Legali, nec Euangelica, nec Apostolica auctoritate prohibetur: Priestes Marriage is not forbidden by any Authoritie, either of the Lawe of Moses, or of the Gospel, or of the Apostles.

16. qu. 2. sors.

Clemens Alexandrinus saith, Omnes Apostoli Epistolæ, quæ moderationem docent, & continentiam, cum & de Matrimonio, & de Liberos procreatione, & de domus administratione innumerabilia præcepta contineant, nunquam tamen honestum, moderatum Matrimonium prohibuerunt: Al the Epistles of the Apostles, whiche teache sobrietie, and continent life, whereas they containe innumerable Preceptes, touching Matrimonie, bringinge vp Children, and gouernement of House, yet they neuer forbade Honestie, and lober Marriage.

Clemens Stromat. Lib. 3.

Cardinal Caietan saith, Nec Ratione, nec Auctoritate probari potest, quod, absolute loquendo, Sacerdos peccet contrahendo Matrimonium. Nam nec Ordo, in quantum Ordo, nec Ordo, in quantum Sacer, est impeditiuus Matrimonij. Siquidem Sacerdotium non dirimit Matrimonium contractum, siue ante, siue post: seclusis omnibus Legibus Ecclesiasticis: stando tantum ijs, quæ habemus à Christo, & Apostolis: It cannot be proued, neither by reason, nor by Authoritie, speakinge Absolutely, that a Priest sinne in Marryinge a Wife. For neither the Order of Priesthoode, in that it is Order, nor the same Order, in that it is Holy, is any hinderance to Matrimonie. For Priesthoode breaketh not Marriage, whether it be contracted before Priesthoode, or

Cardi. Caietan,
in Quod libet
Contra Lutheru

Panormitan. De
Clericu Conin-
ga. cum Olim.
Antonin. in Sū-
ma. Par. 3. Tit. 1.
Cap. 21.

Origen in Nu-
mer. Homil. 7.
Extra. De Pro-
bationib. In
Glossa.
Hierony. Aduer.
Iouian. Lib. 1.
Inter Decreta
strictij. Cap. 7.
Rom. 8.
Ignatius ad
Philadelphien.

Council Gangra.
Cap. 4.

Dis. 31. Quoniam.

1. Corin. 7.

Joel. 2.

Tertull. ad Vxo-
rem. Lib. 2.

afterwarde: settinge al Ecclesiastical Lawes aparte, and standinge onely vnto those thinges, that we haue of Christe, and his Apostles.

Abbate Panormitane saith, Continentia non est de Substantia Ordinis, nec de Iure Diuino: Single life is not of the substance of the Order of Priestthoode, nor of the Lawe of God.

Likewise Antoninus saith, Episcopatus ex Natura sua non habet opponi ad Matrimonium: The office, or Degree of a Bishop, of the Substance, or Nature of it selfe is not contrarie to Matrimonie. Hereby ye maie easily see, M. Hardinge, howe true it is, that you saie, The Vowe of Chastitie is annexed to Holy Orders, and that by the Apostles Ancient Constitution.

But this Order (you saie) was taken, for that, hauinge the vse of VVedlocke wee cannot Praie. And, to that ende ye allege the Authoritie of S. Paule, where also ye might haue alleged the names, and sayings of sundrie Fathers. Origen saith, The Holy Ghoste in time of Copulation forsaketh a man, yea although he were a Prophete of God. Another saith, Virginitas sola potest animas hominum presentare Deo: Onely Virginitie is able to presente the Soule of a man vnto God. S. Hierome saith, Quotiescunque vxori debitum reddo, orare non possum: As often as I doo my duetie to my wife, I cannot Praie. And to this purpose Pope Siricius vndiscretely and sondely abuseth the Holy Word of God. Thus he saith, Qui in Carne sunt, Deo placere non possunt: They that be in the Fleshe, cannot please God.

These wordes seme very harde, and sounde muche to the derogation of Goddes Ordinance. And therefore Ignatius S. Iohns Scholare saith, Si quis Legitimam Commixtionem, & Filiorum Procreationem, Corruptionem, & Coinquinationem vocat, ille habet cohabitatores Demones Apostatas: If any man calle laweful Copulation, and Begattinge of Children, Corruption, and Filthinesse, he hathe the Diuel that felle from God dwellinge within him.

In like manner saie the Learned godly Bishoppes in the Council of Gangra: Si quis discernit Presbyterum Coniugatum, tanquam occasione nuptiarum, quod offerre non debeat, & ab eius Oblatione abstinet, Anathema sit: If any man make difference of a Married Priest, as if he maie not Minister the Oblation (or Holy Communion) because of his Marriage, and abstaineth from his Oblation, accursed be he.

Likewise it is written in the Council of Constantinople, Si quis presumpserit, contra Apostolicos Canones, aliquos Presbyterorum, & Diaconorum priuare a Contactu, & Communione legalis vxoris suae, Deponatur. Similiter & Presbyter, aut Diaconus, qui Religionis causa Vxorem suam expellit, excommunicatur: If any man contrarie to the Apostles Canon, presume to remoue either Priest, or Deacon from the vse, and companie of his lawful wife, let him be Deprived. And in like manner lette the Priest, or Deacon be excommunicate, that putteth awaie his wife vnder the coloure of Religion.

As touchinge the place of S. Paule, Defraude not your selues, One an Other, Onlesse it be of consente for a season, that ye maie attende vnto Fastinge, and Praier. He speaketh not this of that kinde of Praier, that is commonly, and dailely vsed of al the Faithfull, as wel Married, as Unmarried, but onely of the General, and Solemne Praier of the whole Congregation, whiche then, as in time of Persecution, and feare of enemies, was kepte onely in the night: and al the whole multitude of the Faithfull, was charged to be presente at the same. At whiche times it was necessarie, that bothe the man, and the wife should leaue the one the others companie, and resorte to Praier.

So it is written in the Prophete Joel, Blowe vp the Trumpe in Sion: Proclaime a solempne Faste: Cal togeater a Congregation of the People. Let the Bridgrome leaue his bed: Let the Spouse come from her Chamber. Lette the Priestes, the Ministers of God, weepe betwene the Entrie, and the Altare: And let them saie, O Lorde spare thy people, and geue not ouer thine Inheritance vnto Confusion. Of the like kinde of General, and Solempne Praier, Tertullian saith thus, Quis Solemnibus Paschae abnoctantem Vxorem securus sustinebit? What man (bring an Heathen) can without mistruste, suffer his Wife, (bring a Christian Woman) to be awaie from him at the Solempnitie of the Easter Praier?

Of sutch

Of such Solemne, and Seldome meetings S. Paule speaketh : and not of the
the Daily, Usual, and Common Prayers of all Christians.

Other wise, touching the Puritie, and Holinesse of Married people, S. Augu-
stine saith, Quod Paulus ait, Quæ in nupta est, cogitat ea, quæ sunt Domini, ut sit
sancta & Corpore, & Spiritu, non sic accipiendum est, ut putemus, non esse Sanctam
Corpore Christianam Coniugem Castam. Omnibus quippe Fidelibus dictum est,
Nescitis, quoniam Corpora vestra Templum sunt Spiritus Sancti : Sancta sunt etiam
Corpora Coniugatorum, fidem sibi, & Domino seruantium : Whereas S. Paule saith,
Shee that is unmarried, thinketh of the things, that pertain vnto the Lord, that shee may be
Holy bothe in Body, and in Sprite, it maye not so be taken, as though wee should thinke, a Chri-
stian wife liuing Chastely with her husbande, is not Holy in Boasie. For it is generally saide
vnto all Faithfull, Knowe yee not, that your Bodies be the Temple of the Holy Ghoste? There-
fore if the Man, and VVife, keepe their faith bothe betwene them selues, and to the Lord,
there Bodies are Holy.

August. De Sono
Coniugal. li. 1.
cap. 11.

But, these Euangelical Vowbreakers (saith M. Hardinge) pretende Paphnu-
tius to be their Proctoure. Whether he be our Proctoure, or no, is soerely not great
lp. Notwithstandinge it is plaine, he was then the onely Proctoure for the Truth,
and that againste the General Consente of all the whole Council bishops. And al-
though he were but One man alone, yet the whole Council gaue place vnto him.
Sozomenus saith, Synodus laudauit sententiam Paphnutij, & nihil ex hac parte san-
ciuit : Sed hoc in vniuersali Voluntate, sine vlla Necessitate reliquit : The Council
commended Paphnutius iudgemente, and touching this matter of Marriage, made no Decree
at all : but leaue it to each manns owne Will, without any force of Necessitie.

Sozom. li. 3. ca. 11.

Of our side thus mutche Paphnutius saith, Marriage is Honorable : And the
Companie of Man, and Wife is (not filthy, as these menne iame to saie, but) Cleane-
nelle, and Chastitie.

σωφροσύνη
ἀλλὰ τὴν πρὸς
τὰς ἰδίας γυν-
ναϊκὰς αὐτῶν
σώζει.

But, M. Hardinge, if the same Holy Father Paphnutius weighe so mutche of
your side, as you pretende, wherefore then dothe One of your Companions of Lo-
uaine so lightly, and so dishonourably controule that whole Storye, with the utter dis-
crite, and condemnation of the Writers? For thus hath he not doubted to pu-
blishe his iudgements therein to all the worlde : Mihi, nescio quomodo, in dubium
venit fides huius Historiæ de Paphnutio. Sunt enim, quæ suspicionem important, eam
esse Arianorum, aut Impudicorum hominum Commentum. Tota enim hæc res a So-
crate pendet, & Sozomeno : quorum alter Nouatianus fuit : alter Theodorus Mo-
psuestensem à quinta Synodo damnatum magnis laudibus extulit : I knowe not howe,
this Storye of Paphnutius seemeth to me to be of doubtful credite. For there be matters, that
make me to suspecte, that it is but a vaine forged tale, either of the Arian Heretiques, or of
somme other filthy personnes. The whole matter hangeth of Socrates, and Sozomenus :
Of whiche twoo, the one was a Nouatian Heretique : The other highly commendeth Theo-
dorus of Mopsuestia, beinge condemned by the Fifth Council.

Copus Oulogo
1. pag. 154.

Thus your felowes make this whole Storye to be but a vaine fable : and the
Authours, and Writers hereof, Socrates, and Sozomenus, to be Heretiques, No-
uatians, Arians, Unhoneste, and Shamelesse personnes. And therefore, I trowe, ye
will not saie, they are your Proctours.

Whereas S. Chrysostome saith, Marriage is so reuerende a thinge, that a man
maye therewith ascende into the Holy Throne, and be made a Bishop, not with-
standinge he haue a wife : and that S. Paule suffereth not them, that haue twise
married, to attaine such a roume : By these woordes, saith M. Hardinge, Chry-
sostome condemneth the impure Bigamie of our Holy Gospellers. Against he saith,
If this Defender preise vs with Chrysostome, wee answere, that, although Chrysostome graunte, that
a Married man maye ascende to the Holy Seate, yet he saith not, that a man maye descende from that
Holy Seate to the Bridebedde. For wee denie vitterly, that any man, after that he hath receiued Ho-
ly Orders, maye Marie. Neither can it be shewed, that the Mariage of such was ever accounted
lawful in the Catholike Church.

Chrysos. in Epist.
ad Tim. Homil. 2.

I doubt not, good Reader, but it shall easily appeare, that M. Hardinge in ei-
ther of these two partes was sowly perced. For firste Chrysostome as it is
D. liij

plaine

Husbande
of One
V Vife.

212

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Timo. 1.

Chrysost. in Ep.
pist. 1. ad Timo-
theum. Homil. 10.

Hieron. in Epist.
ad Titum. cap. 1.

Hiero. in Epist. 1.
ad Tim. Cap. 3.
Cathartus con-
tra Errones Ca-
jetan. Error. 99
Dist. 23. Hu. 181.

Leo. ad Episcopos
Mauritanie.

31. Q. 1. Hac
ratione.

Tertullian. De
Monogamia.

Chrysost. in Epist.
ad Tit. Homil. 2.
τὴν ἀπελευθέρωσιν.

plaine by his wordes, whiche M. Hardinge in his translation hath purposely falsified, expoundeth these wordes of S. Paule, The Husbande of One VVife, not of a man, that neuer had but one wife in al his time, but of a man, that hath but one wife at one time. If M. Hardinge shal thinke, this Exposition to be strange, and unlikely, let him remember, that Chrysostome him selfe thereof writeth thus, Vnius Vxoris Virum. Non hoc, veluti fanciens, dicit, quasi non liceat absq. Vxore Episcopum fieri, sed eius rei modum constituens. Iudæis quippe licitum erat, etiam Secundo Matrimonio iungi, & Duas itidem simul habere Vxores: The Husbande of One VVife: S. Paule writeth not this, as makinge a Lawe, as if it were not lawfull for a man to be made a Bishop without a wife: but he appointeth an order in that behalfe. For it was lawfull for the Iewes to be coupled in the Seconde Matrimonie, and to haue twoo VViues at one time.

In like sort saith S. Hierome, Quidam de hoc loco ita sentiunt: Iudaicæ, inquit, Consuetudinis fuit, vel binas vxores habere, vel plures. Et hoc nunc volunt esse Præceptum, ne is, qui Episcopus eligendus est, vno tempore Duas Pariter Vxores habeat: Touchinge this place, some men thinke thus: By the Custome of the Iewes it was lawfull for a man, to haue Twoo wiues, or moe at once. And this they take to be the Apostles Commaundement, that he, that is to be chosen a Bishop, haue not Twoo wiues, or moe together at one time. Againe he saith, Diaconi sint Vnius vxoris viri: Non, vt, si non habuerint, ducant: Sed ne Duas habeant: Let the Deacons be the Husbandes of One wife: not that they shoulde needes Marrie a wife, if they haue none: but that they shoulde not haue twoo wiues together. Like wise saith Cardinal Caietane, Apostolus Episcopis permittit Vnam Vxorem, Coeteris plures: The Apostle suffereth a Bishop to haue One wife: Others he suffereth to haue moe.

And to this purpose, Isidorus saith, Castimoniam non Violati Corporis perpetuo obseruare studeant: aut certe Vnius Matrimonij vinculo foederentur: Let them studie to keepe and continewe the Chastitie of their Body vndefiled: or els, let them be Coupled with the bande of One Marriage.

Of such a one, Pope Leo wrote sometime vnto the Bishop of Mauritania, Sicut ad nos relatum est, Duarum simul est Maritus Vxorum: As wee are enformed, he is at one time the Husbande of Twoo wiues. And therefore he addeth his iudgement of him: Priuandum honore decernimus: Wee thinke him meets to be deprived of his promotion.

Of this kinde of Seconde Marriage Chrysostome speaketh, I meane, of hauinge twoo Wiues alieue together: And not of Marryinge the Seconde wife after the deathe of the former. And therefore he saith, Secundum quidem accipere secundum Præceptum Apostoli licitum est: Secundum autem Veritatis rationem Verè Fornicatio est. Sed cum, permittente Deo, Publicè, & licenter permittitur, fit Honestà Fornicatio: To take the Seconde wife (while the firste is alieue) accordinge to the Apostles Commaundement, it is lawfull: But by the iudgement of the Truthe, in dedde it is Fornication: But while as by Goddes permission it is openly, and lawfully suffered, the Fornication is made Honest. The laste Clause the Glose there expoundeth thus: Fornicatio cum ea, quam permisit Moses post repudiatam assumi: Fornication, I meane, with her, whom Moles suffered to be taken to wife, after the Diuorfe of the Firste.

Thus therefore S. Chrysostome saith, that such a man, as had twoo wiues together at one time, or was Diuorfed from One wife, and had Married an other, might not be chosen to be a Bishop. For otherwise, Tertullian saith vnto the Bishoppes of the Catholique Church: Apud vos Episcopi sunt Digami: There be Bishoppes amonge you (he meaneth amonge the Catholiques) that haue Married twoo wiues, the one after the deathe of the other.

If al this wil not suffice, I referre me selfe to Chrysostomes owne wordes, thus he writeth: S. Paule restraineth Vnchaste personnes, not permittinge them, that haue married twoo wiues, to be Chosen to the gouernments of the Church. His reasons be these, Nam, qui Vxori, quæ decessit (a se) beneuolentiam nullam seruasse deprehenditur, quo pacto potest ille esse bonus Præceptor Ecclesiæ? Imò quibus criminibus non

non subiicitur in dies? Nostis enim, quod, etsi per Leges Secundæ nuptiæ permittuntur, tamen ea res accusationibus multis patet: For, he that is founde, to haue borne no good wil vnto his wife, (not that is deade, but) that is gonne from him, howe can he be a meete Maister for the Church of God? Naie rather to what quarrelles, and accusations (by meane of these two wils) shal he not be subiecte euery daie? For you knowe, although by the Lawe the Marriage of the Seconde V Wife (after the Diuorſe of the firste) be suffered, yet the mater lieth open to many offenses.

It appeareth (saith the Chrysostome) that he bare no good wil towardes his Former Wife, not, that nowe is deade, but that is diuorſed, and so departed from him. And therefore oftentimes growe many accusations, and griefes, bitwene the Wils, the Children, the Friends, & others: for partinge of goodes: for restoringe of Dowers, and other like quarrelles. For to haue spoken of good wil, or affection towardes the Former Wife, beinge deade, it had benne impertinente, and to no purpose.

Thus mutche touchinge Chrysostomes iudgemente vpon these wordes of S. Paule, The Husbände of One V Wife. Notwithstandinge I denie not, but certaine other Auncient, and Learned Fathers haue taken it otherwise.

Laste of al, M. Hardinge vntwaires falleth into the same Negative Diuinitie, that he sookren, and so mutche abbozreth. For thus he saith, Wee denie vterly, that any man, after that he hath receiued Holy Orders, maie Marrie. Neither canne it be shewed, that the Marriage of such was euer accounted lawful in the Catholique Church. If this tale be true, then be at the Greeke Priestes Notaries, as wel, as the Latines. But it is noted vpon the Decrees, Græci continentiam non promittunt, vel Tacite, vel Expresse: The Greekes make no Promise of Continente, or Single Life, neither Secretely, nor Expressly. And in the Council holden at Ancyra, it is concluded thus, Diaconi, quicunque ordinantur, si in ipsa Ordinatione protestati sunt, & dixerunt, velle se Coniugio Copulari, quia sic manere non possunt, Hi si postmodum Vxores duxerint, in Ministerio manebant: Propterea quod Episcopus illis licentiam dederit: Deacons, as many, as be ordered, if at the time of receiuinge Orders, they made Protestation, and saide, that they would Marrie, for that they finde not them selues habile so to continewe without Marriage, if they afterwarde Marrie, let them continewe in the Ministerie: for as mutche as the Bishop hath given them licence. M. Hardinge, I trowe, will not denie, but Deaconship is one of the Holy Orders. Yet Deacons at the time of their Consecration, makinge Protestation solemnly before the Bishop, were licenced by this Council to Marrie at any time afterwarde: and the same Marriage, contrarie to M. Hardinges position, was euermore in the Catholique Church accounted lawful.

Dist. 31. quæst. 2.
In Margine.

Conci. Ancyran.
Can. 9.

Addition. That M. Hardinge. This proueth not, that Deacons did Marrie, nor that any Bishop euer gaue them leaue to Marrie. M. Har. fo. 298. b.

The Answer. What then dothe it proue, M. Hardinge? O, to what purpose was it thus Decreed by the Council? Whether Deacons Married, or no, I will not strue. Certainely it appeareth hereby, it was lawful for them to Marrie. Yea although they were within Holy Orders, and that, by the Authority and warrant of this Council.

So saith the Pope Steuin, Gregorum Sacerdotes, Diaconi, aut Subdiaconi Matrimonio Copulantur: The Greeke Priestes, Deacons, or Subdeacons are Coupled in Matrimonic. Upon whiche wordes the Glose noteth thus, Multi ex hac litera dixerunt, quod Orientales possunt contrahere in Sacris Ordinibus: Many haue saide, vpon occasion of this texte, that the Priestes of the East Church (contrarie to that M. Hardinge so certainly here assureth vs) maie Marrie beinge within Holy Orders.

Dist. 31. aliter.

Gloss. Dist. 31.
aliter.

Addition. That M. Hardinge. If you had rehearsed the whole Decree, as you founde it, you had made your cause, and plaide the simple Proctoure &c. And whereas you allege the glose for you, you make al that be able to reade the place, witnesses of your impudencie. VWhereas the Decree hath Matrimonio Copulantur, the Glose expoundeth it thus, id est, Copulato vtuntur. As for the other wordes of the Glose, many vpon occasion of this texte, haue saide, that they of the East Church maie Marrie within Holy Orders, it is not the minde of the Glose, but as some saie &c.

M. Har. 299. b.

The Answer. You saie, If I had rehearsed the whole Decree, I had marred my cause. Neuer a whitte, M. Hardinge. A litle before I rehearsed it whole: and yet is the Cause

Conci. Ancyran.
Ca. 10.

Dist. 84. Cum in
Præterito.

Catharinus co-
tra Error. Cate-
tani. Error. 103.

Athanasius ad
Dracontium.

Cassiodorus Lib. 6.
Ca. 14.

M. H. ar. fo. 302. a

Cause nothinge empaired. The Glose, you saie, is againste me. I graunte you: weighe better my wordes. I denie it not: What moueth you thus to crye out, Impudencie, and Corruption of Gloses: Lase our wordes together, M. Hardinge. I saie none otherwise, but as the Glose hath saide. I haue neither added, nor diminished, nor altered, or changed one syllable. I beseeche you, dorbe not the Glose saie thus, Multi ex hac litera dixerunt, quod Orientales possunt contrahere in Sacris Ordinibus: Upon occasion of this Texte, many menne haue saide, that the Priestes of the Easte Church maie Marrie, notwithstandinge they be within Holy Orders. And do not I from syllable to syllable saie the same: We deale vncourteously, M. Hardinge. We are to blame, with such vphaydings, and outcries, so mutche to abuse your simple Reader. But, you saie, The Glose is directly againste mee. So is the same Glose directly againste the Aunciente Council of Ancyra before alleged. Will you therefore saie, The Council was Impudente? Compare them wel together. You shal finde them plaine contrarie, The Glose directly againste the Council. Howe iudge you, M. Hardinge, whether of these ye wil beleue, either the Council, or your Glose. Howe be it, lette the Council geue place: and lette Gloses preuaile. Yet not longe after, the same Glose saith thus, Dicunt, quod olim Sacerdotes poterant contrahere ante Siricium: They saie, that in Olde times, before the time of Pope Siricius, it was lawfull for Priestes to Marrie. And Pope Siricius was wel neare foure hundred yeres after Christe. To conclude, I haue not any wise corrupted one syllable of your Glose. The wordes thereof be cleare: Upon occasion of this Texte, Many menne haue saide, that the Priestes of the Easte Church maie Marrie, notwithstandinge they be in Holy Orders.

And of the Priestes of the Weste Church Cardinal Caietane saith, Papa potest dispensare cum Sacerdote Occidentalis Ecclesie, ut Vxorem ducat, nulla existente causa Publice Vtilitatis: The Pope maie dispense with a Prieste of the Weste Church, to Marrie a VVife, although there be no manner cause of Profite growinge thereby to the Common Weale.

Athanasius saith, Multi quoque ex Episcopis Matrimonia non inierunt: Monachi contra Parentes liberorum facti sunt: Many of the Bishoppes (he saith not al, but many) haue not Married. (By which wordes he geueth vs to vnderstande, that somme haue Married.) Contrariwise, Monkes haue becomene Fathers of Children.

Cassiodorus writeth thus, In illo tempore ferunt Martyrio vitam finisse Euppsychium Cæsariensem (Episcopum) ducta nuper Vxore: dum adhuc quasi Sponsus esse videretur: At that time they saie, Euppsychius (the Bishop) of Cæsaria, died in Martyrdom, hauinge Married a VVife a litle before, beeing as yet in manner a newe married man.

Addition. M. Hardinge. Phy vpon suche shamelesse falsifiers. O lamentable state: suche false Prophetes: This shamelesse lie &c. The trueth is good Reader, neither Cassiodorus wrote thus, nor Euppsychius was euer Bishop of Cæsaria, nor of any other place, nor so muche as a Prieste, Deacon, or Subdeacon. The writer of the saide Storie, whiche wee haue of this Blessed Martyr Euppsychius, is Sozomenus the Greeke. VVho with the Ecclesiastical Storie of Sozocrates, and Theodoritus, was translated into Latine, by one Epiphanius Scolasticus, out of whiche Hist. Th. three, Cassiodorus gathered the Abridgemente that wee haue vnder the name of the Tripartite Hist. The place truly repeted hath these woordes: In illo tempore ferunt vitam finisse

Matrimonio Basilium Ecclesie Ancyranæ Presbyterum, & Euppsychium Cæsariensem Cappadocia, ducta nuper vxore, cum adhuc quasi sponsus videretur. Here is no mention made, that Euppsychius was the Bishop of Cæsaria. The storie, as we haue it in Latine of Epiphanius turninge, calleth him onely Euppsychium Cæsariensem Cappadocia, that is to saie, Euppsychius a man of Cæsaria that is in Cappadocia. For thus he reporteth of him in the Greeke, Εὐψυχίου Καππαδοκίου τῆς ἐν Αὐγυρίᾳ, Euppsychium Cæsariensem Cappadocia Patricium: as muche to saie, Euppsychius of Cæsaria in Cappadocia, a Noble man, or, one of the Lordes of the Citie. Thus is Euppsychius, whom M. Iewel hath made a Bishop (as much as he is him self) founde to be a Laye gentleman, or Noble man of the Citie of Cæsaria. Because M. Iewel knew this much right wel, contrarie to the custome he vseth at other times, he dissembled the greeke Original, an thoughte he might better sater this shameful lie vpon Cassiodorus, meaninge the Latine translation of Epiphanius, And to healepe the mater, he stickte not to put in this woorde, Episcopum) Bishop, of his owne, and

and so calleth him boldly, Euppsychius the Bishop of Caesaria. Lette these menne haue leaue, thus to corrupte, and falsifie the Fathers, and by them they shal be habile to proue, what they like.

The Answer. *Fr. M. Hardinge:* why shoulde you thus sare, and torment your selfe without greater cause: take your owne Demaunde: Let it be, that Euppsychius was no Bishop: Yet is it satche Impudencie, or False Prophesie to thinke, that he was a Bishop: Why more, then, that one of your Fellowes of Louaine saith, *That Oza the poore Leuite was a King?* An Erroure ye maie saie, it was. But False Prophesie yet cannot cal it. Albeit, whether Euppsychius were a Bishop, or no, I wil not strine. They were bothe Wisse, and Learned, that so haue thought: And, if I maie be so holde, *M. Hardinge*, to telle you the truthe, he hath neither Wisse, nor Learninge, that mainteineth the contrarie. The wordes of Cassiodorus, or Epiphanius Scholasticus, seeme very platne, *Ferunt vitam Finisse Martyrio Basilium Ecclesie Ancyranæ Presbyterum, & Euppsychium Caesariensem Cappadociæ, &c.* How so euer it were, Euppsychius, for ought, that you knowe, *M. Hardinge*, might wel haue benne a Bishop: But Oza could in no wise be a King.

Dorman, fol. 24.

As for the reasons, ye bringe to the contrarie, to proue, that Euppsychius was no Bishop, they weighe but little. Thus you saie, He is called, *Euppsychius Caesariensis*, Euppsychius one of Caesaria, you saie, He is called a Noble man, borne of an Aunciente house, and of Noble Parentage: What then, *M. Hardinge*: Will you therfore conclude, he was no Bishop? What Logique maie this be: We saie commonly, *Augustinus Hipponensis: Eusebius Caesariensis: Hosius Cardubensis: Ambrosius Mediolanensis.* As for *Nicophorus*, whom you so vnadvisedly saie, I haue fowlie belied, as he in this place saith, *Euppsychius Caesariensis*, not naminge him Bishop, so in an other place he saith, *Protogenes Sardicensis, Marcellus Ancyranus, Gregorius Perinthius, Narcissus Irenopolitanus, Acacius Caesariensis, Gregorius Laodicensis &c.* neuer callinge any of them by the name of Bishop: And yet, I trowe, ye wil not denie, but they were Bishoppes, this maner of writinge notwithstandinge. Againe *S. Ambrose* was a Noble man, of Consulare Dignitie: yet was he the Bishop of Milaine. *S. Gregorie* was Senatorius, a Noble man of the Dignitie, or Degre of a Counsellor: yet was he the Bishop of Rome. And, not to saie farre abroad for examples, *Osmonde* was the Earle of Dorset: *Roberte Neuil, Richarde Beuchampe, and Edmunde Audlei*, were Noble men, of Noble Parentage, & Lordes of the Realme: yet were they al Bishoppes of Sarisburie. The Pope him selfe of late yeres, hath benne called Lorde of Lordes, and Kinge of Kinges. Shal we therfore thinke, he was no Bishop? I weighe not the mater, *M. Hardinge*: I weighe onely your reasons. If this example of Euppsychius like you not, we haue others sufficiente, to proue, that god menne haue married within Holy Orders. *S. Hierome* saith, *Hodie quoque multi Sacerdotes habent Matrimonia: Euen nowe adaiet many Priestes line in Wedlocke.* And to answere you with like order, and sournie of wordes, as *Epiphanius* saith, *Euppsychius Caesariensis Cappadociæ, ducta nuper vxore, dum adhuc quasi sponsus videretur, &c.* Euen so saith *Vincentius of Phileas* a Bishop in Aegypte, *Phileas de Ciuitate Thmuis, Nobili genere, & non paruis opibus, suscepto Episcopatu, vxorem habuit, & liberos: Phileas of the Citie of Thmuis, a Noble man, and of greete richesse, beeinge Consecrate Bishop, had wife, and children. He was a Noble man, and had wife, and childzen, and yet, that notwithstandinge, was a Bishop.*

Caesariensis.

*Nicophor. li. 9.
Cap. 12.*

Patritius.

*Hierony. aduer.
Iouinianum, li. 1.*

*Vincent. li. 13.
Ca. 25.*

*Athanas. contra
Arianos, Orat. 1*

But, you will saie, It appeareth not hitherto by any thinge, that is yet alleged, that Euppsychius was a Bishop. What of that, *M. Hardinge*: You knowe, there haue benne many Bishoppes in the worlde, of whome it woulde be harde for you, to make certaine prouise that they were Bishoppes. Yet notwithstandinge, for your pleasure, and for somme satisfaction of your frendes, that you maie the better vnderstande your erroure, and knowe, that this Euppsychius was a Bishop in dede, I prae you, reade the first Oration of *Athanasius* againste the Arians. There shal you finde these wordes emonge others: *Scripta Syluestri, & Protogenis Episcoporum Daciz: & Leontij, & Euppsychij Episcoporum Cappadociæ. The Writings of Leontius, and Euppsychius Bishoppes of Cappadocia.* Marke wel these wordes, *M. Hardinge*: Euppsychius

chius a Bishop of Cappadocia. Athanasius saith, Euppsychius was a Bishop, and sheweth the Countrey of his See. You saie, Euppsychius was a Noble man, of ancient Parentage, and therefore you telle vs, he was no Bishop. Athanasius knewe Euppsychius, or might haue knowen him: for they liued bothe in one age. And Athanasius wrote an Epistle specially vnto him, as it maie appeare in the Seconde Council of Nice. In whiche Council he is called, Euppsychius Presbyter Cesarie: Euppsychius a Prieste of Cesaria, as at that time, as yet, beinge no Bishop, but onely a Prieste. But you, M. Hardinge, neither knewe him ever, nor could euer haue knowen him. And yett will you looke, to haue moze credite herein, then that Godly and Learned Father Athanasius, that wrote vnto him, and, either knewe him familiarly, or might haue knowen him: Athanasius spake the truthe, you goe onely by gheasse: Athanasius spake in simplicitie: you speake of affection, as a partie. Nowe maie your indifferente Reader iudge, whether of bothe he maie better beleue, either you, or the ancient Father Athanasius. You saie, Euppsychius was no Bishop: Athanasius saith, Euppsychius was a Prieste. Againe he saith, Euppsychius was a Bishop. And, leaste your Reader should thinke there lieth some errour in the name, and, that Athanasius meante one Euppsychius, and you an other, he sheweth you also of the Place of his Bishopricke, and saith he was Bishop of Cappadocia. Nowe the same Euppsychius, of whome we speake, was the Bishop of Cesaria, and Cesaria was the chiefe Citie of Cappadocia. Thus haue wee founde (saie you) that Euppsychius was a Laie gentleman, or a Noble man of Cesaria: but neither Prieste, nor Bishop.

But in dede we haue founde, that Euppsychius was bothe a Noble man, a Martyr, and a Prieste, and a Bishop too. The Name, the Time, the Countrey, the See, and the Citie do al agree. And this same Euppsychius, beinge a Bishop, Married a VVife, and at the time of his Martyrdom, was a newe Married man. As good a Bishop (saie you) as M. Iewel. This is your pleasure, M. Hardinge: woulde God M. Iewel were as good, as he. Take heede for your credites sake: your frendes will espye you. There wanteth Consideration, and Sobrietie in your doings. Be anouche ouer boldly, before you knowe. You saie, Fy vpon such shamelesse falsifiers: O lamentable state: Suche False Prophetes: Suche shamelesse Lies. These be your owne wordes: Be haue pleasure in them. Beware, leaste your frendes turne them ouer vpon your selfe.

Dist. 84. Cum in
Præterito, in
Gloss.

Likewise M. Hardinge might haue founde it noted in his owne Close, Dicunt, quod olim Sacerdotes poterant contrahere, ante Siricium: They saie, that in Olde times, before Pope Siricius (whiche was aboute foure hundred yeres after Christe) it was lawfull for Priestes to Marrie.

But we shal haue occasion to speake hercof moze hereafter. In the meane season, good Christian Reader, by these fewe, as by a taste, thou maist easily iudge, howe true it is, that M. Hardinge telleth thee, that Marriage in them, that had receiued Holy Orders, was neuer thought lawfull in the Catholique Church.

The Apologie, Cap. 8. Diuision. 2.

And, as Sozomenus saith of Spiridon, and, as Nazianzene saith of his owne father, wee saie, that a good, and diligent Bishop doothe serue in the Ministerie neuer the worse, for that he is Married, but rather the better, and with moze hablenesse to doo good.

M. Hardinge.

VVere it not, that the weight of these maters required an vpright, and plaine dealinge, for Ciuilities sake, I could be contente sometimes to spare you, and where ye make manifeste lies, to vse a softer woorde, and terme them fittens. But nowe I tel you that you vse your accustomed Figure Pseudologia, whiche is Lyinge in plaine English, I truste you wil beare with my plainenes: amende your owne faulte, and consider the Power of truthe, that causeth me to be so bolde with you. This I (a) am sware of, that neither Sozomenus, nor Gregorie Nazianzene, nor Eusebius li. 10. Cap. 5. as you haue caused your bookes, bothe Latine and English, to be noted in the Margent, where yee mistake Eusebius for Rufinus: (b) Nor Nazianzene either in Monodia, as you note also in the Margent, nor in the funeral Oration that he made of his Father, hath any such sayinge, as ye report of them. For howe could they saie, that a Bishop serueth in his ministerie neuer the worse, but rather the better, and with more hablenesse to doo good, for that he is Married, the Scripture beinge so plaine

(a) M. Hardinge is assured before he knowe.
(b) An Vnadvised Vntruth. Reade the Answere.

Sozomenus
Gregorie
Nazianzene
Eusebius,
belied by
plaine

the Au-
thor of
the Apo-
logie.

plaine to the contrarie: VVhat wene ye they were either so ignorant, or so forgetful, or so much inclined to promote your Carnal Doctrine of priestes Mariges, as to saie so, notwithstanding that S. Paule writeth to the Corinthians? Saith he not of them that be Married, that futch shal haue tribulation of the flesh? Saith he not, He that is without a VVife, careth for the thinges of our Lord howe he maie please God? Of him that hath a VVife, saith he not, that he careth for the thinges that be the worldes, howe he maie please his wife, and is diuided? Finally saith he not, I tel you this thinge for your profite, not to tangle you in a snare, but for that, whiche is honest, and comely vnto you, and that which maie geue you readines to praie to God without lette? VVherefore recante for shame that fowle erreure, that a Bishop serueth the better in his ministerie, and is the more hable to doo good, for that he is Married.

Suche men, suche Doctrine, fleshly men, fleshly Doctrine.

Nowe therefore see you not, howe greates is your impudencie, in that you lie your selfe, and Father futch a fowle lie vpon Sozomenus, and that light of the worlde in his time Gregorie Nazianzene? The woordes of Sozomenus be these: *ἐνέρετο γὰρ αὐτοῦ ὁ γάμος, γαμέτην καὶ παῖδας ἔχων: ἅμ' ὁ παρὰ τὸ τὰ θεῶν χρίσας*, that is to saie, Spiridon was a Husbandman, hauinge wife and children, and yet for al that, he was neuer the woorse about Goddes seruice. Of this place we graunt, ye maie saie with Sozomenus, that Spiridon serued God neuer the woorse, for that he was Married. But howe, and whereof gather yee, that he serued God the better, and was more able to doo good, because of his Marriage? Spiridon obtained that Priuilege thorough (c) especial grace by his exceeedinge vertue, whiche is graunted to fewe. And the Priuileges of a fewe, make not a Lawe for al in general, ye knowe, as Nazianzene saith.

II. a. 11.

The place
of Sozome-
nus alleged
by the De-
fenders
make vt-
tely a-
gainst the
Nazianze-
nes wordes
recourded
vpon the
Defender.

Furthermore, if the woordes of Sozomenus, that ye builde your carnal Doctrine vpon, be wel examined, ye shal finde, that he maketh more againste you, then with you. For significinge that he had VVife and Children, he addeth, *ἅμ' ὁ παρὰ τὸ τὰ θεῶν χρίσας*: Yet for al that he was neuer the woorse about Goddes seruice, this reuocation or exception negatiue (yet for al that, &c.) implieth a confession affirmatiue to the contrarie.

Neither maketh the place of Gregorie Nazianzene any whit for you more, then this of Sozomenus doth, whose woordes be these after the translation of Raphael Volateranus varyinge much from the Creeke: (d) *Hic Basilij Pater Basilus item appellatus, etsi matrimonio se vinxit, ita tamen in eo vixit, ut nihil propterea ad Perfectam Virtutem, ac Philosophiam consequendam impediretur*. Basiles Father, who was named also Basile, although he put him selfe in bondes of Matrimonie, yet he liued so herein, as he was letted no whit from the attaininge of perfite Vertue, and Holy knowledge. VVere not Marriage a lette and hinderance to perfection requisite in a Bishop, this Learned man coule not rightly haue saide, *ita tamen in eo vixit, &c.* Yet for al that he liued so, &c.

Timoth. 1.

Right so it is easy to put him from the holde he taketh of Chrysostome, by Chrysostome him self. For leaste any man shoulde thinke, whereas S. Paule saith, a Bishop ought to be the Husbando of one VVife, that the same order continueth stil in the Churche, thereto he saith in his seconde Homilie, *De Penitentia Job: Non ea ratione, quod nunc id in Ecclesia obseruetur. Oportet enim omni prorsus Castitate Sacerdotem ornatum esse*. S. Paule (saith he) required this, not in consideration, that the same be nowe obserued in the Churche. For it behooueth a Bishop to be garnished with al manner a Chastitie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here commeth M. Hardinge in a losse, with Io Triumphe, as hauinge beaten downe al the wo:ld under his fete: And, as beinge already in sure possession of the Vicarage, he crieth out, Impudencies, Lewde Lies, fowle Faultes, and preatie Fittions: And sul terribly chargeth vs, like a Conqueroure, to render our selues, and to recant for shame. This newe courage is suddainely blowen vpon him, soz that he thinkeith, we haue intruded vpon his office, and, as he saith, haue corrupted and falsified the Holy Fathers. But it were a wo:thie mater to knowe, wherein. For sothe we saie, by the reporte of Sozomenus, and Gregorius Nazianzenus, that Spiridon, and Gregorie, Father vnto Nazianzene, beinge bothe Married Bishoppes, notwithstandinge theire Marriage, were neuer the woorse hable to doo theire Ecclesiastical Offices, but rather the better.

Here M. Hardinge of him selfe, and freely confesseth, these Holy Fathers were neuer the woorse hable to doo theire offices. For so mutche the woordes of Sozomenus doo reporte: *ἅμ' ὁ παρὰ τὸ τὰ θεῶν χρίσας*. But, that they were the better hable to doo theire offices, because of their *ἁγιότης*, that he denieth vnto us: and herein, he saith, we are corrupters, and Falsifiers of the Fathers. And thus the whole difference, that is betwene M. Hardinge, and vs, touchinge this mater, standeth onely in these two wo:des, Rather the better, and Neuer the woorse.

¶

¶ Powe,

S. Paule writeth thus, not of Priestes, and Bishops, but of al other Christian menne.

M. Hardinges modestie.

Neuer the woorse.

(c) Vntruth. For Chrysostome maketh the case General.

(d) An Erroure. M. Hardinge taketh one place for an other. Nothinge hindereth.

Reade the Answer.

Nazian, in Epi-
taphio Patri.
Αλλὰ καὶ ἄρ-
χὴν ὄντα γινώ-
σκων τε καὶ
ἀγαθὸν πρὸς τὰ
κράτιστα δι-
ακρίτως ἀγνοοῦ-
σάν τινος ἐκείνου
παρέχειν ἑαυ-
τὴν καὶ δι-
δάσκειν αὐτόν.

διὰ τὸν ἀδελφόν.

M. Har. fo. 313. a
fol. 313. b.

Fol. 305. a.

L. Petri. 3.

Howe, gentle Reader, that thou maist be the better hable to iudge bitwene
us, I beseeche thee indifferently to weighe these wordes.

Gregorie Nazianzene hercol, that is, of the healpe, that his Father, beinge the
Bishop of Nazianzum, had by his wife, writeth thus: Illa, quæ data est Adamo, &c.
Eua, that was geuen to Adam for a Healper, for as mutche, as it was not good for Man to be
alone, in steede of a Healper, became his Enemie. It foloweth, Meo autem Patri Mater
mea data illi à Deo, non tantum Adiutrix facta est, id enim minus esset mirum, sed
etiam Dux, & Princeps, Verbo, Factoq; inducens illum ad res optimas. Et alijs qui-
dem in rebus quamuis optimum esset, subditam esse Viro, propter iura Coniugij, ta-
men in Pietate non verebatur, Scipsam illi Magistram exhibere: *My Mother beinge*
geuen to my Father of God, became, not onely his Healper, for that had ben no greate won-
der, but also was his Leader, and Captaine, bothe by VVoorde, and by Deede traininge
him vnto the beste. And, albeit in other thinges it were beste for her to be subiecte vnto her
husbande for the Righte of Marriage, yet in Religion, and Godlinesse, shee doubteth not
to become his Maistresse.

These wordes, M. Hardinge, be plaine, and cleare, and without fitton. Grego-
rie Nazianzene saithe, that his owne Mother was vnto his Father the Bishop of
Nazianzum, a Healper, and a Directour, bothe by VVoorde, and Deede, to leade him
to the beste: and, that in al other thinges beinge his Inferioure, yet in Religion, and
Godlinesse shee was his Maistresse. And yet must al these wordes, so open, so plaine,
so cleare, be drowned with your simple distination, of Rather the better, and neuer
the woorse? Maie we not nowe allowe you with sauoure, to take al these, that ye
cal Fittons, Lies, Corruptions, and Falsifications, home againe vnto your selfe?

If you neuer readde these thinges befoze, it is no greate maruelle. You muste
remember, Al Truth maie not be measured by your Readinge.

Addition. M. Hardinge. Howe make you not all menne witnesses of your
falschode, and impudencie? The stoare of your Notebookes, whiche Illyricus, Freere Bale, and cer-
taine others of that cutte haue made to your hande. You alleage out of Gregorie Nazianzene, that
his Moother was to his Father an Healper, a Guide, a Leader, a Captaine, by woorde, and by deede
traininge him to the beste: Yea further, that in Religion, and Godlinesse, shee was not ashamed to
becomme his Maistresse. Al this is true, M. Jewel, I confesse. And yet it proueth not your purpose
at al. Howe so? Marke Reader, and consider of it wel, howe M. Jewel beguileth thee. Here lieth the
deceite, in that he maketh S. Gregorie Nazianzenes Father, Bishop of Nazianzum, when he had
suche helpe of his Mother &c. It is a weake flocke, they saie, of sheepe, where a yewe beareth the belle
&c. Thus then is it. Gregorie the elder, S. Gregorie Nazianzenes Father, was a married man longe
before he was a Bishop: and before he was married to his wife, and also longe after, he was an Infi-
del. Shee S. Gregories Mother contrariwise was a Christian woman, borne of Christian Parentes
&c. Hereby it is made cleare to al menne, how his Father was holpen by his wife, not as beinge a Bi-
shop, as M. Jewel dothe vntreuly saie, but as yet beinge an Infidel.

The Answer. It is true in verbe, Nazianzene uttereth these thinges, as
donne by his Mother, befoze his Father was conuerted. Therefore, you saie,
They pertaine nothinge to the time, that folowed afterwarde, when his Father was a Bishop.
And why so, M. Hardinge? Is this your beste Logique of Louaine? Or, wil you
teache us to reason thus? Gregorie Nazianzenes wife was a helper vnto him before his Con-
uersion: Ergo, Afterwarde shee did not, or could not helpe him? But this is one of your grea-
test graces: What so euer you imagine, maie serue you to any aduantage, y^e take
it, and holde it, as your owne, either as sufficiently proued, because you speake it,
or els, as confessed by youre aduersarie. Euen you speake out youre Banner, and
blowe vp your triumphe, and make your selfe merite. Nazianzene declaringe the
time of his Fathers infidelitie befoze his Conuersion, saithe, *That his Wife, beinge a*
Christian woman, Watched, Fasted, Sang Psalms, and praied for her Husbande, and was
careful for him. Will you therefore saie, that, after he was once conuerted, shee gaue
al ouer, and Watched, and Fasted, and Sang Psalmes, and praide nomore? Certai-
ly, as shee praied for her Husbande, so was shee a helper to her Husbande, not onely
while he was an Infidel, but also al the time of his life. And why not? What wan-
ted there in her? God wil, or Understandinge? As touchinge god wil, Nazianzene
compareth her with Sara, the Moother of al faithful women: and saithe, That in god-
linessse

lineſſe ſhee paſſed al others. Touchinge her ſkil, and vnderſtandinge, he ſaith, As the Sunne beames are ſaire, and cleare in the morninge, and growe brighter, and warmer towarde noone, euen ſo my Fathers Wiſe ſhewinge forth the pleaſaunte firſt fruites of godlineſſe at the beginninge, afterwarde ſhined out with greater Lighte. Thus waſe her Lighte, and haſtillie grewe moze and moze, and encreaſed dailie. And therefore Nazianzene ſaith vnto her in the ende of the ſame Oratton, You take it not wel, Mother, thus to be counſelled at my handes: I blame you not. For you your ſelfe haue geuen counſel vnto many, whome longe time hath leade to ſeeke your Wiſedome. So ſaith S. Paule, I beſeeche thee faithful Tokeſlowe (vp whiche wordes Ignatius, Clemens Alexandrinus, and Eusebius thinke, he meant his Wiſe) heaſpe thoſe weemen, that haue laboured with mee in the Goſpel. Thus ſaith Gregorie Nazianzenes Wiſe: Shee was godly her ſelfe, and holpe others, bothe menne, and women with her godly Counſel. Shee converted her huſbande from infidelitie: ſhe converted others: ſhe brought vp her childzen in the feare of God: Her huſbande gaue her the charge in deliueringe his monie vnto the poore. And yet wil you tel vs, that ſhee was no healper to her huſbande: for, that her huſbande, by her meanes, was not the better hable to doo his office? It ſhameth me, M. Hardinge, to ſee theſe ſoltes. Whereas Nazianzene ſaith, Shee was a healper to his Father, he meaneth not onely the time of his Infidelitie, but generally the whole courſe of al his life. Fine and ſourthe piers he liued a Biſhop: and al that while ſhe was his healper.

A weake flocke (you ſaie) where a yewe beareth the Belle. This p:ouerbe might better become a ſhepe of Corſholde with his Belle. For the heaſpe, that we ſpake of, impoſteth no ſutche ſoueraſnetie, nor bearinge of Belles. God him ſelfe ſaith, Let vs make Adam a Heaſper meete for him. This Heaſper was his Wiſe Eua. Yet did not Eua beare the Belle. S. Paule ſaith, Salute you Priscilla, and Aquila her Huſbande, that are my Heaſpers in Chriſte Ieſu. And, as I haue alleged before, he ſaith to his owne Wiſe, Heaſpe thoſe weemen, that haue laboured with mee in the Goſpel, together with Clemens, and others my Heaſpers. Yet, I trowe, we wil not ſaie, that S. Paule ſhal comme behinde: or, that Clemens, or Aquila, or Priscilla, or his owne Wiſe, or any other woman ſhal beare the Belle. God ſaith vnto Abraham, Heare the voice of Sara thy Wiſe, what ſo euer ſhee ſhal ſaie to thee. Shee ſhal geue thee god Counſel: Shee ſhal heaſpe thee. Yet the ſoueraſnetie was in Abraham, and not in Sara: neither was it Sara, but Abraham, that bare the Belle.

Thus to caul at Goddes Inſtitution, M. Hardinge, was the manner of the Olde condemned Heretiques, Marcion, Valentinus, Tatius, and ſutche others: in whos ſteppes you cannot treade without greate danger.

To be thoſe, the reſolution hereof is this, Better it is to Marrie, then to ſwelter inwardely with filthy affections. S. Paule ſaith, I woulde wiſhe al menne to be, as I am mee ſelfe. But euerie manne hath his giſte: One of Chaſtitie, and an other of Marriage. Though Chaſtitie be a ſingulare giſte of God, yet is it not god for him, that hath not the giſte of Chaſtitie. S. Augustine ſaith, Aliquando hoc expedit, aliquando illud. Nam illis, qui ſe non continent, vtiq; expedit nubere: Sometime Chaſtitie is good, ſometime Marriage. But to them, that conſeine not, (that is to ſaie, that haue not the giſte of Chaſtitie) it is better to Marrie. Howe be it, in ſutche, as haue ſtoved chaſte Life, he ſaith, It is neither lawfull to Marrie, nor expedient. But alas, what anſleth a

Volue of chaſte Life, without Chaſtitie. In deede, Marriage, as alſo other like outwarde thinges, of it ſelfe is neither god, nor ill: but as it is vſed. S. Paule ſaith, If the Virgine Marrie, ſhee ſinneth not. He that Marrieth out his Virgine ſinneth not. Therefore Gregorie Nazianzene ſaith, Neutrum horum, nec Matrimonium, nec Cœlebs vita, prorsus aut Deo nos, Mundoue conciliat aut à Deo, aut à Mundo alienat: vt alterum natura ſua omninò fugiendum ſit, alterum abſolute laudandum. Animus eſt, qui & Virginitati, & Nuptijs rectè imperat: Neither of theſe two, nor Matrimonie, nor Single Life, doothe either ioine vs to God, or to the Worlde: or withdrawe vs from God, or from the Worlde: that the one ought to be reſuſed, and the other abſolutely, and of it ſelfe, oughte to be praiſed. It is the Munde, that ruſeth bothe Marriage, and Virginitie.

Ignatius, ad
Philadelphum.
Clemens Strom-
matum. Li. 7.
Euseb. Li. 3. ca. 39
Totas matri ha-
benas dimittit.

Genes. 2.

Roman. 16.
Philippen. 4.

Gene. 21.

1. Corinthe. 7.

Ad Pollentium,
Li. 1. Cap. 16.

1 Cor. 7.
Nazianzen.
in Epitaphio
Gargontie.
Μὴδ' ἐτερον
τὴν αὐτὴν ἢ θεῷ
πᾶντως, ἢ
κρίσει σὺν ἡμῶν,
καὶ ὁ ἰσχυρὸς
πᾶν.

Marriage Healperth.

Οὐδὲν ἐστὶν ὁ
καὶ ὑπομνημα
παρθενομαι καὶ
ἡδὺς ἐπισημα
ῖον.

Ambrosius, De
virgin. lib. 3.

220 The Defense of the Apologie of the

I graunte, there be moe occasions of let, and binderaunce in Matrimonie, then in Chiginitie, and specially in times of Persecution. The natural affection of wife, and Childzen often mollieth, and melteth the harte, and causeth a man to looke backwarde.

S. Ambrose saith, Bona Vincula nuptiarum: Sed tamen Vincula: Etsi vincula tamen Vincula Charitatis: Bonum Coniugium: Sed tamen a Iugo tractum: Good are the Bandes of Matrimonie: yet are they Bandes. And although they be Bandes, yet are they Bandes of Charitie. Coniugium (V Vedlocke) is good, yet it taketh his name of the Yoke.

This is that tribulation of the fleashe, that S. Paule speaketh of.

And, albeit these Cases withstande, & oppose the Minde, as I haue saide, and oftentimes be greate lettes to godly purposes: yet, as it wel appeareth by these examples of Gregorie Nazianzene, & Spiridion, in a Goodly man they binder nothinge. Neither was this any satche singulare priuiledge, as M. Hardinge imagineth, granted onely to these two Bishoppes, Gregorie, and Spiridion, and to none other.

Chrysostom, in 1.
Epist. ad Tim.
Homil. 10.
Chrysost. contra
Iudeos & Gen-
tiles. & Hera-
clitus: to. 3, pag.
36.

S. Chrysostome saith, not onely generally of al menne, but also specially of Priests, and Bishoppes, Quamuis Nuptiarum plurimum difficultatis in se habeant, ita tamen assumi possunt, vt perfectioni Vitæ impedimento non sint: Notwithstandinge Marriage haue mutche trouble in it selfe, yet maie it so be taken (and vsed,) that it shal be no binderaunce to perfit life.

Againe he saith, Ne excuses te propter Nuptias. Dominus tuus nuptijs interfuit, & Nuptias cohonestauit. Et tu Nuptias arguis? Et dicis, Nuptias esse impedimentum ad Pietatem? Nullum enim ad Pietatem est obstaculum. Vt cognoscere, quod nihil obsit, habere Vxorem, & Liberos? Moses nonne Vxorem habuit, & Liberos? Vide & Petrum, Columnam Ecclesie, quod & ipse Vxorem habuit. Ne accuses Nuptias: Excuse not thy selfe by thy Marriage. Thy Lorde was at the Marriage Feaste, and honoured Marriage with his Presence. And yet dooste thou blame Marriage? And saith thou, that Marriage is an hinderance vnto Godlinesse? I telle thee, Marriage is no manner hinderance vnto Godlinesse? Wilt thou knowe, that it hindereth not to haue VVife and Children? Had not Moses Wife, and Children? Beholde Peter, a Pillar of the Church: He had a Wife. Therefore finde no faulte with Marriage.

Chrys. in Esai.
in ista verba.
Vide Dominum.
Tom. 1. Homil. 4.

Againe, writtunge vpon these wordes of the Propete Esaiæ, Vidi Dominum, &c. He saith thus, Quis ista loquitur? Esaias ille spectator Cœlestium Seraphim, qui cum Coniugio commercium habuit: nec tamen extinxit Gratiam: Who speaketh these Wordes? Esaias, the Beholder of the Celestial Seraphims: who, notwithstandinge he had companie with his VVife, yet he quenched not the Grace of God. Againe, Filium habebat, & Vxorem: vt intelligas, non esse malas Nuptias: sed malam esse Scortationem: Esaiæ had a Sonne, and a Wife: that thou maiste vnderstande, that Marriage is not it, but that Fornication is it. And againe, Num oblabat Matrimonium? Adutrix tibi data est Vxor, non Infidiatrix: What? Did Marriage hinder thee? No, Thy VVife is geuen to thee to be thy healer, and not to deceiue thee.

August. in ques-
tionibus Noui,
& Veter. Testa-
men. Quæst. 127.

Likewise saith S. Augustine, Sanctissimus Samuel filios genuit: non tamen Iustitiæ suæ merita minuit: Zacharias Sacerdos, Vir Iustus, in senectute sua genuit filium. Qua ergo ratione accusatur, quod minimè obesse probatur? Moste Holy Samuel begate Children: and yet nothinge abated the Merites of his rightuousnesse. Zacharias the Prieste in his Olde age begate a Childe. Wherefore then is that thinge accused, that is proued to doo no manner hurte?

Nicophorus, lib. 2.
cap. 19.

Nicophorus writtunge of Gregorie S. Basiles Brother, the Bishop of Nyssa, saith thus, Quamuis haberet Coniugem, alijs tamen in rebus non cessit Fratri: Although he had a Wife, yet in other thinges he was Nothinge Inferioure (to S. Basile) his Brother.

August. de Bonis
Coniugalibus, ca. 11.

S. Augustine saith, as he is alleged before, Sancta sunt etiam Corpora Coniugatorum, Fidem sibi & Domino seruantium: Where Married people keepe their Faith both to them selues, and to God, their Bodies be Holy.

Nazianzene, in
Epist. Coniugale

Likewise Nazianzene, Etiam illa, quæ nupsit, & de ijs, quæ sunt Marii, & de ijs, quæ sunt Domini, sollicita est, vt sit Sancta, & Corpore, & Spiritu: Euen shew that is Married, is careful both for the thinges, that pertaine to her Husbände, and also for the thinges

1. Co-
Volu-
Vow-
harte
better
the co-
tie.

things that pertaine to God, that shee maie be Holy bothe in Body, and Sprite.

Like wise S. Ambrose, Videmus Virgines de Sæculo cogitare: Et Matrimonio iunctos Dominicis studere Operibus: Wee see bothe Virgins careful for the Worlde: and Married menne Careful for the woorkes of the Lorde.

Ambros. 1. Cor. 7.

There be troubles in Marriage: It cannot be denied. But so be there also troubles in Single Life: Specially to them, that feare the Judgements of God, and haue not the gifte of Chastitie.

But, Chrysostome saith, Matrimonium non solum nihil nobis obstat ad Philosophandum Deo, si voluerimus esse sobrij, sed & magnam adfert Consolationem: Comprimit enim insanum Naturæ impetum: nec turbari finit, quasi Mare: Sed efficit, vt scapha foeliciter in portum appellat. Et ideo Deus Consolationem hanc tribuit humano generi: Marriage not onely hindereth nothinge towards the knowledge, and Service of God, If wee wil be sober, but also bringeth vs greate Comforte. For it oppres- seth the raginge furie of Nature, and suffereth vs not to be dastie, and roste, as the waues of the Sea: but causeth that our shippe maie luckily arrive into the Hauen. And for that cause hath God geuen this Comforte vnto mankinde.

Chrysost. 1. Genes. Horn. 21.

Therefore Gregorie Nazianzene saith, Nuptiz sunt laudabiles propter eam, quæ in Nuptijs est, animi tranquillitatem: Marriage is woorthie of Praise, for the quiet- nesse, and contentation of minde, that is in Marriage.

Nazianzen. In Fanere Gorgo- nie.

To be honeste, Clemens Alexandrinus saith, Habet, vt Castitas, ita etiam Matrimo- nium, propria munera, & Ministeria, quæ ad Dominum pertinent: As wel Matrimo- nie, as also Chastitie, hath either of them their peculiere offices pertaininge vnto God.

δικα τῆς ἐν γάμῳ ἐνδεχού- σης εὐσεύας. Clemens Stro- mat. Lib. 3.

And Chrysostome saith, Ne quis prætexat Vxorem, vel Liberos, &c. Hæc excusatio, hic prætextus, Diaboli sunt inuidiz: Let noman make his excuse by his Wife, or Children, &c. This Excuse, and this pretense is the craft, and deceitfulnesse of the Diuel. Thus M. Hardinge, to conclude with Chrysostomes wordes, the Grounde, and founda- tion of your Doctrine in this behalfe, is the craft, and deceitfulnesse of the Diuel.

These things considered, I doubt not, but of your courtesie, yee wil take backe your fittions vnto your selfe. The Conclusion and summe of your whole talke is this: Spiridion serued God neuer the woorse, notwithstandinge he had a wife: And Marriage is no let, or hinderance to perfitte Godlinesse.

The Apologie, Cap. 8. Diuision. 3.

Further wee saie, that the same Lawe, whiche by constrainte taketh awaie this libertie from menne, and compelleth them againste their willes, to liue Single, is the Doctrine of Diuelles, as Paule saith: and, that euer sithence the time of this Lawe, a woonderful vncleanenesse of life, and manners in Goddes Ministers, and sundrie horrible enormities haue folowed, as the Bishop of Augusta, as Fa- ber, as Abbas Panormitanus, as Latomus, as the Tripartite V Voorke, whiche is annexed to the Seconde Tome of the Councils, and other champions of the Popes bande, yea and as the mater it selfe, and al Histories do confesse.

For it was rightly saide by Pius the Seconde, Bishop of Rome, that he saue many causes, vwhy V Viues shoulde be taken avvaie from Priestes: but that he saue many moe, and more vveighty cau- ses, vwhy they ought to be restoad to them againe.

M. Hardinge.

1. Cor. 7.
Voluntarie
Vowe im-
barreth li-
bertie of
the cōtra-
ct.

There is (a) no Lawe in the Church, that by constrainte taketh awaie from men libertie to Marrie. For S. Pauls woordes be plaine, If thou take a wife, thou sinnest not. And likewise, If a Vir- gine Marrie, shee sinneth not. But if any Persons haue of their owne wil, and deuotion Vowed to God chastitie, the same haue imbarred them selues of this general libertie, and by their Voluntarie Promise, haue bounde them selues neuer to Marrie. And in fitch, not onely Marriage it selfe, but also a wil to Marrie is damnable, as S. Paule saith of V Vidowes, whiche haue Vowed to liue chaste,

(a) Manifeste Vntruth. For al Priestes are re- strained from Marriage. And Priestes be mā.

that they haue their damnation (not for that they Marie, but) for that they wil Marie. If the Gospellers allege against this Doctrine the wordes of S. Paule, If a Virgine Marie, shee sinneth not: VVee answere with Chrysostome, and other Fathers, that a Virgine by a Vowe of Chastitie dedicated to God, if shee Marie, doubtlesse she sinneth muche, for that beside Christe shee hath surmarrried an Aduouterer. If they obieede, It is better to Marie then to burne: Let S. Ambrose answere: who saith, that this saieinge pertaineth to her, that hath not promised, to her that is not yet vailed. And by restraining them from Marriage, the Church vseth no tyrannie: not if it teache, that such ought to forebeare Marriage, is that to be accounted the Doctrine of Diuelles, but of the Holy Ghoste, whereby men are kepte from wilful damnation. To forebidde Marriage wholly, Vniuersally, and altogether, that is the Doctrine of Diuels. But to iudge Marriage for somme state, and Order, of lesse conuenience, then the Single Life, or after Vowe of chastitie made, to require perpetual continencie, this is not the Doctrine of Diuels. For S. Paule him selfe did bothe. If these Defenders wil not admitte this answere, and beinge lothe to forsake their fleshly pleasure, pretende to be moued with the Auctoritie of S. Paule, who calleth the forebiddinge of Marriage the Doctrine of Diuels: I sende them to S. Augustine for an answere in his thirteenth Booke, and sixthe Chapter against Faustus the Maniche. VVhere for a sufficient solution of their obiection, they shal finde these plaine wordes: *Ille prohibet, qui hoc malum esse dicit: non qui huic bono aliud melius anteposit.* That is to saie, He forebiddeth (Marriage) whiche saith it is euill: not he, whiche preferreth an other better thinge before this good thinge.

1. Cor. 7.
Ad Virginal
Lapsum
ca. 5.
The Do-
ctrine of
Diuels.

(b) Vntrathe.
For these be the
wordes of the
Apostles canons:
*Episcopus, aut
Presbyter, aut
Diaconus: vx-
orē suam pre-
textu Religio-
nis ne Abici-
at. Aut si abie-
cerit, à Comuni-
one segrege-
tur. Canone. 5.*

(c) Vntrathe.
Reade the An-
swere. M. Har-
dinge fouly mi-
staketh the
Council.

(d) A sage expo-
sition. VVhat
had this ben to
Pius meaning?
(e) Vntrath. For
if we had falsi-
fied the words,
yee would not
so simply haue
touched it.

(f) Now at laste,
the Doctours
stand in doubt.

(g) *Mira verò
Militique
placeant.*

Nowe, that I maie shewe in what time, and by what persons this Lawe of Single Life was ordeined, for breuities sake I wil content my selfe with witness of One onely Council. VVhiche is the Seconde Council of Carthage, holden in the time of Valentinian and Theodosius the Emperours. VVhere wee finde, that this order was taken (b) by the Apostles them selues, and that the Antiquitie obserued, and kepte the same. The wordes of the Council be these, Canone. 2.

Aurelius Episcopus dixit, &c. Aurelius the Bishop saide: VVhereas in the Council before this it was treated of the rule of continencie and chastitie, these three Degrees through their Consecrations be annexed to chastitie by a certaine decree written, to witte, Bishops, Priestes, and Deacons. VVhom it is thought good, as it becommeth the Holy Prelates, and Priestes of God, and likewise Leuites, or such as attende vpon Holy Sacramentes, to be continent and chaste in euery condition, to the intent they maie simply obtaine, that they prae to God for, that wee keepe and obserue that, whiche (c) the Apostles haue taught, and the antiquitie hath kepte. Lo Sir Defender.

VVhat if the Bishop of Augusta finde faulte with Priestes liues? (VVhich Augusta, and whiche Bishop there yee meane, I knowe not, neither wel can I knowe, excepte I sawe your notebooke) what if the Abbot Panormitane, what if one Faber, and one Latomus, menne of our time (I trow) and that zelous man whiche wrote the litle tripartite treatise annexed to the Laterane Council, what so euer he was: what if al these (I saie) moued with a zeale, and beinge desirous of the Clergies Reformation, lamentably complaine of there loose life? what then?

Gette you fairer painted, and better filled boxes, then these be, or els shal ye al be taken for poore Potticaries.

Touchinge Pius, In Platyna yee shal finde these wordes, for one of that Popes saieinges, *Sacerdotibus magna ratione sublatas nuptias, maiori restituendas videri.* As muche to saie in English: that Marriage was taken from Priestes with greete reason, and that it seemed it were to be restored againe with greater. This saieinge reporteth not, that VViues were taken awaie from Priestes, as you reporte, neither that VViues ought to be restored to Priestes againe. (d) It speaketh onely of Marriage, which as, before a man enter into Holy Orders, no Vowe beinge made, is lawful: so by orders taken, a Vowe of chastitie beinge solemnly made, is vtterly vnlawful: If this saieinge had made so muche for your pretended Marriage, as yee woulde men to beleue, (e) ye woulde neuer haue falsified it by suche chaunge of wordes. VVhether it be more expedient, suche as come to the Clergie, to be required to leade the Single Life, and to binde them selues thereto by Vowes, or to enioye the common libertie of Marriage, (f) that we leaue to the Church to discusse an order.

If Pius sawe many weightier causes, for Priestes to haue VViues, then for their Single Life, as yee pretende he did: why did not he, or you in his steede shewe vs, what and howe substantiall they be? If they be no better, then you and your felowes haue vttered in your Sermons, and writings hitherto, they are not woorth (g) a Pipit nootte. This saieinge of Pius is wel to be weighed and scanned.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Who so euer shal wel consider the Fruites that haue growen of this Tree, maie easly iudge, by whome it was planted. Certainely S. Paule, when he had giuen Rules, touchinge the Clergie, that Bishoppes, and Deacons shoulde be the Husbendes of One Wife, immediately afterwarde, in the same tenoure, and course of speache, saith thus: *The Sprite saith plainly, that in the later times, summe shal departe from the Faith, geeuings eare vnto lieinge Sprits, and to the Doctrine of Diuels, viteringe lies vnder Hypocrisie, hauinge their Conscience burnte with a hote yron (1 thereby made vn sensible) Forebiddinge Marriage, &c.* Here we are taught by the Sprite of God,

1. Timoth. 4.

God, that Forbiddinge of Marriage, is the Doctrin of Diuels.

But *M. Hardinge* saith, There is no Lawe in the Church, to restraine Marriage: and that Marriage is not now condemned by him, and others of that side, and thought vnlawful Vniuersally in al menne, (for that, he saith, was the Heresie of Valentinus, Marcion, Montanus, and others) but onely forbidden, and thought vnlawful in a fewe. By this answer, *M. Hardinge* made some to make him selfe somewhat better, then was Valentinus, and Marcion, and other Olde Heretiques. But further to heale him, and thowly to cleare his Doctrin, it will not serue. It is a Common knowne Rule in the Scholes, Magis, & Minus, non mutant Speciem. Verily, as he, that killeth but a fewe men, is called a Murtherer: Euen so he, that Condemneth Marriage in a fewe, muste likewise be called a Condemner of Marriage. Neither doth S. Paule, when he prophesieth hereof, saie, that somme shoulde Condemne al kinde of Marriage Vniuersally, in al manner of Menne: but onely he saith, There shalbe somme, that shal forbidde Marriage: as the Bishoppes of Rome haue donne.

Neither did al Heretiques Condemne Marriage in one sort. For somme of them condemned it Generally, and thowly, and altogether: Somme others of them Condemned it onely in a fewe, euen as *M. Hardinge*, and his Fellowes do. For thus S. Augustine writeth of the Heretiques named the Manichees, Auditores, qui appellantur apud eos, & Carnibus vescuntur, & agros colunt, & si voluerint, Vxores habent: Quorum nihil faciunt illi, qui vocantur Electi: They, that amonge them be called, the Hearers, doe bothe eate Fleashe, and till the ground, and Marrie Wiues too, if they list. But no such thinge maie they doo, that be called Electi. The Auditores, or Hearers amonge the Manicheis, were as the late people: The Electi, or Chosen, were as the Clergie. These Heretiques the Manicheis Condemned Marriage, not generally in al sortes, and degrees of Menne: but onely, as *M. Hardinge* dothe, in a fewe. Yet were they Heretiques notwithstandinge, and their Doctrin was the Doctrin of Diuels.

S. Augustine saith vnto them, as he woulde also saie vnto *M. Hardinge*: Non Concubitus, sed, vt longè ante ab Apostolo dictum est, Verè Nuptias prohibetis: Ye forbidde, not Copulation, (or Concubines) But, euen as the Apostle Prophesied longe before, Verily, and in deede, ye forbidde Marriage: (Albeit it were but in a fewe).

At this, *M. Hardinge*, notwithstandinge, that it maie plainly appeare, whoes Doctrin ye teache, it shalbe god, and not from the pourpose, bylesely to disclose somme parte of it. And here, to passe ouer these wordes of Chrysostome, Hæc ipsa Coniunctio Maritalis malum est ante Deum: This very Copulation of Man, and Wife, is euill before God. And theise of S. Hierome, Quam diu impleo Mariti officium, non impleo officium Christiani: As longe as I doe the dewtie of a Husbando, I doo not the dewtie of a Christian: And other like sayings, and Authorities before alleged, Pope Innocentius in the Condemnation of Marriage saith thus: Qui in carne sunt, Deo placere non possunt: They that be in the Fleashe, (that is to saie, in Marriage) cannot please God. And to this pourpose be allegeth theise wordes of S. Paule, To the Cleane, al thinges are Cleane: But to the filthy, and Infidelles (wherby the same Pope Innocentius vnderstandeth Married people) nothinge is Cleane: But bothe their minde, and their Conscience is defiled. Pope Siricius calleth Marriage, Vitium, Luxuriam, Fœdas Cupiditates: Vice, Lecherie, and Filthy Lustes. One of your late Englishe Doctours of Louaine saith, That the whole East Church, maintaininge the lawfull Marriage of Priests, euermore continued there from the Apostles time vntil this daie, maintaineth, and continueth a Schole of Filthinesse.

Further you maie remember, that this Rule is written in a Booke of your owne Doctrin, and is geuen in Secrete, as a Special Lesson vnto your Clergie, Si non Castè, tamen cautè: If thou deale not Chastely, yet deale Charily.

From whence had you this Doctrin, *M. Hardinge*? Who set it firste abroche? Who taught it? Who confirmed it? Who allowed it? If ye can saie, it is not the Doctrin of the Diuel, yet verily, I beleue, ye cannot saie, it is in any pointe like the Doctrin of God. S. Hierome hauinge occasion to speake of Antichriste, saith thus:

August. Epist. 74.

August. De Mor
ribus Maniche.
Lib. 2. Cap. 13.

Chrysost. in Ope
re Imperfecto,
Homil. 1.
Hierony. Aduers
us Iovinian.
Lib. 1.

Dist. 82. Propo
siti.

Roman. 8.

Tit. 1.

Dist. 82. Pluri
mor.

Copius Dialog. 2.
Pag. 159. Græcos

Solos in hac im
pudicitie sho
la Magistros

sequuntur.
Const. Othouis.

Licet ad profu
gandū. In Cl. ff.

Hierony in Da-

ntelem. Cap. 11.

2. Timoth. 3.

August. Contra

Faus. li. 30. ca. 6.

thus: Simulabit Castitatem, vt plurimos decipiat: *He shal make a countrefeite shewe of Chastitie, that he maie deceiue many.* Euen so S. Paule saith, Habentes speciem Pietatis: Virtutem autem eius abnegantes: *Hauiinge a Coloure of Godlinesse: but the Power thereof deniaying viterly.*

But, somme what to reluse your selfe, ye allege these wordes of S. Augustine: Ille prohibet Matrimonium, qui illud Malum esse dicit: non qui huic Bono aliud melius anteponit: *He forbiddeth Marriage, that saith, Marriage is euil: and not he, that before this thinge, beinge Good, setteth an other thinge, that is better.* Here, M. Hardinge, I beseeche you of your indifferent iudgement: He that vseth your wordes, and saith, as you saie, They that liue in Matrimonie, connot please God: They be Filthy: They be Infidels: Vnto them nothinge is cleane: Their whole Minde and Conscience is defiled: *He that saith Matrimonie is Vice, Lecherie, and Filthy Pleasure, saith he not, Matrimonie is an euil thinge?* Thus you saie: Thus you write: These wordes be apparente, and allowed in your Booke: euen in those Booke, whereby ye would haue vs to Order, and to direct our Liues, and Manners. Briefely, this is the very Substance of poure Doctrine in this behalfe. Therefore euen by S. Augustines Iudgemente, your Doctrine, is the Doctrine of Diuels.

And whereas ye would saie not viterly to condemne the state of Matrimonie, but onely to sette Single Life before it, as a Better thinge before a Good: It maie please you to vnderstande, that, notwithstanding a thinge in it selfe be Beste, yet is it not therefore vniuersally Beste for every Man. For, notwithstandinge Scarlet be the fairest of al Coloures, and the face the fairest parte of al the Body, yet, I reckon, M. Hardinge, to make your selfe faire, ye would not haue your face dyed in Scarlet.

Single life for many causes is the Beste: I graunte. Yet is it not Beste for every body: but onely for him: that hath the gifte of Chastitie, and can with quiet Minde, and vpright Conscience liue Single. Otherwise Matrimonie is much better. And therefore God hath leaste vs indifferently free to bothe: that, who so euer cannot vse the One, maie chuse the other. S. Paule saith, Volo Omnes esse sicut meipsum: *I woulde every man would liue Single, as I doo.* But he addeth withal a special Prouiso: Vnusquisque Proprium Donum habet à Deo: alius sic, alius autem sic: *Every man hath his owne gifte of God: One this, an other that.*

And therefore he saith further, Although Single Life be the better state, Yet, who so euer is not continent, sette him Marrie. Better it is to Marrie, then to Burne. Although otherwise he weigh Single Life before Marriage, yet in this case, he saith, it is better to Marrie, then so to liue Single.

M. Hardinge hereto replieth: They, that haue Vowed haue losse this libertie: and by S. Ambrose, and S. Augustines Iudgemente maie not Marrie. Hereof I haue partely spoken before: and partely shal haue occasion offered to saie moze hereafter. In the meane reason wee are taught here by M. Hardinge, to take S. Pauls wordes by the toppe, and to turne them quite backward: and thus to frame a New Rule of Life, and to saie contrarie to S. Paule, Melius est Vri, quàm Nubere: It is better, to burne in Concupiscence, then to Marrie.

Yet S. Augustine saith euen of them, that haue Vowed, as it is before alleged, Quæ Nubere volunt, & ideo non Nubunt, quia impune non possunt, Melius Nubere, quàm vrerentur, id est, quàm occulta flamma Concupiscentiæ in ipsa Conscientia vastarentur: *They, that haue a minde to Marrie, and yet Marrie not, because they cannot Marrie without reproche, Better were it for them to Marrie, then to burne: that is to saie, then with the priue flame of their Concupiscence to be wasted in their Conscience.*

Further M. Hardinge saith, This Order of Single Life was taken by the Apostles themselves: And therefore (saith he) it is not the Diuels, but the Apostles Doctrine: If this be true in deede, then is this matter thorowly concluded But where was this Order taken by the Apostles: By what Writtinge, by what Record, by what Tradition maie it appeare? Or, howe is it likely, that the Apostles, beinge Married Menne themselves, would force other menne to liue Single?

I haue

1. Corint. 7.

August. de Sâcta
Virginit. ca. 34.

I haue already shewed by Ignatius, by Clemens, by Eusebius, and by S. Ambrose, that the Apostles, S. Iohn Donly excepted, were all Married. Clemens saith, that Peter saue his owne Wife carried by the Officers to suffer death for Christes sake: and cried vnto her comfortably by her Name, O Woman, Remember the Lorde. Ignatius, S. Iohns Disciple saith, Opto Deo dignus inueniri, sicut Petrus, & Paulus, & reliqui Apostoli, qui Nuptijs fuerunt sociati, qui non libidinis causa, sed posteritatis futurandæ gratia Coniuges habuerunt: I wishe to be founde meete for God, as was Peter, and Paule, and the other Apostles that were Married: and not for pleasure, but for Posterities sake had Wines.

Chrysostome saith, Cur non ait, Oportet Episcopum Angelum esse, Nulli humanæ perturbationi, vitioque subiectum? Ne Ecclesiæ negotia fructusque perirent. Idcirco moderatam Virtutem proposuit: non supremam illam, atque Cœlestem: Why saith not S. Paule, A Bishop ought to be an Angel, subiecte neither to any worldly affection, nor to any Vice? Leste the affaires, and fruites of the Church should perishe (being without a Governour). Therefore he required of Bishoppes a moderate, and a reasonable kinde of Vertue (willinge them to be Husbannes of One Wife) and not that other Vertue, so Highe, and so Heauenly: (that is to say, utterly to liue unmarried.)

Agatine saith, Idcirco ait, Vnius Vxoris Virum: Ne nimis in angustum rem eam concluderet, si exactissimam Virtutem expetisset: idcirco moderatori admonitione maluit uti: ne ex desperatione perfectæ illius inueniendæ Virtutis, Ecclesiæ sine Episcopis essent: Therefore S. Paule saith, Let a Bishop be the Husband of One Wife: Leste he should shut up the mater into too greete a strait, if he had required that moste perfect vertue: therefore he would rather use a reasonable moderation, or meane (that a Bishop should be the Husbanne of one Wife): Leste of despaire of findinge that excellencie of Vertue (to liue unmarried) the Church should be leaste without Bishoppes.

S. Paule saith, Touchinge Virgins, I haue no commandement of the Lorde: Better it is to Marrie, then to burne: Let a Bishop be the Husbanne of One Wife. Whiche laste wordes Pope Leo expoundeth thus: Is Episcopus ordinetur, quem Vnius Vxoris Virum fuisse, aut esse Constituerit: Let him be Consecrate a Bishop, of whom it maie wel appeare, that either he is, or hath benne the Husbanne of one Wife.

S. Ambrose expoundinge these wordes of S. Paule, Touchinge Virgins, I haue no commandement of the Lorde, saith thus, Si Doctor Gentium non habuit, habere quis potuit? If the Doctour of the Gentiles had no Commandement of the Lorde, touchinge Virgins, what man els then could euer haue it?

Enonge the Rules, whiche commonly are called the Apostles Canons, it is written thus, Episcopus, aut Presbyter, aut Diaconus Vxorem suam pretextu Religionis ne abiciat. Aut, si abiecerit, a Communione segregetur: & si perseueret, deponatur: Let not either Bishop, or Priest, or Deacon put awaie his Wife vnder colour of Religion. Or, if he so doo, let him be put from the Communion (of the Faithful): And if he so continue, let him be utterly deposed from his office.

S. Hierome expoundinge these wordes of S. Paule, Let euery man remaine in the vocation, wherein he was called, saith thus, Ex hoc, habentibus Vxores tollit licentiam dimittendi eas: Hereby S. Paule forbiddeth Married menne to put awaie their Wines.

In the firste Council holden at Constantinople, it is written thus: Antiquum sequentes Canonem Apostolicæ diligentia, & Constitutiones Sanctorum Virorum, Legales Nuptias Posthac valere volumus, nullo modo cum Vxoribus suis eorum conubia dissoluentes: Followinge the Olde Order of the Apostles diligence, and the Constitutions, and Lawes of the Holy Fathers, from hencefoorth we wil, that the lawful Marriage (of Bishoppes, and Priests) shal stande in force, not in any wise dissoluinge the V Vedlocke, that they haue with their V Wiues. And herein, they saie, they folowe the Olde Canon, or Order of the Apostles.

Gratian saith, as he is before alleged, Copula Sacerdotalis, nec Legali, nec Evangelica, nec Apostolica Authoritate prohibetur: The Marriage of Priests is not forbidden by any Authoritie, either of the Lawe, or of the Gospel, or of the Apostles.

Cardinal Caietane saith, Dominus Discipulis suis nullum indixit Votum: Our Lorde

Ambro. 2 Cor. 11.
Euseb. li. 3. ca. 30
Ignatius ad Philadelphum.
Chrysost. in Priorem ad Timoth.
Homi. 10.

Chrysost. in eadem Homi.

1 Corin. 7.
1 Timoth. 3.
Tit. 1.
Leo Epist. 87.

Ambros. in 1 Corin. 7.

Canon 5.

Hierony Contra Iovinian. lib. 1.

Dist. 31. Quoniam.

26. Que 2. sup.

Cartharinnus contra Lorde

tra error. Cate.
tant. Error. 112.
Clemens Strom.
Lib. 3.

Lord appointed unto his Disciples no manner of Vowe.

Clemens Alexandrinus saith, as it is saide before, Epistolæ Apostoli nusquam honestum, Moderatumq; Matrimonium prohibuerunt: The Epistles of Paule the Apostle neuer forbade honeste, and sobre Marriage.

All these thinges wel considered, I beseeche the, gentle Reader, Indifferently to weighe M. Hardinges wordes: and to demaunde of him, with what countenance he coulde thus telle the, that the Order of Single Life was taken by the Apostles them selues, and therefore muste be bolden as the Apostles Doctrin.

Conci. Carthag.
2 Cap. 2.

If he happen to telle the, It is written in the Council of Carthage, telle him againe, he is deceiued. I saie, tel him, that the Apostles of Christe neuer, neither made any Lawe, nor gaue any Order for the Single Life of the Ministers. And therefore telle him hardely, he is deceiued. He wil saie, The wordes of the Council be plaine, Quod Apostoli docuerunt, & ipsa seruauit Antiquitas: Whiche thinge the Apostles haue taught, and the Antiquitie it selfe haue obserued. These wordes be plaine in dede: if they were not peruerclly glosed. Therefore telle him againe, he should better haue learned, bothe the manner of the Apostles teachinge, and also the scope, and reach of this Antiquitie. Certainly thus much his owne Glose could haue tolde him: Apostoli nihil instituerunt, de non vtendo Matrimonio iam contracto: The Apostles tooke no Order, touching the not vsunge of Matrimonie already contracted.

Dist. 84. in
Præterito, in
Glossa.

And whereas the Council saith, Apostoli docuerunt, The Apostles taught. The same Glose saith, Apostoli docuerunt exemplo: non Institutione, vel Constitutione: The Apostles taught it by their Example: but not by appointement, nor by commaundement. Perhappes M. Hardinge wil saie, The Apostles Example is sufficient. Thereto I wil answere, as Clemens Alexandrinus sometime answered certaine of the Olde Heretiques in like case: Dicunt gloriosi isti iactatores, se imitari Dominum, qui Vxorem non duxit. Illis dicit Scriptura, Deus Superbis resistit: Humilibus autem dat Gratiam: These glorious Braggers saie, they wil followe the Example of our Lord, that Married no Wife. Vnto them the Scripture saith, God Withstandeth the Proud: and geueth Grace vnto the humble.

Clemens Strom.
Lib. 7.

And to like purpose S. Ambrose saith, Præceptum quidem Apostolus non habuit: at habuit Exemplum: In dede the Apostle S. Paule had no Commaundement to geue of Virginitie: but Example he had to geue.

Ambros. De Vir-
ginit. Lib. 1.

Again, whereas the Council allegeth Antiquitie, Quod ipsa seruauit Antiquitas, the same Glose expoundeth it thus, A tempore Siricii Papæ hic vocat Antiquitatem: By this worde Antiquitie, the Council meaneth the time after Pope Siricius (whiche was foure hundred yeeres after Christe). And againe, as it is alleged before, Ante tempora Siricii Papæ, Sacerdotes poterant contrahere Matrimonium: Before the time of Pope Siricius, it was lawful for Priestes to contracte Matrimonie.

But the god lucke M. Hardinge hath to his Doctoures, and Councelles. By his owne Glose he hath loste foure hundred yeeres of his Antiquitie.

Extra. De Cleri-
cis Contag. 11.
Cum Olim.

Panormitane after he had saide, The Commaundement of Single Life is not of the Lawe of God, He added further, Quia aliàs Græci peccarent: Non enim excusaret eos Consuetudo. Quia illa non valet contra Legem Dei: Otherwise the Græcians were offenders. For no Custome could excuse them: For as muche as Custome preuaileth not against the Lawe of God.

Socrumen. Lib. 1.
Cap. 23.

Nowe, touching this Council of Carthage, notwithstandinge it had benne truly Construed, yet the Authortie thereof muste needs seeme so muche the lesse, for that it decreeth of set purpose quite contrarie to the Council of Nice. For the Fathers in the Nicene Council durst not to remove Priestes, and Bishoppes from their Wives: for that it was written, Whome God hath ioined, let no man sunder. But these other Fathers in the Council of Carthage without any sticking, or doubting at the mater, onely with one worde, utterly removed them: and so by force, and violence, and contrarie to the Commaundement of Christe, sundred, and diuided them, whome God had ioined. Whiche thinge, Holy Paphnutius saith, Was not Law-
ful for man to do.

Touchinge

Touchinge Bishop Hilderichus, Panormitanus, Latomus, and Iacobus Faber, and sutch others, as haue spoken, or witten in the behalfe of Priestes Marriage, M. Hardinge thinketh it sufficient for him to answere, VVhat if they complaine of the loose life of the Clergie? VVhat then? (What is to saie) VVhat if the Clergie live in professed shame, and open filthinesse? What then? As if sutch simple Authorities were worthy of none other answere.

Pet was Hilderichus sometime Bishop of Augusta in Germanie, welneare fire hundred yeres agoe, and for his vertue and holinesse was counted a Saincte. Abbas Vrspergensis in his storie wisteth of him in this sorte: Hiltinus Augustanus Episcopus obiit: Cui Sanctus Vdalrichus (qui idem est Hilderichus) successit: Hiltine the Bishop of Augusta died: To whome succeeded Saincte Hilderichus, Abbas Panormitanus was a famous Canonist, in Judgemente Equal with any other.

Abbas Vspers.
Anno 915.
Pag. 214.

Faber, and Latomus bothe in our time were accounted Learned: and either of them a Special Champion of M. Hardinges side. Wierly the worst, and vilest of al these is a grate deale bothe sounder in Judgemente, and deeper in Learninge, then either Amphiloehus, whome M. Hardinge so highly esteemeth: or Abdias, or Hippolytus, or Leontius: whome they haue lately rakte out of the duste: Or Clement of Rome, whom he so often calleth the Apostles Fellowe.

Neither do these writers onely complaine of the loosenesse of Priestes lives, as M. Hardinge telleth vs: But also for remouinge of Publique shame, and sleaunders out of the Church of God, wishe, that the Libertie of Marriage might be restored: whiche thinge M. Hardinge so closely dissembleth.

Touchinge that Pope Pius was commonly wonte to saie, as Marriage was taken awaie from Priestes vpon grate Considerations, euen so nowe vpon other greater considerations it were to be restored to them againe. M. Hardinge, after much other needlesse talke, saith thus: VVhy did not he, or you in his steede shewe vs, what, and howe Substantial considerations they be?

Platina in Pius.

God Christian Reader, lothe I am to disclose, and publishe any thing, that maie sounde to the shame of any one man: mutche lesse, that maie turne to the open shame of so grate a number. But M. Hardinge ever heauily presseth vs with his importunitie, and requireth vs vpon our credite to shewe these causes. Therefore if the reporte hercof shal seme vnpleasant, the faulte is M. Hardinges: It is not mine. I wil onely shewe swtely, and truly, that I finde witten in sundrie, bothe of the Ancient learned fathers, and also in others of his owne side: Whiche neuer, thelesse, had not M. Hardinge benne, mighte mutche better haue benne concealed.

Firste of al, the Ancient Father Origen for his time, whiche was welneare fourtē hundred yeres agoe, hercof complatneth thus: Non solum, quæ docent, non faciunt, sed etiam crudeliter, & sine misericordia, non secundum æstimationem virum vniuscuiusque, iniungunt: Vt, qui prohibent nubere, & ab eo, quod expedit, ad immoderatam munditiam compellunt. Alligant onera grauiā, & faciunt homines cadere sub eis. Et frequenter videmus, eos qui talia docent, contraria facere sermonibus suis. Castitatem docent: & Castitatem non seruant: &c. Omnia faciunt propter personas hominum, & glorias vanas, vt videantur ab hominibus. Et plerunque sunt tales, qui diligunt primos accubitus in conuiuijs, & salutationes in foro, & vocari ab hominibus Rabbi: Qui volunt vocari Episcopi, Presbyteri, Diaconi: Not onely they doo not, that they teache, but also cruelly, and without mercie they laie their iniunctions vpon others, not consideringe eche mannes habilitie. Sutch be they, that forbidde menne to marrie: and from that thinge, that is lawfull to be donne, drine, and force Menne to an vnreasonable Puritie. They binde, and laie on heauy burthens, and cause menne to falle vnder them. And oftentimes wee see them, that teache sutch thinges, them selues to doo contrarie to their owne sayings. They teache Chastitie: and yet keepe not Chastitie, &c. They doo al thinges for the commendation of menne, and vaine glorie, that they maie be seene, and noted of the people. And commonly they be sutch, as loue the highest places at Feastes, and Banquettes, and to be Saluted, and Honoured in the Market places, and of the people to be called Rabbi: That wil be called Bishoppes, Priestes, and Deacons.

Origen in Math.
the Tracta. 24.

At the

The fruites of Single Life.

Euseb li. 4. ca. 23.

μη βαρυ φορ
τιον επαναρ-
κεις το περι
αγνειας τοις
αδελφοις ε-
πιτιθειναι.

Cypria. De Sin-
gularitate Cle-
ricorum.

Epiphani. Contra
Origenian. Hæ-
resi. 42.

Πεφι-
λοτιμω) γαρ
παρ αυτου
ουχ η αγνεια,
αλλα υποκρι-
τιμη, αγνεια
τω ονοματι
καλυμμενη.

Chrysost. tom. 5.

Quod Regula-
res Faminae
cum viris coha-
bitent.

Sulpitius Seue-
rus. Dialog. 3.

Saluianus De
Providentia,
Lib. 5.
Ibidem.

Auentinus in
Hildebrando.

Bernardus in
Consilio Re-
mensi.

At the verie firste attempte hercof, Dionysius the Bishop of Corinthe wrote thus vnto Pinytus the Bishop of Gnosus, Noli graue illud onus necessariz Casti-
tatis imponere Fratribus: *Laise not that heauy burthen of the necessitie of Chaste life*
upon the Brethren. *Meaninge thereby, that is was to heauy a burthen for al menne*
to carrie.

Neither was it for nothinge, that S. Cyprian in his time wrote thus, Vt quid
sibi adhibuit mulierem, qui ducere contempsit vxorem? Peius est, quam Mæchari, con-
tinentiam ducere criminofam: *Wherefore tooke he a wooman vnto him, that disclined to*
marrie a wife? *To liue a continente life with reproche, is woorse then Aduouerie.*

It is not for nothinge, that Epiphanius writeth, Repudiant Nuptias: at non
Libidinem. In honore enim est apud illos, non Castitas, sed Hypocrisis: quæ tamen
appellari volunt Castitatem. They refuse Marriage, but not luste, or pleasure. For
they esteeme, not Chastitie, but Hypocrisie: And yet the same Hypocrisie they wil haue to be
called Chastitie.

It is not for nothinge, that Chrysostome writeth of the Towed, or Chaste we-
men in his time: Nuptias magis dicere beatas licet, &c. Posthac melius esset, ne Vir-
gines quidem esse, &c. Perseuerat adhuc nomen, & appellatio rei: at negotium totum
in corpore sublatum est, &c. In delicijs magis viuunt, quam mulieres in fornice, &c.
Frequens, & quotidianus est concursus Obstetricum ad Virginum domos: &c. Vir-
ginitas ista cum viris plus ab omnibus arguitur, quam stuprum ipsum: *Wee maie saie,*
that Marriage is a greate deale better, (then suche Virginitie). Hereafter it were bet-
ter, there were no Virgins at al. The name (of Virginitie) continueth stil: But Vir-
ginitie it selfe in their body is quite gone. They liue more in pleasure, then Harlottes in
the Stewes. There is often, and daiey renninge of Midwiues to Virgins houses. This
manner of Virginitie, of women emongest menne, is more reprobued of al menne, then
Fornication it selfe.

It is not for nothinge, that Sulpitius Severus saithe of S. Hierome, Hierony-
mus de familiaritatibus Virginum, & Monachorum, & Clericorum, quam vera, quam
fortia disputauit? Vnde à quibusdam, quos nominare nolo, dicitur non amari: *Howe*
truely, and howe stoutely hathe S. Hierome written of the Familiaritie, that these Virgins
haue with Monkes, and Priestes? *And therefore it is saide, that of somme menne, whome*
he wil not name, he is the lesse beloued.

Neither is it for nothinge, that Saluianus saithe of his time, whiche was aboute
a thousande yeres agoe, Sub specie Religionis, vitijs Sæcularibus mancipantur:
Vnder the coloure of Religion, and Holinesse, they are made slaues to worldly vices. And
againe, Nouum prorsus Conuersionis genus: Licita non faciunt: Illicita commit-
tunt: *A very strange kinde of Conuersion: That they maie doo, they doo not: And doo*
that they maie not doo.

All these, and other like thinges were written longe agoe, in the olde times, be-
foze the fruites of Single life were thowowly knowen.

But after that, Pope Hildebrande by Crueltie, and Tyrannie had fully esta-
blished the mater, and brought it to perfection, Auentinus saithe, Many godly learned
menne utterly forefooke the Ministerie, Et Falsi Prophetæ, Falsi Apostoli, Falsi Sacerdo-
tes emerferunt, qui simulata Religione populum deceperunt. Maxima pars sub ho-
nesto nomine Castimoniz, Stupra, Incessus, Adulteria, falsim, & impune commit-
tunt: *False Prophetes, False Apostles, and False Priestes sprange vp: which vnder a counter-*
feite Religion deceiued the people. The most parte of them, vnder the honest name of Cha-
stitie, commit whoredome, Aduouerie, Incest, and that commonly, and without punishment.

S. Bernarde saithe, Episcopi, & Sacerdotes huius temporis Castitatis Sanctimo-
niam, sine qua nemo videbit Deum, tam in Corde, quam in Corpore, quomodo stu-
dent obseruare? Traditi in reprobum sensum, faciunt, quæ non conueniunt. Quæ
enim in occulto fiunt ab Episcopis, turpe est dicere: *The Bishoppes, and Preestes of this*
time, how doo they endeuoure to keepe either in harte, or in body, the Holinesse of Chastite,
without which, no man shal see God? They are geuen ouer into a reprobate minde, and doo these
thinges, that are not conuenient. For it were shame to viter what these Bishops doo in Secret.

Againe

Againe he saith, Abstinence a Remedio Coniugali, postea in omne flagitium effluunt: *Abstaininge from the Remedy of Marriage, afterwards they flowe out into all kinde of wickednesse.*

He that wrote the little Booke, called Opus Tripartitum, loined with the Council of Laterane, saith thus, Tanta immunditia luxurie notoria est in multis partibus mundi, non solum in Clericis, sed etiam in Sacerdotibus: imo, quod horribile est audire, in Prelatis Maioribus: &c. *Suche notorious filthinesse of Lecherie there is in many partes of the Worlde, not onely in the inferiour Clerkes, but also in Priests: yea and in the greater Prelates too: whiche thinge is horrible to be heard: &c.*

And in the Glose upon the Constitutions Legantine of Englande, it is written thus: Clerici huiusmodi Concubinas tenent Communiter, apparatu honesto, nomine appellationis Sororiz: *Clerkes commonly holde, and haue suche Concubines, in honest haunter, vnder the name of their Sisters.*

Nicolaus de Clauengijs, complaininge hercof, saith thus: Capellani, & Canonici similes Episcopis, Indocti, Ebrij, Scortatores: *The Chaplaines, and Canons are like to the Bishoppes, vnlearned, Drunken, and Fornicatours.*

Roberte Holcote saith, Sacerdotes moderni sunt Dæmones Incubi per luxuriam, & Sacerdotes Priapi, vel Beelphegor, & Angeli Abyssi: *The Priestes of our time by their Lecherie, are like the Sprites called Incubi, the Priestes of Priapus, or Beelphegor, and the Angells of the pits of Helle.*

Hulderichus, in Olde times the Bishop of Augusta in Germanie, wrote sharpe-ly hercof against Pope Nicolas in this wise: Decreta tua super Clericorum continentia a discretionem inueni aliena: Multos consilij tui assentatores hominibus, non Deo, sub falsa specie continentie placere volentes, grauiora vides committere: *I haue founde, thy Decrees, touching the Single Life of Priestes, to be void of discretion. Thou seest, that many folowers of thy counsel, willinge vnder a fained colour of Continente Life, rather to please Man, then God, commit heinous Actes.* In the ende he concludeth thus, Qua nostri discretionis disciplina, Pharisaicam ab Ouli Dei extirpa Doctrinam: *By suche discipline of discretion, as you knowe best, roote this Pharisaical Doctrin out of Goddes Folde.*

But, so; as mutche, as W. Hardinge hath no skill in this Epistle of Hulderichus, he made vnderstande, that his owne Pope Pius, otherwise called Aeneas Syluis, maketh euident mention of the same. Notwithstandinge, I haue seene the same Epistle written in Parchemente, in Olde hand, of god recorde, vnder the name of Volusianus Carthagenensis. Further Mantuanus the Poete saith,

Petrique domus polluta fluenti

Marcescit luxu: Nulla hic arcana reuelo.

Sanctus ager Scurris, venerabilis Ara Cynædis

Seruit: Honorandæ Diuini Ganymedibus Aedes.

The mater hereof is suche, as it is not worthy to be Englished.

But what pleasure can it be, to stand so longe in so vnseuerely a place? They them selues saith thus, Fornicatio Simplex non est digna depositione: Simple Fornication (in a Priest) is no iuste cause of Deprivation. The cause thereof in an other Glose is alleged thus, Quia pauci sine illo vitio inueniuntur; *Bicause there be fewe Priestes founde without that faulte.*

To be shorte, Polydorus Vergilus saith, Nullius delicti crimen maius Ordini dedecus, plus mali Religioni, plus doloris bonis attulit: *No kinde of crime euer broughte either more shame to the Order of Priestehood: or more hinderance to Religion: or more grieve to the Godly, then the Life of Single Priestes.*

These, these, W. Hardinge, were the causes, that moued Pope Pius commonly to saie, as it is before alleged: As Marriage vpon good, and greates considerations was taken from Priestes, so nowe vpon better, and greater considerations it were to be restored to them againe. And therefore he saith in his discourse of the Council of

bernard. De Conuersione, ad Clericos, ca. 39. In Opere Tripartiti, li. 3. ca. 7.

De Concubinis Clerici remouenda. Licet ad profligandum, Paralipomena Vespergen, pag. 403. Rob. Holcote in Librum Sapientie, Lectione 18a Hulderichus Episcopus Augustanus.

VVolphgangus VVissenburgius in Praefatione in Antilogiam Papa.

2. Qu. 7. Lator. in Glossa. Di. Si. Maximianus in Glossa. Polyd. Vergil. de Inuentorib. rer. Lib. 5. cap. 4.

The fruites of Single Life.

Aeneas Sylvius
De Con. Basil.
Lib. 2.

Aeneas Sylvius
Epist. 321. ad Io-
han. Fontem.

Extra. De Cleri-
ci conjugatu.
C. 1. m. 1. 1. m.

230

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Basilie, Fortasse non esset peius, Sacerdotes complures vxorari. Quoniam multi salua-
rentur in Sacerdotio Coniugato, qui nunc in sterili Presbyterio damnantur: Perhaps
it were not worse, that many Priests were Married. For many might be saved in Married
Priestehood, which nowe in barren Priestehood are condemned. If the former of
these two sayings be so doubtful, yet this later is plaine, and cleare, and void of
doubt. In like sense, and sope he writeth vpon godd advise, and deliberation to his
frende: Quoniam huc ventum est, vt Legi Carnis resistere nequeas, melius est Nube-
re, quam Vri: For as muche, as the matter is growen so furre, that yee cannot withstande the
Lawe of the Fleashe, Better it is to Marrie, then to burne.

So saith the Panormitane, Credo pro bono, & salute animarum, quod esset salubre
statutum, vt non valentes continere, possint contrahere. Quia, experientia docente,
contrarius prorsus effectus sequitur ex illa Lege Continentie: cum hodie non viuunt
Spiritualiter, nec sint mudi: Sed maculentur illicito coitu, cum ipsorum grauissimo
peccato: Vbi cum propria Vxore esset Castitas, Vnde deberet Ecclesia facere sicut bo-
nus medicus: vt, si medicina, experientia docente, potius officiat, quam profit, eam tol-
lat. Et vtinam idem esset in omnibus Constitutionibus posituiis: / beleeue, it were a good
Lawe, and for the wealth, and safety of Soules, that suche, as cannot liue Chaste, maie con-
tracte Matrimonie. For wee learne by experience, that of the Lawe of Continentie, or Single
Life, the contrarie effecte hath folowed. For as muche as nowe a daies they liue not Spiritu-
ally, nor be cleane, and chaste: but with their greates Sinne are defiled with vnlawful Copula-
tion: wheras with their owne VVives they shoulde liue Chastely. Therefore the Church
ought to doo, as the skilful Phisician vseth to doo: Who, if he see by experience, that his Medi-
cine hurteth rather, then doothe good, taketh it cleane awaie. And woulde God the same waie
were taken with al Positiue Constitutions.

So saith the Durandus, Vtile esset, vt in Concilio Matrimonium Sacerdotibus re-
mittatur. Frustra enim hactenus coacti sunt ad Castitatem: It were good, that in a Coun-
cel, Priestes Marriage were sette at libertie. For hitherto it hath benne in vaine, to force
them to Chastitie.

So saith the Martinus Peresius, Multis pijs visum est, vt Leges de Coehatu tollerentur
propter scandala: Many godly menne haue thoughte it good, that the Lawes of Single
Life shoulde be abolished, for auoidinge the offense of the people.

¶ Hardinge wil saie, The Prieste hath Vowed, and muste keepe his Vowe.
But Pope Pius, as it is saide before, gaue counsel of Marriage vnto a Prieste, that
had made a Vowe: his Vowe, and Priestehood notwithstandinge.

It appeareth righte wel, both by that hath benne already alleged, and also by
the common experience, & practise of the worlde, that a Vowe imposeth not alwaies
a Chaste Life. Optatus Mileuitanus saith, In Mitella signum est Voluntatis: non
Castitatis auxilium: In the apparell there is a token of the Will: not a healpe towardes Chastitie.
S. Hierome saith, Quid prodest Corporis pudicitia, animo constuprato? VVhen the
Minde, or Harte is deflowred, what auileth the Chastitie of the Body?

S. Ambrose saith, Non imperari potest Virginitas, sed optari. Nam, quæ supra
nos sunt, in Voto magis sunt, quam in Mastiterio: Wee maie wishe for Virginitie, but
commaunde it wee cannot. For the thinges, that be aboue vs, and out of our power, are rather
of Desire, then of Commandement.

¶ Hereof Epiphanius maketh this Conclusion: Vt ne confundantur apud homi-
nes, occulte scortantur: & sub Solitudinis, aut continentie specie libidinem exercent.
Melius est itaque lapsum à cursu, palam sibi Vxorem accipere secundum Leges: Least
they should be shamed before men, they keepe Harlottes priuily: and vnder the colour of So-
litarie, or Continent Life, they practise their filthy pleasure. Better is it therefore for a man, be-
ing fallen from his course, and breakinge his Vowe, openly to take vnto him selfe a VVife
accordinge to the Lawe. So saith the S. Hierome, as I haue before alleged: Huiusmodi
Virginibus aperte dicendum est, vt aut nubant, si se non possunt continere: aut conti-
neant, si nolunt nubere: To suche Virgines wee must saie plainly, that either they Marrie,
if they cannot contine: Or els, that they Contine, if they wil not Marrie.

So saith the S. Bernarde vnto his Sister: Quod incautè Vouisti, ne impleas: Impia
est pro-

Durandus, De
modo Celebran-
di Concilium,
Titul. 46.
Martinus Pere-
sius.

Optatus Contra
Parmenianum
Donatist. Lib. 6
Hieron. in Hies
remi. li. 2. ca. 7.
Ambro. De Vir-
ginit. Lib. 1.

Epiphan. Lib. 2.
Haresi. 41.

Hieronym. Ad
Demetriadem.

est promissio, quæ scelere adimpletur: *That thou haste vnadvisedly Vowed, see thou keepe it not. It is a wicked promise, that is perfourmed with wickednesse.*

To be shorte, Iohannes Scotus saith, Si Votum Continentiæ est annexum Ordini Sacro solum ex præcepto Ecclesiæ, sequitur, quod non simpliciter illegitimat ad contrahendum: *If the Vowe, or Promise of Chastitie be annexed vnto Holy Orders Onely by force of the Constitution, or Commandement of the Church, then dothe it not of necessitie, and sine force, vnhabie a man to contracte Matrimonie.*

Howe be it, herrof we haue saide already so mutche, as to a reasonable man maie seme sufficiente.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 1.

Wee receiue, and embrace al the Canonical Scriptures, both of the Olde, and Newe Testamente, geuinge thanks to our God, who hathe raised vp vnto vs that Light, whiche wee might euer haue before our eyes: leaste either by the subtletie of man, or by the snares of the Diuel, wee should be carried awaie to errours, and lies. Also wee pprofesse, that these be the Heauenly Voices, whereby God hath opened vnto vs his wil: and that onely in them mannes harte can haue settled reste: that in them be abundantly, & fully comprehended al thinges, what so euer be needeful for our Health, as Origene, Augustine, Chrysostome, and Cyrillus haue taughte: That they be the very mighte, and strength of God to attaine to Saluation: That thei be the Foundations of the Prophetes, and Apostles, whereupon is builde the Church of God: That they be the very sure, and infallible Rule, whereby maie be tried, whether the Church doo swaue, or erre, and whereunto al Ecclesiastical Doctrine ought to be called to accompte: and, that againste these Scriptures neither Lawe, nor Ordinance, nor any Custome oughte to be heard: no though Paule him selfe, or an Angel from Heauen should comme, and teache the contrarie.

M. Hardinge.

But why doo ye not here plainly declare, which be the Bookes of the Scriptures, that ye allowe, and which be they (a) that ye reiecte? In general, ye saie, that ye embrace al the Canonical Scriptures. Yet if a man presse you with the place of the Machabees, for Praier to be made for the Deade, and with the wordes of S. Iames Epistle againste youre Iustification of Faith onely, and likewise with certaine other places of the Scriptures, whiche be accompted in the Canon of the Church, againste certaine other your false doctrines: in this case (b) your woonte is to denie those Scriptures to be Canonical. Yet here ye beare the worlde in hande ye allowe al. VVoulde God there were in you either more truth, or lesse craft. VVell, ye geue thanks to God for the Scriptures, for that hauing them before your eyes, ye are staied in Truthe, assured, that by the subtletie of man, or snares of the Diuel, ye be not carried awaie into Errours, and Lies. And is it so in deede? I praie you sirs, what secte be ye? or of whiche secte is eche one of you? For I dare boldly saie, and so the worlde seeth, that ye agree not al in one. If ye saie, ye be Lutherans, then muste I further demaunde of you, of whiche sorte of Lutherans? For that puddle runneth out by many sin'es. Be ye Zuinglians, Arians, Osiandrinians, Libertines, Adiaphoristes, Anabaptistes, Calvinistes, or Sathanistes? VVhat priuilege haue ye before youre felowes? A matche beinge made betweene you (I meane that newe Clergie of Englande) and the other sectes of our time, &c. If yee haue this lighte of the Scriptures before your eyes, how is it, that ye agree not within youre selues? yea howe is it, that eche one of you oftentimes disagreeeth with him selfe? how is that (c) so many times ye haue changed your Communion Booke, the order of your Seruice, your doctrine of the blessed Sacramente, your Homilies, &c. VVho knoweth not howe in the matter of the Sacramente your chiefe captaines haue shewed them selues inconstante and mutable, and contrary to them selues, I meane Cranmere, Ridley, Latimer, and that great Rabbin Peter Martyr him selfe? As for the reste, they be not woorthy to be named.

But what saie ye? be these the Heauenly voices, whereby God hath opened vnto vs his wil? Then how dare ye to transgresse his wil declared in these Voices, where ye reade expressely, that he (d) which heareth not the Church, is to be taken for no better, then a Heathen, and a Publicane? As yee proceede, yee saie, that onely in the Scriptures mannes harte can haue settled reste, and that in them be abundantly, and fully comprehended al thinges, what so euer be needeful for our Saluation; as Origen,

Bernardus ad
Sorum de mor
do bene viuendi,
sermo. 62.
4. senten. dist. 36
Quest. 1.

Roman. 1.

(a) Vntruth. For we reiecte no parte of al the Scriptures.
(b) Vntruth. For wee denie no more, then S. Augustine, S. Hierome, and other Holy Fathers haue denied.
(c) Childishe, and sonde Vntruth: For the Communion Booke was neuer but once changed. But see the often changes of the Masse.
(d) S. Cyprian saith, *Non iungitur Ecclesia, qui ab Euangelio separatur: De Lapsis Serm. 5*

1 Mach. 12
Jacob. 2.

Augustine, Chrysostome, and Cyrillus haue taughte. Either you knowe not, what you saie, sir Defender, nor the thinges of whiche you make affirmation, as S. Paule saide of suche, as yee are, writinge to Timothee, or you are fowly ouerticene. If the harte of man haue settled rest in the Scriptures onely, as you saie, then in nothinge els but in the Scriptures. By this you seeme to trouble and disquiet many hartes. For if this be true, (e) then had good Abel no better rest in his harte, then wicked restlesse Cain.

(e) Vntruth. For Abel heard the

VVoorde of God. Reade the

Answer.

(f) A woorthy doubt.

(g) Vntruth. For S. Augustine saith,

Antonius

Scripturas Di-

uinas memori-

ter audiendo

tenuit, &c.

(h) Yet now the

very same ne-

cessarie Tradi-

tions be quite

foregotten, and

abolished, yea

even in the

Church of

Rome.

(i) Vntruth.

Reade the An-

swere.

(k) Vntruth. For

herchy bothe S.

Paule, and S.

Hierome, and

other good

men are con-

demned of He-

resie.

(l) Vntruth. For

wee saie not, Al

things are there

expressed.

(m) Stale, and

sonde questiōs.

(n) Vntruth.

For it is not ne-

cessarie to Sal-

uation.

(o) They were

written by He-

retiques in de-

rogation of the

Truthe.

(p) Vntruth. For

Epiphani^{us} saith

Sensu eius no-

minis ubique

est.

VVhen the Holy Booke of Scripture was loste, whiche God restored by Esdras, were there none in al that time, whose hartes had settled rest? VVhat foolishhe, and absurde Doctrine is this? (f) VVhat if it had pleased God, there had neuer beene letter written of the Olde, or Newe Testament? Should not Goddes frendes haue founde his peace that passeth al sense, as S. Paule saith? Had Paule, Antony, Hilarion, Pambus, and many other Holy men liuinge in VVildernesse (g) without letters, no rest, ne quiet at their hartes? Nay, who had the like? And whereas you saie, that al thinges needeful for our Saluation be abundantly, and fully comprehended in the Scriptures, this is also as false, as sundry other partes of your Doctrin. For if al thinges necessarie to Saluation be contained in the Scriptures, then what so euer is not in them contained, the same is not necessarie: If not necessarie, why should wee be laden with vnecessary burdens? Then awaie with al Traditions at a clappe, be they neuer so Apostolike, neuer so Ancient, neuer so Healthful, neuer so longe time in the Church continued. Remember you not, what the moste renowned Fathers haue written of the necessitie of Traditions? Or if you remember them, what thoughte you when you wrote thus? Let Learned and Holy Basile be hearde in sleepe of many, if not to reuoke you from your errour, yet to discredite you, and stay others in the truthe. His woordes be these: Of the Doctrines, whiche be preached in the Church, certaine wee haue out of the Scripture written, certaine wee haue received in secrete Mysterie by Tradition of the Apostles, (h) whiche bothe be of equal force to Godlinesse. Neither concerninge these any man gainsaith, be he of neuer so smal knowledge. For if wee goe aboute to reiecte the customes that be not sette forth in writinge, as beinge of litle regarde, then shal wee condemne those thinges also, whiche wee haue in the Gospel necessarie to Saluation. Yea rather wee shal bringe the preachinge of the Faith but to a bare name. For so they were taken for Heretikes, which regarded not the solemne faste of Lente (i) received at the Apostles, as we reade in S. Augustine, *De Her. ad Quodvultdenu*, Cap. 53. and in the Council of Gangra in an Epistle to the Bishops of Armenia. Euen so they which denied the (k) distinction of a Bishop, and a Priest, were condemned of Heresie, as wee finde in S. Augustine in the Booke, and Chapter afore saide, and in Epiphanius Lib. 3. Cap. 75. In the Council of Constance the same is to be founde.

Againe if al thinges necessarie to Saluation be (l) expressed in the Scriptures, to what purpose saide S. Paule concerninge order, and maner, to be vsed at the celebration of the Holy Sacramente: *Cetera cum venero disponam*: As for other thinges I wil take order for them, when I come! VVhat meaneth S. Iohn to saie, Hauinge other thinges to write to you of, I woulde not (write them) in Paper and Inke: for I truste to be with you, and speake to you mouthe to mouthe. To conclude much, that might be objected, in fewe woordes for breuities sake, what saie you, sir Defender, shal wee finde al thinges necessarie to Saluation in the Scripture? (m) Howe thinke you of the Scripture it selfe? Howe knowe you this to be the Scripture? Howe knowe ye the Gospel of Mathewe, Marke, Luke, and Iohn, to be theirs, whose names they beare? This can you not finde in al the Scripture, (n) and yet is the same necessarie to be beleued. VVhat Scripture haue you to admitte these, and to refuse the Booke bearinge the name of (o) Peter, the Gospel of Thomas, of Bartholomewe, of Nicodeme? VVhy admitte you not the Prophetes that Basilides would be to be allowed, but onely the foure greates, and the twelue lesser? VVhat auctoritie haue you to staie youre selfe by, concerninge these? but onely that of the Church? for Scripture haue you none for proufe hereof. Then hathe not Scripture al thinges in it necessary for a Christen man. Is it not necessary to beleue the Sonne of God to be *Homousion*, that is to saie, of the same Substaunce with the Father? whiche if you denie, you restore the olde condemned Heresie of the Arians. (p) The same can you not finde in the Scripture. VVhere in al the Bible finde you that God the Father is *Ingenitus*? VVhere finde you that the Holy Ghost proceedeth from the Father and the Sonne? that the blessed Virgin Mary continued in her Virginitie? that suche as be Baptized of Heretikes oughte to be Baptized againe? That Infantes oughte to be Baptized? That the foure Bookes of the Gospel were written by Mathewe, Marke, Luke, and Iohn, by what Scripture can you proue it? To ende, where finde you expressly in al the Scriptures three persons to be one God?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, to weighe downe the Authoritie of Goddes Holy Worde, M. Hardinge hath brought in a heape of ordinarie stale quarrels, Of the difference betweene Priestes, and Bishoppes: of Lente: of the Communion Booke: of the Homilies: of the order of Service: and of the perpetual Virginitie of our Lady. His whole diste herein is, to beare vs in hande, that there is very litle, or none Authoritie in the Scriptures: and that the whole Credite, and certaintie of our Faith resteth onely in the Church of Rome. He seeth meth to take it in scoone, that the Worde of God shoulde be called Lighte. Yet not with,

1. Timo. 4.

Mans hart
hathe not
settled rest
in Scrip-
tures onely.

Philip. 4.

Lib. de Spi-
ritu San-
cto, ca. 27.

Faste of
Lente.

1. Cor. 11.
Epist. 2.

Homousion.

notwithstanding the Prophet David saith, Thy Word o Lord is a Lanterne to my feete. And againe, The Commandment of the Lorde is Lightsome, geuinge Lighte vnto the eyes. And Theophylacte saith, Verbum Dei est Lucerna, qua Fur deprehenditur: The Wordes of God is the Candle, whereby the Theefe (or false Teacher) is espied.

Psalm. 118.
Psalm. 118.
Theophylact in
Lucan. ca. 16.

Whereas M. Hardinge demaundeth of vs so pleasantly, VVhat Scriptures wee allowe, and what wee reiecte, he troubleth him selfe with an idle, and a needlesse question. For we embrace, and reuerence euery parcel, and title of the Scriptures without exception, not refusinge any parte thereof, that hath benne allowed by the Anciente, Learned, Catholique Fathers of the Church of God.

Neither doe we so scornfullye call Coddies Holy Wordes, A Nose of VVaxe, a Shipmannes Hose, or a Deade Letter: as sundrie of that side haue delighted to cal it.

Albert. Tigghin
m. Lib. 3. cap. 3.
Hierar.
Iohan. 5. 1. 1. dan.
Lib 23.
Hierony. in Pro
oemio in Pro
m. Lib. 3. cap. 3.
M. Har. fo. 321. b

Toucheinge the Booke of the Machabees, we saie nothinge, but that we finde written by S. Hierome, S. Augustine, and other Holy Fathers. S. Hierome saith, Machabæorum Libros legit quidem Ecclesia: Sed eos inter Canonicas Scripturas non recipit: In deede the Church readeth the Bookes of the Machabees: but shee receiueth them not amonge the Canonical allowed Scriptures.

Addition

Addition. M. Hardinge. S. Hierome speaketh of suche Canonical Scriptures of the Olde Testamente, as the very Jewes allowed for Canonical. Suche in deede the Bookes of the Machabees are not. But S. Augustines wordes condemne you. He saith, Machabæorum Libros, non Iudæi, sed Ecclesia pro Canonici habet: As for the Bookes of the Machabees, not the Jewes, but the Church accompteth them for Canonical &c. Nowe see, good Reader, what Lowde Lies, M. Jewel made, when he saide, he would denie no more, then S. Augustine, S. Hierome, and other Fathers haue denied.

The Answer. Lowde Lies, M. Hardinge? Your wordes are too smarte. Butche better were it for you, to be sober. I saie nowe againe, as I saide before: The Bookes of the Machabees are not reckened amonge the Canonical Scriptures: And therein we denie no more, then by S. Augustine, S. Hierome, and other Holy Catholique Fathers hath benne denied. For trill whereof, I praye you, consider, what S. Augustine saith, These be his wordes: In Machabæorum Libris, etsi aliquid Mirabilium numero inferendum conueniens fuisse ordini inueniatur, de hoc tamen nulla cura fatigabimur: quia tantum agere proposuimus, vt de Diuini Canonis Mirabilibus exiguum expositionem tangeremus: Although there maie some thinge be founde in the Bookes of the Machabees, meete for this order of writinge, and woorthy to be ioined with the number of Miracles, yet heresof wee wil haue no care, for that wee haue intended onely to touche a short rehearsal of the Miracles contained in the Bookes of the Holy Canon. Marke wel, M. Hardinge: Here S. Augustine telleth you, That the Bookes of the Machabees are no parte of the Canonical Scriptures, and that therefore he wil make none accompte of the Miracles therein contained. S. Hierome saith, as it is alleged before, The Church readeth the storie of Iudith, the Booke of Tobie, and the Bookes of the Machabees: But the same Church receiueth not these Bookes, as the Canonical Scriptures. Marke once againe, M. Hardinge: S. Hierome telleth you, even as S. Augustine tolde you before, That the Bookes of the Machabees are not Canonical. And he spraketh not of the Jewes Canon, as you imagine, but of the Canon of the Church. Forgeate not his wordes, Ecclesia eos Libros inter Canonicas Scripturas non recipit.

August. De Mi
rabilib. sacre
Scriptura, li. 2.
Cap. 34.

Hieronym. in
Praefation. in
Salomonem.

Likewise S. Cyprian saith, Alij Libri sunt, qui non Canonici, sed Ecclesiastici appellantur &c. Huius ordinis est Libellus Tobie, & Iudith, & Machabæorum Libri: Other Bookes there be, that are not called Canonical, but onely Ecclesiastical, for that they be allowed to be readde in Churches. Of this sorte are the Bookes of Tobie, of Iudith, and of the Machabees. Beholde, M. Hardinge: These Holy Fathers agree al together, in that they saie, The Bookes of the Machabees, are no parte of the Canonical Scriptures. Butche moze mighte be saide. But by these fewe the Reader wile learne, where to finde the Lowde Lie.

Cyprian. in Ex
positione Sym
boli.

Wolue be it, by your repeat, S. Augustine saith, The Church accompteth the Bookes of the Machabees, as Canonical Scriptures. What answerare maie here be made? That wile sette S. Augustine, againe S. Augustine? One S. Augustine saith, The

in ty

The

Augustin. De Civita. Dei. Lib. 18. cap. 36.

The Booke of the Machabees is not Canonical. An other S. Augustine saith, *The Booke of the Machabees is Canonical.* Is, and Is not, is a plaine contradiction. If the one be true, the other is false. Whether of these two S. Augustines maie we beleue? Why do you thus trifle, M. Harding: & why do you so guiltfully conceale S. Augustines meaning: I wil take no further for other sentences. Even in the selfe same place by you alleged, S. Augustine saith, *The Booke of the Machabees is not Canonical.* These be S. Augustines wordes, M. Harding: Thus he saith, *Hæc supputatio, non in Scripturis Sanctis, quæ appellantur Canonica, sed in alijs inuenitur, in quibus sunt & Machabæorum Libri: This reckninge is not founde in the Holy Scriptures, that are called Canonical, but in certaine other Bookes, amonge whiche are the Bookes of the Machabees.* Here it is evident, by the iudgemente of S. Augustine, that the *Bookes of the Machabees are not Canonical.* These wordes, M. Harding, ye would not have dissembled, if ye had meante to deale plainely. Therefore it maie like you to consider, how you maie better bestowe this Lowde Lie.

Notwithstandinge S. Augustine saith further, *Hos Libros Ecclesia habet pro Canonicis: These Bookes the Church alloweth, as Canonical.* This is true: I denie it not. But here you take to beguile your Reader by the misunderstandinge of this worde Canonical. For in the former place Canonical Bookes, are such, as maie be alleged in prouise of Faith: In the seconde, such Bookes are called Canonical, as although they beare no such Authoritie, yet maie they be allowed for certayne Causes, onely to be readde openly in the Church. If you had alleged S. Augustines wordes fully, and truly, as they late, the whole mater had benne euident. For thus saith S. Augustine, *Libros Machabæorum Ecclesia habet pro Canonicis, propter quorundam Martyrium Passiones vehementes, atq; mirabiles: The Church accompteth the Bookes of the Machabees, as Canonical, (not for the Authoritie, and weight of truthe, but) for the greate, and maruailous Passions, and Persecutions of Martyrs, therein contained.*

Thus, to be alleged in prouise of Faith, they are not Canonical: but, to be readde vnto the people in the Church, for example of Life, in this sense, saith S. Augustine, they are Canonical. To like your purpose S. Augustine writeth to Gaudentius: *Scriptura, quæ appellatur Machabæorum, recepta est ab Ecclesia non inutiliter, si sobriè legatur, vel audiat, maxime propter illos Machabæos, qui pro Dei Lege tam indigna perpessi sunt: That Scripture, that so is called, and beareth the name of the Machabees, is received not vnprofitably of the Church, so that it be readde, and heard with sobrietie: specially because of those Machabees, that suffered so cruel tormentes for the Lawe of God.* So saith S. Cyprian, *Hæc omnia Legi quidem in Ecclesijs voluerunt: non tamen proferri ad Authoritatem ex his Fidei confirmandum: All these writings our Fathers haue allowed, to be readde in the Church: yet not to be alleged for Authoritie to confirme the Doctrine of our Faith.* Likewise saith S. Hierome touching the same Bookes of the Machabees, *Hæc volumina legit Ecclesia, ad ædificationem plebis: non ad Authoritatem Ecclesiasticorum Dogmatum confirmandum: These Bookes the Church readeth for the edifyinge of the people, but not as mater of Authoritie, whereby to proue Ecclesiastical Doctrine.* Now, I beseeche you, M. Harding, what Canonical Scriptures are these, that maie not be alleged in Confirmation of Doctrine, or in prouise of Faith: If ye had discretely considered these thinges, I thinke, ye would not so tainely haue charged vs with Lowde Lyinge.

Of Prayer for the Dead, we shal haue place moze convenient to speake hereafter.

The place of S. James, touching the Justification of Faith, and Wordes, is answered before. Neither do we discredit any parte, either of the Authoritie, or of the Doctrine of that whole Epistle: notwithstandinge Eusebius saith, It was written by some other, and not by S. James. His wordes be these: *Istius Iacobi, qui Iustus, & Oblias vocabatur, dicitur esse Epistola, quæ prima scribitur inter Canonicas. Sciendum autem est, illam Epistolam esse Spuriam: The Opinion is, that the Epistle, whiche is reckened the firste amonge the Canonicales, is of this Iames, whiche was called Iustus and Oblias. But we muste vnderstande, that it is a Bastarde Epistle, and not written by S. James.*

And likewise

In the first parte
chap. 10. Diu. 1
Euseb. li. 2 ca. 23
Iscop de vti
vobis et al.

Thus likewise S. Hierome saith, *Epistola Iacobi, ab alio quopiam sub eius nomine edita asseritur: It is saide, that the Epistle of S. Iames, was sette forth by some other man vnder his name. This therefore is no newe fantasie: but the Iudgemente of the Anciente Learned Fathers. Nevertheless we do bothe receiue the same Epistle, and also reade it inoure Churches: and allowe every Clause, and Sentence, that therein is written, euen as the Worde of God.*

Hieronymus, in Catalogo Eccles. scriptorum.

M. Hardinge saith, If yee haue this Light of the Scriptures before your eyes, howe is it, that yee agree no better amongst your selues? And here he rekeneth vpon by rote a many of Names of his owne makinge, Lutherans, Zuinglians, Ariens, Osiandrians, Libertines, Anabaptistes, Anabaptistes, Caluinistes, and Sathanistes. In which he is so pleasant fantasie, he maie haue leaue to spoyle him selfe, while he listeth. God be thanked, we agree thorowly together in the whole Substance of the Religion of Christe: and altogether with one Hart, and one Spirit we glorifie God the Father of our Lorde Iesus Christe. Certainly S. Augustine, S. Hierome, S. Chrysostome, Epiphanius, and Theophilus, as it appeareth by their writings, agreed no better together in their time, then we do now. Yet had they, and euery of them the Worde of God: and the same Worde of God was a Light vnto their feete.

It was not for any greatescore of better mater, I trowe, that M. Hardinge thus chargeth vs with so often changinge the Communion Booke. For of more, then of One Onely Change, he cannot telle vs. And if there had benne lesse then that, there had bene no change at al. And yet, for that one Change, he him selfe in the meane season hath changed thise. But the Holy Communion Booke, and the Order of the Holy Ministration standeth, and by Goddes mercie shal stande still, without any further Change.

Howe be it, Gentle Reader, if thou wilt knowe the often Alterations, & Changes of the Masse, Reade, I beseeche thee, Platyna, and Polydore Vergil, touching the same: Where shalte thou finde, howe, and by whom, and vpon what occasion, and in what proceesse of time, al the partes of the Masse were pieced, and sette together: and that in the space of seuen hundred whole yeres, scarcely, and with muche adoe it was made vp at laste, and brought to some perfection.

Platin. in Sixto. 1. Polydor. Vergil. De Inuentorib. lib. 5. Cap. 10.

Christes Commandemente of Hearinge the Church, is answered before. S. Augustine saith, *Credimus Sanctam Ecclesiam: non Credimus In Sanctam Ecclesiam: Wee beleene, that there is a Holy Church: But wee beleene not In the Holy Church. For the Church is not God, nor is hable of her selfe to make, or alter any One Article of the Faith. The Prophete Esay saith, Ad Legem potius, & ad Testimonium. Si non responderint secundum Verbum hoc, non erit illis Lux Matutina: To the Lawe rather, and to the Testimonie of God. If they answere not accordinge to this Worde, they shal haue no Morninge Lighte.*

Augustin. De Fide. & Symbol. Esai. 8.

M. Hardinge saith further, If quietnesse of Conscience comme of the VVoorde of God onely, then had Abel no more quietnesse of Conscience then wicked restlesse Cain. Then shoulde Paule the Eremitte, and Antonie, and Hilarion, and Pambus, and other Holy men, liuinge in wildernesse without Letters, haue had no rest, ne quiet at their Hartes. And why so? Because they had no Worde written.

Who woulde thinke, that M. Hardinge, bearinge suche a countenance of Diuinitie, would thus goe about to deceiue him selfe with a pointe of Sophistrie? Chrysostome saith, *Deus Conditor Humani Generis ab initio per seipsum hominibus loquebatur: God the Creatour of Mankinde, from the beginninge spake vnto menne by him selfe, in his owne persone. And S. Paule saith, Deus olim multifariam, multisque modis Patribus loquutus est: In Olde times God spake many waies, and in sundrie sortes vnto the Fathers. And dothe M. Hardinge thinke, when God him selfe in his owne persone, and presently spake vnto Abel, that Abel hearde not then the Worde of God? Wee speake not so precisely, and nicely of Goddes Worde written in Paper. For so it is a Creature Corruptible, and shal consume, and perishe, as other Corruptible Creatures do. But the Woordes of God, which we speake of, endureth for euer.*

Chrysostom. in Genes. Hemil. 1. Hebra. 2.

M. Hard. 328. b.

Addition

Addition.

M. Hardinge. VVee also in Christes Church haue as wel Goddes

the

VVoorde

Zacharie. 7.

Romans. 1.

2. Petri. 1.

Hieronym. in
Iobum. cap. 27.

Chrysostom. 2.
Cyril. Homil. 18.

Aug. de Doctrina
Christiana.
lib. 1. in Prologo.

Augustin. De
Scala Paradisi.
cap. 11.

Nicol. Cusan.
Excita. Lib. 2.

Rom. 15.

Iohan. 17.

2 Thessalon. 2.
Basil. De spiritu
sancto. ca. 27.

VVoorde in our Hartes, as in our Bookes : whence also, to witte out of our Hartes, wee maie resolute the doubtles, whiche arise vpon our Bookes.

The Answer. In your Hartes, M. Hardinge : And is your Harte the onely Oracle of al the Worlde : Muste we leaue Goddes Holy Worde, that endueth for euer, and resorte to your Hartes to learne Goddes will : The Prophete Zacharie saith, They haue beaten their Hartes as hayde, as the Adamante, leaste they should heare the Lawe of God, and the wordes, whiche the Lorde of Sabaoth hath sente in his Sprite by the Ministerie of his Prophetes. S. Paule saith, Obscuratum est insipientes cor illorum : dicentes, se esse sapientes, stulti facti sunt : Their foolish harte was blinded with darkenesse : whereas they boasted them selues to be wise menne, they became fooles. They turned the Trueth of God into Lies, and fille downe, and Woorshipped a Creature, forsakinge the Creator, whiche is God blessed for euer. God graunte, M. Hardinge, that the Dalestarre maie rise vp, and shine in your Hartes, that we maie see your Light, & walke safely in your Waies.

S. Hierome saith, Quomodo Aeternae erunt Scripturae Diuinae, si Mundus certo fine est terminandus : Verum est quidem, quod Librorum pelliculae cum ipsis Literis abolenda sunt. Sed, quia subiungit Dominus, Verba vero mea non praeteribunt, proculdubio, quod illis apicibus pollicetur, erit Aeternum : Howe shal the Holy Scriptures be Everlastinge, seeinge the Worlde shal haue an ende : True it is that the parchmente, or leaues of the Bookes, with the Letters and al. shalbe abolished. But for as muche as our Lorde addeth, My Wordes shal neuer passe, doubtlesse (though the Pepers, and Letters perishe, yet) the thinge, that is promised by the same Letters, shal laste for euer. So Chrysostome saith, Paulus Praedicationem non Scriptam appellat, Euangelium : Praedicatione not written, Paule calleth the Gospel.

That M. Hardinge addeth of Antonius, and Paulus, and Hilarion, and other Eremites, that they liued in Wildernesse without Letters, and therefore presumeth, they liued without the VVoorde of God, it is very vnadvisedly spoken, and bitter ly true. For prouise whereof, to name onely One in stee of the rest, S. Augustine saith, that Antonius the Eremitte was notably Learned, & perfit in the Scriptures, His wordes be these, Antonius sine vlla scientia Literarum, Scripturas Diuinas & memoriter audiendo tenuisse, & prudenter cogitando intellexisse, praedicatur : It is reported, that Antonius, without knowledge of Letter, bothe Learned the Holy Scriptures, and bare them wel in minde, by hearinge : and also by Wisdome and studie, understoode them. S. Augustine saith not, as M. Hardinge saith, that Antonius liued without the VVoorde of God, but the contrarie, that he was ready, and perfit in the VVoorde of God.

And, whereas M. Hardinge woulde same to make sutch an accompte of Praier, and Holinesse without knowledge, S. Augustine saith, Lectio sine Meditatione arida est : Meditatio sine Lectione erronea est : Oratio sine Meditatione tepida est : Readinge without Meditation, or studie is drie, and barren : Meditation, or studie without Readinge is erroneous : And Praier without Cogitation, or studie, is halfe coulede, and vnfruitful. Thus we see by S. Augustines Judgemente, that the Power, and Substance borbe of Praier, and of Meditation dependeth of Readinge. And therefore Nicolaus Cusanus saith, The Soule, that wil flee into the Wildernesse of Contemplation, muste haue two whinges, the one of Deuotion, the other of Knowledge, or Vnderstandinge.

Howe be it, what Comforte, and peace of Conscience, we haue by Hearinge the Worde of God, S. Paule can telle vs somme what better, then M. Hardinge. Thus he saith, Quaecunque Scripta sunt, &c. What so euer thinges are Written, they are written for our Learninge, that by Patience, and Comforte of the Scriptures wee maie haue hope. Euen so saith Christe him selfe, O Father, this is the Everlastinge Life, that they maie knowe thee, the onely, and very God : and Iesus Christe, whom thou hast sente.

But S. Paule saith, Keepe the Traditions, whiche ye haue receiued, either by Epistle or by Woordes. And S. Basile reckeneth Traditions to be One, and Equal with the VVoorde of God. Firste, that S. Basile wrote those wordes rather of zeale, then of Judgement, it maie easily appere, by that the selfe same Traditions, that be there specially

specially nameth, and so highly commendeth, are for the greatest parte already abolished, and quite forgotten, yea and that even in the Church of Rome. Yet the Word of God endureth still, and shall endure for ever. For example, by one of S. Bases necessarie Traditions, It is not lawfull for any man, to Kneele in the Church upon the Sonnedate: But every man is bounde by the same Tradition, at Sermon, at Prayer, and at the Communion to stande upright. And this (he saith) was geuen unto vs in Secrete Charge by the Apostles of Christe. This so necessarie, and so Apostolique Tradition is nowe dissolved, and broken, and utterly forgotten, not onely in Louaine, but also in Rome.

S. Augustine saith, By Tradition of the Apostles, bitweene Easter, and VVinter: it was not lawfull for any man to Faste. Yet nowe we Faste within the same daies so forbidden, and the same kinde of Faste is thoughte lawfull, the Apostles Tradition to the contrarie notwithstandinge.

The reste of S. Bases Traditions stande in Halowinge of VVater: in Blessinge of Oyle: in Prayeringe towards the East: in utteringe certaine wordes of Inuocation at the shewinge southe of the Breaue of Thankesgeuinge vnto the people. These thinges, I helue, M. Hardinge him selfe neuer thoughte to be Equiuallente with the Word of God.

But if these, and other like Traditions be so weightie, and so necessarie, as he seemeth to make them, then let him telle vs in good southe, and without fable: what were these Mystical Solemne wordes of Inuocation, that S. Basile saith, were spoken by the Prieste at the Openinge, or shewinge southe of the Sacramente? If they be so necessarie to be vied, and continued in the Church of God, why hathe he, and his whole Romaine Clergie quite forgotten them? If he, and his Clergie haue forgotten them, and care not for them, howe can he saie, or make us thinke, they are so necessarie to Saluation?

This is the Simplicitee, and plainesse of M. Hardinges dealinge. He telleth vs many tales of the Apostles Traditions, bringe him selfe the manifeste despiser, and breaker of the same Traditions. Touchinge the wordes of S. Paule, I marueile, that M. Hardinge coulde so easily be deceiued. For S. Paule him selfe, even in the same wordes, and in the selfe same line, woulde haue tolde him, that by the name of Traditions, he meante, not Vnwritten Verities and Liuelesse Ceremonies, as he supposeth, but the selfe same Substance of Religion, and Doctrines, that he had uttered vnto the Thessalonians before, either by Epistle, or by Preachinge.

These be his wordes, *Holde the Traditions, whiche yee haue receiued, either by Epistle, or by Word.* He calleth them Traditions, although they were contained in his Epistles, and declared to them by Writinge. For the Apostles preachinge, & writinge, in ground, and Substance were al one. Nicophorus saith, Paulus, quæ præsens oratione sua dilucidè docuerat, eadem absens per compendium in memoriam, scripta Epistola reuocare voluit: Paule, what thinges, heeing presente, he had plainly taughte by Mouthe, the same thinges afterwarde, beinge absent, he shortly called to their remembrance, by writinge an Epistle. The like he writeth also of S. Mathewe, Mattheus discedens, (also prædicatum) absentiam suam scripto præsentis compensauit: S. Mathewe departinge (to preache in other places) recompensed his absence by presente writinge.

S. Paule vnto the Philippians saith thus, *Eadem scribere mihi quidem non pigrum: vobis autem necessarium: To write vnto you the selfe same thinges, vnto me it is not painefull: but in your behalfe, it is necessarie.* These wordes S. Hierome expoundeth thus: *Eadem scribere, hoc est, eadem repetere, quæ præsens dixeram: To write the same thinges, that is to saie, to make rehearful of the same thinges, that I tolde you by Mouthe, when I was presente.*

So saith Theophylacte, speakinge in the persone of S. Luke: *Prius te sine Scripto institui: nunc Scriptum tibi trado Euangelium: Atque ita mentem tuam munio, vt ne obliuiscatur eorum, quæ prius sine Scriptis tradita sunt: Before this time I haue instructed thee without writinge. Nowe I deliuer vnto thee a written Gospel. And so I furnishe thy minde, that it forgette not the thinges, that were deliuered thee before without writinge.*

ὁρθοὶ ποιεῖμεν
τὰς ἐυχὰς ἐν
τῇ μὲν τῶν
σαββάτων.

Augustinus ad
Casulanum.

τὰ τῆς ἐπι-
κλήσεως ἡ-
μαρτὰ ἐπὶ τῇ
ἀναστροφῇ τῶν
ἀγίων τῆς ἐκ-
κλησίας.

2. Thessalon. 2.

Nicpho. Lib. 2.
Cap. 34.

Nicpho. Lib. 2.
Cap. 45.

Philippen. 3.

Hieronym. in Epistol. ad Phil. lippen. Cap. 3.
Theophylact. in Lucam. cap. 1.

Irenæ, li. 3. ca. 1

So saith Irenæus, Apostoli tunc Euangelium præconauerunt. Postea verò per Dei voluntatem, illud in Scripturis nobis tradiderunt, Fundamentum, & Columnam Fidei nostræ futurum: *Then the Apostles preached the Gospel. And afterwards by Goddes wil, they deliuered the same to vs in writinge, to be a Foundation, and a Piller vnto oure Faith.*

Chrysostom in 2
Corin, Homel. 18

And therefore Chrysostome saith, Paulus etiam non Scriptam Prædicationem vocat Euangelium: S. Paule calleth his Preachinge not written, by the name of the Gospel.

But me thinke, *Mr. Hardinge*, so stoutely struinge for the bare name of Traditions, shoulde better haue learned S. Hieromes Lesson touchinge the same. Thus he writeth vpon these wordes of S. Paule, *Hold the Traditions, which ye haue learned, either by Our Epistle, or by Our Wordes*: Quando sua vult teneri non vult extranea superaddi: *Whereas* S. Paule wil haue his owne thinges to be keapte, he wil haue no strange thinges thereto to be added.

Hieronym. in 2
Thessalon. 2.

And, that S. Paule, by this word, Traditions, meante not Ceremonies, or certaine Secrete vnknewen Verities, but the very Substance of the Gospel of Christe, as it is saide before: if *Mr. Hardinge* wil not beleue vs, yet let him beleue S. Paule him selfe. Thus he writeth, Tradidi vobis in primis, quod etiam accepi, quod Christus mortuus est pro peccatis nostris secundum Scripturas: *Firste I haue deliuered to you, (or geuen to you by Tradition) the same thinge, that I receiued: that is, that Christ died for our Sinnes, accordinge to the Scriptures.* Here, by S. Pauls wordes, the Deathe of Christe is called a Tradition.

1. Corin. 15.

So saith S. Basile, Hoc palam impugnatur Salutaris Baptismatis Traditionem: Baptismus noster, iuxta ipsam Domini Traditionem, est in nomine Patris, & Filij, & Spiritus Sancti: Per ipsam Baptismi Traditionem habemus confessionem Fidei: *This thinge is plainly againste the Tradition of Healthful Baptisme: Our Baptisme, accordinge to the Tradition of our Lorde, is in the name of the Father, of the Sonne, and of the Holy Ghost: By the very Tradition of Baptisme wee haue the Confession of Faith.*

Basilius de spi-
ritu sancto.
in Tit. 2. Soc-
rat. li. 1. ca. 1.Cyprian ad
Pompiliu, con-
tra Epistolam
Stephani.

S. Cyprian saith, Vnde est ista Traditio? Vtrumne de Dominica, & Euangelica Autoritate descendens, an de Apostolorum Mandatis, atque Epistolis veniens? &c. Si igitur aut in Euangelio præcipitur, aut in Apostolorum Epistolis, & Actis continetur, obseruetur etiam hæc Sancta Traditio: *From whence haue wee this Tradition? Whether cometh it from the Authoritie of our Lorde, and of the Gospel: or els from the Commandementes, and Epistles of the Apostles? &c. Therefore if it be either commanded in the Gospel, or contained in the Epistles, or Actes of the Apostles, let vs keepe the same Tradition.*

Euseb. li. 5. ca. 20
πὸντα οὐκ
φωκὰ τοῖς
ἱεροῖς.

Like wise Eusebius saith, Polycarpus, cum recepisset ea ab illis, qui ipsi viderant Vitam Verbi, nuntiavit eadem, omnia Scripturis consona: Polycarpus, when by Tradition he had receiued these thinges of them, that had seene the Life of the Word, receiued, and shewed the same, beeing all agreeable vnto the Scriptures.

Of this Tradition, it is plain, S. Paule speaketh. Nowe therefore Iudge thou, Gentle Reader, howe troely, and hand somely *Mr. Hardinge* allegeth these wordes of S. Paule against S. Pauls expresse wordes, and vndoubted meaninge, to serue his purpose.

August. Epi. 86.

As for Lenten Faste, the Superstition onely excepted, we condemne it not, but vse it still. But howe can *Mr. Hardinge* saie, either that Lente is so necessarie, as he maketh it: or els, that it is the Tradition of the Apostles? Merely S. Augustine saith, Quibus diebus non oporteat ieiunare, & quibus oporteat, Præcepto Domini, vel Apostolorum non inuenio definitum: *Vpon what daies wee ought not to Faste, and vpon what daies wee ought to Faste, I finde it not appointed, or limited by any commandemente, either of our Lorde, or of the Apostles.*

Socrus li. 3. ca. 22.

So saith Socrates, Euangelia non imposuerunt iugum Seruitutis: Sed homines ipsi, suis quisque locis, propter Remissionem, & memoriam Passionis, Pascha, & alios Dies festos, sicut voluerunt, ex Consuetudine quadam celebrarunt. Non enim hoc vel Seruator, vel Apostoli Lege aliqua obseruandum esse mandauerunt: *The Gospel hath laide vpon vs no Toke of Bondage: But menne them selues in the Countreies where thei dwelt, for release of labour, and Remembrance of the Passion of Christe, of a certaine Custome kept*

the Easter, and other Holy daies, eche man, as he woulde. For neither Our Saueoure, nor the Apostles by any Lawe commaunded these things.

Likewise saith Cassiodorus, Alij Pisces solummodò comedunt: Alij vnà cum Piscibus vtuntur etiam Volatilibus: Alij vsque ad Nonam ieiunantes, sine discretione Ciborum reficiuntur. Puto, Apostolos singulorum hoc reliquisse sententiæ, vt vnusquisque Operetur, non timore, aut necessitate, quod bonum est: *Somme menne* (in the time of the Lenten Faste) eat onely Fishe: *Others vse bothe Fishe, and Foule too: Somme others, when they haue Fasted until three of the Clocke in the afternoone, afterwarde they refresh their bodies without difference, or Choise of Meates.* I thinke, the Apostles leaue this mater to euery mannes discretion, that euery man maie doo good without feare, or Superstition, or necessitie.

Tertullian likewise saith, De cætero Indifferenter ieiunandum, ex arbitrio, non ex imperio Noue Disciplinæ, pro temporibus, & causis vniuscuiusque. Sic & Apostolos obseruasse, nullum aliud imponentes iugum certorum, & in Commune omnibus obeundorum ieiuniorum: *Henceforth we muste Faste without compulsion, of free wil, not by commaundement of this Newe Discipline, accordingly as euery man shal see time, and cause.* For so, it appeareth, the Apostles keapte it, laieinge on none other yoke of certaine appointed Fastes, to be obserued in common of al menne altogether.

Therefore S. Augustine saith, Per Quadragesimam ferè omnes abstinent, non solum à Carnibus, verum etiam à quibusdam Fructibus, quanto magis quisque, vel minus seu voluerit, seu potuerit: *In the Lent season al menne for the moste parte abstine, not onely from fleash, but also from certaine frutes, as euery man either wil, or is hable to doo, more, or lesse. Al menne be saith, to abstine for the most parte: but not al in deede.*

Al these things beinge true, and certaine, and out of question, howe can M^r. Harding thus assure vs, that the Lenten Faste is the Vndoubted Tradition, and Commandement of the Apostles?

Certainely, whereas they so often telle vs, *Wee oughte to Faste Fourtie Daies, for that Christe him selfe did the like, and gaue vs example so to doo,* Chrysostome saith, Christus non iubet, vt ieiunium suum imitemur: Christus commaunded vs not to folowe his Fastene, Or, to Faste, as he Fasted.

And touchinge the numbe of the Dases, Eusebius saith, Quidam putant ieiunare oportere vnum tantum Diem: alij Duos: alij Plures: alij quadraginta Horas diurnas, nocturnasque: *Somme thinke, they oughte to Faste onely one daie: Others, twoo daies: Others, Moe: Somme others, Fourtie whole Houres bothe nighte, and daie together.*

But what meante M^r. Harding here to come in with the difference bitwene Priestes, and Bishoppes? Thinke he, that Priestes, and Bishoppes holde onely by Tradition? Is it so horrible an Heresie, as he maketh it, to saie, that by the Scriptures of God, a Bishop, and a Priest are al One? He knoweth he, halve farre, and vnto whom, he reacheth the name of an Heretique?

Vertly Chrysostome saith, Inter Episcopum, & Presbyterum interest fermè nihil: *Bitweene a Bishop, and a Priest in a manner there is no difference.* S. Hierome saith, *somme what in rougher sorte,* Audio, quendam in tantam erupisse vecordiam, vt Diaconos Presbyteris, id est, Episcopis anteferet: Cum Apostolus perspicue doceat, eosdem esse Presbyteros, quos Episcopos: *I heare saie, there is One becomee so peenish, that he setteth Deacons before Priestes, that is to saie, before Bishoppes: where as the Apostle plainly teacheth vs, that Priestes, and Bishoppes be al one.*

S. Augustine saith, Quid est Episcopus, nisi Primus Presbyter, hoc est, Summus Sacerdos: *What is a Bishop, but the Firste Priest, that is to saie, the Highest Priest?* So saith S. Ambrose, Episcopi, & Presbyteri vna Ordinatio est: Vterque enim Sacerdos est. Sed Episcopus Primus est: *There is but one Consecration of Priest, and Bishop: For bothe of them are Priestes. But the Bishop is the Firste.*

Al these, and other moe Holy Fathers together with S. Paule the Apostle, for thus saieinge, by M^r. Hardinges aduise, muste be holden for Heretiques.

But S. Paule saith to the Corinthians, Cetera, càm venero, disponam: *For the reste, I wil take order, when I come.* And S. Iohn saith, *I wil not write by Paper, and*

Tertul. De ieiunio, contra Physicos.

August. Contra Faust. li. 30. ca. 5

Chrys. in Marthe. Homil. 47.

Euseb. li. 5 ca. 16

Chrys. in 1. Timoth. Homil. 11. Hieronym. ad Euzagrium.

August. in questionib. Noui & Veter. Testamen. Quæst. 101. Ambros. De Dignitate sacerdotali.

1. Corinth. 7.

Iohan. 2.

Inkg:

ynke: but I trust, to be with you mee selfe, and to speake vnto you. Upon these fewe wordes, M. Hardinge is hable to bulde vp his Dimi Communion, his Priuate Masse, & what so euer he listeth bidde.

S. Augustine saith, Omnes insipientissimi Hæretici, qui se Christianos vocari volunt, audacias figmentorum suorum, quas maxime exhorret sensus humanus, hac occasione Euangelicæ sententiæ colorare conantur, vbi Dominus ait, Adhuc multa vobis habeo dicere: Sed ea non potestis portare modo: Al the moſte peeuſhe Heretiques, that ſaine woulde be called Christians, goe about to colour the bolde Vanities of their Inuention, whiche the very ſenſe, and reaſon of Man doothe moſte abhorre, with the pretenſe of this ſaicinge in the Goſpel, where as our Lorde ſaith thus: I haue many thinges to ſaie vnto you: but as nowe yee are not hable to beare them.

Tertull. de Preſcription. Contra Hæreticos.

Quen ſo ſaith Tertullian, Eadem dementia conſentitur, Apoſtolos quidem nihil ignoraffe, nec diuerſa inter ſe prædicaffe. Sed non omnia volunt illos omnibus reuelaffe: Sed quædam Palam, & Vniuerſis: quædam Secretò, & Paucis demandaffe: By a like kinde of Madneſſe they confeſſe, that the Apoſtles in deepe were ignorant of nothinge: nor taughte any contrarie Doctrinẽ amonge them ſelues. But they ſaie, The Apoſtles reueled not al thinges to al mienne: but ſhewed certaine thinges openly, and to al: and other certaine thinges ſecretely, and vnto a fewe.

*Athanaſ. Contra Arian. Orati-
one. 2.*

By ſuthe righte belde ſometime the grate Heretique Arius. For euen ſo ſaide he then, as M. Hardinge ſaith nowe, Ex Electis Dei ſecundum Fidem, Peritis Dei, Rectigradis, qui Sanctum Dei Spiritum acceperunt, ego ita didici: Theſe thinges haue I learned (not of the Scriptures, but) of the choſen of God, accordinge to Faiſthe: of the ſkilful in Godly vnderſtandinge: of them, that walke vprightly, and had receiued the Sprye of God: that is to ſaie, by Tradition.

*Epiphani. Lib. 1.
Hæref. 38.*

So Epiphanius ſaith, The Heretiques called Caiiani, anouched al theire folies, and Heresies, not by the Scriptures, but by Tradition, as they ſaide, from S. Paule: and toke vpon them, to knowe al thoſe ſecretẽ wordes, that S. Paule had hearde in the Thirde Heauen.

If M. Hardinge maie haue leaue, to handle the ſame weapons, I doubt not, but he wil ſone be hable to proue, that both his Holy Breade, and his Holy VVater, and, what ſo euer ſhal pleaſe him els, came by Tradition directly from the Apoſtles of Chriſte, and from Chriſte him ſelfe.

1. Corinth. 11.

But S. Paule, when he ſaide, I wil comme, and take Order, he meant not to diſſe any other Scripture, or newe Writings, that they had not knowne befoze: but onely to appointe them, in what place, at what time, in what Order, and with what other Circumſtances, the Holy Ministration, and other like Eccleſiaſtical Offices ſhoulde be vſed.

Augu. in Iohan. Tracta. 56.

As for theſe fantaſies, that M. Hardinge, and his ſeloues haue imagined, S. Augustine ſaith, Iſta, cum Chriſtus ipſe tacuerit, quis noſtrum dicat, Iſta, vel illa ſunt Aut, ſi dicere audeat, vnde probat? Quis enim eſt tam vanus, aut tam temerarius, qui, cum dixerit etiam vera, quibus voluerit, quæ voluerit, ſine vilo Teſtimonio Diuino, affirmat ea eſſe, quæ tunc Dominus dicere noluit: For as muche as Chriſte him ſelfe hath not reueled theſe thinges, whiche of vs, wil ſaie, they be theſe, or theſe? Or if he ſo ſaie, howe can he proue it? For who is there, either ſo vaine, or ſo raſhe, who, not withſtandinge he ſpeake the Truthe, to whom he liſteth, and what he liſteth, wil affirme without any Teſtimonie of the Scriptures, that theſe be the thinges, that the Lorde then woulde not Open?

*Hieronym. in Agg. cum. cap. 1.
Epiphani. Lib. 3.
Hæref. 73. ο δὲ
ἡσυχασταὶ
Athanaſ. Tom. 2
Quod Decreta
Nicenæ Synodi
communis
ſunt verba ſunt
expoſita.*

Suthe thinges they be, that, as S. Hierome ſaith, Are caſte of With the Swords (that is to ſaie, with the Word) of God.

M. Hardinge ſaith, Theſe expreſſe VVoordes, Perſona, Ingenium, Hemorſus, are not founde in the Scriptures. So ſaide the Arian Heretiques to, as wel, as he. But what forceth that? Epiphanius ſaith, Nomen Subſtantia non ponitur nudè, nec in Veteri, nec in Nouo Teſtamento: Sensus autem eius Nominis vbique eſt: This very woorde, Subſtantia, is not plainly expreſſed, neither in the Newe, nor in the Olde Teſtament: But the ſenſe, and meaninge of that VVoorde is euery where.

So ſaith Athanaſius, tametiſ hęc voces in Scriptura non reperiuntur, tamen habent eam

eam Sententiam, quam Scripturæ volunt: Notwithstandinge these wordes be not found expressed in the Scripture, yet haue they the same sense and meaning, that the Scripture willett.

Touchinge the Perpetual Virginitie of that Blessed Virgine, the Mother of Christe, whiche M. Hardinge saith, cannot be proued by any Scriptures, Gennadius writeth thus, Heluidij prauitatem arguens Hieronymus, Libellum Documentis Scripturarum Sufficenter factum aduersus eum edidit: S. Hierome reprocure the wilful lawdnesse of the Heretique Heluidius (denyinge the Perpetual Virginitie of Christes Mother) sette forth a Booke againste him, furnished with sufficient Testimonies of the Scriptures. Gennadius saith, the Perpetual Virginitie of our Lady is proued sufficiently by the Scriptures: M. Hardinge onely to mainteine his quarrel, saith: It can not be proued by any Scriptures: but standeth onely by Tradition.

Gennadius in
Cathologo illu-
stri. Virorum.

Addition
✠

Addition. M. Hardinge. This is a lowdie lie. Tria it out who wil. Gennadius saith not so: but onely, that S. Hieromes Booke, whiche he wrote against Heluidius, affirminge, that our Lady bare Children after shee had borne Christ, was sufficiently furnished with Testimonies of the Scriptures.

M. Har. fo. 327. b

The Answer. M. Hardinge, why shoulde there be so mutche folie in one man? Thus standeth the case: Heluidius the Heretique saide, That our Lady had other Children by Ioseph her Husbando beside Christe, and so denied hir Perpetual Virginitie. Againste this Heresie S. Hierome wrote a Booke, and, as Gennadius saith, furnished the same sufficiently with many Testimonies of the Scriptures, to proue, that our Lady continued stil a pure Virgine. For what thing els shoulde be proue? And what is this els, but the same, that I saie, that the Perpetual Virginitie of our Lady, by reporte of Gennadius, is proued sufficiently by the Scriptures? The wordes of Gennadius are these, Libellum Testimonijs Scripturarum sufficienter factum. Therefore, M. Hardinge, for humanities sake, spare this vnmanly vpholdinge of Lewde lies, and beslowe them rather emonge poor sclothes.

✠

S. Hierome him selfe in so baine a contention, moued by an Heretique, thought it sufficiente to answer thus: Mariam nupisse post partum non credimus: quia non legimus: Wee beleue not, that Marie was Married againe after her Childbearing: because wee reade it not.

Hierony. Contra
Heluidium.

Here M. Hardinge thinketh to oppresse vs with the Olde Heretiques Double question: Howe knowe you (saith he) that the Scripiures be the Scriptures? Howe knowe you, that the Gospel of Thomas, Bartholomewe, and Nicodeme, are no Scriptures? Thus they laboure to pulle al Credite from the Worde of God, and sende vs onely to their Traditions. Of God, and his Worde, they woulde ouermore haue vs to stande in doubt: but of the Pope, and his Worde, they saie, in any wise we are made not doubt. Hous, a special psecutor of that she saith, Quod Ecclesia docet, id est, Expressum Verbum Dei: What so euer the Church teacheth, (he meaneth the Church of Rome) that is the very Expresse VVoorde of God.

Hofius. De ex-
presso verbo
Dei.

A man mighte wel demaunde the like question of M. Hardinge: Howe knowe you, that the Sonne is the Sonne? Or, that the Pone is the Pone? Or, howe knowe you, that the Church is the Church? Or, that the Congregation of the Watched is not the Church?

Suche idle questions the Olde Heretiques the Manichees demaunded of S. Augustine. But S. Augustine answered them, Si quaratis a nobis, unde nos sciamus, Apostolorum esse istas literas, breuiter vobis respondemus, Inde nos scire, unde & vos scitis, illas literas esse Manichæi: If you demaunde of vs, howe wee knowe, that these be the Apostles writings, wee make you this shorte answer, Euen so wee knowe, that Our writings are of the Apostles, as you knowe, that your writings are of the Heretique Manichee.

August. Contra
Fau. li. 32. ca. 21

But for further answer, I reckon, M. Hardinge cannot be ignorant, that the Gospelles of Thomas, Bartholomewe, Nicodeme, and such others, were neuer written by them, whors names they beare: but were wickedly, and falsely counterfeited vnder their names, by sundrie Heretiques.

S. Ambrose saith, Erant Pseudoprophetae, &c. Erat autem populi gratia discernere Spiritus: vt cognosceret, quos referre deberet in numerum Prophetarum: quos autem, quasi bonus Nummularius, reprobare. Sic & nunc in Nouo Testamento,

Ench. li. 3. ca. 25
Ambro in Lucā,
in proximo.

multi Euangelia scribere conati sunt : quæ boni Nummularij non probauerunt: *There were False Prophetes, &c. But the people had a Grace geueen them, to discerne Sprites, and to iudge, whome they shoulde receiue into the number of the Prophetes : and whome, as skilful exchangers, they should refuse. Euen so nowe in the time of the N ewe Testamente, Many haue taken in hande to VVrite Gospelles : But the skilful exchangers, and triers of Coines haue not allowed them.*

August. contra
Fau. li. 22. ca. 80

So saith the S. Augustine : Manichæi legunt Scripturas Apocryphas, nescio à quibus Sutoribus Fabularum, sub nomine Apostolorum Scriptas : *The Manichees reade Secrete Hidden Scriptures, written, I knowe not by what Cobblers of Fables, vnder the name of the Apostles.*

Euseb. li. 6. ca. 12

So saith the Eusebius of the Gospel counterfeited vnder the name of S. Peter : Nos Petrum, & reliquos Apostolos, sicut Christum recipimus. Sed Pseudopigrapha rejicimus : Veluti gnari eorum sensus, & sententiæ : Scientes, quod talia non recipimus tradita ab Apostolis : *Wee receiue Peter, and the reste of the Apostles, as wee woulde receiue Christe him selfe. But Countrefeite VVritings (vnder their names) wee refuse vnterly : as hauinge vnderstandinge of their sense, and meaninge : knowinge wel, that wee haue receiued no sutebe thinges deliuered by the Apostles.*

ἡ παραλλο-
μεν.

Thus had the Church of God the Sprite of Wisedome, whereby to discerne the True Scriptures from the False.

Dist. 4 In istis.

Pet male wee not geather hereof, that the Authoritie of the Church is ouer, and aboue the Scriptures. S. Augustine saith, In istis temporalibus Legibus, quamuis de illis homines iudicent, cum eas instituunt, tamen cum fuerint institutæ, & firmatæ, non licebit Iudici, de illis iudicare, sed secundum eas : *In these Temporal, or Worldly Lawes, although menne be Iudges ouer them, while they be a makinge, yet after the same Lawes be once made, and established, it is no longer lawfull for the Iudge to Iudge of them: Onely it is lawfull, to Iudge by them.*

August. Confes.
Lib. 13. Cap. 23.

Likewise againe he saith, Spirituales, siue qui præsumunt, siue qui obtemperant, Spiritualiter iudicant: non de cognitionibus Spiritualibus, quæ lucent in Firmamento (id est, de Scripturis) : Non enim oportet de tam sublimi Autoritate iudicare, &c. Homo enim, licet Spiritualis, factor tamen Legis esse debet, non Iudex. De illis dicitur Iudicare, in quibus potestatem habet corrigendi: *Menne Spiritual, whether they rule, or be ruled, Iudge accordinge to the Sprite. But they Iudge not of the Spiritual knowledge that shineth in the Firmamento (that is to saie, of the Scriptures) : For it is not lawfull for any man to be Iudge ouer so high Authoritie. For be the man neuer so mutche Spiritual, yet muste he be a Doer, and not a Iudge of the Lawe. There a Man is saide to be a Iudge, where he hath Power, and Authoritie to correcte.*

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Division. 1.

Moreouer wee allowe the Sacramentes of the Church, that is to saie, certaine Holy Signes, and Ceremonies whiche Christe would wee woulde vse, that by them he mighte sette before our eyes, the Mysteries of oure Saluation, and mighte moze strongly confirme the Faith, whiche wee haue in his Bloude, and mighte seale his Grace in oure hartes. And these Sacramentes, togeather with Tertullian, Origene, Ambrose, Augustine, Hierome, Chrysostome, Basile, Dionysius, and other Catholike Fathers, wee doo calle Figures, Signes, Markes, Badges, Printes, Copies, Fourmes, Seales, Signettes, Similitudes, Paternes, Representations, Remembraunces, and Memories And wee make no doubt, togeather with the same Dortoures, to saie, that these be certaine Visible vvoordes, Seales of Righteousnes, and Tokens of Grace.

M. Hardinge.

M. Hardinge.

VVith what face, saie ye, that ye allowe the Sacramentes of the Church? (a) Haue ye not abandoned almoste al the Sacramentes of the Church? Retaine ye any moe by name of the Sacramentes then twoo, Baptisme, and your Supper of the Lorde? Of whiche the one, after that Doctrine, as it is by you taught, and ministred, auaileth nothinge, but to your further condemnation.

The founde, and true Doctrine, whiche the Catholike Church holdeth, and beleueth touching this pointe, is this: There be seuen Sacramentes, in whiche, vnder couer of visible thinges, the (b) Power of God worketh mannes healthe. They be these: Baptisme, Confirmation, the Sacramentes of the Aulter, Penance, extreme Vnction, Order, VVedlocke. And these so we cal Sacramentes, as neuertheless we acknowledge the name of Sacramente maie be extended to many other thinges. That it be knowen what a Sacramente is, this woorde Sacramente signifieth sometime a holy thinge, sometime the signe of a holy thinge instituted by God. As it is taken for a signe only, so is it founde generally, not onely in the Newe Lawe, but also in the Olde Lawe. But in the Newe Lawe these Signes after a Peculiar, and special manner be called Sacramentes, whiche doo not onely signifie a holy thinge, but also doo sanctifie and make holy those, to whome they be adhibited, beinge sutch as by institution of Christe containe grace in them, and Power to sanctifie. VVhereof a Sacramente is by the beste learned Diuines defined to be a visible signe of inuisible Grace, so as it beare the image of it, (c) and be cause of the same. For the plaine vnderstandinge of this Definition, howe a Sacramente beareth the forme, signe, or image of inuisible grace, it appeareth evidently in Baptisme, where washinge of the body sheweth the cleansinge of the soule. Also in the Sacrament of the Aulter, (d) where the formes of Breade and VVine outwardly presente the spiritual nourishinge of the soule. The like appeareth in other Sacramentes.

Neither is it a Newe, and straunge Doctrine to saie, that the Sacramentes of the Cospel containe grace in them, for the Fathers teache the same not seldome. Chrysostome expoundinge the Mysterie of the Poole called Bethesda in Hebrew, that was in Ierusalem, saith, that, sicke folke were healed at the mouinge of the VVater, to the intente, menne mighte be brought to vnderstande the vertue of Baptisme, For then was it comminge to passe (saith he) that Baptisme should be ful of power and grace. S. Ambrose in his booke *de Sacramentis*, speakinge of Baptisme, saith, it is not euery water that healeth, but that water healeth, whiche hath the Grace of Christe. Cyrillus vpon the foresaide Chapter of S. Iohn saith, that in Baptisme it is not VVater simply, that woorketh, but when as it hath receiued the grace of the Holy Ghoste. S. Augustine in a Sermon saith, that VVater in Baptisme is enriched with a more giste in manner, then was the Virgine Marie. For shee (saith he) deserued Chastitie to her selfe, this hath geuen to vs Sanctification: Shee deserued that Shee sinned not, this that it might purge sinnes. It is saide vniuersally by S. Cyprian of al the Sacramentes, that the fatte of Goddes anointinge powreth fulnesse of Grace into the ministerial sanctification, whereby he meaneth the Sacramentes. Yet wee meane, that they containe grace, and power to sanctifie, after sutch maner of speakinge, as wee saie of Potions, and drinckes, prepared for sicke Persons, that they containe healthe, to the woorkinge whereof they be effectual.

And as it is saide of the Sacramentes, that they containe grace, so is it likewise said, that through their vertue, whiche they haue by Goddes institution, they doo not onely signifie (as by these Defenders Doctrine that seemeth to be their special office) but also with signification (e) woork and cause, as an instrumental cause, the effecte of that, whiche they signifie.

After whiche manner, Cod hath geuen to the Sacramentes of the Newe Testamente, that they woork the thinge signified, through the vertue geuen them by Goddes ordinance, to special effectes of Grace.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Of the number of the Sacramentes, we shal haue more conuenient time to speake hereafter. There, gentle Reader, I truste, thou shalt see M. Hardinges great question easily answered, with what face we saie, we allowe the Sacramentes of the Church. In the meane season, it maie please the, to weighe these wordes of Cardinal Bessarion, the Bishop of Tusculum, one of M. Hardinges especial Catholique Doctors: Hæc Duo Sola Sacramenta in Euangelij manifestè tradita legimus: These Onely Two Sacramentes wee reade to be deliuered vs plainly in the Gospel. Here Bessarion nameth, not Seuen Sacramentes, as M. Hardinge doth, but Onely Two. Certainly we refuse no Sacramente that euer was either ordeined by Christe, or vsed and praicised by the Apostles.

Your Lorde Supper (saith M. Hardinge) auaileth you nothinge, but to your further Condemnation. So muste it needes be, because M. Hardinge saith, it shal so be. These Tragical terrorres are fit onely to fraie Children: In the Date of the Lorde reche Mennes worke shal appeare. The simplest of oure people vnderstandeth the Nature, and Meaninge of the Holy Mysterie of Our Lorde Supper: And therefore they receiue the same together to their greate consolation. But in Your Lady Masse, the simple people vnderstandeth nothinge, heareth nothinge, and, sauinge

(a) Vntruth. For the things, that wee haue least, were not the Sacramentes of the Church.
(b) Vntruth. As shal appeare.

(c) Vntruth. For they are onely certaine late Scholastical Sophisters.
(d) Vntruth. Vaine and childishe. For no Learned Father euer saide so.

(e) Vntruth. See the Answer.

Bessarion, De Sacramen. Eucharistic.

It is a fewe

The soule and true Doctrine of the Sacramentes. Seuen Sacramentes. Sacrament what it signifieth. Definition of a Sacramente.

Cap. 1.

Sermon Dominica in octauis Epiphaniæ. In sermone de Baptismo Christi.

Power geuen to Sacramentes to worke that they signifie by Gods ordinance.

Origen, in Lu-
cam. Homil. 5.

Ambros. in
1. Cor. 11.

a fcewe vnſcemely Ceremonies, ſeeth nothing. And therefore they ſo ſeldome Com-
municate, and that onely of cuſtome, without any yeſe, or comſorte of Conſcience,
as hauinge no ſenſe, or feelinge in al theſe dōinges. Origen ſaith, Nifi Circumci-
ſionis reddatur ratio, nutus eſt, & Opus mutum. Paſcha, & alix Solennitates nutus
magis ſunt, quā Veritas, vſque hodie Populus Iſrael ſurdus, & mutus eſt: Onleſſe
the reaſons, or cauſes of Circumciſion be opened, Circumciſion is but a geſture, and a dōmbe
kinde of woorke. The Eaſter Feaſte, and other like Solemnities, are rather Ceremonies then
the Truthe it ſelfe. Euen ſtil vntil this daie, the people of Iſrael is deafe, and dōmbe. S. Am-
broſe ſaith, Indignus eſt Domino, qui aliter Myſterium celebrat, quā à Chriſto tra-
ditum eſt. Non enim poteſt deuotus eſſe, qui aliter præſumit, quā datum eſt ab
Authore: He is vnwoorthy of the Lorde, that Miniſtreth this Sacramente otherwiſe, then
Chriſt deliuered it. For he cannot be deuote that preſumeth to uſe it otherwiſe, then it was
firſt deliuered from Chriſte the Authoure.

De Con. Diſt. 4.
Eccleſia.

Theſe wōdes ſeeme ſomme what to touche M. Hardinge, and his Companie.
But here he is contented, to allowe vs the very Sacramente, and true uſe of Bap-
tiſme, and that vailable, and of ſorce for the Remiſſion of Sinnes. Whereby vnad-
uiſedly, and vntwares he confeſſeth, that we haue the very true Catholique Church
of God. For S. Auguſtine ſaith truely, Baptiſmus Eccleſiæ poteſt eſſe extra Eccle-
ſiam: munus autem beatæ Vitæ non niſi intra Eccleſiam inuenitur: The Baptiſme of
the Church mai be without the Church: but the giſte of bleſſed Liſe, is not founde but with-
in the Church. But why he alloweth vs this Sacramente, rather then the other,
it were a harde mater to diſcuſſe. Neither mai we juſtly require reaſon of him, that
ſpeaketh ſo mutche without reaſon.

De Conſe. Diſt. 4.
Si non.
De Conſe. Diſt. 4.
Reſponderunt.

Perhappes he will ſaie, Baptiſme is but a lighte Sacramente, and mai be Mi-
niſtered by any Laie Perſon, euen by an olde Woman, or by a girle, ſo that ſhe
ſpeake Latine, and vnderſtande not what ſhe ſaie. For otherwiſe, I trowe, her dō-
inge mai not ſtande for god. Certainely, whereas M. Hardinge ſpeaketh of the
delue fourme of Wōdes, accordinge to Chriſtes Inſtitution, his owne Do-
ctours telle vs, and auouche it for greate Truthe, that if the Prieſte ſaie thus, Ego
te Baptizo in nomine Patris, & Filij, & Spiritus Sancti & Diaboli: That is, I Baptize
thee in the name of the Father, and of the Sonne, and of the Holy Ghoſte, and of the Diuel:
Or if he ſaie thus, as one ignorant Prieſte ſometime ſaide, Ego te Baptizo in nomi-
ne Patriæ, & Filia, & Spiritu Sancta, yet the fourme of Baptiſme is very good.

But one great faulte M. Hardinge findeth in our dōings, ſo that we haue leaſt
out a great many Holy Rites, his Chriſme, his Oile, his Salte, his Spittle, and ſutche
other like thinges: and, ſo that we miniſter this Sacrament plainly and ſimply,
as Chriſte commaunded. This obiection being of no greater weight, mai eaſily diſ-
charge it ſelfe, and therefore mai paſſe wel without farther anſweare.

M. Hardinge ſaith, There be ſeuene Sacramentes, whiche (as he ſaith) doo not onely
ſignifie a holy thinge, but alſo doo ſanctifie and make Holy thoſe, to whome they be adhibited, be-
inge ſuch as by inſtitution of Chriſt conteine Grace in them, and Power to ſanctifie. Here to leaue
the reaſte, it mighte be demaunded, howe can Matrimonic ſanctifie a ſpan, and
make him Holy: or, by what Inſtitution of Chriſte conteineth it Grace in it ſelfe,
and Power to ſanctifie? Or if it cannot ſanctifie, nor haue ſutche Grace, howe
then can it be called a Sacramente?

Concil. Nicen.

Ambros. De Sa-
cramen. Lib. 1.

Cap. 5.

Tertul. De Ba-
ptismo.

I graunt, the Ancient Learned Fathers, entreatinge of the Sacramentes, haue
often vſed vehemente, and greate wōdes. It is written in the Council of Nice, Vi-
des Aquam? Considera Vim Diuinam, quæ in Aquis latet. Puta, Aquam eſſe plenam
Ignis Diuini: Seeſt thou the Water? Conſider the Diuine power that lieth hidde in the Wa-
ter. Imagine, that the Water is ful of Heauenly Fiere. So S. Ambroſe, Spiritus Sanctus
deſcendit, & Aquam conſecrat. Adeſt Præſentia Trinitatis: The Holy Ghoſte commeth
downe, and haloweth the Water. There is the Preſence of the Trinitie. So ſaith Tertu-
lian, In Baptiſmo tingimur Paſſione Chriſti: In Baptiſme wee are waſhed with the
Paſſion of Chriſte. So ſaith Chryſoſtome, as M. Hardinge hathe here alleged him,
Baptiſme is ful of Power, and Grace: So ſaith S. Cyprian: ſo ſaie others.

All theſe

Al these, and other like vehement speeches M. Hardinge misgafeth, and qualifieth in this wise: VVee meane (saith he) that Sacramentes conteine Grace, after suche manner of speakinge, as wee saie, Potions, and drinke conteine healtie. That is to saie, Sacramentes verily, and in deede conteine not the Grace of God. For Drinke, and Potions verily, and in deede conteine not the healtie of the Patient. In this manner of speache the Childzen of the Prophetes saide to Elizeus the Prophete, Mors in olla, Vir Dei: O thou man of God, Deathe is in the Potte. So Salomon saith, Deathe, and Life are in the hands of the tongue. So Christ saide vnto the Phariseis, Searche the Scriptures: for in them you thinke to haue euerlastinge Life. And yet in deede, neither was Deathe in the Prophetes Potte: nor is Life, and Deathe in the tongue: nor is Life euerlastinge in the Scriptures. Therefore one of M. Hardinges Scholle Doctors saith, In Sacramentis Ecclesie specialis Virtus Passionis Christi continetur, sicut Virtus agentis in instrumento: The special Grace of the Passion of Christ, is contained in the Sacramentes of the Church, as the Power of the woorker is contained in the instrument, wherewith he woorketh.

4. Reg. 4.
Proverb. 18.
Iohan 5.

Iohan de Paris.
De Potestate
Regia. Cap. 2.

But in deede, it is the Soule of man, and not the Creature of Breade, or Water, that receiveth the Grace of God. Wee haue neede of Gods Grace: These corruptible Elementes neede it not. Without faith of our parte, Sacramentes be not onely vnprofitable to vs, but also hurtful. S. Chrysostome saith, Vbi est Virtus Euangelij? In Figuris literarum, an intellectu sensuum? Where is the Power of the Gospel? In the Fourmes of the letters, or els in the vnderstandinge of the meaninge?

Chrysost. in. Mat.
the. Operis. Im.
perfect. Hom. 43

Likewise Bonauentura saith, Nullo modo dicendum est, quod Gratia continetur in ipsis Sacramentis essentialiter, tanquam Aqua in Vase, vel Medicina in Pixide. Imo hoc intelligere, est erroneum. Sed dicuntur continere Gratiam, quia eam significant: Wee maie not in any wise saie, that the Grace of God is contained substantially, and verily in the Sacramentes, as Water is contained in the Vessel, or a Medicine in the Boxe, For so to saie, it were erroneous. But wee saie, the Sacramentes conteine the Grace of God: because they signifie the Grace of God. Againe he saith, Gratia est in anima, non in Signis visibilibus: The Grace of God is, not in the visible Signes, but in the Soule. And againe he saith, Ad illud, quod obijcitur, quod Remissio Peccatorum Latet in Baptismo, dicendum est, quod hoc intelligitur de Latentia Signati in Signo: quod quidem habet vltiorem rationem, quam rationem Significandi: Non tamen essentialiter continendi in se: sed quia ipsum, quod Signat, continetur in anima: To the Obiection, that is made, that the Remission of Sinnes is hidde in Baptisme, wee muste answer thus: that it muste be taken of the thinge Signified hidde in the Signe. Whiche thinge neuerthelesse hath a farther meaninge, then to signifie: yet not to containe (the Remission of Sinnes) verily, and Substantially in it selfe: but that the Grace, that is Signified thereby, is contained in the Soule.

Bonauentura in
4. sen. dist. 1. qu. 3

The Apptical signification, that M. Hardinge hath imagined, of his Shewes, and Accidentes, that is, that the Fourmes of Breade, and Wine outwardely represente the spiritual nourishinge of the Soule, is vaine, and fantastical, without the witness of any Anciente Doctoure, or Father, confirmed onely by the Authority of him selfe. For what manner of feedinge is there in these Accidentes, and Holy Fourmes? Or, howe can that thinge, that feedeth not the Body, represente vnto vs the spiritual feedinge of the Soule?

The mater is plaine penough of it selfe, and needeth no caull. The Signification, and Substance of the Sacramente is to shewe vs, howe we are fedde with the Body of Christ: that is, that like as Paternal Breade feedeth our Body, so the Body of Christ nailed on the Crosse, embraced, and eaten by faith, feedeth the Soule. The like Representation is also made in the Sacramente of Baptisme: That, as our Body is washed cleane with Water, so our soule is washed cleane with Christs Bloude. Therefore, S. Augustine saith, Nisi Sacramenta similitudinem quandam earum rerum, quarum Sacramenta sunt, haberent, omnino Sacramenta non essent: If Sacramentes had not a certaine likenesse, and representation of the thinges, wherof they be Sacramentes, then in deede they were no Sacramentes.

August. Epist. 23.

This Representation Rabanus Maurus expoundeth thus, Quia Panis Corporis cor confirmat, ideo ille congruenter Corpus Christi nuncupatur: Et, quia Vinum Sanguinem operatur in Carne, ideo refertur ad Sanguinem: Bicause (not the Acci- dentes, or Fourmes of Breade, but) Breade it selfe confirmeth the harte of the Body, therefore it is conveniently called the Body of Christe: And, bicause Wine woorketh Bloude in the Fleashe, therefore it hath relation vnto the Bloude.

So likewise saith Druthmarus, Vinum lactificat, & Sanguinem auget, & ideo non inconuenienter Sanguis Christi per hoc figuratur: (not the Accidentes: or Fourmes of Wine, but) VVine it selfe reioiceth the harte, and increaseth Bloude, And therefore the Bloude of Christe conveniently is thereby signified.

M. Hardinge, for that he cannot utterly denie it, the mater beinge so plaine, is therefore contented to graunte, that the Sacramente is the Figure of Christes Body. But to helpe out, and to shifte the mater, he hath devised sutch a strange kind of Figure, as seldome hath benne heard before. Notwithstandinge the Holy Learned Fathers speake plainly, and simply, and vse no kinde of sutch Gloses. S. Hierome saith, Ad Tropicam intelligentiam sermo referatur. Quando dico, Tropicam, doceo, verum non esse, quod dicitur, sed allegorice nubilo figuratum: Lette that saie- inge be expounded by a Figure. When I saie, a Figure, I saie the thinge that is spoken, is not true in deede, but Figured vnder the Clowde of an Allegorie.

Likewise Chrysostome saith, Audisti fuisse Figuram. Ne ergo mirare, neque omnia require in typo. Neque enim typus esset, si omnia, quæ Veritati accidunt, haberentur, Te haue heard, that it was a Figure. Therefore marueile not: and beinge a Figure, require not al thinges to agree. For otherwise it were no Figure.

So likewise S. Augustine saith, In principio cauendum est, ne Figuratam locutionem ad literam accipias. Ad hoc enim pertinet, quod ait Apostolus, Litera occidit. Cum enim Figurate dictum sic accipitur, tanquam proprie dictum sit, Carnaliter sapitur: neque villa mors animæ congruentius appellatur: Firste of al, thou muste take heede, that thou take not a Figuratiue Speache accordinge to the Letter, or sounde of the woordes. For that is it, whereof S. Paule saith, The Letter Killeth. For, when the thinge that is spoken vnder a Figure, is so taken, as if it were plainly spoken, there is a Fleasheely vnderstandinge. Neither is there any thinge that maie better be called the deathe of the Soule. Al this, and mutche moze to like pourpose, thou maist finde in my former Kepte to M. Hardinge.

S. Hierome saith, When I saie, It is a Figure, then I saie, it is not the Truthe: Chrysostome saith, If it were the Truthe it selfe, it were no Figure. S. Augustine saith, Beware, thou expounde not a Figuratiue Speache accordinge to the sounde of the Letter, as if it were the Truthe in deede: For that is the deathe of the Soule. Thus the Holy Fathers haue taught vs, to finde a difference betwene a Figure, and the Truthe it selfe.

An other fantasie M. Hardinge hath founde, That the Sacramentes of the Newe Lawe, woorketh the thinge it selfe, that they signifie, through Vertue(as he saith) geuen vnto them by Goddes ordinance, to special effectes of Grace. This, as I saide, is but a fantasie. For the Sacramentes of the Olde Lawe, and of the Newe, in Truthe and Substance, are al one. S. Paule saith, Omnes eundem cibum comederunt: The Fathers in the Olde Lawe, did al eate the same meate, What is to saie, the same Christe, that we eate. S. Hilari saith, Sub nube fuerunt, & Christo, aquam Petra præbente, potati sunt: They were vnder the Clowde, and were drenched with Christe, the Rocks ge- uinge them Water. Likewise saith Leo, Mysteria pro temporum ratione variata sunt: quum Fides, qua viuimus, nulla fuerit ætate diuersa: The Sacramentes are al- tered accordinge to the diuersitie of the times. But the Faith, whereby wee liue, in al ages was euer one.

Likewise S. Augustine, Sacramenta illa fuerunt, in Signis diuersa: in rebus, quæ significabantur, paria: These thinges were Sacramentes, in the outwarde tokens, diuerse: but in the thinges tokened, al one with ours.

M. Hardinge wil replie, S. Augustine saith, Sacramenta Noui Testamenti dant Salutem: The Sacramentes of the Newe Testamente geene Saluation. But who can better

better expounde. S. Augustine meaninge, then S. Augustine him selfe? He addeth immediately: Cum ergo iam teneas promissa, quid quaeris promittentia Saluatorem? Hoc dico, teneas promissa: non quod iam acceperimus Vitam æternam, Sed quod iam Christus venerit, qui per Prophetas prænuntiabatur: Wherefore, seeinge thou haste the Promisses (of the comminge of Christe) already performed, what seekest thou the things that Promised the Saueour? I saie, Thou haste the Promisses already performed: not for that we haue already receiued Euerlastinge life, but for that Christe is already comme, that was promised by the Prophetes. Therefore, when S. Augustine saith, Our Sacramentes geue Saluation, His meaninge is this, Our Sacramentes teache vs, that Saluation is already comme into the Worlde.

Addition
Q

Addition. **W. Hardinge.** So then *Dare*, is to teache: and *Salus*, is Saluation comme into the worlde, Here is gaie geare for VVantons, to Dally with woordes, in mater of oure Saluation.

M. Har. fo. 330. b

The Answer. What proper spoote ye make your selfe with this gaie geare, **W. Hardinge.** *Dare* (you saie) is not Latine to teache, I answere you, Neither is *Dare* Latine to Containe. But thus I saie, Sacramentes geue Grace so farre forth, as Sacramentes be hable to geue Grace. Your owne Bonauentura saith, Non est aliquo modo dicendum, &c. Wee maie not in any wise saie, that the Grace of God is Really contained in the Sacramentes, as Water is contained in a Vessel, or a Medicine in a boxe. For so to take it, it were an erreure. But wee saie, Sacramentes containe the Grace of God, bicause they represente, or signifie the Grace of God.

Bonauentura in
4. sent. dist. 1.
Quest. 3. c.
Dicuntur contine-
re Gratiam,
quia ipsam si-
gnificat.

Thus far for the **W. Hardinge**, Sacramentes are hable, to geue Grace. And therefore your Schole Doctors thus comunonly define a Sacramente, Sacramentum est inuisibilis Gratiae visibile Signum: A Sacramente is a visible signe of Grace inuisible. As for the meaninge of S. Augustine, I made it platne by other his wordes immediately folowinge, whiche you guilefully haue dissembled. For thus he saith, Thou haste the promises already performed: not for that wee haue already receiued Euerlastinge Life, but for that Christe is already comme, that was promised by the Prophetes. And although the Deathe of Christe be already passed, yet is it laide liuely and freshly before our eyes, in the Ministration of the Sacramentes. S. Ambrose saith, In Baptismo Crucifigimus in nobis Filium Dei: In Baptisme wee Crucifie in our selues the Sonne of God. S. Chrysostome saith, In Mysterijs Mors Christi perficitur: The Deathe of Christe is wrought in the Mysteries. Thus, the Grace of God is geuen vnto vs in the Sacramentes, bicause it is represented, and laide before vs in the Sacraments. Thus saith S. Augustine, thus saith S. Chrysostome, S. Ambrose, and other Holy Fathers. They were no VVantons: neither did they, nor do wee Dally, as you saie, with these things. We vse them humble with obedience and reuerence, as the Instrumentes of the Grace of God.

Ambros. De Tæ-
niten Li. 2. Ca. 2.
Chrysost. in Acta
Homil. 21.

W

Thus S. Augustine saith in an other place: Illa fuerunt Promissionis rerum complendarum: hæc sunt indicia completarum: The Sacramentes of the Olde Lawe, were Promisses of suche things, as shoulde afterward be accomplished: Our Sacramentes, of the Newe Lawe, are tokens, that the same Promisses be already accomplished. Thus the Holy Fathers saie, The Sacramentes of the Newe Lawe worke Saluation: Bicause they teache vs, that our Saluation is already wroughte. So Bonauentura saith of the Sacramentes of the Olde Testamente, Mundare dicebantur: id est, mundatum ostendebant: They were saide to make a man cleane, bicause they shewed, or signified, that a man was made cleane.

August. Contra
Faust. li. 19. ca. 14.

Bonauentura in
4. sent. dist. 1.
In præmio.

Addition
Q

Addition. **W. Hardinge.** VVhat then? wil it folowe, that, bicause our Sacramentes doo shewe, that Christe is already comme, therefore our Sacramentes geue no Grace? &c. He, that eateth Christes Fleashe, sheweth his Deathe, saith S. Paule: And he, that eateth my Fleashe, saith Christ, Hathe life Euerlastinge. Marke, howe our hauinge of life goeth together with our shewing of Christes Deathe, you diuide these maters, and make Christes Sacramentes only to be shewes.

M. Har. fo. 330. b

The Answer. You haue misalleged S. Paule, **W. Hardinge**: These are not his wordes, loke better on your Bookes, and see your erreur. If I had somme parte of your eloquence, I coulde crye out, as you do, Falsifiers, and Corrupters of Gods VVoorde.

248 The Defense of the Apologie of the

1 Cor. 11.

Aug. in Iohan.
Tracta. 26.

In eod. Tracta.

S. Paule saith the not, *He that eateth Christes Fleashe, sheweth his Deathe.* You deale vntruly. Thus he saith the, *As often as you shal eat this Breade, and Drinke this Cuppe, you shal shewe forth the Lordes Deathe.* The Breade of the Sacramente is one thinge, *Sp. Hardinge*: And the Fleashe of Christe, is an other. The Breade entreteth onely into the Bodily mouth: Christes Fleashe entreteth onely into the Soule. Without eatinge of that Breade of the Sacramente, we maie be saued: Without eatinge of Christes Fleashe we can neuer be saued. S. Augustine saith the precisely, *Qui non sumit Carnem Christi, non habet vitam: & qui eam sumit, habet vitam, & eam vtique Aeternam*: He, that receiueth not the Fleashe of Christe, hath not life: And he, that receiueth the same, hath Life, and that for euer.

Againe he saith the, *The Sacramente is receiued, of somme vnto Life, of somme vnto Destruction: But the thinge it selfe (that is, the Fleashe of Christe) whereof the Sacramente is a Sacramente, is receiued of al menne vnto life, and of no man to destruction, who so euer shalbe partetaker of it.*

Thus you see, *Sp. Hardinge*, there is greate difference betwene the Breade of the Sacramente, and the Fleashe of Christe. We were to blame therefore, so to falsifie S. Paule, and to place the one in steade of the other. We diuide these thinges, bicause God him selfe had so diuided them. But you vndiscretely mingle, and confounde these thinges together, whereas in deede they shoulde be diuided: And so, ye wilfully deceiue your simple Reader.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 1.

And wee doo expressly pronounce, that in the Lordes Supper there is Truly geuen vnto the Beleeuinge the Body and Bloude of our Lorde, the Fleashe of the Sonne of God, vvhiche quickeneth our Soules, the Meate that commeth from aboue, the Foode of Immortalitie, of Grace, Truthe, and Life: and that the same Supper is the communion of the Body, and Bloude of Christe: by the partakinge whereof wee be reuiued, strengthened, and fedde vnto Immortalitie: and whereby wee are Joined, United, and Incorporated vnto Christe, that wee maie abide in him, and he in vs.

M. Hardinge.

(a) In respect of this Corner, the Church of Rome were a simple Corner.
(b) Vntruthes, joined with Heathenish blasphemies.

(c) Vntruthes, Reade the Answere.

(d) Vntruth. For we call the Signe the Signe: and the Truthe, the Truthe.

What ye pronounce of this high Sacramente, the wise and careful tenderers of their Soules will be right ware therof. Of you and such as ye be, bicause your Doctrine is (a) but of a corner of the worlde in respecte of the Vniuersal Church: Christe hath geuen vs a watche woorde, *Nolite credere*, *Matth. 24* beleeue them not. In your the Lordes Supper, celebrated by the Ministers of youre owne creation, (b) there is not geuen the Body and Bloude of our Lorde, neither to the beleeuinge, nor to the vnbeleeuinge. For at the celebration of your schismatical Supper, no (b) consecration beinge done, (b) mentis nor Faith of the Church, (b) nor right intention had, (b) nor Christes institution obserued, what Lordes deliuer ye to your Communicantes, but (b) a peece of Breade, and a sippe of VVine? Neither is it (b) Supper, your wil it be more or better. At the Supper of our Lorde Ministred in the Catholike Church by Priestes rightly consecrated, and as it hath benne accustomed in Christes Church, there is the true and whole Body of our Lorde and Saueour geuen and receiued, be the Receiuers beleeuinge, or Iudas re- (b) not beleeuinge. For when Christe gaue this Sacramente to his Disciples at his laste supper, after ceined the that he had consecrated the same, saieinge, take ye, eate ye, this is my Body: Iudas the traitoure, as true body the (c) Fathers teache, receiued his true Body nolesse then Peter, Andrewe, Iohn, or Iames did: though of Christ, they to their saluation, he to his damnation. nolesse the

Nowe it is to be noted, howe this Defender in this longe sentence affecteth a certaine holy (as th'apostles it were) and solemne eloquence, and vseth a religious amplification of woordes, to set forth the Sacramente, as though he had a reuerente and a godly opinion of it: whereas in deede he taketh it Chrysol. (d) but for a poore signe or token, as their Doctour Zwinglius dooth. But such is their craft, to pursue Homil. de chase them credite amonge the people. Thus offer they to the vlearned their faire Cuppes full of Poisonous venyme, anointinge the brimmes with Hony of sweete and Holy woordes, the rather to poison Iude. them. Suche complainte maketh the graue Father S. Hilary againste the Ariens of his time Augustin. *Ingerunt nobis primum nomina Veritatis, vt virus falsitatis introeat. Bonum in Ore est, vt in Pal. 18. de corde malum subeat: Firste (saith he) they thrust me forth the woordes of Truth, that the venyme of falsched maie enter in. Good is in their mouthe, that out from the harte maie euil procede. And among al these woordes (he meaneth the Ariens confession of their Faith) I heare no where by them saide,* Lib. 6.

saide, *Deum Dei Filium*: God the Sonne of God. Right so amonge al these faire woordes concerninge the Sacramente, (e) we heare neuer a whit saide of the Real presence of Christes Body. Epiphanius noteth the like craft in Arius, and so doothe S. Augustine in the Pelagians. Nestorius likewise spake honorably in many places of Christe and his Mother. But nowhere would he cal her *θεοτόκος*, that is, the Mother of God. The Iewes also, as we finde in the Gospel, called our Sauour Iesus the Carpenters sonne, confessed Marie to be his Mother, James, Ioseph, Simon, and Iude, his brothers, and that his sisters were amonge them (by whome his Kinnesfolke are to be vnderstanded) but the Sonne of God they would not acknowledge him, nor in that degree honour him. Better then to those Iewes can I not compare these Defenders, who speake honourably of our Lordes Body and Bloude in their Supper (f) but that his Body is really, that is verely, in the Sacrament of the altier, that wil not the Diuel who raigenth in their hartes, suffer their mouthes to vtter.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge telleth vs, VVe deliuer vnto the Faithful nothing els, but a peece of Breade, and a sippe of VVine: that we haue neither Intention, nor Consecration: that our faire Cuppes be full of Venime: That our Supper is Schismatical: and our Eloquence is Hypocritical: that our Doctrine is Heretical: that we are like to the Pelagians, to the Nestorians, to the Arians, and to the Iewes: and that the Diuel raigenth in our hartes. **If the Truthe of God were enermore soined with vaine speache, then might M. Hardinge be habile easily to winne the pryce.** He saith, Our Doctrine is but in a Corner of the worlde, and that therefore Christe hath geuen this watche woordes of vs, Beleue them not. **Howe be it, if he woulde aduisedly consider the mater, and loke wel aboute him, he shoulde finde, that so many Kingedomes, and Countries, and Common Weales, as this daie professe the Gospel of Christe, would make a god large Cozner in the Church of God. Certainly in respecce thereof, Rome it selfe were a very poyze Cozner.**

Vnto whome Christe specially pointed, when he saide these woordes, Beleue them not, bicause it is a Prophecie, it is harde to Iudge. But it is very likely, he meante Antichriste, that Man of Sinne, the Childe of destruction, that auanceth him selfe aboute al that is called God. Vterly Chrysostome thereof saith thus: Non dico, si dixerint vobis, Ecce in Hæreticis Ecclesijs illis, vel in illis apparuit Christus: sed etiam si in ipsis veris Ecclesijs, quæ Dei sunt, id est, domus vestræ, dixerint vobis, Christum apparuisse, nolite eis credere dicentibus ista de me: quia non est digna Diuinitatis meæ notitia hæc: Ostendens per hæc, quomodo ex ipsis Ecclesijs veris frequenter exeunt Seductores. Propterea nec ipsis omnino credendum est, nisi ea dicant, vel faciant, quæ conuenientia sint Scripturis: **¶ saie not, if they telle you, Beholde Christe hath appeared in these, or in these Churches of Heretiques: But if they shal saie vnto you, that Christe hath appeared in the very true Churches, that are of God, that is to saie, that are your houses, Beleue them not, if they saie thus of me: for this is no woorthy knowledge of my Diuinitie: by these he sheweth, how that out of the very true Churches oftentimes come foorth deceiuers. Therefore wee maie nor beleue, no not them, onlesse they speake, or doo those thinges, that are agreeable to the Scriptures.**

Vterly, howe so euer M. Hardinge wil shifte this mater, the plaine woordes seme rather to touche him, and his companie, then either Luther, or Zuinglius, or any other. For they can pointe with their fingers, and saie, Here is Christe, and There is Christe. Beholde in this Pyre are three Christes: In that fire: in that seuen: in that moe. Therefore it is likely, that Christe geueth vs this special watche worde of them, and sutch others, Beleue them not.

Here M. Hardinge maketh mutche adu aboute Consecration: and yet are not his felowes wel agreed, what to make of their owne Consecration. Gabriel saith, Christus potuit sine verbo tanquam verus Deus, Substantiam Panis, & Vini Consecrare: Vel, potuit verba quædam secreta proferre, & per illa Consecrare: Vel, per hæc verba, Hoc est Corpus meum, Consecrare potuit: Vel, potuit prius Consecrare, & postea distribuere: Vel, primum distribuere, & postea Consecrare. Quid autem horum fecerit, ex Sacris Scripturis non constat: Christe, as beinge very God, might Consecrate the Substance of Breade, and Wine without woordes: Or els, he might speake certaine woordes in secrete, and by them Consecrate: Or els, he might Consecrate by these woordes, This is my Body: Or els, he might firste Consecrate, and after deliuer: Or els, firste deliuer, and after Consecrate. But whiche of al these he did in deede, by the Holy Scriptures

(e) O meruei-
lous horrible
Heretic: what
Doctour, or Fa-
ther euer na-
med your Real
Presence?

(f) Neither did
any Doctour, or
Father euer say,
That Christes
Body is Really,
and Verily in
the Sacrament.

2. Thessalon. 2.
Chrysostom. in
Opere Imper-
fecto, Homil. 49.

Gabriel Biel.
Lect. 126.

Bessarion de Sacramen, Eucha.
vistie.

Catharinus De
Consecratione.

Durandus Li. 4.

De sexta parte
Canonis.

Petrus de Allia
co. in 4. Senten.

Quest. 5.

Antididagma.

Bonauentura in

4. Senten. Dist. 8

Quest. 2.

Scotus in 4. Sen-
ten. dist. 8. que. 2.

Scotus in 4. Sen-
ten. dist. 8. que. 2.

Bonauentura in
4. Senten. dist. 8.

August. in Io-
han. tracta. 80.

Origen in Mat-
the. Cap. 15.

Ambros. De ijs
qui initiantur,
Cap. 9.

M. Hard. 331. b.

Scriptures it appeareth not. Cardinal Bessarion saith, that in the Latine Church Consecration is wrought by the VVordes of Christe: in the Greke Church by other Praiers, that folowe afterwarde. Catharinus intreatinge pourposely hereof, saith, Christe Consecrated not with the same woordes, that are nowe used in the Masse, This is my Body. Durandus saith, Christe Consecrated by his Diuine Power, and after Consecration saide, This is my Body. Petrus Alliaccensis yeldeth this reason hereof, Quia, nisi ante fuisset Corpus Christi, Christus non verè dixisset, Hoc est Corpus meum: If it had not benne Christes Body before, Christe could not haue saide truly, This is my Body. It is concluded in a Booke called Antididagma, lately sette forth by the Chapter of Colaine, that the bare Woordes of Christes Institution, without the woordes of the Canon of the Masse, are not sufficient to worke Consecration. Bonauentura saith, To haue the true Fourme of Consecration, wee muste seeke, not to the Gospel of Christe, but to the Canon.

Howe be it, thereof groweth a greate inconuenience, that Christe, and his Apostles, for that they had not the woordes of the Canon, had therefore no Consecration. Againe Bonauentura saith, that these woordes, Noui, & Aeterni Testamenti, are not of the Substance of Consecration: but are used onely as a furniture. Iohannes Scotus saith, Quod ergo est Consilium? Dico, quod Sacerdos intendens facere, quod facit Ecclesia, legens distinctè verba Canonis, à Principio vsque ad finem, verè conficit: nec est tutum alicui, reputare se valde peritum in scientia sua, & dicere, volo uti præcisè istis verbis pro Consecratione: The mater beinge so doubtfull, what then is your Counsell? I saie, that the Priest intendinge to doo, what so euer the Church doothe, and readinge the Woordes of the Canon distinctly, and plainly, from the beginninge to the ende, doothe verily Consecrate. Neither is it good for a man to reckon him selfe very skilful in his knowledge, and to saie, I wil vse precisely these, or these woordes, to worke Consecration. Where also these woordes are specially noted in the Margine, Nota, quod de hac materia, Doctor nihil hic asserit, sed probabiliter aliquid dicens, sub dubio relinquit: Here marke, that touchinge this mater (of Consecration) the Doctour auoucheth nothinge: but speakinge somewhat by the waie of likelyhoode, he leaueth the whole mater vnder doubt. In the ende Scotus vncertainely, and doubtfully concludeth thus: Vnde dicunt aliqui, quod Forma Græcorum, & Forma nostra, & quæcunque scripta in Euangelijs, sufficiens est ad Consecrationem: Whereof somme saie thus, that the Fourme of woordes, that the Greekes vse, and the Fourme that wee vse, and any Fourme els written in the Gospels is sufficient to Consecration. The like certaintie Bonauentura teacheth vs: For thus he endeth: De hoc est vtilius dubitare: Quis enim potest scire, vtrum Euangelistæ, aut Apostolus ipse intenderint describere Formam? Igitur melius est, hic pie dubitare, quam præsumptuose definire: Hereof it is beste to stande in doubt. For who can tel, whether the Euangelistes, or the Apostle Paule him selfe, meante to write vs the Fourme of Consecration? Therefore in this pointe it is better, Soberly to doubt, then presumptuously to determine.

By these fewe, god Christian Reader, thou maist see the grounde, & certaintie of M. Hardinges Doctrine. He saith, VVee haue no Consecration, for that we lacke a Thing: but what Thing it should be, he, and his Felowes cannot telle.

Howe be it, in deede wee vse the same woordes, that Christe used. If Christe, and his Apostles Consecrated, then do wee vndoubtedly likewise Consecrate. The wante, that he findeth in vs, he maie also finde in them. S. Augustine saith, Accedat Verbum ad Elementum, & fit Sacramentum: Joine the Woordes of God vnto the Elemente (or outwarde Creature) and thereby is made a Sacrament. Origen saith, Panis sanctificatur per Verbum Dei, & Obsecrationem: The Breaide is Consecrate by the Woordes of God, and by Praier. S. Ambrose saith, Ante Benedictionem Verborum Coelestium, alia species nominatur: post Consecrationem, Corpus Christi Significatur: Before the Blessinge of the Heauenly Woordes, it is called an other kinde: After Consecration, the Body of Christe is Signified.

Addition. M. Hardinge. Christe was a Prieste, and Consecrated as a Prieste, Addition as S. Cyprian, and S. Hierome doo witnesse, that as Melchisedech in foreshewing the Figure of Christe

Christe had donne, *Panem, & vinum offerens, ipse quoque Veritatem sui Corporis, & Sanguinis Representaret*: Christe him selfe also should make presente the Truthe of his Body, and Bloude. Christe made his Apostles also Ministerial Priestes, saieinge, Doo this (wherein is contained, Make this) in my Remembrance.

The Answer. Is Representare, Latine to Make presente, M. Hardinge? What Grammarian ever taught you, so to saie? Or, what Makinge finde you in this worde? As you tender your credite, telle vs, who ever spake sutch Latine, or out of sutch Latine, made sutch Englishe? If you can shewe vs no better Answer, we muste needs thinke, it is your owne. I graunte, the Olde Ciuilians haue sometimes vsed this worde Representare, in somme sutch meaninge: As when they saie, Representare legatum, Representare pretium, Representare pecuniam. But in this newe sense, that you haue imagined, I trowe, M. Hardinge, they neuer vsed it. How be it, we speake not now of Ciuilians: we speake of Diuines. Columella saith, Representare faciem veri Maris: To represente the sight of the very Sea: Curtius saith, Urbis dirutæ species Representabatur animis: The Image of the defaced Cittie was Represented to their mindes: Will you hereof conclude, that the very Sea, or the very defaced Cittie was made presente and stode Keally, and Verily before their eyes? The strangeness of your dealinge, M. Hardinge, sozeth mee to vse moe wordes, then might seme needeful.

Columel. Li. 8.
Quint. Curtius
Lib. 3.

The place of S. Hierome is plaine againste you: Thus he saith, Vt quomodo in præfiguratione eius Melchisedech Summi Dei Sacerdos Panem, & Vinum offerens, fecerat, ipse quoque veritatem sui Corporis, & Sanguinis Representaret: That, as Melchisedech, the Priest of the Highest God had donne, offeringe Breade, and Wine in Figure of him, euen so he him selfe should Represente the Truthe of his Body, and Bloude. As Melchisedech by Breade, and Vine Represented the Truthe of Christes Bodie, and Bloude, so did Christe also Represente the same. And if Christe, as you saie, Made it presente, then did Melchisedech also, by S. Hieromes iudgemente, Make it presente. But, when we speake of Sacramentes, Representinge is nothings els, but Shewing, or Signifyinge. So Tertullian saith, Christus non reprobauit Panem, quo ipsum Corpus suum Representauit: Christe refused not Breade, by whiche he Represented his very Body. Whiche wordes afterwarde in plainer sorte he expoundeth thus: Christus accepit Panem, & Corpus suum illum fecit, dicendo, Hoc est Corpus meum, hoc est, Figura Corporis mei: Christe tooke the Breade, and made it his Body, saieinge, This is my Body, that is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body.

Hieronym, in
Matthe, c. 26.

Tertul. Contra
Marcion, Lib. 1.

Tertul. Contra
Marcion, Lib. 4.

But that, Representare, shoulde signifie, either to make Christes Body, or to Make it presente, noman, I trowe, ener durste to saie it, but M. Hardinge.

In theise wordes, Doo this, you saie, is contained, Make this. Doothe Christe bid you, to Make this in dede. M. Hardinge? And what, This, I praye you, woulde you Make? He wil saie, Christes Body. But Christes Body, as we beleue, is Made al ready, and needeth no newe Makinge at your handes. But you wil saie, Yee Make Christe in Remembrance of Christe: Al this is mere folie. For euery wale ye tel vs, Yee make Christe. Presume not, M. Hardinge, to Make him, that made you. It is penough for your Stella Clericorum to saie, Qui Creauit me, dedit mihi potestatem Creandi se: Sacerdos est Creator Creatoris sui: He, that made mee, hath geuen mee Power to make him: A Priest is his Makers Maker. But God hath made Hel fiere to the destruction of al them, that yelde their mouthes to speake sutch blasphemie. Christe neuer badde you, to make his Body, as you imagine. His wordes be plaine: you haue vntreuly reported them: Doo this in my Remembrance. Doo this, saith Christe: He saith not, Make this. And what is meante by this, Dooinge, Who can better teache vs, then S. Paule? Thus he saith, As often, as you shal Eate this Breade, or Drink this Cuppe, you shal publishe the Lordes Deathe, vntil he come. This is the Dooinge, that Christe commaunded, and this is it, that you haue to do.

An other quarrel, that M. Hardinge piketh to vs, is this, That wee haue no Intention, or minde to Consecrate. But howe longe hath M. Hardinge benne a Wilsarde? Or, who made him so pylate to our Intentions? S. Paule saith, Noman can

1. corinth. 2.
telle,

Articulo. 1. Di-
uſſion. 11.
Summa Angel.
Euchariftia. 26.
Gerſon in Flo-
rentum. Lib. 4.
Panormitan. de
Celebratione
Miſſe. Quidam.
Matthe. 16.
1 Cor. 11.
Pupilla Oculi.
De Euchariftia.
Cap. 5.

De Conſecrat.
Diſ. 2. Qui bene
In Gloſſa.
Alexand. Hales.
Par. 4. que. 45.
memb. 1.

Auguſt. in Ioſ
han. Tracta. 26.

Origen in Ma-
the. Cap. 15.

Articulo. 23.

M. Hard. 333. b.

ſelle, what is in Man, but the Sprite of Man, that is within him. Theſe ſolles are anſwea-
red at large in my former Replie to M. Hardinge. Merily by the late Doctors of
that ſide, the Priſte maie haue Intention, to Conſecrate the one halfe of the Hoſte,
and not the other: Sz, hauinge thirtene Hoſtes, he maie haue Intention, to Conſe-
crate but twelue. And thereof groweth a greate queſtion, when he cometh to the
reckeninge, & ſeech his errour, whiche of al that whole Companie of Hoſtes ſhal goe
vnconſecrate. Prea Abbate Panormitane ſaith, Etiamſi Sacerdos celebret, vt Deus
perdat aliquem, tamen bene Conſecrat: Notwithſtandinge the Priſte ſaie Maſſe, with In-
tention, that God would deſtroie ſomme man, yet doothe he Conſecrate neuertheleſſe. Into
ſutche toles theſe menne throwe them ſelues, with their ſoude Intentions.

Our Intention is, to do, that Chriſte hath taught vs to do, that is, to Min-
ſter the Holy Sacramente in Remembrance of him: and as S. Paule ſaith, To ſhewe
forth, and to publiſhe the Lordes Deathe, vntil he come. And, to this pourpoſe one of
M. Hardinges owne Doctors ſaith, Non ſufficit Intentio Conſecrandi absolute:
Sed Oportet, vt ſit conformis Intentioni Sacramentum Inſtituentis: Absolutely the In-
tention, to Conſecrate, is not ſufficient: but it muſte be anſweareable vnto the Intention
of Chriſte, that ordeined the Sacramente.

At the Supper of our Lorde (ſaith M. Hardinge) miniſtered in the Catholique Church, by
Priſtes rightly Conſecrate, there is the true, and whole Body of Our Lorde and Saueour geuen, and
receiued: be the receivers beleeuinge, or not beleeuinge. This is no greate marueile in M.
Hardinges Doctrine. For they of his ſide ſaie, Si dicatur, quod Mus ſumat Cor-
pus Chriſti, non eſt magnum inconueniens: If it be ſaide, that a Mouſe receiueth the Bo-
dy of Chriſte, it is no greate inconuenience. And Alexander of Hales, a notable Schole
Doctor ſaith, though in moze vniemely, and groſſer wiſe, Si Canis, vel Porcus de-
glutiret Hoſtiam Conſecratam integram, non video, quare Corpus Domini non ſi-
mul traijeretur in ventrem Canis, vel Porci: If a Dogge, or a Sowe ſhoulde happen to
ſwallowe downe the whole Hoſte beinge Conſecrate, I ſee no reaſon, but the body of our Lorde
maie paſſe withal into the belly of the Dogge, or of the Sowe.

But S. Auguſtine ſaith ſarre otherwiſe, Hoc eſt manducare illam eſcam, & il-
lum potum bibere, in Chriſto manere, & Chriſtam manentem in ſe habere: This is the
Eatinge of that meate, and the Drinkinge of that Drinke, that a man dwel in Chriſte, and
haue Chriſte dwellinge in him.

Likewiſe Origen ſaith, Eſt verus Cibus, quem nemo malus poteſt edere. Etenim
ſi malus poſſet edere Corpus Domini, non ſcriberetur, Qui edit hunc Panem, viuet in
xternum: The Body of Chriſte is the True Foode, whiche no euil man can Eate. For, if the
euil man coulde Eate the Body of Our Lorde, it ſhoulde not be writen, He that Eateth this
Breade, ſhal liue for ever. But hereof we haue ſpoken moze at large in the former
Replie to M. Hardinge.

Addition. M. Hardinge. You haue ſowly corrupted this place, M. Iewel. Origen
ſpeaketh not of the Sacramente in thoſe woordes, nor of the Sacramental Eatinge &c. M.
Iewel hath ſo mangled theſe woordes, that the ſenſe is cleane altered. For in ſteede of *Verbum Caro
factum*, he hath placed the Body of Chriſte, referringe it to the Sacramente &c. He hath changed
Edere verbum factum Carnem, into *Edere Corpus Domini*. And ſo, whereas Origen meante,
that euil menne cannot Eate Spiritually, and effectually the Diuinitie of Chriſte, M. Iewel hath taught
him to ſaie, that an euil man cannot in the Sacramente eate Chriſtes Body.

And ſo M. Hardinge awakke out of his dreame.

The Anſwere. Will you leade your whole life in wzangling, M. Hardinge?
And wil you neuer learne to deale plainly? Origen (you ſaie) ſpeaketh nothinge in this
place of the Sacramente. I grante you. Neither do I in this place ſprake one worde
of the Sacramente. I ſpeake onely of Chriſtes Body, that is represented by the Sa-
cramente: That Body (I ſaie) cannot be eaten by the wicked. So ſaith Origen: ſo
ſaie others the Learned Fathers.

As for that, you ſpeake of manglinge the woordes, and alteringe the meaninge, it is to
Childiſhe to be anſwared. You ſaie, Origen meante, that the wicked man cannot Eate the
Diuinitie of Chriſte Spiritually. Be it ſo. Will you then ſaie, that the wicked man maie
eate

Addition

¶

cate the Diuinitie, or the Godhead of Christe Corporeally, with his bodily mouth, and with his Earthe: Is this the Diuinitie, ye haue Learned at Louaine? Deafon it better, *M. Hardinge*: It is vnfauey. Origene in this place firste speaketh of the Sacramente of Christes Body. Afterwarde he speaketh of Christes Body it selfe: And saith, That no euil man can receiue it. And to that purpose he allegeth the wordes of Christe in S. Iohn, *Who shall eateth of this Breade, shall liue for euer*. Leaueth this lightnesse, *M. Hardinge*. Here is neither Manglinge of wordes nor alteringe of sentence.

The Heretical Doctrine, that *M. Hardinge* talketh of, with al the whole furniture of Hypocritical Eloquence, we maie safely sende home, from whence it came. And I doubt nothinge, but he can wel tel, how to vse it.

As for Pelagius, Arius, and Nestorius, we desie them utterly, and detest them, as we doe also al other sortes, and sectes of Heresies.

Of the Sacramentes of Christe, we doe bothe speake, and thinke reuerently, and, as it becommeth vs, and accordinge to the Holy Scriptures. We saie, that a Creature, is a Creature: that a Sacramente, is a Sacramente, and not God. We saie with S. Augustine, *The Sacramente is not our Lorde, but the Breade of our Lorde*. Againe S. Augustine saith, *In Sacramentis videndum est, non quid sint, sed quid Significant*: In Sacramentes we muste consider, not what they be in deede, but what they signifie.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Division. 2.

Besides this, we acknowledge, there be two Sacramentes, whiche, we iudge, properly ought to be called by this name: that is to saie, Baptisme, and the Sacramente of thankesgeuinge. For thus many we see were deliuered and sanctified by Christe, and wel allowed of the Olde Fathers, Ambrose, and Augustine, and sutch others.

M. Hardinge.

Why speake ye not plainly? Be there no moe but two Sacramentes? Though yee saie not so expressly, yet wee iudge, that so ye meane. For so your scholesfelow of Geneva Theodore Beza pronounceth in plaine termes in the Confession of your Geneueian Faith. And so your selues, Defenders, doo affirme in the Articles, whiche yee agreed vpon in your Conuocation holden at London in the yeere of our Lorde. 1562. VVhiche Articles ye haue put foorth in printe by the Queenes Authoritie, as yee pretende. Now whereas ye acknowledge there be but two Sacramentes, why should any man beleeue you, rather then the Germanes your firste Scholemasters, who (a) in their Confession exhibited to Charles the fift the then Emperoure at Augspurge in the name of al the Protestantes, Anno. 1530. appointe three Sacramentes, Baptisme, the Euchariste, and Penance: The next yeere after, (b) Philip Melancthon in his Apologie auouched those three Sacramentes: but afterwarde in the yeere. 1552. he founde out an other, and made vp the number of foure, by addinge the Sacramente of Order to the foresaide three. In Lipsia they receiue three: In VVittenberg, whiche Cittie is not thence far of, they haue foure. At Magdeburg thereby also Flacius Illyricus, and so many as folowe him, will haue but twoo: and the Zwencfeldians, that springe out of the same stocke, care for none at al.

Forasmuche as yee alleage the names of the Olde Fathers for you, specially S. Ambrose, and S. Augustine, that there be but twoo Sacramentes, let vs see howe muche they make for maintenance of your Doctrine, and whether they teache vs not, that there be moe, S. Augustine in his 2. Booke, *Contra literas Petilianis*, speakinge of the place of the Psalm, *Sicut unguentum in Capite*, which the Heretike had alleaged, saith thus: *In hoc unguento, &c.* In this oinctement his wil is to interpret the Sacramente of Chrisme (he meaneth the Sacramente of Confirmation) whiche in the kinde of visible signes is Holy, as Baptisme is. Lo, S. Augustine calleth Confirmation a Sacramente S. Eusebius Pope nameth it a Sacrament in his third Epistle written to al the Bishoppes of Tuscia and Campania: *Manus impositionis Sacramentum, &c.* The Sacramente of imposition of Handes (saith he) is to be kepte with greate reuerence, which cannot be performed but by the high Priestes, (he vnderstandeth Bishoppes). Melchisedech nexte to Syluester before the Nicene Councel speakinge much of Confirmation, amonge other thinges saith thus, *Duo hac Sacramenta, Baptismi, & confirmationis separanda non sunt*: These twoo Sacramentes of Baptisme, and Confirmation, maie not be sundered. Though these twoo Bishoppes were Popes, yet they deserue credite, forasmuche as they liued before that corruption crepte into the Church, after your accompte, and died Holy Martyrs.

That Penance is a Sacramente, and so accounted amonge the Fathers, it is so euidente, that who doubteth of it, maie seeme not to haue perused their writings. S. Augustine in *Enchiridio*

ad Laure-

August. in Io-
han. tracta. 19.
Contra Maxi-
mian. Cap. 22.

(a) Vntrithe
fond and vaine
(b) Vntrithe,
proceedinge of
vnadvised mal-
lice. Reade the
Answer.

This forged
Melchisedech
vanceth his
Oile aboute the
Sacramente of
Baptisme.

The De-
fenders ac-
knowledge
but twoo
Sacraments.

Diversity
of iudge-
ment in
the Gospel
aboute
the number
of the Sa-
cramentes.

That there
be moe Sa-
cramentes
then twoo
by the Do-
ctrine of
the Fathers
Cap. 104.
The Sacra-
mente of
Confirma-
tion.

The Sacra-
mente of
Penance.

Addition

ad Laurentium, ſpeakinge of Baptiſme and Penance, calleth bothe, *Salutaria Sacramenta*, Health-ful Sacramentes. S. Ambroſe ſignifieth him ſelfe to be of the ſame minde, in his firſte Booke *De Penitentia*; Cap. 6. & 7. And in the ſeconde Booke, Cap. 2. thoughte without puttinge the expreſſe name of Sacramente. But in the thirde Chapter of that Booke he putteth the name of Sacramente expreſſely. Cap. 66. Homil. 10. in epist. ad Heb.

Toucheinge Order, that is a Sacramente alſo by verditte of S. Auguſtine, whome yee allage for you: Let vs heare what he ſaith. In his ſeconde Booke *contra Epist. Parmeniam*, Cap. 13. theſe be his wordes, where he ſpeaketh of Baptiſme, and of the Power to Baptize, whiche is geuen in the Sacrament of Order: *Vtrunque enim Sacramentum est, & quadam consecratione utrumq; homini datur, illud cum Baptizatur, istud cum ordinatur. Ideo in Catholica non licet utrumq; iterari*: Either of them is a Sacramente, and with a certaine Conſecration either is geuen to man: the one when he is Baptized, the other when he taketh Orders. Therefore in the Catholike Church it is not lawfull either of them to be taken twiſe. The Sacramente of Order.

For the Sacramente of wedlocke, beſides other good and ſufficiente proues, that it is a Sacramente, wee haue ſundrie teſtimonies out of S. Auguſtine, and other Doctours. In the Church (ſaith S. Auguſtine) not onely the bande of marriage, but alſo the Sacramente, is ſo commended, that it is not lawfull for the Huſbande to deliuer his VVife to an other: He calleth wedlocke a Sacramente in many places of his workes, *De bono Coniugali*, Cap. 7. 18. and in the 24. Chapter of that Booke, he ſaith, *Hec omnia bona sunt, propter quæ nuptia bona sunt, Proles, Fides, Sacramentum*: Al theſe thinges be good, for whiche marriage is good, iſſue, Faith, the Sacramente. The Sacramente of wedlocke. lib. de Fide & Opib. cap. 7.

Of extreme Vnction, who doubteth whether it be a Sacramente, lette him reade the Epiſtle of Innocentius the Firſte, *ad Decentium Eugubinum Episcopum*, Cap. 8. where moſte plainly treatinge of it, he nameth it a Sacramente. Chryſoſtome interpreteth the place of S. Iames Epiſtle for this Sacramente. And ſo dothe Bede alleaginge the place of S. Iames, the ſixthe of Marke, the Auctoritie of Innocentius, and the cuſtome of the Church. Seeinge then wee haue founde the (c) ſeuene Sacramentes expreſſely mentioned in the Fathers, according to the teachinge of the Catholique Church: whereto ye teache men to beleue there be but two, and pretende that the Fathers ſpeake of no mo: (d) I truſte from hence ſoorth they will take better aduiſe, howe they beleue your bare VVoorde without al prouſe, and with certaine danger of their ſoules, forſake the Doctrine of the Church, whiche is the ſure Pillour, and grounde of truth, as S. Paule ſaith. In this great point, Beza, and yee of that ſaide bee manifeſtly founde liars. The Sacramente of extreme Vnction. Iacob. 5. Lib. 3. de Sacerdo. 1. Timot.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

To ſpende wordes without cauſe, is affliction of the ſpyrite, and loſſe of time. **¶** Wardinge here beſtoweth muche labour, and allegeth many Doctours, to winne that thinge, that he might eaſily haue obtayned without any ſuche adu. For, gentle Reader, leaſt that thou be deceiued, and thinke there is ſome weight in this heape of feathers, we will graunt without force, and freely, that the Holy Catholique Fathers haue made mention, not onely of ſeuene, as **¶** Wardinge here accompteth them, but alſo of ſeuenteene ſundrie Sacramentes. **a** Tertullian calleth the Helue, wherewith Elizzus recovered the Are out of the water, *Sacramentum Ligni*, the Sacramente of VVoorde: And the whole ſtate of the Chriſtian Faith, he calleth **b** Religionis Chriſtianæ *Sacramentum*, The Sacramente of Chriſtian Religion. **c** S. Auguſtine in many places hathe *Sacramentum Crucis*, The Sacramente of the Croſſe. Thus he ſaith, In hac Crucis Figura continetur Sacramentum: In this Figure, or Fourme of the Croſſe, there is contained a Sacramente: So ſaith the Leo, *Crux Chriſti*, quæ ſaluandis eſt impenſa Fidelibus, & *Sacramentum eſt*, & exemplum: The Croſſe of Chriſte, whiche was geuen to ſaue the Faithfull, is bothe a Sacramente, and alſo a Samplar. S. Hierome ſaith, *E. latere Chriſti Baptiſmi, atque Martyrij pariter Sacramenta fundantur*: Out of Chriſtes ſide the Sacramentes of Baptiſme, and Martyrdome are powred forth bothe togeather. **¶** Leo calleth the promiſſe of Virginitie, *Sacramentum*: Quid eos manebit, qui corruerint foedera Diuini Sacramenti? What ſhal becomme of them, that haue broken the Couenante of the Heauenly Sacramente? **¶** The Breade, that was geuen vnto the Houſes, or Beginners in the Faith, called Catechumeni, beſore they were Baptized, of S. Auguſtine is called a Sacramente. **¶** S. Hilarie in ſundrie places ſaith, *Sacramentum Orationis*: *Sacramentum Eſuritionis*: *Sacramentum Scripturarum*: *Sacramentum Fletus*: *Sacramentum Sitis*: The Sacramente of Praier: The Sacramente of Faſtinge: The Sacramente of the Scriptures: The Sacramente of Weeping: The Sacramente of Thirſte. **¶** S. Bernarde calleth the waſhing of the Apoſtles ſete, a Sacrament: *Ablutio Pedum*, *Sacramentum eſt quotidianorum peccatorum*: The Waſhing of Feete is the Sacramente of daily Sinnes. Thus

(c) Vntruth. For the Ancient Fathers neuer reckon preciſely ſeuene onely Sacramentes. (d) As this is true, ſo wee deſire further credite.

a Tertul. *Aduerſus Iudeos*.

b Tertul. *contra Marcionem*, li. 4.

c Auguſt. *Epist.* 12.

d Auguſt. *in ſermone de ſanctu* 19.

e Leo *de Reſurrectione Domini*, *Sermo*. 2.

f Hieronym. *ad Oceanum*.

¶ Inter *Decreta Leonis*, Cap. 14.

g Auguſt. *De Peccator. merit.*

¶ *remiſſ.* li. 2.

h Ailar. *in Matt.* Canon 11. & 12.

¶ Canon 23.

i Bernard *in ſerm. de carna Domini*.

Thus many, and many moe Sacramentes, it had benne easie for *Mr. Hardinge* to haue founde in the Catholique Learned Fathers. Yet, I trowe, he wil not saie, that either the Helme of an Axe, or the whole Religion of Christe, or a Crosse printed in the foreheade, or Martyrdome, or the Scriptures, or a Vowe of Virginitie, or the Breade geuen to the Catechumeni, or Praier, or Fastinge, or VVeeping, or Thirste, or VVashinge of feete, are the necessarie Seuen Sacramentes of the Church.

How be it, wee wil not greatly strue for the name. It appeareth hereby, that many thinges, that in dede, and by speciall propertie be no Sacramentes, maie neuertheless passe vnder the general name of a Sacramente. But thus wee saie, It cannot be proued, neither by the Scriptures, nor by the Ancient Learned Fathers, that this Number of Sacramentes is so specially appointed, and Consecrate to this purpose, or, that there be neither moe, nor lesse Sacramentes in the Church, but onely Seuen.

As for the Reasons, that they of *Mr. Hardinges* side haue brought vs for proufe hereof, they are tw Childishe, to be remembred. For thus they saie,

The Booke in the Apocalyps hath Seuen Seales :

The Seuen Angels there haue Seuen Trumpettes :

Christe hath in his right hande Seuen starres :

Christe vvalketh in the middes of Seuen golden Candelsticks :

Zacharie saue Seuen eies vpon a stone :

There were Seuen Candelstickes in the Tabernacle :

Ergo, saie they, there muste needs be iuste Seuen Sacramentes in the Church of God.

But, to leaue thesse Vanities, and to comme to the purpose, vnto every necessarie Sacramente of the Church, thow thinges specially are required : that is, a Sensible outwarde Elemente, and the VVoorde of Institution. Without either of these there is no Sacramente. Therefore *S. Augustine* saith, *Accedat Verbum ad Elementum, & fit Sacramentum* : Joine the Woordes, of Christes Institution, vnto the sensible Creature, or outwarde Elemente : and thereof is made a Sacramente. The Element, or Creature in Baptisme is Water : the Elementes, or Creatures in Our Lordes Supper, are Bzeade, and Wine : The wordes of Institution are common, and known.

The other five Sacramentes want, either the VVoorde, or the Element, or both together : As for example, Matrimonie, Order, and Penance haue the Word of God, but thei haue no outwarde Creature, or Elemente : Extreme Vnction, and Confirmation haue neither VVoorde, nor Elemente. Therefore it iske later, in proper vse of sprache, are not taken for necessarie Sacramentes of the Church.

For thus *Alexander of Hales* saith, *Sacramentum Confirmationis, vt est Sacramentum, neque Dominus instituit, neque Apostoli* : Sed postea institutum est in Concilio Meldensi : The Sacramente of Confirmation, as it is a Sacramente, was not ordeined, either by Christe, or by the Apostles : but afterwarde in the Councel of Melda.

So likewise *Durandus* saith, *Matrimonium strictè, & propriè loquendo, non est Sacramentum* : Matrimonie in dede, and proper kinde of speache, is no Sacramente.

Thus one of *Mr. Hardinges* owne Doctours saith, Confirmation is no Sacramente : An other saith likewise, Matrimonie is no Sacramente.

Nowe to that, wee make accompte onely of Two Sacramentes, as instituted by Christe, wherewith *Mr. Hardinge* findeth him selfe so mutche offended, it maie please him to vnderstande, that the Anciente Learned Fathers, *Irenæus*, *Iustinus Martyr*, *Tertullian*, *S. Ambrose*, *Cyrellus*, *Alexandrinus*, and others, hauinge occasion to intreate of purpose, and specially thereof, speake onely of Two Sacramentes, I meane of Baptisme, and of Our Lordes Supper, and name none other. *S. Cyprian* saith, *Tunc demùm planè sanctificari, & esse Filij Dei possunt, si vtroque Sacramento nascantur* : Then maie they be thoroughly Sanctified, and become the children of God, if they be new borne by bothe the Sacramentes. By bothe the Sacramentes,

Apocahp. 5.

Apocahp. 8.

Apocahp. 7.

Apocahp. 1.

Zachar. 3.

Exod. 37.

In Compendio

Theologia.

August. in Io-
han. tracta. 80.

Alexander Ha-
len. par. 4. quæ.
24. mem. 1.

Durandus.

Irenæus.

Iustin. Apol. 2.

Tertul. 1. & 4.

Con. Marcion.

Ambros. De Sa-
cramen.

Cyrril. in Mysta-
gogicis.

Cyprian. Lib. 2.

Epist. 1. ad Stroph.

August. De Doctrina Christiana
lib. 3. Cap. 9.

August. De Symbolo ad Catechomenos.
Paschasius De Coena Domini.

Bessarion De Sacramento Eu-
charistica.

M. Hard. 33. 4.

Concil. Trident.
Session. 7.

In Captivitate
Babylonica.

In Apologia
Confessionis
Augustine.

he saith, meaninge onely of two. S. Augustine saith, Quædam pauca pro multis, eademque factu facillima, & intellectu augustissima, & obseruatione castissima, ipse Dominus, & Apostolica tradidit disciplina: sicuti est Baptismi Sacramentum, & Celebratio Corporis, & Sanguinis Domini: Our Lorde, and his Apostles haue deliuered vnto vs a fewe Sacramentes in steede of many, and the same in dooinge moste easie, in signification moste excellent, in obseruation moste reuerende: as is the Sacramente of Baptisme, and the Celebration of the Body, and Bloude of Our Lorde. Againe, speakinge of Baptisme, and of the Supper, he saith thus: Hæc sunt Ecclesiæ Gemina Sacramenta: These be the Two Sacramentes of the Church. The two Sacramentes, he saith, plainly expresse the number of two. Paschasius saith, Sunt Sacramenta Christi in Ecclesia Catholica, Baptismus, & Corpus, & Sanguis Domini: These be the Sacraments of Christe in the Catholique Church: Baptisme, and the Body and Bloude of our Lorde. To be shorte, Cardinal Bessarion saith, Hæc Duo Sola Sacramenta in Euangelij manifestè tradita legimus: Wee reade, that these Onely two Sacramentes were deliuered vs plainly in the Gospel.

Here hath M. Hardinge in expresse wordes, Bothe the Sacramentes, and the Two Sacramentes, and the Onely two Sacramentes of the Church. Judge thou nowe therefore, good Christian Reader, what truthe thou maist reckon to be in him, that saith, Beza, and they of his side, are manifestly founde Liers.

Addition. M. Hardinge. Bessarion nameth other Sacramentes, VVherefore there is an impudent Lie included in your wordes, where you saie, that I haue in expresse wordes, the onely two Sacramentes of the Church. *Addition*

The Answer. What colour haue you in your face, M. Hardinge, when ye thus modestly cal others Impudent? I knowe not, Howe Bessarion coulde in plainer wise haue expresse his minde. These be his wordes, Hæc Duo Sola Sacramenta in Euangelij manifestè tradita legimus: Wee reade, that these Onely two Sacramentes, are deliuered to vs plainly in the Gospell. If Onely two, be Onely two, then Iudge thou, good Reader, who is Impudent.

All these thinges notwithstandinge, the late pretended Council of Tridente with moste horrible threats, and greate Curses, concludeth the contrarie: Si quis dixerit, Sacramenta Nouæ Legis non fuisse omnia à Iesu Christo Domino nostro instituta, aut esse Plura, vel pauciora, quàm Septem, aut etiam aliquod horum non esse verè, & propriè Sacramentum, Anathema sit: If any man shal saie, that the Sacramentes of the Newe Lawe, were not al ordeined of Our Lorde Iesus Christe: or, that there be fewer, or moe then Seuen: or, that any one of the same, verily, and in proper vse of speeche, is not a Sacramente, accursed be hee.

But, ye saie, Luther, and the Germanes, admitte three Sacramentes, Baptisme, the Lorde Supper, and Penance: And Philip Melancthon afterwarde sounde out the Fourthe. M. Hardinge, what is it, that thus enflameth your tongue to speake Untruthe? If it had pleased you, to haue seene it, Luther, and Melancthon plainly expresse their owne meaninge, and utterly remoued al manner occasion of such caustles. Luther writeth thus, Propriè ea visum est vocare Sacramenta, quæ annexis Signis promissa sunt: Coetera quia Signis alligata non sunt, nuda promissa sunt. Quod fit, vt si rigide loqui velimus, tantum duo sint in Ecclesia Dei Sacramenta, Baptismus, & Panis: cum in his Solis, & institutum diuinitus Signum, & promissionem Remissionis Peccatorum videamus: In Proper speeche those wee cal Sacramentes, which are promised with Signes annexed. The reste, that haue no Signes, are bare promises. Wherefore speakinge hereof precisely, and strictly, there are Onely two Sacramentes in the Church of God, Baptisme, and the Breake: for as muche as in these Onely wee finde both the Signe ordeined by God, and also the Promise of Remission of Sinnes.

Likewise Melancthon saith, He can wel cal Order a Sacramente, so that it be knowne from Baptisme, and the Supper, which in proper speeche, and verily be called Sacramentes.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Division. 3.

We saie, that Baptisme is a Sacrament of the Remission of sinnes, and

and of that washinge, whiche wee haue in the Bloude of Christe: and that no person, whiche wil professe Christes name, oughte to be restrained, or kept backe there from: no not the very Babes of Christians: for somutche as they be bozne in sinne, and doo pertaine vnto the people of God.

M. Hardinge.

As ye acknowledge fewe Sacramentes, so ye speake of them very slenderly. Baptisme (ye saie) is a Sacramente of the Remission of sinnes, and of that washinge whiche wee haue in the Bloude of Christe. Nowe whereas ye meane, as within fewe lines ye declare, by the name of a Sacramente, (a) no more but a Token or Signe, specially sith ye teache in your articles, that Baptisme at the beste, is but a Signe, and Scale of our newe birthe: ye seeme not to attribute to Baptisme so muche, as the Scripture doothe. Neither is Baptisme onely a Signe or Token, that sinnes be remitted, but wee beleue, as the Catholique Church, accordinge to the Scriptures teacheth, that in, and by Baptisme sinnes be fully, and truly remitted, and put awaie: and that not through the Faith (b) only of the geuer, or receiuer, or of any other, though hereunto it be necessarie in those, that be come to age of discretion: but through the power, and vertue of the Sacrament, and Gods promise. And therefore to whom it is geuen, of them it is rightly saide, that they be Baptized for remission of their sinnes. The Scriptures be plaine. Firste Ezechiel speakinge of this Holy Sacramente, saith in the person of God: I wished vpon you cleane water, and ye shalbe made cleane from al your defilinges, and from your Idols wil I cleanse you. Nexte S. Paule saith, That God hath sau'd vs by the washinge of Regeneration, and of the renewinge of the Holy Ghost, whom he hath powred vpon vs abundantly by Iesus Christe our Sauour. That I maie adde to these manifest Scriptures the Authoritie of a Learned Father, not whereby to strengthen the truth of them, but to witnesse our right vnderstandinge of them: S. Augustine, Lib. 3. contra duas epist. Pelagian. Cap. 3. shewing the sleaude of the Pelagians against the Catholikes, saith thus in their person: Againe they (that is, after their meaning, the Catholikes) auoucheth, that Baptisme maketh not in deede newe men againe, that is to wit, that it geueth not ful remission of sinnes, &c. Thereto elsfoones answeringe S. Augustine saith, (c) *Mentiantur, insidantur, tergiversantur*. They lie, they studie how to deceiue, they seeke shifts: wee saie not this. And after certaine sentences retourninge to Baptisme, he concludeth thus: VVherefore Baptisme washeth awaie al sinnes, vnto al, of deedes, woordes, thoughtes, he they Original, or Actual: be they done ignorantly, or wittingly. But it taketh not awaie the infirmitie, which the regenerate resisteth, &c. VVhich place dothe not only set forth the vertue of Baptisme, but also (d) destroyeth the Doctrine of our newe Gospellers, that affirme Concupiscence, whiche remaineth after Baptisme, to be verily sinne. (e) VVherein they erre manifestly against the Scripture, and sence of the Fathers.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It wil is ever plentiful of il wordes. *M. Hardinge* here maketh him selfe much mater without cause. He teacheth our Newe Clergie, that Baptisme is not onely a Signe, or a Token of Remission of sinnes: He telleth vs of the Faith of the Geuer: of the Faith of the Receiuer: of the power of the Sacrament: of Concupiscence, that it is no sinne. And more, I trowe, he woulde haue saide, if more had presently comme to minde. Certly the poore Newe Clergie speaketh not one worde in al this whole place, neither of Signe, nor of Token, nor of the Receiuer, nor of the Geuer, nor of the Power of the Sacrament, nor of Concupiscence, whether it be Sinne, or not Sinne, nor of any other like thinge. Yet in the ende, he taketh S. Augustines woordes, without his meaninge, and crieth out againste vs, They lie, they studie to deceiue, they seeke shifts. And why so? Certainly because we saie, Baptisme is a Sacramente of Remission of Sinnes: And, that the Children of the Faithful, for that they be borne in Sinne, and pertaine to the people of God, ought therefore to be Baptized. Other causes then these, in any our wordes he can finde none.

True it is, that the Sacramente dependeth not, neither of the Minister, nor of the Receiuer, nor of any other. For, though they be al the Children of sinne, yet is Baptisme the Sacramente of Remission of Sinne. S. Augustine saith, *Securum me fecit Magister meus, de quo Spiritus eius dicit, Hic est, qui Baptizat: Christe my Master hath assured mee, of whome his owne Sprite saith, This is hee, that Baptizeth.*

Nevertheless concerninge the Faith of the Parentes, and others, the Holy Doctors haue sometime witten otherwise. S. Augustine saith, *Satis pie, recte creditur, Prodesse paruulo eorum Fidem, a quibus Consecrandus offertur: It is good, and Godly to beleue, that the Childe is holpen by the Faith of them, by whom he is offered, or brought vnto Baptisme.* Againe he saith, *Accommodat illis Mater Ecclesia, aliorum*

(a) Vntruth. For wee saie not so.

(b) This tale is needelesse, and out of season.

(c) These words maie wel pertaine to M. Har. him selfe. For we say not thus (d) Vntruth ioined with grosse ignorance. M. Har. foully mistaketh S. Augustines minde. (e) Vntruth, fond, & vaine. Reade the Answere.

August. in 109
han. Tract. 4. 5.
De Consc. dist. 4.
Baptismus talis
Iohan. 1.
Augustin. De
Libero Arbitrio
Li. 3. cap. 22,
aliorum

The Faith
of the In-
fantes.

Augustin De
Verbis Apostoli,
Sermo 10.

Hieronym. in
Ezechiel. ca. 16.
De Consecra.
Dist. 4. Verum.
Aetor. 15.
1. Petri. 3.

August. in Io-
han. tracta. 80.

August. in E-
pist. 105.
August. Epist. 23.
In eadem Epist.

Catharinus con-
tra Caetanum.
errore 87. & 88.

August. in Iohan.
tracta 80.
De Con. Dis. 4.
Aliud est.

August. Epist. 23.

258

The Defense of the Apologie of the

aliorum pedes, vt veniant: aliorum Cor, vt credant: *Our Mother the Church lendeth them other mennes feete, that they maie comme: and other mennes hartes, that they maie beleue. The like saicinges might be alleged out of Iustinus Martyr, S. Cyprian, S. Hierome, and others. For thus they write. Howe truly, I will not saie. But these wordes be plaine. The Propheete Abacuc saithe, Iustus ex Fide sua viuet: The Iuste man shal liue (not by the Faith of his Parentes, but) by his owne Faith.*

Of this Faith, S. Hierome saithe, Qui plena Fide non suscipiunt Salutare Baptisma, accipiunt quidem Aquam, sed non accipiunt Spiritum: They that receiue not Baptisme with perfite Faith, receiue the VVater: But the Holy Ghoste they receiue not. S. Augustine saithe, Verus Baptismus constat, non tam ablutione Corporis, quam Fide Cordis, Sicut Apostolica Doctrina tradidit, dicens, Fide mundans Corda eorum: Et alibi, Saluos facit Baptisma, non Carnis depositio sordium, sed conscientie bonae interrogatio in Deum, per Resurrectionem Iesu Christi: True Baptisme standeth, not so muche in Washing of the Body, as in the Faith of the hart: As the Doctrine of the Apostles hath taught vs, saicinge, By Faith purifieinge their hartes: And in an other place, Baptisme maketh vs safe: not the puttinge awaie of the filthe of the Fleashe, but the examininge of a good Conscience before God, by the Resurrection of Iesus Christe. Likewise againe he saithe, Vnde ista tanta Virtus Aquae, vt Corpus tangat, & Cor abluat, nisi faciente Verbo? Non quia dicitur, sed quia creditur: Whence is al this so greate Vertue, or Power of the Water, that it toucheth the Body, and washeth the Hart, but by the Worde of the Woordes? Not for that it is spoken (by the Minister) but for that it is beleued, (of the Faithfull).

Somme man will saie, Children, or Infantes beleue nothinge, but are vtterly voide of Faith. S. Augustine answereth, Qui non crediderit, condemnabitur. Sicut eos renasci per Ministerium Baptizantium, ita etiam eos credere per corda, & ora confitentium confitemur: He that beleueth not, shalbe damned. Wee confesse that as they be borne againe by the Ministerie of Baptizers, so they beleue by the hartes, and mouthes of the Confessours. Againe he saithe, Habent Fidem, propter Fidei Sacramentum: They haue Faith, because they haue Baptisme, whiche is the Sacramente of Faith. For he saithe, Quemadmodum Sacramentum Corporis Christi, secundum quendam modum Corpus Christi est, ita Sacramentum Fidei Fides est: As the Sacramente of Christes Body, (not verily, and in deede, but) after a certaine manner of speeche, is Christes Body: So Baptisme is Faith, because it is the Sacramente of Faith. Therefore Cardinal Caietan is worthily blamed by Catharinus in that he saithe, An Infante, for that he wanteth Instruction in Faith, therefore hath not perfite Baptisme.

Touchinge the Vertue, or Power of this Sacramente, if P. Hardinge meane thereby the outwarde Element of the Water, he knoweth, or maie easily knowe, It is a common resolution amongst al his owne Schole Doctors, Gratia Dei non est alligata Sacramentis: The Grace of God is not tied to any Sacramentes. The meaninge thereof is this, that God is hable to worke Saluation, bothe with them, and without them. S. Augustine saithe, as it is befoze alleged: Iam vos Mundi estis propter sermonem, quem loquutus sum vobis. Quare non ait, Mundi estis propter Baptismum, quo loti estis: Nisi quia etiam in Aqua Verbum mundat? Detrahe Verbum: & quid est Aqua, nisi Aqua? Nowe are yee cleane, because of the Woorde, that I haue spoken to you. But why saithe he not, Nowe yee are cleane because of the Baptisme, wherewith yee are washed: sauinge that, because in the Water it is the Woorde, that maketh cleane? Take awaie the Woorde, and what is the VVater, more then VVater? Therefore he saithe, Aqua exhibet forinsecus Sacramentum Gratiae: The Water geueth vs outwardely The Sacramente of Grace. And this is the Power, and Vertue of the Sacramentes.

Notwithstandinge, we muste consider, that the Learned Fathers in their treatises of the Sacramentes, sometime vse the outwarde Signe in stee of the thinge it selfe, that is signified: sometime they vse the thinge signified, in stee of the Signe. As for example, Sommetimes they name Christes Bloude in stee of the VVater: Sommetimes they name the VVater in stee of Christes Bloude.

This

This Figure is called Metonymia, that is to saie, an exchange of names: and is muche vsed amongst the Learned, specially speakinge of the Sacramentes.

S. Augustine bringe the VVater in place of the Bloude of Christe, that is signified by the Water, saith thus: Soluit vinculum culpæ: reconciliat bonum naturæ: regenerat hominem in Vno Christo: It breaketh the bande of Sinne: It reconcileth the goodnesse of Nature: It dooth renewe a man in One Christe.

August. Epist. 23.

Notwithstandinge, in deede, and in precise manner of speache, Saluation muste be soughte in Christe alone, and not in any outwarde signes. Christ is that Lambe of God, that taketh awaie the Sinnes of the VVorlde. The Bloude of Christ maketh vs cleane from al our Sinnes. S. Cyprian saith, Remissio peccatorum, siue per Baptismum, siue per alia Sacramenta donetur, Proprie Spiritus Sancti est: & ipsi Soli huius officientia Priuilegium manet. Verborum solennitas, & Sacri inuocatio nominis, & Signa Apostolicis Institutionibus attributa, Visibile celebrant Sacramentum. Rem vero ipsam Spiritus Sanctus format, & efficit: The Remission of Sinne, whether it be geuen by Baptisme, or by any other Sacramente, is in deede of the Holy Ghoste: and to the same Holy Ghoste onely the Priuilege of this woork dooth appertaine. The solemnitie of the Woordes, and the inuocation of Goddes Holy Name, and the outwarde Signes appointed to the Ministerie of the Priestres, by the institution of the Apostles, woork the Visible outward Sacramente: But touchinge the Substance thereof, (whiche is the Remission of Sinnes) it is the Holy Ghoste, that woorketh it.

Iohan. 1.

1. Iohan. 1.

Cyprian De Bap-
tismo Christi.

Likewise saith S. Hierome, Homo Aquam tantum tribuit: Deus autem dat Spiritum Sanctum, quo sordes abluuntur: The Minister, beinge a man, geueth onely the VVater: But God geueth the Holy Ghost, whereby the Sinnes be washt awaie. And againe, Si quis Corporeum, & quod oculis Carnis aspicitur, Aquæ tantum accipit lauacrum, non est indutus Dominum Iesum Christum: If any man haue receiued onely the bodily washinge of VVater, that is outwardely seene with the eie, he hath not put on oure Lorde Iesus Christe.

Hieronym. in E-

saia. Cap. 4.

Hieronym. ad Gal.
lat. Cap. 3.

Concerninge Concupiscence, remaininge in the Faithful after Baptisme, whether it be Sinne, or no Sinne, there was no great cause, why M. Hardinge should in this place moue question: sauinge that, as he hath hitherto denied, that Falshedde is Falshedde, so he woulde nowe denie, that Sinne is Sinne. Undoubtedly S. Paule sauinge the same Concupiscence in him selfe, is forced to mourne, and to crie oute, I see an other Lawe in my members, fightinge againste the Lawe of my minde, and leading me Prisoner to the Lawe of Sinne. And againe, O Wretched man, that I am: Who shal deliuer me from this Body of Deathe.

Roman. 7.

Therefore S. Ambrose saith, Non inuenitur in vilo hominum tanta concordia, vt Legi Mentis Lex, quæ membris est insita, non repugnet. Propter quod ex omnium Sanctorum Persona accipitur, quod Iohannes Apostolus ait, Si dixerimus, quod Peccatum non habemus, nos ipsos seducimus, & Veritas in nobis non est: There is not found in any man suche concord (betwene the Fleashe, and the Sprite) but that the Lawe (of Concupiscence) whiche is planted in the Members, fighteth againste the Lawe of the minde. And for that cause, these woordes of S. Iohn the Apostle are taken, as spoken in the persone of al Sainctes, If wee saie, wee haue no Sinne, wee deceiue our selues, and there is no Truthe in vs. And, to leaue al others, S. Augustine saith in moste plaine wise, Concupiscencia Carnis, aduersus quam, bonus concupiscit Spiritus, & Peccatum est, & Pœna Peccati, & Causa Peccati: The Concupiscence of the Fleashe, againste whiche, the good Sprite lusteth, is bothe Sinne, and the Paine of Sinne, and the cause of Sinne. And againe he saith, Quamdiu viuus, necesse est Peccatum esse in membris tuis: As long as thou liuest, there muste needes be Sinne in thy members.

Ambros. Lib. 10.
Epist. 84.

1. Iohan. 1.

August. contra.

Iulian. li. 5. ca. 3.

August. in Iohan.

Tract. 41.

If M. Hardinge saie, VVee wreaste, and racke S. Augustine, and take his woordes otherwise, then he meante, Albertus Pigghius his owne Principal Doctoure wil controlle him. Thus he writeth, Augustinus tradit, hanc ipsam Concupiscenciam Corpori nostro inspersam, atque innatam in nondum renatis Verè, & Proprie Peccatum esse: quæ ignoscatur quidem, sed non tollatur in Baptismo: S. Augustine teacheth vs, that this same Concupiscence, planted in our Body, in them, that be not regenerate by Baptisme,

Albertus Piggh.
in controuer de
Peccato Origina-
li.

Concupif-
cence is
Sinne.

260

The Defense of the Apologie of the

*Concil. Trident.
Sess. 5.*

Verily, and in plaine manner of speache is Sinne: and that the same Concupiscence is forgcuen in Baptisme, but is not vtterly taken awaie.

Yet the late Blessed Chapter of Trident, in spite of S. Augustine, hath published the Contrarie. For this is the determination of the Fathers there: Hanc Concupiscenciam, quam Apostolus aliquando appellat Peccatum, Sancta Synodus declarat, Ecclesiam Catholicam nunquam intellexisse, quod verè, & Propriè in Renatis Peccatum sit: sed quia ex Peccato est, & ad Peccatum inclinat. Si quis autem contrarium senserit, Anathema sit: The Concupiscence, whiche the Apostle S. Paule sometime calleth Sinne: this Holy Councel declareth, that the Catholique Church neuer understoode it to be called Sinne, for that it is so in deede, and in Proper maner of Speache, in them, that be Baptized: but because it is of Sinne, and inclineth vs vnto Sinne. And if any man thinke the Contrarie, accursed be he. Thus wee see, that by the Decree of this moste Conente, S. Ambrose, and S. Augustine, and other Holy Fathers, that haue witten the same, are al accursed.

Roman. 8.

As for that, *M. Hardinge* here toucheth, as an erreure defended by certaine, I knowe not, by whome, that Baptisme geueth not full Remission of Sinnes, he maie commaunde it home againe to Louaine, amongst his felowes, and soine it with other of his, and theire Vanities. For it is no parte, nor portion of oure Doctrine. Wee Confesse, and haue euermore taught, that in the Sacrament of Baptisme by the Deathe, and Bloude of Christ, is geuen Remission of al manner Sinnes: and that, not in halfe, or in parte, or by waie of Imagination, or by fantasie: but full, whole, and perfect of al together: so that nowe, as S. Paule saith, *There is no damnation vnto them, that be in Christe Iesu.*

Nowe Iudge thou indifferently, gentle Reader, what Spylte forced *M. Hardinge* thus terrible to crie out, They Lie: they studie to deceiue: they seeke shifts, &c.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Diuision. 1.

Wee saie, that Eucharistia, that is to saie, the Supper of the Lorde, is a Sacramente, that is, an euidente Representation of the Body, and Bloude of Christe, wherein is sette, as it were, befoze oure eyes, the Deathe of Christe, and his Resurreccion, and what so euer he did, whilest he was in his Mortal Body: to the ende wee maie geue him thanks for his Deathe, and for our deliuerance. And that by the often receiuinge of this Sacramente, wee maie dailely renewe the remembrance thereof, to thintente, wee beeing fedde with the Body, and Bloude of Christe, maie be broughte into the hope of the Resurreccion, and of Euerlastinge Life, and maie moste assuredly beleue, that, as our bodies be fedde with Breade, and wine, so our Soules be fedde with the Body, and Bloude of Christe.

M. Hardinge.

Amonge al these gaye woordes (a) wee heare not so mutche as one Syllable vttered, whereby wee maie vnderstande, that yee beleue, the Very Body of Christe to bee in deede presente in the blessed Sacramente of the Altar. Yee confesse the Eucharistia, whiche commonly ye cal, the Supper of the Lorde, to be a Sacramente, and al that to be none other then an euidente token of the Body and Bloude of Christe. As for that ye adde to make the mater seeme somewhat, of the Deathe of Christe, and his Resurreccion, and his actes done in Fleashe: VVhat reason or Scripture haue yee, that a peece of Bread and a Cuppe of VVine, (for b) in your beleeve more make ye not of this Sacrament) can set them as it were before our eyes? Dothe not rather a faire Painted Table set forth the actes of our Saueour before our eyes more liuely and more expressly? And be we not moued therewith to geue God thanks for his great benefites, as wel as if we haue Breade and VVine on a table? But I praie you, sithence al is (c) but Breade and VVine after your teachinge, how shal wee by eatinge and drinkinge thereof be fedde with the Body and Bloude of Christe? Againe, can we by (d) Breade and VVine be broughte into hope of the Resurreccion, and Euerlastinge Life, as yee saie? And howe shal wee by Eatinge of Breade and Drinkinge of VVine be assured, that Christes Body and Bloude doth in like manner feede our Soules, as Breade and VVine feedeth our bodies? Though your imagination be neuer so stronge, yet by eatinge of that, whiche is Breade onely, and Drinkinge of that

(a) VVe vtter as many Syllables of Real Presence, as Christ euer vttered.

(b) Vntruth ioined with slander.

(c) Vntruth, slanderous, as the Former.

(d) Euen as wel as by the VVa- ter in Baptisme.

The Lorde's Supper with the Defenders is an euident token of the Body and Bloud of Christe.

whiche is VVine onely, we see not howe your soules can be fedde with the true Body and Bloude of Christe, nomore then ye be at your common meales.

Verily, when al your tale is tolde, ye seme to saie nothinge els touching the eatinge of our Lordes Body, but that the Body of Christe remaineth in Heauen, and that wee muste sende v^y our soules thither, to eate it there by a certeine Imagination, whiche ye cal faithe. For this is your Maister Caluines Doctrine. By this Doctrine al standeth vpon your faithe: your faith doth al alone. And he that beleueth in Christe, so as ye teache, eateth his Body, and Drinketh his Bloude. For by your Gospel, to eate the Body, is nothinge els, but to beleue in Christe. If this be true, then is your Supper superfluous.

For declaration of the Truthe herein, it is to be considered, that, when we speake of this blessed Sacrament, we meane specially, the thinge receiued to be the very Real Body of Christe, not onely a Signe or Token of his Body. Yet we thinke it necessarie, (e) the Doctrine of the Fathers be clearely taught: whiche is, that here is a Sacrament, and the thinge of the Sacramente. The (f) Forme of Breade and VVine, which is seene, is the Sacrament, that is to saie, a Signe of the Holy thinge. For a Sacrament besides the outward shape, which it representeth to the senses, causeth an other thinge to come into knowledge. The thinge of this Sacrament is of twoo sortes, the one (g) in the same contained and signified, but not contained. The firste is the Body of Christe borne of the Virgine Marie, and his Bloude shedde for our Redemption: The seconde is the Vnitie of the Church in those that be predestinate, called, iustified, and glorified. VVhich Church is Christes Body mystical. So that here are three distincte thinges vnderstanded. The one is a Sacramente onely: the other a Sacrament and the thinge: the thirde, the thinge and not a Sacramente. The firste is the visible shape or Forme of Breade and VVine: the seconde is the proper and very Fleashe and Bloude of Christe: the thirde his Mystical Body.

And as there be twoo thinges of this Sacrament, so be there also twoo meanes or waies of eating. The one Sacramental, after whiche both good and (h) euil eate the true Body of Christe: they to saluation, these to damnation. The other spiritual, after which the good onely doo eate. These Defenders, as al other the Sacramentaries, speakinge of these distinct thinges indistinctly, cause confusion, and deceiue the vnlearned readers. In suche a sence and meaninge the place commonly alleged out of S. Augustine, as also many other the like, maie wel be vnderstanded, without preiudice of the Truthe of Christes Body in the Sacramente: *Ut quid parauit dentem & ventrem? Crede, & manducauit.* To what purpose makest thou ready teeth and belly? Beleue, and thou haste eaten. Nowe these Defenders harpinge onely vpon this one stringe of spiritual eatinge, and shunninge the Faith of the Catholike Church touching the true presence of the Body, and Violently wrestlinge the Holy Scripture, and Aunciente Fathers to a contrarie sence, admittinge Figures for Truthe, tropes for the letter, shadowes for thinges: plaie vs many a false lesson, and teache horrible lies, to the vtter subuersion of those, that be lead by them.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here is no mention (saith M. Hardinge) of Real Presence: and thereupon he plaieth vs many a proper Lesson. Notwithstandinge, here is as muche mention made of Real Presence, as either Christe, or his Apostles euer made: or in the Primistie Catholique Church of God was euer beloued.

Addition. M. Hardinge. S. Ambrose saith, thou, whiche receivest the Fleashe of Christe, arte made partaker in that soode, of his Diuine substance. But if it were breade, whiche wee receiue at Christes Supper, in that soode of breade, wee shoulde not be made partakers of the Diuine Substance, &c. Chrysostome saith, *Vasa non sunt capacia illius, quem in se habent: non sentiunt illum: Nos vero plane.* They Vessels be not partakers of him, nor feele him, whom they containe: but wee doo truly, &c. Leo saith, Ye ought so to communicate of the Holy Table, that yee Doubte nothinge at al of Christes Body, and Bloude. *Hoc enim ore sumitur, quod fide creditur:* For that thinge is taken in my mouth, whiche is beleued in faithe, &c. These are suche Testimonies, that can neuer be auoided by any answere.

The Answer. VVhether theise Testimonies maie be answered, or no, I doubt not, but it maie sone appeare. But firste, M. Harding, it maie please you to remember, that the Authoure, that beareth the name of Chrysostome, saith thus: In sacris Vasis non est verum Corpus Christi, Sed Mysterium Corporis eius continetur: The very Body of Christe is not in the Holy Vessels, But the Sacrament of that Body is therein contained. Here haue we Chrysostome againste Chrysostome: The one saith: Christes Body is in the Vessels: The other saith: Christes Body is not in the Vessels. And as touching the mouthe, S. Augustine saith, The Body of Christe requireth the hunger of the inward Man: By inward drinkinge I am blessed: This breade entreteth not into the belly: To beleue in Christe, That is, so eate the Breade of Life. Thus we see, that Christes Body is in the Vessels, and yet not in the Vessels: In the Mouthe, and yet not in the Mouthe. These Contradictions maie easily be reconciled, if a man be acquainted with the physikes of the Ancient Writers. For further resolution whereof,

(e) Vntruth, contrarie to the Aunciente Fathers: Reade the Answer. (f) Vntruth, without sence, or sauoure No Catholique Father euer taught this peruishe Doctrine. (g) Vntruth, and one of M. Har. mystical dreames. (h) Vntruth, horrible, and Heathenish.

Al this is onely M. Hardinges discante. VVee admit Figures for Figures, and Truthe for Truthe.

M. Har. 339. b.

340 b.

Chrysost. in O. pere imperfecto Homil. 11.

saith dooth al.

The Catholike Doctrine touching the Sacramente of chauncer.

A threefold distinction to be considered in the Doctrine of this Sacramente. Indistinctly speakinge of distincte thinges.

The Lordes supper with the Defenders is an euident token of the Body and Bloud of Christe.

Defense. parte 2.
ca. 14. Diu. 4. 5.

Gregor. Nyss.
nus, De Anima.
Cap. 11.

August. De Ba-
ptismo parvulor.
Leo, De Passione.
Dom. 3. serm. 4.

Ambros. de his
qui in initium, ca.
2.
Cap. 5.

and for shor:tenesse of time, I will referre this gentle Reader, to that I haue other where written touching the same. There shalt thou finde, that, as Chrysostome saith here, Christes Body is in the Vessels, euen so Athanasius saith, Oure Lorde is in the wordes of the Scriptures: S. Augustine saith, Holy Menne receiue Christe in their handes, and in their forehead: S. Chrysostome saith, The Priesthe beareth the Holy Ghoste: S. Augustine saith, The people is laide vpon the Communion Table: The people is in the Cuppe: S. Gregorie saith, Abel, by signification bare Christ in his handes. These, and other like phrases are there alleged. Thus is Christes Body present, not Really, nor in Sub- stance, but onely in Mysterie. Thus Chrysostome saith, Christes Body is in the Communion Vessels: Thus Leo saith, The same Body is in the mouth of the Faithful. Nowe, if P. Hardinge wil gather of Chrysostome, that Christes Body is Really present in the Vessels: or of Leo, that the same Body is really present in the mouth. Then must he likewise gather of these other Fathers, That God is really present in the wordes of the Scripture: That, Christ is really present in oure foreheades: That, the Holy Ghoste is really present in the Bason: That, the people is Really laide vpon the Holy Table, or really present in the Cuppe: And that, Abel bare Christ Really in his handes. Butche, & other like Absurdities, P. Hardinge, muste needs folowe of your Doctrine. But Gregorius Nyssenus saith, Abusiuè aliquid in aliquo loco esse dicimus, propter operationem eius quæ ibi est. Cum enim dicere deberemus, Ibi operatur, Dicimus, ibi est: Wee saie, that a thing is in some place, by an abuse of speech, in respect of the effect of some thing, that there is wrought. For, where as wee shoulde saie, There it worketh, wee saie, There it is. Thus wee saie, The Moone is in the Heade, or, in the Legge: And our wordes are true: And yet in derde Substantially, and Really the Moone is neither in the one parte, nor in the other. And this is it, that Chrysostome saith, The very Body of Christe is not in, the Communion Vessels: But the Sacramente thereof, is there contained.

But S. Ambrose saith, In receiuinge the Sacramente, wee are made partetakers of the Diuine Substance. This (saie you) coulde not be, if there remained breade in the Sacramente. And why so, P. Hardinge: Are not we partakers of the same Diuine Substance in the Sacrament of Baptisme. S. Augustine saith, By Baptisme wee are incorporate into Christe, and are made One Body with his Body. Leo saith, Corpus Regenerati, fit Caro Crucifixi: The Body, of him, that is newe borne in Baptisme, is made the Fleashe of Christe Crucified, that is to saie, Fleashe of his Fleashe, and Boane of his Boane. And, what greater participation of the Diuine Substance can you desire: Yet neuerthelesse, the very Substance of VVater remaineth stil. Euen so notwithstandinge we be made partakers of the Diuine Substance of Christe, in the receiuinge of the Holy Myste- ries, yet the Substance of Breade, therein remaineth stil. And for as muche as, ye woulde proue by these wordes of S. Ambrose, That Christe is presente in the Sacra- mente. The same S. Ambrose also saith, that Christe is likewise presente in the Water of Baptisme. Thus he saith, Crede Diuinitatis illic esse Præsentiam, Operationem credis? Non credis Præsentiam? Vnde sequeretur operatio, nisi præcederet antè Præ- sentia? &c. Crede adesse Dominum Iesum inuocatum præcibus Sacerdotum. Ait, vbi fuerint duo, vel tres, Ibi & ego sum. Quanto magis, vbi est Ecclesia, vbi Mysteria sua sunt, ibi dignatur suam impartire Præsentiam? Beleue thou, that there (in the Sacra- mentes of Baptisme) is the Presence of the Godhead. Beleuest thou the workinge? and be- leuest thou not the Presence? Howe coulde the workinge folowe, onlesse the Presence wente be- fore? Beleue thou, that our Lorde Iesus is presente (at the Baptisme) beeing called vpon by the Prayers of the Priestes. Christe saith, Where as be two, or three, there am I. Howe much more wil he vouchesane to offer his Presence, whereas his Church is, and where as are the Mysteries (of his Baptisme)? Nowe, P. Hardinge, as Christe is Present in the one Sacrament, euen so, and none other wise, is he Presente in the other.

But, Leo saith, The same thinge is receiued by the mouth, that is beleued by oure Faith. These wordes, as Leo meante them, are very true: but as you woulde handle them, by your Construations, they are moste vntrue: Leo in that place Disputeth against the Heretique Eutyches, whos error was this, That Christes Body after his Ascension, was wholly changed into his Godhead, and that therefore
nowe

nowe he hath no Body at al. Against him, Leo reasoneth thus: *The very Sacrament of Christes Body, whiche thou receivest with thy mouth, is sufficient to reprove thee. For, if Christe beeing now in Heauen, haue no Body at al, howe can this be a Sacramente of his Body?* In like sorte Chrysostome Disputeth againste certayne other Heretiques, that saide, Christe died not vpon the Crosse. Nam, quando dicunt, &c. *When they saie, howe maie it appeare, that Christe was Crucified, besides many other Argumentes, wee bringe forth the Mysteries, and stoppe theire mouthes. For if Christe Died not, whoes Figure, whoes Signe, or Remembrance is this Sacrifice? Cuius Symbolum, ac Signum est hoc Sacrificium? Is if he would reason thus: The Sacrament is a Remembrance of Christes Deathe, Therefore it is euident, that Christe hath Died.*

Chrysost. in Mat. Homil. 83.

Likewise Tertullian reasoneth againste the Heretique Marcion, that saide, Christe had neuer any Body at al, but onely a vaine shewe, or a likeliness of a Body: Christe (saith he) saide thus, *This is my Body, that is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body.* It could not be a Figure, onlesse Christe in deede had a Body. For a vaine shewe or a likeliness, can beare no Figure. Thus reasoneth Tertullian.

Tertullian. contra Marcion Lt. 4.

Euē so saith Leo vnto Eutyches: *Thou saiest, that Christe hath no Body. But the very Sacramente, that thou receivest, reproveth thee. For the thinge, that thy mouth receiveth, is the same, that thou beleuest by thy Faith, That is to saie, It is a Sacramente of that thinge, that thou beleuest by thy Faith. We beleue, that Christ was Borne in the very Substance of our Body: that he Died: that he was Buried: that he Rose againe: that he Ascended into Heauen, in the same Body: and that he sitteth at the Righte hand of God the Father. The Sacramente of that Body is it, that we receiue with oure mouthes. So saith Gelasius, Hoc nobis in ipso Domino sentiendum est, quod in eius imagine profitemur: Wee muste thinke the same of Christe our Lorde, that we professe in the Sacramente, whiche is his image. Wee muste thinke the same, he saith: and yet, if we goe precisely to the mater, we maie in no wise thinke the same. So saith S. Augustine, Aqua Corpus tangit, & cor abluit: The Water (of Baptisme) toucheth the Body, and washeth the harte. Yet is it not the Water in deede, that washeth the harte. The Water is a Sacramente of Goddes graces: and that is it, that washeth the harte. So saith Leo in an other place, speakinge likewise of the Sacramente: Transimus in quod sumimus: Wee are changed into the same thinge, that wee receiue. Yet, I trowe, M. Hardinge, you wil not saie, Wee are changed into the Sacramente: But, we are changed into Christes Body, that is represented by the Sacramente.*

Gelas. contra Eutychen.

August. in Ioh. ban. Tracta. 80.

This Answer is plaine, and agréable to the Articles of our Faith, and to the general Doctrine of the Catholique Fathers. And these are the Testimonies, whiche M. Hardinge saith, Can neuer be auoided by any Answer, while the worlde standeth.

Further he saith, *What reason, or Scripture haue ye, that a peece of Breade, and a Cuppe of Vine can sette the Deathe and Resurrection of Christe, as it were, before your eies? Verily, when al your tale is tolde, yee seeme to saie nothinge els, but that the Body of Christe remaineth in Heauen, and that wee muste sende vp our soules thither, to eate it there by a certaine Imagination, whiche ye cal Faith.*

M. Har. fa. 341. b.

Here ye doe greate wronge, M. Hardinge, to cal the Faith of Christe, an Imagination, or, as I trowe, ye meane, a Fantasie. S. Paule saith, *Fides est Substantia rerum sperandarum: Faith is (not an Imagination, but) the Substance, and grounde of the thinges that wee hope for.* If ye trauaile once againe to Rome, bringe thus farre instructed already, ye wil easily learne the lesson, that one of your late Popes there, as it is reported, taught his Cardinall: O, quantum nobis profuit illa Fabula de Christo?

That we oughte to sende by our Faith into Heauen, and there to embrace the Body of Christe, it is S. Augustines Doctrine, it is not ours. These be his wordes: *Dices, Quomodo tenebo Christum Absentem? Quomodo in Caelum manum mittam, vt ibi sedentem teneam? Fidem mitte: & tenuisti. Parentes tui tenuerunt Carne: tu tene Corde: Thou wilt saie, Howe shal I holde Christe beeing Absente? Howe shal I reache my hande into Heauen, that I maie holde him sittinge there? Sende vp thy*

August. in Ioh. ban. Tracta 92.

thy Faith: and thou holdest him. Thy Fathers (the Iewes) held him in the Fleashe: Holde him thou in thy harte.

But, for as muche as M. Hardinge thought it sufficient, so pleasantly to passe over this mater with his Imaginations, and Fancies, I thinke it therefore so muche the more needful, to shewe the iudgements of the Aunciente Learned Fathers in this bealfe.

August. de Tri-
nitate. li. 13. ca. 1

Firste therefore, S. Augustine saith, *Rerum Absentium: Præsens est Fides: & rerum, quæ foris sunt, intus est Fides: & rerum, quæ non videntur, videtur Fides: Of things that be Absente, Faith is Presente: of things, that be without, Faith is within: and of things, that be not seene, Faith is seene.* Again he saith, *Cum non obliuiscimur munus Saluatoris, nonne nobis quotidie Christus immolatur? Ex ipsis reliquijs cogitationis nostræ, id est, ex ipsa memoria Christus quotidie nobis sic immolatur, quasi quotidie nos innouet: When wee forget not the gifte of our Saueour, is not Christe offered vnto vs euery daie? Of the very remnantes of our thoughtes, that is to saie, of our very memorie, Christe is so daie offered vnto vs, as though he renewed vs euery daie.*

Hieronym. De 7.
Ordinib. Ecclæ.
De Gradu. 7.

And, the more lively to expresse this mater, S. Hierome saith, *Tibi Conuiuium Christus est: Cogitatio Christus est: Gaudium Christus est: Desiderium Christus est: Lectio Christus est: Quies Christus est: Christe is thy Banquet: Christe is thy Thought: Christe is thy Ioy: Christe is thy Desire: Christe is thy Readinge: Christe is thy Reaste.* Likewise S. Ambrose, *In animis vestris quotidie pro Redemptione Corporis Christi offertur: In your mindes Christe is daie offered for the Redemption of the Body.*

Ambros. De Viri-
ginib. Lib. 2.

And to passe over others, for that it pleaseth M. Hardinge, to make him selfe sutch mirth with Imaginations: Euthymius a Greeke Authoure, writinge pourposely of this mater, saith thus, *Non oportet simpliciter ea intueri, sed aliud quiddam imaginari, & interioribus oculis ea perspicere, tanquam Mysteria: Wee maie not looke barely vpon these thinges, (that is, vpon the Breade and Wine,) but muste thereof imagine somme other mater, and beholde the same with our inwarde Spiritual eies, as it is meete to beholde Mysteries.* This Spiritual Imagination, this Hauinge, this Holdinge, this Enioiinge of Christe, it liketh wel M. Hardinge in his pleasure, to scoone, as a fantasie. But S. Augustine saith, *Magis sunt illa, quæ intelligimus, quàm ista, quæ cernimus: the thinges, that wee vnderstande (or Imagine by Faith) are more certaine, then the thinges, that wee see with our eies.*

Euthymi in 104
ban. Cap. 9.

August. Epist. 117

Neither maie you thinke, M. Hardinge, that, these thinges beinge graunted, the Ministracion of the Holy Supper woulde be superfluous. For these two Kindes of Eatinge muste euermore necessarily be ioined together. And who so euer cometh to the Holy Table, and auanceth not his minde vnto Heauen, there to sede vpon Christes Body at the Righte Hande of God, he knoweth not the meaninge of these Mysteries, but is holde of vnderstandinge, as the Horse, or the Mule, and receiuech onely the bare Sacramentes to his Condemnation. Therefore the Apptical Supper of Christe, notwithstandinge this Doctrine, is not superfluous: But your Transubstantiation, your Real Presence, and a greate parte of this your idle talke is moste vaine, and moste superfluous.

But ye saie, Howe can Breade, and VVine bringe vs to the hope of Resurrection, or of Euerlastinge Life? And why maie not a man in like manner demaunde of you, Howe can a fewe Dropes of colde VVater bringe vs to the Hope of Resurrection? If VVater maie do it, why maie not Breade, and VVine likewise do it?

Basilus. De S. S.
cto Baptismo.

Touchinge the VVater, S. Basile saith, *Baptismus est Potentia Dei ad Resurrectionem: Baptisme is the Power of God to Resurrection.* Again he saith, *Resurrectionis Gratiam in Die Resurrectionis recipiamus: Vpon the Daie of Resurrection, let vs receiue (Baptisme, which is) the Grace of Resurrection.* S. Hierome saith, *Non solum propter Remissionem Peccatorum Baptizamus, sed etiam propter Resurrectionem Carnis nostræ: Wee are Baptized, not onely for Remission of Sinnes, but also for the Resurrection of our Fleashe.* And therefore the Greekes calle Baptisme

Hieronym. in
1. Cor. 15.

Dionysius. Cap. 2

ἀφθαρσίας ἐν αἰῶνα, That is, the VVeede of immortalitie.

Here, M. Hardinge, it were somme point of skil, to shewe vs, howe so greate Power

Power maie be in so litle VVater. Howe be it, it is not the VVater in dæde, that worketh the force of Resurrection, but the Bloude of Christe, that is signified by the VVater. And therefore S. Ambrose saith, Baptismus Resurrectionis Pignus, & Imago est: Baptisme is the Pledge, and Image of Resurrection. Likewise Ignatius, Credentes in Mortem eius, per Baptisma participes Resurrectionis eius efficiuntur: Beleevinge in the Deathe of Christe, by Baptisme we are made partakers of his Resurrection. The like we saie of the Holy Supper. Neither is M. Hardinge able to shewe vs any sufficiente cause to the contrarie, but VVine, or Breade maie haue this Power, as wel, as VVater. Of this whole mater we shal speake hereafter moze at large.

Ambros. in Epist.
ad Roman. ca. 6
Ignatius ad
Trallianos.

But if Breade, and VVine, as M. Hardinge saith, haue no Power to worke Resurrection, what Power then can his Accidentes, and emptie Fourmes haue to worke the same? For, as touching the Body of Christe it selfe, his owne Doctors coulde haue tolde him, that it entred not into our Bodies. For thus it is noted, and published for sounde, and certaine Doctrine vpon the Decrees: Certum est, quod quam cito Species teruntur dentibus, tam cito in Caelum rapitur Corpus Christi: It is certaine, and out of doubt, that as sone, as the Accidentes, or Fourmes are touched with the teethe, straightwaie the Body of Christe is taken vp into Heauen.

De Cense. Dis. 1
Tribus gradib.
in Glossa.

Hereof we maie reason thus: Christes Body is suddainely taken vp into Heauen, and is not receiued into our Bodies: and that, as it is noted here, is true, and certaine: The Breade, and Wine, by M. Hardinges Doctrine, are vtterly consumed, no parte of the Substance thereof remaininge: There is nothinge leaste there, but Fourmes, and Accidentes. Hereof it muste needs folowe, by this Doctrine, that the same bare Fourmes, and Accidentes, beinge receiued into our Bodies, haue Power to worke our Resurrection. But it is wel knowen, and confessed in al Scholes, that the Substance is better, and worthier, then is the Accidente. Therefore we maie conclude thus, The Accidente of Breade, maie doo it: Ergo, the Substance of Breade, maie mutche more doo it.

For the rest, M. Hardinge saith, The Substance of the Breade is quite remoued: The roundenesse, and whitenesse are the Sacramente: The thinge thereof is of twoo sortes: The one contained, and signified: the other signified, and not contained. These Apptical fancies maie lie stil, vntill M. Hardinge by somme Authozitie, other then his owne, haue proued them better. True it is, that M. Hardinge saith, Bitwene the Sacramente, and the thinge it selfe, that is to saie, bitwene the Sacramente, and the Body of Christe represented by the Sacrament, there is greate difference. For in dæde, and verily, and in precise manner of speache, neither is Christes Body the Sacrament: nor is the Sacramente Christes Body.

S. Augustine saith, as it is alleged befoze, Nisi Sacramenta similitudinem quandam earum rerum, quarum Sacramenta sunt, haberent, omnino Sacramenta non essent. Ex hac autem similitudine plerumque rerum ipsarum nomina accipiunt. Itaque secundum quendam modum Sacramentum Corporis Christi, Corpus Christi est: Onlesse Sacramentes had a certaine likenesse of the thinges, whereof they be Sacramentes, without question they were no Sacramentes. And in consideration of this likenesse, oftentimes they beare the names of the thinges them selues. Therefore after a certaine manner of speache (and not otherwise) the Sacramente of the Body of Christe, is Christes Body.

Augu. Epist. 23.
ad Bonifacium.

Hereof I haue written moze at large, as occasion was offered, in my former Reple to M. Hardinge. S. Ambrose notinge this difference, saith thus, Non iste Panis, qui vadit in ventrem: Sed Panis Vitæ Aeternæ, qui animæ nostræ Substantiam fulcit: Not this Breade (of the Sacramente) that passeth into the belly: but (the Body of Christe it selfe, whiche is) the Breade of Everlastinge Life: whiche relineth the Substance of our Soule, and is signified by the Sacramente. Rabanus Maurus saith, Aliud est Sacramentum, aliud vis Sacramenti. Sacramentum in Corporis alimentum redigitur: Virtute Sacramenti Aeternæ Vitæ dignitas adipiscitur: The Sacramente is one thinge: The Power of the Sacramente, is an other thinge. The Sacramente is changed into the foode, or nourishment of the Body: by the Vertue of the Sacramente,

Articulo. 21.
De Cense. dis. 2.
Non iste.

Rabanus Lib. 1.
cap. 31.

Differēce
bitveene
&c.

Chryſoſ. in Mat-
the. Homil. 11.

266

The Deſenſe of the Apologie of the

mente, is gotten the dignitie of Everlaſtinge Liſe.

Likewiſe S. Chryſoſtome, In Sacris Vafiſ, non ipſum Corpus Chriſti, ſed Myſte-
rium Corporis eius continetur: In the Holy Veſſelles is contained, not the Very Body of
Chriſte it ſelfe, but a Myſterie, or Sacramente of his Body.

Auguſt. De Do-
ctrina Chriſti-
an. Li. 3. cap. 5.

So greate difference there is betwene the Sacramente, and the Body of Chriſte.
The Sacramente paſſeth into the Belly: Chriſtes Body paſſeth into the Soule. The
Sacramente is vpon Earthe: Chriſtes Body is in Heauen. The Sacramente is cor-
ruptible: Chriſtes Body is glorious. The Sacramente is the Signe: Chriſtes Body is
the thinge Signified. For want of this diſtinction M. Hardinge wandreth blindly
in the darke, he woteth not, whither. Therefore S. Auguſtine ſaith, ſpeakinge
pourpoſely hercof, Ea demum eſt miſerabilis animæ ſeruitus, Signa pro rebus accipe-
re: This is a miſerable bondage of the Soule, to take the Signes in ſteede of the thinges, that be
ſignified.

Whether, and in what ſenſe the wicked maie be ſaid, to eate the Body of Chriſt,
it ſhalbe diſcuſſed hereafter more at large.

As for M. Hardinges Ordinarie Concluſſion, Of wreſtinge the Scriptures, and
Holy Fathers: Of plaieinge falſe Leſſons: Of teachinge (as he ſaith) horrible Lies
and of ſubuertinge the people, with al other the like furniture, wee wil leaue it fre-
ly, and wholly vnto the Authour.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Diuiſion. 2.

To this Banket wee thinke the People of God oughte to be ear-
neſtly bidden, that they maie al Communicate among them ſelues, and
openly declare, and teſtifie bothe the godly Societie, which is amonge
them, and alſo the hope, which they haue in Chriſte Ieſu. For this
cauſe, if there had benne any, which would be but a looker on, and ab-
ſtaine from the Holy Communion, him did the Olde Fathers, and Bi-
ſhoppes of Rome in the Primitiue Church, before Priuate Maſſe
came vpon excommunicate, as a wicked perſon, and as a Pagan. Nei-
ther was there any Chriſtian at that time, which did Communicate
alone, whiles other looked on. For ſo did Calixtus in times paſt decree,
That after the Conſecration vvas finiſhed, al ſhould Communicate,
excepte they had rather ſtande without the Church doores. For
thus (ſaith he) did the Apoſtles appointe, and the ſame the Holy
Church of Rome keepeth ſtil.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruth. For
the Catholique
Fathers condemn-
ed them.

(b) Vntruth. For
S. Chryſoſtome
calleth them,
Impudentes,
& improbos.
Ad Epheſ.
Homil. 3.

(c) Vntruth,
coldely anou-
ched. Reade the
Anſwere.

Let this Banket be dighte as it oughte to be: let the beſte diſhe be made readie in due ſorte,
which is the very Body of our Sauoure Chriſt: let the gheſtes be tolde what is ſette before them at
that Holy Table: let them be taughte to beleue rightly, to proue, and prepare them ſelues, as beho-
ueth: then wee thinke it moſte conueniente the people of God to be bidden thereto, not thereby to
Communicate amonge them ſelues onely, but alſo, and rather to Communicate with God, to be in-
corporate in Chriſte, and to be made Partakers of al the benefites of God procured to man by the
Deathe of his Sonne ſuffered in that Body, which at this highe Feaſte they eate. This Banket beinge
thus ſet forth, if ſome deuoute perſons thinke them ſelues for good cauſes vnwoorthy to aſſaie ther-
of, and to receiue that heauenly tooke Sacramentally, findinge them ſelues not ſo wel prepared, as S.
Paule requireth in that behalfe, yet for loue of it deſire to be preſente, and beholde that Table, and
ſpiritually to taſte of that healthful Diſhe by Faith, Charitie, Praier, and ſeruent Deuotion, wherein
they doo not wholly abſtaine from the Holy Communion: ſuch (a) are not to be condemned as idle
lookers on, for ſo yee make them, nor ſo be driuen out of the Church. Neither did euer the Olde Fa-
thers, and Biſhoppes of Rome in the Primitiue Church (ſaie what ye wil Defenders) excommunicate
them, muche leſſe did they repute them for (b) wicked Perſons, and Paganes. And (c) not ſeldome
the Prieſte at the Maſſe (which for this reſpecte with vnreaſonable Noueltie yee terme Priuate) when
none other were diſpoſed to receiue with him Sacramentally, but Spiritually onely, hath receiued a-
lone the Holy thinges, which he hath offered.

Neither

Deuout
lookers on
doo Spiritually Co-
municate.

Colin^o al-
leged for
Anacletus.
The Defen-
ders require
more of the
Catholikes
than they
performe
the scious.
The place
of Anaclet^o
disputed.
This Com-
mande-
ment of re-
ceiving the
Communi-
on given by
Anacletus
pertaineth
only to the
Ministers
of the
Church in
solemne
Feastes.
See the 9.
Canon of
the Apo-
stles.

Neither the Decree of Anacletus, whiche ye beinge deceived by Gratian (d) vntreuly father vpon Calistus, requirith that (d) al the people present shoulde Communicate with the Priestle at the Masse. VVhiche thinge ye shoulde not haue objected to the Church, seeinge that it is not obserued by your owne Newe order in your Congregations. Reade the Epistle of Anacletus, from whence this Decree is taken, and your selues will confesse the same. That Holy Father and Martyr prescribeth the Order whiche he would to be kepte, when Bishoppes, or Priestles saie Masse: VVhiche was, that it be donne in places consecrated to God, that a Bishop at his Masse haue witnesss with him moe then an other Priestle: In the (d) solemne Feastes he requirith Seuen, Fiue, or three Deacons, likewise Subdeacons, and other Ministers, al cloathed in hallowed Vestimentes to attende vpon him, to stande of euery side of him with a contrite harte, and humble Sprite lookinge donewarde, keepinge him from malicious menne, geuinge consente to his Sacrifice. Thus muche beinge declared in that Epistle, it foloweth immediately, *Peractis autem Consecratione, &c.* And when the Consecration is ended, let them al Communicate, that wil not be kepte without the Church Doores. For so the Apostles haue ordi- nened, and the Holy Church of Rome obserueth. VVho seeth not this requeste of receiuinge the Com- munion to be referred (e) to the Priestles, Deacons, Subdeacons, and other Ministers in solemne Fea- stes, seruinge the Bishop at Masse? For in that place Anacletus speaketh neuer a woorde expressely of the Lay people. Therefore yee deceiue the vnlearned Reader with a Lie.

For the better vnderstandinge of this Decree, specially whereas Anacletus allegeth the Apostles ordinance for the same, the ninth Canon of the Apostles is to be considered: In which they require (f) euery Ecclesiastical person to be partaker of the Sacrifice, that is offered, or to be excluded from the Communion: onlesse he shewe iuste cause for the contrary. The reason whereof in that Canon ex- pressed is this: Leaste the Clerikes absteyninge from the Communion shoulde be occasion of offense to the people, and thereby raise an euil suspition againste him, that Sacrificed, as though he had not made the Oblation as it ought to be.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Let this Banket be dight (saith the *M. Hardinge*) as it ought to be: Let the beste dishe be made ready. God Chyistian Reader, the beste, the wholestomest, the moste pleasant, and moste Comfortable Dishe at this Table, is the Deathe of Chyiste, that Lambe of God, that hath taken awaie the Synnes of the worlde. Thus Chyiste him selfe, the Maister of this Feaste, hath willed vs to dresse this Dinner: *Doo this (saith he) in Remembrance of mee.* Likewise S. Paule, *As often as ye shal eate of this Breade, and drinke of this Cuppe, yee shal shewe forth, and publishe the Lordes Deathe, until he come.*

This Banket therefore is not the outward, or bare Sacramente, but Chyistles very Body, and Bloude, whiche are represented vnto vs by the Sacramente: And, as it is before alleged out of S. Ambrose, *It is not the Breade (of the Sacramente) that passeth into the belly, but the Breade of Euerlastinge Life, whiche reliueth the Substance of the Soule.* Therefore S. Hierome saith, *Iudaicas fabulas repellamus, & Ascendamus cum Domino in Coenaculum magnum stratum, atque mundatum, & accipiamus ab eo sursum Calicem Noui Testamenti: Lette vs abandonne from vs Iewishe Fablas, and lette vs Ascende vp with our Lorde into Heauen, into that greate Parlare dresse, and made cleane:* and there aboue lette vs receiue of him, the Cuppe of the Newe Testa- mente. In this sense S. Cyprian saith, *Vident hæc Sacramenta Pauperes Spiritu, & hoc Vno contenti Ferculo, omnes huius mundi delicias aspernantur: & possidentes Christum, aliquam huius mundi possidere suppellectilem dedignantur: The poore in Sprite see these Sacramentes, and beinge contented with this Onely Dishe, they despise al other the deinty fares of the worlde: and hauinge possession of Chyiste, they disleigne to pos- sess any worldly Substance.* In like sorte speakinge of the Birtbe of Chyiste, he saith thus: *Ea quæ licita sunt, & concessa, tangamus: & circa incunabula Saluatoris Prima Infantis eius Fercula degustemus: Let vs touche those thinges, that be lawfull for vs to touche: and standinge aboute the Cradle of our Saneoure, let vs taste of the Firste Dishe of his Childehoode.* Likewise saith S. Augustine, *Cæcus internus Panem Christum non videt. Et beatus est? Hoc non dicit, nisi Pariter Cæcus: He, that is blinde in his hart within, seeth not Chyist, that is our Breade. And is he blessed? Noman wil so saie, onlesse it be one as blinde, as he.*

But, what manner of Feaste is it, that *M. Hardinge* preparthe for the people? Howe is it seasoned & howe is it dresse? Firste, by very vncourteous, and vncivill dealinge, he withdroweth the one halfe, that is, the Cuppe of the Newe Testa- mente, and referueth it seuerally to him selfe: and yet woulde make the people be- lieue, they haue the whole. And thus dothe he, when he hath the grattest companie to

(d) Vntreulyes three together as shal appeare.

(e) Vntreulye, plaine, and man- ifeste. Reade the Answer.

(f) Vntreulye. For in the Apo- stles Canons it is written thus: *Quicumq; Fi- deles ingredi- untur in Ec- clesiam, &c.* Canone, 10.

1. Corinthe, 11.

De Con. Diff. 2.
Non iste.
Hieronim. Ad
Hedibiam.

Cyprian De
Cana Domini.

Cyprian De
Natiuitate
Christi.
August. in 7. sal-
mum, 57.

Suppe with him, and, when his feast is beste furnished. Other wise he suffereth his gheastes to stande a luffe, and he consumeth al his prouision him selfe alon. Yet ther in dede hath he any thinge to set befoze them, sauinge onely a cold surcharge of deade Shewes, and dumble Ceremonies. The poore people Heareth nothinge, vnderstandeth nothinge, Eateth nothinge, Drinketh nothinge, Tasteth nothinge. They publishe not the Lordes Deathe: They knowe not the Lordes Supper.

Suidas.

To sute a Banket Pasetes the Juggler vsed sommetimes to calle his frendes. There was great thewe of varietie, and plentie of al manner of Peates, & Drinkes, the Table fulle. But when any of the gheastes woulde haue touched any thinge, it vanishe d suddainely awaie, and was turned to nothinge. And so, when their eies were fulle, they put vp their kniues, and rose ahungred. Euen thus M. Hardinge seeth, and seareth the people of God, wth shewes, and Ceremonies, and suffereth them in the meane while to sterue for hungre. Euen as the Prophete saith, *It shalbe like the dreame of a hungrie man. Beholde he eateth, and maketh mery: But when he is awake, his Soule is emptye.*

Esai. 29.

Good menne (saith M. Hardinge) withdrawe them selues, and are contented to be presente onely, and to stande by: but receiue not the Sacramente. But Chrysostome saith to sute a god deuoute man, *If thou stande by, and doo not Communicate, thou arte wicked, thou arte shamelesse, thou arte impudent. Thou wilt saie, I am vnwoorthy to be partaker of the Holy Mysteries. Then arte thou vnwoorthy to be partaker of the Praiers. Thou maiste nomore stande here, then one of the Nouices, called Catechumeni, that neuer was Christened.*

Chrysost. ad
ephe. Homil. 3.
Impudens.
Improbis.

M. Har. fo. 342. b

Addition. M. Hardinge. A wise man, as M. Iewel taketh him selfe, to be, would vnderstande, that, how earnestly so euer Chrysostome speaketh, to prouoke, that were present, to Communicate, his wordes importe a Counsel rather then a Precepte.

Addition
M

Chrysostom. ad
ephe. Homil. 3.

The Answer. I made not to telle you, M. Hardinge, what you take poure selfe to be. S. Chrysostome saith, *Who so euer standeth by, refusinge to Communicate, is wicked, and shamelesse, and vnwoorthy to be partaker of the Praiers: after the Communion is ended, thou maiste lawfully comme, and see: but while the Mysteries are presente, departe thee hence. Thou maiste nomore be here, then a Catechumenus, or a Nouice, that neuer was Baptized.* Do al these wordes impozte onely a Counsel, M. Hardinge, and no Commaundemente? Anacletus saith, *Let them al Communicate, onlesse they wilbe thruste out of the Church.* The Apostles in their Canons saie thus: *Who so entreteth into the Church, and heareth the Scriptures, and receiueith not the Communion, let him be Excommunicate, as a disturber of the Church, and a breaker of Order.* Thomas of Aquine saith, *Statutum fuit, vt Fideles quotidie Communicarent: It was a Decree, that the Faithfull shoulde Communicate euery daie. It was a Decree, saith Thomas, It was an Ordinance, It was a Lawe, It was a Statute. Yet muste we saie, for your pleasure, that maters of Excommunication, and Disturbance of the Church, Decrees, Lawes, Ordinances, and Statutes, are onely Counselles, and no Commaundementes? Happy are you, that haue suche power, to change the Nature of thinges, and so easily of Counselles to make Commaundementes, and of Commaundementes to make Counselles, when you liste.*

De Consecra.
Dist. 2. Peracta.
Canon, 10.

Here, gentle Reader, maiste thou see, a maruillous change in the Church of God. The thinge, that in olde times was coumpted Heathenlike, Impudencie, and VVickednesse, is now, by M. Hardinges Acte Disinitie, become Godlinesse, and greate Deuotion.

Not Seldome

But, God wote, here foloweth a very colde Asseruation. Not seldome (per saie) the Priest at the Masse, when none other were disposed, receiued alone. M. Hardinge, the word is wel seeth, poure word is no Gospel. It appeareth by poure so many Untruthes, per care not greatly, what you saie. Thus per telle vs, Not seldome the Priest receiued alone. Not seldome? What is that? why speake you so nely? what meaneth this colde, and doubtfull eloquence, specially in him, that other wise hath acquainted his voice, to speake so bigge? why saie you not, The Priest vsed dailey, and commonly so to do? M., if per coulde not auouche so greate Untruthes

for very shame, why saide you not, The Priest is oftentimes, or at some certaine times receined alone: at the leaste, at foure, or three, or two sundrie times, within the space of five hundred yeres: At the worste, if ye had saide, but Once, it had benne somewhat. As for, Not seldome, it is too base: it is too simple: it disgraceth the whole course of your pleading, and in plaine speache soundeth, as mutche, as Neuer. It had rather benne your parte, takinge vpon you this countenance of credite, and grauitie, to haue tolde vs Substantiall, and plainely, what manner of man this Priest was: where he dwelte: what was his name: when, and where, and in what Companie he saide this Masse: who saue it: who heard it: who bare witnesse to it: by what Recorde, or Authortie it mase be proued. The matter beinge so greate, and of sutch Antiquitie, is there woman leaste behinde, to witnesse the same, but M. Hardinge? In your former Answer ye byinge vs in Boies, Girles, Sicke folke, Laie people, and VVemen: and vpon sutch groundes ye like not to founde your Private Masse. And doubtlesse these examples might haue seemed to stande you in some pretty steepe, if in those daies Boies, and VVemen had benne Priests. For Priests, or Bishop, that euer receiued the Sacramente alone, in the Church, before the people, ye are not yet habile to shewe vs one. As for your Leontius, and Amphilochius, and S. Basiles Masse at Midnighte, and other like folies, and fables, it seemeth by the silence, ye vse in your late Reioinder, ye are contented wisely, and quietly to geue them ouer.

Ye saie, VVe are deceiued by Gratian, and haue placed Calixtus in steede of Anacletus. Here first of al, ye confesse, that Gratian your greate Rabbin, the Father, and fountaine of your Decrees might be deceiued. And verily sutch plainenesse in dealinge, if ye woulde vouchesaue to vse it oftener, were worthy of somme commendation. For in dede your Gratian, as he was a man of greate readinge, and smal iudgemente, so he allegeth oftentimes, he knoweth not what: Hierome, for Origen: Cyprian, for Augustine: Bede, for Ambrose: Iuencus, for Vincentius: Græke, for Latine: & we for Olde. As for this Authortie, wherein you saie, we are deceiued, he allegeth it in two sundrie places: firste vnder the name of Anacletus: nexte vnder the name of Calixtus: meaninge, I trowe, if there were error in the one, at leaste to redresse it by the other. Therefore M. Hardinge, if ye had looked better vpon your Eoke, what so euer opinion ye haue of Gratian, your Doctor, ye shoulde haue founde, that we, for our parte, were nothinge deceiued. Howe be it, your Gratian, in steede of one error, hath made two. For in dede, as it is true, that these wordes were neuer written by Calixtus: so, of the other side, it is likewise true, they were neuer written by Anacletus: but were manifestly forged, and falsified by others, that followed afterwarde: as in my former Replie I haue declared moze at large.

But it is a worlde to see, what wiles, and shifts these menne can finde to beare out error. Firste (ye saie) These wordes were spoken of the Priestes, Deacons, and Subdeacons, seruinge the Priest at Masse vpon solemne Feastes. Wardonne me, M. Hardinge, to saie the Truthe. For verily, notwithstandinge this solemne tale, it seemeth, ye knowe not, what ye saie. For it mase please you to remember, that your Anacletus, whose forged Authortie ye haue alleged, was Bishop in Rome in the time of S. Peter, shortly vpon the Deathe of Christe, when the Church was euerywhere vnder persecution, and ful of Bloude. Howe, I praye you, who euer tolde you, either of any office, that your Subdeacons had in the Holy Ministrie, or of any greate highe Holy Daies, of Duplex, or magis Duplex, or principal Solemne Feast in the Church of God, in al that time? Maie we thinke, that the Blessed Virgins, and the Apostles Daies were kepte highe, and holy, while the same Blessed Virgine, and Apostles were yet alieue? Though ye had none other regarde, either to God, or to your selfe, yet shame shoulde force you, to forseeke moze aduersely, what ye saie.

But your greateste folie appeareth in the shiftinge, and glossinge of these wordes. For ye saie, This Calixtus, or Anacletus speaketh onely of the Priestes, the Deacons, and the Subdeacons: and neuer a worde expressly of the Laie people: and therefore, ye saie) we deceiue the vnlearned Reader with a Lie. Touchinge your uncourteous speache, I

De Con. Dist. 3.
Episcopus.
De Con. Dist. 3.
Teractia.

Artic. 4. Dial. 3

De Con. Dist. 2.
Peracta, in
Glossa,
Canon, Apostol.
Canon. 10.

Canon, Apostol.
Can. 9. in Mar-
gine.
Concil. Antio-
chen, Can. 2.
Concil. Aquis-
gran. Cap. 70.

Clemens Epist. 2
Ambros. in 1.
Corinth. 11.

Chrysost. in 2.
Thessal. Homil. 4

Durandus in
Rational. Li. 4.
Cap. 15.
Hugo Cardinal.
in Luc. cap. 24.

Iohan. Cochle.
contra Muscul.
De Sacrificio.

weighe it none other wise, but as it is. The Truthe wilbe hable euermore to beare it selfe. But, that these wordes of Anacletus, or Calixtus, touche not the Laie people, but onely the Priestes, and the Ministers, the very Gloser him selfe was neuer, either so vnkilful, or so impudent, so to saie. For, when as the wordes be theise, Let them al Communicate, onlesse they wilbe remoued out of the Church, he setteth thereto this exposition, Hoc antiquum est: Nam hoc hodie relictum videtur arbitrio cuiuslibet: This was the olde maner: For nowe adaies it is free for euery man to doo therein, what he wil.

The like Decree is founde vnder the name of the Apostles Canons: Quicumque fideles ingrediuntur in Ecclesiam, & Scripturas audiunt, non autem perseverant in Oratione, nec Sanctam Communionem percipiunt, velut qui ordinis perturbationem commouent, ab Ecclesie Communione arceri conuenit: As many (not onely of the Priestes, and Ministers, but) of the Faithful people, as come into the Church, and beare the Scriptures, but continue not out the Prayers, nor receiue the Holy Communion, let them be put from the Communion of the Church, as men that worke the breache of Order. Likewise it is noted in the Margin vpon the same Canons, Omnes olim, qui intererant, Communicabant: In olde times al, that were presente, did Communicate. In the Council of Antioche it was Decreed thus: Omnes, qui ingrediuntur in Ecclesiam Dei, & Sacras Scripturas audiunt, auersantur autem perceptionem Dominici Sacramenti, &c. ab Ecclesia abijci oportet, &c. Al, that come into the Church of God, and beare the Holy Scriptures, and refuse the receiuinge of the Lordes Sacramente, let them be put from the Church. These Decrees reache not onely to the Ministers of the Church, but also to the whole people.

Clemens, that was Bishop in Rome, as it is thought, nerre after this Anacletus, saithe thus, Tanta in Altario Holocausta offerantur, quanta populo sufficere debeant: Let so many Loaves be offered at the Altare, as maie suffice to serue, (not onely the Ministers, but also) the people.

S. Ambrose saithe, Munus oblatum totius populi fit: quia in vno Pane omnes significantur. Per idem enim, quod vnum sumus, de vno Pane omnes sumere oportet: The Oblation offered is made the whole peoples: for that in one Breade al are signified. For in that wee are al one, wee muste al receiue of one Breade.

S. Chrysostome saithe vnto the people, Neque nos abundantius, vos autem minus, de Sacra mensa participamus: Sed pariter, & ex æquo illam vtrique degustamus: Neither doo wee receiue more, and you lesse of the Holy Table: but wee taste thereof equally bothe togeather. The like mighte be alleged out of S. Hierome, S. Augustine, Dionysius, and others.

But, so; as mutche, as yee so bitterly tel vs, that wee misconstrue these wordes of Anacletus, and deceiue the vnlearned Reader with a Lie, maie it therefore please you farther to heare, what your owne late Scholastical Doctours haue written, and iudged in this bealfe.

Thomas of Aquine saithe, In Primitiua Ecclesia, quando magna vigeat deuotio Fidei Christianæ, statutum fuit, vt Fideles quotidie Communicarent: In the Primitiua Church, when greete Deuotion of the Christian Faith was in strength, it was ordeined, that the Faithful shoulde receiue the Communion euery daie. Durandus saithe, In Primitiua Ecclesia omnes Fideles quotidie Communicabant: In the Primitiue Church al the Faithful daily receined the Communion. Hugo Cardinalis saithe, In Primitiua Ecclesia omnes, quotquot intererant Canonis Missæ, singulis diebus Communicabant. Et, si nollent Communicare, egrediebantur post Offertorium: In the Primitiue Church, as many as were presente at the Canon of the Masse, did daieily Communicate: and, if they woulde not, they departed forth after the Offertorie.

If yee thinke, theise Authorities are not sufficiente, Iohannes Cochleus saithe, Omnes olim, tum Sacerdotes, tum Laici, cum Sacrificante Communicabant, sicut ex Canonibus Apostolorum, & ex Libris antiquissimorum Ecclesie Doctõrum perspicue cognoscitur. Hic vnicum hac de re Canonem recitabo, qui Calixto adscribitur: Peracta, &c. In olde times bothe al the Priestes, and al the Laie people receined the Communion.

Com-
muni-
ca-
tio-
nis
bo-
tha
Kinde
commu-
nicat
del ex
ly by C
sar or
not by
Apost
An-va
flame
and G
drou

tion with the Minister, that had made the Oblation: as it is plainly perceived by the Canons of the Apostles, and by the Bookes of the Anciente Doctours of the Church. One Canon hereof I wil allege, written by Calixtus: &c. Likewise saith Iudocus Clichthoveus, In Primitiua Ecclesia Fideles quotidie sumebant Communionem, secundum illam Calixti Sanctionem, Peracta, &c. In the Primitiue Church the Faithful received the Communion every daie, accordinge to this Decree of Calixtus, &c.

Iudocus Clichthoveus in Canon Missa.

Here you maie see, not onely, that these wordes are alleged by your owne Doctours, vnder the name of Calixtus, wherein you haue noted so greate an error, but also that the same wordes, by the iudgemente of the same Doctours, are thought to pertaine no lesse to the Late people, then to the Prieste.

Nowe, M. Hardinge, these thinges considered, I referre the indifferente iudgemente hereof to your owne knowledge, and Conscience, whether of vs two hath receiued the Reader with a Lite.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Diuision. 3.

Moreouer, when the people cometh to the Holy Communion, the Sacramente oughte to be geuen them in Bothe Kindes: for so bothe Christe hath commaunded, and the Apostles in euery place haue ordeined, and al the Anciente Fathers, and Catholique Bishoppes haue folowed the same. And who so doothe contrarie to this, he (as Gelasius saith) committeth Sacrilege. And therefore wee saie, that our Aduersaries at this daie, who haunge violently thruste out, and quite forbidden the Holy Communion, doo without the word of God, without the Authozitie of any Anciente Councel, without any Catholique father, without any Example of the Primitiue Church, yea & without reason also, defende, and mainteine their Priuate Masses, and the manglinge of the Sacramentes, and doo this not onely againste the plaine expresse Commaundemente of Christe, but also againste al Antiquitie doo wickedly therein, and are very Churchrobbers.

De Consec. Diff. 2. Compertus.

M. Hardinge.

Softie, and sayre, Maisters. Yee shewe more heate then witte, more stomake then learninge, more anger then reason. Yee saie mutche, and prooue litle. But saie yee, and saie againe, what ye wil: wee telle you boldly, that (a) neither Christe euer commaunded, (a) nor the Apostles ordeined, (a) nor al the Anciente Fathers obserued, that the Sacrament be geuen to the people none otherwise, but vnder bothe Kindes onely. If Christe had expressely commaunded it, the Church (of Rome) had not so longe time receiued, and kepte the vse of one Kinde. The Apostles and sundry Holy Fathers Ministered bothe Kindes: wee confesse. That the one Kinde was not also by them Ministered, and that it is vterly vnlawful, wee denie, (b) and the same shal ye neuer be habile to proue. Touchinge this whole pointe, and howe litle, that ye allege out of Gelasius, maketh for you, and concerninge Masse not to be omitted for lacke of companie, to Communicate Sacramentally with the Priestle: (c) I haue saide yenoughe in my answere to your fellowe, M. Iewel his chalenge. Bringeye other stufte, and better then this, or els al the worlde wil see your haltinge, and the feeblenesse of your side. That wee haue violently thruste out, and quite forbidden the Holy Communion, onlesse yee meane your owne Schismatical, mutable, and polluted Communion; if there were any sparke of shame, or haired of lyinge in you, yee woulde neuer impute it vnto vs. God doothe knowe, and the worlde is not vnwittinge, (d) howe muche, howe often, and howe earnestly the Catholike Church exhorteth her Children to prepare them selues, to receiue their (e) Maker. And though the people of Englande of late yeres resorted not commonly thereto, yet the deuotion of Christen folke in this Countrie of base Almaine, in Fraunce, in Italy, in Spaine, and in sundrie other Christen Prouinces, is so seruente in frequentinge the Holy Communion, as if yee sawe it, ye woulde be ashamed of youre sclaunderous reporte. Let wise men, and good men iudge, whether wee be Churchrobbers, or ye vnshamefast Liers.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Where nothinge is answered, it were not amisse, to replie nothinge. If M. Hardinge can onely bouche saue, so cal vs sclaunderous Reporters, and vnshamefast Liers: and the Lordes Supper a Schismatical, Mutable, polluted Communion, it is

Z iij

lxxx

Communion vnder bothe Kindes not commaunded expressely by Christ nor ordeined by the Apostles. As vnshamefast and sclaunderous lie.

(a) Vntruthes, three together boldly presummed.
(b) To proue a Negatiue, it is a folie. But you shal neuer proue the affirmatiue.
(c) Certainly yenough. For in the same twoo Articles yehaue vttered three-score and foure greate Vntruthes.
(d) Vntruth, so sensible, and so grosse, that a man maie seele it with his fingers.
(e) Vntruth. No Learned Father euer called the Sacramente his God, or Maker.

sufficiente: the case needeth no farther proufe. So this whole matter M. Hardinge saith, He hath sufficiently answered M. Iewel. He hath answered, & graunted but howe sufficiently, the matter it selfe will declare. Howe be it, he made not in any wise foregocate, that in the same two shorte Treatises to M. Iewel, containing one-ly two and fourtie litle leaues of Paper, he hath sente vs ouer, and published thar- scope and soure notable, & greate Vntruthes: whercof, I thinke, he hath no greato cause to mutche to glorie.

He saith, That the Sacramente shoulde be deliuered to the people in Bothe Kindes, neither Christe commaunded it, nor the Apostles euer ordeined it. Thus M. Hardinge saith, onely because it liketh him thus to saie. Yet his owne Catholique Doctoures, and the Chiefe Champions of that side saie farre otherwise. Gerardus Loricinus saith, Ipsius Sacramenti Institutio vult, vt Omnes vnà manducemus, & bibamus: The very Institution of the Sacrament it selfe willeth, that we eate, and drinke al together.

De Miss. Publi-
ca prouoganda.
Cassander De
Vtraque specie.
Pag. 29.

And Ruardus Tapper the Deane of Louaine saith thus: Habito respectu ad Sacramentum, eiusque perfectionem, magis conueniret, sub Vtraque specie fieri Communionem, quam sub altera tantum. Hoc enim magis consonum est eius Institutioni, & integritati, & refectioni Corporali: imò & exemplo Christi, & Patrum Primiti- uæ Ecclesiæ: Consideration had vnto the Sacramente, and to the perfection of the same, it were more conueniente, that the Communion were Ministered vnder Bothe Kindes, then vnder One alone. For this were more agreeable to the Institution, and substance thereof, and to the outwards perfection of the Body: Yea and to the example both of Christe, and also of the Fathers of the Primitiue Church.

Chrysost. in 1.
Corin. Hæmil. 27
Theophylact. 1.
Corinth. 11.

Certainely these wordes of Christe, Drinke yee al of this: Doo this in my remembrance, are very plaine wordes of commaundement, and Institution. Ther- fore Chrysostome saith, Et in Pane, & in Calice Christus dixit, Hoc facite in meam Commemorationem: Christe both in the Breade, and also in the Cuppe saide, Doo this in remembrance of mee.

Paschasius.
De Con. Diff. 2.
Quis passus.
M. Har. fo. 343 b

Likewise Theophylactus, Tremendus Calix pari cunctis conditione traditus est: The Reuerende Cuppe is in equal manner deliuered to al. And, whereas Christe saith, Drinke yee al of this, Paschasius, to make the matter the plainer, putteth thereto these wordes: Tam Ministri, quam reliqui Credentes: As wel the Ministers, as the reste of the Faitheful. In like manner S. Augustine saith, Simul hoc sumimus: simul bibimus: quia simul viuimus: Wee receiue together: wee drinke together: be- cause wee liue together.

Addition. M. Hardinge. The Literal sense of those woordes, Drinke yee al of this, was none other, then that the Cuppe shoulde be diuided betwene al the twelue in such sorte, that twoo, or three of them shoulde not drinke it vp, as thinkinge to haue it filled againe for the reste. But, that Peter shoulde so drinke, as to leaue somme for Iohn: And Iohn so, as to leaue somme for Andrew: And so eche man to leaue somme deale, til the very laste man had drunke of that Cuppe &c.

Addition
Q

The Answer. This is a mannerly kinde of Diuinitie, and wate to be no- ted by M. Hardinge for manners sake.

N. Har. d. 344. b.

M. Hardinge. Paschasius in that place disputeth of Spiritual eatinge, or drinkinge, and saith, that as wel the Faitheful people, as the Ministres muste drinke Spiritually of this Cuppe.

The Answer. Good Reader, howe longe wil M. Hardinge abuse thy sim- plicitie? Clerly Paschasius in that place wrote onely of the Sacramente, as M. Hardinge wel knoweth. But he saith, Solus Christus est, qui frangit hunc Panem: It is Christe onely, that breaketh this Breade. Will you therefore saie, M. Hardinge, he spake not of the Sacramente? Ebe nexte wordes followinge, are these, Per ma- nus Ministrorum distribuit credentibus: Christe diuided the Cuppe vnto the Faitheful by the handes of the Ministers. I beseeche you, is this the Spiritization of the Sacra- mente? Or, what wil you calle it? Muste Paschasius be forced to make Spiritual Ministers, Spiritual Handes, Spiritual Cuppes, Spiritual Receiuers, Spiritual Belouers, and al Spiritual for your pleasure? But Paschasius saith, Christe brea- keth this Breade.

Aug. contra Li-
teras Pessula, li.
3. cap. 49.

So S. Augustine saith of the Sacramente of Baptisme, It is Christe that Bapti- zeth.

28th. And Chrysostome saith, *It is not the Priest, that Baptizeth thee: It is God himselfe, that holdeth thy Heade.* Shall wee therefore saie, That these wordes belonge nothinge to the Sacramente of outward Baptisme, but onely to the inward, and spiritual washinge of the Soule? Verily Paschasius is as cleare, as the Sunne beames. Consider the whole circumstance of the place. He speaketh onely of the Sacramente. His wordes be euident, *Take, and Drinke yee al of this, as wel the Ministers, as the reste of the Faithfull.* Leane this Manie, M. Harding: It is more for

Children. Manlike is more seemly for a man.

Notwithstandinge any Promise of Constantie, made to the contrarie, yet, I truste, M. Hardinge of his courtesie will beleue, either S. Chrysostome, or S. Augustine, or Theophylacte, or Paschasius, or Loricinus, or Tapper, or his Newe Doctours, or the Olde, or his owne, or others. Otherwise, if he be fully determined to beleue noman, it is reason, that noman beleue him.

Here haue we Christes VVoorde, Christes Example, Christes Ordinance: The Tradition, Perfection, and Institution of the Sacramente. Nowe, let M. Hardinge shewe vs the Authoritie of any one Anciente Father, either Greeke, or Latine, by whom it maye appere, that Christe commaunded the Sacrament to be deliuered to the people in one kinde onely, and not in Bothe, Or, that Christe appointed one Order of Receiuinge the Sacramente for the Priest, and an other for the people: and it shalbe sufficiente: we will strue no further.

Perhaps he wil saie, Notwithstandinge Christes wordes be plaine, yet they are not of force sufficiente, to binde vs for euer. For so writeth Cardinal Cusanus touching the selfe same mater: *Scriptura ad tempus adaptantur, & variè intelliguntur: ita vt vno tempore secundum currentem Ecclesie ritum exponantur: mutato vero ritu, iterum sententia mutetur: The Scriptures are applied to the states of diuers times, and so are taken in diuers senses: So that at one time they are expounded accordinge to the currente order of the Church: But the order of the Church beeing changed, the sense of the Scripture is likewise changed.* So S. Augustine saith of the Heretiques the Manichees: *Expendunt ista, non in statera aqua Diuinarum Scripturarum, sed in statera dolosa Consuetudinum suarum: They Weighe these maters, not in the equal Balance of the Diuine Scriptures, but in the deceitful Balance of their owne Customes.*

Nicola. Cusanus
Epist. 2. ad Bohemos.

August. Contra
Epist. Parmeniani,
Lib. 3. cap. 2.
Hilar. de Trinitate, Lib. 9.

Of the like sorte of Heretiques S. Hilarie saith, *Qui ea, quæ scripta sunt, negas, quid restat, nisi vt ea, quæ non scripta sunt, credas: Seeing thou deniest the things, that be written in the Scriptures, what remaineth there, but that thou muste beleue such things, as be not written in the Scriptures.*

But where haue these menne the Institution of their Halfe Communion? What ordained it? What commaunded it? What Apostle, what Anciente Doctour, what Holy Father euer vsed it? M. Hardinge him selfe was forced to confesse, by the reporte of Leo, that the first known Diuissers, and Authours of it, were the Olde Heretiques called the Manichees. Butche is the Institution, and Antiquitie of their Doctrine. It sprang first of Heresie, and was founded by Heretiques.

M. Har. Pa. 48. b
Leo. Sermon. 4.
De Quadragesima.

Touchinge Gelasius, I haue already in my former Replie saide so mutche, as then vnto me seemed sufficiente. The wordes be plaine enough of them selfe, and neede no Commentarie: *Aut integra Sacramenta percipiant, aut ab integris arceantur. Quia diuisio vnius, eiusdemque Mysterij sine grandi Sacrilegio non potest peruenire: Either let them receiue the whole Sacramentes (in Bothe Kindes) or els let them be driuen from the whole.* By which wordes of Gelasius it maye appere, that, where so euer the Sacramente is Ministered in one kinde onely, there is onely a part, or a Halfe Communion, and not the whole.

De Consec. Dist.
2. Comperimus.

Farther M. Hardinge saith, God doothe knowe, howe mutche, howe often, and howe earnestly the Catholike Church exhorteth her children, to prepare them selues to receiue their maker. M. Hardinge, why shoulde you so fondely mocke the Worlde with so manifeste folles? By what wordes, by what examples exhort you them? When euer saide you, as Chrysostome saith to the people, *Depart ye hence: yee haue nomore righte to stande here, then Heathens, and Infidels: yee are VVicked: yee are Shamelesse: yee are Impupente,*

Chrysost. ad Romanos, Antiochia.
Homil. 6.

Impudente, that stande by, and wil not Communicate. And if the Catholique Church doo so often, and so earnestly exhort her Children, howe is it then, that the Pope, and his Cardinales do so seloome Communicate, scarcely once throughte the yere? Maie we thinke, that the Pope, and his Cardinales be not the Children of the Church?

Laste of al, p^re saie, Yee exhorte the people to receiue their Maker. I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, what Scripture, what Father, what Doctoure euer taughte you thus to saie? The Sacrament is a Sacrament: it is not God. It is the Breade of our Lord, as S. Augustine saith: it is not our Lorde. It is a Creature corruptible: it is not the Maker of Heauen, and Earthe. Accursed is he, that geueth the name, and glorie of God vnto a Creature, that is no God. S. Chrysostome saith, Nolumus quæso Creatorem cum Creatura confundere: Ne illud audiamus, Seruiuerunt Creaturæ potius, quam Creatori: Let vs not confunde the Creature, and the Creatoure bothe together: Leaste it be saide of vs, They haue honoured a Creature more, then their Maker.

Addition. M. Hardinge. Good wordes, M. Iewel. Christe saith, He that eateth mee, shal liue for mee. Vvas not he the Maker of Heauen, and Earthe? If he were, accursed be he, that denieth him so to be.

The Answer. Hereunto, M. Hardinge, we saie, Amen. We knowe, that Christe is very God of very God. But deceiue not your selfe. We speake nowe of the Sacramente of Christe, and not of Christe. The Sacramente is one thinge, and Christe is an other. We eate Christe onely by Faith: we eate the Sacramente onely with the mouth of our Body. When Christe spake these wordes, He that eateth mee, shal liue by mee, He spake onely of him selfe to be eaten Spiritually by Faith: but he spake not one worde there of the Sacramente. He, that knoweth not this, knoweth nothinge.

But S. Augustine saith, The Sacramente is called Life. What then? Yet he saith not, The Sacramente is called our Maker. S. Paule saith, Petra erat Christus: The Roche was Christe: Yet you maie not so vnadvisedly couclude hercof, That the same Roche was the Maker of the worlde. Howe be it, S. Augustine saith, The Sacramente of Christes Body was called Life: So he saith euen in the same place, The Sacramente of Baptisme was called, Salus, Salvation: And S. Cyprian calleth the same, The Fountaine of Life: And S. Chrysostome saith, The Baptisme of Christe, is Christes Bloude: This is no lesse, then if they had saide, Baptisme is Life. And yet none of them euer saide, The Water of Baptisme is our Maker. S. Augustine saith, Plus est Vnus Deus, quam Vnus Baptismus. Neque enim est Baptismus Deus. Sed ideo magnum aliquid est, quia Sacramentum est Dei: One God is more, then One Baptisme. For Baptisme is not God. Yet is Baptisme a greate thinge, because it is a Sacramente of God: God wordes therefore, M. Hardinge: and spare these Blasphemies. For Fulgentius saith, Veritatem Dei tenere, est Vnum Deum colore: Veritatem Dei in mendacium conuertere, est Creaturæ seruire: To holde the Truthe of God, is to Woorship the Onely God: To turne the Truthe of God into a Lie, is to doo homage to a Creature.

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Diuision. 1.

Wee affirme, that the Breade, and wine are the Holy, and Heauenly Mysteries of the Body, and Bloude of Christe, and that by them Christe him selfe, bringe the true Breade of Eternal Life, is so presently geuen vnto vs, as that by faith we verily receiue his Body, and Bloude. Yet saie we not this so, as though we thoughte, that the Nature, and Substance of the Breade, and V Vine, is clearely changed, and goeth to nothinge: as many haue dreamed in these later times, and yet coulde neuer agree amonge them selues vpon their owne Dreames. For that was not Christes meaninge, that the V Wheaten Breade shoulde laie aparte his owne Nature, and receiue a certaine newe

newe Diminitie: but that he mighte rather Chaunge vs, and (to vse Theophylactes woordes) mighte Transfourme vs into his Body. For what can be saide moze plainely, then that, which Ambrose saith, Breade and V Vine remaine stil the same, they vvere before: and yet are changed into an other thinge: Or, that, which Gelasius saith, The Substance of the Breade, or the Nature of the V Vine ceaseth not to be: Or, that, which Theodoretus saith, After the Consecration, the Mystical Signes doo not caste of their ovne proper Nature: for they remaine stil in their former Substance, Fourme, and Kinde: Or, that, which Augustine saith, That, vvhiche yee see, is the Breade, and Cuppe, and so our eies doo telle vs: but that, vvhich youre Faith requireth to be taughte, is this: The Breade is the Body of Christe, and the Cuppe is his Bloude: Or, that, which Origen saith, The Breade, vvhiche is Sanctified by the V Voorde of God, as touchinge the material Substance thereof, goeth into the Belly, and is caste out into the Priue: Or, that, which Christe him selfe saide, not onely after the blessinge of the Cuppe, but also after he hadde Administred the Communion: I vvil drinke nomore of this Fruite of the Vine. It is wel known, that the Fruite of the Vine is wine, and not Bloude.

M. Hardinge.

In this Sacramente, after Consecration, the Substance of Breade and V Vine beinge tourned into the Substance of the Body and Bloude of Christe, the * outwarde Fourmes of Breade and V Vine, which remaine, are the Sacramentes of Holy thinges, the Body and Bloude of Christe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In euery natural thinge, two thinges are specially to be considered: the Substance, and the Accidente, or, as M. Hardinge calleth it, the outwarde Fourme. For example, In Breade, the Material thinge, that feedeth vs, and is Changed into the Bloude, and nourishment of our Bodies, is called the Substance of the Breade: The Whitenesse, the Roundnesse, the Thickenesse, the Swetenesse, and other the like, that are perceived outwardly by our senses, are called Accidentes. Now, saith M. Hardinge, so; as mutche as the Substance of the Breade, and Wine is remoued by Consecration, and so; that cause cannot be the Sacramente: therefore the Accidentes, and Fourmes, which remaine, must needs be thought to be the Sacramentes. And so vpon a false Position, as shal appeare, he laiceth the foundation of al his Doctrine by the olde Rule, I trowe, that he learned sometimes in his Sophistrie, Ex impossibili sequitur quodlibet: Of an impossibilitie yee maie conclude, what yee liste. Euen as aptly, and as truly, as some haue saide, If Christe were not Christe, then Saincte Patrike shoulde be Christe.

If M. Hardinge had alleged, either Scripture, or Doctour, or Father, or Counsell, or any other Authoritie beside his owne, he mighte happily haue benne beloued.

To this whole sanke, gentle Reader, in my former Replie, I haue made a seueral answere. Verily Christe saith, Non bibam ex hoc fructu Vitis: I wil nomore drinke, (not of these Accidentes, but) of this generation, and fruite, (and Substance) of the Vine.

S. Paule saith, Panis, quem frangimus: (not the Fourmes, or Accidentes, but) the Breade, that wee breake. And againe, Quoties manducabitis Panem hunc: As often as yee shal eate (not these Accidentes, but) this Breade.

S. Cyprian saith of the same, Panis ex multorum granorum adunatione congestus:

In Iohan. ca. 6.
De Sacr. Li. 4.
Cap. 4.
Gelas. Contra
Eutychetum.
In Dialog. 1.
C. 2.

In sermon. ad
infantes.

In Matthe. 11.
cap. 15.

* Vntrithe. No
Doctour, or Fa-
ther euer
taught suche
vaine folies.

Matthe. 26.
Luc. 22.

1. Corin. 10.
1. Corin. 11.

Cyprian in O-
ratione Dominici.

What is
that we cal
holy and
hauely
Mysteries.

addition
17

August. de Fide
ad Petrum. ca. 19
Cyril. in Iohan.
Lib. 4. cap. 24.

gestus: Breade moulded, and made of many cornes. I doubt not, but M. Hardinge will confesse, that cornes yelde flower, and Substance: and not onely Fourmes, and Accidences. S. Augustine calleth the Holy Mysterie, Sacramentum Panis, & Vini: The Sacramente (not of Fourmes, and Accidences, as M. Hardinge saith, but) of Breade, and wine. Cyrillus saith, Credentibus Discipulis fragmenta Panis dedit: Christ gaue vnto his Disciples, beleeuinge in him, peeces of Breade, (not peeces of Accidences.)

But M. Hardinge, hauinge in his fantasie removed the whole Substance of the Breade, in steade thereof hath broughte vs in Holy Fourmes, Holy Shewes, and Holy Accidences. His Accidences be the Mysteries of Heauenly thinges: His Accidences be the Instrumentes of Goddes Grace: His Accidences be the causes of Remission of Sinne. The breake Accidences: The rate Accidences: The drinke Accidences: The are fedde with Accidences: The Substance of our Bodies is increased with Accidences. And, to be shorte, he worketh al his Miracles by the Power of his Accidences.

M. Hardinge.

VVhy be ye so lothe to speake, as the Church speake, that in this blessed Sacramente wee receiue the Body of Christe? VVhy had yee rather saie after a strange manner, that by Breade and VVine Christ him selfe is so presently geuen vnto vs, as that by Faith we verily receiue his Body and his Bloude.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I neuer thoughte, it had benne so greate an Heresie, to speake, as the Apostles of Christe, and the Learned Doctors of the Church haue spoken befoze vs. S. Paule saith, Consepulti sumus cum Christo Per Baptismum in mortem: Wee are buried together with Christe By Baptisme vnto Deathe. S. Hierome saith, Per Aquam Baptismi, vel Per Ignem Spiritus Sancti, Aeterni illius Panis Corpus efficitur: By the Water of Baptisme, or By the Fiere of the Holy Ghoste, he is made the Body of the Euerlasting Breade. S. Augustine saith, Habes Christum in presenti Per Fidem: In presenti Per Baptismatis Sacramentum: In presenti Per Altaris Cibus, & Potum: Thou hast Christe in Presence, By Faith: In Presence, By the Sacramente of Baptisme: In Presence, By the Meate, and Drinke of the Altare. S. Cyril saith, Corporaliter Filius Per Benedictionem Mysticam nobis vnitur, vt Homo: The Sonne of God By the Mystical Blessing is vnited vnto vs, as Man. S. Hilarie saith, Christus est in nobis Per Sacramentorum Mysterium: Christe is in vs By the Myserie of the Sacramentes.

And, leasse M. Hardinge shoulde thinke, to take any greate aduantage by these wordes thus vttered, as he, and others of his side haue often donne, S. Augustine in moste plaine wise expoundeth the same: Si ad ipsas res visibiles, quibus Sacramenta tractantur, animum conferamus, quis nesciat, eas esse corruptibiles? Si autem ad id quod Per illas res agitur, quis non videat, non posse corrumpi? If wee beholde the Visible Creatures, (as the Breade, the Wine, the Water) wherein the Sacramentes are Ministered, who seeth not, that they be corruptible? But if wee consider the thinges, that are wrought thereby, who seeth not, that they cannot be corrupted?

Howe iudge thou, good Christian Reader, howe Chisloshely these quarrelles be sought against vs, without cause: and what Mytical Catholique eares M. Hardinge hath, that cannot abide the phrasys, and speeches of the Anciente Fathers.

M. Hardinge.

If a man shoulde presse you with your owne wordes, and demaunde, what manner of Presence ye teache, affirminge Christe him selfe presently to be geuen: I weene, ye would be founde haltinge. VVhat? For howe saie ye? If Christe be presently geuen vnto vs by Breade and VVine in the Sacramente, then is Christe presente. If he be presente, whiche yee muste needes graunte, tel vs further, howe is he present: accordinge to the Substance of his Body, or by Grace, or accordinge to the Maiestie of his Godhead? The firste, what shiftes so euer yee see, ye wil not graunte. And therefore is your owne Apostle ment doo Martin Luther at fowle defiance with your maisters, Zwinglius, Oecolampadius, Caluine, and you, the Defenders, and so be his Disciples, Ioachim VVestphalus, Epinus, Pomeran, Heshulius, Brentius, Illyricus, and many others, as ye knowe. If ye wil saie, he is Present by his Grace, so is he Present with al good men: and that not onely when the Sacramente is ministered, but also at al other times. Againe, howe can yee make good, that by Faith we receiue his Body, and Bloude? By Faith we receiue Grace, and the Merites of his Deathe suffered in his Body with sheddinge of his Bloude. But the Body and Bloude it selfe, that is, the very (a) Substance of his Body and Bloude: telle vs, howe by Faith (a) properly and

* Vnto the For this phrase is v-fed commonly of the Anciente Fathers: as shal appeare.

Roman. 6.
Colos. 2.
Hieronym. De Corp. & Sangui-ne Christi.
Augu. in Iohan. Tracta. 10.
Cyril. in Iohan. Lib. 11. Cap. 27.
Hilarie De Trinit. Lib. 8.

Augusti De Baptismo contra Donatist. Li. 3. Cap. 10.

(a) Vnto the For

The Bo
of Chr
ment
of of
faith
easily.

The Def
ders refuse
to speake
the Church
speake.

VVhat
sence of
Christe in
the Sacra-
ment doo
the Defen-
ders ac-
knowledge

The Body of Christe
is recei-
ued by
Faith
only.

and truly to speake) as who shoulde saie, by Faith made Presente, wee receiue it? Furthermore (b) what haue ye to answere to this question? If wee receiue the Body and Bloude of Christe verily by Faith (for so ye saie) wee demaunde whether wee receiue the same by Faith onely without the Body, or with the office of our Body. If the office of our Body be required to the receiuinge of Christes Body in the Sacramente, as Christe him selfe (c) certainly meante (for els howe obeyed the Disciples his commandemente, to whome he saide at his Supper, Take and eate, this is my Body, likewise of the Cuppe, Take and Drinke, whiche cannot be done but by the seruice of the Body) hereof then it followeth, that his Body is verily present. Now, that it is not receiued by Faith onely, thus wee proue it by your owne Doctrinne: (d) It is so receiued, as it is presente: but it is Presente by Breade and VVine (as ye saie,) Ergo, it is receiued by Breade and VVine. To conclude, if by Breade and VVine, then not by Faith onely. VVilye geue me leaue to saie, what I thinke of you? Verily it seemeth by your vaine iangling, that, as S. Paule saithe of sutch other like you, yee vnderstande not, what yee speake, nor whereof yee asfirme.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In what sense wee make truly saie, Christe is either Presente with vs, or Absente from vs, the mater is not doubtfull, or dangerous to be answered: onlesse M^r. Hardinge haue forgotten the Articles of his Credo. For thus wee are taught to beleeue, Christe is Ascended into Heauen, and sitteth at the Righte Hande of God. *Ubi* Article S. Augustine expoundeth thus: Noli dubitare, ibi nunc esse hominem Christum Iesum, vnde venturus est: memoriter recolere, & fideliter tene Christianam Confessionem, Quoniam Resurrexit à mortuis: Ascendit ad Cælum: Sedet ad Dextram Patris: nec aliunde, quam inde venturus est ad viuos, motuosque iudicandos: Doubte thou not, but Christe Iesus, as Man, is there nowe, from whence he shal come: And beare thou wel in minde, and faithfully beleue the Christians Confession, That Christe is Risen againe from the Deade: And Ascended into Heauen: And Sitteth at the Righte Hande of the Father: And that he shal come againe from thence, and from no where els, to Judge the quicke, and the Deade. Againe he saithe, Homo, secundum Corpus, in Cœlo est: & de loco migrat: & cum ad alium locum venerit, in eo loco, vnde venit, non est: Christe, as Man, accordinge to his Body, is in Heauen: and passeth from place to place: And, when he commeth to an other place, he is not in the other place, from whence he came. Likewise againe, Secundum Præsentiam Maiestatis, semper habemus Christum nobiscum: Secundum Præsentiam Carnis, rectè dictum est Discipulis, Me autem non semper habebitis: Accordinge to the Presence of his Maiesstie, wee haue Christe euermore with vs: But accordinge to the Presence of the Fleash, it is true, that Christe saide to his Disciples, Me you shal not haue alwaies with you.

So saith the Holy Father, and Martyr Vigilius, Dei Filius, secundum Humanitatem suam, recessit à nobis: Secundum Diuinitatem, ait, Ecce ego vobiscum sum vsque ad consummationem Sæculi: The Sonne of God, accordinge to his Manhoode, is gonne from vs: But accordinge to his Godhed, he saithe, Beholde, I am with you vntil the ende of the Worlde. And againe, Et nobiscum est, & non est nobiscum: quia quos reliquit Humanitate, non Deseruit Diuinitate: Christ is with vs: and yet he is not with vs: For whome he leaste, touchinge his Humaine Nature, or his Body, touchinge his Godhed, he leaste them not. And againe, Verbum vbiq; est: Caro autem eius vbiq; non est: The Worde (or Godhed of Christe) is euerywhere: but his Fleashe, or Body, is not euerywhere. So saithe S. Cyril, Etsi corpore abfuero, Præsens tamen ero, vt Deus. Although he be Absente as touchinge my Body, yet, as God, he wil be Present.

And, to leaue an infinite number of other Learned, and Catholique Fathers, that haue written the like, Origene saithe thus: Secundum Diuinitatis Naturam, non peregrinatur à nobis: Peregrinatur secundum dispensationem Corporis, quod suscepit: Christe, accordinge to his Godhed, is not a stranger to vs: But he is a stranger, accordinge to the dispensation of the Body, that he receiued.

Thus is Christe bothe Absente, and Presente: Present in Maiesstie, Absente in Body. And in this sense Chrysostome saithe, Semper nobiscum est Christus: Neque enim, nisi nobiscum esset Christus, superesset Ecclesia: Christe is euermore Present with vs: For onlesse he were Presente, the Church of God coulde not continue.

But M^r. Hardinge saithe, Howe can yee make good, that by Faith we receiue his Body, and Bloude? By Faith wee receiue Grace, &c. This question shoulde rather haue benne

As

demaunded

two together, For properly, & in Substance, wee receiue it not Reade the Answer. (b) VVee answer, It is a peculiar question. (c) Vntruth. For Christe meante no suche thing, as appeareth by the Fathers. (d) A Sophistical, and a childish cauil. Reade the Answer.

August. Epist. 57. Ad Dardan.

August. in Iohan. Tracta. 31.

August. in Iohan. Tracta. 50.

Vigilius contra Eutichen. Lib. 1.

Cyrrill. in Iohannem. Li. 10. Ca. 7.

Origen in Matthe. Homil. 33.

Chrysost. 1. Corinth. Homil. 6.

The Deists refuse to speake the Church speaketh.

Wharpeness of Christe in the Sacrament dooeth Defenders acknowledge

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 25.
Tertull de Re-
surrectione
Carnis.

Origen. in Mat-
thæ. Tracta. 26.
Cyprian. De
Cena Domini.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 26.

August De Do-
ctrina Christia-
na. Lib. 3. ca. 16.

De Cons. dist. 2.
species.

August. in Apoc.
Homil. 6.

Augustin in
Psalm 77.

Ambros. De Sa-
cramen. li. 6. ca. 1

demaunded of S. Augustine, and of other Learned Doctors, and Anciente Fathers of the Church. Howe coulde S. Augustine saie: Quid paras dentem, & ventrem? Crede, & Manducasti: What preparest thou thy toothe, and thy Belly? Beleue: and thou hast eaten. Howe coulde Tertullian saie, Christus auditu deuorandus est: intellectu ruminandus est: & Fide digerendus est: Christ muste be deuoured by Hearinge: chewed by vnderstandinge: digested by Faith. Howe coulde Origen saie, Sanguis Testamenti infusus est in Corda nostra: The Bloude of the Testamente, is powred into oure hartes. Howe coulde S. Cyprian saie, Esus huius Carnis est quædam auditas, & quoddam desiderium manendi in Christo? Quod est esca Carni, hoc est Animæ Fides. Non dentes ad mordendum acuius: sed Fide sincera Panem Sanctum frangimus: The Eatinge of this Fleashe is a certaine greedinesse, and a certaine desire to tarrie in Christe. That meate is vnto our Fleashe, the same is Faith vnto our Soules. Wee sharpen not our teethe to bite withal: But with pure Faith wee breake this Holy Breade. To be short, howe coulde S. Augustine saie, Credere in Christum, hoc est manducare Panem Vium? To beleue in Christe, that is the Eatinge of the Breade of Life. And againe, Nolite parare fauces, sed Cor: Prepare not your mouthes (to Eate of this Breade, but) prepare your Hartes. To these, and other like Ancient Catholique Fathers, M. Hardinge should haue saide, Howe can ye make good, that by Faith wee receiue Christes Body, and Bloude? Thus they witnesse: thus they write: thus they saie: and therefore, onlesse M. Hardinge can finde vntuthe in their wordes, they make it good.

But, to force onwarde his mater, he saith, Properly, and truly to speake, howe can wee Eate Christes Body by Faith? Here it mighte haue pleased M. Hardinge to remember, that these phrases, To Eate Christe: To Drinke Christe: To Digest Christe: To be Fedde with Christe: To dwelle in Christe: To be cladde with Christe: To be grafted in Christe: and other the like, are not plaine, ordinarie, vsual, and Common Speeches: but Spiritually, and Conuertly vttered vnder a Figure: thereby to geue vs to vnderstande, that Christe is our Spiritual Meate: our Spiritual Drinke: our Spiritual Sustainance: our Spiritual house: our Spiritual robe, and our Spiritual stocke. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Nisi manducaueritis Carnem Filij Homini, & Sanguinem biberitis, non habebitis Vitam in vobis. Facinus, vel Flagitium videtur iubere. Figura ergo est, Præcipiens Passioni Domini esse communicandum, & suauiter, atque vtiliter recondendum in memoria, quod pro nobis Caro eius Crucifixa, & vulnerata sit: Onlesse yee eate the Fleashe of the Sonne of Man, and drinke his Bloude, ye shal haue no Life in you. He seemeth by these wordes, to commaund vs to doo an horrible wickednesse. (For it is an horrible mater to eate Mannes Fleashe, or to drinke Mannes Bloude). Therefore this is a Figure, (or manner of speache) commaundinge vs to be partakers of Christes Passion, and comfortably to laie vp in our minde, that his Fleashe was Crucified, and wounded for our sakes. So saith Gratian, touchinge the same, Quidam non improbabiler exponunt, Carnis, & Sanguinis Veritatem, ipsam earundem rerum efficientiam, id est, Remissionem Peccatorum: Touchinge these wordes, The Truthe of Christes Fleashe, and Bloude, somme menne not vnaptely vnderstande thereby, the effecte, and force of Christes Fleashe, and Bloude, that is to saie, The Remission of our Sinnes. And so S. Augustine saith, Lauerunt Stolas suas in Sanguine Agni, hoc est, in Gratia Dei per Christum: They washte their coates in the Bloude of the Lambe, that is to saie, in the Grace of God throughe Christe. This Grace flowinge from Christes Body vpon the Crosse, and geuen to the Faithful in the Administration of the Holy Mysteries, oftentimes beareth the name of Christes Body, and is the grounde, and Substance of the Sacramente: And who so ever is Partaker of this Grace, is also Partaker of Christes Body. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Cum essent Omnibus Communia Sacramenta, non Communis erat omnibus Gratia, quæ est Virtus Sacramentorum: Whereas the Sacramentes were common to al, yet the Grace thereof was not common to al. And that is the Power, and strength of the Sacramentes.

Likewise S. Ambrose, In similitudinem quidem accipis Sacramentum: Sed Veræ Naturæ Gratiam, Virtutemque consequeris: Ye take the Sacrament in Representation, or Remembrance: But yee obtaine thereby the Grace, and Power of Christes Very Nature.

Here

Here M. Hardinge once againe moueth a very needefulle question. VVee de-
maunde, (saith he) whether wee receiue the same Body of Christe by Faith onely, without our
Body, or with the Office of our Body. Any Child mighte sone be able to asseile this
redde. Rabanus Maurus saith, as it is alleged before, Sacramentum ore percipi-
tur: Virtute vero Sacramenti interior homo satiatur: The Sacramente is receiued with
the bodily mouthe: but with the vertue of the Sacramente, (whiche is the Body of
Christe) the Inner man, (that is, not the Body, but the Soule) is filled. So saith
Augustine, Cum videbitis Filium Hominis ascendentem, vbi erat prius, certe vel tunc
videbitis, quia non eo modo, quo putatis, erogat Corpus suum: certe vel tunc intelli-
getis, quia Gratia eius non consumitur moribus: When yee shal see the Sonne of Man
Ascendinge thither, where he was before, then at the leaste, yee shal see that he geueth not his
Body in lutchte sorte, as you imagine: Then shal you vnderstande, that his Grace is not
consumed with the bitte of mouthe. Againe he saith, Qui manducat intus, non for-
is: qui manducat in Corde, non qui premit dente: He that eateth Christes Body in-
wardely, not that eateth (the Sacramente) outwardely: He that eateth the Body of Christ
it selfe in his harte, not that presseth (the Sacramente) with his toothe.

Rabanus Mau-
rus. Lib. 2. Ca. 31.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tract. 27.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tract. 26.

M. Hardinge argueth farther, Christes Body is so receiued, as it is Presente: But it is pre-
sente by Breade and VVine (yee saie) Ergo, it is receiued by Breade, and VVine: To conclude, if by
Breade, and VVine, then not by Faith onely. If M. Hardinge had better considered the
Rules of his Olde Sophistrie, he might sone haue seene the wantes, and deformati-
ties of this Reason. Emongest childzen it is called Ignoratio Elenchi: Whiche is the
simplest Fallax of al the reste.

It is true, that of our parte, it is not either our hande, or our mouthe, but Faith
onely, that receiueth the Body of Christe: but the same Body of Christ is offered, and
represented vnto our Faith by meane of the Sacramentes. Wee speake of such in-
strumentes of Receiuinge, as are of our selfe, and be within vs: M. Hardinge an-
swareth of the Sacramentes, that be external Instrumentes, and are wholly with-
out vs. So in Baptisme, notwithstandinge we haue Christe Presente of our parte
Onely by Faith, yet S. Augustine saith, as it is saide before, Habemus Christum
in Presenti per Baptismatis Sacramentum: Wee haue Christe Presently by the Sacra-
mente of Baptisme. Thus is Christe Presente vnto vs: Of his parte, Onely by his
Grace: Of our parte, Onely by our Faith: By the Sacramentes, Onely, as by meane
of outward Instrumentes, to moue our senses. This lighte, and childlike erreure,
I haue saide before, is called Ignoratio Elenchi, and therefore seemeth to procede of
Ignorance. M. Hardinge should haue better examined the force of his Argumentes,
before he thus suddainly sente them abroade.

Touthinge the mater it self, it is not the Bodily mouthe, but Faith alone,
that receiueth, and embraceth Christes Body. S. Augustine saith, Panis iste Inte-
rioris Hominis querit esuriam. Qui credit in eum, manducat: This Breade requireth
the hunger of the Inner Man. He that beleueth in him, eateth his Body.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tract. 26.

This is no iangling, as you saie, M. Hardinge: It is the Anciente Catholique
Doctrine of the Church of God. Wee be wel assured of it, and knowe certainly,
what we saie. But touchinge the certainetie of your Doctrine in this pointe, I
haue briefely touched it in my former Replie, so mutche, as I then thought might
seme sufficient. Somme of you holde, That Christes Body passeth downe into the
Stomacke: Somme saie, That it entresth onely into the Mouthe, and goeth no further:
Somme other saie, Quam cito Species teruntur dentibus, tam cito in Caelum rapi-
tur Corpus Christi: As soone as the Fourmes of the Breade be grated with the teeth, straight-
waie the Body of Christe is caught vp into Heauen: An other of you saith, A Mous
eateth the Body of Christe: An other saith, Nay, a Mous cannot eate it: Peter
Lombarde, the Grande Maister of al your Schole, is pitcouly confounded in the
case, and cannot imagine, poore man, what thinge it shoulde be, that the Mous ca-
teth. For after he had him selfe moued the question, Quid igitur sumit Mus, vel
quid manducat? What is it then, that the Mous receineth, or, what eateth it? He an-
swareth, Deus Nouit: Nowe, God knoweth: (As for my parte, I cannot telle).

De Con. Dist. 2.
Tribus Gradib.
in Glossa.

4 Senten. Dist. 13

Transub-
stantiatio
Nevve,&
doubtful.

280 The Defense of the Apologie of the

Sutche be your Doctours, *M. Hardinge*: Sutche is your Doctrine. Therefore, to con-
clude with your owne wordes, It appeareth by your agreemente, and your answeres, yee
vnderstande not the thinges ye speake of: but both affirme, and denie, ye knowe not what.

M. Hardinge.

As yee proceede forth, ye geue warninge to your Readers, not to take you so, as though ye helde
with Transubstantiation. And there ye speake thereof as best becommeth your scoffinge spirit, cal-
linge it a dreame of meane of later times, whereof they coulde neuer yet agree within them selues.
By which wordes your eloquence hath set forth your spite and lyinge at once. For albeit the
terme of Transubstantiation be (a) of no greater antiquitie, then the Council of Laterane vnder In-
nocentius the thirde, where it was by the Holy Ghoste, and the Fathers there deuised, as very fitt for
openinge of the Truthe impugned by the Berengarians: yet is the Doctrine thereof nolesse Ancient,
then the Gospel it selfe. For maugre the malice of the Diuel, and of al the Sacramentaries, the
Olde Truthe shal preuaile, by which wee are taught, that, which was Breade, by the Mystical bles-
singe to be (b) made Christes Body: and that which was wine, to be made his Bloude, as I haue o-
therwheres sufficiently declared. And the Church hath (c) alwaies hereupon perfittely accorded, tou-
chinge the Substance: though certayne Schoolemen in their Scholastical Disputations, where often-
times, victorie is sought, and Faith not impugned, about discussion of somme schoole point, haue
without preiudice of our beleefe disagreed.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

As for the scoffes, and spies, and lies, ye speake of, *M. Hardinge*, lette him haue
them, that hath best deserued them. Then verily, without greate wronge, you
cannot lose them.

Touthinge your *Pelwe Fantasie* of Transubstantiation, vvhether it be a dreame,
or no, and whether the dreamers of it them selues were euer yet hable rightly to expound their owne
dreame. It appeareth partly by your owne Confession. Ye graunte, The name and
terme thereof was neuer knowen, or heard of in al the Vvorld, until your late Council of Laterane,
holden in Rome vnder Pope Innocentius the Thirde, in the yere of our Lorde a
thousande two hundred and fiftene, in the time of Kinge Iohn, the Binge of En-
glande, and neuer before. Then did your strange Doctrine, and *Pelwe* Diuinitie,
touchinge this Article, firste beginne. So longe the Church of God was hable
wel to stande without your Transubstantiation.

And, al be it, ye telle vs, Notwithstandinge the newenesse of the name, yet neuertheless
the Doctrine thereof hath stil continued in the Church, and hath benne euermore receiued, and
confessed of al the Faithefull: and that maugre the malice of the Diuel, and al the Sacramentaries, it
is as Anciente as the Gospel, or as Christe him selfe: Yet of the other side ye confesse plainly,
that for the space of twelue hundred yeres, and more, no man wiste, by what name
to calle it.

But for as mutche, as you thinke it lawfull for you to auouche, and affirme,
what you like, true, or false, without controlmente: whereas ye saie, This late Faith
of yours is as Anciente, as the Gospel, knowe you, that somme of the beste learned of your
owne side haue saide, It is a newe Pointe in Religion: and therefore not so Ancient,
as you seme to make it: Somme others saie: It is a doubtful Conclusion: and there-
fore no Faith at al. *D. Tonsal* thereof saith thus: De modo, quo id fieret, for-
tasse satius erat, Curiosum quenque suu relinquere Coniecturæ: sicut liberum fuit
ante Concilium Lateranum: Of the manner, and meane, howe this might be (whether by
Transubstantiation, or otherwise) perhappes it had benne better, to leaue every man, that
would be Curious, to his owne Coniecture: as before the Council of Laterane it was
leaste at libertie. You saie, It is as Anciente, as the Gospel: *D. Tonsal* saith, It is but
newe, and came in twelue hundred yeres, and more, after the Gospel. You saie, It is the Ca-
tholique Faith: *D. Tonsal* saith, It is a Coniecture, or a Gheasse (which differeth not
mutche from a dreame) and that before the saide late Council of Laterane, no man was
bounde to beleue it. And here it mase please you, to consider indifferently with
your selfe: If it were leaste at Libertie, as *D. Tonsal* saith, for any man, either to re-
ceiue it, or to refuse it, as he thought good, howe coulde it then be the Catholique
Faith? If it were the Catholique Faith, as you saie, And that maugre the malice of the Diuel,
howe then coulde it so many hundred yeres be leaste at Libertie?

Hereof ye saie, Ye haue written sufficiently otherwheres. Al this I graunte: your
Bookes are knowen. Howe be it, if you would haue witten nomore but Truthe,
ye might

(a) Marke this
Antiquity. This
Council was
holden twelue
hundred and
fiftene yeres
after Christe.
(b) As in a My-
sterie, or Sacra-
mente: but not
in Substance:
Otherwise it is
a great vntruth
(c) Vntruth
manifeste, As
shal appeare.

Anno, 1255.

Cusbertus
Tonstallus, De
Eucharistia,
Lib. I. pag. 46.

Transub-
stantiation.

Theop-
hite co-
tary to
the D.
finders.

ye might haue saved mutche time, and spared somme paper. But if it shal not mislike you, once againe more abusedly to biewe the same, y^e shal finde in the very firste Division thereof thre great Antruthes together, al within the space of foure & nine lines.

Nowe, whether your fantasie of Transubstantiation be a Dreame, or no, by the Constancie, and Certainette thereof, and by the agremente of your Doctours, that founded it firste, it maie appeare. Petrus Lombardus, the onely General of al this Campe, hercof vseth these speeches: Quibusdam ita videtur: Quidam dicunt: Quidam tradunt: Quidam concedunt: Alij putauerunt, Substantiam ibi Panis & Vini remanere: Somme menne Iudge thus: Somme saie thus: Somme haue written thus: Somme graunte this: Somme others haue thought thus, that the very Substance of the Breade and VVine, remaineth stil. Here is a strange agremente of Learned menne, specially in a case of the Catholique Faith. But hereto what saith the Peter Lombarde him selfe, that taketh vpon him, as a Iudge, to determine these doubtles: Howe is he resolved? Or, howe agreeth he in Iudgemente with him selfe? His answere is this, Si autem quaritur, qualis sit illa Conuersio, An Formalis, An Substantialis, An alterius generis, definire non sufficio: If a question were moued, what manner of Conuersion, or change this is: Whether it be in Fourme, or in Substance, or of somme other sorte, I am not hable to discusse it. Here we maie see, the blinde leadeth the blinde. He that setteth him selfe before al the reste, and would be taken for a guide, knoweth not where to sette his owne foote. Gabriel Biel saith, Quomodo sit ibi Corpus Christi, Vtrum per Conuersionem alicuius in ipsum, an sine Conuersione incipiat esse Corpus Christi cum Pane, manentibus Substantia, & Accidentibus Panis, non inuenitur expressum in Canone Biblia: Howe the Body of Christ is there, whether it be by changing of some thinge into it, Or, Christes Body beginne to be there together with the Breade, bothe the Substance, and the Accidentes of the Breade remaininge stil without changinge, it is not funde expresse in the Canon of the Bible. I leaue Innocentius, Scotus, and sundrie others of your Scholastical Doctours, with their doubtful Dreames, and gheases to like purpose.

Senten. 4. Dist. 11.
si autem. &c.

Gabriel. in ca.
nomem. Le. 7. 4.

Whether these be Dreames, or no, I leaue to you, M. Hardinge, to consider. Merily Innocentius. 3. saith, Fuerunt, qui dicerent, quod, sicut post Consecrationem vera Panis remanent Accidentia, ita Panis remanet vera Substantia: There were some, that saide, that, as after Consecration there remaine the very Accidentes, or Fourmes of Bread, so likewise the very Substance of the same Breade remaineth stil. And Cardinal Cusanus saith, Quidam Veteres Theologi intellexisse reperiuntur, Panem non Transubstantiari, Sed superueneri nobiliori Substantia: Certaine of the Ancient Diuines are found of this minde, that the Breade in the Sacramente is not Transubstantiated, or changed in Nature, but remaineth stil, and is clothed with an other Substance, more noble then it selfe. This was the Iudgemente of sundrie the Farbers, best learned in Diuinitie: And this same Iudgemente Durandus, although he him selfe holde it not, yet he wil not haue it in any wise to be condemned.

Nicola. Cusanus
Excitation. li. 6.

Nowe, M. Hardinge, if you dissemble not, but beléue constantly, as you saie, then cannot these thinges stande, without Preiudice of your beléue. For Faith built vpon vncertaintie, is no Faith at al.

M. Hardinge.

But Lorde what meante yee to allege Theophylacte, and S. Ambrose, whose Doctrine is so contrarie to yours, as light is to darkenes? Theophylacte expoundinge these woordes of Christe in S. Iohn, As the Liuinge Father hath sente me, euen so liue I by the Father, and he that eateth me, shal liue by me, saith thus: Doeest thou not heare a dreadful saicinge? VVee eate not pure God, for he is vntoucheable, and vnbodyly. Neither can he be comprehended with cies, nor teethe. Neither eate wee the Fleashe of a pure man: for that can profite nothinge at al. But nowe that God hath vniued vnto him selfe Fleashe after an vnspeakable contemperament, the fleashe is also become lifemakinge. Not for that it passed awaie into the Nature of God, beware of that, but after the likenesse of fiery yron, whiche abideth yron, and sheweth the operation of fire: euen so (quoth he) the fleashe of our Lorde abidinge fleashe, is lifemakinge, as beinge the Fleashe of God the woorde. Then as (saith he) I liue by the Father, who is life, so he that eateth me, shal liue by me, beinge tempered with me, as also beinge transfemented into me, who haue Power to viuifike or giue life. VVhich last woordes

Aa iij

your

This similitude
of fire y^e quite
ouerthroweth
the whole fan-
tasie of Tran-
substantiation.

Theophylacte
contrary to
these Do-
ctores.

Theo-
phylacte.
To cate
God.

*Vntrathe, pre-
sumed onely of
ignorance. For
Quodam-
modo, is in the
texte, ΑΡΧΗ
ΥΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ ΔΟ-
ΠΕΡ, ΜΑΧΙ ΜΕΤΑΝ
ΕΟΙΧΕΤΑΙ ΜΕΝΟΣ.

282

The Defense of the Apologie of the

your owne Doctour Oecolampadius hathe thus turned, *Dum quodammodo misceatur, & transf-
elementatur in me, qui uiuificare potest*, corruptinge the seconde Doctrine of the writer with his
forged * *Quodammodo*, whiche he founde not in the Greeke there placed, and breakinge the con-
gruie of the Latine speache, by puttinge that in the thirde Person, that oughte to be in the firste. If
faith wrought al this mater, then after your meaninge, mighte wee eate God, which Theophylacte
denieth. He saithe, he that eateth Christes fleashe, is tempered together with him, and transelemen-
ted into him, so as he is made one Body with him as Cyrillus expoundeth the place of S. Paule to the
Ephesiens: where he saithe, that wee be *Concorporalis*, that is to saie, of one Body with Christ. And
an other where, fleashe of his fleashe, and bone of his bones.

Cap. 1.
Ephes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Of Theophylactes Authozitie, wee neuer made any great accountpe. He is but
a very late writer, in comparison of the Ancient Fathers. For the moste part of that
he writeth, he is but an Abbzidger of Chrysostome. He writeth against the Church
of Rome, stoutly mainteininge a knowen Heresie, concerninge the Proceedinge of
the Holy Ghoste. Notwithstandinge, in this place we alleged his wordes to god
pourpose. For, as he saith, VVe are Transelemented, or Transnured, and chaun-
ged into Christe, even so, and none other wise, we saie, The Bread is Translemen-
ted, or changed into Christes Body. But, notwithstanding this change, we remaine
stil in Substance, as we were before: Therefore we saie, Notwithstandinge the
like change, The Substance of the Breade in like manner remaineth stil.

But, what fanisie came in your head, M. Hardinge, thus to allege, and so be-
hemently to force this place of Theophylacte? What one worde speaketh he, either
of your Transubstantiation, or of your Real presence, or of your Corporal, and Fleash-
ly Eatinge? Clerikly, as, by any his expresse wordes, he nothinge stideth you, so by
his example of Fiery yron, he seemeth quite to ouerthrowe you. For, if ye compare
the Sacramente with a peece of Burninge yron, (whiche neuerthelesse was not Theo-
phylactes meaninge: and therefore ye are therein muche deceiued) then, as the yron,
althoughe it be fier, yet notwithstandinge in Nature, and Substance is yron stil:
Euen so the Breade, althoughe it be made the Sacramente of Christes Body, and so
the Instrumente of the Grace of God, yet, that notwithstandinge, in Nature, and
Substance is Breade stil.

Here, least you geuing ouer your Transubstantiation, as knowing it to be ones-
ly a late founde fantasie, should hope neuerthelesse by this Example of Fiery yron to
establishe your Real Presence, and to saie, that, as the fier is Really, and in deede in
the yron, so the Body of Christe is in brede, and Really in the Sacramente: It maie
please you to cal to minde, that in the Holy Learned Fathers, the same example, &
like Fourme of speache, is vsed also of the Sacramente of Baptisme. In the Council
of Nice it is written thus: *Vides Aquam: Cogita de virtute Dei, quæ latet in Aqua.*
Cogita, Aquam esse plenam Ignis Diuini: Thou seeste the Water: Thinke thou of the
Power of God, that lieth in the Water: Thinke thou, that the Water is ful of Heavenly
Fiere. Yet, I trowe, ye will not haue vs beleue, as an Article of our Faith, that this
Fiere, whereby is mente the Bloude of Christe, is in brede, and Really in the Water.

These, and such other the like, maie not alwaies be taken, as phrases of
precise Truthe: but rather, as Amplifications, or heates of speache, the better to
stirre vp, and to enflame the mindes of the Hearers. And in this sorte, and sense, to
leane other Authozities, Hosius, your owne Doctour saithe, *Opera nostra respersa*
sunt Sanguine Christi: Our woorkes be sprinkled with the Bloude of Christe. So saith
Pope Innocentius. 3. *Virtutes nostræ Crucis Christi Sanguine Purpurantur: Our*
Virtues are died, as readde as Purple, in the Bloude of the Crosse of Christe.

Ethus onely, M. Hardinge, and none other wise, the Holy Fathers saie, The
Breade of the Holy Misteries, and the Water of Baptisme are ful of fiere.

Further Theophylacte saithe, The Body of Christe, is Eaten: But the Godhead is
not Eaten: because it is vntouchable, and vncomprehensible vnto our senses. Whereof you
woulde seme to reason thus: If Faith wrought al this matter, then mighte wee Eate God. For
by Faith we beleue in God.

Firste, touchinge the Eatinge of God, God him selfe saithe, Gustate, & videte,
quod

Council Nicen.
ΑΝΩΝ ΤΩ ΘΕΩ
ΥΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ ΤΩ
ΔΟΛΟΤΩ

Hosius in Conf.
Petricouien.
Cap 48
Innocen. De My-
sterijs Miss. Li. 2.

Isaia. 33.

quod suavis est Dominus: Taste, and see, that the Lorde is delectable. S. Augustine saith, Panis est, & Panis est, & Panis est: Deus Pater, Deus Filius, & Deus Spiritus Sanctus: It is Breade, It is Breade, and it is Breade: God the Father, God the Sonne, and God the Holy Ghost. Againe he saith, Deus Panis intus est anima mea: God is the Inwarde Breade of my Soule. Therefore it is not so thowly, and undoubtedly true, that you saie, The Nature of God cannot be Eaten.

Notwithstandinge, for sparinge of wordes, and time herein, I will refer you to my former Reple. There that you finde this whole Obligation fully answered.

You saie, Theophylactes reason standeth thus, God cannot be Eaten: because he cannot be comprehended, either with eyes, or with teeth. But Christes Body maie be eaten: Therefore it muste folowe in the Conclusion, that with our eyes wee maie see it: and with our teeth wee maie receive it. Here woulde I saine learne of you, M. Hardinge, V When ye sawe Christes Body visibly in the Sacrament with your eyes, or when ye pressed it with your teeth? If your teethe can receive it, why saith the S. Augustine, Quid paras Dentem, & Ventrem? Why preparest thou thy Toothe, and thy Belly? If your Bodily eye can see it, why saie you, It is Inuisible? If it be Inuisible, how is it scene? If it be scene, how is it Inuisible? It appeareth, that either Theophylactes, the Paister, or you, the Scholar, are deceived, or one of you understandeth not the others meaninge. Certainely, as Christes Body is scene in the Sacrament, so is it Eaten in the Sacrament. But it is not Really, or Fleashly scene: Therefore it is not Really, or Fleashly Eaten.

To avoide erreure herein, it behooveth vs to understande, that, To eate God, is to haue the fruition of the Diuine Nature, & to be Incoorporate into God. But the Maiestie of God so far surmounteth the capacite of man, that as he is in him selfe in Nature, and Godhed, no mortal creature is hable to conceiue him but onely in the face, and sight of Iesus Christe the Sonne of God. Therefore S. Paule saith, Christus est Splendor Gloriz, & Character Substantiz Dei: Christe is the Brightnesse of the Glorie, and the expresse Image of the Substance of God. S. Augustine saith, Tu quomodo contingis Deum? Quia Verbum Caro factum est, & habitauit in nobis: Howe doest thou touche God? He answereth) Because the Woordes became Fleashe, and dwelt in vs. Againe he saith, Si Christus sic veniret, vt Deus, non agnosceretur: If Christe came sh, as he is God, noman coulde know him. S. Gregorie saith, Dominus murus nobis non esset, si forinsecus non fuisset: Intus nos non protegeret, si exterius non appareret: Our Lorde were no wal vnto vs, if he had not benne in the Fourme of Man: He coulde not inwardely defende vs, if he had not outwardely appeared. So saith the Dionysius, Si cupimus Communionem habere cum Deo, oportet nos in Diuinissimam illius vitam, quam egit in Carne, intueri: If wee desire to haue Communion with God, wee muste beholde that Heauenly life, that he leade in the Fleashe. Thus, as God is God, in Maiestie, and in him selfe, we vnderstande him not, we conceiue him not, we knowe him not: That is to saie, we haue no fruition of him, wee eate him not. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Iesum Christum secundum id, quod erat Verbum apud Deum, Paruuli non capiunt. Quomodo ergo capiunt, qui Lac capiunt? Iesum Christum, inquit, & hunc Crucifixum. Suge, quod pro te factus est: & cresces ad id, quod est: Little ones vnderstande not Iesus Christe, accordinge to that he was the Woordes with the Father. Howe then doo they receive him, that receive milke? S. Paule saith, they receive Iesus Christe Crucified. Sucke that thinge, that he was made for thee: and thou shalt growe to that he is.

Thus in the Holy Mysteries there is presented vnto vs, not the Diuine Nature of Christe, whereby he is Equal to the Father: But his Death, and Humilitie, whereby he abased him selfe, and was made Equal vnto vs. This is the Spiritual Feate, and Drinke, and the onely feedinge of the Soule. Thereof S. Paule saith, As often as ye shal eate of this Breade, and drinke of this Cuppe, ye shal publishe (not the Diuine Nature, or Godhed, but) the Lordes Deathe vntil he come. So saith the Hesychius, Comedimus hunc Cibum, sumentes eius memoriam Passionis: Wee Eate this Foode, receiuinge the memorie (not of his gloze, but) of his Passion. So saith the S. Ambrose, Quia Morte Domini liberati sumus, huius rei memores, in Edendo, & Potando, Carnem, & Sanguinem, quæ pro nobis oblata sunt significamus: Because wee are deliuered

Ala thy

by

1. Pet. 1.

August De Verb.

Dominus Secund.

Lucan. Serm. 29

Aug. Conf. Li. 1.

Artic. 8. Dini. 16

Aug. in Iohan.

Tracta. 25.

Hebr. 1.

Aug. in Psal. 134

Aug. in Iohan.

Tracta. 2.

Grego. in Ezech.

Lib. 1. Homil. 14.

Ecclesi. Hierar.

Cap. 3.

August. in

Psal. 119.

1. Cor. 11.

Hesych. in Leod.

Lib. 1. Cap. 2.

Ambrosi. in

1. Cor. 11.

by our Lordes Deathe, beinge mindeful thereof, in Eatinge, and Drinkinge. Wee Signifie, or Represente the Fleashe, and Bloude, that were offered vp for vs. Thus in the Holy Mysteries wee Eate, and Drinke the Sacramente of Christe Crucified in the Humilitie of his Fleashe. But his Diuine Nature in Godhed, and Paasitie cannot be Represented, or expessed by any Sacramentes.

Iohan. 6.

It was al vaine, and losse labour for you, O. Hardinge so earnestly to prone, that Christes Body quickeneth, and geeueth life. Wee knowe it: Wee Confesse it: Wee seele it: Christe him selfe saithe it: I am the Breade of Life: He, that eateth of this Breade, shal liue for euer.

This talke emperchethe noman, but your selfe. For you tel vs, and woulde haue it holden, as an Article of your Faith, not onely, That the wicked man without Faith, but also that the dumble creature voide of Reason, maie receiue the very Body of Christe, and that Substantially, Verily, and in deede. Thus you diuide and sunder Christes Body, from his Spytte: and, contrarie to Theophylacte, and to al the Ancient Fathers, and to Christe him selfe, ye saie, It maie be Really receiued without Life.

Ambros. De Be-
nedictionib. Pa-
triarch. Cap. 6.
Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 26.

But wee saie, with S. Ambrose, Qui accipit hunc Panem non moritur morte Peccatoris: Quia hic Panis est Remissio Peccatorum: Who so eateth this Breade (not the Sacramente of Christes Body, but Christes Body it selfe) dieth not the deathe of a Sinner: For this Breade is the Remission of Sinnes. Wee saie with S. Augustine, Qui Carnem Domini non sumit, non habet vitam: & qui eam sumit, habet vitam, & hanc vti- que æternam: He, that receiveth not the Fleashe of Our Lorde, hath no Life: and, he that receiveth it, hath the Life, and the same Everlastinge. Againe wee saie with S. Augustine, Huius rei Sacramentum de Mensa Dominica sumitur, quibusdam ad vitam, quibusdam ad Mortem: Res verò ipsa, cuius Sacramentum est, Omni homini ad vitam, Nulli ad exitium: quicunque eius Particeps fuerit: The Sacramente (of Christes Body) is receiued from the Lordes Table, to somme vnto Life, to somme vnto Deathe: But the thinge it selfe, (that is, the Body of Christe, whereof this is a Sacramente) is to al menne vnto Life, and to no man vnto Death: VWho so euer shalbe Partetaker of it.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. eodem.

For somme further declaration hereof, it appeareth by the witness of the An- ciente Learned Doctors, and Fathers, that wee are Really, and Corporally ioined, and vnited vnto Christe, not onely by the Mysteries of the Holy Supper, but also by Faith, by Baptisme, by the Spire of God, by Loue, and other waies. Of Faith S. Augustine saithe thus: Per Fidem Adcorporamur in Iesu Christo Domino nostro: By Faith wee are incorporate in Christe Iesu our Lorde. And againe, Qui venit ad me, Incorporatur mihi: He, that cometh vnto me, is Incorporate into me. S. Chrysostome saithe, Quid nobis esse non vult, omnibus modis nos sibi conglutinans, & coniungens? What wil not Christe be vnto vs? For by al manner meanes he cleaueth, and fasteneth him selfe vnto vs. Againe in plainer sorte he saithe, Dominum ipsum amplecteris, & Commisceris, & subiectus coniungeris Corpori illi, quod sursum sedet in Coelis: Thou embraceste the Lorde him selfe, and arte mingled with him, and, beinge here beneath, arte ioined to that Body, that sitteth in Heauen aboue.

Augu. Epist. 31.

Augu. in Iohan.

Tracta. 25.

Chryso. in Epist.

ad Rom. Hom. 25

Chryso. in Epist.

ad Colos. Hom. 6

Chryso. in Epist.

ad Ephe. Hom. 20

In like manner he writeth of the Sacramente of Baptisme: Quomodo ex Car- ne eius sumus, & ex Ossibus eius? Quemadmodum ille sine Virili Congressu natus est ex Spiritu Sancto, ita nos illud efficitur in Lauacro: Howe are wee of his Fleashe, and of his Bones? He answereth, As Christe was borne by the Holy Ghoste without the know- ledge of Man, enen so in the Fonte (of Baptisme) wee are made the same (that is, his Fleashe, and his Bones).

Leo. De Natiui-
tate Domi. Ser-
mo. 3.

Hieronym. De
Viro perfecta.

So saithe Leo, Sicut Dominus Factus est Caro nostra nascendo, ita nos facti su- mus ipsius (Caro) Renascendo: As our Lorde was made our Fleashe, by that he was Borne: so are wee made his Fleashe, by that wee are newe borne.

So saithe S. Hierome, De Carne eius sumus, & de Ossibus eius: Ipse enim est Caput Corporis Ecclesie: Wee are of his Fleashe, and of his Bones: For that he is the Heade of His Body, whiche is the Church.

Thus, O. Hardinge, we are ioined together, and tempered with Christe, not onely by the Holy Mysteries, but also by the Sacramente of Baptisme, and by Faith.

And

And Chrysostome saith, Ne simpliciter teneamus Christum, sed etiam illi conglutinemur. Nam, si quicquam secesserimus ab eo, Perimus: *Let vs not onely holde Christe, but also let vs be faste glewed vnto him. For, if wee falle any thinge from him, wee are utterly loste.* Muste we therefore conclude, M. Hardinge, that the V Vater of Baptisme is Transubstantiate, no parte of the Substance thereof remaininge stil: or, that Christes Body is really, and grossely presente in that Sacramente, as you haue imagined of the others?

We finde greate faulte with Oecolampadius, for corruptinge, as ye saie, the sounde Doctrine of Theophylacte with his forged, *Quodammodo*, and for breakinge the Congruitie of the Latine speache, by puttinge the thirde Person, in place of the firste. Of the Latine Congruitie, I wil saie nothinge. The Learned thinke, that Oecolampadius was as skilful, bothe in the Latine tongue, and in the Greeke, as M. Hardinge. But for the forginge of, *Quodammodo*, why doe you not as wel finde faulte with Theophylacte him selfe? For, if it be a forgerie, he him selfe hath likewise forged the same, *Quodammodo*: and, if that maye be called Corruption, he hath also corrupted his owne Doctrine. These be his wordes: Qui manducat me, hic vivet propter me, dum *Quodammodo* miscetur mihi, & transelementatur in me: *He, that eateth me, shall live by me: for as muche as, after a sorte, he is mingled with me, and transelemented, or changed into me.* If ye doubt, whether, *ὁσπερ*, in Greeke, maye be expounded by, *Quodammodo*, then is not your skill herein so greate, as ye haue thought. Here, you see, that, not onely Oecolampadius, but also Theophylactus him selfe saith *Quodammodo*.

Why finde ye not faulte with S. Augustine, for falseinge the like? Omnes in illo, & Christi, & Christus sumus: quia *Quodammodo* totus Christus, & Caput, & Corpus est: In him wee are all Christes, and Christe him selfe: For after a sorte whole Christe is bothe the Heade (of the Church) and also the Body. And againe, Qui in Christum credit, credendo in Christum veniet, & in eum Christus, & quoquo modo vnitur in eum, & Membrum in Corpore eius efficitur: *He, that beleeneth in Christe, by beleeninge cometh into Christe, and Christe into him, and after a sorte is vnited into him, and is made a Member in his Body.* And againe, Paulum Christus voce mactavit, & in suum Corpus traiciens, *Quodammodo* manducavit: Christe killed Paule with his voice, and after a sorte, did eate him swallowinge him downe into his Body: Why finde ye not the same faulte with Cyrillus writinge thus, Decebat ergo eum *Quodammodo* Vniri Corporibus nostris? It was meete, that after a sorte Christe shoulde be Vnited vnto our Bodies: Or, with S. Chrysostome, writinge the like: Christus nos secum in vnam, vt ita dicam, Massam reducit: Christe mouldeth vs, if I maye so saie, into one lump with him selfe.

Why saie ye not, these, and other like Holy Fathers were Sacramentaries, and Heretiques? Why saie ye not, that S. Augustine, S. Cyril, S. Chrysostome, with theire Forged *Quodammodo*, corrupted the sounde, and Catholique Doctrine of the Church?

Woulde ye rather, for the better falseinge, and colouringe of your Doctrine, we shoulde strike out this, Forged *Quodammodo*, and amende it thus, Qui manducat me, Reipsa Miscetur mihi, & transelementatur in me? Woulde ye haue vs to beleue, that wee are wholly, and thorowly changed into Christes Body, and that we are made very Christe, God, and Man, the same, that was bozne of the Virgine, and nailed to the Crosse: and that, not, *Quodammodo*, after a sorte, or by some peculiere phrase of speache: but Substantially, really, truly, and in deede? I reckon, your Doctrine is not fully so sonde.

S. Paule saith, Sumus alter alterius Membra: VVee are Members one of another: Cyrillus saith, Nos inter nos vnimur Corporaliter: Wee are Corporally vnited together amonge our selues: S. Chrysostome saith, Si quis exuat impudicarum mulierum animas, videbit malum Dæmonem illis admixtum: If any man wil open the Soules of vnchaste, or filthy Weemen, he shal see the Diuel tempered together, and mingled with them. And thinke you, M. Hardinge, that these, and other like speeches of the

Chrysostom. in
1. Cor. Hom. 8.

Theophylact. in
Iohan. Cap. 6.
ὁ τράγωνμα
ῥήσε) δι
ἐμὲ, ἀναμι-
νόμενος ὁσ-
περ, καὶ με-
τασχηματι-
ζόμενος εἰς ἐμὲ.
August. in Psal.
26.
August. in Ioh.
han. Sermon. 61.

August. Epist.
120. Cap. 18.

Cyrill. ad Calo-
syrum.
Chrysost. in Ma-
the. Homil. 84.

Roma. 12.

Cyrill. in Iohan.
Lib. II. Cap. 16.
Chrysostom. in
1. Cor. Homil. 18.

the Holy Fathers, cannot stande without your Transubstantiation, and Real Presence? Or, that the Godly be Substantially, and in deede in their Bodies ioined together? Or, that the Vngodly be verily vnited, and mingled with the Diuel: without any *Quodammodo*?

You might rather haue remembred, that, touching this vnspokeable Anttie betwene Christe, and the faithfull, that is to saie, betwene the Heade, and the Body, S. Cyprian writeth thus: *Nostra, & Christi Coniunctio nec miscet Personas, nec vnit Substantias: sed affectus consociat, & confederat voluntates: The Coniunction, that is betwene vs, and Christe, neither mingleth Persones, nor vniteth Substances: but*

Cyprian De
Cena Domini.

Cyrill, in Iohan.
Lib. 4. Cap. 29.

Nicol. Lyra in
Iohan. Cap. 6.

Nicol. Lyra.
1. Cor. 6.

joineeth affections, and knitteth willes. Likewise saith S. Cyril, Initium, & fundamentum in Sanctificatione Christus est, Per Fidem, scilicet, & non aliter: Hoc enim modo in nobis habitat: *The Beginning, and Foundation of our Holinesse is Christe: By Faith, I meane, and none otherwise. For in this sorte Christe dwelleth in vs.* Likewise Lyra, one of your owne late Doctors: In quantum per Sacramentum Eucharistie vnimur Deo, viuimus Spiritualiter: *Nostra autem vnio apud ipsum est per Fidem, & Dilectionem: So farre forth, as wee are vnited vnto God by the Sacramente of Thanksgeuinge, wee liue Spiritually: But the Vnion, that is betwene him, and vs, is by Faith, and loue.* And, expoundinge these wordes of S. Paule, Qui adheret Deo, vnus Spiritus est: *He that cleaueth vnto God, is one Sprite with God: He saith, Vnus, non secundum rem, sed secundum affectionem: He is One Sprite with God, not One in deede, or, accordinge to the Truthe: but, One in affection, or accordinge to Loue.*

Chrys. in Epist.
ad Colos. Rom. 6

Leo, De Ascen-
sione Domini,
Sermon. 3.

And yet, somewhat farther to remoue you from your fantasie of your Real Presence, S. Chrysostome saith, as he is alleged before: *Dominum ipsum amplectens cum illo commisceris: & subiectus coniungeris Corpori illi, quod sursum sedet in Coelis: Thou embracest the Lorde him selfe: thou arte tempered with him: and beinge carried vp (by Faith, and affection) thou arte ioined with that Body, that sitteth in Heauen.* In like sense saith Leo: *Christus ineffabili modo coepit esse Diuinitate Præsentior, qui factus est Humanitate loginquior: Christe by vnspokeable meanes beganne to be the neare to vs by his Diuinitie, the further he is made from vs by his Humanitie.*

I doubt not, M. Hardinge, but ye make easily see, that hitherto your Real Presence is but weakely proued.

M. Hardinge.

*Vntruthe. For
he manifestly
affirmeth a Fi-
gure. His wor-
des be these,
It is not onely
a Figure. Ergo
he graunteth, it
is a Figure.

Again we marvel with what face ye dare allege Theophylacte for you, who in moste euidēt woordes ouerthroweth your Figuratiue, Tropical, and Energetical Doctrine touching this blessed Sacramente. For he saith vpon this sixthe Chapter of S. Iohn: Marke wel that the Breade, which is eaten of vs in the Mysteries, *is not onely a certaine Figure of our Lorde's Fleashe, but the Fleashe it selfe of our Lorde.* For he saide not, the Breade that I shal geue is the Figure of Fleashe, but it is my Fleashe. For the Breade is with secrete woordes through the Mystical blessinge and comminge vpon of the Holy Ghoste, changed into the Fleashe of our Lorde.

Not a Fi-
gure, but
the Fleashe
it selfe of
our Lorde.
Transub-
stantiatio.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Bernard. Super
Missus est, Rom. 3
August. Contra
Maxim. Lib. 3.
Tertull. Contra
Marcion. Lib. 4.

Theophylacte saith, The Breade is not onely a certaine Figure of oure Lorde's Fleashe, but the Fleashe it selfe of our Lorde. This Objection in my former Replie is many wheres answered. We graunte, The Breade is not a bare, or naked Figure: but by waie of Sacramente, or Mysterie, it is the Body of Christe it selfe. So the VVater of Baptisme, is not an emptie Figure of the Bloude of Christe: but it is Christes Bloude it selfe, because it is the Sacramente of Christes Bloude. And therefore S. Bernarde saith, Lauemur in Sanguine eius: *Let vs be washed, (not in VVater, but) in the Bloude of Christe.* S. Augustine geueth this general Rule, as I haue often repoited, In Sacramentis videndum est, non quid sint, sed quid Significant: *In Sacramentis wee muste consider, not, what they be (in Substance, and Nature) but what they signifie.* Tertullian saith, Christus acceptum Panem, & Discipulis suis distributum, Corpus suum illum Fecit dicendo, Hoc est Corpus meum, hoc est, Figura Corporis mei: *Christe hauinge taken the Breade, and hauinge deliuered the same to his Disciples, Made it his Body, saieinge, This is my Body, That is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body.* And to appointe a corruptible Creature to this vse, and to make it an effectual instrumente of such high, and hidden Mysteries, it is

it is

It is not the ~~Worke~~ of any mortal man, but the onely Power, and workeinge of the Holy Ghost: as it shal farther appeare in the nexte Clause. in mine answere to the wordes of S. Ambrose. Beda saith thus, Panis, & Vini Creatura in Sacramentum Carnis, & Sanguinis Christi ineffabili Spiritus sanctificatione transfertur: *The Creature of Breade, and Wine, by the unspeakeable Sanctification of the Holy Ghost, is changed (not into the very Real Body, and Bloude, but) into the Sacramente of the Body, and Bloude of Christe.*

Beda in Octauis Epiphania.

M. Hardinge.

Neither maketh S. Ambrose, whiche also yee bringe in, any better for you. VVoulde God yee woulde admitte him for Vmpeere in this point. Verily in the booke, and chapter, that ye referre vs vnto, he disputeth as it were of pouerpointe againste you, as though he foresawe the time, when the Churche should be troubled with the Heresie of Sacramentaries. *Tu forti dicis, &c.* Perhappes thou sayest. My Breade is common: But this Breade is Breade, before the wordes of the Sacramentes: so soone as consecration commeth, of Breade is made the Fleashe of Christe. Let vs then auouche this, howe that, whiche is Breade, maie be the Body of Christe: By Consecration. Then with what wordes and speache is consecration made? Euen with those of our Lorde Iesus. For what so euer els is saide (he meaneth at the Masse) it is praisegeuinge to God, and prayinge for the people, for Kinges, for the rest. VVhen the Priest commeth to the Consecration of the honorable Sacrament, there he vseth not his owne wordes, but the VVoorde of Christe. Therefore it is the worde of Christe, that maketh the Sacramente. (a) VVhat worde of Christe? Soothely that same worde whereby al thinges be made. Our Lorde Commaunded, and Heauen was made. Our Lorde Commaunded, and Earthe was made. Our Lorde Commaunded, and the Seas were made. Our Lorde Commaunded, euery Creature was engendred. Seest thou then, howe workeful is the worde of Christe? VVel then, if there be so great Power in the worde of our Lorde Iesus, that thinges beganne to be, whiche were not: howe mutche more is it workeful, (b) *Ut sint, qua erant, & in aliud commutentur*, That thinges be whiche were, and be changed into an other thinge? Here, Sir Defender, Constrewe me these wordes, and what sence can you drawe soorth of them, but this: If by the workinge Power of our Lordes wordes, thinges haue a beinge, whiche before were nothinge, and had no beinge at al, as Heauen, Earthe, and Seas: howe mutche more Power hath it to worke, that thinges whiche were before, and had a beinge, nowe also be, or haue a beinge, but be changed into an other thinge, *in Aliud*, (c) into a thinge of an other Substance? For by this phraze is signified a Substantiall chaunge, whiche aptly is called Transubstantiation: And, I praie you, good Sir, who saith, that the Elementes, I meane Breade and VVine, passe quite awaie, and go to nothing? Though you so belie vs, wee saie not so: God forbid. VVee saie, that after consecration they be: But what? (d) Not the selfe same they were, whereby the Former Substance is excluded, but the Body and Bloude of our Lorde. Againe in that we saie with S. Ambrose, *In aliud commutantur*, They be chaunged into an other thinge: foloweth it not thereof by good Logike, *Ergo* they be? VVil not this argumente holde in Schoole, the Verbe Passiue beinge resolued, (e) *Sunt commutata, Ergo sunt*? For that whiche ceaseth to be, or goeth to nothing, ye cannot properly saye of it, that it is chaunged: but rather that it is ended, or perisheth. Nowe Sir, I reporte me to euery man, that hath any sence, whether I maie not lawfully geue you the Menti, as for manners sake I maye vse the Italian terme, and challenge you in plaine termes of a Lie, for utteringe this vnturthe, vpon that Holy Doctour S. Ambrose, specially speakinge as you doo in your Englishe Translation, by your selfe allowed thus: For what can be saide more plainly, then that, whiche Ambrose saith, Breade, and VVine remaine stil the same they were before, and yet are chaunged into an other thinge? Zeale moueth me to saie beyonde the modestie of my natural disposition, VVhat is iugglinge, what is lyeinge, what is falsebed, what is falseseinge, what is wickednes of falsaries, if this be not?

Neither is the saieinge, wherewith this Defender belieith S. Ambrose, al onely false, and contrary to the Catholike Faith, but also absurde, vnreasonable, and foolish. For if Breade, and VVine remaine stil after Consecration, the same they were before, howe then are they chaunged into an other thinge?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, onlesse ye haue made S. Ambrose a Prophecie, ye thought, he coulde not so handsomely haue serued your tourne. But what, and of whom he prophesied, and howe far he saue before, we shal see hereafter. Clerily, if that be the Heresie, that you imagine, then he needed not greatly any Spite of Prophecie in that behalfe. For he settolde vs of no newe Heresie, that was to come: but rather tolde vs of his owne Heresie, that then was presente. Doubtlesse, the wordes, that we reporte, are not ours: they are his owne.

But S. Ambrose saith, *The Omnipotent Power of the Wordes, wherewith God made Heauen and Earthe, the same Omnipotent Power of the same Wordes, he vseth nowe in the Consecration of the Sacramente.* Therefore (saith M. Hardinge) it muste needes be

(a) God maie wel be Omnipotent, without either Transubstantiation, or Real Presence.

(b) S. Ambrose saith, The Breade, and VVine are the same thinges, they were before Consecration: notwithstandinge they be now made a Sacramente.

(c) Vnturthe exposition. That were contrarie to S. Ambrose. For then were they not the same thinges, they were before.

(d) O sonde folie. VVhat Beinge haue thinges, when their Substance is gonne?

(e) A vaine Vnturthe. See the Answer. M. Hardinges modestie.

De Sacra.
Li. 4. Ca. 4.
De Pane.
Consecra-
tion.

Operation
These be
the wordes
alleged by
the Defen-
der.

The Ele-
mentes of
the Consecra-
tion be,
or haue a
beinge.

Nota Fi-
gure, but
the Fleashe
in selfe of
our Lorde.
Transub-
stantiation.

Ambros. De
Nec & Arca.

be geathered, he meante Transubstantiation, and Real Presence: as if without these newe fantasies, God coulde not be Omnipotente. I mase wel answere these menne, as S. Ambrose vpon the like occasion sommetime answered the wilful blindness of the Iewes: Atramentum vident: Spiritum Dei non vident: They see the Inke (of the Letter written) but the Sprite of God they see not: Euen so M. Hardinge, and others of that side weighe the wordes of S. Ambrose: but his sence, and meaninge they weighe not.

Articulo. 1.
Division. 4.
Leo in Natali-
tate Domini.
Sermon. 5.
Chrysost. in 10.
han. Homil. 24.

Certainely God sheweth his Omnipotente Power, as wel in the Sacramente of Baptisme, as in the Sacramente of Thankesgeuinge. Hereof I haue saide somme, what, as occasion was offered, in my former Reple to M. Hardinge. Leo saith thus, Christus originem, quam sumpsit in Vtero Virginis, posuit in Fonte Baptis-
matis. Dedit Aquæ, quod dedit Matri: Christe laide in the Fonte of Baptisme the same be-
ginninge, that he tooke in the Virgins Wombe. He gaue the same preeminence to the VVater, that he gaue to his Mother. Euen so Chrysostome, Angeli, qui adfuerunt (in Ba-
ptismo) tam inenarrabilis operis modum minimè possunt enarrare. Adfuerunt tan-
tùm, & viderunt: nihil tamen operati sunt: Sed Pater tantùm, & Filius, & Spiritus
Sanctus: The Angels, that were presente at the Baptisme, are not hable to vter the manner
of that vnspeakeable VVoorke. They were Presente onely, and sawe: but they did nothinge:
but onely the Father, the Sonne, and the Holy Ghoste. Likewise he saith, Ex Spiritu, &
sensibili Aqua omnia hæc admirabilia, & humanam excedentia cogitationem, exo-
riuntur: Al these woonderful Woorkes (wrought in Baptisme) so far exceedinge the
thought of man, springe of the Sprite, and of Sensible Water.

August. Ad Bo-
nifacium. Epist. 23

1. Cor. 10.
Pachymet. in 4.
Cap. Hierarch.
S. Germanus, De
Corpor. & san-
guine Christi.

Thus the Holy Fathers used oftentimes to auance, and to magnifie the Holy
Mysteries, the better to bringe theire Hearers to the deepe, and inwarde conside-
ration of the same: and therefore, as S. Augustine saith, Sacramenta tantæ rei, non
nisi eiusdem rei vocabulo nuncuparunt: They expressed the Sacraments of so greate a
thinge, none otherwise, then by the name of the same thinge. So S. Paule saith, The
Rocke was Christe: So an other saith, as I haue otherwheres alleged, The Oile
is Christe: and an other, Manna erat Christus, qui descendit de Cælo: The Man-
na (that rained in the Wildernesse) was Christe, that came downe from Heauen.
Thus are we taught, that Manna was Christe, that the Oile was Christe, and, that
the Rocke was Christe. And theise sayings are true. And yet in deede, and ele-
mentally, in Nature, and Substance, neither the Manna, nor the Oile, nor the Rocke
was Christe.

Chrysostom. in
1. salm. 50.

So saith S. Chrysostome, reportinge the Storye of Dauid: Accipiens Aquam
allatam bibere noluit: Sed semetipsum reprehendit, & Domino eam libauit. Non
enim Aqua erat, sed Sanguis: Dauid receiuinge of the Water, (that his wienne had got-
ten with greate daunger from the middes of theire enemies) woulde not drinke of it:
But founde faulte with him selfe, and powred it out vnto the Lorde: For it was not VVater,
but Bloude.

2. Reg. 23.

I beseeche the, good Christian Reader, marke wel these wordes. The storye is
cleare. It was in deede onely a Cuppe of Water, & nothinge els. Yet Chrysostome
saith, It was Bloude: It was no VVater.

In those daies, it was no daunger, thus to saie. The people was instructed, and
wel acquainted with this phrase, or manner of speache, and knewe the meaninge.
They were taught, that the Rocke, the Oile, & the Manna in the Wildernesse, were
onely Sacramentes of Christe: and that, notwithstandinge they were called by the
name of Christe, yet in deede, and in Substance they were not Christe.

August. Contra
Iulianum. Lib. 1

Athanas. Con-
tra Arian. Ser-
mon. 4.

Therefore I mase answere M. Hardinge herein, as S. Augustine sommetime
answered the Pelagian Heretiques: Vobis Pelagianis nondum litigantibus, secu-
rius loquebantur Patres de his Articulis: Before that you the Pelagians beganne to quar-
rel, the Fathers, and Doctours spake without feare, and freely of these Articles. Athana-
sius saith of the Arian Heretiques, Incorporalia Corporaliter excipientes, quæ pro-
bè dicta erant, interpretationibus deprauauerunt: Takinge Spiritual thinges in a Cor-
poral, or Fleashely meaninge (as dothe M. Hardinge, and others his felowes) by theire
interpreti-

interpretations they haue deprauid the things, that were rightly spoken.

S. Ambrose saith of the Breade, and the VVine, Sunt, quæ erant, & in aliud mutantur: They remaine the same, that they were, and are changed into an other thinge. Nowe is the fielde wonne: M. Hardinge bloweth a Paigne Triumphe, Here (saith he) Sir Defender, Construe me these VVoordes. Sothely, god Reader, I distruste not greatly, but this poore Defender mighte casily Construe these VVoordes, were he neuer so simple a Clerke. The Natural Creatures of the Bread, and VVine in the Supper of our Lorde (saith S. Ambrose) remaine still in Substance, as they were before: yet are they changed into an other thinge, that is to saie, they are made the Sacramente of the Body, and Bloude of Christe: which before they were not.

Notwithstandinge this thorte Construction, beinge cleare, and plaine, maie seme sufficient, yet, for that M. Hardinge so deeply appoeth vs, and willet vs to Construe him these VVoordes, we wil bothe Construe, and Pars them tw, for his pleasure.

Therefore, to warrante our former Construction, S. Augustine saith thus: Accedat Verbum ad Elementum: & fit Sacramentum: Lette the Woordes be added to the Elemente, or outwarde Creature: and it is made a Sacramente, that is to saie, an other thinge. Againe he saith, Sacramenta sunt Signa rerum, Aliud existentia, Aliud Significantia: Sacramentes are Signes, or Tokens of thinges, being by Substance One thinge and Signifyinge an other thinge. So saith Chrysostome of the Water of Baptisme, Cum hoc Elementum acceperit Spiritum Sanctum, fit Sacramentum: Et iam non erit Aqua Potationis, sed Sanctificationis: Non erit Aqua communis, sed Refectionis: When this Creature of Water, hath receiued the Holy Ghoste, it is made a Sacramente: and nowe it is not Water to drinke, but Water to Sanctifie: Not common Water, but Water to Refresh.

Augu. in Iohan. Tracta 80.

August. contra Maximi. Lib 3.

Cap. 2.

Chrysostom. in Psalm. 21.

Thus the Elemente, or outwarde Creature bothe remaineth, and is changed: It remaineth in proper and plaine kinde of speache: It is changed vnproperly, that is to saie, by the waie of a Sacramente, or a mysterie. So M. Hardinges owne Glose saith vpon the Decrees: Coeleste Sacramentum dicitur Corpus Christi, sed Improprè. Vnde dicitur, Suo modo: Non rei veritate, sed Significante Mysterio: vt sit sensus, Vocatur Corpus Christi, id est, Significat Corpus Christi: The Heauenly Sacramente is called the Body of Christe, but vnproperly (that is to saie, not in plaine, & simple manner of speache.) Therefore S. Augustine saith, It is so called after a sorte: that is, not in truthe of mater, but by a Mysterie signifyinge: that the sense maie be this, It is called the Body of Christe, that is to saie, It signifieth the Body of Christe. So saith S. Augustine, De Signis differens, hoc dico, Ne quis in eis attendat, quod sunt, sed potius, quod Signa sunt, id est, quod Significant: Intreatinge of Signes (or Sacramentes) thus I saie: Lette noman consider in them, that they be in Substance, but rather, that they be Signes, that is to saie, that they Signifie (somme other thinge). In this sense, and meanninge S. Augustine saith againe, Dominus ait, Ipse (Iohannes) est Elias: Iohannes autem ipse ait, Ego non sum Elias. Rectè ergo Iohannes propriè respondit: Nam Dominus Figuratè: Our Lorde saide of Iohn the Baptiste: This is Elias: But Iohn him selfe saith, I am not Elias. Therefore Iohn answered wel in plaine manner of woordes: For our Lorde spake in a Figure. Thus in sundrie sortes of speache, Iohn is Elias: and the same Iohn is not Elias: and bothe are true.

De Ceu. Diss 2.

Hoc est. La Chosa

August. De Doctrina Christiana. Lib. 2. Cap. 1.

August. in Iohan. Tracta 4.

But what better Expositione of S. Ambrose can we finde, then S. Ambrose him selfe: I truste, M. Hardinge wil not saie, that so Holy a Father was a Falsifier, and a Lier, specially in declaringe his owne minde. Thus therefore he saith, Ante Benedictionem Verborum Coelestium, alia species nominatur: post Consecrationem, Corpus Christi Significatur. In comedendo, & potando, Carnem, & Sanguinem, quæ pro nobis oblata sunt, Significamus. In Similitudinem accipis Sacramentum. Est Figura Corporis, & Sanguinis Domini. Similitudinem pretiosi Sanguinis bibis: Before the Blessinge of the Heauenly woordes, it is called an other Kinde: After the woordes of Consecration, the Body of Christe is Signified. In Eatinge, and Drinkinge, wee

Ambros. De iis qui intransunt. Cap. 9.

Ambros. 2. Corinth. 11.

Ambros. De Sacrament. Lib 4.

Bb

Signi- Cap. 4. & 5.

Changed
into an
other
thinge.

290

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Signifie the Body, and Bloude, that were offered for vs. Thou receivest the Sacramente for a Similitude, or for a likenesse. It is a Figure of the Body, and Bloude of our Lorde. Thou drinkest the likenesse of the pretious Bloude.

Ambros. De Sa-
cramen. Lib. 1.
Cap. 5.

The like fourme of wordes the same S. Ambrose useth of the Sacramente of Baptisme: Vidisti Aquam? Sed non omnis Aqua sanat: Sed Aqua sanat, quæ habet Gratiam Dei. Aliud est Elementum: Aliud Consecratio: Hast thou seenie the Water? But all Water healeth not. But that Water healeth, that hath the Grace of God. The Elemente (or Creature of Water) is one thinge: and the Consecration is an other thinge.

Thus the Breade remaineth: and thus it is changed. It remaineth in Substance: It is changed in Myserie.

But M. Hardinge beausly presseth these wordes, Mutantur in aliud: That is (saith he) into a thinge of an other Substance. For by this phrase is signified a Substantial change, whiche aptely is called Transubstantiation. I wil not here vse your courteous eloquence, M. Hardinge: nor saie vnto you, as you saie to others, I praie you, good Sir: but thus I saie. I praie you, M. Hardinge, who gaue you this rule: who taught you this Lesson? De Cælo est, an ex Hominibus? Came it from Heauen, or is it of Menne? Haue the Holy Fathers thus taught you, or is it onely your owne fantasie? What Grammar, What Logique, What Philosophie, What Diuinitie euer tolde you, that, Aliud, euermore signifieth a thinge of an other Substance? If ye haue an Authour, why comme you without him? If it be onely of your selfe, why should we beleue you?

August. Contra
Maecimi. Lib. 3.
Cap. 22.

August. in Io-
han. Tracta. 26.

Ambros. De Sa-
cram. Lib. 1. ca. 5.

If, Aliud, signifie onely a Substance, and nothinge els, why dothe S. Augustine saie, as it is before alleged, Sacramenta sunt Signa rerum, Aliud existentia, aliud Significancia? Why saith S. Augustine againe, Aliud est Sacramentum, Aliud Virtus Sacramenti? Why saith S. Ambrose, Aliud est Elementum, Aliud Consecratio? For by your Diuinitie the Sacramente, the Fourme, the Consecration, be Accidētes onely, and maie not be taken for the Substance. Clerly for ought, that I can learne, Aliud, is nothinge els, but Res alia, an other thinge, whether it be the Substance, or the Accidēte. For, I thinke, ye haue not forgotten the olde rule, Vnumquodque in suo genere est quid.

M. Har. fo. 347 b

Addition. M. Hardinge, VVho would thinke, that a man of your studie, and learninge, and of that place, woulde saie, and mainetaine it, that S. Ambrose meaneth, Breade, and VVine after Consecration to remaine stil in Substance, that, whiche they were before.

Gelasius contra
Eutychetem,
Chrysostom. ad
Cæsarium,
Theodoret. Dia-
lo. 1.

M. Har. fo. 348 b

The Answer. Who would thinke, that a man of your Learninge, M. Hardinge, woulde be so baine, or so wilful? Haue you forgotten, that Gelasius saith, Non definit esse Substantia, vel Natura Panis, & Vini? It ceaseth not to be the Substance, or Nature of Breade, and Wine? Haue you forgotten, that S. Chrysostome saith, Natura Panis remanet in Sacramento? The Nature, or Substance of Breade remaineth stil in the Sacramente: Or, that Theodoretus saith, Christus Naturam Panis non mutat: Christe changeth not the Nature of the Breade. Who woulde thinke, you would refuse to expounde one Father by an other?

Fol. 349. a.

Fol. 349. b.

M. Hardinge. I wil construe these wordes for him, and wil shewe his extreeme blindenesse. Ergo, then, Si tanta vis est, If so greate strength be, In Sermones Domini Jesu, In the speche of our Lorde Iesus, Ut, that &c. M. Iewel supplieth, Breade, and VVine, to be the Nominatiue case to the Verbe, Sint, Be, or rather, to the Verbe, Sunt. He beginneth the Construction with the Verbe, Sint, where as, Qua erant, shoulde goe before. Againe, bitweene, Qua, and Erant, M. Iewel conueigheth in a Pronounne Demonstratiue, whiche hath no place there, saieinge, whiche they were &c. Is, Sunt, to be Englished, they remaine the same? Sunt is no more, but they be &c. Al S. Ambrose reason proceedeth to proue, that Goddes VVoorde is of force to change Creatures: And he meaneth of change in Substance. For al his Compariſon consisteth aboute the wordes, Non esse, and Esse: and Esse, and Aliud esse, &c. And who would not wonder to see S. Ambrose labour so vehemently, to proue, that Goddes VVoorde is hable to change a Creature in qualitie, as though a man were not hable to change a thinge in qualitie? &c. VVhen Consecration is comme vnto it, De Pane, from of Breade it is made Christes Fleashe.

The Answer. Good Reader, the wordes, that I haue already written, maie suffice

suffise the so; Answer in this behalfe. But, whereas M. Hardinge seeketh to
proue by his pretty Constitutions, and thus nicely teacheth the to expounde S.
Ambrose, *Ergo*, Then: *Si*, If: *Et*, that: *Sunt*, Be, that is to saie, haue a beeing, *Que-
rant*, shoulde goe before: *Sunt*, shoulde folowe after, his dealinge herein is Lighte, and
childishe, as thou maist see, and not worthy of Answer. The Breade, and the Wine,
saith S. Ambrose, are still, that they were: And yet are changed into an other thinge. Here
M. Hardinge crieth out, These woordes, Breade, and VVine are not in S. Ambrose. These
woordes M. Iewel hath supplied, to be the Nominatiue case to the Verbe, *Sunt*. To Answer
your Grammatical Diuinitie, M. Hardinge, if S. Ambrose meante not Breade, and
VVine, I praye you telle vs, what thinges meante he? If y^e saie, He meante no-
thinge, the children wil laughe at you: If y^e saie, He meante any other thinges,
then Breade, and VVine, it muste needs folowe, that somme other thinges, besides
Breade, and VVine, are changed into Christes Body: whiche woulde be the ouer-
throwe of al your Doctrine. S. Ambrose saith, by your owne Translation, as
cleare as midnight, The thinges that were, be, or haue a beeing, and they are changed into
an other thinge. What thinges were they? what thinges haue a beeing? What
thinges are changed? Once againe I saie vnto you, If S. Ambrose meante not
Breade, and VVine, I praye you, telle vs, what thinges meante he? If Breade, and
VVine be not changed, where is then your Transubstantiation of Breade, and
VVine? If the Breade, and VVine remaine not, howe saie you, that the Breade, and
VVine haue a beeing? Will you saie, They haue a beeing, and yet be nothinge?
Leaue theise diuises, M. Hardinge: They becommen you not. Why shoulde you so
vainely encumbze the worlde with such folies. S. Ambrose saith, They are
changed into an other thinge. So maie they be, though the former Substance, & Nature
of Breade, and VVine remaine still. But you saie, Al S. Ambroses reason proceedeth, to
proue, that Goddes VVoorde is of force to change Creatures, meaninge, as you saie, of change
in Substance. How knowe you that, M. Hardinge? Verily S. Ambrose him selfe se-
meth not to knowe it. Beholde his wordes: Thus he saith, Moses lifted up his rodde,
and the water was diuided, and stode as a Wall: The water of Iordaine turned his Course,
and contrarie to Nature flowed backwarde to his Heade. Is it not cleare, that Nature was
changed &c. Powe telle vs, M. Hardinge, calle you this a change in Substance? was
not the water bothe of the Reade Sea, and of Iordaine, in Nature, & Substance as it
was before: by theise, and such other like examles it appeareth, that, notwith-
standinge S. Ambrose saie, The Nature is Changed, yet he meante a change in quali-
tie onely, and not in Substance. But he saith, Of Breade is made Christes Body. So
Chrysostome saith, The Baptisme of Christe, is Christes Bloude. But S. Ambrose saith
not, The Breade is no longer Breade: Or, the Breade is really changed into Chri-
stes Body. His wordes agree thowoly with the wordes of Tertullian, that liued
before him. For as S. Ambrose saith, Of the Breade is made Christes Body: Euen so
saith Tertullian, Christus accepit Panem, & Corpus suum illum fecit, dicendo, hoc
est Corpus meum, hoc est, Figura Corporis mei: Christe tooke Breade, and made it his
Body, saieinge, This is my Body, that is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body. The Breade,
saith he, is made the Body of Christe. What thinge can there be moze plainely spo-
ken: But he thought it good, further to open his owne meaninge, leaste any man
shoulde be deceiued. He made it his Body (saith he) that is to saie, He made it a Figure, or a
Sacramente of his Body. Euen so meante S. Ambrose: and the same meaninge he
hathe many waies plainely vttered, as I haue saide before. These be his wordes,
The Body of Christe is Signified: wee Signifie the Fleashe, and Bloude of Christe: Thou recei-
uest the Sacramente for a likenesse: Thou drinkest the Similitude of the pretious Bloude: It is a
Figure of the Body, and Bloude of our Lorde. In this sense S. Ambrose saith, The Breade
is made Christes Body. You muste geue him leaue of Courtesie, M. Hardinge, to ex-
pounde his owne meaninge: onlesse you knowe it better, then he him selfe.

You saie, VVho woulde not wonder, to see S. Ambrose labour so vehemently, to prouue,
that Goddes woorde is hable to change a Creature in qualitie, as though a man were not hable to
change a thinge in qualitie? And maruelle you so muche hereat, M. Hardinge? It ap-
preareth,

M. Har. fo. 342. a

Fol. 349. b.

Ambros. De illu.
qui initian.
cap. 9.

Chrysostom. ad
Hebræ. Rom. 16.

Tertull. Contra
Marcion. Lib. 4.

M. Har. fo. 349. b

Changed
into an
other
thinge.

Cyprian. De
Vn-
ctiōe Chris-
mati.

Bertram. de Sa-
cram. Enchar.

Beda in Octa-
uie Epiphaniæ.

Ambros. De illis
qui in initian,
Cap. 9.

De illis qui in
itiant, Cap. 3.

Cap. 4.

Cap. 5.

Cap. 9.

M. Har. fo 349. d

Jeremi. 31.
Isaia. 47.

peareth, y^e haue not so aduisedly considered the Holy Fathers. S. Cyprian speaketh onely of the Holy Oyle, saith thus, Sanctificatis Elementis iam non propria Natura præbet effectum, Sed virtus Diuina potentius operatur: Adest Veritas Signo, & Spiritus Sacramento: When the Elementes (of the Oyle) be Sanctified, it is not the Nature thereof that geueth effecte: But the Power of God woorketh more mightily: The Trueth is presente With the Signe: And the Holy Ghoste is presente With the Sacramente. It is not Nature, he saith: It is the Power of God. So earnestly he laboureth to proue a change: And yet is it a change, not in Substance, as you knowe, but onely in qualitie. Such extraordinary speeches be very common in the Ancient Writers. Betramus, that liued aboute eight hundred yeres past, saith thus, Christe of the Manna that fille from Heauen in the Wildernesse, inuisibly, made his owne Body: And of the Water, that flowed from the Rocke, inuisibly he wrought his owne Bloude. These wordes be vehemente, as none maye be moze. Yet was this change in Qualitie onely, & not in Substance. So saith Beda, Panis & Vini Creatura in Sacramentum Carnis, & Sanguinis Christi, ineffabili Spiritus Sanctificatione transfertur: The Creature of Breade, and Wine by the unspeakable Sanctification of the Holy Ghoste, is turned into the Sacramente of Christes Fleashe, and Bloude. He saith not, It is changed in Substance, or, it is really turned into Christes Fleashe, and Bloude: but onely, It is turned into the Sacramente of Christes Fleashe, and Bloude. And therefore S. Ambrose, after al other his vehemente wordes, saith thus, Vera utique Caro Christi, quæ Crucifixa est, quæ sepulta est: verè ergo Carnis illius Sacramentum est. Ipse clamat Dominus Iesus, Hoc est Corpus meum. Ante Benedictionem verborum Coelestium alia species nominatur: Post Consecrationem Corpus Christi Significatur: That was the very true Fleashe of Christe, that was Crucified, and that was Buried: Therefore this is verily a Sacrament of that Fleashe. The Lorde Iesus him selfe saith, This is my Body. Before the Benediction of the Heauenly wordes, it is named an other kinde: After the Consecration, the Body of Christe is Signified.

And leaste any man should wonder at these strange kindes of speeches, like as S. Ambrose speaketh of the Changinge of the Breade in our Lordes Supper, euen so he speaketh of the Changinge of the Water in the Sacramente of Baptisme. These be his wordes: Firste of al the Apostle teacheth thee, not to beholde (in Baptisme) the thinges, that are seene, but the thinges, that are not seene &c. Beleue therefore the Presence of the Godhed: Beleuest thou the worke, and beleuest thou not the Presence? &c. Beleue not onely the eies of the Body. That thinge is better seene, that is not seene. &c. This is that Myserie, that eis neuer sawe, that eare neuer harde, that neuer entred into the harte of Man. &c. To them of the Iewes, an Angel came downe: vnto thee cometh downe the Holy Ghost. Vnto them the Creature of the Water was sturred: vnto thee Christe him selfe woorketh, that is the Lorde of the Creature: &c. Beleue therefore, that our Lorde Iesus is presente in Baptisme at the Prayer of the Priest. &c. Saie not, howe are wee Regenerate? I knowe not here the use of Nature. The order of Nature is not there, where as is the excellencie of Goddes Grace. These, and such other like wordes S. Ambrose uttereth, onely touchinge The Sacramente of Baptisme. Beleue not, (saith he) thy Bodily eies: Goddes Worde is woorkesful: Here is no order of Nature: Grace excelleth: Nature yeeldeth: Christe is the Lorde of the Creature: God is Presente: Christe is Presente. So earnestly he laboureth to proue this change. And yet is it a change, not in Substance, but onely in Qualitie. Suche change is there in the Water. Suche change is there in the Breade, and VVine.

Laste of al, where as I haue thus Englished these wordes, Sunt, quæ erant, They remaine the same, that they were, y^e charge me with false Translation. Is, Sunt, (saie you) to be Englished, they remaine the same? Sunt, is no more, but, they be. If S. Ambrose woulde haue saide, as you vntreuly translate him, his wordes had benne these, Manent eadem. Your obiection is prety, M. Hardinge. We wil not here dispute of the propriettie of wordes. Be it, that, Est, maye not commonly be Englished, to remaine. Yet sometimes perhaps, and in somme sentence, it maye wel beare this English. Hieremie saith, Rachel plorat Filios suos, quia non sunt: David saith, Laudabo Dominum quandiu sum: What if a man woulde thus English these wordes. Rachel bewaileth her Children, because they remaine not: I wil Praise the Lorde, while I remaine

remaine alive? woulde you therefore condemne him of Heresie? Or, woulde you saie, *Sunt*, maie not be Englished, To remaine? As for the place of S. Ambrose, it seemeth, it maie wel so be Englished. *Sunt, quæ erant*: They remaine, that they were before. If this mislike you, we will solowe your owne English, and saie thus, They are, that they were before. Nowe, I praye you, what aduantage haue you gotten by this exchange? But you saie, If S. Ambrose had thus meante, he woulde haue saide, *Manent eadem*. What maie wel be doubted. For S. Ambrose is not alwaies moste curious in choise of wordes. Howe be it, although he saie it not, yet others haue saide it. For, as it is alleged befoze, S. Chrysostome saith, *Natura Panis remanet in Sacramento: The Nature of Breade remaineth in the Sacramente*. And Gelasius saith, *It ceaseth not to be the Substance, or Nature of Breade, and Wine*. If it cease not to be, then muste you confesse, It remaineth stil. And thus haue you founde that very same worde, that so hath offended you.

Chrys. ad Casar.
rium.
Gelasius Contra
Eurychetem.

What saie, The Nature, and Substance of the Breade, and Vine, is not clearly changed, or consumed into Nothinge, as many haue dreamed in these later daies. Here Mr. Hardinge commeth roughly in, as his manner is, and saith, yee belie vs: wee saie not so: God forbid. Thus he crieth out, befoze he be stricken: Salomon saith, *Impius fugit, nemine persequente: The gilty fleeth, befoze he be chased*. For, not withstandinge there be multitudes of folles in his Doctrines, yet with this folle we charge him not. But, whether any of his felowes haue so saide, or no, I repute me to his Maister, Peter Lombard. His wordes be these, *Quid ergo sit de Substantia Panis, & Vini? Illi dicunt, vel in præiacentem materiam resolui, vel in nihilum redigi: What then becommeth of the Substance of the Breade, and Vine? They saie, either that it is resolu'd into the mater, that was before, or els, that it is consumed into nothinge*.

Proverb. 28.

4. Senten. Dist.
11. Quidam.

What? Into nothinge? Maye God forbid, saith Mr. Hardinge. So coye, and so careful he is, for his holy Fourmes, and his kingdome of Accidentes. He saith, The whole Substance of the Breade is cleane abolished, not one parte thereof remaininge: yet maie it not be turned into nothinge. And, as if the mater were wel worth the somewhat, he assureth the same saily with a great detestation, God forbid: God shield the Bread. Here of somethinge might be saide, if it were any thinge worth the hearinge. But, good Reader, thou shalt lose nothinge by the silence: For, I assure thee, verily it is worth nothinge.

Yet Mr. Hardinge firste geureth vs his courteous Menti: and afterwarde, in his familiare manner, chargeth vs by plaine termes with a Lie: and suddainely crieth out, as he saith, beyonde the modestie of his natural disposition, What is Iugglinge: what is Lyinge: what is Falschhedde: what is Falsificinge: what is wickednesse of Falsaries, if this be not? I mighte saie: O Sirs, Holde the man. Good Christian Reader, in al these Whattres, thou wilt happly thinke, there shoulde be somewhat. Howe be it, in dede it is but a pangue of Mr. Hardinges Rhetorique. He speaketh not thus of his owne Natural disposition, as he him selfe saith, but of Arte, & studie: leaste thou shouldest thinke, that hauinge spent so many waste wordes, in the ende he had saide nothinge.

But, what is the mater, Mr. Hardinge? What moueth you, to make this piteous outerie? For saith because we saie, *The Breade, and Wine remaine the same, they were before*. But these be S. Ambroses owne wordes: they be not ours: we make them not: we change them not: but repute them simply, as we finde them. As they were written in Latine by the Authour, these they are: (Panis, & Vinum) sunt, quæ erant, & in aliud mutantur: The Breade and Vine are the same, that they were: and are changed into an other thinge. Therefore, it appeareth, al these Exclamations, of what is Iugglinge? what is Lyinge? &c. pertaine vnto S. Ambrose the Authoure hereof, and not vnto vs.

Nowe, for so muche as, it hath pleased Mr. Hardinge so earnestly to calle vs forth to construe him these woordes, I beseeche thee, good Reader, marke wel, what Constructions he, and his felowes haue imagined, and sanctified vpon the same. First of al Lanfrancus, the chiefest Champion of that side, thought it the wisest waie, vtterly to denie these wordes, and to saie, They were neuer written by S. Ambrose.

Changed
into an
other
thinge.

Lanfrancus, De
Eucharist.

For thus he stoymeth againste Berengarius: O mentem amentem: O hominem impudenter mentientem: O puniendam temeritatem: Ambrosium accire testem praesumis, quod Panis, & Vinum Altaris, per Consecrationem non desinant esse, quod erant, sed commutentur in aliud, quod non erant? Et quidem si de Sacramentis, seu de ceteris, de quibus Ambrosius scripsit, omnes reuoluat Libros, quos Ecclesia in usu nunc habet, tale quid ab Ambrosio dictum, taliterq; expositum, nusquam reperire valebit: O madde minde: O impudent Lier: O rashnesse woorthy of punishment: Darest thou to allege S. Ambrose for a witness, that the Breade and Wine of the Altar leaue not by Consecration, to be that they were before: but are changed into an other thinge, that they were not? Nowe truly if he peruse al the Bookes, that S. Ambrose hath written, either of the Sacraments, or of other matters, I meane such Bookes, as the Church hath nowe in vse, he shal neuer finde any thinge so written by S. Ambrose, and so expounded.

But M. Hardinge thought, he might not wel thus doe without empreachment of his modestie. For the very same wordes are to be sounde extante, and apparent in every Booke. Therefore allowinge the wordes, he thought it beste to solowe his Maister Peter à Soto, and to delais, and qualifie the same with some Construcion.

Thus therefore he saith, Sunt, quæ erant, & in aliud mutantur: Doothe it not folowe by good Logike, Mutata sunt, ergo sunt: They be changed: Ergo, they be: In Louaine, perhaps: In other Vniuersities, I doubt, whether it haue hitherto benne allowed, or no. For by this Logique, whereas S. Hierome saith, Superbia mutata est in Humilitatem, M. Hardinge maie conclude, as he dothe nowe, Superbia mutata est: Ergo est: Pride is changed into Humilitie: Ergo Pride is, or remaineth stil. And where as S. Paule saith, Commutauerunt Veritatem Dei in mendacium: By this Logique M. Hardinge maie saie, Mutata est, Ergo est: The Truthe of God is changed into a Lie: Ergo, It is Goddes Truthe stil notwithstandinge.

And, to take further vIEW of M. Hardinges Construcion, thus he saith, Panis, & Vinum sunt, quæ erant, id est, qualia erant: The Breade, and Wine be in Substance the same, that they were: that is to saie, They be in qualities, or Accidentes, as they were. They are changed, in Aliud, into an other thinge: that is, Into an other Substance. They are Changed: that is, They are Transubstantiate. They be: that is, They be not: That they were: that is, that they were not. Thus Substance, is Accidentes: Accidentes, is Substance: Beinge, is not Beinge: Not Beinge, is Beinge: Remaininge, is not Remaininge: Changinge, is not Changinge: And the same thinge, is not the same.

With such pety Constructions M. Hardinge can teache vs to expounde the Anciente Fathers. These be their Keies of the Kingedome of Heauen. Herewith there is nothinge so close shutte, but they can open it: There is nothinge so open, but they can shut it. Here might I conclude with M. Hardinges owne wordes, and rightly saie, as he saith, what is Iuggling? what is Lיעינג? &c. But it shalbe beste to leaue his owne eloquence, and modestie, to him selfe.

M. Hardinge.

The places of Gelasius, and Theodorite in apparence seeme to make muche for you, and more then any other Doctours. And though these two Doctours were altogether of this opinion, that after Consecration the Substance of Breade and VVine remaineth, what reason is it, they onely in so greate a matter should preiudicate al other Holy and learned Fathers, and whiche is more, the general Councels, and (a) the whole Church of Christ? The Catholike Faith we finde in them both within fewe lines after the wordes of this Defenders obiection, firste by Gelasius thus expressed: *Sacramenta Corporis & Sanguinis Domini in diuinam transseunt, Spiritu Sancto perficiente, Substantiam: permanent tamen in sua proprietate Natura*: The Sacramentes (saith he) of the Body and Bloude of our Lorde passe ouer into a Diuine Substance by the workinge of the Holy Ghost. Yet remaine they stil in the proprietie of their owne Nature. By diuine Substance he meaneth the Fleashe of our Lorde assumed of the VVoorde. Nowe saith Theodoritus, the Mystical tokens, be vnderstanded to be the thinges, whiche they be made, and are beleueed, and adored, as beinge the thinges whiche they are beleueed to be. But euery man knoweth they are beleueed to be made the Body and Bloude of Christe, and therefore be duely adored and woorshipped: so after the minde of Theodorite, they be the Body and Bloude in deede, not Breade and VVine, as before Consecration.

The Substance or Nature of Breade and VVine ceaseth not to be, saith Gelasius: (VWhereas your interpreter

(a) Vntruth. For this fantasie was neuer allowed through the whole Church of Christ: as it shal appeare.

The truthe confessed by Theodoritus Dialog 1

interpreter addeth of his owne heade this worde So) (b) wee telle you and him, in Theodorite it is not so. *Ergo*, it remaineth, saie yee. VVee graunte the Substance, or Nature of Breade and VVine remaineth after Consecration, so as Celasius vnderstandeth by the name of Substance, (c) which is nothinge elles but the very Nature (as commonly wee speake of Nature) that is to saie, the natural properties of Breade and VVine: for so he expoundeth him selfe afterwarde, saieinge, Yet they remaine in the propertie of their owne Nature. They remaine (likewise saithe Theodorite) in their Former Substance, Figure, and Forme, and be saine and selte as before.

And thereto maie Damascenes definition of Substance pertaine, who saithe, that Substance is every that, what so euer by it selfe is beinge, and hath not beinge in an other. And therefore (d) sithe the Accidentes haue their beinge by them selues in this Sacramente, and be not staied on any other thing, in this consideration Substance of them maie so be reported, as if them selues were Substance. VVhich (e) substantiall consistinge of the Accidentes lackinge a propre name, because it is the mighty and extraordinarie hande of God, is also extraordinarily called of Gelasius, and Theodoritus by the name which the thing had before, to witte, the Substance of Bread and VVine. And no marueil if thei spake not in (f) moste exacte wise of this secrete pointe of Doctrine (of *Fourmes + Accidentes*) at what time it was not as yet by the Church so clearly, and fully discussed: (as it was neuer yet until this date). But sithe of the Schoolemen (Ignorante and Fantastical Sophisters) it hath benne more distinctly named the existence of Accidentes without their Subiecte, that is, without an other thinge whereupon they might staie.

Again, whereas Breade and VVine by their nature doo nourrishe, (g) this vertue also remaineth in the Accidentes geuen to them by the Almighty VVoorde of God, who changeth Creatures to their betteringe, not to their impairinge. This was the meaninge of Gelasius, and Theodoritus, where they saie, the Breade and VVine to remaine in their Former Substance or Nature. Otherwise shoulde they be contrary to them selues, as they, whiche also doo acknowledge the Nature of Breade and VVine to be changed into a more excellent Substance, by the workeful VVoorde of Christe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

As for thesse two fathers, Gelasius, and Theodoretus, notwithstandinge M. Harding would seme to make smal accoumpte of them, for that they be, as he saith, but onely twoo, yet he rather catcheth holde in somme darke, or doubte fulworde by them vttered, then be woulde seme vtterly to geue them ouer. Gelasius saith, *The Sacramentes passe ouer into a Diuine Substance*: If he had saide, *They passe ouer into a Diuine Accidente*, it woulde somewhat better haue shadowed these mennes pourpose. But other Diuine Substance M. Hardinge can imagine none, sauing onely Christes Body Substantiall, and Keally contened vnder the Fourmes of Breade, & Wine. And this fantasie, notwithstandinge Gelasius neuer knewe it, muste nedes be allowed againste al, that Gelasius him selfe can saie to the contrarie.

But if M. Hardinge had better remembred the placinge, and meaninge of this worde, Substantia, and, that as wel Gelasius, as other Learned Fathers vse the same as Diuines, and not as Natural Philosophers, he shoulde easily haue sounde his owne erreure.

It maie please thee therefore, god Christian Reader to vnderstande, That the promise of Mercie, The Grace of God, Our faith in Christe, Goddes Word, and the Holy Sacramentes, that are the aides, and healpes of our faith, are of the Holy Anciente Writers called a Substance. So S. Cyprian saith, Substantiam Salutis amittunt: They lose the Substance of Saluation. So saithe Irenæus, Quamuis sint in Operibus materialibus, tamen putant se non amittere Spiritualem Substantiam: Although they live in worldly, or filthie woorkes, yet they thinke, they lose not the Spiritual Substance. Likewise againe he saithe of the folies, and blasse of the Valentian Heretiques, Insubstantiatum ostendit figmentum ipsorum: He shewed, that their Inuentions, and Diuises were void of Substance. So S. Chrysostome, Fides dat Substantiam rebus: Imò potius non dat Substantiam, sed ipsa est Substantia earum: Faith geueth things their Substance: Or rather, it geueth not Substance vnto things, but it selfe is their Substance. In like manner saithe Tertullian, Deus Idololatric Substantiam cohibuit: God forbade the Substance of Idolatrie. So saithe Abbate Panormitane, Continentia non est de Substantia Ordinis: Single, or sole life is not of the Substance of Holy Orders. And an other saith, Enim, non est de Substantia Consecrationis: This worde Enim, is not of the Substance of Consecration. By these, and other like examples, it maie appeare, that in cases of Religion, Substance is not euer more taken for

(b) Vntruthe, VVithout que-
stion it is so. For
the wordes be,
*Non desinit
esse Substan-
tia Panis.*

(c) A penithe
vntruthe. It
had benne too
great a folie.

(d) Vntruthe
manifeste:
Reade the An-
swere.

(e) Substantiall
consistinge of
Accidentis: O
folie.

(f) O exacte fo-
lie. A heape of
Vntruthes with
out sense.

(g) Vntruth. M.
Hardinge by
one dreame ex-
poundeth an
other.

Cyprian De
simplicit. Pre-
latorum.
Irene. lib 1. ca. 1
In eodem Cap.
ΑΥΤΟΠΡΟΤΟΝ,
ΑΥΤΟΘΕΟΤΟΝ.
Chrysostom. in
Epist. ad Hebr. a.
Homil. 21.
Tertull. Aduer-
sus Marcion.
Lib 2.
Abbas. Extra.
De Clericis
Coniugatis. c. 11
Olim papilla
Oculi. pag. 14

Bb iij

Christes

Christes Body covered with Accidentes.

Ambros. De Elia
& Ieiunio.

Cap 3.

Tertull. De
Baptismo.

This is one
of Tertulli-
ans errors.

If al these examples wil not yet suffice, to growe neare to our purpose, S. Ambrose saith, Quid est Ieiunium, nisi Substantia, & Imago Coelestis? What is Fa-
stinge, but a Substance, and an Heauenly Image?

Likewise Tertullian calleth Baptisme, Diuinam Substantiam, A Diuine Sub-
stance. His wordes be these, Quid festinat innocens ætas, ad Remissionem Peccato-
rum? Cautius agitur in secularibus: vt cui Substantia terrena non creditur, Diuina
credatur: Why hasteth this innocent age (He meaneth Childzen) to the Remission of their
Sinnes? Wee are muche more wary in worldly thinges. It is meete, wee shoulde commit the Sa-
cramente of Baptisme, whiche is a Diuine Substance, vnto them, vnto whome wee would not
commit the Substance of the Earthe?

By these we maie see, in what sense Gelasius calleth the Sacrament of Thankes-
geuinge, A Diuine Substance. Verily none other waies, but euen as S. Ambrose, cal-
leth Fastinge, A Heauenly Substance. And as Tertullian calleth the Sacrament of Ba-
ptisme, by the same wordes, A Diuine Substance. And what can al this answere M.
Hardinge, to proue, either his Transubstantiation, or his Real Presence?

Gelasius contra
Eutychetum.

But why dealeth he so doubly? Wherefore dissembleth he the wordes of Gela-
sius, that immediately in the same sentence wente befoze? For Gelasius him selfe so
clearly, and plainly expoundeth his owne minde, as no Louanian Doctoure can
do it better. Thus he saith, Non definit esse Substantia Panis, vel Natura Vini. Et
certe Imago, & Similitudo Corporis, & Sanguinis Christi in Actione Mysteriorum
celebratur: There leaueth not to be the Substance of Breade, or the Nature of VVine.
And in dede the Image, or Representation, and likenesse of the Body, and Bloud of Christ
is published in the Ministracion of the Mysteries. And againe in the wordes nexte imme-
diately folowinge, Sacramenta transcunt in Diuinam, Spiritu Sancto perficiente, Sub-
stantiam: permanent tamen in sua proprietate Naturæ: The Sacramentes, by the wor-
kinge of the Holy Ghoste, passe into a Diuine Substance: yet notwithstandinge, they remaine
stil in the proprietie of their owne Nature.

This Image, or Representation, or Likenesse, or Memorie of the Body, & Bloude
of Christe is it, that Gelasius calleth the Diuine Substance.

De Conse. Dist. 2.
Hoc est, quod di-
cimus.

Cyprian. de Vni-
tione Chris-
tatis.

And in like sense S. Augustine calleth the same, Coelestem Panem: The Heauen-
ly Breade. Thus he writeth, as he is alleged by Gratian: Coelestis Panis, qui Caro
Christi est, suo modo vocatur Corpus Christi: cum reuera sit Sacramentum Corporis
Christi: The Heauenly Bread (of the Sacrament) which is the Fleashe of Christ, after this
sorte is called the Body of Christe: whereas in dede it is the Sacramente of Christes Body.
Likewise saith S. Cyprian, Diuersa Nomina, vel Species ad Vnam reducuntur Essen-
tiam: & Significantia, & significata eisdem vocabulis censentur: Diuerse names, or kinds
(of things) are brought vnto one Substance: That is to saie, The thinges, that doo Signifie,
and the thinges, that be Signified, are reckoned bothe by one name: That is, as the Body
of Christ is called Breade: so is the Breade called Christes Body.

De Conse. Dist. 2
Species.

To be shorte, as Gelasius calleth the Sacramente, A Diuine Substance, euen
so Gratian saith to the same purpose, Quidam non improbabiler exponunt hoc
loco, Carnis, & Sanguinis Veritatem, ipsam earundem efficientiam, id est, peccatorum
Remissionem: Somme there be, that in this place, not without good reason, by these wordes,
The Truthe of Christes Fleashe, and Bloude, vnderstande the Effecte, or workinge of
the same, that is to saie, The Remission of Sinnes.

Arti 8. Diu. 26.

Et Artic. 10.

Diuis. 4.

Theodoret. in. 2.

Dialogo, Incons-
ensus.

For answere vnto Theodoretus, it maie please the for sparinge of time, to
reade my former Reple to M. Hardinge. It is true, that Theodorete saith, The
Mystical tokens (that is to saie) the Sacramentes, be vnderstoode to be the thinges, whiche
they be made, and belened. That is, That they be Mysteries of Christes Body: or, that
in a Mystery, or by waie of a Sacramente, they be the Body of Christe. But it is
true also, that he saith, bothe immediately folowinge in the same place, and also
befoze: Signa Mystica post Sanctificationem non recedunt à Natura sua: Manent
enim in Priori Substantia, & Figura, & Forma: The Mystical Tokens (or Sacra-
mentes) after the Consecration departe not from their owne Nature. For they re-
maine

maine stil in their Former Substance, and *Fourme, and Figure*. And, as I haue often alleged out of S. Augustine, In Sacramentis videndum est, non quid sint, sed quid Significant: In Sacramentes wee muste consider, not what they be (in deede, and of their owne Nature) but what they Signifie.

August. Contra Maximian. Lib. 3. Cap. 21.

So saith S Chrysostome, Antequam Panis Sanctificetur, Panem cum nominamus: Diuina autem illum Gratia sanctificante, mediante Sacerdote, liberatus est quidem ab appellatione Panis: Dignus autem habitus est Dominici Corporis appellatione: Etiam si Natura Panis in illo remanserit: *Before the Breade be Sanctified, we call it Breade. But, after that, by the meane of the Priest, the Heauenly Grace hath hallowed it, it is discharged from the name of Bread, and is vouchsafed to be called by the name of our Lordes Body: notwithstanding the nature of the Breade remaine stil.*

Chrysostom. Ad Casarium.

So saith Theodoret him selfe, Seruator noster commutauit nomina: & Corpori quidem Symboli nomen dedit, Symbolo vero nomen Corporis: *Our Saueour hath made exchange of the names: And vnto his Body hath geuen the name of (Breade, whiche is) the Sacramente: and vnto the Sacramente hath geuen the name of his Body.*

Theodoret. Dialogo. 1.

Nevertheless, notwithstanding these wordes bothe of Theodoretus, and of Gelasius (The Substance of Breade, and VVine, after the woordes of Consecration remaineth stil) be so plaine, that noman without blushing maye denie them. Yet hath M. Hardinge one good wisse leaste in doore. The Substance (saith he) sometimes is used for the Accidente. Euen as discretely, and to so good purpose, as if he had said, *Here is Water, or, Lighte is Darkenesse. And so by this Construction, Substance sometimes is no Substance. This is M. Hardinges owne peculiere Diuinitie, without the witnesse of any of al the Learned Fathers, Græke, or Latine, one, or other. For, good Reader, thou muste not thinke, that any man, either wel advised, or Learned, would be like the like.*

The Fathers (saith he) spake not hereof in moste exacte wise. Perhappes they had not Learned their Logique Rules, or vnderstande not the Principles of Natural reason, no not so mutche, as a childe maye easily vnderstande: but used Substance, for Accidentes: Accidentes for Substance: and one thinge for an other: as menne that knowe not what they saie. In deede, M. Hardinge, this is not, as you saie, Exacte wise: It seemeth rather to be, Exacte folie.

Ye adde further, Damascene saith, Substance is euery that, what so euer by it selfe is being, and hath no beinge in an other. *What needed you here to allege Damascene? Children knowe, that Aristotle, and others longe before him wrote the like. Notwithstanding to this Maior, ye set a Minor of your owne. The Accidentes (saie you) haue their Beeinge by them selues: and be not staide in any other thinge. O, M. Hardinge, this thinge would be haue had somme better proufe. Why allege you not, either S. Augustine, or S. Ambrose, or S. Chrysostome, or S. Hierome, or somme other Catholique Father to this purpose? Your simple worde is no good warrante. Ye proue that thinge, that is vniuersally confessed, and needed no proufe: but that ye shoulde proue, ye leaue vnproued. This in Sophistrie is called Petitio Principij, The simplest wisse, that can be used. Damascenes Maior is Confessed, and true. But your Minor, and Conclusion, are bothe vntreue. For whereas you saie of your selfe: The Accidentes haue their Beeinge by them selues: *Wise saie, The same Accidentes haue their Being in the Breade and Wine, as in their Subiectes. Sundrie of your owne felowes haue saide, Accidentia illa sunt in Aëre, tanquam in Subiecto: These Accidentes are in the Aire, as in their Subiecte. Therefore by their subgement, They haue not their Beeinge, (as you saie) by them selues: You maye also remember, that your Maister him selfe neuer durste precisely to determine this mater: but onely passeth it ouer darkely, and doubtfully, as beinge not wel resolved, what to saie. His wordes be these: Mihi videtur fatendum, Accidentia illa existere sine Subiecto: It seemeth vnto me, or, as I can ghesse, these Accidentes haue their Beeinge without a Subiecte. Dutche is the assurance, and certaintie of your Doctrinne.**

De Conse. Dist. 2. Species. 1. Glossa.

4. senten. dist. 22. Si autem.

M. Hardinge.

VVee muste not beholde, saith Euthymius, a Greeke Doctoure, the Nature of the thinges, which

Vertue.

*VVhat is the
Vertue, and Po-
wer of your
Accidenties?

whiche be set before vs: * but the vertue, or power of them.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Euthymius, although one of the meanest Doctours, saith right wel, and as the Learned Fathers saide befoze him. But, M. Hardinge, do you take your Anthours at al aduentures, as they comme to hande: or do you consider, what they saie: Wel vs, I beseeche you, in your fantastic, what are those thinges, that Euthymius saith, are set before vs? Whether are they the Body, and Bloude of Christe, or els your Accidenties? If it be Christes very Body and Bloude substantially, Really, and in dede, VVhy should wee not consider the Nature of them? What haue they offended you? Or what imperfection finde you in them? Cyrillus saith, Caro Christi Natura viuifica est: *The Fleashe of Christe by Nature hath power to geue Life.* And Christe him selfe saith, *My Fleashe is Verily Meate: and My Bloude is Verily Drinke.* Therfore the Nature herof is wel worthy to be considered. But if there be nothinge els there set forth, but onely your bare, and naked Accidenties, What Power, What Vertue is there in them? What Doctour, or Father euer taughte vs, that we shoulde haue Remission of Sinne, and be saued by your Accidenties?

Cyrrill. in Iohan.
Lib. 4. Cap. 12.

But, as I saide, Euthymius writeth wel, folowinge herein the Doctrine of the Anciente Learned Fathers. S. Basile saith, Si qua Gratia est in Aqua, ea non est ex Natura Aquæ, sed ex præsentia Spiritus: *If there be any Grace in the Water (of Baptisme) it is not of the Nature of the Water, but of the presence of the Sprite.* Cyprian, speakinge of the Dike, saith thus, Sanctificatis Elementis iam non propria Natura præbet effectum: Sed Virtus Diuina potentius operatur: *It is not Nature, that geueth effecte, or force vnto the Elementes, beinge Sanctified: but the Diuine Power woorketh more mightily.* So saith S. Chrysostome, Petra erat Christus: Non enim ipsius Petre Natura Aqua scaturiebat: Sed alia quædam Spiritualis Petra omnia operata est, hoc est Christus: *The Rocke was Christe. For it was not by the Nature of the Rocke, that the Water gushed out: but a certaine other Spiritual Rocke wrought al these thinges, that is to saie, Christe.* In like manner the Anciente Father Origene, speakinge of the Power, and Vertue of Our Lordes Supper, saith thus, Illud, quod Sanctificatur per Verbum Dei, & per Obsecrationem, non suapte Natura sanctificat vntem: *The thinge, that is Sanctified by the Woordes of God, and by Praier: sanctifieth not him, that vseth it, by the Nature of it selfe.* By these, and other like aduertisements, these Goodly Fathers meante to withdraue our mindes from the outwarde corruptible Creatures, to the Spiritual, and Inwarde vnderstandinge of the Sacramentes. Therfore Euthymius in the same place saith, Panis habet similitudinem quandam ad Corpus, & Vinum ad Sanguinem: *The Breade hath a certaine Likenesse vnto the Body, and the Wine a certaine Likenesse vnto the Bloude.*

Basilius. De Spi-
ritu S. Acto. Ca. 15
ἐν τῇ τῆς φύ-
σεως ἐστὶ τὸ
ὑπόκτος.

Cyprian. De Vni-
tate. Christina-
tis.

Chrysost. 1. Cor.
Homel. 18.

Origen. in Mat-
the. Cap. 15.

Euthymius in
Matthe. Ca. 64.

M. Hardinge.

*Vntrithe,
sonde, & Chil-
dish. As though
Christ had died
to saue Acci-
dentes.
Luk. 9.

VVhen it pleased our Saueour Christe at his laste Supper to institute this Blessed Sacramente, at The Do-
whiche he tooke Breade and VVine, and turned them into his Body and Bloude, he woulde in suche chine of
wise aduance these Creatures to a mutche excellenter condition, as they shoulde lose no whit of their transub-
former Vertues. * For the Sonne of man came not to destroe, but to saue, as S. Augustine saith, is stantia-
not cause that any thinge tende vnto not beinge. Lib. 3. qua.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

At the laste M. Hardinge hath founde somme Scripture: and that, God wote, ful aptely be appliet to serue his pourpose. The Sonne of Man (saith he) came not to destroe, but to saue: Ergo, The Breade, and VVine lose no whit of their Former Vertues.

Here, I beseeche you, M. Hardinge: what came the Sonne of Man to saue? Muste we beleue, he came from Heauen, to saue your Accidenties? Was this the cause of Christes comminge? Is this the Religion, ye haue Learned in Louaine? S. Paule saith, Nunquid de bubus cura est Deo? *Haue God any special care for Oxen?* Might not one mutche better saie to you, *Haue God any care for your Fourmes, for your Shewes, for your empty Accidenties?*

1 Cor. 6.

Perhappes ye wil saie, I presse you ouer heauily. We meante, that Christ came to saue Breade, and Wine, and not onely Accidenties. Howe be it, so ye cannot saie: For by your owne doctrine, the Nature and Substance of the Breade, and the VVine, by

by the comminge, and presence of Christe, is quite abolished, nothinge remaininge, but onely the Accidentes. But be it, that Christ came, to save these Creatures, then is your Religion much like unto the Divinitie of the Olde Heretiques called the Manichees. Of them S. Austusline writeth thus : Herbas, atque arbores sic putant vivere, ut ea & sentire credant, & dolere, cum lacerantur : nec aliquid inde sine cruciatu eorum quonquam posse vellere : They thinke, that Herbes, and Trees have life, so farre forth, that they beleve they have sense, and feelinge, and suffer griefe and paine, when they be hurt : and that noman can pul, or plucke ought from them without their smarte. And againe he saith, Nec Ova sumunt, quasi & ipsa, cum franguntur, expirent : They Eate no Egges, imagininge, that, when they be broken, their life, or Soule passeth from them. Dutche a careful consideration, it appeareth, ye have for your poore Accidentes.

August ad
Quadragesimam

Ibidem.

These be more folies, M. Hardinge. Christe him selfe saith. He came to save, not your Accidentes, but Sinners: not Breade, and VVine, but the thing that was losse. And wil ye telle us, that your Accidentes were losse : Or, that Breade, and VVine were suche sinful Creatures, as could not otherwise be saved, then by the Bloude of Christe?

M. Hardinge, have more reverence to Goddes Word. It is Holy : It is it, whereby you shalbe Judged. Have better regarde henceforth, to that ye write. Christe him selfe saith, Filius Hominis non venit, ut perdat Animas Hominum, sed ut servet : The Sonne of Man came, not to destroye the Soules of menne, but to save them. Here in favour of your case, ye have leaste out the Soules of Menne, which was the knot of the whole, and have truste in Accidentes. And so there muste needs appeare in you, either grosse ignorance, wherewith you charge others, or wilful corruption.

Lake. 9.

M. Hardinge.

Seeinge then there is a change by al consente, (a) and certaine it is, that the change is not in the Accidentes, because wee see them remaine as they were before, and God deceiveth not our senses in their true and proper obiecte, which is colour, taste, smel, &c. It muste needs be, that the change be in substance, (b) which is to sense incomprehensible.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

There is a change in the Breade (saith M. Hardinge) But not in the Accidentes thereof: Ergo, in the Substance. In the like order of reason he might have said, It is not a Frarnburge, Ergo, it is a Fore. Ye wase not like, M. Hardinge, to scale thus awaie with so simple Sophismes. This poore thiste amonge Children is called Petitio Principij.

(a) Vntrithe, euidente, and sensible. Reade the Answer. (b) Vntrithe, triflinge, and Sophistical. For Substance is perceived by the senses, although by meane of the Accidentes.

Your Minor, wherein standeth the whole doubt, and which by somme Aristotisme, or thewe of reason, one wase, or other, ye should have proved true, ye have passe sleily over without any manner of proue at al, and presume it onely to be true. And so the whole weight herof standeth not by Truthe, or Reason : but hangeth onely by presumption.

For what Doctoure, or Father ever tolde you, or made you so certaine, that in the Breade there is no change touching the Accidentes? Ye saie, Your senses telle you, that the Accidentes remaine stil, as they were before. And the same senses maie also tel you, as S. Austusline saith, that the Substance of the Breade remaineth stil, as it was before. But wil you so suddenly seize take your olde Lesson? Wil you now put this mater in Compromise to your senses? Howe often, and howe sadly have you tolde us, That these be cases of Onely Faith, and that in the Iudgement herof, bothe Sense, and Reason muste be abandoned? And have you forgotten that Chrysostome saith, Nihil sensibile traditum nobis est a Christo. Quaecunque Christus tradidit, insensibilia sunt: There is no sensible thinge deliuered vnto us by Christ (in these Apparies). And againe, What so euer thinges Christe hath geuen us, they are vn sensible. If they be thinges vtterly vn sensible, howe then can they be iudged by your senses? Why comme ye not forth with the Learned Doctours, and Catholique Fathers, of whome, ye saie, ye haue suche plentie. If it be true, as you saie, That there is no change in Accidente, why is it not proved? If it be false, why is it auouched? Tel us, howe longe shal we be bounde to your bare word?

Ad Infantes : Quod videtur, Vanis est: quod etiam oculis remanent. Chryso in Matthe. Homil 83.

Merily,

August. ad Boni.
factum: p. 23

In eadem Epist.

August. contra
A. di. mant. ca. 11

Tertull. contra
Marcionem, Li. 4

Chrysost. in Mat.
Homil. 83.
Ambros. de Sa-
crament. Lib. 4
Cap. 4

*Vntruth Euen
so he saith.
Otherwise M.
Hardinge hath
a Substance
without Kinde.

Before, in the
seconde parte, i.
ca. 1. Division.
Athana. De De-
finitionib.

Tristly, S. Augustine woulde haue tolde you, that this change is in Accident, and not in Substance. For thus he saith, as it hath bene often remembred, Nisi Sacramenta Similitudinem quandam haberent earum rerum, quarum Sacramenta sunt, Omnino Sacramenta non essent. Ex hac autem Similitudine plerumque rerum ipsarum nomina accipiunt: *Onlesse Sacramentes had a certaine likenesse of the thinges, of whiche they be Sacramentes, then in deede they were no Sacramentes. And of this likenesse of- tentimes they beare the names of the thinges them selues, that are represented by the Sacra- mentes.* Againe he saith, Secundum quendam modum Sacramentum Corporis Christi Corpus Christi est: *The Sacramente of Christes Body, after a certaine manner is Christes Body.* And againe, Non dubitauit Dominus dicere, Hoc est Corpus meum, cum daret Signum Corporis sui: *Our Lord doubted not to saie, This is my Body, when he gaue a token of his Body.* Likewise saith Tertullian, expoundinge, and openinge the meaninge of Christes wordes, Hoc est Corpus meum, Hoc est, Figura Corporis mei: *This is my Body, that is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body.* You knowe, M. Har- dinge, that Modus, Simile, Disimile, Signum, and Figura, be in the Predicamēte of Qualitie: and therefore pertaine to Accident, and not to Substance.

So Chrysostome, where as he amplifieth the Change of the Breade in the Sa- cramente of Eucharistie, he addeth immediately withal, Sic etiam in Baptis- mo: *Even so is it, (that is to saie) The like change there is in the V Water of Baptisme.* In these Mutations, the Substance as wel of the Breade and Wine, as of the Wa- ter, remaineth still: But the Respekte, or Qualitie, or Accidēte, is changed. And this is it, that S. Ambrose saide before, Sunt, quæ erant: & in aliud mutantur: *(In Substance) they are the same, they were before: But (in Accident, or Qualitie) they are tur- ned into an other thinge.*

M. Hardinge.

And here we putte you in minde of your falscheinge of Theodorites woordes, in that ye make him to saie in your Englishe, The Mystical Signes to remaine in their former Substance, Fourme, and Kinde, where he saith * not so, but in their Former Substance, Figure and Shape. Ye knowe pardy, there is greate difference betweene the Kinde of a thinge, and his Shape. The oftener ye vse that falsched, as ye vse it very often, the more shal ye be espied to be falsche teachers, and growe out of credite, where truth is loued.

Theodo-
rite falsi-
fied by the
Defende.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

If there be any falschode, or faulte herein, by your owne Confession, it is the Interpreters, and not the Authours. You knowe wel, Our Contention is not of the Shape of Breade, but of the Substance. Theodoretus saith, The Breade de- parteth not from his owne Nature, but remaineth still in the former Substance, Figure, and Fourme: *Saie you, as Theodoretus saith: Confesse plainly, as he dothe, that the Substance of the Breade remaineth still, and with god leaue take the Shape vnto your selfe.*

And yet I see no greate cause, why ye shoulde so mightily crye out, False Tea- chers, Falsched, Falscheinge, for that the Interpreter hath expounded this Latine worde, Forma, by this Englishe worde, Kinde. For, as it is proued before, by sun- drie examples more at large, in the Ancient Fathers these two wordes, Forma, and Natura, are often vsed for one thinge. Athanasius saith, Natura, Essentia, Genus, Forma, vnum sunt: *Nature, Substance, Kinde, and Forme be al one.* Certainely, if the very Substance of the Breade shoulde remaine, as Theodoretus saith, and yet the Kinde of the Breade shoulde not remaine, it were a wonder. For, as there is no Kinde without Substance, so is there no Substance without Kinde.

M. Hardinge.

The woordes which ye recite out of S. Augustines Sermon Ad Infantes, we finde in Bede vpon the tenth Chapter of the first Epistle to the Corinthians. The whole place is this: This thinge which ye see in the aulter of God, ye haue sene it also in the night past. But what it was, what it meaneth, the Sacramente of howe greate a thinge it containeth, as yet ye haue not hearde. That then whiche ye sawe, is Breade and Chalice, whiche euen your eyes tel you. But that whiche your Faith requireth to the to be instructed of, Breade is the Body of Christe, the Chalice his Bloude. If the Substance of Breade place alle remained, as before Consecration, what neede had they to learne, what it were? For of them selues, god out of they might knowe the thinges proponed to be Breade, and VVine. But S. Augustine, ye saie, calleth S. Augu- that they sawe Breade, and VVine. Yea Sir, so it was, so farre as their eyes tolde. But what their faithe, since ought to tel them, he instructeth them, saicing: Breade, to witte, is the Holy Body of Christe. To this Construction muste we stande, by S. Augustine him selfe so set forth.

The

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

S. Augustines wordes are plaine enough: howe so euer it like you to shadowe them with your Gloses. Thus he saith, Quod vidistis, Panis est, & Calix: quod vobis etiam oculi vestri renuntiant: The thinge, that you sawe, is the Breade, and the Cuppe: whiche thinge your eyes doo reporte unto you. Where also maie be noted, as by the waie, that S. Augustine, contrarie to your Doctrine, M. Hardinge, referreth the Iudgemente hercof to the reporte, and trial of the senses. And againe he saith of him selfe in the like case, Vereor, ne ipsis sensibus nostris facere videamur iniuriam, quando id loquendo suademus, vbi omnes Vires, officiumque Sermonis facillime superat euidencia Veritatis: I feare me, we should doo wronge unto our Senses, if we would goe aboute to proue that thinge by speakinge, wherein the euidence of the Truthe it selfe passeth al duntie, and power of Speache.

*August ad Dar-
danum Epist. 57*

Howe be it, S. Augustine saith further, Quod autem Fides vestra postulat instruenda, Panis est Corpus Christi, Calix Sanguis: This Obiection maie be answered by that is saide before. These two sayings are bothe true. Hefychius saith, Mysterium nostrum simul & Panis, & Caro est: Our Myserie is bothe Breade, and Fleashe. It is Breade in Substance, and in deede: It is also the Body of Christe, not in Substance, but in a Myserie. Your owne Glose saith, as it is before alleged: Dicitur Corpus Christi, sed improprie: Vt sit sensus, Vocatur Corpus Christi, id est, Significat Corpus Christi: It is called the Body of Christe: but vnproperly, or, not in deede and vsual forme of speache: The meaninge thereof maie be this: It is called Christes Body, that is to saie, It signifieth Christes Body.

*Hefych in Leud.
Lib. 12. Cap. 8.*

*De conse. dist. 2
Hoc est quod in
Glossa.*

In a Sacramente we muste beholde, not onely the outwarde Clemente, but also the thinge it selfe, whercof it is a Sacramente. S. Augustine saith, Si ad ipsas res visibiles, quibus Sacramenta tractantur, animum conferamus, quis nesciat, eas esse corruptibiles? Si autem ad id, quod per illas res agitur, quis non videat, non posse corrumpi? If wee consider the Visible Creatures, wherein the Sacramentes are ministred, who knoweth not, they be thinges corruptible? But if we consider the thinge, that is wrought thereby, who seeth not, It cannot be corrupted?

*August. De Ba-
ptismo contra
Donat. 1. Lib. 3
Cap. 10.*

And in the same place before alleged, S. Augustine fully expoundeth his owne meaninge, in what sence the Breade maie be called the Body of Christe. These be his wordes, Christus leuauit Corpus suum in Coelum, vnde venturus est, vt iudicet viuos, & mortuos. Ibi est modo sedens ad Dextram Patris. Quomodo est Panis Corpus eius? Et Calix, vel, quod habet Calix, quomodo est Sanguis eius? Ista, Fratres, ideo dicuntur Sacramenta, quia in eis aliud videtur, aliud intelligitur: Christe hath lifted up his Body into Heauen: from whence he shal comme to Iudge the quicke, and the deade. There is he nowe sittinge at the Righte Hande of the Father. Howe then is the Breade his Body? And the Cuppe, or that is in the Cuppe, howe is it his Bloude? His answere is this: O my Brethren, these be called Sacramentes, for that in them one thinge is seene, and an other thinge is vnderstanded.

*August. in Sermon
ad Infantes.*

Thus therefore, the thinge, that we see with our Bodily eyes, is the very Nature, and Substance of Breade: But the thinge, that we see with oure Faith, is the very Natural Body of Christ sittinge in Heauen, and represented vnto vs in the Mysteries.

Nowe, I beseeche the, god Christian Reader, marke, what Discante M. Hardinge plaiceth vpon these wordes. S. Augustine saith, Beleue the Iudgemente of your eyes: M. Hardinge saith, Senses be deceitful, Beleue not the Iudgemente of your eyes. S. Augustine saith, The thinge, that you see is Breade: M. Hardinge saith, It is not so: it is no Breade. So handsomely this Glose groweth to the Terte.

The Apologie.

O, that, whiche Origene saith, The Breade, whiche is Sanctified by the woorde of God, as touching the Material Substance thereof, goeth into the Belly, and is caste out into the priuie.

Cc

M. Hardinge,

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruth. For wec haue Corrupted, neither woordes nor Sentence.

To that Material:

A propre translation.

(b) Vntruth. For he nameth it Breade

seuen times in that one place.

(c) Vntruth. Onlesse ye wil rather cal it the Material

Accidente.

(d) Vntruth. For in the same place he saithe, Nec Materia Panis.

(e) Vntruth. vaine, & void of sense. For what Accidentes be voided forth.

In alleginge Origene, Sir Defender, ye plaie, your accustomed false plaie, (a) corruptinge his Sentence, and falsifyinge his woordes. For they be not as you recite them, but thus: *Ille cibus, qui sanctificatur per Verbum Dei, per q̄ obsecrationem, iuxta id quod habet materiale, in ventrem abit, & in secessum eicitur*: That meate, whiche is consecrated by the VVoorde of God, and by Praier, accordinge to that Material, whiche it hathe, it goeth into the Belly, and is voided forth into the Priuie. Here neither nameth he (b) Breade, as you doo (to cause the people to thinke it is but very Bread) but meate. And that you haue in your Latine, *Quod quidem ad materiam attinet*: whiche is by your Interpreter, As touchinge the material Substance thereof, (c) it is not Origens, but your owne forged stufte, to deceiue the ignorant withal, to thende they mighte be moued by your false handlinge of that Doctour, to beleue, the mater and Substance of very Breade to remaine. He speaketh not of the (d) mater of Breade, but of that whiche is Material in this Sacramente, meaninge the Accidentes, or Qualities remaininge after Consecration, whiche be Material, but not the mater it selfe of Breade (as Mater is taken for the one parte of a perfecte Substance) (e) and the same Accidentes be voided forth, as Origene had good cause by occasion of Christes woordes to declare, you had none to recorde the same. But it liked your filthy spirit with vile woordes to bringe that Holy Myserie, and blessed Sacramente into contempte. VVherein ye doo the Diuel, Authoure of Heresies, the greatest seruice, that maie be deuised.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Heresies, False plaie, Falshedde, Falsifyinge, Vile woordes, Filthy Spirites, are nowe becomme M. Hardinges Diuinarie, and Usual Eloquence. Here haue we corrupted (as he saithe) and belied Origene, so; that we place this worde, Panis, in steede of Cibus: And, so; that we saie, Panis, quod ad Materiam attinet, in steede of these woordes, Cibus iuxta id, quod habet Materiale. This, (saith M. Hardinge) is Forged stufte: This is Horrible, and shameful corruption to deceiue the ignorant. He that knewe not M. Hardinges modestie, and manner of writinge, woulde thinke, these Tragical termes shoulde beare somme weighte. For sober menne seldome vse thus to crie, without somme cause. Touchinge these woordes, Cibus, Panis, Materiale, Materia, if there be any thinge, that maie mislike him, it shalbe lawfull for him to resourme the same, and to vse either the one worde, or the other at his pleasure. We stande onely vpon the Substance of Water, and seeke no such wanton advantages by shifte of woordes.

Nevertheless, Origen him selfe, as it appeareth, was not so dangerous in the case. For, whereas M. Hardinge so sharply ouerlooketh vs, so; once vsinge this worde, Panis, in steede of Cibus, Origene him selfe vseth the same worde, Panis, seuen times together in the selfe same place, without repponse: Like as S. Paule also fve times in one place calleth it, Panis: And S. Cyril calleth the portions thereof, Fragmenta Panis: peeces of Breade: And yet were none of these euer condemned therefore, as Corrupters, and Falsifiers.

But, I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, if this worde, Cibus, Meate, whiche Origene vseth, and you saie to allowe, were not Breade, what kinde of Meate then wil you cal it? Fleashe, Fishe, or Fruite, I trowe it was not. You saie, Origen meante thereby, your Fourmes, and Accidentes, and Shewes of Breade. Nowe verily, this was but a quassy Meate: And I marueile, that euer any wise man woulde calle it Meate. Irenæus saith, *Of the same Meate is increased, and consisteth the Substance of our Fleashe*. And Rabanus saith, *Sacramentum in alimentum Corporis redigitur*: The Sacramente (whiche is the Breade) is turned into Our Bodily nourishment. Shewes, and Accidentes, are but a simple sode, to increase the Substance of our Bodies.

Touchinge the other fowle faulte, M. Hardinge saith, his Accidentes, and Qualities be thinges Material: but the mater it selfe, (he saith) they be not. But where learned he this strange Doctine? What Diuine, what Philosopher, what Logician, what Sophister, what Wise man euer taughte him thus to saie? Who euer saide, that Accidentes are thinges Material? It is wel known, that Materia curius moze is Substantia, and neuer otherwise. Therefore M. Hardinge might as easily haue saide, Accidentes be thinges Substantiall. Certainly Accidentes, and Qualities, be Accidentales Formæ, and in the Schooles are called, thinges Formal: whiche

are

1 Corin. ii.
Cyril in iohan.
Lib. 10, Cap. 13.

Irenæ. Li. 5. Aus-
gerius. & consis-
tit Carnis no-
stræ Substantia.
Rabanus Man-
rus. Li. 1. Cap. 31.

Origene
woordes
examined
Origene
falsified
by the Do-
cteur.

are as farre from things Material, as Fire from Water. notwithstanding, these menne have power to make of Accidentes, Substance: Of Fourmes, Maters: Of things Formal, things Material: and of one contrarie, to make another: and al this onely of them selues, without any manner other Authozitie.

M. Hardinge saithe, that the Meate, whereof Origene speaketh, is a Material, (for this is the lighte, and clearenesse of his Eloquence) but not Materia: and by this pectie distinction, he thinketh, the whole mater is fully discharged. And amongst the Ignorante, that cannot iudge, perhaps he made seme to saie somewhat. But Origene him selfe, that beste vnderstode his owne meaninge, calleth the same Meate, in the same place, by expresse, and plaine wordes, not onely a thinge Material, or, A Material, as M. Hardinge rather deliteth to calle it, but also the very Mater of Breade it selfe: his wordes be these: Nec Materia Panis, sed super illum dictus sermo est, qui prodest non indignè Domino comedenti: It is not the Mater of the Breade, but the woordes spoken ouer it, that profiteth him, that Eateth not vnworthily for the Lorde.

Origene in Mat.
Cap. 15.

Nowe Iudge thou indifferently, Gentle Reader, howe iuste causes M. Hardinge had, to moue these Tragedies.

Further he saithe, It liked our filthy Sprite, with vile wordes to bringe the Holy Mysteries into contempte, and therein wee doo the Diuel great seruice. M. Hardinge, somme other speache woulde better become a man of your grauitie. Dutch liquoze seldome floweth from the Sprite of God. We neither increase, nor diminish, nor any wise alter the wordes of Origene, but laie them forth plainly, and simply, as wee finde them. For thus he writeth, Ille Cibus, qui sanctificatur per verbum Dei, & per Obsecrationem, iuxta id, quod habet Materiale, in ventrem abit, & in secessum eicitur. Ceterum iuxta precationem, quæ illi accessit, pro portione Fidei fit utilis: The Meate, that is Sanctified by the Woordes of God, and by Prayer, according to that Material parte, that is in it, passeth into the Belly, and so forth into the Priuie, &c. If there be any Filthinesse, or Villanie herein, it is this Ancient Fathers, whome ye ought not so vncourteously to reuile, for Uncleanenesse of Sprite: it is not ours.

Howebeit, this is not Origens onely Iudgemente: but the general, and agreeable Doctrine of al others the Catholique Fathers. And to allege one in steade of many, S. Augustine saithe, as he is before alleged, Si ad res ipsas, quibus Sacramenta transstantur, animum conferamus, quis nesciat, eas esse corruptibiles? Si ad id, quod per illas res agitur, quis non videat, non posse corrumpi? If we consider the things them selues, wherein the Sacramentes be Ministred, who knoweth not, that they be things corruptible? But if wee consider the thinge, that is wroughte thereby, who seeth not, that it cannot be corrupted? The Holy Fathers speake not thus of Christes Body, but of the Breade, whiche is the Sacramente of Christes Body. So saithe S. Ambrose, Non iste Panis, qui vadit in Corpus: sed Panis Vitæ Aeternæ, qui animæ nostræ Substantiam fulcit: (The Breade that I meane, is) not this Breade (of the Sacrament) that passeth into the Body: but the Breade of Everlastinge Life, that mainteineth the Substance of the Soule.

August. de Baptismo contra
Donat. Lib. 3.
Cap. 10.

De Con. Diss. 2.
Non iste.

Nowe, if there were sutch Filthinesse, as you haue imagined, in the Holy Learned Bishoppes, and Doctors of the Church, for utteringe these, and other like wordes, of the corruptible Creatures of Breade, and Wine, what cleane Sprite then is there in them, that speake so filthily of Christes Body it selfe, beinge nowe Incorruptible, and Glorious, at the righte hande of the Father? Hereof I had occasion to speake somewhat in my former Reple.

In the 23. Article.

Alexander of Hales saith, Quidam dicunt, vbi cunq; ponantur Species, siue in mundo loco, siue in immundo, siue in Ventre Muris, ibi est Corpus Christi: Some saie, where so euer the Fourmes, or Accidentes be laide, whether the place be cleane, or vncleane, yea althoughe it be in the Mousses belly, yet there is the Body of Christe. Againe he saithe, Si Canis, vel Porcus deglutiret Hostiam Consecratam integram, non video, quare Corpus Domini non simul traijceretur in ventrem Canis, vel Porci: If a Dogge, or Hogge shoulde swallowe downe the Hoste Consecrate beinge whole, I see no cause to the contrarie, but the Body of Christe maie passe withal into the belly of the Dogge, or of the Hogge.

Alexand. Hales.
par. 4. quæst. 25.
Mem. 1.

Likewise your owne Clemens, whom ye so often cal the Apostles Fellowe, writeth thus, Ne murium sterora inter fragmenta Dominica Portionis appareant: *Let not Mise dounge be founde emonge the fragments, or peeces of the Lordes portion.* By whiche Portion, he meaneth the Sacramente. Your owne Catholique allowed Glose saith, Corpus Christi potest euomi: *The Body of Christe maie be vomited vp againe.*

It abhorreth my harte, to vitter these wordes: They be so horrible, and full of filthe. Yet this, M. Hardinge, is your owne Doctrine: This is your Sprite: This is it, that ye haue so longe maintained with fire, and sword. By such vncleane, and vnciuile speache, ye bringe Christes Body it selfe into contempte, and lothe someneste in the hartes of the people.

But, that you make the better see the consente, and certaintie of your owne Doctrine, wherreas you are wel contente to expounde these wordes of Origine, as vndoubtedly spoken of the Sacramente of Christes Body, your Instruer, and Maister, Doctour Gardiner telleth you, that the same wordes pertaine nothinge at al vnto the Sacramente: And yet, vnto what thinge els they shoulde pertaine, it seemeth by the doubtfulness of his answer, he was neuer wel resolved. His wordes be these, Non de Eucharistia loquitur Origenes, sed de Pane sanctificato per Verbum Dei, & Orationem, quem communiter manducamus: vel de eo, qui solebat dari Catechumenis, de quo Augustinus: Origene speaketh not (these wordes) of the Sacramente: but either of the Breade, that is Sanctified by our Lordes Wordes, and by Prayer: whiche wee commonly use to Eate: or els he speaketh of the Breade, that was geuen to the Novices, called Catechumeni, Whereof S. Augustine speaketh. If this tale be true, then is yours untrue, M. Hardinge, and al your longe Commentarie of Fourmes, and Accidentes, is but in vaine. It were good, ye took a date, that ye maie be better agreed vpon that, ye tel vs.

And, wherreas, to shifte the mater, ye put your poore Spiritual Fourmes, and Holy Accidentes to al the paines, to passe into the belly, and so smythe into the draught, and auouche the same in such sober wise, as if ye partely vnderstode, what ye saide, it maie please you to vnderstande, that by the Iudgemente of your owne Scholer felowes the Canonistes, ye are souly deceived. For thus they determine the mater, quate againste you: Species illæ alijs cibis non permiscetur: Non enim in stomachum descendunt: quare per secessum non emittuntur: *These Fourmes, or Accidentes are not mingled with other meates.* For they goe not downe into the stomake: and therefore they passe not into the Priue. Nowe, M. Hardinge, if the Breade be vitterly consumed, and haue no beinge at al, and if the Accidentes passe not into the Priue, what other thinge els remaineth there, to passe that waie? It is a strange kinde of passage, whereas is nothinge to passe.

Hereby it maie appere, that either the Glose, or M. Hardinge, is in erreure. But the Glose is allowed, and counted Catholique: therefore the erreure muste be M. Hardinges. Howe be it, to saie the truthe, M. Hardinge, and his Glose tw, are bothe in erreure.

The Apologie.

O, that, whiche Christe him selfe saide, not onely after the Blessinge of the Cuppe, but also after he had Ministered the Communion, I vvil drinke nomore of this Fruite of the Vine. It is wel knownen, that the Fruite of the Vine is wine, and not Bloude.

M. Hardinge.

Christe (saie they) him selfe saide, not onely after the blessinge of the Cuppe, but after he had Ministered the Communion, I wil drinke nomore of this Fruite of the Vine. Hereunto they adde of their owne heades, (a) It is wel knownen, that the Fruite of the Vine is VVine, and not Bloude. By this they woulde signifie, that in the Chalice we haue not Christes Bloude, as him selfe saide, but mere VVine, as againste Christe they labour to perswade.

But wee saie, that those wordes (I wil not drinke from hencefoorth of this generation of the Vine) either were spoken (b) onely before the Communion, (c) or onely after, (d) or in bothe times. If they were spoken before the Communion, (whiche opinion is the more probable) it is very clearly proued

(a) Vntruth. For these be S. Chrysostomes wordes, and not ours. This is M. Har. Vndoubted Certaintie.

proued by them, that wine remaineth not, as it shal hereafter be deduced.

For these causes it maie wel be saide, that we should rather folow the order of Luke, who witnesseth that Christe spake these woordes before his maundie, (e) then Mathewe, and Marke, who tell it, as spoken after. Secondly, the order of Luke is confirmed by the circumstance of the thinges, that he describeth, more then the other Euangelistes. Nowe, because in the Chalice of the Olde Lawe, there was certainly not Bloude, but VVine, (whereas Christe teacheth, that in his Chalice his owne Bloude is (f) contained) let the discrete reader iudge, whether we muste not rather thinke, that these woordes of Christe, I wil not from hencefoorth the drinke of this generation of the Vine, doo not rather pertaine to the Cuppe of the Olde Lawe, (as Luke placeth them,) then to the Chalice of Christe after the Consecration, whereof Mathewe, and Marke reherse them.

Fourthly, and lastly, when Mathewe, and Marke reherse that Christe saide, he would not from hencefoorth the drinke of that generation of the Vine, they both, in much like sorte of speakinge adde thereunto these woordes, (whiche in the Apologie are guilefully leste out) Vntil that daie, when I wil drinke it newe with you in the Kingedome of God my Father. If Christe spake of a Newe drinking, whiche is to comme, by likelyhoode the drinke paste is Olde. VVhat exclamation woulde be sufficient to expresse, that in this (g) weighty point of Saluation, our Englishe Clerergie are content (h) to blinde the people, the righte worshipful, yea the Honorable of our Counttie, in so vile a sorte, that after many Fathers woordes misreported, and wrongfully wrestled, they doubt not to attempte the same in Christes owne sayings, and in those sayings of his, whiche evidently proue the contrary.

But nowe let vs graunt of our free liberalitie, contrarie to so many good and stronge reasons, that Christe saide after Consecration, I wil not from hencefoorth the drinke of this generation of the Vine, vntil the Kingedome of God come, then it muste be further vnderstanded, that the Kingedome of God is taken in Scripture sommetime for the presente state of the Church, after Christes resurrection of this worlde, accordinge to that is saide oftentimes in Scripture, The Kingedome of God draweth nigh: sommetimes also for the ioie of Heauen: as when Christe saithe, I dispose for you a Kingedome, as my Father hath disposed for mee, that yee maie eate and drinke at my table, &c. Nowe if we take these woordes of Christ, spoken before Consecration, it is not vnconuenient, to vnderstande them of either of bothe Kingedomes: that is to saie, of the Kingedome of Resurrection, or of Glorie, as Chrysostome and other doo witness, because bothe were to come at that time. But if we take them spoken after Consecration, as we rather vpon confidence of the truth, then for necessitie, at this time doo graunte, then these woordes (Vntil the Kingedome of God come) are to be meante onely of the Kingedome of Glorie. For these Holy Mysteries belonge to the Kingedome of Christes Resurrection, and Ascension. Neither were they practized by the Apostles in the Church of Christe, vntil the Holy Ghoste came downe vpon them.

And so the newenes of it there, is fruition of it, not vnder the fourmes of Breade, and VVine, (i) as wee haue it nowe, but face to face without al figure and fourme. Then is it to be noted, that Christe saide not, I wil drinke nomore of the Fruite of the Vine, But, I wil not from hencefoorth the drinke of this generation of the Vine: Of this I saie, whereof nowe I haue drunken, (k) whiche is vnder the fourme of wine my Bloude, whiche am the true Vine. For whereas this Apologie saithe, (it is wel knownen, that the Fruite of the Vine is VVine, and not Bloude) it seemeth to declare, that the Authours thereof are ignorant in the Holy Scriptures, where (l) they mighte haue founde the Fruite of some Vine to be called Bloude. For Iacob saide to his sonne Iudas, bearinge the Figure of Christe, He shal washe his robe in VVine, and his Cloke in the Bloude of a Grape. Lo, a Grape hath his Bloude: a Grape is the fruite of the Vine: and therefore it is not wel knownen, that the Fruite of the Vine is not Bloude. The Holy Ghoste did in these woordes of Iacob propheticke, that Christe, the true Vine shoulde geue the Grape of his Body to be pressed vpon the Crosse, from whence the VVine was shedde, (m) whiche is in our Chalice, as Chrysostome also noteth. And nowe it is no wonder, if we expounde the worde (Vine) mystically.

O what strength truthe hath she? howe plainly she dareth to shewe her face, not nippinge the Scriptures, nor misenglisshinge them, nor dissemblinge any iote, that maie seeme to make againste her?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Marke, gentle Reader, howe mightily M. Hardinge wastleth, and what paines he taketh, to drawe this place to his purpose. Firste he assureth vs so; certayne, That Christe spake these woordes before the Consecration, and that therefore they pertaine nothinge to the Sacramente. Neuerthelesse, afterwarde he graunteth, as he saithe, of his free liberalitie, That Christe spake the same woordes After the Consecration, and that they pertaine specially to the Sacramente. And yet againe vpon further affiance, and boldenesse of the cause, he saith to saie, It maie be, that Christe spake the same woordes at bothe times: that is, as wel After the Consecration, as Before.

Againe he saithe, S. Lukes reherfal is more likely, then S. Mathewes, For that S. Luke writeth eche thinge in Order: and S. Mathewe out of Order.

Againe, One Cuppe is first the VVine of the Olde Testament: And immediately after, The same Cuppe is the VVine of the Newe Testamente.

Againe, The Kingedome of God, sometime is the state of the Church, sometime
Ec ly time

(e) M. Hardinge refuseth S. Mathewes, and S. Markes Order.

(f) As by waie of a Sacrament. Otherwise it is Vntrue.

(g) A weighty point of Saluation. Yet M. H. him selfe by his Confession, vnderstandeth it not.

(h) Vntruthe, vaine and arrogant.

(i) Vntruthe, grounded vpon a mere folie.

(k) Vntruthe, threapte vpon Christ him self.

(l) A fond cauil. For we speake onely of a Natural Vine.

(m) As in a Myserie. Otherwise it is Vntrue.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

The
Fruite of
the Vine.

7.
Anom. Constan-
tius ad obies
ctum. 27.

306

The Defense of the Apologie of the

time it is the state of Cloze. Again, The Fruite of the Grape, sometime is very Natural wine, sometime it is the very Bloude of Christe. Notwithstanding, Steven Gardiner saith, that neither the Natural Wine, nor Christes very Bloude, but the Accidentes, and Fourmes are the Fruites of the Vine. Yet againe he saith, Christe Dranke his owne very Bloude at the Supper: and euen now he himselfe Drinketh the same his owne Bloude in the Kingedome of Heauen.

In the ende, M. Hardinge, after he hath wel wandred, and walked him selfe, bothe Before, and After: In Order, and out of Order: This Wale, and that Wale: with the Olde Cuppe, and with the Newe: and hath wel staied him selfe by con- fectures, and likelihoodes: at the laste he bloweth by the Triumphe, with a tolly courage, and crieth out with an ende of an Olde Songe, O what strength Trueth hath, and howe plainly she dareth to shewe her face.

Before.

After.

Here, by the wale, a man mighte demaunde of M. Hardinge, notwithstandinge the greates Confidence he pretendeth to haue in his cause: If Christe spake these wordes Before the Consecration, of the very Natural Wine of the Grape, and of the Ceremonial Cuppe of the Olde Lawe, howe canke he then afterwarde of the same Natural Wine of the Grape, after a Newe Sorte: or how was the same Natural Wine fulfilled in the Kingedome of God? If Christe spake the same wordes After the Consecration, the Substance of Wine, by these mennes fantasie, bringe utterly abolished, and nothinge there remaininge, but onely the Accidentes, howe was it then the Fruite, or generation of the Vine? Thus, howe so euer he take it, either Before, or After, he shal hardely be hable to shifte his handes.

But, to leaue these vnfruitful gheasses, until M. Hardinge haue better agreed with him selfe vpon somme certaintie, we saie that the Cuppe of Blessinge, whiche Christe calleth the Cuppe of the Newe Testamente, notwithstandinge it were made in a Mysterie the Sacramente of Christes Bloude, yet in Nature, and Substance, was very Wine still, and, as Christe him selfe calleth it, The very Fruite, and generation of the Grape, as it was before.

Matthe. 26.

The wordes of the Euangeliste S. Mathewe are very plaine: He took the Cuppe: and, when he had geuen thanks, he gaue it them, saieinge, Drink ye al of it: For this is my Bloude of the Newe Testamente, that is shedde for many, for the Remission of Sinnes. I saie vnto you, that I wil not Drinke henceforth of this Fruite of the Vine, until that daie, when, I shal Drinke it Newe With you in the Kingedome of my Father. To auoide the manifeste truth of this reuersal, M. Harding saith, S. Mathewe vttered one thinge for an other: the Latter for the Former: the Cuppe Consecrate, for the Cuppe Vnconsecrate: and placed his matters out of order. And by this poore shifte, he thinketh the plaine Storye of the Gospel is fully answered.

Clemens in Pe-
dagogo, Lib. 2.
Cap. 2.

But let vs see, what the Learned Fathers haue iudged, and written in this be- halfe. So shal the weight of these coniectural gheasses and the Face of M. Hardinges Trueth, whiche he so much magnifieth without cause, the better appeare. Clemens Alexandrinus hereof writteth thus, Quod Vinum esset, quod benedictum est, Chris- tus ostendit, dicens Discipulis: Non bibam ex Fructu Vitis huius, donec bibero ip- sum vobiscum in Regno Patris mei: That it was V Vine, that was Blessed (at the Sup- per) Christe him selfe shewed his Disciples saieinge: I wil nomore Drinke of the Fruite of this Vine, until I shal Drinke it with you in the Kingedome of my Father.

Basil. contra
Eunom. Lib. 2.

S. Basile saith, Fructus terræ genituras, & genimina, non Natos appellamus: Non enim bibam, inquit, de genimine Vitis huius: We calle the Fruites of the Earthe, the Gene- rations, or Springes of the Earthe: But the Children of the Earthe we doo not calle them. For so saith Christe: I wil nomore drinke of the generation of this Vine. Here the Wine, that Christ had Blessed, is called by S. Basile, after the time of Consecration, not on- ly the Generation of the Wine, but also the Fruite of the Earthe. What so euer it shal please M. Harding to thinke of the sense herrof, he must needs confesse, the wordes are very plaine. Likewise saith S. Cyprian, Dominus Sanguinem suum Vinum ap- pellauit, de botris, & acinis plurimis expressum, atq; in Vinum coactum: The V Vine pressed out of clusters, and many Grapes, and so made V Vine, Our Lorde called his Bloude.

Cyprian. Lib. 1.
Epist. 6.

Bloude. S. Augustine saith, Et Vinum fuit in Redemptionis nostræ Mysterio, cum Dominus diceret, Non bibam amodo de hoc genimine Vitis: There was VVine in the Mysterie, or Sacramente of Our Redemption, when Our Lorde saide, I wil nomore

Augustin. De
Ecclesiast. Dog-
matibus, cap. 7.

Drinke hencefoorth of this Fruite of the Vine.
Here note thou, good Reader, howe handsomely S. Augustine, and M. Hardinge agree together. S. Augustine saith plainely, It was the Sacramente: M. Hardinge saith plainely, It was not the Sacramente. S. Augustine saith, These wordes were spoken after the Consecration: M. Hardinge findeth faulte with S. Mathewes Order, and saith, They were spoken before the Consecration. S. Augustine, folowing the plaine wordes of Christe, saith, It was VVine: M. Hardinge, folowing his owne wordes, againste bothe S. Augustine, and Christe too, saith undoubtedly, It was no VVine.

Againe S. Augustine saith, Dominus per Vini Sacramentum, commendat Sanguinem suum. Quid enim aliud, Nouum Vinum, nisi immortalitatem renouandorum Corporum intelligere debemus? Our Lorde by the Sacramente, (not of fourmes, or Accidentes, but) of VVine, commendeth vnto vs his Bloude. For what other thinge mai we vnderstande the Newe VVine to be, but the Immortalitie of our Bodies, that shal be renewed? S. Chrysostome saith, Cum Dominus hoc Mysterium traderet, Vinum tradidit. Et iam post Resurrectionem sine Mysterijs in simplici mensa Vini usus est. Ex genimine, ait, Vitis: quæ certè Vinum, non Aquam producit: Our Lorde when he deliuered this Mysterie, deliuered (not Accidentes, but) VVine. And after his Resurrection, beeinge at a plaine Table without the Mysteries, he vsed VVine. For so he saith, Of the Fruite of the Vine: VVhiche Vine surely beareth, not VVater, but VVine.

August. Quæ.
Euangelicar.
Lib. 1. Cap. 43.

Chrysos. in Mat.
Homil. 83.

M. Hardinge saith, VVho so euer expoundeth these wordes as spoken of the Mysteries, beliethe bothe the Holy Fathers, and Christe him selfe. S. Chrysostome expoundeth the same wordes, as spoken of the Mysteries, and so that purpose applieth the wordes of Christe. Therefore, by M. Hardinges Conclusion, He beliethe bothe the Holy Fathers, and Christe him selfe.

In like manner S. Hierome saith, Christus in Typo Sanguinis sui non obtulit Aquam, sed Vinum: Christ in the Signification of his Bloude, offered, not Water, but Wine. By these fewe, I trust, it mai easily appeare to the indifferente Reader, howe iuste cause M. Hardinge had, thus to proclaime, and publishe the Face, as he saith, of his Truthe: and with such courage, and countenance to crye out, that wee haue wilfully corrupted the wordes of Christe.

Hiero. aduersus
Iovinian, Lib. 2.

M. Hardinge saith further, These Defenders adde of their owne heades, It is wel known, that the Fruite of the Vine is VVine, and not Bloude. I thought, M. Hardinge woulde not haue piked so simple a quarrel to these wordes, of whose heades so euer they had benne added. For, I trowe, he hath but selome heard, or sene, that a Natural Vine hath bozne Natural Bloude. Howe be it, these wordes proceeded not altogether of our owne heades. S. Chrysostome also of his heade uttereth the same. For thus he wisteth, Ex genimine Vitis: quæ certè Vinum producit, non Aquam: Of the Fruite of the Vine: Whiche Vine verily bringeth forth, not Water, but Wine. S. Cyprian saith, Inuenimus Vinum fuisse, quod Dominus Sanguinem suum dixit: Wee finde, it was Wine, that our Lorde called his Bloude. And Dionysius, Lyra, and other like Doctors of M. Hardinges owne side, saie, De hoc genimine Vitis: id est, de Vino, quod generatur in Vineâ: Of this Fruite of the Vine: that is to saie, Of the Wine, that groweth of the Vine.

Chrysos. in Mat.
Homil. 83.

Cyprian. Lib. 2.
Epist. 3.

Dionysius.
Lyra. in Mat.
Cap. 16.

Againe, M. Hardinge saith, It is to be noted, that Christe saide not, I wil drinke nomore of the Fruite of the Vine: But, I wil not from henceforth drinke of this generation of the Vine: as if there were somme marvellous greate difference betwene these two wordes, Fruite, and Generation. And this thinge, (he saith) is wel woorthy to be noted: Terisly, the difference betwene these two wordes, is as greate, as is the difference betwene Ensis, and Gladius: Or, Liber, and Codex: Bitwene whiche wordes, sounde onely excepted, it is harde to discern, whether is other. M. Hardinge saith,

The Fruite of the Vine.

Hilari. in Mat.

Canon. 30.

Clemens in Pe-

dagogo, Lib. 2.

Cap. 2.

Cyprian. Lib. 2.

Epist. 3.

308

The Defense of the Apologie of the

It is to be noted, that Christe saide not, I wil drinke nomore of the Fruite of the Vine. **Pet** S. Hilarie saith, Bibentes ex Vitis istius fructu: *Drinkinge of the Fruite of this Vine*. If these wordes, as bringe doubtfully spoken, maie be shifted into some other sense: **Pet** Clemens Alexandrinus, as he is before alleged, and as he is translated by Gentianus Heruetus, saith plainely, Non bibam de hoc Fructu Vitis: *I wil nomore Drinke of this Fruite of the Vine*. And S. Cyprian somewhat in plainer wise saith, Non bibam ex ista Creatura Vitis: *I wil nomore Drinke of this Creature of the Vine*. **Wherefore**, I thinke, **M. Hardinge** him selfe will saie, This note was not greatly worthe the notinge.

Pet farther he saith, These Defenders seeme to be ignorant of the Holy Scriptures: VWhere they mighte haue founde the Fruite of somme Vine to be called Bloude. For Iacob saide of Christe, He shal washe his Robe in VVine, and his Cloke in the Bloude of a Grape. **It is a harde shifte**, **M. Hardinge**, so suddainely to conuete the mater from ordinarie vse of speache, vnto an Allegorie. **We** speake of a Natural growinge Vine, whiche, we saie, beareth not Bloude, but onely Wine. But, as touching, that yee saie, Christe is sometimes called a Vine in the Holy Scriptures, it is onely an Allegorie, or a figure of speache. And in that sorte he is called also sometimes a Lambe, and sometimes a Rocke. For, although Christe, for certaine properties, maie be resembled vnto a Vine, yet is he not therefore verily, and in deede a Natural growinge Vine: nomore then he is a natural Lambe, preaned of a Pelve, or a natural growing Rocke. **Wherefore** it was great violence, thus to force the wordes of the Scriptures to this purpose. **Otherwise**, by the like phrase of speache, one saith, Lachryma est quidam animæ Sanguis: A teare is a certaine Bloude of the Soule. And S. Augustine saith, Vident Sanguinem Corporum: Animarum Sanguinem non vident: *They see the Bloude of the Bodies, but the Bloude of the Soules they see not*. An other called Wine, Terra Sanguinem: The Bloude of the Earthe. **Pet** maie not **M. Hardinge** therefore saie, that either the Soule of Man, or the Moulde of the Earthe, in deede hath Natural, and Real, and very Bloude. These be onely certaine figures, and fourmes of speache. But Christe, when he saide, *I wil nomore Drinke of this Fruite of the Vine*, spake plainely, and simply, and without figure. And therefore Chrysostome saith, as it is alleged before, Ex genimine Vitis: quæ certè Vinum producit, non Aquam: *Of the Fruite of the Vine: whiche Vine, verily bringeth forth, not Water, but Wine*. But Chrysostome saith, *The Wine, that was shedde from Christes Body, that is to saie, the Bloude of Christe, is in the Cuppe*. This is true, as in a Myserie, or by a figure of speache: because Christes Bloude is represented in the Cuppe. **Otherwise**, if we speake simply, and plainely, and without figure. Chrysostome saith, In Vasis Sanctificatis non est ipsum Corpus Christi: sed Mysterium Corporis eius continetur: *In the Sanctified Vesselles, there is not the very Body of Christe in deede: but a Myserie of that Body is therein contained*.

Androcles.

Chrysos. in Mat.

Homil. 83.

Chrysos. 1 Cor. 10

Chrysos. in Oper

re imper. Hom. 11

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 1.

And in speaking thus, we meane not to abase the Lordes Supper, or to teache, that it is but a colde Ceremonie onely, and nothinge to be wrought therein: (as many falsely sleaunders vs, we teache). For wee affirme, that Christ dooth truely, and presently geue him selfe wholly in his Sacramentes: In Baptisme, that we maie put him on: and in his Supper, that wee maie Eate him by faith, and Spzite, and maie haue Euerlastinge Life by his Crosse and Bloude. And wee saie not, this is donne sleightly, or coldely, but effectually and truely. For, although we doo not touche the Body of Christe with teethe, & mouthe, yet wee holde him faste, and eate him by faith, by Understandinge, and by Spzite. And it is no vaine faith, that comprehendeth Christ: neither is it receiued with colde deuotion, that is receiued with Understandinge, faith, and Spzite. For Christe him selfe altogether
is so

is so offered, and geuen vs in these Mysteries, that wee maie certayne-
ly knowe wee be Fleashe of his Fleashe, and Bone of his Bones: and
that Christe continueth in vs, and vcee in him.

M. Hardinge.

It is easy to be perceiued, who abaseth more our Lordes Supper, whether ye, that teache it to
be very (a) Bakers Breade and VVine with the (a) onely Figure of Christes Body and Bloude, or wee
who beleue it to be his true Body and Bloude, with the onely Fourmes of Breade and VVine.
(Of whiche Fourmes neither Christe, nor his Apostles euer tolde vs one worde).
VVhether ye, that wil haue it a Figure of Christes whole Body absent, or wee, who wil haue Chri-
stes Body presente in deede, with a Figure of his Deathe, whiche (b) Deathe is absente onely. VVhe-
ther ye, that cal it an (c) Idol when it is wooshipped, or we that doo accordinge to our duectie Adore
it, because that Royal Body (as Chrysostome saithe) whiche is sette before vs (d) to be seene nowe in
Earthe, is woorthy of the moste highe Honoure. But whether of those twoo is the colder Ceremonie
and more simple Supper, to haue Breade and VVine with a Signe onely of Fleashe and Bloude, or to
haue Real Fleashe and Bloude with such formes of Breade and VVine, as (e) by the Power of God doo
noleffe bodily nourishe vs, then the Substance it selfe would haue done: we doubt not of wise mens
judgement. Ye haue your carnal Bankettes fatte and ful inough of the beste fleashe, and it is with you
superstitious to Eate drie and Lenten Meates. But ye wil haue your spiritual Bankettes so leane, and
Carrien, as a man may wel discerne, whether ye haue more phatasic to your Fleashe, or to your Spirite.

Ye write, that many falsly sleaunders you, sayenge that ye teache nothinge to be wroughte in
the Lordes Supper, whereas ye affirme, that Christe dothe truly and presently geue his owne selfe in
his Supper, that we may eate him by Faith and Spirite. And other worke in the Lordes Supper ye
shewe none. And this whiche ye shewe, a man may doubt, whether it be a thinge wrought in the
Lordes Supper or no. VVel may ye saie, that it is a thinge wrought in them which come to the Sup-
per: but that it is wrought in the Supper it selfe, ye shewe it not: the Supper beinge that which is called
Carna, to witte, the meate receiued, not *Carnatio*, whiche is the acte of Suppinge. For sithe that
Supper is nothinge els but meate and drinke set vpon the Table to be receiued by such as come vn-
to it, that whiche is wrought in the Lordes Supper, muste be wrought (f) in the meate and Drinke
whiche our Lorde hath at his table. And as the mater whereof our Lordes Supper is made, is Breade
and VVine: so the Supper made thereof is our Lordes Body and Bloude, into whiche the Breade and
VVine by the Almighty power of the VVoorde is changed. So that if ye wil shewe Christe him selfe
to be (g) made or (h) wrought in our Lordes Supper, ye muste shewe Breade and VVine to be chan-
ged into Christe him selfe, and so to be Eaten of his faithfull at his Table. But whereas there are three
things, Christ that maketh the Supper, the Communicantes that receiue the Supper, and the Supper it
selfe: ye saie, that Christ for his parte geueth his owne selfe verily presente, likewise that the Communi-
cantes for their parte Eate him by Faith and Spirite. And so ye shewe, that the maker of the Supper
worketh, and that the Cōmunicantes worke. But al this while ye shew vs not what is wrought in the
matter of the Supper, that is to saie, in the Breade and VVine, nor what is made of them. O great selā-
der that ye were charged withal, whiche not so muche as by your owne worde ye are discharged of.

Howe muche soulder is the (i) Catholike Doctrīne, which teacheth the proper worke of our
Lordes Supper to be the turninge of the Substance of Breade and VVine into his Body and Bloude?
VVhiche worke because ye wil not confesse, ye haue deuised a worke of your owne workinge,
whiche is not propre to our Lordes Supper. For if I can receiue Christe in my house at home by Faith
and Spirite, howe is that worke (k) proper to his Supper, whiche maie be wrought without his Sup-
per? Or can I not beleue in Christe, or liste vp my Spirite vnto him, (l) excepte I come to Supper? Or
if I doo calle for Christe, dothe he not geue him selfe by grace vnto me?

But (saie ye) at the Lordes Supper Christe geueth him selfe verily presente. To what pourpose, I
praise you? That wee maie Eate him (saie ye) by Faith, and Sprite. VVel reasoned forsoothe. Haue
ye forgotten the geuinge to eate, and eatinge that, whiche is geuen, to be Relatiues, one of them
hauinge relation to the other? If Christe doo exhibite him selfe verily presente, as your Latine
Texte hath, or geuen his owne selfe verily and presently, as your Interpreter telleth your tale for
you: (m) dooth not he so exhibite or geue him selfe, as he wil be receiued? Or doothe he shewe in
one hande a piece of Breade, and with the other strike vs on the heade with a stone? If he geue him
selfe verily presente, as ye saie, we take him verily Presente. And then (Onlesse ye meane by him selfe,
his grace whiche is of Christe, and not of Christe him selfe) wee eate him verily Present, not onely by
Faith, and Sprite, but by takinge him into (n) our Mouthe, and Body. If it please him at any time
to be Eaten by Faith and Sprite alone, (after whiche sorte he is dailey eaten by those that humbly
cal vpon him) then he geueth not him selfe verily Present, but by Faith and sprite, otherwise named
grace, or some spiritual effecte of his workinge. But in our Lordes Supper the Scripture requireth a
special and peculiar presence of Christe, to witte, of his fleashe, so as it may be Eaten. If then he geue
him selfe verily presente, as ye saie, he is present in his owne person, God and Man, with Body, with
Bloude, with Soule, with Godhed. If ye meane the very presence of his Godhed, that presence belon-
geth homore to Christe, then to the Father, and to the Holy Ghoste. And so haue ye not declared
any peculiar presence belonginge to his Supper. For by Presence of his Codhed he is alwaies verily
presente, as wel before Supper, as at, or after Supper: because he filleth Heauen and Earthe.

How make the Defen-
ders Christ
verily Pre-
sente in his
Supper.

(a) Vntruthes
two togethert
For we neuer
called it either
Bakers Bread, or
onely Figure.
(b) Vntruth. For
Christes Death
is as Present, as
his Body.

(c) VVhat so e-
uer corruptible
Creature is ho-
noured as God,
it is an Idol.

(d) Vntruthes,
standinge in
wronge Exposi-
tion. For Chry-
stome saithe,
*Ascende ad
Cali portus: i-
mo non Cali,
sed Cali Calo-
rum.*

(e) Vntruthes,
grounded vpon
a dreame. No
Learned Do-
ctour euer saide
thus.

(f) The Bread is
for vs, not wee
for the Breade.

(g) Christe
made: Christ
wroughte:
A strange Di-
uinitie.

(h) Vntruth. For
M. Hardinge
wel knoweth,
that this sonde
folie was neuer
Catholique.

(i) Vntruth. For
Abraham, and
Isaak receiued
Christes very
Body, before
the Sacramente
was ordeined. It
is not peculiar
to the Supper.

(k) Such vnchri-
stian scornes,
against Christes
ordinances are
very vnseemly.

(l) Christe offereth him selfe vnto our Faith: and by our Faith he is receiued.

(m) This is the Caniballes Divinitie. S. Ambrose saithe, *Non hic panis, qui vadit in Ventrem.*

(n) Vntruth. For the finest, and best Learned Fathers haue maintained the same: As shal appeare.

(o) Vntruth. For there is no such worde in al the Gospel.

(p)Heathenish
and vachristian
scoffage.

(q) As you see
Christes Body
in the Sacramēt
euen so yee
touch it, and so
ye eat it, and
none otherwise
S. Ambrose
saith.

Fide Christus
Tangitur: Non
tangitur Cor-
pore.

(r) Vntruthe, Childishe, and vnfauey. The learned Fathers neuer knewe those folies. Iudashad a very meane Faithe. (t) Vntruthe. As

But where was
Christes Body
promised to
your Mouthes.

(v) Vntruth. For we robbe them not, but offer them in deede, and verily the Body of Christ: and shewe thē where, and wherewith they maie receiue it.

It remaineth that either ye vnderstande not your selues, or wilfully deceiue that infinite number of Soules, for whose sake Christe hath shedde his Bloude.

(n) Your erreour in makinge the Prefence of Christe in Baptisme like to his prefence in the Supper is to grosse. For althoughe Christe worke bothe our Regeneration and our nourishment by The presence of his Diuine Sacramentes, yet in Baptisme our Regeneration is by name attributed in the Gospel to the presence of Holy Ghoste also, to shewe that Christes presence there is spiritual. But his Supper consisteth of Christe in Body and Bloude, whiche are foynited to Christ, as they are peculiar to the seconde persone in God. Baptisme, and in the Sacrament of the Supper. Againe, for as mutche as they are receiued into vs, as loode to nourishe our Bodies to Refur- rection, (o) as the Gospel saith, and nomans Body is otherwise nourished then by Eatinge in deede that meate whereof he is nourished, and the Fleashe of Christe, as him selfe saith, is meate in deede, and his Bloude Drinke in deede: VVe are forced to beleuee an other manner of prefence in the Sa- cramente of the Altar, then in Baptisme. And therefore ye haue handled this matter too sleightly, which the Defenders make.

VWhereas ye saie, we doo not touche the Body of Christe with Teethe and Mouthe, (p) are ye so accustomed to swallowe downe whole morfels, as ye neuer touche them with your Teethe? Or haue ye learned to eate that, whiche ye touche not with your Mouthe? If Christe saide, Take, Eate this is my Body, and the Apostles tooke and Eate the same, neither coulde they take and Eate without touching: it seemeth ye handle eatinge too sleightly and coldely, when yee wil haue it without touching. Chrysostome a more Substantial handler of things then any of you is, saith of Christes Body, *Quod omnium maximum est, atque principium, in terra non confiscaris tantum, sed tangis: neque solum tangis, sed comedis, & eo accepto, domum redis.* Of al things that be in earthe, what is the greatest, and the chiefest, (q) that doest thou not onely see, but touchest: neither onely doest thou touche it, but also eate it, and hauinge receiued it, thou goest home againe. Howe wee touche it we knowe, for it is not nowe a mortal and corruptible Body, wherein wee maie fasten our Teeth, but glorious, and immortal, (r) and therefore wee touche it by the reason of the Accidentes of Breade and VVine, with whose Formes (as S. Auguiline speaketh) it is couered or veiled, and so commeth it to our Teeth, and to our Mouthe, and nourisheth bothe our Bodies and Soules to life Euerlastinge. Nay but we (saie ye) holde him faste, and Eate him by Faith, by Vnderstandinge, and by the Spirit. I praie you be not too hastie to holde him, before ye haue him. How came ye by him? His grace he geueth diuerse waies, but him selfe bodily and presently as man, he neuer promised to geue otherwise, then when he saide, Take, Eate, this is my Body. And then Iudas (s) who had but a very meane Faith) because he receiued the Sacramente, receiued him also. And our Blessed Lady althoughe she had a moste perfite Faith, receiued him not after that sorte, because then she receiued not the Sacramente. Howe can ye assure your selues, that your Faith taketh the Body of Christe, (t) whiche was neuer promised to your Faith? If your Faith take him not as he is in the Sacramente, howe can your Faith holde him faste there? As here before ye haue saide mutche, and proued litle againste the Real Presence, wrestlinge and stretchinge certaine Fathers sentences, and scripture it selfe to that purpose, not wholly and Faithfully, but by peecemeale and patchedly alledged, so as ye mighte best make them serue to the maintenance of your falsc Doctrin: right so in the conclusion of that parte of your Apologie, with a like grace ye heape a number of mangled authorities together, whereby to perswade, that soasmuche as the Fathers haue aduertised vs to lifeste up our mindes to Heauenwarde, wee shoulde not thinke wee Eate the Fleashe of Christe as beeing here presente with vs vnder the Forme of Breade. Therefore whereas ye laie to the Catholiques charge, as thoughte by their Sacriledge the people were berefte of a great benefite, in that they be taughte not to be contente with the one kinde, vnder the same receiuinge nolieth then if they had bothe: Howe mutche more woorthily are ye to be charged with the crime of Sacriledge, that (v) robbe them of the greatest Treasure that is in the Church, so mutche as in you lieth, the Body and Bloude of our Lorde: and in steede thereof pretende to deliuer vnto them a peece of Bakers Breade, and a Cuppe of VVine? But let vs see what good reason, or auctoritie ye bringe out of the Fathers againste the true presence of Christe in the Blessed Sacramente.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

DP. Hardinge, your conscience knoweth, and will testifie againste your selfe, that we neuer called the Holy Sacramente of Christes Body, Bakers Breade, no moze then we cal the Sacramente of Baptisme, a Pail of VVater. We haue alwaies spoken honourably, and reuerently thereof, as it was mete we shoulde speake of the Mysteries of the Deathe of Christe, and as the Holy Catholique Fathers haue euermoze vsed to speake befoze vs.

These wilbe, and wanton, and vnſeuely ſpeeches, agré rather with your Doctrine. For, calle your owne wordes a litle to remembrance. In your firſt Anſwere, ye calle the Holy Sacramente, as it is vsed, and ſpinned by vs, accordinge to the Order of the Holy Fathers, A peece of Breade not woorth a pointe: In this place ye calle the Holy ſpiniſtration, ſo vsed by vs, A leane, and a Carrien Banket: In the nexte diſcuſſion, ye calle it A Toie. Theſe be your wordes, M. Harding,

dinge, and make wel stande, both with the Sobyltie of your Spite, and also with the whole Substance, and renoune of your Religion.

Wee calle it, The Sacramente of Thankesgeuinge, and the Sacramente of Christes Body, and Bloude. And with the Holy Father S. Augustine wee saie, In Sacramentis videndum est, non quid sint, sed quid significant: In Sacramentes wee muste consider, not, what they be (in them selues) but what they signifie.

Wee haue no special regarde to the Breade, Wine, or Water: For they are Creatures Corruptible, as wel after Consecration, as they were before: But wee direct our faith Onely vnto the very Body, and Bloude of Christe: not as being there Really, and fleshly Presente, as ye haue imagined: but, as sittinge in Heauen at the Righte Hande of God the Father. The Holy Father Chrysostome saith, although in general wordes, not speakinge specially of this Sacramente: Oculi Fidei, quando vident hæc ineffabilia bona, ne sentiunt quidem hæc visibilia. Tantum inter hæc, & illa interest: The eyes of our Faith, when they beholde these unspeakeable good thinges, they doo not so muche, as marke these outward thinges, that wee see with our Bodily eyes. So greate is the difference betweene these thinges. And herein resteth the grosseesse of your erreure, that ye diuide not the one thinge from the other.

S. Augustine saith, Aliud est Sacramentum, aliud res Sacramenti: The Sacrament of Christes Body is one thinge: and the Substance of the Sacramente, (or Christes Body represented by the Sacramente) is an other thinge. And againe he saith, Ea demum est miserabilis animæ seruitus, Signa pro rebus accipere: To take the Signes (or Sacramentes) in steede of the thinges them selues, (that be signified, as M. Hardinge doth) is the miserable bondage of the Soule. In like manner Origene saith, Simpliciores nescientes distinguere, ac discernere, quæ sint, quæ in Scripturis Diuinis Interiori homini, quæ verò Exteriori deputanda sint, vocabulorum similitudinibus falsi, ad ineptas quasdam Fabulas, & figmenta inania se contulerunt: Simple menne, not hable to discern in the Holy Scriptures, what thinges oughte to be applied to the vtter Man, and what to the Inner, beinge deceiued by the likenesse of wordes, haue turned them selues to a sorte of peeuish Fables, and vaine Fantasies.

You calle our Doctrine, naked, and colde, for that wee saie, The Sacramente is a Figure. And yet because ye cannot in any wise denie, but the same worde, Figure, to the same purpose is commonly vsed of al the Anciente Catholique Fathers, and Doctoures of the Churche: Therefore ye thoughte it good to qualifie, and to mince the mater, and to saie, It is a Figure, not of the Body, but of the Deathe of Christe. And here it is a worde to see, howe pitifully ye trouble your selfe with your varietie, and change, and shifte of Figures.

Firste you saie, The Sacramente, by the iudgemente of Damascene, is called a Figure before the Consecration, that is to saie, before the Sacramente be a Sacrament. For before Consecration, it is no Sacramente. Afterwarde vpon better aduise ye saie, The Fourmes onely, and the shewes, and Accidentes are the Figure of Christes Body. Againe you saie, Christes Body Inuisible, is a Figure of Christes Body visible: that is to saie, A thinge vncertaine, is a Figure of a thinge mooste certaine. Howe laske of al ye saie, as I thinke, noman euer saide before, The very Body of Christe it selfe is a Figure of the Deathe of Christe. Thus many pretie strange vnknownen Figures, ye are deliuen to dinse, thereby to auoide one simple, plaine, vsual, and common Figure. For, to leaue an infinite number of other Ancient Fathers, S. Augustine saith, Dominus, Figuram Corporis sui Discipulis suis commendauit, & tradidit: Our Lorde commended, and deliuered to his Disciples a Figure (not as ye saie, of his Deathe, but) of his Body.

Whereas you saie, The Body of Christ is present in deede, and that his Death is absent onely: If ye like to canille, as ye doe, and as your manner is, to lele to plate with the wordes, and phrases of the Anciente Fathers, ye mighte as wel, and by as good warrant saie, that Christes Deathe is as Present, as his Body. For S. Cyprian saith, Passio Christi est Sacrificium, quod offerimus: The Sacrifice, that wee offer, is the Passio of Christe. Chrysostome saith, In Mysterijs Mors Christi perficitur: The Deathe of Christe is wroughte in the Mysteries. S. Hierome saith, Christus nobis quo-

August. contra
Maximin. Lib. 3
Cap. 22.

Chrysos. in Gen
us. Homil. 24.

August. in Ioh. an.
Tracta. 26.
August. de Do-
ctrina Christia.
na. Lib. 3. Cap. 5.
Origen in Pro-
logo in Cantica
Canticor.

M. Hard. Artic.
12. Diuision. 2.

August. in psal. 3.

Cyprian. Lib. 2.
Epist. 3.
Chrysos. in Acta.
Homil. 2.
Hieronym. in
psal. 57.

Figure.
Christes
Deathe.
Absent,
or Present

De Cos. Dist. 1.

Quid sit.

Iohan. 4.

Chrys. 1. Corin.
Homil. 14.

Chrysost. in ca-
dem Homil.

Terrull. in epist.
de Cibus Iudai-
cis.

Hilar. in Psal. 64.

Hieronym. ad
Neopocianum.

Eras. in Anno.
in 14. ad Ruin.

bis quotidie Crucifigitur: Vnto vs, Christ is Crucified every daie. And S. Gregorie saith; Christus iterum in hoc Mysterio Moritur: In this Mysterie (of the Holy Communion) Christe is put to Deathe againe. By these wordes, thus pressed, and rackte, as your maner is, ye make easily ppoor, not onely that Christes Body, but also that his Passi- on, and Deathe, and Bloudestheddinge is verily presente.

Ye saie, Ye Adore the Sacramento with godly Honour. We answere you, as Chrille sometime answered the woman of Samaria, Vos Adoratis, quod nescitis: Ye Adore and woorschip, yee knowe not what. For who euer willed you thus to Adore the Sacra- mente? Who taught you, who bade you so to do? What Prophete? What Apo- stle? What Father? What Doctor of the Church?

But here, M. Hardinge, ye doe vs greates wronge, to saie, wee calle Christes Body an Idole, sauinge that ye haue a Chartare, to speake what ye liste. For wee knowe, and haue enermore Confessed with Chrysostome, that the Body of Chrille is worthis of the higheste Honour: and wee Adore it, and woorschip it, euen as the very Body of the Sonne of God. Howe be it, as it is saide before, Christes Body is one thinge, and the Sacramente is an other. The Sacramente is in Earth: Christes Body is in Heauen, at the righte hande of God the Father. And therefore Chry- sostome saith in the same place. Ascende ergo ad Coeli portas, & diligenter atten- de: imò non Coeli, sed Coeli Coelorum: & tunc, quod dicimus intueberis: Mounte vpon therefore vnto the gates of Heauen, and diligently consider: Naie, I saie not, vnto the gates of Heauen, but vnto the gates of the Heauen of Heauens: and so shal ye see the thinges, that wee speake of.

But, what meante you, M. Hardinge, thus out of season to presse in with your Lenten Argumente, and to saie, It is Superstitious with you, to eate drie, and Lenten Meates? If ye saie, there can be no Superstition in choise of meates, either ye are deceiued your selfe, or els ye take occasion by the waie, to deceiue others.

Tertullian saith, Deus ventre non colitur, nec cibis, quos Dominus dicit perire, & in secessu, naturali Lege purgari. Nam, qui per Escas Dominum colit, prope est, vt Dominum habeat ventrem suum: God is not honoured with the Belly, nor with meates, whiche the Lorde Christe saith, doo perishe, and are purged in conueiance by Natural Or- der. For who so by meates honoureth the Lorde, in a manner maketh his Belly to be his Lorde. S. Hilarie saith, Hæretici sicco Panis Cibo viuunt. Sed quis tandem otiosi huius propositi profectus est? Totum hoc inanè, atque ridiculum est, & cum ipsis Su- perstitionis causis miserabile: Hæretiques liue by drie Breade: But what profite is there in this idle pourpose? It is altogether vaine, and foolishe, and in respecte of the causes of theire Superstition, it is also Miserable.

S. Hierome saith, Quid prodest oleo non vesci, & molestias quasdam, difficul- tatesq; ciborum quærere, Caricas, Piper, Nuces, Palmarum fructus, Similam, Mel, Pi- stacia? Audio præterea, quosdam contra regulam, hominumq; Naturam, aquam non bibere, nec vesci Pane: sed sorbitiunculas delicatas, & contrita olera, betarumq; suc- cum, non Calice sorbere, sed Concha: Prò pudor. Non erubescimus istiusmodi in- eptijs? nec tædet Superstitionis? Insuper etiam famam abstinentiæ in delicijs quæri- mus: What auaileth it to eate no Oile, and with paine, and mutche adoe, curiously to seeke for meates, Figges, Peper, Nuttes, Dates, fine white Breade, Hoony, and Pistacia? Beside this, I heare saie, there be some, whiche contrarie to the common order, and Nature of menne, wil neither drinke Water, nor eate Breade: but seeke for delicate suppinges, and Herbes floridde together, and the iuice of Beetes, and receiue the same, not out of a Cuppe, but out of a shell. Phy for shame, Doo wee not blushe at sutch foolisshnesse? Are wee not weery of such Superstition? And yet beside al this, lininge in sutch curiouslye delicacie, wee looke to be prai- sed for our Fastinge? To leave al others, Erasmus, a man of great Iudgement, saith, Maior est in his rebus Superstition apud Christianos, quàm vnquam fuit inter Iudæos: There is more Superstition herein amonge Christians, then euer was amonge the Iewes. Wee weighe not the choise of Fishe, or Fleathe: but the burthen of the minde, and the inare of the Conscience.

But, to passe ouer your nédelesse Lenten talke, the Feast, that we sette be- fore

Pre-
sente.

foze the people, is neither drie, nor carrien, (notwithstandinge it liketh you, by your vnciuile termes, so to calle it) but Spiritual, Holy, and Heauenly: I meane, the very Body of Iesus Christe the Sonne of God, to the comfote, and relieuinge of Body, and Soule. And yet, soz as mutche as it so wel delighteth poure eares, to calle it carrien, we refuse not the name. Chrysostome likewise, although in more reuerend, and Ciuile sense, calleth it Carrien. These be his wordes, Vbi est Cadauer, ibi sunt Aquilæ. Cadauer Domini Corpus est propter Mortem. Aquilas autem appellat, vt ostendat, ad alta eum oportere contendere, qui ad hoc Corpus accedit, & nihil cum terra debere illum habere commune, nec ad inferiora trahi, & repere, sed ad superiora semper volare, & in Solem Iustitiæ intueri, mentisque oculum habere acutissimum. Aquilarum enim, non Graculorum, est hæc Mensa: Where as the Carrien is, there are the Eagles. The Carrien is the Body of Christe, in respite of his Deathe. But he nameth Eagles, to shewe, that, who so wil approche to this Body, muste mounte alofte, and haue no dealinge with the Earthe, nor be drawn, and creepe downewarde: but muste euermore flee vp, and behold the Sonne of Iustice, and haue the eye of his minde, quicke, and sharpe. For this is a Table of Eagles, (that flie on highe) not of Iaies (that creepe beneath.)

Chrysostom. 1.
Corin. Hom. 14.

We adde further, But, saie yee, at the Lordes Supper Christe geueth him selfe verily presente. To what purpose? I praeie you. That wee maie eare him (saie yee) by Faith, and Sprite. VVel reasoned forsoothe. Howe sozsothe, *P. Hardinge*, this is Hicke Scorners Logique, farre vnmete soz a man of your graustie.

Haue yee forgotten (saie you) the geuinge to eate, and eatinge that, whiche is geuen, to be Relatiues, one of them hauinge Relation to the other? If Christe doo exhibite him selfe verily present, doothe not he so exhibite, or geue him selfe, as he wilbe receiued? If he geue him selfe verily present, wee take him verily Presente, and then wee eate him verily Presente, not onely by Faith, and Sprite, but by takinge him into our Mouth, and Belly.

Verily, *P. Hardinge*, this, of your parte, was not very wel reasoned. For a man maie haue Christe verily Present, although he haue him not in his mouth.

S. Augustine saith, Habes Christum In Præsenti, per Signum: In Præsenti, per Fidem: In Præsenti, per Baptismatis Sacramentum: Thou haste Christe in the time Presente, by his Signe, or Token: In the time Presente, by Faith: In the time Present, by the Sacrament of Baptisme.

August. in 100
ban. Tract. 4. 50.

Thus many waies we haue Christe Presente, and verily Presente. And S. Augustine imagineth Christe thus to saie vnto the people of Capernaum, Non hoc Corpus, quod videtis, manducaturi estis: Yee shal not eate (with poure bodily mouth) this Body of mine, that you see.

Augu. in Psal 58

We remember wel the relation, that is betwene Geuinge, and Receiuinge: and therefore we maruelle so mutche the more, that you so vnskillfully would abuse it. For, as Christe offered his Body Spiritually to our Faith, and Sprite: Euen so Spiritually, by our Faith, and Sprite, we receiue it. And where yee saie, VVee take Christes Body into our Mouthes, and Bodies, as hauinge forgotten that Christ saith, The wordes, that I haue spoken, be Sprite, and Life. S. Augustine would some haue remoued you from this grosse error. For thus he saith vnto you, Quid paras Dentem, & Ventrem? Crede, & manducasti: What preparest thou thy Toothe, and thy Belly? (This Eatinge is Spiritual.) Beleeue (in Christe) and thou haste eaten.

S. Ambrose saith, Fide tangitur Christus: Fide videtur. Non tangitur Corpore: non oculis comprehenditur: By Faith Christe is touched: By Faith he is seene. He is not touched with Body: He is not seene with eye.

Ambros. in La-
cam. L. 6. ca. 8.

Likewise saith Gregorius Nyssenus S. Bafiles Brother, Ego aliam Escam agnosco: quæ similitudinem quandam proportionis escæ huius Corporis gerat: Cuius voluptas, atque suauitas ad animum solummodò transeat: I knowe an other kinde of Meate, bearinge the Likenesse, and resemblance of our bodily Meate: (soz that this inwardly feedeth the minde, as the other outwardly feedeth the Body) The pleasure, and sweetenesse wherof goeth not into the mouth, or Belly, but passeth onely into the Soule. Thus wee vnderstande our selues, *P. Hardinge*, and deceiue not, as you saie, the Soules that Christe hath boughte. God sozegeue you, and others, that so haue donne, that the Bloude of many be not required at your handes.

Gregor. Nyssen.
De Creatione
Hominis. ca. 20.

Your error (yee saie) in makinge the Presence of Christ in Baptisme, like to his Presence in the

D d

Supper,

Supper, is too grosse. Here is one erreure more, then any of the Learned Catholique Fathers ever note. S Chrysostome saith, *In the Sacrament of Baptisme wee are made Fleashe of Christs Fleashe, and Bone of his Bones.* S Bernarde saith, *Lauemur in Sanguine eius: Let vs be washed in his Bloude.* Leo saith, *Christi Sanguine rigaris, quando in Mortem ipsius Baptizaris: Thou arte washte in the Bloude of Christe, when thou arte Baptized in his Deathe.*

By this it will manifestly appeare, that Christe is Presente at the Sacramente of Baptisme, even as he is Presente at the Holy Supper: One will say, we may be made Flesh of Christs Flesh; and be washt in his Bloude: & be partakers of him: and haue him Presente, without his Presence. Wherefore Chrysostome, when he hath spoken veremently of the Sacraments of the Supper, he concludeth thus, Sic & in Baptismo, Even so as it also in the Sacrament of Baptisme. The Body of Christe is likewise Presente in them bothe. And so; that cause Beda saith, Nulli est aliquatenus ambigendum, tunc vnumquaque Fidelium Corporis Sanguinisque Domini participem fieri, quando in Baptismo Membrum Christi efficitur: *Naman maie doubt, but every Faithfull man is then made partetaker of the Body, and Bloude of Christe, when in Baptisme he is made the Member of Christe.* As so; your Real, and Fleasly Presence, it hath the benne ofte a talker of on your parte, but neuer proved.

What fate, VVee touche n^{ot} Christs Body with our Mouths, or Teethe. VVhat
(fate you) be yee vsed to swallo we downe whole Morfels? Dutche Childish, and wanton
talker, M. Hardinge, specially in the debatinge of maters of Religion, would be better
becomme somme lighter person. We knowe, that, as S. Augustine saith be, Gratia
Christi non Consumitur morsibus: The Grace of Christe is not Consumed by morsels.
We mighte haue made the like answere to S. Augustine: For he saith to you, as I
haue alleged him before: What preparerest thou thy Toothe, and thy Belly? M. Har-
dinge, the Eatinge of the Body of Christe, is a worke Spiritual, and needeth nei-
ther brinsinge of teethe: nor swallowinge of the thynge nor healde of the outwarde,
and bodily mouth. If I knowe thus muche, why doe you dissemble it? If ye
knowe it not, ye com me to rather, to speake of these thinges.

S. Basile *saithe*, Est Spirituale Os Interioris Hominis, quo nutritur recipiens Verbum vite, quod Verbum est Panis qui descendit de Cœlo: There is a Spiritual Mouth of the Inner Man, *by which he is nourished by receiving (Chyffer) the Words of Life, which is the Bread that came from Heaven.*

Againe he saith, Gustate, & videte, quod suavis est Dominus. Saepe observauimus, vires animi habere nomina ab aliqua similitudine Membrorum Corporis. Quoniam ergo Dominus noster est Verus Panis, & eius Caro est Verus Cibus, necesse est, eam voluptatem, quæ ex illius Panis delectatione affertur, in nobis per gustum existere Spiritualem: Taste ye, and see, that our Lorde is gracious. Wee haue oftentimes marked, that the Powers of the minde haue their names, from somme likenesse of the partes of the Body. Therefore seeinge our Lorde is Breaðe in deede, and his Fleashe is Verily Meate, it cannot be chosen, but the pleasure, and sweetenesse of that Breaðe must be wroughte in vs (not by our bodily mouthe, but) by the Spiritual taste of the Soule.

S. Cyprian saith, Esus Carnis eius, quædam est auiditas, & quoddam desiderium
 manendi in eo: *The Eatinge of that Fleashe is a certaine greedinesse, and a certaine desire
 to dwell in him.* S. Augustine saith, Ipse est Panis Cordis nostri: *Christe is the Breaude
 (not of our Bell, but) of our Harte.* And againe, Intus Esuri, Intus Siti: *Hunger
 thou VVithin: Thirste thou VVithin.* Likewise againe he saith, Videte, Fra-

tres, panem Caelestem : Spiritualis Ore manducate : *O my Brethren, behold this Heavens-ly Breaide: Eat it with the Spiritual, or Inner Mouthe of the Minde.*

Thus are we taughte to cate that Spiritual Meate, without chewingge, or swallowingge, or bre, or office of the bodily Mouthe. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Non manducans, manducat: & manducans, non manducat: He that eateth not, eateth: and he that eateth, eateth not.

But Chrysostome saith, *Quod omnium Maximum est, atque Præcipuum, in terra non conspicaris tantum, sed etiam tangis: nec tangis tantum: sed etiam comedis:*

The

The greatest, and woorthiest thinge, that is, thou doste not onely beholde in the Earthe, but also touchest it: Neither doste thou onely touche it, but also eatest it. Here, I beseeche you, of Hardinge, dissemble no longer: but speake plainly. Howe do you beholde the Body of Christe in the Sacramente? With what senses? With what eyes do you see it? If ye saie, with your bodily eyes, why saie you, Christes Body is there Inuisible, covered with Accidentes, and cannot be seene? If ye saie, (as it is in dede) that ye see the same Body onely with the Spiritual eyes of your minde, then ye allege Chrysostome directly, and plainly againste your selfe.

But S. Augustine saith, Habet Fides oculos suos: Faithe hath her eyes, to see withal. And S. Bernard saith, Visio animæ, intellectus est: The seeinge of the Soule, is understandinge. With these eyes wee see Christe, that is to saie, wee understande Christe, or beleue in Christe. S. Augustine saith, Velamen positum erat contra faciem corum, ne viderent Christum in Scripturis: There was a Vele laide ouer their face, that they shoulde not see Christe in the Scriptures.

Chrysostome saith, Non tantum præstare possunt Corporales oculi, qui visibilia cernunt, quantum oculi Spiritus, qui ea, quæ non videntur, neque subsistunt, videre possunt: These bodily eyes, that see thinges visible, cannot do so muche, as the eyes of the Sprite. For these eyes are hable to see the thinges, that be not seene, and haue no beeing. Likewise againe he saith, Oculi animi, etiam si Parietes, etiam si mœnia, etiam si Montium moles, etiam si Cœlorum Corpora compererint obstantia, omnia facile prætercurrunt: The eyes of the minde, notwithstandinge they finde VValles, or Mountaines, or the Bodies of the Heauens to stande againste them, yet wil they easily passe through them. Al. VVith these eyes, saith Chrysostome, wee see that mozte woorthy, and mozte glorious Body of Christ. Therefore he saith, Credamus, & videmus Presentem Iesum: Let vs beleue, and wee see Iesus Present before vs. Likewise S. Hierome saith, Est intelligentia Spiritualis, qua Christus cernitur: There is a Spiritual understandinge, wherewith Christ is seene. Otherwise S. Ambrose saith, Christum nunc secundum Veritatem videre non possumus: As nowe, accordinge to the Truthe, (with bodily sighte) wee cannot see Christe.

Howe, as the Body of Christe is seene, so is it touched: and, as it is touched, so is it Eaten. But it is not seene with Bodily eyes: It is not touched with bodily fingers: For S. Ambrose saith, Fide tangitur Christus: Fide Christus videtur: By Faithe Christe is touched: By Faithe Christe is seene. Therefore the Body of Christe is not Eaten with the bodily mouthe, but onely by faithe, which is the Spiritual mouth of the Soule.

Iudas (ye saie) who had but a meane Faithe, because he receiued the Sacrament, receiued him also. Howe muche is paye Iudas beholden to these monne? Christe calleth him the Child of Perdition. S. Iohn saith, The Diuel was entred into him. Againe Christe saith, He was a Diuel. Therefore this Meane Faithe, that you allowe him, must needs be a very litle prey Faithe: euen such a Faith as ye mighte wel allowe the Diuel.

Yet with the same Meane Faithe (ye saie) receiuinge the Sacramente, he receiued Christe also. First, it is not wel, and thorowly agreed vpon, that Iudas receiued the Sacrament, or was presente at al at the Laste Supper. S. Hilarie saith plainly, He was gone forth about his wicked purpose, and Receiued it not. But be it, that S. Hilarie were deceived, and that Iudas in dede receiued the Sacramente. Yet, as it is saide before, Christes Body is one thinge: and the Sacrament of Christes Body is an other thinge.

S. Augustine saith, Discipuli manducabant Panem Domini: Iudas Panem Domini, contra Dominum: The Disciples did eate the Breade, which is the Lorde: But Iudas did eate (not the Lorde, but) the Sacrament, which is the Breade of the Lorde, againste the Lorde. And yet in plainer sorte he saith, Christus adhibuit Iudam ad Communium, in quo Corporis, & Sanguinis sui Figuram, Discipulis suis Commendauit, & tradidit: Christe admytted Iudas vnto his Table, wher at he gaue, and deliuered vnto his Disciples a Figure of his Body.

Againe S. Augustine saith, as in the Person of Christe, Qui in me non inanet, & Dd ij in quo

Augu. Epist. 84.
Bernard. Medit-
tation, Cap. 6.

Augu. in Euan-
gelium secund.

Lucan. Serm. 49
Chrysost. in Co-
rinth. Homil. 100.

Chrysost. de Verb.
Esaiæ. Vidi Do-
mi. Homil. 3.

Chrysost. in Mar-
cum Homil. 6.

Hieronym. in A-
mos. Lib. 3. ca. 9

Ambros. in Co-
ratione. De Fide

Resurrectionis.

Ambros. in Lu-
cam. Li. 6. ca. 8.

Iohan. 6.

Iohan. 13.

Hilar. in Math.

Canon. 30.

Augu. in Iohan.

Tracta. 19.

Augu. in Psal. 3

Augu. De Iul.

ta. Li. 21. ca. 25.

**Promisse
made to
Faith.**

316

The Defense of the Apologie of the

in quo ego non manco, ne se dicat, aut existimet manducare Corpus meum, aut Sanguinem meum bibere: *He that abideth not in me, and I in him, let him not saie, or thinke, that he either eateth my Body, or drinketh my Bloud.*

The obtention, that we make of the Resurrection of our Bodies, is light, and vaine, and to smal purpose. For if noman shal haue parte in the Resurrection, but onely they that haue receiued the Sacramente of Christs Body, then are al the Holy Fathers, Patriarches, and Prophetes of the Olde Testamente, Abraham, Isaac, Iacob, Moses, Aaron, and such others: then are infinite numbers of Christian Childzen: then are many Godly Martyres, whiche, bringe Baptized in the Bloude of Christe, were taken out of this life, before they coulde receiue the Sacramente, vnderly excluded for euer from al hope of Resurrection, whiche were a thinge so horrible, to enter into Christian cares. But Christe, when he spake these wordes, meante not the receiuinge of the Sacramente, but the Spiritual Eatinge of his Very Body, and the Spiritual Drinkinge of his Very Bloude: Whereof he is made partetaker vnto Resurrection, and Life Euerlastinge, who so euer beleueth in the Deathe of Christe. And therefore S. Basile saith of the Sacrament of Baptisme, Baptisma est vis, & efficacia ad Resurrectionem: *Baptisme is a Power, and a strengthe vnto Resurrection.* But heretofore we shal haue occasion offered to saie moze hereafter.

Further, Howe can yet assure your selues (saie you) that your Faith receiueth the Body of Christe, whiche was neuer promised to your Faith? By the wale, I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, when, or where was Christs Body euer promised to your Mouthe? Merily Christe promised his Body to be receiued by Faith, and by Faith Onely, and none otherwise. For thus he saith, Ego sum Panis ille Vitæ: qui venit ad me, non esuriat: & qui credit in me, non sitiet vnquam: *I am that Breade of Life: He that commeth vnto me, shal neuer hunger: and he that Beleeueth in me, shal neuer thirste.* Here haue you, M. Hardinge, a plaine promise made vnto your Faith. But of your Mouthe, we heare nothinge. If you haue oughte to shewe out of the Scriptures, Doctours, or Countelles, let it appeare, and that in plaine wordes, without colour. Otherwise, if ye coul in wordes, we muste saie, ye haue nothinge. Therefore the Anciente Father Origen saith, Idcirco dicitur Panis Vitæ, vt habeat Gustus Animæ, quod degustet: *Therefore is Christe called the Breade of Life, that (our) Faith, whiche is) the Taste of our Soule, maie haue, what to taste.*

Clement Alexandrinus saith, Comedite Carnes meas, & Bibite Sanguinem meum: Euidenter Fidei, & Promissionis, quod est Esculentum, & Poculentum dicens Allegoricè: *Eate my Fleashe, and Drinke my Bloud: Meaninge hereby vnder an Allegorie, or by waie of a Figure, the Meate, and Drinke, that is of (our) Faith, and (his) Promise.*

Tertullian saith, Eundem Sermonem Christus etiam Carnem suam dixit: quia Scimus Caro factus est. Proinde in causa Vite recipiendus: Deuorandus auditu: Rummandus intellectu: & Fide digerendus est: *The same Wordes, Christe called his Fleashe: For the Wordes was made Fleashe, Therefore, he muste be receiued in cause of Life: He muste be Deuoured by hearinge: He muste be Chewed by vnderstandinge: He muste be Digested by Faith.*

S. Cyprian saith, Quod est esca Carni, hoc est Animæ Fides: *As Meate is to the Fleashe, so is Faith vnto the Soule.* S. Augustine saith, Credere in eum, hoc est, Manducare: Illud Bibere, quid est, nisi viuere? *To Beleeue in him, is to Eate him. That Drinkinge of him, what is it els, but to liue by him?*

I truste, M. Hardinge, it maie appeare hereby, there is somme Promise made heretofore vnto our Faith. Nowe let us see you as euident Promise made to your Mouth, and Belly: and then your Reader happly will beleue you.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 2.
And therefore in celebratinge these Mysteries, the People are to good purpose exhorted, before they comine to receiue the Holy Communion, to liue vp their Hartes, and to directe their mindes to Heavenward: because he is there, by whom we muste be fedde, and liue.

At Har-

Basil. de sancto
Baptismo.

Idem de hoc.

Illoque dicitur

ius est pedes

trip Arctasip.

Iohan. 6.

Origen in Can-

onica. Homil. 2.

Clement in Pe-

dagogico. Lib. 1.

Cap. 6.

Tertul. de Re-

surrectione

Carnis.

Cyprian de Coe-

na Domini.

Augu. in Iohan

Tracta. 26.

Augu. de Verb.

Apostol. serm. 1

M. Hardinge.

I praie you, whiche these Mysteries meane you? Those that ye haue in your newe Communion, or those that wee haue at the altar of God in the Catholike Church of Christe? If yee meane your owne newe diuised toy, thereof yee cannot bringe any sufficient reason againste the Church partly, whiche condemneth the same. If ye meane the Holy Mysteries of the Catholike Church, yee misrepresen the matter. For by that exhortation, ye speake of, (a) the people are not prepared to receiue the Communion onely, nor chiefly: but to dispose them selues accordingly, and as it becommeth them to praie: for that end be these wordes, *Sursum Corda*, vp with your hartes, pronounced by the Priest in the Preface before praier. Reade S. Cyprian in Sermon 6. De Oratione Dominica. And yee shal finde him to referre the whole to Praier. But what if we admitte your wordes, refusinge your Heretical meaninge? VVee graunt, the people are to good purpose so exhorted, as yee saie, and that he is in Heauen, whose fleshe wee feede on in this Sacramente, thereby to attaine to life euerslastinge. VVhat conclude yee of this? Ergo, he is not here? For at that marke yee shoote, euery man Maie see. Here wee tel you, that your Rhetorike is better stuffe, then your Logike: for your argumente is foolish. VVith the one ye maie leade the simple perhaps, with the other ye moue the Learned to laugh at you. For Christe is in Heauen, and also here, as Chrysostome saith, *Et hic plenus existens, & illic plenus, Vnum Corpus*. (b) He is here fully, and there fully, one Body. These two propositions, Christe is in Heauen, and Christe is here, maie wel stande together, without iuttinge the one the other out of place. He is there at the righte hande of the Father visibly, he is here vnder the Formes of Breade and VVine, inuisibly: there in glorie, here in Maiestie: yet as truly and fully here, as there, concerninge his (c) Substance, as Chrysostome saith. Yee proceede forth, and saie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It maie becommen you, M. Hardinge, as wel to calle the reuerende Ministration of Christes Holy Mysteries, a Toie, as to cal the Gospel of Christ, Errour, & Heresie. So likewise Libanius the Heathen, although a man, & I trowe, not of your Profession, saide sommetime, that al the Bookes, either of the Scriptures, or of any of the Christian Fathers, in comparison of Iulianus the Renegates Bookes, were Toies, and Trifles. Howe be it, Sur Toies be the same Toies, that were once vsed, and allowed vniuersally throughout the Catholique Church of God, before youre Masse was euer known, and were then thought to be no Toies.

Touchinge these wordes, *Sursum Corda*, Lifte vp your Hartes (ye saie) they were applied in olde times vnto praier, and not only, or chiefly to the Ministration of the Sacrament, and that, (as ye seeme to saie) by the witnesse of S. Cyprian. As for these emptie wordes, Onely, or Chiefly, ye vse them Onely as a false lighte, to blinde your Reader. For in our Apologic ye founde them not.

Touchinge S. Cyprian, We thought it beste to dissemble, & to thiste his wordes, and to commaunde him to silence. For thus he saith, euen directly againste the whole practise of your Church: *Sacerdos ante Orationem, Praefatione praemissa, parat Fratrum mentes, dicendo, Sursum Corda: vt dum respondet Plebs, Habemus ad Dominum, admoneatur, nihil aliud se, quam Dominum, cogitare debere: Before Praier, the Priest with a Preface prepareth the hartes of the Brethren, saieinge vnto them, Lifte vp your Hartes: that, when the People answereth, VVee lifte them vp vnto the Lorde, they maie be put in minde, so thinke of nothinge els, but of the Lorde.* Where is this Order, M. Hardinge, where is this Ecclesiastical Tradition nowe becommen? Throughout youre whole Territorie of Rome, in what Church, in what Chapele is it kepte? Where doth the people answer the Priest at the Common Praier? Or, where doth the people vnderstande, either the meaninge of the Sacramentes, or any thinge, that is pronounced by the Priest? Or, why are you so vnadvised, to allege manifeste Authorities againste your selfe?

We saie, The people was taught by these wordes, not to consider, what was meante onely by the Sacraments, but to geue attendance vnto the Praier? Wherein your owne Massebooke is easily bable to controlle you. And in the Greeke Liturgies, not before any other publicke Praier, but immediatly before the Holy Ministration, the Priest saith, *Αὐτὸς ὁ Θεὸς ὡς ἡμεῖς λέγομεν. Let vs lifte vp our Hartes.* And Chrysostome saith, *Clamamus in conspectu Sacrificij, Sursum Corda: Wee crie aloud in the sighte of the oblation, Lifte vp your Hartes.* S. Augustine saith, *Sursum Corda habeamus. Si Resurrexistis cum Christo, dicit Fidelibus Corpus, & Sanguinem Domini accipientibus, dicit, Si Resurrexistis cum Christo, quae sursum sunt, sapite: vbi Christus est in dextra Dei sedens:*

D d iij

Quae

(a) Vnto the. Here M. Hardinge misrepreseneth his owne Massebooke.

(b) Christe is in Heauen by the Substance of his Body: and here by workinge, or by Grace.

(c) Vnto the. For Chrysostome neuer, neither wrote so, nor meante so.

Socrat. li. 3. ca. 22
Christianum
libri ridiculi.
Cynizes si
cum Iuliani Li-
bru conferant.

Cyprian in O-
ratione Domini.

Chrysostomus
in Matthea.
Homil. 9.

Aug. in Psal. 39.

A foolish
Argument
in Epist. ad
Rome. Ho-
mil. 17.
Conde is
booke in
Heauen &
also here in
the Sacra-
ment.

Quæ sursum sunt, quærite: non quæ super terram: Let vs liste vp our Hartes. *If yee be risen againe With Christe, he saithe vnto the Faithful, he saithe vnto them, that receiue the Body, and Bloude of our Lorde, If yee be risen againe With Christe sauer those thinges, that are aboue: where Christe is at the Righte hands of God: Seeky for the thinges, that be aboue: not for the thinges, that be in Earthe.* Againe he saithe, In Sacramentis Fidelium dicitur, vt Sursum Corda habeamus ad Dominum: *At the Ministration of the Sacramentes of the Faithful, it is saide, Let vs liste vp our Hartes vnto the Lorde.*

Like wise againe, Inter Sacra Mysteria Cor habere Sursum iubemur: *In the time of the Holy Mysteries, wee are commaunded to Liste vp our Hartes.*

But, what neede was to rebrasse the Ancient Fathers? Your owne late Sette Doctours haue saide the like. And, to leaue the reste, your owne Manipulus Curatorum, that is to saie, the Ordinarie, and Direction of al your whole Clergie, saithe thus, Sacerdos ante Canonem dicit, Sursum Corda: Quasi dicat, quod volens sumere istud Sacramentum, debet habere Cor suum eleuatum ad Deum: *Before the Canon, the Priest saithe, Liste vp your Hartes: As if he woulde saie, who so wil receiue this Sacramente, oughte to haue his Harte lifted vp vnto God.*

These wordes be plaine, and can in no wise be denied. It were wisdome, M. Hardinge, in such cases to auaunche nomore, then y^e knowe. Other wise your wordes wil goe for Toies.

Howe be it, the mater bringe graunted, that these woordes pertaine to the Holy Ministration, yet if wee woulde reason thus, Christes Body is in Heauen. Therefore it is not Really, and Fleashely in the Sacramente, *Y^e saie, VV^e shoulde make a foolish Argument: Our Rhetorike is better stuffe, then our Logike.*

This Argument, M. Hardinge, is taken of the Nature of Christes Humanitie: whiche beinge the Tery Natural Body of a Man, by the Iudgement of the Ancient Fathers, muste needs be in one onely place at one time, and can reache no further. For otherwise it were not a Tery Natural Mannes Body. Therefore S. Augustine saithe, as he is alleged by Gratian, Corpus Domini, in quo Resurrexit, in Vno loco esse oportet: *The Body of our Lorde, wherein he rose againe, must needs be in One place.* Likewise againe he saithe, Christus secundum Præsentiam Corporalem, simul & in Sole, & in Luna, & in Cruce esse non potuit: *Touchinge Bodily Presenct, Christe coulde not be in the Sonne, in the Moone, and vpon the Crosse, al at once.*

And againe, Christus vbique est, Virtute, non Opere: Diuinitate, non Carne: *Christe is euerywhere, By his Power, not in deede: By his Godhed, not by his Fleashe.*

S. Cyril saithe, Christus cum Discipulis suis, etsi non Corpore, tamen Virtute Deitatis semper futurus est: *Christe wil euermore be With his Disciples, by the Power of his Diuinitie: althoughe not in his Body.* Therefore, to conclude, S. Augustine saithe, Videte Ascendentem: Credite in Absentem: Sperate Venientem: Tamen per Misericordiam occultam etiam sentite Præsentem: *Beholde Christe Ascendinge: Beleue in Christe beinge Absente: Truste in Christe, that shal comme againe. And yet by his Priue Mercie feele him Presente.* Belæue, saithe S. Augustine, in Christe, beinge now Absente, and not here.

Thus the Anciente Catholique Fathers were bolde reuerently to reason of Christes Humanitie: yet was not M. Hardinge yet bozne, that shoulde so bitterly charge them with Foolishe Argumentes. Nay, rather Ruffinus saithe, Stulta adinuentio Calumnix est, Corpus Humanum aliud esse putare, quàm Carnem: *It is but a Foolish finding of a Cause, to saie, that the Body of a Man, is any other thing, then Fleashe.*

But Chrysostome saithe, Christe is whole here, and whole there. But Chrysostome in the same place many waies expoundeth his whole meaninge: For thus he saithe, if it woulde haue pleased M. Hardinge to haue sene his wordes, Offerimus quidem, sed ad Recordationem facientes Mortis eius: Hoc Sacrificium Exemplar illius est: Hoc, quod nos facimus, in Commemorationem fit eius, quod factum est. Id ipsum semper offerimus: Magis autem Recordationem Sacrificij Operamur: *Wee offer in deede: But in Remembrance of his Deathe. This Sacrifice is a Token, or Figure of that Sacrifice. The thinge, that wee doo, is donne in Remembrance of that thinge, that was donne*

August. De Bono
Perseueran. li. 2.

Cap. 13.

August. De Bono

Viduitatu. li. 16.

Alexander Has

ten. 4. Quæst. 37

Mem. 1. Artic. 3.

Par. 1. Cap. 9.

De Cons. Dist. 2.
Prima.

August. contra
Faustum. li. 20.

Cap. 11.

Augu. Epist. 221

Cyrl. in Iohan.

Lib. 9. Cap. 22.

Augu. in I. a. 46

Ruffin. in Hies
ronym. Interp.
na Prior.

Chrys. in Epist.
ad Hebr. Nom. 17

donne before. VVee offer alwaie the same thinge (that Christe offered). Nay rather, wee worke the Remembrance of that Sacrifice (that Christe offered). Notwithstandinge, to spare time, this Obiection is answered moze at large in my former Replie to M. Hardinge.

But, whereas M. Hardinge saith, Christes Body is as fully here, as it is in Heauen, concerninge the Substance, as Chrysostome saith, He would, vnder the name of that Holy Father, wilfully warrante a greate Vntruth. For Chrysostome saith not, Christes Body is Presente in Substance: He hath no such wordes: He saith not so: He saith the contrarie: as namelie, where he saith, as it is before alleged, The very Body of Christe it selfe is not in the Holy Vesselles: but the Myserie, or Sacramente thereof is there contained.

Chrysostom. in
Math. Hom. 11.

Touchinge these wordes, Onlesse ye can diuise a betwe Grammare, and make Sursum, to be Deorsum, and contrarie to Nature, turne al thinges vpsidedowne, and thus make poure Construction, Sursum Corda, Looke downewarde, they wil but meanly make for your purpose. S. Hierome saith, Secundus Aduentus Saluatoris in Gloria demonstrandus est. Stultum est ergo illum in paruo, aut abscondito loco querere, qui totius est Mundi Lumen: The Seconde comminge of our Sauour shalbe declared in Glorie. Seeinge therefore he is the Lighte of the Worlde, it is greate folie, to seeke him in any smal Corner. Here, M. Hardinge, S. Hierome saith, It is greate filie to seeke Christe, as you seeke him.

Hieronym. in
Matth. cap. 14.

*This is a
quainte cast of
M. Hardinges
Copie Verbo-
rum.

(a) Nestorius
Heresie floode
neither in
Transubstan-
tiation, nor in
Real Presence.

(b) The mean-
ing is this:

VVee cannot
be fedde, or
nourished by
Christe, as he is
in his Diuine
Nature: but on-
ly by the Faith
that wee haue
in his Fleashe
Crucified.

(c) Vntruth,
guilefully en-
closed: for nei-
ther the Fleashe
of Christe in
proper speache
can be Eaten.

(d) VVee receiue
whole Christe,
God, and Man,
not with our
Mouthe, but
by Faith, and
Sprite.

(e) A fond eua-
sion. For the
grossenesse her-
of stode not
in Christes Bo-
dy, but in the
manner of
Eatinge.

(f) VVith our
mouthe we re-
ceiue Onely the
Sacramente:
with our Faith
wee eate the

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 3.

Cyrrillus saith, when wee comme to receiue thesse Mysteries, al grosse imaginations muste quite be bannished.

M. Hardinge.

VVhy doo yee not by your quotation directe vs to the place, where this saicinge of Cyril maye be founde? * Know ye not that wee haue good cause to suspecte your dealinge? Doo we not almost euerywhere finde you in manifest lies? If yee meane plaine Truthe, why deale ye not plainly? Christes saicinge must needes be true, VVho euil dooth, hateth light. Bicause ye knewe the place maketh directly againste you, and subuerteth your whole Sacramentarie Doctrine, yee thoughte it good policie, to take a woorde or twoo for your purpose, and leaue the reste, trustinge it should not be espied. VVherein yee doo like the Scorpion, that casteth soorth his venim with the spire of his taile, and forthwith creepeth awaie into a hole. VVoulde God as ye abuse the name of Cyril, so yee woulde stande to the Truth by him euen in that place, which yee allege, Substantially declared. VVho listeth to see the place, let him reade Cyrrilles answere to Theodorites reprehension of the eleuenth Anathematisme againste Nestorius. There he sheweth, that Nestorius destroyed the meane of the Vnion of bothe Natures in Christe, to the intende the Body of Christe might be founde but a common Body as men haue, and not a Body proper of the VVoorde, hauing power to viuificate, or quicken al thinges. (a) For Nestorius diuided Christe, and taughte the VVoorde to be the Sonne of God, not of Marye: and Christe Man the Sonne of Mary onely, as Man: and dissolued the whole myserie of the incarnation so, as Christe shoulde be an other certaine Sonne beside the VVoorde. And because the (b) Nature of Godhed cannot be eaten, thereof he talked vainly, and prophanely, that in the Sacrament we eate Fleashe, and not Godhed. For confutation of this detestable Heresie, Cyril bringeth him to the consideration of the Blessed Sacramente, and opposeth him, whether he thoughte, that in the Sacrament Christe bringe (by his Doctrine) Man besides God the VVoorde, wee eate a man, as one would saie, after suche sorte, as the Barbarous people of the newe founde Lande America, called Caniballes, eate one an other. But because that were absurde, and beastly, Cyril saith that we eate not a common Body, though the nature of Godhed (c) properly be not eaten, but that Body which is *Propriu verbi*, the proper Body of the VVoorde, whiche quickeneth al thinges, by receiuinge whereof (d) we receiue whole Christe, God, and Man. And here Cyril laith to Nestorius charge, as though he draue menne to grosse cogitations touchinge this Sacramente. The woordes be these: *Num hominis comestio- nem*, &c. VVhat dooste thou pronounce this our Sacramente to be eatinge of a man (the Greeke is *ἀνθρώπου φάγισιν*) and driuest vnuerently the Minde of them as haue beleued vnto grosse imaginations, and attemptest with humaine imaginations, to treate of those things, which are attained by an onely, pure, and exquisite Faith? These be the grosse imaginations, which Cyril would be bannished at the receiuinge of this Sacrament, and that we eate the Body of Christe, not as beinge a common Body, as the Body of euery mortal Man: And though the Nature of Godhed be not eaten, that yet wee eate that Body, whiche is the proper Body of the VVoorde, that quickeneth al thinge. Nowe as (e) wee haue not that grosse imagination of eatinge a common Body, (whiche of the Greekes, by a terme plainer to the learned, is called *ἀνθρώπου φάγισιν*) when wee receiue this Sacramente: so it is euident by Cyril, that in the same (f) wee eate verily, and in dedde the Body of Christe, the VVoorde incarnate.

D d iiii

incarnate.

Cyrril
guilefully
alleged.
Iohan. 8.
The place
of Cyril
turned vp-
on the De-
fenders.

The Heresie of Nestorius.

The grosse
imaginati-
ons that
Cyril
would to
be eschew-
ed in recei-
uinge the
Blessed Sa-
cramente.

Body of Christe
Incarnate, veri-
ly, & in deede.
(g) O fonde
grossenesse.
Vvee lacke not
Christe: Al-
though wee
haue him not
Fleashely Pre-
sente in our
mouthes.

incarnate. For otherwise his saicings had not made oughte againste the Heresie of Nestorius. And thus receiuinge Christes Body in the Sacramente, wee receiue it truly, and with Faith, and without grosse imaginations. Al this weighed, howe proue yee now, Christe to be so lodged in Heauen, that notwithstanding his owne VVoorde, (g) wee lacke him here in these Holy Mysteries? But let vs con- sider your other Authorities.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Touchinge the quotation in the Margine, escaped in the Printe, that faulte, I truste, shalbe amended. But howe wil you amende poure faulte, P. Hardinge, so often alleginge sutch thinges, as poure Conscience knoweth, to be moste untrue? I wil not wander farre, to put you in minde. In the laste line of your former Di- uision, y^e anouche that vpon Chrysostome, that, you knowe, Chrysostome neuer, neither wrote, nor meante. As for the manifeste Lies, wherewith y^e so sharply, and so often charge vs, though there were some Truthe in your challenge, as there is none, yet mighte not we therein in any wise compare with you. Concerninge the affiance, y^e woulde seme to haue in these wordes of Cyril, y^e thoughte it good shil, thus to croue out with somme courage befoze the fighte.

Art. 8. Diu. 16.

In what sense the Godhed maie be eaten, or not eaten, I haue already saide so mutche, as then seemed sufficient, in my former Keple to your firste Answer. The meaninge of Cyrillus is this, *Wee cannot, neither knowe, nor beleue on, nor saide vpon the Diuine Patience of God, as it is pure, and simple, and in it selfe: But al our knowledge, and al our faith, whiche is our spiritual feedinge, and life, is deriued vnto vs throughe Iesus Christe, and standeth onely, and wholy in this, that the Sonne of God hath taken the very Nature, and Substance of our Corruptible Fleashe, & ioined the same in One Person vnto his Godhed. Therefoze S. Hilarie saith, Cognitus fieri Deus hominibus, nisi assumpto Homine, non potuit. Quia Incognoscibilem cognoscere, nisi per Naturam nostram, Natura nostra non potuit: God coulde not be knowen vnto menne, but by the Receiuinge of Man vnto him. For God that surmounteth al knowledge, Our Nature coulde not knowe, but by the meane of our Nature.* So saith S. Augustine, *Quærebam viam comparandi roboris, quod esset idoneum ad fruendum te: Nec inueni, donec amplecterer Mediatorem Dei, & Hominum, Hominem Christum Iesum: I soughte a waie, to geate strengthe, whereby I might be habile to enioie thee: But I founde it not, before that I embraced Iesus Christe, which is the Mediatour betweene God, and Man.* Againe he saith, *Christus Cibus, cui capiendo inualidus eram, miscuit Carni: Quoniam Verbum Caro factum est, vt infantie nostræ lactesceret Sapientia tua: Christe tempered, and mingled his Meate (whiche is his Godhed) with his Fleashe: which Godhed otherwise I was not habile to receiue. For the VVoorde was made Fleashe, that thy wisdom (O God) might become milke vnto our childehoode.*

*August. Confes-
sionis, Li. 7. ca. 18*

Nestorius Heresie stode not either in Transubstantiation, or in Real Presence: but onely in the dissolution of the Personne of Christe, in that he diuided the Pan- hed of Christe from his Godhed: and so concluded in the ende, that Christe was onely a bare Natural Man, in al respects, as others were. If it had benne so, then coulde we not haue benne saued by the Deathe of Christe, nomoze then by the Deathe of Codrus, and Decius: who bringe nothinge els, but very Natural Menne, gaue them selues to Deathe for the safetie of theire Countries. Acitber coulde we beleue in Christe Crucified: that is to saie, we coulde not Eate, nor Feede vpon the Body of Christe, or haue Life by it. Cyrillus saith, *Quomodo pur- gabit nos ab operibus mortuis? Sanguis enim Communis Hominis nihil habet ma- nus, quam Sanguis Tauri, aut Hirci: Howe then shal Christ deliuer vs from deadly woorkes? For the Bloude of a Common Man hath nothinge more (to wike Saluation) then the Bloude of a Bulle, or a Goate.* And therfoze the same Cyril imagineth Christe thus to saie: *Mortalem Carnem assumpsi: Sed quia Naturaliter Vita existens ha- bito in ea, totam ad meam Vitam reformauit: I haue taken Mortal Fleashe vpon mee selfe. But, for as mutche, as I Naturally beinge Life, dwell in the same, I haue reformed that whole Fleashe vnto my Life.* So saith the Leo, *Verus venetator Dominica Passionis, sic Crucifixum Iesum Oculis Cordis aspiciat, vt illius Carnem suam esse cognoscat:*

*Hieronym. ad
Ephesios, cap. 1*

*Cyrillus ad Re-
ginas: ex Epist.
ad Hebræ.*

*Cyril. in Iohan.
Lib. 4. cap. 18.*

*Leo de Passione
Domini, Serm. 13*

Let the true Worshipping of our Lordes Passion (so beholde Christe Crucified with the eyes of his harte, that he maie vnderstande, that the Fleashe of Christe is his Fleashe. But hercof, I trowe, M. Hardinge wil moue no greate question.

Further it is fully confessed of either side, that we by Faithe Eate the very Body of Christe, not as the Body of any other Common Natural Man, but as the Body of the Sonne of God: and, that the same Body so eaten by Faithe, and none otherwise, geneth Life. But, howe that Body maie be Eaten, therein standeth the whole doubte. Hereunto S. Cyrill answereth thus: Num Hominis Comestionem hoc nostrum Sacramentum pronuntias? Et irreligiose ad Crassas Cogitationes virges mentem eorum, qui crediderunt? Et attentas humanis rationibus tractare ea, quae Sola, Pura, & Exquisita Fide accipiuntur? Doeest thou saie, that our Sacrament is the Eatinge of a Man? And doeest thou vnreuerently, and without Religion force the minde of the Faithful vnto grosse (and Fleashely) cogitations? And goest thou aboute with Natural imaginations to deale those thinges, that be receiued by Onely, Pure, and Perfite Faithe?

Cyrl. Anathes
matf. 20. 11.

Onely by
Faithe.

These wordes same plaine, and free from quarrel. Yet hercof maie growe an other doubte. For, by M. Hardinges Iudgemente, to thinke, that Christes Body is to be receiued into our Mouthes, and Bellies, is no manner grosse Imagination. But we saie, as Cyrillus saith, to auoide al such vncomely, and Fleashely Transities, Christes Body, and Bloude, are a Spiritual Food, and must be receiued, not with Mouth, or Teethe, but with Onely, Pure, and Perfite Faithe.

Concerninge M. Hardinges fantasie, Pope Nicolas vnder a Solemne Protestation woulde haue vs to saie, Ego Corde, & Ore, profiteor, non tantum Sacramentum, sed etiam Corpus Christi in Veritate, sensualiter manibus Sacerdotum tractari, frangi, & dentibus Fidelium atteri: I professe with Harte, and Mouth, that, not onely the Sacramente, but also the Body of Christe it selfe in very Trueth, and in deede is sensibly touched, and broken with the Priestes handes, and torne with the Teethe of the Faithful. But this talke is so sonde, and so utterly void of discretion, that the very Barbarous Glose is saine to control it in this sorte: Nisi sane intelligas (ista) Verba Berengarii, in maiorem incidis Haresim, quam ipse habuit: Onlesse thou wisely vnderstande these Wordes of Berengarius, thou wilt falle into a greater Heresie, then euer be helde any.

De Conf. Diss. 2.
Ego Berengarius

S. Cyrilles wordes be plaine, Sola, Pura, & Exquisita Fide accipiuntur: These thinges be receiued (not by Mouth, but) by Onely, Pure, and Perfite Faithe. Likewise againe he saith, Initium, & Fundamentum in Sanctificationem, & Iustitiam, Christus est, per Fidem, scilicet, & non aliter. Hoc enim modo in nobis habitat: Christe is the Beginninge, and Foundation vnto Holinesse, and Righteousnesse: I meane by Faithe, and none otherwise. For by Faithe Christe dwelleth in vs. So saith Clemens Alexandrinus, Hoc est Bibere Iesu Sanguinem, participem esse incorruptionis eius: This is the Drinkinge of the Bloude of Iesus, to be made partetaker of his Immortalitie. Origene saith, Est ergo ipse vulneratus: Cuius nos Sanguinem bibimus, id est, Doctrinae eius verba suscipimus: He was wounded, whoes Bloude wee Drinke, that is to saie, the wordes of whoes Doctrine wee receiue.

Sola, Pura,
Exquisita
Fide.
Cyrl. in Ioh. 1.
Lib. 4. cap. 39.

Clemens in Pe-
dagog. Lib. 2.
cap. 2.
Origen in Nu-
mer. Homil. 16.
Athana. in illud
Euangelij: Qui-
cunque dixerit
verbum.

But, what is there so plaine, as these wordes of Athanasius? Quot Hominibus sufficisset Corpus eius ad Cibum, vt Vniuersi Mundi alimonia fieret? Propterea Ascensionis suae in Caelum mentionem fecit, vt eos a Corporali intellectu abstraheret: Vnto howe many menne coulde Christes Body haue sufficed for Meate, that he shoulde be the Foode of al the Worlde? Therefore he made mention of his Ascension into Heauen: that he might withdrawe them from Corporal, and Fleashely vnderstandinge.

Here, M. Hardinge, I beseeche you, telle vs by the waie, when ye teache vs, that Christes Body is Fleashely presente: that it is receiued into the Mouth: that it is chewed, and bruised with Teethe: and that it passeth further into the Belly, howe do you withdrawe our mindes from Fleashely, and Corporal vnderstandinge? If this Eatinge be Spiritual, what Eatinge maie be coumpted Corporal? If this be Chastely, what is Fleashely?

Hercof S. Augustine saith thus, Cum videritis Filium Hominis Ascendentem, ubi erat prius, Certè vel tunc videbitis, quod non eo modo, quo putatis, erogat Corpus suum:

Augusti. in Io-
han. Tracta. 17.

August, de Veris
in Dom. Secund.
Inc. Sermon. 33.

suum: Certè vel tunc intelligetis, quòd Gratia eius non consumitur moribus: When yee shal see the Sonne of Man Ascendinge vp, where he was before. Then shal yee see, that he geteth not his body (to be Eaten) in sutch sorte, as you imagine: Then shal yee vnderstand, that his Grace is not consumed by morfelles. And therefore againe he saith, Nolite Fauces parare, sed Cor: Prepare not your lawes, but your Harte.

This is the Verry, True, Spiritual, and Onely Eatinge of Christes Body: and, what so euer fantasie M. Hardinge hath deuised beside, of his Mouthe, and Teethe, is, as S. Cyril saith, a Vaine, Vnreuerende, Grosse, and Fleashely Imagination.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 4.

The Council of Nice, as it is alleged by some in Greeke, plainly forbiddeth vs to be basely affectioned, or bent toward the Breade, and wine, whiche are sette before vs.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruthes,
two together.
Reade the An-
swere.

As for the former parte of the sentence, whiche ye bringe out of the Nicene Council, (a) soundeth nothinge againste the Catholikes, (for they also teache the same): (a) so the later parte is directly contrary to your Doctrine, whiche yee thought good to leaue out, least thereby yee shoulde haue marred your whole matter. Suche nippinge, and roundinge of sentences hath euer benne taken for a marke to knowe Heretikes by. Among wise men suche practise woorthily bringeth you into suspicion of Vntruthes. The wordes of the Council truly reported be these: Let vs not at the Diuine senles be Table basely beholde the Breade and Cuppe sette before vs: but liftinge vp our minde, let vs by Faith vnderstande on that Holy Table to be laide the Lambe of God, that taketh awaie the sinnes of the world, of priestes Sacrificed vnbloudely. And receiuinge his precious Body, and Bloude verily, let vs cō- beleue these to be the pledges of our Resurrection. For in consideration hereof we take not much, or, which but a litle, that wee maie knowe, wee receiue not to fillinge (of the Body) but to Sanctimonie. Take make plain the ende with the beginninge, and what maketh this Decree of that Holy Council for defense of ly for the your Sacramentarie Doctrine? And here, who be more basely affectioned and bent toward the Catholike thinges sette on that Table, yee that make them but Breade, and VVine, or wee, that after Consecra- tion, beleue vnder the formes of Breade, and VVine verily to be made Presente the Body, and Bloude touching of Christe? VVether is a baser exercise, to feede on (b) common Breade, and VVine, and to dwell in the Sacra- the iudgement of the senses, or to eate the very Fleashe of Christ, the Breade of Life, that came downe from Heauen, to immortalitie of the Body, and Soule, to forsake the senses, and folowe the vnder- standinge of (c) Faith? VVell wee agree with you, not to be ouer basely intente to the Breade, and Cuppe. But why doo not ye performe that, as foloweth thereafter your owne allegation out of that Council? VVhy doo yee not with those 118. Holy Fathers, and with the whole Church of Christe vnderstande by Faith on that Holy Table to be laide the Lambe of God, that taketh awaie the sinnes of the world? VVhy doo yee not recante your wicked Doctrine againste the Blessed Sacrifice of the Masse? Reade the whole sentence, ioininge the ende to the beginninge. Saie not al those Holy and Learned Fathers, the Lambe of God, on this Sacred Table (they meane the Altar) to be Sacrificed of the Priestes vnbloudely? Againe, why bringe ye the Christen people from the Body of Christ where by they are redeemed, to a (d) bare peece of Breade, teachinge it to be but the Figure of his Body? Saith not this Council, that wee receiue the precious, Body, and Bloude of our Lorde (e) ἀλλὰ οὐκ ἡ εἰκὼν, that is, verily, and in dedde, (f) whereby in dedde al your Tropes, and Figures be quite excluded? Againe, if these were but Breade, and VVine, as yee teache, woulde the Council saie, that we take them not to facietie, but to Sanctimonie and Holinesse? VVhat Holinesse can wee haue of Breade, and VVine? VVhat Holinesse obtaine wee not by eatinge of the Body of Christe, beinge the proper Body of the VVoorde, or God the VVordes owne Body, that hath Power to viuifcate, and quicken al thinges? Thus we come within you, Defenders, as it were, and claspinge with you, wringe your (g) weapon out of your handes, and with the tother ende of it strike you downe. As it is not harde to vs by Learninge to overthrowe you, so wee beseeche God to strike downe the pride, and stubber- nesse of your hartes, as he did Paule, wherewith ye resist the manifest Truthe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We allege this place, althoughe basely, yet simply, and truly, and without any manner fraude, or guile. But if roundinge, and clippinge of the Holy Fathers be the marke of an Heretique, as it is here notched, then haue we one marke moze wherby to knowe M. Hardinge. For this is his ordinarie vsage, and practise of course.

Touchinge either the Beginninge, or the Ende of this Decree, there is no cause, wherfore any word therein written shoulde of our parte be disssembled. The Holy Fathers in that Council teache vs, vnto to withdraue our eyes from the Breade, and wine, beinge nothinge els, but Creatures transitorie, and corruptible: and by Faith to beholde the very Body of Christe, whiche is Represented in the

(b) Vntruthes,
vile, and sclaun-
derous: VVee
feede not vpon
Common
Breade, and
VVine: VVee
feede vpon the
Very Body, and
Bloud of Christ
(c) Your Faith,
without Gods
VVoorde, is no
Faith.

(d) Vntruth. For
wee teache nei-
ther bare Bread
nor bare Figure
Reade the An-
swere.

(e) VVre receiue
Christe Verily,
and in dedde: al
though neither
Fleashely, nor
with bodily
mouthe.

(f) Vntruth: For
the Learned
Doctours, and
Fathers vsed
commonly the
same Tropes,
and Figures.

(g) O braue
Captaine.

Al Times
& Figures
of the Sa-
cramenta-
ries be ex-
cluded by
Verbe of
the Nicene
Council.

Ad.

in the Mysteries. So like pouerpoise S. Augulline saith, as it is alleged before, *Edemum est Miserabilis animæ Seruitus, Signa pro Rebus accipere: & supra Creaturam Corpoream oculum Mentis ad hauriendum Aeternum Lumen leuare non posse: This is the Miserable Bondage of the Soule, to take the Signes in steede of the thinges, that be Signified: and not to be hable to lifte vp the eie of the Minde, aboue the Corporal Creature, to receiue the Lighte Euerlastinge.* And therefore immediately before the Holy Pnitration, the Priest saith vnto vs, as it is saide before, *Lifte vp your Hartes.* In this sorte the same Fathers spake of the Water of Baptisme: *Baptisma nostrum Oculis Sensibilibus spectandum non est, sed Oculis intellectus. Vides Aquam? Cogita Vim, & Potestatem Dei, quæ in Aquis latet: Our Baptisme maie not be considered with the Sensible, or Bodily Eies, but with the Inner Eies of the Minde. Seest thou the Water? Thinke of the Mighte, and Power of God, that lieth hidden in the Water.* Thus, as in the One Sacramente, they withdraue vs from the Water, euen so in the Other Sacramente, they withdraue vs from the Breade.

But it foloweth in the same Decree, *Lette vs by Faith vnderstande, on that Holy Table to be laide the Lambe of God, that taketh awaie the Sinnes of the Worlde.* If the Ancient Fathers, to the ende to stirre vp, and to enflame the Hartes of the people, had not sometime vsed vehemente phrases, and extraordinary kindes of Speech, Mr. Hardinge mighte many times spare his penne, and keepe silence. But he dothe the Fathers great wronge, that presseth onely their bare wordes, and dissembleth their meaninge. Gregorie Nyssene S. Basiles Brother discretely, and learnedly openeth this whole mater. Thus he writeth: *Cum in habitudine loci alicuius, vel negotij in loco existentis, intelligibilium aliquod extiterit, Abusu id ibi esse dicimus, propter operationem eius, quæ ibi est, locum pro habitudine, & operatione accipientes. Cum enim dicere deberemus, Ibi Operatur, dicimus, Ibi est: When any Spiritual thinge is in the consideration of somme place, or els in consideration of somme mater beeing in a place, Wee saie, the same Spiritual thinge is there, by an Abuse, or by an Extraordinarie use of speache: because of the effecte of that Spiritual thinge, that there is wroughte: takinge the place in steede of Consideration, or Workinge in the Place. For, where wee shoulde saie, There it VVoorke, thus wee saie, There it is.* Euen so where as these Fathers shoulde haue saide, *The Effectes, or Graces, that we haue by Christes Body, are laide before vs vpon the Table, by Abuse of Speech they saie, the Body of Christe is laide vpon the Table.* Likewise saith the Gratian, *Quidam non improbabiler exponunt, Carnis, & Sanguinis Veritatem, Ipsam eorumdem efficientiam, hoc est, Remissionem Peccatorum: Somme menne not without good reason, by the Truthe of Christes Fleashe, and Bloude, vnderstande the Effecte, or Workinge of the same, that is to saie, the Remission of Sinnes.*

And as these Fathers saie, *Christe is Laide vpon the Table, euen so, by like phrase of speache, S. Gregorie saith, Christe is Killed vpon the Table: Christus iterum in hoc Mysterio moritur: Eius Caro in populi salutem patitur: Christe dieth againe in this Mysterie: His Fleashe suffereth for the Saluation of the people.* So saith the Chrysostome, *Vt in Cælo coelatur Deus, sic in Scripturis absconditur: Non omnes intelligunt Deum Veritatis, positum in Scripturis: As God is couered in Heauen, so is he couered in the Scriptures. Almenne vnderstande not God, that is laide in the Scriptures.* So saith the Athanasius, *Est etiam in Verbis Scripturarum Dominus: Our Lorde is in the Wordes of the Scriptures.* So saith the S. Hierome, *Supra nudam metuis humum exesa Ieiunij membra collidere. Sed Dominus tecum iacet: Thou arte afraid to laie thy Body, worne out with Fastinge, vpon the bare grounde. Yet the Lorde Lieth there with thee.* As gaime, *Nudus, atque esuriens ante fores nostras Christus in paupere moritur: Christe naked, and hungrie, lieinge before our gate, dieth in the poore.*

And to leaue other like sayings, and sentences of the Anciente Fathers, Pope Adrian saith in poure late Council of Nice, *In Scripturis Sanctarum Imaginum Agnus Præcursoris digito ostensus, depingitur: In the Scriptures of the Holy Images, is painted the Lambe of God, that Iohn Baptiste shewed with his finger.*

These sayings muste be qualified with a gentle Exposition: and made not be
rochte

August. de Do-
ctrina Christia-
na. Lib. 3. cap. 9.

Council. Nicen.
ὁ δὲ ἁγίος:
ὡς ἔστιν πρὸς τὸ
τοῦ ὕδατος
καὶ τὸ τοῦ
τῶν ὁρῶν.

Gregor. Nyssen.
De Anima, ca. 11

De Conf. Dist. 1.
specter.

De Conf. Dist. 2.
Quid sit.

Chrys. in Matth.
Homil. 4.

Athana. De In-
terpreta. 1. salm.
Hieronym. De
Vita Eremitica,
Hieronym. ad
Cauderium.

De Conf. Dist. 3
Sextam Sanctā

The Labe
laide vpon
the Table.

Chryso. in Epist.
ad Galat. Cap. 3

324

The Defense of the Apologie of the

rachte extremely to the sounde, and rigoure of the Letter. Chrysostome thus expoundeth these wordes of S. Paule to the Galathians: Quibus Christus ante Oculos prius depictus erat, in vobis Crucifixus. Atqui Christus non apud Galathas, sed Hierosolymis fuit Crucifixus. Quomodo igitur dicit, in vobis? Vt ostendat vim Fidei, quæ potest etiam procul disita cernere: iudicans, quod illi oculis Fidei, exactius cernerent, quam nonnulli, qui præsentibus adfuerant, eaque, quæ gerebantur, conspexerant: *Vnto whom Christe was painted out, and Crucified amonge you before your Eyes. Yet was not Christe Crucified in Galathia, but at Hiernsalem. Howe then saith S. Paule vnto the Galathians, Christe was Crucified amongst you? His meaninge was, to shewe the strengthe of Faith, which is hable to see thinges, though they be farre away: and that they by the Eyes of Faith, sawe the Deathe of Christe more plainly, and perfectly, then many, that were presente at his Deathe, and sawe al that was donne.*

Thus the Fathers in this Council saide, Christes Body is laide foorth vpon the Communion Table, that is, not vnto our bodily Eyes, or senses, but vnto the eyes of our Faith: I meane by waie of a Mysterie, or Sacramente: but not verily, or in deede. In this sense S. Augustine saith vnto the people, Vos estis in Mensa: Vos estis in Calice: *You are laide vpon the Table: You are in the Cuppe.* As the people is laide vpon the Table, so is Christes Body laide vpon the Table: And as the people is in the Cuppe, so is Christes Bloude in the Cuppe. The people is there by waie of a Mysterie: euen so is Christes Body and Bloude there by waie of a Mysterie, and none otherwise. Thus, M. Harding, wæ withdrowe not þe people of God, as yæ vntuelp saie, from Christes Body to a pæce of Bzeade: But rather wæ leade them, as the Anciente Fathers euer did, from the Creature of Bzeade, to the Beholdinge, Receiuinge, and Eatinge of Christes very Body, and Bloude.

De Con. Dist. 2.
Quia passus.

Art. 17. Dist. 14.

In what sort, and sense Christe is nowe Unbloudily Sacrificed by the Priest, for shortnesse sake, gentle Reader, I muste referre the vnto my former Replie to M. Harding.

Howe the Holy Mysteries be pledges of our Resurrection, it hath partly bene saide befoze, and partly shalbe saide hereafter moze at large.

Artic. 4. Dist. 8

August. De Ci-
uit. Li. 21. Cap. 21.

Howe wæ made receiue the Body of Christe in deede, and verily, without elther Transubstantiation, or Real Presence, I haue bothe touchede befoze in this Treatie, and also in my former Replie. Certainly S. Augustine saith, The Receiuinge of Christes Body in deede, and the Receiuinge of the Sacramente, are sondre thinges. Thus he writeth, Ostendit Christus, quid sit, non Sacramento tenus, sed re vera Corpus Christi Manducare, & eius Sanguinem Bibere: *Christe sheweth, what it is, so Eate his Body, and to Drinke his Bloude, not by waie of Sacramente, but verily, and in deede.* For the Eatinge of the Sacrament with Mouthe, and Towhe, is not the very True Eatinge of Christes Body: but a token, or Mysterie of the same. S. Augustine saith, Qui Manducat Intus, non Foris: qui Manducat in Corde, non qui premit Dente: *He, that Eateth VVithin, not he, that Eateth VVithout: He, that Eateth (Christes Body) in his Harte, not he that bruiseth (the Sacramente) with his Toothe.* Who so by Faith receiue the Body of Christe, receiue it Substantially, Really, Verily, and in deede: and dwelleth in Christe, and Christe in him. Thus is Christes Body receiued, as these Holy Fathers saie, not to the fillinge, or contentation of the Body, but vnto the Holinesse, and Sanctification of the minde.

Augustin. in Io-
han. Tracta. 26.

Threfo in En-
nucha.

August. ad Pas-
cent. Epist. 174.

Thus yee comme within vs, M. Harding, as yæ saie: and claspe with vs: and ouerthrowe vs by Learninge: and wringe our weapon out of our handes: and with the other ende thereof yee strike vs downe. He thinketh, I heare one saie, Denique metuebant Omnes iam me: If some handsome Gnato stode by you, I trowe, wæ should haue a pretty plate. But vn sensible menne are wæ, that saie none of these deadly blowes. I wil answer you, as S. Augustine sometime answered Pascentius the Arian Heretique, Facile est, vt quisque Augustinum vincat: videris, vtrum Veritate, an Clamore: *It is an easie matter to ouercome Augustine: But see you, whether it be by Truthe, or by noise of woordes.* And againe, Non bonum Homini est, Hominem vincere: Sed bonum est Homini, vt cum Veritas vincat volentem: quia malum est Homini, vt cum Veritas vincat inui-
tum,

rum. Nam ipsa vincat necesse est, siue negantem, siue confitentem: *It is not good for a man, to conquer a man: but it is good for a man, that the Truthe maie Conquere him with his good wil. For it is il for a man, that the Truthe shoulde conquere him againste his wil. But whether he wil, or wil not, the Truthe muste needs Conquere him.*

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 5.

And, as Chrysostome very aptely writeth, wee saie, that the Body of Christe is the Deade Carkeffe, and vvee our selues muste be the Egles: meaninge thereby, that wee muste flie on highe, if wee wil come to the Body of Christe. For this Table, as Chrysostome saith, is a Table of Egles, and not of Iaies. Cyprian also: This Breade, saith he, is the foode of the Soule, and not the meate of the Belly.

M. Hardinge.

In deede Chrysostome saith, as ye reporte. But Sirs, what meane ye? To Eate the Body of Christ, whiche is the deade Carcas, in respecte of his deathe (for onlesse he had fallen, wee had not risen againe) muste wee so be Egles, as we vse no office of mans Body to this kinde of Eatinge? Muste wee flie so hie, as we looke not to finde this Body in Earthe? Can wee not Eate this Body, excepte wee flie vp to Heauen? Can we not come by it, but there? Can we not Eate him, but there? Yes forsothe.

1st Cor. 10. Ho- mil. 24. What Egles muste we be, and howe he muste wee flie to come to the Eatinge of Christes Body. What is meant by the Egles flight. Chrysostome acknowledge. Chrysostome saith. Cyprian alleged by the Defenders to no purpose.

(a) VVee neede not goe out of the Earthe for the matter. For Chrysostome him selfe in the same Homilie, from whence ye fetch this, saith, that whiles wee be in this life, this Myserie is cause, that

the (b) Earthe to vs is become Heauen. He that desireth to knowe, what Egles wee muste be, and howe he muste flie to come to the Eatinge of this Body woorthely, let Chrysostome euen there ex-

pounde Chrysostome. He nameth Egles (saith he) to shewe, that he muste get him vp on highe, that commeth to this Body, and that he muste haue nothinge to doo with the Earthe, neither be

drawen downward to base thinges, and creape, but alwaies flie vpwarde, and beholde the Sonne of

righteousnesse, &c. VVill yee yet heare him more plainly declare, what he meaneth by this highe

Egles flight? VVipe awaie (saith he) all filthe from thy Soule, prepare thy minde to receiue these

Myseries. If the Kinges Childe arraied in purple and Diademe were deliuered vnto thee to beare,

wouldest thou not caste downe on the grounde all that thou holdest, and receiue him? But nowe,

when thou receiuest not the Kinges Childe, (c) but the onely begotten Sonne of God, tell me, I praie

thee, arte not affraide? And doest not caste awaie all loue of worldly thinges, and garnishe thy selfe

with him onely, but doest thou yet looke downe on the Earthe, arte thou yet in loue of thy money?

arte thou yet geuen to the Earthe? If it be so, what forgiuenesse, what excuse shalte thou finde? This

spirituall flyinge vp requireth Chrysostome: and yet in that Homilie he declareth (d) the Body of

Christe to be presente here in Earthe, meaninge in this Holy Sacramente, yea that very Body, whiche

was Nayled, Beaten, whiche was not overcome by Deathe, whiche the Sunne seinge Crucified, tour-

ned awaie his beames, for whiche the vail of the Temple was rente asunder, stones, and all the Earth

quaked, the Body that was made al Bloudy, and being thruste in with a speare, powred forth Foun-

taines of Bloude and VVater to al the worlde healthful. Thus ye see, howe farre Chrysostome is

from your strange Doctrine, concerninge the very and (e) Real presence of Christes Body in the Sa-

cramente of the Aulter. Of whiche Aulter, and of the Sacrifice of that Body made and offered by the

Priest, and of the Adoration due thereto, in that Homilie he speaketh moste plainly. That to proue

your purpose, yee muste seeke for an other Homilie, whiche yee are neuer like to finde: for Chrys-

ostome serueth not your turne. As neither Cyprian, whome yee alleage, and againste whome, God

knoweth. Verely wee confesse with Holy Cyprian, and the Fathers of the Nicene Councel, that the

Body of Christe, whiche wee receiue in the blessed Sacrament, is the foode of the Soule, and not com-

mon meate to fille the panche. And therefore of the outward Formes we take but litle, (f) accord-

ing to the Nicene Decree, acknowledginge, that spirituall foode to serue to Sanctimonie, not to Sa-

ciue. Lastely ye bringe for you S. Augustine in these woordes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here M. Hardinge casteth his colours, to shadowe that thinge, that wil not be hidde. Whereas Chrysostome saith, Thou receiuest not the Kinges childe, but the onely begotten Sonne of God, he so racketh, and presseth these wordes, as if the Fathers in their writings had neuer used any kinde of figure: and as if we were bounde vnder paine of damnation, to receiue what sentence so ever they haue spoken, accord- inge to the very rigoure, and extremitie of the Letter.

But the better to disclose the sensible grossenesse of this stroure, I truste, Gentle Reader, it shal not be, neither paineful, nor vnprofitable for thee, to consi- der a fewe other sutch examples, and phases of speache, commonly, and familiarly

(a) O Earthly Diuinitie:

Then haue you litle to doo in Heauen.

(b) Not by pul- linge Christe downe to vs: but by liftinge vp our Hartes to him.

(c) VVith thy Faith, not with thy Mouth.

(d) Vntruth. For Chrysostome speaketh onely of the Presence of Grace: of this grosse & fleshly Presence, he saith nothinge.

(e) Vntruth. For Chrysostome not once nameth Real Pre- sence. Reade the Answer.

(f) Vntruth. For the Nicene Councel maketh no men- tion of any sutch Fourmes. And, beinge but idle Fourmes, and Shewes, howe are they a Spi- ritual foode, or how can they serue to make you Holy.

To Receiue, or Beare Christe.

Chryso. in Epist. ad Colossen. Homil. 10.

Cyrill. in Iohann. Lib. 2. Cap. 36.

August. in Apocalyp. Homil. 11.

Chryso. De sacerdot. Lib. 3.

Ambros. De Iosepho Patriarcha.

Ambros. De Fuga Sacerdot. Ca. 9.

Athan. Oration.

Prima Contra Arianos.

Chryso. in 2. Timoth. Hom. 2.

August. De Doctrina Christiana. Li. 4. Ca. 16.

Cyrillus. in Evangelio Iohann. Lib. 3. Cap. 34.

Historia Longobardica. Legenda. 19.

Fortalium Fidelis. Lib. 1.

Chryso. ad Populum Antiochenum. Homil. 16.

August. De Salutaribus Documentis. Cap. 16.

August. De Civitate Dei. Lib. 18. Cap. 48.

used by the Catholique Learned Fathers to like purpose. Therefore, as Chrysostome saith, we receive in the Holy Mysteries the Onely begotten Sonne of God, meaninge by Faith Onely, not by Mouthe, even so he writeth of the Sacramente of Baptisme, Si quis tibi Purpuram, aut Diadema dedisset, nonne pro quouis auro accipisses? Ego vero tibi non Ornatum Regium tribuam, sed ipsum Regem induendum exhibeo. Et quomodo, inquit, Christum poterit quis induere? Audi, quid Paulus dicat: Quotquot in Christum Baptizati estis, Christum induistis: If any man had geuen thee a Princely Robe, or a Crowne, wouldest thou not set more by it, then by any Golde? But I wil geene thee, not a Princely Robe, but the Prince him selfe, to put vpon thee. Thou wilt saie, howe maie a man put on Christe vpon him? Heare, what S. Paule saith: As many of you, as are Baptized in Christe, haue put on Christe. Here Chrysostome teacheth vs, that in Baptisme we receiue, not onely the Kinges princely Robe, but also the Kinge him selfe. S. Cyril saith, Discant omnes, ne, antequam firmiores sint Catechumeni, Christum eis, antequam oporteat, Baptismi Mysterio commendent: Let al menne take heede, that they deliuer not Christe, in the Sacramente of Baptisme, vnto the Beginners, or Nouices, before they be stronge in the Faith, and before it be conuenient.

S. Augustine saith, Sancti Christum accipiunt in Manu, & in Fronte: Holy men receiue Christe bothe in their Hande, and in their Foreheade. S. Chrysostome saith, Adest Sacerdos, non Ignem gestans, sed Spiritum Sanctum: The Priest is presente, Beare, not Fire, but the Holy Ghoste.

S. Ambrose saith, Portant in Typo Christi munera: portaturi in Euangelio munera Largitorem: They carrie Christes giftes in a Figure: In the Gospel they shal carrie (Christe him selfe) the geuer of the giftes. And againe, Mortem non timebis, si geras Christum: Thou shalt not feare Deathe, if thou beare Christe.

Athanasius saith, Apud nos seruatur Puerulus ille, quem Herodes interficere satagebat: The same Childe is keapte amongest vs, whome Herode laboured so earnestly to destroe. S. Chrysostome saith, Credo Apostolo Paulo, Christum in se loquentem circumferenti: I beleue the Apostle Paule, carryinge Christe aboute speakinge within him.

S. Augustine saith, Concionatores tradunt Christum Discipulis: The Preachers deliuer Christe vnto their Learners. S. Cyril saith, Verum Manna Christus erat: qui per Figuram Mannæ Priscis illis à Deo dabatur: Christe was the very true Manna: Whome vnder the Figure of Manna God gaue vnto the Fathers of the Olde Testament. It is writen in S. Hardinges owne Legende, Christophorus portauit Christum in Humeris, & in Ore per Confessionem: Christopher bare Christe on his shoulders: and he bare Christe in his Mouthe by his Confession.

An other of his Doctours saith, Christus venditus fuit in Iosepho: Ligatus in Sampsono: Suspendus in Botro, & in Serpente Aeneo: Crucifixus in Isaaco: Christe was solde in Ioseph: He was bounde in Sampson: He was Hanged vp in the Cluster of Grapes, and in the Brasen Serpente: and he was Crucified in Isaac.

Chrysostome saith, Quocunque quis peruenerit, Paulum videbit vbique in omnium Ore circumferri: Whither so euer a man come, he shal see Paule carried aboute euery where, in the Mouthe of euery man. And to ende this longe rehearsal, S. Augustine saith, Detraitor Diabolum portat in Lingua: The Sclaunderer carrieth the Diuel vpon his tongue.

I doubt not, but S. Hardinge wil better bethinke him selfe, and not requyre vs, to take at these sayings, without any manner of sauourable exposition, barely, and nakedly, as they lie. Otherwise he must needs encombe him selfe with a great number of inconueniences.

Of these phrases, and manners of speache, S. Augustine geueth his iudgement in this sort: Omnia significantia videntur quodammodo earum rerum, quas Significant, sustinere personas: Al Signes, or Tokens seeme in a manner to beare the persones of the thinges them selfe, that they Signifie. As for example he saith, Sicut dictum est ab Apostolo. Petra erat Christus: quoniam Petra illa, de qua hoc dictum est, Significabat Christum: So the Apostle saith, The Rocke was Christe: For that the Rocke,

Rocke, whereof it was spoken, Signified Christe.

Likewise Athanasius saith, Qui Regis Imaginem videt, Regem videt: & dicit, En tibi Regem. Neque tamen duos Reges constituit: neque Imaginem particulam esse Regis, neque Regem particulam Imaginis esse iudicat: He, that seeth the Image of a Kinge, seeth the Kinge: and saith, Beholde there is the Kinge. And yet (so saieinge) he maketh not twoo Kinges: Nor thinketh he, either that the Image is a parcel of the Kinge, or that the Kinge is parcel of the Image.

Athan. Oratio. Contra Sabellij Gregales.

S. Gregorie in plainer wise saith thus, Eundem Agnum Iohannes ostendendo, Esaias prauidendo, Abel offerendo loquutus est. Et quem Iohannes in ostensione, quem Esaias in loquutione, Hunc Abel Significando in Manibus tenuit: Iohn the Baptiste by pointinge with his finger, Esaias by foreseeinge, Abel by offeringe, spake al three of One Lambe. And Abel by Signifieinge, helde the same Christe in his handes, whome Iohn helde by pointinge, and whome Esaias helde by Prophecieinge. Againe he saith, Intus ad Christum respicit, quem per considerationem portat in Corde: Inwardely he beholdeth Christe, whom by Meditation he Beareth in his Harte.

Gregor. in 1. Tob. Lib. 29. Cap. 16.

Gregor. in 1. Regum. Cap. 42. Li. 2.

Sutche Amplifications, and vehemente, and extraordinary speeches, notwithstandinge in some cases they may be dangerous, yet oftentimes they seme necessarie, the better to quicken the dulnesse, and negligence of the people. And therefore Chrysostome saith, Beholde, The Lambe of God is slaine: The Bloude euen now is drawn from his side: and the whole people is coloured, and made redde, and Bloudy with the same: Not for that it was so in dede: but to lifte vp, and to withdrawe the hartes of the people, from the outward Corruptible Creatures of the Breade, and Wine, to a Spiritual, and Mystical vnderstandinge, that is to saie, to the Eatinge, and Drinkinge of the very Body, and Bloude of Christe. And to that ende he saith, Aquilas appellat, vt ostendat, ad alta eum oportere contendere, qui ad hoc Corpus accedit: He calleth vs Eagles, to shewe, that he muste mounte on highe, and flee alofte, who so wil approche neare to that Body.

Chrysost. De sacerdot. Lib. 3.

Likewise he saith, In Coelum vocat nos, ad Magni Regis Mensam: Christe calleth vs vp into Heauen, vnto the Table of the greate Kinge. And againe, Illum Sursum sedentem hic degustamus: Wee beinge here beneath, taste him sittinge in Heauen aboue. So saith S. Hierome, Ascendamus cum Domino in Coenaculum Magnum, stratum, atque mundatum: & accipiamus ab eo Sursum Calicem Noui Testamenti: Let vs Ascende vp with our Lorde into that greate Dininge Chamber adourned, and made cleane: and there aboue let vs receiue of him the Cuppe of the Newe Testamente.

Chrysost. in 1. Corinth. Homil. 24.

Chrysost. ad Popul. Antiochen. Homil. 61.

Hieronym. ad Redebian.

August. Quaes. Evangelicar. Lib. 1. Cap. 42.

So saith S. Augustine, Vbi fuerit Corpus, illuc Congregabuntur Aquilæ, id est, in Coelum: Illuc Congregabuntur Aquilæ: dictum est de Spiritualibus, qui eius Passionem, Humilitatemq; imitando, tanquam de eius Corpore saturantur: Where as the Carkeffe shalbe, thither shal the Eagles resorte together: that is to saie, Into Heauen: Thither shal the Eagles resorte together: This is spoken of the Spiritual Faithful menne, that followinge his Passion, and Humilitie, be filled, as it were, with his Body.

De Con. Diss. 2. in quibus.

So saith Leo, Circa hoc Corpus Aquilæ sunt, quæ alis circumuolant Spiritualibus: Aboute this Body there are Eagles, that flee aboute with Spiritual whinges.

Thus are the Faithful made Eagles: Thus is the Earthe become Heauen: Not for that Christes Body is pulled downe, as S. Hardinge imagineth, but for that our mindes, and affections be lifted vp. For so Chrysostome him selfe expoundeth his owne meaninge: Hodie nobis Terra facta est Coelum, non stellis de Cælo in Terram descendentibus, sed Apostolis ad Cælum Ascendentibus. Quia effusa est Copiosa Gratia Spiritus Sancti: & vniuersum Orbem operata est Cælum: non immutans Naturam, sed voluntatem emendans: This daie the Earthe is made Heauen: not by the Starres comminge downe vpon the Earthe: but by the goeing vp of the Apostles into Heauen. For the abouandante Grace of the Holy Ghoste is powred out, and hath turned the whole VVorlde into Heauen: not by changinge of Nature, but by correctinge the wil of Man.

Chrysost. in Homil. De Remissione.

Likewise againe he saith, Apostoli in Terra constituti, in Cælo conuersabantur. Et quid dico, in Cælo? Altiores erant Cælo: etiam alio Cælo: Et ad ipsum Dominum peruenerunt: The Apostles, dwellinge in the Earthe, had their conuersation

Chrysost. ad Hebræos, Hom. 16.

To touch,
to Holde
Christe.

Chryso. De Ter-
niten. Homil. 6.
Chryso. in 1. Co-
rinth. Homil. 24.

328

The Defense of the Apologie of the

in Heauen. But what saie I, In Heauen? They were higher then Heauen: yea then the Se-
conde Heauen: and came euen vnto the Lorde him selfe. Againe he saithe, Dauid
Terram in Cœlum vertit: & Homines facit Angelos: Dauid turneth the Earthe into
Heauen: and of Menne he maketh Angels. Againe he saithe in this selfe same place
by M. Hardinge alleged: Vt Terra nobis Cœlum sit, facit hoc Mysterium. Ascende
igitur ad Cœli Portas, & diligenter attende: Imò non Cœli, sed Cœli Cœlorum: &
tunc, quod dicimus, intueberis: This Mysterie causeth, that vnto vs the Earthe is Heauen.
Ascende vp therefore vnto the Gates of Heauen: and marke diligently. Naie, I saie not,
Vnto the Gates of Heauen: but, Vnto the Gates of the Heauen of Heauens. And so shalt
thou see the things, that I tel thee.

Nowe Iudge thou, good Christian Reader, howe true it is, and howe agréable
with S. Chrysostomes Doctrine, that M. Hardinge saithe, Muste wee flee so highe, that
wee looke not to finde this Body in Earthe? Can wee not Eate this Body, excepte wee flee vp into
Heauen? Can wee not comme by it, but there? Can wee not Eate him, but there? Yes forsoothe: VVe
neede not goe out of the Earthe for the matter. If it be so, then maie you rest in the Earth,
and carrie there still, and neuer trouble your eyes, to loke vp to Heauen.

Verily, Chrysostome, when he saithe, Wee receiue the Sonne of God, maketh men-
tion, neither of Transubstantiation, nor of Real, and Fleashefly Presence, nor of
Eatinge with Mouthe, or Tasting: But onely sendeth vs to the sojce, and workinge
of Faith, and Spirit: whereby onely, and by no waie els, we receiue and Eate in
deede, and Verily the Body of Christe.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 6.

In Iohn, Tra-
cta. 30.

And S. Augustine saithe, Howe shal I holde him, beinge Ab-
sent? Howe shal I reache my hande vp to Heauen, to laie holde vp-
on him sittinge there? He answereth, Reache thither thy Faith, and
then thou hast laide holde on him.

M. Hardinge.

* And by Faith
Onely wee re-
ceiue Christe in
the Sacrament.

* He speaketh
not of the re-
ceiuinge of the
Sacrament: but
he speaketh of
the receiuinge
of the Very Bo-
dy of Christe:
whiche is
wrought onely
by Faith.

Vpon these woordes of S. Iohn, The Bishoppes and Phariseis gaue Commandement, that
if any knewe, where Iesus were, he shoulde shewe it, that they mighte apprehende him, S. Augu-
stine expoundinge the same, in a contrary sense, saithe: Let vs now shewe the Iewes, where Christe
is: woulde God they woulde heare, and laie holde on him. VVhere he speaketh not of receiuinge
Christe, so as wee receiue him in the Sacrament, * but of receiuinge him by Faith Onely. And there
he wisheth and exhorteth the Iewes to comme to the Faith, and teacheth them howe they maie
profitably laye holde on Christe, whome their Forefathers laide holde on with violent handes to
their damnation. Lette them comme to the Church (saith he) let them heare, where Christe is,
and laie holde on him. After certaine woordes, he maketh this obiection to him selfe: VVell, the
Iewe answereth me, howe shal I holde him, that is Absente: Howe shal I reache vp my hande to
Heauen, that I maie laie holde on him, whiche sitteth there? Reache thither thy Faith (saith Au-
gustine) and then thou hast laide holde on him. Then foloweth in the same line, that, which plaine-
ly declareth all this to be meante of layinge holde of Christe by Faith, * not by receiuinge the Com-
munion. *Parentes tui tenuerant carne, tu tene corde*. Thy Forefathers (thou Iewe) tooke
holde on Christe in Fleashe: take thou holde on him in thy Harte. There he sheweth howe Christe
maie be holden, though concerninge the Visible and Sensible presence of his Body, he be in Heauen
at the Right hande of the Father. All this, and what so euer is saide there, vpon the Texte before re-
cited, implieth not so mutche as any colour of argumente againste the Truthe of Christes very Body
in this moste Blessed Sacramente. And thus all your allegations and reasons concerninge this matter
be sufficiently answered.

S. Augu-
stine spea-
keth not of
receiuinge
Christe in
the Sacra-
ment, but
by Faith
onely.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It is true, that M. Hardinge saithe, S. Augustine in this place speaketh nothing
of purpose and specially of the Sacramente. Certainly it had benne grate vanti-
tie for him, to wll his Hearers, to sake for the Sacramente in Heauen above. But
he speaketh of the embracinge, and holdinge the very Body of Christe, beinge nowe
in Heauen. Whiche thinge is wrought onely by Faith, and none otherwise: Whe-
ther it be in the Sacramente, or without the Sacramente. Infinite sentences haue
benne vttered by the Holy Fathers to like purpose.

August. Aduers
sa Iudeos. ca. 9

S. Augustine saithe, Accedite ad eum, & illuminamini: Quid est, Accedite, nisi,
Credite? Comme vnto him, and receiue the Light: What is, Comme vnto him, but, Beleue,
in him?

in him? Againe, Ambulando non laborabit: Ibi enim Acceditis, vbi Creditis: Your walkinge shal not be painefull to you: For there yee Comme to him, where yee Beleeue in him.

Againe he saith, Exijt de Manibus eorum: Non enim apprehendere eum potuerunt, quia Manus Fidei non habuerunt: Christe departed out of their handes. For they could not laie holde on him, because they had not the Handes of Faith. Againe, Christus non recte tangitur: id est, non recte in eum Creditur: Christe is not wel touched: that is to saie, Christe is not wel Beleeued. Likewise againe he saith, Sunt in Corde Spirituales Manus: There be certaine Spiritual Handes in the Harte. And therefore S. Ambrose saith, Stephanus in Terris positus, Christum tangit in Caelo: Steuin beinge in the Earthe, (by Faith) toucheth Christe beinge in Heauen.

But as S. Augustine so often saith, wee Embrace, and Holde Christe by Faith: so is not M. Harding able to shewe, that he euer once saide, We holde Christe with Mouthe, or Teethe, or receiue him downe into Our Bellies. And therefore S. Ambrose saith, Non Corporali tactu Christum, sed Fide Tangimus: VVee touche not Christe by Bodily touchinge: but wee touche him by Faith. And againe, Fide Christus Tangitur: Fide Christus videtur. Non Corpore Tangitur: non Oculis comprehenditur: By Faith Christe is touched: By Faith Christe is scene. He is not touched with our Bodies: He is not holden with our Eies. Likewise S. Augustine saith, Dominus consolatur nos, qui ipsum iam in Caelo sedentem Manu contrectare non possumus: Sed Fide contingere (possumus). The Lorde Comforteth vs, that cannot nowe Touche him with Hande, sittinge in Heauen: But by Faith wee maie touche him.

Nowe, for as muche as M. Harding saith, S. Augustine in this place maketh no mention of the receiuinge of Christes Body in the Sacramente, it shal not be from the purpose to consider, what he hath writtten otherwheres, touchinge the same. Thus he saith, Christus est Cibus noster, quo nihil dulcius: Sed si quis habeat Palatum sanum in Corde: Christe him selfe is our Meate, then whiche meate there is nothinge more sauerie: so that a man haue a sounde taste in his Harte. Againe he saith, Dominus dixit se Panem, qui de Caelo descendit, hortans vt Credamus in eum. Credere enim in eum, hoc est Manducare Panem viuum. Qui Credit in eum, Manducat: Inuisibiliter Saginatur, quia Inuisibiliter renascitur. Infans Intus est: Nouus Intus est: vbi nonellatur, ibi satiatur: Our Lorde called him selfe the Breade that came from Heauen, exhortinge vs, so Beleeue in him. For to Beleeue in him, that is to Eate the Breade of Life. He Eateth, that Beleeueth in him. He is fide Inuisibly, because he is newe borne Inuisibly. Inwardely he is an Infante: Inwardely he is Newe. Where he is renewed, there is he filled.

So saith Eusebius Emislenus, as he is alleged by Gratian: Cum ad Reuerendum Altare Coelestibus Cibis satiandus accedis, Sacrum Dei tui Corpus, & Sanguinem respice, Honora, Mira: Mente Continge: Cordis Manu Suscipe: & maxime haustu Interiorem assume: When thou comest vnto the Reuerende Altare, (or Communion Table) to be Fedde with the Heauenly Meates, behold the Holy Body, and Bloude of thy God: Honoure it: Woonder at it: Touche it (not with thy Bodily Mouthe, but) with thy minde: Receiue it (not with thy Bodily Hande, but) with the Hande of thy Harte: and specially take it with thy Inner taste.

Therefore S. Augustine saith, Quisquis cum Fide, & timore Verbum Dei audis, consolatur te Fractio Panis. Absentia Domini non est Absens. Habeto Fidem: & tecum est, quem non vides. Ideo Dominus absentauit se Corpore ab omni Ecclesia, & Ascendit in Caelum, vt Fides edificetur: Who so euer thou be, that with Faith, and Feare hearest the Woordes of God, the Breakinge of Breade doothe Comfort thee. The Absence of our Lorde is not Absente. Haue thou Faith: and he, whome thou seest not, is with thee: Therefore our Lorde, as touchinge his Body, hath Absented him self from al his Church, and is Ascended into Heauen, that our Faith maie be edified.

And in this selfe same place, that M. Harding saith, maketh so litle for our purpose, he saith thus: Quomodo tenebo Absentem? Quomodo in Caelum manum mittam, vt ibi sedentem teneam? Fidem mitte: & Tenuisti. Parentes tui tenuerunt Carne: Tu tene Corde. Quoniam Christus Absens, etiam praesens est. Nisi Praesens, esset, a nobis ipsis teneri non posset. Sed, quoniam verum est, quod ait, Ecce ego vo-

Augustin. in 10^a han. Tracta 48.
Augustin. in 10^a han. Tracta 121.
August. De Natura & Gra. Ca. 69.
Ambrosius sermone. 8.

Ambrosius in Lucan. Lib. 10. Cap. 24.
Ambrosius in Lucan. Lib. 6. Cap. 8.
August in Epist. Iohan. Tracta 1.

August. in 10^a han. Tracta 7.

August. in 10^a han. Tracta 26.

De Con. Dist. 2.
Quia Corpus.

August. Feria 2. Paschatus.

Christe absente from al his Church.
August. in 10^a han. Tracta 30.

biscum sum vsque ad Consummationem Sæculi, & abiit, & hic est: Et redijt, & nos non deseruit. Corpus enim suum intulit Cælo: Maiestatem autem non abstulit Mundo: *Howe shal I holde Christe, beinge Absent? Howe shal I thruste my hande into Heauen, that I maie holde him Sittinge there: Sende vp thy Faith: and thou holdest him. Thy Fathers (the Iewes) helde him in Fleashe: Holde him thou in thy Harte. For Christe, beinge Absente, is also Presente. Onlesse he were Presente, wee could not holde him. But, for as muche as it is true, that he saith, Beholde, I am with you vntil the ende of the worlde, Therefore he is gonne, and yet is here. He is comme againe, and hath not forsaken vs. For he hath auanced his Body into Heauen: but he hath not withdrawen his Maiestie from the VVorlde.*

Al these thinges wel considered, whereas M. Hardinge in the ende concludeth with these wordes: Thus al your allegations, and Reasons, concerninge this mater, be sufficiently answered, I doubt not, but his discrete, and indifferent Reader wil thinke, he crewe longe, befoze it was daie.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Diuision. 1.

Neither can wee awaie in our Churches with these Shewes, and sales, and markettes of Masses, nor with the carrieinge aboute, and woozhippinge of the Breade: nor with sutch other Idolatrous, and Blasphemous fondnesse: whiche none of them can proue, that Christe, or his Apostles euer ordeined, or leaste vnto vs. And wee iustly blame the Bishoppes of Rome, who without the woorde of God, without the Authozitie of the Holy Fathers, without any example of Antiquitie, after a Newe guise, doo not onely set befoze the People the Sacramental Breade to be woozhipped as God, but doo also carrie the same aboute vpon an amblinge Walstrae, whither so euer them selues iourney: in sutch sozte, as in olde times the Persians fier, and the Reliques of the Goddesse Isis were solemnely carried aboute in Procession: and haue brought the Sacramentes of Christe to be vsed now as a stage plaie, & a solemne sight: to the ende, that Whennesies shoulde be fedde with nothings els, but with madde gasinges, and foolishe gaudes, in the selfe same mater, wherein the Deathe of Christe ought diligently to be beaten into our Hartes, and wherein also the Mysteries of our Redemption ought with al Holinesse, and reuerence to be executed.

M. Hardinge.

The things, whiche it liketh your Sathanical Sprite with blasphemous woordes to (a) dishonour, and bringe in contempt, are sutch, as neither your praises can make more praise woorthy, nor your vpbraidings any whit of lesse estimation. If any by the stinkinge breathe of your vile woordes be puffed awaie into your damned side, who so euer they be, they shewe them selues to haue benne lighte Chaffe, not founde wheate. Yet for good folkes sake, that I maie leaue your vile eloquence to your selues, and answere the matter by you railed at, and belied, and otherwise not disproued: I praie you, good Sirs, the (b) Masse beinge the highest and moste Honorable Seruice, that is donne to God in his Church (whiche otherwheres beinge already proued, I treat not of in this place), why shoulde not a Priest, by whome onely it is, and maie be donne, (c) beinge called to that vocation, and state of life, haue rewarde, and liuinge for it? Raile againste the Masse, and Priestes seruinge at the Aulter of God vntil your tongues burne in your heade in Helle Fire: VVee telle you, Priestes of the Catholike church (d) not the Fruite and Merite of Christes Bloude offered in the Masse, but onely for their ministerie and labour require necessarie sustenance of Life.

But for your Defence, yee confesse that yee cannot awaie with the carryinge aboute and woozhippinge of Breade. No more cannot wee. To whose charge laie yee this? Be there any sutch Idolaters now, that woozship Breade? They would be knowen. (e) God forbid wee should suffer Idolaters to liue amongst vs. Soothely in the Catholike Church we know none. If yee cannot awaie with the Honoure whiche * al deuoute Christen people doo to the * blessed Sacramente, (wee meane to Christes Body, and Christ him self * presente in substance vnder * the Formes of Breade) then can not wee take you for Christians. Mocke, scoffe, ieste, and raile at vs with the Iewes, wee dare not, but Honour our Lorde Christe, where so euer our Faith findeth him verily and in Substance presente.

*In Ceremoniis
ris Romæ Eccle.*

(a) Vntruth. For we honour the Holy Mysteries: and you profanely dishonour them.

(b) Vntruth. For as it is nowe yfed, there is no viler dishonour nor greater Blasphemie.

(c) Vntruth. For Christe neuer called his Disciples, or sente them abroad, to saie Masse.

(d) Alas, they haue it not. Otherwise for moone it should awaie.

(e) God forbid, there should be water in the Sea.

* Vntruthes, & moste fonde, and blasphemous folies.

Matt. 3.
Luc. 3.
A Priests
maie in
enough
necessari
liuinge
seruinge
thauld
& for
inge Ma
VVee kn
no VV
shippes
Breade.

If through seruent zeale (as sometime it happeneth) abuses in certaine places haue creapte in, no good Catholique man (f) defendeth them. Mary we knowe al is not to be abrogated that misliketh your corrupte taste. (g) And much is right Holy Deuotion pleasant in the sight of God, and therefore allowed in the Church, which your hote sprite calleth Idolatrous, and blasphemous fondnesse. And though the Ceremonie and manner, whereby sutch deuotion is shewed, cannot be proued by ordinance of Christe or of the Apostles expressed in Scripture, yet Christen people doubt not but God accepteth their good hartes.

The Bishop of Rome ye blame vniustly, I might saie also proudly. For procuringe *Honour and woorschip to Christe in the Blessed Sacramente, he hath the * woorde of God, * the authoritie of al Holy Fathers, and the examples of antiquitie, as (h) I haue at large proued in my answere to your companion Maister Iuel his eight negatiue Article. But the amblinge Horse offendeth you. VVhy be ye not also offended with the Asse and her sole, that bare the (i) same Body at Ierusalem, whiche the Horse beareth at Rome: Then Visible and weighty, now Inuisible, and of no weighte? That was donne in Pompe to the honour of Christe, and so is this. Ye saie this is donne after a newe guise. So then was that. Neither is it true which ye saie, that the Bishoppes of Rome doo carrie aboute with them the Sacramente whether so euer they iourney. (k) Ye mighte haue learned in that Booke, out of which ye alleage that mater to scoffe at, that sutch a solemnitie is vsed specially, when the Pope rideth in *Pontificalibus*. Then (as the Booke telleth) a white Palfrey trapped, gentil, and faier, with a cleare foundinge bel hanginge at his necke, is leade, whiche carrieth the Monstrance, or Pixe with the moste Holy Body of Christe, ouer the whiche noble Citizens shal beare a Conapie. Thus the Booke of Ceremonies.

(l) And I praie you, what euil is this? If it be good to carrie about that moste Holy Body, by whiche as Christe once redeemed vs, so by the same deuoutely honoured of faithful people, and carried abroade and broughte to certaine places, hath in olde times (as yet also doothe continually) the greate comforte and heale of sundrie persons beinge in distresse, wrought miraculously healthful remedies: If the Israelites founde heale at Goddes hande by (m) bringinge the Arke into the felde with them, as they marched forewarde againste the Philistians: if the Philistians cried out for feare when they perceiued the Arke to be in the Israelites armie, and saide, *Venit Deus in Castra, Vt nobis*, God is come into theire Tentes. Alas we are vndone, &c.

And concerninge the order of the Ceremonie, whereas (n) the people be desirous to beholde the Body of their Redempter with theire Faith by their carnal eie directed to the Forme of Breade, no man is hable to holde vp on hie the Sacramente, so as conuenient it were to be donne bothe to satisfie theire Deuotion, and to maintaine theire Faith: for the Pope him selfe commonly is an aged man, and therefore ouer weake (o) for that seruice, specially arraigned in Pontificalibus, as in sutch solemnities he is. Againe the instrumente that serueth for that purpose is so great and weighty (for so it apperteineth to the honour of Christe) the waie so longe, the ayer for the more parte there so hote: as no other man is wel able for lacke of strength to beare it, so as meete it is to be borne. In this case (o) why maie not a Horse be put to that seruice, to supply that which by natural weakenesse faileth in man? VVherein he serueth not, as one that beareth a male, but as the Asse that bare Christe, as the Kine that drewe the Arke.

Neither ought the custome of bearinge the most Holy Body of Christe, where the Pope goeth, seeme Newe and Strange: For we Reade in the life of the Blessed Martyr Steuen the firste, who was Pope of Rome aboue thirtene hundred yeeres past, that Tharsitius the Deacon (who at lengthe also suffered deathe for Christe) bare our Lordes Body, at what time he attended vpon the Blessed Man, Pope Steuen, as he wente to his Martyrdome. VVho desireth to see this described at large, the same maie he finde in (that Great sonde fabulare) Simeon Metaphrastes a Greeke writer, wel approued, and highly esteemed in the Greeke Church. *In vita Stephani Primi*.

For witness whereof we haue S. Ambrose, who describeth howe certaine faithful persons carried (p) this blessed Sacrament with them, when they wente to the Sea, of whom his Brother Satyrus obtained the same in a Shipwracke, and by helpe thereof, hanginge it in a stole about his necke, seekinge for none other succoure, cast him selfe into the Sea, and miraculously escaped safe to lande. Neither is the same by him donne without a special Mysterie, though vnknown to the more part. Although, saith a learned Pope, a reason cannot be geuen of al thinges, what so euer haue bene brought in vre by our Forefathers: yet I thinke, quoth he, that therein lie hidden profounde Mysteries.

I report me nowe to the secrete Consciences of good Christen people, whether the Bishoppes of Rome vse not the Blessed Sacramente reuerently and honorably or no, but rather (q) as the Persians Fire, and the Reliques of the Goddesse Isis, as a stage Plaie, mad gasinges, and foolish Gaudes, as it liketh this wicked Chammes broode to raile. VVherein they folowe the steppes, whiche Iulian the Apostata, Lucian, Porphyrius, Celsus, and sutch other prophane Helhounds haue trodden before them. For after the like manner they railed at the Holy Mysteries of Christen Religion, namely Celsus, who as Origene writeth of him, objected to the Christians the Sacrifices of Mithra, (whiche was an Idol that the Persians woorshipped, and called by the name of the Sunne) from whence he (saide they) had taken al their Sacramentes, Rites, and Ceremonies. And right so, (as wee finde in S. Augustine writtinge againste the Manichees) the Paynimes found faulte with the Christen people for *Honour done to the *Body and Bloude of Christe vnder *Formes of Breade and VVine, saicinge that they Honoured Bacchus and Ceres.

(f) Yet not one of your Catholique Prelates amedeth them.

(g) Vntruth, sonde and Heathenish, for god himselfe saithe, In vaine they woorschip mee.

*Vntruthes foure together. For he dishonoureth Christ. He hath neither Gods woorde, nor the Authoritie of al the Fathers, nor the examples of Antiquitie.

(h) Note, that in the same one litle Article, M. Hardinge hath sente vs twelue great vntruthes

(i) Vntruth. For this is onely the Sacrament of that same Body

(k) Dooth M. H. scoffe at these games, or speake he in earnest?

(l) VVhy should a wise man speake so fondly? Reade the Answer.

(m) They did it against Goddes wil: and spedde thereafter. Reade the Answer.

(n) Graue Considerations.

(o) A Horse supplieth the Popes office.

(p) It was a great abuse of the Sacrament: & yet without either Pixe, or Pompe: And therefore was afterwarde vtterly tak away throughout the whole Church of God.

*Moste vaine Vntruthes. For S. Augustine speaketh neither of Adoration, nor of Christes Body, nor of fourmes

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Concerninge these Satanical Sprites, and stinking breathes, and vile woordes, and such other like flowers of your Eloquence, *P. Harding*, I confesse me selfe to be farre inferiour, and neuer hable to make you answere. It is true, that ye saie, The workeman is woorthy of his hire. *S. Paule saith*, *The Lorde hath appointed, that who so Preacheth the Gospel should live by the Gospel.* But where did Christe ever saie vnto you, *Goe into al the worlde, and saie Priuate Masse, and offer me vp vnto my Father, for Remission of Sinnes?* What Apostle, what Prophete, what Doctoure, what Father ever taught you so to doe? If ye set your selfe a worke without Commission, and renne south, when noman biddeth you, then are ye your owne menne: and of reason, ought to paie your selues.

Constitution, O-
thonis Legatio-
ne. Folio. 143.

In Colloquio
VVormatien.
Concessu.

If your Masses, as you saie, were neuer set to open sale, wherefoze then was this Decree written in the Council of Oxforde, *Venalitatem Missarum districtè inhibemus?* VVe strictly forbidde the sale of Masses. Thinke you, that so many wise Fathers woulde forbidde that thinge, that neuer was vsed? In the late Conference holden at VVoormes, the Bishop of Sidon, bringe there present, durste not saie, as you dare saie, there was no such vnlawful Sale, but onely saide, It was out of season to talke thereof. His wordes be thesse, *Quid attinebat de Missis venalibus, de Mercatu Indulgentiarum, &c. mentionem intempestiuam inducere?* What was it to the purpose, to speake of the Sellinge of Masses, and Pardones, out of season?

Gregor. Lib. 2.
Epist. 33.

The Priestes (ye saie) of the Catholique Church sel not the fruite, and Merite of Christes Bloude. So maraile: For they haue it not to sel. But if ye had Christe him selfe, ye woulde sette him to sale, as other your fathers haue donne befoze you. Suche a one was he, of whome *S. Gregorie* writteth, *Iesum Christum Dominum nostrum Hæretico, accepta Pecunia, venundedit?* He tooke a Manie, and solde Iesus Christe our Lorde vnto an Heretique. And, when the Bishop of Rome selleth his Pardones, what other thinge woulde be same to sel, but onely the fruites, and Merites of the Bloude of Christe?

Polydor. De In-
uentorib. Rer.
Lib. 6. Cap. 13.

Ye saie further, God forbiddeth, wee shoulde suffer Idolaters to liue emongest vs. And yet your neare friends haue thought, ye haue not benne farre from the maintenance of Idolatrie. *Polydorus Vergilius*, intreatinge of the worshipping of Images, saith thus, *Eò, infanix deuentum est, vt hæc pars Pietatis parum differat ab Impietate:* They are so farre proceeded in madnesse, that this parte of Holinesse is not far from wickednesse. And *Ludouicus Vines* saith, He seeth no greate difference betwene certaine Christians worshippinge theire Images, and the Olde Heathens adoringe theire Idoles.

Isa. 1.

Ye saie, The Carriage about of the Sacramente is right Holy Deuotion, pleasant in the sight of God: and Christian People doubt not, but God accepteth theire good Hartes. Euen so, no doubt, as when he saide sometime vnto the Jewes, *Quis requisit ista de manibus vestris?* Who required these thinges at your handes?

August. De Com-
sen. Euangelist.
Lib. 1. Cap. 18.
Augu. in quest.
ex veteri Testa-
ment. quest. 43.
Chrysostom. in
Acta. Homil. 49.

S. Augustine saith, *Socratis sententia est, Vnumquunque Deum sic coli oportere, quomodo se ipse colendum esse præceperit:* The Iudgemente of Socrates is this, That euery God oughte so to be worshipped, as he him selfe hath commaunded. *Agasne* he saith, *Constat, Fidem stultam non solum minimè prodesse, sed etiam obesse:* It is certaine, that a Foolishe Faith, not onely dooth not good, but also hurteth.

Chrysostome saith, *Tales sunt Diabolo venandi artes: qui prætextu Pietatis, laqueos tegit:* Suche sleightes of huntinge, hath the Diuel. Vnder the Colours of Holinesse he hideth his snares.

Gabriel. Lect. 26

But, as touching the sollemnitie of carryinge the Sacramente, your owne Doctoure *Gabriel Biel* coulde haue tolde you, *Christus non dedit Discipulis Sacramentum, vt ipsum honorificè conseruarent: sed dedit in sui usum, dicens, Accipite, & Manducate:* Christe gaue not the Sacramente to his Disciples to the ende they should keepe it with Honoure, But he gaue it to them for theire vse, saing to them, Take, and Eate.

Cleatur à Cas-
sandro in Li-
urgijs.

Likewise saith *Humbertus*, *Christus non tantum Benedixit Panem, & reseruauit frangendum in crastinum: nec fregit tantum, & reposuit: sed fractum statim distribuit:*

boit: *Christe did not onely Blesse the Breade, and referue it to be broken the nexte daie: Nor did he onely Breake it, and laie it vp: but beeing broken, straighte waie he deliuered it.*

Al this strange solemne Festiual gusse, Pope Vrbanus the fourthe learned, not of Christe, or Paule, but onely by the Reuelation of Dame Eue, the Anchoresse: and by her good aduise founded the newe feaste of Corpus Christi, and caused the Sacramente to be borne about in Procession.

But the Anciente, and worthy Father Chrysostome saith, Discamus Christum ex ipsius voluntate honorare: Nam qui honoratur, eo maxime honore letatur, quem ipse vult: non quem nos optamus: *Lette vs learne to honour Christ after his owne wil. For he, that is honoured, deliteth moste in that honour, that he him selfe woulde haue: not in that honour, that wee can Fantasie.* Notwithstandinge, when the Sacramental Breade is carried onely vpon a horse, and the Pope him selfe is borne alofte, in a Chaire of Golde, vpon the shoulders of sixe, or eighte Noble menne, I praise you whether of them hath the greater Honour:

*Chryso. in Mat.
the. Homil. 51.*

For the rest, The Pope (saith M. Harding) is an olde Man: he rideth in his Pontificalibus, he is laden with Apparell: The Pixe is weightie: The VVeather is hoate: there is none other Creature to supplie his roome: Therefore it is lately concluded in Louaine, in great solemne sadnesse, that a Horse muste be had in, to please the Popes parte, and to carry the Pontifance.

Here, for as muche as M. Harding hath pourposely made mention of the Popes ridinge in his Pontificalibus, and the Solemnitie, and Pompe thereof is knowne to fewe, it shal not be impertinente, by selfe to disclose the order thereof. Thus therefore it is written in the Ceremoniarie of Rome: Sic Papa equitat in Pontificalibus in aliquam ciuitatem. Cardinales descendunt ex equis, & accedunt reuerenter ad Papam, & osculantur illi pedem. Episcopus Ciuitatis accedit ad Papam cum debitis reuerentijs, & offert illi Crucem osculandam: quam Pontifex reuerenter, cum Mitra tamen, osculabitur. Non enim commodè poterit ei abstrahi equiti ab equestribus. Si tamen placuerit & Mitram deponere, non erit inconueniens. Deinde Prælatus incipiat Antiphonam, Ecce Sacerdos Magnus: *Thus the Popes Holinesse rideth into any Cittie in his Pontificalibus. The Cardinales light from their Horses, and come reuerently vnto the Pope, and kisse his foote. The Bishop of the Cittie commeth likewise to the Pope with dewe reuerence, and offereth him the Crosse to kisse: which the Pope shal kisse reuerently, keepinge on his Miter notwithstandinge. For while he sitteth on horse backe, his horsemenne cannot very easily take of his Miter, and sette it on againe. Howe be it, if it shal like him to put of his Miter, it shalbe no grate inconuenience. Afterwarde the Bishop shal beginne this Autheme, Beholde the Greate Priest. And so he walketh forth alonge in his Pontificalibus.*

*Ceremoniar. 289
Etion, 12. Cap. 5.*

The carrieinge out of the Arke of God into the feld, in the time of Eli the Highe Prieste, boadeth no very good Argumente for the carrieinge of the Sacramente. For at that time the Armie of Israel was ouerthrowen, thirtie thousande Souldiers were slaine, and emongest them the two Sonnes of Eli: The Arke was taken: Eli him selfe fel backe from his Chaire, and brake his necke. Therefore, M. Hardinge, ye shal haue muche adu, to make god your Procession by this example.

1 Reg. 4.

This mater (ye saie) hath benne proued by sundrie Miracles. Firste, whether there haue benne any such Miracles wrought, or no, it is vncertaine. But were it granted, yet maie not your Miracles alwaies stande for god proues. Your owne Doctoure Alexander de Hales saith, Miracula fiunt aliquando humana procuracione: aliquando Diabolica operatione: *Miracles be wroughte sometime by the workinge, and procuremente of menne: and sometime by the conueiance of the Diuel.*

*Alexand. Halem.
Parte. 4. quæst. 33
Mem. 4. Artic. 3.*

The tales, that ye allege of Tharsitus, and Satyrus, make nothing, either for the Adoration of the Sacrament, or els for the Popes Palfrate. Wemen, and Childzen, and Laie menne vsed then to carrie home the Sacramente in theire Raptkinnes, and to keepe it in forcelottes, as in my former Replie it is largely shewed. But these were Abuses of the Mysterie: and therefore afterwarde were abolished.

Articulo 1.

It grieueth you muche, that we saie, The Pope causeth, the Sacramental Breade

The carrying of
the Sacramente.

Acto. 19.

De Con. Diff. 1.
Non oportet. In
Glossa.

Nicol Leonice-
nus, In Varia
Historia, Lib. 2.
Cap. 21.

Epiphan. Lib. 3.
Heresi. 91. De
Collyridiani.
Α' ετοιμ' ποτι-
θ' εστι και αρα-
φ' εστις ως ε-
νομα μαριας.
Nazianzen ad
Hieronem.

Claud. Du Sain-
ctes, De Litur-
gijs, In Prasat.
Apuleius, Lib. 1.
Cyprian. De
Cena Domini.
August. Contra
Faustum, Lib. 20,
Cap. 13.

Arrie. 8. Diui. 24

Augu. De Cini-
tate Dei, Lib. 1.
Cap. 29.
Chrysost. De Te-
busijs, & Le-
ctione Genesios.

Breade to be carried before him vpon a Horse, as the Kinges of Persia vsed in olde times to carrie the Fiere, whiche they called Orimafda, and imagined the same to be their God. And therefore ye crie out in your Passion, Chammes broode, Hel- houndes, and, what so euer mighte serue you beste: euen with like Sobzietie, and modestie, as they, whose sometime in the like case cried out, Magna Diana Epheso- rum: Great is Diana of the Ephesians. Potwe be st, other example moze agreable to resemble your folie, we coulde finde none.

Neither make you iustly, and truely saie, ye haue receiued none of your Orders, and Usages from the Heathens. Your owne Glose noteth vpon the Decrees, Clerici euntes ad Tumulos mortuorum, portabant secum Sacramenta Corporis, & Sanguinis Christi: & super tumulos ea distribuiebant. Et hæc Consuetudo facta fuit à Gen- tibus: The Clerke (or Priestes) goinge to the graues of the deade, carried with them the Sa- cramentes of the Body, and Bloude of Christe: and made distribution thereof ouer the graues. And this same Custome was vsed emonge the Heathens. Nicolaus Leonicensus saith, Isidis Sacerdotes in Aegypto utebantur Lineis vestibus, & semper erant detonso ca- pillo: quod etiam per manus traditum ad nostra vsque tempora peruenisse videtur. Siquidem ij, qui apud nos Diuino Cultui, & Sacris Altaribus præsent, barbam, co- mamq; nutrire prohibentur: & in Sacris vtuntur lineis amictibus: The Priestes of the Goddesse Isis in Egypte, vsed to weare linen surpleses, and euermore had their heade sha- uen: Whiche thinge seemeth to haue benne deriued from them vnto our time, from hande to hande. For they, that emonge vs Minister Goddes Seruice, and serue the Holy Altars, are forbidden to suffer the beare of their heade, or their beards to growe: and in their Diuine ser- uice they vse Linen Garmentes. Epiphanius saith, that the Heretiques called Col- lyridiani, vsed to set sooth, and to carrie aboute Breade (no doubt, but the Sacra- mente) in the Honour of the Blessed Virgine Marie. Nazianzenus saith thus to complaine of some such vnseemely behauiours in his time: Quid referam, saith he, τὰ μυστήρια καὶ ἀπομυστήρια: What shal I speake of the Holy Mysteries turned now into a plaie, or a Comedie? For so Claudius Du Sainctes, your owne Doctoure expoundeth these wordes, Mysteria in Comœdias conuersa.

Of the like disorder of the Heathens, Apuleius saith, Dicimus Deos incedere humanis pedibus: Wee tel you, that our Goddes goe on mennes feete. The whole difference betwene you, and them, in this behalfe, standeth onely in this, that your God goeth on Horse seate, and theirs on mannes seate.

But the Holy Sacrament of Christes Deathe was neuer appointed vnto vs to this ende, to be carried thus about in open shewe: but onely to be receiued as Christ him selfe commaunded, in remembrance of his Body, and Bloode. And therefore S. Cyprian saith, Recipitur, non includitur: It is receiued: it is not shut vp.

That ye allege, as out of S. Augustine, That the Painimes founde faulte with the Chri- stian people, for Honour donne to the Body, and Bloude of Christe, vnder your Fourmes of Breade, and VVine, It is an open, and a manifeste Vntruth. For S. Augustine in that place speaketh not one worde, neither of the Body, and Bloude of Christe: nor of your Fourmes, and Accidentes: nor of any manner Adoration, or honoure donne vnto the Sacramente. It shal behoue you to write hereafter moze aduisedly: Otherwile the Learned will saie, ye either knowe not, or care not, what ye write. This Obiection of S. Augustine is answered moze at large in my former Replie.

Touchinge the mater it selfe, S. Augustine saith, Deus meus vbique Præsens est, vbique totus, nusquam inclusus: qui possit adesse secretus, abesse non motus: My God is euerywhere Present, euerywhere whole, shut vp, or inclosed nowhere: Hable secretly to be Presente, and to be Absente without mouinge. And S. Chrysostome saith, Magnum, crede mihi, bonum est, Scire, quid sit Creatura, & quid sit Creator: & quæ sint Opera, quis verò Opefex. Si enim hoc diligenter scirent discernere Hæretici, nunquam vtiq; omnia Confunderent, & quæ Sursum sunt, facerent Deorsum. Non dico, quod Cœ- lum, & Stellæ deorsum, terram autem sursum ducerent: sed quod Regem ab excelsis Sedibus deducentes cum Creaturis, & Operibus collocauerint: Creaturam verò Diui- nis honoribus affecerint: Beleeue mee, it is a greates matter, to vnderstande, what is the Creature,

Creature, and what is God the Creatour : what are the VVoorckes, and what is the VVoorckeman. For, if these Heretiques could make diligente difference hereof, they would not thus make confusion of al thinges, nor place those thinges beneath, that be aboue. I meant not, They either pulle downe the Heauen, or the Starrs, or set up the Earthe (in theire place): But that they pulle downe the Kinge him selfe from his highe Throne, and place him amongest his woorkes and Creatures: and of the other side, woorschip a Creature with Godly Honoure.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Division. 2.

Besides, where they saie, and sometime doo persuaide fooles, that they are hable by theire Masses to distribute, and applie vnto menne's commoditie al the Merites of Christes Deathe, yea, although many times the parties thinke nothing of the mater, and vnderstande full litle what is done, this is a Hockerie, a Heathenlike fanisie, and a very tole. For it is our Faith, that applyeth the Death, and Crosse of Christ to our benefite, and not the Acte of the Massinge Priest. Faiche had in the Sacramentes (saith Augustine) doothe iustifie, and not the Sacramentes. And Origene saith: Christ is the Priest, the Propitiation, and Sacrifice: vvhich Propitiation commeth to euery one by meane of Faiche. And so, by this reckeninge, wee saie, that the Sacramentes of Christe, without Faiche, doo not once profite these, that be aliue: a greate Deale lesse doo they profite those that be Deade.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Least any piece of your Apologie shoulde be without a witnes, for proufe that yee are his children, who is the father of lies: ye make vs to affirme, that by our Masses we distribute and applie to menne indifferently, howe so euer they be disposed, for so ye meane, as it appeareth partly by that ye saie here, and specially by your Doctrine otherwheres vttered, al the Merites of Christes Deathe. But tel vs, (b) who euer taught this Doctrine in the Catholique Church?

Yea, God is so good, and prone to bestowe his mercie, that, not onely when a man is through Faiche prepared for it, he geueth it abundantly vnto him: but also though he as of his owne parte haue no faiche presently, yet for others sake, whiche are Goddes dearely beloued friendes, he saith the better, and hathe that gifte obtained to him. (c) As, when S. Steuen praied at his deathe for those that persecuted him, for whome praied he then, but for those, who had no faiche at al in Christ? And when this grace was geuen vnto them, as that from their Iewishnes they came to the Faiche of Christ, who shal exclude that blessed Martyr from this woorschip and honour, that through his praier, as by one special meane, that grace was applied vnto them?

VVhat effecte his praier tooke, if any man thereof doubt, he maie thinke it to haue wroughte mutche for the benefite of others of lesse malice, feinge that it did so mutche in Paule. VVho as the Scripture saith, yet breathinge out threatenings and slaughter against Christes Disciples, was so changed, and conuerted, that of a Persecutour he became an Apostle. For, as S. Augustine writeth, *Si Sanctus Stephanus sic non orasset, Ecclesia Paulum non haberet*: if S. Steuen had not praied so the Church shoulde not haue had Paule. Nowe, if those that be no Priestes, without publike Authoritie of Consecratinge the Body and Bloude of Christe, yet by waie of praier doo obtaine, that menne be conuerted to the Faiche, whiche is the applyinge of one fruite of Christes merites, mutche more the publike Minister, and Bishop, which assumed from amonge menne, is ordeined for men, in maters belonginge to God, that he maie offer vp giftes and Sacrifices for sinnes.

And now, if ye liste to learne what kinde of applyinge we vse in our Masses, wee doo offer vp vnto God firste, (d) his Sonne, representinge his passion, and celebratinge the memorie of the same (e) accordinge to Christes institution.

But what measure of good we procure them, to God onely is that knowen. If (as the Learned Bishop Prosper saith) the grace of our Sauour passe ouer some Persons (as wee see it to happen) and if the Praier of the Church (wherein the Sacrifice is contained, after S. Augustines Minde) be not admitted for them: it is to be referred to the Secrete iudgements of Goddes iustice, and it is to be acknowledged, that the depth of this secrete maie not be opened in this life.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Thus ye saie, M. Hardinge: Ye make vs to affirme, that by our Masses wee distribute, and applie to menne indifferently the Merites of Christes Deathe: howe so euer they be disposed, in token, that ye are the Children of him, who is the Father of lies, Therefore ye saie, Tel vs, who euer taught this Doctrine in the Catholique Church?

Origen. ad Roma. Li. 3. Cap. 3.

(a) Vntru the enclosed. For this is your Catholique Doctrine, as shal appeare. (b) Your owne Scholastical Catholique, Donctours, M. Hardinge. Reade the Answer. (c) A proper shifte. VVee speake of Sacramentes. M. Hardinge answereth vs of praier.

(d) Vntru the, Blasphemous, and horrible. (e) Vntru the. For Christe neuer bade you to offer him vp vnto his Father.

Al pon

The Ap-
plicing of
Christes
Deathe.

336

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Paralip. viij. p.
Anno. 1538.

Cardinal Cai-
etanus. De vfu
spiritualium.
Quest. 3.

Biel. Lectio. 18.
Iacob. de Vale-
ria. Ser. no. 2. De
Epiphania.

Thom. De Vene-
rabil. Sacramen-
tis. cap. 1.

M. Hard. fo. 336.

Esai. 59.

Luke. 14.

Roman. 6.

Matth. 6.

Catharinus. De
Incruento Sa-
crificio Novi.
& Aeterni Tes-
tamenti.

If you be so unskillful, *M. Harding*, and so far to take in your etwne Doctours, then it maie please you to vnderstande, that the moſte Catholique Pillers of your Catholique Church, haue euen thus taught vs. *Thomas de Vio*, otherwiſe called *Caietanus*, a Catholique Cardinal of your Catholique Church of Rome, in the Confe- rence, he had at Auguſta in Germanie, with Doctour Luther, ſaid thus, *Fides non eſt neceſſaria acceſſuro ad Euchariftiam*: Faith is not neceſſarie for him, that will receiue the Sacramente of thankſgeeuinge. Notwithſtandinge otherwheres he acknowledged, this was an erreure: and confeſſeth, it was commonly receiued, and belerued of many, euen in your Catholique Church of Rome. Thus he witteth, *In hoc videtur communis multorum Error, quod putant, hoc Sacrifitium ex ſolo Opere Operato habere certum Meritum, vel certam ſatiſfactionem, quæ applicatur huic, vel illi: Hec ſtandeth the Common Errour of many, that they thinke, this Sacrifice hath a certaine Merite, or ſatiſfaction, which is applied to this man, or to that man, (not by meane of the Faith of the receiuer, but) by the Onely meane of the Woorke, that is wroughte (by the Priſte).* *Gabriel Biel* ſaith, *The Priſte receiue the Sacramente: and the Vertue thereof paſſeth into al the Members of the Church.* *Iacobus de Valentia* ſaith, *The Priſte is the Mouthe of the Body. Therefore, when the Priſte receiue the Sacramente, al the Members are refreſhed.* Againe he ſaith, *Nos Communicamus Ore Sacerdotis: Wee, that be of the People, doo Communicate, or receiue the Sacramente, by the Mouth of the Priſte.* *Thomas of Aquine*, your Angelical Doctour ſaith thus, *Sicut Corpus Domini ſemel oblatum eſt in Cruce pro debito Originali, ita offeritur iugiter pro quotidianis delictis in Altari: As the Body of our Lorde was once offered vpon the Croſſe for debt of Original Sinne, ſo it is daily offered vpon the Altare for the debt of Daily Sinnes.*

Addition. *M. Harding.* You haue corrupte *S. Thomas* woordes with falſe translation, Engliſhing, *Pro quotidianis delictis*, for the debt of Daily Sinne: where debt is not in the Latine.

The Anſwere. What meaneth this Childiſheneſſe *M. Harding*: Was this the greateſt corruption, ye coulde eſpie? Can you imagine, any Sinne to be without debt? I beſeeche you: Wherefoze ſaith the Almighty God, *Your Sinnes haue made Diuiſion betweene you, and me?* Wherefoze dothe the waſteful Donne crie out, *O Father, I haue offended againſte Heauen, and againſte thee?* Wherefoze ſaith *S. Paule*, *Deathe is the reward of Sinne?* Wherefoze hath *Chriſte* taught vs to ſaie, *Dimitte nobis debita noſtra: O Lorde, ſurgee vs our debtes?* But onely in reſpecte of Debt, that is due to Sinne: Regarde better, what ye wite. Why ſhoulde ſuche folies comme from Louaine?

This Doctrine, not longe ſithence, was holden for Catholique, and was ſtrongly maintained by your Catholique Doctours. *Catharinus*, one of the worthies of your Late Chapter of Trident, ſaith, *Apparet, quod pro peccatis ſub Nouo Teſtamento, poſt acceptam Salutaris Hoſtiæ in Baptiſmo efficaciam commiſſis, non habemus pro Peccato Hoſtiam illam, quam Chriſtus obtulit pro Peccato Mundi, & pro delictis Baptiſmum Præcedentibus: Non enim niſi ſemel ille Mortuus eſt: Et ideo ſemel duntaxat Hoſtia illa ad hunc effectum applicatur: It appeareth, that for the Sinnes committed vnder the Newe Teſtamente, after that wee haue receiued in Baptiſme the Power of the Healthful Sacrifice, wee haue nomore that Sacrifice for Sinne, which *Chriſt* once offered for the Sinne of the VVorlde, and for Sinnes committed before Baptiſme. For *Chriſte* neuer died but once. And therefore that Sacrifice of *Chriſte* Crucified, is applied vnto vs once onely to this effecte.*

Hereby, *M. Harding*, ye maie ſee, that this doctrine lacketh no deſenſe amongſt your Catholiques. The ſumme, and meaninge hereof is this, That our Sinnes committed after Baptiſme, are not forgotten by the Deathe of *Chriſte*, but Onely by the Sacrifice of the Paſſe. Which thinge, what it ſeemeth to you, I cannot tel: But vnto al Godly cares it ſeemeth an horrible greate blaſphemie.

Here, to ſpeake of Prayers, ſpecially in ſo large a ſorte, it was far impertinent to your pourpoſe, as beinge bitterly no parte of this queſtion.

The Merites of *Chriſtes* Deathe, whereof we treat, are conueied vnto vs by

Addition
17

17

Part
taken
from
the
Defen-
ſe
of
the
Apoc-
rypha
& Co.

as by God, & receiued by vs. God conuicteth them to vs onely of his Mercie, and we receiue them Onely by Faith. But the waies, whereby either to procure Goddes Mercie, or to embendle our Faith, are many, and sundrie. Gods Mercie is procured sometime by Prayer, sometime by other Meanes. But to breed, or encrease Faith in vs, there are moe waies, then can be reckened. Somme men are moued onely by the Hearing of Goddes Word: Somme others by the beholdinge, and weighing of Goddes Miracles. Iustinus the Martyr was first allured to the Faith by the crueltye of the Epzannes, and by the Confrancie, and Patience of Goddes Saintes. S. Cyprian saith, *Tanta est vis Martyrij, vt per illam credere etiam cogatur, qui te vult occidere: So great is the Power of Martyrdome, that thereby euen he is forced to beleene, that would kille thee.* S. Augustine saith, *He was sturro vp to comme to Christe, by readinge a Heathen Booke written by Cicero, called Hortensius. Thus he saith, Ille Liber mutauit affectum meum, & ad te ipsum, Domine, mutauit Preces meas: That (Heathen) Booke changed my minde, and turned my Praier, O Lorde, vnto thee.*

Ezech. li. 4 ca. 8

Cyprian De
Laude Martyrij.
Angu. Confess.
Lib. 3. ca. 4

Amonge other causes, the Sacramentes serue specially to direct, and to asse our Faith: for they are, as S. Augustine calleth them, Verba Visibilia, Visible VVoorde: and Seales, and Testimonies of the Gospel.

August. Contra
Iulianum, Lib. 19
Cap. 16.

Al this notwithstandinge, we saie, It is neither the Witke of the Priest, nor the Nature of the Sacramentes, as of it selfe, that maketh vs partetakers of Christes Deathe, but onely the Faith of the Receluer. S. Augustine saith, *Vnde est ista tanta Virtus Aquæ, vt Corpus tangat, & Cor abluat, nisi faciente Verbo? Non quia dicitur, sed quia creditur: From whence hath the Water this great Power, that it toucheth the Body, and washeth the Harte sauinge by the VVorkinge of the VVoorde? Not for that it is pronounced, but for that it is beleued.*

Augusti. in Io-
han. Tracta. 80.

So saith Hefychius, *Gratia Dei comprehenditur Sola Fide: The Grace of God (of our parte) is receiued by Onely Faith.* So saith Cyrilius, *Siclus Fidei nostræ Formam habet. Si enim Fidem obtuleris, tanquam Precium, a Christo, velut Ariete immaculato in hostiam dato, accipies Remissionem Peccatorum: The Sicke hath the Fourme of our Faith. For if thou offer vp thy Faith, as the Price, thou shalt receiue Remission of thy Sinnes, from Christe that vnspotted Lamme, that was geuen for a Sacrifice.*

Hefych. in Leuit.
Lib. 4. cap. 14.
Cyril. in Leuit.
Lib. 3.

Where ye saie, Yee offer vp Christe, the Sonne of God, Really, and Substantially vnto God the Father, If ye speake in your dreame, it is a very pleasaunte phantasie: but if ye be awake, and knowe, what ye saie, then is it a greate blasphemie: as in my former Booke it maye appeare more at large.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Diuision. 1.

And, as for their Braggies, they are woonte to make of their Purgatorie, though wee knowe, it is not a thinge so very late risen amongst them, yet is it no better then a blockhe, and an Olde wines diuise.

M. Hardinge.

Purgatorie seemeth not to vs a thinge, that wee shoulde muche bragge of, no more then yee wil bragge of Hel. VVee tremble at the remembrance of it rather then bragge of it. VVell, howe so euer it be, wil yee, nil yee (wee see) * ye be driuen to confesse the same to be no newe thinge.

In deed if you cal them Papistes, among whom the Doctrine of prayinge for the deade, (a) whereof necessarily foloweth the Doctrine of Purgatorie, is deliuered, taught and holden: then are the Apostles, who deliuered it by Tradition, (as Chrysostome and Damascene report) Papistes. First (b) forasmuch as nothinge that is defiled commeth into the Kingdome of Heauen, and somme depart out of this Life, thoughte in the Faith of Christe, and Children of the Euerlastinge Kingdome, yet not thoroughly and perfectly cleane: it remaineth, that suche after this Life before they come to the place of Euerlastinge ioie, haue their Purgation. Furthermore the Apostle saith, Seeinge then wee haue these promises dearly beloued, let (c) vs cleanse our selues from al filthinesse of the Fleashe, and Spinite, makinge perfect our satisfaction in the feare of God. VVho seeth not hereof to folowe, that to many, which be iustified, somewhat of satisfaction, and Holinesse lacketh? VVhiche if they be taken from hence before they atteine to the measure of Holynesse requisite, be they not then after this Life in state to be purged and cleansed?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here are two comme to the Paper VValles, and Painted Fierces of Purgatorie.

¶

¶

* No marueile. It was receiued of the Painims longe ere the Apostles were borne. (a) Vntrithe. Reade the Answere. (b) This fantasie were somme what, if we had no Purgatio in Christes Blood. (c) A doughy Argumente, to proue Purgatorie.

Purgatory
acknowledged
by the
Defenders
to be of
Antiquitie
Apoc. 11.
1 Cor. 7.

For so it liked *Sp. Harding*, not longe sithence, pleasantly to spoote at it in the *Purpites*, as a bugge nere onely to fraie *Childe*en. Yet nowe, vpon better aduise, and deeper studie, he trembleth, God wote, and quaketh for feare, to remember the tormentes, that somme booy hath sithence tolde him to be there. Howe be it, Let him not so mutche dismaye him selfe. The Pope, as he either firste made it, or receiued it by hande from the *Heathens*, and first allowed it, euen so hath he the whole Iurisdiction, and Power ouer it, and commaundeth in, and out at his pleasure.

Whether we make bragges hercof, or no, I leaue it in question. Certainly for this, and other like causes, One of your felowes saith, *Excepto Peccato, Papa potest quasi omnia facere, quæ Deus potest: Sinne excepted, the Pope can doo in a manner al thinges, that God can doo.* An other saith, *Animæ existentes in Purgatorio, sunt de Iurisdictione Papæ: & Papa, si vellet, posset totum Purgatorium euacuare: The Soules beinge in Purgatorie, are (in the Popes Peculiare, and) vnder the Popes Iurisdiction: and the Pope, if it might stande with his pleasure, were habile (to make goale deliuerie, and) to auoide al Purgatorie.* And to this purpose, The Pope him selfe Commaundeth, and Chargeth the Angelles of God, to fetch the soules from thence, whom so euer, and howe many so euer he wil haue deliuered, as hereafter in a place moze conueniente it shal better appeare. If these same to be no bragges, then let them hardely be called by somme other name, that maie seme to please you better.

We take good holde, in that we Confesse, This Imagination of Purgatorie is no Newe fanisie. Howe be it, your aduantage herein is not so greate. There haue bene errours, and greate errours from the beginninge. *S. Augustine* saith, *Origenes ipsum Diabolum, atque Angelos eius post grauiora pro Meritis, & diuturniora supplicia, ex illis Cruciatibus eruentes, atque sociandos Sanctis Angelis credidit: The Anciente Learned Father Origen beleeued, that the Diuel him selfe, and his Angelles, after greate, and longe punishmente suffered for their wickednesse, shalbe deliuered from their tormentes, and shalbe placed (in Heauen) with the Holy Angelles of God.* *Origen* him selfe saith, *There were somme, that thought, that Christe shoulde goe downe into Helle, and there be Crucified againe, to saue them, that by his Preaching, and Former Deathe could not be saued.* Again the same *Origen* saith of him selfe, *Ego puto, quod post Resurrectionem ex mortuis indigebimus Sacramento eluente nos, atque Purgante: I thinke, that after wee shal rise againe from the Deade, wee shal haue neede of the Sacramente (of Baptisme) to washe vs, and to Purge vs cleane.*

S. Augustine saith, *Quidam nullas Poenas, nisi Purgatorias, volunt esse post Mortem: Some men wil haue no Punishment to be after Deathe, but onely the Paines of Purgatorie.*

Euen in *Paul*es time there were somme, that, bringe alieue, were Baptized for the deade. And by the Council of *Carthage* it appeareth, there were somme, that vled to thrust the Sacramente into the Mouthe of the Deade Body, meaninge thereby, as it maie be thoughte, to procure somme reliefe for the Soule. The wordes be these: *Placuit, vt Corporibus Defunctorum Eucharistia non detur. Dictum est enim à Domino, Accipite, & Edite. Cadavera autem nec Accipere possunt, nec Edere: Wee thinke it good, that the Sacramente be not geuen to the Bodies of the Deade. For our Lords saith, Take, and Eate. But Deade Bodies can neither Take, nor Eate.* These were Ancient Errours in Olde times, as it is easie to be sene.

As for the fantasie of Purgatorie, it sprange firste from the *Heathens*, and was receiued emongest them in that time of darkenesse, longe before the comminge of *Christe*, as it maie plainly appeare by *Plato*, and *Vergile*, in whom ye shal finde described at large, the whole Common Weale, and al the Orders, & Degrees of Purgatorie. *S. Augustine* saith, *The Olde Heathen Romaines had a Sacrifice, whiche they called, Sacrum Purgatorium, A Purgatorie Sacrifice.*

You saie, If they be *Papistes*, that Defende Purgatorie, then muste *Christes* Apostles neede be *Papistes*. For they haue taughte vs Purgatorie, (not by any thinge, that euer they wrote, but) by Tradition. This is as true, as that *S. Peter* said Masse in Rome with a Goulden Cope, and a Triple Crowne. Unless perhappes somme man wil thinke,

where

Panormi. De Electione. cap. Lices.

Iohann. Angelus.

Clemens Pap. 6. in Bulla.

Augu. De Civitate Dei. Lib. 11. cap. 17.

Origen in Epist ad Roman. ca. 6. Lib. 5.

Origen in Lucâ Homil. 14.

Augu. De Civitate Dei. Lib. 21. cap. 13.

1. Corinth. 15.

Concil. Cartha 3. Canon. 6.

Plato in Timæo, Aneid. 6.

August. de Civitate. Lib. 7. ca. 7.

where as S. Paule saith, Homines Priuati Veritate, existimantes quod sum esse pietatem: They be wenne void of Truthe, thinkinge that their gaine is Godlinesse: *Dr.* where as S. Peter saith, Per auaritiam fictis sermonibus negotiabuntur de vobis: Through couensness by fained talke they shal make sale of you, That by these wordes they gaue vs Warninge of the very fowme, and Doctrin of your Purgatorie. For better Authorities, then these be, I recken, ye can lightly finde none.

1. Timoth. 6.
2. Pet. 2.

As for Praier for the Deade, whiche (ye saie) ye haue receiued by Tradition from the Apostles, notwithstandinge it were graunted to be true, yet wot ye it not euermore impoſſible Purgatorie. For Chrysostome, and Basile in theire Liturgies make theire Publique, and solemne Praier in this sorte: Offerimus tibi rationalem hunc cultum, pro in Fide requiescentibus, Maioribus, Patribus, Patriarchis, Prophetis, & Apostolis, Praeconibus, & Euangelistis, Martyribus, Confessoribus, &c. Praecipue vero pro Sanctissima, immaculata, super omnes benedicta, Domina nostra Deipara, & semper Virgine Maria: Wee offer, O Lorde, vnto thee this reasonable seruice for them, that rest in Faith, Our Elders, Our Fathers, the Patriarkes, the Prophetes, the Apostles, the Preachers, the Euangelistes, the Martyres, the Confessours, &c. Specially for the most Holy, without spotte, blessed above al, our Lady Goddes Mother, and euer Virgine Marie. S. Cyprian saith in like manner, Sacrificamus pro Martyribus, Wee make Sacrifice for the Martyrs. Yet, I trowe, ye wil not conclude hercof, that the Patriarkes, Prophetes, Apostles, Preachers, Euangelistes, Martyrs, Confessours, and the Blessed Virgine Marie, were al in Purgatorie. Otherwise ye woulde mutche enlarge the Popes Dominion.

Basil & Chrys.
in Liturgiis.

Cyprian Lib. 3.
Epist. 6.

Of the other side, in your Masses for the Deade, ye haue vsed to praye thus: Libera eos à Tartaro: è profundo Lacu: ex Ore Leonis: Deliuere them, O Lorde (not from Purgatorie, but) from Hel: from that deepe Dungeon: from the Lions Mouth. I trowe, ye are not so mutche thral vnto the Pope, that for his sake ye wil turne, the Lions Mouth, the Deepe Dungeon, and al the Worlde, and Helle it selfe, into Purgatorie.

Thus ye see, G. Hardinge, by your owne Doctrin, ye maie praye for the Deade, and yet be neuer the neare of your Purgatorie.

But to heaſpe ſorewarde the mater, at the leaſte by ſomme Natural reaſon, ye ſaie thus, For as mutche as nothinge, that is defiled, commeth into the Kingedome of Heauen, and ſomme departe out of this Life, though in the Faith of Chriſte, and Children of the Euerlaſtinge Kingedome, yet not throughly and perſitely cleane, it remaineth, that ſutche, after this Life, before they comme to the place of Euerlaſtinge ioye, haue their Purgation. To warrante your Minor in this Argumente, ye bringe in the wordes of S. Paule, as rightly, and as wel to your poſe, as your manner otherwiſe is commonly to handle the Scriptures: Though our outwarde man be corrupted, and weakened (whiche wordes S. Ambroſe expoundeth thus, By Oppreſſion, by Stripes, by Hunger, by Thirſte, by Colde, by Nakedneſſe) Yet our Soule is renewed, and made ſtronger daie by daie. And againe, Let vs cleaſe our ſelues from al filthineſſe of Fleaſhe, and Sprite. Ergo, (ſaie ye) VVe muſt confeſſe by force of theſe VVoordes, that there muſt needs be a place, wherein to purge vs cleane after this life. Here maie we a litle put you in remembrance by the waie: If we cleaſe our ſelues, accordinge to theſe wordes of S. Paule, from al manner filthineſſe, bothe of Fleaſhe, and of Sprite, I beſeeche you, what remaineth there then further, to be Purged in Purgatorie.

2. Corinth. 4.

2. Corinth. 7.

Howe be it, to proue the Imperfection, and Corruption of Nature, that euermore hangeth in our Fleaſhe, ye mighte, in my Iudgemente, haue alleged many other Authorities, farre more pregnant, and clearer, then theſe. Elai ſaith, Al our Righteouſneſſe is likened vnto a ſowle ſtained clowte: Iob ſaith, Inter Sanctos eius nemo eſt Fidelis: Et Coeli non ſunt mundi in conſpectu eius: Emonge the Saintes of God, there is none Faithful: The Heauens are not cleane in his ſight. S. Paule ſaith, I knowe, there is no good thinge in my Fleaſhe. S. Auguſtine ſaith, Let the Apoſtles of Chriſt them ſelues ſaie, O Lorde, foregeue vs our offences.

Eſai. 64.

Iob. 19.

Roman. 7.

Aug. in Pſal. 143

Suche Imperfections, and Corruptions, while we liue, we euermore carrie in our Fleaſhe. Wherefore, ſaie you, To be Purged hereof, that we maie be cleane, and fitte to

Origen in Psal.
36. Homil. 3.

Origen in Lucā.
Homil. 14.

1. Iohā. 1.

Esa. 1.
Hebra. 1.

Cyprian de
Passione Christi

Cyprian De
Cena Domini.

Chrysost. in Ge-
nes. Homil. 27.

Aug. Epist. 80.

Ambrosi. De
Bono Mortu.

Hierony. in La-
mentatiōes
Hieremie. Li. 1.
Cap. 1.

August. De Ser-
mon. Domini in
Monte Lib. 1.

enter into Heauen, wee must needes passe through the Fierres of Purgatorie. By this meane we haue wel enlarged the Popes Jurisdiction. For this being true, neither is there, nor neuer was there any Creature, neither Propheete, nor Apostle, nor Martyr, nor Angel, nor Archangel, nor Heauen it selfe, Christe onely excepted, but of soeche muste needes be cleansed in your Purgatorie. And therefore Origen a great sautour of this errour, saithe thus, Vt ego arbitror, omnes nos necesse est venire in illum Ignem: etiam si Paulus aliquis sit, vel Petrus: As I suppose, al wee muste needes comme into that Fiere: Yea althoughe it be Paule, or Peter. And therefore he saithe, as it is alleged before, Ego puto, quod & post Resurrectionem ex Mortuis, indigebimus Sacramento eluente nos, atque Purgante. Nemo enim absque sordibus Resurgere poterit. Neque vllam puto posse animam reperiri, quæ vniuersis statim vitijis careat: I thinke, that after the Resurrection from the deade, wee shal neede the Sacrament (of Baptisme) to washe vs, and to make vs cleane. For noman can rise againe without filthe. Neither doo I thinke, there can any Soule be founde, voided from al manner of Sinne.

But al these be vaine fantasies: I meane, as wel these of M. Hardinge, as also the other of Origen. S. Iohn saithe, Sanguis Iesu Christi Filij Dei purgat nos ab omni Peccato: The Bloude of Iesus Christe the Sonne of God Purgeth vs, and maketh vs cleane from al our Sinnes. The Prophete saithe, In what houre so euer a Sinner shal repente, and mourne for his sinnes, he shalbe safe. Esai saithe, If your Sinnes shalbe as redde as Scarlet, yet shal they be made as white, as Snowe. S. Paule saithe, Christus Purgationem Peccatorum nostrorum fecit: Christe (by his Bloude) hathe wrought the Purgation of our Sinnes. Therefore S. Cyprian saithe, Sanguis tuus Domine, non querit vltionem: Sanguis tuus lauat crimina, Peccata condonat: Thy Bloude, O Lorde, seeketh no reuenge: Thy Bloude washeeth our Sinnes, and Pardoneth our trespasses.

And, whereas you telle vs, out of somme Heauenly fantasie, that Sinnes cannot be washte awaie, but with longe tracte of time, and paine in Purgatorie, S. Cyprian saithe, In eodem Articulo temporis, cum iam anima festinat ad exitum, & egrediens ad labia expirantis emerferit, Pœnitentiam Clementissimi Dei benignitas non aspernatur. Nec Scrum est, quod Verum est: In that very moment of time, euen when the Soule is ready to passe, and is euen at the lippes of the partie ready to yeelde up the Sprite, the goodnesse of our moste Merciful God, refuseth not repentance. And what so euer is truly donne, is neuer too late.

S. Chrysostome saithe, Latro in Cruce neque vno die opus habebat. Et quid dico, vno die? Neque breui hora. Tanta est Dei erga nos Misericordia: The Thiefe on the Crosse needed not so muche as one daie, so repente him selfe. What speake I of one daie? No, he needed not one houre. So great is the Mercie of God towards vs.

Of the other side, if any man departe hence without repentance, S. Augustine saithe, Imparatum inueniet illam Dies Domini, quem Imparatum inuenierit lux Vitæ huius vltimus dies: Him shal the daie of the Lorde finde unprouided, whom the laste daie of this Life findeth unprouided. S. Ambrose saithe, Qui hic non accipit Remissionem Peccatorum, ibi non erit: He that here in this Life receiueth not Remission of his Sinnes, shal not be there. And S. Hierome expoundinge these wordes of Christe, Thou shalt not goe forth hence, until thou haue paid the last farthinge, saithe thus, Significat semper non exiturum esse: quia semper soluat nouissimum quadrantem, dum sempiternas Pœnas terrenorum Peccatorum luit: Christes meaninge is, that he shal neuer come out: for that he muste euermore paie the laste farthinge, whiles he suffereth Euerlastinge Pounishment for his Sinnes committed in this worlde.

So saithe S. Augustine, Donec soluas nouissimum quadrantem: Mirror, si non eam significat pœnam, quæ vocatur Aeterna: Until thou paie the laste farthinge: I meane, he meaneth that paine, whiche is called Euerlastinge.

Herby, M. Hardinge, I thinke, it may partly appeare, that you mainteine your painted Fiere, and Paper VValles, with painted Authorities, and Paper Reasons. Clirly the Christian people of the East Church of God, whiche sometime was as greate, and as famous, as the Church of the Weste, notwithstanding they beleued in God, and his Christe, and knewe, there was bothe Helle, and Heauen, yet in
your

your Purgatorie they had no skil. One of your Doctors saith, Vsq̃ue in hodie-
num diem Purgatorium non est à Græcis creditum: Vntil this daie of the Grecians, or
of the Easte Church, Purgatorie was neuer beleued. Therefore ye cannot truly saie,
that your fantasie herein was euermoze accounted vniuersal, or Catholique.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Diuision. 2.

Augustine in deede sometime saith, there is sutch a certaine
place: sometime he denieth not, but there maie be sutch a one: sometime
he doubteth: sometime againe he vtterly denieth, there is any at al,
a thinketh, that men are therein deceiued by a certaine Natural good
wil, they beare their friendes departed. But yet of this one Erroure
hath there growen bp such a haruest of those Massemongers, that, the
Masses bringe sold abzoade commonly in euery Corner, the Temples
of God became Shoppes, to geate money: and selie soules were boine in
hande, that nothinge was moze necessarie to be bought. In deede there
was nothinge moze gaineful for these menne to selle.

M. Hardinge.

VVell raied. If your reasons, or Authorities were so piththy, as your mockes and scoffes be spite-
ful, yee were to be hearde. But thanks be to God, that sutch shrewed beastes haue shorte hornes.
Nowe to S. Augustine. And as Festus saide to S. Paule, Hast thou appealed to Cæsar? to Cæsar shalt
thou goe. So saie wee to you, though not hauinge that auctoritie ouer you, whiche Festus had ouer
S. Paule: To Augustine ye referre this mater, and by Augustine shal ye be iudged.

I woulde allege vnto you sundrie places of the (a) Scriptures, whiche maie sufficiently proue Pur-
gatorie to a Christen man, that is not contentious, but that wil we doo hereafter as occasion serueth.
Nowe to S. Augustine. VVee saie plainly, that S. Augustine affirmeth Purgatorie, and that it (b) can-
not be shewed where he doubteth of it, muche lesse where he vtterly denieth any sutch to be. In fun-
drie places of his woorkes he expoundeth the woordes of S. Paule to the Corinthians of Purgatorie,
where the Apostle saith, that the woorkes, whiche be builde vpon the fundation (which is Christe)
shalbe tried by Fire. In the 21. Booke De Ciuitate Dei, after that he hath declared his iudgemente
touchinge paines of diuers sortes, whiche menne suffer for Sinnes committed, he saith thus: But
paines temporal somme suffer in this life onely: somme after their Deathe: somme bothe nowe and
also then, yet before that most seuer and laste iudgemente.

Maie it therefore please you Sirs, to reade S. Augustine in some such places (for to reade al that he
hath written to that ende were very much, and a great let to your Domestical cares) and that I thinke
verely ye wil secretly agree in iudgement with vs, though for shame and consideration of the stage
ye plaie your parte on, openly the same ye wil not confesse. And therefore in his Booke *De Heresibus
ad Quodvultdeum*, he condemneth Aërius of Heresie (and so consequently al others that be of his
opinion) because to the Heresies of the Arians he had added this also, that none oughte to praie, or
make oblations for the deade.

VVhere ye make S. Augustine very vncertaine in this point, as though he saide sometime there is
such a certaine place, sometime there maie be such a one, sometime he doubted of it, sometime plain-
ly denied any sutch to be: either yee vnderstande not S. Augustine, or yee saie contrary to your owne
knowledge. Verily ye be too bolde with him. (c) First that he denieth Purgatorie, that is false. And
where ye allege Hypognostica in the margent of your Booke, reade it once againe at my requeste, and
your selues wil saie, the place proueth not your purpose. The Authour of that woork, whether he
were S. Augustine, whiche Erasmus beleueth not, or who so euer he was, denieth not Purgatorie: but
that after the daie of general iudgement there is any place of Euerlastinge reste, or paine, but King-
dome of Heauen, and Hel, that he denieth. VVhiche wee also denie. And there he speaketh againste
the Pelagians, who, as S. Augustine writeth of them ad *Quodvultdeum*, promised to infantes dyinge
without Baptisme a certaine euerlasting and blessed life without and bisides the Kingedome of God.
VVhiche Heresie in sundrie places he reprobeth. It seemeth the woorde Purgatorium, noted in the
margent of that Booke (d) by thunlearned Printer begiled you. VVhiche sheweth howe profoundly
ye be seene in the Doctors. The thing he doubted of, touching this mater, is this: VVhether those
temporal paines doo onely pounishe for the (e) Satisfaction of the Iustice of God, or also doo purge
and correcte the Soules of the deade in sutch wise, as temporal paines are woonte to doo in this life.
But nowe, whether the smarte, and sorowe of thinges leaste behinde, whiche proceeded in this life of
Carnal affection, remaine also to the soules after their departure from the Body, whereof S. Augustine
sheweth him selfe to stande in doubt in *Enchiridio ad Laurent.* ca. 68. & 69. and whether the fiere
whiche soules feele in Purgatorie as it paineth them, (f) so also doo by degrees diminish and correcte
those Venial and Secular affections, whiche they carried with them at their Deathe, or whether death
it selfe doo so ende them, as there remaine not any correction of the minde yet beinge vicious, but
onely

Alphonſus De
Heresibus li. 2.
De indulgentijs.

Augu. in Psal. 85

(a) It was a
good poiate of
skil, with a
good counte-
nance to passe
them ouer.
(b) Vntrute. It
shalbe shewed.
Reade the An-
swere.

O, so careful
this good man
is for our cares.

(c) vntruth. Ma-
nifest. Reade S.
Augustines
woordes in the
Answer.

(d) Vntruth. For
the margine
was so noted
by Erasmus.

(e) Vntrute, blasphemous
againste the
Bloude of
Christe. Reade
the Answer.

(f) O graue Co-
mentarie: and a
wise mater to
be called in
question.

Al. 15.

S. Augu-
stine affir-
meth Pur-
gatorie in
plaine and
expresse
wordes.
1. Cor. 13.

Lib. 1. Hy-
pognostica.

Henr. 11.

VVhat is
that S. Au-
gustine
doubted of
touching
Purgatory.

Scriptures
to proue
Purgato-
ric.

(g) This whole
mater is con-
cluded with fe-
ming, and like-
lyhoode.
(h) Double Fire
in Purgatorie:
Double Folie.

Ioh. 5.

Esa. 53.

Coloss. 2.

Apocal. 14.

Apocal. 7.

Bernar. in Cau-
tica, serm. 65.

August. ad Lau-
renti. ca. 67. &
Dis. 29. Sciendū

Augu. in 8. qu.
ad Dulcetiū. q. 1.
‡ It maie be a
question.

Augu. De Ciuit.
Lib. 21. Cap. 21.
* Perhaps it
is true.

Aug. de Fide. &
Operib. cap. 16.

‡ Quantum
arbitror.

* As mutche
as I thinke.

Augu. De Ciuit.
Lib. 21. Cap. 27.

‡ Non potui.

only the pounishment of the sinne passe: that is the thinge, whereof S. Augustine (g) seemeth to De Ciuit.
haue doubted. In effecte his question is, whether the Fire of Purgatorie be not (h) double. The one De Ciuit.
whiche pounisheth the venial sinne passe: the other whiche diminisheth and taketh awaie th'affe- Cap. 21.
ction of sinne yet remaininge. Of the firste he doubteth not: of the other he saith, *Non redarguo,*
quia forsitan verum est, I saie not againste it, for peraduenture it is true.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

VVee raile at you, M. Hardinge, euen as Christe railed at certayne officers, in
whoes steppes ye seme to treade, so; that they had made of the House of God a Cane
of Thorns.

The places of the Scriptures, whiche ye thoughte it beste to passe ouer, and
whereby your Purgatorie by your iudgement vndoubtedly maie be proued, if a man
be not very contentiuous, I trowe, be these: Verily, Verily, I saie vnto you, he that bea-
reth my Woorde, and beleueth in him, that sente me, hath Euerlastinge Life: and shal not
come into damnation: but hath passed from Deathe vnto Life. M, that Esai the Pro-
phete saith of Christe: He was wounded for our transgressions: He was broken for our
iniquities: The chastisement of our Peace was vpon him: and with his stripes wee were made
whole. M, that S. Paule saith, Christe hath taken the Obligation, that was against vs,
and hath fastened it vnto his Crosse. M, that S. Iohn saith, Blessed be the Deade, that
die in the Lorde. Euen so saith the Sprite. For they rest from their labours: and their
woorkes followe them. He saith not, they be in Purgatorie: but, they rest from their
Labours. And againe, They shal hunger nomore: They shal thirst nomore. For the
Lambe, whiche is in the middes of the Throne, shal gouerne them, and shal leade them (not into
Purgatorie, but) vnto the liuely Fountaines of Waters: and God shal wipe awaie al teares from
their eies. These, or sutch other like Places, I trowe, they be, whereby ye assure
your selfe so certainly of your Purgatorie.

But vnto S. Augustine wee haue appealed: and to S. Augustine (ye saie) wee shal
Hewe be it, if ye consider the mater wel, ye shal finde, that our appeale lieth not
vnto S. Augustine. It had benne more indifferente dealinge, to haue saide with S.
Bernarde, Ad Euangelium appellasti: & ad Euangelium ibis: Yee haue appealed vn-
to the Gospel: and to the Gospel yee shal goe.

As so; S. Augustine, notwithstandinge his Autho:tie be greate, and his Lear-
ninge deepe, yet in these cases, as it shal wel appeare, he can be but a doubtful
Iudge. And a doubtful Iudge, so farre frome, as he doubteth, is no Iudge. Your
owne Canon telleth you, In erroris Labyrinthum nonnulli intrando impingunt,
cum ante iudicant, quam intelligant: Many menne getuinge Iudgemente before they can
vnderstande, by entanglinge them selues, fall into a Maze of error. For howe can he pro-
nounce sentence of that, he confesseth, he knoweth not: M, howe can he assure vs,
that is not hable to assure him selfe: Touchinge the certaintie of this Doctrine,
to allege one, or two places out of many, S. Augustine writeth thus: Tale aliquid,
etiam post hanc Vitam fieri, incredibile non est: & vtrum ita sit, queri potest: Some
sutch thinge (he meaneth the Fiery of Purgatorie) to be after this life, it is not incredi-
ble: And whether it be so, or no, ‡ It maie be a question. Againe, Quod Spiritus
Defunctorum, &c. Ignem transitorie Tribulationis inueniant, non redarguo: quia
Forsitan Verum est: That the Sprites of the Deade finde a Fiery of transitorie Tribulation,
I reprove it not: For * Perhaps it is true. Againe, Siue ergo in hac Vita tantum ho-
mines ista patiuntur, siue etiam post hanc Vitam talia quaedam iudicia subsequuntur:
non abhorret, ‡ Quantum Arbitror, a ratione Veritatis ille intellectus huius senten-
tiae: Therefore whether men suffer sutch thinges onely in this Life, Or els somme sutch Iudge-
mentes followe euen after this Life, * As mutche as I thinke, the vnderstandinge of this sen-
tence disagreeth not from the order of the Truth.

And againe he saith, Quis sit iste modus, & quae sint ista Peccata, quae ita impe-
diant peruentionem ad Regnum Dei, vt tamen Sanctorum amicorum meritis impe-
trent indulgentiam, difficilimum est inuenire: Periculosisimum definire: Ego certe
vsq; ad hoc tempus, cum inde satagerem, ad eorum indaginem peruenire ‡ non potui:
What meane this is, and what Sinnes these be, whiche so lette a man from comminge vnto the
Kingedome

Kingedome of God, that they maie notwithstandinge obtaine Pardonne by the Merites of Holy frendes, it is very harde to finde : and very dangerous to determine . Certainly I mee selfe, notwithstandinge greates studie, and trauaile taken in that behalfe, could neuer attaine to the knowledge of it . Thus, touchinge Purgatorie, S. Augustine saith, Whether there be any such place after this life, or no, it maie be a question: Perhaps it is true: As muche, as I thinke: I cannot telle: I could neuer attaine to the knowledge of it.

By these it is plaine, that S. Augustine stode in doubt hereof, whether there be any such Purgatorie Fierye, or no. Therefore vndoubtedly he toke it neither for an Article of the Christian Faith (for thereof it had not benne lawful for him to doubt) nor for any Tradition of the Apostles.

For saie, S. Augustine neuer doubted, whether there were any such place of Purgatorie, or such Fierye, or no: But onely, whether the tormentes there do satisfie the Iustice of God for Sinne, or no: and, whether the same tormentes doo by degrees diminishe the Venial Sinnes, and Seculare Affections, whiche the Parties carried with them, or no. These, you saie, were S. Augustines doubtles. Notwithstandinge what shoulde any question be raised hereof: Plato, and Vergile, and other Heathen Writers, from whom this Doctrin firste flowed abroade, would some haue put you quite out of doubt. Vergile hereof amongst others saith thus:

*Quin & supremo cum lumine vita reliquit,
Non tamen omne malum miseris, nec funditus omnes
Corporeæ excedunt pestes: Penitusque necesse est
Multa diu concreta modis inolescere miris.
Ergo exercentur pœnis, veterumque malorum
Supplicia expendunt: Aliæ panduntur inanes
Suspensæ ad ventos: alijs sub gurgite vasto
Infectum eluitur scelus, aut exuritur igni.*

Æneid, 6.

But reade you S. Augustine, P. Harding: you, I saie, that, to vse your owne wordes, are so profoundly seene in al the Doctoures, that ye maie boldly despise al others what so euer: Reade, I saie, S. Augustine: examine better the Places, and weighe the wordes: And ye shal finde, that he neuer, neither mentioneth, nor toucheth any of these your pretie fantasies: but saith plainely, Whether it be so, or no, it maie be a question: I reprove it not: For perhaps it is true.

And are ye so profoundly seene in al the Doctoures, and Scriptures, P. Harding, that ye thinke, there is either Fierye, or VVater, or any other Creature, wherewith to satisfie the Justice of God against Sinne, sauinge onely the Bloude of the Lambe of God, that taketh awaie the Sinne of the Worlde? Or, thinke you, that S. Augustine would turne vs from the Satisfaction of Christe, and sende vs to seeke for any other? Certainely S. Augustine him selfe saith, Valeat mihi ad Perfectionem liberationis tantum Pretium Sanguinis Domini mei: Let onely the Price of the Bloude of my Lorde auail me to the Perfection of my delinerie.

Augustin. in
psalm, 25.

Againe he saith, Quando aliquis se conuerterit ad Deum, prorsus illi omnia dimittantur. Nemo sit sollicitus, quod aliquid ei non dimittatur: When so euer any man turneth him selfe vnto God, al thinges utterly are forgeuen him. Let no man be doubtful, leaste any thinge happily be not forgeuen. Chrysostome imagineth God thus to saie of a Sinner: Vnum tantum requiro, vt confiteatur Peccata, & ab eis desistat: Nec ultra Infero Pœnam Peccatis: This thinge onely (saith he God) I require of a Sinner, that he confesse his Sinnes (vnto me) and leaue the same. Afterwarde I laie no more Pounishment vpon his Sinnes. Likewise againe he saith, Ego Fideiubeo: Si quis nostrum recedat à Peccatis ex animo, & verè Promittat Deo, se ad illa non rediturum,

Augu. De Ver-
bis Domini,
serm. 59.

Chrysost. in Ge-
nes. Homil. 44.

Chrysost. De B.
philosofia,

nihil Deum requisitum ad Satisfactionem: *I wil stande bounde in Goddes behalfe: If any of vs forsake his Sinnes with al his hart, and make true promisse vnto God, that he wil no more returne vnto them, that then God shal require nothing els vnto Satisfaction. We, that knoweth not thus muche, haue no greate cause, to baunt him selfe of his profounde knowledge in the Doctours. But, if Goddes Justice againste your sinne be fully satisfied by the Bloude of Christ: If the Onely price of our Lordes Bloud, haue wrought the perfection of your deliuerie: If there remaine nothinge vnforegeuen: If God require no further pounishment: What shoulde you saie for other Satisfaction in your painted Fieres, and smokes of Purgatorie.*

To conclude, *re* saie thus, In effecte, S. Augustine's question is, whether the Fiere of Purgatorie be not double. D. W. Hardinge, if ye were simple, and meante simply, ye would not so vainely double the pointe. I beseeche you, what double Fiere of Purgatorie meante S. Augustine? Where was he neuer so double in dealinge? By your double Diuinitie, and profounde knowledge in the Doctours, *re* haue at the laste skillfully founde out a Double Purgatorie Fiere, and a Double Purgatorie. Howe be it, as you are now troubled aboute the Fiere of your Purgatorie: so were somme others of your frendes, not longe sithence, as muche troubled aboute the VVater. Sir Thomas Moore saide, and held foze certaine, that in al Purgatorie there is no VVater: no, not one droppe. And that, he saide, he would proue by the wordes of the Prophete Zacharie: *Eduxisti vinctos tuos de Lacu, in quo non erat Aqua: Thou haste deliuered thy Prisoners out of the Dungeon, wherein there was no VVater.*

Sir Thomas
Moore.
Zachar. 9.

The Bishop
of Rochester
vsalm. 65.

Of the other side, Rosensis saith, *Yes, there is in Purgatorie good stoare of VVater.* And that, he saith, he can proue by the Prophete Dauid: *Transiimus per Ignem, & Aquam: & eduxisti nos in refrigerium: We haue passed through Fire, and VVater: and thou haste broughte vs forth into a place of refreshinge.* Albertus, and Rosensis saie, The Executioners, and Ministers of Purgatorie, be Holy Angelles: Sir Thomas Moore saith, Out of doubt, they be no Angelles, but very Diuelles. So handesomely these beames agree together.

To returne to the water, where ye saie, VVe muche mistooke the place of S. Augustine, being deceived by the ignorant note in the Margine, where also ye so pleasantly spoyle your selfe with our Profounde knowledge in the Doctours, the wordes of S. Augustine, that ye meane, be these, *Primum Locum Fides Catholicorum, Diuina Autoritate, Regnum credit esse Celorum: vnde non Baptizatus excipitur: Secundum, Gehennam: vbi omnis Apostata, & a Christi Fide alienus Aeterna Supplicia experietur: Tertium Penitus ignoramus: imo nec esse in Scripturis Sanctis inueniemus: The Firſt Place the Catholique Faith, by Goddes Authoritie, beleueth to be the Kingedome of Heaven: From whence, who so euer is not Baptized, is excluded: The Seconde Place, the same Catholique Faith beleueth to be Hell: Where al Renegates, and who so euer is without the Faith of Christe, shal taste Euerlasting Pounishment.* As for any Thirde Place, wee vtterly knowe none: neither shal wee finde in the Holy Scriptures, that there is any such. If these wordes make not againste your Purgatorie, yet truly in any appearance, they make but slenderly for your Purgatorie. S. Augustine saith, Any other Thirde Place (after this Life, besides Heauen, and Helle) wee vtterly knowe none. Neither can wee finde in the Holy Scriptures, that there is any such.

August. Hypo-
gnosti. lib. 5.

No Thirde
Place.

But, if al these three places, Heaven, Helle, and Purgatorie, had benne certainly knowne, and vniuersally receiued in the Church, and yet besides these three places, the Pelagian Heretiques would haue diuided, as you imagine, an other several Place, to receiue the Soules of such Childzen, as departed out of this life without Baptisme, then coulde not S. Augustine haue truly saide, *Tertium locum ignoramus: VVe knowe no Thirde place: But rather he shoulde haue saide, Quartum locum ignoramus: Fourthe place wee knowe none.* For, by your iudgemente, the doubt had benne, not of the Thirde place, but of the Fourthe. And it had benne a greate oversight for S. Augustine, in the reckninge onely of Foure places, to haue forgotten One: and specially such a One too, as had benne founde more gainful of late yeres, then the other Three: But his wordes be plaine: Heaven

Fourthe
Place.

wee

wee knowe: and Helle wee knowe: But any Thirde place bisides Heauen, and Helle, wee knowe none.

This worde, Purgatorium, was noted in the Margine, not by the Printer, as you saie, but by Erasmus, a man of famous memoire: whose name for learninge, and iudgemente, hath at al times emonge the Learned, benne mutche esteemed: with whom your pong Louanian Clergie mase not wel compare, in the profounde knowledge of the Doctours, without greate blushing. And thus much touchinge this one place of S. Augustine, wherein it hath pleased you so deeply to charge vs with grosse ignorance. But for better trial of his iudgemente herein, yet shoulde haue considered, what he hath writen otherwheres to like purpose.

In his Epistle to Hefychius he saith thus: In quo quæque inuenerit suus nouissimus dies, in hoc eum comprehendet mundi nouissimus dies. Quoniam qualis in die isto quisque moritur, talis in die illo iudicabitur: *In what state his owne laste daie shal finde eche man, in the same state the laste daie of the worlde shal finde him. For such as euerie man in this daie shal die, euen such in that daie shal be iudged.* Againe, Qualem inuenit Dominus, cum hinc vocat, talem & iudicat: As our Lorde findeth a man, when he calleth him hence, euen so he iudgeth him. And againe, Qui in hac vita Deo non placuerit, habebit quidem Pœnitentiam in Futuro Sæculo de malis suis: sed indulgentiam in conspectu Dei non inueniet. Quia etsi erit ibi stimulus pœnitudinis, nulla tamen erit correctio voluntatis: *Who so in this life shal not please God, shal in the World to come haue Repentance for his Sinnes: But Pardon in the sighte of God he shal not finde. For although there shalbe there the prick of Repentance, yet there shalbe no amendement of the wil.* Againe he saith, Vnusquisque cum causa sua dormiet, & cum causa sua resurget: *Every man shal die with his owne cause, and rise againe with his owne cause.* Olympiodorus saith, In quocunque loco, &c. deprehendetur homo, cum moritur, in eo gradu, atque ordine permanet in Aeternum: *In what place, or state so euer a man shalbe founde, when he dieth, in the same state, and degree shal he remaine for euer.*

Augu. Epist. 80.

August. in Apoc. Homil. 11.
August. ad Petrum Diacon, Cap. 3.

August. in Ioh. Tract. 49.
Olympiodorus in Ecclesiast. Cap. 9.

But to reckon by al, that mighte be saide in this behalfe, it woulde be longe. A simple man, wth hardinge, without any profounde knowledge of the Doctours, mase easily see, that these saierings wil hardely stande with your Doctrine of Purgatorie. Your owne Roffensis saith, Nemo nunc dubitat Orthodoxus, an Purgatorium sit: De quo tamen apud Priscos, vel nulla, vel quàm rarissima fiebat mentio. Sed & Græcis ad hunc vsque diem non est Creditum: Quamdiu enim nulla esset cura de Purgatorio, nemo quæsiuit indulgentias: *No Catholique man nowe doubteth of Purgatorie: Wherof notwithstandinge emonge the Ancient Fathers there is either no mention at al, or very fewe.* Yea euen vntil this daie the Grecians beleue it not. For, so longe as there was no care for Purgatorie, no man sought after Pardonnes. By which witnesse it appeareth, that Pardonnes, and Purgatorie were brought in iointely bothe together. And, as the case nowe standeth, and as moste menne thinke, the Pope coulde be contented to lose bothe Heauen, and Helle, to saue his Purgatorie.

Pol. der. De Inuentorib. Lib. 8.
Cap. 1.

The Apologie, Cap. 17. Division. 1.

As touching the multitude of vaine, and superfluous Ceremonies, wee knowe, that S. Augustine did greuously complaine of them in his owne time: and therefore haue wee cutte of a greate number of them: because wee knowe, that mennes consciences were encombred aboute them, and the Churches of God ouerladen with them. Neuerthelesse wee keepe stil, and esteeme, not onely those Ceremonies, which, wee are sure, were deliuered vs from the Apostles: but somme others too bisides, which wee thoughte mighte be suffered without hurte to the Church of God: for that wee had a desire, that al thinges in the Holy Congregation mighte, as S. Paule commaundeth, be donne vvith comelineffe, and in good order. But, as for al those thinges, which, wee

wee sawe, were, either very superstitious, or utterly vnprofitable, or noisome, or mockeries, or contrarie to the Holy Scriptures, or els vnseemly for sober, and discrete people, whereof there be infinite numbers now a daies, where the Romaine Religion is vsed, these, I saie, wee haue bitterly refused without al manner exception: because wee would not haue the righte woozhippinge of God to be any longer defiled with such folies.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruth. For S. Augustine speaking of his time, saith, *Tam multis Præsumptionibus ita plena sunt omnia,*

&c. (b) Then be yee circumcised, as Paule was. For this was only a leweslike Ceremonie.

Augu. Epist. 119

August. in ead. Epistolâ,

Chrysost. in Matt. 23. Homil. 52.

Cyril. in Ioh. 8. Lib. 8. Cap. 1.

S. Augustine, whom ye allege wrongfully againste the Ceremonies of the Catholike Church, speaketh onely of the cuttinge awaie of such manners, and rites, as be crepte into some one particulare Countie, neither contained in Holy Scriptures, nor established by Councelles of Bishoppes, nor confirmed by Custome of the whole Church. But howe prone you by that place, that you maie pul downe Altars, and Images: disallowe the Vowe of Pouertrie, Lente, Oile? &c. S. Augustine retereth the takinge awaie of any Custome, or manner vnto dewe Authoritie, exhortinge it to be donne, *Ubi facultas tribuitur*: VWhere Power is geuen to doo it. Neither els maie it be donne lawfully at al, &c. Ye thinke, wee haue many ridiculous, and sonde thinges, in our Cerimonies. If wee had, (a) as in deede wee haue not, would ye laughe at them, as Cham did at the nakednesse of his Father Noë: &c. Laughe on ye cursed Chananees: but we wil daunce before the Arke: we wil (b) shirte our heade with Paule, &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This mater had benne ouer colde, had not *pp.* Hardinge a litle enflamed his Choler in the ende, and cried out vpon his Curfed Chananees. His Altars, his Images, his Clowes, his Lenten, & his Styles, be answered sufficiently otherwheres. S. Augustines wordes, concerninge this mater, are pregnant, and plaine: *Hoc nimis doleo, quia multa, quæ in Diuinis Libris saluberrimè præcepta sunt, minus curantur: & tam multis Præsumptionibus sic plena sunt omnia, &c.* This thinge grieueth me, that so many thinges, wholefomely commaunded in the Holy Scriptures, are not regarded: and al thinges are ful of so many Præsumptions, &c. And againe, *Quamuis ista contra Fidem non sint; tamen ipsam Religionem, quam Paucissimis, & Manifestissimis Celebrationum Sacramentis Misericordia Dei liberam esse voluit, seruilibus oneribus ita premunt, vt tolerabilior sit conditio Iudæorum: qui etsi tempus libertatis non agnouerint, Legalibus tamen sarcinis, non humanis Præsumptionibus subijciuntur: Al* be it these thinges be not againste the Faith, yet with seruite burthens they so oppresse our very Religion, whiche God of his Mercie would haue to be free, vnder very fewe, and mosse manifeste Sacramentes of Diuine Service; that the state of the Iewes is mutche more tolerable (then the state of the Church of Christe). For the Iewes, notwithstandinge they knewe not of the time of Libertie, yet were they subiecte to the Packes, and burthens of the Lawe (of God): and not vnto the Diuises, and Præsumptions of Menne.

If such the Diuises, and Præsumption, Chrysostome saith, *Non dicunt, Cur Legem Moysi, sed Cur Traditionem Seniorum transgrediuntur? Vnde patet, eos multa inno-uasse, cum Deus contra vetuisset, ne quid adderent, aut minuerent Sed illi, cum timeret, ne Principatum amitterent, ceu Legum Latore, vt maiores esse viderentur, plurima in-nouarunt. Quæ res ad tantam peruenit nequitiam, vt præcepta sua custodirent ma-gis, quam præcepta Dei: The Phariseis saie not vnto Christe, Wherefore doo thy Disciples breake the Lawe of Moses, but, Wherefore doo they breake the Traditions of the Elders? Whereby it appeareth, that they had altered many thinges: whereas God had commaunded, that they should neither adde, nor diminishe. But fearinge, leaste they should lose their Authoritie, as if they had benne Lawe Makers, so thende they mighte seeme the greater, they altered muche. Whiche thinge (in the ende) grewe to such a wickednesse, that they kepte their owne Com-maundementes, more then the Commaundementes of God.*

In like manner saith S. Cyril, *Vellent suam Doctrinam, & Paternas Traditiones ab hominibus potius suscipi, atque in admiratione, honoreque haberi. Quicquid igitur Christo credentium accesserit, sibi detractum putant: The Phariseis woulde that we shoulde receiue, and magnifie their Doctrine, and the Traditions of the Fathers: Therefore*

Therefore howe many so euer Faithfull came vnto Christe, they thoughte so many were losse from them.

It is not true, that y^e said, Traditions maie not be changed, or abolished without the general Consente of the whole Church. For Ceremonies, as they neuer grew together at one time in al places, so can they not lightly be abolished together at one time in al places. Socrates saith plainly, Videtur mihi, Multa modo in his regionibus, modo in illis Consuetudinem obtinuisse: It seemeth vnto me, that many thinges haue bene receiued by Custome, nowe in one Countrey, and nowe in an other. Againe he saith, In vniuersum certe, & in omnibus Observationibus piarum precum, non possunt duæ Ecclesiæ inueniri, quæ prorsus inter se consentiant: Verily to speake Vniuersally, in al manner of Observations, or Fourmes of Common Praier, there cannot two Churches be founde, that agree thorowly bitweene them selues. S. Augustine saith plainly, Omnia talia, &c. vbi facultas tribuitur, sine omne dubitatione rescanda existimo: Al such thinges, in my iudgemente ought to be cutte of: as soone as iuste occasion, or Power is geuen, without any manner of doubtinge. So saith Pope Steuin, Si nonnulli ex Prædecessoribus, & Maioribus nostris fecerunt aliqua, quæ illo tempore potuerunt esse sine culpa, & postea vertuntur in errorem, & Superstitionem, sine tarditate aliqua, & cum magna Autoritate à posteris destruantur: If sundrie of our Predecessours, or Elders haue donne certaine thinges, which at that time might wel be donne without hurte, and afterwarde are turned into error, and Superstition, without any staggering, and with greate Authoritie let them be destroyed, and abolished by the Successours. And Pope Damasus saith, Quod ratione caret, extirpare necesse est: What so euer wanteth reason, muste of necessity be rooted out.

VVee laughe not, as y^e said, W. Hardinge, at the nakednesse of our Father. Our Father is in Heauen, the Father of Lighte, the God of Glozie: and in him there is no nakednesse. Wee laughe not at any of these thinges, but wee saie with S. Augustine, as it is alleged before, Hoc nimis doleo, &c. This thinge very muche greeueth me, that so many thinges wholesomely commaunded in the Holy Scriptures, are not regarded: and that al thinges are ful of so many presumptions: And that, as S. Chrysostome saith, These thinges are called vpon, and more regarded, then the Lawes, and Commaundementes of our Father. Our Father saith of you, and of your felowes: Volunt facere, vt obliuiscatur Populus meus Nominis mei propter somnia sua: They wil cause, that my People shal foregiete my Name, for loue of their Dreames. Our Father saith, Two euilles haue my People donne: They haue forsaken me the Fountaine of the Water of Life: and they haue ripte vp to them selues broken Cisternes, that can holde no Water. Our Father saith, What is Chaffe vnto the Corne? Who hath required these thinges at your handes? To be shorte, herof Christe him selfe saith thus, Euery plante, that my Heauenly Father hath not planted, shalbe plucked vp by the rootes.

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Division. 1.

Wee make our Praiers in that tongue which al our people, as meete is, maie vnderstande, to thende they maie (as S. Paule counselleth vs) take common commoditie by Common Praier: euen as al the Hoiey Fathers, and Catholique Bishoppes, bothe in the Olde, and Newe Testament did vse to praise them selues, & taught the people to praise too: least, as S. Augustine saith, Like Parots, and Oufels vve should seme to speake, that vvee vnderstande not. Neither haue wee any other Mediatoure, & Intecessoure, by whome wee maie haue accessie to God the Father, but onely Iesus Christe, in whose onely name al thinges are obtained at his Fathers hande. But it is a shamefull parte, and ful of Infidelitie, that wee see euery where vsed in the Churches of oure aduersaries, not onely in that they wil haue innumerable sortes of Media-

Socrates. Lib. 9.

Cap. 12. Εἰ μὴ

οὐ φαίνεται

ὅτι πολλὰ

κατὰ χρόνον

συνιστέου

ἔλαβεν.

Socrates eod.

loco.

Aug. Epist. 119.

Dist. 63. Quæ

sancta.

Dist. 68. Choro-

piscopi.

Aug. Epist. 19.

Chrys. in Mat.

thæ. Homel. 52.

Hierem. 23.

Hierem. 2.

Hierem. 23.

Isaie. 1.

Matthæ. 15.

Jerem. Cap. 2.
¶ 11.

Mediatours, & that utterly without the Authoritie of Gods woord: (So that, as Ieremie saith, the Sainctes be nowve as many in number, or rather aboue the number of the Citties: and poore menne cannot tel, to whiche Saincte it were beste to turne them first: And though there be so many, as they cannot be tolde, yet every one of them hath his peculiere duetie, and office assigned vnto him by these folkes: what thinge they oughte to aske, what to geue, and what to bringe to passe) But besides this also, in that they doo not onely wickedly, but also shamefully cal vpon the Blessed Virgine Christes Mother, to haue her remember, that shee is the Mother, and to commaunde her Sonne, and to vse a Mothers authoritie ouer him.

M. Hardinge.

(a) This is M. Hardinges legi-
erdumaine. For
we speake only
of futeh Medi-
atours, as wee
oughte to praie
vnto.
(b) As if S. Paule
had euer willed
vs, to praie to
Sainctes.

(c) Vntrute,
proceedinge of
vaine dotage.
(d) A graue Au-
thoritie, taken
out of the very
Portuise.

(e) This is the
certaintie of M.
Hardings Praier
It hangeth of a
blind coiecture
(f) It is a greater
Grace to be the
Childe of God.

(g) Open Blas-
phemie is Spiri-
tual dalliance.
O when wil M.
Hardinge con-
fesse a faulte!

Vvee haue but onely one Mediatour to Saluation Iesus Christe, by whose merites God is recon-
ciled vnto vs. But where ye saie, that besides the same one Mediatour, ye haue none other, that (a) may
praie or make intercession for you, that pointe of false belefe ye maie keepe for your selues. Vvee had
rather holde with (b) S. Paule, who as he is praised for of al Christen men, so he doubted not to saie to
the Corinthians, that he hoped to escape daunger, *Adiuuantibus vobis in Oratione pro nobis*,
By the helpe of you in praier for vs. If one good man maie heale an other in this life by Praier,
whiles he hath yet somewhat of his owne to be careful for: much more the Sainctes, whiche are dis-
solved, and reigne with Christe, can helpe vs with their persite Praier. Ye can tel (I trowe) that S. Hier-
ome made this argument within litle of twelue hundred yeeres past. Neither make wee them Gods,
as ye woulde seeme to saie by the place (which beinge spoken by the Prophete Ieremie of very Idols)
ye applie to the frendes of Christ. VVhom Dauid saith to be vnto him most honorable, and their do-
minion to be moste stronge. There is no cause why ye shoulde woonder, if diuers Cities doo gladly
acknowledge some one Holy Angel, Apostle, Martyr, or Confessor, as their chefe Patron, and friend.
For if (as it is written) Michael the Archangel was once Prince of the Iewes, and stode alwaies for the
children of Goddes people: it is not to be doubted but that Christen people haue nowe also their
(c) Patrones. To this purpose (d) some applie that whiche is written, *Fulgebunt iusti, & tanquam
scintilla in arundinetis discurrent, iudicabunt nationes, & dominabuntur populis, & regnabit
dominus illorum in perpetuum*: The iuste menne shal shine, and like sparkes of fire in drie kicke
shal runne abrode: they shal iudge nations, and beare rule ouer peoples, and our Lorde shal raigne
ouer them for euer. S. Ambrose is of that opinion plainly, where he saith, writinge vpon S. Luke,
Sicut Angeli præsunt, ita & ij qui vitam meruerunt Angelorum. As the Angelles be ouer Nati-
ons, so they also whiche deserue the Life of Angelles.

Concerninge the offices that, ye saie, wee appointe vnto the Sainctes, ye muste vnderstande, that
as God hath shewed by any Myracle, what good vookes he hath wroughte by this Saincte, rather
then by that: so the people desire to obtaine of God by the same Sainctes Praier that thinge, wherein
they maie (e) coniecture, that God hath geuen him moste grace. And bicause our Lady the blessed
Virgine Mary hath more grace geuen to her, then any other Creature (except ye can name (f) a greater
Praier then to be the Mother of God): therefore al Christen Nations are moste bolde to desire in
Praier to be relied by her Intercession, and Mediation of her Praier made to her Sonne Iesus Christe,
who (wee doubt not) heareth her moste gladly, as no lesse intendinge to doo good by her continu-
ally to vs, then wee are sure, that by her he hath donne for vs those greates benefices, whiche continu-
ally wee doo receiue, for so muche as he tooke fleshe of her. And seeinge that Christe is the firste
begotten amonge many Brothers, wee that are called into one Heretage with him and by him, maie
take harte to accompt Christes Mother, for our Mother, specially whereas he saide vnto Iohn his Dis-
ciple, and in him to al the children of Grace, beholde thy Mother.

If nowe any Spiritual man suche as S. Bernarde was, deeply consideringe the greates honour and
dignitie of Christes Mother, doo in excess of minde (g) spiritually sporte and dally (as it were) with
her, biddinge her to remember, that shee is a Mother, and that thereby shee hath a certaine righte to
commaunde her Sonne, and require in a moste sweete manner, that shee vse her righte: is this either
impiously, or impudently spoken? Is not he rather moste impious and impudent, that findeth fault
therewith? If euer any of you had practised in contemplation any of that sweetenesse, whiche is in
Canticis Canticorum, ye shoulde haue founde, that there the spiritual Soule is bolder with God her
Creatour and Spouse, then S. Bernarde euer was with our Lady, beinge but a Creature, and a member
of the same Church with him.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Be safe, There is One onely Mediatoure of Saluation: but there are many
Mediatours

There is
but one
Mediatour
of Saluation
who is
Christ only
But the
Sainctes
frendes be
Mediatours
of Inter-
cession.
2. Corin. 1.
Psal. 114.
Sainctes be
peculiar
Patrons of
certaine
places.
Sapient. 3.
In fine 11.
1. comm. in Lucas.

Intercessi-
on of the
blessed Virga
Mary.

Roman. 1.
Marie Mo-
ther to al
that loue
Christe.
Iohan. 19.
Spiritual
familiaritie
with the
Mother of
God, in ex-
cess of
minde, is
soweraig
deuotion.

Mediatoures of Intercession. And thus with this pretty simple distinction, ye con-
ueighe your selfe atwaite inuisibly in a clowde. But to cut of quarrels, *M. Harding*,
Let vs haue that one onely Mediatoure of Saluation: and then afterwarde take to
you your other Mediatoures of Intercession at your pleasure.

Howe be it, if *Christe* onely be the Mediatoure of Saluation, wherefore then
do you thus calle vpon the Blessed Virgine *Christes* Mother, Salua omnes, qui te
glorificant? Saue thou al them, that *Glorifie thee*. Here you intrude vpon *Christes* of-
fice: and make the Holy Virgine a Mediatoure, not onely of Intercession, as you saie,
but also of Saluation.

Addition. *M. Harding.* A VVrangler wil neuer lacke woordes, &c. VVhen wee saie to the Virgine, Saue vs, wee meane thus, Praie for vs to God, that wee maie be saued. *M. Har. fo. 358. a.*

The Answer. It appeareth wel by you, *M. Harding*, that a Wrrangler wil
neuer lacke thise of woordes. To desire Saluation of any Creature, and that for
glorifyinge, and praisinge of the same, it is nothinge els, but vaine, and Childishe
blasphemie. Wee can desire nomore of God him self. And yet by wrrangling woordes
it muste be holpen. When ye saie to the Blessed Virgine, Saue vs, your meanninge is
this, as you saie, Praie for vs to God, that wee maie be saued.

Firste we telle you, as *S. Paule* hath taughte vs: *There is one Mediatoure* *1. Timoth. 2.*
betweene God, and Man, Christe Iesus beeing Man. Hereunto you make answer: *Mediatoure.*
There are twoo Mediatoures, the one of Saluation, whiche onely is *Christe*: The other of Interces-
sion, or Praier, whiche (you saie) maie belonge to the Sainctes of God. Here I replie, & shewe
you by your owne Praiers, and by the praier of your Church of Rome, that con-
trarie to your owne Distinction, you desire Saluation of our Lady, and so make her
a Mediatoure, not onely of Praier, but also of Saluation. Unto this you answer,
that by these woordes, Saue vs, ye meane nothinge els, but Praie for vs. Thus you
can thise Praieinge into Sauinge, and Sauinge againe into Praieinge, at your pleas-
ure. Al this notwithstandinge, we muste thinke, you deale plainely, and wante
woordes, and are no Wrrangler.

But, if you craue nothinge of our Lady, but onely her Praier, what shal we
then do with Meritis, & Precibus suae pax Matris? Here are, not onely Praiers, but
also Merites. Muste we thinke, that Merite and Praier, in your Diuinitie, is al one
thinge: What shal we do with these woordes, that were wraite to ringe in al your
Churches, Monstra te esse Matrem: Shewe thee selfe, to be the Mother. And let him knowe
it. What is to saie, Commaunde him: He is thy Sonne.

Howe be it, Here perhappes you wil likewise turne Commaundemente into
Praier: And thus, when you list, Saluation is Praier, Merite is Praier, and Com-
maundemente is Praier. So easly an il thinge maie be smothered. And al this can
you Defende, and saue by righte, without wrranglinge.

Wherefore saie ye thus of *Thomas Becket*, of whole Sainthood, for ought
that I knowe, ye maie wel stande in doubt: Tu per *Thomas Sanguinem*, quem
pro te impendit, Fac nos *Christe* scandere, quo *Thomas* Ascendit: O *Christe*, make
vs to Ascende vnto Heauen, whither *Thomas* is Ascended, euen by the Bloude of *Tho-*
mas, that he shewde for thy sake. Here you seeke, not onely Intercession, but also Sal-
uation in the Bloude of *Thomas*.

Addition. *M. Harding.* This is an Obiection for a Cobler, as the other was, and not for a Diuine, whoes duetie it were to depende of thinges, and not of woordes, &c. *M. Har. fo. 358. b.*
Nowe bicause, wee knowe, it was a moste graceous gifte of God, that he gaue *S. Thomas* grace, to
die for his Honour, when wee desire to be holpen by his Bloude, representinge the Memorie of *S.* *359. a.*
Thomas vnto *Christe*, &c. Your woordes be faire, *M. Iuel*, but your Harte vnwares to your selfe,
doothe Honour the Idole *Caluine*, more then *Christe Iesus*, &c. The trimme Strumpet of *Cal-* *363.*
uines settinge out pleaseth you wel. It is that fowle, and blinde harte of youres, that shal con-
demne you, &c.

The Answer. Alas, good *Christian Reader*, that euer man shoulde thus
wastfully bestowe his woordes. I am afraide, we see a Member of him, of whom
Daniel saith, Consurget Rex impudens facie: There shal rise up a Prince with an im-
pudent face, that shal neuer be ashamed of any thinge, what so euer be saie, or do. *Daniel 8.*
Gg S. Peter Antichriste.

Tuper
Thomaz.

Act. 4.

Origen. in Le-
uiti. Homil. 7.

Leo Epist. 81.

350

The Defense of the Apologie of the

S. Peter saith, There is no other name, or Creature given to men vnder Heauen, whereby wee shalbe saved, but onely the name of Christe Iesus: And therefore, the Anciente Father Origene saith, Ipse solus est Hostia pro peccatis: & ipse est Hostia, Sancta Sanctorum: Christe onely is the Sacrifice for sinnes: He is the Sacrifice, the Holy of the Holy. Leo saith, Nullius infontis occisio propitiatio fuit mundi: The Deathe of no Innocente was the Sacrifice, or Redemption of the worlde. Yet here we are taught, to like our Saluation in the Blonde of Thomas Becket, of whoses Vertue, and Innocencie we make wel stande in Doubte. Notwithstandinge you tel vs, This was an obiection for a Cobler.

But it was a moste gracious gyfte of God (you saie) that he gaue this Thomas grace to Die for his honour. For his honour, saie you: Howe for? Howe for? Hardinge, aduise you: selfe better, what you write. Perhaps somme man of simplicitie will beleue you. The very true cause of Thomas Beckettes Deathe, was his Ambition, and Vanitie, and wilful maintenaunce of manifeste wickednesse in the Clergie, to the greate dishonoure of Goddes Holy name, as by the writers of that time, it dwte wel appere. For proues wherof, it maie please you to reade the Storie of Guilielmus Neubrigenis, that liued in the same age.

It is witten, that this Thomas Beckettes father was a Iewe, and a Bère Brewer of London, and that from his House beganne a fire in the time of King Steuin, that consumed al the one side of London, from the Bridge, where he dwelte, vnto Temple Barre. Neubrigenis saith, that King Henry the Seconde,ooke him into his special fauoure, and beinge onely the Archedeacon of Canturburie, made him Lorde Chancellars of his Realme, and so intreated him with al kinde of Honoure, that he seemed to Raigne, as wel as the King: And, that afterwarde he promoted him to the Archebishopsrike of Canturburie, and sente him to the Councel of Tournes, then holden in France. Beinge there, as vpon some remorse of Conscience, he misliked, and secretly refused the Kinges gyfte, and resigned his Archebishopsrike vnto the Pope, and receiued it againe at his handes, and so secretly, and in couerte, betraide his Princes Righte vnto a stranger. At his returne, there grewe a great question within this Realme, touching the Prerogative of the Clergie. The Iudges complained, that there were many Robberies, and Rapes, and Murthers, to the number of one hundred then presently committed within the Realme, by Ecclesiastical persons, and therefore made requeste in Parleme, that there might be somme good consideration had of it for that they them selues beinge Temporal Ministers, had neither Lawe, nor Iurisdiction to deale against them. As for the Bishoppes (saith Neubrigenis) whoes parte it was, to see suche Disorders corrected, of so many thousand wicked Priestres, they neuer disgraced, nor punished so mutche, as one. For they (saith he) seeking more carefully, howe to mainteine, the Dignitie, and Liberties of their Clergie, then the correction of their manners, thinke they doo good seruice to God, and his Church, if they mainteine wicked Priestres against the good Order of common Weales: Whereby (saith he) it cometh to passe, that the Priestres, that should shine, as Starres in the Heauens, hauinge free Libertie to doo what they liste, care neither for God, nor for Man. For redresse hereof, the Kinge was earnestly minded to take Order by his Parlament. Al the reste of the Bishops, not one excepted, agreed thereunto, and confirmed the same vnder theire Seales. Onely Thomas Becket, the Archebishop of Canturburie stode stiffe, and staute, and would not yelde. Afterwarde, when he sawe the Kinges displeasure grewe against him, in the morning before he should come to make his answere, He caused the Masse of S. Steuins office, solemnly to be songe before him, with this Preface, Sederunt Principes, &c. The Kinge sate downe to speake against me, and the wicked songe to persecute me. This done, he tooke his silver Crosse in his hande, and got him boldly to the Court. But perceiving, that the King was much moued, and misliked his stoutnesse, the next night folowing, he fled ouer into France, and afterwarde sought aide of the Pope. At the last, being reconciled vnto his Prince, and returning againe into England, He brought with him the Popes Suspension, and therby Suspended al the Bishops of this Realme, and would neuer agree to release them. By meane wherof, the whole Countrie beinge sore disordered shortly after ensued his death. This is the true storie of Thomas Becket. So stoutly he mainteined the Robberies, the Rapes, the Murthers, & open wickednesse of his Clergie,

and

Guilielm. Neu-
brige. li. 2. ca. 16.

Centum Homi-
cidia intra fines
Angliae à Cle-
ricu commissa.

Tormillia taliu.

Vsq. ad vnam.

and woulde not suffer any Lawe to passe againste them. Neubrigenfis saith, *This stoutnesse in him, I can in no wise commend. He boyled (saith he) with zeale of Justice, but whether it were accordinge to knowledge, or no, God onely knoweth. At this notwithstandinge, M. Hardinge, you tel vs, That God gaue him a special Grace, to die for his Honour, and that by his Bloude wee shal be holpen, and saued, and comme to Heauen. Put Hereto what sence ye wil: verily, to vse your owne wordes, this Diuinitie is much sifter for a Cobler, then for a graue man of your profession.*

Wherefore with S. Ambrose saie, if it were S. Ambrose that wrote the Booke, Reddere debemus Sanctis honorificentiam, qui nobis Salutem profusione sui Sanguinis pepererunt: qui tam Sacra Hostia pro nostra propitiatione Domino sunt oblati: Wee muste yeelde honour vnto the Sainctes, which haue procured Saluation for vs, by the sheaddinge of their Bloude: Which also were offered up vnto the Lorde, so Holy a Sacrifice for our Saluation. If wee haue Saluation in the Bloude of Sainctes, then is not Christe the Onely Mediatoure of our Saluation.

*Ambros. in ser-
mone 6. De S.
Margareta.*

He is no indifferent Impere, that firste disueth Offices equally betwene two, and afterwarde alloteth bothe Offices to One alone.

Notwithstandinge, the Ende, and Office, as wel of Intercession, as also of Saluation, is, to reconcile vs vnto God, & to procure vs Mercie. But this is Christes onely Office: He reconcileth vs vnto God: He presenteth vs vnto the Throne of Grace. And therefore, as S. Paule saith, he euermore maketh Intercession for vs.

*Roman 8.
1. Timoth. 2.*

And for that cause also he saith, Vnus est Mediator Dei, & Hominum, Homo Christus Iesus: There is One Mediatoure betwene God, and Man, Christe Iesus beeing Man.

S Paule (you saie) Requireth the people to make Intercession and to praie for him. This is true. And God hath commaunded, that al the Faithful shoulde Praie one for another. But S. Paule neuer required the people to praie to Sainctes. S. Augustine saith, Paulus non facit se Mediatorem inter Populum, & Deum: Sed rogat, vt pro se orent inuicem omnia Membra Corporis Christi: Paule maketh not him selfe a Mediatoure betwene God, and the People: but requireth, that they Praie alone for another, beeing al the Members of the Body of Christe. Again he saith of S. Iohn, Si Iohannes ita diceret, Hoc Scripsi vobis, vt non peccetis: Et si quis peccauerit, Mediatorem me habetis apud Deum, & ego Exoro pro peccatis vestris, Sicut Parmenianus quodam loco Mediatorem posuit Episcopum inter Populum, & Deum, quis eum ferret bonorum, atque Fidelium Christianorum? Quis sicut Apostolum Christi, & non sicut Antichristum intueretur? If S. Iohn woulde saie, This haue I written vnto you, that yee Sinne not: and if yee Sinne, ye haue me your Mediatoure before God, and I wil intreate for your Sinnes, As Parmenian (the Heretique) in a certaine place, made the Bishop a Mediatoure betwene God, and the People, and god, what Faithful Christian Man coulde abide him? Who woulde looke vpon him, as the Apostle of Christe: and not rather thinke him to be Antichriste?

*August. contra
Epis. Parmenia-
ni. Lib. 2. Cap. 8.
Augustin. in
eod. Lib.*

Here, M. Hardinge, your self distinction of Intercession, and Saluation, can not save you. For Parmenian neuer thought, the Bishop was a Mediatoure of Saluation. And yet S. Augustine saith, If S. Iohn woulde haue saide so muche of him selfe, he had not benne the Apostle of Christe: but rather shoulde haue benne iudged, and taken for Antichriste.

We thinke, The wordes of the Prophete Hieremie spoken of Idolles, and Falsse Goddes, maie not iustely be applied to the Sainctes of God. And deede of the Sainctes parte, it were greate blasphemie, to calle them Idolles. For they see God face to face, and euermore be with God in Gloie. But in respecte of your horrible Abuses, and vaine fantasies, the Wordes of the Prophete be rightly applied. For you, in your Imagination, of the Sainctes of God, haue made Idolles: and haue so multiplied, and increased the same, that the number of them hath farre passed the number of al your Townes, and Citties. And therefore the Ancient Father Epiphanius applieth the like wordes of the same Prophete Hieremie, vnto the Blessed Virgine Marie, beeing then idolatrously abused by the Heretiques, called Collyridiani, enen

as the

Epiph. Lib. 3.
Hers. 39.

Ἀρχιεπίσκοπος
τῆς ἑκκλησίας
ἀποστόλων

as the same Blessed Virgine, and other Sainctes are by you abused nowre. Thus he wisteth: Ne quis comedat de errore, qui est propter S. Mariam. Tametsi enim pulchrum sit lignum, tamen non est ad Cibum. Etsi Pulcherrima est Maria, & Sancta, & Honorata: at non ad Adorationem. Hæ verò Mulieres, colentes Mariam, rursus renouant Fortunæ Mixturam, & præparant Mensam Diabolo, non Deo: Quemadmodum scriptum est, Pascuntur Cibo impietatis. Et rursus, & Fœminæ terunt Pollinem, & Filij colligunt ligna, vt faciant Placentas oleo subastas Reginæ Cœli. Compescantur à Hieremia tales Mulieres: & ne turbent Orbem terrarum. Ne dicant, Honoramus Reginam Cœli: *Lette noman Eate of this Erroure, touchinge S. Marie. For though the tree be faire, yet is not this Fruite to be Eaten. Although the Marie be Bewerisful, and Holy, and Honourable, yet is shee not to be Adoured. But these Women, VVoorshippe S. Marie, reuiewe againe the Sacrifice of Wine mingled in the Honour of the Goddesse Fortuna, and prepare a Table for the Diuel, and not for God. As it is written in the Scriptures, They are fedde with the Meate of VVickednesse. And againe, Theire Women bounte Flower, and their Children geather stickes, to make fine Cakes in the Honour of the Queene of Heauen. Therefore lette such Women be rebuked by the Prophete Hieremie: and lette them nomore trouble the Worlds. And lette them not saie, wee VVoorship the Queene of Heauen.*

Here we see, the wordes, that were spoken of the Heathenish Idoles, are applied by Epiphanius vnto the Mother of Christ: not to deface the Blessed Virgine, but to declare the sonde errors of those Heretiques.

As for the distribution of Offices, and seuerall dueties, limited, and appointed to eche Saincte in his degree, it shal be beste for modesties sake to saie nothinge. S. Augustine speakinge of the Heathens, from whence, this parte of your Disnitte, M. Hardinge, was firste derined, saith thus, Dicebat, ita esse vtilem cognitionem Deorum, si sciatur, quam quisque Deus vim, aut potestatem habeat, cuiusque rei. Ex eo enim poterimus, inquit, scire, quem cuiusque rei causa Deum aduocare, atque inuocare debeamus: ne faciamus, vt Mimi solent, & optemus à Libero Aquam, à Lymphis Vinum: Varro saide, the knowledge of the Goddes is Profitable, if a man vnderstande, what Power, and Authoritie eche God hath in euery thinge. For so saith he, wee maie knowe, Whome to calle vpon, and Whome to praie vnto: Leaste happily wee doo, as certaine Mimi are wont to doo: that is to saie, Leaste of Bacchus, the God of Wine, wee begge VVater, or of Lympha, the Goddesse of Water, wee begge VVine.

Augusti. de Citi
uilit. Lf. 4. ca. 22

These thinges hauinge theire beginntige amonge the Heathens, haue since benne brought euen into the Church of God: and al the Sainctes in Heauen haue benne appointed, eche One in Order to his Seuerall Office: Leaste any one shoulde intrude into an others rounge. Antoninus saith, in his time, where S. Paule, and Frère Dominike were painted together, the manner was, vnder the Image of S. Paule to wryte these wordes, Per hunc itur ad Christum: *Wee maie come to Christe by this Saincte*: But vnder the Image of Frère Dominike, they wrote thus, Sed magis per istum: *Yet mutche rather by this Saincte*. Whereby was meante, that Frère Dominikes Office, and Authozitie befoze God, was somme what better, and of moze credite, then S. Paules.

Whereas yé teache the people thus to praie vnto the Blessed Virgine, Monstra te esse Matrem: Commaunde thy Sonne: Vse thy Motherly Authoritie ouer him: Let him knowe thee to be his Mother: This, you saie, is no blasphemie, but a Spiritual dallieinge. Nowe verily, M. Hardinge, this muste needs be a blessed kinde of Diuinitie, that can turne Praier into Dalliance.

One of your Beaupières of Louaine, as a man carrieinge his face in his bande, saith boldely, *These wordes were neuer used in your Church*. And therefore he saith wth god courage, Interim à bonis istis viris quæro, Cur non nominant illas Ecclesias, quæ Virginem Matrem tam impudenter appellant? Cur eas inquam non nominant? *In the meane season (saith he) this question I demaunde of these honeste menne: Why name they not those Churches, whiche so impudently cal vpon that Virgine Chrilles Mother? I saie, why doo they not name them? Pour modestie herein, M. Hardinge.*

Copm Dialog 3.
Pag. 342.

singe, is moze praisse worthie. You are contented to graunte the same: and please
santly to excuse it by a Spiritual Dalliance.

But ye maie tel your laide Felowe, that this kinde of Prayer was Unsaue-
sally bled throughout al your whole Church of Rome: that Denne, Women, and
Children, Learned, and Unlearned were taughte, and forced thus to prate: Thou
arte the Queene of Heauen: Thou arte the Lady of Angelles: Commaunde thy Sonne:
Shewe thee selfe to be the Mother. Ye maie tel him, that Cardinal Bembus, sometime
the Popes Secretarie, calleth the same Blessed Virgine, Dominam, & Deam
nostram: Our Lady, and Goddesse. Tel him, that Ambrosius Catharinus in your late
Chapter at Tridende, representinge, as you saie, your whole Catholique Church, cal-
leth the same Blessed Virgine, Goddes Felowe, by these wordes, Fidelissima eius
Socia: Goddes mozte Faithfull Felowe.

Bembus in Epist.
ad Carolum 5.
Concil Tridende.
Session 2.

And therfore perhappes Nicolaus Cusanus a Cardinal of Rome saithe, Hoc ce-
dit ad laudem Dei, & Virginis Mariæ Matris, quod ipsa sub Principatu Authoris
Mortis nullo vnquam tempore fuit. Non indiguit Virgo Liberatore qui ipsam ab-
solueret a sententia in Adam, & in posteris lata Maria non est deleta de Libro Mor-
tis: quia nunquam in eo scripta fuit: This thinge turneth to the praise of God, and of the
Virgine Marie the Mother, that she was neuer at any time, vnder the Princehoode of the
Authoure of Deathe. That Virgine needed no Deliueryer, that shoulde Redeeme her from
the Sentence pronounced againste Adam, and his posteritie. Marie was neuer rased out of the
Booke of Deathe: for shee was neuer written in it. Here we are taught, that Marie the
Virgine is our Lady, and Goddesse, that she is Goddes Felowe, and that she had no
need to be saued by the Deathe of Christe. What is blasphemie, if this be none?
Yet this is the Disuinitie of the Clergie of Rome.

Ni. Cusan Exch-
tation. Lib. 8.
Hoc cedit.

Ye maie further tel him, that in your Councel of Oxford, Christes name is quite
forgotten, and leaste out: and Our Ladies name put in place: For thus it begin-
neth, Authoritate Dei Patris, & Beate Virginis, & omnium Sanctorum, &c. By the
Authoritie of God the Father, and of the Blessed Virgine, and of al Sainctes. Not
withstandinge ye maie wel answere, as before, that al this was no manner blas-
phemie againste God, but onely a Pietie Spiritual Dalliance: euen sutch Dalliance,
I trowe, as S. Paule meaneth by these wordes, Sedit populus ad manducandum, &
bibendum: & surrexerunt ad Ludendum: The people satte downe to eate, and drinke: and
rise vp againe to Plaie, or Dallye, that is to saie, to committe Idolatrie.

Council Oxonia
ense.

1 Cor. 10

You saie, Our Lady, the Blessed Virgine hath more grace geuen her, then any other
Creature, excepte ye name a greater Grace, saie you, then to be the Mother of God. Clergly, so.
Hearinge, to be the Childe of God, it is a greate deale greater Grace then to be the
Mother of God. S. Augustine saithe, Beatior ergo Maria fuit, Percipiendo Fidem
Christi, quam Conciipiendo Carnem Christi. Materna Propinquitas nihil Mariæ pro-
fuisse, nisi fecelicius Christum Corde, quam Carne gestasset: Marie was more Blessed
(or fuller of Grace) in that she Receiued the Faith of Christ, then in that shee Concerned
the Fleashe of Christ. Motherly kinrede coulde haue donne Marie no good, onlesse shee had
borne Christe more Blessedly in her Harte, then shee bare him in her Fleashe. And againe
he saithe, Mater mea, quam appellastis Eccliecem, inde Ecclie est, quia Verbum Dei
custodiuit: Non quia in illa Verbum Caro factum est: My Mother, whome ye haue cal-
led Blessed, therefore is Blessed, because shee hathe kepte the Woorde of God: Not because the
VVoorde in her was made Fleashe.

Augustin De
sa. Etia Virge-
nitatis. Cap. 3.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 10.

Therefore saithe Epiphanius, Christus dixit, Quid mihi, & tibi est Mulier: Non
dum venit hora mea. Quod non putarent aliqui, magis eximiam esse Sanctam Virgi-
nem, Mulierem eam appellauit: veluti prophetans, quæ essent futura in terra Secta-
rum & Hæresion genera: vt ne aliqui nimium admirati Sanctam, in hanc Hæresim,
eiusq; deliramenta dilabantur. Est enim Ludibrium tota res, & anicularum fabula, &
vt ita dicam, tota Hæresis tractatio: Christo saide vnto his Mother, Wooman, what haue
to doo with thee? My houre is not yet come. Leaste any man shoulde thinke, Our Lady
was of greater excellencie, he called her VWoman: as it were prophesieinge of the Kindes,
and Sectes of Heresies, that were to come in the Worlde. Leaste any man hauinge too

Epiphani Li. 3.
Hæres. 19 con-
tra Collyridian.

Origen in Luc
cam, Homil. 25.

Ambrosius ad Ro-
man. Cap. 1.

greate opinion of that Holy Sainste, shoulde fal into this Heresie, and into the do-
tage of the same. For in dede the whole mater is but a mockerie, and an Olde VViues
tale, and soothely to saie, nothinge els, but the handelinge of an Heresie. Origene herof
saith, Si mensuram transceiderit Charitatis, & qui diligit, & qui diligitur, in Pecca-
to est: If Loue passe the measure of Charitie, as wel be, that Loneth, as also be, that is Lo-
ued, is in Sinne.

But touching the mater it selfe, S. Ambrose saith, Ideo ad Reges itur per
Tribunos, & Comites: quia homo utique est Rex: & nescit, quibus debeat Rempu-
blicam credere. Ad Deum autem, quem nihil latet, Omnium enim Merita nouit, Pro-
merendum, suffragatore non est Opus, sed mente deuota. Vbicunque enim talis lo-
quutus fuerit ei, respondebit illi: Therefore wee are brought vnto the presence of Kings by
Lords, and Officers: because the King is a Man, and knoweth not to whome he maie com-
mitte his Realme. But to obtaine Goddes fauoure, from Whome nothinge is secrete, as kno-
winge, what euery man is meete to haue, wee neede no spokesman, but a deuoute minde,
For where so euer sutch a one speaketh vnto God, God wil answere him.

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Division. 1.

wee saie also, that euery persone is bozne in Sinne, and leadeth his
life in Sinne: that no body is hable truly to saie, his Harte is Cleane.
That the moste rightuous persone is but an vnprofitable Seruaunte:
That the Lawe of God is persite, and requireth of vs, persite, and ful
Obedience: That wee are hable by no meanes to fulfil that Lawe in
this woꝛldly life: That there is no one moꝛtal Creature, whiche can
be iustified by his owne desertes in Gods sighte: And therefore that
our onely succoure, and refuge is to flie to the Mercie of our Father by
Jesu Chyste, and assuredly to perswade our mindes, that he is the
obtaine of forgeeuensse for our sinnes: And, that by his Bloude,
al our sportes of Sinne, be vvasshed cleane: That he hath pacified,
and set at one, al thinges by the Bloude of his crosse: That he by the
same One onely Sacrifice, whiche he once offered vpon the Crosse,
hath brought to effecte, and fulfilled al thinges, and that for that cause
he said, when he gaue vp the Ghoste. It is finished, as though he would
signifie, that the price, and ransomme was now ful payde for the Sinne
of Mankinde. If there be any, that thinke this Sacrifice not sufficient,
lette them goe in Goddes name and seeke a better. Wee verily, because
wee knowe, this to be the Onely Sacrifice, are wel contente with it
alone, and looke for none other: and, forasmuche as it was to be offe-
red but Once, we commaunde it not to be renewed againe: and, because
it was ful, and persite in al pointes, and partes, wee doo not ordeine in
place thereof any continual succession of offeringes.

(a) A vaine folie.
For Gods Lawe
was written for
Men in Earthe,
not for Angels
in Heauen.

(b) A horrible
Heresie. S. Au-
gustine saith,
*Deus iubet a-
liqua, quae non
possumus fa-
cere.*

(c) A fond que-
stion. For God
pounissheth In-

M. Hardinge.

Ye make a Sophistical argumente, when ye teache, because the Lawe of God requireth of vs
ful obedience, that therefore it cannot be satisfied in this life by any meanes. For when ye saie, It re-
quireth of vs ful obedience, if ye meane (a) sutch ful obedience, as is required onely in this life, then
conclude ye falsely, that wee can by no meanes satisfie it. But if ye meane sutch ful obedience, (a) as God com-
maundeth in Heauen, then ye conclude wel, that we in this life cannot fulfil sutch perfec-
tion, as is required in Heauen. But then haue ye saide nothinge to the purpose. For wee knowe, not that
what Marke ye shoote at, by your doctrine uttered in other places. Your meaning is (b) that no man in this
Life is able by the Grace of God to fulfil the Commandementes. VVe beleue, God commaundeth
nothinge impossible to vs. (c) Otherwise howe could he iustly punishe for not dooinge that to a man
Commandemente, whiche by no meanes wee are able to fulfil? VVe are sure that God pu-
nisseth in grace.

witheth noman vniustly: for *Non est apud Dominum Deus nostrum iniquitas*: There is no iniquitie in Our Lorde God.

Deut. 10.
Rom. 10.
Mathe. 11.
John. 1.

Moyles speakinge of the fulfillingge of the Commaundementes of God, whiche also S. Paule re-
fereth, saithe that they are not about vs in Heauen, neither farre from vs beyonde the Sea: but harde
by thee (saith he) is the woorde in thy mouthe and in thy harte, that thou maist doo it. And Christ
saith, *Iugum meum suauis est, & onus meum leue*: (d) My Yoke is sweete, and my burden lighte:
and S. Iohn, His Commaundementes be not heauie. He then, that saith, wee can by no meanes ful-
fil the Lawe of God, maketh (e) God vniuste, and euil, or impotent, and not hable to geue so muche
grace, as maie heale to fulfil his Lawe. Let the discrete reader iudge, what blasphemie your
woordes containe. Belie vs nomore hereafter. This is our Doctrine, better founded in the woordes
of God, and in the tradition of the Apostles, and in the custome of the whole Church, then that
ye shall euer be hable to ouerthrowe it. BlaspHEME, and barke againste it ye maie: ouercome it ye
cannot.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

All other thinges here by you touched, M. Hardinge, I wil passe ouer: thinkinge
it sufficient, to note a fewe wordes of the Possibilitie, and perfourmance of the Lawe:
and so mutche the more, for that ye saie therein in somme parte, to renewe the Pe-
lagian Heretiques Olde condemned erreure.

As touching that ful and perfite Obedience, that is required of vs by the
Lawe, ye answere, There are sundrie sortes of Perfection: namely, that there is Perfe-
ction in Childzen: Perfection in Menne: Perfection in Angelles: and Perfection in
God. And further ye saie, That in this life wee cannot fulfilliche Perfection, as is required
of the Angelles of God in Heauen. And this answere ye make, touching the Obedience,
and Perfourminge of the Lawe: As if ye woulde saie, The Lawe of God was ge-
uen to Angels: and is to be perfourmed, not in the Earthe, but Onely in Heauen:
And, as if God had saide to those Blessed Spistes, Thou shalt not Kill: Thou shalt
not commit Aduouerie: Thou shalt not steale: Thou shalt not couete, &c. In your
sundry Perfections, of Childzen, Menne, Angels, and God, I haue no skill. The A-
pologie meante onely of that Perfection, that is required in Man. Howe be it, in
every kinde they saie, *Perfectum est, cui nihil deest*: That thinge is Perfite, that is ful, and
absolute, and wanteth nothinge.

And here, lesse ye shoulde deceiue your selfe by wronge measure, God him selfe
hath shewed you, what Perfection he requireth in man. Thus he saith, Thou shalt
loue the Lords thy God with al thy Harte, with al thy Soule, and with al thy Power: Thou shalt
not turne, neither to the Righte hande nor the Left: Accursed is he, that standeth not in eue-
ry thinge, that is written in the Lawe, to perfourme the same. And S. Iames saith, Who so
offendeth in one Commaundemente, is guiltie of al. And Christ saith, Be ye Perfite (not
measuringe your selues by your owne habilitie, but) as your Father is Perfite, whiche is
in Heauen. And yet hereby he meaneth not the Perfection, that is in God, and his
Angels, but onely that Perfection, that is required in man.

S. Hierome saith, The Pelagian Heretiques in Olde times, vied the same
shiftes, that you vse now. For where as the Catholique Learned fathers saide,
Noman is Perfite, and void of Sinne, they answered euen then euen in such sort,
as you doe now, Noman is Perfite in such degree of Perfection, as God is Perfite.
S. Hieromes wordes be these, Aiunt, ad Comparationem Dei, nullum esse Perfe-
ctum: Quasi Scriptura hoc dixerit: They saie (euen as you, M. Hardinge, saie) that in
Comparison of God, noman is Perfite: As though this were the sayinge of the Scriptures.
And therefore he saith vnto them, Nunquid praecepit mihi Deus, vt essem, quod
Deus est? Vt nihil inter me esset, & Dominum Creatorem? Vt maior essem Angelo-
rum fastigio? Vt haberem, quod Angeli non habent? I beseeche you, hath God comman-
ded me, that I shoulde bee the same, that God is? That there shoulde be no difference (in Per-
fection) betwene me, and my Lorde the Creatour? That I shoulde be aboue the Highnesse of
Angels? Or that I shoulde haue, that the Angels haue not? It was in vaine therefore M.
Hardinge, thus to borrowe the Pelagians Weapons, and to make mater of this Per-
fection. For wee speake not of Angelles, but onely of Menne.

Further, to intreate of the Perfite fulfillingge, and accomplishinge of the Lawe,
I meane, so farre, as the Lawe requireth, the Pelagian Heretiques herein also
saide,

fantas for their
Original sinne:
yet are they by
no meanes able
to auoide it.
(d) The cause
hereof, is Gods
Mercie. S. Au-
gustine saith,
*Omnia man-
data facta de-
putantur, quā-
do quicquid
non factum
est ignoscitur.*
(e) Vntruth. For
we make not
God vniuste:
but we Cōfesse
our selues to be
sinners.

Deuter. 6.
Mathe. 22.
Deuter. 17.
Deuter. 27.
Galat. 3.
Iacob. 2.
Mathe. 5.

Hieronym. ad
Crisipponem, con-
tra Pelagianos.

od com-
maundeth
that
whiche is
possible
a man
grace.

August. De Gra-
tia. & libero
Arbitr. ca. 4.

Hieronym. ad
Ctesiphont. con-
tra Pelagianos.
Hieronym. con-
tra Pelagianos.
Lib. 1.

Augustin. De
spiritu. & Li-
tera. 4. 1.

Matthe. 5.
Philip 3.
Hieronym. aduers.
Pelagianos. li. 1.

Hieronym. ad
Ctesiphont.

August. ad Boni-
factum. Lib. 3.
Cap. 7.

Augustin. Res-
tracta. li. 1. ca. 19.

Augustin. De
spiritu. & Li-
tera. Cap. 55.

Hieronymus ad
Algasiam.

Hieronym. in Ier-
em. li. 14 c. 46.

saide, euen as you saie: and none otherwise. S. Augustine thereof reporteth thus: Magnum aliquid Pelagiani se scire putant, quando dicunt, Non iuberet Deus, quod Sciret ab homine non posse fieri. Quis hoc nesciat? Sed ideo iubet aliqua, quae non possumus, vt nouerimus, quid ab ipso petere debeamus: The Pelagians thinke them selues Cunnings menne, when they saie, God woulde not commaunde that thinge, that he knoweth, a man is not hable to doo. And who is there, that knoweth not this? But therefore God commaunded vs to doo some thinges, that wee are not hable to doo, that wee maie vnderstande, what we ought to craue of him.

S. Hierome saith vnto one of the same Pelagians, Facilia esse dicis Dei mandata: & tamen nullum proferre potes, qui vniuersa compleuerit: Tee saie Goddes Commande- mentes be easie: And yet ye are hable to shewe vs noman, that euer fulfilled them altogether.

Therefore againe he saith vnto them, Noli ponere in Coelum os tuum, vt per Esse, & Esse posse, stultorum auribus illudas. Quis enim tibi concedet, posse hominem facere, quod nullus vnquam hominum potuerit? Sette not thy face againste Heauen, to mocke fooles eares with these woordes, Be, and Can be. For who wil graunte you, that a man can doo that thinge, that noman euer was hable to doo.

Likewise S. Augustine saith, Dixi fieri posse, vt sit homo sine Peccato, si Voluntas ei non desit, ope Diuina adiuuante: Sed tamen praeter Vnum, in quo omnes viuificabuntur, neminem vel fuisse, vel fore, in quo hic viuente esset ista Perfctio: I saide, It is possible, that a man maie be without Sinne, if he wante not wil, the Power of God assistinge him: And yet I saide, that bisides Onely Christe, in whome al menne shalbe quickened to Life, there was neuer man, nor neuer shalbe, who beeing in this life, shal haue this Perfction. S. Augustine saith, Noman can attaine to this Perfction: and he spea- keth of the Perfction, that is required, not of Angels, but of Menne.

We wil saie, as the Pelagians did, wherefore then dothe Christe saie, Be ye Per- fite? Wherefore dothe S. Paule saie, As many of vs, as be Perfite, &c. Hereto S. Hie- rone answereth thus: Quid ergo sapimus? Imò quid sapere debemus, qui Perfcti non sumus? Imperfectos nos esse confiteri, & nondum comprehendisse, nec dum acce- pisse. Hæc est hominis vera Sapientia, Imperfectum esse se nosse. Atq, vt ita loquar, Cunctorum in Carne Iustorum Imperfecta Perfctio est: What then doo wee thinke, or what ought wee to thinke, that be not Perfite? Wee ought to Confesse, that wee are Vnperfite, and that wee haue not yet gotten, nor taken (that Perfction) that is required. This is the true wisdom of a Man, so knowe him selfe to be Vnperfite. And as I might saie, the Per- fction of al Iuste menne liuinge in the Fleashe is Vnperfite.

Againe he saith, Iusti appellantur, non quod omni Vitio careant, sed quod Ma- iori parte Virtutum commendentur: They are called Iuste menne, not for that they be void of al manner Sinne, but for that they are furnished with the greater parte of Vertues. So likewise saith S. Augustine, Virtus, quæ nunc est in homine Iusto, hætenus Perfcta nominatur, vt ad eius Perfctionem pertineat etiam ipsius Imperfectionis, & in Veri- tate agnitio, & in humilitate Confessio: The Vertue, that is nowe in a iuste man, si farre forthe is called Perfite, that it pertaineth to the Perfction therof, bothe in truthe to know, and in humilitie to confesse, that it is Vnperfite. Againe he saith, Omnia mandata facta deputantur, quando, quicquid non fit, ignoscitur: Al the Commandementes of God, are accounted to be donne, when that thinge, that is not donne, is forgiven. To conclude, he saith, Multum in hac vita ille profecit, qui quam longè sit a Perfctione Iustitiæ, proficiendo cognouit: He hath muche profited in this life, that by his profitinge hath lear- ned, howe farre he is from the Perfction of Rightuoussesse.

Per neuerthelesse we maie truely vse S. Hieromes wordes, vitered in the de- fence, and righte of this same cause: Hæc dicentes, non adulamur Vitijs: sed Autho- ritatem sequimur Scripturarum, quod nullus homo sit absque Peccato. Sed conclusit Deus omnia sub Peccato, vt Omnium misereatur: Notwithstandinge wee saie thus, yet wee flatter not Vices: but wee followe the Authoritie of the Scriptures, that there is noman without Sinne. But God hath shute vp al thinges vnder Sinne, that hee maie haue Mer- cie of al.

Againe he saith, Perspicuum est, omnem hominem, quamuis ad Per- fctionem venerit, tamen indigere Misericordia Dei: & plenam Perfctionem ex Gratia,

Gratia, non ex Merito possidere: It is moste certaine, that every man, yea although hee be growen to Perfection, yet needeth the Mercie of God: and that he enioieth ful Perfection, not of his owne Deseruinge, but of Grace.

Augu. De Chri-
ta, li. 19. ca. 17.

Euen so S. Augustine saith, Ipsa Iustitia nostra tanta est in hac vita, vt potius peccatorum Remissione constet, quam Perfectione Virtutum: Our very Righteousnesse it selfe is so greate in this Life, that it standeth rather in Forgeeuenesse of Our Sinnes, then in Perfection of Righteousnesse.

The Apologie, Cap. 20. Division. 1.

Wise men, though wee saie, wee haue no meede at al by our owne woorkes, and deedes, but appointe al the meanes of Our Saluation to be in Chryste alone, yet saie wee not, that for this cause menne ought to liue loosely, and dissolutely: nor that it is yenough for a Christian, to be Baptized onely, and to beleue: as though there were nothinge els required at his hande. For true Faith is liuely, and can in no wise be idle. Thus therefore teache wee the people, that God hath called vs, not to folowe riot, and wantonnesse, but, as S. Paule saith, vnto good vwoorkes, to vualke in them: That wee are deliuered from the Power of Darkenesse, to the ende that vvee should serue the Liuinge God: to cutte awaie al the remnantes of Sinne, and to vvorke Our Saluation in feare, and tremblinge: that it maie appeare, that the Spite of Sanctification is in Our Bodies, and that Chryste him selfe dwelleth in Our hartes.

M. Hardinge.

VVith what face can these Defenders affirme, that they teache the people to walke in good woorkes, whereas beginninge the treatise of woorkes in this presente Apologie, they saie, that wee haue (a) no helpe, or aide in our woorkes, and deedes? For so theire Latine woorde *Presidium*, dothe signifie, whiche in the Englishe, is tourned into Meede. VVhat, Maisters, is this the waie to make menne worke wel, to tel them before hande, that their woorkes be nothinge woorth, and that they healepe them neuer a whitte? VVhy then let the Labourers Prouerbe take place, I had rather plaie for nothinge, then worke for nothinge. (b) Is there any Labourer so madde, as to worke for nothinge? Firste ye tel the Labourers, that there is no helpe for them in their woorkes, and then ye crie vnto them to labour: (c) yea forsooth, as harde as they liste. Is not this to mocke God, and the worlde? VVhereas yee speake to Christian men, to those that be Baptized, to suche as haue Faith: Yet ye tel them, they maie worke as muche as they wil, (d) but al in vaine, &c. Ergo then, although a liuely Faith cannot be idle, and somme true Faith is liuely, yet in an other sense, (e) there is a true Faith whiche is not liuely, but idle. VVhiche true Faith shal become liuely and haue greate aide in woorkes, if it wel learne to leaue idlenesse, and practise that in wil and harte, whiche it beleueth in vnderstandinge.

(a) No aide, or helpe vnto Saluation. Other- wise this report is vntue.

(b) Nazianze. Si mercenarius es, tantum expecta mercedem. De Sancto Baptismo.

(c) Is not this a folie, M. Hard. Goddes Trueth is no mockerie.

(d) Vntueth. For we say with S. Paule, your woorkes shal not be in vaine in the Lorde.

(e) Vntueth. Reade the Answer.

(f) Vntueth. For it is no Heresie: it is the Faith of Christ. Reade the Answer.

Luc. 17.

But whereas these Defenders woulde no helpe or aide to be in Christian mennes woorkes, that (f) Heresie maie not so escape. Christ beinge demaunded of one, what good he should doo to obtaine Euerlastinge Life, saide: If thou wilt enter into Life, keepe the Commaundementes. To keepe the Commaundementes is a worke: to enter into Life is somme helpe to a man. Therefore it is falsely spoken, that in our woorkes there is no helpe for vs. Againe Chryste saith, VVho so euer forsaketh his house, or brothers, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or landes for my sake, he shal receiue an hundred folde, and shal enherite Life Euerlastinge. S. Paule saith, God wil render to every man accordinge to his worke. To those that seeke for glorie, and honoure, and incorruption, accordinge to the sufferance of good woorkes, (he wil render) Life Euerlastinge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here ye trifle, M. Harding, although not mutche besides your common wante, yet somewhat aboue your ordinarie. Our Doctrin herein is grounded vpon these wordes of Chryste, When yee haue donne al, saie, that yee be vnprofitable Seruautes, and therefore haue deserued nothinge. In orde of late yeres this hath benne the General Doctrin of your Scholes: Vita Aeterna est Merces debita pro nostris Meritis: Vita Aeterna, nisi esset Merces debita, esset incerta. Quia debitum certum est: Misericordia incerta: Euerlastinge Life is a rewarde dewe for our deservings. If Euerlastinge Life were not a dewe rewarde, it were uncertaine. For dewe debite is certaine: Mercie, or fauoure is uncertaine. Thus haue you utterly drownded the Grace of God, and the Saluation, that we haue onely in Iesus Chryste: & haue turned the most Comfortable Doctrin of the Gospel into a dungeon of Desperation.

Then

Merite,
and Mer-
cic.

358

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Then (saie you) lette the Labourers Prouerbe take place, I had rather plaie for nothinge, then worke for nothinge. Verily, S. Hardinge, when other reason coulde not serue you, it was reason, your Labourers idle reason shoulde take place. Howe be it, if ye wil do nothinge at Goddes requeste, of god wil, without rewarde, but muste be hypered onely for your Penny, then make your Labourer, be he neuer so simple, easily tel you, ye are not the Childe of God, but onely a Hyrelinge, and a Labourer. For the Natural loninge Childe wil Obeie his Father, not for rewarde, but of lone, onely bicause he is his Father.

Gregor. Nazianz.
De sancto Bas
pismate.

εργασαι κα
λῶν, ὅτι καλὸν
τῷ πατρὶ πεί
θεσθαι καὶ ὅτι
σοι μὴδὲν ἔ
σκηδὺ μέλοι,
τὸ το αὐτὸ
μυσθός, τὸ τῷ
πατρὶ χάρι
ζέσθαι.

Hilari, in Psal.
88.

Matthe. 5.

Augustin. Com
fession.

Basile, in Psal. 32.

μόνη ἔχῃ τὴν
ἐλπίδα τῆς
σωτηρίας τῆς
δικαιοσύνης
τῆς θεῶν.

Illyricus De
sectu pag. 93.
Kemnitius in
examine Tridat.
Concilij. pag. 555
Copus Dialo. 1.
pag. 51.

1. Timoth. 5.
Fidem abnega
uit.
Tit. 1.
1. Iohan. 2.
Iacob. 2.

Augustin, in E-

Gregorie Nazianzene saith, Si Seruus es, time plagas: Si Mercenarius, tantum specta Mercedem. At, si supra hos es etiam Filius, reuerere tanquam Patrem tuum. Benefacito, quia pulchrum est obsequi Patri. Et, quamuis nihil aliud futurum sit, tamen vel hoc ipsum erit Merces tua fecisse quod gratum esset Patri: If thou be a bonde Slaue, then feare the whippe: If thou be a Hyrelinge, then looke onely for thy rewarde: But ouer, and besides these, if thou be a (Natural) Childe, then reuerence (GOD) as thy Father. Doo wel, bicause it is good to Obeie thy Father. Yea, and although thou shalt haue nothinge els, yet euen this shalbe thy rewarde, that thou haste benne Obediente to thy Father.

S. Hilarie saith, Nos, si semel ieiunamus, satisfecisse nos arbitramur: Si aliquid ex horreo domesticarum facultatum inopi damus, impleuisse nos iustitiam credimus, &c. Sed Propheta totum a Deo sperat: totum a misericordia eius expectat: If wee faste once, we thinke, wee haue satisfied: If out of the barnes of Our householde stoare we geue somewhat to the Poore, we beleene, we haue fulfilled the measure of Righteousnesse. But the Prophete hopeth al of God: and trusteth al of his Mercie. Christe saith to his Disciples, Lette your

Lighe so shine before menne (not that ye maie be rewarded, but) that they maie see your good woorkes, and glorifie your Father, which is in Heauen. S. Augustine saith, Minus diligit te, qui diligit aliud præter te: O God, be the lesse loueth thee, that loueth any other thinge, besides thee. Likewise S. Basile saith, Qui non fidit suis rectè factis, nec expectat ex operibus iustificari, Solam habet spem salutis, Misericordias Dei: He that trusteth not to his owne good deedes, nor hopeth to be iustified by his woorkes, hathe the Onely hope of his Saluation, the Mercies of God.

Further ye saie, A true Faith maie be idle, and vterly without woorkes: and therefore ye thinke it not true, that was saie, A true Faith is liuely, and can in no wise be idle. Here perhaps ye wil sette Faith vpon the Lasse, and Kicke her to a larger life. For so Latomus, one of your Felowes saith, that Socrates, and Plato, and other Heathen Philosophers had as good vnderstandinge, and Faith in Christe, as had Abraham. And Andradius, an other of your Felowes saith, that the Heathen, & Infidel Philosophers had the Righteousnesse of Faith, and Euerglastinge Life. His wordes be these, Philosophi, qui ab inani Deorum multitudine abhorruerunt, &c. Illos, tu illa Fide, Deity cognitione destitutos dices, per quam, scilicet, impius iustificatur, & ex qua iustus uiuit? The Philosophers, that abhorred, and shunned the vaine multitude of Goddes, &c. Wilt thou saie, they lacked that Faith, and that knowledge of God, whereby the wicked is iustified, and whereby the Righteous liueth? An other of your neare Felowes saith, Petrus, non Fidem Christi, sed Christum, Salua Fide, negauit: Peter denied, not the Faith of Christe: but he denied Christe, his Faith neuertheless beinge safe. If ye calle this True Faith, S. Hardinge, that maie be founde in Heathens, and Infidels, and maie wel, and safely stande with the abiuringe of Christe, then, without question, your True Faith maie be without god woorkes. But S. Paule, whose wordes we ought rather to credite, saith the sarre otherwise: Hee, that hath no regarde to his owne, specially such, as be of his householde, hath denied the Faith, and is worse, then an Infidel. Againe he saith, They saie, they knowe God: but by their woorkes they denie God. S. Iohn saith, Who so saith, he knoweth God, and kepeth not his Commandementa, is a Lier, and the Truthe is not in him. S. Iames saith, Faith without woorkes is deade: And the Diuel beleueth, and trembleth for feare. But a Deade Faith is nomore a True persite Faith, then a Deade Man, is a True persite Man. As for the Faith of Diuels, in dede, and verily it is no Faith.

Certainely S. Augustine saith, Qui Fidem habet sine spe, & dilectione, Christum esse

esse credit: non in Christum credit: *Hee that hathe Faith without Hope, and Charitie, Beleeueth, that there is Christe:* but hee Beleeueth not in Christe. Againe he saith, *Inseparabilis est Bona Vita à Fide, quæ per dilectionem operatur: imò verò ea ipsa est bona Vita:* Good Life can neuer be diuided from Faith, which woorketh by Love: *Nay rather that same very Faith is selfe good Life.*

S. Ambrose saith, *Vbi quis coepit luxuriari, incipit deuiare à vera Fide:* As *siue as a man beginneth to liue wantonly, he beginneth to flee from the True Faith.* Origen saith, *Omnis qui credit in eum, non erubescit: Erubescit autem omnis, qui peccat: Ergo, qui adhuc ruborem peccati incurrit, credere non videtur: Who so euer Beleeueth in God, blusbeth not: But euerie man blusbeth, that woorketh Sinne: Therefore hee, that yet blusbeth for his Sinne, seemeth not to Beleeue.* Againe he saith, *Male credit, qui-
cunque peccat: Who so euer sinneth, Beleeueth il.*

To be shorte, S. Cyprian saith, *Quomodo dicit, se credere in Christum, qui non facit, quod Christus facere præcepit? Howe dooth he saie, He Beleeueth in Christe, that dooth not the thinge, that Christe Commanded?*

If ye wil beleeue none of al these, beeinge Anciente, and Learned Fathers, yet beleeue your owne Doctoure Cusanus, a Cardinal of Rome. Thus he saith, *Non potest Mens scire Deum, & non diligere: Non potest esse vera scientia Dei, vbi non est Charitas: The Minde cannot knowe God, and not loue him: There can be no true know-
ledge of God, where there wanteth Charitie.*

Whereby it is plaine, that True Faith is liuely, and woorkesful: and, that an Idle Faith is in deede no Faith at al.

Addition. *Q. Harding.* Did not you knowe the Answer, M. Iewel? No-
thing is more common. You belie the Scripture. That is the Answer to you. And your forefathers
euen vp to Luther haue alwaies belied it: and beeinge tolde of it, wil not yet amende, nomore then
the Diuel, whom they folowe. Al thinge maie be deade in two sortes, either bicause it had life in it of
his owne, or els bicause it had it of an other thinge &c. Nowe it is to be considered, whether Faith
hath life in it selfe, of his owne Nature, as a man hath (for then a deade Faith is no Faith) or els,
whether Faith haue life, of an other thinge, to witte, of Charitie, and then a deade Faith is a true
Faith &c. S. Iames geueth vs to vnderstande, that Faith hath Life of an other thing, like as the Bo-
dy hath Life of the Soule: For he saith, *Sicut enim Corpus sine Spiritu mortuum est, ita & Fides
sine operibus mortua est:* As the Body without the Soule is deade, so is Faith deade without woorkes.
Not as the man is deade without the Soule, but as the Body is deade without the Soule, so is Faith
deade without woorkes. But the Body beeing without the Soule, is stil a true natural Body: Therefore
Faith, beeing without good VVoorke, is stil a true Real Faith: And so M. Iewel is tried a Lier.

The Answer. I am weary of your folies, *Q. Harding.* And were it not
for some satisfaction of the simple, I woulde not boushane them of any answer.
Whereas I onely allege these wordes of S. Iames, *Faith without Woorkes is deade,*
you telle me, that I belie the Scripture. And this (you saie) shal be mine Answer. Of
saith halpy Answeres you haue god stoare. I thanke God. I beleeue the Scrip-
tures: I belie them not. I woulde not willingly belie a Childe: mutche lesse the
Cuerlastinge Worde of God: For, I knowe, it is Holy.

But how, & wherein haue I so folwly belied the Scriptures? You answer me:
A thinge maie be deade in two sortes: either bicause it had Life in it of his owne, or els bicause it
had it of an other thinge. Faith hath Life of it selfe: and Faith hath Life of Charitie. Al this
maie be graunted. But what concludeth you hereof, *Q. Harding?* If Faith haue
Life of it selfe, haue I therefore belied the Scriptures. Beholde S. Iames wordes:
Thus he saith: *Faith without Woorkes is deade:* of the other side beholde my wordes:
Thus I saie, Faith without woorkes is deade. Howe compare these wordes to-
gether. I increase nothinge: I minishe nothinge: I alter nothinge: I reposit S.
Iames wordes plainely, and simply, as he spake them. With what god Counte-
nance then can you so vnclustly telle me, that I haue belied the Scriptures? Your
Reader, be he neuer so friendly bent vnto you, must needs doubt somewhat of
your dealinge. If ye procede accordingly, you wil be hable shortly to telle vs, that
S. Iames him selfe hath belied the Scriptures. For the wordes, that I speake, and
you condemne, be S. Iames.

Faith (you saie) hath Life of an other thinge: like as the Body hath of the Soule Not as the
man

angel. iohan.
sermo. 61.

Augustin. De
Fide. & Ope-
rit. ca. 21.

Ambros. li. 6. E-
pist. 36.

Origen. in Epist.
ad Roman. li. 8.

ca. 10.
Origen. in Eze-
chiel. Homil. 9.

Nicola. Cusan.
Excitationum,
lib. 5.

M. Hard. 370. b.
371. 4.

A man with-
out a Soule.

Iacob. 2.

man is deade without the Soule, But as the Body is deade without the Soule, so is Faith deade with-
out good VVoorke. Thus you saie. But heape vs, I praye you, that we maie better
vnderstande your meaninge. Ye speake in parables: Wee neede a Commentarie.
A man (saie you) deade without a Soule? what meaneth this talke, M. Hardinge? ye saie
the waie for vs, I trowe, and woulde entrappe vs in the darke. Who euer hearde
of a Man without a Soule? I haue sometimes hearde of a Man without wisse, and
of wordes without sense, or reason: But of a Man without a Soule, hitherto I ne-
uer hearde, onlesse happily you meane the Man in the Moone.

Howe let vs blesse the handsomnesse of your distinction. These be your
wordes: Not as a Man is deade without a Soule, but as a Body is deade without a Soule. A man,
that had any Soule, would thinke, there shoulde be some difference betwene these
speeches. Not as the one (you saie) but as the other. But what if the One, and the Other
be bothe one? Consider better of your wordes, I beseeche you. What is a Man
without a Soule, but onely a bare deade Body? What is such a deade Body, but
onely a Man without a Soule? where was your Soule, M. Hardinge, when you dis-
tinguished these distinctions without a difference?

Yet ye telle vs, A Body, beinge without a Soule, is still a true Natural Body: Ergo (saie
you) Faith beinge without good woorkes, is still a true Real Faith. Firste, M. Hardinge you
knowe, it is commonly saide in al Scholes, Omnis Similitudo claudicat. You might
some haue founde the feblenesse of this Reason. It will serue you onely, to mocke
Children. Howe be it, let vs vse your owne grauntes. You shal see them conclude
against your selfe. For a Body without a Soule, not withstandinge it be a true na-
tural Body, yet hath it neither sense, nor life, but is in daere, and verily a deade Bo-
dy. Euen so your Faith without good woorkes, not withstandinge any trueth, or
Realitie, ye can geue vnto it, hath in it neither life, nor sense, but is in daere, and ve-
rily is a deade Faith, and therefore no Faith at al.

But who taught you to shape out these pesty Similitudes, and so vnskillfully
to liken Faith vnto the Body, and good woorkes vnto the Soule? The wise, and lear-
ned haue rather likened True Faith to the Soule, and good VVoorke to the Body.
For as the Body hath no life of it selfe, but onely of the Soule, euen so woorkes haue
no life of them selfe, but onely of Faith.

Yet you saie, Faith without woorkes is neuerthelesse a true, and a Real Faith. Thirdly,
M. Hardinge, so is fiere without heate, a true, and a Real fiere. If such a Faith,
S. James saith, Demones credunt, & contremiscunt: Diuels beleue, and quake for
fiere. If the wicked, without god wikes, haue a true, and a Real Faith, then
maie you also saie, that the Diuel likewise hath a true, and a Real Faith. This Faith,
is no Faith, M. Hardinge. It is onely an imaginarie, and a Mathematical phanta-
sie. It is not that Faith, whereby we are made the Children of God. Hereof S.
Paule saith thus, Habent speciem pietatis: virtutem autem eius abnegant: They haue
a shewe, and a face of godlinesse: but the vertue, and power thereof they forsake utterly. If
such a Faith the Angel saith in the Apocalyps: Thou hast a name of life, and yet
arte thou deade. Such is Faith without VVoorke, A face without Godlinesse, a
shewe without substance, a name without life.

M. Jewel (you saie) is tried a Lier. And why for? Because he saith, as S. James saith,
Faith without Woorkes is deade, and therefore, as S. Augustine saith, No Faith at al.
But, M. Hardinge contrarie to S. James expresse, and plaine wordes, telleth vs, that
Faith without VVoorke is a true, and a Real Faith, and is liuely, and forceable in it selfe:
And yet is he tried a trusty Man.

Ent you saie, Good VVoorke haue their rewarde: and therefore (ye saie) This Heretic
maie not so escape. Whether God wikes shalbe rewarded, or no, it was no parte
of our question. For we vndoubtedly beleue the wordes, that are written by S.
Iohn, Opera illorum sequuntur illos: Their Woorkes folowe after them: We beleue
the wordes, that Christ saith to his Disciples, He, that geueth a Cuppe of colde Wa-
ter to any of these litle Ones for my sake, shal not lose his rewarde. We beleue that, that
S. Paule saith, Your Woorkes shal not be in vaine in the Lorde. We graunte, Good
VVoorke

Iacob. 2.

2. Timoth. 3.

Apocal. 3.

Apocalyp. 14.

Matth. 23. 10.

1. Corinth. 13.

VVoorkes haue their Rewarde: But the same Rewarde standeth in Mercie, and fauoure, and not in Dewtie.

Thus therefore we saie, Consideringe the weaknesse, and sinful corruption of our Nature, there can be no Woorkes in vs so pure, & perfite, that we maie thereby of right, and of dewtie, deserue Euerlastinge life. And this, M. Hardinge, is no Heresie, but the very plaine Sense, and Substance of Goddes Worde, and the vn doubted Doctrin of the Anciente Catholique Fathers of the Churche.

Iob saith, Si Homo velit contendere cum Deo, non poterit ei respondere vnum pro mille: If a man wil dispute with God, he is not hable to answer him one for a thousand. And therefore he saith, Verebar omnia Opera mea: I stode in doubt, and was afraide of al my Woorkes. Againe he saith, Although I were perfite, yet my soule shal not knowe it: If I would iustifie mee selfe, mine owne Mouthe shal condemne me. The Prophete Esaie saith, Al our Righteousnesse is like a fewle stained clowte.

Therefore S. Augustine saith, Non intres in Iudicium cum Seruo tuo. Quid est, Non intres in iudicium cum Seruo tuo? Non stes tecum in iudicio, exigendo à me omnia quæ præcepisti, & omnia quæ iussisti. Nam me inuenies reum, si in iudicium intrauerim tecum Opus ergo est Misericordia tua, potius, quàm liquidissimo iudicio tuo: O Lorde, enter not into iudgemente with thy Seruaunte. What meaneth that, Enter not into iudgemente with thy Seruaunt? Thus mutche it meaneth: Stande not with me in iudgemente, requiringe of me al that thou haste commaunded. For if thou enter into iudgemente with mee, thou shalt finde me guilty. I haue neede therefore, not of thy vpright Iudgemente, but of thy Mercie.

Againe he saith, Merita quorumlibet hominum quæ sunt: Quandoquidem ille, qui, non cum Mercede debita, sed cum gratuita Gratia venit, Omnes peccatores, solus a peccato liber, & liberator, inuenit: VWhat be the Merites of any men? For Christe that came, not with his dewe rewarde, but with his Grace, that was not dewe, fonde al menne sinners, bringe him selfe onely free from sinne, and a deliuerer of sinners.

Againe he saith, Coronat te in Misericordia, & Miserationibus. Hoc fiet in iudicio: vbi cum Rex iustus sederit in Throno, redditurus vnicuique secundum opera eius quis gloriabitur, castum se habere Cor? Aut quis gloriabitur, mundum se esse à peccatis? Ideo illic necessarium fuit commemorare Misericordiam, & Misericordiam Domini, &c. God crowneth thee with fauour and Mercie. That shalbe donne in the laste iudgemente: where as, when the Iuste Kinge shal sitte in his Throne, to render to euery man accordinge to his woorkes, who shal boaste, that his harte is chaste? Or, who shal boaste, that he is cleane from sinne? Therefore it was needefull to make mention of the Pitie, and Mercie of Our Lorde.

And againe, Opera manuum mearum non Commendo. Timeo enim, ne cum inspexeris, plura inuenias peccata, quàm Merita: Lorde, I commende not the woorkes of my handes. For I am afraide, lest, when thou shalt beholde them, thou shalt finde mee sinnes, then good deseruings.

So saith S. Hierome, Si consideremus nostra Merita, desperandum est: If wee beholde our owne Merites, wee muste be driuen to desperation.

So saith Origen, Ego vix mihi persuadeo, vllum opus esse posse, quod ex debito remuneratione in depositat: I doo scarcely beleene, that there can be any woorkes, that maie of dewtie require rewarde. Againe he saith, Dicite, vos esse Seruos inutiles. Nam etsi Omnia fecerimus, quæ præcepta sunt: non tamen bonum aliquod fecimus. Nec enim, si verè bona essent, essemus inutiles. Omne autem bonum nostrum, non propriè, sed abusiue bonum dicitur: Scie yee (saith Christe) that yee be vnprofitable Seruauntes. For notwithstandinge wee haue donne al thinges, that are commaunded, yet haue wee donne no good thinge. For, if our dooings were good in deede, then were wee not vnprofitable. But any good deede of oures is called good, not rightly, or dewly, but by abuse of speache.

So saith S. Augustine, Si Deus vellet pro Meritis agere, non inueniret, nisi quod damnaret: If God would deale with vs accordinge to that wee haue deserued, he should finde nothinge, but that he mighte condemne. Therefore, M. Hardinge, VValdensis, one of your greate Doctours, hauinge wel, and circumspectly weighed the mater of euery side, at the laste concludeth thus, Quid dignum facimus, vt participes Coelestibus fieri inueniamur? Apostolo dicente, Existimo, quod non sunt condignæ

Hh

palsiones

Iob. 9.

Iob. 9.

Esa. 64.

Augustin. De
Tempore, ser-
mo. 45.

August. Epist. 12
ad Macedon.

Augustin. De
spiritu. & Li-
tera. Cap. 33.

August. in
Psalm. 137.

Hieronym. in E-
sa. Cap. 64.

Origen. ad Ro-
ma. Li. 4. Cap. 4.

Origen. in Mat-
the. Tracta. 8.

Augustin. in
Psalm. 24.

VValden. contra
vnicuersum,
Romans. 8.

passiones huius temporis ad futuram gloriam, quæ reuelabitur in nobis: Reputo igitur saniores Theologum, fidiorem Catholicum, & Scripturis Sanctis magis concordem, qui tale Meritum simpliciter abnegat: *What woorthy thinge doo wee, that wee may be founde in the Fellowshipe of the Heauenly Sprites? The Apostle saith, I Iudge, that the afflictions of this time are not woorthy of that Glorie, that shalbe reueled in vs.* Therefore I take him to be the sounder Diuine, the Faithfuller Catholique, and more agreeable to the Holy Scriptures, that vtterly denieth al sutch kinde of Merite.

Apocahp. 22.

Apocahp. 21.

Origen. ad Ro-
ma. Li. 9. Ca. 12.

Augustin. Epist.
105. ad Sixtum.

Augustin. De
Verbu Apostoli,
Sermo. 15.

Augustin. De
Ciuitate. Li. 14.
Cap. 1.

Basil. in Psal. 32

Basil. De Humi-
litate.

Hieron. in Epist.
ad Ephes. ca. 3.

Bernar. in Psal.
Qui habitat.

But ye will saie, If wee finde Our selues void of Merite, howe then shal wee stande, and be iustified before God? S. Iohn saith, *Blessed are they, that haue washed their Roabes (not in their owne Merites, but) in the Bloude of the Lambe.* And God saith, *I wil geue the thirsty to Drinke of the Wel of Life (not for his Desertes, but) for nothinge.* The Ancient Father Origen saith, *Quia Omnia conclusa sunt sub peccato, nunc non in Meritis, sed in Misericordia Dei Salus Humana Consistit: For as muche as al menne are shutte vp, and Closed vnder Sinne, now the Saluation of Man standeth, not in mans Merites, but in Goddes Mercie.*

S. Augustine saith, *Deus in fine Coronabit nos in Misericordia, & Misericordibus: God in the ende wil crowne vs (not with the price of our deseruings, but) with Fauour, and Mercies.*

Againe he saith, *Pro nihilo saluos facies eos. Quid est, Pro nihilo saluos facies eos? Nihil in eis inuenis, vnde salues: & tamen saluas. Quia nihil inuenis, vnde salues: & multum inuenis, vnde damnes: For Nothinge thou shalt saue them. What is meane by these wordes, For Nothinge thou shalt saue them? (This is the meaning) Thou findest Nothinge in them, wherefore thou shouldest saue them: and yet thou sauest them. Thou findest nothinge, wherefore thou shouldest saue them: but thou findest muche, wherefore thou shouldest condemne them.* And againe, *Omnes in Mortem poena debita præcipites ageret, nisi inde quosdam indebita Dei Gratia liberaret: Deserued paine would throwe al menne into Deathe, onlesse the Vnderdeserued Grace of God deliuered somme from it.*

S. Basile saith, *Non erit Iudicium sine Misericordia: Quia non potest homo purus inueniri à sorde: ne si vnus quidem tantum dies sit ab eius natali: Iudgement shal not be without mercie: For noman can be founde pure, and cleane from al filthe: no, though he be but one daie olde.* Againe he saith, *Hæc est nostra integra, & perfecta gloriatio in Deo, quando propriæ Iustitiæ nos inopes agnoscimus: Sola autem Fide in Christum Iustificari: This is our ful, and perfite reioicinge in God, when wee acknowledge, that wee are void of any Our owne Righteousnesse, and are Iustified by Onely Faith in Christe.*

So saith S. Hierome, *In Christo Iesu Domino nostro: in quo habemus fiduciam, & accessum, & confidentiam per Fidem eius: non per nostram Iustitiam, sed per eum, cuius Fide nobis peccata dimittuntur: In Christe Iesu Our Lorde: in whome wee haue boldenesse, and libertie to come (to God), and truste, and affiance by the Faith of him: not through Our Righteousnesse, but through him, in whose name Our Sinnes be forgiven.*

Herof S. Bernarde in moste godly, and comfortable wise concludeth thus, *Meritum meum Miserationes Domini. Non sum ego inops Meriti, quam diu ille non est inops Miserationum. Si Miserationes eius multæ, multus ego sum in Meritis. Hoc totum est Hominis Meritum, si totam spem suam ponat in Domino: My Merite is the Mercie of God. So longe as God is not poore of Mercie, so longe cannot I be poore of Merite. If his Mercies be greate, then am I greate in Merites. This is the whole Merite of Man, if he put his whole affiance in the Lorde.*

This is these Defenders Horrible Heresie, & Hardinge, whiche (you saie) mought not so escape your handes.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Diuision. 1.

To conclude, wee beleue, that this our selfe same Fleashe, wherein we liue, although it die, and come to duste, yet at the laste shal returne againe to Life, by the meanes of Christes Sprite, whiche dwelleth in vs: and that then verily, whatsoeuer we suffer here in the meane while for his sake, Christe wil wipe awaie al teares, and heauinesse from our eyes:

ries: and that wee through him shal enioie Everlastinge Life, and shal
for ever be with him in Glorie. So be it.

M. Hardinge.

Laske of al, ye beleue (as ye saie) that this very Fleashe shal returne to Life, and that for the Spi-
rite of Christe, whiche dwelleth in vs. There is no doubte but the Spirite of Christe is sufficient to
raise vp theire bodies, in whome it dwelleth. But wee saie, that the raisinge of our Fleashe is also as-
signed in Holy Scripture * to the Real, and Substantial eatinge of Christes Fleashe, because it is writ-
ten: He that eateth my Fleashe, and drinketh my Bloude, hath Life Everlastinge. And I wil raise
him againe in the lasse daie. Therefore the Resurrection of the Fleashe is not onely assigned in Holy
Scripture to the Spirite of Christe, but also to the woorthy eatinge of his Fleashe. And thus we haue
confuted the Doctine, wherein ye declare your Faith: and the chiefe groundes, wherupon ye builde
your Newe Gospel, we haue disproued.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The wordes, wherein ye finde faulte, *M. Hardinge*, are not oures, but *S. Pauls*. If they be false, why did he write them? If they be true, why doe you blame
them? Here ye seme to cheeke *S. Paule*, and not onely vs. Ye saie, The raisinge of
our Fleashe is also assigned in the Holy Scripture to the Real, and Substantial Eatinge of Christes
Fleashe. But whence had ye these wordes, *M. Hardinge*? Where founde ye these
Scriptures? Dissemble no longer: Deale plainly, and simply: It is Goddes cause.
For a shewe, ye allege these wordes of Christe, written by *S. Iohn*: He that Eateth
my Fleashe, and Drinketh my Bloude, hath Life Everlastinge: And I wil raise him vp againe in the
lasse daie. These wordes we knowe: and the Eatinge of Christes Fleashe, we
knowe: But where is your Real, and Substantial, and Carnal Eatinge? Where did
S. Iohn euer tel you, that Christes Body is Eaten with Teethe, and conuected fur-
ther, in such grosse, and fleshely wise into the belly? *S. Augustine* expoundinge
the same wordes, saith thus, Crede, & Manducasti: Credere in Christum, hoc est
Manducare Panem Vium: Ille Panis Interioris Hominis querit esuriam: Beleue
thou (in Christe): and thou haste Eaten (Christe). To Beleue in Christe, that is the
Eatinge of the Breade of Life: This Breade requirith the Hunger of the Inner Man.
And *Nicolas Lyra*, one of your owne Doctours, saith, These wordes of *S. Iohn* per-
taine nothinge to the Sacramente. Thus he saith, Hoc Verbum nihil directè per-
tinet ad Sacramentalem, vel Corporalem Manducationem: This Saieinge (of the firste
of *Iohn*) perteineth nothinge directly to the Sacramental, or Corporal Eatinge. It was some
oversight of your parte, *M. Hardinge*, to seke to proue the Eatinge of the Sacra-
mente by such wordes, as, by your owne Doctours iudgement, perteine nothinge
to the Sacramente.

Addition

Addition.

It was more oversight of you, *M. Iewel*, to blemishe your credite by
belieinge my Doctoure, if *Lyra* be my Doctoure. For *Lyra* neuer saide the woordes, that you allege
&c. It appeareth, howe falsly you haue belied *Lyra*. The woordes, whiche you allege, are not the
woordes of *Nicolas Lyra*, mine owne Doctoure, as you saie, but of one *Matthias Doringe* &c. You
haue deceiued your Reader with false forgerie &c. You haue also fowly corrupted this poore Doctour
Doringe, with cuttinge of his woordes, pretendinge him to speake of these woordes of *S. Iohn*, He
that Eateth my Fleashe, and drinketh my Bloude, hath Life everlastinge, and I wil raise him againe in
the lasse daie, whiche I alleged, whereas in deede he spake onely of these special woordes of *S. Iohn*,
Nisi manducaueritis Carnem Filij hominis &c. That the truthe maie be knowen, and your
falsched detected &c. The woordes, that you allege, be not *Lyras*, but one *Doringes* &c.

The Answer.

Suche Erroures, such Overlightes, such Blemishinge of
credite, such Belieinge of Doctours, such Deceiuinge of Readers, such Corru-
ption, such Falsched, such Forgerie. Either there was somme marvellous great
cause, *M. Hardinge*, why ye shoulde thus raise the Countrey with Hue, and Crye,
or els your Reader muste needs thinke, your heade was disordered with somme
vanitie. What was the cause then of al this sturre? You saie, I haue alleged *Nico-
las Lyra* in steede of *Matthias Doringe*. This was an erroure: I wil easily graunte
you. But Falsched, or Forgerie, or Belieinge of Doctours, or Deceiuinge of Rea-
ders, I beleeue, fewe sober menne would haue called it. For, what if I mistoke *Ly-
ra* in steede of *Doringe*? what coulde I haue gained by al that forgerie? Is not the
Authortie of *Matthias Doringe* as good, as the Authortie of *Nicolas Lyra*? were

Wh y

they

* Vntruthe. For
so the Fathers
of the Old Law
& al Christian
Infants should
be utterly ex-
cluded from
Resurrection.

Iohn, 6.

*Aug. in 100
ban. Tracta. 25.
Idem, Tract. 26.*

*Nicola. Lyra in
Psalm. 110.*

M. Iewel, fol. 374. b. 375. a.

they not bothe Franciscane Preeres, of one Order, of one Religion, and of one Profession? You knowe, that Nicolas Lyra, Paulus Burgensis, and Matthias Doringe are al thre so ioined together, not onely in one Booke, but also oftentimes in the one side of one lease, that, onlesse a man be very watchful, he maie some take one of them for an other. And yet, whom so euer a man shal happen to take of these thre, there is smal chosse in the takinge. But the more aduantages must be saue to heape you, M. Hardinge, when you finde your selfe shanted of better shiftes.

But (you saie) I haue also sowly corrupted this poore Doctoure Doringe. What Pueritie you finde in him, I cannot telle. Wile minne weighe Learninge neither by Pueritie, nor by Richesse. Notwithstandinge I doubt not, but more Doctoure Doringe in his time was thought to be as good a Doctoure, as Doctoure Hardinge. But wherein haue I so sowly corrupted him? Merily, M. Hardinge, I haue neither changed any one of his wordes, nor altered any parte of his meaninge. He saith, *The wordes spoken by Christe in the sixte Chapter of Iohn belonge not Directly to the receiuinge of the Sacramente: and to that purpose I haue alleged him. Now therefore M. Hardinge, for the better trial of your credite, let vs suffer Matthias Doringe to telle his owne tale.* Thus he saith, *Illud dictum ex litera non habet Fundamentum.*

Matthias Doringe, in Psalm, 110,

Ideo de Sacramentali Communione, nec quoad Clerum, nec quoad Laicum habet vim precepti ad omnes, secundum verum eius intellectum: quia ex eodem contextu literarum, declaratur, de qua manducatione, & potatione intelligi debeat, videlicet de Spiritualis: Quia sequitur, qui manducat meam Carnem, & bibit meum Sanguinem, in me manet, & ego in eo. Quod Beatus Augustinus exponens ait, Hoc est Manducare illam escam, & bibere illum Potum, in Christo Manere & Christum manentem in se habere: quod nihil est aliud, quam esse in Charitate Christi. Hoc autem nihil directe pertinet ad Sacramentalem, vel Corporalem manducationem. Nam hoc verbum fuit dictum antequam Sacramentum Eucharistiae esset institutum. Ex illa igitur Litera de Sacramentali Communione non potest fieri Argumentum efficax. Primum enim id, de quo agitur, debet esse in rerum natura. De Eucharistia igitur Sacramentali, quae non dum fuit, tam alta sententia proferri non potuit: nisi quis curiosus Haereticus diceret, illa verba dicta de usu Sacramenti Eucharistiae Prognostice per modum Prophetiae. Sed sic dicere, non potest in aliquo passu Sacrae Scripturae fundari. Eadem igitur facilitate contemnitur, qua probatur praesertim quum constet ex Euangelij rotundo textu, quosdam huic praeccepto satisfecisse, quos constat nunquam Sacramentaliter Communicasse. Ideo Augustinus ait, has Propositiones simul esse veras. Non Manducans, Manducat: & Manducans, non Manducat. Dato opposito, sequeretur, neminem esse in charitate, nisi Sacramentaliter Communicantem: quod est erroneum dicere: That saieinge hathe no fundation, or warrante in the wordes writen by S. Iohn. Therefore to speake of receiuinge of the Sacramente, in true understandinge, it hath no force of commaundement, neither touching the Clergie, nor touching the Laitie. For in the same course of wordes it is opened sufficiently, of what Eatinge, and Drinkinge it ought to be taken: I meane (not of the Sacramental, but) of the Spiritual Eatinge, and Drinkinge. For it sheweth, He that Eateth my Fleashe, and Drinketh my Bloude, abideth in mee, and I in him. Whiche wordes S. Augustine expoundeth thus, This is the Eatinge of that meate, and the drinkinge of that drinke, for a man to dwelle in Christe, and to haue Christe dwellinge in him: whiche is nothinge els, but to be in the Loue of Christe. But this perteineth nothinge directly to the Sacramental, or Bodily Eatinge. For this was spoken longe, before the Sacramente was ordeined. Therefore out of those wordes they can make no good prouff, touching the Communion of the Sacramente. For firste it is needefull, that the things, whereof wee speake, haue a present beeing. Therefore so Heauenly a saieinge could not then be spoken of the receiuinge of the Sacramente, for that at that time the Sacramente as yet had no beeing: Onlesse some curious Heretique wil saie, that the saide wordes in the sixthe of Iohn were spoken of the Sacramente by waie of Pronostication, or by a Prophesie. But that saieinge can haue no Fundation in any parte of the Scriptures. And therefore it is as easily despised, as it maie be proued: for as much as it appeareth evidently by the Gospell, that certaine haue satisfied this Commaundement (and haue eaten Christes Fleashe, and drunken his Bloude) that certainly were neuer

Nothinge directly to the Sacramente. No prouff touching the Sacramente. Could not be spoken. By waie of Pronostication.

neuer partetakers of the Sacramente. For that cause S. Augustine saith, These two sayings be bothe true, He that Eateth not, Eateth: And, He that Eateth, Eateth not. Otherwise it woulde folowe, that noman can be in the Loue of Christe, onlesse he be partetaker of the Sacramente: whiche thinge to saie, it were greute erreure. Powe, M. Hardinge, let your Reader iudge indifferently betwene us, touchinge this Forgerie. Matthias Doringe saith, Your sayinge hath no warrante of the Scripture: He saith, These woordes (in the firste of Iohn) cannot directly pertaine to the receiuinge of the Sacramente, for that the Sacramente at that time was not ordeined: He saith, You are a Curious Heretique, if you thinke, these woordes were spoken by Pronostication, or by Prophecie: He saith, Wee maie as wel despise your phantasie, as you can proue it: He saith, That to applie these woordes to the receiuinge of the Sacrament, it were greute erreure. And, for proue of his owne Iudgement herein, he allegeth the Authozitie of S. Augustine, I cannot see, in what kinde of speche he coulde moze clearly haue expessed his meaninge.

It were great
erreure.

But you wil saie, Matthias Doringe spake not of the woordes, that you allege, Whiche are these, He that eateth my Fleashe, and drinketh my Bloude, hath life Euerlastinge, and I wil raise him againe at the laste daie: But onely, and specially of these woordes, Onlesse yee eate the Fleashe of the Sonne of Man, you shal haue no life in you. Of these woordes (you saie) Matthias Doringe spake, and not of the other. What sonde folie is this, M. Hardinge? Why should you so bairnely deceiue the worlde: What difference finde you betwene these two sentences? Were they not bothe vttered in one Chapter, in one place, at one time, by one Mouthe, and to one purpose? If the one parte pertaine to the Sacrament, what hath the other offended, that it maie not likewise pertaine to the Sacrament? What cause, what reason, what Authozitie, haue you to the contrarie? If you haue any, let it appeare: Otherwise, your Reader wil thinke, you talke without grounde, yet care not what. I proteste before God, It pisseth me to see your folies.

M. Hard. fol.
375.4.

Matthias Doringe speaketh not onely, or specially of one, or two woordes, as you saie, but generally of al the woordes, that Christe spake in the firste Chapter of S. Iohn, Touchinge the Eatinge of his Fleashe, and Drinkinge of his Bloude: Whiche woordes, he saith, Pertaine not directly to the receiuinge of the Sacramente. And his reason is this: For, saith he, When Christe spake these woordes, the Sacramente as yet was not ordeined. I beseeche you, doth not this reason include as wel the one sentence, as the other? When Christe spake these woordes, Nisi ederitis carnem Filij Hominis, &c. The Sacramente (saith Matthias) was not yet ordeined. And was it suddainely ordeined, before he coulde vtter the nexte woordes folowinge? The nexte woordes are these, that you haue alleged, He that Eateth my Fleashe, and Drinketh my Bloude, hath life Euerlastinge, and I shal raise him up at the laste daie. Neither these woordes, nor the former pertaine directly to the Sacramente.

As Matthias Doringe saith, euen so saith Michael Vehe, an other of youre owne Doctours: Quam nihil firmitatis habeat hæc ratio, hinc claret, quod verbis Christi abutitur in peregrino sensu. Quæ enim de Spirituali manducatione &c. How weak this reason is, it appeareth hereby, that he abuseth Christes woordes, in a strange meaninge. For the woordes, that were spoken of the Spiritual Eatinge, he wresteth to the Eatinge of the Sacramente: of whiche Eatinge of the Sacramente, Christe in these woordes in the firste Chapter of S. Iohn, speaketh nothinge. Whiche thinge is wel proued by this reason: For many haue Spiritual Life by the Grace of God, and be liuely Members of Christes Body, that were neuer partetakers of the Sacramente &c.

Michael. Vehe,
contra Luth.
rum Tracta.
In a strange
meaninge.
VVreasteth.
Christ spake
nothinge of
Eckius, De tri-
que specie.

So likewise saith Eckius, speakinge of the firste Chapter of S. Iohn. Ad Sacramentum hic oculus non est habendus: In this Chapter wee maie haue no eie vnto the Sacramente. I coulde allege moe of your owne Doctours to like purpose. But my

Heade is weary of your wangling.

Christe saith further, Onlesse yee Eate the Fleashe of the Sonne of Man, and Drink his Bloude, yee shal haue no Life in you. If there be none other Eatinge of Christes Body, wherby wee shal liue, but onely your Fantastical, and Fleashly Eatinge with Mouthe, and Earthe, then I beseeche you, what Life hath Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, Aaron, and other Holy Patriarkes, and Prophetes, that were be-
fore

Ioh. 6.

The cause
of Resur-
rection.

366

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 25.

1. Cor. 15.
Origen. Ad Ro-
man. ca. 8. lib. 6.
August. Medita-
tion. Cap. 14.

Cyrril. in Iohan.
Lib. 4. Cap. 15.

Cyrril. in Iohan.
Lib. 8. Cap. 47.

Cyrril. in Iohan.
Lib. 12. Cap. 38.

Concil. Nicen.

De Conf. Dist. 2.
Non iste.

Basil. De Sancto
Baptismo.

Τὸ δὲ βότρυς
τις ποὺ ἀνα-
στὰς ἐστὶν πρὸς
τὴν ἀνάστασιν.

Ignatius ad
Trallien.

Concil. VVoormes
marien. Cap. 5.

foze the comminge of Christe: What Life have a great number of Holy Martyrs: What Life have Christian Childzen, that, being Baptized in the Bloude of Christ, departe this Life before they can receiue the Sacramente: that is to saie, by your strange Exposition, before they haue Really, and Substantially Eaten the Fleashe of Christe: Will ye saie, They haue no Life: Or, will ye condemne them al to Euerlastinge Deathe: Or, muste we thinke, they shal neuer rise againe: Certainly S. Augustine saith precisely, Qui Manducat, habet Vitam: & qui non Manducat, non habet Vitam: Who so Eateth (the fleashe of Christe) hath Life: and who so Eateth it not, hath no Life. Hereof we muste needs conclude by your Distinctie, that Abraham, Isaac, Iacob, Moses, and other Godly Fathers, that neuer receiued the Sacramente, haue no Life, but are deade for ever, without hope of Resurrection.

But to leaue your Fantasies, P. Hardinge, the cause of Our Resurrection, as S. Paule saith, is the Sprite of God, that dwelleth in vs. Origen saith, Resurgemus propter Spiritum habitantem in nobis: Necessesse est enim Spiritui reddi habitaculum suum: Wee shal rise againe because of the Sprite, that dwelleth within vs: For of necessity the Sprite muste haue his House restored vnto him. S. Augustine saith, Hæc mea tota Spes est, & omnis fiducia. Est enim in ipso Iesu Christo Domino nostro Vniuscuiusque nostrum portio, Caro, & Sanguis. Vbi ergo portio mea regnat, ibi ego me regnare credo: This is my whole hope, and al my truste. For in Christe Iesu our Lorde is Fleashe, and Bloude, whiche is a Portion of eche of vs. Therefore where a Portion of mine reigneth, there I beleue, that I reigne too.

S. Cyril saith, Quamuis Mors propter peccatum in Naturam nostram insiliit, tamen, quia Filius Dei Homo factus est, omnes professio Resurgemus: Although Deathe be fallen into our Nature because of Sinne, yet because the Sonne of God, is made Man, doubtlesse wee shal al rise againe. Likewise againe he saith, Sic vos effeci participes Diuinæ Naturæ, cum Spiritum meum fecerim habitare in vobis. Christus enim in nobis est per Spiritum, Corruptionem nostram in Incorruptionem Commutans: So I made you Partetakers of the Diuine Nature, when I caused my Sprite to dwell in you. For Christe is in vs by his Sprite, changinge our Corruption into Incorruption.

Thus the Sprite of God is the Cause, and the worker of our Resurrection. And, to come neare vnto you, the Sacramentes of Christe are also Pranes, and Instrumentes, and Seales herof: but not the causes. S. Cyril saith, Quod Mystica Communio Resurrectionis quædam sit Confessio, verbis Christi ipsius probatur. Ait enim, Hoc est Corpus meum: Hoc in Memoriam Mei facite: That the Mystical Communion is a certaine Confession of the Resurrection, it is proued by the wordes of Christe himselfe. For he saith, This is my Body: Doo this in Remembrance of mee. And therefore in the Council of Nice, the Holy Mysteries are called Resurrectionis nostræ Symbola: The Pledges, or Tokens of our Resurrection. And S. Ambrose, speakinge distinctly herof, saith, Non iste Panis, qui vadit in Corpus, sed Panis Vitæ Aeternæ, qui animæ nostræ Substantiam fulcit: It is not this Breade (of the Sacramente) that passeth into the Body: but it is the Breade of Euerlastinge Life, (that is to saie, the very Body of Christe it selfe,) that susteineth the Substance of our Soule.

And, to put the mater further out of doubt, the same foze vnto Resurrection, that is applyed vnto the Sacrament of Christes Body, is also, and in as ample foze geuen to the Sacramente of Baptisme. S. Basile saith, Dies Paschatus est Pignus Resurrectionis: Baptisma verò est potentia, & vis ad Resurrectionem: Easter Daie is a pledge of Resurrection: But Baptisme is a power, and strengthe vnto Resurrection.

Again he saith, Resurrectionis Gratiam in die Resurrectionis excipiamus: Vpon the daie of Christes Resurrection, Let vs receiue (Baptisme, whiche is) the Grace of Resurrection.

Ignatius saith, Vt Credentes in Mortem eius, per Baptismum participes eius Resurrectionis efficiamini: That beleeuinge in his Deathe, by Baptisme ye maie be made Partetakers of his Resurrection. Therefore in the Council of VVoormes it is written thus: In aquas demersio, in Infernum descensio est: Et rursus, ab Aquis emersio, Resur-

Refur-

Resurrectio est: The dippinge into the Water, is the goinge downe into Hel: and the cominge out from the V Water, is the Resurrection.

In the ende, M. Harding, ye blowe vp merily your owne Conquest: And thus ye saie, VVee haue confuted the Doctrine, wherein yee declare your Faith: and the Chiefe groundes, whereon yee builde your Newe Gospel, wee haue disproued: But, with so simple prouses, and so many Antruthes, M. Harding, as, for Honours sake, maie not wel be shewed in your Triumphe.

Here endeth the Seconde
Parte.

The Thirde Parte.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Diuision. 1.



Beholde, these are the horrible Heresies, for the whiche a good parte of the world is at this daie condemned by the Bishop of Rome: And yet were neuer hearde to pleade their cause. He shoulde haue commenced his suite rather against Christ, against the Apostles, and against the Holy Fathers. For these things did not onely procede from them, but were also appointed by them: Excepte perhappes these Menne wil saie (as, I thinke, they wil in deede) that Christ neuer instituted the Holy Communion, to be diuided amongst the faithfull: Or, that Christes Apostles, and the Aunciente Fathers saide Priuate Masses, in euery corner of the Temples, nowe tenne, nowe twentie together in one daie: Or, that Christe and his Apostles bannished al the Common People from the Sacramente of his Bloude: Or, that the thing, that they them selues doo at this daie euery where, and do it so, as they condemne him for an Heretique, whiche doothe otherwise, is not called of Gelasius their owne Doctour plaine Sacrilege: Or, that these be not the very wordes of Ambrose, Augustine, Gelasius, Theodoret, Chrysostome, and Origene, The Breade and V Vine in the Sacramentes remaine stil the same, they were before: The thing, whiche is seene vpon the Holy Table, is Breade: There ceaseth not to be stil the Substance of Breade, and Nature of V Vine: The Substance, and Nature of Breade, is not changed: The selfe same Breade as touching the Material Substance, goeth into the Belly, and is caste out into the priue: Or, that Christe, the Apostles, and Holy Fathers prayed not in that tongue, whiche the people mighte vnderstande: Or, that Christ hath not persourmed al thinges by that one offeringe, whiche he once offered vpon the Crosse: Or, that the same Sacrifice was Imperfite, so that nowe wee haue neede of an other.

Hh iij

M. Harding

M. Hardinge.

(a) VVho so wanteth dissolution, and wickednesse, maie seeke it at Rome
(b) Vntruth. For in the time of Augustine, Ambrose, Hierome, &c. there were moe Christians in the worlde, then be at this presente.
(c) Good causes against God.
(d) One dreame to proue an other.
(e) Vntruth. For it stode in diuindinge of the Sacramente.
(f) How so euer Christe, and his Apostles did, the Pope, and his Clergie can not doo amisse.
(g) Vntruth, plaine, and sensible. For this Order was not from the beginninge.

Iohan. 12.
Cyril. in Iohan.
Lib. 8. cap. 1.
Theod. li. 2. ca. 16.
ἐὐδία τὸ εἶναι
μὴ μόνον, ὁ τῆς
πίστεως ἐστὶν
τὸ τὰς λόγους.
Matthe. 13.
Chrysos. in Mat.
the Homil. 47.
In Proemio.
Clemen. in Gloss.
Ambros. de Noe.
Arca. ca. 17.
Origen. in Psal.
36. Homil. 2.
In Concil. Basile.
in Epist. Syno-
dali. pag. 152.
Tertull. in
Apologizet.

What crake ye of a good parte of the VVorlde? The greater is the number of those ye haue seduced, the more greuous shal be your Iudgement. There be not yet many yeres passe, that ye boasted of your poore smal flocke, aluindinge to the fewnesse of Flocke, that liued with Christ in Fleashe, and made their fewnesse an argument of the sincere truthe. But now that throughe your euil teachinge (a) the worlde groweth more to be dissolute and wicked, ye boast of your number. This is certaine, touching groundes of truthe, the Church erreth not, as that which enioyeth Christes promise: and your Congregation teachinge the contrarie, must therefore be taken for the Children of the Father of lies. Though tenne, or twentie Masses were not saide in one daie by Christe, the Apostles, or Fathers, as ye scoffe, when the Faith was firste Preached, (b) and fewe beleued, no Churches (whiche here not without prophane malice ye name Temples, yet becinge builde: this is no sufficient reason, why wee maie not now, where the Faith is generally received, haue sundrie Masses in one Church in one daie. Ye make much a doo about both Kindes, and to aggrauate the mater, yee vse the odious terme of bannishinge the people from the Cuppe. VVee teache the people for (c) good causes to be contente with one Kinde, doeing them to vnderstande, they receive the whole Body of (d) Christe Fleashe and Bloud, no lesse, then if thei received both Kindes. The Sacrilege which Gelasius speaketh of, consisteth (e) in diuindinge Christe, and the same he imputeth to the Manichees, as I haue an other where declared. And therefore as that toucheth not vs, who doo not diuide Christ, but in the Sacramente geue to the people whole Christe, so it sheweth you to be either sclauderous, or ignorant. As for the tongue of the Church Seruice, (f) howe so euer Christe, the Apostles, and Holy Fathers praied, the vse of the Latine tongue vsed in the Seruice of the Latine Church, is not by any reason or Authoritie yee can bringe yet, so farre disproued, that the Church ought to condemne the order (g) from the beginninge received, and hitherto continued.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We make no crakes of our numbers, M. Hardinge, but humbly geue God thanks, that, maugre al your practises, and policies, hath published, and proclaimed the name of his Sonne in euery place throughe the worlde. The south, & soe the north, & soe the east, & soe the west, as it did others your Fathers before you, that cried out in an agonie, What shal wee doo? Al the worlde runneth after him. Of them S. Cyril saith, Quicquid Christo credentium accesserit, sibi detractum putant: As many Faithful Beleuers, as are gotten to Christe so many they thinke, are loste from them selues.

Notwithstanding, the Truthe of God hangeth neither of many, nor of fewe. Liberius the Bishop of Rome, saide sometime to the Arian Emperoure Constantinus, Non, si ego solus sum, idcirco minor est ratio Fidei: Although I be alone, yet the account of Faith is therefore no whit the lesse. Christe compareth the Kingdome of God vnto a peece of Leauen, whiche beinge litle in quantitie the woman taketh, and laeth in a great Lumpe of dough, until the whole be al Leauened.

Chrysostome saith, Nemo paucitatem vestram deploret. Magna enim est virtus Prædicationis. Et quod semel fermentatum est, rursus fermentum ad cætera efficitur: Lette noman bewaile the smal number of you. For greate is the Vertue of Preachinge. And who so is once Leauened, is him selfe made Leauen to Leauen others.

It is noted in the Glose vpon the Clementines, Veritas pedetentim cognoscitur: Truthe is knowen by Litle, and by Litle. And S. Ambrose, Constat Diluvium eodem numero, quo cumulatam est, esse diminutum: It is knowen, that the Floudde in the time of Noe, as it grewe by degrees, so by degrees it abated. Origene saith, Ego concitabo eos in non Gentem, Nos sumus non Gens: qui pauci ex ista Ciuitate credimus, & alij ex alia. Et nusquam Gens integra ab initio credulitatis videtur assumpta: I shal prouoke them by them, that are no people. Wee are they, that were no people, that Beleue in Christ, a fewe in this Citie, and a fewe in an other. And neuer was there any Nation, that was taken whole at the firste beginninge of the Faith. The meaninge hercof is this, that God calleth meane, not al together, but nowe a fewe, nowe moe, as vnto his secrete wisdome sameth best. So it is written in the late Councel of Basile, Spiritus Sanctus non illuminat omnes eodem tempore: Sed vbi vult, & quando vult, spirat: The Holy Ghoste dooth not geue lighte to al meane at one time: but breatheth where it wil, and when it wil.

This is the Counsel and the hande of God, M. Hardinge. We make sale vnto you, as Tertullian saide sometime vnto the Heathens: Exquisitior quæque crudelitas vestra, illocebra est magis Sectæ, Plures efficiuntur, quoties metimur à vobis. Semen est Sanguis Christianorum: Your earnest synelise is an entisemente, and a prouocation

cation vnto this Secte: As often as ye reckon vs, ye finde vs moe and moe. The Seede herof is Christian Bloude. Arnobius saide sometime vnto the enemies of the Crosse of Christe, Nonne hæc saltem fidem vobis faciunt argumenta credendi, quod iam per omnes terras, in tam breui tempore, & paruo, immensa nominis huius Sacramenta diffusa sunt: As the lease, doe not these proues make you beleue, that ye see, the great Secretes of this Name (of Christe) are powred abroad in so shorte space throughe al Countreies?

Fight not againste God, M. Hardinge. There is no Wisdome, there is no Councel againste the Lorde.

This is certaine (ye saie) touchinge the groundes of Faithe, the Church erreth not. Whether your Church haue erred, or no, and in what groundes it hath erred, it shal better appere hereafter. Cruely S. Bernarde saith of your Church, euen of your Church of Rome, Intestina, & insanabilis facta est plaga Ecclesie: The wounde of the Church is within the bowels, and paste recouerie. And againe, Hæc sunt infelicitissima tempora, que præuidit Apostolus, in quibus homines sanam Doctrinam non sustinent: These be the unhappy daies, that the Apostle sawe before, when Menne cannot abide sounde Doctrine.

This was S. Bernards iudgemente of your Church: that it woulde heare no sounde Doctrine: and that it for that cause seemed vtterly paste recouerie: Therefore so certainly to assure your selfe of a thinge vncertaine, it was no wisdome. The male sale of your Popes, and Bishops, whome onely ye meane by the name of your Church, as S. Hierome saide sometime of certaine others your Fathers longe agoe: Non tam indignetur nobis hæc exponentibus, & Prophetis vaticinantibus, quam Dominum deprecantur, & studiose agant, ne de Sacerdotibus, qui violant Sancta Domini, esse mereantur: Let them not take stomake againste vs, that expounde these thinges: nor againste the Prophetes, that fretolde these thinges: But let them praie vnto God, and take good heed, that they be not of those Priestes, that defile the Holy thinges of the Lorde.

Churches (ye saie) not without profane malice, ye cal Temples. Malice comme vnto him, M. Hardinge, that Malice meaneth. The Prophete David saith, The Lorde in his Holy Temple: S. Paule saith, Your Bodies be the Temples of the Holy Ghost: Knowe ye not that ye be the Temple of God: If any man defile the Temple of God, the Lords wil destroye him. Ye are the Temple of the Liuinge God: What agreement is there betweene the Temple of God, and an Idole? So many times S. Paule nameth Temples together in one place: and yet, I thinke, without any great profane Malice. But it shalbe lawful for you, M. Hardinge, to make newe Dinnes, and to saie, The Apostles of Christe were malicious, and wicked, onely for that they called the Church of God by the name of Temple. Would God, ye had not turned Goddes Temple into the Synagoge of Satan. The sae by your practise, it is true, that S. Chrysostome saith, Sicut de Templo omne bonum egreditur, ita etiam de Templo omne malum procedit: As euery good thinge proceedeth from the Temple, so euery ill thinge proceedeth likewise from the Temple.

In defense of your Halfe Communion, ye saie, For good causes yee teache the people to be contente with one Kinde. And thus ye force the poore people, contrarie to the expresse Worde of Christe, contrarie to the example of the Apostles, and al the Holy Fathers in the Primitive Church, and contrarie to the general vse, and order of a whole thousand yeres, to geue care to your good causes. But these causes, no doubt, are great, and worthy: Otherwise ye woulde not weighe them againste God. But wherefore are they dissembled? Why are they not tolde vs? Your olone Daours, Alphonsus de Castro, and Iohn Gerson, haue laide them out in this wise Particularly, and at large: The daunger of sheaddinge: The carryinge from place to place: The fowlinge of the Cuppes: The trouble of Menns Beards: The reseruinge for the Sicke: The turninge of the Wine into Vineger: The engendringe of Flees: The Corruption or Putrefaction: The Lothesomenesse that maie happen, for so many to Drinke of one Cuppe: The impossibilitie of prouidinge one Cuppe, that maie be sufficiente to serue al the People: In somme places Wine is deare: In somme places the Wine wil be frorne.

These, M. Hardinge, be the fairest, and greatest of your good causes. And yet haue you thus concluded in your late Chapter at Tridente, Si quis dixerit, Sanctam Ecclesiam Catholicam non iustis causis, & rationibus adductam fuisse vt Laicos, atque

Bernard ad cle-
rum in Concl.
Rhemens.
Bernard sup. v
Salut Regina.

Hieronym. in
sophani Ca. 3.

psalm 10.

1 Corinth 3.
2 Corinth 6.

Chrysost. in Mat-
the. Homil. 38.

Alphonf Aduers
sus Hæres Lib 6
De Euhan?
sectione 11ma.
Iohan Gersen
De Communione
Laicor.
concil Trident
De Commun sub
vna specie. Ca. 2.

atque etiam Clericos non conficientes, ſub Panis tantummodo ſpecie Communicaret, aut in eo erraſſe, Anathema ſit: If any Man ſhal ſaie, that the Holy Catholique Church, without iuſte cauſes, and reaſons her mouinge, dooth Communicate both the Laitie, and alſo Priſtes, unleſſe they Miniſter, vnder the onely Fourme of Breaðe, or that the Church haue erred in the ſame, Accuſed be he. Therefore Tertullian ſaith rightly of you: Credunt ſine Scripturis, vt Credant contra Scripturas: They Beleene without the Scriptures, that they maie Beleene againſte the Scriptures.

Tertull. De
Preſcription.
Hieronym. in
Sophoni. Ca. 1.

But ſpecially, I beſeeche you, M. Hardinge, conſider wel theſe wordes of S. Hierome, and ſee, whether ye maie applie them to your ſelues, or no: In conſumatione Mundi ſcrutabitur Dominus Hieruſalem, id eſt, Eccleſiam ſuam cum Lucerna, & viſificetur ſuper viros Contemptores, qui noluerunt ſeruare Cuſtodias ſuas, id eſt, Mandata Domini Contempſerunt: & inſuper Ratione ſe peccare dicentes, blaſphemaſerunt in Cordibus ſuis: In the ende of the Worlde our Lorde ſhal ſearche Hieruſalem, that is to ſaie, his Church with a Candel, and ſhal wreake him ſelfe vpon the Deſpiſers, that would not keepe their watches, that is to ſaie, that deſpiſed the Commandementes of God: and ouer, and beſides this ſaieing, thei had good Cauſes, and Reaſons, wherefore they ſhould offende, (and breake Goddes Commandementes) they blaſphemed in their Hartes.

That ye ſurmise of Gelafius, is moſte vntreue. He ſpeaketh not one worde there, of the diuidinge of Chriſte, as you imagine: nor had he any cauſe ſo to ſpeake. But he ſaith in moſte plaine wiſe, It is Sacrilege to doo the ſame thing, that you doo, that is to ſaie, to diuide the Sacramente, and to Miniſter the One parte, as ye do, without the other. Loke better on your Bookes: and Confeſſe the Truthe, as ye ſhal finde it. The wordes be theſe: Aut integra Sacramenta percipiant, aut ab integris arceantur. Quia diuiſio vnus eiusdemq; Myſterij ſine grandi Sacrilegio non poteſt peruenire: Either let them receiue the whole Sacramentes, or els let them be diuined from the whole. For the Diuiſion of one and the ſame Myſterie, (or Sacramente) cannot happen without greate Sacrilege. He ſpeaketh not of the Diuiſion of One Chriſt, as you tel vs, but of the Diuiſion of One Myſterie.

De Conſe. Diſt. 2.
Comperimus.

1. Cor. 10. 8.
Enag. 1. Lib. 2.
Cap. 17.
Conci. Chalcedon. Actio. 2.
Ανάθεμα τῷ
μεριζοντι: ἀνάθεμα τῷ
διαιροῦντι.

Otherwiſe, touchinge Chriſte, we ſaie with S. Paule, Vnus Dominus Ieſus Chriſtus, There is One Lorde Ieſus Chriſte: And we proteſte with the Biſhoppes of the Caſte in the Council of Chalcedon, Accuſed be he, that parteth Chriſte: Accuſed be he, that diuideth him.

That you ſaie, The order of your Latine Seruice hath euermore benne vſed in the Latine Church from the beginninge. It is vntreue. For prouſe whereof, I remitte you to my Former Replie in the thirde Article, and the ſixteenth Diuiſion.

The reſte, that ye allege, is not worthe the anſweringe.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Diuiſion. 2.

All theſe thinges muſte they of neceſſitie ſaie, unleſſe perchaunce they had rather ſaie thus, That al Lawe, and Righte is locked vp in the Treſurie of the Popes breaſte, and that, as once one of his ſoothinge Pages, and Clabackes did not ſticke to ſaie, the Pope is able to diſpenſe againſte the Apoſtles: againſte a Council, and againſt the Canons and Rules of the Apoſtles: and, that he is not bounde to ſtande, neither to the Examplis, nor to the Ordinaunces, nor to the Lawes of Chriſte.

M. Hardinge.

To ſaie, that al Lawe and righte (your Latine terme is *Fas*) is locked vp in the treſurie of the Popes breaſte, it were abſurde and vnreaſonable. Mary to ſaie, that the Lawes reſte in the Popes It is no breaſte, after a certaine meaninge, as hereafter ſhalbe declared, it is * not altogetheer beſide truthe ſon that and reaſon. But ſirs, what if ſome meane writer, or Gloſer vpon the Canon Lawe ſpeake ſomewhere Diuined out of ſquare, if al ſhoulde be exactly tried by the Scripture, wil yee laie that to our charge? ſhal the requiſite Fairhe of the Catholique Church thereby be called in doubt and queſtion? VVe take not vpon vs make good to Defende al that the Canoniles, or Schoolemen ſaie or write, &c. In this kinde or order be many what is thinges, whiche maie rather be called Rules of manners, then Principles, or ſuche as wee terme euet is

*Not alto-
gether be-
ſide truthe:
A Colde
Deſenſe.

Axiomatis,

Axiomata, of our Faith. These although they be sounde written in the Scripture, for as much as they haue benne commaunded by an occasion, and for some cause, they maie for cause, and occasion, and as wec finde in C. *Leitor*, for necessitie, by Goddess deputie, and Vicare be supplied, holpen, expounded. And if the case so require, he in the same for a certaine cause, with a certaine person, for a certaine time, with certaine circumstances maie dispense, by the same spirite, they were first founded and instituted withal, and with the same intention, to witte, for somme special good, and furtherance of Godlinesse. Sutch administration of Goddess Lawe, and sutch dispensation thereof, as of a precious Treasure, not free or at Libertie and pleasure, but an euen, iuste, and good dispensation, they doo attribute to Goddess Vicare, whom this Defender calleth the Popes Parasites, Pages, and Claw backes, him selfe a very Page, Slaue, and clawbacke to the Diuel.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, *M. Harding*, ye come, and wander, and goe maskt, as a man, that were denigted, and had losse his wase. In somme cases (ye saie) the Pope maie dispense against Goddess VVoorde: In somme cases he maie not. *Faine* would ye, so: *thame*, some what limite, and restraine his Immoderate Authoritie: but ye knowe not, where to lase the boundes. Ye make smal accompte of your Schoole Doctoures, and Canonistes, that is to saie, of the Principall Pillers of your Catholique Church: and thinke them not worth to be your guides. How be it, your labour cannot al be lost: For they will thinke as light of you.

But, so: as mutche, as ye saie, They flatter not the Pope, nor be his Pages, or Parasites: but speake roundly to him, and tel him his owne, it shal not be mutche amisse, to hearken a litle, what they saie.

One of them saith thus, *Papa potest Dispensare contra Ius Naturale: The Pope maie Dispense against the Lawe of Nature.* An other saith, *Papa Dispensat contra Canones Apostolorum: The Pope Dispenseth against the Canons, or Rules of the Apostles.* An other saith, *Papa potest mutare formam Verborum in Baptismo: The Pope maie change the forme of VVoordes in Baptisme.* An other saith, *Privilegium dari potest contra Ius Diuinum: The Popes Priuilege maie be graunted against the Law of God.* An other saith, *Papa ex Maxima Causa potest Dispensare contra Nonum Testamentum: The Pope upon a very great Cause, maie Dispense against the New Testament.* An other saith, *Papa potest Dispensare de omnibus praeceptis Veteris, & Noui Testamenti: The Pope maie Dispense for any commaundement of the Olde, or Newe Testament.* An other saith, *Papa potest Dispensare contra Epistolas Pauli: The Pope maie Dispense against the Epistles of S. Paule.* And, somewhat to qualifie the outrage of the mater, An other saith, *Papa potest Dispensare contra Ius Diuinum in Particulari, non in Vniuersali: The Pope maie Dispense against the Lawe of God, in Particulare, not in General.* And againe, *Papa potest tollere Ius Diuinum ex Parte, non in Totum: The Pope maie abolishe the Lawe of God, in parte, but not in whole.* An other saith, *Martinus Papa 5. Dispensauit cum quodam, qui acceperat Germanam suam in Vxorem: Pope Martine, the 5. Dispensed with a man, that had taken to VVife his owne Sister.* Whether this repoite be true, or false, I referre mie selfe to the credite of the Authoure. Verily, that the Natural Brother shoulde marrie his owne Natural Sister, it woulde make a good stronge Dispensation: For God him selfe hath by moste expresse wordes commaunded the contrarie. An other saith, *Papa potest Dispensare cum omnibus Personis, nisi cum Patre, & Matre: The Pope maie Dispense with al Personnes (touchinge Marriage) sauinge onely with Father, and Mother (to Marrie, or to be Married to their Children).* An other saith, *Papa potest supra Ius Dispensare: Et de Iniustitia potest facere Iustitiam: & Sententiam, quae nulla est, facere aliquam: Et de nihilo, facere aliquid: The Pope maie Dispense about the Lawe: The Pope of VVronge, maie make Righte: The Pope of no Sentence, maie make a Sentence: The Pope of nothinge, maie make somethinge.* The cause herrof, as an other saith, is this, *Quia Papa potest, excepto Peccato, quasi omnia facere, quae potest Deus: Sinne onely excepted, the Pope maie doo in a manner, what so euer God maie doo.*

An other saith, *Papa habet Auctoritatem declarandi Scripturas, ita, vt non liceat oppositum tenere, vel opinari: The Pope hath Authoritie so to expounde, and to declare*

15 q. 6. *Authoritatem in Glossa.*
Dis. B. Presbyter
Pelin. De Constitutionibus, Cap. Statuta Canonum, Colum 6.
6 q. 1. *Quicunque.*
In Glossa.
Panormis Extra De Diuinitis.
Cap. Fin.
Summa Angel in Distione Papa.
Felin. De Constitutione. Ca. Statuta Canonum.
Felin. De Matrimonio. Obediens. Cap. 2.
Idem De Maioritate. Obediens. Cap. fin.
Summa Angel in Distione pp.
Panormis, Extra De Electione. Electi potest.
Venerabilem.
De Translatione Episcopo. Quanto.
Hosten. De Translatione.
Episcopo. Quanto.
Hieracut. de Potestate Papae.

declare the Scriptures, that it maie not be lawſul for any man to holde, or to thinke the Contra-
rie. For Cardinal Cuſanus ſaith, as it is ſaide befoze, Scripturæ ad tempus ad-
aptatæ ſunt, & variè intellectæ, ita, vt vno tempore ſecundum currentem Vniuerſa-
lem ritum exponantur: mutato ritu, iterum ſententia mutetur: The Scriptures are ap-
plied vnto the time, and are diuerſly vnderſtanded: ſo that at one time they are taken according
to the Vniuerſal Currente order: which order beinge changed, the meaninge of the Scriptures
is changed too.

An other demaundeth a queſtion, Vtrum Papa ex Plenitudine Potestatis poſ-
ſit omnia: Whether the Pope by the Fulneſſe of his Power maie doo al thinges.

An other ſaith, Si totus Mundus ſententiet contra Papam, videtur, quod ſtan-
dum eſſe ſententiæ Papæ: If al the VVorld woulde geeue ſentence contrarie to the
Pope, yet it ſeemeth, wee oughte to ſtande to the ſentence of the Pope. Theſe, &
Hardinge, by your Iudgement are neither Pages, nor Paraſites: but good, ſadde, and
earnest friendes: ſuthe as loue roughly, and plainely to bitter theire minde, with-
out flatterie.

This doubtleſſe is it, that Daniel ſo longe befoze P:opheſied of him, Dabitur
illi os loquens grandia: He ſhal haue a mouthe geuen him, utteringe greate, and preſum-
ptuous matters. For in daide, notwithstandinge al this gloriouſ glitteringe of pain-
ted Authoꝛitie, yet one of the Popes owne menne ſaith, Pape non poteſt facere
de quadrato rotundum: The Pope (notwithſtandinge his Privileges, and al his
Power) cannot make a ſquare thinge, rounde. S. Bernarde ſaith, An Regula non con-
cordat cum Euangelio, vel Apoltolo: Alioqui Regula iam non eſt Regula: quia non
eſt recta: Dooth not the Rule agree with the Goſpel, or with the Apoſtle? Otherwiſe that Rule
is no Rule at al: for it is crooked, it is not ſtreight.

And therfore Pope Zoſimus in moze ſober wiſe ſaith thus, Contra Statuta
Patrum condere aliquid, vel mutare, ne huius quidem Sedis Authoritas poteſt: The
Authoritie of this See cannot order or change any thing, contrary to the orders of our Fathers.

Iſidorus ſaith, Is qui præſt, ſi præter voluntatem, vel præter quod in Scripturis
Sanctis euidenter Præcipitur, vel dicit, aliquid, vel imperat, tanquam Falſus Teſtis
Dei, & Sacrilegus habeatur: He, that is in Authoritie, if he ſpeake, or commande any thing,
biſides the wil, or meaninge of Gods Woordes, or otherwiſe, then is expreſſely commaunded in the
Scriptures, let him be taken as a Churchrobber, and as a falſe witneſſe againſte God.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Diuiſion. 3.

Wee, for our parte, haue learned theſe thinges of Chriſt, of the Apo-
ſtles, of the Deuout Fathers: and doo ſincerely with good faith teache
the people of God the ſame. Which thinge is the onely cauſe why wee
at this daie are called Heretiques of the chiefe Prelate, (no doubt) of
Religion. O immortal God, haſte Chriſte him ſelfe then, the Apoſtles,
and ſo many Fathers, al at once gonne aſtraie: Were then Origene,
Ambroſe, Auguſtine, Chryſoſtome, Gelafius, Theodoretus,
forſakers of the Catholique faith: Was ſo notable a conſent of ſo ma-
ny Anciente Biſhoppes, and Learned menne, nothing elſ but a conſpi-
racie of Heretiques: Or, is that nowe condemned in vs, which was
then commended in them: Or, is the thinge nowe, by alteration onely
of one mans affection, ſuddainely become Schiſmatique, which in
them was counted Catholique: Or, ſhal that, which in times paſte
was vndoubtedly true, nowe by and by, becauſe it liketh not theſe men,
be Iudged falſe: Let them then bringe ſoozt he an other Goſpel, and let
them ſetwe the cauſes, why theſe thinges, which ſo longe haue openly
benne obſerued, and wel allowed in the Church of God, ought nowe in
the ende to be called in againe. Wee knowe wel penough, that the ſame
woodde

woodde, whiche was opened by Christe, and spreadde abroade by the Apostles, is sufficiente, bothe to our Saluation, and also to vpholde, and mainteine al Truthe, and to confounde al manner of Heresie. By that woodde onely do we condemne al sortes of the Olde Heretiques, whome theise menne saie, we haue cailed out of hel againe. As for the Arians, the Eutychians, the Marcionites, the Ebionites, the Valentinians, the Carpocratians, the Tatians, the Nouatians, and mostely al them, whiche haue a wicked opinion either of God the Father, or of Christe, or of the Holy Ghoste, or of any other pointe of Christian Religion, for so mutche as they be confuted by the Gospel of Christe, wee plainly pronounce them for detestable, and damned Persons, and desie them euen vnto the Diuel. Neither doo we leaue them so, but wee also seuerely, and strenghtly holde them in by Lawful, and Politique pounishmentes, if they fortune to bzeake out any where, and betwaine them selues.

M. Hardinge.

VVee for our parte knowe, as nowe wee haue proued, that yee haue not learned these thinges of Christe, nor of the Apostles, nor of the Fathers: out of Luther, Zwinglius, Oecolampadius, Caluine, Peter Martyr, Bucer, and sutch other Apostates: and that ye doo molte falsely, and wickedly leade the people into the Pitte with you. And therefore yee are iustly condemned by the Churche, and deemed Heretikes, &c.

Howe condemne yee the Donatistes, seeinge with them ye breake, and throwe downe the Holy Aultars of God, on whiche (as Optatus writeth) the Body and Bloude of Christe was wonte to be laide? &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Hereto, M. Harding, the whole grosse summe of your Answer in effect is this: Ye falsely, and wickedly leade the people: ye are Apostates: ye are Heretiques: ye are Impudent, and rebellious Children: ye are Despisers of God: Mockers of your Mother: and peruerter of the Apostles: ye utter lies: ye speake blasphemies. At the last ye saie, The Diuel dwelleth in our hartes, as in his shoppe. This is a hasty kinde of Logique, M. Hardinge: He muste nedes be harde harted, that wil not yelde to sutch Argumentes.

To leaue other your waste wordes, of Vigilantius, Iovinian, Manichee, Aërius, and sutch others, in the ende ye pronounce your Definitive Sentence, as a Judge, and condemne vs for Heretiques, for that we haue taken downe your Shoppes, and gafnesful Botes, whiche ye cal the Holy Aultars of God. Clerisly, this muste nedes be thoughte, either extreme rigoure, or greates folle, of the remoouinge of a stone to make an Heresie. Sutch Heresies, I trowe, S. Augustine, S. Ambrose, Optatus, and other Learned Fathers knewebut fewe.

Neither is there any god sufficient reason to be shewed, wherefoze it shoulde more be Heresie in vs to take downe your nedelesse, and Superstitious Wallles, whiche ye had erected of your selues, without Commission, then it was lately in you, to teare in sunder, and to burne our Communion Tables: in the erection, and vse whereof we had the vndoubted example, both of Christe him selfe, and also of the Anciente Catholique Farbers. I saie nothinge of your Crueltie in burnings so many Bibles, and Bookes of Gods Holy Word: so many of your Brethrens Bodies: so many Temples of the Holy Ghoste.

As for the Aultars, whiche, Optatus saithe, the Donatistes bzake downe, they were certainly Tables of VVoodde, sutch as we haue, and not Heapes of Stones, sutch as ye haue: as in my former Replie, made vnto you, it made better appere. S. Augustine reportinge the same storie saithe, *The Donatistes in their furie brake downe the Aultare Bourdes: His wordes be theise, Lignis eiusdem Altaris effractis.* Likewise saithe Athanasius of the like furie of the Arians: *Subsellia, Thronum, Mensam Ligneam, & Tabulas Ecclesie, & coetera, quæ poterant, foras elata, combusserunt: They carried forth, and burnte the Seates, the Pulpite, The VVoodden*

*Artic. 3. Dist. 26
August. Epist. 10.
Ad Bonifacium.
Athanas. in E-
pist. ad solitariâ
vitam agentes.*

Bourde, the Church Tables, and such other things, as they coulde geate.

*B. Rhenan. in 2-
pistola prefixa
Liturgia Chry-
sostom.*

Touchinge your Stone Aultars, Beatus Rhenanus saith, In nostris Basilicis Ararum Superaddititia structura nouitatem præ se fert: In our Churches the building vp of Aultars, added to the reste, declareth a noueltie. This Learned Man telleth you, M. Harding, that your Stone Aultars are but newely brought into the Church of God: and, that our Communion Tables are olde, and anciente, and haue benne used from the beginninge.

We haue such Aultars, M. Hardinge, as Christe, his Apostles, S. Augustine, Optatus, and other Catholique, and Holy Fathers had, and used, whoses examples to folowe, we neuer thought it to be such a Heresie.

*August. ad Boni-
facti. Epist. 23.*

But Optatus saith, The Body, and Bloude of Christe was woonte to be laide vpon the Aultare: and with these wordes ye woulde saue assonne your simple Reader, as if Christes Body laie there really, fleshely, verily, and in deede. But ye shoulde remember, that S. Augustine saith, Sacramentum Corporis Christi secundum quendam modum Corpus Christi est: Et Sacramentum Sanguinis Christi secundum quendam modum Sanguis Christi est: The Sacrament of Christes Body (not verily, and in deede, but) after a certaine manner of speache is Christes Body: and the Sacrament of Christes Bloude, after a certaine manner of speache, is the Bloude of Christ. This manner of Speech your owne Glose thus expoundeth, Vocatur Corpus Christi, id est, Significat Corpus Christi: It is called the Body of Christe, that is to saie, It Signifieth the Body of Christe. And, as Optatus saith, Christes Body and Bloude were laide vpon the Aultare, or Communion Table. Euen so S. Augustine saith, The whole people was in the Communion Cuppe, and laide vpon the Holy Table. These be his wordes, Vos estis in mensa: Vos estis in Calice: You are vpon the Table: You are in the Cuppe. As the people is vpon the Table, so is Christes Body vpon the Table. The People is not laide there verily, and in deede, but onely in a mysterie: Euen so the Body of Christe, as Optatus saith, is laide there, not verily, and in deede, nor in Real, and fleshely Presence, but in a mysterie.

*De Con. Diss. 2.
Hoc est quod in
Glossa.*

*Aug. ad Infan-
tes. Citatur à
Beda in 1. Cor. 10*

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 1.

In deede wee graunt, that certaine netwe and very strange sectes, as the Anabaptistes, Libertines, Menonians, and Zvenckfeldians, haue benne stirring in the worlde, euer sithence the Gospel did firste sprynge. But the worlde seeth the nowe right wel, thanks be geuen to our God, that wee haue neither breadde, nor taughte, nor kepte vp theise Monsters. In good folowshippe, I praise thee, who so euer thou be, reade our Bookes, they are to be solde in every place. What hath there euer benne wrytten by any of our companie, that mighte plainly beare with the madnesse of any of those Heretiques? Naie, I saie vnto you, there is no Countre this daie so free from their pestilent infections, as these be, wherein the Gospel of Christe is freely, and commonly preached. So that if they weighe the very mater with earnest, and byright aduise, this thinge is a greate Argumente of our parte, that this same Doctrine, whiche wee teache, is the very Truth of the Gospel of Christe. For lightly neither is cockle woonte to growe without the wheate, nor yet the claffe without the Corne. For from the very Apostles times, who knoweth not, howe many Heresies did rise vp euen togeather, so soone as the Gospel was firste spreadde abroad: who euer had hearde tel of Simon, Menander, Saturninus, Basilides, Carpocrates, Cherinthus, Ebion, Valentinus, Secundus, Marcosius, Colorbasius, Heracleo, Lucianus, Seuerus, and other like

like, befoze the Apostles were sent abroade: But why stand we reck-
ninge by theise? Epiphanius rehearseth by foure score sundrie Here-
sies: and Augustine many moe, which sprange by even together with
the Gospel. What then? was the Gospel therefore not the Gospel,
because Heresies sprange by withal? O, was Christe therefore not
Christe? O, was Christe, and his Gospel the cause of theise Heresies?

And yet as wee saide, doothe not this greate croppe, and heape of
Heresies growe by emongest vs, whiche doo openly, abroade, and
frankely teache the Gospel. These poisonnes take their beginninges,
theire encreasinges, and strengthe emongest Our Aduersaries, in
blindenesse, and in darkenesse, emongest whome Truthe is with Ty-
rannie, and Crueltie kepte vnder, and cannot be hearde, but in Co-
ners, and secrete meetinges. But lette them make a prouise: Let them
geue the Gospel free passage: Let the Truthe of Iesus Christe geue
her cleare light, and stretche forth her bright beames into al partes:
and then shal they forth with see, howe al theise Wadowes streighte
wil banishe, and passe awaie at the lighte of the Gospel, euen as the
thicke Mist of the nighte consumeth at the lighte of the Sonne. For
whilest theise Menne sitte stil, and make mery, and doo nothings, wee
continually repressse, and put backe al those Heresies, whiche they fals-
ly charge vs to nourishe, and mainteine.

M. Hardinge.

As for Libertines, what other Substance hath your Gospel, besides carnal Libertie, and licenti-
ous livinge: To breake the vowe of Chastitie solemnly made to God, by whose grace, and your ende-
uour it might wel haue benne kepte, and to breake it for wantonnesse, and to satisfie luste: is not
that proper to Libertines? To geue ouer fasting, watching in praiser, and Confession of Sinnes, which
should be made to a Priest, by whom onely (except in case of necessitie) God promised forgiveness:
To regarde no Tradition, though it came from the Apostles: briefly, to teache that al kinde of out-
warde Godlinesse is superstitious, and Pharisaical, and that every man muste without staggering, or
doubtinge beleue, that him selfe shalbe saued, if he haue Faith, *doo he what him liste, is this no
point of Libertie? VVhat made so many maleperte Prentises, pleasant Courtiers, discoursing Parla-
mente Machiavelles, and al other what so euer fleashewoormes, Merchantes, idle artificers, to em-
brace your Gospel, rather then the grauer and deuouter sorte of men, whiche be weaned from the
pleasure of this life: but that it was plausible to the VVorld, and pleasant to the Fleashe, from which
that kinde of menne are moste hardly drawen? And yet forefoote doo not ye foster vp Libertines.

VVho can reckon the Sectes that be in Germany, beeing *sixteene or moe professed in some
one towne? *Bemelande is almoste as ful of Heresies, as of Houses. *Silesia is as bad, and *Mora-
uia a Countrie there adioininge, is neuer a whit better. And yet doo not these sectes bud, where your
Gospel is freely, and openly preached?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, I haue purposed leaste ont a great heape of your talke,
not thinkinge it needful, to answer al your bolde wordes. Haulinge nomore
regarde, what ye saie, it was no hard mater for you to cal vs Libertines, and to saie,
The Gospel that we preach, hath none other Substance, but Carnal Libertie, and Licenceous Liuing.
If ye had remembred, either the Cittie of Rome, where ye haue bene, or the Towne
of Antwarpe, or Louaine, where ye nowe dwel, ye coulde not wel, without blas-
phemy, haue charged vs with Carnal Libertie.

Our VVantons, and Fleashewoormes, for so it liketh you to calle them, haue
benne contented to forsake Fathers, Mothers, Wives, Children, godes, and Li-
uings, and makely to submit them selues to the extreme terroure of al your Cru-
elties, and to pelde their Bodies vnto the Deathe: to be serued for hunger: and to
be burnt in Fire: Onely for the name, and Gospel of Iesus Christe. So delicate
Fleashewoormes, and such VVantons are they. Ye wil saie, as your worde is,
when ye haue nothinge els to saie, They died stubbrenly in wilful erreure. Yet, I

*Moste Vaine
Vntruthe. See
the Answer.

*Vntruth, iol-
ned with vile
sclaunder.

*Foure greate
Vntruthes al-
together.

rechen, ye will not saie, They died in great pleasure, or Carnal Libertie. It is a strange kinde of Fleashely VVantonnesse, for a man to denie him selfe, and to take vp his Crosse, and to folowe Christe. And yet is this the whole Substance of our Gospel.

Iustinus the Martyr, notwithstanding he was an Heathen, and thought then, euen as you doe now, that the Professours of the name of Christe, were nothinge els, but a sorte of VVanton, and wilful people, yet when he sawe, howe Constantly they died, and howe patiently they suffered, what so euer was laide vpon them, he was at length conuerted him selfe, and by theire Example, became a Christian: Thus Eusebius reporteth his wordes, Ego ipse Platonis Disciplinis delectatus, &c. I mee selfe, takinge pleasure in Platoes Doctrine, and hearinge the Christians il spoken of, and seeinge them to goe courageously, and boldly to theire Deathe, and to suffer al such things, as were thought moste terrible, I thought it a thinge impossible, that such menne should liue wickedly, or in VVantonnesse.

So likewise writeth Sozomenus, touching the same, The Christians being spoiled of theire goodes, and regarding nothinge, that they hadde, beinge hanged on gibettes, and sufferinge al manner tormentes so without care, or feare, as if theire bodies had not benne their owne, neither allured with flatterie, nor amazed with threatnes, they gaue al menne hereby to vnderstande, that they suffered theise things (not of VVantonnesse, but) for somme greate reward.

The Prophete David saith, In quo corriget adolescens viam suam? In custodiendo Sermones tuos: Whereby shal a yonge man (not growe to be a Fleashely VVanton, but) amende his life, and correcte his waye? He answereth: (O Lorde) by the keeping of thy wordes.

Therefore we maie iustly saie to you, as Cyrillus saide sometime to Iulianus the Regenerate in like case, Etiam pueri in Sacris Literis enutriti, statim fiunt religiosissimi, etiam si minus eloquentes: Euen our Children beinge brought vp in the Holy Scriptures, streightwaie become moste godly: althoughe not so eloquent, or well spoken.

If there be any such Fleashewormes, and VVantons this daie, they be not the Gospellers, whiche worde so much offendeth you: they be of you, and of yours, O. Hardinge, that is, not the professors, but the spokers, and wilful despisers of the Gospel.

We saie, Confession shoulde be made vnto the Priest, by whome Onely (ye saie) God hath promised forgiveness of Sinne. I beseeche you, where finde you this Promise? Shew the wordes: Allege the Place: Regarde your Credite. Otherwise, ye will be noted of Antitrueth. Where did God, or Christe, euer saie, Forgiuenesse of Sinne is geuen onely by the Priest? If it be so in dede, then why dothe Chrysostome saie, Nunc necessarium non est, Præsentibus Testibus confiteri? Solus Deus te consentem videat: Nowe it is not needefull, so make Confession in the Presence of witnesses. Lette God Onely See thee makinge thy Confession. Why doth S. Hierome saie, Apud Deum, non Sententia Sacerdotum, sed reorum vita quæritur: It is not the Sentence, or Absolution of the Priest, but the Life of the Sinner, that is regarded before God. Howe be it, hereof we haue sufficiently saide before.

Luther (ye saie) Firste procured, that menne should acknowledge no One Head, and Iudge. Whome (as by your reporte, S. Cyprian saith) they mighte obeie, as the Vicare of Christe. Of this One Heade, and One Iudge, neither Christe, nor his Apostles euer tolde vs. S. Cyprian, as it is saide before, saith thus, Christus Parem dedit Apostolis omnibus potestatem: Christ gaue vnto al his Apostles Equal Power. And S. Chrysostome saith, Quicumque desiderat Primatum in Terra, inueniet in Cælo Confusionem: VVho so euer seeketh the Primacie in Earthe, he in Heauen shal finde Confusion.

The place of S. Cyprian, touching One Heade, and One Iudge, is wel alleged by you, O. Hardinge: but il applied. It is true, that S. Cyprian saith, The People oughte to obeie One Iudge, or One Heade, as the Vicare of Christe. But that by the same One Heade, or Iudge, he meante either the Bishop of Rome, or any one other certaine seueral Bishop, it is moste untrue. S. Cyprians meaninge is, as

Euseb. l. 4. ca. 8.

Επειδὴ οὐδὲν
ταύτην κινεῖ ἐν
κακίᾳ καὶ φι-
λολογίᾳ ὡς
παρὲν αὐ-
τῶν.

Sozom. l. 1. ca. 1.

Διὸ καὶ πάντων
ἐποιοῦσιν, ὡς
ὑπὲρ μεγίστου
ἀθλῶν τῶν αὐ-
τῶν τῶν τοῦ
ὑπομένουσι.

Psal. 119.

Cyril. Contra

Iulian. lib. 7.

pag. 162.

Chrysos. De Pa-
nitent. & Con-
fessione.

Hierom. in Ma-
the. Cap. 16.

Cypri. De Sim.
Prelatorum.
Chrysostom. in
Matthe. Hom. 35.

so, as I haue otherwhere moze largely declared, that in euery Diocese the People ought to knowe One Bishop, as Heade, and Iudge, and him to obeie, as the Vicare of Christe. One Heade, or Iudge, I saie, in euery Diocese: Not One Vniuersal Heade vnto al the worlde. For proue thereof, W. Hardinge, reade S. Cyprians Epistle vnto Florentinus Popianus: and ye shal finde, that he useth the selfe same wordes of him selfe, and not of the Pope: and I doubt not, but ye will muche marueile, and mislike your owne erreure. His wordes be these, Vnde Scismata, & Hæreses abortiunt, & oriuntur, nisi dum Episcopus, qui Vnus est, & Ecclesie præest, Superba quorundam præsumptione contemnitur? Wherehence haue Schismes, and Heresies spronge up, or wherehence doo they springe, onlesse it be, that the Bishop, whiche is One, and ruleth the Church, by the proude presumption of certaine is despised?

Here S. Cyprian calleth him selfe that One Bishop, and that One Heade, and Iudge of the Church. Yet was he onely the Bishop of Carthage in Africka: and not the Pope, or Bishop of Rome. Hereof I haue spoken moze at large in my former Replie.

Touching the Pope, wherein he maie be knowen for Christes Vicare, it were harde to saie: Onlesse it be for that, where so euer the Pope is present, there Christ is awaie. Chrysostome saith, Qui vanam gloriam non desiderat, ille debet Christi Vicarius factus, Christi Iustitiam prædicare: He that desireth not vaine glorie, beeing made Christes Vicare, ought to preache the Iustice of Christe.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 1.

Where they saie, that wee haue fallen into sundrie Sectes, and woulde be called, somme of vs Lutherans, & somme of vs Zuinglians, and cannot yet wel agree amonge oure selues, touching the whole Substance of our Doctrins, what woulde these Menne haue saide, if they had benne in the firste times of the Apostles, and Holy Fathers: when one saide, I holde of Paule: an other, I holde of Cephas: an other, I holde of Apollo? When Paule did so sharpe rebuke Peter? When vpon a fallinge out, Barnabas departed from Paule? When as Origene mentioneth, the Christians were diuided into so many factions, as that they keapte nomore, but the name of Christians in common amonge them, beeing in no manner of thinge els like to Christians? When as Socrates saith, for their Dissensions, and sundrie Sectes, they were laughed, and iested at openly of the people in their stages, and common gameplaies? When, as Constantine the Emperoure affirmeth, there were such numbers of Variances, and brawlinges in the Church, that it might iustly seeme a miserie farre passinge al the former Miseries? When also Theophilus, Epiphanius, Chrysostome, Augustine, Ruffine, Hierome, beeing al Christians, beeing al Fathers, beeing al Catholiques, quarrelled one againste an other, with moste bitter, and moste remedlesse contentions without ende? When, as saith Nazianzene, the partes of one Body were consumed and wasted one of an other? When the whole Easter parte of the Church was diuided from the VVeste, onely about leauened Bread, and onely for the keepinge of Easter daie: whiche were in deede no greate matters to be strimed for? And when in al Councelles Newe Creedes, and Newe Decrees continually were diuided?

It is

The Bi-

In the former
Replie, Artic. 4.
Dist. 5.

Cyprian Lib. 4.
Epist. 9.

Artic. 4. Dist. 3.

Chrysos. in Mat.
the. Homil. 6.

Dissensi-
ons emōg
the Fa-
thers.

*A manifeste
Vnraite of M.
Hardinger.

Hieronym. in
Hierem. lib. 4.

Iren li 4 ca 73.

Clemens Stro-
mat. lib. 3. c. 5.

Iulianus in A-
pologia.

Erasm. in Vita
Chrysostomi.

Gennadius. De
Ecclesiasticis scri-
pturis.

Hieronym. in E-
pistola ad Augu.

Bonifac. 2. in E-
pist. ad Eulaliū.

August. ad Hie-
ronym. Epist. 9.

Erasm. in Pref.
in Tom. 2. Hies-
rom. mt.

Ibidem.

Clemens Stro-
mat. lib. 7.

The Defense of the Apologie of the

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The summe of M. Hardinges answere hereto is this, That the bitter dissensions, that in Olde times fel our emongest the Learned, and Godly Fathers, shoude rather in maters of manners, and other smal quartels, then in cases, and questions of the Faith. For trial of the truth herein, it maie please you to consider wel these folow.

Papias, Apollinarius, Victorinus, Tertullianus, Irenæus, Lactantius, and others, defended the Veritie of the Chibastie, that saide, Christe after the General Iudgemente shoulde dwell here a thousande yeeres together vpon the Earthe. Irenæus helde, that Pan at the beginninge, when he was firste created, was vnperfite. Clemens Alexandrinus, and Iulianus helde, That the Angels fel, and offended God, in that they desired the companie of Women. But it shalbe in vaine to stande longe herein. For of sute examples there is great store.

To comme neare the pourpose, Theophilus calleth Epiphanius, Hæresiarcham, that is, The Grande Captaine, and Father of Heretiques. Gennadius saith, S. Augustine was not farre of from bringe an Heretique. S. Hierome writtinge vnto S. Augustine, saith thus: In Epistola tua, quædam Hæretica esse iudicavi: I iudged, that there were certaine Heretical errors in your Epistle. Pope Bonifacius. 2. saith, that Aurelius the Bishop of Carthage, and S. Augustine, and other Godly, and Learned Fathers in the Councel of Africa, were prickte on, and inspired by the Diuel. S. Augustine willethe S. Hierome to acknowledge his erreure, and to recante. Thus he saith, Accipe Sinceritatem Christianam ad illud opus corrigendum, atque emendandum: & πειρασθήτω, vt dicitur, Cane: Take vnto you Christian Sinceritie, to correcte, and amende that Booke (of yours): and recante your Erreure.

Thus mutche I thought good briefely to touche: not meaninge thereby to de- face the Authoritie of the Auncient Fathers: but somwhat to open the truth of M. Hardinges tale, and to shewe, that these, and other like Bishops, and Fathers, notwithstandinge they were Learned, and Godly, and worthy Members of the Church of God, yet were they not voide of theire infirmittes.

Erasmus, a man of greete Iudgemente, saith thus, Illis temporibus ingenio- siores fuit, esse Christianum: In those daies it was a greete pointe of cunninge, to knowe, howe to be a Christian Man.

And againe, Illa ætate in Chartis erat Fides potius, quam in animo: ac penè tot erant Symbola, quot Professores: At that time (of the Aunciente Fathers) the Faith was in Bookes rather, then in the Harte. And in a manner there was as many sundrie Creades, as there were Professours of the Faith.

Therefore Clemens Alexandrinus saith, The Heathens thus vphaiied the Christians, for the strifes, and dissensions, that were emonge them: Vos Christiani dissidetis inter vos, & tot Sectas habetis: quæ licet omnes Christianismi titulum sibi vendicent, tamen alia aliam execratur, & condemnat. Quare vestra Religio vera non est: nec à Deo originem ducit: Tce Christians dissente emonge your selues: and maineine so many Sectes. Whiche Sectes, notwithstandinge they al claime the title of Christian Reli- gion, Yet one of them curseth, and condemneth an other: Therefore your Religion is not true, nor hath her beginninge or grounde from God.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 1.

What woulde these Menne (trowe ye) haue saide in those daies? Whiche side woulde they specially then haue taken? And which woulde they then haue forsaken? Whiche Gospel woulde they haue beleued? Whome woulde they haue accounted for Heretiques, and whome for Catholiques? And yet, what a strice, and cruel keepe they this daie, onely vpon the twoo poore Names of Luther, and Zvvinglius? Because these twoo menne are not yet fully resolved vpon somme one certaine pointe of Doctrine, therefore woulde they needes haue vs thinke, that bothe of them were deceiued: that neither of them had the Gospel:

Gospel: and, that neither of them taught the truthe aright.

M. Hardinge.

After many thinges by these Defenders alleaged, for proufe of Dissension to haue bene among the Apostles, the faithfull people in S. Pauls time, the Bishops, and Holy Fathers of the primitive Church, whereby they would perswade, if they coule, that their owne diuision into sectes, and dissensions, is not a marke of false Doctrine: at length, as though they had wonne the fildes, and tried them selues proper men, triumphinge ouer vs, as though wee had nothinge to saie, with a great courage they demaunde this question of vs, which though it be but one in effecte, yet with a kinde of brauerie they seeme to diuide into sundry branches, and thus they saie: VVhat would these men (they meane the Papistes) then, trowe yee, haue saide in those daies? VVhiche side would they then haue taken, and which would they haue forsaken? VVhiche Gospel would they haue beleueed? VVhom would they haue accompted for Heretikes, and whom for Catholikes?

Touchinge these foure interrogatories, if we had then benne aliue, beinge of the minde we be now of, we would haue requiered you with foure answeres of the Holy and moste Learned Fathers S. Hierome, and would haue saide, as in the like state of times in a Learned Epistle he saide to the Learned Pope Damasus, touching the Heresies, which he founde in Syria at his first comminge thither from Rome. Firste, *Mibi Cathedram Petri, & sedem Apostolico ore laudatam censui consulendam: inde nunc mea anima postulans cibum, unde olim Christi Vestimenta suscepi.* VVhiche woordes, takinge vpon me the Person of al Faithfull and Catholike folkes, thus, I Englished: In these controuersies, I haue thought good for counsell (what I ought to beleue) to repaire to the Chaire of Peter, and to the faith of the Romaine Church, praised by Paule the Apostles owne Mouthe. And from thence now require I the foode of my soule, from whence I receiued the garments of Christe. To speake the same more shortely, and more plainely. In the Catholike Church I looke to haue the foode of my soule to euilastinge Life, in which I was firste Christened.

Secondly we would with him haue saide, one speakinge for al, directinge our woordes (as he did) to those that be of the Catholike faith, whom ye cal Papistes: *Vos estis lux mundi, vos sal terra, vos aurea vasa & Argentea: hic testacea vasa vel lignea, Virgam ferream, & Asterium aperientur incendium.* Ye are the Light of the VVorlde, ye are the Salte of the Earthe, yee are Golden and Siluerne Vessels: here (amonge the Gospellers) are the Earthen and VVoodden Vessels, which muste abide the yron Rodde, and flame Euilastinge.

Thirdly with him (one likewise bearinge the person of al) wee would haue saide to Pius the fourth that nowe sitteth in Peters Chaire, as he then saide to Damasus: *Ego nullum primum nisi Christum sequens, beatitudinatus, id est, Cathedra Petri, Communionem conficior: Super illam Petram, edificatam Ecclesiam scio. Quicumque extra hanc Domum agnum comederit, Propheta est: Si quis in Arca Noe non fuerit, peribit regnante Diluio.* Makinge itone, but Christe firste of al whom to folowe, I ioine in felowship of Communion with thy holines, that is to saie, with the see of Peter. Vpon that Rocke I knowe the Church is builded. VVho so ever eateth the Lambe without the compasse of this house, he is Prophane. If any remaine without the Arke of Noe, he shalbe losse, when the floudde raigneth.

Fourthly touching the Authours of this Newe Gospel, with the same Hierome we saie, al vnder the Person of one: *Non noui Vtalem, Meletium respuo, ignoro Paulinum. Quicumque tecum non colligit, spargit, hoc est, qui Christi non est, Antichristi est.* Vtalis I knowe not, Meletius I refuse, Paulinus I wote not what he is (these were heade Heretikes in S. Hieromes time). VVho so ever gathereth not together with thee (Holy Pastour) he scattereth abroade, that is to witte, who is not of Christs side, he is of Antichrists side. To transcribe this to our selues, the names changed, the case remaininge like, for our answer to our Gospel prelates, wee saie: Luther wee knowe not. Zuinglius, Oecolampadius, Bucer, Peter Martyr, Latimer, Ridley, Cranmer, Hooper, we reiecte and refuse: Caluine, Beza, Invel, Pilkinton, Grindal, Horne, and these fellows, what they are, wee wote not. VVee see they are not gatherers with the supreme Pastor of the Catholike Church, but scatterers.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It appeareth by that, hath benne already saide, that the Gospel of Christ is now misthred, & doubted of you, as it was in olde times of the Infidels, and Heathens. Chrysostome thereof saith thus, Venit Gentilis, & dicit, vellem fieri Christianus: Sed nescio, cui adhæream. Multæ inter vos sunt pugnae, Seditiones, ac tumultus. Nescio, quod dogma eligam, quod præferam. Singuli dicunt, Ego Verum dico: The Infidel, or Heathen commeth, and saith, I would be a Christian man: but I knowe not, whome I should folowe. There is mutche strife, and dissension, and trouble amongest you. I cannot tel, what Doctrine to chuse, to set before other. For euery one of you wil saie: I saie the Truthe. As yet agree wel with them in fouthe of wordes, so, I praye God, ye agree not with them in faith, and spight, and contempt of God. The same Chrysostome speakinge, not onely of the Heretiques, but also of the Faithfull Christians, that were in his time, saith thus, Hanc ob causam ridiculi

Chrys. in Act. 4.
Homil. 33.

Dissensi-
ons emōg
the Fa-
thers.

Chryso. in Epist.
ad Galat. Ca. 1.

380

The Defense of the Apologie of the

facti sumus, & Gentibus, & Iudæis: dum Ecclesia in mille partes discinditur: For this
cause wee are scorned, and laught at, both of Iewes, and Gentiles: While as the Church is thus
rent into a thousand partes.

Therefore it was not amisse, M. Hardinge, to demaunde you this question,
whiche of these sides ye would haue folowed: and whiche of al these Gospelles ye
would haue beleued. For it maie wel be thought, that beinge minded as they
were, ye would haue answered, as they did.

But ye saie, ye would rather haue saide, as S. Hierome sometime saide to
Pope Damasus, touchinge the Heresies, whiche he founde in Syria.

If ye would vse S. Hieromes answere, M. Hardinge, and would saie vnto the
Pope, as S. Hierome saide, Perhaps ye would deserue no grate thanks for your
laboure. For S. Hierome in that selfe same place, beside sundry others, utterly de-
nieth the Primacie of the Bishop of Rome. His wordes be plaine, Ego Nullum
Primum, nisi Christum, sequens, Beatitudini tuæ, id est, Cathedræ Petri Communio-
ne Confocior: I folowinge no First Man, (that is to saie, No Heade, or Chiefe) but
Onely Christe, am ioined as a felowe in Communion vnto thy Blessednesse, that is to saie,
vnto Peters Chaire. S. Hierome telleth the Pope, He knoweth No Firste, No Chiefe,
No Heade, no not the Pope him selfe, but onely Christe. Againe, he saith be not, I
am equal, and subiecte to thy commandementes: But, I am ioined, as a Felowe, in
Communion vnto thy Blessednesse. Fellowship will hardly stande with that Uni-
uersal power, whiche you imagine, the Pope ought to haue ouer the whole Church
of God. Wierly the Pope him selfe would take it in scorne, if you this tale would
solow S. Hierome, and cal him pour Felowe.

But S. Hierome saith, He agreeth in Faithe, and Religion with Damasus. And
what grate maruelle is this, that beinge a Godly Learned man, he would leaue
Vitalis, and Meletius, and other Heretiques, and rather ioine him selfe to that Bi-
shop, whome he knewe to be Godly, and Catholique? Hereof he writeth thus him
selfe, Vineam Christi exterminant vulpes: Vt inter lacus contritos; qui aquam non
habent, difficile, ubi Fons Signatus, & Hortus ille Conclusus sit, possit intelligi. Ideo
mihi Cathedram Petri, & Fidem Apostoli Ore laudatam censui consulendam: The
Foxes destroye the Vineyard of Christe: so that amonge these broken cesterne, that haue no
Water, it is harde to vnderstande, where is that Sealed Fontaine, and that Enclosed Orchard.
Therefore I thought it good, to herken to S. Peters Chayre, and to that Faith, whiche was
commended by the Apostles mouthe.

S. Hierome from his youthe was brought vp in Rome: He was Christened in
Rome: He had benne a Studente in Rome: He had taught a Schoole in Rome: He
had benne Secretarie to Damasus the Bishop of Rome: He was a Priest of Rome:
And of late they haue furnished him also with a Cardinales Hatte, as if he had benne
a Cardinal of Rome: And as it is thought by somme, sauinge for somme displeasure,
that certaine of the Clerergie had conceived againste him, he was like to be chosen
the Bishop of Rome. What grate maruelle therefore is it, if he had somme special
affection to the See of Rome? Alphonus de Castro saith, That the Franciscane
Freers be sworne to Duns: and that he hearde a Dominicane Freere saie openly in
his Sermon, That he was to be suspected, as an Heretique, that in any thinge dissen-
ted from Thomas of Aquine. There is noman lightly, but hath a special credite
in somme One man befoze al others.

But howe holdeth this Argumente, M. Hardinge: S. Hierome, ioined with a
Godly Bishop: Ergo, We muste ioine with a wicked Bishop: M. S. Hierome
agreed in Faithe, and Religion with Damasus: Ergo, we muste agree with one, that
dothe al thinges of purpose contrarie to Damasus. So mighte you saie, The God-
ly people of the Iewes, were bounde to obeye Aaron, and Moses: Ergo, al others, that
folowed afterwarde, were likewise bounde to obeye Annas, and Caiphas.

The Faith of Christe, M. Hardinge, goeth not alwaies by Succession. The
Bishoppes of Rome haue benne Arians, Nestorians, Monothelites, and otherwise
founde in horrible Heresies: as hereafter shalbe shewed. Would ye in such cases
haue

Hieronym. ad
Damasum.
Nullam Primi.

Beatitudini tuæ
Communione
Confocior.

Hieronym. ad
Damasum.

In Vita Hieron.

Alphonus Ad-
uers. Heres. 1. 1.
1. Cap. 7.

haue recourse to sutch a one, onely for that he sitteth in Peters Chaire: Woulde ye
saie to sutch a one, *You are the Salte of the Earthe: You are the Lighte of the Worlde?*
Woulde ye saie, *This is the Faith, that was commended by the Apostles Mouthe?*

Cruely, S. Hierome him selfe, when he saue Abuses, or Erroures mainteined
in the Church of Rome, made smal account of S. Peters Chaire. For thus he
saith, *Quid mihi proferat Vnius Urbis Consuetudinem? Potentia Diuitiarum, &*
paupertatis humilitas, vel sublimior, vel inferior Episcopum non facit. Ceterum omnes Apostolorum Successores sunt: VVhat tellest thou me of the Custome
of that One Cittie (of Rome)? The Power of Richesse, and the humilitie of Pouertie, can-
not make a Bishop either Higher, or Lower. Al Bishoppes are the Successours of the Apostles.

Hieronym, ad
Euzotium.

As for Luther, Zuinglius, Peter Martyr, Caluine, and others (ye saie) ye know them not.
Butther dothe it so greatly soze, whome you like to knowe. God geue you Grace
to knowe your selues. The Lorde is habile to knowe his owne.

2. Timoth. 2.

Touching the dissensions in Religion, whiche ye imagine to be emongest vs in
the Church of Englande, I wil saie nothinge. It grieueth you ful soare, to see,
that in al the Articles of the Faith, and in the whole Substance of Doctrinie, we
do so quietly ioine together. The Ancient Father Leo, of the like case, saith
thus, *Diabolus Gentium vocatione Cruciat, & quotidiana potestatis suae destru-*
ctione torquetur: dolens utique se deferri, & Verum Regem in locis omnibus adorari.
Parat fraudes: Fingit dissensiones: The Diuel is soare greued with the callinge of the Hea-
thens (to the Faith) and with the daily decreasinge of his power: srowinge to see him selfe
fireaken, and (Christe) the True Kinge to be worshipped in al places. Therefore he diuileth
guiles: and imagineth dissensions.

Leo in Epiphania,
Sermo. 6.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Division. 1.

But Good God, what manner of felowes be these, whiche blame vs
for disagreeinge? And doo al they them selues, weene you, agree wel to-
gether: is euery one of them fully resolued, what to folowe? Haue
there benne no striges, no quarrelles, no debates emongst them selues
at no time? Why then doo the Scoristes and the Thomistes, aboute
that they calle Meritum Congrui, & Meritum Condigni, no better
agree together? Why agree they no better emonge them selues, con-
cerninge Original Sinne in the Blessed Virgine: Concerninge a So-
lemne Vowve, and a Single Vowve? Why saie theire Canonistes that
Auricular Confession is appointed by the positie Lavve of man:
and theire Scholemen contrariwise, that it is appointed by the Lavve
of God? Why doothe Albertus Pius dissente from Caietanus? Why
doothe Thomas dissente from Lombardus, Scotus from Thomas,
Occamus from Scotus, Alliscensis from Occamus? And why do theire
Nominales disagree from theire Realles? And yet saie I nothinge of
so many diuersities of Freeres, and Monkes: how somme of them put
a greate Holinesse in eatinge of fishe, and somme in eatinge of hearbes:
somme in wearinge of wooges, and somme in wearinge of Sandalles:
somme in goinge in a Linnen garmente, and somme in woollen:
somme of them called white, some blacke: somme beinge hauen broad,
and somme narrow: somme stalsinge vpon Patens, somme goeing
barefooted: somme girte, and somme vngirte. They ought yewis to
remember, there be somme of theire owne companie, whiche saie, that
the Body of Christe is in his Supper Naturally: Contrarie, other
somme

Somme of the selfe same companie denie it utterly. Againe, that there
 be others of them, whiche saie, the Body of Christe in the Holy Com-
 munion is rente and torne vwith teethe: and somme againe, that de-
 nie the same. Some also of them there be, whiche wylte, that the Bo-
 dy of Christe in the Sacramente is quantum, that is to saie, hath his
 persite quantitie in the Sacramente: Somme others againe saie, naie.
 That there be others of them, whiche saie, Christe did Consecrate
 with a certaine Diuine Power: somme, that he did the same with his
 Blessinge: somme againe that saie, he did it with utteringe fīue So-
 lemne chosen woordes: and somme, with rehearsing the same woordes
 afterwarde againe. Somme wil haue it, that, when Christe did
 speake those fīue woordes, the Material wheaten Bzeade was poin-
 ted vnto by this Demonstratiue Pronoune, Hoc: Somme had ra-
 ther haue, that a certaine vagum indiuiduum, as they terme it, was
 meante thereby. Againe, others there be, that saie, Dogges and Mice
 eate truely, and in very deepe eate the Body of Christe: and others
 againe there be, that stedfastly denie it. There be others, whiche saie,
 that the very Accidentes of Bzeade and wine maie nourishe: others
 againe there be, whiche saie, that the Substance of the Bzeade retour-
 neth againe by a Miracle. What neede I saie more? It were our
 longe, and tedious, to reckon by al. So vncertaine, and ful of Doubtes
 is yet the whole Fourme of these mennes Religion, and Doctrine, e-
 uen emongest them selues, from whom it sprange, and grewe by firste.
 For hardly at any time doo they wel agree betweene them selues: ex-
 cept it be peradventure, as in times paste, the Phariseis, and Saduceis:
 or as Herode and Pilate, accozded together againste Christe.

M. Hardinge.

VVhat so euer ye bringe, for somme shewe of diuersitie and dissension to be founde emonge
 the Catholikes, it maie be reduced to twoo heades. The one containeth a diuersitie in pointes of
 learninge: the other in trades of Life. Concerninge matters of learninge, thanked be our Lorde, which the
 for that the very enemies of the Catholike Church, cannot charge the Catholikes with dissension in
 any of the groundes, and Articles of our Faith. As for final matters, and questions disputable on
 bothe sides, they maie therein dissent one learned man from an other without blame, the Faith re-
 maininge inuiolated.

(a) A greates
 doubtful que-
 stion. Euery
 poore Logician
 maie easily
 knowe it.

But, good sir Defender, what meante you to name the Nominals and Reals? Vnderstande you
 what they be? If a man should vpon the suddaine demaunde of you that question, (a) perhaps you
 would be to seekinge of an answere. Sir if you be an Oxforde man, as I suppose you be, you maie re-
 member, if euer you learned your Logike after that trade it was taught in, when wee resorted to the
Parnis there, what adoo was made in daiely disputations for exercise of younge wittes, aboute *Ge-
 nus* and *Species*, and the reste of the Vniuersals: VVhether they were *termini Prime*, or *Secunde
 intentionis*, whether they were *entia Realia*, or *Rationis*. VVhiche is a matter pertaininge to Lo-
 gike, not to Diuinitie. Nowe somme Schoolemen be of the opinion, that the fīue Vniuersals be
termini, or *nomina secunde intentionis*: And they be called thereof Nominals. Some holde opi-
 nion, they are *entia realia*, and thereof they be named Reals, &c. In the behalfe of those Holy Re-
 ligious menne, wee telle you, ye are to blame to belie them. For what Discipline and order of Life
 so euer they haue addicted them selues vnto, for better oportunitie to serue God: truthe is truthe,
 they put not greates Holinesse (as yee saie) in eatinge of Fische, nor of Hearbes: in Shooes, nor in San-
 dals: in Linnen, nor in VVollen garmentes: in white, nor in blacke: in broad, nor in narrow
 Crownes: in goeing on Patens, nor on the bare grounde: in girdinge them selues, nor in beinge
 Vngirded. They be not so ignorant, as to putte Holinesse in suche outward things though their
 obedience performed in the humble obseruation of these outward things accordinge to their rule,
 be an Holy thinge. These thinges they vse for restrainte of their wil, and for discipline, accordinge
 to the

The Ca-
 tholike Re-
 ligious
 put no ho-
 lineesse in
 outward
 obseruances

to the order, and rule, vnder which, the better to serue God, they haue promised obediently and humbly to liue. Neither put they more Holinesse in the things by you scoffed at, then Elias did in his Mantel and letherne girdle, wherewith he girded his loines: then Iohn Baptiste did in Eatinge of Locustes and wilde Honie, in wearinge a garmente of Camelles haire, and in girdinge him selfe aboute the loines with a skinne.

But I praie you, Sirs, who be yee? if wee woulde folowe your lightnes, haue wee not like matter of diuersitie in outwarde things, to twite you withall: but that your diuersitie is with breache of due order, and Lawe, and that of religious men is accordinge to order, and rule? If yee thinke diuersitie in things of their owne Nature indifferente, as Meates, Apparell, Shauinge, &c. wooldy to be reprehended, and deduce from thence an argumente of mutuall dissention: amonge your mihistringe Clerergie is not likewise diuersitie founde: Doo not somme amonge you weare square Cappes, somme rounde Cappes, somme button Cappes, somme onely Hattes? Doo not somme weare side gownes hauinge large sleues, with Tippettes, whiche is not wel liked of your secte, somme of more perfection Turkey gownes, Gaberdeines, Frockes, or nighte gownes of the molle laye fashion for auoidinge of Superstition? The thinge is indifferente, and maie be yelded vnto, saithe the one secte. They be the Popes ragges, and maie not be worne, saithe the other Secte. And therefore they wil rather be iustly put from that whiche iustly they cannot keepe, then yelde one iote. Neither her Maiesties commaundemente, nor their Metropolitans decree care they for. They had rather seeme to the people, whome they vse for their Clawebackes, and to whose iudgement they stande or fall, stout Champions of their owne Gospell, then meeke folowers of Christes Gospel. Suche mighty Samsons, suche constant Laurences, your ioyly Gospel breedeth.

Somme saie, the Body of Christe in the Communion is torne and crused with Teethe, some denie it. Yea forsoothe, and bothe meane right well. The hundred and thirtene Bishoppes assembled in Councell at Rome vnder Pope Nicolaus the seconde, prescribed suche forme of Recantation to Berengarius the firste Author of the Sacramentarie Heresie, openly there to pronounce, acknowledge, and with subscription to ratifie, as he shoulde confesse the very true Body of Christe, not onely the Sacramente thereof, sensibly and in veritie to be handled with the handes of the Priestles, (b) to be broken and crused with Teethe of the Faithfull. By whiche woordes these Fathers minde was to expresse a veritie of Reall Presence, (c) a true Eatinge of Christes Body in deede, and to exclude the onely spirituall eatinge, so as the olde Fathers did Eate Christe in their Manna. Neither is this Doctrine dissonant from the Fathers, specially from Chrysostome, who hathe the like woordes.

Homilia. 45. in Iohan. How so euer our Sauour Christ consecrated, with a certaine diuine power, or with his Almighty Blessinge, or with vterance of woordes, or with repetinge the same woordes, what so euer the Pronoune (*Hoc*) pointeth or signifieth in the woordes of Consecration: what so euer your Dogges, and Mice haue Eaten, or your selues haue troden vnder your wicked secte: whether the Accidentes doo nourishe, or Substance retourne: what narrowe pointes so euer the Schooldemen after tossing of argumentes too and fro, as their manner is, haue agreed or disagreed vpon: the matter is subtil and curious, neither determined by definitiue sentence of the Church in any Councell. And therefore sithe it containeth no Article of our Faith, let vs not be offended with the whettinge and sharpeninge of their subtil wittes in matters neither to the Truthe of Gods worde prejudiciall, nor to the vnderstandinge and iudgement of any man, hurtfull.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Touchinge your Nominales, and Reales, *M. Hardinge*, if ye had benne so cunninge, and skilful, as ye make your selfe, ye woulde not haue sente vs backe to learne your Firste, and Seconde Intentions amongest Childzen. But, that ye maie vnderstande your owne error, and certainly see, that these two Houses, your Nominales, and your Reales haue heretofore heapte a sturre, not onely in Logique, but also in your Scholasticall maters of Diuinitie, without further searchinge of the bottom thereof, I praie you onely to consider these fewe Authorities.

Veselus, sometime for his greate fame in Learninge, named *Lux Mundi*, writeth thus, In Nominalibus si quid Fidei contrarium putarem, hodie remearem, vel ad Formales, vel ad Reales: *If I thought there were any thinge in the Nominals contrarie to the Faith, I woulde presently leaue them, and goe, either to the Formales, or to the Reales.*

An other saith, *Ex Sententiarijs*, alij Terminales, aut Nominales esse volunt: alij Reales: *Of the Doctours of the Scholasticall Diuinitie, somme wilbe called Terminales, or Nominales, and somme Reales.*

An other saith, *Citius e Labyrinthis* temet explices, quam ex inuolucris Realium, Nominalium, &c. in quibus omnibus tantum est eruditionis, vt putem Apostolis ipsis opus fore alio Spiritu, si cogerentur istis de rebus cum hoc Nouo Theologorum genere conferere manus: *Yee maie sooner winde your selfe out of a Maze, then out of the shifts, and Corners of these Reales, and Nominales &c. in Whome there is suche abundance of Learninge, that, I thinke, the Apostles them selues should haue neede of an other Sprite, if at any time they should be driuen to encounter*

(b) This was a blasphemous, and horrible error.

(c) The true Eatinge of Christes Body is Spirituall: This imagined Eatinge with Mouthe, and Teethe, is Heathenish, and Fantastical, and hathe no truthe.

Veselus.

Idrycius De sectis. pag. 80.

Erasus, in Moris.

Holinesse
in Appa-
rel.

Vdal. Zafius, De
Generum obli-
gatione.

384

The Defense of the Apologie of the

encounter with this Newe kinde of Diuines. Here, W. Hardinge, your Nominalles, and Realles, are called a Newe kinde, not of Logicians, but of Diuines. And if ye consider wel Vdalrichus Zasius, ye shal finde, that your saide Nominalles, & Realles haue intruded them selues, not onely into Diuinitie, but also into the Ciuile Lawe. Therefore it was somewhat out of season, to tel vs this tale, of your Seconde, and Firste Intentions.

Concerning Apparell (ye saie) ye sette not great Holinesse neither in shooes, nor in Sandales, &c. Whereby ye woulde seme to geue vs to vnderstande, that ye sette somme Holinesse in these thinges, although not Greate. Neither doth it appeare, that the Phariseis euer made any greate accompte of Holinesse of theire garmentes. Yet notwithstanding vnder the coloure, and shadowe thereof, they deceiued the people. And therefore Christe saith vnto them, Woe be vnto you, ye Scribes, and Phariseis: And vnto the people he saith, Beware of them, that loue to goe in longe Roabes. Of such minne, Seneca saith, Personam habere malunt, quam faciem: They wil rather Weare a Visarde, then a Natural face. An other saith, Dolosi Hominis, dolosa vestes: Crafty man, Crafty Coate.

Matthe. 23.

Marr. 12.

Luke. 20.

Seneca,

Macrobius.

Concil. Gang-
ren. Cap. 12.

Chryso. in Mat-
the. Homil. 43.

Thom. Aquinas.

In Pontifical. In
Benedic. ad Ve-
stimenta Sacer-
dotal.

Durand. in Ra-
tionali. Lib. 3.
Cap. 1.

But if noman euer reckened any Holinesse to be in your apparell, wherefore then was this Decree so longe agoe written in the Councel of Gangra, Si quis Vi-
rorum putauerit Sancto proposito, id est continentie, conuenire, vt pallio vtatur, tanquam ex eo Iustitiam habiturus, &c. Anathema sit: If any man thinke it agreeable to his holy purpose, of Continent life, to weare a Cloke, as though thereby he maie be Iustified, &c. Accursed be he. D, wherefore doth S. Chrysostome crie out against such folle, vned, as it maie appeare, in his time: O impietatem: Maiorem Sanctitatem in vestimentis suis volunt ostendere, quam in Corpore Christi &c. Vt desperans de Misericordia Dei, confidat in veste Hominis: O the wickednesse hereof: They wil shewe more holinesse in their owne apparell, then in the Body of Christe, &c. That he, that despaireth of Goddes Mercie should put his truste in the garmente of a Man.

D, wherefore doth Thomas of Aquine tel vs so certainly, that the wearinge of Francise, or Dominikes Cowle had power to remoue Sinne, as wel as the Sacramente of Baptisme: D, wherefore doth the your Bishop, in halowing the Priest-
les Vestimentes, saie thus, Vt Sacerdotes tui hac Sacra Veste induti, ab omnibus impulsioneibus, seu tentationibus malignorum Spirituum muniti, & defensi esse Me-
reantur: That thy Priestes wearinge this Holy vesture, maie deserue to be shielded, and defended from al assautes, and tentations of the wicked Sprites.

Your Doctoure Durande furnissheth out your Priestes at Masse in his Complete Harnesse, a Capo, a Pie. Amictus est pro Galea, &c. His amice (saith he) is his Headpeece: His Albe, is his Coate of Maile: His Gyrdle, is his Bowe: His Subcingule, is his Quiner: His Stole, is his Speare: His Maniple, is his Clubbe: His Chisibule, is his Targette. And in the ende he saith, Hæc sunt Arma, quibus Pontifex, vel Sacerdos armari debet, contra Spirituales nequitias pugnaturus: These be the Pieces, wherewith the Bishop, or Prieste must be Harnessed, that wil fighte againste the Spiritual wickednesse. Thus muche, I trowe, ye woulde not haue saide, without somme opinion of special Holinesse.

To be shorte, wherefore do your Doctours keepe suche hote Scholes amongst them selues, whether, if the Sextine happen to mende his halowed Vestimente with a threede vnhalowed, the whole Vestimente be not thereby become vnhalowed? Howe coulde these so doubtfull maters euer haue fallen in question amongst your Felowes, if ye had benne fully, and thozowly perswaded, that there is no Holinesse in your Apparell? The Anciente Father Lactantius saith, Vestes, Gemmas, & cetera, quæ habentur in pretio, si quis putet Deo chara, is planè, quid Deus sit, nescit: If any man thinke, that Apparell, Pretious Stones, or other like thinges, that wee haue in estimation, are pleasant, or delectable vnto God, vndoubtedly he knoweth not, what is God.

But (ye saie) what so euer your Apparell be, yet your Obedience is very Holy. The Obedience, ye meane, is, to do what so euer your Abbate shal bidde you do: as, to carrie baskettes from Palestino to Damasco: To sitte Benen pères together in silence,

Extra De Conf.
Eccle. vel Alta-
ris, Quod in
dubij.
Lactant. Lib. 6.
Cap. 25.

In vita Iohan-
is Damasceni.

glence, without speakinge of any worde: For the space of twelue monethes, twise every daie to water a deade tre: and bytely, to do what so euer sonde businesse ye be commaunded: As it is witten of one, that at the Commaundement of his Abbate, shewe out his childe into the Creame. This, I trowe, is that Obedience, that ye comende to be so Holy.

But S. Paule saith, *Ye are bought with price: Make not your selues Slaues vnto Menne.* And S. Ambrose saith, *Serui Hominum sunt, qui humanis se subijciunt Superstitionibus: They are the Slaues of Menne; that make them selues subiecte vnto Mennes Superstitions.* God him selfe saith vnto you, *Who required these thinges at your Handes?* S. Hierome saith, *Viros fuge, quos videris Cathenatos: quibus forminei, contra Apostolum, sunt crines: hircorum barba: nigrum pallium: & nudi Patientia frigoris pedes.* Hæc omnia argumenta sunt Diaboli: *Flee those menne, that thou shalt see goe in Chaines: that, contrary to the Apostles commaundement, weare longe beare, as Weemen: that are bearded like Goates: that haue vpon them a blacke Cloke: that goe barefoot, patiently beainge the colde.* Al these be tokens of the Diuel. Alphonfus de Castro, one of your owne, saith, *Paulus quidem iussit Captiuare intellectum nostrum, sed in obsequium Christi, non autem in obsequium Hominum: In deede Paule hath Commaunded vs to submitte our vnderstandinge, but vnto the Obedience of Christe, not vnto the Obedience of Menne.*

The Godly learned menne, at whose persones it pleaseth you so rudely to scoffe, that refuse either to goe in your Apparel, or otherwise to shewe them selues like vnto you, haue age sufficient, and can answere for them selues. Notwithstandinge, thus mutche I mase saie, in their behalfe: Neither do they comende any manner of Apparel, as Holy: nor do they condemne any Apparel, as Unholy. That is your proper, and peculiere erreure, P. Hardinge, to make so darpe accompte of outward shewes.

They knowe, that Eusebius saith, *Iustinus Martyr Preached the Gospel of Christe, beinge apparelled as an Heathen Philosopher: And yet the Philosophers wæde was no hinderance to the Gospel.* They knowe, that Iohn the Euangeliste preached Gods worde at Ephesus, wearinge vpon him the Bishoppes Brouche, as if he had benne a Bishop of the Jewes. S. Augustine saith, *Nihil sanè ad istam pertinet Ciuitatem, quo Habitu, vel more viuendi, si non est Contra Diuina præcepta, istam Fidem, qua peruenitur ad Deum, quisque Sectetur.* Vnde ipsos quoque Philosophos, quando Christiani fiunt, non Habitum, vel consuetudinem victus, quæ nihil impedit Religionem, sed falsa Dogmata mutare compellit: *It perteineth nothinge vnto this Cittie (of God), in what kinde of Apparel, or in what order of Life, so that it be not againste God, any man followe this Faith, whereby wee come vnto God.* Therefore, when Philosophers becomme Christians, the Church compelleth them not to change their Apparel, or manner of Liuinge, whiche can nothinge hinder Religion, but onely shew compelleth them to change their False Opinions. S. Hilarie saith, *Ad Ecclesiæ decus detracta à Diabolo Gentium spolia diuidantur: The spoiles of the Heathens, taken from the Diuel, are diuided to the Furniture, and Ornamente of the Church of God.* S. Hierome saith, *Episcopus, Presbyter, & Diaconus, & reliquis Ordo Ecclesiasticus in Administratione Sacrificiorum, Candida Veste procedit: The Bishop, Prieste, and Deacon, and the other Ecclesiastical Companies, at the Administration of the Sacrifice, comme forth in white Apparel.* S. Chrysostome vnto the Priestes, and Deacons saith thus, *Hæc est dignitas vestra, hæc Corona: non quia, induti Tunicam candidissimam, per Ecclesiam ambulatis: This is your dignitie: this is your Garlande: not that yee walke through the Church in white Apparel.*

They saie not therefore, that the Apparel is either Holy, or Unholy. But they mase truly saie, The same Apparel of your parte hath benne sowly abused to filthy purposes. They mase truly saie, they woulde not gladly in any appearance shewe them selues like vnto them, that haue so vntruly, and so longe deceiued the worlde. And herein they are not without sundrie Authorities, and Examples of the Godly Fathers. S. Augustine saith, *His spother leaste bringinge of VVine, and Cakes to the Church, not for that it was vngodly, or vnlawful of it selfe so to*

Cassianus, Lib.
4. Cap. 27.

1. Corinth. 7.
Ambros. 1. Cor.
rimb. 7.
Esai. 1.
Hieronym. ad
Euslochium, De
virginitate ser-
uanda.

Alphonfus Ad-
uer. Hæres. Lib.
1. Cap. 7.

Euseb. Lib. 4.
Cap. 11. *Ἐν φι-
λοσόφῳ οὐκ ἦν
μὰτι πρεσ-
βύτου τοῦ λό-
γου.*

Euseb. li. 3. c. 41.
*ὁ τὸ πένταλον
πεφορκῶς.*

Augustin. De
Ciuita. Lib. 19.
Cap. 19.

Hilar. in Psal-
mum 67.

Hieronym. Ad-
uersus Pelagiam.
Lib. 1.

Chrysostom. in
Matth. Hom. 83.

August. Confess.
Lib. 6. Cap. 2.

Choise of
Apparel.

386

The Defense of the Apologie of the

*Quod supersti-
tioni Gentilium
essent similitudo.
Gregor. Lib. 1.
Epist. 41.*

*Gabriel Lellio.
38.
Tertul. De Co-
rona Militis.*

*B. Rhenan. in
Librum De Co-
rona Militis.*

*Tertul. De Ido-
latria.*

*Basil. De Natali
Christi.
Origen. in He-
rem. Homil. 3.*

Roman. 14.

*August. In Re-
gula Monachor.
Hieronym. ad
Marcellam. ut
commigret
Bethleem.
Caelestin. 1. in E-
pist. ad Episcos
pro Gallia. Ca. 1*

do, but onely so; that she was warned, It was a resemblance of the superstition of the Heathens: and therefore she leaste it. S. Gregorie, speakinge of the three Sprinklinges, or Dippinges into the Holy Fonte, saith thus, In Vna Fide nihil efficit Consuetudo Ecclesiae diuersa. Tamen, quod Haeretici id facerent, negant idem esse à Catholicis faciendum: The Faith beinge One, the diuersitie of Customs hurteth nothinge. Yet, for as muche, as Heretiques haue thus donne, they saie, that the Catholics muste in no wise doo the same: Not, for that the thinge it selfe was il of it selfe, but for that they woulde not seme to folowe Heretiques.

Gabriel Biel saith, the Church of Rome thought it good, to vse common Leauened Breade at the Ministration of the holy Mysteries, lest in vsinge vneleuened Breade, they shoulde be thought to folowe Ebion the Heretique. Tertullian reasoneth vehemently, that a Christian man ought not to goe with a Laurel Garlande vpon his Heade: and that for none other cause, but onely for that, the Heathens vsed so to goe. Whereupon Beatus Rhenanus geneth this note: Non solum ab his temperandum fuit, quæ manifestam præ se ferrent impietatem, sed etiam ab illis, quæ possent indifferentia vocari, hoc est, quæ essent, neque Bona, neque Mala: partim, ne quisquam infirmior ex Christianis offenderetur: partim, ne Ethnici in suis erroribus confirmarentur, dum rectius putant esse, quod etiam Christianos observare vident: It was meete for them to refraine, not onely from such things, as haue a manifeste shewe of wickednesse, but also from such things, as mighte be called indifferente, that is to saie, neither Good, nor il: partly, leaste any of the weaker Christians shoulde be offended: partly also, lest the Heathens shoulde be encouraged in their errors, thinkinge that thinge, for that the Christians them selues doo it, to be the better. Againe Tertullian saith, De hoc primo consistam, An cum ipsis quoque Nationibus Communicare in huiusmodi Seruus Dei debeat: siue habitu, siue victu, vel quo alio genere laticiae eorum: Herein wil I firste stande, whether it be lawful for the Seruaunte of God, to Communicate with whole Nations in such things: either in Apparel, or in Diet, or in any other kinde of their Mirthe.

And S. Basile saith, Συκοφαντῶ τὰ πρὸς τὰ ἐν ἐκκλησίᾳ βέλ: Let needesse, and superfluous things be put to Silence in the Church of God. To be shorte, Origen saith, Quærendum est, quid hoc sit, quod sequitur, Non fiant ei reliquæ: Ne aliqua, inquit, rescindatis Chaldaeorum, aliqua referuetis. Ob id iubet, ne pusillum quidem relinquatur in ea: Wee muste examine, what is meante by this, that foloweth, Leau her no manner of remnante. The meaninge is this, Abolishe not certaine of the Superstitions of the Chaldees, reseruinge certaine: Therefore he commaundeth, that nothinge be leaste in her, be it neuer so litle. Howe be it, I take not vpon me to enter into the Conscience of others: Let eche man abounde in his owne sense.

Pet. M. Hardinge, for as muche as ye make such a reckninge of your Antiquitie, as if al your Orders, and Ceremonies had vndoubtedly benne conuected our vnto you from Christ him selfe, and his Apostles, make it therefore please you to vnderstande, that at the beginninge there appeareth no such distinction, or difference of Apparel in the Ministrye. Valafredus Abbas saith, Veteres Communi indumento vtentes Celebrabant Missas: The olde Fathers saide Masse (that is to saie, Ministred the Holy Communion) hauinge on their owne common Apparel.

S. Augustine in his Rule to his Clerkes, or Monkes, writeth thus, Ne sit Notabilis habitus vester: Let not your Apparel be Notable. S. Hierome, describinge the order of the Church at Bethleem, saith thus: In veste, nulla discretio: nulla admiratio: Vtunque placuerit incedere, nec detractionis est, nec laudis: In Apparel, there is no difference: there is no wonderinge. How so euer any man liste to goe, it is neither scandered, nor praised. And Pope Caelestinus the firste saith, Discernendi sumus à plebe, Doctrina, non Veste: Conuersatione, non Habitu: Mentis puritate, non Cultu. Si enim studere incipiemus Nouitati, Traditum nobis à Patribus ordinem calcabimus, vt locum vacuum Superstitionibus faciamus. Docendi potius sunt rudium animi, quam illudendi. Nec Imponendum est illorum oculis, sed Mentibus infundenda præcepta sunt: Wee muste be knowne from the Laie people, by our Doctrine, not by our Coate: By our Conuersation,

Conuersation, not by our Apparel: By the purenesse of our Minde, not by the attiere of our Body. For if wee once beginne to diuise Nouelties, wee shal treade our Fathers Orders under foote, and make roome for Superstition. The mindes of the ignorant ought to be taught, and not to be mocked. Neither maie wee goe aboute to dasel theire eies: but rather ought to poure wholesome Doctrine into theire Hartes. Here you see, by the Popes owne iudgemente; that your superstitious choise of apparel serueth not for encrease of Holinesse, but rather for the deceiuinge, and mockinge of the people. To conclude, it is noted in your owne Glose vpon the Clementines, Clerici Seculares non habent certum habitum, cum non sit expressum in iure de Colore, vel Forma: per quæ, vel quorum alterum oportet habitum discerni: Seculare Priestes haue no certaine Apparel appointed them, for as muche as there is no expresse mention made, neither of the Coloure, nor of the Faourne: by whiche twoo differences, or by the one of them, Apparel muste be discerned.

Clem. I. De Eccl. lect. ca. 1. Glos. vltima.

Goddess name be Blessed. The Religion of Christe maie stande bothe with, and without these thinges. But without sutch proppes, the whole rooffe of your Religion muste needs comme downe: as hauinge nothinge els, but the wes, and vanities, to beare it vp.

But lesse ye should conceiue ouer great opinion of so smal a mater, and thinke, there can be none other Apparel mete, & comely for the Clerergie, but onely yours: or, that without the same, the whole Churche of Christe muste needs goe to waste: make it like you therfore to remember, what the Ancient Father Origen hath written of you in this behalfe: Non solum apud Iudeos, sed etiam apud nos, multos est inuenire, peccata huiusmodi peccantes, & glutientes Camelos, in eo, quod maxima delicta committant. Et oportet huiusmodi homines frequenter considerare, Quomodo in rebus minimis Religionem suam ostendant: Et bene eos Hypocritas appellat: Wee maie finde, not onely amongest the Iewes, but also amongst our selues, menne that offende in sutch faultes, siualowinge downe whole Camels, in that they Commit greate offenses. And wee ought wel to marke sutch manner of Menne, beue they countenance out sheire Religion with smal maters. Very wel, and iustly Christe calleth them Hypocrites.

Origen in Mart. the. Tracta. 23.

Posidonius, writinge the life of S. Augustine saith thus, Vestis eius, & calceamenta, & lectualia ex moderato, & competenti habitu erant: nec nitida nimium, nec abiecta plurimum. Quia his plerunque vel iactare se nimium homines solent, vel abijcere: ex utroque, non quæ Iesu Christi, sed quæ sua sunt, quærentes: Augustine Apparel, Shooes, and Beddinge were of a Competente, and meane makinge: neither ouer freashe nor ouer homely. For in sutch thinges menne use oftentimes, either to vauze, or to abase them selues aboute measures: in either side seekinge theire owne, and not that pertaineth to Iesus Christe.

Posidoni, in vit. S. Augusti.

S. Augustine saith, Ex cœteris eius operibus potest conijci, vtrum hoc Contemptu superflui cultus, an Ambitione aliqua faciat. Quia & sub ouina pelle cauendos Lupos Dominus præcepit: Wee maie geather by the reste of his woorkes, whether he doo thus (attire him selfe) in contempte of Superfluus Apparel, or els for Ambition, that he maie be noted. For our Lorde hathe commaunded vs to beware of the VVoulfe, euen vnder the Sheepes skinne. Againe he saith, Hlum parcum habitum, ac necessarium etiam Simulatores sapius vsurpant, vt incautos decipiant: That homely kinde of Apparel, standinge onely in necessaries, Hypocrites, and dissemblers doo for the more parte countrefeite, to the ende they maie deceiue menne, before they be ware. Thus muche onely by the waise, lesse ye should thinke more of your Coate, then it is worthis.

August. De serm. mon. Domini in Monte, Lib. 8.

August. in eod. dem Libro.

Somme saie, The Body of Christe in the Sacramente is Torne, and crushed with Teethe: and somme denie it. Yea forsooth (saie you) and bothe meane right wel. Thus would ye not saie, M. Hardinge, if ye knewe, what it were to Eate the Body of Christe.

S. Chrysostome saith, Ille Panis replet Mentem, non Ventrem: This Breaede feedeth the Minde, and not the Belly. We Eate it with the Spiritual Teethe of our Faith, not with the Material Teethe of our Body. Your very Glose, be it neuer so blinde, was hable to see, that these woordes, To teare, and to Crushe Christes Body, with your bodily Teethe, can hardely haue so Catholique, and so good a meaninge, as you imagine. The woordes thereof be these, Nisi sanè intelligas verba

Chrysostomus ex varijs locis in March. Hom. 9.

Christes
Body
Torne, &
Crushed
vvith
Teethe.

De Con. Dist. 2.
Ego Bereng. 4. in
Glossa.
Chrysostomus in
Encanys.
Chryso. ad Ro-
man. Homil. 2.
Hierony. in Io-
hann. Cap. 19.
Cyprian. De
Cena Domini.

388

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Berengarij, in Maiorem incidet Hæresim, quàm ipse habuit. Et ideo omnia referas ad Specias ipsas : Onlesse thou discretely vnderstande these woordes of Berengarius, thou shalt fall into a greater Hæresie, then he had any. Therefore these woordes muste haue relation to the Fourmes, and not vnto Christes very Body. It foloweth therefore that the Catholique Construction, that you geather hereof, muste needs be this : Christes Body is Crushed, and Torne with Teethe, that is to saie, Christes Body is not Crushed, nor Torne with Teethe. Germans lippes be not so farre asunder, but ye maie easily ioine them together by sutch Constructions.

But Chrysostome saith in likewise, Dentes inserimus in Carnes Christi: Wee thrust our Teethe into the Fleashe of Christe. *W. Harding*, you can not be ignozant of Chrysostomes extraordinarie, and vehement manner of speache: and therfore ye are the more to blame, thos of purpose, and wittingly to abuse your Reader. For, as Chrysostome saith, VVe thruste our Teethe into Christes Fleashe. So he saith likewise, Christe is slaine vpon the Communion Table, and his Bloude is powred from his side. Likewise he saith vnto a sleaunderer of his neighbour, Linguam tuam humano Sanguine rubefecisti: Thou hast died thy tongue redde in Mannes Bloude. So S. Hierome saith, Detractatores viuus Carnibus Saturantur: Sleaunders are filled with liue Fleashe. So saith S. Cyprian, Cruci Hæremus Sanguinem fugimus: & intra ipsa Redemptoris nostri vulnera linguam figimus: Wee cleaue to the Crosse: and sucke the Bloude: and wee fasten our Tongues within the woundes of our Redeemer.

These, and other like phrazes commonly used in the Anciente Fathers, maie not be racked to the extremitie of the woordes: but rather oughte favourably to be applied to the meaning: whiche was, by the Material Teethe, and Tongue of the Body, to expresse the Spiritual, and inwarde Eatinge, and suckinge of the Spinde. So S. Augustine saith, Figura ergo est, præcipiens Passioni Domini communicandum, & suauiter, atque vtiliter recondendum in memoria, quod Caro Christi Crucifixa pro nobis, & vulnerata sit: Therefore it is a Figure, or a Figurative phrase of speache: Commandinge vs to be Partetakers of Christes Passion, and comfortably, and profitably to laie vp in our Hartes, that his Fleashe was Crucified, and wounded for our sakes.

As for your Consecration, Corpus quantum, Non quantum, Non per modum quanti, Individuum Vagum, &c. Whiche lately were the Substantiallest pointes of al your Doctrine, it is sufficiente for you now to saie, They are no Articles of your Faith. Sutch Grace haue you, for aduantage, to make your Faith more, or lesse, at your pleasure. Touchinge your Dogges, and Mice, whether they Eate the very Body of Christe, or no, and that Substantially, Verily, and in deede, ye same stil to stae in doubt, as not yet beinge wel assured of this Article of your Faith. But this is a most certaine, and vndoubted Article of our Faith, that no Creature can Eate the Body of Christe, but he, that is a member of Christes Body. S. Augustine saith, Hoc est manducare illam escam, & illam potum Bibere, in Christo manere, & Christum Manentem in se habere: This is the Eatinge of that meate, and the Drinkinge of that Drinke: for a Man to dwell in Christe, and to haue Christe dwellinge within him. And therefore we saie, who so euer wil holde, that a Dogge, or a Mouse maie Eate the very Body of Christe, and that Really, and in deede, or who so staggereth, or doubteth, whether it maie be so, or no, Accursed be he.

You saie your Contentions bitwene your two contrarie Armies, the Thomistes, and the Scotistes, and other Scholmen, stand onely in certaine termes Metaphysical. As, Vtrum Ens, & Vnum differant ratione, an re ipsa: The Truth wherof is very agreeable to the reste of your Doctrine. For, to leaue a whole world of the endlesse contentions that are emonge you, Alphonsus, one of your owne Doctours, saith, that one of your Thomistes doubted not to pronounce openly in the behouise of his Maister, Quisquis à B. Thomæ sententia discesserit, suspectus de Hæresi est censendus: Who so euer fersaketh the iudgement of Thomas of Aquine, muste be taken, as suspected of Hæresie. I thinke, ye vse not to place your Hæresies in termes Metaphysical.

Erasmus, that liued in that age, and wel vnderstode of the furies of your Scholes, saith thus, Qui Thomam sequantur, & à Scoto, & à Gersono dissentiant,

cos

August. De Do-
ctrin. Christian.
lib. 3. Cap. 16.

Augu. in Iohann.
Tract. 26.

Alphon. de He-
resib. lib. 1. ca. 7

Erasmi contra
Lutherum.

eos, penè habent pro Hereticis: *They that folowe Thomas, and dissent from Duns, and Gerson, accompte them in a manner as good, as Heretiques.*

Dominicus à Soto, and Catharinus, were bothe Learned menne: bothe of your side: bothe sworne to the Pope: bothe presente at your late Chapter at Tridende. Yet thus doth the one of them grate the other: Tu permanes in sensu damnato per Synodum: *Thou remainest still in a sense condemned by the Council.* Catharinus condemneth Cardinal Caietane for two hundred sundrye erreures, and somme of them he calleth wicked, and Antichristian. These termes muste needs be very Metaphysical, sp. Hardinge, that can pælde you sutch Heretical, and Antichristian erreures.

Dominicus à Soto Contra Catharinum,

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 1.

They were beste therefore to goe, and sette peace at home rather amonge them selues. Of a truthe, Vnitie, and Concorde doothe best becomene Religion: Yet is not Vnitie the sure and certaine marke, whereby to knowe the Church of God. For there was the greatest Vnitie, that mighte bee, amongest them, that woo'dhipped the Golden Calfe, and amonge them, whiche with one voice iointly cried againste our Saueour Iesus Christe, Crucifie him. Neither, bicause the Corinthians were vnquieted with priuate dissensions: or bicause Paule did square with Peter, or Barnabas with Paule: or bicause the Christians vpon the very beginninge of the Gospel, were at mutual discorde, touchinge somme one mater, or other maie wee therefore thinke, there was no Church of God amongest them. And, for those persons, whome they vpon spite calle Zuinglians, & Lutherans, in very deepe they of bothe sides be Christians, good friends, and Brethren. They varie not bitwixte them selues vpon the Principles, and foundations of our Religion, nor as touchinge God, or Christe, or the Holy Ghost, or the meanes of Iustification, or of euerlastinge life, but vpon one onely question, whiche is neither weighty, nor great: neither mistrust wee, or make doubt at al, but they wil shortly be agreed. And if there be any of them, whiche haue other opinion, than is meete, wee doubt not, but ere it be longe, they wil put aparte al affections, and names of parties, and that God wil reuele the Truthe vnto them: so that by better consideringe, and searchinge out of the mater, as once it came to passe in the Council of Chalcedon, al causes, and seedes of dissension shal be thoroughly plucked vp by the roote, and be buried, and quite foregotten for euer. Whiche God graunte.

M. Hardinge.

These Defenders be like in conditions to sutch honest women, as commonly wee cal Scoldes. Because Vnitie pleaseth you not, as beinge that through lacke whereof your newe Church is of al good menne detested, and of the meanest very muche suspected, yee saie, it is not a sure and a certaine marke, whereby to knowe the Church of God. Yes, Maisters, amonge other notes and markes of the true Church Vnitie is one. Not every Vnitie, but Vnitie in the Holy Ghoste, whiche geuerh life to that one Body the Church, whereof euery faithfull is a member, and Christe the Heade, and powringe Charitie abroad in our Hartes, so linketh al right belecuers, together in the bonde of peace, as they al saie one thinge, thinke one thinge, saue one thinge. The Vnitie, that is the note and marke of the true Church, whereof wee speake, is that, for which the Church is called one, and beinge gathered and knitte together, professeth Vnitie of Faith, of good wil and mutual loue together, and of Sacramentes. The Vnitie of them, who woo'dhipped the Golden Calfe, and with one consente againste our Sauour cried, *Crucifige*, was farre distant from the Vnitie, whiche is a note of the Church, and is the worke of the Holy Ghoste. Suche is the Vnitie of the Deuils, who conspire againste Christe and al his with one consent. Suche Vnitie is oftentimes in Theues:

Kk iij

suche

suche Vnitie is founde in you, and al your sectes. For be ye neuer so diuerse and at variance within your selues, yet yee ioine together in wicked amitie and Vnitie against the Church of Christe. And therefore S. Augustine compareth you, and al suche as ye be, to Samsons foxes, that were sundred by the heades, and tied together by the tayles.

Neither saie wee that amonge them, who varie in smal pointes, and thinges not perteing to the groundes of Faith, there is no Church. For al that certaine of the Corinthians in the Primitive Church were at square, how so euer Paule tolde Peter that he thought good, though Barnabas and Paule agreed not aboute Iohn. Marke, yet were they of Christes Church: what els? But where ye bringe this for excuse of the Lutherans and Zuinglians, and other sectes spronge out of them, the cause is not like pardie. For saie on what ye liste, and lie so longe as ye liste, their dissensions cannot be dissembled: muche lesse can they be accorded, &c.

Yet lesse they, whose fortune is not to see ought thereof written els where, shoulde mistruste my reporte, as al doo espie your lyinge: the woordes of Nicolaus Gallus your owne Doctour of Lutheres scoole, here I wil rehearse: *Non sunt leuius inter nos concertationes de rebus leuibus, sed de sublimibus doctrina Christiana articulis, de lege, & euangelio, de iustificatione, & bonis operibus, de Sacramentis &c.* The strifes (saith he) that be amongst vs, be not light, nor of light matters, but of the highe Articles of Christian Doctrine, namely of the lawe, and of the Gospel, of Iustification, and of good woorkes, of the Sacramentes, &c. Here, as ye see, he reckoneth vp a greate many of the weightiest pointes, of our Religion, whereof they dissent amonge them selues. But I doubt, what I maie cal weight, and greate, seinge these good felowes cal the controuersie, whiche is betwixte the Lutherans, and the Zuinglians, concerninge the Body of our Sauoure Christe, neither weighty nor greate. But as they make a foule lie therein, so doo they also in saieinge, they vary not betwixte them selues, but vpon one onely question. Of the dissension that is betwene the Lutherans, and Zuinglians, thus pitifully complaineth Nicolaus Amsdorsius in his Booke entituled *Publica confessio pura Doctrina*, him selfe beinge an earnest Lutheran: The worlde goeth with vs worse and worse dailey. Al thinges doo prognosticate the viter ruine of the Gospel, and that in place of the Gospel, wee shal haue nothinge but mere errors, and the same very notable. (Then after a fewe woordes) nowe Brentius (saith he) and the Adiaphoristes (they be a special secte of the Lutherans) beinge at the Communication or conference at VVormes, would not condemne Zwinglius and Osiander, bicause they were trimme menne in the tongues, and wel scene in Humanitie. And as for vs and our side, because we refused to agree vnto that communication onlesse they were condemned, they dressed vs vily with their scoffes and railinges, thruste vs out of the communication, and compelled vs to goe awaie, &c. Item after a fewe.

There be that say, they condemne Zwinglianisme, but the preface of Brentius to Maister James the Minister of Goppingen his booke, witnesseth farre otherwise. For there they goe aboute (a Gods name) to conciliate good father Luther and Zwinglius, and make them friendes one with an other. *Quod plane impossibile est. Quis enim unquam audiuit contradictoria posse redigi in concordiam?* VVhiche is impossible: for who euer hearde, that contradictions may be accorded? (But the Maisters of the Apologie make no doubt at al, but they wil shortly be agreed.) Suche childlike and impossible thinges they sticke not to set soorth, who woulde be counted the teachers of the worlde, as though we were but blockes, and Asses. But as for the heresies and errors of Zwinglius and Osiander, with a quiet conscience we can not embrace. Neither can wee subscribe and yelde to their departinge awaie, and newe guesawes, whiche haue diuided them selues from Luther. Thus saith Amsdorsius, and muche more there to the same purpose, whiche here I leaue to blot the paper withal. To conclude, thus al menne maie plainly see, howe the maisters of this defence be conuined of foule lyinge by their owne Doctours and scholeselowes, beside the thinge it selfe, that geueth manifest euidence against them. But suche stufte in their writings and Preachinges is not geson. Leauinge others, I reporte me to M. Iuelles late sermon made at Pauls Crosse on the Sondaie before Ascension daie laste, in whiche (if vniforme reporte made by sundrie there present, be true) he abused certaine Honorable, and worshipful personages, and of common people a greate multitude, with lies woorthy rather to be chastised by lawes, then to be confuted with woordes. But be it as it is written, *Qui in sordibus est, sordescat adhuc.*

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It pitieth me, M. Hardinge, to see your trininge. If ye thought it so good skill, for aduantage of your cause, to compare vs to scolles, wherefore then did not you, although not through your whole Booke, yet at leaste in this selfe same place, where ye so deeply charge vs with scoldinge, refraine better from suche wilde speeches, as might seme to proue your selfe a scolde? For, I beseeche you, cal your wordes a litle to remembrance: and consider indifferently, what women they be, that commonly vse the like. Thus ye saie, Euen so, good Sirs: proudly, wickedly, and fondely yee obiecte: yee shewe your Clerkely prowes: yee Bragge: yee boaste? Nowe haue you tolde your scoldinge tale: Yee ioine in wicked amitie against the Church of Christe: The founders of your Church: The Apostles of your Gospel: Yee are conuined of foule lyinge: Al men doo espie your lyinge: lie on so

on so longe, as ye liste: He that is filchy, let him be filchy stil. These be your wordes, M. Hardinge, altogether in one place. If you can finde so many the like in al our whole Apologie, condemne vs hardely, and cal vs Scoldes.

Vnitie we loue, and honoure it, as the greatest comforte of Christian hartes. But if Vnitie be the onely, and vndoubted token of the Church of God, wee then be to you, and to your felowes. For by that token, agréinge so il emongest your selues, we shoulde seeme to haue no Church.

But, as we haue saide. Al they, that agré together, are not therefore euermore of the Church. Herode, and Pilate, were made friendes, & agreed together. David saith, *The Kinges and Princes of the earth haue mette, and agreed together against the Lorde, and against his Christ.* S. Iohn saith of the friendes, and fauourers of Antichriste, *Hi vnum Consilium habent, & vires, ac potestatem suam tradent Besti: hi pugnabunt cum Agno: These shal haue al one Counsell (and one Minde: and shal deliuer ouer their strength, and their power vnto the Beast: and shal fight against the Lambe.*

Chrysostome saith, *Expediit & ipsis Dæmonibus, obaudire sibi inuicem in Schismate: It is good euen for the Diuels them selues, to be obedient one of them vnto an other in their Diuision.* Symmachus, and other like mainteiners of the Heathenish Idolatrye, saide, *Aequum est, quicquid omnes colunt, vnum putari: It is meere, that, what so euer al menne worshipping, be counted One.* And thus the Heathens, and Infidels woulde they seeme to holde by Vnitie.

If your Vnitie, M. Hardinge, be so sounde, and so certaine, as ye would seeme to make it, why then do you condemne your selues, one an other, of Heresie? Certainly Heresie importeth Diuision, and not greate Vnitie. The whole shewe, and Substance, of your Vnitie standeth in this, *To geue care to your selues, and to put Christe to silence.* But the true, and Christian Vnitie is this, *That the whole Flocke of Christe, heare the voice of the onely Shephearde, and folowe him.* The bande of Vnitie, is simple Veritie: Whiche, M. Hardinge, soz as mutche as ye haue forsaken, ye haue no great cause to talke mutche of Vnitie.

As for Sampsons Foxetailes, it seemeth, ye lacke somme what, to plaie withal. Otherwise they serue you here to no greate purpose. For, if there be any dissension emongest vs, it is not in any Article of the Faith, but onely in somme particulare pointe of learnings: The like whereof hath benne bitwene S. Augustine, and S. Hierome, and others the Learned, Godly, and Catholique Fathers of the Church. And thus, contrarie to Sampsons Foxes, notwithstandinge one, or other hath benne diuided in somme certaine Conclusion, as it were in the Tassles, yet we soine thowoly altogether in one Trade, in one Gospel, in one waie of Saluation, and in one summe of Religion: and altogether with one Pouthe, and one Spirit we glorifie God, the Father of our Lorde Iesus Christe.

Concerninge the disagreement, that is bitwene the Lutherans, and the Zuinglians, touchinge the Beeinge of the Body of Christe in one onely place, or in many, we saie, that in respecte either of Saluation, or of other Article, of God the Father, or of the Sonne, or of the Holy Ghoste, or of any other the Groundes, and Principles of the Christian Faith, it is not weighty. In that respecte we speake it onely. Otherwise we saie, the erreure is weighty. Suche erroures in sundrie the Ancient Fathers haue benne dissembled, and past in silence. S. Hilarie seemeth to saie, *That Christe receiued not Fleashe of the Blessed Virgine: And, That the same Fleashe of Christe was impassible, and coulede feele no grieve.*

Origene saith, *Quidam putant, Christum in Futuro Sæculo iterum pati oportere, &c. Somme menne thinke, that in the worlde to come Christe muste suffer in his Body, or be Crucified againe.* Brentius seemeth to holde, that Christes Body is infinite, and in al places, as is the Godhed: whiche erreure, it seemeth, was defended by somme in S. Augustines tyme. And therefore he saith, *Cauendum est, ne ita Diuinitatem astruamus Homini, vt Veritatem Corporis auferamus: We muste take heede, wee doo not so mainteine the Diuine Nature of Christe, beeing man, that wee take awaie the Truthe of his Body.* These Erroures, notwithstandinge they were greate

As in

in them

Luk. 23.

Psalm. 2.

Apoc. 17.

Chrysost. in Mat. the. Homil. 29.

Ambros. Lib. 5. Epist. 30.

Iohan. 10.

Hilar. De Trinitate. Lib. 10. Hominis habitus est. Origo non Homini.

Natur. non habens ad dolendum. Origen. Ad Roman. Cap. 6. Li. 3. Brentius.

August. Epist. 17. Ad Dardan.

Lutherans
Zuingli-
ans.

*Iacob. Andr. de
Authorita. Scri-
ptura. Pag. 178.*

392

The Defense of the Apologie of the

in them selues, yet in respect of other greater errorres, haue benne dissembled. And therefore Iacobus Andreas, al be it he coulde not be ignorant of this dissension, beinge him selfe a partie to the same, yet he saith, *Quod vociferantur, nostros de summa Euangelij nondum consentire, mendacium est: Whereas they cry out, (as M. Hardinge dothe) that wee cannot agree amonge our selues, aboute the Substance of the Gospel, it is a very great Vntruth.*

But you saie, The Maisters of the Apologie tel vs, They doubt not, but these parties wil be reconciled. And yet *Nicolaus Amsdorfius* (with whose wordes ye are ashamed to blotte your paper) taketh the mater to be impossible. And here, ye thinke, ye haue done vs neare the wal, specially findinge vs so farre disagreeinge in Iudgemente from a Doctoure, as you cal him, of our owne.

But, *M. Hardinge*, ye might better haue considered, that *Amsdorfius*, and we speake of sundrie maters, and therefore our saieinges maie wel stande together.

Amsdorfius saith, the Doctrines, and Termes standinge still, as they do, it is not possible by any manner of Construction, to make the parties agree. But our truste in God is, that they, that are deceiued, shal finde their owne errorre, and alter their Termes, and correct their Iudgements, and submit them selues vnto the Truthe, and so soine together al in one.

So *S. Augustine* saith, *Rectè dicitur, Glacialem niuem calidam esse non posse. Nullo enim pacto, quàm diu nix est, calida esse potest: It is wel saide, Snowe frorne, or congeled, can neuer be hote. For, as longe, as it is Snowe, it is not possible to make it hote. And yet the liquoure that now is frozne, maie afterwarde be resolued, and made hote.*

So likewise he saith of the Heretiques named the Manichees: *Sic delirant Manichæi: sed recipiscant, & non sint Manichæi: Thus finde are the Manichees: But let them amende their errorres, and no more be Manichees.*

What is there so contrarie in Iudgement, as a Iewe, and a Christian? Yet God hath promised, That he wil turne the hartes of the Fathers (the Iewes) vnto their Children: and the hartes of the Children (the Christians) vnto their Fathers. And *S. Paule* saith, *Iudæi, si non permanerint in incredulitate, inserentur. Potens enim est Deus iterum inserere eos: The Iewes shal be graffed into the Tree, if they abide not in Unbelife. For God is hable to graffe them in againe.*

What is there so contrarie, as Light, and Darkenesse? Yet the Prophete saith, *Illumina tenebras meas: O Lorde, lighten thou my darkenesse.* To conclude, what is so contrarie, as the Kingdome of the Pope, and the Kingdome of Christle? And yet, we truste, it is not impossible, but the Pope him selfe maie once turne to God, and confesse his errorres, and proffesse the Gospel of Christle, that he nowe oppresseth.

These thinges considered, *M. Hardinge*, it was no deadly Sinne, to saie, we truste, that these maters of variance betwene the Lutherans, and the Zwinglians, wil once be accorded: and that al causes, and seedes of dissension shal be thowoly pulled vp by the rootes, and be buried, and quite forgotten for ever. This change God hath already begonne to worke, not onely in sundrie Learned Menne, but also in greate Citties, in good Vniuersities, and in whole Countries. Therefore, we truste, our hope is not in Vaine.

As for the Lies, whiche (if liketh you wel to saie) *M. Iewel* made openly at *Paulus Crosse*, I doubt not of your modestie, but ye woulde haue blased them better, if ye had thought them worthe your colours. Dutche general, & so great exclamations, vpon so simple reportes, stande not alwaies with greatest wisdom. He is too rashe to be a Iudge, that pronounceth befoze, he knowe the cause. What I saide there, for as much as ye touche nothinge in particulare, it is needlesse to make rehearsal. But wel I remember, I might truly haue said, *M. Harding* commonly Misallegeth, misreporteth, misconstrueth, corrupteth, wresteth, and Falsifieth the Ancient Councils, and Holy Fathers. I could haue saide, *M. Harding* is oftentimes directly contrarie to him selfe. I could haue saide, *M. Harding* in one Place hath vttered two vniuersed lyes and fittie great Vntruthes. These, *M. Hardinge*, your Conscience knoweth, had benne no Lies: and therefore not meete to be chastised by any Lawes.

The Apolo-

*August. in Sec-
unda Disputa-
tione contra
Fortinatum.
August. contra
Faust. l. 1, c. 2.*

Malach. 4.

Rom. 11.

Psalm. 17.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 1. & 2.

But this is the heaviest, and most greivous parte of their sclaunder, that they cal vs wicked and vngodly Menne, and saie wee haue thowen awaie al care of Religion. Thoughe this oughte not to trouble vs mutche, whilist they them selues that thus haue charged vs, knowe ful wel, howe spiteful, and vntrue their sclaunder is. Iustine the Martyr is a witnesse, that al Chzistians were called *atheoi*, that is, a Godlesse people, as soone as the Gospel firste beganne to be published, and the name of Chziste to be openly declared. And, when Polycarpus stood to be iudged, the people stirred by the President to sea, and murder al them, whiche professed the Gospel, with theise woordes, *αθεοι τες εσθε*. That is to saie, Ridde out of the vvaie these vvicked and Godlesse creatures. And this was, not bicause it was true, that the Chzistians were Godlesse in deede, but bicause they woulde not worshipping stones and stockes, which were then honoured as God. The whole worlde seeth plainely penoughe already, what we and oures haue endured at theise mennes handes for Religion, and our onely Goddes cause. They haue throwne vs into Prison, into Water, into fire, and haue embzued them selues in our bloude: not bicause wee were either Adulterers, or Robbers, or Murtherers, but onely for that wee confessed the Gospel of Iesus Chziste, and put our confidence in the liuinge God: And for that wee complained too iustly, and truely (Lorde thou knowest) that they did breake the Lawe of God for their owne moste vaine Traditions: And that our aduersaries were the very foes to the Gospel, and eninies to Chzistes Crosse, so witingely, and willingly, and obstinately despisinge Goddes Commaundementes.

Wherefoze, when theise menne saue they coulde not rightly finde faulte with our Doctrine, they woulde needes pike a quarrel, and inuighe and raille againste our manners, surmisinge, that wee doo condeinne al wel dooinges: that wee sette open the dooze to al licenceousnesse and luste, and leade awaie the people from al loue of vertue. And in very deede, the life of al men, euen of the deuoutest, and indubt Chzistian, bothe is, and euermore hath benne sutch, as one maie alwaies finde somme lacke, euen in the very beste, and purest conuersation. And sutch is the inclination of al creatures vnto euil, and the readinesse of al Menne to suspecte, that the thinges, whiche neither haue benne donne, nor once were meante to be donne, yet maie be easily bothe hearde, and credited to be true. And like as a smal spotte is soone espyed in the neatest and whitest garmente, euen so the leaste staine of dishonestie is easily founde out in the purest, and sincerest life. Neither take wee al them, whiche haue at this daie embraced the Doctrine of the gospel, to be Angels, and to liue clearly without any mote, or wrinkle: nor yet thinke wee theise Menne neither so blinde, that if any thinge maie be noted in vs, they are not hable to perceiue the same euen through the leaste crenie: nor so friendly, that they wil construe oughte to the beste: nor yet so honeste of nature, or courteous, that they wil looke backe vpon them selues, and weigh our liues by their owne.

If so be wee liste to searche this mater from the bottome, wee knowe, that in the very Apostles times there were Christians, throughe whome the name of the Lorde was blasphemed, and evil spoken of amonge the Gentiles. Constantius the Emperour bewaileth, as it is written in Sozomenus, that many waxed woozse and woozse after thei had fallen to the Religion of Christe. And Cyprian in a lamentable oration setteth out the corrupt manners of his time: The vvholesome Discipline, saith he, vvwhich the Apostles leaft vnto vs, hath idlenes, and longe rest novve vtterly marred; every one studied to encrease his liuelyhode: And cleane forgettinge, either vvhat they had done before vvholes they vvvere vnder the Apostles, or vvhat they oughte continually to doo hauinge receiued the Faith: Thei earnestly laboured to make greate theire ovvne vvealthe vvith an vnsatiabie desire of couetousnesse. There is no deuoute Religion, saith he, in Priestes, no sounde Faith in Ministers, no charitie shewed in good vvorkes, no fourme of Godlynesse in theire conditions: Menne are become effeminate, and vveemens bevvrie is countrefeited. And, vvithout recitinge of many moe vvriters, Gregorie Nazianzene speaketh thus of the pittiful state of his owne time: V Vee, saith he, are in hatred amonge the Heathen for our ovvne vices sake, vvee are also become novve avvoonder, not onely to Angels, and menne, but euen to al the vngodly. In this case was the Church of God, vvhen the Gospel firste began to shine, and vvhen the fure of Tyzannes was not as yet cooled, nor the Swerde taken of from the Christians neckes. Surely it is no newe thinge that menne bee but menne, althoughe they be called by the name of Christians.

M. Hardinge.

Loe, a greivous, and a heauie case, that the worlde calleth you wicked, and vngodly menne, I wis they be to blame for it. And so be they that calle them theues, vvich come to be promoted as Tiborne. For, God knoweth, litle haue you deserued, so to be called, &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Al this, vvith the reste, is onely Hicke Scorners eloquence, not vvorthy of an swere.

**Here endeth the Thirde
Parte**

The

The Fourth Parte.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Division. 1.



BUT wil these menne, I praise you, thinke no-
thinge at al of them selues, whilst thei so mali-
ciously accuse vs? And hauinge leasure to be-
holde so farre of, and to see, what is donne bothe
in Germanie, and in Englande, haue thei either
forgotten, or can they not see, what is donne at
Rome? Or wil they accuse vs, theire owne life
bringe surche, as no man is hable to make men-
tion thereof but with shame? Our purpose
here is, not to take in hande at this presente, to bringe to lighte, & open
to the worlde those thinges, whiche were meete rather to be hidde and
buried with the woorkers of them. It becometh neither our Religion,
nor our modestie, nor our shamefastnesse. But yet hee, which giveth
commaundement, that he shoulde be called the Vicare of Christe, and
the Heade of the Church, who also heareth, that surche thinges be
donne in Rome, who seeth them, who suffereth them, (for wee wil goe
no further) maie easily consider with him selfe, what maner of thinges
they be. Let him in Goddes Name calle to minde, and let him remem-
ber, that they be of his owne Canonistes, and Schoole Doctoures,
whiche haue taughte the people, that Fornication bitweene single
folke is no sinne: (as though thei had fet that doctrine from Micio in-
terence) whose woordes be: It is no sinne (beleue me) for a yong man
to haunt Harlots. Let him remember they be of his owne, which haue
decreed, that a Prieste oughte not to be put out of his cure for Fornica-
tion. Let him remember also, howe Cardinal Campegius, Albertus
Pighius, and others many moe of his owne, haue taughte, that the
Prieste, which keepeth a Concubine, dooth liue moze holply, and cha-
stely, then he, vvhiche hath a VVife in Matrimonic. I truste, he
hath not yet forgotten, that there be many thousandes of Common
Harlottes in Rome: and that he him selfe dooth geather yeerely of the
same Harlottes aboue thirtie thousande Ducates, by the waie of an
annual pension. Neither can he foregeate, that he him selfe dooth main-
teine openly Brothel houses, and by a moste filthy lucre dooth filthy,
and lewdely serue his owne luste. Were al thinges then pure, and holy
in Rome, when Iohane a VVoman, rather of perfit age, then of per-
fite life, was Pope there, and bare her selfe as the Heade of the church:
And after that, for twoo whole yeeres, in that holy See wee had plaid
the naughty packe, at laste goeing in Procession about the Cittie, in
the sighte of al her Cardinales, and Bishoppes selle in trauaile openly
in the streates?

M. Hardinge.

Firste who seeth not, * what a notorious lye they make in the preface and entrie to the mater? *By the An-
Saie sweare it v il

appeare, that
this lie is plaine
Truth.

Saie they not, they take not upon them, at this time to bringe to lighte and to the shewe of the world those dooinges, whiche ought rather together with the Authours of them to be buried? And that so to doo, their Religion, their shamefullnes, their blushing doothe not beare it? VVhat is a lie, if this be not? Doo they not in dedde, that they denie in woordes? Yea, saie they not that thinge, which they affirme they saie not?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I doubt not, good Reader, but perusinge these fewe folowinge, thou shalt plainly see, that the Authours of this Apologie spake not al, that they might wel haue spoken. But, if thou happen to reade Dantes, Petrarcha, Boccace, Mantuan, Valla, and others like, the Popes owne Darlinges, thou wilt certainly see, that euen now, beinge thus chalenged, and called forth, and required to speake, yet we haue rather geuen an Inkinge hereof, then opened the particulare secretes of the mater. For thereof S. Bernarde saith thus, *Quæ in occulto sunt ab Episcopis, turpe est vel dicere: It is shame to utter the thinges, that Bishoppes doo in their secretes.* And therefore he saith further, euen as did the Writer of the Apologie, *Melius itaque arbitrator super hoc dissimulare: Touchinge such matters, I thinke it better to dissemble.* Franciscus Petrarcha calleth Rome the VVhoore of Babylon, the Mother of al Idolatrie, and Fornication, and saith, that al shame, and reuerence is quite departed thence. Baptista Mantuanus saith: *Viuerè qui Sanctè cupitis, discedite Roma: Omnia cum liceant, non licet esse bonum.*

Bernard, ad Cle-
rum in synodo
Rhemen,
Eodem loco.
Fran. Petrar.
Epist. 10.
Franc. Petrar.
Canilena. 92.
Onde fugita
ogni vergo-
gna.
Baptist. Mantua-
nus.

Alie, that would live godly, be packinge from Rome. For there al thinges els are lawfull: but to be good it is not Lawfull. And againe, as it is alleged once before,

*Sanctus ager scurris, venerabilis Ara Cynædis
Seruit, honoranda Diuûm Ganymedibus Ædes.*

Hereby, M. Hardinge, ye make easily see, that we of purpose dissembled, and covered your shame, and spake mutche lesse, and farre otherwise of you, then we might haue spoken.

Erasmus in vita
Augustini.

Erasmus, writinge of S. Augustines dealinge againste the Manichees, saith thus, *Obscoena Mytheria Manichæorum protraxit in lucem. Nam hæc prodidisse, erat vicisse: He opened, and published the filthy Mytheries of the Manichees. For the very openinge thereof, was sufficiente to overthrowe them. But happy are they, that live in such sorte, that no man maye wel reuerle their life without blushing.*

Here, M. Hardinge, ye charge vs plentifully with Fables, and Schlaunders, and heapes of Lies, greate, fowle, lewde, and shameful, in one companie, al together. Wherein it was no great Papsterie for you to be so liberal: for that hereof ye want no stoare.

But if we shalbe hable clearly, and plainly to auouch, and iustifie eche thinge, that we haue spoken, then we doubt not, but ye wil take al these Lies home againe, and bestowe them freely amonge your selues.

M. Hardinge.

They be the Popes Canonistes (saie they) that haue taughte the people, that simple Fornication is no sinne. A greuous offense, and woorthy to be punished. And verily if any Pope euer knew, that his learned menne in the Canon lawe haue taughte the people such heathenish, and diuillish Doctrine, (a) though no man in Earthe be his iudge, yet he maye be thought vnwoorthy the roome of so greate charge. But if the Pope neuer knewe such Doctrine preached by the Canonistes, and if at no time there haue benne any such, then is he cleare, and yee are proued slaundersers, and false backbiters. VVee denie it utterly. Howe proue ye it? Mary Sir, saie ye, looke in the margente of our Apologie, and there yee shal finde one *John de Magistris*, noted for an Offender in that behalfe. VVel, if it were so, he was but one man. Yee speake of Canonistes, which woordes signifieth a number. And howe proue ye that he the saide *John de Magistris*, (for now, I wil spare you, and wil not saie they) taughte the people that simple fornication was no sinne? &c.

Nowe wee tel you, that wee cannot finde, where euer *Jobannes de Magistris* wrote so impiouly, as yee reporte, Is it not *Martinus de Magistris* that yee meane? It is a greate rashnesse, if ye haue

(a) Vntruth. For the Canonistes themselves confesse, that the Councel maye iudge, and depose the Pope.

Crimes
laide to
Popes
charge by
the De-
fens.

haue not reade it your selues, to beleue such (b) pelting writers, that be of your sectes, as yee doo by whome ye seeme to be most shamefully, and most dangerously deceiued, &c. What wil be thought & saide of you, if wee shewe plainly, that ye haue forged a fowle lie, and a most false slander vpon Martinus de Magistris? For so wil we cal him, vntil ye proue it of Iohannes.

This Martinus de Magistris was no Canonist, as ye say, but a Doctour of Diuinitie, well learned for his time & order of studie, as a scholeman. In a treatise, that he made, *De temperantia & de luxuria*, he disputeth after such manner, as the Scholastical Doctours commonly vse. Wherefore he that saith that he taught the people, sought by vntuth, how to make the matter more detestable, (c) For disputing in Schooles, and teaching the people be far asunder. Saith this Doctour Martin after the guise of schooles, *Quæritur vtrum simplex fornicatio sit peccatum mortale*, that is to say, It is a questiō, whether simple fornication be mortal sinne, (d) This Defender knewe what hee did, when he left out the word mortal. For being disposed to lie, he thought best, to lie for a vantage. Now this is to be vnderstood, how the maner of the scholastical Doctours is, first to proposid a questiō, next to argue, obiect & reason against the truth of the question: Thē to auouch & proue the truth: After that to soile the obiections brought against the truth: Lastly to bring conclusions for confirmation of the Trueth. Then in prosecuting of his questiō, *Arguitur quod non*, I reason against it, saith he, and argue it is not so. And there after the schoole maner, he maketh an argument against the trueth. Which argument who soeuer taketh for his purpose, and alloweth it, admitteth that the Doctour goeth against & disproueth. After this he commeth to proue the trueth. And there it foloweth, *In oppositum. Simplex fornicatio non excludit, &c.* To the contrary (saith hee) Simple fornication excludeth from the kingdome of God, Ergo, it is mortal sinne. Then he saith further. It is to be noted, that the (e) opinion of Thomas is, that simple fornication vndoubtedly is mortal sinne. Who so euer readeth further in Martinus, he shal finde, after he hath wel disputed Pro and Con, as they terme it in Schooles, that is to say, for, & against the trueth, that he putteth fixe conclusions, of which the fourth beginneth thus, *Ideo, &c.* Therefore simple fornication is mortal sinne, bicause it is forbidden by Gods lawe, &c. And in the ende of the sixth, he saith: Hereof the falsehod of their opinion is made euident, who say, that simple fornication is not mortal sinne. Which opinion is condemned in the Articles of them of Paris, Error. CLXXXVI. Then hee saith further. Guido the Carmelite saith in a Chapter *Contra Errores Græcorum*, that the error of the Greekes, saying simple fornication betweene a single man and a single woman not to be mortal sinne, openly conteineth Hereie against the holy scripture, and that he proueth by foure reasons, &c.

By this, and much more there expressed, it is sufficiently proued, that Martinus de Magistris in his scholastical disputations in the saide treatise, saith not that simple fornication is no sinne: much lesse can it be reasonably, or with any colour of honestie said, that so he taught the people. And therefore it is falsely and slanderously imputed vnto him.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here ye saie, We lie we backbite: we slander, &c. For answere herunto, first we saie, It is no new diuise, to make light, and simple account of your simple Fornication. For Aetius the olde Heretique vsed thus to saie, *Dormire cum muliere extra coniugium, non magis est peccatum, quam aurem scalpere*: To haue the companie of a Woman out of marriage, is no more a sinne, then it is for a man to cleane his eare. Likewise the Heretique Prodicus saide, *Licet palam, & aperte Fornicari*: It is lawful to commit open fornication. Likewise not long thence wrote Laurentius Valla, in earneſt, on in game, *It cannot tel: but thus he wrote: and he wrote it in Rome, being himself one of the Canons there: Omnino nihil interest, vtrum cum Marito coeat Mulier, an cum Amatore: Vndoubtedly there is no difference, whether a Woman keepe company with her Husbando, or with her Louer.* Richardus de sancto Victore saith, Paulus prouidebat, multos fore, qui Fornicationis malum non adeo damnabile putarent: S. Paule foresawe, there should be many, that would thinke, the il of Fornication not to be so damnable a matter. To like purpose Socrates wryteth of the corrupt iudgement of sundrie of his tynde: *Scortationem indifferentem esse putant: De Festis vero diebus, perinde atque pro animabus suis dimicant, inuertentes Mandata Dei, &c. They take Fornication, or whooredom to bee a thing indifferent, (that is to saie, neither good, nor ill, but left at libertie): But they fight for the keeping of their holy dayes, as for their Soules.* From these fathers, as it appeareth, issued out the Pope, and his Romaine Clergie, who haue learned so readily to swallow a Camel, and so nicely, and solemnely to straine a Gnatte.

But, yee wil say, Al this hitherto perteineth nothing vnto the Canonistes, specially in the plural number.

(b) This pelting

writer is Al-

phansus de Ca-

stro, one of M.

Hardings grea-

test Doctours.

(c) He that tea-

cheth in scholes,

is teacher of tea-

chers.

(d) Note by the

vray, that by M.

Hardings secreet

conclusion, Forn-

cation is not a

mortal, but a Ven-

ial sinne.

(e) Ergo, by lyke-

lihood the opini-

of others vvas o-

ueruise.

For trial of this

Conclusion, see

the answer.

Epiphan. lib. 3

Heret. 56 &

Clemens Stro-

mat. Li. 3.

Laus. Valla.

De voluptate

Lib. 1. cap. 38

Rich de San-

cto victore,

Par. 2. Fol. 73.

Socrat. lib. 5.

cap. 22.

Simple
Fornicati-
on.

Dist. 34. Is
qui Parisijs.
anno. 1505.

Council, To-
le-
san. 1. cap.
17.

M. Hard.
396. a.

397. a.

This is as much
as no wyfe at all.
Then before the
Solemnization of
marriage the wyfe
no wyfe.

398. b.

Dist. 34. Is
qui.

With in-
tente of
Wed-
locke.

398

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Let vs therefore see the practise of the Church of Rome: which is the life, and soule of the Canonistes. Thus therefore it is noted in the Decrees, Qui nno habet Vxorē, loco illius concubinam debet habere: He that hath not a Wife, in steede of her must haue a Concubine. He wil say, There is errour in the print. Be it so. Yet thus is it extant in many Copies, and it is wel agreeable to your common practise. For the best that you can make of the same place, is this: Is, qui non habet Vxorem, & pro Vxore Concubinam habet, a communione non repellatur: He, that hath no Wife, and in steede of a Wife hath a Concubine, let him not be removed from the Communion.

Addition ¶ Heere is good geare, M. Iewel, for you to iuggle withal. And how can it be, but that your selfe doo knowe, that you doo impudently? You peruerthe the text: you misconser it: you leaue out that goeth before, and that foloweth immediately after. You dissemble the circumstance of the place, and omitte the Chapter, that in Gratian goeth immediately before: in which Chapter he declareth what in that place, and certaine other there by him alleged is meant by a Concubine, saying, Concubina hic intelligitur, qua, cessantibus Legalibus instrumentis, Vnita est, & coniugal affectu assequitur. Hanc Coniugem facit affectus: Concubinam verò Lex nūmat, &c. Yea the Canons also doo name such a woman a Concubine sometimes, and not a wife, vntil the Marriage bee solemnized &c. In this sentence therefore he expoundeth, how the woorde Concubine is to be taken in those Canons, as much to say, for a wife priuately taken, without publike solemnization &c. As soone as that wryting was made, and publike solemnitie was performed, the Children borne before, were accompted lawfully borne, and the Concubine too haue bene a wife from the beginning. And this woman the lawe nameth in the meane time a Concubine, and not a whoore, &c.

Shee may be called a Concubine, which in deede is a true wife before God, and so shal you finde Concubina vsed in the scriptures.

The Answer. O, what a doo haue you made vs heere, M. Harding, in defense of your Concubines? If the matter had bene good, I doubt not, but you woulde haue maintained it a grate deale better. A Concubine, you say, the solemnization onely excepted, is taken for a very lawefull wyfe: And for prooffe herreof, you haue brought vs Scriptures, Doctours, Gloses, and Canons: and all this, as it may bee thought, in defense of your Priestes Concubines. A Concubine (you saie) is a wyfe in affection, a wife before God, and a very wyfe indeede. I maruelle much at your strange Commentaries, M. Harding: For your Texte is directly too the contrary. And heere I bid you not ouer much too trouble your eyes, and too espie, either what goeth before, or what followeth after. In the very selfe same woordes, that I haue alledged, you may easily finde difference betweene a wyfe, and a Concubine. For thus it is wrytten, Is, qui Vxorem non habet, & pro Vxore habet Concubinam, a Communione non repellatur: Hee, that hath not a wyfe, but in steede of a wyfe, hath a Concubine, let him not bee put from the Communion. You say, a wyfe, and a Concubine are both one thing. But your booke saith, Aliter a Concubine is a wyfe, not a wyfe is a Concubine. Marke well the woordes. Thus they stande: Hee that hath not a wyfe, but in steede of a wyfe, hath a Concubine. It were a very straunge kinde of speache, too saie, Hee, that hath not a wyfe, but in steede of a wyfe, hath a wyfe. Yet thus must you needs say, If a wyfe, and a Concubine bee both one thing. But all this is easily answered. For you say, That a woman is a Concubine, that is taken priuately, and not Married solemnely in the face of the Church. I say, M. Harding, why shoulde you thus vaine-ly abuse your friends? Is this your meaning, that a Concubine is married, although not solemnely, and openly in the Church? Who euer tolde you of such a Marriage? O, howe can you so suddenly forgette your selfe? Bee not these your owne woordes, in this very same place? A Concubine is a woman, kepte at bedde, and at bourde, as a Wyfe with intent of Wedlocke. If shee bee wedded already, howe is shee kepte with intent of Wedlocke? If shee bee not a Wyfe in deede, howe is shee kepte in bedde, and at bourde, as, or in steede of a Wyfe? Certly, M. Harding, a Concubine, whyle shee was a Concubine, was neuer married: neither openly, nor priuately, as it shall appeare: but afterwarde, being once married, shee was no longer called a Concubine.

And that you may the better vnderstande, howe substantiallyl yee haue dealt in this

in this case, it maie please you to knowe, that the Lawe saith, Concubinitus est inter Solutum, & Solutam: Concubinitus is (not bitwene Man, and VVife, but) *betweene a Single Man, and a Single Woman*. And, be that kerpeth a Concubine, is not in the Lawe called Maritus, but Amasius, that is to saie, *Not a Husbände, but a Louer*: and the same Louer might either refuse his Concubine, or be refused of her, when either of them would, without any Diuorſe, or other solemnitie, at their pleasures. The Children bitwene them begotten, onlesse Patrimonic folowe, are not Legitimate, but line in Bastardie. It is written, Concubina æquiparatur Vxori, affectu, non honore Matrimonij: A Concubine is compared with a Wife in affection of Love, but not in honour of Matrimonic. A Concubine maie be receiued as a VVitnesse for her Louer, but a Wife maie not be receiued as a Witnesse for her Husbände. The very state, wherein they line, is called in the Lawe, Crimen Concubinitus, that is, *The Sinne of that trade of life*: for the Lawe presumeth, they cannot live honestly. And, if any man defile an other mannes Concubine, he is not thought in Lawe to committe Adulterie, neither can he, that kerpeth a Concubine, enter an Action againste him, that hath defiled her, onlesse he, that kerpeth her, be his Patrone, or his Lorde. I dare not, to note theſe places severally in the Margine: for then, M. Hardinge, you would rebuke me, as your manner is, for shewing my greate skille in Canon Lawe.

But now, I beseeche you, what kinde of Marriage can you imagine to be, inter Solutum, & Solutam, that is to saie, *betweene a Single Man, and a Single VVoman*? If they be bothe Single, howe be they Married? If they be Married, how be they Single? Or, wil you saie, that a Woman that neuer was Married: that maie lawfully refuse her Paramour when she wil, and be lawfully refused of him at his pleasure: that liueth without the honour of Marriage: that by presumption of Lawe cannot live honestly: whose Life is infamous: whose Children are al in state of Bastardie: that maie be defiled by any other wicked man, without committinge Adulterie: All theſe thinges notwithstandinge, wil you saie, that such a woman is a good, and a Lawful VVife? Or, wil you bringe vs Scriptures, & Doctours to proue the same? If your Mother had benne such a Wife, M. Hardinge, yewis you could not haue benne made a Priest, without a special Dispensation. Merely, as you saie, A Concubine is a Lawful VVife, so maie you likewise saie, A Lawful VVife is a Concubine. To be shorte, if a VVife, and a Concubine be bothe one thinge, why dothe the Pope so easily allowe a Priest to kepe a Concubine, and so straitely forbid him to haue a VVife?

But you saie, I haue sowly corrupted mine Authour, and haue pourposely leaſte out, bothe, that went before, and also that folowed after in the same Distinction. Therefore let vs better consider these wordes, bothe backwarde, and forwarde, and of every side. First, Gratian in the Chapter goeing immediately befoze, as you your selfe haue alleged him, saith thus, Concubina hic intelligitur, quæ, cessantibus legalibus instrumentis vnita est, & coniugali affectu asciscitur: Here a Concubine is such a Woman, as is coupled vnto a man, without lawful instrumentes, or solemnization of Matrimonic, and is taken, with intent, and affection of Marriage. Marke wel these wordes, M. Hardinge: A Woman that is taken with intent, and affection of Marriage. These be your owne wordes, althoughe vntruly, and guilefully Englished. Notwithstandinge, we wil take them, as they be. If a Concubine be taken with intent of Marriage, then, I trowe, as yet she is not Married. For no wise man intendeth to do that thinge, that is donne already. Nowe, if a Concubine be not married, but onely kept with intent to be married, I praye you, M. Hardinge, what maie we calle her? Certainely your Doctours saie, Secundum Canones semper præsumitur Adulterium, nisi appareant Legales Solemnitates: Onlesse the Solemnities of the Lawe maie appeare, by the Canon Lawe it is euer presumed to be Adulterie, and therefore no Marriage. Thus mutche for the Chapter, that wente befoze. In the Chapter immediately folowinge, it is written thus, Christiano non nisi vnam tantum habere licet, aut Vxorem, aut certè, loco Vxoris, Si Coniunx deest, Concubinam: It is Lawful for a Christian man to haue onely one Woman, either his VVife, or in steede of a VVife, his Concubine. Here a

Single Amasius. Foreſake.

ff. De Concubitu.

Bastardie.

Affection.

VVitnesse.

Sinne.

No Adulterie.

Nisi sit Concubina Patroni.

VVith intent of Marriage.

Dist. 34. Is quæ in Glossa.

Dist. 34. Christiano.

A Con-
cubine.

400

The Defense of the Apologie of the

A vvhoore.
In steede of a
VVife.

Aug. 11. 92. Ho-
mil. Romilia, 49

Dist. 34. Is qui

Aug. de tempore
serm. 164.

Thy Harlot.
Hieronym. ad
Eustochium, de
Custodia Vir-
ginita, Tom. 1.

Constit. Othonis
De Concubinis
Clericor. remou-
endu, Licet ad
profugandum,
in Glossa.
M Har. 399, b
Not as a
Rule.
Good Coun-
sel.

Concubine is not a VVife, but a woman taken in steede of a VVife. That poure Glose here telleth vs of Mutual consente, is but a toise. For, not withstandinge any manner Consente, that maie be betwene them, a Concubine, while she is a Concubine, is not married: and bringe not married, she is no VVife. Therefore S. Augustine saith, euen as you haue alleged him: *Et si non habetis Vxorē, tamen non licet vobis habere Concubinas, quas postea dimittatis: Although you haue no Wives, yet is it not lawfull for you, to haue Concubines, whom ye maie afterwards putte from you.* If Concubines be nothinge els, but VVives, why is it not lawfull for menne to haue them? Is it not lawfull for Christian menne to haue Wives? Yet poure Rubrike, vpon the same Distinction saith thus, *Qui non habet Vxorē, loco illius Concubinam habere licet: Euen hereto the beste Englishe ye can devise: The woordes be plaine: It is lawfull for him, that hath no VVife, in steede of her to haue a Concubine.*

But S. Augustine saith, *Dicit aliquis, Meretrix non est, quam habeo: Concubina mea est: Somme man wil saie, The Woman that I keepe is not my Harlot: shee is my Concubine.* Hereto S. Augustine answereth thus: *Bene: velis, nolis: illa, quæ præter Vxorē tecum dormit, est Meretrix: Wel, wel: whether thou wilt, or wilt not, shee that sleepeth with thee besides thy Wife, is thy Harlot.* S. Hierome saith, *Vnde sine Nuptijs, aliud nomen Vxorū? Imò vnde nouum Concubinarum genus? Plus inferam. Vnde Meretrices vniuntur? Eadem domo, vno cubiculo, sæpe vno tenentur & lectulo &c. From whence haue wee an other kinde of Wives without Marriage? Nay, from whence haue wee this newe kinde of Concubines? I wil saie more: From whence haue wee Whores betaken to one man? They liue together in one House, in one Chamber, and oftentimes in one bedde.*

Nowe, M. Hardinge, you telle vs, that Concubina, is not a VVhoore: But S. Augustine telleth you, *Velis, nolis, est Meretrix: Whether ye wil, or nil, shee is a VVhoore.* And S. Hierome telleth you, that Concubina est Meretrix Vniura: That a Concubine is a VVhoore betaken to one man. If Meretrix, be a VVhoore, it is easie to Englishe Concubina.

Chrise be fowle maters, M. Hardinge: you cannot mainteine them without somme blemishe of your credite.

Likewise it is noted in the Glose vpon the Constitutions of Otho: *Videtur quod hoc Crimen Meretricij Ecclesia sub dissimulatione transire debeat: It seemeth, that the Church ought to passe ouer the Crime of VVhooredome vnder dissimulation (and not to see it).* In which Glose ye shal finde theise woordes, *Si non cassē, tamen cautē: If you doo it not chastely, yet doo it charily.*

Addition. M. Hardinge. As for those woordes, *Si non cassē, tamen cautē,* they are there rehearsed, as a Common sayinge, not as a Rule, or Precept of the Canon Lawe, neither pertaine they to Clerkes, more then to the Laye sorte. The circumstance of the place considered, and weighed, al thinges maie seeme there to be wel, and discretely saide. Of twoo, that committe Fornication, he doothe lesse euil, that doothe it secretly, then the other that doothe it openly &c. Howe shal not that vulgare sayinge seeme to geue good counsel, *Si non cassē, tamen cautē:* whereby a man is not animated at al to doo il, but (if he hap to doo his vnclane luste, or wil not be staide from it) is admonished to doo it charily, though he not chastely.

The Answer. These woordes (ye saie) pertaine no more to Clerkes, then to the Lay sorte. Here, M. Hardinge, ye muche sojergate your selfe. The very bare title of that Constitution wil some reprove you. Thus it is written, *De Concubinis Clericorum remouendis: Of remouinge Priestes Concubines,* not the Concubines of others of the Laie sorte, as you haue imagined. For of Laie menne, and their Concubines, there is not one worde spoken in al that whole Constitution. Contrariwise a litle before, in the same place you maie finde theise woordes, *Clerici huiusmodi Concubinas tenent Communiter, apparatu honesto, nomine appellationis Sororū: Priestes Commonly keepe such Concubines, in honest apparel, vnder the name of their Sisters.* Of such Concubines, M. Hardinge, and of none other, your Boke intreateth. But, it maie be lawfull for you, to make vs large Commentaries beside poure Texte. Of poure Priestes Concubines, M. Hardinge, and of none other, your Doctour saith, *Si non cassē, tamen cautē: If they deale not chastely, yet let them deale charily.*

But

But this (you saie) was onely a Common saieinge, and not a Rule. And is not this sufficient, thinke you? Can you imagine, that the Common speache of the people is nothinge worse, or groweth of nothinge? It was no Rule, you saie. But the worlde saie, yee liued so, as if it had benne your onely Rule. Verily, by the Testimonie of al your owne Writters, the whole Life of the Clergie, was out of Rule. Howe be it, you telle vs, The circumstance considered, al this maie seeme, to be wel, and discretely spoken. For, you saie, If a man happen to doo his vncleane luste, he is thereby admonished to doo it cha- nely, though he doo it not chastely. And this (you saie) is good counsell. No doubt, M. Har- dinge, god, and discrete, and fatherly Counsel. But S. Paules Counsel is much bet- ter, Ad euitandum Fornicationem vnusquisque Vxorem suam habeat: melius est nu- bere, quam vri: For auoidinge of Fornication, let every man haue his owne Wife: Better it is to marrie, then to burne in desires.

1. Corinth. 7.

Likewise saith the Petrus Rauennas, one of your notable Canonistes, vpon the De- cretalles, Quamuis tactus, & oscula sunt praeludia incontinentie in Laicis, secus ta- men est in Clericis. Nam Clericus præsuntur ista facere pro charitate, & bono zelo: Notwithstandinge handeling, and kissinge in Laie Persones be the occasions, or beginninges of incontinent behauiour, yet in Priestes it is farre otherwise. For a Priest is presumed to doo these thinges of charitie, and of good zeale.

In eadem Glossa
Extra. De Im-
munitate Ecclesie.
Petr. Rauennas

Likewise it is noted in your Glose, Si Clericus amplectitur Mulierem, (Laicus) in- terpretabitur, quod causa benedicendi eam hoc faciat: If a Priest embrace a Woman, a Laie man muste iudge of it thus, that he doothe it to thintente to blesse her. Where also yee shal finde this special note, set out in the Margine for the pourpose, Clericus ample- ctens mulierem præsuntur bene agere: A Priest embracing a woman is presumed to doo wel.

11. que. 3. Absq.
in Glossa.
ibidem in
Margine.

These be your Canonistes: these be your Schoolemaisters: these be your Doctours, M. Hardinge: thus they write, not onely in the Singulare, but also in the Dual, and Plural number. They would neuer so lightly haue iudged hereof, if they had thought, your Simple Fornication had benne Sinne.

S. Augustine saith, Clamor Sodomorum, & Gomorrhæorum multiplicatus est: Quia non solum iam apud eos non puniebantur illa flagitia, verum etiam publice, velut lege, frequentabantur: The crye of Sodome, and Gomorha is multiplied: For that suche vices then, not onely were not punished, but also were openly used, as it had bene by the aide, and Authoritie of the Lawe.

August. in En-
chiridso ad
Lauren. ca. 82.

Demme what it must nedes be, that in your Late Council of Basile, enforced the Bishops there to Decree, that Fornication shoulde be Sinne. For onlesse somme had thought the Contrarie, what shoulde we haue neded that Newe Decree? M. why shoulde they so solemnely determine, that Fornication is Sinne, Onlesse somme had said, It is no Sinne? Erasmus, a man of singulare Learninge, & Iudgemente, saith, *Bona pars eorum, quos vulgus integros, & incorruptos appellat, Simplicem Fornica- tionem, & moderatum voluptatis vsum, vt leue commissum, neutiquam refugunt: *A great many of them, whom the common sorte taketh for good, and godly men, not a whit ab- horre Simple Fornication, and a siber use of pleasure, reckening it to be but a litle petire fault. So saith Iacobus De Valentia, Tam Iudæi, quam Saraceni, & mali Christiani, vt de- testabilem vitam suam excusent, & defendant, asserunt, Fornicationem Simplicem esse licitam: As wel Iewes, as Saracens, as also il Christian Men, to the intente to excuse, and de- fend their wicked life saie, Simple Fornication maie be lawfully vfed.

Consil. Basil.
Sessio. 20.
Erasmus in En-
chirid. mili.
christiani. ca. 14
* He meaneth
somme of the
best of the Ro-
maine Clergie.
Iacobus De Va-
lentia in Psa. 118
Antoninus in

So saith the Antoninus, the Archebishop of Florence, Confutatur error dicentium, Simplicem Fornicationem non esse Peccatum: Hereby is reponed the error of them, that saie, Simple Fornication is no Sinne. Alexander of Hales, by waie of Disputation, doubteth not to allege the wordes of S. Ambrose, to this pourpose: Etiam si aliquis lubricum Carnis patiat, sine dubio vapulabit: sed non peribit: If a man suffer the Fraillie of the Fleashe, without doubt he shalbe punished: but perishe he shal not.

Sum. par. 4. titu.
22. ca. 2. § 3.
Alexander Ha-
lensis par. 3.
qua. 35. Mem. 5.
Ambrosius, 1.
Timoth. 4.

Thus haue you, M. Hardinge, not onely, what your Canonistes, but also what your Schoole Doctours haue taught, and thought of Simple Fornication.

But there is noted in the Margine of the Apologie, Iohannes de Magistris, in

Simple Fornication.

M. Har. pag. 46.
 Reads the B. of
 Winchester vns
 to N. Fecknam,
 pag. 121.
 Vint. Con. Can.
 tnr. Li. 3. De Ew
 char.
 M. Har. pag. 93.
 Chrysostom ad
 Romanos. 1.
 Chrysos. ad Ga.
 lat. 4.
 Hieronym. in
 Marcum. cap. 2.
 Matthe. 27.
 De Rescriptis.
 significane.
 Abb.
 Alphonfus Con-
 tra Har. Li. 5.
 Coitus.

(a) Vntruth. For
 this lie wil sone
 be founde a
 Truthe.
 (b) VWho be-
 stowed these
 quotations in
 the Margine, I
 cannot tel. But
 the Lawes are
 plaine: as it
 shal appeare.
 This was in
 old times. Now
 it is quite fore-
 gotten.
 (d) An Hipocri-
 tical folie. For
 he maieredeme
 al this whole
 tenne yeeres
 faste, by paying
 of a penny.
 Reads the An-
 swere.
 (e) Vntruth ma-
 nifest, and a
 Canon of M.
 Hardingsowne
 makinge.

state of Martinus. And hererof haue you made your selfe a pleasaute Conqueste. VVee reade not (you saie) these Bookes our selues: wee beleuee suche peltinge writers of our sectes: wee are shamefully, and dangerously deceiued. Howe be it, M. Hardinge, I require but your indifferent Judgemente: Speake vprightly. Wherefore is it moze deadly Sinne for vs, to name Iohannes, in state of Martinus, then it was for you, in this selfe same Booke, to name Captaine Iosue, in state of the Prophete Osce? Or, for one of your Bzethzen, to allege Hosius, for Athanasius? Or, for D. Steuin Gardiner, in state of Theophylactus, to allege Theophylus? Cicero allegeth Ajax, in state of Hector: Agamemnon, in state of Vlysses: Eupolis, in state of Aristophanes. Aristotile allegeth Calypso in state of Circe. Your Gratian allegeth Aniceus, for Anicetus: Ambrosius, for Augustinus: and by your owne Confession, Calixtus, for Anacleus. S. Chrysostome nameth Abacuk, for Sophonias: and Agar in state of Sara. S. Marke allegeth Abiathar, for Abimelech. S. Mathewe nameth Hieremias, for Zacharias.

It had benne no greafe p̄iudice vnto your cause, to haue dissembled so smal a mater, specially findinge your selfe so often guilty in the same. Your own Doctours saie, Error in nomine non habet vitium, modo constet de Corpore: *Errours in name marreth not the mater, so the Bodie, or partie be knowne.*

But this same Martinus (you saie) neuer denied Fornication to be deadly Sinne: but by expresse wordes affirmeth the contrarie. For trial hercof, it maie please you to geue some credite to Alphonfus de Castro your owne Doctour. His wordes be these, Græci, vt Guido illis impingit, dicunt, Simplicem Fornicationem non esse peccatum. Martinus de Magistris in suo libro, De Temperantia, quæstione secunda de Luxuria dicit, se fateri, Fornicationem Simplicem esse Peccatum Mortale. Tamen dicit insuper, quod oppositum credere, non sit Hæreticum: quia, vt dicit, Testimonia Scripturæ Sacræ non sunt expressa: *The Greekes, as Guido chargeth them saie, that Simple Fornication is no Sinne. Martinus de Magistris in his Booke, Of Temperance, and in the seconde question, disfinishing of Lecherie, graunteth in deede, that Simple Fornication is deadly Sinne. And yet he saith, It is no Heresie to beleuee the contrarie: for that, as he saith, the Testimonies of the Scriptures (touchinge this mater) are not plaine. Here, M. Hardinge, you haue your owne Doctours minde. Martinus saith, It is no Heresie, to beleuee, that Simple Fornication is no Sinne: And this he saith euen in the very same Booke that he hath written, De Temperantia. So dangerously, M. Hardinge, and so shamefully are wee deceiued, in alleging your peltinge Doctours.*

And therefore Alphonfus concludeth thus, Sed cum pace illius dixerim, ego credo, Martinum, alioqui virum Doctum, in hac parte errasse: *But, so speake with his fauour, I beleuee, that Martinus de Magistris in this behalfe was muche deceiued.*

M. Hardinge.

After this fowle lie foloweth an (a) other. Let him remember (saie these Defenders) that they be his men that haue decreed, that a Prieste for Fornication ought not to be remoued from his cure. To this may wee saie, that althoughe he be not deprived of his cure, yet he maie be pounished otherwise. But let vs see, howe they woulde proue that they saie. (b) By their note in the Margent they sende vs for proufe to the canon Lawe. 3. quæst. 7. Lata. Extra de Bigamis. Quia circa. As touching the chapter, Lata, in the Decrees wee finde none suche. And, in Causa 3. quæst. 7. there is nothinge to this purpose. The Pargraphe Quia circa. Extra. is vnderstanded of them, who beinge in the state of Bigamie, are not to be promoted to Holy orders, and not of one who is already made Prieste, that he be not for Fornication remoued. But, to vnderstande, what was donne to a Prieste, that had committed fornication, by order of Lawe, (c) in case any Bishop, or Prieste, or deacon, after degree of deaconship taken, had benne conuict of fornication, or aduouterie, he was deposed, and caste out of the Church, and enioined to doo penance emonge the laitie. VVhiche thing S. Syluester at length mercifully changed, enioininge (d) tenne yeeres penance after a prescripte forme, whiche to our newe Cleregie woulde seeme very harde, and strait.

Yea further the Lawe of the Church in this case so litle beareth with the sinful life of Clerkes, that, (e) if any Bishop in his Dioces had consented and borne with the fornication of Priestes, or Deacon, or with the crime of incest, for money, or praier, or had not by auctoritie of his Bishoply Office duely pounished suche faulter committed: the same shoulde be suspended from his Office. And this muche wee haue shewed touching the remouinge of a Prieste, not onely from his Benefice, but also from his Office, for cause of fornication: wherein these menne moste falsely haue slandered the Church,

Church, as nowe to any man it maie (f) appeare.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, p^r shewe your selfe to be mutche unskillful in youre owne Canons. The simplest Proctoure in your Courtes coulde sone haue tolde you, that p^r are ouer sarre deceiued. For thus it is w^ritten by expresse wordes in your owne Glose vpon the Decrees: Dicunt, neminem hodie propter Fornicationem esse deponendum, nisi in ea perduret: They saie, that for Fornication, noman oughte this daie to be deposed, onlesse he continue in the same. And, leasse p^r shoulde in any wise mistruste, or doubt your Glose, it is also thus noted of yourp^rose in faire greate Letters in the Margine, Fornicationis causa hodie nemo est deponendus: Nowe a daies noman maie be deposed for Fornication. Were there nothing els to be saide, yet this thinge onely were sufficiente.

But Panormitane also your greatest Canoniste likewise saith, Ad varietatem temporum debent mutari statuta humana: Ideo hodie ex Simplici Fornicatione Clericus non deponitur: The Lawes of Menne oughte to be altered accordinge to the change of times: And therefore nowe a daies for Simple Fornication no Prieste is deposed from his Benefice. Likewise p^r haue it noted vpon your Decrees, Communiter dicitur, quod pro Simplici Fornicatione Clericus deponi non debet: Quia pauci sine illo Vitio inueniuntur: It is commonly saide, that for Simple Fornication no Prieste ought to be de-
priued: For that fewe Priestes are founde without that faulte.

Againe Panormitane saith to like effecte, Clericus Concubiniarius in officijs vi-
tandus non est, nisi sit notorius: A Prieste, that keepeth a Concubine, onlesse he be notorius, maie not be refused in his Service.

Sundrie other suche like Authorities, your w^rest Proctour coulde haue brought you.

Notwe be it, you saie, S. Syluester in suche cases enioineth tenne yeeres penance. This was true in dede, M. Hardinge. But it was true in Olde sojne p^reres, about twelue hundred yeres agoe. Nowe Syluester is paste quite out of minde, and his Lawe with him. Your owne folke nowe can saie, Nunc aliud tempus, alij pro tem-
pore Mores.

Martinus Pœnitentiarius saith, Ecclesia multos huiusmodi Canones exussauit: quia onerosi sunt: The Church hath blown awaie many suche Canons for that they be too burthenous. Loke better on your Booke, M. Hardinge. Your owne Lawe saith, Fornicationis causa nemo hodie deponendus est: Quia Corpora hodie sunt fragiliora: Noman nowe a daies oughte to be deposed for Fornication: and that, because our Bodies are frailer, then they were wont to be. And therfore, touching that cruel tenne yeeres Faste, appointed by Syluesters Constitution, the Glose there saith thus, Potest ieiunare per alium: vel potest dare nummum pro Ieiunio: He maie Faste by somme other man: or els, he maie geue a good Penny, and so redeeme his whole tenne yeeres Faste. But there is graceously added a special Prouiso in the behalfe of him, that shal receiue this Pen-
ny: Sed debet iste denarius esse talis, quod non euincatur ab illo, cui donatur. Alias non contingeret liberatio: But it must be suche a Penny, that it be neuer euicted againe by Lawe from him that receiued it. Otherwise he shoulde not be discharged of his penance.

In like manner saith the Pope Pelagius, Defectus nostri temporis, quibus Corpora ipsa hominum defecerunt, distictionis illius non patitur manere censuram: The weaknesse of our time, by meane whereof the very Bodies of menne are decayed, dothe not suffer the rigour of that Lawe to continewe.

Otho in his Constitution Legantine saith, Remoneant ipsas intra Menssem: vel ipsas, vel alias de coetero nullatenus detenturi: Let Priestes put awaie their Concubines within a Monethes respite: afterwarde to hold neither them, nor any others in any wise. Where-
upon the Glose, with greate conscience, and full discretely saith thus, Detenturi, sci-
licet, per Menssem. Alioqui diceremus, quod propter quancunque momentaneam possessionis detentionem sequentem, hanc grauem poenam incurreret. Quod nimis esset rigorosum, attenta fragilitate nostri temporis: They maie not afterwarde holde (neither the same Concubines, nor any other). Whiche wordes you muste thus vnder-

Ll iij stands:

(f) Full clearly
God wote See
the Answer.
Dist 82. Presby-
ter.

Extra. De Con-
sanguinitate &
affinitate. Non
debet Abb.
Dist. 81. Maxi-
mianus Glos.

Extra. De Con-
cubina. Clericor.
& Mulier. Si
autem Abb.

Martinus Pœni-
ten. in Diction-
ario.
Di 82. Presbyter.
in Glossa.

Dist 34.
Fraternitatis.

De Concubinis
Clericor. remo-
nendu. Licet ad
prosequendum,

Not De-
posed for
Fornica-
tion.

404

The Defense of the Apologie of the

stand: That by the space of a whole moneth together he maie not holde them. Otherwise wee shoulde saie, that a Priest should renne into this greuous punishment, for any shorte holdinge of his Concubine in possession followinge afterwarde. Whiche thinge in dede were marueilous rigorous, specially consideringe the frailtie of our time.

In eodem Titulo

Againe the same Otho saith, Ordinamus, vt, si contra hoc præsumperint venire, ab officio, & beneficio sint suspensi: Wee ordeine, that, if any Priest doo contrarie hereunto, (liuinge incontinently, contrarie to this Constitution) that then he be suspended, as wel from his Benefice, as from his Office. Hereunto your Glose addeth, Rigorosa quoque esset hæc poena, nisi esset pro Adulterio, vel incestu: Etiam si Episcopus esset huiusmodi labens: And this Punishment also were ouer rigorous, onlesse it were for Adulterie, or Incest: For he maie not so be punished for Fornication) Tea although the partie so fallinge were a Bishop. And againe in the same Glose, Propter solam Simplicem Fornicationem, de benignitate Canonica, non debet Clericus deponi, vel Priuari: Licet secus fortè de Canonis rigore: By the Courtisie of the Canons, onely for Simple Fornication, a Priest maie not be deposed from his Office, or deprived from his Benefice: Albeit, perhaps by the rigoure, or extremitie of the Canons, it would be otherwise. The very true cause hereof, is more plainly expressed in your owne Glose vpon the Decretalles: Quia pauci sine vitio Carnis inueniuntur: For without the vice of the Fleashe there are but fewe (Priestes) to be founde.

Extra, De Por-
gatione Canoni-
ca acceptimus:
In Glossa.

Al this notwithstandinge, y^e saie, If the Bishop winke, and dissemble, and beare with the wickednesse of the Priest in this behalfe, that then he him selfe oughte to be punished by suspension from his Office. This, y^e Hardinge, is a Canon of your owne makinge: for other Autho^ritie y^e allege none. But howe maie it same likely, that y^e so cruelly punish your Bishoppes, for sauourable dealinge herein, sauinge your Highe, and alonely Bishop, and the Bishop of al Bishoppes, is so wel contente, vpon agremente for money, to licence bothe Bishoppes, and Priestes to keepe Concubines.

Extra, De Indi-
cys, ca. 4. § De
Adulterijs.

If y^e mistruste the truthe hereof, b^e sides your Common practise, as you knowe, your owne Pope Gregorie him selfe wil some telle you, De Adulterio, & alijs Minoribus Criminibus, Episcopus potest cum Clericis post poenitentiam dispensare: Touchinge Adulterie, and other smal faultes, the Bishop after penance donne, maie dispense with a Priest. Where also it is to be noted, that Adulterie in your Diuinitie is reckened emonge smal faultes, and petite Sinnes. Likewise againe it is to be noted in your Glose, Cum Presbyteris habentibus plures Concubinas, Episcopus potest dispensare, vt suum Officium exequantur: With Priestes hauinge sundrie Concubines, the Bishop maie dispense, that they maie neuerthelesse doo their Office. Wherein y^e same sommelowt to ouerreache the Emperours Courtisie touchinge the same: For thus he writeth, Vnam Concubinam qui habet, non plures, Castè viuut: He, that keepeth one Concubine onely, and no moe, liueth Chastely. And in the saide former Glose, y^e shal finde an other special note of your own, wel worthy to be written in y^e Popes priuie C^ollerte in Letters of Colde: Nota, quod plures Concubinas habens non incurrit irregularitatem: Marke wel, that he, that keepeth sundrie Concubines, is not thereby made irregular. And againe, Nota, Mirabile: quod cum eo, qui peccat, dispensatur: & cum eo, qui non peccat, non dispensatur: Marke wel: Here is a marueilous strange reckeninge: The Bishop dispenseth with him that offendeth (hauinge sundrie Concubines): but with him, that offendeth not (hauinge married two W^omen) he dispenseth not. And further he saith, Plus habet hic Luxuria, quam Castitas: Here Lecherie hath more Priuilege, then Chastitie.

Extra, De Biga-
mis, Quia Cir-
ca: In Glossa.
Authen, De Tri-
en. Synod. Colla. 3.
Extra, De Biga-
mis: Quia Cir-
ca, In Glossa.
In eadem Glossa

Dist. 8. Quidam
clericus. In Glossa.

Againe in your Decrees it is written thus, Quæcunque Clericis taliter coniuncti sunt, auferantur ab Episcopo, & veniuntur: What so euer weemen haue such companye with Priestes, let them be remoued by the Bishop, and solde, and made slanes. Unto this Latue beinge in it selfe ouer rigorous, the Glose addeth this sauourable Construc-
tion: Veniuntur: verum est, si contrahunt cum illis, tanquam cum Vxoribus. Alias non concedo, posse vendi propter Simplicem Fornicationem: Lette them be solde, and made slanes. This is true, if the Priestes marrie with them, as with their W^oues. Other-
wise y^e graunte not, that for Simple Fornication they maie be solde.

Aske likewise

Likewyse againe it is noted in your Glose, Nota, Frequentationem tantum Vi-
duarum, & Virginitatis prohiberi: Marke wel, that it is not the communge to Widowes, or
Maides, but the often hauntinge vnto them, that is forebidden. Otho in his Legantines
saith thus, Qui detinet publice Concubinas, &c. The Priest, that Openly keepeth
Concubines, let him be deposed. Hereupon your Glose saith thus, Tu dic, Publice,
quando Multitudini se patere non expaui. Secus ergo, si secretè intra domum pro-
prium, vel alienam detineat hanc Concubinam. Nam tunc poenam huius Constituti-
onis non incurret. Domus enim rem secretam, non autem publicam denotat. Dic
ergo, Publice, id est, Communiter, & coram multis. Non ergo sufficit, quod semel, vel
his talis publice videatur: He that Openly keepeth Concubines, &c. Understande
thou by this woordes, Openly, if he be not afraide, though he be appeare vnto the people. It is other-
wise, if he keepe his Concubine secretly, whether it be in an other mannes house, or in his owne.
For so be cometh not within the danger of this Lawe. For a house betokeneth, not an open ma-
ter, but a secrete. Thus therefore expounde thou this Constitution: If he keepe his Concubine
Openly, that is to saie, If he keepe her Commonly, and in the sighte of many. Therefore, if
saith a Concubine, or thrise be seene Openly, it is not sufficiente. In the same Glose of
yours, ye haue these woordes, that I haue alleged before, Si non Castè, tamen Cautè.

And, whereas you saie, If a Bishop shewe fauour herein he him selfe shalbe suspen-
ded. Your owne Doctour Abbate Panormitane woulde haue tolde you the contra-
rie: Episcopus non tenetur deponere Clericum Concubinarium: The Bishop is not
bounde to depriue a Priest, that keepeth a Concubine.

To make thyste, ye haue also of greete pprovidence, diuised a special Premunire,
to embolden your Priestes in Fornication, and to warrante them free from al danger
of any your Lawes, made in that behalfe. For thus you saie, Si Laicus, instigante
Diabolo, accuset Clericum incontinentem, statim repellitur. Laici in accusatione Epis-
copi audiendi non sunt: If a Laie man, by the instigation of the Diuel, accuse a Priest of in-
continente Life, straightwaie he is thruste backe, and put to silence. The Laie sorte maiest not be
hearde in the accusation of a Bishop. And againe, Laicus non potest Clericum de For-
nicatione accusare: A Laie man maiest not accuse a Priest of Fornication. This is that
extremite, and Ammerciful rigour, &c. Hardinge, that ye shewe youre Priestes in
these cases. No Laie man maiest accuse them: No Bishop maiest depriue them: No
Lawe maiest touche them.

M. Hardinge.

The thirde lye is, that Cardinal Campeius, Albertus Pighius, and certaine others haue taughte,
that a Priest liueth more Holily and more chastely, that keepeth a Concubine, then he that hath ta-
ken a wife in Matrimonic. Why doo ye not tel vs, where they haue taught so? VVil ye that wee be-
leue your bare woordes? Howe can wee, hauinge taken you tardie in so many and so manifeste lies?
Aristotle once asked, what a common lier gained by his lyinge, (a) that (quoth he) when he telleth
trithe, no man wil beleue him. VVisedome would Sirs, that ye tooke good heede, that ye proue not
suche gainers. Nowe wee require you to bringe forth the proufe of that ye charge these learned menne
withal. VVee are sure ye cannot.

The woordes of Pighius be these: But put case (saith he) they, which haue vowed chastitie, al doo
not that they maiest and ought to doo, to attaine the grace of continencie: and therefore they be not
only tempted, but also ouercome with temptation for the more parte. VVhat then? Shal it be a lesse
euil for them to marie? For to saie it is better, thou maiest not, which the Apostle imputeth to dam-
nation, if they breake their Firste Faith. For these (I saie) shal it be lesse euil, and lesse damnable to
marie then to be ouercome with lustes?

Therefore where ye impute vnto him that he maketh the keepinge of a Concubine to be a more
Holy and a more chaste life in a Priest, then takinge of a wife in Matrimonic, it is a fowle lie, and a
false flander. Neither is there in that Doctine any filthinesse at al, whiche Philip Melanchthon,
whom here ye folowe, chargeth him withal.

Touchinge that pointe of Doctine it selfe, S. Paule confirmeth it, to whom the Mariage of those
that be bounde to vowe of continencie, seemed so wicked and hainous a Sinne, that he pronounceth
the wil to marie to be damnable. Nowe your Coniunctions with your yokeselows, (we meane only
so many of you as haue vowed chastitie) be not in deede VVedlockes, but Incestuous Aduoutries.
And right so wee finde them named by Cyprian, Basile, Chrysostome, Ambrose, Hierome, Photius,
and other Holy and learned Fathers.

But perhaps ye saie, bothe are Aduoutries, whether a vowed personne marie, or committe Fornica-
tion. Let it be so. (c) Yet is not that sinne more greuous whiche a man committeth of sette and
determined purpose, then that whiche he falleth into by humane frailtie? And that euil whiche
contineweth

Dis. 13. Mis igitur. In Glossa.
Coffin. Othonis
De Concubinis
Clericor. remou-
endus. Licet ad
profugandum.

De Cohabita.
Clericorum, &
Mulier. si au-
tem Abb.

2. Que. 7. Laic.

Dist. 84. Maxi-
mianus, in Gloss

(a) This An-
swere mutche
toucheth M.
Hardinge him
selfe.

(b) Vntrithe.
For S. Augu-
stine saith,

Qui dicunt,
saluum Nupti-
as non esse

Nuptias sed
potius Adul-
terium, mihi non
videntur satis
acutè, ac dili-
genter conside-
rare, quid di-
cant. De Bo-
no Viduita.

Cap. 19.
(c) O fage Con-
siderations.

Fornication
on better
then Ma-
riage.

406

The Defense of the Apologie of the

contineweth, is it not muche woorse, then that whiche hath intermission (and no ende)?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Apologie saith, that Campegius, Pighius, and others moe of your side, haue tolde vs, That a Priest that keepinge a Concubine, liueth more Holily, and more chastely, then a Priest, that hath a Wife, and liueth in Matrimonie. Here ye crye out, as yowr wonte is, Schlaunders, Lies, fowle Lies, and I knowe not what. For thus onely they saie (saie you) That Fornication in this case is lesse il, then Matrimonie. Howe be it, M. Hardinge, laicinge al Caualles, and shiffes aside, the lesse il, in vse of speache is commonly cal- led the greater good. And in this sense it is witten, Better it is to Die, then to wante. And againe, Better is the iniquitie of a Man, then a woman dooinge wel. So Ionas saith, Deathe vnto me is better then Life. So S. Peter saith, Better had it benne for them not to haue knowne the Waie of Righteousnesse, then knowinge the same, to be turned backe from the Holy Commaundemente, that is geuen vnto them. These, and other like phrises are of- ten vsed in the Holy Scriptures, not for that Deathe, or Iniquitie, or Ignorance of Goddes Justice, be good thinges in dede, but for that in comparison of other worse thinges, they seme to impose somme lesse il.

Fornication (ye saie) is not better then Marriage: But Marriage is woorse then For- nication. Thus it seemeth you good, to shadow your matters by shifte of wordes from woorse to better, and from better to woorse. And yet in plaine mennes iudgementes, If Marriage be woorse then Fornication, then is Fornication better then Marriage.

But, to let you vnderstande, we haue dealte simply, and plainely herein, Hal- derichus the Bishop of Augusta in Germanie, wittinge vnto Pope Nicolas againste the restrainte of Priestes Marriage, aboute seven hundred yeres past, vseth the like manner of speache, as we haue vsed. Thus he saith, Huius Imperij, ne dicam, con- silij, tam fatuam, tamq; turpem addunt suggestionem, vt dicant, Honestius est, Pluri- bus occulte implicari, quam aperte in hominum vultu, & conscientia cum vna ligari. Quod profecto non dicerent, si ex illo, & in illo essent, qui dicit, Vx vobis Phariseis, qui omnia facitis propter homines: Vnto this Commaundemente, I wil not saie, vnto this Counsel, they sette so foolish and so shameful a suggestion, that they saie, It is an honeste thinge for a Priest to be entangled with many Concubines, in Secrete, and priuily, then Openly, and in the sighte, and knowledge of the worlde, to be ioined in Marriage with one VVife. Whiche thinge verily they would not utter, if they were either of him, or in him, that saith, Woe be vnto you, yee Phariseis, that doo al thinges to please menne.

In like sort the Council of VVoorines wrote sommetime againste Pope Hil- debrande, for that he had diuised great rigour, and tyrannie, to sunder Priestes from their Wives: Scorta pudicis Coniugibus: Scupra, Incestus, Adulteria casto Connu- bio praefert: He placeth Strumpettes before honest Wives: and Fornication, Incest, Adulter- rie before chaste Marriage. Notwithstandinge your Doctour Hosius saith, Turpis Philippo videtur haec oratio: Catholicis autem honestissima: These wordes vnto Phil- lip Melancthon seeme shameful: but vnto the Catholiques they seeme moste Honest.

What neede we many wordes in so cleare a case? The whole practise of your Church, M. Hardinge, professeth the same. If a Priest marrie a Wife, ye suspende him: ye Excommunicate him: ye Depriue him: ye disquiet, and trouble the whole Church. But if he keepe a Concubine, one, two, or moe, ye are then contented, and ready, not onely to dissemble it, but also with fauour to excuse it. For so ye saie, as it is alleged before, Clericus Concubinarius in officijs vitandus non est, nisi sit notorius: A Priest, that keepeth a Concubine, onlesse he be very notorius, maie not be eschewed in his Seruice. Againe ye shal finde this Lesson specially noted in your Rubrike, as it is saide before, Qui non habet Vxorem, loco illius Concubinam debet habere: He, that hath not a Wife, in steede of her ought to haue a Concubine. Ye wil saie, There is an Errour in your printe: whiche thinge maie easily be graunted, specially the whole Booke be- inge otherwise so full of errours. But would God your errour in Life, and Doctrine were no greater. Howe be it, it foloweth immediatly in the same Decree, Is qui non habet Vxorem, & pro Vxore Concubinam habet, a Communionem non repellatur: Who so hath no Wife, and in steede of a Wife hath a Concubine, let him not be remoued from the

Eccle. 40.
Eccle. 41.
2. Pet. 2.

Hulderichus E-
piscop. Augusta-
nus. The same
Epistle is founde
in Ancient Re-
corde vnder the
name of
Volusianus
Episcopus
Carthagi-
nensis.

Concil. vVorma-
sien. Ex Aucto-
ritate in Petris
conuen. Confes.
Cap. 56.

Exord. De Coho-
bita. Clerico &
Mulier. ca final.
Abb.
Dis. 34. Is qui.

the Communion. In these wordes, I trowe, yee will say there is no errour.

Upon the Legantine Constitutions of Otho, wee maye finde these wordes noted in the Gloſe, Nunquid compelli poteſt Clericus Concubinam abiurare? Videtur, quod non. Reſolutio. Si Clericus duxit Vxorem de facto, eo caſu iuramentum exigitur: *Whether may a Priest be forced to forſweare his Concubine? It ſeemeth he may not, The reſolution hereof is this: If a Priest haue in deede married a wyfe in this caſe he is forced to forſake her by an othe. Therefore we may ſay to you, as S. Auguſtine ſaid ſometime to the Manichees, Non Concubitum, ſed, vt longè antè ab Apoſtolo dictum eſt, verè Nuptias prohibetis: Ye forbidde not Copulation: but, as it was long agoe foreſpoken by the Apoſtle, in deede ye forbid very marriage.*

Nowe, for as much, as ye say, Yee are no Angelles, and your infirmities ought too bee considered, and too bee borne withal, It shal not bee amisse, shortly too see, what your owne friendes haue thought of these Infirmities. And heere too passe ouer other Authorities, your owne Doctor the Bishoppe of Bitonto, being present at your late Chapter at Tridente, of your whole Single Life, which pee call Chastitie, pronounceth thus: Quibus turpitudinum monstris, qua sordium colluuię, qua peste non sunt corrupti, non foedati in Ecclesia sancta Dei, & Populus, & Sacerdos? A Sanctuario Dei incipite, Patres, si vllus iam pudor, si vlla pudicitia, &c. Dicunt in corde impio, & Ore impuro, Non est Deus: With what monsters of filthinesse, with what villanie, with what pestilence are they not corrupted, and defiled in the church of God, both the people, and the Priest? My Lordes, begin euen with the Sanctuarie of God; if there be any shame, if there bee any honest life. They say with wicked hart, and filth by mouth, There is no God.

An other sayth, *Propter multitudinem luxurie, alia vitia quasi non peccata reputantur:*
For the multitude of Lecherie (that is in 10 places) other faultes are taken for no sinne.

An other faith, Defecit iam omnis Disciplina, & Religio in Cardinalibus: Et tres radices
vitiū Superbia, Auaritia, Luxuria, validissimè dominantur: In the Cardinallies nowe all
Discipline, and Religion is decayed: And three rootes of Sinnes, Pride, Couetousnesse, and Le-
chery doe most mightily preuaile. S. Bernard faith, Fingunt, se amore castitatis ista dicere, cum Bernar. in
ea magis causa turpitudinis fouendæ, & multiplicandæ adinuerint: They beare vs in hande, Cantic. Ser-
that they speake these things for loue of Chastitie: Whereas in deede they haue deuised the same, to mon. 66.
the end to nourish, and to encrease their filthinesse. This D. Harding, is your Infirmitie, which, The. 2. parte.
in your iudgement, Courtesie, and Charitie, we ought to beare withal. the 8. Chap.

The places of S. Cyprian, S. Basile, S. Chrysostome, S. Ambrose, S. Hierome, and others, *the. i. Division*
that may seeme much to make with you in this case, and to condemne this kinde of marriage, *Dist. 27.*
are weighed, & answered befoze in a place more conuenient. Certily, S. Augustine saith, *Quidam*
uiter peccant, qui tales diuidunt: They sinne grievously, that diuide them, that be so married.

Where yee say, there be many thousandes of common Harlots in Rome, wee thinke there bee many there in deede. Whether there be many thousandes, weedout, What number soeuer there is, they be too many. Those Courteghianes the Churche of Rome dooth (a) tolerate, not nourishe, trusting and looking, that by sermons, exhortations, and other conuenient meanes, they may be called backe to repentance, and to the way of saluation.

That the Pope gathereth about a thirty thousand Ducates yearly of these Countreghianes by way of an annual pension, which these Defenders affirme, (b) it is vnterly false. Cornelius Agrippa, who fauoured not the See of Rome, and was imbued with Heresies, that sprang vp in his time, was the first Author of this slander. If they pay the common taxes, which bee leuiod to the Pope who only hath ciuill Dominion ouer that Citie, they (c) pay not for that they may be suffered to continew that sinful trade of life, but so as the whole City payeth by polles, for that where they haue their abode, & habitation.

First it is common to al greate Cyties in those hote Countries, not too banishe from among them that filthy generation of harlottes, not by way of suffering, as a thing commendable in it self, but for the avoyding of a greater mischief. As (d) God suffered the harde necked Jewes too hate their enemies, and pinche them with vsuries. Lykewise Moyse permitted a libel of diuorce, not that these thinges were honest, but to the intent by that meanes yet they might the rather loue their Brethré, and lende them mony freely, and absteyne from murdering theyr wyues. Euen so men nowe adayes so little apply theyr endeuour to restrayne the prouocke which is in their fleshe too al riot and carnal concupiscence, that if some way were not (at the least concerning outwarde and ciuil punishment)

(a) Not nourish,
but tolerate. A
proper excuse &
a fore punishment
of open violence.

(b) Vernath. For
it is most true, as
it shall appear.

(c) *Manifestation*—
manifest. For unless
they pay, they
shall not receive.

(d) The Pope
may dispense as
well as God.

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

Fornication better
then Marriage.

408

The Defense of the Apologie of the

(c) This is a
by Catholique
Divine.

(f) S. Augustine
wrote these
Bookes, de Or-
dine, before hee
was baptizd.

(g) A vile van-
ity, They are
not necessary.

(h) Vntrushe. For
the Cardinales
sheweth say,
Habitant in-
signes Aedes.

(i) Vntrushe. For
the Cardinales
say,

Mula ve-
hantur.

(k) Vntrushe. For
the Cardinales say,
Incedunt ut
Matronae.

(l) This is one
of the Cardinal
vertues of
Rome, to take
volle of Bandes.

(m) Vntrushe,
See the An-
swer.

(e) winked at, whereby the fleshly men might obtaine some part of their vnruly desire: it were more then likely, that in this great decay of vertue in general, the furious rage of that vice would leaue nei- ther wedlocke vndeiled, nor virginity vnassaulted, nor a woorse enterprise, which nature abhorreth, vnattempted. Would God experience had not taught many Countreies this to be to true an obseruatiō.

S. Augustine heereof saith, (f) *Quid sordidius, &c.* What can bee saide more vncleane, more voide of comelinesse, more full of turpitude, then harlots, baudes, and such otherlike pessilences? Take harlots from among men, ye shal disturbe al thinges with lecherous lustes. Put the same in the steele of Matrones, ye shal dishonest (al thinges) with spot and shame. And why is the Bishop of Rome to be blamed for that they be in Rome, more then the Frenche King, the King of Spaine, or any other Prince, for suffering them in their dominions?

It remaineth, wee seeke whether they haue also the lowest and vilest place in that Citie, or no: lest perhaps although they be a (g) necessary euil, yet being promoted about their degree, they make an euil shewe in the body, where they rest. Their places may bee considered twoo wayes, in respect of the Temporal, or of the Ecclesiastical order or lawe. For Temporal order thus they stande: Not too haue free libertie of dwelling in the most haunted streates, and Palaices, where them list, but (h) only to be in such corners, and bylanes, and smal outhouses, as are most fitte shops for the vile marchan- dize of such occupiers. Againe they cannot without a very great forfeite ride in (i) Coches, or Chari- ottes, as Matrones there doo, but are constrained either to keepe their homely homes, or too walke a foote in the strectes. And then also by order they must be (k) in such apparel, that as Iewes by their red cappes, so they by their shorte vailles (a note of dishonestie) be knowen too al, and bee subiect too all shrewdnesse of the boyes of the strectes, who vse commonly to mocke and reuile them.

And heere I can not but mislike with that malice which appeareth to bee in the makers of this A- pologie. For (l) it is a vertue in the Prince to set great burdens and paymentes vpon so filthy a profes- sion, to the ende hee may feare Women from it, and make them the sooner weary of it, &c. In good sooth maisters ye are too yong to control the Citie of Rome in her dooings.

Besides al this, if in that sinneful state they continewe to their end without repentance, (m) it is notlawful for them to make any testament or last wil for bestowing of their goods, but as condem- ned and infamous persons, they must leaue al too bee confiscated and disposed at the Princes pleasure. But on the other side, if they turne and repent, there are houses called Monasteries of the Cōuertes, and special prouision and discipline for them, where they are taught howe too bewaile their vnchaste life so sinnefully past ouer.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Touching the number of your Courtghianes of Rome, whether they be twenty thousand, or more, or lesse, we will not strue. They bee leased out in fee ferme: The Popes rentes are al- wates certaine. For Fornication is fineable in Rome, and a good saleable kinde of stone. But this (you saie) is another Lie, as false, and as slanderous, as the rest. For (see saie) they pay no yeerely pension for their sufferance in that trade of Life: but only the cominō taxes, which are like wise raised vpon other Citizens. Whether it be so, or no, I. Harding, it is sufficient for you, so to saie. Other- wise, the Pope raising such fines vpon Vauerie, might wel be thought to bee the Vaude.

Howe bee it, your owne Doctours betrein will soone condemne you. For thus it is written Confr. Ordo. by them in your owne Glose: Videtur, quod crimen Meretricij Ecclesia sub dissimulatione nis. De Con- transire debeat. Nam & Marechallus Papæ de facto exigit tributum à Meretricibus: It cubinis Cle- seemeth, that the Church ought to dissemble the fault of Whoerdom: For the Popes Marshal in ricor. pemo- deede receiveth a tribute, or pension of Whoores.

Whereas pee saie, Cornelius Agrippa was the first Author of this slander, it is untrue. Ioā. Andreas For your owne Glose last before alledged, auoucheth it by the Authortie of Iohannes An- dreas, a notable Canoniste, that liued wel neare twoo hundred yeres, before Agrippa was Anno. 1348. borne. And Nicolaus de Clauengijs, that liued in the time of the Emperour Sigismunde, in Nicolau. de his Booke entituled, De Corrupto Ecclesia statu, hath one special Chapter. De Exactioni- bus pro Concubinis tolerandis, Of exactions for the suffering of Concubines.

In Paralipo. But al this pee wipe away easily with one word. For pee saie, The Courtghianes in Rome Vrisspergen. pay these impositions, not as a portion of their vntuifull gaines, but only as a punishment for their Anno. 1417. wicked life. For it is not lawful there to commit sinne freely, without payement. And thus is the matter of your parte wel defended.

14. qua. 5. Quod verò. So it is noted vpon your Decrees, Quod dicitur: Sacerdos non potest per- cipere mercedem prostibuli: potest dici, quod non debet recipi Oblatio Meretricis: licet

licet Eleemosyna possit inde fieri: *Whereas it is saide, The Priestie maie not receive the rewarde, or Oblation of the Stewes, wee maie saie, that the Oblation of an Harlot maie not be received: notwithstandinge wee maie make an Almhouse of the same. That is to saie, A Harlottes monie maie be taken by the waie of Almhouse, but not by the waie of Oblation. Thus are we taught, and it becometh us to believe, that the Pope maie live, not by the Oblations of his Courtighians, but by theire Almhouse.*

Howe, for as muche as M. Hardinge would be so faine have this mater to passe by the name of a pounishment of theise il weemenne, I beseeche the, good Reader, to consider, howe graueously, and with what extremitie, and rigoure of Lawe they have districed, to have them pounished. In a Prouincial Councel holden at Oxforde it is writtten thus, Concubinae Sacerdotum moneantur ab Archidiacono, &c. Let Priestes Concubines be warned by the Archdeacon &c. If they wil not amende, then let them be forbidden to Kisse the Pax, and to take Holy Breade in the Church. With sutch extremitie, and crueltie they keepe the people from dwinge il.

Constitu. prouin. Edmundi Cantuar. Archiepiscopi.

It was neither for your profession, M. Hardinge, nor for your grauitie, to be comme a Proctoure for the Stewes. Although it might wel become Leontium, an Heathen Courtighiane of lewde conditions, that waie to bestowe her witte, and Eloquence againste Theophrastus, in the defense of that filthy state, yet maie not the same likewise become a Christian man, and a professoure of Diuinitie. We calle your Courtighians a Necessarie Evil: but by what Authozitie of the Scriptures, I cannot tel. That the whole trade, and life of them is il, we take it, as your graunt: But, that they be Necessarie in any Christian Common wealth, I reckon it very harde for you to proue. We allege the heate of the Countrie: as if that were a sufficient warrante for your Stewes. And yet, I trowe, the towne of Louaine, and the Countrie of Brabante, where ye nowe inhabite, is not so hote. The heates of Iewrie are thought farre to passe al the heates of Italie. Yet God saithe vnto the Iewes, Non erit vlla Meretrix de filiabus Israel: nec Scortator de Filijs Israel: There shalbe no Whoore of the Daughters of Israel: Nor Whoorekeeper of the Sonnes of Israel. And euen nowe, where so euer the Gospel of Christe is openly, and freely receiued, not withstandinge the heate of the Countrie, your Stewes, and Bordelles stee awaie, as the night cloudes befoze the Sunne. But after that your Priestes were once forbidden laweful Marriage, then was it needeful, that your Necessarie il shoulde comme in place. Howe be it, S. Paule saithe, Let vs not doo il, that good maie folowe. For inste is the damnation of them, that so saie.

Deutero, 23.

Roman, 3.

But S. Augustine standeth ful of your side: S. Augustine hathe writtten in the behouise of the Stewes: S. Augustine saithe, Take Harlottes awaie from amonge menne, and yee shal al the Countrie with ribaudrie, and villanie. In orde the very name of S. Augustine is great, and Reuerende. But what if S. Augustine, when he wrote these wordes, were not S. Augustine? What if he wrote that Booke, De Ordine, beinge as yet a very yonge man, and but a Pouce in the Faith: not yet wel instructed: not yet Baptized in the name of Christe: him selfe as yet keepinge a Concubine, and lisinge in whooredome? Shal sutch a one, so yonge, and so youtheful, goe for a Saincte? Or shal his bare name, and vnseasoned fantasies stande you in steede, to proue your Stewes?

Augustin, De Ordine, Lib, 2.

Vertily, the same S. Augustine, beinge afterwarde fully instructed, and Christened, saithe thus, Istam in vsu scortatorum terrena Civitas licitam fecit turpitudinem: The worldly Citie (not the Church of God) hath made this filthinesse of harlottes to be laweful. And Ludouicus Vives, writtinge vpon the same, saithe, Satis aperte Augustinus testatur, Iure Civili veteri Romano multa esse permilla, quae sint contraria Legibus Diuinis. Hoc isti volunt, qui, dum Gentilitatem coniungere, & coaptare Christianismo laborant, corrupto vtroque, & alterius impatiente, nec Gentilitatem, nec Christianismum retinent: S. Augustine plainely witnesseth, that by the olde Civile Romaine (and Heathen) Lawe, many thinges were suffered, whiche were contrarie to the Lawes of God. This thinge wil not these menne allowe, who while they studie (as you, M. Hardinge, doe) to ioine Heathennesse, and Christianitie bothe together, bothe beinge corrupted,

August. De Civitate, Lib, 14. Cap. 18. Ludo. Vives in Libru De Civitate, Li. 14. ca. 18.

Bernard in Can-
tic, Sermon, 66.

and the one not standinge with the other, keepe now neither Heathennesse, nor Christianitie.

Therefore wee make mutche better exchange these wordes of S. Augustine, spoken in his poutbe, before he had wel learned to speake: and make mutche better sale thus, Permite Lupanaria: & implebis omnia libidinibus: Suffer, and allowe the Stewes: and yee shal fil al the Countrie with Ribaudrie, and Villanie. And in this sense S. Bernarde saith, Tolle de Ecclesia honorabile Coniugium, & thorum immaculatum: Nonne repleas eam Concubinarijs, incestuosis, seminifluis, mollibus, masculorum Concubitoribus, & omni denique genere immundorum? Take once from the Church honorable Marriage, and the Bedde undefiled: and dooste thou not fil the same Church ful of brothelles, keepinge Concubines, &c. and with al sortes of filthy persons.

Further yet saie, And wherefore is the Bishop of Rome more to be blamed for maintenance of his Stewes, then the Frenche Kinge, or the Kinge of Spaine? This is but a simple reason for a Doctour of Divinitie. It is an olde sawinge:

Nil iuuat exemplum, quod litem lite resoluit.

Christe hade not his Apostles, to be leade by the example of worldly Princes. If it be il in them, it is mutche worse in him, that woulde be called the Alcare of Christe, the Heade of the Church, and the Holy one of Israel.

An other parte of their punishment is (as you tel vs) that they maie not ride sumptuously in Coches, or Charettes, or dwel in the open faire streetes, and in the sight of the Cittie: but are forced to goe a foote, and to hide them selues in corners, and in outhouses, and bylanes: And that, by your description, in sutch simple, and poore, and beggerly sorte, as if they were the vilest, and ougliest of al the people, and had not a god clothe to couer their bodies. Certainly, M. Hardinge, your Courtighanes, if they vnderstande hereof, woulde thinke them selues litle beholden to sutch a Proctoure.

Peter Martyr,
in lib. iudicium
Cap. 16.

Doctoure Peter Martyr, of whom I cannot speake without greate reuerence, hauinge god cause to knowe the state of Rome, as selue menne better, herof writeth thus, Nunc, O Deus bone, quomodo Romæ coercentur Meretrices? Habent ornatissimas domos: vehuntur per publicum habitu principum: Sedent in equis gradarijs: habent secum Torquatos, & Laruatos Comites, interdum etiam Cardinales, præsertim noctu: & ancillarum sumptuosissimum gregem: Nowe a daies, O good God, howe are the Courtighanes punished in Rome? They dwel in the fairest houses: They are caried with honoure throughe the Cittie, as if they were Ladies: They are mounted on amblinge palfraies: They are attended with chains of golde, and persons disguised, and sometimes Cardinales, and specially in the night season: and haue a sumptuous sorte of Maidens to waite vpon them.

Concilium Deles-
torum Cardi-
nalisum Concil.
tom. 3, pag. 823.

If ye shal happen to doubt M. Martyrs repozte in this behalfe, yet I truste ye wil geue somme credite to your owne friends, the Cardinales of the Church of Rome. Their wordes hereof be these: In hac etiam Vrbe Meretrices, vt Matrones, incedunt per Vrbem seu Mula vehuntur: quas affectantur de Media die Nobiles, familiares Cardinalium, Clerici. Nulla in vrbe vidimus hanc corruptionem, præterquam in hac omnium exemplari. Habitant etiam insignes ædes: In this Cittie of Rome the Courtighanes passe through the streetes, or ride on their Mules, like honest Matrones, or Ladies: and in the middest of the daie, Noble menne, the Cardinales deere friends, and Priestes attende vpon them. Wee neuer sawe sutch corruption, but onely in this Cittie, which is the example, and paterne of al others. Moreover they dwel in faire and notable houses. This Information was presented vnto Pope Paule the thirde by certaine of his grauest Cardinales, appointed thereto by special Commission.

Anno, 1558.

Nowe, good Christian Reader, I beseeche the, consider the Consozmittle of these tales. M. Hardinge saith, The Courtighanes of Rome goe onely a foote. These Cardinales saie, They ride on their Mules. M. Hardinge saith, They haue a special apparel of dishonestie, to be knowne by: These Cardinales saie, They goe, or ride, as honest Matrones, or great Ladies, or Noble Weemenne. M. Hardinge saith, They be despised, and reuiled of the people: These Cardinales saie, They haue Priestes, Noble men, and the Cardinales friends to attende vpon them. M. Hardinge saith, They dwel onely in our houses, and backe lanes: These

These Cardinales sale, Habitant in signes xdes: They dwell in faire, and notable Houses. So many Anticities it is no harde mater for M. Hardinge to utter in so shorte a tale. Howe, beinge so fine Dames, and so richly attired, it were greate schandour to sale, They serue onely for Verettes, or common rascalles. If the reporte be true, vpon Twelue daye at night, in the yere of our Lorde, 1564. there were sene Sentenē Coches of Courteghianes arrivinge togetther euen into the Popes owne Palace. If any man shal thinke this report incredible, yet Luitprandus, of the like breede, saith thus, Lateranense Palatium, Sanctorum quondam hospitium, nunc est Prostitutum Meretricum: The Popes Palace at Laterane, sometime the harbour of Holy Sainctes, is nowe become a stewe of Whoeres.

Anno, 1564.

Luitprandus,
Lib. 6. Cap. 6.

An other parte of theire punishmente, ye sale, is this, That as condemned, and infamous persons, at their ende they can make no Testamente, nor take order with that they haue. If this were true, it were a god token that the Pope is Couetous to haue theire goddes, moze then desirous to saue theire Soules. Howe be it, the beste Learned, and mozte notable Canonistes, that ye haue, affirme the contrarie. For yzouise wher of Reade Cynus Pistoriensis in L. Cum te. C. De Conditione ob turpem causam: Reade Baldus L. 1. C. in eodem capite: Reade Bartholus in L. Idem. ff. eodem Ca. Abbas Panormitane after longe disputation had touchinge this mater, thus saith his Judgemente in the ende: Conclude ergo ex omnibus premisis, quod Meretrix turpiter non recipit dona, vel promissionem: & quod potest de illis ad libitum disponere: Of al these premisses conclude you thus, That a Harlot maie receiue rewardes, or promisses, without infamie, or shame (of the Lawe): and that of the same shee maie dispose at her pleasure. Therefore, M. Hardinge, this tale woulde haue benne better studied. For this punishmente, that ye haue here imagined, by the Judgement of the Learned, is no punishmente at al.

Cynus Pistorien.
Baldus.
Bartholus.
De Immunita.
Ecclesiast. Ca. 14.
Abb.

In good sooth Sirs, ye sale further, Ye are to yonge too Controlle the Citie of Rome in her dooings. Yet, in the rebukinge of open vice, noman ought to be thought too yonge. But of what age then are you M. Harding, that are habile thus to defende the Citty of Rome in open Whoredome? Primasius saith, Nemo periculosius peccat, quam qui peccata defendit: Noman sinneth with more danger, then he, that standeth in defense of Sinne. S. Paule saith, Let noman deceiue you with vaine wordes. For because hereof the anger of God commeth vpon the Children of infidelitie. Be not therefore partakers with them.

Primasius ad
Roman. Cap. 2.
Epist. 5.

And wherreas you sale, This policie is thought necessarie, for the eschewing of a greater ill, your Lawe telleth you, Abijcienda sunt falsa remedia, quæ Veris, & manifestis periculis sunt grauiora: VVe muste abandon vaine remedies, that are more greuous, then the true, and manifeste dangers.

Extra, De Cele-
bra, Miss. De
homine.

S. Augustine saith, Non vult Deus tale lucrum compensari tali damno: God wil not haue sutch a gaine to be recompensed with sutch a losse. S. Paule saith, as we haue alleged before: They saie, Let vs doo il, that good maie folowe. But he addeth withal, Therefore iuste is theire Damnation. Tertullian saith, Lupanaria execrabilia sunt coram Deo: Stewes are accursed before God. And therefore to conclude, the Emperoure Iustinian notwithstandinge al your Permisses, and Policies, strictly commaundeth, that Harlottes be bannished out of al Townes.

Roman. 3.
Tertullian, De
Anima.
Arben, De Les
monibus.

But here, god Christian Reader, this one thinge I beseeche the vprightly, and indifferently to consider: what thinge wil not these menne defende, that can thus boldly defende confessed filthinesse: Or, when wil they resourme the Church of God, that in so longe time cannot yet resourme theire open Stewes?

These Harlottes (ye sale) sometimes repente them, and amende theire liues. God of his mercie graunte, that you, M. Hardinge, maie once do the like: Leste the wordes of the Prophete faile vpon you, Frons Meretricis facta est tibi. God graunte, that Harlottes, and Sinners goe not before you in the Kingdome of God,

Hierem. 3.
Matthæ. 23.

M. Hardinge.

That ye tel of a VVoman named Ioane, bearinge the worlde in hande, she was Pope of Rome, it is a fonde and a vaine fable. VVere ye so wise, as ye be malicious, ye would neuer haue brought your credite in hazarde by reportinge sutch vanitie. This I accompte for one of your accustomed lies. By this men maie iudge, what litle store of true thinges yee haue to obiecte againste vs. VVho listeth

**Dame
Iohane
the Pope.**

412

The Defense of the Apologie of the

(a) Onuphri^s is a man yet liuinge, hired of purpose to face out this matter. (b) Semiramis, beinge a womā was of longe time taken for a man. Yet mennes eies were not bewitched. (c) God hath suffered others as very mōsters to sitte in that See, as euer was Pope Iohane. (d) Vntruth. For there were others before him, that wrote the same.

* Vntruthes, twoo together.

(e) Vntruthes. 2. Reade the Answaere.

(f) This Diuiser dwelt in Rome, & liued aboue five hundred yeres agoe.

(g) Vntruth. For they liued 500. yeres before vs: and were the beste writers of that time.

Not Registered.

to see a Learned discourse written hereof, him maie it please to reade the annotations, of (a) Onuphrius Pamunius vpon *Platina, de vitis pontificum*, printed in Venis. And he shal easily belecue the whole matter to be fabulous.

After Anastasius, they that in their writings recite an exacte rewe and order of Popes, as Adamar, and Annonius of Paris, Regino, Hermannus Schafnaburgensis, Otho Frisingensis, Abbas Vrspergensis, Leo Bishop of Hostia, Iohannes of Cremona, and Godfridus Viterbiensis, of whiche some wrote three hundred, some foure hundred yeres paste, al these make no mention at al of this VVoman Pope Ioane. Againe there be in *Bibliotheca Palatina*, at Rome, sixe or seuen tables of the Popes names written in sundry bookes, before the time of Innocentius the fourth. Mary in the margin of Pandulphus this fable is put in betweene Leo the fourth, and Benedict the thirde, written in a hande farre different from the olde characters of that Auncient Booke, added by somme man of later time. VVhiche maketh the matter to be the more suspected, and taken for a fable.

Though men had at that time ben so farre bewitched, and distract of their fine wittes, (b) as they could not haue knowne a woman from a man, (which no wise man I wene beleueth), yet (c) it is not to be thought, that God him selfe, who appointed and ordeined the Seate of Peter, wherof he would the whole Church to be directed, would departe so farre from his merciful prouidence, towarde the Church, as to suffer the same to be polluted by a woman, whiche is not of capacitie for holy orders.

(d) The first Authour of this fable was one Martinus Polonus, a Monke of the order of Cisterce: VVho wrote longe after the time that Pope Iohane is sayned to haue liued in. VVhose manner of writinge if wee consider, wee shal finde it vaine, and nothinge like to be true. It beginneth thus, *Iohannes Anglicus natione, Maguntinus, sedit annos duos, mensem unum, dies quatuor, &c.* Iohn an Englishe man by Nation, of Maguntia, sate (in the Romaine See) twoo yeres, one moneth, and foure daies, aliās, five monethes, and three daies. VVhat a foolishhe speache is this, an Englishe man Maguntine, or of Maguntia? It foloweth in the fable, as the saide Martine telleth. This (as it is saide) was a VVoman, and in the younge age of a girle, she was brought to Athenes by one that was her Louer in a mans apparel, and there profited so muche in diuise sciences, as none was founde to be compared with her. To Athenes was shee brought, saithe the fable. And why? For learninge. Very wel. VVhereas at that time * neither any Athenes stode, * neither was there any place of learninge there any lenger: but al the countrie of Attica became Barbarous, and vterly voide of learninge, as we vnderstande by the writers of that time. The authour reporteth, that from thence she came to Rome, and there professed learninge openly, and had great Doctours to her Scholers, and for opinion of learninge and good life, by one consente of al was made Pope. VVhiche is soone confuted as false: (e) for there was no learninge at those daies openly professed at Rome, as (e) the Stories declare.

It foloweth in the fable. Beinge in the Popedome she is begotten with childe of her Seruaunt. And not knowinge the time of her deliuerance, as she wente from S. Peters to Laterane, streined with paines, betweene Collosseo and S. Clementes Church, she broughte forth, and died, and was (as they saie) there buried. Here is to be marked, howe the (f) deuifer of this fable bewrayeth him selfe, and thinketh the matter harde to be beleued. And therefore in the beginninge saithe, she was a VVoman (*ut asseritur*) as folke saie: and nowe he saithe, she was buried, *ut dicitur*, as it saide. So he dothe not affirme it to be true, but referreth al to herefaie. By whiche testimonie lies commonly be soothed.

And as it is false, that euer there was any suche Pope, so is it not true, that ye note in the margin of your booke touchinge the image, whiche ye saie it is to be seene yet in Rome, resemblinge that woman Pope lyinge in traaille. The image, whiche ye meane, I haue seene my selfe at Rome, grauen in a stone, after the manner of a tombe stone, pitched vpright not farre from the Colosseo. It resembleth no suche thinge ye speake of.

Neither is it of any more truth, whiche some haue ignorantly written of the Popes refraininge to go that waie, when he goeth thereby in solemne processions: nor that which I haue reade in some of your (g) Schoolefelowes preuissie bookes of the stoole of easement, that is at Laterane made of faire porphyry stone, whiche they haue reported to be kepte there for an vnseemely vse at the creation of the Popes, for proufe of their *Humanitie*. Thus, wee truste, wee haue brought your greate matter of Pope Ioane to nought.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, in your Iudgemente, is an other of our fowle shameful accustomed Lies. And that ye proue by the Autho^ritie of one Onuphrius, one of the Popes late pages, specially hired, and procured, to healde out this matter. Of the Truthe, and certaintie hereof, we shal consider further in the ende. Nowe let vs examine this Petwe Coniectural Doctour with his gheastes.

Firste, he saithe, Dame Iohane is not registred in the Calendare emonge the Popes: Ergo, it is but a vaine fable, that euer Dame Iohane was Pope in Rome. This Coniecture, M. Hardinge, is very simple. For I doubt not, but ye maie wel remember, that Bishoppes names, as wel in Rome, as otherwheres, haue vpon sundrie occasions benne oftentimes quite striken out of the Calendare.

So was Chrysostomes name vpon displeasure, quite striken out of the Table of

In Vita
han. 8.

of the Bishoppes of Constantinople, as if he had neuer benne Bishoppe there.

And Chrysostome him selfe, wryttinge vnto Cyriacus in his owne defense, saith thus, Siquidem hoc feci, expungatur nomen meum ex albo Episcoporum: & non scribatur in Libro Orthodoxæ Fidei: If I haue thus done, let my name be rased out of the Bishoppes Calendare: and let it not be registred in the Booke of the Catholike Faith.

And afterwarde his name beinge so defaced, and rased out, was restored againe by Theodotus at the vehemente requeste, and instance of the people. So Acacius, the Bishop of Constantinople, rased out the name of Pope Felix of Rome. So Innocentius, the Bishop of Rome, rased out the name of Arsacius the Bishop of Constantinople. And this is it, that S. Augustine meaneth, wryttinge to the people of Hippo, Quid obest homini, quod ex illa Tabula non vult eum recitari humana Ignorantia, si de Libro viuorum non eum delet iniqua Conscientia? What hurte is that vnto a man, that the Ignorant people wil not suffer his name to be readde out of that Table, if wicked conscience blotte him not out of the Booke of Life?

So wryteth Iohannes de Parisijs of Pope Cyriacus: Cyriacus Papa renuntiavit officio, vt cum Vrsula, & Virginibus palmam Martyrij susciperet, Sed iste Cyriacus in Catalogo Paparum non annumeratur: quia credebant, ipsum non propter deuotionem, sed propter oblectamentum virginum dimisisse Episcopatum: Pope Cyriacus gaue ouer his Bishoprike, that with Vrsula, and other Maides he mighte receiue the Crowne of Martyrdome. But this Cyriacus is not reckened in the Calendare of the Popes: for that it was beleneed, he had geuen ouer his Bishoprike, not of deuotion, but for somme fantasie towards the Maides.

But, to comme neare the pourpose, & to the force of your gheasses, therefore was Pope Iohanes name leaste out of the Calendare of the Bishoppes of Rome, not for that there neuer was any sutch Pope there: but onely for shame, lest it should appere in recoorde, & afterwarde be reported for euer, that a wooman, and sutch a wooman, had benne Bishop of Rome: or, that the Bishop of Rome had benne with Childe.

So saith Marianus Scotus, Huius Iohannæ nomen Authores Pontificij non ponunt, propter turpitudinem rei, & muliebrem sexum: The Chroniclers, that wryte of the Popes, leaue out the name of Pope Iohane, bothe for the shame of the mater, and also for the Wooman kinde. So saith Martinus, Iohanna non ponitur in Catalogo Sanctorum Pontificum, tam propter Muliebrem sexum, quam propter deformitatem facti: Pope Iohanne is not reckened in the Calendare of the Holy Popes, as wel for that shee was a Wooman, as also for the shame of the mater. So saith Sabellicus, Nullus Defunctæ honor habitus. Fama tenet, ob tam foedæ rei memoriam: There was no honoure bestowed at her burial: The reporte is, for the remembrance of her filthy acte. So saith Iohannes de Parisijs: So saith Fasciculus temporum. Therefore this firste reason is but a very blinde Consecutur, and beareth no force.

Another of your gheasses is this: Menne had bene bewitched, and distracted of their wittes, if they coude not haue knowen a Vwoman from a Man. This reason, M. Hardinge, beareth as greate weight, and substance, as the former. For your owne Amphilo-chius, that wrote the Life of Thomas Becket, coulde haue tolde you, that Euphrosyna, that Holy Maide, dwelte fire and thirtie yeres in Monkes apparel emonge Monkes, and was neuer otherwise taken, but for a Monke.

Likewise Vincentius, and Petrus de Natalibus, and others your Writters, and Recoorders of fables, coulde haue tolde you, that Tecla sommetime adressed her selfe in Mannes apparel, and, had shee not benne forbidden by S. Paule, would haue folowed him in companie, as a Man. Rausius Textor wryteth the like of one Marina, that dissemblinge, what shee was, liued many yeres in an Abbie as a Monke. The like be wryteth of Lathenia, Axiothea, and others, that beinge Wæmen, came into the Schoule in Mannes apparel, to heare Plato. The like wryteth your owne fabulare Simeon Metaphrastes, of Eugenia. And if ye lacke other Authozities of truste, and credite, One of your owne Companions of Louaine auoucheth the same of Melania, and Papula. And yet, I thinke, he was not bewitched (as you saie) nor thorowly bereft of his wittes.

In Vita Chryso.
Chrys. Epist. 3.
ad Cyriacum.

Nicepho. Li. 14.
Cap. 16.

Gelasius ad E-
piscopos Dar-
danie.

Nicephor. Li. 13
Cap. 34.

Augu. Epist. 137.

Iohan. De Parisi-
ijs. De Potesta.
Regia. & Pas-
pali. Ca. 24.

Marian. Scotus.

Martinus Polon-
ius.

Sabellicus Eque-
nead. 9. Lib. 1.
Pag. 469.

Iohan. de Parisi-
ijs. De Potesta.
Regia. & Pas-
pali.

Fasciculus tem-
porum.

M. Hardinges
Amphilo-chius.

Vincentius. Li. 9
Cap. 47.

Petr. De Nata-
lib. Li. 8. Ca. 110.

Rausius Textor
in Officina: Mus-
lieries Virilem
habitu men-
tita.

Simeon Metaphr.

Dame
Iohane
the Pope.

*phrast. in En-
genia.
Coput. Diab. 2.
Pag. 236.
Anglicus.*

414

The Defense of the Apologie of the

The quarrel, that ye pike to the Name, and Surname, is nothinge els, but a chyl-
dishe caul. For shee was not called Iohane Englishe, by the name of the Countre,
for that shee was an Englishe Woman, borne in Englande, as you sul fondely ima-
gine, but onely by the Surname of her Father. So are there many knowne this
dale by the names of Scot, Irishe, Frenche, VVelshe, VVestfalinge, Norman, Gas-
coingne, Brabante, Hollande: and yet not borne in any of al these Countreies, but
onely in Englande. So Matthias Parisiensis, as it is supposed, notwithstandinge
his name, was borne in Bohemia.

Therefore, whereas ye woulde haue the wordes vaine, and without sense, to
be reade, & pointed thus, Iohannes Anglicus natione, Moguntinus, &c. Iohn an En-
glishe man by nation, of Moguntia, &c. ye please, and toise, and trifle vnseemely, and
moche pour Reader. For the wordes are cleare, and plaine, and lie thus: Iohannes
Anglicus, Natione Moguntinus, &c. Iohn Englishe, (so Surnamed) borne at Mens.

Goddess
Sufferance.

Further ye saie, God would neuer haue so forsaken Peters Chaire, as to suffer it to be pos-
sessed by a VVooman, whiche is not of capacite for Holy orders. This gheasse, M. Harding,
presumeth ouer far of Goddes Prouidence. And therefore Antoninus the Arche-
bishop of Florence, when he had opened this whole Stoise of Pope Iohane, beinge
astonned with the strangenesse, and admiration of the mater, coulde not refraine
him selfe from crieinge out, O altitudo Sapientiae, & Scientiae Dei. Quam incre-
dibilia sunt iudicia eius: O the Deapthe of the VVisedome, and knowledge of God.
Howe incredible be his iudgements? In like manner the Prophete Esaie crieth
out, Quomodo facta est Meretrix Ciuitas fidelis? Howe is that Faithful Cittie be-
come an Harlot?

*Antonin. Par. 2.
Ti. 16. Ca. 1. § 7.*

Esa. 1.

*In Epistola O-
thonis Imper.
ad Iohan. pp.
Platyn. in Ioh-
han. 13.*

*Luitprand. Li. 6
Cap. 6.*

*Antonin. par. 2.
Ti. 16. ca. 1. § 7.*

And why might not Pope Iohane, beinge a Woman, haue as good right, and in-
terest vnto the See of Rome, as afterwarde had Pope Iohn. 13. who, beinge Pope,
had wicked companie with twen of his owne Sisters: or others, whom for their hor-
rible vices, and wickednesse, Platyna calleth Monstra, & Portenta, Monsters againste
kinde, and il shapen Creatures? Luitprandus saith, as it is befoze reported, Latera-
nense Palatium nunc est prostibulum Meretricum: The Popes Palaice of Laterane
in Rome, is now become a Stewe of whoores.

Al this notwithstandinge, saith the Antoninus, Nulli ex hoc Salutis praeiudicium
fuit. Quia nec Ecclesia tunc fuit sine Capite, quod est Christus, vnde percipit influ-
xum Gratiae: Noman had hinderance of Saluation by meane hereof. For (although the
Pope were a Woman, yet) the Church was not without a Heade. For that Heade is
Christe: From Whom shee receiueth influence of Grace. Yea, although Antichriste grate
him selfe into the See of Rome, yet shal the Church of God neuertheless continue
vntill: Although in horrible confusion, and vnder greate persecution.

Further ye saie, To Athenes was shee brought, saith the Fable. And why? For Lear-
ninge. Very wel. VVhereas at that time, neither any Athenes stode, nor was there any place of
Learninge there any longer: but al the Countreie of Attica became Barbarous, as wee vnderstande by
the writers of that time.

Athenes.

The Cittie of Athenes was then ouerthrowen (ye saie) and Learninge there vtterly decayed.
And therefore al this is but a Fable, And god reason it is, that we belene you, for that
your Onuphrius hath so tolde you. But for your credites sake, M. Hardinge, leste
al menne espie your Vanitie, tel vs, by whome was that Noble, and so Populous,
and mighty Cittie of Athenes thus laide waste? By what Kinge? By what Ca-
ptaine? By what soaine nation? At what time? Howe longe agoe? Hauinge not
one worde Authour to allege, no not so mutche, as one, howe coulde ye pretende the
names of so many without blushing?

*Lumen. M89
ΣΕΙΟΥ ΕΛΛΑΔΟΣ
Ruffinus in A-
pologia pro O-
rigine: Apud
Hieron. Tom. 4.
Georgius Pa-
sirar. in vita
Iohannis.
Ruffin. li. 2. ca. 9*

The Cittie of Athenes had then continued welneare twelue hundred yeres:
And for knowledge in Philosophie, and renoume of Learninge, was called the Eie,
and Vniuersitie of al Gracia. Origen writeth of him selfe, that he was sometime
a Student in Athenes. Chrysostome beinge eightene yeres olde, for Lear-
ninges sake, was sente to Athenes. S. Basile, and his Brother Gregorie, after-
warde the Bishop of Nyssa, were Studentes at Athenes together thirtene
yeres.

pères. Pour owne Amphilocheus, whoes Authozitie ye make not in any wise de-
nie, saithe thus of S Basile, Apprehendit Matrem Literarum Athenas: He came to
Athenes, whiche is the Mother of Learninge. Boetius of Rome planted him selfe in
Athenes for Learninges sake, about the yere of our Lozde 520.

In the yere of our Lozde 680. the Bishop of Athenes was presente at the
Council holden at Constantinople, called Synodus Sexta. After that, in the yere of
our Lozde 742 at the seconde Council of Nice, a greate number of the Bishops of
Gracia were presente in their persones al together. Within fewe yeres after
whiche time, folowed Pope Iohane, in the yere of our Lozde 853.

Hitherto the whole Countrie, and Church of Gracia continued in safetie:
and the Emperours of Christendome made their continual aboade in those partes.
And haue you, M. Hardinge, so suddainely thzowen downe al together, bothe
Church, Countrie, Towne, & Castle, onely to kepe Pope Iohane from her Studie?

Ye saie, The whole Countrie of Attica was then become Barbarous. This tale is
your owne, and hangeth onely vpon your owne credite. Yet Platyna, Sabellicus,
and others moe, that wrote this Storie, and knewe, what they wrote, were not so
Barbarous. But Barbarous is he, M. Hardinge, that wil belæue your simple worde
without warrante.

At that time (ye saie) the Cittie of Athenes was not standinge. But Paulus Aemilius
saith, that longe after that time, in the Reigne of Philip the Frenche Kinge, Got-
tofredus one of the House of Tricasses, was appointed, and made Dux Athenarum,
& Princeps Achaia, The Duke of Athenes, and the Prince of Achaia. If there had
benne then no Athenes standinge at that time, as you telle vs, howe then coulde
Gottofredus haue benne made Duke of Athenes? If ye saie, as ye make do mutche
by your Coniectures, that, notwithstandinge the Cittie of Athenes were rased, and
made waste, yet the dignitie, or name of honoure remained stil, yet wll the same
Aemilius once againe reproue your Erroure. For, writinge afterwarde of certaine
Pirates, that had inuaded the Countrie of Gracia, he saith thus, In terram Atticam
delati, Athenarum Ducem Brenna Nobilitatis virum occiderunt, Urbemq; cepe-
runt: Inuadinge the Countrie of Attica, they tooke, and slewe the Duke of Athenes,
one of the house of Brennus, and tooke the Cittie.

In the yere of our Lozde, 1206. Michael Choniates, Brother vnto Nicetas Cho-
niates, was the Bishop of Athenes: Nicephorus Gregoras about the yere of our
Lozde, 1300. maketh mention of sundrie Dukes of Athenes: Leonicus, Chalcocon-
dyla saith, that one Izaulus, a ponge Gentleman of Italie, married the Duchesse of
Athenes, and gotte the Cittie: And, that the reste of the Gracians leued their pow-
ers againste the Atheniens: and that afterwarde about the yere of our Lozde, 1440.
Machomet the Emperoure of Turkie toke the Cittie of Athenes, and subdued it
vnto him selfe, and hauinge it in possession, maruelled at the bewtie of the Castle,
and at the force of the Walles.

These thinges happened, as it is easie to reckon, many hundred yeres after the
time of Pope Iohane. Nowe therefore, I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, consider indis-
creetly with your selfe: If there had benne no Cittie of Athenes then standinge,
howe could these Pirates then, howe could Izaulus, howe coulde Machomet inuade,
and take the Cittie of Athenes? Shal we saie, They leued an armie, and disquieted
the Countrie, and troubled them selues, and came so farre to catch a shadowe?

Thus hitherto it appeareth not, but if Dame Iohane would haue gonne to A-
thenes, there was some sufficiente to receiue her.

After that shee came to Rome, and there professed Learninge openly. This (you
saie) is soone confuted as false. For there was no Learninge at those daies professed at Rome, as the
Stories doo declare. This is very soone confuted, M. Hardinge: and specially by him,
that recketh so litle, what he saie. The Stories (you saie) doo declare it. Leave, leave this
Hypocrisie: dissemble nomore: It is not manly: Tel vs in god sothe, what Wri-
ters, what Stories declare these thinges: your credite faileth ouer mutche: your
worde is no sufficient warrante.

M. Hardinges
Amphilocheus.
Theodoric, Lt. 1.
In Epist. ad Boe-
thium.
It appeareth in
the subscripcons
of the 2. Nicene
Con. Anno. 742.

Paulus Aemilius
Lib. 6.
An. Domi. 1220.

Paulus Aemilius,
Lib. 8.
An. Dom. 1288.

Nicetas, pag. 74
Nicephor. Grego-
r. Lib. 4. c. 6.
Chalcocon. Li. 4.
Chalcocon. Li. 7.
Chalcocon. Li. 9.

No Vniuer-
sitie in Rome

Per safe, There was no Learninge in those daies professed in Rome. And why so? *Will* ye notwe saie of Rome, as ye earste saide of Athenes? *Will* ye saie, There was no Citie of Rome then standing: *Will* ye saie, Learning there was then vtterly decayed and the whole Countre becommen Barbarous? If there were no studie, nor place of Learninge then in Rome, where then was there any studie in al Christendome? For the Vniuersities of Parise, Orleance, Tolouse, Salerne, Padua, Bononia, Praga, Salamanca, were erected longe afterwarde. And therefore ye saie to saie, that al the whole worlde then was Barbarous.

But that ye make the better for your oversighte, S. Hierome, writtinge of Victorinus, and Donatus, saithe thus, Victorinus the Rhetorician, and Donatus the Grammarian, my Schoolemaisters, are accounted notable in Rome. And againe, he saithe, Victorinus natione Apher, Romæ sub Constantio Principe Rhetoricam docuit: Victorinus borne in Aphrica, taughte Rhetorique in Rome in the time of the Emperoure Constantius.

Erasmus, writtinge the Life of S. Hierome, saithe thus, Romam missus est, velut ad primariam eo sæculo tum Religionis, tum Eruditionis Magistram, Liberalibus studijs erudiendus: quod ipse declarat enarrans. 11. Caput Ezechielis: Hierome was sent to Rome, as to the Principal Schoolemaistresse in those daies, bothe of Religion, and also of Learninge: as he him selfe declareth, expoundinge the eleuenth Chapter of Ezechiel. S. Augustine saith, Egisti mecum, O Deus, vt mihi persuaderetur, Romam pergere, & potius ibi docere, quod docebam Carthagine: O God, thou mouedst my minde to goe to Rome, and there rather to professe openly the same Learninge, that I had professed at Carthage. And further he saith, Audiebam quietius studere ibi adolescentes, & ordinatiore Disciplinæ correctione sedari: I hearde saie, that yonge menne there wente more quietly to their bookes, and were keapte in orderly obedience to their studies.

And in the Tripartite worke ioined vnto the Councel of Laterane, ye make finde the Stoies hereof recordez thus: Primò Athenis Romam translatum est studium Philosophicum: Deinde Roma Parisios tempore Caroli, vt dicunt Historix: First the studie of Philosophie was translated from Athenes, to Rome: and afterwarde, as the Stoies saie, in the time of the Emperoure Charles, it was translated from Rome, to Paris.

What shal I moze saie? The Pope him selfe in his owne Decretalles saithe, Rome is an Vniuersitie, These be his wordes, Curia Romana habet Studium Generale, & eius privilegia: The Court of Rome hath an Vniuersal Studie, and the Privileges of the same. And againe, Pope Clemens saith, In Studijs Romanæ Curia, Parisijs, Oxonij, Bononiæ, Salamancæ debent esse Professores Linguarum, Hebraicæ, Chaldaicæ, Arabicæ: In the Vniuersities of Rome, of Parise, of Oxforde, of Bononie, and of Salamanca, there muste be Professours of the Hebrew, Chaldee, and Arabique tongues.

To be shorte, Theodoricus Niemus, sometime the Popes Secretarie, & therefore hauinge god cause, to knowe the truthe hereof, and, for ought that I knowe, hauinge no cause to dissemble, of this whole mater writeth thus: Et nunc est Titulus, Cardinalis prædictæ Scholæ. Et olim in ea legebantur Septem Artes Liberales, & præcipue Rhetorica: & etiam in ea legit quandoque Beatus Augustinus: & Iohannes, vocatus de Anglia. Et fuit Mulier de Maguntia nata, quæ studuit Athenis sub virili habitu: & in tantum profecit in Artibus, vt tandem veniens Romam, per biennium in eadem Schola Artes Liberales legerit: & adeo sufficiens fuit, vt etiam Maiores, & Nobiliores vrbis eius Lectiones frequenter audiuerint. Et postea in Papam eligitur, &c. Euen nowe, a Cardinal beareth the Title of the saide Schoole, or Vniuersitie of Rome. And in olde times the Senen Liberal Sciences, and specially Rhetorique, were readde in the same. There also sometime readde S. Augustine: and one, that was called Iohn of Englande. This Iohn was a Woman, borne at Mens (in Germanie) and had studied at Athenes, in the apparel of a Man: And had so profited in the Artes, that at laste comminge to Rome, twoo yeeres together shee professed the Liberal Sciences in the same Schoole. And her gifte in teachinge was suche, that the Elders, and Nobles of the Citie resorted often to heare her readde. Afterwarde shee was chosen Pope, &c.

Thus manie waies, M. Hardinge, haue wee soude an Vniuersitie, or Schoole in Rome:

Hierony. in Ap-
pendice Anna-
lium Eusebij.
Hierony. in Ca-
talogo scriptor.
Illustrum.

Erasmus in Vi-
ta Hieronymi.

August. Confess.
Lib. 5. Cap. 8.

In opere Tri-
part. Lib. 2. ca. 10

Extra. De Privi-
legijs. Li. 4. Cum
de diuersis. In
sexto.
Clemens. Lib. 5.
De Magistris.

Theodoric. Nie-
mus. Citatur ab
Illustro in Testi-
bus Veritatis.

in Rome: and no cause to the contrarie, but Dame Iohane, beinge so wel learned, as it is saide, mighte wel be a Reader there.

But yee saie, VVhy keapte shee not her selfe in? Her misdresse mighte better answer this question. But what if she were suddainely prevented before her time, as many be? Or, what if God woulde haue sutch a mater so openly knowne, to the everlastinge Detestation, either of the Person, or of the Place? Certainly, Antoninus the Archbishop of Florence, beinge astonished with the horroure hereof, as it is saide before, crieth out, *O the deapthe of the wisdom, and knowledge of God. O howe unspeakable be his Judgements?*

The Authour hereof (saie you) durste not to auouche the mater for an vndoubted Truthe: but onely saith, *Vt asseritur*, as it is saide: and so referreth al the whole to hearesaie. Notwithstandinge, M. Hardinge, many there be, that waite this Storye, as a certaine Truthe, without doubtinge, or credite of hearesaie. And Platyna, al be it he woulde not same to auouche ouer mutche, for the honour of the See of Rome, yet thus he saith, *Quod ferè omnes affirmant: Whiche thinge in a manner al menne doo affirme.* And Raulsius Textor saith, *Scitum est ex Chronicis, & à Maioribus scriptum: It is knowne by the Chronicles, and written by our Elders.* It is knowne, saith one: It is affirmed in a manner by al menne, saith an other. But for the space of eighte hundred yeres, and more, there was no Writter, I trowe, so impudente, that woulde condemne it for a Fable, as you doe, and bitterly denie it to be true.

Touthinge the Marble Image representinge a woman in childe bearinge, and the Popes suddaine breakinge of his waie, in his solemne procession, lette eche Man weighe theise thinges, as him listeth. But, where ye compare that Image to one of the greates ragge stoanes at Stonage, or Longe Compton, as if it were vnterly broken, and without shape, yee seme wilfully to auouche, as your manner is, a great Antitruthe. Merily, Theodoricus Niemus, the Popes Secretarie, saith, *Et adhuc vetus Statua Marmorea, illic posita figuratiue monstrat (hoc factum).* Vnde Summi Pontifices, dum ad Lateranum de Basilica Principis Apostolorum, & contra vadunt, illud iter rectum non faciunt. Imò per alias vias per indirectum transeunt, illud aliquantulum prolongando: *Euen vnto this daie, an olde Marble Image there erected, vnder a Figure sheweth this mater.* Therefore the Popes, when they passe from S. Peters Church to Laterane, or backwarde, they take not their iorney straigh forth, but passe vndirectly by other streetes, makinge their waie somewhat the longer: Leaste they shoulde be forced to their shame to beholde that Image. This therefore is not an olde VVines dreame, as you saie, but the Judgemente of sundrie the wiseste, and beste Learned in Rome, who might beste knowe the matter.

As for the Chaire of Porphyrie stoane, whiche other wheres ye cal the Chaire of ease, it is too vble to be answered. Sabellicus saith, *Spektatur adhuc in Pontificia domo Marmorea Sella, circa medium inanis: qua Nquus Pontifex continuò ab eius Creatione residet: vt sedentis genitalia ab vltimo Diacono attrectentur.*

But, let Truthe be Falschedde: and let Stories be Fables. Yet, M. Hardinge, it maie please you to remember, that the same Fable was raised firste in Rome, & from thence onely, and from no place els, was published abroad into the worlde. But let the Popes owne Secretaries, and al the people there be deceived: and, to shadowe the shame of that See, let Rome it selfe be the Mother of lies. Let noman knowe the certaine Truthe of maters, but onely Onuphrius, the Popes Parasite, and M. Hardinge. Yet neither woulde so many Chronicles haue recorded, nor would the whole worlde so vniuersally haue beleued these thinges of the Pope, more then of any other Bishop, had there not benne wonderful corruption of maners, and dissolution of life, and open horroure, and filthinesse in that onely See, aboue al others.

Howe be it, good Christian Reader, that thou maist wel, and clearely vnderstande, that our dealinge herein is plaine, and simple, and that we haue not imagined these maters, or any parcel thereof, our selues, maie it please the to reade Platyna in Iohanne. 8. Sabellicus, Enneadis. 9. Lib. 1. Leonicus Chalcocondyla, a Craue Writter, Li. 6. Marianus Scotus, that liued about the yere of our Lorde, 1028.

Sigibertus

The Popes time of trauaile.

Antonin. Par. 2. Titulo. 16. Cap. 1. § 7. Vt asseritur.

Platyna in Ioh. lxxv. 8. in Officia.

The Marble Image.

Theodoricus Niemus.

The holowe Chaire. Sabellicus Enneadis. 9. Lib. 1.

Testimonies for the praise of Pope Iohane.

Dame
Iohane
Pope.

418

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Sigibertus Gemblacensis, that lived aboute the yere of our Lozde, 1100. Martinus Polonus, the Popes Penitentiare, whome M. Hardinge so much defaceth: that lived about the yere of our Lozde, 1320. Raulius Textor in Officina, Ca. Feminæ habitum virilem mentitur: Antoninus the Archebithop of Florence, par. 2. ti. 16. Volaterranus: Naclerus: Carion: Constantinus Phrygio: Christianus Massæus: Matthæus Palmerius Florentinus: Anselmus Rid: Iohannes Parisiensis ca. 20. Supplementum Chronicorum: Chronica Chronicarum: Fasciculus temporum, and others more. Of these, somme lived foure hundred, somme five hundred yeres agoe: and haue euer benne counted worthy of somme Anthozitie: notwithstandinge, for your Dame Iohanes sake, you M. Hardinge, beginne nowe to clippe theire credite. Howe be it, what so euer they were, certaine it is, They were no Lutheranes. All theise with one consente agree together, that Dame Iohane was Pope of Rome.

Hermaphroditus.

Copus Dialogo.
1. pag. 47.

Notwithstandinge, somme of your owne felowes of Louaine, coulde haue taught you, to haue dealete herein moze discretely: and not so precisely, & peremptorily to haue denied the whole Storie: specially being sent to you from your frendes in Rome, and confirmed by so many. And therefore one of your Louaniens woulde seme handesomely to excuse, & shifte the mater by possibilitie of Nature. For thus he saith in effecte, VVhat if the Pope were Hermaphroditus, an Herkinalson, that is to saie, a Man, and a woman bothe in one? Or, If this heaipes wil not serue, he shemeth further to saie, VVhat if the Pope, beinge firste a man, were afterwarde changed into a woman? And thus for wante of better Diuinitie, he forceth Ouides Metamorphoses to serue the turne. If ye woulde haue taken this mannes aduise, out of doubt with sutch a prey, VVhat if, ye mighte some haue put vs out of countenance. His wordes be these, Neque ego hic quicquam dico de Hermaphroditis, & ἀνδρογυνῶν, de quibus veterum libri pleni sunt. Et ne à nostri temporis memoria longius abeam, non ignoro, monumentis literarum esse traditum, Mulierem quandam nomine Aemylia, Antonio Spensæ Cui Ebulano nuptam, post duodecimum à nuptijs annum in virum transisse. Legi etiam alteram Mulierem, ubi puerum peperisset, in marem fuisse mutatam: I wil here saie nothinge of sutch persones, as be called Hermaphroditi, and are bothe Man, and VVoman, al in one: whereof in olde Writers wee finde mutche mention. But not to goe further, then to the remembrance of our owne time, I knowe, it is written, that a certaine woman named Aemylia, married vnto one Antonius Spensa a Citizen of Ebulum, tenne yeeres after shee had benne married, was turned into a man. I haue likewise readde of an other woman, that, when shee had benne broughte a bedde, afterwarde becamme a Man. These notable Stories be allegeth, to answer the mater of Pope Iohane. Thus he thinketh it a greate deale the safer waie, to make the Pope an Herkinalson, or by Miracle to turne him from a Man into a VVoman, then simply, and plainly to confesse, that euer Dame Iohane was Pope in Rome.

If you had taken this same waie, then al this Storie had benne a Fable, and a woman had benne a man: and we had vterly benne confounded.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 1.

Genes. 38.

But what neede we rehearse Concubines, and Bayvdes? for that is nowe an ordinarie, and a gaineful sinne in Rome. For Harlottes sitte there nowe a daies, not as they did in times passe, without the Cittie walles, and with theire faces hid and couered: but they dwel in Palaices and faire Houses: thei stray aboute in Courte, & market, and that with bare and open face: as who woulde saie, they make not onely lawefully doo it, but oughte also to be praised for so dooinge. What woulde we saie any more of this? Theire vicious & abominable life, is nowe thoroughly knowne to the whole worlde. Bernarde writeth roundly & truely of the Bishop of Romes house, yea & of the Bishop of Rome

of Rome him selfe. Thy Palaice, saith he, taketh in good men, but it maketh none: naughty persones thrine there, and the good decaie. And who so euer he were, whiche wrote the Tripartite vvoorke, annexed to the Councel of Laterane, he saith thus, So excessiue at this daie is the riote, as wel in the Prelates and Bishoppes, as also in the Clerkes and Priestes, that it is horrible to be tolde. But these thinges be not onely growen in hze, and so by custome and continuance of time wel allowed, as al the reste of theire dooinges in manner bee, but they are now waxen olde, and rotten ripe. For who hath not heard, what a hainous acte Peter Aloisius, Pope Paule the thirde Sonne, committed againste Cosmus Cherijs the Bishop of Fanum: what Iohn Casa, Archebishop of Beneuentum, the Popes Legate at Venice, wrote in the commendation of a moste abominable filthinesse: and how he set foot the with moste lothesome woordes, and wicked eloquence, the mater, whiche ought not once to proceede out of any bodys mouthe? To whose eares hath it not come, that Alphonsus Diazius a spaniard, beinge pourposely sent from Rome into Germanie, so shamefully and Diuelishly murdered his owne Brother Iohn Diazius, a moste Innocente, and a most Godly man, onely because he had embraced the Gospel of Iesus Chryste, and woulde not returne againe to Rome?

But it maie chaunce, to this they will saie: These thinges maie sometime happen in the beste gouerned Common wealthes, yea and against the Magistrates willes: & besides, there be good Lawes made to pounishe such. I graunt, it be so: But by what good Lawes (I woulde knowe) haue these greates mischieues bene pounished amongest them? Petrus Aloisius, after he had donne that notorious Acte, that I spake of, was alwaies chearished in his fathers bosome Pope Paule the thirde, and made his very deareling. Diazius, after he had murdered his owne Brother, was deliuered by the Popes meanes, to thende he mighte not be pounished by good Lawes. Iohn Casa the Archebishop of Beneuentum is yet aliue, yea and liueth at Rome, even in the eie and sighte of the moste holy Father.

M. Hardinge.

Courtisanes wente abroade, so as the Matrones doo: some rode on Mules, somme dwelled in goodly houses. VVhiche particulare disorders, * as there they continewd not many yeeres, * so in these daies they haue benne reformed. VVherein ye solowe the Father of your Heresies, and scholmaister of your malice the Deuil, who in Scripture is called *Accusator Fratrum nostrorum*, the accuser of our Brethren.

*Vntruthes, greates and notorious.

But Sirs, howe scape ye from Rome to Placentia, from the Clergy to a temporal Duke, whom ye cal the Popes Sonne, though he was not his Sonne beinge Pope, but by lawful Matrimonie before holy Orders taken? For sutch a one was Peter Aloisius of whome yee speake: Be it, that he was an euil man, VVhat maketh that againste the Church? what for Defence of your newe Gospel? If Iohannes Casa beinge yet a yonge springal, before he came to be a Clerke, and longe before he was either Bishop or Legate, made certaine amorous Sonettes in Italian rime, following the Italian poete Petrarcha, to which kinde of exercise the good wittes of Italy in youthe are mutche geuen, and without naminge any persone, flatteringly smoothed that heinous facte, rather then praised, wherein neuertheless he did euil, wee confesse, and for the same oversighte of his youthe, was notwithstandinge his other excellent qualities kepte backe from the dignitie of Cardinalship duringe his life: al this beinge graunted and considered, what hindereth it the estimation of Christes Church? And what furthereth it your false Doctrine, your Schismes, and your Heresies? Touchinge the Deathe of Iohn Diazius the Spaniard, ye tel * many lies at once,

O, a faire Boonegrace, to bewtifie open and knowen wickednesse. *Vntruthes. For we tel no Lie. Reade the Answer.

The

Iohan Sleidan.
Lib. 19.

Was leapt not so lightly to Placentia, *¶* Harding, but rather reaste at Ve-
rona, and saie the Truthe. For Pope Paulus, that should haue pounished his wicked
Sonne, and haue burnt him to deathe for his abominable outrage, remoued not to
Placentia, but remained still in Rome. Iohannes Sleidanus saith, that the ponge Gen-
tleman, the Bishop of Fanum, vpon whome Petrus Aloisius the Popes Sonne, had
shewed that horrible villanie, shortly after died for shame, and beauntesse. Yet
(he saith) it is thought of somme, that Petrus Aloisius, after his wicked acte commit-
ted, gaue him poison, and so killed him, leaste he should make complainte thereof vn-
to the Emperoure. *¶* He addeth further, Paulus nihil fecius impense amabat Filium:
& ad ipsius amplificationem omne studium conuertit. Et cum de eius flagitijs non-
nunquam audiret, fertur non admodum ægrè tulisse: & hoc solum consueuisse dice-
re, Hæc illum vitia non se commonstratore didicisse: Pope Paule neuerthelesse fa-
uoured his Sonne aboute measure: and bestowed al his care, to encrease him in Honoure.
And, whereas he heard sometime of his shameful actes, the reporte is, that he was not mutche
offended therewith: but used onely thus to saie, Wel, yewis he neuer learned these vices by
my example. So cruel, and terrible is the Pope in repprissing of Sinne.

Iohan. Sleidan.
Lib. 19.

But God of his Justice would not suffer such abomination to escape unpou-
nished. Certaine of the Nobles of his owne Citty, not longe after, arose against
him, and slew him in his owne Palace, and hunge out his vile Carkeffe in shame-
ful sorte, by a chaine ouer the walles, and afterwarde threwe it ouer into the mote.
The people of al sortes ranne gladly to the sight: and stabde him in on euery side
with their Daggers: and trodde him filthily vnder theire fete.

¶ He saie, The particulare disorders of your Courteghians in these daies at the laste haue bene
Resourmed. And yet by very credible repoite, euen within these two yeres, whiche
was in the yere of our Lorde 1565. by a perfit slewe taken, there were found in
Rome to the number of eight and twentie thousande wemen of that sorte. If this
be the Reformation of the Church of Rome, what was it then, befoze it was thus
Resourmed?

As for that moke horrible Woke of Iohannes Casa, so vile, and so lothesome,
that no Heathen eares coule abide to heare it. *¶* Howe fauourably, and howe
frenchly it is excused? He was then (ye saie) but a yonge man: but a springal: no Bishop: no
Clerke: He named no persone: It was but a kinde of exercise: an oversight: an Amorous Sonet:
The good wittes of Italie be mutche geuen to it: He praised not that faulte, but onely smoothed it.
¶ *¶* Harding, what abomination is there vnder Heauen, but ye can disuise waies,
howe to smothe it?

But he was pounished (ye saie) ful soare for his oversight. For he coule neuer be made Car-
dinal, during his life. *¶* Pea, god *¶* Harding: And al this must we beleue, bicause you
speake it. The Pope made him the Archebishop of Beneuentum, and Legate a Late-
re, and thereby one of his Secrete Counsel, in parte of this cruel pounishment. But,
thoughe he vpon that, or somme other occasion, were kepte backe from beinge Car-
dinal, yet were there others made Cardinales, in al respecte as bad, as he. *¶* Reade
Andreas Alciatus, that notable Ciuilian: And ye shal finde, what a misson was made
Bishop of Comum, when Paulus Iouius was put aside. *¶* Reade Paulus Iouius in the
Life of Clemens. 7. and learne, what pretty folkes then were made Cardinales, and
for what causes. As for your owne Onuphrius, he speaketh Parables, and casteth
colours, and woulde seeme to meane moze hereof, then he durste to vtter.

Andre. Alciatus
in Epist. prefixa
Historie Pauli
Iouij.
Paulus Iouius,
Onuphrius in
Iulio. 3.
Sueton. Tran-
quil. in Tiberio.
Tricongium vno
spiritu.

The Emperoure Tiberius sometime placed Neuellius Tricongius in moke
highe and Honorable Rome, and made him Proconsul, in parte of recompense of his
god service, for that he was hable to drinke thre pottles of Wine togethether with
one breathe. This was not the worstest cause of promotinge such Magistrates
to such a Rome: yet was there moze honoure, and honestie in this cause of prefer-
mente, then hath benne thought to be in the preferringe of sundrie Cardinales.

Touchinge the murtheringe of Iohannes Diazus, by his owne Brother Al-
phonus, wherein, ye saie, we haue tolde you so many lies at once, we referre our
selues

selues to the storie thereof truely witten by Iohannes Sleidanus: the effect wherof in shorte is this: One Iohannes Diazus a Spaniarde, and a Doctour of Sorbona in Parise, after that God had geuen him Grace to vnderstande the Truthe of the Gospel, departed from thence, and came into Germanie, and resided at Nuburge in the Dominion of Otho Henricus Countie Palatine. His Brother Alphonsus Diazus, beinge then in Rome, and vnderstandinge hereof, immediately toke horses, and with al speede came into Germanie, mindinge to withdraue his Brother from his purpose. And findinge him constant, and steadfaste, and not likely any waies to be remoued, in the ende he sained him selfe, by the weight of his reasons, to be persuaded to the same Religion: and desired him to returne with him into Italie, for that he shoulde doe more good there, then he could in Germanie. But seeinge him for sundrie causes firmly bent to stae there, he toke his leaue, and departed thence, and exhorted him to continewe constante in the Truthe, and came to Augusta, five Dutche miles from Nuburge. The nexte date, as hauinge forgotten some special mater, he had to saie to his Brother, he retourned backe againe from thence to Nuburge, and by the waie boughte a Carpenters Axe, and entringe into the Towne, vpon the .27. of Marche, he came to his Brothers lodginge at the breake of the daie, and him selfe watchinge beneath, sent by his seruante with the Axe to doe the deede. The seruante, after he had called for the Iohannes Diazus, and had deliuered him a letter from his Brother Alphonsus, and sawe him turned towardes the lighte to reade the same, came suddainely behinde him, and ströke him in the heade with his Axe, and leauinge it stickinge in the wounde, came awaie togeather with Alphonsus his Paister. This is the very true storie hereof, M. Hardinge, and in al these wordes there is no lye. Touchinge your Courthegianes (ye saie) the Pope of late hath made a general Reformation. For nowe they are bothe remoued from their faire Palaices, wherein they dwelte, and also put from their Mules: As if the faulte had ben onely in their Pules, or Houses, and not rather in their whole trade of life. Certainely, the same wemen continewe still, euen in the Cittie of Rome, & that vnto the number of eight & twentie thousand, as it is saide before. Sutche is the Reformation of the Church of Rome.

*Iohan. sleidan.
Lib. 17.
An. 1546.*

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 1. & 2.

They haue killed infinite numbers of our Brethren, onely bicause thei beleued truely, and sincerely in Iesus Christe. But of that greate and foule number of Harlottes, Fornicatours, Adulterers, what one haue they at any time (I saie not, put to deathe) but either Excommunicate, or once attached: why? Voluptuousnesse, Adulterie, Ribaudrie, whooredome, Murthinge of Kinne, Incest, and others more abominable partes, are not they coumpted Sinne at Rome? Or, if they be Sinne, ought Christes Vicare, Peters Successoure, the moste holy Father, so lightly, and nicely to beare them, as though they were no Sinne, and that in the Cittie of Rome, & in that Principall Towre of al Holinesse? O holy Scribes, and Phariseis, whiche neuer knewe this kinde of Holinesse. O, what a Holinesse, what a Catholique Faithe is this? Peter did not thus teache at Rome: Paule did not so liue at Rome: they did not practise Brothelrie, as these doo openly: thei made not a peerelely reuenewe, and profite of Harlottes: They suffered no common Adulteroures, and wicked Murtherers, to goe unpounished. They did not receiue them into their familiaritie, into their Council, into their householde, nor yet into the companie of Christian men. These menne ought not therfore so vnreasonably to triumphe against our liuinge. It had benne more wisdom for them, either first to haue

¶

proued

proued good their owne life before the worlde, or at the leaste, to haue cloked it a litle more cunningly. For wee doo vse stil the Olde, and Anciente Lawes, and (asmuche as menne maie doo, in the manners vsed at these daies, al thinges bringe so wholly corrupte) we diligently, and earnestly put in execution the Ecclesiastical Discipline: wee haue not common Brothel houses of Strumpettes, nor yet flockes of Concupines, nor hearde of Harlotte haunters: neither doo wee pferre Adulterie before Matrimonie: neither doo we exercise beastly sensualitie: neither doo wee graether ordinarie rentes, and stipendes of Stewes: nor doo wee suffer Inceste, and abominable naughtinesse, nor yet such Aloisians, Casians, and Diazians to escape unpounished. For if these thinges woulde haue pleased vs, wee needed not to haue departed from these mennes felowship, amongst whom sutch enozmitie be in their chiefe pride and price. Neither needd wee for leauinge them, to renne into the hatred of menne, and into moste wilful daungers.

Paule the fourthe, not many monethes sithence, hadde at Rome in prison certaine Augustine Freers, many Bishoppes, and a great number of other deuoute men, for Religions sake. He racked them, & tormented them: to make them confesse, he least no meanes vnassailed. But in the ende how many Brothels, how many whozemongers, howe many Adulterers, how many Incestuous persones coulde he finde of al those?

M. Hardinge.

This yee denie as impudently, as yee affirmed the other mater of Diazius falsely. VVherefore what punishment is due to slaunders, that ought this Defender to haue for this false slander. Then commeth me your Secretarie, and praiseth him selfe, and al you his holy companions, besides al witte and modestie. And saith muche in commendation of your Ministershippes goodnesse, for that ye keepe and vse stil the Olde and Anciente Lawes, forsoothe, and Discipline of the Church.

For shame, for Conscience, for regarde of the worlde, for feare of Gods wrathe, for auoidinge euerlastinge fyre, retourne to the Catholike Church, from whence yee are broken out like the fatte Bulles of Basan, put awaie your moste vnlawful yokefellows. Leave your selues to be abominable, before with sutch spiteful railing ye reprehende our **Infirmities*.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 3.

Our God be thanked, althoughe we be not the men, we ought, and professe to be, yet whosoeuer, and whatsoeuer wee be, compare vs with these men: and euen our own life, & Innocencie wil soone proue vntue, & condemne their malicouse surmises. For we exhorzte the people to al vertue, & wel dooing, not onely by bookes and preachinges, but also by our examples, & behauiour. We also teach that the Gospel is not a boasting, or bragging of knowlege, but that it is the Lawe of life, & that a Christian man (as Terrullian saith) ought not to speake honorably, but oughte to liue honorably: nor that they be the hearers of the Lawe, but the doers of the Lawe, vvhich are iustified before God.

M. Hardinge.

Nay, nay, Syrs, for that thinge ye crake so much of, be not too hasty to thanke your God. VVhat peculiere God yee meane, we knowe not. That phraze your Secretarie much vseth, as though ye had an other God beside him that is God of al. Compare your selues with whome yee liste, your owne life and innocencie is so wel knowen, as by reprocuing your vices and horrible sinnes, no man lightly shal seeme a slaunderer. In deede if your continual aduouerie and Inceste were lawful Matrimonie, if your filthy yokefellows were your true wedded VViues, if your robbinges, and throwinge downe of Churches were almose, and buildinge of places for praier to the encrease of Goddes Honour, if ignorant rashnesse were Godly discretion, if your woordes of the Lorde, were Goddes woordes, if your pretended Gospel, were Christes true Gospel, if your biblebabe and railing were holsome Preachinge, if the Sprite of Sathan, that is in you, the same also beinge a lieinge Sprite in your mouthes, coulde be meeke, humble, obedient, and would tel Truthe, finally if euil were good,

if darke-

A braue, and a lusty kinde of Eloquence.

*Fornication, Open Stewes, and Inceste, are M. Hardinges *Infirmities*.

*Is this the modeline of the Sprite of God?

if darkenesse were lighte, if sorrow were sweete, if the fruites of your so naughty a tree were good, we woulde also soothe you, and vpholde your immoderate crakes. VVee saie plainly of you at one woorde, whiche wee wil to be a watcheworde for al Christen people to beware of you, your Doctrine is Heresie, your Life is iniquitie, your endeouore tendeth to the subuersion of Soules.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It is no great Craike, *M. Hardinge*, to geue God thanks. But what vncourteous dealinge is this of your parte? Sir Defender, and his Fellowes muste comine to you, and learne to speake. Notwithstandinge for ought, that mase appeare, ye are not yet very wel aduised, howe to speake your selfe. Howe because we saie, wee thanke our God, our Secretarie hath made him selfe a Seuerall God. Howe be it, you mase saie, Our Lorde, and thinke him not Catholique, that wil saie othertwise: and yet make you not your selues thereby any Seuerall Lorde. God geue you Grace, ye be not seuered from the Lorde. But wherefore it should be more lawfull for you, to saie, Our Lorde, then for vs, to saie, Our God, I thinke it a highe pointe of cunninge for you to open.

Thus ye woulde haue vs sometimes to saie, Our Lorde: sometimes, The Lorde: sometimes neither. It were a skilful Cooke, that knewe your disete. How be it, The Prophete Dauid saith, Deus noster refugium, & Virtus: Our God, our Refuge, and our Strength: Singe Psalmes vnto Our God: Our God is the God of Saluation: Our God is in Heauen, My God, & My God, I wake earely vnto thee: Thou arte My God: I truste in thee: My losses are in thy handes. S. Paule saith, I thanke My God alwaies: I geue thanks vnto My God. Thus was it lawfull then for the Apostles, and Prophetes to speake without rebuke: Neither was there any *M. Hardinge*, then so vncourteous, to saie, They made them selues a peculiere God.

S. Paule saith, Wee are iustified in the name of Iesus Christe. Our Lorde, and in the Sprite of Our God. S. Augustine saith, Contra istos Mirabiliarios cautum me fecit Deus Meus, dicens, In Nouissimis diebus surgent Falsi Prophetæ: My God hath willed mee to beware of these Mungers of Miracles, tellinge mee, that in the laste daies there shal rise vp False Prophetes. Againe he saith, Deus Meus vbique præsens est: Vbique totus: nusquam inclusus: My God is everywhere present: everywhere whole: nowhere inclosed, or shut vp. Chrysostome saith, Christo meo testificabantur & Venti, & Mare: Bothe the Windes, and the Sea bare witness to my Christe. S. Hierome saith, Ego non Patrem, non Matrem, non Germanum aduersus Christum meum audiam: I wil not heare neither Father, nor Mother, nor Brother, againste my Christe. S. Cyprian saith, Hic est Deus noster, id est, non omnium, sed Credentium, & Fidelium Deus: This is Our God, that is to saie, not the God of al, but the God of the Beleeuers, and of the Faithful. Sedulius saith, Deus Natura omnium est: Voluntate verò paucorum: God by Nature, is the God of al: but by wil, he is the God of Fewe. But what shal we neede many Doctours, the case bringe so cleare? You your selfe, *M. Hardinge*, in this selfe same Booke, either of purpose vpon somme better aduise, or vntwares, haue written the same. Consider wel your owne wordes: Thus ye saie, There is no iniquitie in Our Lorde God. Yet, I trowe, by these wordes, ye make not to your selfe a peculiere God.

S. Paule saith, I lue in the Faith of the Sonne of God, which hath loued me, and hath geuen him selfe for my sake. Which wordes S. Chrysostome, writinge vpon the Genesis, expoundeth thus: Qui dilexit me, &c. Vt proprium vsurpas commune beneficium. Profecto, inquit: Nam licet pro omni hominum genere Sacrificium oblatum sit, tamen propter amorem in eum, id, quod factum est omnibus, proprium mihi facio. Ita & Prophetis mos est, & facere, & dicere, Deus, Deus Meus: quamuis totius Orbis sit Deus, Sed peculiare hoc est amori, vt ex communibus propria faciat. Qui dilexit me. Quid dicis? An te dilexit solum? Omnem, inquit, hominum Naturam dilexit. Sed ego illi Gratias debeo, quasi me Solum dilexisset, & tradidisset semetipsum pro me Solo. S. Paule saith, Christe hath loued mee. O Paule, The benefite, that is common to al, thou vset as peculiere to thee selfe. Tea verily, saith S. Paule: For al be it, that Sacrifice were offered for al Mankinde, yet for the Loue, that I beare towards him, the thinge that was donne to al, I account as proper, and seuerall to mee selfe Alone. Thus the manner of the Prophetes is to doo, and to saie, O God, my God: notwithstandinge he is the God of al the world.

Nn ij

Bis

Psalm. 46.

Psalm. 64.

Psalm. 113.

Psalm. 63.

Psalm. 15.

Psalm. 67.

1. Cor. 1. & 14.

Philip. 1.

1. Cor. 6.

Augu. in Iohan.

Tracta. 13.

Augu. De Ciu.

Lib. 1. Cap. 29.

Chrysost. in Psal.

96.

Hierony. Aduersus error. Iohan.

Hierosolymitan.

Cyprian. De Bono Penitentia.

Sedulius, in 2.

Cor. 6.

M. Har. fo. 124 b.

Galat. 2.

Chrysost. in Ge-

nesim. Homil. 34.

But this is the special, and alone office of Loue, of thinges common to make thinges peculiere. Thou saiest, Christe hath Loued mee. What saiest thou? Harbe Christe loued thee Onely, and woman els? No saith Paul, He hath loued al Mankind. But I owe him thanks, as if he had loued mee Alone, and had geuen him selfe Onely for mee.

Henceforth, M. Hardinge, it maie please you to geue vs leaue to speake as the Prophetes, the Apostles, the Holy Fathers, and Doctors haue spoken befoze vs.]

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 1.

Wifides al theise maters, wherewith they charge vs, they are wounte also to adde this one thinge, which they enlarge with al kinde of spite: that is, that wee be menne of trouble, that wee plucke the Svvoorde, and Scepter out of Kinges handes: that wee arme the people: that we ouerthrowe iudgemente places, destroe the Lawes, make haouke of possessions, seeke to make the people Princes, turne al thinges vpside downe: and, to be short, that wee woulde haue nothing in good frame in a Common wealthe. Good Lorde, howe often haue they set on fiere Princes hartes, with theise wooordes, to the ende they might quenche the lighte of the Gospel in the very firste appearinge of it, and that menne mighte beginne to hate the same, ere euer they were hable to knowe it, and to the ende that euery Magistrate might thinke, he sawe his deadly enime, as often as he sawe any of vs. Surely it shoulde exceedingly greue vs, to be so maliceously accused of most heinous Treasone, onlesse wee knewe, that Christe him self, the Apostles, and a number of good Christian menne, were in tyme passe blamed, and reuelled in like sorte. For althoughe Christe taught, they shoulde geue vnto Caesar, that vvhiche vvas Caesars: yet was he charged with sedition, and was accused to diuise some Conspiracie, and to seeke waies to geate the Kingedome. And thereupon they cried oute with open mouthe againste him in the place of Iudgemente: If thou lette this manne escape, then thou arte not Caesars friende.

And though the Apostles did likewise euermore, & stedfastly teach, that Magistrates ought to be obied, that euery Soule oughte to be subiect to the Higher Powvers, not onely for feare of vvrathe, and pounishment, but euen for conscience sake, yet bare they the name to disquiet the people, and to stir vp the multitude to rebelle. After this sorte did Haman specially bzing the Nation of the Jewes into the hatred of Kinge Assuerus, because, saide he, they vvere a rebellious and stubborne People, and despised the ordinaunces, and commaundements of Princes. Wicked Kinge Achab said to Elie the Prophete of God, It is thou, that troublest Israel. Amasias, the Priest at Bethel, laide a conspiracie to the Prophete Amos charge, befoze King Ieroboam, saieing, See, Amos hath made a conspiracie against thee, in the midst of the house of Israel. To be brieft, Tertullian saith, This was the general accusation of al Christians, whiles he liued, that they were Traitors, that they were Rebelles, & the enemies of Mankind. Wherfoze, if nowe adales the Truthe be likewise euil spoken of, & bringe the same Truthe, it was then, if it be now like despitefully bled, as it was in times past, though it be a greuous and vnkinde dealinge, yet can it not seeme vnto vs a new, or an vntwonted mater.

M. Har.

3. Reg. 18.

Amos. 7.

Tertull. in Apologético.

M. Hardinge.

Howe farre ye haue attempted (I meane the sectes of your Brotherhed) in sundrie Countreies, to wrest the Sworde out of Princes handes, to transpose their Scepters at your pleasure, and to alter states and signories, though we holde our peace, (a) the worlde iudgeth, the bloude of so many thousande slaine speaketh, Englande repenteth, Scotlande mourneth, Germanie roareth, France bewaileth, Sauoie weepeth, al Christendome lamenteth. (b) VVere the hundred thousand Boures of Germanie consumed by the Sworde of the Nobilitie there for their obedience? The Duke of Saxonie, and Landgraue of Hesse, were they ouerthrowen in fildes and taken captiue for standinge in Defence of their Soueraigne? VVere so great multitudes of people destroyed at Munster for their loialtie? Your Sacramentarie Sutzers of Berna, who robbed the quiet Olde Duke of Sauoie of his Townes, and Countreies, from the farther side of the lake of Geneva vnto the Alpes, did they this for maintenance of his righte, and to set him at reist with litle? VVhat meante ye, when ye laide your Heades together, being at Geneva in Queene Maries daies, the Faithful (c) Brothers of Englande, and Scotlande, and deuised a most Seditious and Traiterous Booke against the monstrous regiment of VVomen?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, for wante of mater, ye thought it good policie, to furnishe your tale with store of wordes: The world iudgeth: Bloude speaketh: Englande repenteth: Scotlande mourneth: Germanie roareth: France bewaileth: Sauoie weepeth: Christendome lamenteth. If ye had bot wel studied your Copia Verborum, ye coulde neuer haue benne halfe so copious, nor haue benne hable, so many waies to vtter nothinge. Ye might happily haue saide, Your Cardinales crie, and your Pope roareth, and your friendes stande aloffe, and teare theire beare, and sale, as it is witten in the Apocalyps, Vc, vx Babylon, Ciuitas illa Magna: *Alas, alas Babylon, that Greate Citie.*

God be thanked, The Kingedomes, and Princehoodes of the worlde stande now in as safe, and in as quiete, and flourishinge state, as euer before. Therefore this Tragical Libellozique mighte better haue serued you somme other where.

The Boures of Germanie, of whome ye speake, for the greatest part, were Adversaries vnto Doctour Luther, and vnderstode no parte of the Gospel: but conspired together, as they saide, onely against the crueltie, & tyrannie of theire Lordes: as they had donne twen and twentie yeres before in the same Countreie, in the Conspiracie, called Liga Sotularia, fiftene yeres before Doctour Luther beganne to Preache: The parteners of which Conspiracie had for their watch worde the name of Our Lady: and in the honoure of her, were bounde to saie siue Ave Maries every daie. Certainly, touching these Later Rebelles, it is knowen, that Luther sharply and vehemently wrote against them. And they them selues beinge demaunded thereof, vtterly denied bothe the partetakinge, and also the knowledge of the Gospel.

The Princes of Germanie raised not their Powers (as ye saie) against the Emperoure Charles the fiftie: but beinge wrongefully, and contrarie to the Lawe of armes inuaded by him, they were forced, beinge free Princes, by the Lawe of Nature, to drawe their Sworde in their owne Defense.

The Rebelles at Munster were not Gospellers, as ye seme to meane, but frantique Anabaptistes, & Heretiques, as ye bee: and therefore enemies vnto the Gospel.

The Lordes of Berna neuer were Subiectes to the Duke of Sauoie. That they took certaine of his Castles in theire Confinies, they did it rightly, and by the Lawe of Armes, beinge forced thereto by daiesly inuasions, and robberies, and not hable otherwise to liue in realme. But in dede the saide poore Duke was thorowly spoiled of his whole Dominions: of the one halfe, by his Brother in Lawe the Emperoure Charles. & Of the other halfe, by his Neewer Francis the Frenche Kinge, by the counsel of Pope Clement. 7. after thre great enteruiewes at Marfiles. And thereof was diuided a pretie Pasquil, declaringe the miserable case of the poore Duke. Diuiserunt sibi uelimenta mea, & super. vestem meam miserunt sortem: *They haue diuided my apparell amongst them selues: and they haue caste lots for my Coate.*

The Heades of Englande, and Scotlande, that (as ye saie) were laide together at Geneva, touching the gouernement of VVemen, beinge wel accompted, were nothinge so maruillous, as ye woulde seme to imagine. For if there had benne but one lesse, for ought, that I haue hearde, there had benne but one at al. Butche boate Amplifications it liketh you to make of so smal a number. We wil defende noman in his erreure.

An ij

Let euery

(a) Vntruth, notorious, and manifest to all the worlde.

(b) These Boures for the greatest parte were enemies vnto Luther.

(c) Al this great multitude of Englande, and Scotlande, stood onely in twoo persons. VVee knowe no moe.

Apoca. 18.

Liga Sotularia, An. 1503.

D. Luther began to Preache, An. 1518.

Iohan. Sleidan.

Lib. 4. c. 9.

Paralipomen.

Vspergen. Anno 1525.

Nicol. Gerbelli.

us Phorizensis.

Numer. 27.

Auguſt. de Ci-
uit. Lib. 3. Cap. 21

Let every man beare his owne guilt. M. Caluine, M. Martyr, M. Musculus, M. Bul-
linger, and others, to whom you call the Fastidious Brothers of Englands, misliked
that enterpriſe, and wrote againſt it.

Let know, that God hath determined this matter longe ſilence: For thus he
ſaith, Si Homo moriatur absque Filio, ad Filium eius tranſibit Hereditas: If a Man
die without a Sonne, his inheritance ſhal paſſe vnto his Daughter. And S. Auguſtine
ſaith, Lata eſt Romæ Lex illa Voconia, Ne quis Heredem Foraminam faceret, nec
Vnicam Filiam. Qua Lex quid iniquius dici, aut cogitari poſſit, ignoro: There was a
Lawe made in Rome, called Lex Voconia, That no man ſhoulde conueie his Enheritance
vnto a woman, no nor vnto his onely Daughter. Then which Lawe I knowe not, what
maie be more wickedly thought or ſpoken.

But, God be thanked, that of his Mercie, hath nowe raiſed vp vnto vs a Wo-
man of ſuch VViſedome, Learninge, Clemencie, Grauitie, Iudgemente, Gouverne-
mente, and other Noble, and Princely Vertues, as haue not benne ſene in many
Wenue. God encrease her daily with his Holy Spirit, and make her an olde Mo-
ther in Iſrael. Amen.

Of your ſturdy blaſtes, and Secrete breathings, M. Hardinge, I wil ſaie no-
thinge. Ye maie yet remember, which of your Louanian companie it was, that
in the time of that Noble Prince of Bleſſed Memorie, Kinge Edward the. 6. ſaide in
open Parlamente, Woe be to that Kingedome, the Prince whereof is a Childe. And after-
warde, ſeing the Queenes Maieſtie, that nowe is, placed in her eſtate, boldly,
and openly confeſſed a great ouerſight, and mutche ſolie in your former doings,
for that in the late time of your vnruely gouernemente yee had hewen downe the
boughes, and leaſte the Stocke ſtandinge ſtil. Goddes Secrete Providence, M. Har-
dinge, breathed againſt you, and confounded your doings. Nowe onely ye lac-
ked: but god wil ye lacked none.

M. Hardinge.

For anſwere to al this, yee ioine your ſelues with Chriſte and his Apoſtles, as though ye were
gilty herein nomore then they. Presumptuously ſaide, But the matter is not ſo anſwered. And yet ye
runne at large in that common place, and very vainely, or rather Luciferlike, compare your ſelues
with the Apoſtles. But Sirs, ſtaie here, runne no farther. Yee are ſoone ſtopped. The caſe is not like
pardie. Theſe be but your wordes. In the Apoſtles was the Truthe in dede, ſo was it in the Holy
Prophetes, and thoſe fiſt Bleſſed meane of the Church. The Time ye boaste and craie ſo much
of, is not that Truthe. Talke leſſe like Rhetoricians, and proue vs that ye haue Truthe, like honeſte
menne. And then talke on: but that can yee neuer doo, ſo longe as ye remaine out of the Church,
and enemies to the Church. * But what ſpende I wordes in vaine? Your hartes be hardened, your
Eies be blinded, your Eares be ſtopped.

*O Vaine
brauerie.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

Here is profound ſtaffe, M. Hardinge, ſo; a Doctor of Diuinitie. To anſwere
you with your owne vaine wordes, in dede ye ſpende your wordes in vaine.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuiſion. 2.

Fourtie yeeres agoe, & vppwarde, it was an eaſy thinge for them to
diuiſe againſt vs theſe accuſed ſpeeches, & other too, ſoarer then theſe:
when in the middeſt of the darkeneſſe of that age, fiſt began to ſpringe,
and to geue ſhine ſomme one glimmeringe beame of Truth, vnknewen
at that time, and vnhearde of: when alſo Martin Luther, & Hulderike
Zvvinglius, bringe moſte excellent menne, even ſente of God, to geue
lighte to the whole worlde, fiſt came vnto the knoweledge, and
preachinge of the Goſpel: when as yet the thinge was but newe,
and the ſucceſſe thereof vncertaine: and when mennes mindes ſtoode
doubtful and amaſed, and their eares open to al ſclaunders tales:
and when there coulde be imagined againſt vs no ſacte ſo deteſtable,
but the People then woulde ſoone beleue it; for the noueltie & ſtrange-
neſſe of the matter, for ſo did Symmachus, ſo did Celfus, ſo did
Iulianus,

Julianus, so did Porphyrius the old foe to the Gospel, attempt in times paste to accuse al Christians of Sedition & Treason, before that either Prince, or people were able to know, who those Christians were, what they professed, what they beleened, or what was their meaninge.

M. Hardinge.

As ye runne forth your race, and with lyinge amplification boaste and bragge of the Truthe of your Doctrine, and of the Innocencie of your demeanour ye fall into a great inconuenience and ouer-sight. VVas the light extinguished in al Israel, til that lewde Friere came, and Zuinglius (a) the swarte Rutter? Shal wee now change the olde songe of Micheas the Prophete, Out of Sion shal come the Lawe, and the woorde of our Lorde from Ierusalem, and singe a new Songe, Out of (b) VVittenberg shal come the Gospel, and the woorde of the Lorde from Zurich, and Geneua? If Luther and Zuinglius firste came to the knowledge and preachinge of the Gospel, what meante Christe to breake his promise, who saide, I wilbe with you al daies til the ende of the worlde?

Againe how forgate ye the olde prouerbe, a lier it behoueth to be mindeful? Remember ye not howe this is (c) contrarie to al your owne Doctrine? For saie ye not otherwheres, that God had alwaies his number of the electe, and his inuifible Church? Therefore this muste ye recante and call backe againe, or els shal ye pulle al the rabble of sundrie your owne sectes vpon your shoulders, whose filthy railings, and vile vpbraidinges poore soules ye shal neuer be able to abide.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It is not twy the while, to answere him that saithe nothinge. Sion, from whence, per saie, the Lawe of God issued firste, was in those daies, as mutche designed of your Fathers, as is this dale of you Geneua, or VVittenberg. Origen saithe of Celsus the Heathen, Christianum Dogma affirmat à Barbaris cepisse ortum, hoc est, à Iudæis: He saithe, that the Christian Faith tooke her firste beginninge from Barbarous people, that is to saie, from the Iewes. Cicero saithe, Iudæi, & Syri, Gentes natæ seruituti: The Iewes, and Syrians, Nations borne to Bondage.

And Chrysostome speakinge of Iulianus the Renegate, saithe thus, Galilæos nos, pro Christianis, in Edictis suis appellauit: In his proclamations, in the steede of Christians, he called vs scornfully Galileans: Nottinge thereby the villenesse of the place, from whence the Gospel of Christe firste proceeded. But Nazianzene saithe, Honora paruiam Bethleem, quæ te induxit in Paradisum: (Despise not, but rather) Honour that litle Bethleem, that hath leadde thee into Paradise.

The sounde of this simple, Barbarous, despised people, was hearde throughout the whole worlde. God causeth his Light to shine out of the Darke: His Holy Spirit breatheth, where he thinketh good: He hath no regarde of Persons, or choise of places, but, as S. Peter saide vnto Cornelius, In euery Nation, who so euer feareth him, and woorketh righteousness, is accepted before him. God causeth the weak things of the worlde, to confounde the stronge. Goddes Holy Name be blessed, that of so litle, and so simple a despised Mustard seed, hath nowe raised vp so greate a tree: and triumpheth the Gospel of Christe his Sonne in euery place throughout the worlde.

What meante Christe (saie you) to breake his promise? Nay, what meante you, M. Hardinge, so shamefully to breake Christes Commandement, & yet to charge him with his Promise? God is true in al his promises, and shal preuaile, when he is so lewdely iudged. There is no fault in God, or his Christ: The fault is in you, that haue made of the House of God, a Caue of Theenes. Christe neuer made any such special Promise vnto the Pope, that, what so euer it shoulde please him to saie, or do, he shoulde neuer erre, or do amisse. We presume ouer much of Goddes Promises. Nay, though Antichriste shoulde be Pope, yet shoulde Christes promises be true still.

It is true, that God hath alwaies a Church Inuifible, and a number of Electe, knowne onely to him selfe alone. Neither is this our onely saluinge. S. Paule also saithe the same: Firmum stat Fundamentum Dei, habens hoc sigillum, Nouit Dominus, qui sint sui: This Foundation standeth sounde, and firme, hauinge this Seale, The Lorde knoweth, who be his owne. Elias thought, al the Godly in Israel had benne sainte: and not one leaste aloue. But God saide vnto him, I haue saued vnto mee selfe fewen thousande menne, that neuer bowed their knee before Baal. God knewe them: but Elias knewe them not. So the iudgement of man, they were inuifible. Therefore

En ity

S. Au.

(a) Vntruthe, malicious, and slanderous. For Zuinglius was a Godly Preacher, and no Rutter.

(b) This Blasphemie toucheth Goddes Prouidence. For why not as well from VVittenberg, as from Rome?

(c) Vntruthe sonde, and childishe. This is M. Hardings sobrietie. Origen, Contra Celsum, Lib. 1. Cicero, De Prosa, in, Consul, Chrysost. Contra Gentes.

Nazianzen. De Natali Christi, καὶ τὴν ἐκθελεῖν τὴν τιμὴν τοῦ μωροῦ. Psalm. 19. Iohann. 2. Act. 10. Matth. 13. 1. Corinth. 1.

2. Timoth. 2.

1. Reg. 19.

Roman. 11.

VVitten-
berg.

Churche
Inuisible.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 45.

Nicol. Cusanus
De potestate Ec-
clesie Romane,
Epist. 1.

428

The Defense of the Apologie of the

S. Augustine saith, Secundum occultam Dei Prædestinationem, plurimæ sunt foris Oves: plurimi Lupi intus. Nouit enim, ac signatos habet, qui nec eum, nec se norunt: Accordinge to Goddes Secrete Predestination, there be many Sheepe without the Churche: and many Woolues within the Churche. For he knoweth them, and hath them marked, that know neither them selues, nor God neither.

Addition. As for the whole Body, and Companie of al them, that be called Christians, reckened vniuersally together, whiche you cal the Churche Catholique, Cardinal Cusanus calleth it, Ecclesia Coniecturalis, *A Churche Coniectural*, for that we knowe it, not by certaintie, but by Coniecture. For in this Churche, thus largely taken, they, that same Predestinate befoze Menne, are oftentimes wicked, and reprobate befoze God: And they, that befoze Menne same the Members of Christe, are oftentimes befoze God the Members of Antichriste. Cusanus wordes be these, Oportet in Sensibili Mundo Sensibilibus Signis de ipsa Christi Ecclesia Coniecturam sumere, cum aliter attingi nequeat rationis veritas &c. In this Sensible worlde, that is here beneath, wee muste learne by Sensible Tokens to know the very Churche of Christe: For otherwise wee are not hable to reache the Truthe. Therefore this Coniectural Churche in this Sensible worlde, accordinge to such a shorte knowledge, as this worlde maie yeelde, is in deede the true Churche: Notwithstandinge accordinge to the Coniecture, that we geather by Tokens, it receiue as wel the Godly, that be ioined to Christe, as also the vngodly, that be diuided from Christe. This Churche standeth of them, that declare by Sensible, and Outwarde Tokens, that they be partetakers of Christe, as they be, that Confesse Christe to be the Sonne of God. And therefore, this Churche hath certaine Holy Tokens, or Sacramentes, (as the Sacramente of Baptisme, and the Sacramente of our Lordes Supper) ordained to that ende, that thereby wee maie know them, that be of Christe, so far forth, as by such Tokens Coniectural knowledge maie be geathered. Therefore I saie, That this Churche of Christe (in this general takinge) by this Coniectural Iudgemente is Coumpted holy, notwithstandinge wicked Menne, and Hypocrites couer them selues vnder the same Outwarde Tokens, and receiue Baptisme, and the Lordes Supper, as wel, as the Godly. Thus the General, or outwarde Churche of God is Visible, and maie be sene: but the very true Churche of Goddes Eleete, is Inuisible, and cannot be sene, or discerned by man: but is onely knowen to God alone.

Thus the number of Goddes Eleete, yea befoze the comminge of Christe, in the time of darkenesse, was euermore certaine: yet afterwarde the knowledge of the Gospel, by the Mouthes of the Apostles, was abundantly carried abroade into the endes of al the worlde.

Bothe these partes, M. Hardinge, maie stande wel together: and are nothinge contrarie to our Doctrine. Be the number of the Faithful moze, or lesse, yet the Truthe of God is one for euer.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Diuision. 1.

But now we sithence our very enemies doo see, and cannot denie, but wee euer in al our woordes, and writings haue diligently put the people in minde of theire duetie, to obeie theire Princes, and Magistrates, yea though they be wicked, (For this doothe very trial and experience sufficiently teache, and al mennes ries, who so euer, and where so euer they be, doo wel see, and witness for vs) it was a foule parte of them to charge vs with these thinges: and, seninge they could finde no newe and late faultes, therfore to seeke to procure vs enuie, onely with stale and outwozne lies. Wee geue our Lord God thanks, whose onely cause this is, there hath yet at no time benne any such example in al the Realmes, Dominions, and Common weales, which haue receiued the Gospel. For wee haue overthowen no Kingdome: wee haue decayed no mannes Power, or right: we haue disordered no Common wealt. There continue in their owne accustomed state, and Ancient Dignitie,

the

the Kinges of our countrie of Englande, the Kinges of Denmarke, the Kinges of Sweecia, the Dukes of Saxonic, the Countiees Palentine, the Marquesses of Brandeburgh, the Landsgraues of Hellsia, the common Wealties of the Heluetians, & Rhetians, and the free Citties, as Argentine, Basile, Frankforde, Vlme, Augusta, & Norenberg, doo al. I saie, abide in the same Authozitie, and estate, wherein thei haue benne heretofore: or rather in a mutche better, for that by meanes of the Gospel they haue their people moze obediente vnto them, then euer they had befoze. Let them goe, I praise you, into those places, where at this present, thzough Goddes goodnesse, and Mercie, the Gospel is taught. where is there moze Hailetie, where is there lesse Arrogancie, & Tyrannie: where is the Prince moze honoured: where is the people lesse vnruly: where bathe there at any time either the Common Wealtie, or the Church benne in moze quiet: Perhaps, ye wil saie, from the firste beginninge of this Doctrine, the Common sozte euerywhere beganne to rage, and to rise thzoughout Germanie. Alow it were so: yet Martine Luther, the publisier, and setter forwarde of this Doctrine, did wite marueilous beheimently and sharply, againste them, and reclaimed them home to peace, and obedience.

M. Hardinge.

Your impudencie of lieinge hath no measure nor ende. But wee wil saie litle here, hauinge saide yenough already in reprocuse of your falsched. VVee leaue you to the wide worlde, who seeth, and almoste feeleth your lies.

But I tharuel not a litle, that in this place specially, where yee speake of the good order, that your Gospel breadeth, ye be not ashamed to make mention of Martin Luther. Good God howe muche coulde wee, if wee were so disposed, alleage out of his seditious and Heretical bookes by him * written againste the Power of lawfull Magistrates? At this time let one place suffice for al. Luthers woordes be these: *Inter Christianos nullus neque potest, neque debet esse magistratus, sed &c.* Amonge Christen menne none can nor ought to be a magistrate, but eche one is to other equally subiecte: After the Apostles saieinge, Thinkinge al others better then your selues, &c. Againe, Be ye humble al one to an other. VVhereto Christe accordeth when he saithe, VVhen thou arte called to the mariage feast, goe, and sitte downe lowest of al. Amonge Christen menne, none is superioure saue one, and onely Christe. And what superioritie or Magistrate can be there, where al be equal, and haue right power, riches, and honoure al alike? Furthermore, none coueteth to be ouer other, but al wil be vnder one an other. VVhere suche menne be, though one woulde, yet can he not make a Magistrate to beare rule ouer others, siue that nature suffereth not to haue superiours, where no man wil, nor maie be a superioure. And where suche kinde of menne is, there be they not Christen menne, after the true sorte of Christen menne. This farre Martin Luther.

He perswaded them to peace, when there was scarcely any leste; that coulde beare a clubbe. Firste he * stirred vp his Disciple Thomas Munzer in Thuringia, who was the rebelles preacher. After that he excused him of seditious preachinge to the Duke of Saxonic Prince Electour, trustinge, if Munzer were let alone, the mater should wel goe forwarde.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

To dissemble the rest of your Vntruthes, and your Immoderate & vnciuile bitterness, M. Hardinge, proceedinge from the vnquiet, & vsauery humours of your harte: where ye saie, Doctour Luther admitteth no Ciuite Magistrate, and note the same so specially in your margine, I maruelle mutche, that your paper blusshed not for your behalfe. Reade his bookes thzoughout, and consider the quiet gouernemente, bothe of the Common Wealties, and also of the Churches of Germanie: and ye shal finde, that noman euer, neither by worde, nor by example moze anaunced the Authozitie of the Ciuite Magistrate. To leane al other his notable Sentences to this purpose, againste the Rebelles, of whom ye speake, beings then in the fielde againste

* Vntruthes, maliceous, and Schlauderous. Reade the Answere.

* Nothinge els, but mere Vntruthes. For Luther called him the preacher of Satan.

Iohan. Steidanus
Lib. 5.

theire Lordes, he wrote thus: God commaundeth al men vniuersally to obey the Ma-
gistrate with feare, and reuerence, &c. *Againe, Take the Swerde, and with stande the*

Galat. 3.

Coloss. 3.

But he saith, Emonge Christians neither maie be, nor ought to be any Magistrate. *Yea,*
Hardinge, nothinge coulde haue sounde faulte herewith, but onely intemperate,
and mere malice. For Luther speaketh not these wordes of the outwarde Ciuile
Gouernement, whereof onely we speake now, but onely of our Inwarde Bende,
and Obedience towards God. And in this respect, there is no Kinge, nor Prince
in deede, nor maie be any. In this sense S. Paule saith, *There is no lewe, there is no*
Gentile: *There is no Lorde, there is no Seruaunt: There is no Man, there is no VVoman:*
For al you are one in Christe Iesu. S. Paule denieth not, but lewe, Gentile, Lorde, Ser-
uaunte, Man, and VVoman, remaine still in theire seuerall states, and kindes, as
they were before. But in Christe Iesu, he saith, there is no regarde of any such
difference. In Ciuile Gouernement a Kinge is a Kinge, and so hath God commaun-
ded him to be knowne. But after that we be once come to the reuerence, and obe-
dience of Goddes wil, there God onely is the Kinge: and the Kinge, be he neuer so
mighty, is but a Subiecte. So saith S. Ambrose to the Emperoure Valentinian,
Noli te extollere, Imperator: Sed, si vis diutius Imperare, esto Deo subditus: Scriptum
est, Quæ Dei, Deo: quæ Cæsaris, Cæsari: *Omny Lorde, auance not your selfe: But if ye wil*
remaine longe in Emperre, be subiecte vnto God. It is written, Geue to God, that belon-
geth to God: Geue to Cæsar, that belongeth to Cæsar.

Ambros. Lib. 5.
Epist. 33.

Socrus li. 6. ca. 7

Dion. Lib. 42.

περὸς δὲ τὸ
κακὸς ποιν-
εῖται τινος,
ἰδιώτης ὢν.
Socrus, li. 5. ca. 16

So saith the Emperoure Valentinian the Elder of him selfe, Ego sum in sorte
plebis: I am (in this respect) as one of the people. *To like purpose Iulius Cæsar,*
beinge an Heathen Prince, saide sometime of him selfe at Rome in the Councel
house, Equidem ad alia omnia, quæ pro vobis gerenda sunt, & Consul sum, & Dicta-
tor: quod autem ad iniuriam cuiquam faciendum attinet, sum priuatus: *Touchinge al*
other affaires, that ought to be taken in hande for your sake, I am bothe your Consul, and your
Dictator: But as touchinge any wronge to be donne to any man, I am as a priuate man, with-
out office. So saide the Heathen Renegade Iulianus the Emperoure, Principes,
vbi ad limen Delubri venerint, perinde sunt, atque Priuati: *When the Princes, and Ma-*
gistrates once comme within the entrie of the Temple, they are none other, but as Priuate
Menne. And this is al that traitterous, and horrible Iudgemente, that, as it plea-
seth you to saie, Luther had of the Ciuile Magistrate.

Iohan. Steidanus
Lib. 5.

Where ye saie, he stirred up his Disciple Thomas Munzer in Thuringia, to be
the preacher to the Rebelles, it is no strange mater, to set your tongue to renne riast.
Luther him selfe writinge thereof vnto the Rebelles, saith thus, Satanas sub Euan-
gelij prætextu, multos hoc tempore seditiosos, & planè sanguinarios Doctores excita-
uit: *Satan vnder the pretense of the Gospel, hath stirred up in these daies many seditious,*
and Bloudy Doctours: Meanninge thereby Munzer, and other like his companions. So
far was Luther from the encourraginge of Munzer to that wicked purpose, that he
saide, He was sette a woorkè by the Diuel.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Diuision. 2.

But, whereas it is woonte sometime to be objected by persons
wantinge skil, touchinge the Heluetians chaunge of state, & killinge of
Leopoldus the Duke of Austria, & restoringe by force their Countrie
to libertie, al that was donne, as appeareth plainly by al Stozies, for
two hundred & threescore yeeres paste, or aboute, in the time of Pope
Boniface the Eighth, when the Authozity of the Bishop of Rome was
in greatest iollitie, about two hundred yeeres before Huldericus zuin-
glius, either beganne to teache the Gospel, or yet was bozne. And euer
sithence that time, they haue had al thinges still & quiet, not onely from
fozeine Enimies, but also from al ciuile dissension. And if it were a sinne
in the

in the Heluetians, to deliuer theire owne Countrie from fozeine go-
uernemente, specially when they were so proude and tyzannously op-
pressed, yet to burthen vs with other mennes faultes, or them with the
faultes of theire fozefathers: it is against al right, and reason.

M. Hardinge.

Nowe your sprite is not contente with that yee haue railed already againste the Pope, and Holy
Church, but it moueth you againe to raue and crie out. But whether with more malice or reason,
let vs indifferently consider.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 1.

But O immortal God: and wil the Bishop of Rome accuse vs of
Treason: wil he teach the People to obeie and folowe theire Magi-
strates: Or hath he any regarde at al of the Maiestie of a Prince: why
dooth hee then, as none of the olde Bishoppes of Rome euer did, suffer
him selfe to bee called of his flatterers, Lorde of Lorde, as though
hee would haue al Kinges and Princes, who, & what so euer they be, to
be his vnderlinges: why doothe he vaunte him selfe to be Kinge of
Kinges, & to haue Kingely Roialtie ouer his Subiectes: why com-
pelleth he al Emperours, and Princes to sweare to him fealtie, and true
obedience: why dooth he boaste, that the Emperours Maiestie is a
thousande folde inferioure to him: and that for this reason specially,
bicause God hath made two lightes in Heauen: and bicause Heauen and
Earthe were created, not in two Beginnings, but in one: why hathe
he, and his felowes (like Anabaptistes and Libertines, to the ende they
might renne on more licenceously and carelessly) Waken of the yoke, and
exempted them selues from beinge vnder a Ciuil Power: why hath he
his Legates (asmuchte to saie, as moste suttile spies) lieinge in waite in
al Kinges Courtes, Councelles, and Prinie chambers: why dooth he,
when he list, sette the Christian Princes one againste an other, and at
his owne pleasure trouble the whole worlde with debate and discorde:
why dooth he Excommunicate, and commaunde to be taken as a Hea-
then and a Pagan, any Christian Prince, that renounceth his Autho-
ritie: and why promisseth he his Indulgences and his Pardons largely to
any, that wil (what waie so euer it be) kil any of his enimies: Dooth he
mainteine Empires, and Kingdomes: Or doothe he once desire, that
common quiet should be prouided for: You muste pardon vs, good Rea-
der, though wee seeme to vtter these thinges more bitterly and biting-
ly, then it becommeth Diuines to doo. For both the shamefulesse of the
mater, and also the desire of rule in the Bishop of Rome is so excedinge,
and outrageous, that it coulde not wel be vttered with other wooordes,
or more mildely. For he is not ashamed to saie in open assemblie, that al
Iurisdiction of al the Kinges and Princes of the vvorlde dependeth
of him selfe. And to feede his Ambition, & greedinesse of rule, he hath
pulled in peeces the Emperie of Rome, and vexed and rente whole
Christendome asunder: falsely and traiterously also did he release the
Romaines, the Italians, and him selfe too, of the othe, whereby they,
and he were streitly bounde to bee true to the Emperoure of Gracia,
and

Augu. Steuchus.

*Antonius de
Rosollu.*

*De Maior. &
Obedien. Solita,
De Maior. &
Obedien. Vnam
sanctam.*

*Clemens. 8. in
Concil. Viennens.
Leo Papa. 3.*

Zacharias papa.

and stirred by the same Emperoures Subiectes to forsake him: and callinge Carolus Magnus out of Fraunce into Italie, made him Emperour: sutch a thinge, as neuer was scene befoze. He put Chilperichus the Frenche Kinge, beinge no euil Prince, beside his Realme, onely because he fancied him not, and wrongefully placed Pipine in his rourne. Againe, after he had caste out Kinge Philip, if he coulde haue brought it to passe, he had determined, & appointed the Kingedome of Fraunce to Albertus the Kinge of Romaines. He utterly destroyed the state of the moste flourishing Cittie, and Common Weale of Florence, his owne native Countre, and brought it out of a free, and peaceable state, to be gouerned at the pleasure of one man: hee brought to passe by his procurement, that whole Sauoy on the one side was miserably spoiled by the Emperour Charles the fiftie, & on the other side by the Frenche Kinge, so that the poore vnfortunate Duke had scante one Cittie leaste him, to hide his heade in.

Clemens. 7.

Idem Clemens.

M. Hardinge.

It is a great eie sore to the Ministers of Antichriste, to see the Vicare of Christ aboute Lordes and Kinges of this worlde: to see Princes and Emperours promise and sweare obedience vnto him.

But they that are the faithful subiectes of the Church of God, thinke it no absurditie, that the Shepherd be sette, not onely aboute the Lambes and Ewes of the Church, but also aboute the VVethers and Rammes them selues. It is a very greates folie for them to finde faulte with the Superioritie of the Bishop of Rome, who can neuer proue, that he is not the Vicare of Christe. If he were not his Vicare, yet being a Bishop he is aboute any temporal Prince (a) concerning his Priestly office. But sithe Christe saide to Peter, Vpon this rocke I wil builde my Church, and hel gates shal not preuaile against it, (b)

(a) So is every Simple Priest.

(b) A litle witte had benne better, then al this so great adoo.

Barke until your bellies breake, ye that be the helboundes of Luthers and Zuinglius litour, or rather of Sathans your and their chiefe maister, shal not preuaile against the Apostolike see of Peter. It hath withstood al Diuels and Heretikes a thousand siue hundred yeeres: and thinke ye that your selues be stronger then Arius? It greueeth you, that the Pope is higher then the Emperour, not for any loue ye beare to the Emperour, nor for hatred that ye haue to the Popes person, whom ye know not, but your quarrel is against Christe, whose person the Pope beareth. Or tel vs, I praie you, dooth he cal him selfe any Princes or Emperours vicegerent, and not rather the (c) Vicare of Christ alone? VVhom

(c) So he calleth him selfe. But whe did Christ euer cal him so?

impugne ye then but Christe in his Vicare? Haue ye not readen, *Qui vos spernit, me spernit*: He that despiseth you, despiseth me? Ye thought the Pope had no better text for his primacie and supreme autoritie, then two lightes, which God made in Heauen. But if malice had not blinded you, in the very same chapter of Innocentius the thirde, (from whence like a spider ye sucked that yee thought was woofte) ye might haue scene an other reason goinge before, where he saide, *Pontifex in Spiritualibus antecellit, quia tanto sunt temporalibus digniora, quanto anima praefertur corpori*. The Bi-

(d) As if Hieremie had benne a Pope.

(e) A very profounde Reason. Reade the Answere.

(f) By Natural Reason. O Natural Folie.

shop (saide Innocentius) in spiritual maters passeth (the Emperoure): whiche spiritual thinges are so muche aboute the temporal, by how muche the soule is preferred before the bodie. How like ye that reason? VVithin a litle after Innocentius bringeth foorth an other proufe: VVhere it was saide to Ieremie the Prophete, who came (d) of the Priestes race, and was a Prieste him selfe, (e) beholde I haue set thee ouer Nations and Kingdomes, eo the intent thou maiest pul vp and scatter, and builde, and plante. Then after that Innocentius had (f) by natural reason and holy Scripture proued the highest Bishoppes Superioritie aboute Princes, he commeth in the thirde place not nowe to proue, but to make his former saicinge already proued more plaine, by alluding to that is written in the beginninge of Genesis: VVhere Moyse declareth how God made twoo lightes in the Firmamente of the Heauen, a greater, and a lesser. But this cannot founde in the eares of our newe preachers. They woulde not haue the guide of heauenly thinges aboute the guide of earthly cares. They loue the Earthe, the flesh, the worlde too wel, to be of that minde, and therefore doo aske, why the Popes of Rome like Anabaptistes and Libertines haue shaken of the yoke, and exempted them selues from al ciuile Power. VVhat yoke meane ye? The yoke of infidels and Paynimes?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

They are not the Ministers of Antichriste, M. Hardinge, but the witnesses of the Truthe of Christe. He is Antichriste, as S. Paule sheweth you, that sitteth in the Temple of God, and auanceth him selfe aboute al that is called God. And, to speake more particularly of the mater, by S. Gregories Judgements, he is Antichriste,

2. Thess. 2.

Gregor. Lib. 4.

Epist. 30.

christe, or the Forerenner of Antichriste, that calleth him selfe, The Vniuersal Bishop: And vaunteth him selfe, as the Kinge of Pride: And hath an Armie of Priestes prepared for him: And setteth him selfe, as Lucifer, aboue al his Brethren. These be the wordes of S. Gregorie: By such colours he blased out the Kingedome of Antichriste. Of him Cardinal Franciscus Zabarella saith thus, Papa facit, quicquid libet, etiam illicita: & est plusquam Deus: The Pope doothe, what him listeth, yea though it be vnlawful: and is more then God. This is Antichrist, M. Hardinge, by the Iudgemente of the wise, and goodly: and the supporters of him, who so euer, and where so euer they be, are the Ministers of Antichriste. It is greate Arrogancie, to anance a Bishop aboue a Kinge: notwithstanding in some good meaninge it maie be true. So a Iudge, in knowledge of the Lawe: so a Doctour of Philosophie, in his profession: so a Pilote, in knowledge of the Sea, and guidinge of a shippe: so a Captaine, in partial affaires is aboue any Kinge: And it behooueth a Kinge, be he neuer so wise, or mightie, in euery of these seuerall faculties, to be guided by them. And thus is the Kinge in seruour, not onely to a Bishop, as you saie, but also to euery Inferiour Prieste.

So S. Chrysostome saith of Christes Apostles: Omnem Terrarum Orbem peruaferunt, & omnibus Principibus fuerunt magis proprii Principes Regibus potentiores: The Apostles roaued ouer the whole worlde, and were more Princelike in deede, then the Princes them selues, and more puisante, and mightie, then the Kinges.

Chrys. in Psal. 44

So saith the Emperoure Valentinian vnto the people of Millaine, Eum collocate in Pontificali Solio, cui nos quoque moderatores Imperij, nostra Capita submittamus: Place yee such a man in the Bishoppes Chaire, vnto whom wee our selues, that gouerne the Emperie, maie stoope our Heade.

Theodoretus,
Lib. 4. cap. 5.
αὐτῷ τὰς ἡ-
μετέρας ὑπο-
κλίναμεν κε-
φαλὰς.

For the Prince is bounde to the Obedience of Goddes VVoorde, no lesse, then if he were a priuate Subiecte. And, if he refuse to heare, and to reuerence the same, as the declaration of Goddes Holy Will, he is accursed. But what is this, M. Hardinge, to your purpose? Woulde you therefore, that the Kinge shoulde sweare his Obedience, and allegiance vnto the Bishop?

In this respect, by your owne Learninge, any Simple Prieste maie wel be aboue the Pope. So saith your owne Doctoure Panormitane, Papa tenetur Confiteri: & in eo actu Sacerdos est Maior illo: The Pope is bounde to confesse him selfe: And in that acte of Confession, the Prieste is aboue him. And againe, Papa non potest cogere Sacerdotem, vt reuelet Confessionem: quia in illo actu Sacerdos est Maior, quam Papa: The Pope cannot compelle a Prieste, to open that bathe benne saide vnto him in Confession. For in that acte, the Prieste is greater, then the Pope. Yet, I trowe, ye woulde not therefore, the Pope shoulde sweare Obedience to a Prieste.

Extra. De Tene-
niten. & reuiss.
Omnis vtriusq;
Abbas.

This therefore, M. Hardinge, it is, that græueth vs, to see the poore Stool of Humilitie, whereon S. Peter sate, blowen by now into a Mount of Pride: and the Pope to require Homage, and Fealties of Kinges, and Emperours, as of his Subiectes. It græueth vs to see you, and others your felowes, in respecte of the Pope, so mutche, not onely to abase, but also vilsely to abuse the Maiestie of them, vnto whom Christe, and his Apostles were alwaies obedient. Remember, what one of yours hath writ-ten, and published to the worlde in this behalfe. Stanislaus Orichonius saith thus, Tantum Sacerdos præstat Regi, quantum homo præstat bestia: Quantum Deus præstat Sacerdoti, tantum Sacerdos præstat Regi. Qui Regem anteposit Sacerdoti, is anteposit Creaturam Creatori: A Prieste is so mutche aboue a Kinge, as a Man is aboue a Beaste: As mutche as God is better then the Prieste, so mutche is the Prieste better then the Kinge. He that setteth the Kinge before a Prieste, setteth the Creature before the Creatoure.

Stanislaus Ori-
chonius in Chi-
mera, Fol. 97.

It græueth vs, to see S. Gregories wordes, by S. Gregories Successours, so proude-ly broken. For thus he wrote, welneare a thousand yeres sithence, vnto the Emperour Mauritius, against Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, claiminge then the same Vniuersal Authoritie, that is now vsurped by the Pope: Ille coercendus est, qui Sanctæ Vniuersali Ecclesiæ iniuriam facit: qui corde tumet: qui gaudere de nomine Singularitatis appetit: qui Honori quoque Imperij vestri se per priuatum vocabulum superponit: Your Maiestie muste repress him, that doothe this wronge vnto the Holy Vniuersal

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 32.

Church: that swelleth in harte: that desireth to enioie a name of Singularitie: that also, by a Priuate Title, (callinge him selfe the Vniuersal Bishop) placeth him selfe ouer and a- boue the Honour of your Emperie.

Touching the knowledge of Goddes Word, and cases of Religion, certaine it is, the Kinge is inferiour to a Bishop. But, if the Bishop be negligent, & do not his office: or, if he be wilful, and do it not rightl: or, if he be ignorant, and cannot do it: Then is the Bishop vnder the Prince, Subiect to his cheeke, and by him maie be pou- nished. So writeth the Emperoure Constantinus vnto the people of Nicomedia: Si quis Episcoporum inconsulte tumultuatus sit, Ministri Dei, hoc est, mea executione, illius audacia coercebitur: If any Bishop vnadvisedly woork trouble, his boldnesse shalbe re- pressed by the Order of Goddes Minister, that is to saie, by my execution. Wherefore S. Paule saith, Let euery Soule be Subiecte to the Higher Powers. Whereunto S. Chry- sostome addeth these wordes: Etiam si Apostolus sit, etiam si Euangelista, etiam si Pro- pheta, siue quisquis tandem fueris. Neque enim Pietatem subuertit ista subiectio: Al- thoughe thou be an Apostle, although thou be an Euangeliste, although thou be a Prophete, or what one so euer thou be (yet be thou Subiect to the Higher Powers). For Godlinesse is not hindered by such subiection.

Your quarrel (ye saie) is against Christ: For his person the Pope beareth. Haue ye not readen, He that despiseth you, despiseth me? Tel vs, I praie you (saie you) dothe the Pope cal him selfe any Princes, or Emperours Vicegerent, and not rather the Vicare of Christ alone?

It sojourneth not greatly, by what title the Pope list to claime. He cannot lightly wante Authoritie, while he maie penne his owne Commission. I trowe, we maie saie of him, as Cicero saide sometime of one in Rome, Asinius Senator Voluntarius, Lectus ipse a se: Asinius is a very willinge Senatour, him selfe appointed, and chosen by him selfe. Tiersly, Antichriste to shal sit in the Temple of God, euen in the place of Christe, and shal beare him selfe as Christes Vicare.

Howe be it, Let the Pope do the duetie of a Bishop: Let him Exhort: Let him Preache: Let him dispense Goddes Spsteries: Let him fulfil his Office: Let him do the parte of an Euangeliste: And we wil loue him, & reuerence him, although not, as Christes Vicare General, yet at leaste, as a Bishop. Otherwise we muste saie vnto him, as S. Gregorie saide sometime to Cyriacus the Bishop of Constantinople, Omnes Magnos esse, & Honorabiles cupio, quorum tamen Honor Honori Omnipotentis Dei non detrahat. Nam quisquis se contra Deum Honorari appetit, mihi Honorabi- lis non est: I wishe that al merne should be Grete, and Honourable: so that their Honour be not preiudicial to the Honour of Almightye God. For who so euer shal desire him selfe to be Ho- noured againste God, shal not be Honourable vnto me.

One highe, and worthy Rea- son we alleged out of your Pope Innocentius the Thirde: The Sonne is higher, and greater, then the Moone: Ergo, the Pope is higher, and greater, then the Emperoure. This Pope Innocentius is he, that saide, Either he woulde loose his Miter, or els he woulde pulle the Emperour Philips Emperial Crowne from his Heade.

Malice (ye saie) blinded vs: otherwise we mighte haue seene other his more sub- stantial, and better Reasons. So were it needeful, &. Hardinge: so certainly this Reason is very simple. But the beste of his Reasons, ye can finde, is this:

The Soule is aboue the Body.

Ergo, The Pope is aboue the Emperoure.

And howe like you this Reason? saie you. Tiersly, as a Reason without sense, or Reason: such as be many of your makinge. By the like Reason you maie saie,

The Coke is alwaies aboue the Fierr:

The Fierr is the highest of al Elementes:

Ergo, Of al Sciences the Coakes occupation is the highest.

By the same Reason ye maie proue, that the Highest Emperour is Subiecte, not onely to the Pope, but also to euery Simple Priest. Pra further, of the same Reason there muste needes folowe a greate inconuenience: That the Pope him selfe, so; as muche as he spinisteth Sacramentes, Teacheth, Exhorteth, & occupieth him selfe in spiritual affaires leaste of al others, is therefore the lowest, and basest of al his

Theodor. vi.
Lib. 1. cap. 19.
Roman. 13.

Chrysost. ad Ro-
man. Homil. 23.

2. Thess. 2.

Gregor. 1. lib. 6.
Epist. 28.

De Maior. &
Obedien. solite.
Carion. in In-
nocent. 3.

his Clergie.

Now, M. Hardinge, I beseeche you, consider the weight, and weight of your owne Reason.

The Popes charge is Spiritual, saie you.

Ergo, The Emperoure is bound to sweare obedience to the Pope. By what Reason maie this Reason be proued? Clearly by the same good Reason y^e maie saie, Euery Priestes Charge is Spiritual.

Ergo, The Kinge is bounde to sweare obedience to euery Prieste. Yet by suche proper Reasons the Pope hath auanced him selfe aboute al the states, & Princes of the world.

But your Holy Father Innocentius, lesse he should seme to wante Scriptures, for prouise thereof, allegeth also the wordes of God spoken vnto the Prophete Hieremie: Beholde I haue set thee ouer Nations, and Kingedomes, so the intente, that thou maist pul

Hierem. 1.

up, and scatter, and builde, and plante. Ergo, he saith, the Emperour is subiect vnto the Pope.

These prouises (ye saie) our Defenders coule not finde. Further, ye saie, in your sober manner, V When wil you forsake the Schoole of lyinge? Truly, if there be any

suche Schoole, M. Hardinge, you maie claime of god right to be the Paister. Wee are as far from lyinge, as you are from saieinge the Truthe. But what make these

wordes of Hieremie for the Bishop of Rome? Will ye saie, that the Prophete Hieremie was the Pope? No, that the Kinge then was sworne to be subiect, and loyal vnto

him: What Kinge, or Prince did Hieremie subdue? What People, or Countrie did he euer overthrowe? One of your owne Doctors saith, Hieremias nullum Regem deposuit. Sed intelligitur positus supra Gentes, & Regna, quasi habens Autoritatem

Iohan. De Parti.

sup. Cap. 15.

1. Cor. 3.

super ea in annuntiando, & predicando Vera. Non de destructione Regum Mundi, sed de destructione Vitiurum, & plantatione Fidei, & morum. Vt illud Pauli, Dei edificatio estis: Dei Agricultura estis: Hieremie deposet no Kinge. But wee vnderstande, that he

was placed ouer Nations, and Kingedomes, as hauinge Authoritie ouer the same, in opening and preachinge of the Truthe. He speaketh not of the overthrowinge of the Kingedomes of the World,

but of the overthrowinge of Vices, and of the plantinge of Faith, and manners. In this sense S. Paule saith to the Corinthians, Yee are Goddes buildinge: Yee are Goddes tillage.

The very Glose it selfe saith, Vt euellas Regnum Satanæ: vt plantes bona: vt ædifices Ecclesiam: I haue placed thee, so roote vp (not the Kingedomes of the world, nor

the states of Common Weales, but) the Kingedome of Satan: to plante good thinges: to builde vp the Church. His whole Commission was limited with these wordes, Posui

Hierem. 1.

Verbum meum in Ore tuo: I haue put my Wordes in thy Mouthe, saith the Lord.

Butche Authoritie had Elias ouer Kinge Achab: And therefore he saide vnto him, It is not I, that trouble Israel, but thou, and thy Fathers house. Butche Authoritie had

3. Reg. 18.

Iohn the Baptiste ouer Kinge Herode: and therefore he saide vnto him, It is not lawfull for thee, to haue thy Brothers Wife.

If it be true, that ye woulde seme to saie, that the Popes Superioritie standeth onely in thinges Spiritual, wherfore then dothe Pope Nicolas saie, Christus Beato

Dist. 22. Medio-

lanensis.

Petro Terreni simul, & Cœlestis Imperij Iura commisit: Christus bathe geuen to Blessed Peter, the Righte as wel of the worldly, as also of the Heauenly Emperie. Therefore then

did Pope Adrian thus write vnto the Emperour Fredericus: Romæ nostra Sedes est: Imperatoris est Aquis in Arduenna, quæ est Sylua Gallie. Imperator, quod habet, totum habet à nobis. Sicut Zacharias transtulit Imperium à Græcis ad Teutonicos: ita

Auentinus in

Adrian. 4.

Anno 1154.

nos possumus illud transferre ab Alemannis ad Græcos. Ecce in potestate nostra est, vt demus illud, cui volumus. Propterea constituti sumus à Deo super Gentes, & Regna,

vt destruamus, & euellamus, & ædificemus, & plantemus: My Seate is in the Citie of Rome. The Emperours Seate is at Acon, in Ardenne, which is a Forest in France. V What

so euer the Emperour hathe, he hathe it of vs. As Pope Zacharias translated the Emperie from Græcia into Germanie, so maie wee againe translate the same from the Germanies to the

Greekes. Beholde, it is in our power, so bestowe the Emperie vpon whom, wee liste. Therefore are wee appointed by God ouer Nations, and Kingedomes, so pulle downe, so roote vp, so builde,

and to plante againe. This Authoritie, I trowe, reacheth somewhat further, then onely to Causes Spiritual. One of your owne Doctors saith, Magis esset acceptum

The Donation of Constantine.

Johan. De Part.
sjs. cap. 12.

In Glessa in Ge.
ne. Cap. 1.

Johan. De Part.
sjs. Cap. 15.

*Vntruth, fonde, and pee-
uiste. For Syl-
vester was dead
longe before
Constantinus
was Christened
*Vntruth, too
vaine for a
Childe. VVhy
should M. Har-
be so vaine?
There is not
one true worde
in al this tale.

Johan. De Part.
sjs. cap. 22.

Pius in Dialog.
fol. 26.

436

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Deo, quod per Solum Pontificem Mundus in Omnibus regeretur: *It were more acce-
ptable vnto God, that the worlde in al maters (bothe Spiritual, & Temporal) were gouerned
Onely by the Pope.*

That Innocentius addeth, of the Sunne and the Moone (ye saie) is not a Reason, but a Similitude.
This thinge maie easily be graunted. For in dede it is a Similitude vterly boide of
either VVitte, or Reason. But who taught the Pope, so childishly to plaie with Si-
militudes, thereby to auance him selfe, and to abase the Emperie of the worlde? Who
tolde him, that the Pope is the Sunne, and the Emperour the Moone? Or, that the
Emperour is so farre inferiour to the Pope, as the Moone is inferiour to the Sunne?
Isidorus, that liued fife hundred yeres before Pope Innocentius, saith quite contra-
rie, Per Solem intelligitur Regnum, & per Lunam intelligitur Sacerdotium: By the
Sunne wee vnderstand the Kingedome: and by the Moone wee vnderstand the Priest-
hoode: Whereby he geueth vs to consider, contrarie to the iudgemente of your god
Father Pope Innocentius, that, as the Moone is inferiour to the Sunne, so is the
Pope inferiour to the Emperour.

M. Hardinge.

But when Constantine was Baptized, he gaue place to *S. Syluester then Bishop of Rome, and to al
others Successours of S. Peter. O how that irketh your hartes, that so great an Emperour, and the firste
that openly professed Christianitie, should by the same Holy Ghoste, who called him to the Faith of
Christ, be made to depart from that Citie, which ruled the world, and to yelde his own Palaice partly
a Church to our Sauour Christ, partly a dwelling house for the Bishops of Rome? Aske of Constan-
tine, why he submitted his necke to S. Syluester. VVe haue cause to thinke, that Constantius the He-
retike, sonne of Constantine, was not very gladde of his Fathers dooinge. And yet God suffered him
not to retourne, and dwel at Rome, but to leaue that Citie free to the Rulers of the Church.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Constantine (ye saie, gaue ouer the Citie of Rome, and al the VVest parte of the Emperie to
the Pope, and to his Successours for euer. And this thinge (ye saie) yrketh our hartes ful soare.
**Pea verily, M. Hardinge, it irketh vs mutche in your behalfe, to se you, a man of
Wisedome, and Learninge, to warrante sutch folies without blushing.** This
whole Donation of Constantine, whereupon ye builde the Popes whole Kingdome,
hath not shewe sufficient to moke a childe. The effect, and sense thereof is this, that
Constantinus the Emperour, the thirde daie after he was Christened, in the honour
of S. Peter, willingly leaste al the West parte of the Emperie, and departed to Bi-
zantium, whiche is now called Constantinople, to dwel in the East: and that he
gaue the whole Imperial, and Ciuile Dominion, not onely of the Citie of Rome, but
also of Italie, France, Spaine, Arragone, Portugal, Englande, Germanie, Scotlande, Ire-
lande, Poole, Denmarke, Sweden, and Hungarie, to the Pope. This doubtlesse bringe
true, had benne a toly liberal, and a princely gifte. And one of your frenches saith,
Volunt aliqui, quod ratione huius Doni, Summus Pontifex Imperator est: & quod
potest instituere, & destituere Reges, sicut Imperator: *Somme saie, that by meane of this
gifte, the Pope is an Emperour, and maie sette vp, and pulle downe Kinges, as an Emperour.*

But Pius Secundus, bringe him selfe afterwarde Bishop of Rome, saith, Dicta
Palea, Constantinus, falsa est: *The saide Decree (named Constantinus, containinge
Constantine the Emperours Donation, or Chartar) is vterly false.* So saith the Anto-
ninus the Archbishop of Florence, Valla, Volaterrane, Hieronymus Cathalanus, Otho
Frisingenensis, and others moe. Of this fable we shal haue occasion to speake hereaf-
ter. Here, M. Hardinge, the Pope him selfe telleth you, that you mainteine his Wan-
tise by a False Decree.

Constantius the Heretique, Sonne vnto Constantinus, as you saie, muche misliked
his Fathers dooinge. **Saie, M. Hardinge, if Constantius were aloue, he would rather
finde faulte in your discretion, that repozte sutch folies of his Fathers doings.**
Where ye saie, God suffered him not to retourne, and to dwel in Rome, it passeth the
depth of your Diuinitie, to searche by the causes, and secretes of Gods sufferance.
Although Constantius, beinge encumbred with dangerous warres, and greate af-
faires, were forced to stae in other Countreies, & coulde not haue leasure to retourne
to Rome, yet he stil continued the Lorde of Rome, as also did a greate number of
other

other Emperoures, that folowed after him. Therefore Pope Bonifacius thus wrote vnto the Emperour Honorius: Roma est Vrbs vestra mansuetudinis: Rome is your Maieslies Cittie. Likewise Pope Agatho writeth vnto the Emperour Constantinus: Hæc est Vrbs Seruiliis Maiestatis vestra: This is youre Maieslies bonde Cittie: And Constantinus the Emperour him selfe saith, Dono Archiepiscopo Antiquæ nostræ Romæ: To Donus the Archebishop of our Cittie of Olde Rome. But what neede more wordes? The case is so cleare, that no man of Learninge can calle it in question.

Briefely, touching this sonde fable of Constantines Donation, Cardinal Cusanus saith thus, Donationem diligenter expendens, reperi in ipsamet Scriptura manifesta argumenta Confessionis, & Falsitatis: Diligently weighing this Donation of Constantine, I haue founde in the very penninge thereof manifest argumentes of forginge, and falsched. These, M. Hardinge, be the Records, and Presidents of most certaine, and moste anciente memorie, that ye would haue published vnto the world. By such Monumentes your Pope claimeth the righte, and possession of the Empire. And your friends maruelle, that ye can defende such falsched, and forge, so wel knowne, and so manifeste, for very shame.

M. Hardinge.

The beinge of the Popes Legates and Ambassadors in Princes Courtes, is a thinge nolesse conueniente, then it is meete for him, that hath the cure of many flockes of sheepe, to sette his seruantes as watchemen in euery parte, where suche flockes doo feede.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In what stode these Legates stand the Church of God, it maie appeare by these fewe vttered thereof by Camotensis: Legati Papæ ita debacchantur in Prouincijs, ac si ad flagellandum Ecclesiam, Satan egressus esset à facie Domini: The Popes Legates doo so rage in al Countries, as if Satan were gonne out before the face of God, to scourge the Church. And Machiavel saith, There haue benne fewe warres, or Commotions enflamed these many late yeres, but by the meane, and whispering of these Legates. What other Doctrine they teache, or what other god they do, it were harde to saie. And therefore the Fathers in the Council of Africa refused vtterly, to haue any such Legates sente amongst them: For thus they write vnto the Pope, Vt aliqui, tanquam à tuæ Sanctitatis latere, mittantur, nulla inuenimus Patrum Synodo constitutum: That any Legates shoulde be sente vnto vs, as from your Holinesse side, wee finde it not appointed by any Council of our Fathers. Againe they saie, Executores Clericos Vestros quibusque Petentibus nolite mittere: ne fumosum sæculi typhum in Ecclesiam Christi videamur inducere: Sende not your Clerkes (or Cardinales) to put maters in execution, at any mannes requeste: Least wee seeme to bringe the smokie pride of the worlde into the Church of Christe.

M. Hardinge.

* His indulgences and pardons depende vpon the power of bindinge and loosinge, which Christ gaue to Peter and his Successours. Ye muste demaunde of Christe, * why he gaue that Power, and not be angrie with his Vicare for vsinge the same.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

O, M. Hardinge, what should you meane with al these Vanities: Are ye so fully bent, to graunte no kinde of error, neither in your Purgatories, nor in your Pardons, nor in your Stewes? God geue you Humilitie of parte: leaste ye be an vnfit vessel to receiue Goddes Pardon.

M. Hardinge.

Ye finde faulre with Leo the thirde for makinge an Emperour in the VVest. I dare saie it greueth you. * For if there had ben none in the VVest, the Turke might haue ben our Emperour er this, and to his Barbarous and wicked tirannie might haue subdued this parte of the worlde, specially Germanie, as he hath subdued Greece, Asia, Egypte, Syria, and al the East Church. Againste whiche mischiefe the Vicare of Christe by his Maisters merciful warninge with the Princes of the VVeste made prouision, and at the length planted the Empire in this order, wee see it nowe in.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This policie practised by the Pope, losed the whole potuer of Christendome. For as the Empire before, beinge vnited, and ioined in one, was stronge, and mightie, so beinge afterwarde diuided into two, and the East parte beinge sundred from the

Do it

Least,

Dist. 97. Eccl.

In sexta synodo

Constan. Act. 4.

In eadem syno-

do, Act. 10.

Nicol. Cusanus

De Donatione

Constantini.

Chaur ab As

grippa de vanti-

ta, Scientiar.

Nicol. Machia-

uel, in Historia.

Council. Africa

cap. 103.

* Vntothe. For

thei depende of

the deceitful-

nesse of man:

and not of the

power of God.

* Vntothe. For

Christe neuer

gaue the Pope

power to deale

Pardons.

* Vntothe, ioi-

ned with open

folie. For this

was the onely

waie to streng-

then the Sa-

racenes.

*Marsilius
Patavinus.*

*The very true
cause of the
Division of the
Empire.
Platina in
Leone 3.*

Weak, it became lame, & weak, and not able to bralpe it selfe. By meane where-
of a gate was opened, to receiue the Turke into al thesse Christian Dominions,
which now he holdeth, without resistance: who otherwise, the Emperie beinge one,
and whole in it selfe, as it was before, coulde neuer haue growen to sute Power.
Al this was wrought by the Praiise, and Policie of the Pope. Notwithstandinge,
the Pope him selfe by this bargaine lost nothinge. Therof Marsilius Patavinus writ-
teth thus: Pipinus, donne vnto Charles the frenche Kinge, after he had conquered
Aristulphus the Kinge of Lumbardie, toke Rauenna, and al the five Citties of Ro-
mandiola, together with the Emperoures Lieutenantes Territozie called Exar-
chatus, and gaue al the same to the Pope. Pope Steuin findinge him selfe wel con-
tented with these benefites, and seeinge the weaknesse of the Greeke Emperoure,
procured, that the Emperie should be translated from the Greekes vnto the Frenche,
hauinge utterly forgotten the benefites, that he had receiued of the Emperoure: to
the intent, that the Greekes, beinge vtterly oppressed, and the Frenche litle caringe
for these thinges, he alone might rule in Italie at his pleasure.

Touthinge Pope Leo the Third, whose Proudence, and Policie ye so mutche
commend, the true reposte of the Storie, is this: The saide Leo, beinge by violence
deprised of his Bishoppe in Rome, fledde for aide to Charles the frenche Kinge,
& by him was restored. In consideration of whiche benefite, he proclaimed Charles
the Emperoure of VVeste. Sithence whiche time, the Emperie of Christendome
hathe benne diuided, and weakened: the Pope enriched: and the Saracenes, & Turkes
aboue al measure increased.

M. Hardinge.

If the Pope Zacharias deposed Childerike (for so I finde him more commonly named) the Kinge
of Fraunce, onely vpon his owne pleasure or displeasure, as yee saie, and placed Pipine for him, can yee
tel that storie, and not see what a strength of auctoritie is in that See, which is able with a woorde to
place and displace the mightiest Kinge in Europe? VVith a woorde I saie, For I am sure ye can shewe
vs of no Armie, that he sente to execute that his wil. Is that the power of a man, trowe ye, to appoint
Kingedomes? Can the Deuil him selfe at his pleasure set vp and depose Kinges? No surely. (a) And
much lesse can any member of his doo the same. Remember ye what Christe saide, when the Iewes
objected, that he did caste out Deuils in the name of the Prince of Deuilles? (b) Beware ye sinne not
against the Holy Ghost, who confesse that the Pope hathe pulled downe and set vp Kinges. VVhich
thinge vndoubtedly he could not doo profitably and peaceably, but by the great power of God. And
yet did that Line of Pipine and Charles the Greater, whiche the Pope did set vp, (c) florish aboue any
other stocke, that ye can name since the inclination of the Romaine Emperie. VVhiche in that tran-
sposed state of so greate a Kingedome, maketh no obscure argumente of Heauenly approbation and
Diuine providence.

(a) Vntruth. For
S. Gregorie
saith,
*Antichristus
ip[s]as summus
huius Seculi
Potestates
obtenebit.*

(b) A newe
sinne against
the Holy Ghost
(c) Vntruth, ea-
sie to be seene.
Reade the An-
swere.

(d) A Diuine
Power in the
Pope.

Neither did the Pope Zacharias depose Childerike, because he fancied him not, as ye slander, but
onely consented to loose his Subiectes from bonde of Othe made to him, at the general and most ear-
nest requeste and sute of the Nobilitie, and Communalitie of the whole Realme of Fraunce, findinge
him very vnprofitable, and vnmeet for the Kingedome, as one, who beinge of no witte, and therefore
commonly named *Stupidus*, as muche to saie, a dolte, was altogether besides like a *Sardanapalus*,
geuen wholly to belly cheere, and to filthy loue of women. Therefore in your owne wordes ye con-
fesse a (d) Diuine power in the Pope, as by whom God directeth the willes of faithful Princes on the
Earthe. The more suche examples ye bringe, the woorse ye make your cause. I woulde hyer you to
ease me of the labour of prouinge suche a notable facte.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Pope Zacharias deposed Childericus, as you cal him, or, as somme others cal him,
Chilpericus, the frenche Kinge. Therefore ye saie, we must needs acknowledge a Diuine
power in the Pope: seeinge him able, by his woorde to place, and displace the mightiest
King in Europe. For can the Diuel (saie you) at his pleasure sette vp, and depose
Kinges?

Ioh. 12. 14. 16.

*Matth. 4.
Apoc. 17.*

Verily, M. Hardinge, Christe him selfe calleth the Diuel the Prince of this worlde:
and therefore we maie imagine, he maie do somewhat in the worlde. And the Di-
uel, if ye wil beleue his woorde, when he had set Christe on highe vpon a mounte, and
shewed him al the Kingedomes of the worlde, he saide vnto him, *All these thinges wil I
geue thee, if thou wilt falle downe, and worships mee.* This is that Power, that S. Iohn
saith, *Shoulde be geuen to Antichriste: Reges Terræ vires, & potestatem suam tra-*
dent;

dent Bestie: &c. vt consentiant, dentque Regnum suum Bestie, donec compleantur verba Dei: *The Kinges of the Earthe shal geue their strengthe, and Power vnto the Beast, &c. that they maie agree together, and geue their Kingedome vnto the Beast, vntil the Woordes of God be fulfilled.* Againe he saith, Mulier ea, quam vidisti, est Vrbs illa Magna, quæ habet Regnum super Reges terræ: *The Woman, that thou sawest, is that greete Cittie, that hath a Kingedome ouer the Kinges of the Worlde.* And againe he saith, Data est illi Potestas in omnem Tribum, & Gentem: & adorabunt eam (Bestiam) omnes incolæ terræ, quorum nomina non sunt scripta in Libro Vitæ Agni: *Power is geuen vnto that Beast ouer euery Tribe, and Language, and Nation: and al the dwellers of the Earthe shal worship the same Beast, (whiche is Antichriste) whose names be not written in the Lambes Booke of Life.*

In eodem cap.

Apoc. p. 13.

S. Augustine saith, Quia Antichristus ad tantum culmen inanis gloriæ venturus creditur, tanta ei licebit facere, & in omnes homines, & in Sanctos Dei, vt nonnulli infirmi arbitrentur, Deum res humanas negligere: *For that wee beleue, that Antichriste shal comme vnto sutch a highte of vaine Glorie, it shalbe lawful for him to doo sutch things, bothe towards al menne, (Princes, and others) and also towards the Saintes of God, that many weak menne shal thinke, God hath forsaken the care of the worlde.* Againe he saith, Ita traditur de Antichristo, quod omnes Reges superaturus sit, & solus Regnum obtenturus: *Thus it is written of Antichriste, that he shal conquere al Kinges, and obtaine the Kingedome him selfe alone.*

Augustin. in
Psalm. 9.

August. in eun-
dem Psalm.

So saith S. Gregorie, Antichristus veniens ipsas etiam summas huius sæculi Potestates obtinebit: *Antichriste, when he shal come, shal conquere the highest Estates, and Powers of this worlde.*

Gregor. in 106.
cap. 41. Lib. 33.
Cap. 22.

And al this shal come to passe, as Chrysostome saith, by the Dissolution of the Emperie, whereof we haue spoken before. These be his wordes, Donec Imperij illius timor fuerit, nemo Antichristo statim subdetur. Quando verò istud Imperium destructum fuerit, vacantem Imperij Principatum inuadet, & tentabit ad se rapere & Hominum, & Dei Imperium: *As longe as the Emperie shalbe had in awe, noman shal freghtwaie submitte him selfe to Antichriste: But after that the Emperie shalbe dissolved, Antichriste shal inuade the state of the Emperie standinge voide: and shal labour to pulle vnto him selfe the Emperie bothe of Man, and God.*

Chrysost. in 2.
Thessalon. 2.
Homil. 4.

This, I trowe it is, that the Pope proclaimeth him selfe the Heire Apparent of al Kingedomes: This it is, that Pope Nicolas saith, Christus Beato Petro, Aeternæ Vitæ Clauigero, Terreni simul, & Cœlestis Imperij iura commisit: *Christe hath committed vnto Blessed Peter, the Keiebearer of Euerlastinge Life, the Right bothe of the worldly, and also of the Heauenly Emperie: This is it, that somme are so bolde to saie, Papa totius Mundi obtinet Principatum: The Pope hath the Princehoode of al the whole Worlde: And, that some others haue saide, Papa est Rex Regum, & Dominus Dominantium: The Pope is the Kinge of Kinges, and Lorde of Lordes: And, that Pope Adrian saith of him selfe, as it is alleged before, Imperator, quod habet, totum habet à nobis. Ecce in potestate nostra est, vt demus Imperium, cui volumus: What so euer the Emperour hath, he hath it of vs. It is in our power to bestowe the Emperie vpon whom wee liste. This, M. Hardinge, is that Diuine Power, that, as you saie, is geuen to the Pope. But as S. Iohn, S. Augustine, S. Chrysostome, and S. Gregorie saie, the selfe same Diuine Power is geuen also to Antichriste. And therefore it is wel noted in your owne Glose, Papa stupor Mundi: The Pope is the woondermente of the VVorlde: that is to saie, the Pope maketh al the worlde foles.*

Clemen. Lib. 2.
De Appellatio-
nib. Pastoralu.
Distin. 22. Om-
nes.
In Sexto, Lib. 3.
Ti. 16. De statu
Regulatum.
Antonius de
Rosellis.
Auentinus in
Adriano, 4.

Clemen. in Pro-
cemo. In Glosa,

But if it seme so greate a mater, for a Pope to depose a Kinge, I doubt not, but ye maie wel remember, that Emperours sometimes haue deposed Popes. The Emperoure Constantius (an Arian, I graunte, but yet an Emperoure) deposed Pope Liberius: and afterwarde, restoringe Liberius, deposed Pope Felix. The Emperoure Otho the firste deposed Pope Iohn 13. The Emperoure Iustinian deposed twoo Popes in order: firste Pope Syluerius, and afterwarde Pope Vigilius. And al this did they without leuelling of arme, without raising of powder, without disquiet, or trouble of the people. And a Doctoure of your owne seminge fully to

Platina in Li-
berio.
2. Tomo. Conci-
liorum, in Vita
syluerij. & Vig-
gily.

Do it

determine

Deposing of Kinges

404

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Iohan. De Paris
lib. 1. cap. 14.
Appendix Em-
tropij: in Sylue-
rio.

Vrſpergen. Ar-
no. 1045.

Iohan. De Paris
lib. 1. cap. 15.

Paulus Amylis
lib. 1. 1.
Gagninus.

Plutarch. in
Catoe Vitium.

Benvenutus Imo-
lensis in Au-
gustali.
Paulus Amylis
lib. 3.

determine the mater by a Booke case, ſaith thus, Imperator, requisitus à Cardinali-
bus, debet procedere contra Papam: The Emperour, beinge required by the Cardinales,
is bounde to proceede (to Deposition) against the Pope. An other ſaith thus, Si quan-
do Imperialis Legatus mitteretur à Principe, vt Romanus Pontifex proficisceretur
Constantinopolim ad Imperatorem, omni neglecta occasione, ibat, etiamſi pro certo
ſciret, ſe iturum in exilium: If at any time the Emperours Embaſſadours had benne ſent
from his Prince, to Wiſthe Pope to come to Constantinople to the Emperour, al occasions
ſette aparte, he wente ſtraighte waie, notwithstandinge he certainly knewe, he ſhoulde be banni-
ſhed. Vrſpergen ſaith, Henricus Rex Italiam ingreſſus, tres Papas indignè con-
ſtitutos Synodaliter depoſuit: Henrie the Emperoure, comminge into Italie, depoſed three
Popes unlawfully made, by order of Synode.

Nowe, M. Hardinge, if you, with youre libetozſcal furniture, maie criſe out, O
what a Diuine Power had the Pope, that thus coulde depoſe a Kinge: why maie not
wee likewiſe ſaie, O what a Diuine Power had the Emperour, that thus coulde depoſe ſo many
Popes?

But, that ye maie the better ſee this wonderful Diuinitie, and Heavenly Power,
wherewith ye woulde ſo ſaine enſeaſe the Pope, a Witneſſe of youre owne ſaith
thus, Quod dicitur, Papam depoſuiſſe Regem Francorum, & loco eius inſtituiſſe Pi-
pinum, Gloſa ordinaria exponit, Depoſuit, id eſt, deponentibus conſenſit. Non enim
legitur, quod Papa Zacharias Regem Francie depoſuerit: Where as it is ſaide, that the
Pope depoſed the Frenche Kinge, and placed Pipinus in his roume, The Ordinarie Gloſe ex-
poundeth it thus: He depoſed him, that is to ſaie, He conſented to them, that did depoſe him. For
wee reade not, that Pope Zacharie in deede euer depoſed the Frenche Kinge.

The truthe of the ſtoyle is this, For as muche as Chilpericus the Kinge ſeemed
boide of Princely grauitie, and had geuen him ſelfe ouer to pleaſure, and wanton-
neſſe, and Pipinus his Lozde Marſhal, a man ſul of wiſedome, and actiuitie, had the
Gouernement, and burthen of al the Realme, the Nobles of France hauinge agreed
amonge them ſelues, to depoſe the one, and to ſet vp the other, ſente vnto Pope Za-
charie, as vnto a wiſe man, to haue his anſwere to this queſtion, VWhether were
meeter to be Kinge, He that carried onely the name, and did nothinge: Or he, that bare
the burthen of the whole. The Pope was ſane perſuaded, to geue Sentence wiſth
Pipinus the Lozde Marſhal, againſte the Kinge. Whereupon the Kinge was
ſhorne into an Abbie, and made a Monke: Pipinus auanced vnto the ſtate, gaue the
Pope the Exarchate, or Princehoode of Rauenna, in parte of recompence ſor his good
wiſl.

Whether the Kinge hauinge ſene pères ruled his Realme, were afterwarde
depoſed by righte, or by wronge, I wiſl not reaſon. Faſciculus Temporum ſaith,
The Kingedome was remoued from the righte Heires.

The Line of Kinge Pipine endured, and flouriſhed a longe while: And that (ye ſaie) was no
obſcure argumente of Heavenly Approbation, and Diuine Prouidence. By ſutche Approba-
tion, and Prouidence, the Turke maie claime. For he hath bothe longer continued,
and mutche moze flouriſhed, and encreaſed his eſtate, then euer did the houſe of Pi-
pine. But Cato was wonte to ſaie, Multum eſt caliginis in rebus Diuinis: There is
greate darkeneſſe in Goddes maters.

Yet, leſſe any man of ignorance happen herein to be deceiued, this was the very
true deſcente, and flouriſhing Fortune of Kinge Pipines Raſe.

The firſte thereof was Charles the Greate: In his time, ſaith Benvenutus Imo-
lenſis, Laceratum eſt Imperium: The ſtate of the Emperie was torne in ſunder.

The ſeconde was Ludouicus Pius: Againſte him his owne ſonne Lotharius
aroſe, and cauſed him to be ſhorne as a Moncke, and to be thruſte into an Abbie, and
his owne Mother the Empreſſe to be made a Nonne.

The thirde was Lotharius: He oppreſſed his owne Brethren by violence: and
afterwarde was depoſed, and made a Moncke.

The fourth was Ludouicus 2. He was vnfortunate in al his doings: and
was ſhamefully conquered by his Brother.

The

The firste was Ludouicus 3. Whome for his doughtie deedes, they commonly calle Ludouicus nihili: whiche is as muche to saie, as Lewes Nobody.

The second was Carolus 2. named Caluus: as Benuenutus saith, Vir lepore timidior: A man more feareful, and more cowardly, then a hare. He was shortly slaine with poison.

The seventh was Carolus 3. as Benuenutus saith, Vir deficiens & animo, & Corpore: A man wantinge bothe strengthe of Body, and wisdome of minde: that is to saie, both a Colwarde, and a fole.

The eight was Arnulphus: He was eaten vp with life.

The ninth was Ludouicus 4. by the reporte of Benuenutus, a man of no better fortune, then his father. In him that house had an ende. This is that Noble Pipines Rasc, M. Hardinge, that coulde not so stande, and stoppe, as you saie, with out special Heauenly Approbation, and Diuine Prouidence.

M. Hardinge.

Concerninge that ye saie of Kinge philip surnamed le Bel, if we maie beleue Paulus Aemylus the beste writer of the Frenche Chronicles, the cause was suche betwene Pope Bonifacius, and that King, that if he did not onely excommunicate him, but also offered gifte of his Kingdome to Albert the Emperour, as Platina your Authour herein writeth: he maie seeme therein to haue donne (a) not altogether so euil as ye pretende. For, as bothe Aemylus and Platyna doo witnesse, the cause of their fallinge out was, that whereas the Pope beinge firste sued vnto by Cassanus a Christian Prince, and a greate Conquerour in the East, to ioine with him for the recouery of the holy lande, sent the Bishop of Apamea to the Frenche Kinge for his necessarie aide in that so common a quarrel of al Christendome, he beinge offended, either that the sute was not firste made to him, either for that the saide Bishop had donne his Ambassade with shewe of more auctoritie, then the Kinge thoughte it became him, or vpon somme priuate grudge, did not onely utterly refuse to sende any helpe towards the voiage, but also contemptuously, beside common order, and cruelly, committed the Popes Legate to Prison, and there kept him, vntil suche time, as through the Popes interdict, the Kinge was compelled to set him at libertie. Nowe of geuinge awaie his Kingdome, this chiefe Frenche Historiographer maketh no mention. And if the Pope so did, why maie he not seeme to haue donne it (b) rather to feare him, and to reclaim his minde from disobedience? Verily Platyna writinge it, declareth, how before the Pope proceeded to that extremitie, the Frenche Kinge did what in him laie, (c) to withdrawe the people of France from the obedience of the Church and See Apostolike.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, ye stammer in your tale, and knowe not wel, what to saie, If the Pope gaue awaie the Kingdome of France from the Prince, he did it (ye saie) to the intent to feare him. A pretty blasse, to fraie a King, to pul the Crowne Imperial from his head.

Firste, this Pope Bonifacius is he, of whom it was saide, Intrauit, vt Vulpes: Regnavit, vt Lupus: Mortuus est, vt Canis: He entred into the Popdome, as a Foxe: He reigned, as a Wolfe: He died, (in prison) as a Dogge. In Solemne Procession he went attyred with the Crowne Imperial, and Robe of Maiestie, as an Emperour, and commanded the Naked Swerde to be bozne before him. In the storie of his life tolde with his owne Booke, named, Sextus Bonifacij 8. it is written thus, Moritur hoc modo Bonifacius, qui Imperatoribus, Regibus, Principibus, Nationibus, Populis terrorem potius, quam Religionem iniicere conabatur: Thus died Pope Bonifacius, a man, that sought more to strike terrour into Emperours, Kinges, Princes, People, and Nations, then true Religion.

This Bonifacius, saith the Sabellicus, sente to the Frenche Kinge for monie, as he pretended, towarde the recouerie of Hierusalem. The Bishop of Apamea, beinge his Legate in that behalfe, vttered certayne greate wordes in the presence of the Kinge, and threatened him, onlesse he would graunte it.

The Kinge, not quietly bearinge such presumptuous boldnesse, commanded the Apostolique Legate vnto ward. This insurie so inflamed the Popes choler, that immediatly he sente the Archebishop of Narbon to the Kinge, to require him, to sette his Legate at libertie: otherwise to telle him, that for his wickednesse, The right of his Kingdome was fallen to the Church of Rome. Thus Sabellicus, in fauoure of the Pope, thought it good somme what to shadowe the mater.

But others thereof haue written thus, Bonifacius 8. mandat Regi, se esse Dominum in Spiritualibus, & Temporalibus in Vniuerso Mundo: Vty Rex recognoscat Regnum

Paulus Aemyl.
in Chronico.

Benuenutus is
molen, in Au-
gustali.
Nihil felicius
Patre. In eo des-
sect Imperium
in gente Caros-
lorum.
(a) Not alto-
gether so euil.
A proper qua-
lification.

(b) A iolly way,
to fraie a King.
(c) By this Diui-
nitie, if any
Kingdome re-
fuse to be sub-
iecte, and thral
to the See of
Rome, the
Pope may geue
the same away
at his pleasure.

Paralip. vi. p. 1.
gen.
In vita Bonifac-
ij 8. In Sexto.
Idem in Platyna

Sabell. Enneade,
9. lib. 7.

Martinus Polo-
nus.

Philippus.
Bonifacius 8.

442

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Dist. 81. si quis.
In Glossa.
Abbas Vrsper
gen.

Paulus Amyl.
Plarina.
Sabellius.
Naucerus.

Regnum Francie à se. Contrarium enim sentire, & tenere, Hæreticum esse: Pope Bonifacius 8. *sente unto the Frenche Kinge, and tolde him, that he was Lorde bothe in Spiritual, and also in Temporal maters throughout the worlde: and therefore, that the Kinge should holde his Kingedome at his hande. For otherwise to thinke, and holde, he saide, it was Heresie.* This is it, that in the name of the Pope is noted in your Glose: Quicunque Præceptis nostris non obediit, peccatum Idololatriæ, & Paganitatis incurrit: Who so ever obeieth not our Commaundementes, falleth into the Sinne of Idolatrie, and Infidelitie. Heretofore Vespergensis writeth thus, Habes, Roma, quod sistsi: decanta Canticum: quia per malitiam, non per Religionem orbem vicisti: O Rome, thou haste nowe, that thou haste so longe thirsted after. Nowe singe merily. For by thy malice, not by Religion, thou haste conquered the worlde.

The Kinge beinge moved herewith, commaunded that none of his Clerergie should come to the Popes Council: He openly burnt the Popes VVrites: He commaunded the Popes Legate to departe out of his Realme: He forbade that any monie should be made thence to the Pope: He gaue out Proclamations, that none of his Subiectes should goe to Rome: And in the Synode at Parise, he charged the Pope with Pride, Ambition, Murder, Simonie, and Heresie.

Thus mutche of the dealinge of the Crowne of France vnto a stranger: that is to saie, of the Faith, and Reuerence, that the Pope beareth to Kinges, and Princes: Here foloweth somewhat of the spoilinge of the Duke of Sauoie, and of the alteringe of the state of Florence: whiche thinges I thought it beste to passe ouer, as not worthy of any Answer.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 4.

There are accloied with Examples in this behalfe, and it would be very tedious to reckon vp al the notozious practises of the Bishops of Rome. But of whiche side were they, I beseeche you, that poisoned Henric the Emperoure, euen in the receiuinge of the Sacramente: whiche poisoned Victor the Pope, euen in the receiuinge of the Chalice: whiche poisoned our Kinge Iohn, Kinge of Englande, in a drinkeing Cuppe: who so euer at leaste they were, and of what secte so euer, I am sure, they were neither Lutheranes, nor Zuinglianes.

M. Hardinge.

The Findes of Hel were not yet let loose, that begate Lutheranes, Zwinglians, and Calvinistes. And herof wee vnderstande the youthe of your Church, whiche hauinge diuided it selfe from the olde, and Catholike Church, is no other but the malignant Church, and Synagog of Satan.

To answer your demaundes, VVho so euer they were, that poisoned these great personages, (if they were poisoned at al) good men were they not, neither the dooers, nor the Counsaillours. Henry of Luxemburg it was, who was poisoned by reporte. VVhom your Latine Booke printed among the Huguenotes calleth Henry the Seuenth, M. Doctour Haddon in his answer to Olorius, accompteth him the Fourthe, in bothe your Englishe translations (that I haue seene) he is called onely Henry. As he laide siege to the Citie of Florence, and had now brought the Citizens to despaire of their safetie: when manly courage might not serue, they betooke them to cowardly malice. First they poisoned (as it is saide) the minde of a Frier Dominican with Golde, that afterwarde he should aduenter to poison the Emperours body with venime. Paulus Amylius saith, that he died of a sicknesse, whiche he fel into at Bonconuento, as he iourned from Pisa thither. Onuphrius, writinge of his deathe, saith that he died at Bonconuento a towne in the territorie of Siena, and maketh no mention of his poisoning. Cornelius Cornepolita writinge this storie, seemeth to geue litle credite vnto it. For he ad-
deth an hearesaie, *Vt aiunt*, as they saie, as though it were a matter anouched by no certaintie, but by
Hearesaie. Naucerus reporteth, that the order of those religious men is saide to haue a testimonial in
writinge, witnessinge the foresaide Frier to haue ben Innocente, and that the whole was but a fained
saie.

Victor the third Pope is mentioned by Martinus Polonus, to haue ben poisoned by the malicious procurement of the Emperour Henry the third, because he stood in defense of Gregorie the seventh, whom the Emperour so much hated, and persecuted. Vincentius holdeth contrarie opinion, that he died of a dysentery, as Platina reciteth.

Touchinge Kinge Iohn of England, they that write that he was poisoned in a drinkeing Cuppe by Mohkes, them selues make no better then a fable of it: and who so euer write it, referre them selues

selues to hearefaie, and to the popular fame. The Authour of your Actes and Monumentes reporteth, that many opinions are amonge the Chornicle writers of his Deathe. As ye proceede in your malice-ous railing againste the Pope ye spitte out your poison, demandinge certaine questions, shorte in woordes, but full stuffed with false and cankered flanders.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Poisoninge of that Noble Emperoure Henrie of Lucenburg, in the Sacramente, whereby it appeareth, howe farre frome the States of the worlde oughte to truste you, ye woulde haue vs to passe lightly ouer, as a fable. Of your Onuphrius, and Cornelius, and other like Parasites, wee make no reckninge. The truthe of the storie is reported by many. Vrspergensis saith, Quidam Religiosus porrexit Imperatori intoxicatam Eucharistiam, &c. *A certaine Religious man ministred vnto the Emperoure The Sacramente poisoned. The Emperoure hauinge receiued it, and returninge againe vnto his place, thought that a peece of colde yse was past alonge through his body.*

Baptista Egnatius saith, it was wrought by the policie of Robertus Kinge of Sicilia, moued thereto, as Auentinus saith, by Pope Clemente 5. The same yet shal finde recozded in Carion, in Supplemento Chronicorum, in Rauisius Textor, & sundrie others.

The like recozde there is founde of poisoninge of Victor 3. in the Chalice. For the more credite whereof it maie please you to reade Martinus Polonus the Popes Penitentiarie, Volaterranus, Mattheus Palmerius, the Supplie of Chronicles, Fasciculus Temporum, Textor, and others.

Toucheinge the Deathe of Kinge Iohn, whether he were poisoned by a Moncke, or no, I will not strue: referringe mee selfe therein to the credite of our Chronicles: the common repozte whereof, together with the general opinion of the people, is this, That he was destroyed with Poison. But what so euer were the cause of his deathe, Matthias Parisiensis saith thus, Papa Innocentius sententialiter definiuit, &c. Pope Innocentius determined by sentence, that Kinge Iohn shoulde be depozed from his estate. And he enioined the execution thereof to the Frenche Kinge, for Remission of his Sinnes, promisinge him also faithfully, that, if he so did, he, & his Successours shoulde enioy the Kingedome of Englande for euer. So mutche is Englande bounde to reuerence, and obeye the Pope.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Diuision. 2.

What is he at this daie, whiche alloweth the mightiest Kinges, and Monarches of the worlde to kisse his blessed feete?

M. Hardinge.

It is he (saie wee) that humbly for his owne person refuseth suche honour, that calleth, and thinketh him selfe, *Servum servorum Dei*, the seruant of the seruantes of God. But when he seeth the great Powers and Princes of the worlde humble them selues to Christ, Lorde of al Lordes, and Kinge of al Kinges, in the person of him, whose Vicare on Earthe he is, and chiefe deputie in those thinges, that be to Godwarde: not vnmindful what he is of him selfe, for the roomes sake that he beareth, and for his honour whose Vicegerent he is, the rather also for (a) example of Humilitie, and Obedience, so to be taken and learned of others of inferiour degree: he suffereth that honour to be donne, whiche is more then a mere man can require. Neither is this the pride of Popes at these daies onely, as ye objecte, but the example of suche humilitie in Princes wee can proue to be aunciente.

The greates Kinge Charlmagne, who afterwarde was create Emperour, could not be withholden by the Pope Adrian the firste, but at the firste meetinge he woulde kisse his feete. Many other Emperours and Kinges haue of olde times donne likewise. And least the Soueraintie of suche Honour exhibited vnto him should in his owne conceite lifte him higher then for the degree of humaine condition (b) to that purpose serueth the stoole of natural easement at his creation, whereof your surmise is (c) very vile, to temper the highenesse of that vocation with the base consideration of humaine infirmitie, and necessities.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

What thinge is there, either so vile, or so horrible, but by suche proper excuses maie some be smothered? Chrysostome saith, Hæc etsi minima esse videantur, tamen magnorum sunt causæ malorum. Nam & Ciuitates, & Ecclesias sapenumero evertunt. Propterea nec à Lachrymis abstinere possum, cum primos istos Confessus, & Salutationes audiam, ac in mentem veniat, quot, quantaque hinc mala in Ecclesia

*Paralip. Vrsberg
Anno. 1313.*

*Bapt. Egnatius.
Auentinus.*

*Carion.
Supplementum
Chronicorum.*

*Textor in Offis
cina: Veneno
extincti.*

*Matthias Parisi-
ens. Ann. 1211.*

(a) A proper
Schoole of Hu-
militie.

(b) Phy for
Shame. Cannot
the Pope learne
Humilitie, but
by a stoole of
easement?

(c) Vntruth. For
it is not surmi-
sed by vs, but
recorded by
your owne wri-
ters. Sabellicus
saith,

*Vt sedentis ge-
nitalia ab ul-
timo Diacono
attrectentur.*

*Chrysostom. in
Matthæ. Homil.*

Dei 74.

Hieron. in Epist.
ad Galat. cap. 4.

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 38.
Ceremoniar. Li.
2. sectio. 5. ca. 3.
Deuote Of-
culatur.

Ceremoniar. Li.
1. sectio. 5. cap. 6.
Ceremoniarum,
Lib. 3. cap. 2.

Seneca.
Pomponius Le-
tus in Diocle-
tiano.
Alexander. ab
Alexandro.
2. Theſſalon. 2.
Matthe. 4.

Antoninus in
Summa. Par. 3.
li. 22. ca. 5. § 4.

Dei orta sint: These things, notwithstanding they seeme smal, yet are they the causes of great euilles. For oftentimes haue they overthrowen bothe Citties, and Churches. Therefore I cannot abstaine from weeping, when I heare these Superiorities and Salutations: and consider, howe many, and howe great euilles haue growen thereof in the Church of God. S. Hierome, much mislikinge the state of his time, saith thus, Episcopi, velut in aliqua sublimi specula constituti, vix dignantur videre mortales, & alloqui conseruos suos: The Bishoppes, as if they were placed in somme highe Castle, scarcely vouchesafe to looke vpon poore mortal men, and to speake vnto their felowe seruantes.

Toucinge the Bishop of Rome, it is not for naught, that S. Gregorie saith, Rex Superbia in foribus est: The King of Pride is euen at hand. In the Popes owne Booke of the Ceremonies of Rome, it is written thus, Electus Imperator cum suis omnibus, seruato ordine, per gradus ascendit suggestum. Et vt primum videt Pontificem, detecto capite, illum, genu terram contingens, veneratur: & iterum, cum appropinquat ad gradus Sedis, genu flectit: demum, vbi ad Pontificis pedes peruenit, illos in reuerentiam Saluatoris Deuote osculatur: The Emperour Electe, goeing in araic with al his traine, passeth vp the staires into the Scaffolde. And as soone as he seeth the Pope, he woorshipeth him with bare heade, touching the grounde with his knee: Againe, when he commeth to the foote of the Popes Throne, he kneeleth downe. Laste of al, when he commeth vnto the Popes Feete, he kisseth them Deuoutely in the reuerence of our Sauoure. This is ordered as a spicial Ceremonie, and appointed vnto the Emperour, as parte of his duettie.

Likewise it is written of the Empresse, as concerninge her duettie: Imperatrix Coronata, mox osculatur pedem Pontificis: The Empresse besinge Crowned, immediately kisseth the Popes foote.

Againe of the Pope him selfe it is written thus, Papa nemini omnino mortali-um reuerentiam facit, assurgendo manifeste, aut caput inclinando, seu detegendo: The Pope him selfe geueth no manner of reuerence to any man aliue, either openly by standinge vp, or by boweing downe, or by vncoueringe his Heade.

But the Pope (saie you) humbly, for his owne persone, refuseth sutch honoure, and hereby teacheth Kinges, and Emperours, and al their Subiectes to be humble. A strange case, to teache Humilitie in the Schoole of Pride. Sutch Humilitie taught Iulius Caesar, Diocletian, and Maximinus. Iulius Caesar taught out his foote for Pompeius Poenus to kisse, that folkes mighte see his Golden Slipper sette with stoanes. Pomponius Latus saith, Diocletianus edicto sanxit, &c. Diocletian commaunded by Proclamation, that al menne shoulde fülle downe, and kisse his Feete: wherunto also he added a certaine reuerence, adorninge his Shooes with Golde, and Diamonds. Maximinus also afterwarde did the like.

Sutch Humilitie shal Antichriste teache, auancinge him selfe aboue al that is worshippinge, or called God.

Sutch Humilitie the Diuel toke vpon him to teache, when he saide to Christe, I wil geue thee al these things, if thou wilt fülle downe, and woorship mee.

Thus made the Pope calle him selfe Lorde of Lordes, Kinge of Kinges, and sette his foote on Emperours neckes: and yet neuerthelesse, if he can frame a newe title, and saie, He is Seruus Seruorum Dei, The Seruante of Goddes Seruantes, it is no Pride: it is but Humilitie.

Antoninus saith, Non minor honor debetur Papæ, quàm Angelis. Vnde Papa recipit à Fidelibus Adorationes, Prostrationes, & Oscula pedum: quod non permisit Angelus à Iohanne Euangelista sibi fieri: There is no lesse honoure dewe vnto the Pope, then vnto the Angelles of God. Therefore the Pope suffereth the Faithful to woorship him, and to fülle downe before him, and to kisse his Feete: whiche things the Angel of God would not suffer S. Iohn the Euangeliste to doo vnto him.

This, M. Hardinge, is no malicious Railinge, as yee cal it, nor Spite, nor Poison, nor Vntruthe stuffed with false, and cankered Schlaunders. By the repozte of your owne Doctoures, and by your owne Confession, it is the Truthe.

The Porphyry Stoolle of easement serueth (yee saie) to put the Pope in minde of his Humanitie, that is to saie, that he made remember him selfe, in the middes of al his glorie, to be a man.

a man. No, no, M. Hardinge, your owne Authoures, and Stories can tel you, that
Stroale serueth, to put the Pope in remembrance of his Virilitie: that the world mae
knowe, he is no woman.

But let your imagination stande for true. Yet mae we thinke, your Popes are
so sozegeatful, or so bulheaded, or so blockishe, that they haue made to be taught by
so vile examples, to know them selues: Certainly this is a Mystical kinde of Stoole
of easement.

What cannot lightly lacke Mysteries at his handes, if so homely a place be so full
of Mysteries. Kinge Alexander the Greate vnderstande him selfe to be a Spanne
spittal, as others were, and not a God, as he was bozne in hande: for that he was
sometimes of natural necessitie forced to sleepe. But Christes Vicare: Peters Suc-
cessoure: The Doctoure of al Doctours: The Father of al Fathers: The Maister, and
Teacher of al the world, were it not for a Stoole of easement, would quite sozegeate
his owne Humanitie, and coulde neuer remember him selfe to be a Man.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Diuision. 3.

What is he, that commaundeth the Emperoure, to goe by him at
his horse brydle, and the Frenche Kinge to holde his Stiroke?

M. Hardinge.

VWhat is he, (a) wee knowe not, (a) nor you neither, Sir Defender. This we knowe, that in these
woordes moste impudently you belie the Pope. For neuer was there Pope that commaunded either
Frenche Kinge, or Emperoure, to doo the seruice you speake of. Parte of that I haue readen pertain-
inge hereunto, I will here recite.

The woorthiest and greatest Prince that euer was in Earthe, Constantine the Greate, to witnesse
openly the reuerence whiche he bare in his harte to Christe our Sauour, and to S. Peter, whose Suc-
cessour the Pope is, as likewise Christes Vicare in Earthe, disdained not to honour S. Syluester Pope in
his time, with dooinge (b) the office of a footeman to him, and with leadinge his Horse by the Bridle.
VWherein he seemeth, as firste of al Emperoures he professed the Faith of Christe openly, so firste of
al Princes to haue geuen to the posteritie an example of Humilitie. That no man doubt of it, this
muchel I finde recorded by an olde Father of the Greeke Church, Mathæus Hieromonachus, as re-
uered by Constantine him selfe: *ἡμῖς δὲ σφόδρα ὀφεικίον ὑπελθόντες, καὶ τὰ χαλινὰ τοῦ
ἵππου αὐτοῦ κατέρχοντες, τῆς αὐλῆς τῶν ἱερῶν αὐτοῦ ἕξιμεν ἀνακτόρων, αἰδολοί, καὶ φόβου
τῶ κυρίου μὲν τῶ ἀγίῳ συνεχόμενοι Πέτρου.* VVhiche in Englishe is this muche. Submittinge
our selfe to the office of a footeman, and holdinge his Horse by the Bridle, wee leade him forth out
of his Sacred Palais, in the woorthship and reuerence of S. Peter.

That sithence bothe Emperours, and Frenche Kinges of Humilitie and deuotion, and to witnesse
their humble obedience to Christe in his Vicare, haue donne the like seruice, yea though the Pope
shewed him selfe neuer so vnwillinge thereto: wee finde it reported by sundrie good recordes. (c) But
that he euer commaunded any suche seruice to be done vnto him, ye can neuer shewe it by any indis-
ferente and credible witnesse. Paulus Aemilius an Italian of Verona, in the seconde Booke of his
Chronicles, whiche bothe diligently and eloquently he wrote of Fraunce, describeth howe honorably
Pipine that woorthy Kinge of Fraunce receiued Steuin the Pope, that succeeded Zacharias, when he
came into his Realme. He bothe kissed his feete, and wente by him at his Horse bridell.

The like honour of holdinge the Stiroke would Charles the first the late greate Emperour haue
donne at Bononia to Pope Clemente the seuenthe, had not the Pope with muche adoo put him
from it.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This thinge Sir Defender knoweth: notwithstandinge you, M. Hardinge, dis-
semble it cunningly, and wil not be knowne, that ye knowe it. Neither dothe Sir
Defender, as you saie, by these woordes, impudently belie the Pope. For trial here-
of, he referreth you to the Popes owne Booke of Holy Ceremonies: wherein it is
particularly appointed, and laide out in order, to auoide confusion, what eche estate
ought, and is bounde to do.

Thus therefore it is appointed, Cum Papa per Scalam ascendit, &c. When the
Pope taketh his staires to mounte on Horsebacke, the greatest Prince, that is presente,
whether he be Kinge, or Emperoure, holdeth his Stiroke: and afterwarde leadeth his
Horse a litle waie firewards by the Bridle. But if there were twoo Kinges, in presence, the
more honourable of them shoulde holde the Bridle of the Righte side: and the other of the Lefte.

pp

If there

Plutarch. in A-
lexandro,

(a) Vntruthes
twoo together
Reade the An-
swere.

(b) Al this is a
greate vntruth,
and a peccushe
Fable.

στράτορος ὀφ-
εικίον. This is
Greeke euen for
a Pope.

(c) Vntruthes
moste manifest.
For it is thus
Ordred in the
Popes owne
Pontifical, and
in his owne
Booke of Cere-
monies.

Ceremoniar. li.
ca. 8.

Bridle.
The Popes
Stirop.

*Etiam si Imperator
torqueat quibus
maximus Prin-
ceps adesset.
Sella ipsam
cum Pontifice in
humeris alio
quantulum por-
tare Debet.*

*Ceremoniar. Li.
1. Sectio. 5. Ca. 4.*

*Dum Imperator
hec visitatis*

*Officia vult ex-
hibere &c.*

*Tandem cum al-
liquis bonis
verbu recipi-
endo, permittit*

&c.

*Ceremoniarium.
Lib. 1. Ca. 8.*

** Ceremoniar.
Li. 1. Sectio. 3.*

*† Ceremoniar.
Li. 2. Ca. 10.*

*Etiam si Rex aut
Imperator sit.*

** Ceremoniar.
Li. 1. Sectio. 3.*

*† Ceremonia. Li.
1. Sectio. 13. Ca. 2.*

*Gregor. Haim-
burgensis, in Apo-
pellatione si-
gismundi.*

If there happen no Kinge to be presente, then let the woorthiest person lead his Horse. But if the Pope would not ride, but be borne on mennes shoulders in a chaire, then muste fiure of the woorthiest Prince, yea the Emperoure him selfe, or any other mighty Monarche, if he be presente, beare the Chaire, Pope and al, a litle waie forward upon theire shoulders.

Againe, Imperator, traditis Pomo, & Sceptro, &c. The Emperoure, deliueringe ouer his Gouden Apple, and his Sceptre to one of his menne, commeth vnto the Popes Horse, and in honour of our Lorde Iesus Chryste, whose persone in Earthe the Pope beareth, he holdeth the Stirop, until the Pope be mounted: and afterwarde he taketh the Bridle, and leadeth forth his Horse. While the Emperoure dooth these profitable offices, the Pope ought modestly a litle to resist the same: and yet afterwarde, with certaine good, and gentle woordes, takinge that honour, as donne to Chryste, and not vnto him selfe, he holdeth him selfe contented.

Further it is appointed thus, Caudam pluuialis portabit Nobilior Laicus, qui erit in Curia, etiam si esset Imperator, aut Rex: The moste noble Laie man, that shal be in the Courte, shal beare up the traine of the Popes Cope, yea though it be an Emperoure, or a Kinge.

Againe, * Let the moste Noble Laie man, whether he be Kinge, or Emperoure, bringe water to washe the Popes handes. And while the Pope washeth, let al the Bishoppes, and Laie menne kneele downe.

Againe, Pontifice sedente, &c. † While the Pope is yet sittinge at the Table, the Noblest man within the Courte, be he Emperoure, be he Kinge, shalbe brought to the Popes Credence, to geue him Water.

Againe, * Primum ferculum portabit Nobilior Princeps, siue Imperator sit, siue Rex: The firste dishe the Noblest Prince shal carrie, whether he be Emperoure, or Kinge.

Againe, † Rex in collatione portabit primum potum: When the Pope is at Breakefaste, the Kinge shal beare his firste Cuppe.

And againe, Pocula portentur &c. Let the Popes Cuppes be borne by the Noble menne, or Oratours beinge presente: and let the Clerke of the Ceremonies beginne with the woorthiest estate: yea though he be Kinge, or Emperoure.

Nowe, I truste, M. Hardinge, of your courtessie, ye wil confesse, that Sir Defender, in these wordes, hath not so impudently belied the Pope.

The Popes owne Booke of Ordinances, and Ceremonies, that directh al orders, saith thus, The Emperoure shal holde the Popes Stirop:

Let the Emperoure lead the Popes Horse.
The Emperoure muste beare the Popes Chaire on his shoulder.

The Emperoure shal beare up the Popes traine.
Let the Emperoure bringe the Bason and Ewer to the Pope.

The Emperoure shal geue the Pope water.
The Emperoure shal carrie the Popes firste dishe.

The Emperoure shal carrie the Popes firste Cuppe.

Thus maie we goe to the Pope to schoole, to learne Humilitie. For excuse hereof, perhaps ye wil saie, These were the Abuses of Olde times: But nowe al such disorders are wel reformed. Therefore it maie please you to remember, that the selfe same Ceremonies, touchinge Kinges, and Emperours dueties, haue benne lately renewed, and confirmed, & published abroade into the worlde, worde by worde, as they were before, without any maner alteration, euen in the Popes owne Pontifical, and that euen nowe newly printed at Venice, in the prece of Our Lorde a thousande five hundred thre score and one, whereby it maie appeare, ye are ashamed of nothinge, be it neuer so shamefull. What truthe therefore, M. Hardinge, is in your worde? O, with what countenance, coulde ye so boldly saie, That the Pope euer commaunded any suche Seruice to be done vnto him by the Emperoure, ye can neuer shewe it by any indifferente and credible witnesse? I doubt not but the Popes owne VVitnes is vnto you of sufficient credite: and in his owne case it muste needes to him selfe seme indifferent. Clerisy Gregorius Haimburgensis saith, that Pope Adrian 2. was highly displeased with the Emperoure Fredericus, for that he so unskillfully, & so vnmanerly helde his Lease Stirop, whereas his duetie had benne to holde the Righte: And therefore vtterly refused, to geue him his Blessinge, notwithstandinge he desired it

Abelica
Dedus.
Li. 1. Ca. 2.
Hicoriz
mon V.
nemum
li. 4.

red it humbly vpon his kne. Hereby it plainly appeareth, that in al Offices, and Services, the Pope vseth the Emperoure, as his man. Therefore Auentinus reporteth these two verses, writen sometime of the Emperoure Lotharius the seconde.

Rex venit ad fores, iurans per Urbis honores:

Post homo fit Papæ, sumit quo dante Coronam:

Auentinus in
Frederico, l.

The Kinge, or Emperour commeth to the gates, and sweareth by the honour of the Citie: And afterwarde becommeth the Popes Man, at whose handes he receiueth the Crowne:

That Pipinus so mutche abased him selfe to Pope Steuin, it is no maruile. The Proverbe is common, One hande claweth an other. The Pope was auanced by Pipine: and Pipine was likewise auanced by the Pope. But hereof we haue spoken befoze.

Where ye see, The Emperoure Constantine the Greate was footeman to the Pope, I am mutche ashamed of your vanitie, that, beinge a man of wisdom, and learninge, ye shoulde thus seke to mocke the worlde with Childishe Fables.

Ye allege Mattheus Hieromonachus, to proue a fable by a fable. If ye would dalle your Readers eyes, for that ye allege his wordes in Greeke, vnderstande you, that his peeuishe Greeke was taken out of your peeuishe Latine. And yet is the same Greeke so sonde, and so full of folie, that ye were ashamed truely to turne it into Englishe. For thus it standeth, *αἰδοί, καὶ φόβῳ τῷ κυρίῳ μὴ τῷ αἰῶνι συνεχόμενοι Πέτρου:* That is, In the worship, and feare of my Lorde Blessed Peter. Ye durste not, to make the Emperoure Constantine so rude to saie plainly, that Peter was his Lorde: and therefore ye thought it better, to corrupt, & alter your Authours wordes. Yet satche vaine fables, and Trilles muste ye bringe in, to put vs, as ye saie, quite out of doubt. But hereof we shal saie moze hereafter.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Diuision. 4.

Who hurled vnder his table Francis Dandalus, the Duke of Venice, Kinge of Creta, and Cypres, faste bounde with Chaines, to feede of boanes amonge his Dogges.

M. Hardinge.

Sabellicus
Deadi. 2.
l. 1. 1220.
Historie
man Ve.
arum
lib. 4.

VVere not this Defender passed al shame, he woulde not make so many and so shameles lies. Malice hath so far blinded him, that he seemeth not to see, what becommeth a man. Though he feare not to be accompted a lier, yet he shoulde be lothe to be accompted an vn honest man, yea and specially a foole. Let trithe and honestie goe, for in deede there is lile in these felowes: what foolishnesse is it, a man to bringe al his doctrine, and al his saieinges, touchinge thinges that he would so faine be beleued, into so greate and certaine discredite, by suche open and manifeste lies? The trithe hereof is this, as I finde it witnessed in Sabellicus, an. 1 in the chiefest Chronicles the Venetians haue, written by a Noble man of Venis, named Petrus Iustinianus. The Citie of Venis, beinge interdicted of the Pope, Frauncys Dandalus was sente by the Duke and Lordes of the Councel there, to sue for Absolution. At that time was he neither Kinge of Creta, nor of Cypres, nor Duke of Venis, as it pleaseth this Lyinge Defender to write of him, and that in the Defense of their Englishe Church written to al the worlde. *Johannes Superantius* then was Duke, and this Frauncys Dandalus was (a) but a priuate man for that time, as others there were. This Ambassadour Frauncys Dandalus findinge the Pope at his firste comminge not wel inclined to graunte his petition as he wished, the (b) qualitie of the offense deseruinge the same, to moue him to Clemencie and pitie, aduised with him selfe to plaie this Pagent. He caused an yron chaine to be tied aboute his necke. Therewith he came to the Pope as he sat at dinner, put him selfe to cripe on al foure, and like a Dogge laide him downe vnder the Table, so longe vntill the Popes displeasure beinge assuaged, he obtained Pardone for his Countrie. VVhereof, they saie, he had afterwarde the surname of Dogge geuen him, as Iustinianus writeth. VVho desireth to see the whole Storie, he shal finde it wel written by the saide *Petrus Iustinianus, Historia rerum Venetarum libro quarto*. Nowe let vs see, Syr Defender, howe many lies ye make in one sentence. That Frauncys Dandalus was by the Pope hurled vnder his table, this is one lie. That he was then Duke of Venis, Kinge of Creta and Cypres, there be twoo lies, beside the Notable lie, you seeme to be very ignorant of the state of Venis, in

(a) Vntruth. For he was then a Senatour of very greate Honour: And at the next Election was chosen Duke.

(b) The offense stoode onely in healinge their Neighbour, beinge a banished man, into his Countrie.

(c) Yet the right
and state of
bothe these
Kingdomes is
in his hande.
(d) Al these ma-
ters be ful so-
lemnely proued
and are woor-
thy to be publi-
shed by Procli-
amation.

that you make the Duke an Ambassadour, who beinge once created Duke, goeth not out of the Ci-
tie. (c) Neither is euer any of their state Kinge of Candy and Cypres. For their state admitteth none
to be a Kinge amonge them: howe be it at the time of Frauncys Dandalus Candy rebelled, and
Cypres was not yet come to be vnder the gouernment of the Venetians, as you might haue learned
in the eloquent Historie that Petrus Bembus wrote of Venis his Countrie. That he was faste bounde
with Chaines, there be three lies. For he was not faste bounde, (d) onely he had caste a chaine about
his owne necke, whiche he might haue taken of at his pleasure. That he was so throwen vnder the
Table to gnawe boanes amonge the Popes Dogges, (e) there be foure lies. And that the Pope had
Dogges feedinge vnder his Table, (f) I doubt not but it is an other lie. VVhether these fiue lies be
not inough for one litle sentence of three lines, I reporte me to whosoeuer of your owne felowes
lieth for the beste game. I thinke verily this Defender if he be not very shamelesse, wisheth he had a
thicker bearde to hide his silke cheekes from blushing. Suche false causes muste be defended by ly-
inge proctours. If they belied stories onely, and taught not also false Doctrine in the chiefe pointes
of our Faith, their lyinge were lesse hurtful.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

So many lies, *M. Hardinge*, and, as you saie, so farre paste shame, and sutch hote
Tragedies, and the cause no greater? What sturte woulde ye haue kepte, if it had
benne mater worth the hearinge? What if the Authour of the Apologie had benne
ouersene in the reporte of one yere, or two, or in somme other like Circumstance,
the Substance of the Storye neuerthelesse stil reserved? If ye had remembred some
of your owne often oversightes, ye could not so shame haue benne so terrible a-
gainste others. For it appeareth wel, by al, that ye haue hitherto sente vs ouer,
your insight in matters is not so deepe, but ye make often, and souly be deceived.

*Hierony. aduersus
error. Iohā.
Hierosolymit.*

*Hierony. De op-
tino genere in-
terpretandi.
Not Duke.*

These wordes of yours, *M. Hardinge*, are neither mannerly, nor manly: not-
withstandinge they seme wel to contente your pleasante humoure. S. Hierome
saith, Non æquē inimici audiunt, & amici. Qui inimicus est, etiam in scirpo nodum
quærit: An Enimie, and a Frende heare not bothe of one sorte. An Enimie wil (cauill, and
quarrel, and) seeke a knotte in a rushe. The Substance of Goddes Religion standeth
not in the reportinge of a Storye. S. Hierome saith of sutch Captious quarrellers:
Audiant à me, non periclitari Ecclesiarum statum, si ego celeritate dictandi verba ali-
qua dimiserim: Let them vnderstande, that al be it in haste of penninge haue let escape a
woorde, or two, yet that shal not hazarde the state of the Church of God.

But Francise Dandalus (ye saie) was not at that time Duke of Venice: He him selfe tied the
Chaine aboute his owne necke: He came of his owne accorde vpon al foure, as if he had benne a
Dogge, and laie downe willingly vnder the Popes Table: He laie not there to gnawe boanes: He
founde no Dogge there to lie with him. Therefore, ye saie, This Defender is an vn honest man,
paste al shame, a Lye, and a Foole. Sutch Cholerique Conclusions, *M. Hardinge*, maie
wel beforme a Doctour of your Diuinitie.

Howe be it, the fairest coloure, ye can laie vpon the mater, is this, That the
Embassadoure of that Noble Common VVeale of Venice, laie as a Dogge, in a
chaine, vnderneath the Popes Table, as you saie, and that, willingly, and with al his
harte, by sutch Submission to appease the Popes highe indignation, and to recouer
his fauoure towarde his Countrie. It semeth, he was either a very Arrogante
man, or a maruellous angrie Pope, that woulde by none other Submission be re-
conciled.

*Kugā. De Cons-
sensu euangelist.
Lib. 2. Cap. 17.*

Iohā. 2.

But Dandalus at that time was not Duke of Venice. Neither was it so harde
a mater, to knowe that, *M. Hardinge*: nor was it so greate an Heresie, to calle him
Duke, for that shortly after he was made Duke. S. Augustine saith, Dicimus
Apostolum Paulum in Tharso Ciliciz natum. At ille tunc iam non erat Aposto-
lus. Ita cum audimus, Discipulos Christi inuitatos ad nuptias, non iam Discipulos,
sed qui futuri erant Discipuli, intelligere debemus: Wee saie, that Paule the Apostle was
borne at Tharsus in Cilicia. And yet Paule at that time, when he was borne, was no Apo-
stle. Euen so, when wee heare, that Christes Disciples were bidden to the Marriage Feast at
Cana in Galilee, wee muste vnderstande, that they were not then his Disciples, but became af-
terwarde his Disciples.

*Hierony. De Er-
rorth. Origenus.*

So S. Hierome calleth Pamphilus a Martyr: and yet at that time, whereof he
meante, Pamphilus in deede was no Martyr. Therefore he saith, Conceda-
mus, vt Pamphili sit, sed nondum Martyris. Antē enim scripsit, quā Martyrium
perpete-

perpeteretur: *Let vs graunte, it was Pamphilus Booke: But that Pamphilus as yet was no Martyr. For he wrote his Booke firste, and was Martyr afterwarde. Like wise againe he saith, Nos pro No, Alexandriam posuimus per Anticipationem, quæ Græcè προαμαξ* Hierony. in Ezechielem, Li. 9. *appellatur: Wee in steede of the Cistie, No, haue translated it, the Cistie Alexandria, by a Figure called Anticipation: For that the Cistie, whiche before was called No, was afterwarde called Alexandria.* ca. 30.

So S. Augustine saith, *It is written in the Booke of Genesis: Hi sunt Filij Israel, qui nati sunt ei in Mesopotamia: cum Benjamin longè postea natus sit, cum iam transissent Bethel, & appropinquarent Bethleem: These be the Children of Israel, that were borne to him in Mesopotamia: And yet Benjamin (that there is reckened for one of his Children) was borne (not in Mesopotamia, but) longe afterwarde, when they were nowe passe Bethel, and drewe towards Bethleem, that is in Iewrie. Yet hath there benne no man hitherto so vn courteous, that euer would vse your eloquence, P. Hardinge, and calle either Moses, or S. Augustine, or S. Hierome, Fooles, or Liers.*

If ye woulde but indifferently haue weighed the mater, ye mighte wel haue thought, we called Dandalus Duke of Venice, for that he was some afterwarde chosen Duke of Venice.

So we maie saie, Doctour Hardinge in the time of B. Edwarde frely, and fully professed the Gospel, and preached vehemently againste the Pope. Neuerthelesse, when he thus preached, he was not yet known by the name of a Doctoure. For he was Doctoured afterwarde in the time of Queene Marie.

Let vs graunte, there was somme erreure in the repozte of this Storie. Yet muste every man be condemned for a Foole, and a Lier, that maie be taken in like Erreure: If so, then wil P. Hardinge trouble his Godfathers, and cause them, to geue him a newe name.

S. Chrysostome saith, *There were twelue Magi, or VVisemenne, that came to Christe: And yet ye holde commonly, they were but three. Clemens Alexandrinus saith, Christe preached but one yeere: yet others saie, he preached three yeeres: others saie, he preached foure. Somme saie, Christe was three and thirtie yeres olde, somme saie, he was foure and thirtie, when he suffered. Yet Tertullian saith, he was Onely thirtie yeeres olde: Irenæus saith, he was more then fourtie. Christe saith, My Father is habile to geue me twelue Legions of Angels. S. Hilarie, in the repozte thereof, a greate deale auanceth the number, and rekeneth duodecim Millia Legionum, twelue thousande Legions of Angels. Pour owne Blessed Donation of Constantine, whiche (ye saie) so mutche liketh vs, commaundeth the Patriarke of Constantinople to be subiecte, and obediende to the Bishop of Rome: And yet at that time, when, by your imagination, this fable was disaied, there was neither Patriarke, nor Church in Constantinople, nor any Cittie as yet builde, and known by that name. Pour felowe Dorman allegeth ful solemnely the seuenth Booke of Theodoretus: And yet Theodoretus him selfe neuer wrote but onely fise. And so he leapte two whole Bookes beyonde his Authoure. And againe he telleth vs a proper tale, of the terrible Examples of twoo Kinges, Ozias, and Oza: And yet bothe he, and his felowes mighte some haue learned, that Oza was onely a poxe Leuite, and no Kinge.*

Pou your selfe, P. Hardinge, in this selfe same Booke saie, That the Council of Nice was keapte in the time of Pope Syluester: And yet Athanasius, that then was presente at the same Council, saith, Syluester at that time was not aliue: but that the Council was summoned by the Emperoure, and holden in the time of Pope Iulius. Againe you saie, That at the very same time while the Council was holden at Nice, Pope Syluester held likewise a Council in Rome: And so by the Iudgement of Athanasius, ye saie, that Pope Syluester helde a Council after he was deade.

And muste al thesse, and other your like tales, P. Hardinge, passe vnder the name of Lies, and Folies?

This Gentleman (ye saie) was not so faste bounde, as wee imagine: but fel downe meekely, and willingly of him selfe. No doubt, P. Hardinge, who so knoweth an Italian

Genes. 35.
Angu. in quest.
super Genesim.
Quest. 17.

Math. 2.
Chryso. in Matthe. Homil. 2.
Clemens Stromat. 1.
Tertul. contra Iudaos.
Ireneus Lib. 2. Cap. 34. & 40.
Math. 26.
Hilar. in Psal. 54.
Donatio Constantin.
Dorman. Fol. 22.
Dorman. Fol. 24.

M. Hardinge, Fol. 308. b.
Athanasii. in Apolog.
M. Har. fol. 309. a

VVillingly.

Chrysostom. in 1.
Corin. Homil. 21.Vissbergen. 54.
bellicus Ennead.
9. Lib. 3.

Bens Cardinalu

Gnawe
boanes.
No dogges
vnder the
Popes Table.Clem. Lib. 1. De
Senen. & re
iudica. Ca. 2.Sabellicus En-
nead. 9. Lib. 7.

courage, will some beleue, that Francise Dandalus, a Gentleman of such Nobleitie, and the Embassadour of so Noble a Common Wealtie, was some, and easily, & willingly wonne, to come creeping with an yron chaine on his necke, & to swallowe vnder the Popes Table, as a Dogge, to his owne immortall shame, and to the everlasting dishonour of his Countrey. Verily he would neuer haue yielded him selfe to such villanie, had it not benne to auoide some other greater villanie. For, I beseeche you, whether is it more crueltie, for you to renne vpon a poore man with swerde drawn, & to strike him through, and so to kille him: or els to force him, to late cruel handes vpon his owne body, and to kille him selfe? In whether of these two actes is more crueltie? S. Chrysostome saith, A poore man oftentimes is content to wounde, or rather his owne flesh, to the ende, to moue the riche man to some pittie: Alij Capiti suo clauos acutos insignunt: alij per ipsam gelu nudo Corpore mendicantes petunt: Alij hisce etiam grauiora ferentes; miserabile de se præbent spectaculum. At dices, Nos illorum capita non configimus. Vtinam vero tu configeres. Non enim tam grauis fuisset calamitas. Nam, qui alium interimit, longè leuius peccat, quàm is qui iubet aliquem seipsum interficere: Somme there be, that sticke nayles in their owne heades: Somme others goe begginge with naked bodies in the colde frost: Somme others suffer other thinges of greater crueltie, and shewe them selues miserable to beholde. But thou wilt saie, wee sticke not these nayles in their heades. Woulde God, thou stickest them in. For then their miserie were not so greuous. For he, that with his owne hande killeth an other, committeth a greater deale lesse fault, then he, that forceth a man to kille him selfe.

So saie we, it was far greater griefe vnto that Noble Gentleman, so vilely to dishonour, and abase him selfe, then if he had benne diuon thereto through the force, and violence of his enemy. In this sorte was the Emperoure Henrie the fourth wel contente, willingly to waite vpon Pope Hildebrande at Canusium, and thre daies together to stande bareheaded, and barefoote in the harde frost at his gate, and as one saith, to be a galling stocke both to menne, and Angels, before he might haue licence to come neare. So was the Emperour Frederichus Aenobarbus contented, willingly to late his necke vnder the Popes scote: as we shal declare more hereafter. So was the poore Gentle woman contente, willingly to caste a halter aboute her owne hennes necke, and so to presente him before Pope Hildebrande, whom he had offended, by such humble subjection to craue his pardon. In respect whereof, the Pope pardoned him his life: but commanded his scote to be stricken off: of which wounde, the poore ponge Gentleman some after died.

We saie, It is a lie, that Francise Dandalus, so Noble a Gentleman was driuen vnder the Popes table to gnawe boanes. I thinke it wel, M. Hardinge: and therefore we will rather saie, He late there to geather by the crummes, that fel from his Lozdes Table.

But vnder the Popes Table (ye saie) certainly there were no dogges. And this (ye saie) is an other lie. Notwithstanding, this Negatine were very harde for you to proue. Howe be it, herat I will not greatly strine. And yet it had benne a more seemely sight, in my iudgemente, to see a Dogge lyeinge there, then a man, and specially a Noble Gentleman, the Embassadour of so Noble a Citie.

To conclude, this was the same Pope Clemens the fiftie, that thus pronounced of him selfe, and proclaimed the same vnto the whole worlde by a Lawe: Nos superioritatem habemus ad Imperium: Nos vacante Imperio, Imperatori succedimus: Wee haue the sueraintie ouer the Emperie: The Emperie beinge void, wee are Heires apparente to the Emperoure. The Venetians had geuen aide, to restore one Friscus, a banished man, vnto the Dukedome of Ferrara. Therefore Pope Clemens interdicted them, and al that they had, and further signified his pleasure vnto al the worlde, that whither so ever they, or any of them came, it should be lawfull for any man, not onely to take their bodies, and to sel them for slaues, and to spoile their goods, but also to kil them, whither it were by right, or by wronge. For so Sabellicus writeth, Vt eos fas esset vnicuique iure, & iniuria interficere. This was the cause of al this great adoe. And this highe indignation had neuer benne slaked, had not so noble a personage abased him selfe, to be tied by the necke in a chaine, and to crape vnder the Popes

Popes Table, vpon al foure, like a Dogge: This disordained facte, Sabellicus the Authoure reponeth vehemently, and with many wordes, as immoderate Tyranny, and intollerable Pride, and moste shameful abusinge of the state of Princes. Yet M. Hardinge thinketh, it muste be defended, as very wel donne: and he muste needs be damned, that wil finde faulte with it.

Laste of al, where it liketh you, in the ende to refreshe your wittes with this Defenders thynne charges, and blusshinge: verily, M. Hardinge, he blashed in dede, and is mutche ashamed in your behalfe, to see your folies. God geue you Grace, that you maie blushe at your misusinge of Goddes people: leaste ye haue that face, that the Prophete saith, Is paste blusshinge. I trust, this Defender shal neuer blashe to sale, either with S. Paule, Non pudet me Euangelij Christi: Est enim virtus Dei ad Salutem: I am not ashamed of the Gospel of Christe: For it is the Power of God vnto Salvation. Or with S. Hierome, Non me pudet nescire, quod nescio: I am not ashamed, in that thinge, that I knowe not, to graunte mine ignorance.

Row. 1.
Hierony. Adversus Pelagianos, Lib. 1.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 5.

Who sette the Imperial Crowne vpon the Emperoure Henry the sixthes heade, not with his hande, but with his foote: and with the same foote againe caste the same Crowne of, saicinge withal, I haue Povver to make Emperours, and to vnmake them againe at my pleasure?

M. Hardinge.

VVee denie, that Celestinus, whome you note in your bookes margent, or any other Pope did this. * It is a yaine fable deuised rather of malice, then witte. Ye shoulde haue donne wel to shewe vs, with whiche foote the Pope did set on the Crowne vpon Henries heade, the righte, or the leaste, standinge, sittinge, leninge, or lieinge, barefooted, and vsinge the heale of his great toe, or shodde: whether he had some hymme iammie made for him to take it vp, holde it, and put it on handsomely, or conuied it on by a vice, or howe it was donne.

*Vntruthe. For the stories be plaine. Reade the Answere.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

What nimblenesse, or cunninge the Pope hathe in his sette, more then other menne, I haue not hearde. What other sleights he hathe nowe, or hathe had in so times paste to worke sutch feates, it behoueth his Magister Ceremoniarum to consider. The storie is recordeed by Ranulphus, Rogerus Cestrensis, and Rogerus Houedenus, that liued at the same time.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 6.

Who put in armes Henric the Sonne againste the Emperour his Father Henric the Fourth, and wrought so, that the Father was taken prisoner of his owne Sonne, and beeinge woone, and shamefully handeled, was thruste into a Monastrie, where with hunger, and sorrowe he pined awaie to deathe.

M. Hardinge.

(a) It was not the Pope, that armed Henry the seconde againste Henry the fourth, who by the accompt of some is Henry the thirde. Stories declare other causes of their fallinge out, and the more parte of the writers impute it to the iudgemente of God, for punishmente of his great wickednes. After that he was overcome with al his power by the Saxons, in seuentene daies he durste not so appeare abroad. In the meane time his Sonne tooke vpon him forthwith the administration of the Emperie, wente into Italie with an armie, woulde not surrender the state whiche he liked wel, his Father beinge therewith offended, he tooke prisoner, (b) without any motion of the Pope, (c) with whome he was also at variance, and committed him to straight custody.

Concerninge Gregorie the seuenth Pope, who before was called Hildebrandus, whome that Emperoure with endles malice persecuted, because he woulde (d) not ratifie his Simoniack making of Bishops, and geuinge of Ecclesiastical benefices, and defended the Church againste his wicked attempts: beside sundrie writers of Histories in that time, as Lambertus Schaffnaburgensis, Leo Hohenensis, and afterwarde, Otho Frisingensis, who so mutche commende him for sundrie excellent vertues: no man hath so largely and so diligently set foorth his woorthines, as (e) Onuphrius Panvinus, who hath written of him siue Bookes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Woe maie easily beloue you, M. Hardinge, that the Pope armed not Henric the

Pp iij

the

(a) Vntruthe, easily reproved by good Records.
(b) Manifeste Vntruthe. See the Answere.
(c) Vntruth. For this was not the cause. But the Pope would haue geuen the same him selfe.
(d) Vntruthe. For then he was at no variance with the Pope.
(e) This Ancient Doctour is yet aliue, and writeth woorthily for his hie.

Henricus
Impera. 4.
Henricus
Impera. 5.

452

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Gregor. Heim-
burgen.

the Seconde, as you saie, againste his Father Henrie the Fourthe. It had benne a marueilous wonder in Nature, that the Fourthe shoulde goe before the Seconde: or that the Neuwe shoulde be before his Grandfather. But that the Pope raised by Henrie the Fiste againste Henrie the Fourthe, that is to saie, the Sonne againste the Father, that ye might haue easily founde in your owne Records.

Gregorius Heimburgensis saith thus, Paschalis Papa Principes contra Henricum, 4. concitauit: imo eius Proprium Filium: quem etiam contra Patrem fecit permultos Episcopos Rheni in Regem coronari, & vocari Henricum Quintum: Pope Paschalis raised up the Princes of Germanie againste Henrie the Fourthe: Naie rather he raised up his owne Sonne, whome also he caused by many Bishoppes adioininge to the Rheine, to be crowned Emperoure againste his owne Father, and to be called Henrie the Fiste.

Carion, An. 1103.

Vrspergen, An-
no. 1103.

The case beinge cleare, this one Authozitie maie stande in steede of many. Hereof Henrie the Father pitiously complaineth in his Letters writtten to the French Kinge. Carion saith, Hunc Henricum Filium sollicitarunt Episcopi, vt aduersus Patrem insurgeret, eumq; Imperio pelleret: The Bishoppes entised this yonge Gentilman, Henrie the Sonne, that he shoulde rise againste his Father, and put him from the Empire. But these Bishoppes, as Vrspergen saith, were Responsales Paschalis Papæ, Pope Paschalis Agentes, or doers in those Countries. And Henrie the Sonne him selfe, when he stode in the fildes, neuer pretended any other coloure againste his Father, but onely the obedience of the See of Rome.

And, leaste any man should doubt of the Truthe hereof, the Pope, not longe before, had likewise raised by Rodolphus the Duke of Suenia, againste the same Emperoure Henrie the Fourthe: and, the better to encourage his Ambitious heade, and to traine him to his purpose, had sente him a Crowne with this Poësie:

Petra dedit Petro, Petrus Diadema Rodolpho.

Vrspergen, eod.,
loco, pag. 257.
Carion,

Whereby he meante, that, as Christ had geuen the state, and right of the Empire vnto the Pope, so woulde the Pope now bestowe the same vpon Rodolphus, if he woulde aduenture, to raise his power againste the Emperoure, his Liege Lord. Likewise he had writtten to the Princes of Germanie, as Carion saith, Vt Imperatorem alium designarent: That they shoulde proceede to the Election of an other Emperoure. Thus the Pope thought it but a plaie, to place, and displace the States of the worlde, at his pleasure. Of this Emperours Vertues I will saie nothinge. As he is despayred by somme, so by others he is muche commended. Auentinus saith, In Pauperes, Monachos, Sacerdotes munificentissimum, &c. His very enemies confesse, that he was moste Liberal vnto poore folkes, Monkes, and Priestes: that he was Constant in aduersitie: Faithefull to his Prisoners: Gentle to his foes: Deuoute towards God: wise and Politique in Ciuile, and Martiall affaires: Of a Princely Maiestie of countenance: wherein he excelled al others, and was moste woorthy of the Empire.

Auentinus.

Vrspergen, pag.
239.

Carion,

Platina in Ses-
sero Papa.

Vrspergen saith, He was Noble, Doughty, and Venterous: and was seene thre score and two sundrie times, in fought fildes: wherein he passed bothe Marcellus, and Iulius Caesar, and al other Kinges, and Emperours, that had benne before him.

The greatest cause of fallinge out betwene him and the Pope was this: Order was taken before, and had stil benne keapte from the beginnunge, that the Election of the Pope, shoulde be ratified by the Emperoure, otherwisse not to stande. And Platyna saith, The Pope Elected without the Emperours letters patentes was no Pope. An other Aunciente order was this, that the Emperoure mighte bestowe al the Bishoprikes, and Abbies of the Empire, when so euer they shoulde happen to be void. These Auncient Orders Pope Hildebrande presumed to breake. For neither woulde he suffer his owne Election to be ratified by the Emperoure: nor the Emperoure to bestowe the Bishoprikes, or Abbaies within his dominions. In these attempts the Emperour withstode him, claiming vnto him selfe the same Right of enheritance, that al other Emperours his Predecessours had lawfully, and peaceably enioied before him. Therefore the Pope Excommunicated him: interdicted his Lande: and called him Archepirate, Archeheretique, and Apostata: Therefore he deposed him: raised

raised by the Duke of Sueuia, and al the world against him: and armed the Sonne against the Father: and wilfully disquieted the whole State of al Christendome. Auentinus saith, Multi tum priuatim, tum publicè, &c. Many there were, that bothe privately, and openly cursed Pope Hildebrande: and saide, that with his hatred, and ambition he troubled the world: and that vnder the coloure of Christ, he wrought the seates of Antichrist.

The Emperour toke a longe iourney into Italie to submit him selfe vnto the Pope: and beinge at Canusium, as it is saide before, in the deapthe of winter, and in the harde frost, he waited patiently thre daies together with bare heade, and bare fote before the Popes gates, to winne his fauoure. In the ende Pope Hildebrande, beinge sicke, and findinge him selfe in case not to liue, sente vnto the Emperour, and besoughte him Pardon for al his Injuries. After that, the Popes, that succeeded, neuerthelesse continued this quarrel still. And thus by the Popes entisement, the Sonne raised a power, and deposed his Father: The Bishoppes disrobed him of his worde of State: and pulled the Crowne Imperial from his heade. The Ancient Reuerende Prince, hauing nowe continued in his Emperie fiftie whole yeres, bare al these thinges quietly, and saide vnto them, Videat Deus, & iudicet: Let God see, and Judge your dooings. At the laste, beinge leaste naked, and out of al, he turned him selfe to the Bishop of Spira, and saide vnto him: Now I beseeche you, for Goddes sake, geue me a prebende in your Church. For I am hable to reade, and can doo some good in the quiere. But he was kepte still in close prison at Leodium, vntill he died. And beinge dead, he was kepte fure whole yeres tagether aboue ground, at the Popes commaundement, and might not be buried: Sutch courtesie founde that worthy Emperour at the Popes hande, onely for claiminge of his right.

Pope Hildebrande is commended by many for sundrie vertues. But no man (saie you) hath more set foorth his woorthinesse, then Onuphrius, a man yet alsur, and one of al men, that woulde be right lothe in any pointe to displease the Pope. Perhaps he thought to winne some credite, by aduenturinge his wittes in a desperate cause: as did he, that bestowed so mutche eloquence in the praise of Balduine: or he, that praised the Fewer quartane: or Erasmus, that of late yeres wrote so mutch in the praise of Folie.

The Heretique Ebion sommetime praised Iudas aboue al the Apostles: And Libanius the Sophiste bestowed greates praises vpon Iulianus the Renegate. Certainly sundrie Olde VVriters, of whome somme knewe Pope Hildebrande, and liued with him, haue not giuen him sutch worthy praise. Beno Cardinalis saith, Hildebrande, beinge as yet but a Cardinal, beate Pope Alexander with his fiste, and kepte him prisoner: Poisoned fixe Popes his Predecessours: Was a Coniurer, and a raiser of Diuels: and threwe the Sacramente into the Fire.

Auentinus, makinge his entrie to speake of Pope Hildebrande, saith thus: Scripturus sum Reipublice Christiane, Occidentalis Ecclesie, Romanie Imperij Bella, Cedes, Homicidia, Parricidia, Simultates, Odia, Stupra, Furta, Peculatus, Sacrilegia, Disidia, Seditiones plusquam Ciuiles, &c. Nowe muste I speake of VVarres, Slaughters, Murders, Killinge of Fathers, Striues, Hatreds, Fornications, Robberies, Spoilinges of Common Treasure, Spoilinges of Churches, Debates, and Seditions more then ciuile, whiche haue happened in the Christian Common Weale, in the Weaste Church, and in the Emperie of Rome. These were the fruites of Hildebrandes Popedom.

The Bishoppes at the Council of Brixia, touchinge Pope Hildebrande, pronounce thus: Nos eundem Hildebrandum procacissimum, &c. The same moste Filthy, and shamelesse Pope Hildebrande, a maintainer of Churcherobbinges, and houseburninges, a defender of Murders, and Perjuries, callinge in question the Catholique, and the Apostolique Faith of the body and Bloude of Christe, an Olde Disciple of Berengarius the Heretique, a Manifeste Coniurer, laden with the Sprite of Satan, and therefore out of the true Faith, wee Judge woorthy to be deposed. What so euer pointes of discorde Onuphrius, your Scholeselowe, hath lately learned to plaie hereupon, this, Hardinge, is that Holinesse, and Woorthinge, that sundrie Olde VVriters haue iudged to be in Pope Hildebrande.

Auentinus.

Vrßergen.

Pag. 231.

Sabellicus Em.

neadi. 9. Lib. 3

Carion.

Helmsoldus.

Matth. Palom.

Synesius.

Plato.

Socrat. Lib. 3.

Cap. 22.

Beno Cardinalis.

Auentinus.

Vrßergen.

The Apo.

treadinge
on the Em
perous
necke.

454

The Defense of the Apologie of the

The Apologie, Cap. 8. Division. 1.

Who, so il fauouredly, and monstrously put the Emperoure Frederikes necke vnder his feete, and, as though that were not sufficient, added further this texte out of the Psalmes: Thou shalt goe vpon the Adder, and Cockatrice, and shalt treade the Lion and Dragon vnder thy feete: Butche an Example of scorninge, and contemninge the Maieste of a Prince, as neuer befoze that time was hearde telle of in any remembrance: excepte, I weene, either of Tamerlanes the Kinge of Scythia, a wilde, and a Barbarous Creature, or els of Sapor King of the Persians. Al these notwithstanding were Popes, al Peters Successours, al moste Holy Fathers: whose seuerall woordes wee muste take to be as good, as seuerall Gospels.

M. Hardinge.

Yee aske who put the Emperoure Frederikes necke vnder his feete, &c. Verily, who it was, I knowe not: neither your selues, I beleue.

But what if ye bringe good authoritie for it? So farre as any man dothe euil, be he Pope, be he Bishop, Emperoure, or Kinge, or what so euer he be, wee defende him not. Popes them selues be men, and doo not at (a) al times behaue them selues like Angels. But what is that to your purpose? Our matter is *questio iuris, non facti*, once more I muste tel it you. And if that were a faulte in the Pope, wee acknowledge it to be as Tertullian saith, *Vitium conuersationis, non predicationis*: The faulte of conuersation, not of doctrine (b) preached.

Al these (though ye scoffe neuer so much) were Popes, al were Peters Successours, and notwithstandinge any thinge that ye can saie againste them to the contrary, without makinge a lie, (c) al were Holy Fathers. But theire seuerall woordes wee be not bounde to esteeme for so good, as seuerall Gospels. Neuerthelesse theire publike Decrees wee acknowledge and reuerence, and thinke they ought to be obeyed.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The whole storie hereof is thus reported by Carion: *Vsus est Frederichus deiectione, & summa humilitate, &c.* The Emperoure Frederike vsed al manner submission, and Humilitie. For he came to Venice, and at the gates of the Church, fallinge downe grouelinge before the Pope, he suffered him selfe to be trodden on by the Pope with his feete. In the meane while the Pope caused Proclamation to be made by these wordes of the Prophete Dauid spoken of Christe, Thou shalt walke vpon the venemous Aspe, and the Basiliske: Thou shalt treade downe the Lion, and Dragon: And so at the last he Absolued him. The Emperoure in the meane while saide, he shewed that humilitie, not vnto Pope Alexander, but vnto Peter. Thereunto the Pope answered, Et mihi, & Petro, Bothe to me, and to Peter too: placinge him selfe before Peter.

Thus Carion declareth the whole storie with some particulare circumstances: but whether of fauour, or hatred, I wil not Iudge. Neither mase it wel be thought, the Pope vsed the Emperoure thus of any great fauoure. But I thinke, that the Noble Cittie of Venice, that caused this whole Tragedie to be set forth in Imagerie in S. Markes Church there, for the Euerlastinge remembrance of the acte, was not so carried awaie either with loue of the one side, or with hatred of the other, that they woulde so openly haue recorde a knowne Intruste.

Thus Sapor sometime, the Proude Kinge of Persia, when he had conquered Valerianus the Romaine Emperoure, and taken him prisoner, vsed him afterwarde moste villanously, as his sotte stocke: and made him lie downe grouelinge vpon the ground, that he might sette his sotte on his necke, when so euer it should please him to mounte on horsebacke.

So Sesostris the great Kinge of Egypte, yoked Kinges, and Princes together in Chaynes, and forced them by violence, to drawe his Waggon. So the Emperoure Henrie the Thirde, vpon the daie of his Coronation, caused foure Kinges of Vindelicia, bringe then his prisoners, to carrie Pannes, and Cauldrons to his kitchen.

But,

(a) As though
for the moste
parte they liued
like Angells.
(b) VVhat fault
can ye finde in
the Popes pre-
achinge? He ne-
uer preacheth.
(c) Vnto the
shamelesse, as it
shal appeare.

Carion.

Non tibi, sed
Petro.
Et mihi, &
Petro.

Aurelius Victor.
Pompeius Liber
ius.

Eudens de Affe.
Matthias à M^r
ebouia. De Sars
matia, Cap. 12.

In prelo
pina Cas
Harr.

But, *per* late, So far, as a man dooth euil, be he Pope, be he Bishop, ye defende him not. The Popes them selues (*per* late) be Menne: and doo not alwaies behaue them selues, as Angelles. Yet notwithstandinge, immediately after *per* late further, Al these were Popes, and Peters Successours, and Holy Fathers. This bringe true, it is no harde mater, for any Pope to be Holy. Pope Iohn. 12. was slayne in Aduouterie: Pope Benedictus 9. lited without either Lawe, or Reason, and defiled Peters Chaire with al kinde of shame. Sine Lege, & Ratione vixit, & Petri Sedem omnibus probis foedauit. And in the ende he toke monie penoughe, and sold the Popedom. Platyna saith, Popes then were elected, not for theire Learninge, and Holinesse, but in respecte of Monie and friendship. The Bishoppes in the Council of Constance pronounce thus of Pope Iohn. 23. A tempore iuuentutis suæ fuit homo malæ indolis, inuerecundus, impudicus, &c. Et adhuc dicitur, tenetur, creditur, & reputatur pro tali, & ut talis: From the time of his youth he hath euermore benne a man of il disposition, vnshamefast, vnchaste, vnboneste, &c. And stil hitherto he is named, holden, beleued, taken, and repured for such a one, and as such a one. Somme of them haue liued filthily with theire owne Daughters, and somme worse, and in moze beastly disorder. Platyna calleth them Monstra, & Portenta: Monsters, and ougle, and horrible, and il shapen Creatures. Yet, saie you, al these were Peters Successours, and Holy Fathers. And so muste they nedes be coumpted, althoughe they had benne a greates deale worse. Dame Iohane, I trowe, *per* wil haue forpysed out of this number. For notwithstandinge they were a Holy Mother, yet ye maie not wel calle her the Holy Father.

In dede, thus *per* haue it prouidentely noted vpon your Decretalles: Est quædam Spiritualitas secundum statum, quando est in statu Sanctissimo, & Spiritualissimo: & in hoc statu est Solus Summus Pontifex: There is a certaine Spiritual Holinesse accordinge to the state moſte Holy, and moſte Spiritual: And in this state is onely the Higheſte Bishop, that is, the Pope. And a special Statute of premunire in the Popes behalfe is writtten thus: Papa de Homicidio, vel Adulterio accusari non potest. Vnde Sacrilegij instar esset, disputare de facto suo. Nam facta Papæ excusantur, vt Homicidia Samsonis, Furta Hebræorum, Adulteria Iacob: The Pope maie neuer be accused, neither of Aduouterie, nor of Murther. Therefore it were as badde, as Churcherobbinge, to reason, or moue mater of any his dooinges. For what so euer he doo, it is excused, as are the Murthers committed by Samson: The Robberies in Egypte committed by the Tewes: and the Aduouteries of Iacob. And againe, In Papa si desint bona acquisita per meritum, sufficiunt quæ à loci Prædecessori præstantur: In the Pope if there wante good deedes gotten by his owne Merites, yet the good deedes donne by S. Peter, that was his Prædecessour in that place, are sufficiente.

But S. Hierome saith far other wise: Non Sanctorum Filij sunt, qui tenent loca Sanctorum, Sed qui exercent opera eorum: They are not alwaies the children of Holy men, that sitte in the places of Holy men: but they, that doo the woorkes of Holy menne.

Therefore I maie saie to you, M. Hardinge, as S. Augustine saith to Emeritus the Heretique: Noli Frater, noli obsecro: non te decet, et si aliquem forte deceat: si tamen quicquam deceat malos: Emeritum certè non decet defendere Optatum: Doo not, my Brother, doo not, I praiſe you. It becommeth you not: Althoughe happily it maie becomene somme other Man, If any thinge maie becomene the wicked, Yet verily it becommeth not Emeritus, to defende Optatus (in open wickednesse).

S. Hierome saith, Si quis hominem, qui Sanctus non est, Sanctum esse crediderit, & Dei eum iunxerit societati, Christum violat, cuius Corporis omnes membra sumus. Qui dicit, inquit, Iustum Iniustum, & Iniustum Iustum, abominabilis est vterque apud Deum. Et rursus, qui dicit, Sanctum non esse Sanctum: & rursus, non Sanctum esse Sanctum, est abominabilis apud Deum: Who so beleueth, that man to be Holy, that is not Holy, and ioieth the same man to the felowship of God, doothe villanie to Christe. For al wee are members of his Body. It is writtten, Bothe he, that calleth a Iuste Man V Vicked, and he, that calleth a V Vicked Man Iuste, are bothe abominable before God. Likewise, who so saith, a Holy man is not Holy, or, An Vnholy man is Holy, is abominable before God.

Platyna.
Textor in Offi-
cina.

Platyna.
Platyna in Syl-
nestro 3.

Conſtantien.
Concilium.

De Maior. &
Obedien. vnam
Sanctam, in
Glossa.

Dist. 40. Non nos
in Glossa.

Dist. 40. Non nos

Dist. 40. Non
facit.

Augustin. Epist.
164 ad Emerit.

Hieron. in Epist.
ad Philemonem.

Proverb. 17.

The Apologie, Cap. 8. Division. 2. & 3.

If wee be counted Traitors, whiche do honour our Princes, whiche geue them al obedience, as mutche as is due to them by Gods woorde, and doo praise for them: what kinde of menne then bee these, whiche haue not onely donne al the thinges before saide, but also allowe the same for specially wel donne? Doo they then either this waie instructe the people, as wee doo, to reuerence their Magistrates: or can they with honestie appeache vs as seditious Personnes, breakers of the common quiete, and despisers of Princes Maiestie?

Truely we neither put of the yoke of obedience from vs, neither doo we disorder Realmes: no: doo we set by, or pul downe Kinges: no: doo wee translate Gouvernementes: no: geue wee our Kinges poison to drinke: no: yet holde forth to them our feete to kisse: no: opprobriously triumphe ouer them: no: leape into their neckes with our sette.

This rather is our Profession, this is our Doctrine, that euery soule, of what callinge so euer it bee, bee it Monke, bee it Preacher, be it Prophete, be it Apostle, ought to be Subiecte to Kinges and Magistrates: yea, and that the Bishop of Rome him selfe, onlesse hee will seeme greater then Euangelistes, then the Prophetes, or the Apostles, ought bothe to acknowledge, and to cal the Emperoure his Lorde, and Maister: as the Olde Bishoppes of Rome, who liued in times of more grace, euer did. Our common teachinge also is, that we ought so to obeie Princes, as men sent of God: & that vwho so vwithstandeth them, vwithstandeth Gods ordinance. This is our Doctrine: and this is wel to be serue bothe in our Bookes, and Preachinges, & also in the manners and modeste behauiour of our people.

M. Hardinge.

The Doctrine of obedience apperteineth specially to subiectes. The Bishop of Rome sittinge by due Succession in the Chaire of Peter, (a) in spiritual causes can haue no Superioure. In temporal matters it maie be, that in one age he hath acknowledged the Emperoure, as the Lorde of that Prouince, where he liued, (b) as before Constantine al the Popes did liue in subiection: and in an other age he maie be Lorde thereof him selfe. Likewise S. Gregorie mighte cal Mauritius his Lorde, either of courtelie, or of custome: and yet our Holy Father Pius the Fourthe shal not be bounde to doo the like, in (c) consideration that the custome hath longe since benne discontinued. Neither did S. Gregorie by that title of honoure presudicate vnto him selfe in any Spiritual Iurisdiction. For that name notwithstandinge, he Gouverned the (d) whole Church, and complained that Maximus was made Bishop of Salona, a Cittie in Illyrico, without his Auctoritie, not regarding that Mauritius the Emperoure was thoughte to haue willed it so to be donne. And therefore he writeth to Constantia the Empreffe, that for as muche as neither he, nor his deputie was made priuie to it, that the thinge had benne donne, whiche neuer was donne before by any of the Princes, that were the Emperours Predecessours.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

What neede you to speake so precisely, and so nicely of your Causes Spiritual, M. Hardinge? We knowe, that your Pope hath claimed, and yet claimeh his Souerainetie, not onely in Spiritual Causes, but also in Temporal: as it shal appeare. We will saie, ye are an ill Proctoure, and do him wronge, and goe aboute to abrogate his right, that wil so lightly exclude that thinge, that he so greedily wil haue included.

You saie, The Pope can haue no Superioure in Spiritual Causes. And yet by the Iudgements of sundrie your Doctors, even in Causes Spiritual, the Council is Superioure to the Pope, and maie summe him: and Iudge him: and geue Sentence against him: and depole him: as hereafter it shalbe declared more at large. Thus it is noted of pouerose vpon your owne Decrees: Cum agitur de Fide, tunc Synodus Maior est quam Papa: When the case is mooued in a matter of Faith (that is to saie, in a cause

*Chrysost. in 13. ca. ad Romanos.**Gregor. super in Epist.*

(a) Vntru the Manifeste, as it shal appeare.
(b) Vntru the enclosed: For they were subiecte likewise afterwarde.
(c) O Graue Consideratiō.
(d) Vntru the euidente vnto a childe.

*Council. Constant.
Council. Basilien.
Dist. 19. Anastas.
Super in Glossa.*

*Lib. 4. Ep.
104.*

cause Spiritual) then is the Councel greater then the Pope. And pour owne Panormi-
tane saith, as it is alleged befoze, Papa tenetur confiteri: & in illo actu Sacerdos est
Maior illo: The Pope is bounde to make his Confession: and in so doinge, the Priest is
about the Pope. Againe he saith, Papa non potest cogere Sacerdotem, vt reuelet Con-
fessionem: quia in illo actu Sacerdos est Maior, quam Papa. Childzen knowe, that
Faith, and Confession are Spiritual Causes, and not Temporal. We see therefore,
as Harolage, by the Judgement of your owne Doctoures, that the Pope maye haue
a Superioure, even in Spiritual causes.

Extra. De Peni-
tent. & Remiss.
Omnis versuſque
Abb.

Howe lette vs weighe the great force of your Reason. Thus you saie:

The Pope sitteth in Peters Chaire: Ergo, in Spiritual Causes he can haue no Superioure.

Who taught you thus to piece your Argumentes? What Childe, what Sophi-
ster woulde so reason? Who euer gaue lntche Power, and Vertue to Peters Chaire?

In Temporal maters (ye saie) it maye be, that in one age the Pope hath acknowledged the
Emperoure, as Lorde of that Prouince, where he liued. Graceously considered. It was
the Popes Courtesie, and Humilitie, no doubt: but not his durtie. Howe be it,
Aaron the High Bishop of Israel was contented to submit him selfe to Moyses, and
of durtie to calle him Lorde.

Exod. 31.

Tertullian saith thus, Colimus Imperatorem, vt hominem à Deo secundum,
& Solo Deo Minorem. Sic enim Imperator Omnibus Maior est, dum Solo vero
Deo Minor est: Wee honour the Emperours Maiestie, as a man nexte vnto God. For so is
the Emperoure greater then Al menne, while he is lesse then onely the True God. Origene
saith, Petrus, & Iohannes nihil habebant, quod Cæsari redderent. Dixit enim Pe-
trus, Aurum, & Argentum non habeo. Qui hoc non habet, nec Cæsari habet quod
reddat, nec vnde Sublimioribus Potestatibus subiaceat. Qui verò habet Pecuniam,
aut Possessiones, aut aliquid in hoc sæculo, audiat, Omnis anima Potestatibus Subli-
mioribus subiaceat: Peter, and Iohn had nothinge to geue vnto the Emperoure. For Pe-
ter saide, Golde, and Siluer I haue none: whiche who so hath not, hath nothinge to geue
to Cæsar, nor wherein he shoulde be subiecte to the Higher Powers. But who so euer hath, ei-
ther Monie, or Landes, or any thinge in this world, let him heare what S. Paule saith, Let
euery soule submit it selfe to the Higher Powers.

Tertullian, ad
Scapulam.

Origene ad Ro-
man. Ca. 13. li. 9.

Act. 3.

And for prouise of the praife hercof, Pope Leo thus submitteth him selfe
humily vnto Lewes the Emperoure: Nos, si incompetenter aliquid egimus, & in
Subditis iustæ Legis tramitem non conseruauimus, vestro admissorum nostrorum
cuncta volumus emendare iudicio. If wee haue donne any thinge disorderly, and ouer our
Subiectes haue not kepte the dewe trade, and course of Lawe, by your Maiesties Iudgement
wee wil redresse al our faultes.

2. Qu. 7. Nos 6.

So likewise, longe befoze Pope Leo, Pope Gregorie wrote vnto the Empe-
roure Mauritius: Ecce per me Seruum vltimum suum, & vestrum, respondebit Chris-
tus: Sacerdotes meos manu tua commisi, &c. Ego quidem vestra iurisdictioni subiectus,
Legem vestram per diuersas terrarum partes transmitti feci: Beholde, thus wil Christe
answere you by me, beinge bothe his, and your moste humble Seruaunte: I haue commit-
ted my Priestes vnto thy hande. As for my parte, I, beinge subiecte vnto your Maiesties
Commandement, haue caused your Order to be proclaimed throughte diuers partes of the
worlde. Againe he saith, Christus dominari Imperatorem, non solum Militibus:
sed etiam Sacerdotibus concessit: Christe hath geuen Power vnto the Emperoure, to
heare rule, not onely ouer souldiours, but also ouer Priestes. Againe he saith, Et Im-
peratori obedientiam præbui: & pro Deo, quod sensi, minimè tacui: I haue shewed my
duetie towardes my Lorde the Emperoure: and touchinge God, I haue not concealed, what
I thoughte. And this is it, that S. Paule saith, Let euery soule be subiecte to the Higher
Powers. Upon whiche wordes S. Chrysostome saith, Etiam si sis Apostolus, etiam si
Euangelista, etiam si Propheta, siue quisquis tandem fueris. Neque enim Pietatem
subuertit ista Subiectio: Thoughe thou be an Apostle, thoughe thou be an Euangeliste,
thoughe thou be a Prophete, or what one so euer els thou be, yet be thou subiecte to the Higher
Powers. For this Subiectio is no hinderance to Godlinesse.

Gregor. Lib. 3.
Epist. 61. ad Mau-
ritium Imperat.

Gregor. Lib. 3.
Epist. 64.
Gregor. ad Mau-
ritium Imperat.

Chrysost. ad Ro-
man. Homil. 23.

But afterwarde the Popes beganne to loke alofte, and bothe to saie them

sq

selues,

The Pope
inferioure
to the Em-
peroure.

Iohan. Maior. 4.
sent. 9. 2. dist. 10
sex. lib. 3. Ti. 16
In Glossa.
Iohan. de Paris
sup. de Potestate
Pape. cap. 20.

Hilarius ad
Constantium
Imperat.
Psal. 116.

Bernar. Epist. 42.
ad Archiepiscopo.
Senonens.

Bernar. De Con-
sideratione. Li. 4.

458

The Defense of the Apologie of the

selues, and also to cause others these parasites to sale, Romanus Pontifex est supra Reges in Temporalibus: The Bishop of Rome is above Kinges, euen in thinges Temporal. Againe, Papa totius orbis obtinet potestatem: The Pope hath the Power of al the worlde. Againe, Solus Papa est verus Dominus Temporalium: Onely the Pope is the very true Lord of Temporal thinges. And againe, Omnis potestas secularis immediate data est Papæ: Al manner Temporal Power is geenen immediately to the Pope.

Pe sale, Pope Gregorie might cal the Emperoure Mauritius, his Lorde, (not of dutie, but) either of Custome, or of Courtisie. Yet, (saie you further) our holy Father Pope Pius the Fourth shal not now be bounde to doo the like. Here, I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, what strange kinde of Spiritual Power hath the Pope Pius now gotten, that was not before in Pope Gregorie? What Learninge: what Vertue: what Worthinesse: what Holinesse: what good reason can ye allege, wherefore Christe, and his Apostles, and al other Holy Fathers, and Martyrs, shoulde be subiecte to the Prince, and onely your late Popes, and Cardinales shoulde stande so free?

Pe sale, This Custome hath longe sithence ben discontinued: And in one age the Pope maie acknowledge the Emperoure, as the Lorde of the Lande, where he dwelleth: and in an other age he maie be Lorde thereof him selfe. What is to sale, In one age the Pope maie be subiecte to the Emperoure: and in an other age the Emperour maie be subiecte to the Pope. This is your whole, and onely reason. Thus, we see, your obedience towarde your Prince goeth not by Goddes VVoorde, but onely by Ages. Therefore we maie saie to you, as S. Hilarie sometime saide to the Arian Heretiques, Fides temporum est, non Evangeliorum: Your Faith passeth by Ages, and not by Gospels. And yet it is written, Veritas Domini manet in Aeternum: The Truthe of our Lorde endyureth (not so; one age, or other, but) for Euer. It were a highe point of Learninge for an Astronomer skilfully to pronosticate, betwene the Emperoure and the Pope, whether of them shoulde be Dominus Anni. Howe be it, here maie I wel and iustly answere you with these wordes of S. Bernarde: Omnis anima potestatibus sublimioribus subdita sit. Si omnis, & vestra. Quis vos exceperit ab vniuersitate? Si quis tentat Excipere, conatur Decipere. Noli illorum acquiescere consilijs: qui cum sint Christiani, Christi tamen vel sequi facta, vel obsequi dictis probro ducunt. Ipsi sunt, qui vobis dicere solent, Seruata vestra Sedis honorem, &c. Estis vos vestro Predecessore impotentiores? Si non crescit per vos, non decrescat per vos. Hæc illi. At Christus aliter iussit, & gessit. Reddite, inquit, quæ sunt Cæsaris, Cæsari: & quæ sunt Dei, Deo: Let euery soule be subiecte to the Higher Powers. If euery soule, then is your soule subiecte, as well as others, For (notwithstandinge your Dignitie) yet who hath excepted you from this generalitie? He that would excepte you from the Obedience of the Prince seeketh to deceiue you. Geue no eare to their Counsellors. For, notwithstandinge they be Christians, yet they thinke it shame, either to folowe Christes deedes, or to obeie Christes Wordes. They vse to saie vnto you, O sir, mainteine the honour of your See, &c. Are not you so good, as your Predecessour? If your See be not auanced by you, yet lette it not be abased by you. Thus saie they. But Christe hath bothe taught, and wrought farre otherwise. For he saith, Geue to Cæsar, that belongeth to Cæsar: and geue to God, that belongeth to God.

Likewise he saith againe vnto Pope Eugenius, A te tamen Mos iste, vel potius Mors ista non ceppit: vtinam in te desinat. Vides omnem Ecclesiasticum zelum feruere sola pro Dignitate tuenda? Honori totum datur, Sanctitati nihil, vel parum. Si causa requirente, paulo submissius agere, ac socialius te habere tentaueris, absit, inquit, Non decet: Tempori non congruit: Maiestati non conuenit: Quam geras personam attendito: But ye wil saie, this Manner, or rather, this Deathe, beganne not of you: Would God it might ende in you. Doo ye not see, that al your Ecclesiastical zeale, and care standeth onely in maintenance of Honour? Upon Honour altogether is bestowed: vpon Holinesse, either nothinge, or very litle. If vpon occasion ye would somewhat submit your self, and deale familiarly, O, doo not so, saie they: It is not meete: It is not agreeable to the time: It is not conuenient for your Maiestie: Consider wel, what state ye beare. Such good Lessons, M. Hardinge, ye haue, wherewith to nourture, and to traine the Pope. And if he happen of him selfe to be a foole, by such schalinge ye are able to make him madde.

But Gre-

But Gregorie (yet saie) beinge Pope, gouerned the whole Church of God. For he complaineth, that Maximus was made Bishop of Salonx in Illyrico without his allowance. This Authoritie is answered in my former Replie. But howe holdeth this Argumente, M. Harding? Gregorie complaineth, that Maximus was made Bishop of Salonx in Illyrico, without his allowance: Ergo, Gregorie ruled the whole Church?

What Schoole wil allowe you such Conclusions? It is knowen, that in the Nicene Council the charge of the whole Church was committed to thre Principal Patriarkes, whereof the Patriarke of Rome was the firste: The seconde of Alexandria: The thirde of Antioche. And eche of these thre was limited severally to his owne pecullare portion. Nilus a Græke Writer saith, Cum alix Regiones assignatæ sint Romano, alix Alexandrino, alix Constantinopolitano, non magis hi sub illo sunt, quàm ille sub hisce: For as muche as certaine Countries are limited to the Bishop of Rome: certaine to the Bishop of Alexandria: and certaine to the Bishop of Constantinople, they are nowe no more vnder him, then he vnder them.

As for the Churches of Illyricum, they were specially appointed to the Bishop of Rome, as it appeareth by the Epistle of Pope Damasus, written to the Bishoppes of Illyricum: Par est omnes, qui sunt in Orbe Romano, Magistros consentire: It is meete, that al the Teachers, that be within the Romaine Prouince, agree together. So saith the Athanasius, Roma est Metropolis Romanæ Ditionis: Rome is the Mother Church (not of the whole worlde, but) of the Prouince of Rome. Nicephorus saith, Iustinianus decreuit, vt Iustiniana Prima haberet locum Sedis Apostolicæ Romæ: vñ esset Caput sibi ipsi cum plena potestate: Iustinianus the Emperoure appointed, that the Citie called Iustiniana Prima shoulde haue the place of the Apostolique See of Rome: and that with full power it shoulde be Heade vnto it selfe. Neither durst you forged, and countrefeit Anacletus, to claime the Iurisdiction of al the whole worlde, but onely a portion of the same. For thus he saith, Omnes Episcopi, qui huius Apostolicæ Sedis ordinationi subiacent: Al Bishoppes (not through the worlde, but) that are Subiecte to the ordination of this Apostolique See of Rome. So likewise S. Gregorie in his complainte vnto the Emperesse, touchinge this same Maximus, the Bishop of Salonx, speaketh not of al the Bishoppes, that were throughout the whole Church of God, but onely of such Bishoppes, as were limited vnto his particulare charge. For thus he maketh his complainte, Si Episcopi mei me despiciant, &c. If myne owne Bishoppes (beinge within myne owne pecullare Iurisdiction) shal despise mee.

Therefore your Diuinitie wanted Logique, M. Harding, when ye framed your Argumente in this sort, Gregorie complained of Maximus, &c. Ergo, Gregorie gouerned the whole Church.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 1. & 2.

But where they saie, we haue gonne awaie from the Vnitie of the Catholique Church, this is not onely a matter of malice, but besides, though it be moste vntrue, yet hath it somme shewe, and appearance of truth. For the common people, and ignorant multitude geue not credite onely to thinges true, and of certaintie, but euen to such thinges also if any chaunce, whiche maie seeme to haue but a resemblance of truth. Therefore wee see, that subtle, and craftie persones, when they had no truth on their side, haue euer contended, and hotely argued with thinges likely to be true, to the intent they which were not able to espye the very ground of the matter, might be carried awaie at leaste with somme pretence, and probability, or likelihood of the Truth. In times past, whereas the first Christians, our forefathers, in makinge their Prayers to God, did turne them selues towardes the East, as for the moste parte the manner was, there were that saide, they vvorshipped the Sonne, and reckened the same as their God.

Againe

Againe

Gregor. Lib. 4.

Epist. 34.

Artic. 4. Diu. 2.

Nilus de Primatu Roman. Pont.

Socron. li. 6. c. 2.

Athanas. ad Solit. am. p. 11. ag. 1.

Nicephor. Lib. 17. Cap. 28.

Anaclet. Epist. 3. Diff. 93. lxxxi. sanctorum.

Gregor. Lib. 4. Epist. 34.

Tertull. in Apol. logico.

Againe, where our forefathers saide, that as touchinge Immoztal and Euerlastinge Life, they liued by none other meanes, but by the Fleashe, and Bloude of that Lambe vvirhout spotte, that is to saie, of our Saueour Iesus Chryste, the enuious Creatures, and Enemies of Chyristes Crosse, whose onely care was to bzing Christian Religion into sleaunder, by al manner of waies, made the people beleue, that they were wicked persons, that thei sacrificed mennes Fleashe, and dronke mennes Bloude. Also, where our forefathers saide, that, before God there is neither Man, nor VWoman, and that for attaininge to the true Righteousnesse, there is no distinction at al of persons: and, whereas they called one another indifferently by the name of Sisters, & Brothers, there wanted not menne, which forged false tales vpon the same, saisinge, that the Chyristians made no difference amonge them selues, either of age, or of kinde: but like brute beastes, without regarde, had to doo one with an other. And, whereas, to praise together, and to heare the Gospel, they mette often together in secrete, and by places, because Rebelles sometime were woonke to doo the like, therefore Rumoures were euerywhere spreadde abroade, that they made priuie Confederacies, and tooke counsel together, either to kil the Magistrates, or to subuerte the Common Wealthe. And, whereas in Celebrating the Holy Mysteries after Chyristes Institution, thei tooke Breade and VVine, they were therefore thought of many, to woozhip, not Chryste, or God, but Bacchus, and Ceres: forsomutche as those vaine Goddes were woozhipped of the Heathens in like sorte, after a prophane Superstition, with Breade, and VVine. These thinges were beleued of many, not because they were true in dede, (for what could be moze vntrue?) but because they were like to be true, & through a certaine shadowe of truthe mighte the moze easily deceiue the simple. On this fashion likewise doo these menne sleaunder vs as Heretiques, and saie that wee haue leaste the Church, and felowship of Chyriste: not because they thinke it is true, for they doo not mutche force of that, but because to ignozante folke, it might perhaps somme waie appeare true.

Wee haue in dede put our selues aparte, not as Heretiques are woonke from the Church of Chyriste, but as al good men ought to doo, from the infection of naughty persons, and Hypocrites. Neuerthelesse in this pointe they triumphe maruellously, that they bee the Church, that theire Church is Chyristes Spouse, the Pillar of Truthe, the Arke of Noe: and, that without it there is no hope of Saluation. Contrariwise, they saie, that vee bee Renegates: that vee haue torne Chyristes seate: that wee are plucked quite of from the Body of Chyrist, and haue forsaken the Catholique Faith. And, when they leaue nothinge vnspoken, that maie neuer so falsely and maliciously be saide against vs, yet this one thinge are they neuer hable truly to saie, that we haue swarued, either from the woozde of God, or from the Apostles

of

of Christe, or from the Primitiue Church. Surely wee haue euer iudged the Primitiue Church of Christes time, of the Apostles, and of the Holy Fathers, to be the Catholique Church: neither make we doubt to name it, Noes Arke, Christes Spouse, the Pillar and vpholder of al Truche: nor yet to fire therein the whole meane of our Saluation. It is doubtlesse an odious mater, for one to leaue the felowship wherunto he hath bene accustomed, and specially of those men, whome, though they be not, yet at lease seeme to be, and be called Christians. And, to saie truely, wee doo not despise the Church of these menne (how so euer it be ordered by them nowe a daies) partly for the names sake it selfe, and partely for that the Gospel of Iesus Christe hath once bene therein truely, and purely set forth. Neither had wee departed therefrom, but of very necessitie, and muche againste our willes.

M. Hardinge.

VVee graunte, that in olde time slaunders were made vpon the Faithful. But no like slaunde is made by vs vpon you in the mater, whereof ye speake. For let vs graunt, that yee haue in deede put your selues aparte, and are gone from the contagion of naughtie persones and Hypocrites. This is the very thinge, wee laie to your charge. For though wee were sutch, as for a greate number ye shal neuer be hable to prooue, yet by Christes owne sentence ye muste doo that, (a) which they that sitte in the Chaire of Moses bid you doo, although they be Hypocrites, as the Scribes and Phariseis were, of whome Christe spake, &c. But it shalbe yenough for you to doo, as the Successours of Peter bidde you to doo, and so to folowe their saieinge, and not their dooinges.

The Primitiue Church is continued with our time, by the Successours of S. Peter, for whose Faith neuer to faile Christe praied, and whome (b) onely he made Shepheard to feede al his Flocke of Sheepe and Lambes. Christe (c) nowe requireth of you, not to obeie Peter and Paule, but to obeie him, who sitteth in their Chaire. VVhere yee saie, it is an odious mater to forsake vs, and yet haue forefaken vs: where ye confesse that ye despise not the Church, we be of, and yet graunt that ye haue departed from it: howe standeth this together? Remember ye what yee saie! Knowe yee what ye doo? VVho wil regarde your woorde, whiche with one breathe saie, and vnfaie! If it be odious, why doo ye it? If ye despise not the Church: why departe ye from it?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Christe saith, *The Scribes, and Phariseis sitte in Moses Chaire: Doo that they saie, but doo not, that they doo.* Ergo, (saie you) VVee maie not departe awaie from the Pope. How so euer it fare with your Conclusion, *M. Hardinge*, verily in your premisses ye compare the Pope and his Cardinales with the Scribes, and Phariseis. And yet the Scribes, and Phariseis saie in the Chaire, and taughte the Lawe: Your Pope, and Cardinales sitte stil, and teache nothinge. Notwithstandinge, lette vs wel allowe of this Comparisson. We maie remember, that Christe also saith, *Woe be vnto you, yee Scribes, and Phariseis, that shutte vp the Kingedome of Heauen before menne: and neither doo you enter in your selues: nor wil you suffer others, that saine would enter. Woe be vnto you, yee blinde Guides: Woe be vnto you, that haue taken awaie the keie of Knowledge. Like wise be saith of them vnto his Disciples: Beware of the Leauen of the Scribes, and Phariseis: Let them goe: Blinde they are, and the Leaders of the blinde.*

To sitte in Moses Chaire, was, truely, and rightely to instructe the people, and to open vnto them the Lawe of Moses. S. Augustine saith, *Hoc ipsum, quod bona dicebant, & ea, quae dicebant, vtiliter audiebantur, & fiebant, non erat ipsum.* Cathedral enim, inquit, Mosis sedent: *That they spake good thinges vnto the people, and that the thinges, that they saide, were profitable to the hearers, and doers of the same, it was not of them selues.* For Christe saith, *They sitte vpon Moses Chaire.* Againe he saith, *Sendendo super Cathedral Mosis, legem Dei docent. Ergo per illos Deus docet. Sua vero illi si velint docere, nolite audire: nolite facere. Certè enim tales sua quærant, non quæ Iesu Christi: Sittinge vpon Moses Chaire, they teache the Lawe of God: Therefore it is God, that teacheth by the meane of them. But if they wil teache you thinges of their owne, then, heare them not: then doo it not.* For certainly sutch menne seeke their owne: and not the thinges, that pertaine to Christe Iesus. We see therefore, *M. Hardinge*, we are bound to

Aug. 19

heare

(a) The same Scribes, and Phariseis commaunded the people to refuse Christes companie: Iohan. 9. & 12. And was the people bounde to folowe them?
(b) Vntruth. For Christe neuer gaue Peter any sutch special Priuilege.
(c) A strange kinde of Diuinitie: sutch as Peter, and Paule neuer knewe.

Matth. 23.

Matth. 16.

Matth. 15.

August. de Sermonibus Domini, in Monte, Lib. 2.

Aug. in Iohann. Tracta, 46.

beare the Pope, and his Cardinales, and other like Scribes, and Phariseis, not abso-
lutely, or without exception, what so euer they liste to saie: but onely so longe, as
they teache the Lawe of God. Further then that S. Augustine saith, VVee maie
neither heare them, nor folowe their Counsel.

Hereunto ye thought it good, to adde more force, as a supplie, to aide your
wantes. Christ saide vnto Peter, I haue praied for thee, that thy Faith shal neuer faile. Ergo,
(saie you) The Pope can neuer erre. This waie of reasoninge, I trow, ye Learned of Pe-
ter de Palude, a worthy Doctour of your side. For so he reasoneth: Ego rogaui pro
te Petre, vt Fides tua non deficiat. Ex quo habetur, quod Romana Ecclesia in fide errare
non potest: nec de eius Fide dubitare licet: Sed in omnibus est sequenda: Peter, I haue
Praied for thee, that thy Faith maie not faile. By these woordes we are taught, that the Church
of Rome cannot erre in Faith. Neither is it lawfull to doubt of the Faith of that Church: but
in al causes wee are bounde to folowe it. But S. Augustine saith, Nunquid pro Petro ro-
gabatur: pro Iohanne, & Iacobo non rogabatur? Vt coeteros taceam: To leaue the reste, did
Christe Praie for Peter? and did he not Praie for Iohn, and Iames? Againe he saith, Hac
nocte postulauit Satanas vexare vos, sicut triticum: & ego rogaui Patrem pro vobis,
ne deficiat Fides Vestra: This night hath Satan begged to thresh you, as if it were wheate:
but I haue Praied to my Father (not for Peter onely, but) for you, that your Faith maie
not faile. So saith Origene, Nunquid audebimus dicere, quod aduersus vnum Pe-
trum non praxualitur: sicut portae Inferorum: aduersus coeteros autem Apostolos,
ac Praefectos Ecclesiae sicut praxualitur? An Petro Soli dantur à Christo Clauis Regni
Coelorum? Nec alius Beatorum quisquam eas accepturus est? Omnia, quaeque prius
dicta sunt, quaeque sequuntur, velut ad Petrum dicta, sunt omnium Communia: Maie
we dare to saie, that the gates of Hel shal not preuaile Onely against Peter: but shal preuaile
against the other Apostles, and Rulers of the Church? Were the Keyes of the Kingedome of
Heauen geuen onely to Peter? And shal no Holy man els receiue the same? Nay, al the things,
bothe that were saide before, and also that folowe after, as spoken to Peter, are Common, and be-
longe vnto al. Therefore, as ye saie of the Church of Rome, so maie we saie likewise
of the Church of Hierusalem, where S. Iames was: and of the Church of Epesus,
where S. Iohn was, and of other the like Apostolique Churches, notwithstandinge
they be now in the possession of the Turke, and haue receiued the Religion of Maho-
mete, yet because Christ hath once praied for them, The Faith of them shal neuer faile.

Nowe, if the Churches of Galathia, Corinthe, Epesus, Hierusalem, and of in-
finste other Noble Citties, and Countries, planted by Paule, by Iohn, by Iames,
and by Christe him selfe, maie faile from the Faith, what special priuilege then,
maie we imagine, haue the Church of Rome, planted by Peter, that it onely of al
others, can neuer faile. For Christe praied indifferently, as wel for the reste of his
Apostles, as for Peter, That their Faith shoulde neuer faile.

Further ye tel vs, it shalbe yenough for you, to doo, as the Successours of Peter bid you
to doo. Christe nowe (you saie) requireth not of you to obeie Peter, and Paule: but to obei him, that
sitteth in their Chaire. If this waie be as sure, as it is shoyt, then is there no doubt, but
al is wel. Though we beleue neither Peter, nor Paule, nor what so euer is witten
in Coddes Wordes, yet, saie you, If wee folowe the Pope, we cannot erre. For thus
mutche, & hardinge, ye are hable to warrant vs by your Gospel, that Christe re-
quireth not vs now, to be obedient to Peter, and Paule, but onely to the Popes Holi-
nesse, that kepeth Residence in their Chaire. This is your Diuinitie: these are your
wordes. If ye euer recante the same, ye marre the flower of your Market. We
naide not nowe to saie, Thus saith the Lorde: it shalbe sufficient for vs, to saie, Thus
saith the Pope. Yet S. Paule so farre aduentureth the Truthe, and certaintie of his
Doctrine, that he doubteth not to saie, If an Angel from Heauen Preache vnto you any
other Gospel, then wee haue Preached, accursed be he. Upon which wordes S. Chryso-
stome hath noted thus: Non dixit, Si Contraria annuntiauerint, at totum Euangeli-
um subuerterint: verum: Si paulum Euangelizauerint praeter Euangelium, quod ac-
cepistis, etiamsi quiduis labefactauerint, Anathema sint: S. Paule saith not, If they
Preache contrarie to the Gospel, or ouerthrowe the whole Gospel: but, If they Preache any
little

Petrus de Pala-
de. de Potestate
pp. artic. 4.

August. de quest.
Noni Testament.
quest. 75.
August. De Vera
bus Domini in
Euangel. secund.
Lucan. serm. 36.
Origene in Mat-
the. Tract. 1

Gal. 1.
Chrysost. in 1.
Cor. ad Galat.

little thinge besides the Gospel, that ye haue receiued: if they overthrowe any thinge, what so euer it be, accursed be they. Therefore S. Hierome saith, *Ea doceat Episcopus, quæ à Deo didicerit, non ex proprio Corde &c.* Let the Bishop teache those thinges, that he hath learned of God: and not of his owne harte, or fantasie.

Chrysostome saith, *Plus aliquid dicam: Ne Paulo quidem obedire oportet, si quid dixerit proprium, si quid humanum: sed Apostolo Christum in se loquentem circumferenti: Wil telle you a greater mater: Wee maie not obeie, no not S. Paule him selfe, if he speake any thinge of his owne, or if he speake onely as a man: But wee muste beleue the Apostle of Christe, carrieinge Christe aboute speakinge within him.*

And therefore Panormitane saith, *In concernentibus Fidem, etiam dictum vnus priuati esset preferendum dicto Papæ: si ille moueretur melioribus rationibus Noui, & Veteris Testamenti, quàm Papa: In maters concerninge Faithe, the saieinge of one Priuate man were to be hearde before the saieinge of the Pope: if the same Priuate man were moued with better reasons of the Newe, and Olde Testamente, then the Pope. But, that wee shoulde no lenger obeie Peter, and Paule, but geue care onely to him, that is copen into their Chaire, it is futchè Diuinitie, as neiether Peter, nor Paule euer taught vs.*

Laste of al, as vpon somme good aduantage, yē beginne to Triumphe. Remember yee (late yē) what yee saie? knowe yee, what yee doo? who wil regarde your woordes, whiche with one breathe saie, and vnfaie? If it be odious to leaue our felowship, why doo yee it? If yee despise not the Church, why departe ye from it? To Saie, and Vnfaie, it is your proprietye, M. Hardinge: it is not ours. Yē haue Saide, and Vnfaide, and yet, were it not for shame, it is thought, yē woulde be contented to Saie againe. Wee despise not the Church: it is the House of God: But we mislike your defacinge, and disorderinge of the Church. Christe reproboued the Priestes, and Phariseis, for that they had turned the Temple of God into a caue of Theeues: And yet neuerthelesse he despised it not: but saide it was his Fathers house. To leaue the wicked felowship of them, that beare a name, and shewe of Godlinesse, it seemeth odious before men: but before God it is not odious. S. Iohn saith, *Who so euer is futchè a one, bid him not, God speede. For who so saith, God speede vnto him, is partetaker of his il.* S. Paule saith, *I warne you, that you receiue no meate with any futchè.* And God him selfe saith, *Comme out from her, o my people, lest ye be partetakers of her sinnes, and lest ye receiue of her Plagues.* Thus we Saie not, and Vnfaie againe, as you saie, M. Hardinge. For the same thinge, we Saide before, we Saie still.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 3.

But I put case, an Idole be sette vp in the Church of God, and the same Desolation, whiche Christe prophesied to come, stode openly in the Holy Place: what if somme Theefe, or Pirate inuade, and possesse Noes Arke? These folkes, as often as they telle vs of the Church, meane thereby them selues alone, and attribute al these titles to their owne selues, boasting, as they did in times passe, that cried out, The Temple of the Lorde, The Temple of the Lorde: or, as the Phariseis, & Scribes did, whiche craked, they were Abrahams Children. Thus with a gaie, and ioyly shewe deceiue they the simple, and seeke to choke vs with the bare name of the Church. But he like as if a Theefe, when he hath gotten into an other mans house, and by violence either hath thrust out or slaine the owner, woulde afterwarde assigne the same house to him selfe, castinge forth the of possession the righte Enheritour: Or, if Antichriste, when he had once entred into the Temple of God, woulde afterwarde saie, This

Da iiii

house

Dist. 36. Ca. final.

Chrysost. in 2. Timoth. Rom. 2.

De Elect. & Elect. potest. significasti. Abb.

Matth. 21.

2. Iohan.

1. Corinth. 5.

Apocal. 18.

house is mine owne, and Chrifte hathe nothinge to doo withal. For these menne nowe, after they haue leaste nothinge remaininge in the Church of God, that hathe any likenesse of his Church, yet wil they seeme the Patrones, and the valsaunt maintainers of the Church: Verily like as Gracchus emongeste the Romaines stood sometime in Defense of the Treasurie, notwithstandinge with his prodigality, and sonde expenses, he had utterly wasted the whole stocke of the Treasurie. And yet was there neuer any thinge so wicked, or so farre out of reason, but lightly it might be couered, and Defended by the name of the Church. For the waspes also make honycombes, as wel as Bees, although there bee no hoony in them: and wicked menne haue companies like to the Church of God. Yet for al that, They be not streight vvaie the people of God, vvhiche are called the people of God: neither be they al Israelites, as many as are comme of Israel the Father. The Arians, notwithstandinge they were Heretiques, yet bragged they, that they alone were the Catholiques, callinge al the reste, nowe Ambrosians, nowe Athanasians, nowe Iohannites. And Nestorius, as saithe Theodoret, for al that he was an Heretique, yet couered he him selfe τῆς ὁρθοδόξου προσηύματι, that is to wite, with a certaine cloke, and coloure of the true, and Right faith. Ebion, though he agreed in opinion with the Samaritanes, yet, as saithe Epiphanius, he woulde needes be called a Christian. The Mahometistes at this daie, for al that al Histories make plaine mention, and them selues also cannot denie, but they tooke their firste beginninge of Agar the bonde V Vooman, yet for the very name, and stockes sake, chuse they rather to be called Saracenes, as though they came of Sara the free V Vooman, and Abrahams true and lawfull V Vife. So likewise the false Prophetes of al ages, whiche stood by againste the Prophetes of God, and resisted Esaias, Ieremie, Chrifte, and the Apostles, at no time craked of any thinge so mutche, as they did of the name of the Church. And for none other cause did they so fearfully bere them, and cal them Renegates, and Apostates, then for that they had forsaken their fellowship, and heapte not the ordinaunces of the Elders. Wherefore, if we woulde solowe the Judgements of those menne onely, whoe then gouerned the Church, and woulde respecte nothinge els, neither God, nor his woorde, it muste needes bee confessed, that the Apostles were rightly, and by iuste Lawe condemned of them to deathe, bicause they felle from the Bishoppes, and Priestes, that is, you muste thinke, from the Catholique Church: and bicause they made newe alterations in Religion, contrarie to the Bishoppes, and Priestes willes, yea, and for al their spurninge so earnestly againste it,

August. in Sermon, Contra Arianos, 10. 6.

August. in Epist. 48. ad Vincen.

Epiphani. Lib. 1. Here, 30.

Socrom. li. 6. ca. 38

M. Hardinge.

What a foolish puse, and what a fonde whatif is that, to saie, VWhat if a pirate intrude the Arke of Noe? (a) As though God fate not at the sterne, and had the helme in his owne hande.

(b) Antichriste cannot sitte in the temple of God, (c) until the vicare of Christ, who keepeth possession, be throwen out, and then wil Christe come with his Angels to destroye the wicked man with the breath of his mouth. Ye that are the herbingers of Antichriste, are not yet able to cull out the lieutenant of Christe. Ye haue at the vnderminers. Your maister wil accomplishe al iniquitie, and he shal be the desolation that Christe spake of. VVee vnderstande, what ye shoote at. But ye are ashamed to utter plainly your blasphemie. (d) Ye woulde saie (as it maie seeme) if ye durste, that Christe is the desolation, and that Antichriste is the true God. (e) In effecte I doo not greatly belieue you.

Neither if the Apostles might goe from the Bishoppes of Moses lawe, therefore maie ye departe from the Vicare of Christe. For the lawe of Moses is changed, and the Priesthoode of Aaron is transferred: But the lawe and Priesthoode of Iesus Christe tanceth for euer; as Dauid saide, Thou arte Prieste for euer, accordinge to the order of Melchisedech. Ye haunte too manifestly.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Antichriste (ye saie) cannot sitte in the Temple of God, until the Vicare of Christe, who keepeth possession, be throwen out. What saie you, *M. Hardinge*? Dosthe Christes Vicare keepe possession for Antichriste? As for Christe him selfe, I doubte not, but he is hable to keepe his owne possession. But so the olde Troians sometime saide, Theire Cittie coulde neuer miscarrie, while theire Palladium remained amongest them. But who tolde you theise strange newes, *M. Hardinge*? Who made the Pope Christes Vicare general throughout the whole Church? *M.*, who haue him keepe possession in steede of Christe? Muste we nedes thinke, that the whole state of Christes Church, hangeth onely of the Pope? *M.*, that, if the Pope were not the Pope, the Church of Christe were not the Church? *M.*, muste your simple wits nowe be taken for a Prophecie, that Antichriste shal neuer enter into Seddes Temple, befoze the Pope be throwen out? This fable woulde haue benne better furnished with moze trepide.

Clericly, whereas we saie, wee put case, an Idole be sette vp in the Church of God: *M.*, whatif somme Theefe, or Pirate inuade, and possesse Noes Arke; whether is this, whatif, so fonde as you woulde seeme to make it: nor this, Put case, so impossible. For S. Paule saith, Antichriste shal sitte in the Church of God, and shewe himselfe aboute al that is worshipped, or called God: that is to saie, Beinge a Theefe, and a Pirate, he shal enter perforce into Noes Arke.

Nowe, *M. Hardinge*, If Antichriste maie sitte in the place of God, muche moze maie he sitte in the place of Peter. I wil not saie, the Pope is Antichriste. God wil reuele him in his time: and he shal be knowne. S. Iohn saith, This is the wisdom: who so hath vnderstandinge, let him reckon the number of the Beaste. Upon which wordes, Irenaeus welnecare fiftiene hundred yeres agoe, saith thus: The name of Antichrist, expressed by that number, shalbe, Latinus: And he addeth further, Hoc valde verisimile est. Quoniam verisimum Regnum hoc habet vocabulum.

S. Gregorie saith, He is Antichriste, that shal claime to be called the Vniuersal Bishop: and shal haue a Carde of Priestes to attende vpon him. Againe, Irenaeus saith, Antichristus, cum sit Seruus, tamen adorari vult, vt Deus: Antichriste, notwithstandinge he be but a slave, yet, he wil be worshipped, as if he were God. Iohannus Abbas saith, Antichristus iam pridem natus est Romae, & altius extolletur in Sede Apostolica: Antichriste is longe sithence borne in Rome, and yet shal be higher auanced in the Apostolique See.

Thus is he described, that shal sitte in the place of Christe, and shal mainteine possession againste Christe. But, Christe shal come with his Holy Angels, and shal destroye him with the breath of his mouth, which is the Holy VVoorde of the Liuinge God.

That ye allege of the Priesthoode of Melchisedech, serueth you here to smal purpose. We knowe, that, not the Pope, but Christe alone is a Prieste for euer, accordinge to the Order of Melchisedech. S. Augustine saith: Ipse est Sacerdos noster in aeternum, secundum Ordinem Melchisedech, qui semetipsum obtulit holocaustum pro peccatis nostris: & eius Sacrificij Similitudinem celebrandam in suz Pasionis

(a) As though S. Paule had not warned vs, that Antichrist shal sitte, and shewe him selfe in the place of Christ. (b) Ergo, Antichriste shal sitte in the Popes Chaire.

(c) But what if Christes Vicare him selfe be Antichriste? (d) Vntuthe, Maliceous, and claunderous. (e) Ergo ye belieue vs, although not greatly.

2. Theff. 2.

Apocalip. 13.

Irenaeus, lib. 5. cap. 41. mo.

Gregor. li. 4. epist.

38. sacerdotum paratus est exorcismus.

Irene. Li. 5. ca. penult.

Iohannus Abbas.

2. Theff. 2.

August. in lib. 83. quest. quae. 61.

To sitte in
the place
of Christ.

466

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Passionis Memoriam commendauit: *Christe is our Prieste for euer after the Order of Melchisedech, whiche hath offered up him selfe a Sacrifice for our Sinnes: and hath appointed vnto vs a Similitude, or Likenesse of that Sacrifice, to be donne in remembrance of his Passion.* Therefore if ye wil saie, The Pope is a Prieste for euer after the Order of Melchisedech, then muste you also saie, The Pope hath offered him selfe a Sacrifice for the Sinnes of the worlde.

In eadem quest.

Likewise againe he saith, *Holocausti eius Imaginem ad Memoriam Passionis suae in Ecclesia celebrandam dedit, vt esset Sacerdos in aeternum: non secundum Ordinem Aaron, sed secundum Ordinem Melchisedech: Christe hath geuen an Image, or Resemblance of that Sacrifice, to bee kepte in the Church in Remembrance of his Passion, that he might be a Prieste for euer, not after the Order of Aaron, but after the Order of Melchisedech.*

Arche. 17. Dist. 15

Howe be it, touching this whole matter, I haue answered more at large in my former Replie.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Division. 4.

Wherefore, like as it is written, that Hercules in olde time was forced, in struinge with Antaeus that huge Giant, to lifte him quite vp from the Earthe, that was his Mother, ere he coulde conquere him: euen so muste our Aduersaries be heaued from theire Mother, that is from this vaine colour, and Shadowe of the Church, wherewith they so disguise, & defende them selues: other wise they cannot be brought, to peld vnto the woorde of God. And therefore saith the Prophete, Make not such greatesse boaste, that the Temple of the Lorde is with you. This is but a vaine confidence: these are but lies. The Angel also saith in the Apocalyps: They saie, they bee leuues: but they bee the Synagoge of Sathan. And Christe saide to the Phariseis, when they haunted them selues of the kindred, and bloude of Abraham: Yee are of your father the Diuel: for you resemble not your father Abraham: As muche to saie, as, Ye are not the men, ye would so faine be called: ye beguile the people with vaine titles: and abuse the name of the Church, to the ouerthrowinge of the Church.

Loban. 1.

M. Hardinge.

Heare at vs, whiles ye wil, and whiles ye maie, ye shal neuer remoue vs. In whiche stedfastnes we truste to stande by Goddes grace to the last breath. Heare at vs with all the forces of your cunninge, of your malice, of your flatterie, of your policies, and with what so euer engins ye haue: ye shal neuer be able to ouerthrowe the house of our consciences builded vpon the sure rocke. Let the gourdiers of Mank. 3. raine come downe from you and al other Heretikes, let the howdes of worldly ragges thruste, let the windes of Sathans temptations blowe their worst, this house shal not be ouerthrowen.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Roman. 11.

Hierem. 44.

M. Hardinge, S. Paule saith vnto you, *Noli altum Sapere, sed time: Presum not of your selfe: but stande in awe.* Suche wordes woulde rather haue becommen them, that saide sometime vnto the Prophete Hieremie, *Verbum, quod locutus es nobis in Nomine Domini, non audiemus: Sed faciendo faciemus omne verbum, quod egredietur de ore nostro: Wee wil neuer heare the woordes, that thou speakest vnto vs in the name of the Lorde. But wee wil surely doo, what so euer thinge shal passe out of our owne mouthes.* Thus it is written of them, that saide, *The Lorde shal not beare rule ouer, nor maister vs.* With like mildenesse of spirite the wisful Philosopher saith, *Non persuadebis, etiamsi persuaseris: Ies shal not make me beleue it, no though I also beleue it.*

Isaie. 49.

Isaie. 49.
Isaie. 49.
Isaie. 49.

As Constancie in god thinges is a vertue, so stowardnesse, and wislnesse is a vice. Take not thus your owne destruction. He that is fallen, maie rise againe. The Lorde is able to raise you vp: and to make you a vessel of his glorie.

I haue
praied for
thee, Peter
&c.

philip. 2.

Hierem. 30.

Luke. 1.

Ye knowe not, to what god your selfe God hath appointed you. Speake not ouer muche of the strength of your Mounte. You knowe, vpon howe light occasions it hath benne moued. Followe rather S. Pauls counsell, and with feare, and tremblinge worke your owne Saluation. The waie, ye walke in, is not your owne: Your harte is in the hande of God. Suffer Goddes Truthe to preuaile: and vnderstande, that the Kingdome of God is come vnto you.

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Division. 1.

So that these mennes parte had benne, firste to haue clearely, and truly proued, that the Romishe Church is the true and righte instructed Church of God: and that the same, as they doo order it at this daie, doothe agree with the Primitive Church of Christe, of the Apostles, and of the Holy Fathers, whiche we doubt not, but was in deede the True Catholique Church.

M. Hardinge.

Yee woulde vs to proue, that the Romaine Church is the true Church of God. Yee graunt, that once it was the true Church of God. And the Gospel sheweth, that Christe praied for it in S. Peter, who made his successours there. * VVhat foloweth hereof, but that it muste needes abide as true, as euer it was, except Christes praier were not hearde? S. Paule likewise witnesseth, that the Romaine Faith is preached in the Vniuersal worlde, geuinge a manifest propheticke, that the Romaine Faith and the Catholike Faith should be al one. S. Cyprian calleth the agreinge with the Bishop of Rome and communicatinge with him, *Catholica Ecclesia unitatem*, &c. The allowinge and firme holdinge of the vnitie of the Catholike Church. And writinge to Antonianus in the same booke, he accompteth it for one thinge, to communicate with Cornelius the Bishop of Rome, and to Communicate with the Catholike Church. For you it is vaine to saie, that it hath erred, * when the Holy Ghoste hath signified, that it can not erre.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I wil not saie, Where were your wittes, M. Hardinge, when ye wrote these thinges? But wel maie I saie, where was your Logique? As for Diuinitie, it appeareth by your reckeninge, ye make no greates accompte of it. Christe (saie you) praied for Peter: Ergo, the Romaine Faith can neuer faile. Fewe chyldezen woulde willingly make such Arguments, Ye presume very muche of the Simplicitie, and Ignorance of your Reader. For thinke you, that Christe praied onely for Peter, and for no body els? Or, thinke you, that Christes Prayers toke place in none other of al the Apostles, but onely in Peter? Awake for shame: and shake of these dreames. Christe him selfe saith, O Father, I praie not onely for these, but also for them, that by their wordes shal beleue in mee. And S. Augustine thus repositeth the same Prayer, as it is alleaged before: Ego rogaui Patrem pro vobis, ne deficiat Fides vestra: I haue praied vnto my Father, (not for Peter onely, but) for you, that your Faith maie not faile. Againe he saith, Nunquid pro Petro rogabat: Pro Iohanne, & Iacobo non rogabat? Did Christe praie for Peter: And did he not praie for Iohn, and Iames? Certainely Origen saith, as likewise I haue before alleaged, Omnia, quae prius dicta sunt, quae sequuntur, velut ad Petrum dicta sunt omnium Communia: Al the thinges, that either paste before, or folowe after, as spoken vnto Peter, are common vnto al the Apostles.

But S. Paule saith vnto the Romaines, Your Faith is spoken of throughout the worlde. And S. Cyprian saith, The agreinge with the Bishop of Rome was the Vnitie of the Catholique Church. And yet it maie please you, to be remembred by the waie, that the same S. Cyprian reproveth Cornelius, and Stephanus, bothe Bishoppes of Rome: and tolde them, they were bothe deceiued: and therefore woulde not agree vnto them. Neuerthelesse, hereof ye conclude thus, Ergo, the Holy Ghoste hath signified, that the Church of Rome cannot erre. It pitieth me, M. Hardinge, in your behalfe, to see, into howe stricke, and miserable holes ye are faine to creepe. For, what if the Faith, and the Constancie of the Romaines in olde times, for the possibilitie of that Emperie, and Citie, were then published throughout the whole worlde? Yet where did the Holy Ghoste euer telle you, that therefore the Church of Rome shoulde

* This is a very vaine hope. For Christe praied for the other Apostles no lesse, then for Peter.

* Vntruthe. For where did the Holy Ghoste euer make such promise?

Iohann. 17.

Augu. De verbis Domi. in Euang. secund. Lucam, serm. 36.

Augu. in quæst. Noui Testamenti, quæst. 75.

Origen. in Matthea. Tracta. 1.

Roman. 1.

I haue
praied for
thee, Peter
&c.

468

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Isai. 2.

Chrysost. ad Ro-
pul. Antio.
Homil. 3.
Nazian. epist. 74
Nazian. ad
Hieronem.

1. Thess. 1.

Hierem. 7.
Matth. 3.

Johan. 8.
Hierem. 18.
Ezech. 7.
Mich. 6.

Galat. 3.
1. Pet. 2.

Isai. 1.

neuer erre? By what Word: By what Promise: By what Reuelation: The same, The Faith of the Romaines was heard of throughout the whole VVorld. So was the Greate Capitoll there: So was the bewitt of their Princely buildinges. Which, notwithstanding, are nowe made smother, and euen with the ground. So likewise is it written of Hierusalem, De Sion exhibit Lex, & Verbum Domini de Hierusalem: The Lawe shall come forth from Sion, and the Word of God from Hierusalem. So in the Cittie of Antioche the Faithful were first called Christians: And therefore Chrysostome calleth the same Cittie, Caput totius orbis, The Head of the whole world. Thus was Eusebius Samosatenis called, Regula Fidei, The Rule, and Standerde of the Faith: Thus was Athanasius called, Orbis oculus: & Fundamentum Fidei: The Eye of the world, and the Foundation of the Faith. Would ye hereof conclude, O. Hardinge, that therefore the Faith of these Churches can neuer faile? We know, that al this notwithstanding, the Churches as wel of Hierusalem, and Antioche, as also of Asia, Syria, Gracia, and of al the East, are nowe subiecte to the Turke, and scarcely a fewe leaste there, that dare to profess the name of Christe.

As S. Paule saith to the Romaines, Your Faith is spoken of throughout al the world. Euen so saith he to the Thessalonians, Your Faith is carried abroade into al places. In omni loco Fides vestra, quæ est in Deum, dimanauit: The wordes be like: The sense is one. Will you therefore telle vs, The Thessalonians Faith shall neuer faile. Verily at this date there is no sparke of Faith leaste there. For the Christians are banished, and thrust out: And the Cittie is fully possessed with Iewes, which are the enemies of the Crosse of Christe.

What a sonde Paradise is this, to saie, because ye had once the Faith of Christe, therefore nowe ye can neuer erre? But a fantastical Paradise had they built vnto them selues, that cried out in olde times, euen as you do nowe, The Temple of God: The Temple of God: VVe are the Children of Abraham. But who so euer wel considereth the storie of the time, shall some finde, that then they cried moste, The Temple of God, when they had most shamefully abused, and defaced the Temple of God: and, that then they claimed moste to be called the Children of Abraham, when they had forsaken bothe the Faith, and life of Abraham, and, as Christe saith vnto them, were become the Children of the Diuel.

But a fantasie had they, that saide, Non peribit Lex à Sacerdote: Nec consilium à Sapiente: Nec Verbum à Propheta: The Lawe shall not departe from the Priest: Nor Counsell from the Wise: Nor the Word from the Prophete. But God saith vnto them, Obstupescant Sacerdotes, & Prophetæ terrebuntur. Lex peribit à Sacerdote, & Consilium à Senioribus. Nox vobis erit pro Visione: & tenebræ pro Diuinatione: Your Priests shall be amazed: and your Prophetes shall be at their wittes ende. The Lawe shall perishe from the Priest: and Counsell shall wante in the Elders. Yee shall haue Night in steede of a Vision: and Darkenesse in steede of Prophecie.

S. Paule saith vnto the Galathians, Yee beganne wel: who hath thus bewitched you, that yee shoulde not obey the Truthe? S. Peter saith, As there were False Prophetes amongst the people in olde time, euen so amongst you there shall be False Prophetes, bringinge in Sectes of Perdition. Of such a chaunge the Prophete Esaie complaineth, Quomodo facta est Meretrix Ciuitas Fidelis? Howe is this Faithful Cittie nowe become an Harlot? Deceiue not thus your selfe, O. Hardinge, with vaine hope. Harken rather to the voice of our Lorde. Put nothinge to his Word: take nothinge from the same: turne neither to the right hande, nor to the leaste. So shall ye be sure, ye shall not erre.

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Diuision. 2.

For our partes, if wee coulde haue iudged, Ignorance, Errour, Superstition, Idolatrie, mennes Inuentions, and the same commonly disagreeinge with the Holy Scriptures, either to please God, or to be sufficiente for thobteininge of Euerlastinge Saluation: or, if we coulde ascertain our selues, that the V Voorde of God was written but

for

for a time onely, and afterwarde againe ought to be abrogated, & put away: or els, that the Sayings, and Commaundementes of God ought to be subiect to mans will, that whatsoeuer God saith, and commaundeth, except the Bishop of Rome wil, and Commaunde the same, it must be taken as void, & vnspoke: If we could haue brought our selues to beleue these things, we graunt, there had bene no cause at all, why we should haue left these mens Companie.

M. Harding.

God forbid, that either ye, or any Christen man should iudge, that ignorance, error, Superstition, Idolatrie, mens inventions contrarie to the Scriptures, either pleased God, or be sufficient to saluation. Because ye lay this to the Catholike Church (a) we can say no less of you, but that ye be false liars, and diuinish slanderers. And * who teacheth, that Gods worde was written, but for a time onely? * That his commaundementes be subiect to mans will? * That Gods sayings and precepts be voyde, except the Bishop of Rome ratifie them? Here would I say vnto you at least, for shame, but that I thinke, I should preuaile no more, then if I sayde to an impudent and common Harlot, for shame. VVho may not plainly see, the Scripture verified on you. *Frans mulieris meretricis facia tibi vobis!* Ye haue gotten to you the impudent face of an Harlot.

(a) Vnto truth inclosed, knowen, and wilfull. Read the answer. * Thus partly M. Hardings doctores say: partly M. Harding himselfe As it shall appeare.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, B. Harding, it hath pleased you to bestowe large liueries, of your False Liers: Diuinish Slanderers: Impudent Faces: Common Harlottes: with Fies, and Shame, &c. Wherein no man may iustly grudge you, to bee so liberall of your owne. First, that by your Doctrine, Ignorance highly pleaseth God, and is sufficient to Saluation, besides the generall practise of your whole Church, ye may soone call to minde, that one of your dearest friendes, in most honourable Audience, not long sithence, openly published the same, in the name, and behoufe of all his Brethren, as a Secrete Mysterie, and the verie bottoome of your Diuinitie: Ignorance is the Mother of Deuotion. You knowe B. Harding, that a great manie, both woorthie and honourable, are able to witnesse, that this is, neither False Lie, nor Diuinish Sclaunder. You knowe, that Cardinal Cusanus teacheth you, *Obedientia irrationalis est consummata Obedientia, & perfectissima, scilicet quando obeditur sine inquisitione rationis, sicut iumentum obedit Domino suo. Si igitur videas Pontificem tuum non Comunicare alicui, neque tu illi Communices. Ecce quantum peccant, qui detrahunt Pontifici &c.* Obedience without reason is the full, and most perfect Obedience, I meane, when a man is obedient to doe, whatsoever hee is commaunded without requiring of any reason, even as a Horse is obedient to his Master. Therefore, if thou see thy Bishop to refuse the Communion of any man, refuse thou to Communicate with the same. O how much they offende that sclaunder their Bishop! This, B. Harding, is your Doctrine of Simple Ignorance. Concerning Superstition, and Idolatrie, what neede wee manie wordes? The thing it selfe is too well hable to proue it selfe. Altho so but looketh into your Churches, may easily, not onely see it with his eyes, but also feele it with his fingers. That Gods Holy Woorde, by your Learning, is mutable, and serueth onely for a time, your owne Doctour Cardinalis Cusanus would soone haue taught you: Notwithstanding, it may scarcely seeme lawfull for you, beeing so Learned a man, in the substance of your owne Doctrine, to pleade Ignorance. Cardinall Cusanus woordes be these: *Scripturae adaptatae sunt ad tempus, & varie intelliguntur: Ita vt vno tempore secundum currentem vniuersalem ritum exponantur: mutato ritu, iterum Sententia mutetur: The Scriptures are applied vnto the time, and may haue sundrie vnderstandings: So that at one time they may bee expounded (one way) according to the vniuersall current order (of the Church:) and that the same order being broken, the meaning of the Scriptures may be changed. Look better on your bookes, B. Harding: and ye shall see, that herein is neither Lie, nor Sclaunder. That the Holy Scriptures of God, by your Diuinitie, bee not authentical farther then they be ratified by the Pope, ye might haue learned of your Syluester Prierias, Paister of the Popes Palace. Syluester Thus he writeth: A Doctrina Romanae Ecclesiae, & Romani Pontificis, Sacra Scriptura robur trahit, & auctoritatem: The Holy Scripture taketh strength, and Authoritie of the tra*

*Nicol. Cusa-
nus Excita
Li. 6 Vbi Ec-
clesia.*

*Cardi. Cusa-
nus ad Bohem-
nos Epist. 2.*

*Syluester
Prierias con-
tra Lutheru.
Doctrina,*

Gods
woorde
mutable,

470

The Defence of the Apologie of the

Doctrine of the Romaine Church, and of the Bishop of Rome. Again he sayth, Indulgentia Authoritate Scripturæ non innotuerit nobis: Sed Authoritate Romanæ Ecclesiæ, Romanorumque Pontificum, quæ Maior est: Pardons are not knowne to vs by the Authoritie of the Scriptures, but by the Authoritie of the Romaine Church, and of the Bishoppes of Rome, which is greater then the Authoritie of the Scriptures.

If ye say, these be Lies, and Slaunders, you offer great wrong to your owne Doctours, making them the Authours of Lies, and Slaunders. Nevertheless, if ye shall happen to erie out in the impacience of your Choler. Fie for shame: Impudent Faces: common Harlots: remember what you your selues haue written immediately before, touching the same. These be your owne wordes: Recant them yee may: but denie them yee may not. Thus you say: Christ now requireth of you, not to obey Peter, and Paul, (that is to say, the Worde of God) but to obey him, who sitteth in their Chaire. We longe not these wordes, P. Harding: They are your owne. Folkes will thinke, ye haue no Modest Matrones face, if ye speake willingly against your selfe.

Now, ye see, P. Harding, who teacheth you, That Gods Worde was written onely for a time: And that Gods Commandementes are no further Authentically, but as they be ratified by the Pope: Therefore henceforth ye may spare these Lies, and Slaunders, and erie out, Fie, and Shame, when some occasion shall be offered. In deepe Cardinal Cusanus sayth, Veritas adhæret Cathedra. Quare Membra Cathedræ vnita, & Pontifici coniuncta, efficiunt Ecclesiam: The Truth cleaueth fast to the Popes Chaire Therefore the Members vnited vnto that Chaire, and ioyned vnto the Pope make the Church,

M. Hard.
fol. 192, b.

Cardinal
Cusanus ad
Bohemos,
Epist. 2.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 1.

As touching that we haue now done, so depart from that Church, whose errours were proued, and made manifest to the world, which Church also had already evidently departed from Gods woorde, and yet not to depart so much from it selfe, as from the Errours thereof, and not to doe this disorderly, or wickedly, but quietly and sobetly, we haue done nothing herein against the Doctrine, either of Christ, or of his Apostles. For neither is the Church of God such, as it may not be durtied with some spotte, or asketh not sometime reparation. Els, what neede there so many Assemblies, and Councelles, without the which, as sayeth Egidius, the Christian Fayth is not able to stand. For looke (sayth he) howe often Councells are discontinued, so often is the Church destitute of Christ.

In Conc. La-
teranens. sub
Inlo. 2.

M. Harding.

That the Church in pointes of our Fayth necessarie to Saluation erreth, we denie it: and vpon warrant of Christs promise, we tel it you all assuredly, ye shall neuer be able to proue it. Forasmuch as Councells are kept, and Bishops be made by the same prouidence of God, which prouideth partly by that meane, and partly by other, that the Romaine Fayth, Chaire, and Rocks, shall stand for ever. Ad quod (sayth S. Cyprian, speaking of them of Rome) *per hanc non potest haberi accessus.* To the which vnita inuicem can not haue access. He sayth not uelut, shall not haue access, but, cannot haue access.

*Vntruth. Yee
make your owne
warrant, For
Christ neuer
made such pro-
mise to the
Church of Rome.

*Vntruth ground-
ed vpon grosse
ignorance. For
M. Hard. fowly
mistaketh Saint
Cyprians whole
meaning.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It seemeth, P. Harding, yee haue bene brought by vnder some pooze buskiss full Surgeon. For yee applie one Dolour to euery sore. What former bee alleaged against you, it is sufficient for you to answer. The Church of Rome erreth not: The Church of Rome hath not erred. The Church of Rome cannot erre. And to this purpose yee haue brought vs in certayne wordes of Saint Cyprian farre beyondes the Authours meaning. Whereby it appeareth, that sometimes yee alleage Authorities of the Fathers, not considering, neither to what ende they spake, nor what they meant.

fol

For whereas S. Cyprian saith, Vnto the Romaines vnfaithfulnesse can haue no access, hee speaketh not of the Vnfaithfulnesse of Faith, or Doctrine, as you by error haue imagined, but onely of the Vnfaithfulnesse of Reporte. The cause of writing that Epistle, in short, was this: Certaine lewde fellowes, refusing to stand to the iudgement of the Bishoppes of their owne Countrey of Aphrica, appealed further, and fled to Rome, with many vntreue, and forged tales, to winne some credite to their cause. Whereunto Saint Cyprian sayeth, The Romaines bee godly and wise men: they will soone be able to espye the ir falsehoode. For vnfaithfull reportes, and lewde tales can haue no access vnto them. If pee had considered, either the occasion of the writinge, or the whole drift of the letter, or the verie bare wordes as they lye, pee might easily haue seene, that this onely Saint Cyprians meaning

His wordes be these, Post ista, adhuc insuper Pseudoepiscopo sibi ab Hæreticis constituto, nauigare audent, & ad Petri Cathedram, vnde Vnitas Sacerdotalis exorta est, à Schismaticis, & profanis literas ferre: nec cogitare, eos esse Romanos quorum, Fides, Apostolo prædicante, laudata est: ad quos Perfidia habere non possit accessum: After this hauing a false Bishop appoynted vnto them by Heretiques, they dare to take shipping and vnto Peters Chaire, and vnto that Principall Church, from whence the Priestly Vnity grewe first, to carry letters from Schismatices, and lewde men: Neither consider they, that the Romaines are that people, whose faith is praised by S. Paule the Apostle: vnto whome Vnfaithfulnesse (of Reportes and talles) can haue no access.

Cyprian Lib.
1, Epist. 3.

If pee doubt of this Exposition, reade these wordes touching the same, going befoze in the same Epistle: Roman cum Mendaciorum suorum merce nauigarunt. Quasi Veritas post eos nauigare non posset: quæ mendaces linguas rei certa probatione conuinceret: They saile to Roome with the Merchandise of their Lyes: as though the Trueth could not sayle after them, that by prooffe of the certaintie, might controll their lying tongues. This is that Vnfaithfulnesse, that S. Cyprian speaketh of: and not the Vnfaithfulnesse of Faith and Doctrine.

In eadem
Epist.

Nowe, touching this Appealing, and fleeing to Rome, least you should thinke, by occasion of these wordes, that S. Cyprian, alloweth it, or thinketh well of it: pee shall finde in the same Epistle, that he detesteth it, and condemneth it. For thus hee sayth, Aequum est pariter, & iustum, vt vniuscuiusque causa illic audiatur, vbi crimen admissum est: It is meete and right, that euery mannes cause be pleaded in that Countrey, where the faulte was committed, &c. Againe, Oportet eos, quibus præsumus, non circumcurfare: It is not meete, that they, ouer whom we haue Iurisdiction, should runne about the worlde: He meaneth to Rome.

Cyprian, in
eadem Epist.

And againe, Nisi paucis desperatis & perditis, Minor videtur esse Autoritas Episcoporum in Aphrica constitutorum, &c. Vnto a fewe desperate, and wicked men thinke the Authoritie of the Bishoppes of Aphrica is lesse then the authoritie of the Bishoppes of Rome. By these wordes S. Cyprian maketh the Bishop of Rome equall in authoritie with him selfe and other Bishoppes. Wherefore I must conclude with other wordes of Saint Cyprian in the same Epistle: Hæc est, Frater, vera dementia, non cogitare quod Mendacia non diu fallant: Noctem tam diu esse, quoad illucescat Dies. O my Brother, this in dede is very madnesse, not to thinke, that Lyes cannot long deceiue: The night lasteth no longer, but vntill the Day beginne to spring.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Division. 1. & 2.

Or, if there bee no perill, that harme may come to the Church, what neede is there to retaine to no purpose the names of Bishoppes, for so it is now commonly bled among them. The names onely they beare, and doe nothing. For if there bee no Sheepe, that may stray, why be they called Shepheardes? If there be no Citie, that may bee betrayed, why be they called Watchmen? If there be nothing, that may run to ruine, why be they called Pillers? Anon after the

first creation of the worlde, the Church of God began to spread a
broad, and the same was instructed with the heavenly word, which
God him selfe pronounced with his owne Mouth. It was also furni-
shed with Diuine Ceremonies. It was taught by the Spirit of God,
by the Patriarkes, and Prophets, and continued so euen til the time,
that Christe shewed him selfe to vs in the fleshe. Thus notwithstan-
ding, howe often, O good God, in the meane while, and howe horribly
was the same Church darkened, and decayed.

Where was that Church then, when all Fleshe vpon Earth had defi-
led his owne way? Where was it, when amongst the number of the
whole World, there was only eight persons (a neither they all chaste,
and good, neither) whom Gods wil was, should bee saued aliuie from
the vniuersall Destruction, and Mortalitie? When Elie the Pro-
phet so lamentably, and bitterly made his mone, that onely him selfe
was left of all the whole Worlde, which did truely and deuoly wor-
ship God? And when Esaie saide, The Siluer of Gods people (that is
of the Church) was become Dross: and that the same Cittie which
afore time had beene Faithfull, was now become an Harlot: And that in
the same there was no parte sounde throughout the whole body from the
heade to the foote? Or else when Christ him selfe saide, That the house
of God was made, by the Phariseis, and Priestes, a Denne of Theeues?
Of a trueth, the Church, euen as a corne seelde, except it be eared,
manured, tilled, & trimmed, wil in steade of Wheate, bring forth Thi-
stles Darnell, and Nettles. For this cause did God send euer among
bothe Prophets, and Apostles, and laste of all his owne Sonne, who
might bring home the people into the right way, and repaire anew
the tottering Church after she had erred.

M. Harding.

These and the like places haue bene already alleaged, of olde time against the Catholikes, by the Donatistes, as
Saint Augustine sheweth: and of late yeeres by your Schoolemaister Iohn Caluina of Geneva. VVhen eade not to
study for newe sunnewaters to the argument made out of these and such other places, much fitter for this purpose, than
these are, such that the same is well answered by Saint Augustine. Often times (saith hee) Gods word rebuketh
the wicked sort of the Church, for, as though all were such, and none at all remained good. For they by wee are
warned, them in their certaine number, to be called all, as much to say, all the Children of Hell. But Sir, what if the
Church at the beginning of the worlde, and at the generall drowning, was of small number? And what if the Sy-
nagogue were sometime quite extinguished? Must it therefore followe, that the Church also after that GOD hath
given to Christe his Sonne the endes of the worlde to be the endes of the worlde to bee his possession, may now be
brought to a fewe, and to faile altogether? Where was the Church (saye yee) when of all the worlde there were
but eight persons, and they onely saved aliuie from the vniuersall destruction? Forsooth they were in Noes Arke,

De Viritate
Ecd. Cap. 12.

Psal. 2.

* But the same
Arke is not the
Church of Rome.

And now haue wee * our Noes Arke also, in which who so ever abideth not, the same is drowned.
Neither were the Prophetes and Apostles sent, nor Christe came him selfe to repaire a newe the Church, which
had in Faith wholly erred: but bothe they were sent, and he came, that he should not wholly erre.

The Bishop of Salisbury.

It is true that yee saye, In the holie Scriptures this worde, Arke, is often vsed in steade of many. And
this (yee saye) is sared with the Synagogue of the Iewes: for that then the Iudaicall were sometimes brought vnto

Sometimes brought vnto a small number: som-times were vicerly decayed, and consumed to nought. And in this sense (ye say,) Elias complained, that the Prophetes of God were all slayne, and he onely left alive.

But nowe (ye say,) the Church may not bee brought to a few. For GOD hath geuen to Christe his Sonne the endes of the worlde to be his possession. Yet Christ himselfe, S. Harding, that best knewe the reache, and limites of his possession, saith thus, *When the Sonne of Man shall come, thinke you, he shall finde Faith in the worlde?* Whereupon S. Ambrose saith, *Tunc Fides rara: Vt ipse, quasi addubitans, Dominus ista dixerit: Then shall Faith be geason: So that our Lorde shalke these wordes, as doubting thereof, whether there shoulde bee any Faithfull left, or no.* And S. Iohn in his Reuelations saith, *Mulier fugit in solitudinem, vbi habet locum paratum a Deo: The woman (whereby is meant, not the Synagogue of the Jewes, but the Church of Christ) fleeth into the wilderness: where shee hath a place provided of God.* By which wordes is meant, that in time of Ignorance, and Persecution, the Church is without Countenance, and unknown. S. Paule saith, *Before the day of the Lorde, there shalbe a departing from the Faith of Christ.* By these it appeareth, the decay of the Church shalbe vniuersall: and that the remanentes shall not be many. Therefore Vincentius Lirinensis putteth the case on this wise: *Quid si nouella aliqua contagio iam non potiunculam tantum, sed totam pariter Ecclesiam commaculare conetur? Tunc item providebit, vt Antiquitati inhareat: What if some newe corruption goe about to invade, not onely some portion of the Church, but also the whole vniuersall Church altogether? Then must a Christian man settle himselfe to cleane to Antiquitie: That is to say to folowe the Primitive Church of the Apostles, and Auncient Fathers, This case woulde be neuer haue moued, vnlesse he had thought, the Whole vniuersall Church might happen by some errour to be deceiued. Surely S. Chrysostome speaking of the state of the Church, that he saue in his time, saith thus: Videtur Ecclesia hodie Mulieri, quæ mansuetudine veteri exciderit, similis: quæque Symbola tantum felicitatis illius quædam referat: Arcas, & Scrinia rerum pretiosissimarum adhuc retinens, Thesaurus destituta, Huic mulieri Ecclesia hodie videtur similis: The Church this day is like vnto a woman, that hath quite lost all her olde modestie: and that beareth onely certaine badges, and tokens of her former felicitie: and that, being vicerly bereft of the treasures, keepeth onely the Cases, and Boxes of the precious thinges, shee had before. To suche a woman the Church this day may be likened.*

Likewise S. Hierom speaking of that, he saue by experience in his time, saith thus: *Ecclesia, postquam ad Christianos Principes peruenit, potentia quidem, & diuitiis maior, sed virtutibus minor facta est: The Church, after that shee is come to Christian Princes, in deede is greater in wealth, and riches: But in vertue, and Holmesse she is lesse, then shee was before.* Therefore saith Chrysostome, *Hæc dico, ne quis miretur Ecclesiam propter multitudinem: sed vt ipsam probatam reddere studeamus: Thus muche, I tell you, not that we shoulde aduance the Church because of the Multitude, and encrease of people: But that wee shoulde ende our to adorne her with vertue.*

Nowe where ye say, the Church nowe can neuer be brought to few. S. Bernard saith thus vnto God, by waye of moane: *Coniurasse videtur contra te vniuersitas populi Christiani, a minimo vsque ad maximum: A planta pedis vsque ad verticem non est sanitas vlla. Egressa est iniquitas a Senioribus Iudicibus, Vicariis tuis qui videntur regere populum tuum. O Lorde, the vniuersall Companie of Christian people seemeth to haue conspired against thee from the lowest vnto the highest. From the sole of the foote, vnto the crowne of the Head, there is no whole place. Iniquitie, and wickednesse is gone forth from thy Elder Iudges, that be thy Vicars, that are thought to rule thy people.*

Againe he saith, *Intestinalis, & insanabilis est plaga Ecclesie: The wounde of the Church is inward, and past reconer.* Likewise Baptista Mantuane complayneth vnto Pope Leo:

*Sande Pater succurre Leo, Respublica Christi
Labitur: ægrotatque Fides iam proxima morti.*

R. iii.

Helpo,

The
Church
in fewe.

3. Regum. 19
Luke. 21.
Ambrosi. in
Lucam. Lib.
10.

Apocahp. 12
2. Thessal. 2.

Vincentius.
Lirinensis.

Chrysostom.
in 1. ad Cor.
Homil. 36.

Hieronym. in
vita Malchi.

Chrysostom.
ad Populum
Antiochen.

Homil. 4.
Bernard in
Conversione
Pauli, Serm.

Citatur ab
Holcoto in
Sapient.
Lectio 29.
Baptis. Man-
tuanus Fa-
stor. 4.

The
Church in
fewe.

Fortalium
Fidei, Lib. 5.

Aug. Epist.
48.

Aug. in Psal.
128.

Extra. de E-
lectione,
Significasti.
Abb.

August. in
Psal. 88.

Helpe, Holy Father Leo: Christes common wealth (that is, the Church) is falling downe, the Faith is sicke, and like to die.

So likewise saith he, that wrote the Forte of Faith, Et si in hoc Dæmonum bello cadant Religiosi Principes, & Milites, & Prælati Ecclesiastici, & Subditi, tamen semper manent aliqui, in quibus saluatur Veritas Fidei, & iustificatio bonæ conscientie. Et si non nisi duo homines fideles remanerent in mundo, in eis saluaretur Ecclesia, quæ est Vnitas Fidelium: *In this battell of Diuallies, notwithstanding the Godly Princes, the souldiers, the Ecclesiasticall Prelates, and Subiectes be overthrowen, yet euermore some remaine, in whom the Truth of Faith, and the Righteousnesse of a good conscience is preserved.* And, although there remained but two faithfull men in the worlde, yet in the same two, the Church of God shoulde be saued: which Church is the Vnitie of the Faithfull. The Church of God is often compared to the Moone, which sometimes is full, and rounde and bright, and glorious: sometimes is wholly shadowed, and drowned in darkenesse: and yet notwithstanding is not consumed, but in substance remayneth still. Saint Augustine saith, Aliquando in Solo Abel Ecclesia erat: & expugnatus est a fratre malo, & perditio Cain. Aliquando in Solo Enoch Ecclesia erat: & translatus est ab iniquis: *Sometime the Church was in onely Abel: and he was destroyed by his brother wicked Cain. Sometime the Church was onely in Enoch: and he was translated from the wicked.* Pour owne Panormitane saith: Possibile est quod Vera Fides Christi remaneret in Vno Solo: Atque ita verum est dicere, quod fides non deficit in Ecclesia. Hoc patuit post Passionem Christi. Nam fides remansit tantum in Beata Virgine. Quia omnes alii scandalizati sunt. Tamen Christus ante Passionem orauerat pro Petro, vt non deficeret fides sua Ergo Ecclesia non dicitur deficere, nec etiam errare, si remanet vera fides in Vno Solo: *It is possible, the true Faith of Christ may remayne in one alone: And so it is true to saie, that Faith faileth not in the Church. This thing appeared after Christes Passion. For then the faith remayned onely in the Blessed Virgin For all the rest (both the Apostles, and others) were offended. Yet had Christ prayed for Peter before his Passion, that his faith should not faile.* Therefore we may not say, the church faileth, or erreth, if the faith remaine but in one alone. Agayne, S. Augustine saith, Non tamen propter hos remanebit Christus sine Hereditate. Nouit dominus, qui sint sui, &c. *Yet shall not Christ because of the wicked, remaine without his inheritance.* The Lorde knoweth, who be his owne.

The Apologie, Cap. 13, Diuision, 1.

But least some man shoulde saie, that the foresaide things happened onely in the time of Lawe, of Shadowes, and of Infancie, when the Truth laie hid vnder figures, and Ceremonies, when nothing as yet was brought to perfection, when the lawe was not grauen in mens heartes, but in stone: (And yet were that but a foolish distinction,) for euen at those dayes there was the verie same God, that is now, the same spirite, the same Christ, the same faith, the same doctrine, the same Hope, the same Inheritance, the same Couenaunt, & the same Efficacie and vertue of Gods worde: Eusebius also saith, All the Faithfull, euen from Adam vntill Christ, were in deede very Christians (though they were not so termed:) But, as I saied, least men should thus say still, Paule the Apostle founde the like faultes, and falles, euen then in the prime, and chiefe of the Gospel, in the greatest perfection, and in the light: so that he was compelled to write in this sorte to the Galathians, whom he had well before instructed: I feare me (quod he) least I haue laboured amongst you to small purpose, and least ye haue heard the Gospel in vayne. O my litle Children, of whome I trauaile anew, till Christ be fashioned againe in you: And, as for the Church of the Corinthians, how sorely it was defiled, it is nothing needefull to

res

rehearse. Nowe tell me, might the Churches of the Galathians, and Church of
Corinthians goe amisse, and the Church of Rome alone may not faile, Rome
no: goe amisse? may erre,

M. Harding.

We answere, that in partes of the Church, fautes may be founde, and fundrie men that seeme to bea of the Church, and be in the Church in deede, may fall and perishe: all this we graunt. But that the whole Catholike, and Vniuersal Church, whose faith we profess, may erre, fall, and faile: that wee demie vtterly, which had bene this Defenders arte to proue, els he talketh to no purpose. And where S. Paule rebuketh all the Galathians in generall, as though all had forsaken their Faith, he doeth it after the woort of the Prophetes, both in that and other Epistles, for the greater pates sake, reprehending al, though some deserue praise. As for the Corinthians, though many among them were faulrie, yet who considereth how he beginneth his Epistle to them, shall finde that he acknowledgeth a Church among them. For thus he writeth: Paule by vocation an Apostle, &c. to the Church of God which is at Corinth. &c.

*We speake not of the whole Vniuersal Church, but onely of the Church of Rome, was the same Church this day is subiect to the Religion of Mahomet, and is no Church,

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

That in any particular Church fautes may be found, that (ye say) ye will confesse: But that the whole Catholike Vniuersal Church may erre, and faile, that (you say) ye demie vtterly.

Notwithstanding it is certaine, that the Churches of Corinth and Galathia, and sundry others, are now, not in any Particular portion, but thorowly departed from the Faith, and are now wholly subiecte to the Turke.

This therefore muste needes bee your meaninge, that albeit all other Particular Churches throughout the worlde happen to erre, yet the Church of Rome, that is to saie, the Pope and his Cardinales can neuer erre. This Lesson, I trowe, ye learned of Petrus de Palude: For neither Christ, nor any of his Apostles euer taught it you. This woorty Doctor saith thus: Tota Ecclesia Petri non potest a Fide deficere. Omnes autem Ecclesie Apostolorum omnium possunt deficere a Fide totaliter, indigentes ab Ecclesia Petri confirmari: The whole Church of Peter, cannot wholly faile from the Faith. But all the other Churches of all the Apostles maie faile wholly from the Faith, hauinge neede to be confirmed by the Church of Peter. And to this ende, you your selfe call the Romaine Faith the Catholique Faith: making no manner difference betweene either other, as if Romaine, and Catholique, were al one. And therfore Pope Nicolas thus auanceth, and thumbrerth out his owne Authozitie: Non qualibet Terrena Sententia, sed illud Verbum, quo constructum est Caelum, & Terra, per quod demique omnia condita sunt Elementa, Romanam fundauit Ecclesiam: Not any Worldely Sentence, but the selfe same Woordes, whereby Heaven, and Earth was made, and whereby all the Elementes were created, was it, that founded the Church of Rome. By whiche woordes he meaneth, that the Romaine Faith shall stande as faste, as the Foundations of Heaven, and Earth. Euen so Peter assured his Promishe vnto Christ: Although (saide he) al others denie thee, yet I alone wil neuer deny thee. No, though I should presently die with thee, yet onely will neuer deme thee. Neuertheles, when it came to trial, the same Peter denied him firste.

Petr. de Palude De potestate curatorum Ar. 6.

M. Har. fo. 16. b. Dist. 22. Omnes.

Matth. 26.

Nowe, that the Church of Rome, whiche you call the Catholique Church, maie erre, and be forsaken of God, it is euident by the plaine woordes of S. Paule. For thus he writeth euen vnto the Church of Rome: Quod si aliqui ex ramis fracti sunt &c. If any of the boughes be broken of, and thou, beinge a wilde Olive tree art grafted into the naturall Olive, and made Partaker of the roote, and of the fatnesse thereof, boaste not thy selfe against the boughes. If thou boaste, (remember) thou bearest not the Roote: but the Roote beareth thee. Thou wilt saie, The boughes are broken of, that I might be grafted in. Wel, they are broken off for their Infidelitie: but thou standest faste by Faith. Be not highe minded: but stand in awe. Seeinge God hath not spared the Naturall boughes, lest happily he spare not thee. See therefore the goodnesse, and the sharpnesse of God: His sharpnesse towards them, that are fallen away: but his goodnesse towards thee, if thou continue, and remaine in goodnesse. Otherwise (thou being the Church of Rome) shalt likewise be smitten of. Hereby it is plaine, that the Church of Rome maie faile, and falle from God, no lesse, then other like Churches. For otherwise this aduertisement of S. Paule had benne in vaine.

Alioqui & in excideret.

Re. iiii

Ther.

The
Church
of Rome
may erre.
*Hormisdad
ad Episcopos
Hispania.*

Therefore Hormisdad, being himselfe the Bishop of Rome, writeth thus, Dilectissimi mi Fratres, continuas preces ad Dominum fundamus, & ingi deprecatione poscimus, vt & institutione, & opere illi, cuius esse membra cupimus, adhareamus, nec vnquam ab illa via, quæ Christus est, deuio tramite declinemus: ne ab eo iuste, quem impie reliquerimus, deseramus: *My dearly beloued Brethren, let vs pray vnto God, that both by profession, and by order of life, we may cleaue to him, whose Members we desire to be: and that by a me crooked path we neuer turne from that way, which is Christ: least wee (beeing the Church of Rome) be iustly forsaken of him, whom wickedly we haue forsaken. Thus would not the Pope himselfe haue written in the behooft of the Church of Rome, if hee had thought the same Church could neuer haue errd.*

*Chrysostom
de variis lo-
cis in Math.
Hom. 20.*

To be short, Chrysostome saith, Ecclesia, quocunque modo egerit contra voluntatem Christi, abiici digna est. Non autem abiicitur propter longanimitatem Christi, nisi sola causa Transgressionis: *The Church, by what way soeuer she doe against the will of Christ, is worthe to be giuen ouer. Yet through the pacience of Christ, she is neuer giuen ouer, but onely for breaking his commandements.*

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Division. 2.

*2. Thess. 2.
Daniel. 8.*

*1. Petri. 2.
Daniel. 8.*

Math. 24.

Surely Christ prophesied long before of his Church, that the time shoulde come, when Desolation shoulde stande in the Holie Place. And Saint Paule saith, that Antichrist shoulde once sette vp his owne Tabernacle, and stately Seate in the Temple of God: and that the time shoulde bee, when men shoulde not away with Wholesome Doctrine, but bee turned backe vnto fables and lies, and that within the verie Church. Peter likewise telleth, howe there shoulde be teachers of lies in the Church of Christ: Daniel the Prophet speaking of the latter times of Antichrist, Truth, saith he, in that season shalbe throwen vnder foote, and trodden vpon in the worlde. And Christ saith, The calamitie, and confusion of thinges shalbe so exceeding great, that euen the chosen, if it were possible, shalbe brought into erroure: and that these thinges shall come to passe, not amongst the Gentiles, and Turkes, but euen in the Holy Place in the Temple of GOD, in the Church, and in the Companie and Fellowship of those, which professe the Name of Christ.

M. Harding.

* vnto, For the
best learned Di-
uines haue
thought other-
wise. As it shall
appeare. * Vn-
truth. For S. Paule
saith plainly, he
shall sit in
the Temple
of God. 2.

Thess. 2.

2. Thess. 2.

Nicol. I. 174

Hieronym. ad

Algasam

Hippolytus

Mar.

The Prophecie of Daniel concerning the Abomination of Desolation, whereof Christ spake in the Gospel, we thinke, with the best learned Diuines, that it is to be referred, not to the ende of the worlde, nor to the druing away of the sayth by Antichrist, as ye imagine, but to the consummation, and final ending of the Synagogue.

Touching that ye say of Antichrist, it maketh nothing against the Carollique, against whose Faith he pretialed not. Maie, many good men thinke, that he hath al ready begunne to set vp his Tabernacle and stately seate in the heartes of many, which ought to bee the temple of GOD, by his forerunners, Martin Luther, Zwingle, Caluine, and you their schollers, with the rest of that wicked table, vvhich he addeth, and that within the Church, it is more then ye founde in S. Paule. But we vnderstande your good will, though we finde you without euer a good reason. And yet we graunt, ye came out from vs. as S. Iohn saith, but ye were not of vs. For if he had bene of vs, ye would haue tarried with vs. So may the saying of S. Peter be referred to you, and likewise that of Daniel, which ye acknowledge, though the wordes be not in Daniel. For your Schoolmasters and you are a kinne of Antichrist, and ye labour what ye can, to overthrow and treade vnder foote the true Faith of the Church, and the Catholike Religion.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

What needed you, B. Harding, to talke so muche in the fauour of Antichrist? Or, why shoulde you bee greued, to heare him called the Abomination of Desolation? S. Paule calleth Antichrist, the Man of sinne: Some say, He shalbe wholly possessed of the

the Daniell. Your owne Hippolytus sayeth, *He shall be the Daniell himselfe.* Yet have few men found themselves grieved with such sayings.

I knowe, the wordes of Christ, and of Daniel, by diuers expositions, haue bene diuersly applied. Yet may wee not well thus condemne all their Iudgements, that haue applied the same to Antichrist. Origen, one of the most auncient Fathers of the Church, entreating of Antichrist, contrarie to your Exposition, sayeth thus: Ipse est Abominatio Desolationis: Antichristus est Abominatio Desolationis.

Saint Chrysostome saith, Hic Antichristus dicitur Abominatio Desolationis: quia multorum Christianorum animas facturus est desolatus a Deo: This Antichrist is called the Abomination of Desolation: for that he shall cause the soules of many Christians to be desolate & forsaken of God. Gregorius Nazianzen. saith, Antichristus veniet in Desolatione Mundi. Est enim Abominatio Desolationis: Antichrist shall come in the Desolation of the Worlde: For he is the Abomination of Desolation. Saint Hierome saith, Abominatio Desolationis intelligi potest omne dogma perversum: By the Abomination of Desolation we may vnderstand anie maner puerse Doctrine. Whereof, no doubt, in Antichrist shall want no store. And againe, speaking namely of the Man of wickednesse, the Adversarie of Christ, which is Antichrist, *th at lifteth up himselfe among all, that is called God.* He sayeth, Abominatio Desolationum erit in Templo, usque ad consummationem temporis: The Abomination of Desolation shall stand in the Church, untill the consummation, or ende of time.

S. Ambrose saith, Abominatio Desolationis execrabilis Adventus Antichristi est: The abomination of Desolation is the cursed coming of Antichrist.

S. Hilarie expounding the selfe same wordes of Christ, *When yee shall see the Abomination of Desolation standing in the Holie Place,* sayeth thus, De Antichristi temporibus hac loquutus est: Christ spake these wordes of the time of Antichrist. Likewise sayeth Theodoretus, writing vpon the Prophet Daniel, Abominationem Desolationis ipsum vocat Antichristum. And besides others, the most auncient, and most Catholique Fathers, likewise sayeth Eusebius Emisenus in a speciall Homilie to this purpose. Now S. Harding, where as yee so certainly assure your selfe, that these wordes of Christ may in no wise bee taken of the confusion, and boynom, that shall bee at the ende of the worlde, besides all these Learned, and Goodly Fathers, I beseeche you, consider the whole drift, and circumstance of the place, and the wordes that Christ spake immediately before: The Apostles demanded him this question; *What is the token of thy coming (to Iudgement) and of the ende of the worlde?* To this question Christ answereth in this wise: Take heede that no man deceiue you: Manie shall come in my name, and shall say, I am Christ: and shall deceiue manie. Yee shall heare of warres, and talkes of warres: But be not ye troubled: All these things must happen: Yet this is not the ende, &c. Manie false Prophetes shall rise, and shall deceiue manie. Iniquitie shall increase: Charitie shall waxe colde. Who so continueth vnto the ende, he shall be saved. And this Gospel of the Kingdome shall be preached in all the worlde, for a witnesse vnto all Nations: And then shall come the ende. The next wordes that follow; are these: *Therefore when yee shall see the Abomination of Desolation, that was spoken of by Daniel, &c.*

Thus may yee see, S. Harding, by the vertie roinde and tenor of Christs answer, that, if the Iudgement, be the Iudgement: if the Ende, be the Ende: if the Worlde, be the Worlde, then must these wordes neede haue relation to the Ende of the Worlde: What so euer anie of your best Learned Diuines, of whome ye haue hitherto named none, haue taught, or said to the contrarie. Whereas wee say, Antichrist shall stande even within the Church, That (ye say) we haue not shewed. Notwithstanding, our good will (ye say) ye vnderstand: although yee finde in vs neuer a good reason. As for the goodnesse of our Reasons, wee will not struge. But whether Saint Paule saie, that Antichrist shall stande in the Church, or no, let vs be iudged by Saint Paule. His wordes be plaine, *Ad eo vt in Templo Dei sedeat, tanquam Deus:* So that he will sitte in the Church of God, as if he were God. If the Church of God, be the Church, then doeth Saint Paule say, Antichrist shall sitte within the Church. In like sense Christ sayeth, Antichrist shall sitte in the Holie Place: By

Abomina-
tion of
Desolatio.

Hippolytus
Mar.

Origen in
Mattha.

Tracta 26.

Chry. in Ope-
re imperfecto

Homil. 49.

Gregor. Na-
zian. Signifi-
cario in Eze-
chielem.

Ed. d. v. y. u. a. e.
yap iei tae
y. y. u. o. r. o. w. s.

Hieronym. in
Mat. cap. 24.

Amb. in Luc.
Li. 10. ca. 21

Hil. in Mat.
Canon. 25.

Theodoret. in
Dan. Ca. 12.

Eusebius
Emisen.

Mattha. 24.

Antichrist
standing
in the
Church
of God,

2. Thessal. 2.

Mattha. 24.

which

Abominas
tion of

480

The Defence of the Apologie of the

Desolatio.

August. De

Ciuit. Dei li.

20, Cap. 19.

In eodem, ca.

2. Thessal. 2.

Hieronym. de

Potest. Papa.

Hic promiss.

Hieronym. in

ca. 24, Mat.

Chrysost. in O-

pere imper-

fecto, Ho. 49.

Hilarius cot.

Auxentium.

2. Thess. 2.

Anselmus.

Chrysost. in o-

pere imperfe-

cto. Hom. 49.

Contra Aux-

entium.

which wordes manie of the best learned Fathers haue expounded, the Church of God. S. Augustine sayeth, Non enim Templum alicuius Idoli, aut Dæmonis Templum Dei Apostolus dicitur: For the Temple of an Idole, or of a Diuel, the Apostle would neuer call the Temple of God. And he addeth further, Quidam putant rectius Latine dici, sicut in Greco est, non in Templo Dei, sed, in Templum Dei sedeat: Tanquam ipse sit Templum Dei, quod est Ecclesia, Sicut dicimus, Sedet in amicum, id est velut amicus: Some men thinke we should better read it in Latine, as it is in the Greeke, not, He sitteth in the Temple of God, but, he sitteth, For, or, As the Temple of God: As if he himselfe were the Temple of God, which is the Church. As we say, He sitteth for a Friend, that is, Hee sitteth as a Friend. Here S. Augustine sayeth, Antichrist shall not onely sitte in the Church, but also shall shewe himselfe in outward appearance, as if hee himselfe were the Church it selfe. Nowe, P. Harding who this shoulde bee, I reposit me to your onely Doctours, of whom one hath written thus, Papa quodammodo virtualiter est tota Ecclesia: The Pope in a manner, by way of vertue, or power, is the whole Vniuersall Church. Saint Hierome sayeth, Antichristus stabit in loco sancto, id est, in Ecclesia, & se ostendet, vt Deum: Hieronym. in Antichristus shall stande in the Holie place, that is to say, in the Church: and shall shewe himselfe as if he were God. S. Chrysostome sayeth, Incident in Abomihationem Desolatio. Chrysost. in O- nis, que stant in sanctis Ecclesie locis: They shall fall into the Abomination of Desolation, that standeth in the Holie places of the Church.

S. Hillarie sayeth, Vnum moneo: Cauete Antichristum: Male enim vos parietum amor cepit: male Ecclesiam Dei in rectis, ædificijsque veneramini. Anne ambiguum est, in iis Antichristum esse sessurum? One thing I read you: Beware of Antichrist. For it is not well, that ye should be thus in loue with wallis: It is not well, that ye shoulde honour the Church in houses and buildinges. Is there anie doubt, but Antichrist shall sit in the same? S. Paule sayeth, Mysterium iniquitatis operatur: (Satan) worketh the Myserie of Iniquitie: That is to say, he openeth not him selfe, but what so euer he doeth, he doeth it in covert. Upon which wordes Anselmus sayeth, Iniquitas eorum est Mystica, id est Pietatis nomine palliata: The iniquitie of them is Mystically, that is to say, it is cloked, and couered with the name of Godlinesse.

Therefore S. Chrysostome sayeth, Christiani, qui sunt in Christianitate, volentes ac- cipere firmitatem Fidei vera, ad nullam rem fugiant, nisi ad Scripturas, &c. The Christians, that be in Christendome, willing to haue the stedfastnesse of the true Faith, let them flee to none other thing, but onely to the Scriptures: Otherwise, if they looke to anie thing else, they shall be offended, and perishe, not knowing which is the true Church: And so shall they fall into the Abomination of Desolation, that standeth in the Holie places of the Church.

The Apologie, Cap. 14, Dimission. 1 & 2.

Albeit these same warnings alone may suffice a wise man, to take heede, he doe not suffer himselfe rashly to be deceyued with the name of the Church, and not to stay, to make further inquisition thereof by Gods Word, yet besyde all this, many Fathers also, many Learned and Godly men, haue often, and carefully complayned, howe all these things haue chaunced in their life time. For euen in the midst of that thicke myst of darknesse, God would yet there shoulde be some, who, though they gaue not a cleare and bright Light, yet shoulde kinde, were it but some spake, to which men, being in the darknesse, might espie.

Hilarius, when thinges as yet were almoste vncorrupt, and in good case too, Yee are ill deceyued (sayeth hee) with the loue of walles: yee doe ill woorsuppe the Church: in that yee woorsuppe it in houses, and buildinges, yee doe ill bring in the name of Peace vnder roofes.

Is there anie doubt, but Antichrist will haue his Seate vnder the same? I rather reckon Hilles, Wooddes, Pooles, Marishes, Prisons, and Quare-mires, to be places of more safetie: for in these the Prophetes either abiding of their accorde, or forced thither by violence, did prophesie by the Spirite of God.

Gregorie, as one, which perceyued, and foresawe in his minde the wracke of all things, wrote thus of Iohn Bishop of Constantinople the first of al others, that commaunded himselfe to be called by this new name, The Vniuersall Bishop of Christes whole Church: If the Church, sayeth hee, shall depende vpon one man, it will soone fall downe to the ground. Who is he, that seeth not, howe this is come to passe long sithence? For long agoe hath the Bishop of Rome willed to haue the whole Church depend vpon himselfe alone. Wherefore it is no mar- neyle, though it be cleane fallen downe long agoe.

Gregor. lib. 4.
ad Mauris.

M. Harding.

They make a foule lie, Sir Defender, vpon S. Gregorie. The wordes you recite, be your owne, not his. Is it not enough to lie your selfe, as you doe verie often, but that you father lies also vpon the Doctours? Thanks be to God, that so ye bewray the weaknesse of your cause. * Neither in any Epistle to Iohn Bishop of Constantinople, as you say in your Apologie, nor in anie to Mauritius the Emperour, as you haue noted in the margin, writeth Gregorie, that if the Church shall depende vpon one man, the whole shall fall to grounde, I see well, you woulde faine Gregorie had so written. And if he had, yet your argument had bene nought. For you take not the right Minor, which should haue bene this, The Church dooth depende vpon one man, if you woulde make your reason good, and after the rules of Logicke: For where your Minor speaketh onely of the Popes will, thereof your conclusion followeth not. Dispose your propositions in the forme of a Syllogisme, and you shall epipe your owne feeble reason. And if you make that your Minor, then graunt you that, which you denie.

The wordes whereof you gather this pretended saying of Gregorie, as I suppose, be these: If anie man hath ought vnto him selfe that name (of Vniuersall Bishop) in that Church (of Constantinople) then the whole Church, (which God forbid) fell from his state; when hee that is called Vniuersall, fell. Gregorie vnderstandeth by the name, Vniuersall Bishop, as him selfe declareth in manie places, (a) such a one, as is a Bishop altogether and onely, so as there be no other Bishop besides him. Now if it were graunted, that the Bishops of Constantinople were this one, and onely Vniuersall Bishop, this inconuenience would followe, that with the fall of that Vniuersall Bishop, the Vniuersall Church also fell. For where the Church is, there be Bishops, and where be Bishops, there is the Church: and a (b) Bishop Vniuersall, by Gregorie, is as much as all Bishops. That this being graunted, the whole Church is fallen from the Earth; thus hee prooueth. For (sayeth hee) the Bishops of Constantinople haue fallen into the gulfes of great and detestable Heresies: as Nestorius, who thinking Christe to be two persons, and beleeuing that God could not be made man, ranne to a Jewish Infidelitie: and as Macedonius, who denied the Holy Ghost to be God. VVherefore if the Bishop of Constantinople be the Vniuersall Bishop, according to the sense aforesayde, then at the fall of him from the Faith, as when those two before named fell, the Church also falleth; as then by this reason, when they were Bishops, it fell. Thus reasoneth S. Gregorie in that Epistle to Mauritius. But because to Gregorie it seemeth verie farre from reason, and incredible, that the Church should fall from the Faith, and faile; therefore hee inuenge- eth against Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople for chalenging that name of Vniuersall Bishop, and concludeth that the (c) Bishop of that Sea in anie wise cannot so be.

But if the worde Vniuersall, signifie a Soueraincie of charge, and Supremacie of Government ouer the whole Church, (d) which Christ committed to Peter, and in Peter to his Successours the Bishops of Rome, when he sayde, Feed my sheepe (in this sense it is not impious nor erroneous, nor contrary to the minde of S. Gregorie, to call the Successour of Peter, Christes Vicar in Earth, the Vniuersall Bishop, (e) that is to say, the highest of all, and hauing power ouer all other Bishops, and Bishop of the Vniuersall Church. And as Christ gave to S. Peter and his Successours, for the benefite of his Church, a supreme authoritie and power: so for the same Churches sake, for whose loue he deliuered him selfe to death, by petition made to his Father, hee obteyned for him and his Successours the Priuiledge of his su- preme and most excellent state, that their Faith should neuer faile. In consideration of which singular priuiledge, ob- tained by Christ; and (f) graunted to the Sex Apostolike, and so many other, Gregorie rebuketh Iohn the Bishop of Con- stantinople so much, as one that presumptuously vsurped that newe name of Vniuersall Bishop against the statuten of the Gospel, and against the Decrees of the Canons.

* Vnto. For he writeth it often. Read the an- swere.

(a) A manifest vnto. without witte.

(b) Vnto. more pecuniary than the former.

(c) Vnto. found and willfull For S. Gregorie speaketh these words no more of the B. of Constanti- nople, then of the B. of Rome.

(d) Vnto. For Christ neuer gave out any such Commission.

(e) This same was it, that Gregorie reprooued: For thus hee writeth.

Contra Christi Membra tibi re- naris Vniuersa- lis appellatione superare: Greg. Lib. 4. Epist. 32.

(f) Vnto. vnto- terly voyde of shame.

Here M. Har.
recanteth his er-
ror. For before
he said, These
wordes belong
only to the
Pope.

To conclude, if either Gregorie, or any other man should say, that the Church dependeth vpon one man: hee might seeme to say truth, meaning rightly, and that not alone, nor without good Authoritie. For such a saying we finde vttered by S. Hierome. The safetie of the Church (sayeth he) dependeth vpon the dignitie of the High Priest, who if we haue not authoritie peerlesse and aboue all other, there will be so manie schismes in the Church, as there be Priests. Which peerlesse authoritie aboue all other, as S. Hierome in that place doth attribute to (e) the Bishop of euerie Diocess directly, so consequently to Peters Successour, to whom it was sayd, Feede my Sheepe. For by what reason in eche Diocess it behoueth one Priest to be highst ouer other Priests, by the same and in like proportion no lesse it behoueth, that in the whole Church one Bishop bee highst ouer other Bishops. I meane, for anyding of schismes,

The Bishop of Sarisburie,

If yee had better looked on your Bookes, P. Harding, yee woulde not haue bene so hattie in dealing Lies. We falsifie not that good Fathers wordes: but report them truly, as we finde them. For thus he writeth in sumyle places, of Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, that first auanced himselfe aboue all his Brethren, and required to be called the Vniuersall Bishop of all the world: Vniuersa Ecclesia a statu suo corruit, quando is, qui appellatur Vniuersalis, cadit: The whole vniuersall Church falleth from her state, when he falleth, that is called the Vniuersall Bishop. This is no Lie, P. Harding: Conferre the places: and ye shall finde the wordes, as we report them. It standeth not, neither with your profession, nor with your modestie, so vncourteously to vse your tongue. We neither Lie our selues, nor further Lies vpon the Doctours. God be thanked, his cause is such, as may well be mainteyned without Lies.

Gregor. lib. 4.
Epist. 32.

Gregor. lib. 6.
Epist. 24.

Grego. in ead-
dem Epistola.

Gregor. lib. 4.
Epist. 39.

Gregor. lib. 4.
epi. 52. & 55.

Gregor. lib. 4.
Epist. 36.

Gregor. lib. 6.
Epist. 30.
Deus.

But, to put you further out of doubt, the sence of these wordes ye may finde often vttered by S. Gregorie, in other places. Unto Anastasius, the Bishop of Antioche, he writeth thus: Vt de honoris vestri iniuria taceam, si vnus Episcopus vocatur Vniuersalis, Vniuersa Ecclesia corruit, si vnus vniuersus cadit: To dissemble the iniurie done to your Honour, if one Bishop be called Vniuersall, then if that one Vniuersall Bishop fall, the whole Vniuersall Church goeth to ground. Againe hee saith in the same Epistle, Vos eandem causam Nullam dicere non debetis. Quia si hanc equanimiter portamus, Vniuersa Ecclesia Fidem corrumpimus: Yee may not say, This is a matter of no importance. For if we patiently beare these things, we destroy the Faith of the Vniuersall Church. Againe hee saith, In isto scelestis vocabulo consentire, nihil aliud est, quam Fidem perdere: To consent vnto this wicked Name, is nothing els, but to loose the Faith. Againe, Flens dico: Gemo denuntio: Quia cum Sacerdotalis Ordo intus cecidit, foris diu stare non poterit: I speake it with teares: I tell it with sigh of heart: For seeing the Order of Priesthood is fallen within, it cannot now stand long without. Againe, Diabolus ita valide in quibusdam Ecclesie necessariis Membris dentes figit, vt nulli sit dubium, quin nisi vnanimiter, fauente Domino, cunctorum prouida pastorum turba concurrat, omne, quod absit, citius ouile dilaniet: The Diuell so strongly fasteneth his teeth in the necessary Members of the Church, that, onlesse by Gods Grace, the prouident companie of all Bishops ioine together, there is no doubt, but he will soone destroy the whole flocke: which God forbid. And againe he compareth the Pride of this Name with the Pride of Antichrist: and saith, that the one shall worke the Confusion of the Church, no lesse, then the other. Thus he saith, Nunquid non cum se Antichristus veniens Deum dixerit, friuolum valde erit? Sed tamen nimis perniciosum. Si quantitatem vocis attendimus, Duæ sunt Syllabæ: si pondus iniquitatis, Vniuersa perniciēs: When Antichrist shall come, and call himselfe God, shall it not be a very trifle? Yet shall it be marvellous hurtfull (to the Church.) If ye weigh the quantitie of the worde, it standeth in two Syllables: If ye consider the weight of the wickednesse, it is an Vniuersall destruction. These are no Lies, as liketh you to call them, P. Harding: they are verie plaine wordes: it is the vndoubted meaning of S. Gregorie. And therefore be called this claime of Vniuersall power, a Superstitious, a Profane, an Vngodly, and a Wicked Title: a name of Hypocrisie: and a name of Blasphemie.

To answere these Authorities, being so pregnant, and so cleare, ye are faine to fumble sumyle pretie wittes, such as, neither Iohn, nor Gregorie was euer able to vnderstande.

First yee say, This Iohn the Bishoppe of Constantinople, meant by this Title, better

bitterly to disgrace al Bishoppes, and Patriarkes, what so euer: and to make him selfe the Onely Bishop of al the worlde. It is a childishe labour, to seeke a knotte in a rushe, and to imagine doubtles, where the case is cleare. It is certaine, that the Bishop of Constantinople meante none other Vniuersal Authoritie, then that now is claimed by the Pope. Therefore it is thus noted in the Booke, called Chronicon Eusebij, Institutum fuit, vt Romana Ecclesia Caput esse Ecclesiarum omnium: cum prius Constantinopolitana id vsurpare tentasset: *Order was taken, that the Church of Rome should be the Heade of al Churches: whereas before, the Church of Constantinople had attempted to vsurpe the same.* Whiche thinge is also noted by Matthias Palmarius of Florence, and that without any manner difference, or change of wordes. Sabellicus saith, Bonifacius. 3. egit ab initio administrationis suae cum Phoca, vt Romana Ecclesia esset omnium aliarum Caput. Estque id agre, nec sine multa contentione Apostolicæ Sedi datum. Græci id ad se decus trahentes, ibi Christianæ Pietatis Arcem esse oportere aiebant, vbi Imperij: Pope Bonifacius the thirde, at the firste entry into his office, was an earnest suiter vnto the Emperoure Phocas, that the Church of Rome might be the Heade of al other Churches. Whiche thinge hardly, and with greates labour was graunted to the Apostolique See of Rome. The Græcians drawinge the same honoure into them selues, saide, It was necessarie, the Heade of Christian Religion should be there, where as was the Heade of the Empire: whiche was at Constantinople.

Chronicon Eusebij. Anno. 607.

Matthias Palmarius.

Sabellicus Ennead. 3. Lib 6.

Thus it plainly appeareth, that the Bishop of Constantinople, and the Bishop of Rome craued bothe for one thinge. Whiche thinge, beinge wicked in the one, cannot wel be godly in the other.

Likewise writeth Vrspergensis: At the requeste, and suite of Pope Boniface. Phocas the Emperoure appointed the See of the Apostolique Church of Rome, to be the Heade of al Churches. For before that time, the Church of Constantinople wrote her selfe the Firste, or Chief of al others.

Vrspergensis in Phoca.

By these it maie appeare, M. Hardinge, it was greates folie for you, thus to call vnto the name. For the Power, and Iurisdiction, then claimed by the Bishop of Constantinople, and afterwarde vsurped by the Bishoppes of Rome, was al one. Therefore S. Gregorie saith to Iohn the Bishop of Constantinople, Tu quid Christo Vniuersalis Ecclesiæ Capiti, in Extremi Iudicii dicturus es examine, qui cuncta eius membra tibi met conaris Vniuersalis appellatione supponere? What answer wilt thou make, at the trial of the Laste Iudgemente, vnto Christe the Heade of the Vniuersal Church, whiche thus by the name of Vniuersal Bishop, seekest to make al his Members subiecte vnto thee? Euen the selfe same Vniuersal power claimeth now the Bishop of Rome: and seeketh to make al other Bishoppes through the worlde, and the whole Vniuersal Church of Christe, thral, and subiecte vnto him selfe.

Gregor. Lib. 4. Epist. 38.

The Bishoppes of Constantinople selle sometimes into Heresies, & were deceived. But Christe hath praised for Peter, that his Faith should not faile. Ergo, saie you, The Bishop of Rome can neuer possibly be deceived. O. M. Hardinge, let shame once force you to restraîne these Vanities. I doubt not, but hereafter in place conuenient I shal be hable to shewe, that there haue benne moe Heretiques placed in S. Peters Chaire, then in the See of Rome, then you are hable to finde in any one See within Europe.

Nertly, S. Gregories reason, touchinge the danger, and Confusion of the Church, twelgeth nomore againste the Bishop of Constantinople, then againste the Bishop of Rome. For thus he saith, If he, that is called the Vniuersal Bishop, happen to erre, then needes muste the whole Vniuersal Church fall together with him into Erroure. And therefore if ye had perused the Council of Basile, ye should haue founde this selfe same reason alleged there, not againste the Bishop of Constantinople, but againste the Pride, and Arrogancie of the Bishop of Rome. The wordes be these: Alioqui, errante Pontifice, sicut sæpè contigit, & contingere potest, tota erraret Ecclesia: Ocherwijs, when so euer the Pope erreth, as he hath often erred, and maie erre againe, the whole Church should erre with him. Thus wrote the Bishoppes, and, by your owne Iudgemente, Catholique Bishoppes, in the Council of Basile: yet had they not forgotten the Prayer, that Christe made for S. Peter.

Concil. Basile. 10. Epist. synodale.

The Pope
him selfe
disclai-
meth, &c.

Francis. Zabaras
vell. citatur ab
Illyrico, De sen-
ctu.

484

The Defense of the Apologie of the

And therefore Franciscus Zabarella, a notable Canonist, and Cardinal of the Church of Rome, sayinge the greates enormities, that grewe hereof, saith thus: Pa-
pe faciunt quicquid libet etiam illicita: & sunt plusquam Deus. Ex hoc infiniti se-
quuti sunt errores. Quia Papa occupauit omnia iura inferiorum Ecclesiarum: ita ut
inferiores Praelati sint pro nihilo. Et, nisi Deus succurrat statui Ecclesiae, Vniuersalis
Ecclesia periclitatur: The Pope doo now, what so euer they liste to doo, yea although it be un-
lawful: and are become more then God. Hereof haue folowed infinite Errors. For the Pope
hathe inuaded, and entred vpon all the right of the Inferioure Churches: so that the inferioure
Bishoppes maie goe for nought. And thus God heaelp the state of the Church, the Vni-
uersal Church is in danger. Thus hitherto, M. Hardinge, ye haue founde no Lie.

Nowe, where ye would saie to saie, Gregorie so reprobued the Bishop of Con-
stantinople, that neuertheless he claimed the same Title, and Vniuersal Authoritie
to him selfe: maie it therefore please you herein to stande to the Iudgemente of S.
Gregorie him selfe, of whom, I rechen, ye do not doubt, but he vnderstode his owne
meaninge. Doubtlesse, if ye had so diligently considered S. Gregorie, as ye beare vs
in hande, ye shoulde haue founde, that, touching any his owne right herein, he Dis-
claimeth this Title, and refuseth it vterly. For so he writeth to the Emperoure
Mauritius: Nunquid ego in hac re, pijsime Domine propriam causam defendo? O my
moste Gracious Lorde, doo I hertin quarrel for mine owne right? Againe he saith, Non
mea Causa, sed Dei est: Non ego solus, sed tota turbatur Ecclesia: Quia pax Leges, quia
venerandae Synodi, quia ipsa Domini nostri Iesu mandata, Superbi, atque pompatici
cuiusdam Sermonis inuentione turbantur: It is Goddes cause: It is not mine. Nor I onely
am troubled therewith, but also the whole Church. For the Godly Lawes, the Reuerende Sy-
nods, and the very Commandementes of our Lorde Iesu are broken by the inuention of a cer-
taine proude, and pompeuse Name. Againe, Nullus Romanorum Pontificum hoc Sin-
gularitatis Nomen assumpsit: Nullus Decessorum meorum hoc tam profano vocabu-
lo uti consensit: Nos hunc honorem nolumus oblatum recipere: None of al the Bi-
shoppes of Rome euer tooke vpon him this name of Singularitie, to be called the Vniuersal
Bishop: None of my Predecessours euer consented to vse this Vngodly style: We our selues wil not
receiue this honoure, though it were offered. Thus it appeareth by the Iudgement of
S. Gregorie, that this Vniuersal Authoritie is vterly vnlawful, not onely in other
Bishoppes, but also even in the Bishop of Rome.

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 32.
In eadem Epist.

In eadem.

Gregor. Lib. 4.
Epist. 36.

Touchinge the place of S. Hierome, I see, ye are contente to geue ouer, and to re-
canta your former erreure. For in your Firste Booke, ye saie, These woordes are Nota-
ble aboue others: and therefore might not be dissembled. And where S. Hierome saith, The
safetie of the Church hangeth of the Dignitie of the Highe Priest (meaninge thereby e-
uery seneral Bishop within his owne limites) ye thought it good, thus to larde the
same, by a proper parenthesis, with certaine other speciall stuffe, of your owne prou-
sion: He meaneth the Pope, Peters Successour: as if this Highe Priest, of whom S. Hie-
rome writeth, could be none other, but the Bishop of Rome. Nowe vpon some better
advice, and by waie of Retraction, ye saie thus, This peerelesse Authoritie aboue al others,
S. Hierome dothe attribute to the Bishop of euery Diocese: which in deede was the very mea-
ninge of S. Hierome. Certainly, if S. Hierome, by thesse woordes, meante Onely the
Bishop of Rome, as ye tolde vs before, then he meante not the Particulare Bishop of
euery Diocese, as ye tel vs now. Therefore ye muste needs confesse, that either
nowe, or before, ye haue sought meanes to beguile your Reader. As for the Pope,
Peters Successour, S. Hierome meante nomore of him, then of any other Bishop. If
it shal likewise hereafter please you, to calle in other your like ouersightes, ye shal
publishe more truthe, and encumber your Reader with lesse Errour.

M. Hard. fol. 80.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 3.

Bernarde the Abbate, aboue foure hundred yeeres past, writeth
thus: Nothings is nowe sincere, and pure emongest the Clergie:
vwherefore it resteth, that the Man of Sinne shoulde be reueled.
The same Bernarde in his Treatie of the Conuersion of S. Paule,

It

It seemeth novve, saith he, that Persecution hath ceased: no, no, persecution seemeth but novve to beginne, and that euen from them, vvvhiche haue chiefe preeminence in the Church. Thy friendes and neighbours, O God, haue dravven neere, and stode vp against thee: from the sole of the foote to the crowne of the heade, there is no parte vvhole. Iniquitie is proceeded from the Elders, the Iudges and Deputees, vvvhiche pretende to rule thy people. VVee cannot saie novve, Looke howe the people is, so is the Priest. For the people is not so ill, as is the Priest. Alas, alas, O Lorde God, the selfe same persons be the chiefe in persecuting thee, vvvhiche seeme to loue the Highest place, and beare moste rule in thy Church. The same Bernarde againe vpon the Canticles writeth thus: Al they are thy friendes: yet are thei al thy foes: Al thy kinnesfolke: yet are they al thy aduersaries. Beinge Christes seruantes, thei serue Antichrist. Beholde in my reste, my bitternesse is moste bitter.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Division. 1.

Roger Bacon also, a man of greates fame, after he had in a belement Oration touched to the quicke the woeful state of his owne time, These so many errours, saith he, require, and looke for Antichrist. Gerson complaineth, that in his daies al the Substance, and efficacie of Sacred Diuinitie was brought vnto a glorious contention, and ostentation of wittes, and very Sophistrie. The poore menne, called pauperes à Lugduno, menne, as touching the manner of theire life, not to be misliked, were woonte boldly to affirme, that the Romishe Church (from whence alone al Counsel, and Order was then sought) was the very same Harlot of Babylon, and rovvte of Diuels, whereof is prophesied so plainely in the Apocalyps.

*In Libello de
idionate lin-
guarum.*

M. Hardinge.

Nowe commeth me he in with a newe bande, whiche consisteth of tagge and ragge, and a weake companie, God knoweth, they be to shewe theire faces against the Catholike Church, whiche (as the Holy Ghost speaketh by Salomon) is terrible like an armie of men set in bataille raie.

Firste frier Bacon the Coniurer, and Negromanser, as commonly they saie of him, he is set in the forewarde, a man of greates fame forsoothe.

Then commeth in the good plaine father Getson, a writer in our Graundefathers time, he complaineth, that Friers and studentes gaue them selues too much to the vnprofitable subtiltie of Scholastical questions. VVhat maketh this against the faith of the Church?

After these, this Defender placeth in an outwinge the false bretherne of Lions, commonly called VValdenes, or *Pauperes de Lugduno*, notorious Heretikes condemned of the Church. These being detestable Heretikes condemned of the Church, wee reckon not what they saie, no more then what Luther saith, what Zuinglius, what Caluine, what these Defenders them selues saie, what Antichrist, what Sathan saith. For the enimies of Goddes trueth maie not be admitted to geue witnes against the Trueth.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Of these laste Authoritties, whiche ye calle tagge, and ragge, wee never made any greates accompte. Notwithstandinge bothe Iohannes Gerson, and Rogerus Bacon, were notable, and famous in theire times, and in al respects comparable then with the beste. Plaine Father Gerson (so by sutch weydes ye thought it beste to quail his credite, beinge otherwise counted a subtle disputer, and a profounde Scholasticke Doctoure) was Chauncellar of the Vniuersitie of Parise: and so his

¶ If

wisdom,

wisdomme, and Learninge, was thought worthy to be the Director of al the Bishoppes in the Council of Constance, that is to saie, al the Bishoppes of the worlde. Dutche a Poore, Simple, plaine Father was Father Gerson.

Rogerus Bacon, as it appeareth by his Booke, De Idiomate Linguarum, was hable to iudge of the Latine, Greeke, and Hebrewe tongues: and visited diuerse other Bookes, writeth also sundrie Epistles vnto Pope Clement: wherein he mutche complaineth of the ruine, and Confusion of the Church. Certainly the weakest of these bothe, hath moze twight, and substance, then either your Amphilocheus, or your Abdias, or your Hippolytus, or your Leontius, or your Anacletus, or your Pope Clemens, whom ye so often cal the Apostles felowe.

Howe be it, there is no man so simple, but maie beare witness to the Truthe. Clemens Alexandrinus, Lactantius, Arnobius, Eusebius, S. Augustine, and other Holy Fathers, thought it no preiudice to their cause, to allege the witness of the Frantique Sibylles: S. Paule allegeth the Authorities of the Heathen Poëtes, Aratus, Menander, Epimenides, that neuer knewe God: Christe thought it no shame, to receiue witness at Infantes mouthes: No, he refused not the Duels, when they beare witness with him, and saide, *Wee knowe, that thou arte Christe the Sonne of the Liuinge God.* Pauperes à Lugduno sounde faulte with the Pride of the Pope: with the lewde life of the Clergie: with Purgatorie: with Holy VVater: with Pardons: and with other your like deceifinges of the people.

They translated the Bible: and prated in their natural known mother tongue. These were their Errours: Therefore were they called detestable Heretiques: therefore were they condemned by your Church of Rome. But Goddes name be blessed for ever. Sithence that time the Popes painted power hath stil abated: and these poore detestable condemned Heretiques haue stil encreased.

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Diuision. 2.

I knowe wel penoughe the Authoritie of these foresaide persons wilbe but lightly regarded amongst these inenne. Howe then if I calle forth the those for witness, whome they them selues haue vsed to honour: what if I saie, that Adrian the Bishop of Rome did frankly confesse, that al these mischieues braste out firste from the highe Throne of the Pope of Rome?

M. Hardinge.

Here haue wee a man of strawe set vp, whome this defender nameth Adrian Bishop of Rome. He geueth him a waze of strawe in his hande, that a farre of seemeth to be a soare weapon, taken out of the armorie of Platyna (a) as is pretended. But when ye come neare and beholde what felow this is, and conferre with Platyna, touchinge his weapon, ye see it to be a fained thinge. For there is no suche faicing by Platyna attributed to any of the (b) sixe Adrian Bishoppes of Rome (b) whose liues he writeth. And moe there were not. Therefore where you saie, what if I saie, that Adrian, &c. I answere thereto, that if you so saie, you saie a false lie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Touchinge this prettie fanisie of a man of Cloutes, and a waze of strawe, I saie wel, M. Hardinge, ye thought it good policie, to clowte by the mater, and to satisfie your Reader with a strawen answere. The strawe was in your eyes, M. Hardinge, and not in the man. Ye made too far alusse: your eyes dased: and therefore ye knew not; what ye saue. If ye had eiauen neare, ye should some haue founde your owne error. It was no man of strawe, but Pope Adrian the firste, & laste, that we speake of: Unless ye thinke the Popes Holinesse to be a man of strawe. For thus he pronounced at Norinberg in Germanie in the greates assemble of the Emperie, by the mouth of Cheregatus his Legate à Latere. A Sacerdotibus iniquitatem populi dimanare: multis nunc annis, grauiter, multisque modis peccatum esse Romæ: & inde à Pontificio Calmine malum hoc atq; luem ad inferiores omnes Ecclesiarum Præfectos defluxisse: Ebus Pope Adrian bade his Legate saie, That the iniquitie of the people greue from the Priestes: And that now, for the space of many yeeres, there haue benne great, and greuous offenses committed in Rome: And that al this plague, and mischiefe bath flowed vnto

al the

*Eneas Sylvius
in Historia Boe
mica,*

(a) A great folie
For Platyna
was dead three-
score yeeres
before Adrian.
(b) was Pope.
(b) Vntru the
vaine, & vnad-
uised. For Pla-
tyna writeth
not one worde
of Adriane
the sixthe.

*Iohan. Sleidanus
15. 4. Anno. 1523*

al the Inferioure Rulers of the Church, euen from the Highe Throne of the Popes Holinesse. This same storie is also extante, printed at Colaine in a Booke called Fasciculus rerum Sciendarum.

*Fasciculus rerum
Sciendarum.*

Thus therefore once againe we saie, *M. Hardinge*, that Pope Adrian 6. frankly confessed, that al these mischieses proceeded firste euen from the Throne, or Seate of the Popes Holinesse: and, saieinge the same, notwithstandinge your vnciuile speache, we saie no Lie.

And, lest ye shoulde thinke, this Legate Cheregatus, either of foregatefulness, or of malice, did his errante otherwise, then he had in Commission, the like wordes haue sithence benne vttered in your owne late Chapter at Tridende, by Cornelius the Bishop of Bitonto. These they be: *Effecerunt tandem, vt Pietas in Fucum, & Hypocrisim, &c.* They haue broughte to passe, that Godlinesse is turned into Hypocrisie: and that the Sauoure of Life is turned into the Sauoure of Deathe. Woulde God they were not conne wholly with general consente, from Religion to Superstition: from Faith, to Infidelitie: from Christe to Antichriste: from God, to Epicure: saieinge with wicked harte, and filthy mouth. *There is no God.* Neither hath there benne this greate while any Pastoure, or Pope, that regarded these things. For they al (bothe Pope, and others) sought their owne: and not so mutche as one of them (neither Pope, nor Cardinal) sought for the things, that pertain to Iesus Christe.

Cornel. Bitontinus in Concil. Tridendi.

Therefore, *M. Hardinge*, ye maie henceforth spare your Unsauery, and bitter speeches: for in these reportes there is no Lie.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Diuision. 3.

Pighius acknowlegeth herein to be a faulte, that many Abuses are brought in, euen into the very Masse, whiche Masse otherwise he would haue seeme to be a moste reuerende matter. Gerson saithe, that through the number of mosse sonde Ceremonies, al the Vertue of the Holy Ghoste, whiche ought to haue ful operation in vs, and al true godlinesse is vtterly quenched, and deade. Whole Gracia, and Asia complaine, howe the Bishoppes of Rome with the martres of their Purgatories & Pardons, haue bothe tormented mennes Consciencs, and piked their purses.

M. Hardinge.

In deede Pighius in his sixthe controuersie, speakinge of priuate Masses, denieth not but certaine abuses be creapte into that moste Holy and moste healthful thinge, for so he speaketh. And adlinge further, wee knowe (saith he) to what man, and to what men it pertaineth the same to correct. And let eche man acknowledge his one measure, and vnderstande his dutie. As who shoulde saie, it is not meete for euery man to take vpon him to amende any thinge that is amisse aboute the Masse. For he meaneth not that the Masse it selfe is erroneous, as ful wel there he declareth: but that menne be faulty in abusing that moste Holy Sacrifice. For many come to the Altar, vnwoorthely. Many be presente at it, that ought not to come within the Church dores. Some Priestes be of so lewde liues, and of so vnreuerente behauioure at it, as it were better they abstained. Albeit, I thinke, he meante rather such abuses, as the Learned Fathers of the prouincial Councel of Coulen woulde to be amended: to witte, certaine peculiar offices of Masses deuised by menne of late yeres besides the ordinance of the Ancient forefathers.

As for the other greate troupe ye bringe with you out of Græce and Asia, firste, wee require you to make them agree with your selues, and with the Catholique Churche aboute the procession of the Holy Ghoste, and then wee shal answere bothe you, and them concerninge your greuous matter of Purgatorie and Pardons. It is not our manner to take the saicings of Heretikes for good and sufficient Auctoritie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In deede, *M. Hardinge*, here ye helme ouer highe. Ye take vpon you to make vs vnderstande Pighius meaininge: and yet by your owne confession it appeareth, ye neuer knewe your selfe, what he meante. Ye saie, He founde faulte with the vnwoorthinesse, either of the Priestes, or of the people, ye knowe not, whether: or with somewhat els, noted in the late Chapter of Colaine, ye knowe not, what, Yet what so euer it

¶ I ij

¶ Mal

Extra. de Tran-
slatione Episcopi
Quato in Gloss.
Extra. De Con-
cess. prabende.
Proposuit: in
Glossa

Dist. 22, Omnes.

Ludouicus Viues
in Li. 13. De Ci-
uitate Dei. ca. 24

Augst. Cōfess.
Li. 12. Ca. 13.

Paulus Amylius
Panaleon,

Matthias à Mi-
chonia, in Nouo
Orbe.

shal please you to imagine, ye thinke, you haue wronge, if we beleue you not imme-
diately vpon your worde. But Pigghius him selfe, whos tale ye wouide so faine-
tel, saithe not, as you wouide make him saie, Abuses haue creapte into the Prieste, or people.
But plainly, and simply he saithe, Errours haue creapte into the Masse.

Howe be it, These Errours (ye saie) what so euer they be, no man maie redresse, but onely
the Pope. And god cause, why. For your Doctours saie, Papa ex nihilo potest face-
re aliquid: The Pope of nothing can make somethinge. And, what so euer he do, no
man maie saie but o him, Domine, cur ita facis? Syr, why doo you so? And the very
harte, and rote of al your Diuinitie of Louaine, is this, Christe hathe praied for Pe-
ter: Ergo, the Pope can neuer erre. But if ye meane plainly, and, if there be no dis-
simulation, nor Hypocrisie in your wordes, tel me, I beseeche you, even as you de-
fire to be beloued, Of al the Errours that Pigghius meante, for the space of these
fourtie yeres, what one Erroure hath the Pope redressed?

There is no plainnesse in this dealinge, M. Hardinge. Your minde is not to
saie redresse, be the faulte neuer so euident: but stil to continue your selues in cre-
dite, and the worlde in erreure.

Al the Christians of Græcia, and Asia (ye saie) be Heretiques: and therefore ye recke not, what
they saie. No doubte: for they saie, The Pope is not the Heade of the Church, which
thinge who so euer denieth, saithe the Pope Nicolas, muste needes be holden as an Here-
tique. Thomas Aquinas for that god affection, and reuerence, he bare towarde
the Pope, saithe thus, Dicere, Papam non habere Vniuersalis Ecclesie Primatum, est
error similis errori dicentium, Spiritum Sanctum à Filio non procedere: To saie, that
the Pope hath not the Primacie of the Vniuersal Church, it is an Erroure like vnto the Er-
roure of them, that (mainteine Heresie touchinge the Holy Trinitie, and) saie, The
Holy Ghoste proceedeth not from the Sonne of God. Of your readinesse herein

Ludouicus Viues wisteth thus, Augustinum Vetustas sua tuetur. Qui si reuiuiscer-
et cum Paulo, certè ille contemptui esset Rhetorculus, aut Grammaticulus: Paulus ve-
rò vel insanire, vel Hæreticus videretur: S. Augustine is safe nowe because of his age. But
if he, and Paule were aliue againe, he shoulde be shaken of as a bad Rhetorician, or a poore
Grammarian. But S. Paule shoulde be taken, either for a madde man, or for an Here-
tique. Wee maie saie of this generation, as S. Augustine saide of certayne in his
time, Quicquid amant: volunt esse Veritatem: What so euer thinge thei fantasie, be it what
it maie be, the same thei wil haue to be the Truthe. Howe iudge you, M. Hardinge, what
Church of yours is this, where as S. Paule, the Apostle of Christe, if he were nowe
aliue, shoulde goe for an Heretique. Vesputius, as I remember, after he had trauel-
led far, and had seene the Spanners, and Religions of many Countreies, saithe thus,
Græci implicati sunt multis Erroribus: The Greekes are entangled with many Errours:
But he addeth withal, Faxit Deus, ne & Latinis multæ irrepserint Stultitiæ: God
grante, there be not many folies entred also into the Church of Rome.

Surely, the Christian menne, that be this daye in Græcia, and Asia, bitterly ab-
horre the Pope, withal the deformities of his Church. The Greeke Emperoure
Michael Palæologus, for that he had submitted him selfe to the Pope, in the late
Council of Florence, was therefore afterwarde abhorred, and hated of his people,
while he liued: and beinge deade, was forbidden Christian Burial. Isidorus the
Archbishop of Kiouia in Russia, for that, beinge returned from the saide Council,
he beganne for vnities sake, to moue the people to the like submission, was therefore
deposed from his Bishopricke, and put to death. In such reuerence the Churches
of Asia, and Græcia haue this daye the Church of Rome.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Diuision. 1.

As touchinge the Tyrannie of the Bishops of Rome, and their Bar-
barous Persianlike Pride, to leaue out others, whome perchance
thei reckon for enemies, because thei freely, & liberally finde faulte with
their vices, the same men, whiche haue leadde their life at Rome in the
Holy Cittie, in the face of the moste Holy Father, who also were
hable

Item, de
summa tri-
nit. & fide
Cathol.
Cap. 2.

hable to see al theire secretes, and at no time departed from the Catholique Faith, as for example, Laurentius Valla, Marsilius Patavinus, Francis Petrarche, Hierome Sauanorola, Abbate Iohannim, Baptiste of Mantua, and before al these, Bernarde the Abbate, haue many a time and mutche complained of it, geuinge the worlde also sometime to vnderstande, that the Bishop of Rome him selfe (by your leaue) is very Antichriste. Whether they spake it truly, or falsely, let that goe: sure I am, they spake it plainly. Neither canne any man allege, that those Authoures were Luthers, or Zvinglius. Scholars: for they liued not onely certaine yeeres, but also certaine ages ere euer Luther, or Zvinglius names were hearde of.

M. Hardinge.

If this Defender were compared to a mad dogge, some perhaps woulde thinke it rude, and an vnmanerly comparison. Let the man be as he is, who so euer he be: verily the manner and fashion of bothe is like, howe so euer I be contente, his persone be honoured with the due regarde of a man. For as the madde Dogge runneth vp and downe, here and there, and nowe byteth one thinge, and then an other, snappeth at man and beaste, and resteth not in one place. So this Defender, to deface the Church, sheweth him selfe to haue a very vnquiet heade. Nowe he runneth at the Bishop of Rome, then at the whole Clerergie. Nowe he barketh at errors in Doctrine, and sheweth none, then he snappeth at manners, and backebyteth mennes liues. Nowe he bringeth forth Scriptures, and them he stretcheth and racketh, but they reache not home. Then commeth he to the Doctors, and maketh them of his side whether they wil, or no. From Doctors he runneth to Coniurers, to riminge Poetes, and to Heretikes them selues. I thinke they had rather run to the Turkes, than the Catholike Faith should be receiued. And here leauinge that he tooke in hande to proue, that the Church erreth in necessary Doctrine, he singeth at the Tyrannie and pride of the Bishops of Rome, and bringeth in for witness against them, white and blacke, good and bad. So he hurte them, he careth not howe, by what meanes, ne by what persons.

Firste to geat credit, he vttereth a manifest lie, saying of them al, they leade their life at Rome in the Holy Cittie vnder the nose of the moste Holy Father, and might see al his secretes, and neuer foreooke the Catholike Faith. His witnesses be these. Laurentius Valla, Marsilius of Padua, Francis Petrarche, Hierome Sauanorola, Iohannim Abbot, Baptiste of Mantua, and S. Bernarde, whome of spite he calleth Bernarde the Abbot. Nowe let vs see howe many lies here be made at once. VVhereas of al these (a) neuer a one leade his life at Rome, but Laurence Valla, who was a Canon of Laterane, and this Defender saith that al did: there is one lie. That al these might see the Popes secretes, there be two lies. That none of these foreooke the Catholike Faith, (b) there be three lies. As for Laurence Valla, he was not in euery pointe very sounde, as it appeareth in his Booke of Free wil, and in his Annotations vpon the newe Testamente. In al thinges he handled, he shewed him selfe newe fangled, rather then groundedly learned, as wel in Grammer and Logike, as in Diuinitie.

(a) Vntruth. For Mantuane dwelt in Rome. Petrarcha was made Poete in Rome: Sauanorola was oft in Rome, &c.
(b) Vntruth. Otherwise shewe wherein they foreooke the Faith.

(c) Vntruth. Otherwise shewe in what Article he was an Heretique.

(d) This is vncertaine. Reade Luther touching the same.
(e) Vntruth. For this wil soone appeare.

(f) Vntruth. For by moste expresse woordes they cal him Antichriste.

But Marsilius of Padua, to please the Emperoure Ludouicus Bauarus, who liued aboute two hundred yeeres past, through malice conceiued against Pope Iohn the xxij. of whome the said Ludouicus was for iuste causes excommunicate, went so farre in schisme, (c) as at length he fel into Heresie. And Abbote Iohannim, whiles he reprobued Peter Lombarde, (d) vttered Heresie contrarie to the Catholike Faith, touching the Trinitie: and therefore his Booke was condemned by the Church, though his persone was not condemned, because he submitted him selfe, and his writings to the iudgemente of the Holy Romaine Church, as we finde in the Decretals. That al these haue greatly and often complained of the Bishoppes of Rome their tyrannie and pride, (e) there be foure lies. That they declared the Pope him selfe to be very Antichriste, (f) there be siue lies. And whereas, to make this shamelesse lie, you aske leaue, so wee aske leaue of you to telle it you, and to challenge you of a lie, and saie plainly vnto you, that speakinge of them al, you belie them, and that you shal neuer be hable to proue that you saie of them.

Francis Petrarch the Italian poete, and Baptiste of Mantua the Latine Poete, speake like poetes, eche of them once in their woorkes against the euil manners of the Courte of Rome. But what prouise maketh al this, that the Romaine Church, that is to saie, the VVeaste Church, whiche onely remaineth, and euer hath remained whole and sounde of faith, erreth in Doctrine? Or, what Argumente can you gather out of al these, I wil not saie against the life, but against the office, Authority, and Dignitie of the Bishop of Rome? The argumente you can make hereupon is this, Poetes reprehende the Vices of the Courte of Rome: Ergo, the Pope is Antichriste. Or, Ergo, the Catholike Church erreth, and is to be forsaken. VVhat force is in this reason, euery reasonable man, be he neuer so meane of witte, maie soone iudge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

For Comparison of Madde Dogges, M. Hardinge, becommeth wel the rest of it

of your

of your Courteous eloquence. Who so shal marke, howe vainely you snappe at, what so euer ye can imagine, is in your wale: nowe at our Logique: nowe at our Rhetorique: nowe at our Greeke: nowe at our Latine: nowe at our Lamenesse: nowe at our leane Cheekes: nowe at our thinne Beardes: nowe at our Superintendshipes: nowe at our Ministershipes: nowe at our Maistershipes: nowe at our Mathippes, (for this is the sobriety, and grauitie of your speache:) and further howe graciously, and egerly ye fasten y^eur eare, and faste your selfe with blinde, and are still snappinge, and catche nothinge: althoughe in regarde of manhode ye spare to calle you a Madde Dogge, as it liketh you to calle others, yet he maie wel thinke you scarcely to be a sober man.

Christe founde faulte, sometime with the Bishoppes: Sometime with the Priestes: Sometime with the Scribes: Sometime with the Phariseis: Sometime with the People: Sometime with their Religion: Sometime with their Traditions: Sometime with their Ignorance: Sometime with their Prayers: Sometime with their Fastings: Sometime with their Life: Sometime with their Hipocrisie: Sometime with their Crueltie: And thus in your fantasie, he ranne hither, and thither, vp, and downe. Yet, I trowe, ye wil not touche him with your Comparisons, nor saie therefore, as ye saie to vs, he had a very vnquiet Heade.

Who so hathe eyes to see, and considereth the miserable Abuses of the Church of Rome, touchinge either Life, or Religion, cannot lightly wante iuste mater to reprove. Ye remember, what Church it is, wherof S. Bernarde saith, A planta pedis, usque ad verticem Capitis, non est Sanitas vlla: Non est iam dicere, vt Populus, sic Sacerdos. Quia nec sic Populus, vt Sacerdos: There is not one whole place from the sole of the foote, to the toppe of the Heade. Wee maie not nowe saie, As is the People, so is the Priest: For the People is nothinge so ill, as is the Priest.

Ye saie, These witnesser dwelt not in Rome: and here in a smal mater, ye haue noted a great Lie. Howe be it, Bernarde the Abbate, that dwelt furthest of, was twice in Rome, and was Chiefe of Councel with Pope Innocentius in his greatestt affaires. Franciscus Petrarcha was made Poete in the Capitol, and heapte Laura his Concubine in the cle of the Pope, & had his mooste abode in Rome. Laurentius Valla was Canon of the Cathedral Church in Laterane, and leade his Life, and died in Rome. Byssef, S. Bernarde onely excepted, al the reste were Italians, and dwelt neuer far from Rome: and, as it maie appeare by the plapnecesse of their speache, vnderstande somme parte of the deepest Secretes of the Church of Rome.

Marsilius Patavinus, ye saie, was an Heretique. But what one Heresie he defended, or befoze what Judge he was conuicted, or where he was either abused, or punished for the same, neither you, nor any of al your Fellowes haue hitherto tolde vs. Therefore wee muste thinke, He was an Heretique without Heresie: As somme men be Doctours without Diuinitie.

Ioachim Abbas founde faulte with the Bishop of Rome, And therefore he muste needs be an Heretique. As for that he is charged in the Popes Decretalles with erreure, touchinge the Trinitie, it is a greatesse vntuthe: as ye maie clearly perceiue by a Booke, that Martin Luther hathe pourposely written in his Defense.

Certainely Laonicus Chalcocondyla, a Greeke Writer, graunteth vnto this Ioachim a very worthy Commendation. For thus he saith of him: De Pontificibus, Ioachim Abbas, &c. Ioachim the Abbate, regarded amonge the Learned in Italie, as a man of a singular giste in Prophecie, foretolde many thinges of the Popes, how they shoulde come to the Popedome, and howe they shoulde liue. And as he spake, euen so it came to passe. As touchinge his knowledge he seemed to be a Heauenly man.

But, to come neare the mater, and to speake of the thinge, that most misliketh you, S. Iohn saith, Antichriste shal sitte in a Citie builde vpon seuen Hillen: (and so is the Cittie of Rome). Irenaeus saith, The number of Antichristes Name shalbe expressed by this woorde Latinus, whereby, it is likely, is meante the Bishop of Rome. Sibylla saith, The greatestte terroure, and furie of his Empire, and the greatestte woe, that he

Bernardus in
Conversione
Pauli, Serm. 7.

Gustelm. Abb. in
Vita Bernard.
Raph. l. Vola-
terran.

Marsilius Pata-
vinus, .

Ioachim Abbas.
Extra. De Sum-
ma Trinitate,
C. vide Catho-
lica. damnatus.
Laonicus Cha-
cocondyla, li. 6.
Plane diui-
nus.

Apoc. p. 17.
Vergil, Septemq;

Vna sibi muro
circundabit

Arces.

Iren. li. 5. c. 23.

Sibylla, Oratio.

shal worke, shal be by the banks of Tyber. And there is Rome. These circumstances
 seeme plainly to painte out the Cittie of Rome. S. Hierome saith, Antichristus se-
 debit in Templo Dei: vel Hierosolymis, vt quidam putant: vel in Ecclesia, vt verius
 arbitramur: Antichriste shal sitte in the Temple of God, either at Hierusalem, as some
 thinke: or els in the Church (of God) it selfe: whiche wee take to be the truer meaning.
 S. Gregorie saith, Ego fidenter dico, quod quiscumq; se Vniuersalem Sacerdotem vo-
 eat, vel vocari desiderat, in elatione sua Antichristum præcurrit: I speake it boldly: who
 so euer calleth him selfe the Vniuersal Priest, or desireth so to be called, (as doth the Pope)
 in the Pride of his harte he is the Forerunner of Antichriste.

ne 8. Apye
 παρὰ θεοῦ
 ὁ ἄρχιεπίσκοπος
 Hieronymus ad
 Algasiam.
 Gregor. lib. 6.
 Epist. 30.

And when Iohn, then Bishop of Constantinople, had firste entred his claime
 vnto this title, S. Gregorie made answere vnto the same, Ex hac eius Superbia, quid
 aliud, nisi propinqua iam esse Antichristi tempora designatur? By this Pride of his,
 what thinge els is signified, but that the time of Antichristi is euen at hande?

Gregor. lib. 4.
 Epist. 34.

Againe he saith vpon occasion of the same, Rex Superbiæ propè est: & quod
 dici nefas est, Sacerdotum est præparatus exercitus: The King of Pride (that is An-
 tichriste) is comminge to vs: and an Armie of Priestes is prepared: whiche thinge is wicked
 to be spoken. S. Hierome saith, Antichristus omnem Religionem suæ subijciat Pote-
 stati: Antichriste shal cause al Religion to be subiecte to his Power.

Gregor. lib. 4.
 Epist. 34.

Hieronymus ad
 Algasiam.

I wil not here take vpon me to descrite, either the Person, or the dwelling
 place of Antichrist. Who so hath eyes to see, let him see. These Circumstances agree
 not vnto many. S. Paule saith, Antichrist woorketh the Myserie, or secrete practise of I-
 niquitie. Whereupon the Glose saith, Mystica est Impietas Antichristi, id est, Pietas
 nomine palliata: The Wickednesse of Antichriste is Mystical: that is to saie, (It is not
 plaine, and open, or easie to be espied of euery body, but) cloked vnder the name of God-
 lineesse. And your Glose vpon S. Paule vnto Timothe, saith thus, Habentes Speciem
 Pietatis, id est, Christianæ Religionis: Hauinge a shewe of Godlineesse, that is to saie, a shewe
 of the Religion of Christe. So Mystical shal Antichriste be in al his dealinge. And, for
 as muche as M. Hardinge thinketh, we misallege these Writters, & violently force
 them to our side, whether they wil, or no. S. Bernarde saith thus, Bestia illa de Apo-
 calypsi, cui datum est os loquens Blasphemias, & bellum gerere cum Sanctis, Petri Ca-
 thedram occupat, tanquam Leo paratus ad prædam: The Beaste, that is spoken of in the
 Booke of Reuelations, vnto which beaste is geuen a mowthe to speake Blasphemies, and to
 keepe warre against the Saintes of God, is nowe gotten into Peters Chaire, as a Lion prepa-
 red to his praye. Beholde, M. Hardinge, S. Bernarde telleth you, that Antichrist is pos-
 sessed in Peters Chaire. Howe be it, ye maye some finde a salve for this soare. For ye
 wil saie, Bernarde wrote these wordes against Petrus Luna, an Intruder, and Vsur-
 per of the See of Rome, and not against Innocensius 7. that was Pope in daie. This
 is true, M. Hardinge, and not denied, nor any waye prejudicial to our purpose: For
 hereby, ye maye see, by Bernardes iudgement, it is not impossible, but either by E-
 lection, or by Intrusion, by one waye, or by other, Antichriste maye sitte in Peters
 Chaire. Marke wel his wordes: Thus he saith, Bestia habens os loquens Blasphe-
 mias, occupat Cathedram Petri. But, if you, M. Hardinge, or any other your frendes,
 shal hope to starte out at this pore hole, what wil you then saie vnto Arnulphus,
 that saith, The very Pope him selfe, notwithstandinge any his Canonical Election, if he
 wante Charitie, is Antichriste, sittinge in the Temple of God. What wil you saie to
 Bernarde him selfe, that calleth the Popes of his time, Traitours, VVolues, Pilates,
 Diuels, and the Darkenesse of the worlde? Telle your frendes, M. Hardinge, that
 Bernarde speaketh not these wordes of Intruders: This Mistre wil not serue. He
 speaketh them of very Popes in daie, of Christes Vicars, of Peters Successours, and
 of the Heades of the Church. Ioachim Abbas saide aboue thre hundred yeres
 sithence, Antichristus iam pridem natus est Romæ: & altius se extollet in Sede A-
 postolica: Antichriste is already borne in Rome: and shal auance him selfe higher in the
 Apostolique See.

1. Thess. 2.

2. Timoth. 3.

Bernardus Epif.
 125. Pag. 116.

Ioachim, Abbas,

Arnulphus, in the Council of Remes, saith thus, Quid hunc, Reuerendi Pa-
 tres, in sublimi Solio residentem, veste purpurea, & aurea radiantem, quid hunc, in-
 quam,

In Concilio Re-
 mensi, inter O-
 pera Bernardi,

quam, esse censetis? Nimirum, si Charitate destituitur, solam Scientia inflatur, & extollitur, Antichristus est in Templo Dei sedens, & sese ostendens, tanquam sit Deus: What thinke you, Reuerende Fathers, of this man (he meaneth the Pope) sittinge on highe in his Throne, glitteringe in Purple, and clothe of Golde? What thinke you him to be? Verily, if he be voide of Charitie, and be blowen up, and ananced onely with knowledge, then is he Antichriste sittinge in the Temple of God, and shewing out him selfe, as if he were God.

Auerinnus.

The Bishoppes in the Councel at Reinspurg saie thus, Hildebrandus Papa sub specie Religionis, iecit Fundamenta Antichristi: Pope Hildebrande, under a colour of holinesse (by forbiddinge Priests marriage) hath laide the Foundation for Antichriste.

Dantes in Cantione, 32.

Francis. Petrar-

cha. Epist. 10.

Concil. Lateran.

sub Iulio, &

Leone. Sess. II.

Dantes an Italian Poete, by expresse wordes calleth Rome the VVhoore of Babylon. Franciscus Petrarcha likewise saith, Rome is the VVhoore of Babylon: The Mother of Idolatrie, and Fornication: The Sanctuarie of Heresie: and the Schoole of Erroure. I knowe, these wordes will seeme obious vnto many. Therefore I will state, and spare the rest. The Pope him selfe, for that he saue to whores Person, and credite these thinges belonged, therefore in his Late Councel of Laterane gaue straitte Commaundement to al Preachers, that noman shoulde dare once to speake of the comminge of Antichriste.

In diuerse many places of the Holy Scriptures, spoken of Antichriste seemed in Olde times to be darke, and doubtful, for that, as then it appeared not, vnto what state, and gouernemente they might be applied. But nowe, by the Doctrine, and practise of the Church of Rome, to them, that haue eyes to see, they are as cleare, and as open, as the Sunne.

The Apologie, Cap. 17. Division. 1.

And what marueile if the Church were then carried a waile with erroures in that time, specially when neither the Bishop of Rome, who then onely ruled the roste, nor almoste any other, either did his duetie, or once vnderstoode, what was his duetie? For it is harde to be beleued, whiles they were idle, and faste asleepe, that the Diuel also al that while either sel asleepe, or els continually laie idle. For how they were occupied in the meane time, and with what faithfulness thei tooke care of Goddes House, though wee holde our peace, yet I praye you, let them heare Bernarde theire owne friende. The Bishoppes, (saith he) vwho now haue the charge of Goddes Church, are not Teachers, but Deceiuers: Thei are not Feeders, but Beguilers: Thei are not Prelates, but Pilates. These wordes spake Bernarde of that Bishop, who named him selfe the highest Bishop of al, and of the other Bishoppes likewise, whiche then had the place of Gouernemente: Bernarde vvas no Lutherane: Bernarde vvas no Heretique: he had not forsaken the Catholique Church: yet neuerthelesse he did not let to calle the Bishoppes that then were, Deceiuers, Beguilers, & Pilates. Nowe, when the people was openly deceiued: and Christian Menne es were craftily bleared: and Pilate saie in Iudgemente place, and condemned Christe, & Christes Members to Swerde, and fire. O good Lorde, in what case was Christes Church then? But yet tel me, of so many, and so grosse erroures, what one erreure haue these menne at any time reformed? Or, what faulte haue thei once acknowledged, and confessed?

M. Hardinge.

VVhy Sirs, are ye so wel learned, and so holy of life your selues, that ye take vpon you to iudge the Bi-

the Bishop of Rome. Christes chiefe officer in earthe, and al other menne, before the time of your Apostates, and Renegade Friers, to haue ben both impious for not dooinge theire ducie, and ignorant for not knowinge what was their ducie? Vvas al Vertue so far bannished, al necessarie knowledge and Christian learninge so cleane put out, that wee muste nowe beginne to learne howe to beleue, and howe to liue a Christian life of sutch light Preachers, wicked vowbreakers, lewde Lecherous Lurdens, and detestable Blasphemers, as your diuilshe rable is?

S. Bernardes woordes to Eugenius be these: *Age indagemus adhuc diligentius, quis sis, &c.*

VVell goe too. Let vs somewhat more diligently examine, what manner a man thou arte, what person thou bearest for the present time in the Church of God. VVho art thou? The great Priest, the highest Bishop. Thou arte the chiefe of al Bishoppes, thou art the heire of the Apostles: for Primacie thou art Abel, for Gouvernment Noe, for Patriarkship Abraham, for holy order Melchisedech, for dignitie Aaron, for Auditorie Moses, for iudgement Samuel, for power Peter, * for thy anointinge Christe. Thou arte he, to whome the Keis were deliuered; to whome the Sheepe were committed. There be also other porters of Heauen, and Pastoures of flockes. But thou so mutche sette passinge al other, as thou haste enherited bothe names mutche more indifferente. They haue their flockes assigned vnto them, eche man one. Al are committed to thee, the one whole flocke to one. Neither arte thou onely the Pastoure of al the Sheepe, but also the onely Pastoure of al the Pastours.

* The Pope
is Christe.
This is wel to
be marked.

VVherefore according to thine owne Canons, other are called into parte of care, thou into fulnes of power. The Auctoritie of others is restrained to certaine prescript boundes: thine is extended euen vpon those, who haue receiued power ouer others. Canst not thou, if there be cause why, close vp heauen gates against a Bishop, depriue him of his Bishoprike, and geue him vp to the Diuel?

Nowe heare an other reason whiche confirmeth that prerogatiue to thee, as wel as the other. The Disciples rowed, and our Lorde appeared vnto them on the shore, and that in his Body nowe againe restored vnto life, whiche was more comfortable vnto them. Peter knowinge that it was our Lorde, leapt into the sea, and so came vnto him, and the reste came by boate. VVhat meaneth this? Forsoothe it was a signe of the singulare Popedome of Peter, by whiche he tooke into his Gouvernemente, not one onely one Ship, as the other did, eche man his owne, but the whole worlde.

S. Peter lea-
peth for the
Popedome.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Apostates, Renegates, Lecherous Lurdaines, Detestable, Diuelishe rable? D, D. Hardinge, the Clessel, that helde this liquoze, was not cleane. Woe condemne not pour Clerergie, either for life, or for Learninge: but onely reposithe therein the iudgements of others, pour special friends. And therefore, if any thinge mislike you herein, the faulte is in them, and not in vs. S. Bernarde saith, Your Bishoppes in his time, were not Doctours, but Deceiuers: Not Feeders, but Defrauders: Not Prelates, but Pilates. Judge you nowe, in what case the Church of God stande then, when the Bishops, that were the Guides, and Leaders of the people, might be compared to Pilate, that gaue Sentence in Iudgemente against Christe.

Iohannes Vitalis, a Cardinal of Rome, and therefore in no wise of your parte to be refused, saith thus, De Sacerdotibus modernis dicit Hieremias, Stupor, & mirabilia facta sunt in terra. Prophetæ predicabant mendacium: & Sacerdotes applaudebant manibus, & Populus meus dilexit talia: Concerninge the Priestes, that nowe be, Hieremie saith, Horroure, and woonders are wrought vpon the Earthe. The Prophetes haue preached Lies: the Priestes haue clapte their bandes at it for ioie, and liked it wel: and my people hath loued sutch things.

Iohan. Vitalis de
Prelatis & Sa-
cerdotibus.
Hieremias.

Albertus Magnus saith, Illi, qui modò præsunt in Ecclesijs, plurimum sunt Fures, & Latrones: plus Exactores, quàm Pastores: plus Spoliatores, quàm Tutores: plus Mactatores, quàm Custodes: plus Perueriores, quàm Doctores: plus Seduciores, quàm Ductores. Isti sunt Nuntij Antichristi, Subuersores Ouium Christi: They that nowe gouerne the Church, for the moste parte, be Theeues, and Murtherers: more Cutchers, then Feeders: more Spoilers, then Defenders: more Killers, then Keepers: more Deceiuers, then Doctours: more Beguilers, then Guiders: These be the Vauntcarrers of Antichriste, the Subverters of the Sheepe of Christe.

Albertus in Io-
han. Cap. 12, EE,

VVilliam Holcote saith, Sacerdotes moderni, sunt similes Sacerdotibus Baal: sunt Angeli Apostatici: sunt similes Sacerdotibus Dagon: sunt Sacerdotes Priapi: sunt Angeli Abyssi: The Priestes of this time are like the Priestes of Baal: They are the Renegade Angels: They are like the Priestes of Dagon: They are the Priestes of Priapus: They are the Angels of Helle. S. Bernarde saith, Dicimini Pastores, cum sitis Raptores. Fratres, Iesus hodie elegit sibi multos Diabolos Episcopos. Non sunt Pastores, sed Traditores: Te are called Bishoppes, but ye are Ravenmiers. O my Brethren, Iesus at this time hath chosen vnto him many Diuels to be Bishoppes: they are not Feeders: they are Traitors. Iohannes

Guilielm. Holcote
in Li. Sapientie,
Lectio 181,

Bernard. in Con-
cilio Remensi,

Saris-

The state
of the
Churche
of Rome.

Johan. Sarisbur
rich. in Polycras
tico. li. 6. c. 24
Bernard. in Can-
tica. sermo. 66.

Matthe. 6.

Matthe. 17.

Matthe. 13.

Hilari. in Mat-
the. Canon. 8.

Bernard. De Con-
sideratione ad
Eugen. lib. 2.
Johan. 21.

Council. 10. 1.

Pagin. 10.

Matthe. 17.

Johan. 21.

Luc. 5.

Matthe. 14.

Luc. 12.

Johan. 1.

Matthe. 26.

Gregor. Homil.
17. in illa verba.
Messis quidem
mista.

The Pope
is Christe.

494

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Sarisburienſis ſaith boldly vnto Pope Adrian the fourth, Ideo mea opinione Papæ frequentius moriuntur, ne totam corrumpant Eccleſiam: Therefore in my iudgement the Popes die the oftener, leſt (if they ſhould continue long) they ſhould infect the whole church.

Againe S. Bernarde ſaith, Pudeat Succellores Apoſtolorum, Lucem non eſſe Mundi, ſed Modij: Mundi autem tenebras. Dicamus eis, Vos eſtis tenebre Mundi: Let it ſhame the Succellours of the Apoſtles, not to be the Light of the Worlde, but the Light of the Buſhel: and rather the Darkeneſſe of the Worlde. Let vs therefore ſaie vnto them, yee are the Darkeneſſe of the V Worlde.

Whoughe theſe ſpeeches we maie beholde the ſtate of the Churche of Rome. For Chriſte ſaith, If the Light is ſelfe, that is in thee, he made Darkeneſſe, howe great then wil the Darkeneſſe it ſelfe be? Howe can the Cittie be heapte in ſafette, if the Watchman be blinde, and ſee nothinge? If the Blinde leade the Blinde, bothe ſhall into the pitte. Chriſte ſaith, Dormientibus illis, creuerunt Zizania: While the Huſband-
menne were aſleepe, then the Cocke, and Darnel grewe. S. Hilarie ſaith, Eccleſie, intra quas Verbum non vigilat, naufragæ ſunt: The Churches, wherein Goddes Word: Watcheth not, ſuffer ſhipwracke, and are drowned.

But Bernarde, per ſaie, ſtoutely maintained the Supremacie. I graunte you. Neether did we euer allege him, to proue the contrarie. He defendeth alſo other greates, and groſſe errors, as liuinge in a time of deepeſt Darkeneſſe. But the rea- ſons he ſaith, ſame verie weak, ſpecially to winne ſo greates a mater. For thus he ſaith, Peter leapte into the water, and came to Chriſte:

The reſte of the Diſciples came by bote:

Ergo, The Pope hath the Iuriſdiction of al the worlde.

But other pretty Reaſons, made in the Popes behalfe, we maie finde many.

Peter Crab, that lately compiled the Bookes of Councelles, reaſoneth thus:

Peter paid the tribute monie for Chriſte, and him ſelfe:

Chriſte ſaith vnto Peter, ſiloue thou mee:

Againe he ſaith, Lanche foorth into the deepe:

Againe, Peter, arte thou aſleepe? Coudeſt thou not wache with me one houre?

And againe from henceforth thy name ſhall be Peter:

And, Peter drew his Swerde, and cut of Malchus Eare:

Ergo, ſaith he, The Pope hath Vniuerſal Authoritie ouer the whole Churche of God.

Here be Reaſons euen ſo for a Pope. He muſt needs be harde harted, that wil not yeelde to them.

Notwithſtandinge, howe ſo euer theſe Reaſons holde, Bernarde ſaith, The Popes are the Heades of the Churche. True it is. But againe the ſame Bernarde ſaith, The ſame Heades are the Miniſters of Antichriſte, Deceiuers, Defrauders, Rau-
ners, Traitors, the Darkeneſſe of the Worlde. V Voulues, Pilates, and Diuels. And this was ſufficiente for our purpoſe. S. Gregorie ſaith, Conſiderate, quid de Gre-
gibus agatur, quando Lupi ſunt Paſtores: When the V Voulfe is become the Sheepe-
hearde, conſider then, what maie become of the Flocke.

As for that S. Bernarde ſaith, The Pope is Abel: The Pope is Noe: The Pope is Abraham: The Pope is Melchizedek: The Pope is Aaron: The Pope is Moſes: The Pope is Samuel: The Pope is Peter: The Pope is Chriſte: I doubt not, but your owne Conſcience wil anſweare, it is too much. Yet of the two, that is a great deale more likely, that others haue ſaith, as I haue alleged befoze, The Pope is An-
tichriſte. For bothe Heauen, and Earthe knoweth, he is not Chriſte.

The Apologie, Cap. 18. Diuiſion. 1.

But, ſo muche as theſe menne auouche the Vniuerſal poſſeſ-
ſion of the Catholique Churche, to be their owne, and cal vs Here-
tiques, becauſe wee agree not in iudgemente with them, let vs knowe,
I beſeeche you, what proper marke, and badge hath that Churche
of theſes, whereby it maie be knowne, to be the Churche of God.

Perwis

Perwys it is not so harde a mater to finde out Goddes Church, if a manne wil seeke it earnestly, and diligently, and as he shoulde. For the Church of God is sette vpon a highe, and glisteringe place, in the toppe of an Hill, and builde vpon the Foundation of the Apostles, and Prophetes: There (saith Augustine) lette vs seeke the Church: there lette vs trie our maters. And, as he saith againe in an other place, The Church muste be shewed out of the Holy, and Canonical Scriptures: and that, vvhiche can not be shewed out of them, is not the Church. Yet for al this, I wrote not howe, whether it bee for feare, or for Conscience, or despaire of victorie, these menne alwaie abhorre, and flee the VVoorde of God, euen as the Cheefe fleeth the Gallowes. And no woonder truely. For, like as Menne saie, the Cantharus by a by perished, a dieth, as soone as it is laide in baulme, notwithstandinge, Baulme bee otherwise a moste sweete smellinginge Sintemente: euen so these menne wel see, their owne mater is damned, and destroyed in the VVoorde of God, as if it were in poison.

August. De Vni-
tate Eccle. Ca-
tholica.

Therefore the Holy Scriptures, whiche our Saueoure Iesus Christe did not onely vse for Authozitie in al his speache, but did also at laste seale vp the same with his owne Bloude, these menne to the entente they mighte with lesse businesse driue the people from the same, as from a thinge dangerous, and deadly, haue vsed to calle them a bare Letter, vncertaine, vnprofitable, Doumbe, Killinge, & Deade: whiche seemeth to vs al one, as if they shoulde saie, The Scriptures are to no purpose, or as good as none at al. Hereunto they adde a Similitude not very agreeable, howe the Scriptures be like to a Nose of VVaxe, or a Shipmans Hole: howe they maie be fashioned, and plied al maner of waies, and serue al mennes turnes.

Albertus Pighi-
us in Controuer.
De Ecclesia.

M. Hardinge.

VVhere yee saie, the Church is builded vpon the Fundation of the Apostles and Prophetes, and shewed by the Holy Canonical Scriptures: wee confesse the same with S. Augustine. VVhen yee adde, the Church, whiche can not be shewed out of the Scriptures, to be no Church, wee saie, that thoughe (a) S. Augustine haue no sute woordes in the Chapters, whiche ye allege in the Margente, yet were it neuer so mutche graunted, that he had those woordes in that place, they shoulde make nothinge for your purpose. S. Augustine in that Booke disputeth againste the Donatistes, who woulde restraine the Catholique Church to the onely Countrie of Aphrike, denieinge other Christen menne to be members thereof. S. Augustine refutinge their Hereisie, declareth the Sonne of God to be Heade, and al the true Faithful to be the Body of the Church: and that it is not sufficiente to holde with the Heade alone, or with the Body alone: but wee muste holde with bothe together, if wee wil be saued.

(a) Vntruth. For S. Augustine in the same places and elsewhere, oftentimes saith the same.

(b) Vntruth. For S. Augustines woordes are general.

(c) Here M. Har. secretly con- fesseth, that his Church of

Rome cannot be shewed by the Scriptures.

(d) Vntruth. For S. Chrysostome saith:

Nunc nullo modo cognoscitur, quae sit vera Ecclesia, nisi tantummodo per Scripturas.

The Donatistes did graunte the Heade Iesus Christe, and denied his Body the Church. For this cause saith S. Augustine, *Ipsum Caput de quo consentimus, ostendat nobis Corpus suum, de quo dissentimus*: Lette the Heade, vpon whome wee agree, shewe vnto vs his Body, whereupon wee disagree. The Heade is Christe, who spake firste by his Prophetes, afterwarde by him selfe, and laste of al by his Apostles. In his igitur omnibus querenda est Ecclesia. In al these (saith he) the Church muste be sought.

If thou marke good Reader, that, whiche I haue here rehearsed out of S. Augustine, it is to be seene, that he bindeth not the proufe of the Church simply to the Holy Scriptures, (b) but onely in a case, when he hath to doo with an Heretique, who wil not admitte the auctoritie of the Church. Therefore (c) a true Church maie be founde, whiche is not shewed in the Scriptures, so the contrarie thereof be not shewed in the Scriptures. Nowe if these Defenders wil haue that to be no Church, which can not be shewed out of Scriptures, they shal vnderstande, that as therein they plaie the Donatistes, so wee muste needes folowe S. Augustine in bringinge Scriptures againste them, not as the (d) onely proufe in deede (whiche they falsly saie) but as a very good and chiefe

Tt

kinde

(e) In the V-
nitie of the
B. of Rome.

(f) Vntrush ma-
nifeste. For M.
Har. knoweth
that in the
greatest cases,
that lie in ques-
tion, he can al-
lege neither
Scripture, nor
Doctoure.

August. De Vni-
tate Eccle. Ca. 16

August. Contra
Cresconium
Cra. li. i. ca. 33.

August. De Vni-
tate Eccle. Cap. 2

August. De Vni-
tate Eccle. Ca. 3.

In eod. Capite.

Chrysost. in ope-
re Imperfe. Ho-
mil. 49.
In eadem Hom.

Ambros. Hexa-
meron. li. 4. ca. 8
Chrysost. in 100
han. Homil. 38.

kinde of proufe, moſte profitable in al caſes, and neceſſarie at ſuche time, as the aduerſarie wil ad-
mitte none other proufe, &c.

VVherefore it remaineth, that it is the Synagoge of Antichriſte, and Lucifer. VVho as he fel
out of Heaven like a lightninge, ſo he maketh a blaſe and ſhewe of a Church in the Earthe for a
time. But as wee can tel when it was not borne, ſo ſhal it not be longe, but that throughe Goddes
power it wil vaniſhe awaie diſperſed, and be ſcattered by the lightome Maieſtie of Chriſtes true
Church, whiche from S. Peters time to this daie flouriſheth in her Heade the Biſhop of Rome, and
in her Members throughout the worlde, (e) whiche abide in the Vnitie of the ſame Biſhop.

VVee eſteeme and vnderſtande the Scriptures to be the ſenſe and the woordes. If they can
pretende the bare woordes, they thinke them ſelues good inoughe to make a ſenſe of their owne.
VVhiche bare woordes, as they miſtake, Pighius perhappes compareth to a noſe of waxe. But the
Scriptures he neuer meante to diſhonour with that Similitude. If it were lawfull for vs to vſe Scri-
pture after our owne interpretation, as they doo, wee ſhoulde not lacke ſufficient mater in the Holy
Bookes to ouerthrowe by our owne applyinge al their falſe opinions and Heresies. (f) But wee
are bounde to that religious awe, and reuerence of them, that, excepte wee haue an Authoure to a-
uouche the ſenſe, whereof wee take holde, wee dare bringe ſoorthe nothinge. And yet reade oure
Bookes who wil, he ſhal lacke neither Scriptures in them, nor witnelles of our interpretation
in any controuerſie of this age. Lette it be agreed, that for decilion of controuerſies, ſuche ſenſe of
the Scriptures be taken for Scripture, which the Holy Ghoſte hath taught the Church, and then let
the worlde iudge, who ſlieth the woordes of God, as the Theefe doothe the Gallows.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

Contrarie to that, we haue here alleged of S. Auguſtine, ye ſaie, A true Church
maie be founde, whiche is not ſhewed in the Scriptures. VVhereby it appeareth, ye are lothe,
your Church ſhoulde come to the trial of this ſtanderde. But, for as mutche
as this quarrel groweth of S. Auguſtine, let S. Auguſtine him ſelfe be the Judge. And
to allege a ſewer wordes in ſtede of many, thus he ſaith, Vtrum ipſi Eccleſiam tene-
ant, Diuinarum Scripturarum Canonicis Libris oſtendant. Eccleſiam Chriſti, ſicut
ipſum Caput Chriſtum, in Scripturis Sanctis Canonicis debemus agnoſcere: Whether
they haue the Church, or no, let them ſhewe by the Canonical Bookes of the Holy Scriptures. Wee
muſte knowe the Church of Chriſt, euen as wee likewiſe knowe Chriſte, whiche is the Heade of
the Church, in the Holy Canonical Scriptures. Againe he ſaith, Eccleſiam ſine vlla am-
biguitate Sancta Scriptura demonſtrat: The Holy Scripture ſheweth the Church without
any doubtfullneſſe. Againe, Quæſtio eſt, vbi ſit Eccleſia. Quid ergo facturi ſumus? V-
trum in verbis noſtris eam quæſituri, an in Verbis Capitis ſui, Domini noſtri Ieſu
Chriſti? Puto, quod in illius potius Verbis eam quærere debemus, qui Veritas eſt, &
optimè nouit Corpus ſuum: The queſtion, or doubt is, where the Church ſhoulde be.
What then ſhal wee doo? Whether ſhal wee ſeek the Church in our owne Woordes, or in the
Woordes of her Heade? Whiche is our Lord Ieſus Chriſte? In my iudgemente wee oughte ra-
ther to ſeek the Church in his Woordes: for that he is the Truth, and beſte knoweth his owne
Body.

Againe, Non audiamus, Hæc dico, Hæc dicis: Sed audiamus, Hæc dicit Dominus.
Ibi quæramus Eccleſiam: Ibi diſcutiamus cauſam noſtram: Lette vs not heare theſe
Woordes, This ſaie I, This ſaie thou: But theſe Woordes let vs heare: Thus ſaith the Lord:
there let vs ſeek the Church: there let vs diſcuſſe our cauſe. And againe, Nolo Huma-
nis Documentis, ſed Diuinis Oraculis Sanctam Eccleſiam demonſtrari: I wil not haue
the Holy Church to be ſhewed by Mannes Iudgement, but by Goddes Woordes.

Likewiſe ſaith S. Chryſoſtome, Nunc nullo modo, cognoscitur, quæ ſit vera
Eccleſia Chriſti, niſi Tantummodo per Scripturas: Nowe can noman knowe, whiche is
the true Church of Chriſte, but Onely by the Scriptures. Againe he ſaith, in like ſourme
of wordes, Volens ergo quis cognoscere, quæ ſit vera Eccleſia Chriſti, vnde cogno-
ſcat in tanta confuſione ſimilitudinis, niſi Tantummodo per Scripturas? If a man be
deſirous to knowe, whiche is the true Church of Chriſte, howe can he knowe it in ſuche a con-
fuſion of likenefſe, but Onely by the Scriptures? Theſe wordes be ſo euident, & ſo plaine,
that noman with modeſtie maie wel denie them.

And whereas you ſaie, Al this notwithstandinge, A true Church maie be founde,
which is not ſhewed in the Scriptures. S. Ambroſe ſaith, Eccleſia fulget, non ſuo, ſed Chri-
ſti Lumine: The Church ſhineth (or is knowen) not by her owne Light, but by the Light of
Chriſt, whiche is, by the VVoorde of God.

And Chryſoſtome ſaith, Qui Sacra non vtitur Scriptura, ſed aſcendit aliunde,
id eſt,

id est, non concessa via, hic Fur est, & Latro: Who sa vseth not the Scripture, but geateth
up an other waie, that is, by a waie, that is not lawfull, he is a Thiefe, and a Murtherer. **Q**
gaine he saith, Hierusalem hic semper Ecclesiam intellige, quæ dicitur Civitas Pa-
cis: cuius Fundamenta posita sunt super Montes Scripturarum: Here by Hierusalem,
euermore vnderstande thou the Church, whiche is called the Cittie of Peace: The Funda-
mentis Whereof are laide vpon the Mountaines of the Scriptures.

Pe magnifie your Church of Rome, and saie, It shineth on high vpon the Mounte.
Pet S. Bernarde saith to the Pope, and his Clergie, as it is alleged before, Vos estis
Tenebræ Mundi: Yee are the Darknesse of the world. Therefore yee maie not wel
baunte your selues so mutche of the brightnesse of your Beames. As for that, yea
cal our Church the Synagoge of Lucifer, and Antichriste, wee maie wel suffer it to
blothe ouer, as the vaine vnsauery smoke of somme impatient Cholerique humour.
Our cause is not the worse, **W**. Hardinge, in the Iudgemente of the wise, for that
you haue learned so readily to speake it.

But what Louanian Vanitie is this, to saie, The Members of the Church of
Christe abide in the Vnitie of the Pope? What Scripture, or Doctoure, or Father
euer told you of sutch Vnitie? S. Paule saith, Wee are al one, (not in the Pope, but) in
Christe Iesu. And what so greate Vnitie, can you saie, there is, or bathe benne in
your Popes? Platyna saith, Post Stephanum semper hæc consuetudo seruata est, vt
acta Priorum Pontificum sequentes aut infringere, aut omnino tollerent: It bathe
benne an ordinarie custome amonge the Popes euer sithence the time of Pope Steuin, that the
Popes, that folowed afterwarde, woulde euermore either breake, or abolishe the actes of the
Popes, that had benne before them. Erasmus saith, Iohannes. 22. & Nicolaus totis De-
cretis inter se pugnant, id est in his, quæ videntur ad Fidei negotium pertinere: Pope
Iohn. 22. and Pope Nicolas in their whole Decrees are contrarie the one againste the other:
yea, and that in matters, that seeme to belonge to cases of the Faith.

To be short, the Popes, haue souly corrupted the Scriptures: they haue corrup-
ted the Decrees, and Canons of Councelles: they haue bene Sorcerers: Idolaters: Scri-
bes, and Phariseis: They haue bene Arian Heretiques: Nestorian Heretiques: Mo-
nothelite Heretiques: Montaniste Heretiques: they haue mainteined damnable He-
resies againste the Godhed of Christe: againste the Person of Christe: againste the
VVil of Christe: and againste the Immortalitie of the Soule: they haue bene contra-
rie to them selues, one directly, and expressely againste an other: Yet muste the Pope
beare vp the whole Church of God, euen as Atlas beareth vp the Heauens: and
onlesse al the worlde abide in him, is there no Vnitie in the Church?

So saith Hosius, Vnum præesse toti Ecclesie, vsque adeo est necessarium, vt
absque hoc Ecclesia vna esse non possit: It is so necessarie a thinge, that one haue the Go-
uernement of the whole, that otherwise the Church of God cannot be One.

Like wise it is noted in the Popes owne Gloses vpon his Decretalles, Constat
Ecclesiam idcirco esse Vnam, quia in Vniuersali Ecclesia Vnum est Caput Supremum,
scilicet, Papa: It is plaine, that the Church is One, for that in the Vniuersal Church there
is One Supreme Heade, that is, the Pope. An other of your Doctoures doubteth not to
stepp vnto a little farther, and thus to expounde the wordes of Christe, Fiet Vnum
Ouille, & Vnus Pastor: Quod quidem de Christo intelligi non potest, sed de aliquo a-
lio Ministro, qui præsit loco eius: There shalbe One Fold, and One Sheepeheard. These
wordes wee maie not vnderstande of Christe, but of somme other Minister, that maie
rule in his roome. By whiche Doctoures Catholique Iudgemente, wee finde, that the
Vnitie of the Church hangeth not of Christe, but of the Pope.

But these be ouer baine, and grosse Vanities. For though the Pope were no
Pope, yea though Antichriste were the Pope, yet is Christe hable to holde his
Church in perfit Vnitie. S. Paule saith, Christus est Caput, ex quo totum Cor-
pus coagmentatur, & conuestitur: Christe is the Heade, of whom the whole Body (of the
Church) is framed saith, and ioined together. Therefore S. Chrysostome saith,
Ex hoc Capite Corpus habet, & vt sit, & vt bene sit. Quid relicto Capite Membris
adhaeret? Of this Heade (that is Christe) the Body hathe bothe to be, and also wel to bee.

What clearest thou to the Members, and leaneest the Heade?

This is the Vnitie of the Church, that the whole Flocke maie heare the voice of that One Sheepehearde, and folowe him. And that One Sheepehearde is Christe the Sonne of God, and not the Pope. Therefore S Auguſtine ſaith, Per hanc Po- testatem, quam ſolum ſibi Chriſtus retinuit, ſtat Vnitas Eccleſiæ, de qua dictum eſt, Vna eſt Columba mea: By this Power, whiche Chriſte (he ſaith not, hath geuen o- uer to the Pope, but) hath reſerued onely to him ſelfe, ſta. leſt the Vnitie of the Church: of whiche Vnitie, it is ſaide, My Doue is One.

Pe ſaie, there appeareth in your Bookes ſufficiente abundance of Scriptures, touchinge any controuerſie of this age, and that accordinge to the very ſenſe, and meaninge of the ſame, together with the conſente, and Iudgemente of the Holy Fathers. But, for vs (pe ſaie) wee builde onely vpon the bare woordes, and make a meaninge of our owne.

In dede it is no greate Paſſerrie ſo; pou, to arme your ſelfe with ſome ſheue of Scriptures. The Diuel was not altogether bolde of ſuche furniture, when he came to tempte Chriſte. S. Cyril ſaith, Omnes Hæretici de Scriptura diuinitus in- ſpirata ſui colligunt erroris occaſiones: Al Heretiques out of the Heauenly inſpired Scri- ptures geather occaſion of their erreure. Athanaſius ſaith, Hæretici Scripturarum ver- bis proſca vtuntur: Heretiques uſe the woordes of the Scriptures for a baite.

Tertullian ſaith, Fidem ex his impugnat, ex quibus conſtat: An Heretique af- ſaulteth the Faith by the ſame woordes of God, that breede the Faith.

But ſo; as mutche as pe ſaie, pe beare ſuche awe, and reuerence vnto the VVoorde of God, and in the interpretation thereof, folowe onely the ſenſe of the Holy Ghoſte, and the Iudgemente of the Doctours, and Fathers of the Church, ſo; ſome trial of pour truthe herein, let vs ſee, howe diſcretely, and reuerently pe haue vſed the ſame.

In pour Late Council holden at Laterane in Rome, one Simon Begnius, the Biſhop of Modruſia, ſaith thus vnto Pope Leo, Ecce venit Leo de Tribu Iuda, Ra- dix David, &c. Te Leo Beatiffime Saluatorem expectauimus, &c. Beholde the Lion is comme of the Tribe of Iuda, the Roote of David, &c. O moſte Bleſſed Leo, wee haue looked for thee, to be our Sauceur. In pour Late Chapter at Tridende, Cornelius the Biſhop of Bitonto ſaith thus: Papa Lux venit in Mundum: & dilexerunt homines tenebras magis quam Lucem. Omnis, qui malè agit, odit Lucem, & non venit ad Lucem: The Pope beeing the Light, is comme into the Worlde: and menne haue loued the Darkeneſſe more then the Lighte. Every man, that doothe euil, hateth (the Pope, that is) the Light, and cometh not to the Lighte.

Pope Adrian ſaith, Papa non iudicabitur à quoquam: Quia ſcriptum eſt, Non eſt Diſcipulus ſupra Magiſtrum: No man ſhal iudge the Pope: for it is written, the Scho- lare is not aboue his Maſter.

The Pope ſuffereth the Embaſſadours of Sicilia to lie proſtrate on the ground, and thus to crie vnto him, as if it had benne vnto Chriſte, Qui tollis Peccata Mun- di, miſerere noſtri: Qui tollis Peccata Mundi, dona nobis Pacem: O thou (Holy Fa- ther) that takeſt awaie the Sinnes of the Worlde, haue mercy vpon vs: thou, that takeſt awaie the Sinnes of the Worlde, geue vs Peace.

Pope Sixtus ſaith, Ego ſo accuſeth the Pope, can neuer be forgiven. And his reaſon is this, Quia qui peccat in Spiritum Sanctum, non remittetur ei, neque in hac vita, neque in futura: He, that ſinneth againſte the Holy Ghoſte, ſhal neuer be for- given, neither in this Worlde, nor in the Worlde to come. And by theſe woordes of the Scriptures, ſo wel applid, he concludeth, that noman maie accuſe the Pope. And is the Pope in dede, The Lion of the Tribe of Iuda? Is the Pope the Roote of Da- uid? Is the Pope the Lighte? Is the Pope the Sauceur of Mankinde? Is the Pope that Lambe of God, that taketh awaie the Sinnes of the VVorlde? Was this the ve- ry ſenſe of the Holy Ghoſte? Was this the meaninge of the Scriptures? And to leaue a heape of other examples (for they are infinite), pou your ſelfe, M. Harding, haue often vſed the Scriptures in like ſorte.

Thus pe ſaie, The Sonne of Man came not to deſtroie (the Soules of menne) but to ſaue:

Augu. in iohan.
Tracta. 6.

Matthe 4.
In Con. Chalce-
don. Actone 1.
Athana. Contra
Arianos. Orati-
one 1.
Tertullian De
Baptiſmo.

Concil. Lateran.
ſeſſion 6. pa. 601
Concil. Trident.
Cornelius Biſhop
of Bitonto.

Concil. 20. 2. Des
creta collecta
per Adrianum.
Pag. 613.
Paulus Amyllus
Lib. 7.

Concil. tomo. 1.
In purgatione
Sexiti.

M. Har. fo 99. A

Que. Ergo, The Substance of Breade in the Sacramente is not annihilate, or consumed to nothinge.

And this, ye saie, was the sense and meaninge of the Holy Ghoste. This is the Judge-
mente of al the Doctours, and Holy Fathers. But the religious awe, and reverence
ye beare towarde the VVoorde of God. Yet saith your Doctore Hosius, *The*
Scripture, as it is alleged by vs, is the Woordes of the Diuel: but as it is alleged, and handled by
you, so enely it is the Woordes of God. If ye had not utterly wypte al shame from your
faces, ye woulde neither make sutch mockeries of Goddes Holy Word, nor so
lewdely abuse the people of God.

*Hosius Contra
Brenzium, Lib. 4.
Fol. 178. a.*

The Apologie, Cap. 19. & 20. Division. 1.

Noteth not the Bishop of Rome, that these thinges are spoken
by his owne Minions: or vnderstandeth he not, he hath sutch Cham-
pions to fighte for him? Let him herken then howe Holily, and howe
Godly one Hosius writeth of this matter, a Bishop in Polonia, as he
testifieth of him selfe: a man doubtlesse wel spoken, and not vnlearned,
and a very Warre, and a stoute maintainer of that side. Thou wilt mar-
uile, I suppose, howe any good man coulde either conceiue so wicked-
ly, or write so Despitely of those wordes, whiche he knewe, proce-
ded from Goddes mouth, and specially in sutch sorte, as he woulde
not haue it seeme his owne priuate opinion alone, but the common opi-
nion of al that bande. Hee dissembleth, I graunt you in deede, and hi-
deth, what he is, and setteth foorth the mater so, as though it were
not he, and his side, but the Zuenkfeldian Heretiques, that so did
speake. VVc (saith he) vvil bidde avvaie vvith the same Scriptures,
vvhereof vvee see brought, not onely diuerse, but also contrarie in-
terpretations: and vvee vvil heare God speake, rather then vve vvil
resorte to the naked Elementes, or bare vvordes of the Scriptures,
and appointe our Salvation to reaste in them. It behoueth not a man
to be experte in the Lavve, and Scripture, but to be taught of God.
It is but lost labour, that a man bestovveth in the Scriptures. For the
Scripture is a Creature, and a certaine bare Letter: This is Hosius
saieinge, vttered altogether with the same Sprite, & the same minde,
wherewith in times past the Heretiques Montanus, and Marcion
were mooued, who, as it is written of them, vled to saie, when with
contempte they reiected the Holy Scriptures, that them selues knew
many moe, and better thinges, then either Christe, or the Apostles
euer knewe.

*Hosius de Ex-
presso verbo
Dei.*

What then shal I saie here, O ye principal postes of Religion, O
ye Archegouernours of Christs Church: is this that your reuerence
whiche yee geue to Goddes word? The Holy Scriptures whiche
S. Paule saith, came by the inspiration of God, whiche God did com-
mende by so many Miracles, wherein are the moste perfite printes of
Christs owne steppes, whiche al the Holy Fathers, Apostles, and An-
gels, which Christe him selfe the Sonne of God, as often as was nede-
ful, did allege for testimonie and proufe: wil yee, as though they were
vntooythy for you to heare, bidde them Auaunte? That is, wil yee
enioine God to keepe silence, who speaketh to you moste clearly by his
owne mouth in the Scriptures? Or, that VVoorde, whereby alone

Et iii

as

as Paule saith, we are reconciled to God, and whiche the Prophete David saith, is Holy, and Pure, and shal laste for euer, wil ye cal that but a bare, and deade Letter? Or wil ye saie, that al our labour is losse, whiche is bestowed in that thinge, whiche Christe hath commaunded vs diligently to search, and to haue euer more before our eyes? And wil ye saie, that Christe, and the Apostles meant with subtiltie to deceiue the people, when they exhorted them to reade the Holy Scriptures, that thereby they might flowe in al wisdom, & knowledge? No maruile at al, though these men despise vs, and al our dooings, seeing they set so litle by God him selfe, and his infallible sayings. Yet was it but want of witte in them, to the intente they might hurte vs to doo so extreme iniurie to the V Voorde of God.

But Hosius wil here make exclamation, and saie, that wee doo him wronge, and that these be not his owne woordes, but the woordes of the Heretique Zvenkfeldius. But howe then, if Zvenkfeldius make exclamation on the other side, and saie, that the same very woordes be not his, but Hosius owne woordes? For telle me, where hath Zvenkfeldius euer witten them? Or, if he haue witten them, and Hosius haue iudged the same to be wicked, why hath not Hosius spoken so mutche as one worde, to confute them? Howe so euer the mater goe, althoughe Hosius peraduenture wil not allowe of those woordes, yet he doothe not disallowe the meaninge of the woordes. For welneare in al Controuersies, and namely touchinge the vse of the Holy Communion vnder Both Kindes, althoughe the woordes of Christe be plaine, and euident, yet doothe Hosius disdainefully reiecte them, as no better, then Colde, and Deade Elementes: and commaundeth vs to geue faith to certaine Newe Lessons, appointed by his Church, and to, I wote not what, Reuelations of the Holy Ghoste. And Pighius saith, Men oughte not to beleue, no not the moste cleare, and manifeste vwoordes of the Scriptures, onlesse the same be allowed for good by the Interpretation, and Authoritie of the Church: whereby he meaneth the Church of Rome.

M. Hardinge.

Howe ignorantly, wickedly, and stubbornly the Authour of this Apologie hardeneth the reuerente Father in God, and Honourable Prelate Cardinal Hosius with that he neuer saide, it is not yknouen to al menne, who haue readen that Booke, whiche he wrote, *De expresse Verbo Dei*, of the expresse V Voorde of God. Here I aske so mutche pardon, as to deteete an heretical touche or twoo, before I make directe answere to the soule slanderinge of Hosius.

First I note, with what fidelitie these newe Holy brethren do their thinges. It maie be thought that the Secretarie of this new Clergie at his penninge of the Apologie, sawe not Hosius Booke, of the expresse woordes of God. But as they haue ben conuersant in S. Augustine, Hierome, Chrysostome, and the Auncient Fathers, so vse they Hosius at this time, that is to saie, they reade neither the Olde Fathers with any diligence, neither the writers, of our time. But by snappes and pieces either them selues write out here and there a line or twoo, or vse that, which some of their owne secte hath taken out of them. So that for moste parte they neuer knowe the true meaninge of the place, whiche they alleage. But vsinge patched note booke, and bringinge in scattered Authorities, they bee deceiued them selues, and deceiue others. And he that tooke the note, knew wel they were not the woordes of Hosius, and did but onely put the name of Hosius vnto them, because they were taken out of his Booke. The writer of this Apologie, not knowinge, nor not remembreinge so mutche when he found in the note booke the name of Hosius with suche woordes, he did rashly put them in printe,

to his

to his owne great shame, and discredit. If this excuse be not true, wee must needs laie maruelous malice to the laide writer, who wittingly and of set purpose did impute the woordes to Hosius, which he reported by waie of mistakinge of them, and shewinge whose Heresie they contained.

Nowe let the Defenders chose, whether they wil haue their Secretarie condemned of ignorance, or of malice. How so euer it be, marke yet the thirde pointe, which hereof wee wil gather. The Apologie was skante Printed and Published, but that grosse erreure was out of hande espied, and woorde thereof brought to the Authoure, I meane him, that penned it. But what did he? Did he confesse, that he was deceiued? Did he crie Hosius mercie? No, no. That is not the woonte of Heretikes. They wil goe forward with the mater once begonne, what so euer come of it. VVhat did he then? VVhen it shoulde be set foorth in Englishe, and woorde came to him thereof, he made an excuse, I warraunte you meete for an Heretike, whose propertie it is *Proficere in peius*, as S. Paule saithe, to proceede to worse and woorse, to take his degree backward, and of a greate faulte to make a farre greater. For whereas before (as Charitie mouth me to thinke) he had made an erreur, supposinge Hosius to haue saide that which he had not, afterwarde by stubborne mainteininge of it, he sheweth what Spirite he is of. And when he might reasonably haue excused his ignorance, chose rather spitefully to discouer his malice: As it shal manifestly appeare by the circumstance of the thinge. He laieth to Hosius charge, and in his person to al our charges, that wee doo not esteeme the Holy Scriptures. He proueth it by certaine woordes alleged out of a treatise made by Hosius *De Expresso Verbo Dei*. The woordes are here put in the Apologie, as the Reader maie see. The true argumente of Hosius booke is no other then to shewe, that al Heretikes haue alleged the woordes of God, as they be written: But none of them al haue taken the right vnderstandinge of Goddes woordes, as they doo in deede signifie. For that onely the Catholike Church attineth vnto, because onely it hath the Holy Ghost. Al Heretikes haue brought for their opinions the written VVoorde of God so longe, vntill at the laste (saith Hosius) there were founde, who by the woordes of the Scriptures tooke vpon them to take awaie al Authoritie from Scriptures. *Natum est (saith he) nouum quoddam Prophetarum genus, qui non sunt veris Scripturarum auctoritate Scripturis auctoritatem omnem detraxere. En quo perduxit rem tandem Satanas?* A certaine newe kinde of Prophetes is risen, who sticke not by the Authoritie of Scriptures to take awaie al auctoritie from Scriptures. See whether at the length the Deuil hath brought the mater.

Nowe afterwarde expoundinge this mater more at large, he declareth the Capitaine of that Heresie to haue benne Zwenkfeldius. He sheweth that by a Texte of Scripture, where Dauid saithe, I wil heare what our Lorde speaketh in me: Zwenkfeldius wente aboute to wil menne to heare what God telleth euery man by inspiration rather then to geue attendance to the written woorde of God. And whiles Hosius reporteth, what Zwenkfeldius saide for the maintenance of his fonde Heresie, as amonge other his woordes these are, which he brought in this Apologie against Hosius, and against the Catholikes: whereas it is neither Hosius, nor any Catholike that speaketh them, but onely Zwenkfeldius him selfe.

Hitherto wee haue shewed that the woordes alleged in the Apologie vnder the name of Hosius, make neither againste him, nor againste vs, as not beinge his woordes, nor ours, but onely the woordes of Zwenkfeldius. VVell, what credite maie wee geue to this man in expoundinge the VVoorde of God, (whose true meaninge he maie falsifie at his pleasure, because wee can not bringe forth God him selfe to declare his woordes) seeinge he dareth to burthen Hosius with these woordes, which Hosius him selfe beinge yet aliue can declare to haue an other meaninge, as the Booke it selfe doothe witnesse to al that list to reade the same. This was a greate faulte, to impute so horrible an Heresie to Hosius vniustly. This was a greate ignorance, to charge him with that Heresie, which he refuteth and impugneth. They are great crimes, and yet suche, as might rise of misreporde and ignorance. But when he was tolde of them, he shoulde of reason haue corrected them. He should haue repented with Peter, and not despaired with Cain and Iudas.

But what did he, when he vnderstoode he had erred? He addeth a Glose farre more malicious then the former erreur was. For graunting that Hosius setteth out the mater so, as though neither he, nor any of his side, but the Heretikes Zwenkfeldians spake so, this notwithstandinge he burtheneth bothe him and the Catholikes with it, sayinge, that he dissembleth, and hideth what he is. Sir, was this the waie to amende your faulte, to graunte that Hosius spake against the Zwenkfeldians, and yet to heare menne in hande, he fauoured them? If he had fauoured their Heresie, what needed he to re-
fute it? But how saie you, that Hosius dissembleth and hideth what he is, sith that euen here in twoo places in moste plaine woordes, you laie the Zwenkfeldian Heresie to his charge? Reade your owne Booke. Before the allegation of Zwenkfeldius Heresie, saie you not thus? VVe, saith he, wil bid away, &c. And after the allegation, haue you not these woordes, This is Hosius sayinge? Howe standeth al this together? You haue forgotten the Prouerbe, that biddeth a lier to be mindeful. I cannot tel how to name this kinde of your dealinge, lyinge, or detraction, slaunderinge, or malicious speakinge. But Sir, if Hosius haue spoken euil, why geue you not witnesse against him of euil? If he haue spoken wel, euen by your owne confession in repuntinge the Zwenkfeldians for Heretikes, why finde you faulte with him for his good woordes, such I saie, as your selfe confesse to be good? You reprocue him, who speaketh not against Zwenkfeldius: and againe you graunt he speaketh against him: and yet because you had once reprocued him, you wil continewe in it without reason, learninge, or witte. But it must needs so be: for without pertinacie no man is either a perfite Heretike, or a perfite slaunderer.

If yet you stande in Defence of it, al the worlde wil accompte you for a desperate person. For

no man that euer sawe Hosius workes, can thinke that he was guilty of that you burthen him with. But some man might thinke you were deceiued, and mistooke Hosius. But lithe you graunte, you doo not nowe mistake him, and yet charge him with auouchinge that, whiche he holdeth for Here-
sie: he that vnderstandeth thus muche of you, maie assure him selfe, that you are disposed to belie and
flaunders Hosius, though it coste you the damnation of your Soule. For shame man, repente, and re-
uoke, that for whiche your owne conscience stinseth not to barke at you.

But Hosius (say they) peraduenture wil not allowe the woordes of Zwenkfeldius: yet he doothe
not disallowe the meaninge of the woordes: VVell and clerly reasoned. As though woordes were
allowed or disallowed for any other so principal a cause, as for their meaninge. And therefore he
that disalloweth woordes, hath muche more disallowed the meaninge of them. Yet, (saie they) of
the Holy communion vnder Bothe Kindes he reiecteth the plaine woordes of Christe, as Deade and
colde Elementes. Verily a man mighte thinke this Booke was set forth by somme enimie of our
newe Englishe Clergie, it is so muche to their defacinge, had them selues at diuerse times acknow-
leged it for a whelpe of their owne littoure. A man for his life can not finde one lease in it without
many Lies.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I cannot greatly blame you, M. Hardinge, though you shewe your selfe hote,
and vehement in defense of Hosius. For of him you, and somme of your Fellowes
maie saie, as Carneades saide sommetyme of Chrysippus, Nisi Chrysippus fuisset,
ego non essem: If Chrysippus had not benne, then had I neuer benne. For had not Ho-
sius benne good vnto you, and spared you parte of his furniture, sutch as it was,
your Diuinitie had benne full bare.

Yet saie, VVee reade neither the Olde VVriters, nor the Newe, but are bitterly ignorant,
and void of al learninge: and, in respecte of the Brames of your knowledge, knowe
nothinge. It were a very ambitious, and a chollishe vanitie, to make vauntes of
Learninge. For as muche as we seme desirous of the same of greates Readinge,
we shal haue the whole praise, and glorie of it, M. Hardinge, without contention.
Wee wil rather saie with S. Paule, Wee knowe nothinge, but onely Iesus Christe Crucified
vpon his Crosse. Yet notwithstandinge we are neither so ignorant, nor so idle, but
that we are hable, and haue leasure to reade, as wel the Olde Doctoures, and the
Fathers of the Church, as also your light vnciuile Pamflettes, and blotted Papers:
whiche, God wote, in al respects are very Newe. And for either of them, we are
muche ashamed in your behalfe: For the Olde Doctours, and Fathers, to see them of
your parte, either of wilfulnesse, or of forgetfulnessse, or of ignorance, so sowlly
misused: of your owne Papers, and Nouelties, to see them with vnturthe, and other
vncourteous speache so fully freighted.

But, touchinge Hosius, we condemne vs bitterly, either of ignorance, or of ma-
lice. For the woordes, wherein we finde sutch faulte, were vttered by him, as you
saie, not as any parte of his owne Iudgement, but onely as in the person of the He-
retique Zwenkfeldius. Therefore, we saie, VVe charge him wrongefully with the allowinge
of that thinge, whiche he expressely, and plainly reprobeth.

Firste of al, the Authoure, of the Apologie protesteth, that, if there were any
ouersight herein it proceeded onely of erreure, and not of malice: as it maie wel
appeare, in that he was not deceiued alone. For if he were deceiued in mistakinge
thesse woordes, diuerse others, the beste Learned of this age, haue benne deceiued
therein as wel, as he. Nicolaus Gallus hereof writeth thus, Hosius Cardinalis
Legatus Sanctissimi, & p[re]sidentis Concilij Tridentini, in suo Libro de Expresso
Verbo Dei, ait, Nos vero Dei de Caelo sententiam potius expectabimus &c. Non
oportet Legis, aut Scripturæ esse peritum, sed à Deo doctum. Vanus est labor, qui
Scripturis impenditur, Scriptura enim Creatura est, & egenum quoddam Elemen-
tum: Cardinal Hosius, Legate vnto the moste Holy Father, and Presidente of the Coun-
cel of Trident, in his Booke, De Expresso Verbo Dei, saith thus: Wee wil rather waite for
Goddes wil from Heauen, &c. It behooueth not a man to be skilful in the Lawe of God, or in
the Scriptures, but to be taught of God. It is but losse Laboure, that is spent in the Scriptures.
For the Scripture is a Creature, and a poore kinde of outwards Elementes. Thus Nicolaus
Gallus burtheneth your owne Catholique friende Hosius with thesse woordes, and
not the Heretique Zwenkfeldius.

Likewise saith Flacius Illyricus, speakinge of the same woordes of Hosius,
Vnum

2 Cor. 2.

Nicolaus
Gallus.

Vnum locum ex plurimis proferam, in quo Hosius alterius cuiusdam sententiam de hac re citans, comprobat: Nos Dei de Cælo Sententiam potius expectabimus &c. vānus est labor, qui Scripturis impenditur: *One place I wil allegé out of many, where Hosius bringinge in the saieinge of one certaine man (meantinge Zukenfeldius) alloweth wel of the same.* These wordes, saith the Illyricus, Hosius vttereth in the name, and person of Zukenfeldius: yet neuerthelesse he alloweth them, as his owne.

To like purpose writeth Iacobus Andreæ: who, after he had reported al the foresaide wordes, in the ende concludeth thus: Hætenus Hosius: Quæ verba siue Hosius suo, siue aliorum nomine recitet, certè hæc esse Afoti, & Hosij de Sacra Scriptura sententiam, non est obscurum: *Thus saith Hosius ab hisse wordes, how so euer Hosius reporte them, either in his owne name, or in the name of somme other, without al doubt, This is the Iudgement, that bothe Afotus, and Hosius haue of the Holy Scripture.*

So likewise saith the moste Graue, and Learned Father Iohannes Brentius: Interea vociferantur, Sacram Scripturam esse Dubiam, Ambiguam, Præceptorem Mutum, Literam Occidentem, Literam Mortuam: adeoque, si Reuerendis illis Patribus libuerit, Aesopi Fabulas: *In the meane while they crie out, that the Holy Scriptures are Blinde, and doubtfull: a Doumbe Scholemaister: a killinge VVrite: a deade Letter: yea, and, if it shal like theise Reuerende Fathers, no better, then Esopes Fables.* Perhappes yett wil saie of theise Learned Menne, as yett saie of somme others, They vsed onely their patched Notebookes, and scattered Authoritees, by snappes, and peeces, here, and there a line, or two: and vnderstoode not, what they wrote. Notwithstandinge, what so euer it shal please you to saie, as therein your grace is very greate, yett you see by theise fewe, and muste needs confesse, if the Authoure of the Apologie, touching this place of Hosius were happly ouersene by erreure, yett he had so many of the beste Learned of this age, to keepe him companie in his erreure. Verily, they saie not, as you saie, Thus saith the Zukenfeldius: They saie, as we saie, Thus saith the Hosius: If Hosius were hable to blinde so many, we muste thinke, he was a skilful Writer.

The fairest colour, ye can laie hereon, is this: *Howe so euer these wordes be vttered by Hosius, yett was this no parte of his meaninge.* Yett Iacobus Andreæ saith, Hanc esse Afoti, & Hosij sententiam, non est obscurum: *Without doubt this is the very meaninge of Afotus, and Hosius.* And Illyricus saith, Hosius alleginge the saieinge of one certaine man (whereby he meaneth the Heretique Zukenfeldius) alloweth wel of the same.

But, for as muche as yett saie, This Glose is more malicious, then the Former Erroure: and that therein wee spitefully discouer our Malice, without Reason, without Learninge, without VVitte: and require vs to repent for shame, as if it were a Sinne, not againt Hosius, but againt the Holy Ghoste, I beseeche you therefore indifferently to consider, with what reuerence, & modestie, not onely Hosius, but also sundry others your Friends of that side, haue vsed to speake, and write of the VVoorde of God.

Ludovicus a Canon of the Church of Laterane in Rome, in an Oratton openly pronounced in your late Chapter at Tridente, saith thus: Ecclesia est Vium pe-
tus Christi: Scriptura autem est quasi Mortuum Atramentum: *The Church is the Lively Breaste of Christe: But the Scripture is, as it were, Deade Inke.* Likewise saith the Bishop of Poitiers in the same your Chapter: Scriptura est res inanimis, & muta, sicut etiam sunt reliquæ Leges Politicæ: *The Scripture is a Deade, and Doumbe thinge, as are al other Politique Lawes.* Albertus Pigghius saith, Si dixeris, hæc referri oportere ad Iudicium Scripturarum, Communis sensus ignarum esse comprobas. Sunt enim Scripturæ Muti Iudices: *If thou saie, These maters muste be put ouer to the Iudgement of the Scriptures, thou shewest thee selfe to be void of common reason.* For the Scriptures are doumbe Iudges, and cannot speake.

Eckius calleth the Scriptures, Euangelium Nigrum, & Theologiam Atramentariam: The Blacke Gospel, and Inken Diuinitie. Againe Pigghius saith, Ecclesia habet illam potestatem, vt possit Scriptis quibusdam impertiri Canonicam Authoritatem, quam nec ex se, nec ex suis Authoribus habent: *The Church hath power to geue Canonical Authoritie vnto certaine Writings, whiche otherwise they haue not, neither of them*

Flactus Illyricus
in Norma concilij.

Iacob. Andreæ
Autorit. Sacra
Scriptura.

Iohan. Brentius
in Praefatione
in Iacob. Andreæ
contra
Hosium.

Iacob. Andreæ
Flact. Illyricus
Alterius cuius-
dam sententiam
de hac recitans,
comprobat.

Ludovic. Citarus
ab Illyrico in
Norma Concilij.
Iohan. Sleidanus
Lib. 23.
Albert. Pigghius
de Controuers. 3.
De Ecclesia.

Martinus Kem-
nitius in Exar-
mine Conc. Tri-
dentini, pag. 32.
Martin. Kemnit-

The mi-
staking of
Hosius.

*titus in eod. Exa-
mine. pag. 240.
Pigghius Hie-
rar. Li. 3. Cap. 3
fol. 103.*

*130 fol. Lib. 2.
Contra Brenanum.*

Infte. 7.

Irenae. Li. 3. ca. 2.

*Nicol. Cusanus
ad Bohemos
Epistola. 2.*

*Albert. Pigghius
Hierar. Lib.
1. Cap. 2.*

*Iohann. Maria
Verrastus. Editio
1. Anno. 1561.*

*Hosius. De Ex-
presso verbo
Dei. Pa. 97.*

*Epiphani. Lib. 1.
Hære. 27.*

*Tertullian. De
Prescription.*

them selues, nor of their Authours. There maie be certaine Bookes allowed for Scrip-
ture, saith he, that haue no credite, nor Authoritie of them selues. Therefore the
meaninge herof muste needs be this: The Church (of Rome) hath Authoritie to make
Scripturas. Againe he saith, Sunt Scripturæ, vt, non minus verè quàm festinè dixit
quidam, velut Nasus Cereus, qui se horsum, illorsum, & in quancumq; volueris partem,
trahi, retrahi, singique facile permittit: As one man bothe truely, and merily saide, the Scri-
pture is like a Nose of VVaxe, that easily suffereth it selfe to be drawn backwarde, and for-
ward, and to be moulded, and fashioned, this waie, and that waie, and how so ever yee liste.

Thus, M. Harding, preache the people to reuerence, & weighe the VVoorde
of God. We calle it Deade Inke: a Liuelesse mater: a dounge Iudge, that cannot
speake: a Blacke Gospel: Inken Diuinitie: a Nose of waxe: and a thinge vtterly void
of Authoritie of it selfe. Examine thesse places: weigh thesse wordes. They are no
Lies: They are no Sclaunders. Iudge vprightly: remoue assercion: we shal see, that
Hosius, and Zuenckfeldius, & al the reste of your brythren, touchinge the Authoritie,
and Credite of Goddes VVoorde, accorde in one. For prouise wherof, when obication
was made, that Kinge Dauid, beinge, not a Bishop, but onely a Temporal Prince,
had written the Psalmes, that is to saie, the very herte of the Scriptures, Hosius made
answere, Quid ni scriberet? Scribimus indocti, doctiq; poemata passim: Wrote Dauid
Psalmes? And why should he not write them? Horace saith, Wee write Ballades euery bo-
dy, learned, and vnlarned: tugs, and rages. So vnreuerently, and like an Heathen, he
scorneth, and scoffeth at the Scriptures of God, and likeneth the Heauenly Ditties
of the Holy Ghoste, to a Tille, Heathenthe, Wanton ballade. This in Zuenckfeldius
had benne great Blasphemie: We allowe it in Hosius, as a vertue.

S Luke saith, Pharisei, & Iurisperiti spreuerunt Consilium Dei in semetipsis:
The Phariseis, and Learned in the Lawe despised the Councel of God in them selues. Ire-
næus saith, Hæretici accusant Scripturas, quasi non rectè habeant, nec sint ex Autho-
ritate, &c. Heretiques finde faulte with the Scriptures, as if they were not wel written, and as if
they were not of sufficient Authoritie, &c. And thus they doe, to the ende, to cause the
people to lethe, and doubt the Worde of life, and so to become captiue to thre
owne Traditions. Therefore Cardinal Cusanus saith thus, Hæc est omnium sanè
intelligentium sententia: qui Scripturarum Authoritatem, & intellectum in Ecclesie
approbatione fundant: & non è conuerso, Ecclesie Fundamentum in Scripturarum
Authoritate locant, &c. Dico, nulla esse Christi præcepta, nisi quæ per Ecclesiam pro-
tahbus accepta sunt: This is the Iudgement of al them, that weane wel: whiche fonde the
Authoritie, and vnderstandinge of the Scriptures in the allowance of the Church: But contra-
rwise laie not the Foundation of the Church in the Authoritie of the Scriptures. Thus I saie,
There are no Commandementes of Christe, but onely suche, as by the Church are allowed for
Christes Commandementes. So saith your highest Doctoure Albertus Pigghius,
Apostoli quædam conscripserunt, non vt Scripta illa præsent Fidei, & Religioni no-
stræ, sed potius vt subessent: The Apostles wrote certaine thinges, not that their Writinges
shoulde be aboue our Faith, and Religion, but rather, that they shoulde be vnder. Iohannes
Maria Verrastus saith, Determinatio Ecclesie appellatur Euangelium: The Deter-
mination of the Church is called the Gospel.

Therefore Hosius by his Episcopal Authoritie pronounceth Sentence Defini-
tine in this wise: Quod Ecclesia docet, Expressum Dei Verbum est: quod contra Sen-
sum, & Consensum Ecclesie docetur, Expressum Diaboli Verbum est: What so ever the
Church teacheth (by the Church he meanneth the Pope, and his Cardinales, and the
Church of Rome, &c.) that is the Expreſſe Woordes of God: what so ever is taught against
the Meaning, and Consente of the Church, that is the Expreſſe Woordes of the Diuel.

This was sometime an ordinarie practise of sundrie Olde Heretiques, to scorne,
and to disdigne the Scriptures of God: and to grounde them selues vpon other Con-
clusions, and imaginations of their owne. So the Heretique Carpocrates saide, We
knewe more, then either Christe him selfe, or his Apostles.

Tertullian saith, Discipuli Montani dicunt Paracletum plura in Montano di-
xisse, quàm Christum in Euangelio protulisse: nec tantum plura, sed etiam meliora
atq;

atque maiora: The Disciple of Montanus the Heretique saide, that the Holy Ghoste uttered more things in Montanus their Maister, then euer Christe uttered in the Gospel: and not onely more things, but also greater, and better things. And againe they saide, Paulus ex parte sciebat, & ex parte prophetabat. Nondum enim venerat, quod perfectum est: Paule knewe in parte, and prophesied in parte. For the perfection (that was afterwarde in Montanus) was not yet come. Therefore it is not vniuersally true, M. Hardinge, that you saie, Al Heretiques haue alleged the Scriptures. For somme Heretiques, in whose steppes ye seme to treade, haue made lighte of, and disdeigned the Scriptures, as wel, as you. But, as somme Heretiques haue alleged the Scriptures, as you doe, without sense: euen so al Heretiques are euermore reproued by the Scriptures, as you be. And that is the cause, that you, and your felowes, for the moste parte, so fearefully shunne the Scriptures. For he that doothe euil, fleeth the Light.

Augustin. ad
Quodualdeum.

Therefore, whereas, ye calle vs forth, and bidde vs humbly to rise you merite, firste bidde Hosius your Scholemaster to recante his Errours: wil him to speake more reuerently of Goddes VVoorde: for it is Holy: Let him confesse, and repent his Blasphemie in comparinge the Scriptures of God with Heathenlike Ballades. Recante you the Errours that Pigghius, Cusanus, Eckius, & others your Doctours, and felowes haue set abroade: And the Authoure of the Apologie shal doe, what so euer shal behoue him.

Remember also, howe vntrue, and vntrue reportes you your selfe, and your felowes haue wittingly, and willingly published, and blased in wittinge, not sparing any man, whom it pleased you to touche with slander. You your selfe in this selfe same Booke haue witten thus: The Learned Gospellers of the Sea Townes in Saxonie teache, that there is no Helle at al. I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, where sawe you these Heretiques? What were theire names? In what Sermon, in what Booke, in what Talke, opened they this Heresie? In what language spake they? What were theire wordes? who euer either knewe them, or sawe them, or hearde them, or dreamed of them, but your selfe alone, or somme other as idly occupied as your selfe? And dare you, so vainely to slander a whole Countrey, without prouise, without witness, without ground? Leane this fondnesse. Roman beloueth you: no, not your owne felowes: no, not your selfe. It is to childlike. Anie it selfe would not be so vaine.

M. Har. Fol. 141 b

An other of your Companie there, thus moste vnjustly slandereth that godly Father Doctoure Peter Martyr: Petrus Martyr negat, Christum esse nostrum Deprecatorem: Peter Martyr denieth, that Christe is our Intercessour. Likewise againe, with one general slander, he misreporteth al the Professours of the Gospel what so euer: Omnes Euangelici Pseudomartyres negant Christum venisse in Carne: Al the Gospellers (whom he thought it better, to calle the false witnesses of the Gospel) denie that Christe euer came in the Fleashe. I wil presse you no further with Examples of your immoderate slanders. As your vncourteous tongue hathe no bydle, so your waste wordes haue no ende.

Copus Dialo. 3.
Pag. 337.

Copus Dialo. 6.
Pag. 878.

Al theise, and other like reportes, your conscience knoweth, are moste vntrue. Yet haue ye not doubted, thus to basarde your whole credite, and vainely with the same to feede the world. Suffer me therefore, M. Hardinge, to answere you with your owne wordes: For shame man, repent, and renoue that, for whiche your owne Conscience stineth not to barge againste your selfe.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Division. 1.

And yet, as though this were too litle, they also burne the Holy Scriptures, as in times past wicked Kinge Aza, or as Antiochus, or Maximinus did, & are woonte to name them Heretiques Bookes. And out of doubt, as it seemeth, they would doe, as Herode in olde time did in Ievvie, that he might with more surety keepe still his vsurped Dominion. Who bringe an Idumean boyne, and a straunger to the

Eusebius, Lib. 1.
Cap. 7.

the stocke, and kindred of the Levves, and yet couetinge mutche to be taken for a Levve, to thende he might establishe to him, and his posteritie the Kingdome of that Countrie, whiche he had gotten of Augustus Caesar, he commaunded al the Genealogies, and Pedigrees to be burnt, & made out of the waie, so that there should remaine no recozde, whereby it might be knowne to them that came after, that he was an Alien in bloude: whereas euen from Abrahams time these monumentes had benne safely kepte amongst the Levves, & laide vp in their treasure: because in them it might easily, and moste assuredly be founde of what lineage every one did descende. So (in good faith) doo these menne, when they would haue al their owne dooings in estimation, as though they had benne deliuered to vs euen from the Apostles, or from Christe himselfe: to thende there might be founde no where any thinge hable to conuince sutch theire dreames, and lies, either they burne the Holy Scriptures, or els they crafsily conuicigh them from the people.

M. Hardinge.

Pardon me, I praie thee, Reader, if I vse woordes somewhat vehemente, the cause so requiringe. This Defender crieth out. O ye pillours of Religion. But howe muche more iustly crieth wee againe to him. O thou captaine lier, O mosse woorthy, not the rewarde of a whetstone, but the iudgement of a backebiter, of a slanderer, of a cursed speaker, of a mocker, of the accuser of the brethren, of a Blasphemer: Is this the regarde thou haste, I saie not to God, or to Christen men, but to thine owne estimation, and common honestie of a man? Canst thou persuaide thy selfe to geate credite by licinge? To seeme sober by railing? Honest by villanie? Charitable by slaunderinge? Vpright by deceite? Iuste by impietie? VVhy saiest thou of vs in general, that is to saie, of the Catholike Church, that wee despise, hate, caste awaie, and burne the Holy Scriptures? Had wee not loued and kepte the Scriptures, howe couldst thou and thy fellowes haue comme by them? Had yee not them of vs? From the Apostles time to this daie wee haue kepte them (a) vnspotted and vndefiled: and ye within these fifty yeeres haue by your vulgare translations (b) corrupted them, that lamentable it is to consider. And when wee burned the same corrupte translations or any parte thereof, or any of your Heretical treatises, we burned not the Scriptures, (c) nomore then one dothe the Apple tree, that burneth the Caterpillers.

The Scriptures we honour, and keepe moste reuerently and diligently. Therefore your comparinge of vs with the wicked Kings Aza, Antiochus, Maximinus, and Herode, is false and slaundersous. For how saie you, Sir Captaine of liers, (d) had we not the Scripture in euery Monastrie, Cathedral Church, College, in euery priuate library of any that was learned?

The like thinge was objected to S. Augustine and the Catholikes in his time by the Donatistes, beinge then Heretikes, as yee are now. To whome he answereth, as we will answer you: *Certe ille ignibus tradidisse credatur, qui eis lectis non consensit conuincitur*. Let him be thought to haue caste the Holy Scriptures into the fire, who, when, they are reade, is conuict not to consente vnto them. VVee reade in the Holy Scriptures, that Christe saide, This is my Body. VVho beleueth it, yee, (e) that denie it, or wee, who are contente to die for the defence of that his real body in the blessed Sacramente? S. Iames saith, a man is iustified by woorkes, and not by Faith onely. VVho beleueth this, they, whiche saie that onely faith iustifieth, or they whiche saie, that woorkes be required also to iustification? Christe saith, * *Qui manducat hunc Panem, uiuet in Ioha. 6. Eternum*: he that eateth this Breaide, shal liue for euer. * VVho beleueth this, they that saie bothe kindes be necessarie to laie men by commaundemente of Christe, or they, who saie, that one kinde is sufficient to saluation concerninge the eatinge of the Sacramente.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Pie maie sone be Pardoned, M. Hardinge, for speakinge ill: for as mutche as, for ought, that maie appere by your speache, ye haue not yet learned to speake wel. Whether ye haue burnte the Scriptures of God, or no, bothe Heauen, and Earthe, and Sea, and Lande maie beare witness. For a poore excuse, ye saie, ye haue burnte, our Erroures, and Heretical Translations, and not the Scriptures: as the Housbandeman vseth to burne the Caterpillers. Howe be it, he maie be thought an vnwise Housbandeman, that burneth by his Caterpillers, and Erres to, bothe together: and, to wzeake him selfe

Al this is M. Hardinges sobrietie: It is no railing.

(a) Vntruth. For the Old Latine texte hathe infinite corrupti- ons.

(b) Vntruth. Otherwise let M.

Harding shewe one pointe of Corruption.

(c) But he is a foolish man, that burneth downe bothe the Trees and Caterpillers al together.

(d) You had it in your Libraries. But the poore people had it not.

(e) Vntruth. For we denie it not.

* A worthy Argumente, and ful wisely applied. Reade the Answer.

selfe of the one, is contente to destroye the other. If al Booke containinge Erroure should be burnt, then should your Louanian Nouelties goe to wracke. For pewis, *M. Hardinge*, contention, and vaine gloze set a parte, ye knowe right wel, they are full of Erroures. Moreover ye knowe, there be Erroures in S. Augustine: There be Erroures in Origene, in Tertullian, in S. Ambrose, in S. Hierome: There be Erroures in euery of the Aunciente Fathers: in the Greekes: in the Latines: in one, and other. There be Erroures in your Schole Doctours: There be Erroures in your Canonistes: Especially, your Holy Fathers Decrees and Decretalles are not void of Erroures. Yet is there no Booke worthy to be burnt, in respect of Erroure, but onely the Booke of the Scriptures?

If there were such Erroures in the Vulgare Translations, ye should haue discerned them, although not al, yet for your credites sake, one at the leaste. Ye should haue remoued the Erroure, and haue restored the Scriptures, to the vse, and comforte of the people. But this is it, that troubleth you so sore: that the Scriptures are published in the Common known tongues, and that the simple people of al sortes (whom otherwise ye cal Dogges, and Swine, and filthy brute Beastes void of reason) maye vnderstande them. This, *M. Hardinge*, is that greate, and horrible Erroure: This is the Erroure of al Erroures. Therefore Irenaeus saith, as it is alleged before, *Hæretici, cum ex Scripturis arguuntur, in accusationem vertuntur Scripturarum: Hæretiques, when they be reproofed by the Scriptures, then beginne they to finde faulte with the Scriptures.* And therefore S. Ambrose saith, *Herodes conscius ignobilitatis suæ, Scripturas incendit: ne qua Posteris suis, vel de præscripto Veteri quaestio moueretur: existimans, quod si Iudicia de publico sustulisset, nullis alijs Testimonijs clarere posset, quin de Patriarcharum, & Profelytorum Veterum genere emanaret: Kinge Herode, for that he wel vnderstode the basenesse of his House, therefore he burnt the Scriptures: leaste that, by meane of such Ancient Records, somme doubt mighte afterwarde be moued againste his posteritie. For he thought, if he had once remoued such Monumentes, it could neuer be proued by any manner other witness, but that he came by descente from the stocke of the Patriarkes, and Olde Profelytes: Whereas in dede he was a mere aliene, and a stranger to the House of Israel, and a very tyrannous Usurper of the Crowne, as beinge lineally descended, not from Iacob, but from Esau.*

*M. Hard. An-
swere. Art. 15.
Fol. 155. b.
Irene. Li. 1. ca. 1.*

*Ambros. in Luc
cam. Li. 3. ca. 30.*

But ye saie, You haue kepte, and preserved the Scriptures, in your Libraries, in velleme, and, I trowe, in letters of golde. Euen in like sorte, and with like truthe ye might saie, that you haue kepte the Sunne, and the Moone: and, had it not benne for your keepinge, they had benne loste. It was God, *M. Hardinge*, that of his Mercie preserved his Holy VVoorde: euen as he preserved Daniel in the Cae of Lions: Ionas in the Whales belly: The three Children in the middes of the flaminge Fire. Ye keepe the Scriptures as the Dogge keepeth the hase: whiche neither eateth it him selfe: nor suffereth the poze hungrie cattle, that faine would eat it: Ye keepe them in warde, in duste, and mould: as the Iewes, before the time of Kinge Iosias, kepte the Booke of Deuteronomie closely in the corners, or amongest the treasures of the Temple: so, that noman knewe, where to finde it. Had it not benne for Goddes special prouidence, notwithstandinge your keepinge, they might haue perished.

2. Regum. 22.

S. Chrysostome saith, *Nunc neque an sint Scripturæ quidam sciunt: Spiritus tamen ita dispensauit, vt custodiantur: Nowe adauce there are somme, that cannot tel, whether there be any Scriptures, or no. Yet hath the Sprite of God so prouided, that they are still preserved.*

*Chrysost. in 2-
pis. ad Hebræos
Homil. 8.*

Thus the Iewes made theire bauntes, that they were the keepers of the Lawe, and that the Christians had al of them. S. Augustine saith, *Iudæi dicunt, non Nostra nos Lege vti, sed Sua: The Iewes saie, That wee haue no Lawe of our owne, but onely theires.* Likewise euen at this daie the Iewes keepe, and vse the Lawe of God with al reuerence: They neuer laie any other Booke vpon the Bible: They washe their handes, before they touche it: They will not sit vpon the benche, where the Bible lieth: As often, as they either open it, or shutte it, they vse to kisse it: when the Pope goeth through Rome to his Palace of Laterane, the Iewes mete him vpon the waie, and

*August. in que-
stionibus ex Ve-
teri Testamento.
quest. 44.
Paulus Pharisæus
in Deut. ca. 17.*

*Ceremoniar. l. 1.
Cap. 2.*

*Chrys. in Matt.
Homil. 33.*

*Chrys. in Gen.
nesim. Homil. 2.
Chrysostom. in
Psalm. 117.*

*August. de Fide
verum inuisibil.
Cap. 6.
August. Contra
Faustum Mani-
che. Lib. 16.
Cap. 21.*

*Roman. 1.
Chrys. in Matt.
Homil. 35.*

*Chrysostom. in 1.
Corin. Hom. 37.*

*August. Contra
Litteras Petalio.
Lib. 2. Cap. 7.*

*Chrys. in 1o
ban. Homil. 14.*

*Chrys. in 1o
ban. Homil. 39.*

offer him the Bible, and desire him recurrently to embrace it, as though the Pope coulde haue no Bible, but onely of them. All these thinges notwithstandinge, the Pope answereth them of course, euen as we maie answer you: Sanctam Legem, vni Hebræi, & laudamus, & veneramur: obseruantiam verò vestram, & vanam interpretationem damnamus, & improbamus: *Tee menne of the Iewes Profession, wee praise, and honoure the Holy Lawe: But your Tradition, and vaine Interpretation wee utterly condemne, and reprove.*

For Chrysostome saith, *Nihil nunc apud Iudæos remansit, nisi Sola Scriptura Librorum, omni Legis obseruantia percunte: There remaineth nowe nothinge emonge the Iewes; but onely the writinge contained in Bookes, for al the obseruation of the Lawe is paste from them.*

Againe he saith, *Literæ quidem Legis apud illos sunt: Sensus autem est apud nos: The Letters of the Lawe are with the Iewes: But the Sense, and Meaninge is with vs.* Likewise he saith vnto the Iewes, *Vos complicatis membranas animalium mortuorum: Nos possidemus Spiritum viuificantem: You turne the leanes of the Scriptures, made of the skynnes of deade Cattle: but wee haue the Sprite, that geueth Life.*

So saith S. Augustine: *Iudæi in Libris suffragatores nostri sunt: in Cordibus hostes nostri: The Iewes healte vs, and aide vs with their Bookes: But they are our enemies in their hartes.* Againe he saith, *Magnum aliquid actum est in vsum nostrum de Infidelitate Iudæorum: vt iidem ipsi, qui hæc propter se non haberent in Cordibus, ea propter nos haberent in Codicibus: Somme greate good thinge is wrought to our use by the Infidelitie of the Iewes: that they, that, to doo them selues good, had not these thinges in their hartes, yet for our sakes, to doo vs good, shoulde haue the same thinges in their Bookes.*

Speake not therefore so mutche, *P. Hardinge*, of your safe keepinge of the Scriptures. For the Iewes haue heapte, and vntil this date doe keepe them as safely, as you. We heapte the Scriptures, as the Augures of Rome sommetime heapte the Sibylles Bookes: that is to saie, we heapte them to pour selues, in close prison, and in secrecie: that the people shoulde knowe nothinge, but in al cases shoulde be forced to seeke to you. Thus we heapte the Light in Darkenesse: and, as S. Paule saith, we heapte the Truthe of God in Vickednesse.

S. Chrysostome saith, *Quando vides Scripturas Prophetarum, & Euangelij, & Apostolorum traditas esse in manus Falsorum Sacerdotum, num intelligis, quod Verbum veritatis traditum est Principibus iniquis, & Scribes: When thou seest the Scriptures of the Prophetes, of the Gospel, and of the Apostles to be deliuered into the handes of False Priestes, doost thou not vnderstande, that the Woordes of Truthe is deliuered vnto the wicked Princes, and vnto the Scribes?* So he shote, I maie answer you with the wordes of Chrysostome, *Arcas, & Scrinia rerum pretiosissimarum adhuc seruatis, Thesaurus destituti: Tee keepe stil the Chestes, and boxes of mozte pretious thinges: but the Iewelles, and Treasures be cleane gonne.* Therefore your safe keepinge of the Scriptures notwithstandinge, we maie saie to you, as S. Augustine sommetime saide to the Heretique Petilian: *Iudas Christum Carnalem tradidit: Tu Spiritualem: furens Euangelium Sanctum flammis sacrilegis tradidisti: Iudas betrayed Christe Carnal: thou hast betrayed Christe Spiritual: for thou in thy rage hast deliuered the Holy Gospels vnto wicked fires.*

We replie, You rather haue burnt the Gospel: for that you denie the woordes of the Gospel. For example we allege these wordes of Christe, *This is my Body*: whiche woordes (we saie) of our parte are denied. God be thanked, as we haue not burnt, so haue we not denied any worde, or parcel of the Gospel. Touchinge these wordes by you alleged, we embrace them, and reuerence them, and beleue them, euen as the VVoordes of Christe. But we embrace them, not as you haue fondely rackt, and wastred them, and sowly abused them, by your vaine Constructions: but as Christe meante them, and as the Aunciente Catholique Fathers in the Olde times haue expounded them.

S. Chrysostome saith, *Sacræ Scripturæ Verba non vult Deus, vt Simpliciter, sed multa cum prudentia intelligantur: God wil not, that wee shoulde vnderstande the woordes of the Holy Scripture simply and plainly, as they lie, but with greate wisdom, and discretion.* Againe he saith, *Diligenter inuigilandum est: vel potius Diuina Gratia opus est, ne nudis verbis insistamus. Ita Hæretici in Er-*

rorem

forem incident: Wee muste take grate heed: or rather wee haue neede of the Grace of God, that we reasens vpon the bare V Voordes of the Scriptures. For by that meanes Heretiques fall into Erroure. S. Augustine saith, Omne Figuratum, aut factum, aut dictum, hoc enuntiat, quod Significat; Every thinge that is either donne, or spoken in a Figure, sheweth forth the same thinge, that it Signifieth. S. Hierome saith: Ne putemus in Verbis Scripturarum esse Euangelium, sed in Sensu: Let vs not thinke that the Gospel standeth in the V Voordes of the Scripture, but in the Meaninge.

August. ad consensu. d. 1. ca. 10
Hierom. ad Gal. Cap. 1.

Againe he saith, Cum Seniores putentur in Ecclesijs, & Principes Sacerdotum, Simplicem sequentes Literam, Occidunt Filium Dei: Whereas they be taken for the Elders of the Church, and the Chiefe of the Priestes, followinge the Plaine Letter, (as it is written, and not regardinge the meaninge) they kille the Sonne of God.

Hieron. in Matt. Cap. 16.

Let vs see therefore, in what sense the Holy Catholique Fathers haue in olde times expounded these Wordes of Christe, This is my Body.

Firste, Tertullian saith thus, Christus acceptum Panem, & distributum Discipulis, Corpus suum illum fecit, dicendo: Hoc est Corpus meum, hoc est, Figura Corporis mei: Christe, takinge the Breade, and diuiding it to his Disciples, made it his Body, saicing: This is my Body, that is to saie, This is a Figure of my Body. S. Augustine saith, Non dubitauit Dominus dicere, Hoc est Corpus meum, cum daret Signum Corporis sui: Our Lorde doubted not to saie, This is my Body, when he gaue a Token of his Body. I leaue infinite other Authorities to like purpose. By these fewe wee maye easily perceiue, in what sense the Holy Fathers in olde time vnderstande these Wordes of Christe, This is my Body. Yet were they neuer therefore condemned of Heresie, nor thought to denie any parcel of the Scriptures.

Tertullian. Contra Marcionem. Lib. 4.
August. Contra Adiman. Cap. 12

S. Augustine saith, Solet res, quæ Significat, eius rei nomine, quam Significat, nuncupari: Hinc est, quod dictum est, Petra erat Christus. Non enim dicit, Petra Significat Christum: Sed, tanquam hoc esset, quod utique per Substantiam non erat, sed per Significationem: The thinge that Signifieth, is Commonly called by the name of that thinge, that it Signifieth. Therefore is it, that S. Paule saith, The Rocke was Christe: For he saith not, The Rocke Signified Christe (but, The Rocke was Christe) As if the Rocke had benne Christe in deede: Whereas, touchinge the Substance, it was not so: but so it was by Signification.

August. in questionib. in Leuit. quest. 57.

In this sort is the Breade, Christes Body: not verily, and in deede: but, as S. Augustine saith, after a certaine phrase, or manner of speache. And so is it noted euery in your owne Gloses, Vocatur Corpus Christi, id est, Significat Corpus Christi: The Breade is called the Body of Christe: that is to saie, The Breade Signifieth the Body of Christe. Thus wee denie not Christes V Voordes, sp. Hardinge: But wee denie the sonde, and fantastical senses, that you haue imagined of Christes V Voordes. Therefore where you allege these wordes, as spoken by S. Augustine, He is a burier of the Scriptures, that consenteth not vnto the Scriptures, We mighte haue remembered, that the same S. Augustine likewise saith, Ille credatur Testamentum tradidisse flammis, qui contra Voluntatem litigat Testatoris: He is to be thought, to haue deliuered the Testamente to the fire, that quarrelleth (as you doe) againste the wil, and meaninge of him, that made the Testamente. S. Gregorie saith, Facile in verbis agnoscimus aliud esse, quod intiment, aliud, quod sonant: Tanto autem quisque notitiæ illius Extraneus redditur, quanto in Sola eius Superficie ligatur: In wordes wee doo wel perceiue, that there is one thinge, that they Signifie, and an other thinge, that they sounde. And the more a man bindeth him selfe to the outward sight, and shewe of the Letter, the further of is he from the vnderstandinge of the same.

De Conf. Dist. 20
Hoc est. in Gloss.

August. Epist. 162.

Gregorius in Job. Lib. 4. Cap. 15.

The obliation of difference, that ye make betwene S. Iames, and S. Paule, (S. Augustine saith) is made by them that vnderstande, neither S. Iames, nor S. Paule. The whole force thereof is answered before. It is easy to see, that S. Paule speaketh of one kinde of V Voordes, and S. Iames of an other: and againe, S. Paule of one kinde of Iustification, and S. Iames of an other. Therefore this difference is sone auoided. Certainly wee denie neither S. Paule, nor S. Iames: nor thinke, the one of them to be contrarie to the other.

August. in Lib. 83. questionum q. 76.
Parte. 1. Cap. 109.
Dist. 1.

This is
my Body.

Iohan. 6.

Gen. 1. 1.

Gen. 1. 1.

Kennittus in
Examine Conci.
Treden. pag 598

Cyprian. De
Cena Domini.
In eodem serm.

Nicolaus Lyra.
in psalm. 110.

Paschasius.

Glossa Citatur
à Cassandro, de
Verag. specie.

510

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Touchinge the Wordes of Christe, that ye haue alleged out of S. Iohn, it appeareth, ye were in somme haste, and therefore were faine to take, that came firste to hande. For I will not saie, M. Hardinge, what Doctoure, or Father, but, what bishpe, or discretion of Common sense euer taught you to reason thus: Christe saith, He that Eateth of this Breade shal liue for euer: Ergo, The people muste receiue the Communion vnder One kinde? Who euer taught you thus to reason? Eekius your felowe reasoneth with like discretion to like purpose:

Geue vs, saith he, our daily Breade:
Ergo, VVee maie restraine the people from the Cuppe.

Perhaps ye will saie, The name of Breade belongeth to Eatinge onely, and not to Drinkinge. This maie be granted wel without preiudice. Notwithstandinge, S. Cyprian doubred not to saie, Manducauerunt, & Biberunt de eodem Pane: They did bothe Eate, and Drinke of one Breade. And againe he saith, Manducamus & Bibimus eius Sanguinem: VVee Eate and Drinke his Bloude. Here haue you bothe Drinkinge of Breade, and Eatinge of Bloude.

How be it, in neede these wordes of Christe in the sixthe of S. Iohn, belonge onely to the Spiritual Eatinge, and drinkinge of Christes Body, and Bloude (that, is wrought, not by the Mouthe, but onely by faith) & nothinge to the outward ministracion of the Sacramente. One of your owne Doctours saith, Hæc verba nihil directè pertinent ad Sacramentalem, vel Corporalem Manducationem. Nam hoc verbum dictum fuit, antequam Sacramentum Eucharistiæ esset institutum. Ex illa igitur Litera de Sacramentali Communionē non potest fieri Argumentum efficax: These Wordes of Christe in the sixthe Chapter of S. Iohn, directly pertaine nothinge to the Sacramental, or Corporal Eatinge. For these wordes were spoken longe before the Sacramente was ordeined. Therefore of this place there can be made no good sufficient Argumente, touching the Sacramental Communion. You saie, your prouise, taken of these wordes is sufficient, and therewith ye deceiue the Ignorant. Your owne Doctoure saith, It is not sufficient. Poine let your indifferent Reader Judge, whether of you two is deceiued.

And, whereas ye woulde seeme to saie, Christe gaue no Commaundement of Bothe kindes, to be receiued of the people, Euen so, and with like truthe ye mighte also saie, Christe gaue no Commaundement, no not of one kinde at al to be receiued of the people. For there was no man presente, but onely the Apostles, at the Institution, as wel of the one kinde, as of the other. Therefore, that the people maie claime any one parte of the Sacramente, they haue it not of any right, but onely of your mere, and free liberalitie. For otherwise of dewtie, by your iudgemente, they should haue nothinge.

Notwithstandinge Christe saith, Bibite ex hoc omnes: Drinke yee (not onely the Apostles, but) Drinke yee al of this. Whiche wordes Paschasius expoundeth thus: Bibite ex hoc omnes, Hoc est, tam Ministri, quam reliqui Credentes: Drinke yee al of this: that is to saie, as wel the Ministers, as the reste of the Faithful. An other saith thus, Bibite ex hoc Omnes: Omnes, scilicet, sine personarum acceptione: Drinke yee al of this: Al, that is to saie, without difference, or choise of persones.

But hereof we haue spoken moze at large in other places.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Diuision. 2. & 3.

Very rightly, and aptely doothe Chrysostome write against these menne. Heretikes, saith he, shutte vp the gates againste the Truthe: for they knowe ful wel, if the gate were open, the Church woulde be none of theirs.

Theophylacte also, Goddes VVoorde, saith he, is the Candel, vvhereby the Theefe is espied.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Diuision. 4.

And Terrullian saith, The Holy Scripture manifestly findeth out the fraude, and theafte of Heretiques. For why doo they hide, why

DOO

doe they keepe vnder the Gospel, whiche Christe would haue preached
abrode from the house toppe: Why whealme they that light vnder a
Busshel, whiche ought to stande on the Candellsticke?

M. Hardinge.

The Scripture saith, There shalbe menne standinge in their owne conceite, vnchaste, louinge
pleasure more then God. VVho are vnchaste? they that exhorte al menne to * Chastitie, and them
selues abstaine from wedlocke for the better meane to serue God: or ye, that bidde menne, whiche
haue vowed chastitie, to breake their vowe?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I leaue your Chastitie to the iudgemente of the World. Yet you make remem-
ber, there were somme in olde times, whome Christe likened to Painted graues:
outwardely in appearance faire, and glorious: but inwardly ful of stinking boanes.
It appeareth by the whole course, and order of your lues, that Single Life, and
Chastitie, are sundrye thinges. S. Hierome saith thus, Super Concupiscentiam for-
nicarum non intelligit: De Antichristo facilius interpretatio est: quod ideo simulet
Castitatem, vt plurimos decipiat: He shal haue no skil, touchinge the desire of weemen: The
better exposition herof, is to applie these wordes to Antichriste: For that he shal Countre-
faite Chastitie, to the ende to deceiue many.

*This Chastitie
in the more
parte is open
filthinesse. They
forebidde Mar-
riage, & suffer
Cocubines, for
the better
meane to serue
God.

Math. 23.

*Hierony. in Da-
niel, cap. 11.*

S. Augustine saith of the Heretiques called the Manichees: Vita Casta, & me-
morabilis continentie imaginem præferunt: They beare a countenance of continent life,
and notable Chastitie. S. Ambrose saith, Multi sunt Hæreticorum, qui præten-
de volunt Corporis continentiam: There be many Heretiques, that wil countrefeite, and
pretende Chastitie of body (that by the witnesse thereof they maie winne Credite to their Do-
ctrine). Therefore, M. Hardinge, when you thus vaunte your selues of this Co-
loure of Chastitie, ye make boaste of that thinge, that other Heretiques, and Anti-
christe him selfe shal haue, as wel as you.

*August. De Mo-
ribus Ecclesie*

Carbol. li. 1. ca. 1.

Ambros. De Noë

& Arca, 14.

S. Paule, where he forgettelth vs of the Disciples of Antichriste, emongest other
markes, whereby they maie be knowen, saith thus: Prohibentes nubere: whereby
he meante, that Antichriste shoulde geue out a greateshine of Chaste life, and soz-
blode Marriage. Of sutch S. Hierome saith, Iactant pudicitiam suam impudenti
facie: They make bragges of their Chastitie with whoorishe Countenance.

1. Tim. 4.

Hieronym. in

Hieremi. cap. 7.

Lib. 2.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Diuision. 5. & 6.

Why truste they more to the blindenesse of the vnskilful multitude,
and to ignorance, then to the goodnesse of their cause?

Thinke they their sleightes are not already perceiued, and that
they can walke nowe vnspied, as though they hadde Gyges ringe to
goe inuisible by, vpon their finger? No, no.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Diuision. 7.

All menne see nowe wel, and wel againe, what good stufte is in that
cheste of the Bishop of Romes bosome. This thing alone of it self maie
be an argument sufficient, that they woode not vp rightly, and truly.

M. Hardinge.

You are muche troubled with the cheste of the Popes bosome, wherein he is thought to haue al
Lawes. At this you scoffe foure or fife times in your Apologie, medlinge with a matter, that you vn-
derstande not. For it is a Lawiers phrase. VVho presupposeth the Prince of euery common weale to
knowe the lawe of the same, no lesse then if it were written in his Breaſte. And therefore when he
maketh a newe lawe, he repealeth the former Lawe, whereunto the latter is contrary.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

For as muche, as this matter of the Popes breaſte is so deepe, and ful of darke-
nesse, specially beinge as you saie, a Lawiers phrase, that no man maie safely enter
into it, but onely you, that neuer were student in any Lawe, lette vs therefore see,
what your owne Canonistes, and Lawiers them selues haue meante hereby.

Baldus. 1. cap.

colla. 1. vltima

Firste of al, Baldus of Perusium saith, Papa est Doctor vtriusq; Iuris, Autho-
ritate, non Scientia: The Pope is Doctour of bothe Lawes (as wel Ciuile, as Canon) by

De Confess.

Authoritie

On ny

The
cheaste of
the Popes
bosome.

9. que. 3. Neque
ab Auguſto.
Diſt. 19. Si Ro-
manorum. In
Gloſſa.
Diſt. 40. Si Papa
In Gloſſa.

Extr. De traſſa.
Epiſcopi. Quo-
to In Gloſſa.
Felin. De Re
ſcriptis. Ad Aus-
dientiam. 2. ver.

512

The Deſenſe of the Apologie of the

Authoritie, but not by knowledge. Here we finde, how ſo euer the Pope be ſtoared of Learninge, yet at the leaſte he is a Doctoure by Authoritie, and that a Doctour of Bothe Lawes. So eaſy a mater it is to fille his breaste.

Further your Gloſe ſaith; Eſi totus mundus ſententiaret in aliquo negotio contra Papam, tamen videtur, quod ſtandum ſit ſententia Papæ. Iura enim omnia in Scrinio peſtoris ſui habere videtur. Quod ergo Papa approbat, vel reprobat, & nos approbare, vel reprobare debemus. Quisquis ergo non obedit Statutis Romanæ Eccleſiæ, Hæreticus eſt cenſendus. Et Sacrilegij inſtar eſſet, diſputare de facto Papæ: *Al- though al the worlde woulde iudge in any mater againſte the Pope, yet it ſeemeth, wee ought to ſtande to the iudgements of the Pope. For he ſeemeth to haue al Lawes in the Cheaſte of his boſome: Therefore, what ſo euer the Pope either alloweth, or diſalloweth, wee are bounde likewise to allowe, or diſallowe the ſame. And who ſo euer is not obediẽte to the Lawes of the Church of Rome, muſt be deemed an Heretique. And it were as greaſe ſinne as Church-robbinge, to reaſon of any of the Popes dooings.*

Further, your Lawiers ſaie thus, Papa dicitur habere Cœleſte arbitrium: Vnde in his, quæ vult, eſt ei pro Ratione Voluntas. Nec eſt, qui dicat illi, Domine, cur ita facis? Quia ſicut ex Sola Voluntate poteſt per Papam Lex creari, ita per Solam Voluntatem poteſt cum ea diſpenſari: *The Pope is ſaide to haue a heavenly iudge- mente: Therefore in ſutche thinges, as he willet, his Wil ſtandeth in ſteede of Reaſon. Nei- ther maie any man ſaie vnto him, O ſir, VVhy doo you thus? Like as a Lawe maie be made by the Onely VVil of the Pope: ſo maie the ſame Lawe bee diſpenſed withal onely by the wil of the Pope.*

This, M. Hardinge, is the expoſition of your Lawiers phraſe. Theſe are the treaſures, that we are taught to ſeke in the Cloſet of the Popes breaste. Thus maie we ſet aparte Scriptures, Doctoures, Councelles, and what ſo euer is bidde: The Popes breaste maie ſerue for al.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Diuiſion. 8.

Worthily ought that mater ſeeme ſuſpicious, whiche ſeeth trial, and is afraide of the light. For he that doothe euil, as Chriſte ſaith, ſeketh darkeneſſe, and hateth the light. A conſcience, that knowveth it ſelfe cleare, commethe vvillingly into open ſheve, that the vvoorkes, vvhicke proceede of God, maie be ſeene. Neither bee they ſo very blinde, but they ſee this wel penough, that their King- dome ſtraightwaie is at a pointe, if the Scriptures once haue the vpper hand: and that, like as menne ſaie, the Idolles of Diuels in times paſt, of whome menne in doubtful maters were then woonte to receiue an- ſweares, were ſuddainely ſtriken dumble at the ſighte of Chriſte, when he was borne, and came into the worlde: euen ſo they ſee, that nowe al their ſubtle practiſes wil ſoone fal downe headlonge, euen vpon the light of the Goſpel. For Antichriſte is not ouerthrowen, but by the brightneſſe of the comminge of Chriſte.

The Apologie, Cap. 22. Diuiſion. 1.

As for vs, wee renne not for ſuccour to the fire, as theſe menneſ guile is, but wee renne to the Scriptures: neither doo wee reaſon with the Swerde, but with the VVoorde of God: and therewith, as ſaith the Tertullian, doo vvee feede our Faith: by it doo vvee ſtirre vp our hope, and ſtrengthen our Confidence.

M. Hardinge.

Ye ſlie not to the Fire, as wee doo, ſaie yee, but vnto the Scriptures. Neither doo yee reaſon with vs with the ſworde, but with the VVoorde of God. Ah good woordes of God, thou ſerueſt to many purpoſes.

pourpos. And is it in deede the woorde of God Sirs, that keepeth so many reuerende Fathers in prison these many yeeres? Is it the woorde of God, that hath deprived so many menne, somme of their liuinges, somme of their countries, whose life ye can not blame? Is it the woorde of God, that by crueltie of imprisonment of late yeeres gaue moste certaine occasion of deathe to those Holy, Learned, and woorthieful menne, who for their singulare vertue beinge wel knowne, neede not here to be named? Is it the woorde of God, that made so mutche adoo in your late Parlamente for establishinge of your bloody lawe, whereby yee woulde haue power to put menne to deathe (a) for the Faith of Christendome? The Turkes and Saracenes haue alwaies suffered them to liue in their Dominions, but our Gospellers by their woorde of God are taught to kil them. Blessed be God, who hath geuen to our noble and moste clement Queene Elizabeth a better spirite to vnderstande his woorde in this pointe, (b) then these Gospellers haue yet attained vnto.

If any of you doo saie, that the newe Superintendentes doo not keepe the olde Bishoppes, and learned Clergie in prison, but the Lawe of the Realme: I answer, firste, that the Lawe is a crabbe of your owne stocke: nexte that neither the Bishoppes before time burnt Heretiques, but the Lawe of the Realme, the Lawe of the Prince, the Lawe of al Christendome. Neither was the same made by Papistes of late yeeres, as yee woulde your deceiued disciples to beleue, but of olde time thoughte (c) necessarie by Princes to be enacted, for the better maintenance of quiet in their Dominions.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It is no newe practise (p^re saie) to kil Heretiques. I graunt you. Neither is it a new practise, to condemne godly menne by the name of Heretiques. Your Fathers sometime cried out againste Christe the Sonne of God, VVee haue a Lawe: and by the Lawe he oughte to die. And Christe him selfe saide sometime to Hierusalem, the Citty of Bloude, O Hierusalem, Hierusalem, that killeste the Prophetes, and stoneeste them to death, that be sente vnto thee. But S. Augustine saithe, Nullis bonis in Catholica hoc placet, si vsque ad Mortem in quenquam, licet Hæreticum, sæuiatur: It seemeth good vnto no good man in the Catholique Church, that crueltie vnto deathe be shewed vnto any man, no thoughte be an Heretique.

Notwithstandinge, it agreeth wel with your Religion, S. Hardinge, though your mouthes now be moued, yet to rampe, and gape still after bloude. Fulfil ye the measure of your Fathers. Let it not graue you, to heare, what Salomon saithe, Viscera impiorum crudelia: The very bowelles of the wicked are full of crueltie. When your fables, and folies be espied, then ye shal be swerde, and fire. Which bringe once wreasted from you, your whole Religion muste needes comme to grounde. S. Hierome saith of other your peeres, Pugnans Epicherematis ex carnificum officinis: They fight with Argumentes taken from the Bouchers shoppes.

Ye haue wreake your angre longe yenough vpon innocent bloude. Beginne once to knowe the hande of God. It is harde for you, to kille still againste the pycke. Wee maie truely saie vnto you with S. Cyprian, Sacerdos Dei Euangelium tenens, & Christi Præcepta custodiens, Occidi potest, Vinci non potest: The Priest of God, holdinge the Gospel, and keepinge the Commaundementes of Christe, maie wel be killed: But conquered he cannot be. Wee maie saie with Tertullian, Crudelitas vestra, Gloria nostra est: Plures efficiuntur, quoties metimur à vobis: Your Crueltie is oure Glorie. When yee comme to reckon vs, yee finde vs moe, and moe. Truthe aboue al thinges wil preuaile. There is no Counsel againste the Lorde.

As for oure parte, wee were neuer yet gilty to one droppe of youre bloude. Wee saie no aide at Fire, or Swerde. Wee wil rather saie with S. Hierome, Vtinam filios Hæreticorum, & omnium, qui decepti sunt, interficiamus Sagittis Spiritualibus, id est, Testimonijs Scripturarum: Woulde God wee maie rather kille the Children of Heretiques, and of al them, that be deceiued, with Spiritual Arrowes, that is to saie, with the Testimonies of the Scriptures. And with S. Augustine, O si occidas eos de gladio bis acuto, & non sint Hostes tui: Sic enim amo eos occidi sibi, vt viuant tibi: Woulde God, thou wouldest kille them, O Lorde, with the two edged Swerde (that is, with thy Holy Word) That they maie no longer be thine Enemies. For so I wishe them to be killed vnto them selues, that they maie liue vnto thee. And againe, Vindictæ nos Deus de vobis, vt ipsum errorem vestrum in vobis occidat, & nobiscum de Veritate gaudeatis: God so reuenge oure cause againste you, that he maie kille your erreure in you, that ye maie reioice together with vs of the Truthe. This, S. Hardinge, this is the reuenge, that wee saie vpon you, as this daie it maie appeare.

Al this nowe is extreme Crueltie. Not longe sithence it had benne taken for great mercie.

(a) Vntruth. For it is either Idolatrie, or open folie: & no part of the Faith of Christendome. (b) what Sprite then had you M. Harding, and your felowes that burnt so many?

(c) This matter thus vttered in generalitie, is a great Vntruth.

Isaiah, 19.

Luke 13.

Augustine, Con-

tra 2. c. 1. c. 1.

Gram. li. 3. ca. 1.

Matthe, 23.

Prouerb, 11.

Cyprian, ad

Cornel.

Tertul. ad Scapulan.

Tertul. in Apontogetico.

2. E. d. 3.

Prouerb, 21.

Hiero. in Esa.

li. 5. cap. 14.

Augustine, Cono-

fess. li. 32. ca. 14.

Augustine, Epist.

166.

If an An-
gel from
Heauē, &c,

514

The Defenſe of the Apologie of the

The Apologie, Cap. 22. Diuiſion. 2.

For wee knowe, that the Goſpel of Ieſus Chriſt is the powver of God vnto Saluation, and that therein conſiſteth Eternal Life. And as Paule warneth vs, vvee doo not heare, no not an Angel of God, though he comme from Heauen, if he goe aboute to pulle vs from any parte of this Doctrine.

M. Hardinge.

Boaſte no more of the Goſpel. Vvee (I meane the Catholique Church) had it, wee kept it, and vnderſtoode it, before yee were borne, and before your Hereſie was hearde of.

But a Diuel comminge from hel (for who is authour of diuiſion but Satan?) hath caried you awaie into an other Goſpel: whereas in deede there is but one true Goſpel. And here let any indifferent man conſider, howe vprightly you allege S. Paule. You write, that Paule warneth you not to geue eare to an Angel comminge from heauen, if he goe aboute to drawe you from any parte of this Doctrine. Of whiche this Doctrine meane you? Of this, whiche you haue declared in this Apologie? * Did S. Paule euer reade your Apologie? Howe then pronounced he of it that ſentence? Vvee meane (ſaie you) by this Doctrine, the Doctrine of the Goſpel. If you meane ſo, wee ſaie the ſame. But wee telle you, that S. Paule ſpake not of euery Goſpel generally, but of a certaine Goſpel qualified. For he ſaith, *Si quis vobis euangelizauerit prater id quod accepit, anathema ſit*: If any man preach a Goſpel vnto you, beſides that yee haue receiued, be he accuſed. Heare you the Goſpel S. Paule ſpeaketh of? It is not euery Goſpel. It is a Goſpel preached and receiued. If yee preach that Goſpel, whiche yee receiued, wee ioine handes with you. But if yee preach a Goſpel whiche yee haue receiued of no Apoſtle, nor Apoſtolique preacher, and whiche was not hearde of in the earth, when Luther ranne out of his Cloiſter, and foreſooke his Religion: then be yee aſſured, that ye are the menne, who are holden for accuſed of S. Paule.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, ye would ſaine ſcape awaie with a Chriſtliche Cauſe. Paule, ye ſaie, ſpeaketh of a Goſpel qualified: whereby ye meane a Goſpel deliuered, and receiued: As if ye would ſaie, The Goſpel of Chriſte, onleſſe it be deliuered by you, is no Goſpel. But S. Paule meante not the Doctrine deliuered from hande to hande, or from Pope to Pope: But the Doctrine deliuered, and receiued in the Scriptures. And in this ſenſe he ſaith of him ſelfe: *Quod accepi à Domino, hoc & tradidi vobis*: The thinge, that I haue receiued of the Lorde, the ſame haue I deliuered vnto you. In like ſorte he calleth him ſelfe an Apoſtle, not of menne, nor by men, but by Ieſus Chriſte. Howe be it, what neede many wordes? The caſe is cl. are. S. Auguſtine ſaith plainely, Paulus ait, Si Angelus de Cœlo vobis annuntiauerit, praterquam quod in Scripturis legimus, & Euangelicis accepistis, Anathema ſit: If an Angel from Heauen preach vnto you any other thinge, then ye haue receiued (not by Tradition, or by deliuerie from your Elders, but) in the Scriptures of the Law, and of the Goſpel, accuſed be he. Theſe wordes be plaine, M. Hardinge, a quite diſgrace al your Gloſes.

Hereto Chryſoſtome addeth further, Non dixit Paulus, Si contraria annuntiauerint, aut totum Euangelium subuerterint, verum, Si vel paulum Euangelizauerint, prater Euangelium, quod accepistis, etiamsi quidvis labefactauerint, Anathema ſint: S. Paule ſaith not, If they teache the contrarie, or, if they overthrowe the whole Goſpel: But he ſaith, If they preach any little ſmal thinge, beſides the Goſpel, that yee haue receiued, or, if they looſe, or ſhake downe any thinge, what ſo euer it be, accuſed be they. Theſe wordes, M. Hardinge, touche you very neare. Ye haue altered the whole ſourme of the Church of God. Therefore repente your ſelues, leaſt ye remaine ſtill within the daunger of the Curſe.

S. Auguſtine ſaith, Sancta Scriptura noſtræ Doctrinæ Regulam figit, Ne audeamus plus ſapere, quam oporteat ſapere: The Holy Scripture hath laide a rule vnto our Doctrine, that wee dare not to vnderſtande more, then is meete for vs to vnderſtande.

The Apologie, Cap. 22. Diuiſion. 1.

For where theſe men bid the Holy Scriptures awaie, as dumble, and ſtuteleſſe, and procure vs to comme to God him ſelfe rather, who ſpeaketh in the Church, and in their Councell's, that is to ſaie, to beleue their fanſies, and opinions: this waie offindinge out the Truth is very

* And did S.
Paule euer
reade your late
Chapter of
Trente?

Galat. 1.

Auguſt. Contra
litteras Petilia,
Lib. 3. Cap. 6.

Chryſoſt. in epi.
ad Galat. Cap. 1.

Auguſt. De Bono
Viduarum. Ca. 1.
Roma. 12.

very vncertaine, and exceeding dangerous, and in manner a fantastical and madde waie, and by no meanes allowed of the Holy Fathers.

M. Harding.

VVhere they saie, we passe but litle on the Scriptures, as dumbe and vnprofitable, therein they flounder vs, as in other thinges. VVee doo not so. But wee saie, that as euery acte of Parliaments muste be executed by a lawful Iudge, so the holy Scriptures haue their execution by lawful Iudges, who are the Bishoppes and Fathers, as wel in other places, as specially when they be lawfully assembled in general Councelles. Nowe saie these menne, that waie is very vncertaine, dangerous, in manner madde, and not allowed of the Fathers. VVho neuer heard menne thought to haue their righte wittes, talke after so loose a sorte? Did the Fathers disproue the order of comminge together in general Councelles? Or thought they the same to be a waie for menne to be the soner deceiued? If so many maie be deceiued with moeste diligente studie, and mature iudgemente conferringe together, howe muche sooner may one or twoo alone leadde by priuate phantasie and selfe wil, be caried away into erreure? At the Nicene Councel came together, 318. Bishops. At the firste of Constantinople, 150. at the Ephesine Councel, 200. At that of Calchedon 630. At these foure Councelles sundrie Ancient Fathers, namely S. Gregorie, esteemed as the foure Gospels. Yea, but saithe he, I haue the worde of God. But what if 300. farre holier, and better learned men saie, he hath it not? Let the Reader be Iudge saithe he, A meete Iudge in suche a cause. The Scholers maie reade, but Iudge of their maisters, they maie not by Christes doctrine, who saide, *Non est discipulus supra magistrum*, The Scholer is not aboue his maister. VVhy not, for al that, saie you, if the Holy Ghoste inspire him? Sir, is it not so be thought, God dothe assiste his Church represented in suche solempne assemblies of three hundred or moe Fathers gouernours of Christen people, rather then one man?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Whether ye call the Scriptures of God a Dumbe thinge, or no, I repaite mee to that, is saide before. One of you calleth it *Mortuum Atramentum*: Dead Inke. An other saith, *Scriptura est res inanimis, & muta*: The Scripture is a dead and a dumbe thinge. An other calleth the Scriptures, *Nigrum Euangelium*: The blacke Gospel. Now if the Scriptures be Deade, and Doumbe, and can not speake, then must it needs followe, they are vnprofitable. Neither doe we despise the Authoritie of Councelles. God Councelles be graue, and reuerende. But thus we saie, Councelles are often againste Councelles: And, if we make reckninge of number, the Arian Heretiques haue had moe Councelles, then the Christians. S. Hierome saith, *Spiritus Sancti Doctrina est, quæ Cononicis literis prodita est: Contra quam si quid statuunt Concilia, nefas duco*: That is the Doctrine of the Holy Ghoste, that is set abroad in the Canonical Scriptures: Against which Doctrine if Councelles determine any thinge, I thinke it wicked.

S. Chrysostome saith the further in more earnest sorte: *Plus aliquid dicam: Ne Paulo quidem obedire oportet, si quid dixerit proprium, si quid humanum: I wil saie more: Wee ought not to beleene, no not Paule him selfe, if he speake any thinge of his owne, or if he speake onely as a man.*

And to encrease the vncertaintie hereof, the whole weight, and Iudgement of Councelles hangeth nowe euermore vpon the Pope: as it maie appeare by these wordes of the Conclusion of the late Chapter at Trident: *Salua semper in omnibus Sedis Apostolicæ Authoritate: The Authoritie of the Apostolique See in al thinges euermore reserved.* Whereby it appeareth, that the Determination of matters hangeth not of the Councel, but of the Pope. But Platyna saith, as it is alleged before, *Acta priorum Pontificum sequentes Pontifices semper aut infringunt, aut omnino tollunt: The Popes, that folowe, doo euermore either breake, or wholly abrogate the Decrees of the Popes, that were before.* And thus, being turned from al other Bishoppes vnto Councelles, from Councelles to the Pope, and from one Pope to an other, we that euermore builde vpon sande, and vncertaintie, and neuer be assured, where to stande.

VVhether the Scripture be wel alleged, or otherwise, therof (ye saie) the people maie not Iudge. For Christe saith, The Scholare is not aboue his Maister. Certainly, M. Harding, the simplest of al the people, notwithstanding, by your restraunte, he maie not Iudge of the Scriptures, yet he maie easily Iudge of you, either that ye vnderstande not, or that ye vnadvisedly abuse the Scriptures. VVhether it be the worde of God, or no, the people (ye saie) maie not Iudge. And that ye prone euen by the Scriptures, God knoweth, full handsomely, and full discretely applis: For the Scholare (ye saie) is not aboue his Maister. Thus we maie learne by the Logique of Louaine, that *Populus* is Latine for a Scholare: and that Scripture is Latine for a Maister. And thus by your vaine permission

*Vntrithe. For these be youre owne Fellowes wordes. Reade the Apswere. *Gregorius Nazianzenus saith He neuer sawe good comme of any Councel.

Cap. 19. Dist. 1.
Ludovicus in
Con. Trident.
Episco. Picta-
usen, in Con.
Trident.
Albert. pig. 26.
Controvers. 3.
Eckius.
Hieron. in Epist.
ad Galath.
Chrysost. in 2. ad
Timoth. Hec. 2.

Concil. Trident.
Sess. 6.
Platyna in Ste-
phano.

The peo-
ple cannot
Iudge.

516

The Defense of the Apologie of the

without sense ye procede vainely, and conclude nothing.

Matthe. 23.

M. Hardinge, haue some regarde to that ye write. The simplest maie some-
times ple your wronges. Ye can no longer thus mocke the worlde with shewes of
wordes. Christe spake not these wordes of the understandinge of the Scriptures:
but of persecution for the Scriptures. Marke wel the place. Thus the wordes lie
together: When they shal persecute you in one Citie, flee into another, &c. The Scholare
is not about his Maister: Nor the Seruaute about his Lorde. If they haue called the
Maister of the house Beelzebub, howe muche more wil they so calle his households seruantes?

Alas, where learned you to frame such Arguments, The Apostles, beeing
the Scholars, can nomore escape persecution, then coulede Christe, that was the Mai-
ster: Ergo, The people cannot vnderstande, what they read in the Scriptures? Here
is neither Antecedente, nor Consequente, nor Sequelle in Reason. For the honour
of the Vniuersitie, haue better regarde vnto your Logique. Ye betwaine the
weakenesse of your cause, when ye so slenderly abuse the Scriptures.

The Apologie, Cap. 22. Diuision 4.

Chrysostome saithe, There be many ostentimes, vvhich boaste
them selues of the Holy Ghoste: but truely, vvhich so speake of their
ovvne heade, doo falsely boaste that thei haue the sprite of God.
For like as, saithe he, Christe denied, he spake of him selfe, vvh
he spake out of the Lawe, and Prophetes, euen so now, if any
thinge be pressed vpon vs in the name of the Holy Ghoste, saue the
Gospel, vvee ought not to beleue it. For as Christe is the fulfil-
linge of the Lawe and Prophetes, so is the Holy Ghoste the fulfil-
linge of the Gospel. Thus farre goeth Chrysostome.

M. Hardinge.

They alleage out of Chrysostome, that who so euer speake of their owne, doo vntruly attri-
bute to them selues the Spirite of God. But they remember not, howe they, who are gathered toge-
ther in the name of Christe, doo not speake of their owne, because Christe, who is the truthe, hath
promised to be in the midst of them. Nowe if they telvs, that the Fathers at Trente were not ga-
thered together in the name of Christe, howe muche more truly maie wee reply to them, that the
temporal menne assembled together at Auspurg, at Marpurg, at VVormes, at Smalcald, at Baden, at
VVestminster, or any where els, came not together in the name of Christe? I require but a man of
common sense to sitte Iudge in this cause. They can not possibly bringe any thinge out of the Scrip-
tures, or Auncient Fathers, for maintenance of this their greate case.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Ye busle ouer boldly, **M. Hardinge**, on Christs promises. God is true, what
so euer he promise: But ostentimes menne are false. Christe hath promised to be
presente, where so euer two, or three be gathered together in his name: But it ap-
peareth by the wordes of the Prophete Dauid, that Councelles oftentimes meete together
against God, and against his Christe. The Prophete Michas saithe, Sic dicit Domi-
nus de Prophetis, qui errare faciunt populum meum: Abominantur iudicium, & om-
ne rectum peruertunt: Super Dominum requiescebant, dicentes: Nunquid non est Do-
minus in medio nostri? Thus saith our Lorde of the Prophetes, that deceine my people: They
abhorre Iudgemente: they ouerthrowe al that is right. And yet they reaste them selues vpon our
Lorde sairinge, Is not our Lorde in the midst amongst vs?

Psalm. 2.

Act. 17. 4.

Michas. 3.

This is your defense, **M. Hardinge**. Whether the VVoorde of God be with
you, or against you, yet ye saie, Yee haue stil the Sprite of God: and our Lorde him selfe is
in the midst amongst you. But this boldnesse, by Chrysostomes Iudgemente, is pre-
sumptuous, and dangerous. And therefore his counsel is, that if any thinge be
pressed vpon vs by the name of the Holy Ghost, sauinge onely the Gospel of Christ,
we should not beleue it. In like sense S. Augustine saithe, Sua illi si docere velint,
nolite audire: nolite facere: If they bringe any Decree, or Fantasie of their owne, heare it
not: Doe it not.

Chrysostom, De
sancto & Ade-
rando spirit. 1.
August. in Iohan.
Tracta. 46.

Thus the Olde Frenetique, and Fanatical Heretiques, when they found them
selues

selues conuincid by the euident testimonies of Goddes V Voorde, they appealed to the Sprite of God: whiche, they said, euen as you saie, euer moze assisted them, and could not faile them. Hereof S. Augustine writteth thus: Videtis ergo, id vos agere, vt omnis de medio Scripturarum autoritas auferatur: & suus cuiq; animus author sit, quid in quaque Scriptura probet, quid improbet: id est, vt non Authoritati Scripturarum subijciatur ad Fidem, sed sibi Scripturas ipse subijciat: Non vt ideo illi placeat aliquid, quia hoc in sublimi Autoritate scriptum legitur: sed ideo recte scriptum videatur, quia hoc illi placuit: *Ye see therefore, this is your drift, that al Authoritie of the Scriptures be removed: that eche man maie be leade by his owne fantasie, what he liste, either to allowe in the Holy Scriptures, or to disallowe: that is to saie, that he submit not him selfe, touching his Faith, to the Authoritie of the Scriptures: but that he make the Scriptures subiecte vnto him: Not that he wil allowe any thinge, because it is written in that highe Authoritie of the Scriptures: but that he wil thinke it wel written, because he alloweth it. By meane hereof it commeth to passe, that S. Hilarie writteth, Qui, quæ scripta sunt, negas, quid superest, nisi vt, quæ non scripta sunt, probes? For as muche as thou deniest the thinges, that be written, what remaineth there, but that thou muste allowe the thinges, that be not written?*

August. Contra
Falsum Mani-
che, li. 30, ca. 18

Hilari. De Tri-
nitate, li. 9.

Concerninge the Authoritie, and Credite of Councelles, we shal haue cause to saie moze hereafter. But, where ye require, but a man of common sense, to sitte as Judge in this cause, it appeareth, that either ye remember not, or els ye weighe not, that ye immediately saide befoze. For in this selfe same Chapter ye saie, The people maie not iudge of their Bishoppes. And that, as ye tel vs, by Chykses owne Doctrin: For the Scholare is not aboue his Maister. Yet now suddainely, as hauinge forgotten your owne wordes, ye calle forth the Scholare, yea, and as it maie be thought, one of the meanest of al your Schole, as a man onely endewed with common sense, to sitte in Judgement ouer his Maister.

Actor. 13.
Iohan. 3.

Notwithstandinge, God is no acceptor of persones. Goddes Holy Sprite is not bounde to Councelles, or Companies: but breatheth frely, where it listeth. And therefore, as your owne Doctoure Panormitane saith, in cases of Religion the Judgemente of one simple plaine man oughte sometimes to be receiued befoze the Judgemente of the Pope.

Extra. De Electi,
Electi por-
tat. significat,
Abb.

Here endeth the Fourth Parte.

The Fiftie Parte.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Diuision. 1.



At here, I looke they wil saie, though they haue not the Scriptures, yet it maie chaunce, they haue the Aunciente Doctoures, and the Holy Fathers with them. For this is a highe bragge they haue euer made, howe that al Antiquitie, and a Continual Consente of al ages doothe make on their side: and that al our cases be but Newe, & yester daies woozke, and vntil these fewe late peeres were neuer hearde of. Questionlesse, there can nothinge be moze spitefully spoken againste the Religion of God, then to accuse it of Noueltie, as a mater intely founde out. For as there can be no change in God him selfe, so ought there to be no change in his Religion.

Yet

Olde.
Nevve.

518

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Esther. 3.

Act. 17.

*Origen, Contra
Celsum.*

Euseb. li. 1. ca. 4.

Yet neuer thelesse, we wote not by what meenes, but we haue euer seene it come so to passe, from the firste beginning, that as often as God did geue but some light, and did open his Truthe vnto men, though the Truthe were not onely of greatest Antiquitie, but also from Euerlastinge, yet of wicked menne, and of the Aduersaries it was called Nevvfangled, and of late druided, That vngraceous, and bloudthirsty Haman, when he sought to procure the Kinge Asuerus displeasure against the Ievves, vsed this accusation against them: Thou hast here (saith he) a kinde of people, that vseth certaine nev Lavves of their ovvne, but stifnecked, and rebellious againste al thy Lavves. When Paule also began firste to preach, and expounde the Gospel at Athenes, he was called a Tidinges bringer of Nevve Goddes: as mutche to saie, as of a Nevve Religion. For (saide the Athenians) maie wee not knowe of thee, what Nevve Doctrine this is: Celsus likewise, when he of set pourpose wrote againste Christ, to the end he might more scornfully scoffe out the Gospel by the name of Noueltie. VVhat saith he, hath God after so many ages novve at laste, and so late bethoughte him selfe: Eusebius also writeth, that the Christian Religion from the beginninge, for very spite, was called *Neotai Esm*, that is to saie, Nevve, & Strange. After like sorte, these menne condemne al our masters, as Strange, & Nevve: but they wil haue their owne, what so euer they are, to be praised as thinges of longe Continuance.

M. Hardinge.

(a) A vaine distinction. For neither doo wee calle oure Doctrine Newe.

(b) VVee tel you ye haue lost the right names of thinges: and cal that Newe, that in deede is Old.

(c) Vntruth. For the true Faith of Christe had bene planted and stil continued in this Realme foure hundred yeeres, before the comming of this Augustine.

Matthe. 9.

Iohan. 13.

Luke. 22.

*Arnobius contra
Gentes. li. 2.*

August. in quest.

Nou. & Veteris

Testament. 3.

quest. 114.

They had saide somewhat, if they had proued, that the Doctrine (a) of Christe had ben called newe by them, who were the professours, and folowers of it. But nowe reportinge that the Gentils, who knewe not God, as Aman, as the Athenians, as Celsus the Etnike, and sutch the like, called the right and true Religion of God, newe: they saie nothing to any purpose. But let them shewe, that before the comming of Christe, any sutch Religion was allowed, that was newe: Or that sithens Christes incarnation, amonge Christian men what so euer Religion was not shunned and reiected as Heretical, whiche was newe. Here are they domme. And yet for shewe of learninge in a matter not necessarie, they bringe soorth theire store, and declare, that the Doctrine and Religion of truthe was newe to them, whiche knewe not God nor Christe the Sonne of God, whiche no man denieth.

Thus al menne of any iudgemente maie see, howe fondly they reason. VVe b) tel them that al newe Doctrine nowe in the Church of Christe is naught: and they proue, that infidelles haue in the time of Moses lawe, and at the firste preachinge of the Gospel, impugned Goddes euerlastinge truthe with the odious terme and reproche of newnes. Newe doctrine was good to vs at our firste conersion from infidelitie. But since that wee receiued the true Faith from S. Gregorie the Bishop of Rome, (c) who conuerted the Realme of Englande to the faith by S. Augustine his Legate, and others sent for that godly pourpose: woorthely wee shunne and abhorre al newe Gospelles, newe Faithes, newe Doctrines, newe Religions.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Truth of God neither is furthered by the face of Antiquitie: nor hindered by the opinion of Noueltie. For oftentimes the thinge, that in deede is Newe, is condemned as Olde: and the thinge, that in deede is Olde, is condemned as Newe. If Newnesse in Religion in al respects, and euery wise were ill, Christe woulde not haue resembled his Doctrine to Newe VVine: nor woulde he haue saide to his Disciples, I geue you a Newe Commandement: Neither woulde he haue called the Cuppe of Thankesgeeuinge, The Newe Testamente, in his Blouds. Arnobius saith, Religionis Autoritas non est tempore aestimanda, sed numine: nec quo die, sed quid colere ceperis, intueri conuenit Quod Verum est, Serum non est: The Authority of Religion muste be weighed by God, and not by time: It becometh vs so consider, not upon what daie, but what thinge wee beganne to worship. The thinge, that is true, is neuer too late.

S. Augustine saith, Quod Anterior est, inquit Etnici, falsum esse non potest. Quasi Antiquitas, & Vetus Consuetudo prauidet Veritati: The Heathens saie, The Religion, that was firste, can not be false: As though Antiquitie, and Olde Customs

coule

could preuaile againſt the Truſhes. Againe he ſaith, Nec dici debet, quare modo? & quare Serò? Quoniam mittentis Coſilium non eſt humano ingenio penetrabile: Neither maiſt wee ſaie, Why commeth it nowe? Why commeth it ſo late? For the Counſel of God, that ſente it, is unſearchable to the Wiſedom of man. He ſaie, They were Infidels only, that charged the Religion of Chriſte with Noueltie. And further ye ſaie, VVee telle them, that al Newe Doctrines nowe in the Church of Chriſte is naught. Hereto, M. Harding, we ſome agree. And therfore we tel you, that your Newe Fantasies, which ye haue painted with the colour of Anciencie, & therewith haue deceiued the world, are vaine, & naught. As for vs, we haue planted no Newe Religion, but onely haue renewed the Olde, that was undoubtedly founded, & vſed by the Apoſtles of Chriſt, and other Holy Fathers in the Primitive Church: and of this longe late time, by meane of the multitude of your Traditions, and Vanities, hath bene drowned.

Therefore we maiſt ſaie with S. Bernarde, Nouitatem dico, propter linguas hominum impiorum: qui, cum manifeſtum Lumen obnubilare non queunt, de Solo Nouitatis nomine cauillantur, &c. Sed hæc Nouitas non eſt nouella vanitas. Res enim eſt Antiquæ Religionis: Perfeſte fundata in Chriſto Pietatis: Antiqua Hæreditas Eccleſiæ Dei: I call it Noueltie, becauſe of the tongues of wicked menne: who beinge not habile to ſhadowe the manifeſt lighte of the Truth, finde cauillations vpon the Onely name of Noueltie. But this Noueltie is no new Vanitie (as is this late vpſtart Religion of Rome). For it is a mater of Olde Religion: of perſite Godlineſſe founded in Chriſte: The Anciencie Enheritance of the Church of God: The Olde Learned Father Tertullian ſaith, Viderint ergo, quibus Nouum eſt, quod ſibi eſt Vetus: Hærefes non tam Nouitas, quàm Veritas reuincit. Quodcunque contra Veritatem ſapit, hoc eſt Hæreſis, etiam vetus Conſuetudo: Let them therefore take heed, which coumpt that things Newe, that in it ſelfe is Olde. Heresia is reproued, not ſo wel by Noueltie, as by Veritie. What ſo euer thing ſauereth againſt the Truth, the ſame is an Heresia: Yea, although it be a Cuſtome neuer ſo Olde. Ignatius ſaith, Antiquitas mea Ieſus Chriſtus eſt: My Antiquitie is Chriſt Jeſus. For otherwiſe the Religion of Chriſt at that time was coumpted New, and in reſpecte of the Anciencie Religion of the Heathens, euen ſo Nouelties ſake, vniuerſally, and of al menne was commended.

That Auguſtine, the Monke of Rome, brought firſt the Faith into this Lande, it is vtterly untrue. For, as I haue ſaid beſore, it appeareth plainly by ſundrie the Ancient Fathers, Origene, Tertullian, Chryſoſtome, Hilarie, Theodoretus, Eusebius, and others, that the Faith of Chriſte had bene vniuerſally receiued, and perſitely rooted in this Realme many hundred yeres, beſore this Auguſtine the Monke was borne. In dede he brought in great heapes of ſtrange Nouelties, and Superſtitions, as Candles, Candleſtickes, Banners, and Holy VVater, & other like ſhewes: Whereof the Church of God had no greate neede. And yet haue the ſame ſhewes bene created by other ſeuere Diuiſes, and Vanities, aboue meaſure.

But, ſo as muche as certaine of M. Hardinges Beaupères of Louaine haue lately ſounde them ſelues talks, and heapte greate Notes in the behouſe of theſe Auguſtine, the Italian Monke, whom thei cal the Apoſtle of England, and wiſl needes haue to be receiued, and honoured, as a Saincte, I haue thought it therfore good by leiſure, and by the waie, to note a ſeuere wordes touching the ſame.

It ſeemeth, they be muche offended, that ſo Vertuous a Manne, and ſo Holy a Saincte ſhoulde be charged with Pride, and Crueltie. With Pride, in ſo diſdeigneſully deſpiſſinge his Brethren, the Biſhops of this Iſlande of Britaine: With Crueltie, in procuringe the Deathe bothe of many thouſandes of Chriſtian people, and alſo ſpecially of the Innocente, and Unarmed Monkes of Bangor: and al this, ſo that they refuſed to receiue him, as theſe Metropolitane, and to agree with him in certaine ſmal pointes of the Romaine Religion. Howe be it, his Pride is wel blaſed by Beda, wiſtninge purpoſely of the ſame, in that he ſate ſtill in his Throne, and diſdeigned to riſe vp, and to geue any token of Reuerence vnto the ſeuere Biſhops, and other Learned, and graue menne of the Britaines, makinge theſe appearance at his Councel. And therfore they ſaie, they woulde not harken to his demaundes, nor take him ſo their Archebiſhop, as hauinge otherwiſe of olde an Archebiſhop of theſe

Auguſt. De Ciuitate Dei, li. 10. ca. 32

Bernardus De Vita Solitaria,

Tertull. De Virginitate velandi

Ignatius ad Philadelphien,

Beda: Septem Britannor. Epif. & plures Viri Doctissimi,

Augustine
of En-
glande.

Beda, li. 2, ca. 2.

520

The Defense of the Apologie of the

their owne, to whom they ought their obedience. Their wordes, as they are reported by Beda, were these: Si modo nobis assurgere noluit, quanto magis, si ei subdi coeperimus, iam nos pro nihilo contemnet: Ifeuen nowe he disdeigne to rise vp vnto vs, howe muche more wil he despise vs, and regarde vs, as nothinge, when wee shal once be vnder his Jurisdiction?

Beda eodem loco

But, to excuse this Augustine of Shameful Crueltie, lesse he shoulde seeme to be accessorie to the furtheringe of so many, and so by their owne Lawes to be Irregular, as a man of Bloude, they saie, He neither enkindled the Warre againste the Britaines, nor was presente at the fight: but was deade longe before. Which thinge also they thinke, maie be proued by the expresse wordes of Beda. For thus he saith, Quamuis ipso Augustino iam multo ante tempore ad Coelestia Regna Sublato: Norwithstandings Augustine him selfe, longe before the time of this Warre, were taken vp into the Kingdome of Heauen. For the Truthe, and Certaintie hereof, it maie please the, god Christian Reader, to vnderstande, that these laste wordes of Beda, concerninge the Deathe of Augustine, are manifestly forged, and haue benne violently thrust into the Carte, by a guileful Parenthesis, by them, that sitthence haue benne ashamed of his Crueltie, and were neuer witten by the Authoure, as by euidente proues it shal plainly appere.

Thomas
Graie.
Augustine
the inslamer
of the warre.

But firste of al, in an Olde Chronicle, witten in Frenche aboue two hundred yeres past, by Thomas Graie, ye shal finde it recozded thus: Augustine, beinge thus refused of the Bishops, and others the Learned of the Britaines, made such complainte thereof to Ethelberte the Kinge of Kente, that forthwith he leuied his Power, and Marched againste them, and slewe them in moſte Cruel wiſe, hauinge (as he saith) no more regarde of Mercie, then a Wolfe hath vpon a Sheepe. Hereby it appeareth, that this Augustine was the Inslamer of the Warre, and so the causer of the Slaughter.

The true storie
of Beda, transla-
ted by Kinge
Alfrede.
Augustine
aliue in the
time of the
VVarre.

And whereas, by the wordes of Beda, as they be nowe commonly extante in the Latine, we are tolde, this Augustine was deade, longe before the warre beganne, it appeareth plainly by the true Beda in daide, translated aboue seuen hundred yeres agoe into the olde Englishe, or Saxon tongue, by Alfredeus, or Aluredus then Kinge of this lande, that the same Augustine was yet aliue, after the same Warre was ended, and that he afterwarde Consecrated two Bishoppes, Mellitus, and Iustus: Mellitus, to be Bishop of London: and Iustus, to be Bishop of Rochester. Which thinges thus declared, it foloweth orderly in the storie, Then (these Warres beinge ended, and these Bishoppes Consecrated) afterwarde died the beloued Father Augustine. After the VVarre he died, saith he, and not before, as they sitthence altered it in the Latine. I trow, M. Hardinge, ye are not so muche amazed with the admiration of your Augustine, that ye wil saie, he had power to Consecrate Bishoppes, and to bleſs his Archiepiscopall Authortie, beinge deade.

As for these wordes, that we finde reported by the Parenthesis, in the Latine, as witten by Beda, (Quamuis Augustino iam multo ante tempore ad Coelestia Regna sublato). For as muche as they are quite contrarie to the very course, and order of the storie, and specially for that they are not once touched in the Aunciente Saxon Translation, sundrie Copies whereof at this presente are extante, and to be ſene, of such Keuerende Antiquitie, as maie not iustely be called in question, therefore we haue good cause to iudge, that the saide wordes haue benne sitthence forced, and thrust in, by some good skill, and policie, lesse Augustine, so Holy a man, shoulde be found guiltye of so greate a Crueltie.

The

The yere of our Lorde.	The yeeres of Augu- stine aboade in En- glande.	
594	1	This yere Augustine arriueh in Englande, and afterwarde contineweth Archebishop of Canturburie fiftene yeeres: Po- lydorus, Lib. 4.
597	2	
598	3	
599	4	
600	5	
601	6	This yere Augustine receiveth his Pall.
602	7	
603	8	
604	9	This yere Augustine Consecrateth Mellitus Bishop of Lon- don, and Iustus Bishop of Rochester, Beda. Lib. 2. cap. 3.
605	10	This yere the VVarre was keapte againste the Britaines, and the Monkes were slaine at Bangor. <i>The Saxon Cronicle of Peterburrugh.</i> This selfe same yere Augustine Confir- med the Kinges Chartare graunted to the Monastirie of S. Peter in Canturburie.
606	11	This yere Augustine Baptizeth tenne thousande people in the water of Swale. Ranulphus Cestren.
607	12	
608	13	This yere Augustine dieth 7. Calend. Iunij. <i>Matthæus VVeastmonasteriensis.</i>
609	14	
610	15	This yere dieth Augustine, as it is written by Polydore L. 4. And therefore he was alive five yeeres after the slaughter of the Monkes at Bangor.

Merily, in the Old Englishe Chronicle it is recorded, not onely, that this Augu-
stine, the Italian Monk, by his complainte caused the Kinge of Kente to arme his
people, againste the true, and faithfull Christians of the Countre, then beinge in
Wales: or, that he was alive at the time of the battaile, but also, that he was him
selfe presente in persone goinge towarde the same. The wordes be these: Augu-
stine came againe, and tolde Kinge Ethelberte, that the Britaines would not obeie him,
Wherefore the Kinge was wrothe, and sente to Elfrede the Kinge of Northumberlande to
come to heale him, to distresse the Britaines of VVales. And (Augustine) the Arche-
bishop of Canturburie mette with them at Leicester. The Kinge of Leicester at that
time was called Broccale. He beinge afraide of the twoo Kinges, fledde out of the Lande, and
came nether againe. And the twoo Kinges seised al his Landes, and departed them betwene
them selves. And afterwarde they wente towardes VVales. The Britaines hearde of them,
and sente menne to them in their shirtes, and barefoote, to aske mercie. But they were so cruel,
that they had of them no pitie, &c. Hereby it appeareth, that this Augustine, not onely
by enhendled this cruel Warre, but also was alive, and presente in the Arme.

Augustine
alive, and in
companie
with the
Kinges mar-
chinge to-
wardes the
fielde.

Addition

Addition. But, to put bothe you, and your friendes quite out of doute,
touchinge, as wel the truthe hereof, as also the maniffeste, and sensible Corruption
of your Beda, I wil here shew you the Copie of a Chartare, graunted by Ethelberte
the Kinge of Kente, to the Abbie of S Peter in Canturburie, and Confirmed by Au-
gustine the blake Monk, and Archebishop there, the selfe same yere, when the
slaughter of the Monkes, wherof we speake, was committed. Thus it beginneth,

*In nomine Domini nostri Jesu Christi. Omnem hominem, qui se-
cundum Deum vivit, & remunerari à Deo sperat, & optat, oportet ut puris precibus
consensum hilariter ex animo prebeat: quoniam certum est, tanto facilius, ea, quæ ipse
à Domino poposcerit, consequi posse, quanto & ipse libentius Deo aliquid concesserit.
Quocirca ego Ethelbertus Rex Cantia cum Consensu Venerabilis*

Xx ij

Archiepisc.

Archiepiscopi *Augustini*, ac principum meorum, do, & concedo Deo in honore Sancti Petri, aliquam partem terræ Iuris mei, quæ iacet in Oriente *Civitatis Dorobernia*. Ita duntaxat, vt Monasteriū ibi construatur, & res quæ supra memorauī in potestate Abbatū sit, qui ibi fuerit ordinatus. Igitur adiuro, & præcipio in nomine Domini Dei omnipotentis, qui est omnium rerum Iudex iustus, vt præfata terra subscripta Donatione sempiternaliter sit confirmata, ita vt nec mihi, nec alicui Successorum meorum, Regum, aut Principum, siue cuiuslibet conditionis dignitatibus, & Ecclesiasticis gradibus de ea aliquid fraudare liceat. Si quis vero de hac Donatione mea aliquid minuire, aut irritum facere temptauerit, sit in præsentī separatus à Sancta Communionē Corporis, & Sanguinis Christi, & in die Iudicii ob meritum malitiæ suæ, à consortio Sanctorum omnium segregatus. Circumscripta est hæc terra his terminis. In Oriente *Ecclesia S. Martini*: In Meridie viæ *Othburghæ*: In Occidente, & in Aquilone *Drutinge* in Ciuitate *Doroberni* in anno ab incarnatione Christi D C V. Indictione VIII.

✠ *Ego Æthelbertus Rex Cantia*, sana mente, integroque consilio

Donationem meam signo sanctæ Crucis, propria manu roborauī, confirmauique. Ego *Augustinus* Gratia Dei Archiepiscopus testis consentiens libenter subscripsi. Ego *Eadbaldu* Regis Fauī. Ego *Hermigisilus* Dux Laudauī. Ego *Hocca* Comes Consensi. Ego *Augemundus* referendarius approbauī. Ego *Graphio* Comes Benedixi. Ego *Tangisilus* Regis Optimas Confirmaui. Ego *Pinca* Consensi. Ego *Geddi* Corroborauī.

This Chartare is extante, and maie be saine vnder Authentical Seales: And an other likewise bearinge the same Date, and like Inscription. Marke wel the yere of our Lozde, *Æ. Hardinge*, and compare wel the times. This Chartare, as it is plaine, and euidente to the eie, was Sealed, and Dated in the yere of our Lozde 605. And the selfe same yere, as it appeareth by the Chronicle of Peterburrough, the Monkes were slaine, even the selfe same yere, I saie, in whiche this Chartare was graunted by the Kinge, & confirmed by Augustine. Nowe, I beseeche you, where is the credite of your vaine Stoie? Howe can it possibly be true, that your corrupted Beda saith, Quamuis Augustino iam multo ante tempore ad Coelestia Regna sublato? If Augustine were alieue & self same yere, how can it be true, I saie, that he was dead so long before? Do you not see manifest forgerie with your eyes? So not this corruption so grosse, that yē maie sale it with your fingers? If Augustine had ben deade so longe time, or so many yeres before, how could he confirme Chartares the same yere present? Will you make him so Holy a man, that he was habile to write, & confirme Chartares beinge deade? Your Beda, as he is by somme of your side guilefully corrupted, saith, Augustine was dead a great longe while before the slaughter. But Augustine him self saith, he was alieue the selfe same yere, when the slaughter was made. And that he proueth not by consecures, and Chasles, as you do often, but by sufficiente Record vnder the Kinges greate Seale. And I beseeche you, what better euidence maie be shewed? It was an easie mater, by enterlarding a fewe wordes, to falsifie the truth of a Stoie: And there was good cause, in regarde of your Augustines credite, why yē should do it. But what cause can you imagine, why any man in this point should corrupte, and falsifie the Kinges greate Seale? What gaine coulde he haue had therein? Or what hope of gaine? Or, if there had benne cause neuer so greate, yet what man coulde so easily haue wrought it? Say Mattheus VVestmonasteriensis, that wrote Flores Historiarum, saith, that Augustine lived until the yere of our Lords 608. And was alieue thre yeres after the Monkes were slaine. If he were alieue thre yeres after the slaughter, how is it true, that you saie, he was deade so longe a while before the slaughter?

Nowe maie it be your choise, *Æ. Hardinge*, whether yē will beleue Kinge Æthelberte, and your Augustine him selfe vnder theire owne Instrumentes, and Authentical Seales, or els your Stoie of Beda, manifestly corrupted, & wretched quite from the Original, as it is moste easie to be saine.

Therefore, *Æ. Hardinge*, if thou henceforth be god, both for you, and for your felowes, not to aduenter so rashly in iudgement, before yē knowe. Thus muste briefly, as answere vnto them, that so saine would haue their Augustine acquitted of Pride, and Crueltye.

The

Anno 605.

Matthe. VVest-
monasterien.

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Division, 2.

whererin they doo mutche like to the Coniurers, and Sorcerers
nowe a daies, who wooz kinge with Diuels, vse to saie, they haue their
Bookes, and al their Holy, and hid Mysteries from Achanasius, Cy-
prian, Moses, Abel, Adam, and from the Archangel Raphael: to the
ende, that theire conninge beeing thoughte to comine from sutch Pa-
trones, and founders, mighte be iudged the moze highe and Holy. Af-
ter the same manner these menne, bicause they woulde haue their owne
Religion, whiche, they them selues, and that not longe sithence haue
brought forth into the world, to be the moze easily, and rather accepted
of foolish persones, oz of suche, as caste litle, whereabout they, oz others
doo goe, they are woont to saie, thei had it from Augustine, Hierome,
Chrysostome, from the Apostles, and from Christe him selfe. Ful wel
knowe they, that nothings is moze in the peoples sauoure, oz better li-
keth the common soyte, then these names.

M. Hardinge.

Nay Sirs, your selues may with more reason be likened to Enchaunters, Necromancers, and VVit-
ches. For as they saie, that they haue their Bookes, and their Mysteries from those Doctours, and first
Fathers, and from Raphael the Archangel, but cannot shewe the deliuey thereof by any Succession
from hande to hande, as for example, who receiued the same from Raphael, from Adam, from Abel,
&c. and who keapte them from time to time: So yee saie also, that ye haue your Gospel, and euery
parte of your Doctrine from the Apostles, from Christ, from the prophetes, from the Patriarkes, from
Heauen, from Goddes owne bosome, who is Father of Lightes. But ye cannot shewe vs your lawfull
Succession, by whom, and by whose preachinge, as by handes, it came downe alonge from Christe
and his Apostles vnto you. (a) VWhere laie your Sacramentarie Doctrine hidden betweene the time
of your prophete Zuinglius, and your Patriarke Berengarius? How, and by what deliuey from hand
to hande continued the same those fise hundred yeeres? Shewe vs your Succession. VWhere be your
Bishoppes, where be your Churches?

The Doctrine, whiche the Catholikes of our Countrie holde, and professe, (b) as wel touchinge
the Blessed Sacramente, as al other pointes of our faith, they haue receiued it of their Bishoppes, and
they of their Predecessours, by order vntil they reache to S. Augustine: S. Augustine receiued it of S.
Gregorie: (b) he of others before him: (b) and they al one of an other by continual ascende vnto S.
Peter: who receiued it of Christ: Christe of God his Father. (b) And this Doctrine we finde taught
and plainely set foorth in the Bookes that (c) S. Augustine, Hierome, Chrysostome, Ambrose, Basile,
Cyprian, Dionys, and the other Holy Fathers haue least to the posteritie. And so they be witnesses of
the truthe of the Doctrine whiche our Bishoppes haue taught vs.

Preache ye, and crie ye out neuer so muche, make so many Lawes in your Parlamentes, as ye list,
imbrue your Swordes in the Bloude of the Catholike Christians, as ye crie for it in your Pulpites, yet
shal that rocke, whereon we staie, be too harde for you. Neither shal yee euer be able to ouerthrowe
the Catholike Church builded thereupon. For certaine we are, that neither al your power, nor Hel
gates shal preuaile againste it.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Marcus Varro was wonte to saie, Vtile est Ciuitatibus, vt se viri fortes, etiam si
falsum sit, ex Dijs genitos esse credant: It is very behoueful for Citties, and Common Weales,
that men of valiant courage beleene them selues to be the Children of the Goddes, yea although
in deede it be untrue. Vnto whsch wordes S. Augustine addeth these: Hæc Sententia,
cernis, quam latum locum aperiat falsitati: Tee see howe large a scope this saieinge doth open
to the Maintenance of Falschedge. Many vaine men, to auance the Nobilitie of theire
blonde, haue sette their petite græes, some from Achilles, some from Aneas, somme
from Hercules, and some from the Arke of Noë. The Heretique Dioscorus, to geate
some credite to his Doctrine, woulde seme to byng the descende thereof from al the
Ancient Fathers of the Church. For thus he saide in the open Councel, Ego testi-
monia habeo Sanctorum Patrum, Athanasij, Gregorij, Cyrilli, in multis locis. Ego
cum Patribus eijcior: Ego defendo Patrum Dogmata: Non transgredior in aliquo: Et
horum Testimonia, non simpliciter, neque transitorie, sed in Libris habeo: I haue the
witnesse of the Holy Fathers, Athanasius, Gregorius, Cyrillus, in many places. I am thrown
forth

(a) It laie in the
Scriptures, and
in the Bookes
of the Holy Fa-
thers.
(b) Vntruthes
vaine. Reade
the Answere.
(c) Vntruth. For
al this is but a
shewe of empty
names, and no-
thing in them.

August. De Ci-
uitate Li. 3. ca. 4

Concil. Chalced.
Actio. 1. ca. 767.

Concil. Chalced.
Actio. 1. Pa. 793.
Concil. Chalced.
Actio. 4. Pa. 877.

Socrus. li. 4. ca. 26

Anno. 1548.

1. Regum. 2.
Polydor. De In-
uentorib. Lib. 4.
Cap. 9.

Extra. Qui Filij
sint Legitimi.
per Venerabilis-
sim. Abb.
Hofius in Con-
fessione Petrica-
nien. Cap. 88.
Copus Dialog. 3
Pag. 194.
Copus Dialog. 2
Pag. 284.
Epistola. 1. 1. 1.

foorth with the Fathers: I defende the Fathers Doctrine: I swarne not from them in any
pointe: I haue their witnessse, not barelly, nor by the waie, but in their Bookes.

So saide the Heretique Eutyches, Ego legi Scripta Beati Cyrilli, & Sanctorum Pa-
trum, & Sancti Athanasij: I haue readde the Bookes of Cyrillus, of the Holy Fathers, and
of Athanasius. So saide the Heretique Carosus, Ego secundum expositionem tre-
centorum decem & octo Patrum, sic Credo: sic Baptizatus sum: Thus doo I beleue, and
thus was I Baptized, accordinge to the Exposition of the three hundred and eightieth Fathers
in the Council of Nice. Thus the Arian Heretiques alleged the Authozitie of the
Ancient Father Origen: thus the Pelagian Heretiques alleged the Authozitie of S.
Augustine. As, vpon occasion, it hath bene saide befoze.

Euene with sutch truth, M. Hardinge, are you wont to blase the Armes of your
Religion. There is no tole so vaine, or so fabulous, but ye are hable by your conning
to bringe it lineally, either from Christe him selfe, or from his Apostles, or from one,
or other of the Ancient Fathers. The Bishop of Sidon in the Late Diete of the Em-
pire holden at Augusta, auouched openly, that ye had your whole Canon from the
Apostles of Christe, wch by wch, euene as it is perusly witten in your Masse
Bookes. Andreas Barbatius proueth the Antiquitie of the Cardinales of Rome, by
these wordes, witten in the first Booke of the Kings, Domini sunt Cardines Terre:
Et posuit super eos Orbem: The corners of the Earth be the Lordes: and vpon them he hath
sette the Worlde. Abbate Panormitane saith, Cardinalatus est de Iure Diuino: Quia
Papa per Sacerdotes Leuiticos intelligit Cardinales: The Cardinalship standeth by the
Lawe of God: For the Pope by the Leuitical Priestes vnderstandeth his Cardinals. Hosius
saime to saie, that Monkes haue their beginning euene from the Apostles, meaning
thereby, as one of your Companions there dothe, in fauour, I trowe, of Religion, Matche
hereof is
alleged
before.
that Christe him selfe was the Abbate. For thus he saith, Christus Dux, & exemplar
vitæ Monasticæ: Christe was the Captaine, and samplare of Monkes Life. And yet the
same man afterwarde, as hauinge soe gotten his sozmer dzeame, bitterly displaceth
Christe, and geneth the whole honour hereof vnto Elias, and Elizeus. Wesse be his
wordes, Elias, & Elizeus Duces instituti Benedictini: Elias, and Elizeus were the Cap-
taines of S. Benets order, that is to saie, they were Blacke Monkes. By like wisdome
ye woulde saime to setche your Holy V Vater from Elizeus: your Cardinales Hatte
from S. Hierome: your Monkes Cowle from S. Augustine. This was sommetime
a folly god waie to winne credite, specially whiles, what so euer ye saide, the people
was ready to geue you rare. So the olde Arcades saide in commendation of theire
Antiquitie, that they were a base, or tw elder then the Moone. Saturnus, bringe
in Italie, so that he was a stranger, and no man knewe, from whence he came, ther-
foze was called Filius Cæli, and was thought to come from Heauen. Romulus, and
Alexander, so that they were bozne in bastards, and neuer knewe their owne fa-
thers, therfoze to magnifie the nobilitie of their blonde, woulde be called the Chil-
dren of the Goddess: the one of Mars, the other of Iuppiter.

With sutche Truthe, and Fidelitie, M. Hardinge, your worte is to paine cut
al the partes, and members of your Doctrine. For, be it neuer so vaine, or childishe,
or lately duffed, yet ye haue vs in hande, That your Predecessours receiued the same (as you
saie) of their Bishops: and they of others their Predecessours by order, vntil they reache to your Au-
gustine the Monke of Rome, whom ye haue sul wchly made a Saint: Your Augustine
(ye saie) receiued the same of Gregorie: Gregorie of others before him: and they al, one of an other
by continual ascent vnto S. Peter: and peter of Christe: and Christe of God his Father. So He-
ralde could lightly haue saide moze in the mater. I trow, ye woulde proue by this As-
cente, and Descente, that God the Father made Holy V Vater, and said Masse.

In dede, as wel herein, as also in your emptie names of Augustine, Hierome,
Chrysostome, Ambrose, Basile, Cyprian, Dionyse, &c. As I tolde you once befoze, ye
bringe vs onely a vaine shewe of painted bores, and nothings in them. For in al
these Holy Fathers, where finde you either your Private Masse: or your Halse Com-
munion: or your Accidentes without Sub iecte: or the reste of your like Vanities,
wherewith ye haue so longe time deceiued the worlde: Leane your dissimulation:
sette

sette aparte your Consecrations, and blinde ghesseles: and for your credites sake, once shewe vs these thinges in the Ancient Holy Fathers: and shewe them plainly, and in orde: that we maie thinke, there is somme weight in your worde.

But your owne Glose, speakinge of the Administration of the Holy Communion, whiche now in your Churches in a manner is wholly abolished, saith thus: Hoc Antiquum est: Nam hodie videtur esse relictum: This was the Olde Order, that the people shoulde receiue together. For, as it seemeth, now it is leaste. Doctour Tonstal saith, It was no Heresie, to denie your Transubstantiation, before your late Council of Laterane. Erasmus, whose iudgement, I thinke, ye wil not refuse, saith thus, In Synaxi Transubstantiationem sero definiuit Ecclesia: In the Holy Ministration, it was longe, and very late, ere the Church determined the Article of Transubstantiation. At this notwithstandinge, ye blasse not to saie, that bothe these, and al other your fantasies haue benne conueighed vnto you by moste certaine Succession, from hande to hande: from your Englishe Augustine: from Gregorie: from the Fathers: from the Apostles: from Christe: and from the bosome of God him selfe.

De Consecr. Dist. 2.
peracta. in Glof.
Cuthb. Tonstal.
De Eucharistia.
Lib. 1. Pag. 45.
Anno 1215.
Erasmus. 1. Corin. 7

The Apologie, Cap. 1. Diuision. 3.

But howe if the thinges, whiche these men are so desirous to haue seeme Newe, be founde of greatest Antiquitie? Contrariwise, howe if al the thinges wel nigh, which they so greatly set out with the name of Antiquitie, hauinge benne wel and thorowly examined, be at lengthe founde to be but Newe, and diuised of very late? Soothely to saie, no man that hath a true, and righte consideration, woulde thinke the Iewes Lawes, & Ceremonies to be Newe in deede, for al Hammans Accusation. For they were grauen in very Anciente Tables of greatest Antiquitie. And although many did take Christ to haue swarued from Abraham, and the Olde Fathers, and to haue broughte in a certaine Newe Religion in his owne name, yet answered he them directly: If yee beleueed Moses, yee vould beleeue mee also. For my Doctrine is not so Newe, as you make it. For Moses, an Authoure of greatest Antiquitie, and one, to whom yee geue al honoure, hath spoken of me. S. Paule likewise, Thonghe the Gospel of Iesus Christe be of many coumpted to be but Newe, yet hath he (saith he) a testimonie moste olde, bothe of the Lawe, and of the Prophetes. As for our Doctrine, whiche wee maie more rightely calle Christes Catholique Doctrine, it is so farre of from Newe, that God, who is aboue al moste Anciente, and the Father of our Lorde Iesus Christe, hath leaste the same vnto vs in the Gospel, in the Prophetes, and Apostles woordes, beeing monumentes of greatest age. So that noman can now thinke our Doctrine to be Newe, onlesse the same thinke, either the Prophetes saith, or the Gospel, or els Christe him selfe to be Newe.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 1. & 2.

And as for their Religion, if it be of so longe continuance, as they woulde haue men weene it is, why doo they not proue it so by the examples of the Primitive Church, & by the Fathers, and Councils of Old times? Why lieth so Anciente a cause thus longe in the duste, destitute of an Advocate? Fiers, and Swerde they haue had alwaies ready at

Ex liii

hande;

hande: but as for the Olde Councelles, and fathers, al Hum, not a woorde. They did surely againste al reason, to beginne firste with these so bloudy, and extreme meanes, if they coude haue founde other moze easy, and gentle waies.

And if they truste so fully to Antiquitie, & vse no dissimulation, why did Iohn Clemente a Countreie man of ours, but fewe yeeres paste, in the presence of certaine honest men, and of good credite, teare and caste into the fire certaine leaues of Theodoret the most Ancient father, and a Greeke Bishop, wherein he plainly, and euidently taught, that the Nature of Breade in the Communion is not changed, or abolished, or brought to nothing: And this did he of pourpose, because he thought there was none other Copie thereof to be founde.

M. Hardinge.

Touchinge the mater you haue deuised vpon M. Clemente, he doothe onely denie it in woordes, that euer he burnt or otherwise destroyed any lease of Theodoretus, but also declareth by the whole order of his life, and by special regarde and loue he beareth to the tongue, whiche that learned Bishop wrote in, that he hathe euer benne, and yet is farre from the wil to burne or destroye any scrappe, syllable, or letter of Greeke, muche more certaine leaues of the Learned Father Theodoretus, where any suche thinge was written, as you imagine. Nay, wil ye haue the trothe? In very deede he saithe, and by suche waie, as a Godly and graue man maie auouche a trothe, protesteth, that he neuer had hitherto any parte of that Booke, neither in Greeke, or in Latine in written hande.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This repozte was made in the presence, and hearinge of M. Peter Martyr, and sundrie other Learned menne, of whom certaine are yet alieue. The Repozter was bothe a Learned man, and a graue father, and not longe sitthence a Bishop in Englande: who saide, he was presente, and saue the thinge donne with his eyes. Poze to saie hereof, I am not hable.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Diuision. 3.

Why saithe Albertus Pigghius, that the Ancient father S. Augustine had a wzonge Opinion of Original Sinne? And that he erred, and lied, and vsed False Logique, as touchinge the case of Matrimonie, concluded after a Vowe made: whiche Matrimonie S. Augustine affirmeth to be persite in deede, & that it maie not be vndonne againe, the Vowe, and Promise notwithstandinge.

M. Hardinge.

(a) VVee neuer tooke our selues bounde to any priuate opinion of what so euer Doctour. For al our Faith is Catholike; that is to saie, Vniuersal, suche as not one Doctour alone (b) but the Vniuersal number of Doctours haue taught, and Christen people haue receiued. If in a secrete pointe of Learninge S. Augustine or S. Cyprian teache singularly, wee solowe them not. Muche lesse doo wee binde our selues to mainteine, what so euer Albertus Pigghius hathe written. Our Doctrine of Original sinne is to be readen in the fiftie session of the late Tridentine Council. W Pigghius dissenteth from that, he dissenteth from vs. But if he stande onely vpon some pointe not yet determined by the Church, his opinion maie be tolerated vntil the Church define that question. VVhen you note the pointe, (For there are many pointes in that Doctrine) then wee wil shewe you further our minde therein.

The Marriage, whiche is made after a simple Vowe of Chastitie, standeth in his force, by reason that there is more in marriage, then was in the bare Vowe. (c) For in the simple Vowe there is nothing but a promise made to God, without any deliuerance of that thinge, whiche was promised. But in marriage the man and woman by presente acceptation of eche others bonde, doo make the mater to extende beyonde the nature of a promise. Therefore if likewise the Vowe made to God were not a simple promise, but also a deliueringe of the thinge promised: then cannot the marriage followinge make void the Vowe, whiche was not onely promised, but also performed. (d) The performance is, when he that Voweth, doth professe him selfe in the hands of his Superiour by takinge the habite of some Religion, or by receiuinge Holy orders of the Bishop. For in that solemne acte he deliuereth vp al his owne righte and power, so that now he is not maister of him selfe to geue his Body to any personne in marriage, or otherwise. You shal knowe by the Lawe of Nature, if you would

Dist. 27. Quidam.

August. De Bonis

Viduita. Cap. 12.

(a) M. Hardinge refuseth S. Augustines Authority.

(b) This Vn-truthe is ouer colde, & vaine. For M. Harding wel knowech the substance of his Doctrine standeth not by the Doctours. (c) Promise made vnto God without deliuerie. A folie of al folies.

(d) A Vowe made before the Bishop, or Abbate, is of more force then a Vowe made before God alone.

Sub P^{re}l^{atus}
3.

woulde consider it, that if I promise a Horse to one man, and afterwarde pronaife the same, and deliuer him to an other: that the seconde man is true Lorde of that Horse, although I haue done iniurie to him, to whom I made the firste promise. For the promise with the deliuerie, is more vaileable to transerre my right in the horse, then my promise alone. Euen so it is a great sinne to breake a simple Vowe of Chastitie made to God.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Howe lightly your Captaine General, Albertus Pigghius, weigheth the Autho-
ritie of S. Augustine, it maie appeare by his wordes. For thus he writeth, Quod non
solum incerta, sed etiam falsa sit Augustini Sententia, ita mihi demonstrari posse vide-
tur: *Thou ma thinketh, I am hable to proue, that S. Augustines Judgement herein is not onely
uncertaine, but also False. And againe afterwarde in the Conclusion, Quod Augustini
Sententia non solum incerta, sed etiam certo Falsa sit, satis mihi demonstratum vide-
tur: That S. Augustines Judgement is, not onely uncertaine, but also certainly False, me thin-
keth I haue sufficiently proued. And againe, Non multum me mouet Augustini Sen-
tentia: mihi non placet Augustini ea de re Definitio, & Sententia: S. Augustines Judgement
dooth not greatly moue me: I like not S. Augustines Determination and Judgement, touching
this mater. And againe, Ego omnium, non solum Aduersariorum, sed etiam Catholi-
corum receptas in Scholis redarguo Sententias: I doo reprove the Judgements, not onely of
our Aduersaries, but also of the Catholiques allowed in the Schooles. For these causes Ru-
ardus Tapper of Louaine, and Lirienfis of Portugale haue namely written againste
Pigghius. And, for as much, as ye are desirous to haue the pointe noted, wherein Pig-
ghius so much misliketh S. Augustines Judgement, Dominicus à Soto your owne Do-
ctour noteth it thus, Pigghius de hoc male audit, quasi peccata in nobis Originalia om-
nino inficietur: Pigghius is il reported of, as a man, that vterly denieth Original Sinne. Thus
your Doctours weigh S. Augustines Autho-ritie, lighter, or beauser, as they like.*

The mater of Marriage after a Vowe, is blowen awaie with a sely Distinction,
of a Vowe Simple, and a Vowe Double, whiche ye commonly cal a Solemne Vowe:
and al the same is substanti ally, and clearly proued by the promise, and deliuerie of a
Horse. For this Example of al others, liked you beste. Surely, P. Hardinge, a very
Simple Creature, and somewhat inferiour to a Horse, would hardely be tied to such
Distinctions. For the better clearinge hereof, that ye calle a Simple Vowe, that is
made befoze God alone: that Double, or Solemne, that is made in the presence of the
Bishop, or Abbate. Nowe, it is plainly confessed by your owne Doctours, that your
Simple Vowe, be it neuer so Simple, yet bindeth you as strengtely before God, as the
Double. For Pope Celestinus saith, Votum Simplex apud Deum non minus ligat,
quam Solenne: The Simple Vowe before God, bindeth nolesse, then the Solemne. And,
touchinge the Promise, and Deliuerie of your Horse, Iohannes Scotus saith, Alia ra-
tio est, quod Vouens Solenniter mittit in possessionem illum, cui Vouet Solenniter:
Vouens autem Private, non: sed quasi promittit, Sed hæc ratio valet minus, quam se-
cunda. Quia omnia, que intrinseca sunt Voto, vt Votum respicit actum voluntatis, per
quem obligat se vouendo, & transfert Dominium suum in alterum, omnia, inquam,
ista sunt æqualia hinc inde. Igitur non magis datio hic, quam ibi: nec promissio ibi,
quam hic: *An other reason, that they vse, is this: That he, that maketh a Solemne Vowe,
putteth him, to whom he so Voweth, in possession. But so dooth not he, that maketh a Simple
Vowe: but onely geueth his promise. This Reason is worse, and weaker, then the Seconde.
For al thinges, that be of the Substance of the Vowe, (as a Vowe concerneth the Acte of the
Minde, whereby the Minde bindeth it selfe by Vowing, and transposeth the ownership of it
selfe vnto an other) al these thinges, I saie, are of like weight, and equal of either side.
Therefore there is no more perfourmance of promise in the Solemne Vowe, then in
the Simple: nor more promise in the Simple Vowe, then in the Solemne. Thus you
see, P. Hardinge, with greates trouble, and muche adoe, ye haue founde a difference
without difference. Cardinal Caietane saith, Eiusdem speciei est transgressio Voti
Solennis, & Simplicis: Et differunt solum secundum magis graue, & minus graue:
The breakinge of a Vowe Simple, and a Vowe Solemne, is of one kinde, or Nature: And
the difference is onely in more greuous, and lesse greuous, that is, that the one is more grie-
uous, and offensiu, then the other.*

Alber. Pigghius
in. 1. Controuersi.

De Peccato O-
rigenis.
Alber. Pigghius
in eadem con-
trouersia.

Ruard. Tapper
Lihensis epis-
copus Lusitanus
Petrus à Soto, de
Natura, &
Gratia.

Extra. Qui Cle-
rici & promittes.
Rursus.
Scotus in 4. Sen-
ten. Dist. 38. qu. 1.

Caietan. in
Thom. secund.
secunde. quæst.
82. Artic. 7.

There.

August. de Doct.
videtur, ca. 10

Therefore Thomas of Aquine him selfe, the firste Father, as it appeareth of this Distinction, saith thus: Videtur, quod Ecclesia possit dispensare in Voto Continencie Solennizato per susceptionem Sacri Ordinis: It seemeth that the Church may dispense with a Vow of Chastitie Solennized by the receiuinge of Holy Orders.

And this is it, that S. Augustine saith, Qui dicunt, talium Nuptias, non esse Nuptias, sed potius Adulteria, mihi non videntur satis acute, ac diligenter considerare, quid dicant: They that saie, the Marriage of such Men, or Women, (as haue vowed Chastitie) is no Marriage at al, but rather Adulterie, (as M. Hardinge, and his felowes haue saide) seeme vnto mee, not to consider discretely, or aduisedly, what they saie. Thus therefore, M. Hardinge, notwithstandinge your Simple, or Double Vow. S. Augustine saith vnto you, Yee speake Vnaduisedly, and Vndiscretely, and vnderstande not, what you saie. But of this whole matter, we haue entreated before more at large.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 4.

Liber hodie ex-
tat et circum-
fertur mutilus.

Also, when they did of late put in Printe the Aunciente Father Origenes woorkes vpon the Gospel of S. Iohn, why leaue they quite out the whole sixth Chapter, wherein it is likely, yea rather of very surertie, that the said Origen had writtten many thinges concerninge the Sacramente of the Holy Communion, contrarie to these mennes mindes, and woulde rather put foorth that Booke mangled, then full and perfite: for feare it shoulde repproue them, and their parteners of their erreure. Calle yee this trustinge to Antiquitie, when yee rente in peeces, keepe backe, maim, and burne the Aunciente Fathers?

M. Hardinge.

*Hereby M.
Hardinge shaketh much the
credite of his
owne Learning

A wise man affirmeth nomore then he knoweth: a good man nomore then standeth with Chastitie: a learned man, in matters of weight, nomore, then he can auouch by euidente * reasons, * sure proues, or * sufficient authorities. This Defender charginge the Catholikes with manglinge of Origen vpon S. Iohns Gospel, as though of purpose they had left out the sixth Chapter, which he imagineth to containe their Sacramentarie Doctrine contrary to the Catholike Faith: for asmuch as he is vncertaine hercof, and thereby noteth a greate vntuth in the letters forthe of that woork, neither by any meanes is able to proue the same: he sheweth him selfe a foole, a slanderer, and an vnlearned man. VVe are like I perceiue, to heare of the faultes they nowe by vs, sith that they burthen vs with that, that they knowe not, and for the same can pretende but a slender coniecture. But Sir Defender, In prouing why complaine you not of the leauinge out of other Chapters, and partes of that woork, as wel as 19. Hom. of the sixthe Chapter? For whereas Origen wrote vpon Iohn nine and thirrie tomes, as S. Hierome Origenes witnesseth: the Latine translation Printed in Venis hath but 32. lackinge the seuen laste tomes. Lucan. Neither be al they whole and perfite, but many of them maimed and mangled.

VVhat manner a Doctrine of the Blessed Sacramente he hath vttered vpon the sixth Chapter of Iohn, and howe Catholike he was in that pointe, it appeareth by diuers his other woorkes, that you haue no cause to belie him in that you neuer sawe. For the truth of Christes Body in the Sacrament, his testimonies be euidente. For credites sake, here wil I recite a couple. In one place he saith thus: Yee knowe which haue benne woont to be presente at the Diuine Mysteries, howe that when ye take the Body of our Lord, ye kepe it with al warenesse and reuerence, that now hit thereof fal downe, that nothinge of the consecrated gyfte miscary. For ye beleue your selues to be gilty, and right wel doo ye so beleue, if by negligence ought fal downe. In an other place, writing vpon the Centurions wordes spoken to Christe, Matth. 8. VVhen (saith he) thou takest that Holy meate, and that vncorrupt drinke, when thou enioiest that Breade and Cuppe of Life, thou Eatest and Drinkest the Body, and Bloud of our Lorde, then our Lorde enteth vnder thy roose.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Council, A phris
can, cap. 103.

Wee laie not in the manlinge of this Aunciente Father, as matter of sufficiente euidence, but onely as a greate confecture of your Corruption, referringe the iudgement thereof vnto the Reader. Certainly, M. Hardinge, we haue good cause many wayes, to doubte your dealinge: but in nothinge more, then in the handlinge of the Fathers. Yee remembre, howe wickedly Pope Zosimus, the better to colour his Ambition, longe stibence corruped the Nicene Council. Neither can yee forger, what triffles, and fabulous Vanities, yee haue lately sente vs abroade vnder the olde smoky names of Abdias, Leontius, Amphilocheus, Hippolytus, and Clemens, whom yee so solemnely cal the Apostles Felowe. In these vnlearnedly conuincances, to any wise

Hom. 11.
Lud.

Hom. 11.
Lud.
Euangel.
locus.

wise man there can appeare no simple meaninge. Not withstandinge ye thoughte it good policie, to deceiue the worlde by any wylle, or shadowe of Anciente Fathers.

What Origenē thought of the wordes of Christe in the first Chapter of S. Iohn, it is easie to coniecture, by that he hath written otherwheres. Upon the Leviticus he writeth thus, Est & in Euangelio Litera, quæ occidit: Si enim secundum Literam sequaris illud, quod dictum est, Nisi comederitis Carnem Filij Hominis &c. ea Litera occidit: Euen in the Gospell there is a Letter, that killeth: For where as Christe saith, Onlesse ye eate the Fleashe of the Sonne of Man, &c. if ye take the same accordinge to the Letter, that Letter killeth. This was Origenē's iudgemente of the Sacramente: and the same in those daies was counted Catholique.

¶ Ye replie, Origenē saith, When yee take the Body of our Lorde, yee keepe it with al warinesse, and reuerence, that no parte thereof falle downe. And againe, When thou takest that Holy meate, then our Lorde entreth vnder thy rooffe. Bothe these places in my former Replie are fully answered. But what Catholique Doctrine, M. Hardinge, can ye pike out of these wordes? What Transubstantiation? What Real Presence? What Accidentes without Subiecte? ¶ Ye wil saie, Origenē calleth the Sacramente Christes Body. So dothe Christe him selfe: so dothe Paule: so do al the Anciente Fathers: so do we our selues, because it is the Sacramente of Christes Body. Your owne Glose saith, as it hath benne often alleged, Vocatur Corpus Christi, id est, Significat Corpus Christi: It is called the Body of Christe, that is to saie, it Signifieth the Body of Christe. But the people (ye saie) receiued it warily, and with reuerence. So do they now, euen in those Churches, that you moste mislike withal.

¶ Ye saith further, V When thou receiuest that Holy meate, then our Lorde entreth vnder thy rooffe. And what greates matier thinke you to winne hereby? Euen in the same place Origenē saith, Intrat etiam nunc Dominus sub testam credentium duplici Figura, vel more: Euen nowe the Lorde entreth vnder the rooffe of the Faithfull after twoo manners, or sortes. For, when the Holy, and Godly Bishoppes enter into your house, euen then through them our Lorde entreth. Will ye conclude hence, that the Bishop is Transubstantiate into Christe? Or, that Christe is Really, and Substantially dwellinge in him? This is an Allegorie, M. Hardinge, or a Mystical kinde of Speech, wherein, as you knowe, that Learned Father was muche delisted. The Rooffe, that he meaneth, is not Spaterial, but Spiritual: that is to saie, not the Body of Man, but the Soule: Like as also the Comminge, or Enteringe of Christe into the same, is not Bodily, but onely Spiritual.

So S. Augustinē saith, Prædicant Christum, & cum annuntiando venire faciunt in exhausta fame viscera Filij esurientis: They Preache Christe, and by Preachinge, cause him to come into the Bowelles of the hungry childe, wasted with Famine. Likewise againe he saith of the Centurion, Tecto non recipiebat Christum: Corde recipiebat: quanto humilior, tanto capaciore, tanto plenior: He receiued not Christe into his house: he receiued him into his Hart: The more humble, the more roome had he to receiue him, and the fuller he was. So saith Chrysostome, Qui vocant David cum Cythara, intus Christum per ipsum vocant: They that call in David with his Harpe, by meane of him call in Christe. Againe he saith, Christus aut suscipitur, aut occiditur apud nos. Si enim credimus verbis eius, suscipimus eum, & generamus in nobis: Christe either is receiued, or slaine within vs. For if wee beleue his Wordes, wee receiue him, and begete him within vs. In suche sorte S. Hierome writeth vnto Paula, Ad talem clemens ingreditur Iesus, & dicit, Quid ploras? Non est mortua Puella, sed dormit: Into suche a one Iesus entreth milde, and gracious, and saith, Why weepest thou? Thy Damsel is not Deade: but lieth asleepe.

This manner of sprache, as I saide before, is Spiritual, or Mystical: and maye not be taken accordinge to the outward sounde of the Letter. So saith S. Hierome, Secundum Mysticos intellectus, quotidie Iesus ingreditur in Templum Patris: Accordinge to the Mystical vnderstandinge, Christe entreth daily into the Temple of his Father. In this sense Origenē saith, Christe entreth into our House. Which phrase, writtinge vpon S. Matthewe, he expresth in plainer manner: Tradunt, & eiciunt ab anima sua Saluatorem, & Verbum Veritatis, quod erat in eis: They betray, and throwe forth

Origen in Leuiticu, Hom. 7.

Origen in Exodus, Homil. 13.

Origen in Diuersos Euangelij locos, Homil. 3.

De Consecratione, Dist. 2. Hoc est.

Augustin. Questionum Euangelij. Li. 2. Ca. 33. August. De Tempore, Sermo. 74.

Chrysostom. in Psal. 41.

Chrysostom. in Matth. Hom. 46.

Hieronym. ad Paulam De obitu Blefille.

Hieronym. in Matth. D. 3. c. 21.

Origen in Mat-
thae. Tracta. 35.

It forceth
not greatly.
*Deuoute
vse of Ima-
ges.

Concill. Nicenum
2. Actio. 2.
Concill. Nicenum
2. Actio. 14.
August. de Con-
sensu Evangelic.
Lib. 1. Cap. 10. 11.

for the our Saucour from out of their Soules (as do al Apostates, and Renegates, that de-
nie the knowen Truthe of God) and they betray the Woordes of Truthe, that was within
them. At this we graunte, M. Hardinge: and al this maie stande, without either
your Transubstantiation, or your Real Presence.

The Apologie Cap. 3. Division. 1. & 2.

It is a woerde to see, howe wellsauredly, and howe towardely,
touchinge Religion, these men agree with the Fathers, of whom they
vse to haunte, they be their owne good.

The Olde Council Eliberine made a Decree, that nothinge, that
is honoured of the people, shoulde be painted in the Churches.

M. Hardinge.

The woordes of that prouincial Council be these: It is thought good, that paintings be not in
the Church: that what is woorshipped or Adored, it be not painted on walles. This expresse prohi-
bition of paintinge, and that nought be painted in Church walles, that is woorshipped or Adored, maie
seeme bothe to presuppose a former vse of suche paintings, and also to allowe the other sorte
of Images. VWhether it doo or no, * it forceth not greatly. The seuenth General Council assem-
bled at Nice against the Imagebreakers, hath not onely allowed the * Deuoute vse of Images com-
monly vsed in the Churches of Christen people: but also condemned al those that throw them down,
and mainteine the contrarie opinion. Nowe we are taught that a Prouincial Council ought to geue
place to a general.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Paintinge of Images in Church Walles was forbidden in the Council
holden at Eliberis, or Granado in Spaine: Ergo, saie you, suche Images were vsed be-
fore that Council. At this maie wel be graunted without preiudice. But yet saie
plainely, they were forbidden in that Council. One saith, Ex malis moribus bo-
nae Leges ortu sunt: Of ill manners came good Lawes. Menne vsed (ye saie) before
that time to painte Images in Church walles. But this vse was naught. And there-
fore the Council Decreed againste it: and that, as it maie be gathered by the
wordes, for feare of Idolatrie.

But you saie, The Second General Nicene Council allowed wel the deuoute vse of Images.
And a General Council ought to take place before a Prouincial: For that in a Gene-
ral Council there are many Bishoppes: in a Prouincial, there are but fewe. Thus,
I saie, ye mighte your Religion, not by Truthe, but by Companie. Howe be it, this
Rule is very loose, and maie some deceiue you.

Good Christian Reader, let no man beguile thee by the colours of Councelles.
Reade this Seconde Nicene Council throughout, if thou be hable. Thou wilt saie,
there was neuer any Assemblie of Christian Bishoppes so vaine, so pishie, so wic-
ked, so blasphemous, so vnworthy in al respects to be called a Council. The blessed
Bishoppes there agreed together with one consente, that Images in Churches are
not onely to be allowed, but also deuoutely, and reuerently to be honoured, and that
with the same Honour, that is dewe to God him selfe. One of them saith, Vene-
randas Imagines recipio, & Adoro, & id perpetuo docebo: I receiue, and Woorship the
Reuerende Images: and this wil I teach, while I liue. An other saith, Sacras Imagines
perfecte Adoro: qui vero secus consentitur, eos anathematizo: I doo perfectly Adore the
Holy Images: and I accurse al them, that holde the contrarie.

An other saith, Non sunt duae Adorationes, sed una, Ipsius Imaginis, & primi Ex-
emplaris, cuius est Imago: There be not two kindes of Adoration, but one onely, dewe as
wel to the Image, as to the Patern of the Image. This Holy Council (ye saie) decreed
against Imagebreakers. But the Counsel of God decreeth against Imagewoorship-
pers, and Imagemakers.

S. Augustine saith, Sic omnino errare meruerunt, qui Christum, & Apostolos e-
ius, non in Sanctis Codicibus, sed in Pictis Parietibus quæsierunt. Nec mirum, si à Pin-
gentibus Fingentes decepti sunt: So were they woorthy to be deceiued, that sought Christe,
and his Apostles in the Bookes of Holy Scripture, but in Painted Walles. Neither maie wee
marueile, if Fainers by Painters were deceiued.

The

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 3.

The Olde Father Epiphanius saith, It is an horrible vicked-
nesse, and a Sinne not to be suffered, for any man, to set vp any Pi-
cture in the Church of the Christians, yea, though it were the Pi-
cture of Christe him selfe. Yet these menne stode at their Temples, &
eeche corner of them with painted, and carued Images, as though
without them, Religion were nothinge woorth.

M. Hardinge.

To that ye pretende to allege out of Epiphanius, wee saie, firste, that althoughe he were of the
minde you make him to be of, and said, as you reporte of him, yet is he but one man, whose singular
opinion is not to be preferred before the (a) Iudgement of al other so many excellent Fathers, and the
determination of the whole Church. (b) Now in deede you misreporte Epiphanius. For he saith not
so, as you write. He calleth not the hauinge of the Image of Christ, or of any Saincte in the Church
an horrible wickednesse, or a sinne not to be suffered: he hath no sutch woordes.

Secondly, what if we saie, this place maketh nothinge at al againste the vse of Images, and that
(c) he speaketh neuer a woorde againste the Image of Christe, or his Sainctes in the Church, but
onely againste one particulare Image, whiche he founde hanginge at a Church dore in a Village of
Palestine called Anablatha? And seeinge he (d) speaketh not generally againste al Images, but a-
gainste sutch as that was, whiche there he noteth by this special woorde, *Stimuli Vela*, vailles
of this sorte: he geueth vs to vnderstande, that he misliked somme qualitie of circumstance of that
one Image, and not reprobued the (e) common and receiued custome of the Church in hauinge
Images in due order. Nowe, what circumstance that was, it dependeth of so many particularities,
whiche mighte happen either on the Images parte, as it is moste like, or on the peoples parte there
inhabitante, and is so litle declared by Epiphanius in that place, that neither wee can saie any thinge
determinately thereof, nor yee shoulde bringe sutch an obscure, and vncertaine matter to the dis-
proue of a veritie alwaies so wel in the Church acknowledged and practised.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Howe many, and how pretie shiftes here be devised, if any would healepe, to
serue the purpose? One of the late Louanian Clergie, so that he sawe, these wordes
were cleare, and might not be avoided by any Close, therefore he thought it the wis-
est waie, first to bringe the Authoritie, and credite hereof in question, and to saie,
that Epiphanius neuer wrote any sutch Epistle: Next he saith, The same Epistle
was neuer translated by S. Hierome. But, so much as he saies, that his folie here
in was open, and easie to be controlled. Thirdely he saith, that the Image, that Epi-
phanus rente in sunder, was not the Image, either of Christe, or of any Christian
Saincte, but the Heathen Image of Iuppiter, or Hercules, or somme other Idole, he
knoweth not what. Fourthly he saith, The saide Holy Father Epiphanius was an
Heretique, one of those, that were called Anthropomorphites, whose erroure was,
that God in his Diuinitie had the whole Shape, and proportion of a Man. Howe be
it, this folie far passeth al the reste. For it behoued those Heretiques moste of al
others, so defense of their erroure, to mainteine Images. And yet it sameth a very
vnciuile part, to condemne so Reuerende, and so Godly a Father of so grosse an He-
resie, without proufe: and specially sutch a Father, as hath so learnedly written a-
gainste al Heresies. Fifthly he saith, even as M. Hardinge here saith, Epiphanius
was but a man, and one man, and his Iudgement singulare, and therefore the lesse to be
esteemed. Laste of al, he saith, The same Holy Father Epiphanius was a Iewe: and
beinge a Christian, and a Reuerende Father, and a Christian Bishop, yet notwithstandinge
maintained the Religion of the Iewes, and therefore rente in sunder the
Image of Christ, in despite of Christe. And so proufe hereof, he alleged Simeon Me-
taphrastes, a Doctoure as wise as him selfe.

M. Hardinge, so that he imagined, these shiftes were very vsanuary, & would
hardly serue, therefore hath devised to conueigh him selfe out some other waie. First
he saith, VVe falsifie this Holy Father, and allege his woordes otherwise, then they be. Secondly
he saith, It was not the Image of Christ, that Epiphanius founde painted in the Vele. but somme
other pretie thinge, he knoweth not what. Lastely he saith, Epiphanius reprobued not
generally al sutch Veles, so painted, but onely that one Vele, that he founde.

*Epiphanius in Episto-
la ad Iohannem.*

*Episcopus Hieronimus
Solymitanus.*

(a) Vntruth. For
the Aunciente
Fathers make
no mention of
Images.

(b) Vntruth. For
we reporte him
truly, as shal
appeare.

(c) Vntruth. For
his woordes
be plaine,

*Imagines, quasi
Christi, aut
Sancti cuiusdam*

(d) A childlike,
and a vaine
shift. For Epi-
phanus speaketh
directly a-
gainst al images

(e) Vntruth. For
Images then
were not com-
monly receiued
in the Church.

*Copus, Dialog. 1.
Pag. 691.*

Copus, Pag. 692.

Copus, Pag. 702.

Copus, Pag. 704.

Copus, Pag. 703.

Copus, Pag. 706.

707.

*Simeon Meta-
phrastes.*

Epiphanius ad
Ioban. Hieroso-
lymitan. Apud
Hieronym. Tom. 2.

2000-01-01

(Faint, illegible handwritten notes)

1999

Habens Imagi-
nem, quasi Chris-
ti, & sancti
quidam.

Laffont, Lib. 1.
Cap. 19.
Terral. De Idos
de la ría.

August. De Fide
et Symbolo.
Cap. 7.
Creatur in Con-
cil. Nicen. 2.
Actio 6.

For trial hereof, I referre me selfe to the Original. The wordes thereof be these: Inueni ibi velum pendens in foribus eiusdem Ecclesie tinctum, atque depictum, & habens Imaginem, quasi Christi, aut Sancti cuiusdam Non enim satis memini, cuius Imago fuerit. Cum ergo hoc vidissent in Ecclesia Christi, contra Authoritatem Scripturarum, Homini pendere Imaginem, scidi illud: & magis dedi consilium Custodibus eiusdem loci, vt pauperem mortuum eo obuoluerent, & efferrent, &c. Quzso, vt iubeas Presbyteros eiusdem loci precipere, in Ecclesia Christi istiusmodi Vela, quz contra religionem nostram veniunt, non appendi: Decet enim honestatem tuam hanc magis habere sollicitudinem, vt scrupulositatem tollat, quz indigna est Ecclesia Christi, & populis, qui tibi crediti sunt: I found there a Vele hanginge at the entrie of the Church, stained, and painted, and hauinge the Image, as it were, of Christ, or of somme Saincte. For, whose Picture it was in deede, I doo not remember. Therefore, when I sawe the Image of a man to hange in the Church of Christe, contrarie to the commaundemente of the Scriptures, I was in sunder: and gaue counsel to the Wardens of that Church, that they should vnde, and burie somme poore body in it, &c. I beseeche you, charge the Priestres of that place, that they geue commaundemente that suche Veles, as be contrarie to our Religion, be no more hanged up in the Church of Christe. It behooueth your reuerence to haue care hereof, that this Superstition, vnmecte for the Church of Christe, and vnmecte for the people to thee committed, be remoued. Nowe, iudge you, M. Hardinge, wherein we haue falsified this Learned Fathers wordes. You saie, He speaketh not one woorde againste the Image of Christe, or his Sainctes. I beseeche you then, againste what other Image speaketh he? Epiphanius saith plainely, It had the Image, as it were, of Christe, or of somme Saincte: You saie, He founde faulte with that Vele onely, and not with any other. Once againe, I beseeche you, telle vs, what had the Image of Christe, or of his Sainctes offended Epiphanius, more then other Images? If the Image of Christe maye not be sufferd in the Church of Christe, what Image then maye be sufferd? What cause of difference can you imagine, that any other Cicles shoulde be allowed rather, then this? Your answer is this, VVee cannot saie any thinge determinately thereof. Whereby it appeareth, ye would faine saie somnewhat, if ye wisse what. Yet muste we be ouerruled by al and euery sutch your determinations, yea although you your selfe confesse, ye can determinately determine nothinge.

Notwithstanding, the Anciente Fathers of the Church have long since Determinately, and plainly iudged againstte you. Lactantius saithe in plaine wordes: Non est dubium, quin Religio nulla sit, vbicunque Simulachrum est: *Determinately and out of al doubts, there is no Religion, where so euer there is an Image.* Tertullian saithe, Idolum tam fieri, quam coli Deus prohibet. Quanto præcedit, vt fiat, quod coli possit, tantò prius est, ne fiat, si coli non licet. Facio, ait quidam, sed non colo: quasi ob aliquam causam colere non audeat, nisi ob quam & facere non debeat: Scilicet, ob Dei offensam vtrobiz. Imò tu colis, qui facis, vt coli possit: *God hath forbidden an Image, or an Idole, as wel to be made, as to be worshipped. As farre as makinge goeth before Worshippinge, so farre is it before, that the thinge be not made. that maie not bee worshipped. Some man wil saie, I make it: but I worshippinge it not: As though he durst not to worship it for any other cause, but onely for the same cause, for Whiche he ought not to make it. I meane bothe waies for Goddes displeasure. Nay rather, thou worshippinge the Image, that geneest the cause, for others to worship it.*

Therefore S. Augustine, speaking of the Image of God the Father, saith thus, Tale simulachrum Deo fingere, nefarium est: To devise fittche an Image for God, it is abominable.

Theodorus the Bishop of Ancyra saith, Sanctorum Imagines, & Species ex Materialibus coloribus formari, minime decorum putamus. Manifestum enim est, quod vana sit huiusmodi cogitatio, & Diabolicæ deceptionis inuentum: *We thinke it not convenient, to Painte the Images of Sainctes with Material, or Earthly colours . For it is evident, that this is a vaine Imagination, and the procurement of the deceitfulnesse of the Diuel.*

To like purpose wisteth Epiphanius, Estote memores, dilecti Filij, ne in Ecclesias Imagines inferatis, neque in Sanctorum Coemiterijs eas statuatis. Sed perpetuo circum-

circumferre Deum in cordibus vestris. Quin etiam neque in domo communi tolerentur. Non enim fas est, Christianum per oculos suspensum teneri, sed per occupationem mentis: *My deere Children, be ye mindeful, that yee bring no Images into the Churches, and that yee erecte up none at the burialles of the Saintes. But euermore carrie God in your Hartes. Nay suffer not Images to be, no not in your private Houses. For it is not lawfull, to leade a Christian man by his eies, but rather by the studie or exercise of his minde.*

For this cause Epiphanius saith, The Superstition of Images is vnfitte for the Church of Christe.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division 4.

The olde fathers Origene, & Chrysostome, exhorte the People to reade the Scriptures, to buye them Bookes, to reason at home betwixte them selues of Diuine maters: V Viues with their Husbantes, and Parentes with their Children: These menne condemne the Scriptures, as deade Elementes, and, as mutche as euer they maie, barre the People from them.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Partely it is true, partely false, that you saie. Origen exhorteth al, to resorte to the Churches in the Holy daies, and there to heare the woordes of God: and thereof afterwarde to thinke earnestly, and to meditate on the Lawe of God, and to exercise their mindes in it daie and night, in the waie, in their house, in their bedde, and when they rise. This holde wee withal, and be desirous, the (b) people beare awaie that the true and Godly Preachers, teache them in the Church, and that they thinke of it, and put it in dayly practise of life. For els to what serueth al our Preaching?

Chrysostome Hom. 2. in Matth. speaketh againste them, whiche contemned the Scriptures, and saide, they were no Monkes, but had V Viues and Children, and care of householde. As thoughe it pertained not to married men to reade any parte thereof, but to Monkes onely.

If in our time the people might be induced to reade the Holy Scriptures, with such mindes, for such causes, to such intentes and purposes onely, as Chrysostome requirith. God forbid, wee shoulde by any meanes staie them therefrom. (c) But considering the maner of our time, and calling to due examination the curiositie, the temeritie, the vnreuerence, the contempte of al holy thinges, that now al men maie espie in the people: if we thinke it not good they be admitted to the readinge of the Scriptures freely and without any limitation, howe so euer you and your fellowes iudge of vs, wee doubt not of the accompte, wee haue to make of that our meaninge before our Lordes dreadful seate of iudgemente. Nowe to conclude, wee tel you, that you haue misreported bothe Chrysostome, and specially Origene. For how so euer they speake of the readinge, and meditation of the Scriptures, for amendemente of life, verely in the places by you quoted, (d) they exhorte not the people to reason, and dispute of diuine maters amonge them selues, specially the Husbantes with their V Viues, the Parentes with their Children, as you saie they doo.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

For as much as yee saie, Parte hereof is true, and parte false, I truste, yee wil geue vs leaue freely to vse the Truthe, vntill yee shal finde your selfe better hable to proue the Falschedde. It seemeth not greatly to mislike you, that the people haue some litle libertie, to reade some such parte of the Scriptures, as you maie best spare them, for the orderinge of their liues, whereby it appeareth, that for quiettinge of their consciences in maters of Religion, and causes of Truthe, yee thinke it beste, they reade nothinge. And this (yee saie) ye are hable to answere before the dreadful seate of Goddes iudgemente. Touchinge the Truthe hereof, to saie so mutche, as might be saide, it woulde requyre greate waste of time. S. Augustine saith, Si desit, aut ignoretur, qua eundum sit, quid prodest nosse, quod eundum sit? If yee haue not, or knowe not, what waie to goe, what shal it profite you to knowe, whither to goe? S. Hierome saith, Vt maius est, voluntatem Domini facere, quam nosse, ita prius est nosse, quam facere. Illud Merito præcedit: Hoc ordine: As it is more, to doo the wil of oure Lorde, then to knowe it: so the Knowledge of the same goeth before the Doinge. In goodnesse, Doinge goeth before, in order, Knowinge. Againe S. Augustine saith, Si Scripturas Diuinas aut non legimus ipsi, aut legentes alios non libenter audimus, ipsa nobis medicamenta conuertuntur in vulnera: & inde habebimus Iudicium, vnde potuimus habere remedium: If we either reade not the Scriptures our selues, or be not desirous to heare others reade them, then are our Medicines turned into woundes: and then, where wee might haue had remedie, we

Yy ij

shal

Origen in Leui. Cap. 16.

Chrysos. in Mattha. Homil. 2.

Idem in Iohs. 31.

(a) Vntruth. For the whole hereof is true: and no parte false. (b) O vaine excuse. Many of your people neuer heare Sermon in al their liues.

(c) M. Hardinges fauourable opinion of the people.

(d) Manifeste vntruth. Reade the Answer.

August. De Ciuitate. Li. 11. Ca. 2. Hieronym. ad Demetriad. De Virginitate. ends.

August. De Tempore. Serm. 55.

Chrysostom, in
Genesim, Hom. 6.

Chrysostom, in
Genesim, Homil. 12.

Chrysostom, in
Genesim, Homil. 19.
Origen in Esai-
ai, Homil. 2.

Chrysostom, in Io-
han, Homil. 2.

Chrysostom, in
Matth, Hom. 78.

Hieronym, in E-
pistol, ad Coloss.
Cap. 3. In illud
Verbum Dei has
bitur in vobis.

Hieronym, in
Psalm. 133.

Theodoretus De
Corrigen, Grae-
gor. affectib. li. 5
De Diuina Tri-
nitate, verumq;
omnium creatio-
ne dissentantes.

Cyprian, Epistol. 11.
Lib. 1.

Epiphani, contra
Apostolicos.

Heret. 61.

Hieronym ad
Demetriadem.

August. De Bo-
no Viduit. cap. 13

shall haue Iudgemente. But the sayings are common, and ordinarie in S. Chryso-
stome. Thus he saith, Librum Diuinum accipiat aliquis in manum: conuocatis
proximis, per Diuina eloquia riget & suam mentem, & conuenientium: vt sic Diabo-
licas insidias effugere valeamus: *Let one of you take in hande the Holy Booke: and let him
calle his Neighbours about him: and by the Heauenly woordes let him water, and refresh
bothe their mindes, and also his owne.* Againe he saith, Poterimus & domi versantes, ante,
& post Conuiuium, acceptis in manus Diuinis Libris, vtilitatem inde capere, & Spiri-
tualem Cibum animae prebere: *Beginne at home, wee maie bothe before, and after meate,
take the Holy Bookes in hande, and therof receiue great profite, and Minister Spiritual foode
vnto our Soule.* And againe, Etiam domi vacemus Diuinarum Scripturarum Lectio-
ni: *Euen when wee bee at home, let vs bestowe our time in readinge the Scriptures.*

Origene saith, Vtinam omnes faceremus illud, quod Scriptum est, Scrutami-
ni Scripturas: *Woulde God wee woulde al doo, accordingly as it is written, Searche the
Scriptures.*

But y^e saie, VVee haue misreported bothe Chrysostome, and Origen. For they exhorte not
the people (as you saie) to reason of Diuine matters emonge them selues, specially the Hus-
bandes with their VVives, &c. Whether of vs bothe maketh truer reporte, let vs be
tried by Chrysostome. Thus he saith, Neque in hoc tantum confessu, sed domi
quoque, Vir cum Vxore, Pater cum Filio, inuicem de his frequenter loquantur: &
vltro, citroq; suam & ferant, & inquirant sententiam: Velintq; hanc probatissimam
inducere consuetudinem: *Hearken not hereto onely here in the Church, but also at home,
let the Husbände with the VVife, lette the Father with the Childe, talke together of these
Maters: and, bothe to, and fro, let them bothe enquire, and geene their Iudgements: And,
woulde God they woulde beginne this good Custome.*

Here haue you, M^r. Harding, the Husbände communinge of Diuine maters
with his VVife: and the Father with his Childe. Therefore so vnadvisedly to
saie, wee haue misreported this Holy Father, it was of your parte a misreporte.

Likewise S. Hierome saith, Hic ostenditur, verbum Christi non sufficienter,
sed abundanter, etiam Laicos habere debere: & docere se inuicem, vel monere: *Here
wee are taught, that euen the Laie menne ought to haue the VVoorde of God, not onely suf-
ficiently, but also abundantly: and one to instructe, and to warne an other.* Againe he saith,
Solent & Viri, solent & Monachi, solent & Mulierculæ hoc inter se habere certamen,
vt plures ediscant Scripturas: *Bothe Married menne, and Monkes, and Wīues, commonly
haue this contention emonge them selues, who maie learne moste Scriptures.*

To conclude, Theodoretus saith thus, Passim videas nostra dogmata non ab
ijs solum teneri, &c. *Tee maie commonly see, that our Doctrīne is knowne, not onely of them,
that are the Doctours of the Church, and the Maisters of the people, but also euen of the Tai-
lers, and Smithes, and VVeauers, and of al Artificers: Tea, and further also of VVee-
men: and that, not onely of them, that be Learned, but also of Labouringe VVee-men, and
Sewsters, and Seruantes, and Handemaides. Neither onely the Citizens, but also the
Countreiesfolkes doo very wel vnderstande the same. Tee maie finde, yea, euen the very Di-
chers, and Deluers, and Cowheardes, and Gardiners Disputinge of the Holy Trinitie,
and of the Creation of al thinges.* Nowe Iudge you, M^r. Harding, whether of vs two
bothe erred in his reporte.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 4. & 5.

The Anciente Fathers, Cyprian, Epiphanius, and Hierome,
saie, For one, who perchance hath made a Vowve, to leade a sole life,
and afterwarde liueth vnchastely, and cannot quenche the flames
of luste, it is better to marrie a VVife, and to liue honestly in
vvedlocke. And the Olde father Augustine Iudgeth, the selfe same
Marriage to be good and perite, and that it ought not to be broken
again. These meene, if a man haue once bounde him selfe by a Vowve,
though

though after ward he burne, keepe queanes, and despie himself with neuer
to sinke, and desperate a life, yet they suffer not that person too nerry a
wyse: or if he chaunce to marry, they allow it not for marriage. And they
co. nonly teach, It is much better, and more godly to keepe a Concubine
or an Harlot, then to live in that binde of marriage.

The old Father S. Augustine complained of the multitude of vaine Ce-
remones, wherewith he even then saw mens minds and consciences ouer-
charged: These men, as though god regarded nothing els but their Ce-
remones, haue so ouer of measure increased them, that there is nowe al-
most none other thing left in theyr Churches, and places of prayer.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

All that M. Harding hath saide to say, hath been both alleadged, and also fully answered
before in a place more conuenient.

The Apologist, Cap. 3. Division.

Againe, that olde Father S. Augustine denieth it too bee lawfull for a
Monke, to spend his tyme thoughtfully in idlenesse, and, vnder a pretended,
and counterfeite holynes, to lyue al vpo others. And who so thus liueth,
the old Father Appolonius likeneth him to a Cheefe. These men haue (I
wote not whether to name them drones, or heards of Monkes) who for al
that they doe nothing, nor yet once intend to beare any shew of holynes,
yet lyue they not onely vpon others, but also riot lawfully of other folkes
laboures.

M. Harding.

(a) We do not mainteine, that a Monke should liue idly. But we reprocue you for accounting the
service of God idlenesse. Neither is that the thing only which ye can alleadge in Defence of that your
Brethren shal doone to Monasteries in the Countreys where your Gospel proceedeth. For ye haue
remoued not onely such Monkes as were proued idle, but al Monkes generally, that would serue
God according to that vow, which they made vnder the (b) approoued rule of S. Benedict, S. Augu-
stine, S. Francis, S. Dominick, or of any other. You say, we haue droues, and heards of Monkes, ther-
by signifying, they are beastes rather then men: Whereas S. Augustine calleth them *Seruos Dei*, the
Seruants of God, in that very worke, which you alleadge.

Still that our monkes (I mean e all religious men) serued the Aulster, & were appointed to preach,
minister the Sacraments, and bestow their tyme in prayer for their owne infirmities, and for the sins
of the people: & by the doctrine of S. Augustine, they are not bounde to labour, as they, who for
fowing spiritual things to the behoope of others, may reape theyr temporall thinges to their owne
necessary sustentance.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Monkes (as I say) be Gods Seruantes: and Monkes life is the service of God. And herein pe ble
such easie talke, as though, if the whole generation of Monkes were remoued, God shoulde
be without seruice. In reuer S. Augustine shewing vs, what good seruce the Monkes of his time
did vnto God, saith thus, *Non Deo seruiunt, sed vno vtriusq; 7 be Monkes serue not God,*
sed vno vtriusq; 7 be Monkes serue not God, Againe he saith, *Quia non Deo est periculoso, quod sub nomi-*
ne Seruitoris Deo recipit. Superbia, or vauing of holynesse, is the more dangerous, for that it
ratat: It impedit in vno vtriusq; 7 be Monkes serue not God, Againe he saith, *Fallit dolosa imago Sancti*
Monkes, he saith, Non apparet, utrum ex proposito Seruitoris Dei venerint, an viciis ino-
rem, & laboriosis fugientes, vbi in pace, & vultu voluerint, it as cannot tell whether they
became Monkes for purpose to serue God, or else being weary of theyr poore and painfull life,
they rather fled to be free, and clothed doing nothing: And therefore hee calleth the Almes Opere Mo-

In the 2. part,

8. Chap. 1. di-

uision, and 2.

part. 17. Cap

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

1. Division.

Monkes
Goddess
Service.

August de
Opere Mo-
nacho. cap. 31
Hilari in
Psalmum. 52.

Matth. 23.

John. 9.

Luk. 19.

Matth. 18.

Nicol. Cusa-

nus

Excitation li.

7. Moneta

Bernardus in
Canticis
Canti. Hiero-
nym. ad En-
stochium.

August de
Opere Mona-
cho. chap. 21.

August co-
dem Capite.

16. Question
I. Nemo.

Question. 16
I. Monachus.

that they geate, Sumptus lucrosæ egestatis & Simulatæ pretium Sanctitatis: The charges of
gainefull pouertie: and the price of feined holinesse. Againe he sayth, Venalem circum-

terunt Hypocrisim: They carrie their Hypocrisie about to sale,
S. Hilari speaking of the same kinde of Volp People sayth thus, Conuiuia sub obten-
tu Religionis sumptuosa sectantur. Apothecas suas inutili Religiosorum obsequio de-
fendunt: de quibus scriptum est, Comedentes domos uiduarum. Etiam si Dominum
se credant inuocare, tamen audient, quod est in Euangelio, Scimus, quia peccatores Deus
non audit: Vnder the colour of holynesse, they seeke for deintie and costly fare: They mainteine
theyr Houes houses by the vnprofitable seruice of Religious people: of whom it is written,
They deuoure vp poore Widowes houses. Although they thinke they serue God, yet the same
answere shall be made them, that is written in the Gospell, We know that God geueth no eare
to sinners. Upon these wordes of the Gospell, Sell all, that thou hast, and geue it too the
poore, and come, and followe me, Your very Ordinary Glose sayth thus, Bene operando:
non mendicando: Follow me in well doing not in begging,

And, least you shoulde thinke, wee sprake onely of olde fojren faultes, and that all suche
thinges liethen those dayes haue bene reformed, Nicolaus Cusanus, a Cardinall of Rome,
one of your newe Doctours sayth, Vix fallacia illorum, qui sub habitu Christi apparent, po-
test sciri ob suam varietatem. Nam alius quidem sub hac veste, alius sub capitis, alius sub
hoc Religionis signo, alius sub alio, Christo se militare asserit: Licet penè omnes, non quæ
Christi, sed, quæ sua sunt, quærant. Omnes enim student auaritiæ a maximo vsque ad mini-
mum, Et in omnibus Vna doctrina comperit eorum fallaciam: scilicet, Ex fructibus eorum
cognoscetis eos: The deceitsulnesse of them, that shewe themselves vnder the apperrell of Christ,
because of their varietie can hardly be knowne. For one of them sayth, Hec serueth Christe vnder
one weede, another vnder another: One vnder a Hood, another vnder one badge of Religion, an
other vnder another. Notwithstanding they al for the most part seeke theyr owne, and not the thinges
that pertaine too Christe Iesus: For they are al giuen to cometonnesse from the most to the leaste.
And yet in all these diuersities, by this marke, or doctrine yee may descric their falshood, By theyr
workes yee shal knowe them.

Will graunt, the Service of God may not rightly be called Idlenesse. But what if Saint
Bernarde say of your Monkes, Serui Christi, seruiunt Antichristo: They pretende Christes
Service, and serue Antichriste. For where did God euer require you to doe him suche Service?
S. Ierome sayth of them, In statu seruili, & abiectionis esse abhorrent. Laborare recusant
præ pigritia: Mendicare erubescunt validi: quia nihil daretur eis: They are loth to be abiectionis,
and in seruile state: For Idlenesse they wyl not labour: And to begge they are ashamed. For being
valiant and lustie people, no man would geue them any thing. Likewise sayth S. Augustine,
Tanquam Conseruatricem Euangelii prædicant pigritiam: They speake much of theyr Idle-
nesse, as if it were the Keepe, and Castle of the Gospell. Againe he sayth, Contingit eis, quod in
Viduis iunioribus indisciplinatis cauendum Apostolus dicit: Simul & otiosæ esse discunt
non solum autem otiosæ, sed & curiosæ, & verbosæ, loquentes quæ non oportet: The same
thing happeneth vnto the, that S. Paul speaketh of young Widowes, lining out of order: They learne
to bee idle: and not onely idle, but also curious, and ful of wordes, speaking such thinges, as are not
meet. Thus pee see, B. Harding, that this pretence and colour of Gods Service hath often
times of the Auncient Fathers bene called Idlenesse.

Yet saye, Monkes nowe serue the Altar, and Minister sacramentes: and therefore are not
bounde too Bodily labour. This is a sayre colour to shadowe theyr Idlenesse. For who euer
hade Monkes to serue the Altar: or gaue them authoritie to Minister Sacramentes? What
Doctour? What Father? What Auncient Councell? In olde times it was not lawfull for a
Monke to bee a Priestle. S. Gregory sayth, Nemo potest Ecclesiasticis Officiis deservire, &
in Monastica Regula ordinatè persistere: No man can serue the Ecclesiasticall Office, and or-
derly keepe the Rule of Monckery. And S. Hierome sayth, Monachus non Docentis, sed plan-
gentis habet officium: A Monkes office is, not to preache, but to moune. Againe he saith,
Alia causa est Monachi, alia Clerici: Clerici Oues pascunt: Ego pascor. The state of a Monke
is one thing, and the state of a Priest is another. Priestes feede the flocke: I being a Monke must

De sed. Whereupon the Glose saith, Ego Pascor Sacramentis ipsorum: I am fed with the Sacramentes of the Priestes. Whereby it is euident, that the Monke himselfe had no Authoritie, to Minister Sacramentes, no not so muche as priuately to himselfe. But, touching bodily labour, S. Ierome saith, This was holden as a Law among the Monkes in Egypt, that, who so would not labour, should not eate.

And Saint Augustine sayth, as it is alleadged in his name, Nihil Dei seruis peius est otiositate. Operentur ergo in nomine Domini: Vnto the seruantes of GOD there is nothing worse then idleness. Let them worke therefore in the name of the Lorde.

Of suche idle Monkes S. Augustine sayth, Isti manus otiosas, & repositoria plena habere volunt: These Monkes will haue idle handes and full Cellers. A learned Father was wont to say, by the reporte of Socrates, A Monke that laboureth not with his handes, may be resembled vnto a theefe. S. Bernarde sayth, Restat, vt sint in laboribus Dæmonum, qui in laboribus hominum non fuerunt: They must needes be in traualles of Diuelles, that were not in the traualles of men.

Of these S. Augustine sayth, Diabolus tam multos Hypocritas sub habitu Monachorum vsquequaque disperfit. Such a number of Hypocrites hath the Diuel scattered abroad e- uery where, vnder the colour of Monkes.

The first Suppressours of Monasteries within this Realme, in our memory, were two of your dearest friends, Cardinall Woulsee, and Doctour Fisher, the Bishop of Rochester: e- uer of them well warraunted thereto by the Authoritie of the Pope. Long before that time, the Godly learned Bishop Letouius ouerthrewe and burnt the Messalians Monasteries, and saide, they were Dennes of Theeues: and, as Theodoretus reporteth, chased the Woolues away from the Folde.

Of late yeeres, sundry of the Cardinales of Rome, amongst whom also was Cardinall Poole, being specially appointed in Commission by Pope Paulus the thirde, to viewe the disorders, and deformities of the Church, returned they, and were in this sort: Alius abusus corrigendus est in Ordinibus Religiosorum: quodd aded multi deformati sunt, vt magno sint scandalo Secularibus, exemploque plurimum noceant. Conuentuales ordines abolendos esse putamus omnes: An other abuse there is to be reformed in the orders of Monkes and Friers. For many of them are so vile, that they are a shame vnto the Seculares: and with their example doe mucheyll. As for Conuentual orders we thinke it good, they be al abolished.

This Sp. Harding, was the iudgement of your owne friends. And therefore pee haue the lesse cause to bee offended with the suppressing of Abbeies. For your owne deare Catholique Fathers Cardinall Woulsee, Cardinall Poole, Doctour Fisher, & others, partly haue suppressed them, themselves, and partly haue consented vnto the same. In the booke called Opus Tripartitum, toynd vnto the Councell of Laterane, it is thus witten: Totus serè Mundus obloquitur, & scandalizatur de tanta multitudine Religiosorum Pauperum, qui introierunt in Mundum: We beare the whole worlde crieth against, and is offended for so great a multitude of begging Monkes, and Friers, that are entred into the world.

Therefore was this Decree published in the Councell of Laterane, Ne nimia Religiosorum diuersitas grauem in Ecclesiam Dei offensionem inducat, firmiter prohibemus, ne quis de cætero Nouam Religionem inueniat: Lest ouer great diuersitie of Religious folke bring great offence into the Church of God, wee doe earnestly forbid, that from hence forth no man devise any newe Religion. Thus it appeareth, your Pope himselfe, and his Cardinales were so wearied, and accloyed with multitudes of Monkes, that they would haue them increase no further: Damascus, speaking of the order of them, that were Chorepiscopi, sayeth thus, Vnde iste Tertius Ordo pocessit, ignoramus: & quod ratione caret, extirpare necesse est: From whence this Thirde Order is come, we cannot tell. And the thing that wanteth reason, must needes be taken off by the routes.

The Apologie. Cap. 3. Division 6.

The Olde Councell of Rome decreed, that no man should come to the

16. Quest. 1.
Alia Causa.
Glossa.

Hieronymus
ad Rusticum;
Et in vita

Hilarionis.
Augustin. ad
Fratres in

Eremo. Ser. 1
August. de
opere Mona-

chor. cap. 23.
Historia Tri-
partita, lib. 8.

cap. 1.
Bernardus in
Psalm. 32.

August. de
Opere Mona-
chor. cap. 28.

Theodoretus
lib. 4. cap. 11.
σπύλαι λα-

στικά.
Τὸν λίκον
ἐν τῇ πόλ-

ειν ἐξήλασαν

Concil. To. 3.
Con. Delecto-
rum.

Cardinali.
pag. 812.
Concil. To. 2.

pag. 1000. O-
peris Tripart-
it. lib. 3. cap. 3.

Concil. Late-
ran. sub. Inno-
cent. 3. cap. 13

Dist. 62.
Chorepiscopi.

Concil. Rom.
Ca. 3.

A Priest
keeping a
Cōcubine.

538

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Service saide by a Priest well known to keepe a Concubine. These men
let Concubines to serue to theyr Priestes, and yet constrain men by force,
against theyr will, to heare theyr cursed paltry Service.

(a) *Putrith, For
it is safe to be
found.*

(b) *Such will
be the pro-
fessors of
fornication.*

(c) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(d) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(e) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(f) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(g) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(h) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(i) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(j) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(k) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(l) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(m) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(n) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(o) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(p) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(q) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(r) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(s) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(t) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(u) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(v) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(w) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(x) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(y) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(z) *Putrith, No-
whereas you
say, that the
world is full
of such.*

(a) We finde no suche Canon in the olde Romane Councils. Your allegations noted in the mar-
gent be false for the more part as your Doctine is. Yet finde we that Nicolaus and Alexander Popes
haue willed no man to heare the Masse of that Priest, whom he knoweth vndoubtedly to keepe a Con-
cubine. (b) But wise men in the lawe thinke onely that to be an vndoubted knowledge, whencyr
ther the Judge hath by open sentence published such a man to keepe a Concubine, or the fact it selfe
is notorius.

(c) Whereas you say, wee let Concubines out to serue to our Priestes, it is meete for you too say
it, because it is false and slanderous. (d) Neither was euer any man, or at this day is driven too
heare his Masse, who keepeth a Concubine. For if he will take vpon him to proue any Priest to keepe
a Concubine, himselfe not being so infamous, as hee may not stande in iudgement, it is certaine, hee
shall be heard. If he cannot proue it, then is not he out of doubt by order of Lawe, that this Priest
keepeth a Concubine, and therefore he is bounde, as other Christian people be, to heare his Masse.

Which is no sacrilege as your sacrilegious heart thinketh, and blasphemous tongue uttereth; but
the blessed and holy sacrifice, which Christ made at his last Supper.
The Bishop of Sarisburie.
If it had pleased you, better to haue perused your booke, pre might soone haue founde these
selfe same wordes in the Councell of Rome, holden there vnder Pope Nicolas the firste:
Which although it be not so olde, as may be compared with the ancient Fathers Councils,
yet it is elder then some partes, and branches of your newe Religion. So like purpose witerly
Pope Zacharie, Quis sapiens indicabit, eos esse Sacerdotes, quines a Fornicationibus absti-
nent? What wise man will reckon them to be Priestes, that abstaine not so much as from Fornica-
tion? If no wise man can iudge them to be Priestes, what man then is he, that will authorize
them to Minister Sacraments?

Now of the other side M. Harding, consider you the common, and ordinarie praetise of your
Church of Rome. First, touching the Pope himselfe, your Glose saith, Facta Papae excusan-
tur, vt Adulterium Jacob: The Popes doings (or Aduoueries) are excused, as the Aduouerie
of Iacob. And againe, Communiter dicitur, quod pro simplici Fornicatione quis deponi
non debet: cum pauci sine illo vitio inueniantur: It is commonly said, that a man may not
be deposed, or deposed for Simple Fornication: For as muche as fewe (or fewe) be found without
that fault.

Againe, where as the wordes of the Decree are these, Nullus audiat Missam Presby-
teri, quem sit Concubinam indubitanter habere: Let no man heare the Masse of that Priest,
whom he vndoubtedly knoweth to keepe a Concubine. The Glose vpon the same saith thus,
Hic Canon quandoq; fuit late sententia: Sed hodie non est: This Decree in olde times
stood as a rule, case: but now it is not so. Ideo licet notoria sit Fornicatio, tamen non est prop-
ter eam abstinendum ab officio Presbyterorum: And therefore, although the Fornication be no-
toriously knowne, yet may we not therefore refraine from the Service of the Priest.

Deerring to trye well agreeeth the Glose vpon Othoes Legantines: Quid ergo si sacerdos
inueniatur coire cum muliere? Respondeo: Adhuc dicitur occultum: nec propter hoc
debet eum vitare in publico, nisi aliter Conuincatur: What if a man finde the Priest in the
mayer with a woman? I answer: All that notwithstanding, it is saide in priuie: Therefore may a
man therefore auoide that Priestes Service, vnlesse he be otherwise conuicted.

And againe, in the same Glose vpon these wordes, Qui publicè detinet Concubi-
nas: It is noted thus, Tu dic Publicè, quando multitudinè se patere non expauit.
Secus ergo: si secretè intra domum propriam, vel plicatam detineat hanc Concubinam.
Nam tunc poenam huius Constitutionis non incurret. Domus enim rem secretam,
non autem publicam denotat: By this wordes, O; only in priuie, and not in publicke, is not
afraide,

afraide, lest the worlde espie him. Therefore it is otherwise, if he keepe the same Concubine secretly, whether it be in his owne house, or in somme other mannes. For then he is not within the daunger of this Lawe. For a house betokeneth a thinge to be Secrete, and not open.

By this sauourable, and gentle Construcion, onlesse the Prielle keepe his woman openly in the Marketplace, he is without al daunger of Lawes, and Canons: and we maie not refraine to heare his Seruice.

It is no Sacrilege (you saie) but the Blessed, and Holy Sacrifice. Hereto I maie answere you with the wordes of S. Augustine: Quæ sunt Sacrilegia, si illa erant Sacra? Aut quæ Inquinatio, si illa Lauatio? What is Sacrilege, if this be a Sacrifice? Or, what is staining, if this be washing? Herly your owne Pope Hildebrande herof wrieth thus: Imperamus vobis, ne eorum Officia, Orationes, & Cultus audiat. Quia Benedictio eorum vertitur in Maledictionem, & Oratio in Peccatum: Testante Domino per Prophetam, Maledicam Benedictionibus vestris. Qui verò huic Saluberrimo præcepto obedire noluerint, Idololatriæ poenam incurrunt: Wee commaunde you, that you heare neither their Offices, nor their Praiers, nor their Seruice. For their Blessinge is turned into Cursinge, and their Praier into Sinne. As the Lorde him selfe witnesseth by the Prophetes: I wil curse your Blessinges, saith the Lorde. And who so euer wil not obeie this whole some Commaundement, he shal fall into the paine of Idolatrie. Judge ye now, M. Hardinge, whether this be Sacrilege, as we saie: or, as you saie, a Blessed and an Holy Sacrifice. If it be a Sacrifice, if it be Blessed, if it be Holy, why should he be accursed, as an Idolatoure, that will comme vnto it?

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 7.

The Olde Canons of the Apostles commaunde, that Bishop to be remoued from his Office, whiche wil supplie the place bothe of a Ciuil Magistrate, and also of an Ecclesiastical persone. These menne for al that, bothe doo, and wil needes serue bothe places. Nay rather, the one office, whiche they ought chiefly to execute, they once touche not: and yet no body commaundeth them to be displaced.

M. Hardinge.

If wee oughte to sticke at any one certaine worldly busines, * whiche maie seeme vnseemely for a Bishop or a Prieste, as too base for his dignitie, and too muche hinderance to his vocation, vndoubtedly marriage is of that sorte. VVhome maie wee better credite for this case, then S. Paule? * He that is without a wife (saith he) is careful for the thinges that be of our Lorde, howe he maie please God. But he that is coupled with a wife, is careful aboute the thinges, whiche are of the worlde, and is diuided.

But with our fleshely Ministers, this busines is not fleshely, and worldly at al, but altogether spiritual, bicause their spirit is wholly occupied therein.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Christe thought so litle hinderance to be in Marriage towarde the Preachinge of the Gospel, that of his twelue Apostles he chose eleuen, that were married. So S. Ambrose saith, as it is alleged before: Apostoli omnes, exceptis Iohanne, & Paulo, Vxores habuerunt: Al the Apostles had Wiues, sauinge onely S. Iohn, and S. Paule.

But ye saie, VVith our fleshely Ministers, thus busines is not fleshely, but altogether spiritual: bicause their spirit is wholly occupied therein. It pitieth me, M. Hardinge, to see you so basely to bestowe your spiritual Cogitations. Matrimonie is Goddes ordinance. God neuer ordeined Man to liue in villanie, and filthinesse. S. Paule saith, Matrimonie is honourable in al menne (as wel in Priestes, as in others) and the bedde vndefiled. But Aduouterers, and Fornicatoures the Lorde him selfe wil iudge. And againe, writtinge vnto the Married people of Rome, he saith, Vos non estis in Carne, sed in Spiritu: You are not in the Fleashe, but in the Sprite. They were married, and liued in the Lawes of Marriage: Yet S. Paule saith, They were not in the Fleashe, but in the Sprite.

S. Augustine saith, Sancta sunt etiam Corpora Coniugatorum, Fidem sibi, & Domino seruantium: The Bodies of married people, keepeinge faith bothe to them selues, and to the Lorde, are Cleane, and Holy. Origene saith, Non solum virgines, aut Continentes,

August. De Civitat. li. 2. ca. 4.

Dist. 81. si quis.

* Vntruth vaine aboute measure. For it was not vnseemely for Christes Apostles to be married.

* S. Paule speaketh thus, not onely of Priestes, but also of euery priuate Christian man.

Ambros. 1. Cor. 12.

Heb. 13.

Rom. 8.

August. De Bono Coniugal. ca. 11. Origen. in Epist. ad Roma. ca. 12

matrimo-
nic chaste,
and pure.

Chrysoſt. Ad
Hebra. Hom. 57.

540

The Defense of the Apologie of the

tinentes, offerunt Corpora sua Hostiam Sanctam: Not onely Virgins, or others, that live in Single Life (but also Married folkes) offer up their Bodies a Holy Sacrifice. Chrysoſtome ſaith, Sanctificationem Paulus vocat Pudicitiam, & Temperantiam Coniugalem: The honest Chastitie, and Temperance, that is betwene Man, and Wife, S. Paule calleth Holinesse. And againe, Carent Culpa Nuptiae, nec à Virtute quicquam prohibent: Marriage is void of fault: and is no hinderance unto vertue.

Hereof we have spoken before more at large. But touching the Chaste life of your Spiritual Clergie, M. Hardinge, as sundrye your owne friends have recordeed, and as the whole worlde is wel hable to iudge, it is nothinge els, but a Spiritual filthnesse.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 8.

The Olde Council of Gangra commaundeth, that none shoulde make sutch difference betwene an Vnmarried Prieste, and a Married Prieste, as to thinke the one more holy, then the other for Single Lives sake. These menne put sutch a difference betwene them, that they streight waie thinke al their Holy Service to be defiled, if it be donne by a good, and honeste man, that hath a Wife.

M. Hardinge.

It was not for your purpose, Sirs, to vse true dealinge, and to alleage the wordes as they are in that olde Council. For they speake of an (a) external Sacrifice, (b) whiche the Church calleth the Masse. The same it behoued you to dissemble, lest ye bewraied your cause. The wordes be these: If any man make a difference of a Prieste, who hath ben married, as though when he sacrificeth, a man might not communicate with his oblation be he accused. Those fathers spake evidently of a Prieste, who hath sometime benne married, that is to saie, before his Priesthoode. (c) For after Priesthoode, it was neuer hearde sithens the time of Christe, that any Prieste might marrie by the Lawe either of the Greeke, or of the Latine Church. VVee therefore condemne the mariages of Priestes, whiche be made after the takinge of holy orders, and saie, that he is no good and honeste man, but an incestuous Aduouterer, that marieth afterwarde.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

To answer al your teildes, M. Hardinge, it were too longe. We refuse not the names of Oblation, or Sacrifice. We knowe, that the Holy Ministration is Commonly so called by the Ancient Fathers: For that, as Chrysoſtome saith, it is the Memorie, the Remembrance, the Samplare, the Token of that one Sacrifice, that Christe once offered in his Body vpon the Crosse. Howe be it, the reason hereof, that ye woulde seme to weaste out of the Greeke worde, λειτουργίας αὐτῶν, is very simple, and bewaileth in you, either wante of skill, or greate corruption. For, beinge learned in the Greeke tongue, ye muste nedes knowe, that λειτουργία significeth not a Sacrifice, but a Ministerie, or publicke Service. Plutarchus saith thus, Λίθοις, quasi Litores dicebantur, quod essent λειτουργοί. Ἀντὶ τοῦ γὰρ τῶν λατρῶν, ἢ τῶν λιτοῦν ἔτι καὶ νῦν ἐν Ἑλλάδι ὀνομαζέσθαι. Hereby it appeareth, that the Common Hangeman of the Cittie was called λειτουργός, and his office λειτουργία: Whiche, I trowe, M. Hardinge, ye woulde not haue to be called a Sacrificer, and a Sacrifice. Pachymeres, in his Annotations vpon Dionysius, saith thus: λειτουργός, appellat Diaconos, aut eos, qui nunc Hypodiaconi appellantur: He calleth them, λειτουργός, that are called Deacons, or Subdeacons. S. Paule, speakinge of Kinges, and Ciuile Princes, saith, λειτουργοὶ θεοῦ οὗσι: λειτουργοί, aut Ministri Dei sunt: I reken ye will not saie, that either Deacons, or Subdeacons, or Kinges, or Princes had Authoritie to minister the Holy Communion, or, as you saie, To offer vp the Daily Sacrifice. Where as S. Luke saith, λειτουργήτων ἀντὶ αὐτοῦ, Ministrantibus illis: Chrysoſtome demaundeth this question, Quid est Ministrantibus, λειτουργήτων? He answereth, Predicantibus: Whereby it appeareth, that the Apostles Sacrificinge, was theire Preachinge. It had benne ouer mutche vanitie, to note theise thinges, had not your vaine quarrel geuen the occasion. Certainly there is no mention in the saide Council of Gangra, either of your Masse, or of your External Sacrifice.

After Priesthoode (ye saie) it was neuer hearde sithence the time of Christe, that any Prieste might

(a) Vntrithe, sonde, and peccatishe. For this woorde, External, is not once named in al that Coucel.
(b) The Coucel of Gangra neuer once named the Masse.
(c) Vntrithe so Vaine, that I marueile, M. Hardinge can reporte it without blussinge.
Chrysoſt. ad Hebra. Homil. 17.
Hoc sacrificium exemplar illius est.
Magis Recordationem sacrificij operamur.
Plutarchus in Romulo.
Alexander ab Alexandro.
Pachymeres: λειτουργός λέγεται διακόνος, καὶ τὸς νῦν ὑποδιακόνος λεγομένους.
Roman 13.
Act. 13.
Chrysoſt. in Acta 21. 27.

might Marrie by the Lawe, either of the Greeke, or of the Latine Church. This warrante were undoubtedly good, if every your worde were a Gospel. But what if your owne Glose, that is to saie, the very Grounde, and mother of your Divinitie, stande against you, and saie, *Pe warrante unwisely*, or, if that mislike you, unadvisedly, ye knowe not what? Therfore, upon the Popes owne Decrees ye shall finde it noted thus: *Multi ex hac Litera dixerunt, quod Orientales possunt contrahere in Sacris Ordinibus: Of these wordes many have gathered, that the Priestes of the East Church may marrie, beinge within Holy Orders.* Nicephorus saith, that Euphychius, beinge a Priest, and the Bishop of Caesaria in Cappadocia, married a Wife a litle before, that he was Martyred. The like he seemeth to write of Apollinaris the Elder, that beinge a Priest, married a Wife at Laodicea.

Dist. 31. Alisten
In Glossa.
Nicephor. Li. 10.
Cap. 10.
Nicephor. Li. 9.
Cap. 17.

Here M. Hardinge wil answer vnto boldely, as he hath donne before, that this Euphychius was neither Bishop, nor Priest, but onely a gentleman of Noble Parentage: and for proufe thereof he wil allege Sozomenus, and Nicephorus. But let him reade the Seconde Council of Nice. There shall he finde, that the same Euphychius is called Euphychius Presbyter; Euphychius the Priest: Let him reade the Auncient Learned Father Athanasius. There shall he finde, that the same Euphychius, is called, Episcopus Cappadociae, The Bishop of Caesaria in Cappadocia. Thus shall M. Hardinge finde, that Euphychius was bothe a Priest, and a Bishop too: And I speake of the same Euphychius the Martyre, and of none other. Therefore, good Reader, it maye please thee, to telle M. Hardinge, he deserueth smal credite in his answer, that wil adventure to answer, before he knowe.

Concil. Nicen. 2.
Actione 6.
Athanas. Contrd
Arianos Orat. 1.

In the Council holden at Ancyra there is a Canon written thus, *Diaconi, quicunque ordinantur, si in ipsa Ordinatione protestati sunt, & dixerunt, velle se coniugio copulari, quia sic manere non possunt, hi, si postmodum uxores duxerint, in Ministerio maneat, propterea quod eis Episcopus licentiam dedit: Deacons, that receive orders, if, at the time of their admission, they make protestation, and saie, they wil be married, for that they cannot otherwise continue, if they afterwarde Marrie, let them remaine in the Ministerie: for that the Bishop hath already dispensed with them.*

Concil. Ancyran.
Canon 9.

Chrysostome, speakinge of the Marriage of Bishoppes, saith thus, *Quamuis Nuptiae plurimum difficultatis in se habeant, ita tamen Assumi possunt, vt perfectioni vitae impedimento non sint, verum id plane perquam raro, atque difficile: Notwithstandinge Marriage haue in it muche trouble, yet so it maye be Taken, that it shalbe no hinderance to perseute life. But certainly that is a seldome thinge, and very harde.* He saith, Marriage maye be taken, or chosen: And thus he speaketh namely of the Marriage of Priestes, and Bishoppes.

Chrysostom. in
Epist. ad Tim.
Homil. 10.

Addition. VWhereas M. Jewel beareth thee in hande, that Chrysostome saith, Marriage maye be taken, or chosen, and that of Priestes, and Bishoppes, for of their Marriage he speaketh, saith this man, al this is false. For firste, vnderstande thou, this sentence is not in Chrysostome at al: not in the Greeke, I saie, in whiche tongue onely he wrote. For I haue seene the Greeke, and diligently conferred it mee selfe. But it is added vnto his texte, either by the translation, or by falsehood vfed at the printinge, as in these corrupte times false printers haue corrupted many bookes of the olde Fathers. Againe these wordes are not spoken specially of Priestes Marriage, but generally, and indefinitely of al Marriage.

M. Har. fo. 305. d.

M. Har. fo. 305. f.

The Answer. I saie, Chrysostome speaketh these wordes namely of the Marriage of Priestes, and Bishoppes. M. Hardinge answereth me gently, as his manner is, Al this is false. Gentle Reader, I beseeche thee, if thou be hable, consider the whole place of Chrysostome, and weighe wel the causes of his writinge: so shalt thou be hable indifferently to iudge, whether M. Hardinge, or I, haue deale truly. Firste of al, Chrysostome exponndeth these wordes of S. Paule, *A Bishop muste be the Husbande of one Wife.* This is the grounde of the rest, that foloweth. And speakinge hereof vnto the people, he saith thus, *Cur non ait Paulus, Oportet Episcopum Angelum esse &c. Why did not S. Paule saie, A Bishop muste be an Angel, subiecte to no infirmities, or affliction, or vice of man, &c. Why did he not saie, A Bishop muste goe out of the worlde, and be aboue al the cares of this Life? &c.* He answereth, Leste the Ministerie of the Church should be forsaken, S. Paule required not in a Bishop that vertue

Chrysostom in 1.
Timoth. cap. 3.
Homil. 10.

(of Chastitie) that is so high, and so heavenly, but an other Vertue that is meane and reason-
ble, lest the Church should be leaste without Bishoppes.

To be shorte, in that very same place, Chrysostome above foure and twentie
times, bath the expresse names of Priest, and Bishop. And yet muste we beleeue M.
Hardinge onely vpon his worde, that Chrysostome speaketh these thinges, onely
of the Marriage of al menne in general: and not one worde specially of the Mar-
riage of Priestes. Howe so; as mutche as M. Hardinge telleth vs, Al this is False.
Judge thou, good Reader, bitwene vs bothe, in whether of vs is the Falsehood. And,
as thou findest him herein, so thinke of the reste of al his dealinges.

M. Har. fo. 303. b.

These wordes are not in the Greeke: I haue conferred it: It is Falsehood vsed at the printings:
False printers haue corrupted many Bookes.

The Answer. What Printers, M. Hardinge? What were these names?
Where dwelte they? What did they? Will you pronounce your sentence of Con-
demnation against them al, not knowinge, neither against whom, nor against how
many, nor wherefore? You saie, Yee finde not these wordes in the Greeke. But what if
your Crake Bookes be corrupted: as in deede they are in sundry places. Certainly
al the Latine translations, that I haue seene, either Printed, or Written, or Alde, or
Newe, haue this whole place euen worde by worde, as I haue alleged it. But, be-
cause you thinke the Crake is best able to direct you, I will finde you also the
like sentence in Chrysostome in the Greeke. These be his wordes: Matrimonium
non solum nihil obstat ad Philosophandum Deo, si voluerimus esse sobrii, sed etiam
magnam adfert consolationem: Marriage not onely hindereth vs nothinge from the ser-
uice of God, if wee wil be sober, but also bringeth vs greate comforte. These wordes bothe
beare the same sense, and also are founde in the Crake. You maie conferre them at
your pleasure.

Chrysost. in Ce-
lesin. Homil. 21.

You saie, Although it be thus written, Marriage maie so be taken that it shal be no leste
vnto perfit Life, yet it foloweth in the same sentence, But certainly that is a thinge very
seldome, and of greate difficultie. At this, M. Hardinge, you your selfe haue already an-
swared. For you saie, It is not in the Greeke, and therefore no parte of Chrysostome, but
distorted in by the Falsehoope of the Printers. This is your answer: It maie wel be taken
against your selfe. I graunte, there be many impedimentes in Wile, Chilozen,
Seruautes, Familie, and worldely cares. But marke your vneauen, and partial
dealinge. The same Chrysostome, euen in the same sentence, saith thus, Abun-
dance of richesse doothe hardly bringe a man into the Kingdome of Heauen: yet oftentimes ma-
ny riche menne haue entred into it: so also doothe Marriage. As Marriage hindereth, so
dothe richesse: and no moze the one, then the other. Christe calleth richesse Thornes
and Brambles. I do not remember, that euer of Marriage he saide the like. Yet the
Pope restraineth his Priestes onely from Marriage: and alloweth them Benefices,
Prebendes, Abbies, Bishoprikes vpon Bishoprikes, with Monie, and Treasure, as
mutche as they like, and thinketh it no hinderance to perfit Life.

Luke. 8.
Matth. 13.

Erasmus Contra
Beldam. Pag. 157.
Cornel. Agrippa
contra Louani-
en. Artic. 18.
Dist. 34. Cum in
preteritum, in
Glossa.
Caietan. in
Quodlibetu.

Erasmus saith, The Priestes of the Greeke Church this daie, notwithstandinge
theire Orders, Marrie VVives. The like writeth Cornelius Agrippa againste the
Louanians. Your owne Glose vpon the Decrees, as I haue alleged before, noteth
thus, Dicunt quod olim Sacerdotes poterant contrahere, ante Siricium: They saie, that
in olde times, before Pope Siricius, it was Laweful for Priestes, to contracte Matrimonie.
Likewise Cardinal Caietan saith, Nec ratione, nec Autoritate probari potest,
quod, absolute loquendo, Sacerdos peccet, contrahendo Matrimonium: It cannot be
proued, neither by Reason, nor by Authoritie, speakinge absolutely, that a Priest offendeth God
in Marryinge a Wife.

Howe coulde he haue saide thus, if he had thought, the Towe of Chastitie had
benne annexed of necessitie, to the order of Priesthoope?

M. Har. fo. 307. b.

Addition. Cardinal Caietan hathe his erroures. VVee are not bounde to
mainteine, what so euer he saith &c. Like as the order or habite of Monkes hath Chastitie annexed
vnto it, and therefore he that receiueth it, is saide therewith to make a Vowe consequently, euen so
holy order in the VVeste Church hath Chastitie annexed inseparably &c.

Addi-
tion

The Answer. Here is harde this, M. Hardinge. Aske these matters
be proued

be proued by freers Warden, and Monkes Colles? In the VVeste Church (you saie) Chastitie is inseparably annexed vnto Priesthoode. I trowe, you meane not true Chastitie in dede, but onely the promise, and Vowe of Chastitie. For otherwise bothe Caste, and VVeste maie lie by your Concubines, and other practises, that your Priesthoode, and Chastitie maie wel goe a sunder. But, if the Vowe of Chastitie be necessarily annexed vnto Priesthoode, as you haue tolde vs, why speake you then moze of the VVeste Church, then of the Easte? Is theire Priesthoode, and yours of sundrie natures? Why shoulde you thus pester the worlde with so mutche vanitie? Once againe I saie, If the Vowe of Chastitie be necessarily annexed to the Order of Priesthoode, howe can Cardinal Caietane saie, It cannot be proued, neither by Reason, nor by Authoritie, speakinge absolutely, that a Prieste offendeth God in Marryinge a Wife?

So likewise saith Anselmus in a Dialogue betwene the Maister, and the Scholare, touching these matters: Desideramus certificari tua Solutione super Vulgari in toto Orbe questione, quæ ab omnibus penè quotidie ventilatur, & adhuc lis indiscussa celatur, Scilicet, An liceat Presbyteris, post acceptum Ordinem Vxorē ducere: Wee are desirous by your Answer to be certified, aboute this common question, that is nowe tossed through the worlde, and as yet lieth undiscussed, I meane, whether a Prieste, beinge within Orders, maie Marrie a VVife. Hereby it appeareth, that in the time of Anselmus, whiche was aboute a thousande yeres after Christe, This matter laie in question, and was not yet discussed.

Anselm. Dialog.
Inquisitione
Prima.

Addition. Anselme wrote three Dialogues, in whiche he maketh the Maister, and the Scholare to talke together. Moe Dialogues he neuer wrote, that maie appeare by the woorkes, that are printed in his name: And in these there is no suche Dialogue touching these matters. There is no suche thinge at al, amonge al his Dialogues. VVhether Freere Bale, or Illyricus, or some other suche gatherer of rifferasse haue deceiued you, or your selfe haue vsed your owne inuention (I wil not cal it plaine lyinge) I knowe not.

M. Hardinge,
fol. 308. a. b.

The Answer. And wil you not cal it plaine Lieinge, M. Hardinge? There is the more courtesie in your dealinge. Dutche talke becommeth you so wel, as no thinge better. It is your manner to presume boldly, befoze you knowe. Certainely there is sutch a Dialogue of Anselmus: There is sutch a Dialogue. And although you saie it neuer, yet haue I seene it. And, if any your frinde, for his better satisfaction, shal desire the sight thereof, I am hable to healepe him. If ye rest onely vpon the credite of Printed Bookes, ye maie some be deceiued. For there are sundrie Bookes writtē by Anselmus, that neuer were printed. Abbas Trithemius, after he had made a longe rehearsal of his Bookes, in the ende saith thus, Alia plura recepit dicitur, quæ ad notitiam meam non venerunt: Anselmus hath also written many other Bookes, whiche neuer came to my knowledge.

Trithemius De
scriptoribus
Ecclesiasticis.

But, touching the number, and certaintie of Anselmes Bookes, I see no cause, but Anselme him selfe maie as wel be belæued, as M. Hardinge. Anselme him selfe saith, he hath writtē moe Dialogues, then those foure, that you haue alleged: as you might easily haue seene by his Prologue befoze his Dialogue, De Veritate. One other Dialogue he wrote, De Passione Domini: the speakers whereof are Anselmus, and Maria. He wrote an other Dialogue betwene the Maister & the Scholare, beginninge thus, Plura sunt de quibus tuam diu desidero responsionem. There is an other Booke of Anselmus, De Corpore Christi, and an other, De conceptione Beatæ Virginis Mariæ, beginninge thus, Principium quo salus mundi: whiche, and other like Bookes, I trowe, in your printed Colonian Tomes wil not be founde. There came lately abroade an other Dialogue of Anselmes betwene the Maister, and Scholare, named Promptuarium, seu Elucidarium, printed at Parise, by Fridericus Morellus, anno Domini 1560. Your Coloniens in theire Tomes of Anselmes woorkes, haue sette forth one special Booke of his Epistles, conteininge in the whole thirtiene onely Epistles, and no moe. Yet notwithstandinge, I can sende you to two seuerall Authentike Bookes of Anselme, wherein you maie finde thre hundred, thre score and eight of his Epistles. Therefore M. Hardinge, if you satisfie your selfe onely with the Recordes of Colaine, you maie happily be deceiued. You maie remember,

Zz

Anselmus

Anselmus was the Archebishop of Canturburie, and Metropolitane of this Realme of Englande: whether euer he sawe the Cittie of Colaine, or no, I haue not hearde. Wherefoze it were moze wise some for you to seeke his Bookes in Englande, then in Colaine. Hise your Coloniens them selues confesse, that they haue not printed al Anselmes Bookes, but onely so many, as came to their handes. For thus they write in the Inscription, or Title of the same: Operum Anselmi, quæ quidem haberi potuerunt, omnium: And againe in the thirde Tome. Catalogus opusculorum omnium D. Anselmi, quæ ad hunc vsque Diem haberi potuerunt.

Spare therefore your vnmanerly speeches, of plaine Licinge, & Hardinge, and learne rather your selfe, to speake the truthe. As for the matter it selfe, that the Priestes of Englande were commonly, and lawfully married in the time of Anselmus, whiche was in the yere of our Lorde a thousande and foure scoare, it is euident by al, that haue written the storie of that age.

Dist. 34. Cum in
præterito.
In eadem dist.

Extra De Ele-
ctione c. licet de
Vitanda Abb.

Nicol. Cusan. ad
Boban. Epist. 2

You maie adde further hereto the Example of Moses, not the greate Captaine of Israel, but a Christian Priest: who, as it appeareth by the Popes owne Records, beinge within Holy Orders, toke a wife, and liued with her without any manner offense of Lawe. Your Doctours telle you, that vntill the time of Pope Siricius, that is to saie, for the space twelue hundred yeres after Christe, it was law- ful for al Priestes to marrie without exception, neither Vowe, nor promise, nor Lawe, nor Ordinance, nor other restrainte beinge then to the contrarie. Panormi- tane, your p̄ncipal Canonist, saith thus, Si clare constet de Matrimonio Pape, tunc aut Vxor inducetur ad continentiam, aut, si noluerit, reddat debitum, & nihilominus stet in Papatu. Quia non repugnat substantiæ Papatus, seu Clericatus. Nam & Petrus habebat Vxorem, cum promoueretur in Papam Continentia autem processit ex Constitutione Ecclesiæ: If it maie appeare clearly, that the Pope hath a Wife, (as hauinge married her befoze he was Pope) then either his Wife shalbe perswaded to liue Single, or, if she wil not, let the Pope yeelde her Marriage due tie, and yet neuerthelesse remaine in the Popedom. For Marriage due tie is not contrarie to the Substance and office, neither of Popedom, nor of Priesthoode. For Peter had a Wife, when he was promoted to be a Pope. As for the rule of Single Life, it was brought in by the ordinance of the Church. And therefore he saith fur- ther, Vnde videmus, quod Presbyteri Græci sine peccato contrahunt Matrimonium: Wee see that the Priestes of Græcia, beinge within Orders doo Marrie Wives: and wee see they doo it, sine peccato, without sinne, or breache of Lawe, either of God, or of Man. We geeth not by blinde peradventure, & by gheasse, as you do, & Hardinge: but speake of cer- tainetie. VVe knowe it, saith he, and wee see it. An other of your Cardinales, Ni- colaus Cusanus saith, In Nouo Testamento, post aliquot tempora, visum fuit irration- abile fore, quod in Sacris Ordinibus existentes contraherent, similiter & solenniter Vouentes: In the Newe Testamente, after a certaine time, it was thought, it should not be rea- sonable for Priestes, beinge within Holy Orders, to contracte Matrimonie: likewise it was thought of them, that had made Solemne Vowes. After a certaine time, saith he, this De- cree was made. He meaneth the time of Pope Siricius, as we haue saide. Befoze whiche time it was lawfull, as wel for Priestes, as also for them, that had made a So- lemne Vowe of Chastitie, to Marrie lawfully without restrainte.

Thus, & Hardinge, you see by your Canonistes, That the Priestes of the East Church maie Marrie, beinge within Holy Orders: By the Auncient Council of An- cyra, that Deacons, after protestation made, mighte lawfully Marrie: By Chryso- stome, that Priestes and Bishops maie so take the state of Marriage, that it shalbe no hinderance to any perfection: By Cardinal Caietane, that, speakinge absolutely, a Priest offendeth not in Marryinge a wife, his Orders, or Priesthoode not withstan- ding: By Anselme, that, 11. hundred yeres after Christe, that is to saie, vntill within one hundred yeres sithence the Conquest, this matter, not withstandinge it had ben muche beaten through the worlde, yet laie still vndiscussed: By Panormitane, Eras- mus, & Agrippa, that the Priestes of Græcia Marrie this daie, and that, Sine peccato, without sinne: By others your Doctours, that vntill the time of Pope Siricius, it was lawfull for al Priestes to Marrie: you see, that Moses, beinge a Christian Priest, and Eupychius,

Eupſychius, beinge a Chriſtian Biſhop, and a Martyr, toke either of them a lawfull Wiſe, & ſo liued in Marriage without offence. Yet al this notwithstanding, can you ſaie againe without bluſhing, M. Hardinge, as you haue ſaide, VVee denie vtterly, that any man, after that he hath receiued Holy Orders, maie Marrie. D; wil you ſaie, It cannot be

M. Hard, fol. 280

ſhewed, that the Marriage of ſuch was euer accounted lawful in the Catholique Church? If ye knewe theſe thinges beſore, M. Hardinge, ye were to blame, to diſſemble them: If ye knewe them not, ye were to blame to controlle them. I doubt not, but it maie appeare by theſe ſeue, that ſithence the time of Chriſtes Reſurrection, ſundry Priſtes, beinge within Holy Orders, haue married Wiues: and that, not onely in the Greeke Church, but alſo in the Church of Rome.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuiſion. 9.

The Aunciente Emperoure Iuſtinian commaunded, that in the Holy Adminiſtration, al thinges ſhoulde be pronounced with a cleare, lowde, and treatable voice, that the people might receiue ſomme fruite thereby. Theiſe meane, leſſe the people ſhould vnderſtande them, mumblable by theire Seruice, not onely with a drowned, and hollowe voice, but alſo in a ſtrange, and Barbarous tongue.

M. Hardinge.

Iuſtinian the Emperoure willett praier at the holy oblation (whiche theſe Defenders here tranſlate adminiſtration, as beinge aſhamed of theire owne Authour, becauſe they holde (a) againſt the ſacrifice of the Maſſe) to be made not in ſilence, but with an audible voice. Not meaninge as though praier made in ſilence were vnfruitful to the people, as theſe men woulde make vs beleeue. And therefore they corrupte his woordes, as what thinge doo they not corrupte, that cometh vnder their handes? They ſaie Iuſtinian made that Lawe, *Vt fructus ex eare aliquis ad populum redire poſſet*, that ſomme fruite might come thereof to the people, as who ſhoulde ſaie, (b) no fruite were like to come, if praier were made in ſilence. But what ſaith Iuſtinian? His woordes be, *Quo maiore exinde deuotione in depromendis Domini Dei laudibus audientium animi efferantur*. To thintent the mindes of the hearers maie thereby with the more deuotion be liſted vp in ſettinge ſoorthe the praies of our Lorde God. He ſaith, that the lowde voice helpeth to more deuotion, he ſaith not, that ſomme fruite, but, with more deuotion. (c) Some fruite is alwaie had euen by ſecrete praier, and more fruite ſometime, then by lowde praier.

Two faultes then are committed, or rather two lies made in Iuſtinians woordes: one that for oblation, they touned adminiſtration, whiche falſehede M. Iewel ſeteth in his printed Sermon, and in his replies to M. D. Cole. VVhether he be alſo guiltie of this? An other faulte is, that for more deuotion, they put ſomme fruite. The thirde lie is, in that they ſaie, wee doo whiſper al our Seruice, ſo is the Latine, albeit this good Lady liketh better the terme of mumblinge. (d) I weene ſewe who haue the ſenſe of hearinge, wil ſaie with them, that the ſinginge of Pſalmes, Hymnes, Epistle, Goſpel, Grailes, Offertories, Preface, and ſuche like Seruice vſed in the Catholique Church, is whiſperinge, or mumblinge. The fourthe lie is, where they ſaie, we doo it ſo, leſſe the people ſhould vnderſtande vs. (e) VVe wiſhe, that al the people vnderſtoode al our praier. But we thinke it not conuenient, in a common prophane tongue, to vtter highe myſteries. Therefore we wiſhe, they woulde learne the (f) myſtical tongue, and gladly doo wee teache theire children the ſame. S. Dionyſe the Areopagite ſcholer to S. Paule, teacheth Timothe, and in him al vs, *Communicare ea, qua vim perficiendi habent cum ijs, qui perficiunt*, to communicate thoſe thinges, whiche haue power to make men perſite, with them, who make men perſite: (g) that is to ſaie, to publiſhe Prieſtly office of Conſecration, (for nothinge maketh vs more perſite) amonge them onely, who are Prieſtes, and not amonge others.

Laſte of al the fifth lie is to ſaie, that we pronounce our ſeruice, and our myſteries in a barbarous tongue. (h) As though the Latine tongue were barbarous, and not rather euery vulgare tongue. That tongue is moſte barbarous, whiche is moſte vſed of the vulgare ſorte, moſte priuate, moſte vknownen in reſpecte of al. (i) VVhen Englande commeth to haue a ſeruice of theire owne, a tongue of theire owne in Churches, and hath a Church of theire owne beſide the whole, then haue they loſte theire parte with the Catholique Church, whereunto God reſtoare it againe.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

M. Hardinge, we corrupt not ſuche thinges, as come vnder our handes. The world ſeeth, that is your Ordinarie, & peculiere praactiſe: It is not ours. Ye dubbe vs bothe here, and elſewhere, with your Lies vpon Lies. Suche is the Ciuitie, and Courtieſie of your ſprache. Yet hitherto we haue not redubbed you with any one Lie. Sobriſtie, and modeſtie rather becommeth them, that ſpeake of God.

Fiſt, ye ſaie, wee haue corrupted Iuſtinians woordes. And yet, ye knowe, we alledge onely Iuſtinians meaninge, & otherwiſe not one of al his woordes. Ye ſaie,

Z z ij

Iuſtinian

In Nouel. Conſtit. 123.

(a) Vntruſthe, ſonde, and peeuifhe. For Iuſtinian ſpeaketh not of any Sacrifice of the Maſſe.

(b) Vntruſth. For S. Auguſtine ſaith, *Quid prodeſt locutionis integritas, quam non ſequitur intellectus audientis*.

(c) Vntruſth. For M. Hard. owne Councels expreſſe it by theſe woordes, Inſuffutrate, and Marmurare. (d) Vntruſth, notorious, & conſtrarie to theire owne principal poſition.

Ignorance is the Mother of deuotion.

(e) Myſtical tongue. A Myſtical ſolie of al folies.

(f) Vntruſth, & a gloſe contrarie both to the text and alſo to the continual praactiſe of the Church of Gracia.

Iuſtinian Impe. Authen. Conſtit. 123. VVe come

Praier in a
strange
tongue.

*maunde al his
sh oppes, and
Priestes to minis-
ster the holy ob-
lation &c. not
vnder silence, but
with a lowde
voice. &c. that
the hartes of the
hearers maie be
sturred to more
dewotion. &c.
Aug. in 1st al. 99*

546

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Iustinian meante not, as though praier made in silence were vnfruitful to the people. For somme fruite (ye saie) there is alwaies had euen by secrete, and vnknownen Praier. But what fruite, or howe muche, or howe ye knowe it, or can assure it, ye spare to tel vs. Yet S. Augustine saith, Quid prodest loquutionis integritas, quam non sequitur intellectus audientis? Cum loquendi omnino nulla sit causa, si, quod loquimur, non intelligunt, propter quos, vt intelligant, loquimur: What profite is there in speache, be it neuer so persite, if the vnderstandinge of the hearer cannot attaine it? For there is no cause, why wee should speake at al, if they vnderstande not, what we speake, for whose sake wee speake, that they maie vnderstande vs. Againe he saith, Mens mea sine fructu est: Hoc ait, quando id, quod dicitur, non intelligitur: My minde is without fruite: This the Apostle S. Paule saith, when the thinge that is spoken, is not perceiued. And againe, Si intellectum mentis remoueas, nemo edificatur audiendo, quod non intelligit: Set aparte the vnderstandinge of the Minde: And noman bathe fruite, or profite of that thinge, that he perceiueth not. Likewise againe he saith, Quid opus est iubilare, & non intelligere iubilationem: vt Vox nostra sola iubilet, & Cor non iubilet? Sonus enim Cordis intellectus est: What needeth vs to singe, if wee vnderstande not, what wee singe: to singe with our Voice, and not with our Harte? For Vnderstandinge is the sounde, or voice of the Harte.

M. Hardinge telleth vs sably, The deuoute people is edified by the Latine Praiers, though they vnderstande not one woorde that is spoken: But S. Augustine saith, By that thinge, that hee vnderstandeth not, noman is edified. M. Hardinge saith, There is alwaies somme fruite euen by secrete, and vnknownen Praier. But S. Augustine saith, There is no fruite in speache, be it neuer so persite, if the vnderstandinge of the harte cannot attaine it. So properly S. Augustine, and M. Hardinge agree together.

These wordes, VVhisperinge, and Mumbling, mislike you muche. Yet your owne frendes, intreatinge hereof, haue often bled the same wordes. In your Late Councel of Colaine, it is witten thus, Vt Presbyteri Preces non tantum ore Murmurent, sed etiam Corde Perfoluant, nunquam a manibus eorum Liber Legis, hoc est, Biblia deponatur: That the Priestes maie not onely Mumble wth their Praiers, but also pronounce them from their Hartes, Let the Booke of the Lawe, that is to saie, the Bible, neuer be laide from their handes.

*Conc. Coloniensis.
Ca 5. Anno 1536.*

*Regino. 2. Con-
cilio Nannetiensi.*

*Authen. Consti-
ta. 123.*

Aug. in 1st al. 18.

*Ambro. Hexa-
mer. li. 3. Ca. 5.*

*Leo. De Scimus
Septimi Mensis,
Sermo. 3.*

Likewise Regino reporteth the wordes of the Councel of Nantes, Ridiculum est, Muris, aut Parietibus Insuffurrare ea, quae ad populum pertinent: It is a peeuish thinge, to VVhisper those thinges to the Walles, that pertaine vnto the people.

Notwithstandinge, whether it be VVhisperinge, or Mumbling, or by what so ever name els it shal please you to calle it, that good Emperoures Commaundement, and meaninge was, that ye shoulde so bitter al thinges in the Congregation, Distinctly, and Plainely, with lowde, and Open Voice, that the people mighte vnderstande you, and answere, Amen. Therefore S. Augustine saith, Nos, qui in Ecclesia Divina eloquia cantare didicimus, simul etiam instare debemus, esse quod scriptum est, Beatus populus, qui intelligit iubilationem. Proinde, Charissimi, quod Consona voce cantauimus, sereno etiam Corde nosse, & tenere debemus: Wee, that haue learned to singe in the Church the Heauenly wordes of God, muste also endenoure to be that thinge, that is written, Blessed is the people, that vnderstandeth, what they singe. Therefore dearly beloued, that wee haue songe together with tuneable voice, wee ought also to know, and see with pure harte. S. Ambrose, declaringe the Ecclesiastical order of his time, saith thus, Responsorij Psalmorum, Cantu Virorum, Mulierum, Virginum, Parvulorum, consonus vndarum fragor resultat: With the answearinge of Psalmes, and with the Singinge together of Menne, VVemen, Maides, and litle Children, the Church foundeth, as if it were the dashinge, and beatinge of the Sea.

To like yourpse Leo saith, Totius Ecclesiae vna est Oratio, & vna Confessio: The whole Church hath one Praier and one Confession.

That ye allege out of Dionysius, is vaine, and Childishe, as is the reste of your talke, and quite contrarie to the Authours minde, and therefore not worthy to be answered. For Dionysius saith not, as you haue imagined, M. Hardinge, that the Priestes shoulde talke alone in his Mystical vnknownen Tongue, as a singinge Cymbal

*Aug. 2.
De Trin.
Christi.
li. 4. ca. 1.*

*Aug. 1.
Grosin.
Litteran.
li. ca. 1.*

Cymbal without sense: but rather telleth you, that, by his order, the Priest, and the whole people should singe together. These be his wordes, Percipiens ipse, & alij tradens Diuinam Communionem, postremo definit in Gratiarum actionem, vñ cum tota Ecclesie multitudine: The Priest both receiuinge him selfe the Holy Communion, and also deliueringe the same vnto others, laske of al endeth with thanks geuinge, together with al the whole multitude, and Companie of the Church.

Thus out of one place, ye haue alleged two manifest Testimonies againste your selfe: The one, to condemne your Private Masse: The other, to condemne your Mystical Prayers in Vnknownen tongue.

Ye saie, VVee wishe, the people would learne the Mystical Latine tongue: and gladly doe wee teache their Children the same. Ye saie, The Mystical Latine tongue. O vaine man, & more vaine, then Vanitie it selfe: Why like you so fondely to deceiue Gods people? Who euer taught you these kindes of Mysteries? what Scripture? what Councell? what Doctour? what Father? Howe knowe you, that the Latine tongue, that euery Childe make so commonly, and so easily vnderstande, should be so Mystical? And wherefore are al other Tongues, The Greeke, the Hebrew, the Chalde, the Arabike, the Italian, the Frenche, the Spanishe, the Irishe lesse Mystical, then the Latine? What haue these Tongues offended? What hath that Tongue deserued? The Tongue is nothinge els, but a Tongue. It is the Water, and meaninge of the wordes, that is Mystical. S. Augustine saith, Audimus hæc verba, Beata Vita: & rem ipsam omnes nos appetere fatemur. Non enim sono delectamur. Nam hoc cum Latine audit Græcus, non delectatur: quia ignorat, quod dictum est: Sicut etiam Latinus, si Græcè hoc audierit. Quoniam res ipsa nec Græca, nec Latina est: Wee heare these wordes, Blessed Life, or the Life to come. And the thinge it selfe wee al confesse, wee desire to haue. For we haue no pleasure in the sounde of the wordes. For when a Grecian heareth these wordes spoken in Latine, he hath no pleasure in them: Because he understandeth not, what is spoken. Neither hath the Latine any pleasure if he heare the same spoken in Greeke. For the thinge it selfe, is neither Greeke, nor Latine. It were a great Myserie, to reache the bottome of your Mysteries. S. Paule calleth you, not the Hiders, or Couchers, but the Dispensers, and Stewards of Goddes Mysteries. Your Mystical pollicie is, to leade Goddes people through Myste, and Darkenesse. Ye wishe the people would learne the Latine tongue, which you calle Mystical. No doubt, a worthy, and a learned wishe. Ye might as good cheape, and as wel haue wisshed, that al the whole people, of al Countries, would learne to speake Greeke, and Hebrew. But your meaninge is, that vntill al the Plowmen, and Artificers, and Labourers of the worlde be bable to vnderstande, and so speake your Mystical Latine tongue, they make not in any wise be allowed, to vnderstande any parcel of these Prayers.

Lactantius, speakinge of the subtle practises, and pollicies of the Heathens, saith thus, Hinc Fida Silentia instituta sunt ab hominibus callidis: vt nesciret populus, quid coleret: Therefore trusty silence, and secrecie was appointed by subtle, and false souldiers: that the people (still beinge blinde) should neuer knowe, what they worshipped.

This is not the Myserie of the Kingdome of Heauen: It is rather the Myserie of Iniquitie: The same Myserie, that S. Iohn mentioeth in his Reuelations: In Fronte eius Nomen scriptum est, Mysterium: Babylon Magna, Mater Abominationum, & Fornicationum Terræ: A name was written in her Forcheade, a Myserie: Grease Babylon, the Mother of the Abominations, and of the Fornications of the Earthe. Of these Holy Mysteries, your Latine tongue, as ye haue vsed it, make wel, and iustly be called Mystical.

S. Hierome saith of your Fathers in Olde time, De Domo Dei Scenam fecere Populorum: Of the House of God they haue made a Stageplaie of the People. And speakinge of the Valentinian Heretiques, he saith, Barbaro simplicibus quosq; terrent sono, vt quod non intelligunt, plus mirentur: With a Barbarous vknownen sounde of wordes they feare the simple, that, what so euer they vnderstande not, they make the more esteeme, and haue in reuerence.

But the Latine tongue, ye saie, is not Barbarous: and therein, as your wonte.

Deum. Ca. 1.
METÀ ΤΑΥΤΩ
ΤΣ ΤΗΣ ΕΚ
ΗΛΕΙΩΣ ΙΕΡΩ
ΠΛΗΡΩΜΑΤΟΣ.

August. Con-
fess. 10, ca. 18.

1. Cor. 4.

Lactant. Li. 5.
Ca. 20.

Matthæ. 13.
2. Thess. 2.
Apoc. 17.

Hieron. in epis.
ad ephe. Ca. 1.
Hieronymus ad
Theodorum,

Artic. 3. Dial. 3.
Ludou. viuer
De Traden. Dif-
ciplinu. Lib. 3.
fol. 57.

August. Aduer.
Indico. Oratio.
4.

Aug. in Psal. 44.

Augu. in Iohan.
Tracta. 6.

Cocil. Cartha. 3.

*Vntruth. For,
if this old Coun-
cel be falsified,
it was falsified
twelue hūdred
yeres agoe, in
the Council of
Hippo.

Is, ye haue noted a wonderful greate life in our Apologie. Yet vnto S. Paule, that tongue seemeth Barbarous, & is vnknown vnto the hearer, be it Latine, be it Greeke. Thus he saith, Nisi sciero vim vocis, ero illi, qui loquitur, Barbarus: & ille, qui loquitur, mihi Barbarus: Onlesse I vnderstande the power, or meaninge of the wordes, I shalbe Barbarous to him, that sheareth: and he, that sheareth (in what tongue so euer he speake) shalbe Barbarous vnto mee. Hereof I had occasion to saie somethinge in my Former Replie. S. Augustine saith, Mallet quisque cum Cane suo versari, quam cum homine ignoto: Any man woulde rather dwel with his Dogge, then with a man of an vnknown tongue.

To conclude, ye saie, VWhen Englande commeth to haue a seruice of their owne, and a Tongue of their owne in Churches, then haue they loste their parte with the Catholike Church. Here, M. Hardinge, a little more reason woulde haue geuen some credite to your wordes. For, as touching the Publique Seruice of the Church, I beseeche you, euen in your owne time of Barbarous blindness, what Seruice had the Church of Englande, but Peculiare, & Special to her selfe? Touchinge the varietie of Tongues, S. Augustine saith, Astitit Regina à dextris tuis in vestitu deaurato, circumdata varietate. Vbi significatur Linguarum varietas in omnibus Gentibus: quarum tamen in vna est intus, & Simplex Fides: The Queene stood at thy right hande, apparelled in Clothe of Goulde, adorned with varietie. Herby is signified the Diuersitie of Tongues in all Nations: The Faith whereof, that lieth within, is one, and simple. Likewise againe he saith, Vestitus Regine huius quis est? Et pretiosus, & varius est: Sacramenta Doctrinæ in Linguis omnibus varijs. Alia Lingua Aphricana: alia Syria: alia Græca: alia Hebræa: alia illa, & illa. Faciunt istæ Linguæ varietatem vestis Regine huius. Quomodo autem omnis varietas vestis in vnitatem concordat, sic & omnes Linguæ ad vnam Fidem. In veste varietas sit: scissura non sit. Ecce varietatem intelleximus de Diuersitate Linguarum: & Vestem intelleximus propter Vnitatem: What is this Queenes apparel? It is pretious, and full of varietie: The Mysteries of Doctrine in all sundrie Tongues. There is one Tongue of Aphrica: an other of Syria: an other Greeke: an other Hebrew: an other this, an other that. These Tongues make up the varietie of the Queenes apparel. But as all the varietie of colours in the apparel agreeth in Vnitie: euen so all Tongues agree in one Faith. Let there be Varietie in the Apparel: But rente, or cutte there maie not be. Beholde, by the Varietie, wee vnderstande the Diuersitie of Tongues: and by the Apparel, wee vnderstande the Vnitie (of the Church). Againe he saith, Distant inter se Linguæ: Sed Linguarum distantie non sunt schismata: Tongues are diuided, one from an other: But the Diuision of Tongues is no Schisme, or Diuision in Religion. Therefore, M. Hardinge, why sende ye vs ouer this wanton talke? why tel you vs, that, when Englande hath a tongue of her owne in the Churches, then hath shee loste her parte with the Catholike Church. Beholde, S. Augustine saith, The diuision of Tongues, is no diuision in Religion.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 10.

The old Council at Carthage commaunded, that nothing should be readde in Christs Congregation, but the Canonical Scriptures: these menne reade sutch thinges in their Churches, as them selues knowe for a Truthe to be starke Lies, and sonde Fables.

M. Hardinge.

A man were better, I assure thee, good Reader, to make two newe Bookes, then to correcte one so full of lies and falsified places, as this Apologie is. * This olde Council of Carthage is newly falsified by our newe Clergie. The wordes of the Council are these. Placuit, vt præter Scripturas Concil. Canonicas nihil in Ecclesia legatur sub nomine Diuinarum Scripturarum: It hath seemed good vnto vs, that besides the Canonical Scriptures, nothinge be readde in the Church vnder the name of the holy Scriptures. They leauinge out these foure wordes, sub nomine Diuinarum Scripturarum, Vnder the name of the diuine Scriptures, woulde beare men in hande, the Council willed nothinge to be readde in the Church, besides the holy Scriptures. Are not these trusty men to whom ye maie commit the charge of your soules for your Faith and saluation.

It foloweth in the same decree, Liceat etiam Legi passionis Martyrum, cum anniuersarij dies celebrantur: Let it be lawfull also for the Martyrdomes of Martyrs to be read, when their yere-ly feastes

ly seastes are keapte. And yet dare they not only to saie, nothing muste be read besides the Scriptures, but also to allege that very place for that special lie, whiche saithe the contrarie. Looke in the Booke thy selfe good Reader, and see howe falsely they handle so holy matters. * An other lie is, when they saie, wee reade those thinges in the Churches, whiche our selues knowe to be starke lies, and fonde fables. VVhen they cannot them selues shewe that wee haue any sutch, it is a vaine licinge rhetorike to saie, wee doubt not of it, or knowe it our selues for a truthe. I woonder not if they blushe not in belicinge vs, who haue belied so many Scriptures, Councelles, and Fathers.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It standeth wel with your Truthe, M. Harding, so often to charge vs with Lies, and Falschedde. I truste, it wil appeare, ye had no greate cause, to keepe so greate reuel vpon so poore a Conqueste. In othe these wordes be not expressely founde in the thirde Council of Carthage. Yet are they founde in the Council of Hippo, whiche is the Abidgemente of the thirde Council of Carthage, as it appeareth by the Title of the same: Concilij Hipponensis Abbreuiationes factæ in Concilio Carthagenensi Tertio.

The wordes of the Council of Hippo, and, so; so mutche, also of the thirde Council of Carthage, be these: Scripturæ Canonice in Ecclesia legendæ quæ sunt: & præter quas alia non legantur: *The Scriptures Canonical, whiche are to be reade in the Church: and besides whiche nothinge maie be reade.* Here haue you, M. Hardinge, the plaine wordes of the Council of Hippo, abridged out of the thirde Council of Carthage, That nothinge maie be reade in the Church, but onely the Canonical Scriptures. Judge you therefore, howe iuste cause ye had, so vnadvisedly, so; I dare not saie, so vnlearned, to charge the Apologie with Lies, and Falschedde.

Here maie we adde the like Decree of the Council holden at Laodicea: Sabbatis Euangelia cum alijs Scripturis legendæ esse censemus: *Wee agree that the Gospel, with other Scriptures, be reade vpon the Sabotha daie.* If these wordes seme not sufficient, it foloweth further in the same Council, Non oportet Libros, qui sunt extra Canonem, legere, nisi solos Canonicos Veteris, & Noui Testamenti: *Wee maie not reade any Bookes, that be without the Canon, but onely the Canonical Bookes of the Old, and New Testamente.*

So like effecte Chrysostome saithe, Ideo Christus mentas nummulariorum evertit: Significans quod in Templo Dei non debent esse nummi, nisi Spirituales, id est, qui Dei imaginem habent: *Therefore Christe overthrowe the Exchangers bankes: Meaninge thereby, that there maie be no Coine in the Church, but onely Spiritual, that is to saie, that beareth the Image of God.* Againe he saithe, Lectorum officium erat, in Ecclesia, Sacra Legere ex Scriptis, vel Prophetarum, vel Apostolorum: *It was the Readers office, to Pronounce Holy thinges vnto the people, out of either the Apostles, or Prophetes.*

Erasmus saithe, Apparet, non nisi Apostolicas Literas olim Legi solitas in Templis, aut certe Virorum Apostolicæ Authoritatis: *It appeareth that in old time, nothing was vsed to be read in the Churches, but onely the Apostles Writings: or at leaste, the Writings of sutch others, as were of Apostolical Authoritie.* Likewise saith Abbas Ansigisus, reportinge the Ecclesiastical Decrees of the Frenche Kinges, Lewes, and Charles: In Templis tantum Canonici Libri, id est, Sacre Literæ legantur: *Lette there be reade in the Churches onely the Canonical Bookes, that is to saie, the holy Scriptures.* Hereby maie you see M. Hardinge, if there were any want before, thus many waies it maie be supplied.

An other lie (ye saie) is this, when they saie, we reade those thinges in the Church, whiche our selues knowe, to be starke Lies, and fonde Fables. For trial hereof, we shal not neede to trauaile farre. Your owne Bookes, and Legendes, are prouise sufficiente.

Erasmus thereof saithe thus: Hodie quorumlibet somnia, imò muliercularum Deliramenta leguntur inter Diuinas Scripturas: *Nowe a daies euery fooles Dreames, yea very weemens dotinge fantasies are reade with the Holy Scriptures.*

Likewise saithe Polydore Vergil, Multorum Diuorum vitas recitant, tamen parum ad Fidem Scriptas: *They reade many Sainctes Lienes, althoughe not written accordinge to the Truthe.* Ludouicus Viues wittinge of poure Legendæ Aurea, whiche was the mother of al poure deuoute Ecclesiastical Stories, or Fables, saithe thus, Nescio, cur Aurea dici debeat, cum scripta sit ab homine ferrei oris, & plumbei

* Vntruth. For it is confessed by the beste of M. Hardinges owne side.

Concil. Hippon.
Cap. 38.

Concil. Laodice.
Cap. 16.
Concil. Laodice.
Cap. 59.

Chrys. in Mat.
th. Homil. 38.

Chrys. in Act.
Homil. 19.

Eras. in Hiero.
De Eccl. Scripto-
rib. Efreu.

Ansigisus. Lib. 1.
Cap. 19. Impres-
sus Parisius An-
no. 1550.

Eras. in Anno-
tation. in Hiero-
nym. De Eccl. Es-
critis Scrip-
ta.
Polydor Vergil.
in Oration. Do-
minicam.

Leonard. Laus

terius in prouost-
bia salomonus
Pag. 134.

bei Cordis, & plenissima sit impudentissimis Mendacijs: I see no cause, why it should be called the Goulde Legend, seeinge it was written by a man of an iron face, and a leaden harte, and is freight ful of moste shamelesse lies. If ye knowe not these thinges, M. Hardinge, your friendes will thinke, ye knowe nothing. Suche Truthe ye reade, and publishe deuoutly, and solemnely in your Churches. Yet maie we neither saie, nor thinke, ye moke the people.

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Division. 1.

But, if there be any, that thinke, these aboute rehearsed Authorities be but weake and slender, because they were decreed by Emperoures, and certaine petite Bishops, and not by so full, and perfitte Councelles, takinge pleasure rather in the Authozitie, and name of the Pope: let sutch a one knowe, that Pope Iulius doothe evidently forbiddinge, that a Prieste in Ministringe the Communion, shoulde dippe the Breade in the Cuppe. These men contrarie to Pope Iulius Decree, diuide the Breade, and dippe it in the VVine.

M. Hardinge.

Yee maie be sure, many men thinke this your homely stuffe not onely weake and slender, but also corrupt, venomous, and lothsom. But now by like ye wil amende your faulte. But howe? Surely by goinge from very euil, to as bad or woorse, if ye can doo woorse, then hitherto ye haue done. Iulius the Pope (saie ye) dothe evidently forbid, that a Prieste in ministringe the Communion, shoulde dippe the Breade in the Cuppe. Nowe verily your former faulte of lying is wel amended. For where before ye left out, cast in, or changed some of those wordes, whiche ye pretende to allege, nowe yee make every whit newe of your owne. VVhere hath Iulius these wordes? I speake not of your false alleginge of places in your Bookes margent. I forgoeue you the putting of *cum enim nemo*, in stede of *cum omne*. These be smal and slipper faultes, which if they were alone might be winked at in such slipper merchantes, as yet are. But let vs heare, what Pope Iulius saithe, *Aliis quoq; audiuimus intinctam Eucharistiam populis pro complemento communionis porrigere*: we haue heard also of others, who geue to the people the Euchariste dipped, or stiped, for making vp of the Communion. It is to be vnderstanded, that whereas Christe gaue the blessed Sacramente of the Altar to his Apostles, he gaue it vnder bothe kindes. And when the Priestes in some countie, either for lacke of wine at all times ready, either for some private fashie, vsed to dippe or stipe the Sacred Body of oure Loide vnder forme of Breade in the consecrated bloude, and so to geue it to the people. Pope Iulius findeth faulte therewith, for that neither Christe ordeined so, nor the Apostles left suche order to the Church. So that Iulius meaneth nothinge els, but to reprove and reforme that vse of dippinge, as stiping the one kinde in the other in the Administration of the Communion vnto the people. Reade the place, who listeth, he shal finde the same sense more largely vttered a litle after.

But what sense make these Defenders a Gods name! They saie, Iulius forbiddeth the Priestes, *ne dum peragit Mysteria, panem immergat in Calicem*: that in ministringe the Communion (so the Lady tourneth) he shoulde dippe the Breade in the Cuppe. There is no sutch woorde in the whole decree. Iulius nameth *Eucharistia*, thei cal it bread. Iulius hath *intinctam porrigere populo*, that no Prieste geue the Sacramente dipped to the people: they leauinge out, geuinge it to the people, say he forbad the Priest to dippe it. Thei leaue out also the chiefe cause of the whole, which is, *pro complemento communionis*, for makinge vp of the Communion. For he forbiddeth to geue vnto the people only the consecrated host dipped in the Chalice, as though it were the whole communion, noles then if the bloude were geuen aparte. These men (saie they) contrary to the decree of Pope Iulius, diuide the Bread, and dippe it in the wine. VVee in the Masse breake the hoste in three partes, not without signification of a Myserie: twoo we receiue aparte: the thirde wee put into the Chalice, and receiue it togeather with the bloude. VVhat haue ye to saie againste this?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Every parte hereof, is largely answered in my former Keple to M. Hardinge. True it is, the faulte, that Iulius here findeth in Dippinge, and Ministringe the Sacramente, agreeth not fully with the present disorders of the Church of Rome. Yet notwithstanding in condemninge the one, he muste needs condemne the other. We saie, we leaue out these wordes, *Intinctam porrigunt Eucharistiam populo*: They dippe the Sacramente, and deliuer the same vnto the people. And againe these wordes, *Pro complemento Communionis*: For the accomplishmente of the Commu-

*A sonderu-
nitie. For if he
forebidde the
Prieste to dippe
the Sacrament,
and to deliuer
it, then he fore-
biddeth the
Prieste to dippe
the Sacrament.
These Myssi-
cal Significati-
ons, be myssi-
cal folies. Reade
the Answer.

Communion. The more mater we haue leaste out, the more haue we concealed your faultes: and so muche the more are you beholden to vs. For what meante you, ap. Hardinge, to mention any of al these wordes? Do you deliuer the Sacrament vnto the people? Do you make it a Perfite Communion? What needed you to burthen your selfe with more Abuses, and so muche to bewraite your selfe?

Iulius saith, They dipped the Sacramente into the Cuppe, and deliuered it vnto the people. You dippe the Sacramente, as they did: but vnto the people ye geue nothinge. Iulius saith, They meante by dippinge, to make it a ful, and a perfite Communion: Contrarie wise, you defraude the people of the Holy Cuppe, and deliuer them onely the Halfe Communion. And therefore ye are muche more blame worthy, then euer were they, whom Iulius reprobued. For they offended onely of Simplicite: and you of Wilfulnesse: they onely in one thinge: you in three thinges together in one place.

But, touching the mater it selfe, the faulte, that we finde with you, and the faulte, that Iulius founde with others your Predecessours, is al one. You dippe the Breade into the Cuppe: and so do they. They brake Christes Institution: and so do you. And therefore Iulius saide vnto them, Hoc quam sit Apostolica, & Euangelica Doctrina contrarium, & consuetudini Ecclesiastica aduersum, non difficile ab ipso Fonte Veritatis probatur, a quo ordinata ipsa Sacramentorum Mysteria processerunt: *Howe farre contrarie this is to the Apostolical, and Euangelical Doctrine, and to the Custome of the Church, it is easie to proue by the Fountaine of the Truthe, by whome the Mysteries of the Sacramentes were ordeined, and from whome they first proceeded.*

We thinke the mater wel discharged, for that ye deliuer not the Sacramente so dipped vnto the people, but minister it onely vnto your selfe. Here by the waye, it were a mater of skill, to vnderstande, by what Authority, either of Scripture, or of Councel, or of Doctoure, it maie appeare, that it is lawfull for the Priest to vse, and receiue the Sacramente, and vnlawfull for the people. If the people maie not as safely, and as lawfully so receiue the Sacramente, as maie the Priest, wherefore then are these wordes written in your Masse Bookes, euen in the Canon, and Secretes of your Masse? *Hæc Sacrosancta Commixtio Corporis, & Sanguinis Domini nostri Iesu Christi fiat mihi, & omnibus fumentibus salus anime, & Corporis: This Holy minglinge of the Body, and Bloude of our Lorde Iesus Christe, be vnto mee, and vnto al, that receiue it, the healtie of Soule and Body.* Verily these wordes, *Omnibus fumentibus*, cannot by any thiste possibly be expounded of One Onely Priest, but muste needs be extended vnto the people.

We woulde faine tel vs of certaine Special Mysteries, that ye haue founde out in the breakinge of the Sacramente, if ye wiske, what they were. But ye are in case, as sommetime was Nabuchodonozor: ye are not habile wel to telle vs your owne dreame. Sommetime ye saie, The Breade is broken: sommetime ye saie, The Accidentes remaine alone by Myracle, and they are broken: sommetime ye saie, Christes Immortal, and Impassible Body it selfe is broken: sommetime ye saie, Our cies be deceiued, and nothinge is broken.

Againe (ye saie) the first peece signifieth the Church traualinge in the world: The seconde signifieth the Blessed Sainctes in Heauen: the thirde signifieth the Soules in Purgatorie. But Pope Sergius, the Father of these fantasies, conuerteth his Mysteries an other wise. For the first portion, saith he, signifieth Christes Body after his Resurrection: The seconde, Christes Body walkinge on Earthe: The thirde, Christes Body in the Graue. These, &c. Hardinge, be your Holy Significations, and Special Mysteries. With sutch folies, and spiritual vanities ye mocke the world. In Olde times, the Breade was not broken, to busie mennes heades with Significations, but onely to be deliuered to the people: as in my former Replye, I haue declared more at large. S. Augustine saith, *Panis benedicitur, & sanctificatur, & ad distribuendum Communuitur: The Bread is Blessed, and Sanctified, and broken in peeces, to the ende it maie be deliuered.*

Daniel 2.
Senten. Lib. 4.
Dist. 12.

Durandus Ll. 4.
Cap. 33.
De Conse. Dist. 2.
Triformis.

Artic. n. Dist. 2.
Augu. Epist. 1.

The Apo.

Bothe
Sverdes
in one
hande.

552

The Defense of the Apologie of the

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Division. 2.

Pope Clement saith, it is not lawfull for a Bishop to deale with
Bothe Swerdes: For if thou wilt haue bothe, saith he, thou shalt
deceiue bothe thee selfe, and those that obeie thee. Nowe a daies
the Pope chalengeth to him selfe Bothe Swerdes, and vseth bothe.
Wherefore it oughte to seeme lesse marueile, if that haue solowed,
whiche Clemente saith, that is, that he hath deceiued bothe him
selfe, and those, vvhiche haue geuen care vnto him.

M. Hardinge.

(a) These words
are partly Cle-
mentes, partly
S Bernardes.

(b) Fonde and
childishe. As
thoughe a Bi-
shop may haue
the Temporal
Swerde, and ex-
ecute a Tempo-
ral office with-
out worldly
cares.

(c) VVhat cares
haue they that
keepe Concu-
bines?

(d) Eleuen of
the Twelue A-
postles, and ma-
ny Holy Fa-
thers, and Bi-
shoppes were
Married, and
yet deceiued
not the people.

Bernard, de con-
solatione, li. 2.

Alcemyus, in
Sophoniam, ca. 1

Canon Aposto-
licus, 30.
Matthe. 23.

De Maiorit. &
obediencia, vnam
sanctam.
Psal. 135.
1. Cor. 7.

If these felowes had not sworne to belie al the worlde for maintenance of their Newe Gospel,
they woulde at this time at leaste haue made a true reporte of S. Clementes woordes. S. Clemente
speaketh not of twoo swordes. The place truly alleged hath thus: (a) *Si mundialis curis fueris
occupatus, & teipsum decipies, & eos qui te audiunt.* If thou be occupied in worldly cares, thou
shalt bothe deceiue thy selfe, and those that listen to thee. The Authoure of this Apologie, hauinge
spite at the Church, which is Christes folde, and at the Pope, the heade Shepheard, enuiege at
his auctoritie, forgeth a lie vpon S. Clemente, makinge him to saie, *Si vtrunque habere vis*, if thou
wilt haue bothe swordes, thou shalt bothe deceiue thy selfe, and those that obeie thee. (b) VVhereas
Clemente speaketh no woordes of the twoo swordes, but of worldly cares, wherewith what Bishop
so euer is entangled, shal (as he saith) deceiue bothe him selfe, and others that harken to him. For
whiche cause these Defenders beinge coupled with yoke felowes in pretended wedlocke, which state
wrappeth a man in worldly cares, because futehe a one(c) careth for the thinges of the worlde, howe
to please his wife, and is diuided, as S. Paule saith: it muste needes folowe, that hauinge taken the
office of superintendentes, and charge of Soules vpon them, (d) they haue deceiued them selues, and
dailey doo deceiue so many as heare them, and folowe their false Doctrine.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

What a pleasaunte grace M. Hardinge hath, to talke of Lies? A man woulde
thinke, it were somme good parte of his stufte. In this place twoo sundrie Autho-
rities, the one of Clemens, the other of S. Bernarde, I knowe not, by what erreure,
were ioined in one, and bothe alleged, and set forth vnder the name onely of Cle-
mens. I graunt, There was herein an oversight: But Lie, or Falshedde there was
none, as it shal appeare.

The wordes of Clemens, are as you reporte them. The wordes of S. Ber-
narde, wrytten vnto Pope Eugenius, are these: *Plenum est, Apostolis interdicti Do-
minatum. I ergo tu, & tibi vsurpare aude, aut Dominans Apostolatium: aut Apo-
stolus Dominatum. Plane ab alterutro prohiberis. Si vtrunque similiter habere velis,
perdes vtrunque: It is plaine, that vnto the Apostles of Christe, Lordship, or Temporal
Princehoode is forbidden. Goe thou thy waie therefore (thus he saith to the Pope) and
dare thou to vsurpe, either the Apostleship, beinge a Lorde: or a Lordship, beinge an Apostle.
From one of them vndoubtedly thou arte forbidden. If thou wilt indifferently haue both,
thou wilt loose bothe.*

Of futehe S. Hierome wryteth thus, *Militantes Christo, Obligant se negotijs
Sæcularibus, & eandem imaginem offerunt Deo, & Cæsari: Beeinge the Souldiers of
Christe, they binde them selues to worldly affaires, and offer vp one image to God, and Cæsar.*
In the Canons of the Apostles it is wrytten thus, *Non oportet Episcopum, aut Præ-
byterum, se Publicis Administrationibus immittere: sed vacare, & commodum se
præbere vñibus Ecclesiasticis. Nemo enim potest duobus Dominis seruire: A Bishop,
or a Prieste maie not entangle him selfe with worldly Offices: but he at reaste, and shewe him-
selfe meete for the vse of the Church. For noman can serue twoo Maisters.* Yet the Pope
this daie claimeth the right of Bothe Swerdes, not onely of the Spiritual, but also of
the Temporal. And Pope Bonifacius 8. in the Create Iubilee, and in the open sighte
of the Worlde, when he had one daie shewed him selfe in his Pontificalibus, appa-
retted in Procession as a Bishop of Bishoppes, the nexte daie he put vpon him the
Emperours Roabes of Maiestie, and had the Imperial Crowne vpon his heade, and
the Swerde naked, and glitteringe boine before him.

As for Pope Clemens, his Canon is easly shifted by a pretty Prouiso. For thus
saith he

saith he your Glose touching the same, Cessante causa, cessat effectus Verbi causa, Prohibetur, ne Presbyteri gerant tutelas, hac causa, vt melius vacent Diuinis Officijs. Hæc causa finalis est. Vnde, cessante hac causa, cessat effectus. Vnde, si non vacent Diuinis Officijs, poterunt gerere tutelas: *The cause endinge, the Effecte endeth too. For example: The Lawe commandeth, that a Priestle shal not be charged with the V Wardship of a Childe in his nonage. The cause hereof is this, that he maie the better applie his Diuine Service. This is the Final Cause. This cause remoued, the Effecte geueth place. Therefore if the Priestle folowe not his Diuine Service, then he maie haue the Wardship of a Childe. Euen so, if the Pope doe not the Office of a Bishop, then maie he be a Temporal Prince. But by these meanes it cometh to passe, euen as Clemens saith, He deceiueth bothe him selfe, and also them that heare him.*

*Extra de Iur-
turando. Et si
Christus, in
Glossa.*

Touchinge this vaine objection of the charge, and cares of Marriage, it is fully answered befoze, in a place moze conueniente.

*Part. 1. Cap. 3.
Diuision 7. And
Part. 2. Cap. 8
Diuision 2.*

The Apologie, Cap. 4. Diuision. 3.

Pope Leo saith, Vpon one daie it is lawfull to saie but one masse in one Church: These menne saie daie in one Church commonly tenne Masses, twentie, thirtie, yea oftentimes moe. So that the pooze gaser on can seante tel, which waie he were beste to turne him self. Pope Gelasius saith, It is a wicked deede, and subiecte to Sacrilege in any man, to diuide the Communion, and when he hath receiued one kinde, to abstaine from the other. These men, contrarie to Gods V Voorde, and contrarie to Pope Gelasius, commande, that one kinde onely of the Holy Communion bee geuen to the people: and by so dooinge, they make theire Priestes guiltie of Sacrilege.

M. Hardinge.

(a) There is no smal number of men, whiche are moued to suspecte, that this Apologie was deuised by some Catholike man, intendinge to mocke this newe Clerergie of Englande, and to put them quite out of estimation and credite. (b) And to that very ende this innumerable companie of Lies to them seemed of purpose to be set out. For noman hauinge his due wittes would thinke good, for maintenance of his owe parte, to affirme so many thinges, the contrarie whereof to his greate discredit and shame, by searche is easily found. (c) Leo saith cleane contrarie to that is here in his name auouched, that when so euer a newe multitude filleth the Church, so as al cannot be presente at the Sacrifice at once, that the Oblation of the Sacrifice be without castinge any doubt done againe.

(a) A solemne fantasie. They muste needs be wise men, that so wil thinke. (b) Here M. Hard. confesseth, that he, and his Fellowes can sette foorth Lies.

(c) Vntruth manifest. Reade the Answer. Artic. 13. Diuision 4.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I beseeche the, Gentle Reader, for shortenesse sake, and for thy better satisfaction herein, to consider my answer made hereunto in my former Replie to M. Hardinge. Verily Leo speaketh not one worde, either of Priuate Masse, or of Sole Receiuinge, or of any other like Superstitious, and pœuise vanitie: but onely of the General Communion of the whole Church. His Counsell therfore vnto Dioscorus is, that if vpon occasion of resort, the multitude of Communicantes were so greate, that they coulde not haue conueniente roume in the Church, to receiue al together at one Communion, then the Priestle, after he had ministered vnto the firste Companie, and had willed them to departe forth, and geue place to others, and saue the Church replenished againe with a newe Companie of aftercommers, shoulde without feare, or remorse of Conscience beginne the whole Communion againe, and so minister vnto them, as he had donne vnto the former. More then this out of Leos wordes cannot be gathered. Here, M. Hardinge, haue you found a good warrant for the Holy Communion, and a plaine condemnation of your Priuate Masse.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Diuision. 1.

But, if they wil saie, that al these thinges are woꝛne nowe out of bye, and nighe deade, and pertaine nothinge to these presente times.

pet

Yet to the ende al folke maie vnderstande, what faith is to be geuen to these menne, and vpon what hope they calle together their General Councelles, lette vs see in fewe woordes, what good heede they take to the selfe same thinge, which they them selues, these very laste yeeres, (and the remembrance thereof, is yet newe, and fresh) in their owne General Council, that they had by order called, haue Decreed, and commanded to be deuoutely kepte. In the laste Council at Tridente, scant fourethene yeeres passe, it was ordeined by the common consente of all degrees, That one man shoulde not haue tvoo Benefices at one time. What is become nowe of that Ordinance? Is the same too soone worne out of minde, and cleane consumed? For these menne, yee see, geue to one man, not tvoo Benefices onely, but sundrie Abbacies many times, sometimes also tvoo Bishoprikes, sometime thre, sometime foure, and that not onely to an vnlearned man, but oftentimes also euen to a man of warre.

In the said Council a Decree was made, that al Bishops should Preache the Gospel. These menne, neither Preache, nor once goe vp into the Pulpit, neither thinke they it any parte of their Office, what great pompe, and crake then is this, they make of Antiquitie? Why bragge they so of the names of the Auncient Fathers, and of the Newe, and Olde Councelles? Why wil they seeme to truste to their Authority, whom, when they liste, they despise at their pleasure?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Hereto, M. Harding answereth thus, VVith what face finde they faulte? Ye beleue none of the Councelles: Sir Iohn Hooper, a Martyr of their owne Canonization: your Lienge Booke: your vile stufte: your Newe vpstarte Church: your Heresies: your incredible Lies: your malicious Lies: your sclanderous Lies, &c. He is very harde harted, that wil not be moued with so valiant proues.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Division. 2.

But I haue a special fanisie to common a woorde or tvoo, rather with the Popes good Holinesse, and to saie these thinges vnto his owne face.

M. Harding.

Here pricketh forth this hastie Defender, as pearse as a Pearemonger, and faine would he talke with the Pope him selfe forsoothe face to face. But Sir, I pray you, be not too hasty in takinge your journey to Rome. Tel vs before yee goe, maie not a meaner man serue in steede of the Pope, for your masship to talke withal? This felowe hathe a special fanisie, and wil needes to the Pope him selfe, and talke with him of his highe matters presently to his owne face. I praie you syr, may not a poore man heare your tale before hande? By often telling of it, you shal haue it in better readinesse, when you come there.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 1.

Tel vs, I praie you, good Holy Father, seeinge yee doo crake so mutche of al Antiquitie, and boaste your self, that al menne are bounde to you alone, whiche of al the Fathers hathe at any time called you by the name of the Highest Prelate: the Vniuersal Bishop, or the Heade of the Vniuersal Church.

M. Harding.

What the Pope him selfe wil saie vnto you, when you come before him, I knowe not. Because you

Modestie, and
grauitie meete
for a Doctour.
Masship.

you make no haste (I suppose) as yet to goe vnto his persone, maie it please you in the meane time to be answered by an other man, thus nowe til then? Touching the firste parte of your first question

(a) reade S. Hierome *ad Damasum*, and *aduersus Luciferianos*, where he calleth the Pope (b) *Summum Sacerdotem*. And if yee require a woorde of greater sound, reade S. Augustine, where he saith, *In Romana Ecclesia semper viguit Apostolica Cathedra Principatus*: In the Romaine Church, the Princedom of the Apostolike chaire hath alwaies flourished. For the seconde parte, looke in the (c) *Councel of Chalcedon*. For the thirde, reade Victor in his seconde Booke *De Persecutione Vandalorum*. And for a full resolution of this mater, reade mine answere to M. Jewels challenge in the fourth Article. There shal you finde your demaunde fully answered: Beside two and thirtie greate Untruthes in the same one Article.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here it liketh you, M. Hardinge, for the time, although vnto wth y^e, to supplie the Popes persone. Howe be it, as doubtinge, either the sufficiencie of your Commission, or the discretion of your answere, ye saie, Thus nowe, til then.

Where we demaunde of you, which of al the Ancient Fathers, and Doctors euer called the Pope *Summum Sacerdotem*, *The Highest Priest*: Ye answere vs, S. Hierome so called him in the Booke *Contra Luciferianos*. For his wordes be plaine, *Summus Sacerdos, The Highest Priest*. But what if it be found, that these wordes belonge no moze to the Pope, then to any other particulare Bishop? Will ye then confesse, that either ye were sarre ouersene, or els, that ye sought vnderwe meanes, vnder the name of S. Hierome, to mocke your Reader? You saie, S. Hierome by these wordes, *Summus Sacerdos*, meante onely the Pope. But, M. Harding saith, S. Hierome by the same wordes, meante any one Bishop, what so euer he were, and not onely the Pope. If you be, M. Hardinge, and if thesse thinges be true, then are you of late sowly fallen out with your selfe.

For trial hercof, cal to your remembrance, M. Harding, your owne wordes, uttered, not els where, but euen in this selfe same Booke. The wordes of S. Hierome be these, *The safetie of the Church, hangeth vpon the Dignitie of the Highest Priest*. Whereupon, M. Hardinge saith, This peerlesse Authoritie S. Hierome in that place doothe attribute to the Bishop of euerie Diocese.

And thus, by M. Hardinges owne Exposition, not onely the Pope, but also the Bishop of any other Diocese, is called by S. Hierome, the Highest Priest. Thus one M. Hardinge saith, S. Hierome by these woordes meante onely the Pope: An other M. Hardinge saith, S. Hierome by the same woordes meante any one Bishop, and not onely the Pope. It were a daide of Charitie, to resolve your Reader, whether of these two contrarie M. Hardinges be made belene. Merily, here ye allege S. Hierome for the Pope, where as, by M. Hardinges Confession, S. Hierome spake nothinge, no not one worde of the Pope. Dutche is the wrighte, and credite of your Authorities.

But for thy better satisfaction, god Christian Reader, it is wel known to any meane studente in Diuinitie, that, not onely the Bishop of Rome, but also euer other Bishop within his owne Diocese was commonly called the Highest Priest, so; that within his owne Diocese, of al other Priestes he was the Highest. Tertullian saith, *Dandi Baptismum ius habet Summus Sacerdos, qui est Episcopus*: The Highest Priest, that is, the Bishop, hath the Authoritie, so Minister Baptisme. S. Augustine saith, *Quid est Episcopus, nisi Primus Presbyter, hoc est, Summus Sacerdos*: What is a Bishop, but the Firste Priest, that is to saie, the Highest Priest?

S. Ambrose, writinge, not vnto the Pope, but vnto Felix, the Bishop of Comum in France, saith thus, *Suscepisti gubernacula Summi Sacerdotij*: Thou hast taken the gouernement of the Highest Priestehood.

Againe he saith, speakinge likewise of any one Bishop, *Vidisti Summum Sacerdotem Interrogantem, & Consecrantem*: Thou sawest the Highest Priest examininge the people, that was to be Baptized, and Consecratinge the Water.

I leaue out sundrie other like Authorities of Origene, of Lactantius, of Athanasius, of Leo, of Victor, of Melitades, and of others. Euagrius calleth Euphemius,

A a a

anp

(a) And there shal ye finde nothinge.

(b) This name was common to euerie Bishop, and therefore is fondly appropriate to the Pope.

(c) And there is nothinge.

M. Har. 104. b.

Hierony. contra Luciferianos.

M. Hardinge directly contrarie to him selfe.

Tertullian, De Baptismo.

Augu. in quest. ex viroque Testamen. que. 101

Ambros. Li. 1. epist. 5.

Ambros. De q. qui iniciantur. Cap. 3.

*Enagium Lib. 3.
Cap. 32.
Ruffinus. Lib. 2.
Cap. 13.*

and Gregorius the Bishop of Antioche, Summos Sacerdotes, The Higheste Priestes. Ruffinus calleth Athanasius, the Bishop of Alexandria, Pontificem Maximum: *The Greatest, or Higheste Bishop.* By these, I trust, it may appeare, that the Title, or Dignitie of the Higheste Priestehood, was general, and common to al Bishoppes, and not onely closed vp, and moystened onely in the Pope.

Aug. Epist. 162.

Witness al this, yet bringe vs a woorde, ye saie, of Greater sounde: In Romana Ecclesia semper viguit Apostolicæ Cathedræ Principatus: *In the Romaine Church, the Princehood of the Apostolique Chaire, hath alwaies flourished.* In deede, Princehood, and Apostolike, be toly large wordes, and carry greate sounde, almoste as greate as the Belle of Frideswife, vnto the sounde of whiche Belle, ye wisshed once in your Sermon in Oxeforde, that your voice had benne comparable, that you mighte, as you saide then, Ringe out in the dulle eares of these Papistes. These were your wordes: Yet maye not soeperate them.

*Dist. 99. Prime
Sedu.*

But saine woulde ye haue, the Bishop of Rome should be a Prince, to make vp the sounde. Notwithstandinge, in the Council of Aphrica it was decreed thus, Primæ Sedis Episcopus non appelletur Princeps Sacerdotum: *Lette not the Bishop of the Firste See, be called the Prince of Priestes.*

*Inter Epistolas
August. Epist. 35.*

But, what if the sounde of these wordes weighe no brauer, then the former? Or, what if this worde, Princehood, be nomore peculiar to the Pope, then is the other of Higheste Priesthood? Paulinus, writinge vnto Alypius, not the Greate Bishop of Rome, but the poore Bishop, as I remember, of Tagasta, saith thus, Deus in Ciuitibus Cinitatis sue Principalem te cum Principibus populi sui, Sede Apostolica collocauit: *God hath placed thee amongst the Citizens of his Cittie, in the Apostolique See, beeing a Principal, or a Chiefe with (other Bishoppes, that is to saie, with) the Princes of his people.* Here haue you sounde the Princehood of the See Apostolique, not onely in Rome, but also in the poore Cittie of Tagasta. Like wise S. Chrysostome saith, Ad orandum nos assidue prouocat (Paulus) Apostolorum Princeps: *Paule the Prince of the Apostles calleth vpon vs, to be alwaies praicinge.* So saith S. Gregorie, Paulus obtinuit totius Ecclesiæ Principatum: *Paule obtained the Princehood of the whole Church.* So saith Leo, Iuuenalis Episcopus, ad obtinendum Palestinæ Prouinciæ Principatum, &c. *Bishop Iuuenal, that he mighte obtaine the Princehood of the Prouince of Palestine, &c.*

*Chrysost. de O-
rando Deum. li. 1.
Grego. in 1. Reg.
ca. 10 li. 4. cap. 4.
Leo. Epist. 62.
Amphilochius.*

Wiseely your owne singulare Doctours Amphilochius, writeth thus, not of the Pope, but of S. Basile, the Bishop of Cæsarea: Additus est Principibus Sacerdotum Magnus ipse Princeps Sacerdotum: *Basile, beeing deade, was laide with other Bishoppes the Princes of Priestes, beeing him selfe the Greate Prince of Priestes.* It was greate folke therefore, M. Hardinge, these Titles thus lienge in common, to encrease the same onely to the Pope. Notwithstandinge, ye saie, Thus nowe til then. Clerg, when the Pope him selfe shal beginne to consider, and to weighe your plea- dinge, then will he saie, he had a very vnskillful Doctoure.

*Artic. 4. Diuifi.
30. & 31.*

For answere to the rest, I remit you to my firste Reple.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 2.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers, or Doctours euer saide, that bothe the Sverdes are committed vnto you?

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruth. For his Authoritie is not sufficient as it shal appeare.
(b) Put vp thy Swerde: *Ergo,* The Pope hath bothe Swerdes: A simple Argumente.

Lette S. Bernarde, writinge to a Pope, answere for the Pope. (a) He is a sufficiente witnesse. VVhere your selfe doo allege him mutche againste the Pope, you can not by the lawe iustely refuse him, speakinge for the Pope. The spiritual sworde you denie not, I trowe. Of the Temporal sworde, belonginge also to the Pope, thus saith S. Bernarde to Eugenius. He that denieth this sworde to be thine, seemeth to mee, not to consider sufficiently the woordes of our Lorde, saicinge thus (to Peter thy Predecessoure). (b) Put vp thy Sworde into the scabarde. The very same then is also thine to be drawn forth perhaps at thy becke, though not with thy hande. Els if the same belonged in no wise vnto thee, where as the Apostles saide, Beholde there be twoo Swordes here: Our Lorde woulde not haue answered. It is yenoughe, but, It is too mutche. So bothe be the Churches, the spiritual sworde, and the Material. But this is to be exercised for the Church, and that of the Church. That by the hande of the Priest, this of the Souldiour, but verily at the becke of the

*Bernard.
De Consu-
dera. Lib.*

*John. Tri-
m. Li. 4.
cap. 10.*

*John. Tri-
m. Li. 4.
cap. 10.*

of the Priest, and commaundement of the Emperour. Thus, touchinge the Popes bothe Swoordes, you are fully answered by S. Bernarde.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Pope hath power, to claime Authoritie without shame. Amongest others his vbaditised, and vaine wordes, thus he saith: Christus Beato Petro, Vitz Eternæ Clauigero, Terreni simul, & Cœlestis Imperij Iura commisit: *Christe hath committed vnto Peter, the Keibearer of Everlastinge Life, the righte bothe of the VVorldly, and also of the Heauenly Emperie: That is to saie, The Pope is Emperour bothe of Hea- men, & of Earthe.* And therefore Pope Bonifacius 8. as it is saide before, in the sight of the woilbe, wate the Crowne Emperial on his heade, and commaunded the naked Swerde to be bozne before him: and Proclamation to be made: *Ecce duo Gladij hic: Beholde, here are the twoo Swerdes.* I meane the same Pope Bonifacius, of whome it is witten, *He entred as a Foxe: He reigned as a Woulfe: He died as a Dogge.* Hereof it is witten in Concilio Vangionum, Vtrunque, & Imperium, & Pontificatum, sicuti Decij, & Falforum Deorum Cultores factitare consueuerunt, vsurpat: *The Pope wrongfully vsurpeth bothe together, as wel the Worldly Emperie, as the Bishoprike, as Decius, and the wooshippers of False Goddes were wont to doo.*

Dist. 22. Omnes.

Paralipom. VII
Spergensis.

Carion.
Auentinus.

Pet S. Bernarde saith, The Pope hathe Bothe Swerdes: But S. Bernardes Authoritie in this case is but simple. He liued Cleuen hundred yeres after Christes Ascension, in the time of Kinge Henry the first, the Kinge of England, in the middes of the Popes route, and Tyrannie. How be it, touchinge his Judgement, & Credite herein, let vs rather heare one of your owne Doctors. Hericus therefore saith thus, Bernardus ponit, quod Papa habet Gladium Materialem in nutu. Sed istud, cum hoc, quod non est magnæ Authoritatis, magis est contra eos, quàm pro eis: Bernarde saith, that the Pope hathe the Material, or Temporal Swerde at his commaundement. But this saieinge of Bernardes, bisides that it is of smal force, maketh also more againste them, then with them. Againe he saith, Vnum istorum Gladiorum Petrus non tetigit, scilicet, Szclareum, qui suus non erat: *The one of these twoo Swerdes, Peter neuer touched: I meane the VVorldly, or Temporal Swerde: For that Swerde was none of his.*

Iohan. De Faris
sis, De Potestat.
Regia, Cap. II.

Iohan. De Faris
sis, Cap. 19.

Likewise ye may finde it witten in your owne Decrees, vnder the name of S. Cyprian, Christus actibus proprijs, & dignitatibus distinctis, Officia Potestatis vtriusque discreuit: *Christe (hathe not committed Bothe theise Swerdes to one mans hande, but) by seuerall Duties, and sundrie Dignities, hathe seuered the Offices of either Power.* Thereupon your owne Glose saith thus, Ergo, est Argumentum, quod Papa non habet vtrunque Gladium: *This therefore is a proufe, that the Pope hath not Bothe the Swerdes.*

Dist. 10. Quoniam
am idem.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 3.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers euer saide, that you haue Authoritie, and right to calle Councelles?

M. Hardinge.

VVho hathe Authoritie to commaunde the partes of the body, but the heade? (a) And that the Pope is head, where it is amply declared, ye hearde euen now. VVhere you aske, which euer said, that the Pope hath authoritie to calle Councelles, if you knowe not so mutche. (b) wee telle you, that Socrates the writer of the Ecclesiastical historie saith so, not speakinge in his owne persone, but reportinge an olde rule of the Church in these woordes, *Sed neque Iulius interfuit maxime Roma prefuit, neque in locum suum aliquem destinavit, cum utiq, regula Ecclesiastica inbeat, non oportere prater sententiam Romani Pontificis (c) consilia celebrari.* But neither Iulius the Bishop of great Rome was presente, (at the Councel of Antiochia) neither sente he any man in his place, where as the Ecclesiastical rule commaundeth, that without the aduise and wil of the Pope of Rome, no Councelles be keapte. And, as Socrates witnesseth of the callinge of Councels, so dooth Sozomenus witnesse of the thinges donne in them. *Cum Sacerdotali lege constitutum sit, pro irritis haberi debere, que prater sententiam Episcopi Romani geruntur.* VVhere as (saith he) it hathe beane ordeined by a lawe of Bishoppes, that what thinges be donne (in any Councel) besides the aduise and wil of the Bishop of Rome, they ought to be taken for none, and voide. If you wil see more for this Authoritie of callinge Councelles, reade *Rescriptum Iulij Papa contra Orientales: Epist. Athanasij & Aegyptiorum Pontificum ad Felicem Papam.* This mater is also fully answered.

(a) Vntru the
great and ma-
nifeste, for this
was yet neuer
proued.
(b) Vntru the
For Socrates saith
not so.
(c) Vntru the,
standinge in
false Transla-
tion. Reade the
Answer.

Ad 9

The Bi.

Artic. 4. Dini. 16

ΜΗ ΔΕΥ ΚΑΤΟ-
ΝΕΥ ΤΩΣ ΕΚ-
ΚΛΗΣΙΑΣ.
Regula iuris.

Socr. li. 7. ca. 28
ἀμελίσαντες
τὸ νόμου κα-
λέοντος παρὰ
γνώμῃ τῷ
ἐπισκόπου
κωνσταντινου-
πόλεως χέρον
τον αὐτὸν μὴ γί-
ναι.

Here hath M. Hardinge brought in a shewe of greate authoritties without sense. For answere whercof, it maie please the Gentle Reader, to consider the fourthe Article of my former Replie. Notwithstandinge, emongeste al these wordes of Pope Iulius, Socrates, Cassiodorus, and forged Athanasius, there is not one worde of power, and Authoritie to calle Councelles. Onely thus mutche they saie, No Decree maie passe in Council without the agreemēte, and consente of the Bishop of Rome: for that he was one of the foure Principal Patriarches, and ought to haue his voice there, as wel as others. It is a Principle ruled in Lawe, Quod omnes tangit, ab omnibus debet approbari: That toucheth al, muste be allowed by al.

But, leaste you shoulde thinke, this was the Popes onely prerogative, and belonged to none other besides him, the same Socrates writeth the very like wordes as wel of the Bishop of Constantinople, as of the Pope. Thus he saith, Et hoc fecerunt, contemnentes Legem, qua cautetur, ne quis eligatur præter sententiam Episcopi Constantinopolitani: Thus did they, not regarding the Decree, whereby order was taken, that no Bishop shoulde be chosen without the consent of the Bishop of Constantinople. Yet maie not, M. Hardinge, conclude hercof, that therefore the Bishop of Constantinople had Authoritie to calle Councelles.

Aeneas Sylvius, that afterwarde him selfe was Pope, named Pius the Second writeth thus, His Autoritatibus mirum in modum putant se armatos, qui negant, Concilia fieri posse sine consensu Papæ. Quorum sententia, si, vt ipsi volunt, inuiolata persistat, ruinam secum Ecclesiæ trahit: They, that saie, no Council maie be kepte without the consente of the Pope, thinke them selues marueilously fenced by these Authorities. But if their saieinge holde, and take place, as they woulde haue it, it wil drawe with it the decaye, and ruine of the Church.

The foure firste greate Councelles, of Nice, of Ephesus, of Chalcedon, of Constantinople, and the reste, as it shal afterwarde moze largely appeare, were alwaies called by the Emperours, and not by the Pope. As for the Pope, he had not yet the whole world at his commaundement, nor any such vniuersal Authoritie, to calle Councelles: but rather was commaunded him selfe, as other Bishoppes were, by the Emperours Authoritie, to comē to Councelles, as it shal appeare.

Therefore, where you woulde conclude thus, The Pope was Heade of the Church: Ergo, he had Authoritie to calle Councelles: Etia maie rather, and mutche better turne your tale backwarde, and saie thus, The Pope had no Authoritie to calle Councelles: Ergo, he was not Heade of the Church.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 4.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers, or Doctoures euer saide,
The vvhole VVorlde is but your Diocese?

M. Hardinge.

He that saide to Peter, feede my Lambes, and, feede my Sheepe, *whiche Lambes and Sheepe Iohann. 10.
al Christen menne be through the worlde.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

* God knoweth
here is a shee-
pishe reason.
Extra. De Ap-
pellation. Vi de-
bitum. in Glossa.
Extra. De statu
Regularium pe-
riculosa. in Glos.
Extra. De pœnis
Falsis. in Glos.
Extra. de Cōfess.
Præbende. &
Ecclesia non va-
canti. Quia di-
uersitatem Abb.
Cereimonial. Li. 1

The Canonistes, that is to saie, the Popes Pages of Honour, haue not doubted to infaiste their Maister with the possession of al the world. One saith thus, Dominus Papa est Ordinarius omnium hominum: Our Lords the Pope is the Ordinarie, or Bishop of al menne. An other saith, Papa totius mundi obtinet Principatum: The Pope hath the Princeboode of al the World. An other saith, Papa est Episcopus totius Orbis: The Pope is the Bishop of the whole worlde. An other saith, Papa, etiam cessante negligentia Prælatorum, potest conferre beneficia totius Orbis: Quia ipse est Ordinarius totius mundi: Though there be no default, or negligence in any Bishop, yet maie the Pope bestowe the benefices of al the worlde: For that he is the Bishop of al the worlde. Therefore when the Chiefe Deacon inuesteth, or enreth the Pope at his Consecration, he saith vnto him, Ego inuestio te de Papatu, vt præsis Vrbi, & Orbi: I doo inueste thee with the Popedom, that thou maist rule bothe the Citie, and the worlde.

Of this infinite Ambition, and inordinate Exzannie many god menne haue often complained. Franciscus Zabarella, bringe him selfe a Cardinal of Rome, saith

saith thus: Ex hoc infiniti sequuti sunt errores: Quia Papa occupauit omnia iura inferiorum Ecclesiarum: Et nisi Deus succurrat statui Ecclesiarum, Vniuersa Ecclesia periclitatur: Hereof haue ensued infinite errorres: for thus the Pope hath inuaded the right of al inferioure Churches. And, onlesse God healpe the state of the Churches, the Vniuersal Church is in ieopardie.

The Learned Lady Anna, Daughter vnto the Emperoure Alexius, and Irene, in her Storie, that she wrote in Greeke, amonge many other thinges to like purpose, writeth thus: Papa est Dominus totius mundi, quemadmodum Latini quidam putant, & predicant: Est enim etiam hæc pars quedam illorum insolentia: The Pope is the Lord of al the world, as the Latines thinke, and speake of him. For this is one peece of their Ambition.

This hath benne the late wanton Claime of the Popes Canonistes. Otherwise the Ancient Learned Fathers haue euermore bounded, & limited the Pope within his owne particulare Jurisdiction. Ruffinus saith, the Fathers in the Council of Nice, appointed the Pope to ouersæ the Churches of his owne Suburbs: Vt Romanus Episcopus Suburbicaram Ecclesiarum sollicitudinem gerat. Athanasius saith, Roma est Metropolis Romanæ ditionis: Rome is the Mother Church (not of al the vniuersal world, but) of the Romaine (particulare) Jurisdiction. The Bishops in the Council of Rome wrote thus to the Bishops of Illyricum, Par est, omnes, qui sunt in Orbe Romano, Magistros conuenire: It is conuenient, that al the Bishops, that be within the Jurisdiction of Rome, should accorde together. Flavianus the Archebishop of Constantinople writeth thus to Leo, the Bishop of Rome, Dignetur Sanctitas vestra indicare impietatem Eutychetis omnibus Episcopis sub Beatitudine vestra degentibus: Lette your holiness Vouchsafe to make knowne the wickednesse of Eutyches to al the Bishops, that liue vnder you. So al the Bishops, he saith, that liue vnder you: Not vnto al Bishops through the world. S. Hierome speakinge of the vsage, and order of the Church of Rome, saith thus, Quid mihi proferat Vnius Vrbs Consuetudinem? What allegeth thou mee the Custome of one Cittie? So muche he abbatgeth the Popes Iurisdiction, that he extendeth it, not vnto the Littles, and endes of al the world, but restraineth it onely to the limites of one Cittie. Likewise againe, speakinge of the Bishop of Rome, he saith thus, Non solum Vnius Vrbs, sed etiam totius Orbis errant Episcopi: Then, not onely the Bishop of One Towne (whiche was the Bishop of Rome) but also the Bishops of al the world are deceived.

Thus therefore writeth Gennadius, together with the Council of Constantinople, vnto the Bishop of Rome, Curet Sanctitas tua Vniuersas tuas Custodias, tibi subiectos Episcopos: Let your Holiness see vnto (not al the whole world, but) al your owne charge, and seeke Bishops, as be subiecte vnto you.

By these fewe wee see, the Bishop of Romes power was, not Vniuersal, or infinite, ouer al the Churches, and Kingdomes of the world: but certaine, and limited within his owne particulare Jurisdiction.

As for the reasons, wee vse for prouafe hereof, I marueile, ye would ever trouble the world with so Chilshe folles. Christe saide vnto Peter, Feede my Sheepe: Ergo, saie you, the whole worlde is the Popes Diocese. A good Sheepe would haue made a better Argumente.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 5.

Which of the holy Anciente Fathers euer saide, that al Bishoppes haue receiued of your fulnesse.

M. Hardinge.

Besides others, so hathe *S. Bernarde saide in his Booke De consideratione ad Eugenium. VWhere he saith, that he is called in plenitudinem potestatis, into the fulnesse of power.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

There is no folie so vaine, but by somme shifte maie be mainteined. In your Glose, P. Hardinge, it is written thus: Omnes subiecti sunt motioni Papæ, & sunt in illo, quasi Membra de Membro: Almuenna are subiecte vnto the Popes wil, and are in him as members of a member. An other saith, Ecclesia non habet potestatem ali-

Aaa iij

quam

vvorlde is the Popes Diocese. Franc. Zabarel.

Anna in Historia Græca:

ἐστὶ γὰρ καὶ τὰ τοῦ τῆς ἀλλοῦ ζωντοῦς αὐτῶν.

Histor. Ecclesiast.

Lib. 1. Cap. 6.

Athanas. Ad sol-

licitariam vitam

agentes.

Socrumen. li. 6.

Ca. 23. πᾶντας

τοὺς ἐν τῇ αἰσχρο-

νῳ καὶ αἰσχρο-

δοῦς ἀποδοῦναι.

Hieronym. ad

Enagrium.

Inter Epistolas

Leonis Epist. 9.

Hieronym. ad

Enagrium.

Hieronym. aduersus

Vigilantios.

Gennadius ad

omnes Metropo-

litanos, & ad

Papam Roman.

Al receiue
of the
Popes ful-
nesse.

de de potestate
Pape.

Petrus de Palus
callatus Apostol.

Durandus. li. 2.

De Ministris
Ordinib.

August. De Tri-
bita. li. 15. ca. 26.

560

The Defense of the Apologie of the

quam Jurisdictionis, nisi à Petro: The Church hath no Power of Jurisdiction, but onely from Peter. And againe, A Petro, post Christum, Spiritualis Gratia, & Potestas deriuatur: Nexte after Christe, Spiritual Grace, and Power is deriued from Peter. And therfore an other of your Doctoures saith, Omnes Episcopi descendunt à Papa, quasi Membra à Capite: & de eius Plenitudine omnes accipiunt: Al Bishoppes are deriued from the Pope, as Members from the Heade: and al they receiue of his fulnesse: that is to saie, Power of his Power, and Grace of his Grace. Al these vanities, M. Hardinge thinketh, maie be wel bozne out by two bare wordes of S. Bernarde.

But, S. Augustine, many hundred yeres before Bernarde was bozne, wrote thus: Nos quidem accipere possumus hoc donum pro modulo nostro: Fundere autem illud super alios non possumus. Sed, vt hoc fiat, Deum super eos, à quo hoc efficitur, inuocamus: In deede wee maie receiue the gifte of God accordinge to oure portion: but to powre the same vpon others, wee are not habile. Notwithstandinge, in their behalfe wee call vpon God, that is the worker hereof, that he wil doo it.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 6.

whiche of al the Aunciente Doctoures euer said, that al Pouer is
geeuē to you, as vvel in Heauen, as in Earthe?

*Vntrithe, vn-
discrete, and
vaine. For no
Ancient Do-
ctour, or Father
euer vttered so
fonde wordes.

Extra, De trans-

latione Episcopi

Quarto. Hostien.

M. Hard. fo. 67. a

M. Hardinge.

*Al they whiche speake of the ministerial Power, whereby vnder Christe the militant Church by him is gouerned. But if you meane absolutely, as your wordes seeme to sounde, so no discrete Catholike man euer saide or thought.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here by a pretie distinction of Power Absolute, & Power not Absolute, Christe, and his Vicare are set together, to parte Tenures. Howe be it, what manner of Power it is, that the Pope clappeth, his owne Doctours, & Counsellors can tel vs best. Cardinal Hostienus saith, Excepto peccato, Papa potest, quicquid Deus ipse potest: Sinne onely excepted, the Pope hath Power to doo, what so euer God him selfe can doo.

Addition. M. Hardinge. This is false, and slanderous. This is a moste manifeste, and out of al question, foule corruption. Certainly Hostienus saith not so. But hauing reckened certaine thinges, wherein the Pope hath the Authoritie vnder God, as Christes high officer, He concludeth with these wordes, Breuiter, excepto peccato, quasi omnia de iure potest, vt Deus: Briefly, excepted sinne, He hath power, as a man woulde saie, in al thinges, of right, as God. Thus saith Hostienus, and not as M. Iewel beliet him, &c. It is saide by the Learned Canonistes, that the Consistorie of God, and of the Pope is one Consistorie: As a Bishoppes and his Chauncellours Consistorie is one, and the same Consistorie. Nowe let vs consider the impudencie of this false Minister. Firste he auoucheth his shamelesse lie boldly, as though, where trithe faileth, for shewe of trithe, the mater might be stouted out. The wordes, saith he, be moste manifeste, and out of al question: Excepto peccato, Papa potest, quicquid Deus ipse potest: That is to saie, the Pope can doo, as muche as God him selfe can doo, sinne excepted. But what if these wordes be not most manifeste? Is it not then a moste manifeste impudencie, so to affirme of them? Is not this Minister a Minister of Lies? &c. Firste he hath nipte awaie this worde, Breuiter. Then this worde Quasi, whiche mitigateth, and qualifieth the saieinge: Then againe those other wordes of necessarie importance, Omnia, de iure, and, vt. Nexte he corrupted the sentence by puttinge to these wordes, of his owne, Quicquid, and Ipse, &c. VVho euer sawe one little poore sentence so nipte, so hakte, so hewed, and mangled, so turned, and caste in a newe moulde?

The Answer. It saith with you, M. Hardinge, as it did sometime with a god honest plaine man, that tolde his friende vpon a reckening, that he would not be answered with five poundes, but would haue fiftene god Nobles, euery pen-
nise; and that he would not be so mockte. For although there be somme alteration in these wordes, yet in sense, and meaninge there is as great oddes, as is betwix fiftene Nobles, & five pounde. You saie, I haue nipte, and hakte, and hewen these wordes, and haue leaste out this worde Breuiter: Howe verily, M. Hardinge, any wise man maie thinke, this is a very simple quarrel for a man of your Learning. For, if I had nipte of this worde, Breuiter, as in deede I haue not, yet what woulde that make to the barking, and bawling of the sense? But you saie, I haue leaste out other wordes bishoppes, as Omnia, De iure, and vt, wordes, as you tel vs, of necessarie importance. I beseeche you, M. Hardinge, if you haue any such fanie to these wordes, put them al

fol. 67. b.

Addition
¶

In againe, & mutche god maie they do you. So shal your sentence be this, Papa potest omnia de iure, vt Deus potest: The Pope maie doo al thinges of right, as beinge God: or, as God can doo. We thinke, hereby the mater is but courtly mended. It seemeth worse, then it was before. For thus muste you saie, The Pope of Right maie doo al thinges, as God maie doo. So mutche haue you gained by addinge of these necessarie & special wordes, De iure, and, Vt. Notwithstandinge, in Abbas Panormitanus, out of whom I alleged these wordes of Hostiensis, ye shal finde, neither, Breuiter, no, De, no, iure, no, Vt, neither. So necessarie is their impoytance. Thus haue you these of your greatest Canons easily discharged with litle adoe: Onlesse you will likewise saie, & Abbas Panormitanus is also a False Minister, and impudently beliethe Hostiensis. Wherein certainly, M. Harding, you haue a special grace to speake at pleasure.

Of the other side, you saie, I haue added vehemente wordes of mine owne, to enforce the mater. For whereas Hostiensis saith onely, Deus, God: I haue imagined him to saie, Deus ipse, God him selfe. And I praye you, M. Hardinge, What difference finde you betwene God, and God him selfe? Is God him selfe one, and God an other? I thanke God, I knowe none other God, but God him selfe. This then, I trowe, muste be your meaninge, The Pope can doo al thinges (not that God him selfe can doo, for that you saie, were blasphemie, but) that God can doo.

Againe (you saie) I haue leaste out this worde, Omnia. But you mighte easily haue sene, that in stede thereof I placed, Quicquid. And I woulde thinke, that, Quicquid, were as mutche, as, Omnia quia: onlesse you can shewe vs somme pety newe Lonianian Grammare to the contrarie.

Once againe you saie, I haue leaste out this worde, Quasi, whiche worde (you saie) mitigateth, and qualifieth the saieinge. Then, I trowe, this is a lyeinge, as hath the name of some Mitigation. Howe be it in dede this is but a Quali quarrel, M. Hardinge. If your Pope maie not be God him selfe, yet at the laste, ye woulde haue him to be a Quali God. It shameth me, thus to encumber the worlde with such vanities. But your impoytantie, M. Hardinge, enforceth me further, then I woulde.

The very wordes in Abbas Panormitanus, reported out of Hostiensis, are these: Papa, & Christus faciunt vnum Consistorium: ita quod, excepto peccato, potest Papa quasi omnia facere, quia potest Deus: The Pope, and Christe make one Consistorie, or one Judgemente seate: so that sinne excepted, the Pope in a manner maie doo al thinges, that God maie doo. These be the wordes, M. Hardinge: Let somme Lawier turne your booke: You shal finde them so. And here, once againe I telle you, you haue, neither, Breuiter, no, De, no, iure, no, Vt, no, any other iuste cause, why ye shoulde saie so terribly with your Ministers.

Where as Hostiensis saith, The Pope, and Christe make one Consistorie, This (you saie) is wel saide by the Learned Canonistes: as a Bishoppes, and his Chauncellours Consistorie, is one, and the same Consistorie. Your meaninge herein, I trowe, is this: That God is the Bishop, and the Pope his Chauncelloure: and as there lieth no appeale from the Chancelloure to the Bishop, so there lieth no appeale from the Pope to God, for that the Pope, and God haue one onely Consistorie: And the Lawe saith, Ab vno ad seipsum non est appellatio.

But why shewe you your selfe so squamishe, & so dangerous in these wordes, The Pope maie doo what so euer God maie doo? You maie remember, that your Canonistes haue moued questions, Whether the Pope be God, or no. You maie remember, that the Pope hath suffered him selfe to be called God. For thus one saide vnto him presently before his face in the Councel of Laterane without rebuke, Tu es alter Deus in Terris: Thou arte an other God in the Earthe. You maie remember, that the Pope suffereth his Canonistes thus to publishe, and to blase his Godhed to the worlde in printed Bookes: Dominus Deus noster Papa: Our Lorde God the Pope. Thus, and euen with these selfe same expresse wordes hath it benne printed often, and in sundrie places. Yet haue I not hearde of any Pope, that euer founde faulte with the printinge. You maie remember, that where as S. Augustine saith, Quis audeat dicere Deo: Who daureth to saie thus to God. Your Canonistes haue made

Ala thy

bp, and

Extra. De trans-
latione prelati.
C. Quia Abbas

M. Hard. 67. b.

Extra. in Sexto
Lib. 1. De Con-
suetudine, Non
paratus.

Extra. in 10.
ban. 22. C. cum
inter. printed at
Paris anno 1513.
And at Lyons,
anno 1555.

geuen to
the Pope.

De Porsiten. dif.

3. Quamvis.

Ceremoniar. li. 1.

Cap. 2.

Concil. Lateran.

Sub Leo. 10. in

oratione Ste-

phani Patra-

ccis.

Franc. Zabarella.

bp, and bestred the matter in this sorte, Quis audeat dicere Deo, vel Papæ? Who dares
to say thus to God, or to the Pope? Thus they saie, as if there were some equalitie be-
twene the Pope, and God. You maie remember, It is written in the Ceremoniarie
of the Church of Rome, Moderatio Imperij Romani pertinet ad Papam, Dei vices
gerentem in Terris, tanquam ad eum, per quem Reges Regnant: The Governement of
the Romaine Empire belongeth to the Pope, beinge Godds Vicare in Earthe, as vnto him, by
whome Kinges rule, and weare their Crowne. And what is he, M. Hardinge, by whom
Kinges be Kinges, and haue their Authoritie, but onely God? You maie remember
these wordes were spoken in a Council holden in Rome, in the Popes owne Palaice
of Laterane euen in the presence, and hearinge of the Pope: In Papa est omnis pote-
stas, supra omnes potestates tam Coeli, quam Terræ: In the Pope is al manner of power,
aboue al powers, as wel of Heauen, as of Earthe. I beseeche you, god M. Hardinge, what
Power maie this be, but onely the Power of God him selfe.

You maie remember, Franciscus Zabarella saith, Papa facit, quicquid libet,
etiam illicita, & est plusquam Deus: The Pope doothe, what so euer he listeth, yea although
it be vnlawful, and is more then God. Thus, you see, M. Hardinge, your Pope is a God
of the Earthe: Your Pope is Lorde, and God: Your Pope is he, by whome Kinges are
Kinges: Your Pope hath Power aboue al Powers, either in Heauen, or in Earthe: Your
Pope is more then God. Come these wordes what sense, or incense maie like you
beste: Embowme them with your moste sauourable, and swetest Constructions,
yet shal neuer be hable to make them sauourie: when al your Doctrine is lister, the
bottome thereof is this, Sinne onely excepted, the Pope in a manner maie doo al
thinges, that God maie doo. Therefore, M. Hardinge, calle not the Ministers of
Goddes Truthe, the Ministers of Lies. He hath of longe time Ministred Lies vnto
the worlde, that, beinge a wretched sinful man, hath staled him selfe in the place of
God. And you, forynging al your wittes, and learninge to vpholde, and sethe him in
his blasphemie, muste needs be a Minister of open Lies.

In Concil. Late-

ran. sub Leone.

10. session. 10.

Citatur in eodē

Concil. Lateran.

Extra. de Cōsi-

tuitionibus, ca. 1.

Stephann the Bishop of Patraca, in your late Council at Laterane in Rome,
saith thus, In Papa est omnis Potestas supra omnes Potestates, tam Coeli, quam Ter-
ræ: Al Power is in the Pope aboue al the Powers, as wel of Heauen, as of Earthe.

And, to make the matter plaine, your owne Bernarde him selfe saith, Tibi data
est omnis Potestas: in qua, qui totum dicit, nihil excludit: Al manner of Power is geuen
to thee: He that saith, Al, excepteth nothinge.

And, Abbate Panormitane saith, Plenitudo Potestatis superat omnem Legem
positiuam: Et sufficit quod in Papa sit pro Ratione Voluntas: The fulnesse of Power
passeth al Positiue Lawe: and it is sufficient in the Pope, that Wil stande in freede of Reason.

This is that Power, that M. Hardinge here hath so closely conuicted in, vnder
the Cloude of his Distinction.

Baldus,

But, Baldus, that by experience saith the practise hereof, saith thus: Hæc
Plenitudo Potestatis, est Plenitudo Tempestatis: This Fulnesse of Power, is a Fulnesse of
Tempeste.

Iohann. de Pari-

fy, ca. 18.

An other of your Doctours saith: Bernardus nullam Potestatem ponit in Pa-
pa, quam non ponit in Prelatis inferioribus: licet in Papa ponat Summam: Bernarde
alloweth no Power vnto the Pope, but he alloweth the same to other inferioure Bishoppes: Not-
withstandinge, he alloweth the greatest Power vnto the Pope. S. Bernarde him selfe saith
to like purpose, Sic facitudo probatis, vos habere plenitudinem Potestatis: Sed Iu-
stitia fortē non ita: Thus dooinge, and dealinge, yee shewe your selfe to haue the Fulnesse of
Power: but perhaps not likewise the Fulnesse of Justice.

Bernard. De Cō-

sideration. li. 3.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 7.

whiche of the Ancient Fathers euer saide, that neither Kinge, nor
Prince, nor the vvhole Clergie, nor al the people togeather are
hable to be ludges ouer you?

(a) VVoorthy
reasons.

M. Hardinge.

(a) VVhat Sheepe shalbe iudges ouer their shepherde? For as the Fathers of the moste ancient
Council

Council of Sinnenla saide, in the cause of (b) Marcellinus the Pope, *Nemo unquam indicauit Pontificem*: No man euer iudged the Pope, nor any Prelate his highe Priestle. *Quoniam prima sedes non indicabitur a quoquam*: * because the firste See shal not be iudged of any body.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In these two pointes standeth the Popes Garde of truste, and the kappe, & Castell of al his Power. First, the Church of Rome, what so euer wate shee take, can neuer erre: Next, the Pope, what so euer he do, maie neuer be called to any reckeninge. These two pointes bringe graunted, the reste is sure. One of your Doctours saith thus: *Omne factum Sanctissimi Patris interpretari debemus in bonum. Et, siquidem fuerit factum, vel aliud ex se malum, interpretari debemus, quod Diuino instinctu fiat*: Wee muste expounde euery acte of the Holy Father for the beste. And, if it be theaste, or any other thinge, that of it selfe is euill (as Adoutrie, or Fornication) wee muste thinke, it is donne by the secreete inspiration of God. An other saith, Si Papa innumerabiles populos cateruatim secum ducat mancipio Gehennæ, cum ipso plagis multis in æternum vapulatuos, huius culpas arguere præsumat mortalium nullus: *If the Pope drawe infinite Companies of people by heapes together with him selfe into Hel, to be punished with him with many stripes for euer, yet let no mortal man presume to reprove his fautes.*

An other saith, Papa solutus est omni Lege Humana: *The Pope is exempted from al Lawe of Man.* An other saith, Sacrilegij instar esset, disputare de facto Papæ. Facta Papæ excusantur, vt homicidia Samsonis: vt furta Hebræorum: vt adulterium Iacob: *It is a sinne, as greates, as Sacrilege, or Church robbinge, to reason of any the Popes dooinges. For his Actes are excused, as Samsons Murders: as the Lewes Robberies: and as the Adoutries of Iacob.* An other saith, Nec Totus Clerus, nec totus Mundus potest Papam iudicare, aut deponere: *Neither al the Clergie, nor al the whole Worlde, maie either Iudge, or Depose the Pope.* And againe, Papa in nullo casu, quamdiu est Papa, propter quodcunque crimen potest deponi, nec à Concilio, nec à tota Ecclesia, nec à toto Mundo: *The Pope, while he is Pope, cannot in any case, for any offense by him committed, be deposed, neither by the General Council, nor by al the Church, nor by the whole Worlde.*

And al this they are wel hable to proue by good substantiall Authozitie of the Scriptures. For thus they reason, The Scholare is not aboue his Maister: The Seruante is not aboue his Lorde: And againe, The Axe boasteth not it selfe against the Carpenter, that heweth with it: *Ergo*, no man maie accuse the Pope.

Therefore, an other of your Doctours saith: *Iudicare de factis Papæ, hoc aliqui dicunt esse, Tangere Montem, & ponere os in Cælum*: To Iudge of the Popes deedes, this, *summe menne saie*, is to touche the Holy Mounte (wherein God gaue the Lawe, and shewed him selfe to Moyses) and to set the face againste the Heauens. And the Pope him selfe saith, *The accusinge of him is the Sinne againste the Holy Ghoste, whiche shal neuer be forgiven, neither in this worlde, nor in the worlde to come.*

Thus maie the Pope depose Kinges, and Princes, and trouble the whole state of the worlde, and do what he list, without Controlmente. Yet maie no man dare saie vnto him, Sir, why doo yee so? Therefore the Accusers of Pope Symmachus saide in the presence of Kinge Theodoricus, Successores Petri vnà cum Sedis Priuilegijs peccandi quoque licentiam accepisse: *The Pope maketh his boaste, that together with the Power of teachinge, he hath received free libertie to doo il.*

Pope Marcellinus, whose name ye haue alleged, out of the Council of Sinnenla, for defense hereof, was an Apostata, and had forsaken Christe, and bringe Pope in Rome, had made Sacrifice vnto Diuels. Al this notwithstandinge, ye saie, no mortal man mighte accuse him. Thus hath the Pope a speciall Prerogatiue, and Præmunire, to forsake Christe, and to committe open Idolatrie, and to geue Honour, and Sacrifice vnto Diuels, without Controlmente.

Yet S Paule accused S Peter, euen vnto his face, in the presence of many. And S Cyprian saith, *Petrus se non Vindicauit, seu aliquid insolenter assumpsit, vt diceret, se Primatum tenere, & obtemperari sibi à nouellis, & posteris oportere*: Peter (bringe thus checked openly by S Paule) neither reuenged him selfe, nor tooke any thinge proudly vpon him, as to saie, that he had the Primacie, or that others, that were but Nonices,

(b) This Marcellinus beinge Pope, had committed open Idolatrie, in makinge Sacrifice vnto Diuelles.

Ioan. De Parisijs. De Potesta. Regia. & Fals. Distinct. 40. si Papa.

9. Quæsti. 3. Cunctis. Dist. 40. Nos. In Gloss. Petr. de Pals. de. De Forest. Papa. Arti. 4. Idem eodẽ loco.

In Epistola Nicolai Papæ: Inter decreta Adriani Papæ, Dist. 21. Inferior. Ioan. de Parisijs. Ca. 20. Concil. Tomo. 1. In purgatione Sixti.

Emmedius, Concil. Tomo. 1. In Marcellino.

Cal. 2. Cyprian. Ad Quirinum.

and

**The Em-
perour re-
ceiueh his
povver of
the Pope.**

Nicephor. li. 17.

cap. 26.

Sozomenus li. 3.

cap. 11.

Helius in Re-
pertorio, Digni-
ta.

Concil. Tomo. 2.

Epist. Leodien.

contra Pascha-

lem Pap.

Leodig.

*There maie
you finde no-
thinge to proue
nothinge.

Nicephor. lib. 7.

cap. 46.

Carlo in Bonif. 3.

Sabellus in

Phoca.

Ambrosi. in Lu-
can. li. 9. ca. 20.

August. in lo-
banuem. Citatur

in Epist. Leodiē

contra Paschalē.

Concil. Tomo. 2.

Citatur ab Ily-

rico inter Testes

Veritatis, Pa. 102.

Iohan. de Pari-
sis. De Potestate

Regia, Ca. 19.

Extra, De Maio-

rita & Obedi.

Vnam Sanctam.

In Gloss.

Dist. 26. Cum ad

Verum. In Gloss.

23. q. 4. Que-

sum.

Dist. 26. Cum ad

Verum. In Gloss.

and aftercommers (as Paule was) ought to be obedient vnto him. Mena, the Bishop of Constantinople, Judged, and Excommunicated Pope Vigilius. The Bishoppes of the East Church, Judged, and Excommunicated, and deposed Pope Iulius. One of your Doctours saith, Si Papa committat crimen Depositione dignum, debet puniri, ac si esset vnus rusticus: If the Pope committe an offence, wherefore he should be justly be deposed, he ought to be punished, as if he were a Clowne of the Countrey. Your neighbours of Leodium, in their Epistle against Pope Paschalis, write thus: Remoto Romanæ Ambitionis typho, cur de grauibz, & manifestis, non reprehendantur, & corrigantur Romani Episcopi? Qui reprehendi & corrigi non vult, pseudo est, siue Episcopus, siue Clericus: Settinge aparte the Pride of Romishe Ambition, the Crimes beinge greate, and manifeste, why maie not the Bishoppes of Rome, bothe be reprovved, and also corrected? He, that fleeth rebuke, and correction, is a false man, whether he be Priest, or Bishop.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 3.

**Whiche of the Ancient Fathers euer saide, that Kinges, and Em-
perours, by Christes vvil, and Commaundemente, receiue their
Authoritie at your handes?**

M. Hardinge.

VVhat is to be answered hereto, * you maie gather of that is alleaged before out of S. Bernarde, speakinge of bothe swordes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It is evident by the recorde, and general consente of al Ancient Writers, that the Pope hath neither possession, nor sove of Landes, nor House to dwell in, nor the name of Vniuersal Bishop, nor Chartar, nor Libertie, nor Iurisdiction, but that he hath receiued, either of the Frenche kinges, or of the Emperours. Yet would he now beare the word in hande, that the Emperoure hath nothinge, neither Landes, nor Honour, nor Power, nor Right, nor Swerde, nor Iurisdiction, but onely from him. If any man doubt hereof, besides other testimonies of Antiquitie, let him reade that moste vaine, and Childishe Donation, that the Pope him selfe hath forged vnder the name of the Emperoure Constantine. S. Ambrose saith, Si non vis esse obnoxius Cæsari, noli habere, quæ sunt Mundi. Si habes diuitias, obnoxius es Cæsari: If thou wilt not be subiecte to the Prince, then possesse not the thinges, that be of the World: If thou haue worldly riches, then arte thou subiecte vnto the Prince. Likewise S. Augustine, Dices, Quid mihi, & Regi? Quid tibi ergo, & possessioni? Per Iura Regum possessiones possidentur: Thou wilt say, (as the Pope saith) what haue I to doe with the Prince? What then haue thou to doe with Landes? For possessions are holden (not by the Popes righte, but) by the right of Kinges, and Princes.

Charles the Frenche Kinge, newe to Charles the Great, wrote thus vnto Pope Adrian: Imperatores Ius distinguendorum negotiorum Episcopis Sanctis iuxta Diuina Constituta permiserunt: non autem Episcoporum Villici extiterunt: Emperours, by their Commissions vnder their greates Seales, haue graunted vnto Bishoppes Authoritie to beare causes: but they them selves were neuer Stewards, or Bailiffs vnto Bishoppes. One of your owne late Doctours saith, Papa habet gladium (Ciuilem) ex Commissione, & permissione Principis: The Pope hath the Temporal Swerde, or Ciuile Iurisdiction, by the Commission, and Sufferance of the Prince. Therefore it seemeth greate folie to saie, The Prince hath his Swerde, or Iurisdiction onely by the Commission of the Pope.

Your owne Barbarous Glose saith, Imperator in temporalibus habet Potestatem à solo Deo. Et imperium fuit, antequam Apostolatus esset: The Emperoure in Temporal thinges hath his Authoritie (not from the Pope, but) from God onely. And the Emperie was, before the Apostles were. Againe, Imperator non habet gladium à Papa: Sed Imperium est à solo Deo: The Emperoure hath not his Swerde of the Pope: but the Emperoure is onely from God. Againe, Ex sola electione Principum, dico, verum esse Imperatorem, antequam confirmetur à Papa: I saie, that the Emperoure is a very righte, and perfect Emperoure, by the onely Election of the Princes, yea, before he be confirmed by the Pope.

What

What shal wee neede more witnesses: Your very Ceremoniarie of Rome saith thus, Hoc affirmamus, ante Carolum Magnum, neminem Imperij Romani Cotenam ex Manu Romani Pontificis Romæ suscepisse: Thus wee saie, that before the Emperoure Charles the greate (that is, for the space of eight hundred yeeres after Christe) no man euer receiued the Crowne of the Romaine Emperie at Rome, by the Handes of the Bishop of Rome. S. Bernarde hereof thus writeth vnto the Pope: Esto, vt alia quacunque ratione hoc tibi vendices: non tamen Apostolico iure: Nec illud tibi dare, quod non habuit, Petrus potuit: Be it, that yee claime this right by somme other meanes. Yet by the Apostles right yee cannot claime it: Neither coulde Peter geue you that right, that he him selfe neuer hadde.

The Emperoure Ludonius the fourth saith thus: Mea Potestas non pendet à Papa, sed à Deo immediate. Et vanum est, quod dici solet, Papam non habere Superiorem: My Power hangeth not of the Pope, but immediately of God alone. And it is but a peeuish vaine tale, that they saie, the Pope hath no Superiour. Iohannes Maior saith, Bonifacius Octauus multum apparenter definiuit, quod Romanus Pontifex est supra Reges in Temporalibus: quod tamen oculatissimi Theologi dicunt esse falsum: Pope Bonifacius, 8. hath concluded with greate shewe of reason, that, euen in Temporal Causes, the Pope is aboue Kinges. But I maie tel you, the VViseest Diuines saie, it is but a false tale. Iohannes de Parisijs saith, In Temporalibus, Potestas Sæcularis maior est Potestate Spiritualis, nec, quoad ista, est ei subiecta in aliquo: In Temporal Causes the Temporal Power, is greater then the Spiritual Power, and, touching the same, is not subiecte to it in any point. Againe he saith, Si Imperator habet Potestatem suam immediate à Papa, Ergo, Imperator est Minister Papæ: If the Emperoure receiue his Power immediately from the Pope, then is the Emperoure the Popes Seruante.

All these Authorities notwithstandinge, the Pope him selfe saith of him selfe, The Emperoure hath no right, nor Authoritie, but onely of mee. And, touching the laste Obligation of Parisiensis, that the Emperoure shoulde be the Popes Seruante, he thinketh, it maie be wel admitted without any greate inconuenience. For thus saith he one of his Princes Counsell, Ille Romanus Imperator, est Procurator, & Defensor Romanæ Ecclesiæ: This Romaine Emperour is (nothinge els, but) the Procoure, and Stewarde of the Churche of Rome. Cardinal Hostiensis saith, Imperator est Feudatarius Romanæ Ecclesiæ: The Emperoure is a Vassal, or a Feede man of the Churche of Rome. And Pope Innocentius saith, Imperator tenet Imperium à Papa. Vnde tenetur præstare Papæ Iuramentum Homagij, scilicet, quod Vassallus præstare solet Domino suo: The Emperoure holdeth his Emperie of the Pope: And therefore he is bounde to sweare Homage and fealtie to the Pope, as the Vassal is bounde to his Lorde. I thinke, the Pope wil desire to haue nomore. The Emperoure is brought, to be his man.

Likewise saith Iohannes de Parisijs, Dicunt, quod solus Papa est Verus Dominus Temporalium: ita vt possit auferre ab alio, quod aliàs suum est: & tenet factum eius, licet peccet. Sed Prælati ceteri, & Principes, non sunt Domini, sed Tutores, Procuratores, & dispensatores: They saie, that onely the Pope is the right Lorde of Temporal possessions: so, that he maie put any man from his owne. And although he offende in so dooinge, yet his dooinge taketh place. As for other Bishoppes and Princes, they be not Lordes, but Overseers, Bailifes, and Stewardes.

Therefore Pope Adrian namely thus auanced him selfe aboue the Emperoure Fredericus. 1. Imperator per nos imperat: Vnde habet Imperium, nisi à nobis? Ecce in Potestate nostra est, vt demus illud, cui volumus: Propterea constituti sumus à Deo super Gentes, & Regna: vt destruamus, & euellamus, & ædificemus, & plantemus: By meane of vs, the Emperoure is Emperoure: For, whence hath he his Emperie, but of vs? Beholde, it is in our hande, to bestowe the Emperie, vpon whome wee liste. And to that ende are wee placed by God, ouer Nations, and Kingdomes: that wee should destroye, and plucke vp, and builde, and plante. Dutche proude vauntes the Pope maketh of him selfe, without either shame of the worlde, or feare of God.

The Apologic, Cap. 6. Diuision. 9.

whiche of the Anciente Learned Fathers, with so precise, and

perour receiuerth his pouer of the Pope.

Ceremonia, li. 2. Section. 5. ca. 7. Bernardus De Considerat, li. 2.

Paralipomena

vispergen. in

Ludonico, 4.

Iohan. Maior. 4.

Senten. Dist. 20.

que. 2.

Iohan. De Paris

sijs. De Potesta.

Regia. & Pa-

pali. cap. 5.

Iohan. Parisien.

Ca. 11.

Dist. 98. Si Im-

perator. In Glos.

Lapoldus De

Iurib. Regni &

Imperij. In

Proca.

Extra. De foro

competen. C. li-

cet.

Iohan. de Pari-

sijs. De Potest.

Regia & Pa-

pali. Ca. 5.

Auentinus, Lib.

6. pag. 636.

The Pope
about the
Empe-
roure.

566

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Mathematical Limitation, euer surueiled, and determined you
to be seuentie, and seuen times greater then the Mightiest Kinges?

M. Hardinge.

A pleasant
Mathemati-
cal Diuinitie.

* By this reason
euery simple
poore parishe
Prieste is seuen-
tic, and seuen
times greater
then the Em-
peroure.

Iohan. Andreæ,
Clement.

Iohan. de Paris.
sup. Ca. 11.

Iohan. de Paris.
sup. Ca. 11.

Isidorus in Claf.
in Genesim.
Iohan. De Passi-
fij. Ca. 4.

* A whole
heape of Vn-
truthes and for-
geries hudled
together.
(a) Vntruthes,
without any
woorde or
shewe of truth.

Some mery felowe, or other, whiche thought he might be bolde to speake Mathematically, so he keppe him selfe within compasse, and without iuste reprehension. For where as the spiritual power so farre passeth the Temporal, as the Soule dothe excelle the Body, and the Heauens surmounte the Earthe, as S. Clement saith, and Gregorie Nazianzene: * you should not so greatly be offended with the seuentie and seuen times greater dignitie, and we neede not condemne him as an Heretike, which would be pleasant in his algorithme, especially Iohannes Andreæ in the same place referringe the mater to the Astronomers.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Somme Mery felowe, I warrant you, ye saie. And thus, nowe in mirth, now in sadnesse, ye haue of longe time mochte the woyle, and forced Kinges and Emperours to be your slaues. As for your petre Doctours, lacke of Andrewe, and Sir Clement, as you euermore cal him, the Apostles felowe, we weighe them none o-
therwise, then they be worthy. But, touchinge Gregorie Nazianzene, it is true, that he saith, The Trueth, and Mighte of Goddes Weorde infinitely passeth al worldly Power. But, what maketh al this for the Pope, that walloweth onely in his Temporalities, and worldly cares, as wel in preaching Goddes Woode, and ministeringe the Sacramentes, as also in other spiritual exercises, is as farre inferioure to any meane Priest, as the Earthe is inferioure to the Heauens?

Notwe be it, that it maie appere, what prety Mirth ye haue made herewith, one of your owne felowes saith thus: Ecclesiastici debent indicare per contemptibiles, id est, per Laicos, Secundum tenorem, & debitum Terreni Iuris: The Ecclesiastical Officers, or Bishoppes, ought to iudge by them, that be Vile, and Contemptible, that is to saie, by the Laie Magistrats, accordinge to the tenure, and order of the Temporal Lawe. Here in your Mirth, and pleasure, in comparison of your selues, ye calle Princes, and Temporal Magistrates, Vile, and Contemptible, and so would ye haue them regarded amonge the people.

Againe, ye saie, Patet, Regnum, siue Regimen Regale non esse acceptum à Deo. Sed ipsum solum permittit indignatus. Et magis esset acceptum Deo, quod per solum Papam Mundus in omnibus regeretur: It is plaine, that the state of kingedome, or king-ly gouernement came not from God, For God onely suffered it in his anger. And is were more acceptable vnto God, that the whole worlde were in al thinges gouerned by the Pope alone. It were god, ye should tel vs, whether ye speake this onely in Mirth, and Game, or els in earnest, and god sadnesse.

Verily, when ye so proudly compare the Pope to the Sunne, and the Emperoure to the Moone, your meaning is, that as the Moone hath no lighte, but onely from the Sunne, so the Emperoure hath no Authority, but onely, that he receiue from the Pope. Notwithstandinge, in this comparison, Isidorus your owne Doctour saith, ye are sorely ouersene. For thus he writeth, Per Solem intelligitur Regnum, & per Lunam intelligitur Sacerdotium: By the Sunne, is meante Kingely Dignitie, and by the Moone, is meante Priesthoode. Notwe therefore lacke Andrewe, your mery man, by this reckeninge maie caste your accoumptes backwarde, and saie, The Emperoure is seuentie, and seuen times greater, then the Pope.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 10.

Whiche of the Anciente Fathers euer saide, that more ample Authority is geuen to you, then to the residue of the Parriarkes?

M. Hardinge.

* The Fathers of the Nicene Councel, by witness of * Iulius the firste, who then liued, * and those of the Councel of Sardica, * Athanasius, and the Bishops of Aegipt, Thebaida, and Lybia, and the Fathers of certaine other Councelles.

Above al other moste specially the firste Christian Emperoure (a) Constantine the greete. VWho beinge fully instructed of the moste godly and learned Bishops of his time, (a) what authoritye the succellour of Peter, had by (a) commission of our Saviour Christe, thought good by his
(a) Imperial

Clement
Co. Apo
li. 2. ca. 1
Nazianzen
Ad popu
Nazianzen
De Main
& Obedi
Soluz.

(a) Imperial Commandemente and decree, to confirme, ratifie, and for his owne persone to yeelde vnto (b) Blessed Syluester then Pope, and to his Successours Bishoppes of Rome, the same authoritie, and Superioritie, not onely ouer Bishoppes, and Patriarkes, but also Power and Honour, higher and greater, then that of Kinges, and Emperours. The woordes of (c) his solemne decree in that behalfe made, are these, which as they are founde in sundrie other Greeke writers, so most plainly in (d) Martharus Hieromonachus. *Θεοπιζομεν σύμπασιν τοῖς ἐκκλησιαστικαῖς καὶ τῇ συγκλήτῳ τῆς ἡμετέρας βασιλείας τῶν Ῥώμης ἐπισκόπων, καὶ ἀρχιεπισκόπου τοῦ καρυφαίου τῶν ἀποστόλων, καὶ κυρίου μου πέτρου, πλείονα τῆς βασιλείας ἔχειν ἐξουσίαν ἢ πᾶσαν τὴν οὐκουμένην, καὶ παρὰ πάντων πολλῶν πλείον ἢ τῶν βασιλέων τιμᾶσθαι καὶ σέβασθαι, καὶ φερόμεν εἶναι τῶν τεσσάρων πατριαρχικῶν θρόνων, κρείσσειν παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ ἀμφιζῆσθαι τὰ τῇ ὁρθόδοξῃ συμφαινόντα πῆσαι.* VVhiche in our mother tongue is this muche to saie. VVee geue in decree and commaundemente to al Lordes, and to the Senate of our Emperie, that the Bishop of Rome, and the Successour of S. Peter chiefe of the Apostles, haue Authoritie and Power in al the worlde more then that of the Empire is, and that he be honoured and woorshipped more then the Emperour, and that he be Heade of the foure Patriarchal Seates, and that thinges appertaininge to the righte Faith, be of him iudged and determined. Iustinian the Emperoure likewise made an expresse decree, that the moste Holy Pope of the elder Rome (for these be his very woordes) be taken accordinge to the determination of the Holy Councils, to be the (e) firste and principal of al Bishoppes. It were not harde to allege muche more for proufe hereof, of good and sufficiente authoritie: but in a matter not doubful this maie suffice.

The Bishop of Sarisburie,

This is a folie of al folies. Yet is there no folie so greate, but by wordes, and countenance it maie be mainteined. It shameth me to bestowe wordes herein: and so mutche the moze, for that you saie, the case is so cleare, and out of doubt. I assure you, M. Hardinge, of al other youre Innumerable Louanian Vanities, concerninge the practise of the Church, and stoyle of time, this one Vanitie is the vaineest.

But lesse any man, by Simplicitie, or Ignorance shoulde be deceiued, not vnderstandinge the Mysteries of this Donation, or Chartare, by the iudgement of your owne Doctors, the meaninge thereof is this: Volunt aliqui quod ratione huius Doni, Papa est Imperator, & Dominus Mundi: & quod potest Reges instituere, & Destituere, sicut Imperator: Somme thinks, that by force, and vertue of this Donation, the Pope is the Emperour, and the Lordes of the world: And that hereby he hath Power barbe to set vp, and also to put downe Kinges, as an Emperour. Therefore I cannot blame you, M. Hardinge, for that you stand so stiffely to this Donation. For if ye lose this, ye lose the Emperie of the worlde.

The fable hereof is so pēuisshe, that the wisest, and best learned of your very friends, Platyna, Cardinal Cusanus, Marsilius Patavinus, Laurentius Valla, Antoninus Florentinus, Otho Frisingensis, Hieronymus Paulus Catalanus, Volaterranus, Nauclerus, Capnion, Mullinixus, and others haue openly reprobued it vnto the worlde, and haue written againste it, and are mutche ashamed of your folies.

And, to allege one in stēde of many, Cardinal Cusanus hercof hathe written thus: Donationem Constantini diligenter expendens, reperi ex ipsamet Scriptura manifesta argumenta Confictionis, & Falsitatis: *Advisedly weighing this Donation, or Graunte of Constantine, (whereby the Pope claimeh al his Tempozal Power) euen in the penninge thereof, I finde manifeste tokens of Falsheed, and Forgerie.*

Againe he saithe, Sunt, meo iudicio, illa de Constantino, Apocrypha: Sicut etiam fortassis quidam alia longa, & magna Scripta Sanctis, Clementi, & Anacleto Papæ ascripta. Ipsæ enim Epistolæ, applicatæ ad tempus illorum Sanctorum, scriptas produunt: *By my iudgemente these Chartares of Constantine are forged: as perhaps are also other longe, and greate Letters, that beare the name of S. Clemente, and Pope Anacletus. For the Letters them selues, applied vnto the time of these Holy Fathers, betraie them selues.*

Againe, the same Graunte of Constantine, euen in Gratian the Popes owne Register, is founde onely in the Palea, & not in the Original allowed text. And in many Olde Bookes, that haue no Glose, it is not founde. And in the Glose vpon the same it is noted thus, Palea ista non legitur in Scholiis: in qua continetur Priuilegium, quod Constantinus concessit Romanæ Ecclesiæ: Scilicet, vt Primatum inter omnes Ecclesias obtineret: *This patche is not read in the Scholes wherein is contained the Priuilege.*

Bbb

that

(b) Vntruth. For Syluester was dead longe before these matters are imagined to be done.

(c) This solene Decree is nothing els, but a solemne folie.

(d) For shame: hathe the Pope none other Recorde to proue his Chartar by, but onely one poore, hungrie, forged Grecian, that neuer saw Rome?

(e) Firste, and Principal in Councelles, we confesse: But Lorde and Maister of al other Patriarkes, that would be proued.

Iohan. De Paris. De Potestate Regia, Cap. 22.

Nicolaus Cusanus, de Concordantia catholica, Lib. 3, ca. 2. Nicol. Cusa, eodem loco.

Diff. 88. Constantinus.

Glosa eodē loco.

Pius 2. in Dia-
log.

Felinus de Mar-
tinitate, & Or-
bedien, soluta,
Coluza, 3.

In vita Syluestri
Iohan, De Paris
sjs Cap. 22.

Council, Tomo. 1.
Pag. 227.
Phrygium,

Nilus De Pri-
marum Romanis

that Constantinus the Emperour graunted to the Church of Rome: that is to say, that the saide Church should haue the Soueraintie ouer al Churches.

And, to put the mater out of doubt, euen Pope Pius him selfe thereof saith thus, Dicta palea Constantinus, falsa est: The saide patche, that so beginneth, Constantinus, is starke false. Here, M^r. Hardinge, the Pope him selfe telleth you, that your Donation, whereby the Pope holdeth his Kingedome, and Triple Crowne, is nothinge els but a false forgerie, craftily clowted by by some of your Parasites for the pourpose. Wher- unto Felinus your Canoniste addeth these wordes: Et inuehit contra miseros Legi- stas, qui laborant in disputando, an valuerit id, quod nunquam fuit: And the same Pope Pius rageth earnestly againste the poore Lawyers, for that they take sutch paines to rea- son, whether that thinge maie be good, and auailable in Lawe, that neuer was made.

And againe, the same Doctors, that woulde seme moste frenbly, and fauoura- bly to speake of this Donation, by theire owne iudgemente, do quite condemne it. For thus they saie, In Donatione illa audita est Vox Angelorum in aere dicentium, Hodie Venenum effusum est in Ecclesiam: At the time of the Confirminge, and passinge of this Donation, there was a Voice of Angells heard in the aire saicinge, This daie Poison is poured into the Church. Thus you see, notwithstanding your Donation were good, and true, yet, by the Testimonie of the Angels of Heauen, it were nothinge els, but the poison, and destruction of the Church of God. Briefly, to touche some parte of the Contentes, & Substance of the same, the solisthe Body, that forged it, was so simple, and boide of witte, that he knewe not whether Constantinople stode in the East from Rome, or in the Northe: Whether Byzance were a Cittie, or a Countrie: Whether the Imperial Diademe were made of silke, or of Coulde. He telleth a tale of the Patriarke of Constantinople, that he should be honer, and burum to the Bishop of Rome: and yet at that time, when, as he imagineth, the Graunte was made, the Cittie of Constantinople was not builded, nor any sutch name as yet known in the world. Howe consider also what a special grace this Clerke hath in the La- tine tongue. These be his flowers, Signa, & Banna: Decernere dispondenda: Con- cedere permanenda: Licentiam dare: Equos equitare, &c. And Clericare in his lan- guage, is good Latine to make Priestes. This is sutch Latine, as, I wil not saie, Constantine him selfe, but Constantines Cooke woulde neuer haue spoken.

And yet is the Marginal Glose herenpon, as good, and as substanti- al, as the Text. For, where as the Texte saith, Contradimus Beato Syluestro phrygium nostrum, id est, mitram: Wee deliuer unto Blessed Syluester our phrygium, that is to saie, our Mi- ter, there shal you finde this pretty note in the Margine, Nota, quod Phrygium factum est ex pennis pavonum: Marke wel, that this Phrygium, or Miter, was made of a Pecoockes taile. No doubt, a worthy gift for an Emperour: and a mette Miter for a Pope. Al these thinges, M^r. Hardinge, you knowe to be true: and yet haue you a penne, and a mouthe to defende them. But, as I saide befoze, it shameth me, in so Childish a fable, to stand so longe.

As for your Greeke Doctoure, Hieromonachus, he shalbe stalled togeather with your Clemens, Leontius, Amphilo- chus, & other like your worthy and weighty Do- ctours. For shame, what shoulde ye bringe vs this one sely poze Greeke witnesse, whose name ye neuer hearde befoze, to testifie of Grauntes, and Conueiances made in Rome? Can your Pope finde out neither Council, nor Doctour, nor Father, nor any other Writer of likely recorde, to helpe him in so greafe a case, but onely one poze rashal Grecian, that knewe no moze of the mater, then you your selues? It be- wraileth the needinesse of poure cause. He that durste so lewdely to falsifie sutch a Graunte, thereby to intrude him selfe into the possession of the Emperie, woulde not blushe to falsifie somme Beggerly Witnesse, to auouche the same.

Howe, where ye woulde seme to saie, The other Foure Patriarkes stode euer- more at the Commaundemente of the Bishop of Rome: not onely the saide three Pa- triarkes, whiche neuer neither yelded, nor knewe any sutch Obedience, but also the general practise of the world will some reprove you.

Nilus a Greeke VVriter saith, Vt liquidius appareat, Papam non imperare alijs omnibus

omnibus Episcopis, legatur Sextus Canon Synodi Nicenæ, quo disertè præcipitur, vt alijs Ecclesijs Alexandrinus, alijs Romanus, alijs Antiochenus præsit: Vt non liceat alteri, alterius Prouinciã inuadere: *That it maie wel and plainly appeare, that the Pope hathe no Power, or Gouvernement, ouer al other Bishoppes, reade the Sixthe Canon of the Nicene Council. There it is expressely commaunded, that the Bishop of Alexandria shal haue the rule ouer certaine Churches, and the Bishop of Rome ouer certaine, and the Bishop of Antioche likewise ouer certaine: And that it shal not be lawfull for any one of them, to inuade the Iurisdiction of an other.*

The Emperour Iustinian saith, Ecclesia Vrbs Constantinopolitana Romæ Veteris prærogatiua letatur: *The Church of the Cittie of Constantinople enioieth now the prerogatiue of Rome the Elder. Nicephorus saith, Romano, & Constantinopolitano Episcopo ex æquo paria sunt & dignitatis præmia, & honorum iura: The title of dignitie, and right of honour, geuen to the Bishop of Rome, and the Bishop of Constantinople, are one, and equal. So likewise it was determined by Decree in the Council of Constantinople: Definimus Sedi Constantinopolitana paria Iura, & Præuilegia cum Sede Veteris Romæ: Wee decree, that the See of Constantinople shal haue Rightes, and Præuileges equal with the See of Olde Rome. Nowe consider wel this mater, M. Hardinge. If the Patriarke of Constantinople were the Popes Equal, howe was he his Subiecte? If he were his Subiecte, howe was he his Equal? For shal hardely force these thinges, to frame together. Therefore, as it is saide before, Gennadius, together with the Council of Constantinople, wrote thus vnto the Bishop of Rome: Curet Sanctitas tua Vniuersas tuas Custodias, tibi que Subiectos Episcopos: Let your Holinesse see vnto al your owne Cures, and to the Bishoppes that be subiecte vnto you.*

S. Cyprian, Cyrillus, Athanasius, and others, writinge, either of, or vnto the Bishop of Rome, call him, not their Lorde, and Maister, vnto whom of dueitie they ought Obedience, but their Brother, and their Fellowe Seruaunte. For the Pope him selfe, in somme cases hathe rather offered his Obedience vnto other Bishoppes. For thus writeth Pope Liberius vnto Athanasius the Bishop of Alexandria: Quæso vt huic Confessioni subscribas, vt ego securior efficiar, & tua mandata in hæsitanter obeam: *I beseeche thee, to subscribe to this Confession, that I maie be out of doubt, and maie doo your Commaundementes without grudging. Yet nowe the Bishop of Rome, to mainteine his Title by a Title of Righte, for as mutche as the foure principal Patriarkes of the world haue forsaken him, appointeth out foure of his ordinarie Chaplaines, and geueth them the names of foure Patriarkes: The firste for Constantinople: the seconde for Alexandria: the thirde for Antioche: the fourth for Hierusalem. And thus, hauinge these foure at Commaundemente, in his pleasante fantasie, he ruleth, and gouerneth the whole world. In sutch a solempne brauerie, the greatesse Cham of Tartarie at this date, after he hathe dined him selfe, soundeth out a Trumpet, and geueth al the Kinges, and Emperours of the world leaue to goe to dinner: and in this imagination, and soillitie, he continueth his claime to the possession of al the world, euen by as good right, and title, as dothe the Pope. And when so euer the Pope him selfe, in his owne person, openly, and solempnely saith his Masse, he commaundeth the Gospel, and Epistle to be readde in Greeke. Whereupon his owne Maister of Ceremonies saith thus: Hanc Consuetudinem hinc ortam puto, vt appareat Romanam Ecclesiam in se continere vtramque Gentem: Hereof, I thinke, this Custom firste proceeded, that hereby it maie appeare, that the Church of Rome containeth in it Bothe Nations, as wel Greekes, as Latines.*

Al this notwithstandinge, Antoninus saith, Hoc Græci non credunt: *For al this, the Greekes beleue it not.*

The objections of Iustinian, and of the Council of Sardica, are answered in my former Replie to M. Hardinge.

The Apologic, Cap. 6. Diuision. 11.

Which of the Aunciente Holy Fathers euer called you Lorde and God?

Pontifici.
καὶ αὐτὸν ἔξε-
σιπ ἐτέρῳ τῆς
ἀλλοτρίας
ἐπισκοπῆς.

Cod. De sacros
san Ecclie. omni
innouatione.
Nicephorus. lib.
12. cap. 13.

Council. Constant.
2. cap. 36. τὰ
ἰσαὶ ἀποδο-
εῖν πρεσ-
βυταις.

Gennadius ad
omnes Metropo-
litanos. & ad
Papam Roman.
Cyprian ad Cor-
nelium.
Athanasius ad
Ept. Africanos
Epistola Liberij
ad Athanasium.

Ceremonia. li. 3.
cap. 7.

Antoni. in Sum-
ma par. 3. tit. 22
cap. 6.
Artic. 4. Dini. 8.
Artic. 4. Dini. 7

None that wife is, so speaketh absolutely: neuerthelesse in somme certaine sense, * S. Clemente calleth every Bishop . *Terrenum quendam Deum*, a certaine earthely God, as it is written, * I haue saide, yee art Goddes, &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

So that y^e make not the Pope an Absolute God, y^e thinke, y^e make otherwise cal him God safely, and without prejudice. A proper thiste, to mainteine a vaine man in the possession of his Godhed. In sutch a pleasant imagination Antiochus sometime the Kinge of Syria, entituled him selfe by the name of God. So the Emperoure Domitian vsed to assigne his Proclamations: Dominus Deus vester Domitianus: *Your Lorde God Domitian*. So the Emperour Caligula called him selfe, Deum optimum Maximum, & Iouem Latialem: *The beste, and moste mightie God, and the greate God Iuppiter of Italie*: So Sapore the greate Kinge of Persia, called him selfe, Fratrem Solis, & Lunæ: *The Brother of the Sunne, and the Moone*: So the persische Physitian Menecrates called him selfe Iuppiter: So Nicostratus called him selfe Hercules: So Nicagoras made him selfe a paire of whinges, and woulde needs be called the God Mercurius: So Manichæus the Heretique called him selfe the Holy Ghost: So the Romaines in olde times erected by an Image in the honour of Simon Magus the Sorcerer, with this Inscription, M. P. S. Simoni Sancto Deo: *In the honour of Simon the Holy God*. By this your so handsome Distinction, M. Hardinge, of God Absolute, and God not Absolute, I see not, but euery of these might wel, and safely haue mainteined his title without blame.

Certainely, in this arrogante vanitie, scarcely any of al these was ever comparable to the Pope. Pope Nicolas saith, Constat Summum Pontificem à Pio Principe Constantino Deum appellatum: *It is wel known, that the Pope, of the Godly Prince Constantine, was called God.* Likewise the Pope was wel contente, to suffer one of his Parasites to saie vnto him, in the late Council of Laterane, Tu es alter Deus in terris: *Thou arte an other God in Earthe.* Likewise Cardillius the Spaniarde in Defense of the Popes late Chapter at Tridente, oftentimes calleth the Pope, Terrenum Deum: An Earthely God. By the same stile, and righte, whereby Holophernes sometime saide, Nabuchodonosor est Deus Terræ: *Nabuchodonosor is the God of the Earthe.*

Upon the Popes owne Clementines, y^e shal finde the mater thus taken vp, and qualified with greates Indifferencie, and modestie, & thus specially noted in the Margine, *Papa nec Deus est, nec Homo. And, to leaue other his like blasphemous, and fonde titles, in an other like Glose y^e shal finde it twittten thus, Credere, Dominum Deum nostrum Papam non potuisse statuere, prout statuit, Hæreticum censeretur: To beleeue, that Our Lorde God the Pope mighte not decree, as he decreed, is were a mater of Heresie. Here haue we founde by expresse, and plaine wordes, even in the Popes owne Authentical, and allowed Booke, Our Lorde God the Pope.

These things mighte seeme incredible, had not S. Paule foretold vs, that the Man of Sinne should sitte in the Temple of God, and shewe him selfe, as if he were God. S. Gregorie, writinge of Antichriste, saith thus, Cum sit damnatus Homo, & nequaquam Spiritus, Deum se esse mentitur: Whereas he is a damned man, and not a Spirit, by lyinge, he faineth him selfe to be God. Anselmus saith, Simulabit se Religiosum, vt sub specie decipiat Pietatis: Imo se Deum esse dicet: & se Adorari faciet: atque Regna Coelorum promittet: Antichriste shal faine him selfe to be Holy, that he maike deceiue menne vnder the Colour of Holinesse. Yea, and he shal cal him selfe God: and shal cause him selfe to be worshipped: and shal promise the Kingdome of Heauen. Nowe telle vs, Mr. Hardinge, who euer did, or attempted to doe these things, but onely the Pope.

Eusebius *sathre*, Hoc est Argumentum, eos odisse Deum, quod velint seipsos appellari Deos: *This is a token that they hate God, for that they wil have them selves called by the name of God.*

likewise saith the Anciente Father Irenæus, Antichristus existens Apostata, & Latro, quasi Deus, vult Adorari; & cum sit Servus, Regem se vult præconari: Antichriste,

Hicrony. in Da-
niel. cap. 3.

Αντίοχος ὁ
θεός.

Suetonius in
Domitiano.
Suetonius.

Pompon. Lotus
Atheniens. li. 7.

Chrysoſt. de ſpi.
ſancto.

August. Contra
Fast. 1790. Lib. 12.

cap. 17.
English li. 2. cap. 11.

Dist. 96.5418

Christoph Marx

cel. in Con. Las
tera. Seß. 4.

*Cardellus pro
Concil. Trident.*

Indisch 5.
★ The Pope

is neither
God, nor Ma

Our Lorde
GodthePope

Extr. mag. 10-
ham. 22. Cinn. 10

ter in Cloßa.
Impreß. Lucda.

1755.

2. Tbeßalon. 2.

ca 34. li. 25. ca 14

Answered in 2.
The session. 1.

Eusebius de
Preparat. lib. 7.

ΔΕΥΜΑ ΤΣΟ
ΤΩΝ ΤΗΣ ΘΕΟ.

ἐχθρίας, τὸ

117.

ichriste, beeing a Renegate, and a Theefe, yet wilbe Woorshipped as a God: and, beinge but a slave, yet he wilbe Proclaimed, and published, as a Kinge. Therefore, although the Pope be not, as you saie, an Absolute God, or an Absolute Christe, yet without any greate inconuenience, I trowe, he maie wel be an Absolute Antichriste.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 12.

Whiche of the Aunciente Holy Fathers euer saide, that you are not a mere Natural man, but a certaine Substance made, and grovven together, of God and Man?

M. Hardinge.

Can you bringe him before his face, that shal saie so vnto him? I warrante you (Maister Defender) he shal either be reprovved for his flatteringe, or proued to vnderstande no more by it, then a certaine diuine power aboute the Natural state of men, * or warned, that he be more circumspecte in his glosinge, when Hereuikes are ready to slander the whole Church, because of one priuate mannes affection.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

He that maie calle him selfe, Lorde, and God, maie easily claime him selfe to be more, then a Man. In the Popes owne Decretalles, it is noted thus in the Margine, *Papa non est Homo*: The Pope is not a Man. Your Glose vpon the Popes owne Clementines, allegeth these wordes out of one of your allowed Poetes, *Papa stupor Mundi*: The Pope is the wonder of the Worlde. And againe, *Nec Deus es, nec Homo*: quasi neuter es inter vtrunque: Thou arte neither God, nor Man: In a manner thou arte neither of bothe: but rather a meane betweene bothe.

By the Authozitie of this Doctoure, it appeareth, that the Pope is neither God, nor Man. Angel, I trowe, he is not. What other Creature ye maie make of him, I leaue to you further, to consider. But bringe him foorth (ye saie) that thus hath spoken. Bringe him before the Popes face, that he maie be punished. For he shalbe warned, as he is, to be more circumspecte in his glosinge. So cruelly, & Hardinge, ye punish, and torment, and crucifie them, that enscase a poore miserable sinful creature with the glorious name of God Immoztal.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 13.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers euer saide, that you are the onely Headsprings of al Lawes?

M. Hardinge.

VWho so euer saith it, speaketh not without euident reason, consideringe that of the principal of every gouernemente, al lawes, belonginge to the same, be deducted and deriued.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here haue ye broughte vs a ful simple reason, as God knoweth. But to haue broughte vs somme Authozitie of Doctoure, or Councel, it had benne better. We haue no doubt of your pretty reasons. We demaunded onely, VVhiche of the Aunciente Fathers euer saide so?

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 14.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers euer saide, that you haue Power ouer Purgatorie?

M. Hardinge.

* O that ye woulde firste beleue a Purgatorie, and then shoulde ye vnderstande, what dispensation of loosinge and bindinge is committed to the Vicare of Christe. For, as Esaie saith, Excepte yee beleue, ye shal not vnderstande: and the consequente doctrine muste needes be obscure, as longe as the principal grounde thereof is wickedly denied.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Of youre Purgatorie fantasies, we haue sufficiently saide before. Where ye wishe, we would beleue, there is a Purgatorie, Clerly we beleue constantly, what so euer God hath willed vs to beleue. S. Paule saith, *Faith commeth by hearinge*: *Hearinge commeth by the VVoorde of God*: and thereby onely is faith directed. As for your fables, and Pocheries, they are subiecte to Fantasie, and not to Faith. S. Augustine saith, *Nemo de Christo credat, nisi quod de se credi voluit*, Christus: Let no

B b b ij

ΘΕΛΩ ΤΟΙΣ
ΑΙΤΙΟΙΣ ΘΕΟΥ
ΔΙΑΤΡΟΦΕΙΣΤΕ.

A fainte excusa.
A certaine
Diuine Pow-
er in the
Pope.

* A fore punish-
mente for open
Blasphemie.

In Sexto: De E-
lectione. Et
lect. Fundamen-
ta. In Glossa.
Clemen. In Pro-
cmio. In Gloss.

* No doubt, it
were a worthy
mater.

VVisely.

ROMAN. 10.
AUGU. DE TEM-
PORE SERMON. 143
TERTI. 3.
MAN. Paschal.

The Popes
Powver o-
uer the
Angelles
of God.

August. in ques-
tionib; veteris
Testam. quæ. 43.
Angelus Parisi-
ensis.

*Vntruhe, ma-
nifest. As shal
appeare.

Herodotus.

In Concil. Later-
ranen. sub Leone
10. 363. 10.

Extra. De Con-
stitutionib; Sta-
tuta Canonum.
Felin.

Felinus eodem
loco.

Antonij. in Sum-
mar. 3. titu. 22.
Cap. 5. ante §.

Agrippa de Va-
nitate Scienti-
arum.

Gregor. Haim-
burgen. in Ap-
pellatione Signi-
ficandi Ducu
Austrie.

man beleue of Christe, but that, that Christe hathe commaunded him to beleue of Christe. Againe he saithe, Constat Fidem stultam non prodesse, sed potius obesse: It is certaine, that Foolishe Faith doothe no good, but rather hurteth. Notwithstandinge thoughte al your Listes, and gainefull Territoies of Purgatorie were fully graunted, yet shoulde it be very harde for you to proue, either by Scripture, or by Doctour, that the Pope beareth any greater Authority, or Swea there, to commaunde in, or out at his pleasure, then any other simple Priest. Al be it, one of your allowed Doctours saithe, Purgatorium est peculium Papæ: Purgatorie is the peculiere possession of the Pope. I trowe, as beeing a Colledge onely of his owne Fundation.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 15.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers euer saide, that you are hable to
Commaunde the Angelles of God, as yee liste your selfe?

M. Hardinge.

Nor olde Father, * nor newe Doctour. And what so euer he be, that shal so tel you, beleue him not. He speaketh not Catholically: and you muste make no scruple in suche maters.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, at laste, M. Hardinge, for shame, ye are faine to yelde. No Doctour (ye saie) euer thus saide, neither Olde, nor Newe. Howe be it, hereto ye haue laide a very good exception, with a special sauynge: If any man haue so saide (saie ye) what so euer he were, he spake not Catholically. And yet againe ye tel vs further, Howe so euer it be, wee shoulde neuer be scrupulous in suche maters.

But, what if the Pope him selfe, the Father of al Fathers, and Doctoure of al Do-
ctours, bothe Awe, and Old, haue not blushed to saie the same? Saie wee saie, as
you saie, The Pope him selfe was not Catholique? Or, if wee so saie, will you beleue it?

In daide Kinge Xerxes, the greates Kinge of Persia, when he had broughte ouer
his huge Armie into Græcia, sent out his letters of defiance vnto the great Mounte
Athos, that is in Macedonia, and commaunded him to stande still, and not to stirre a
fote, nor to woe; he any displeasure, either to him selfe, or to his Armie, vpon paine
of his highe indignation. Euen by like Authority, and with as good discretion, the
Pope hathe vsed to sende out his Preceptes, and Princely Summones, & to commaund
Angels, Archangels, and al the Powers of Heauen, to come, to goe, to setche, to car-
rie, and to be ready to do his wil. Therefore is he the better contente, to heare his
friends tel him thus, Tibi data est omnis potestas, supra omnes potestates, tam Coeli,
quam Terræ. Qui totum dicit, nihil excludit: To thee is geuen al manner of Power, aboue
al Powers, as wel of Heauen, as of Earthe. He that saithe, Al, excepteth nothinge.

Felinus your Doctour saithe thus, Ne dum circa Cœlestia, Terrestria, & Inferna-
lia Papa gerit Vicariatum Christi, sed etiam super Angelos, Bonos, & Malos: The Pope
hathe Christes Lieutenantship, not onely ouer thinges in Heauen, ouer thinges in Earthe, and
ouer thinges in Hel, but also ouer the Angels, bothe Good, and Badde.

Innocentius the Pope him selfe saithe, Vicario Creatoris omnis Creatura Subie-
cta est: Vnto the Creatours Lieutenante euery Creature is Subiecte. Your olde Do-
ctour Antoninus, the Archebishop of Florence, saithe, Potestas Papæ maior est omni
alia potestate creata, aliquo modo extendens se ad Cœlestia, Terrestria, & Infernal-
ia: vt de ea verificari possit, quod dictum est, Omnia Subiecisti sub pedibus eius: The
Popes Power is greater, then any other Power, that God euer made: and after a sorte stret-
cheth it selfe vnto thinges in Heauen, thinges in Earthe, and thinges in Helle: so that, of that
Power the wordes maie be verified, that are written by the Prophete Dauid, Thou hast
made al thinges subiecte to his feete. Camotensis saithe, Papa Angelis præcepit, &
potestatem habet in Mortuos: The Pope commaundeth the Angelles (of God) and hath
Power ouer the Deade. Gregorius Haimburgensis saithe, Vt terminis vtar suorum
Adulatorum, Papa Angelis habet imperare: To vse the wordes of his Flatterers, the
Pope hathe Power to commaunde the Angelles. Therefore, if any man happen to die
vpon the waie, as he passeth in Pilgrimage towards Rome, Pope Clemente 6. com-
maundeth the Angelles of God, to be at hande, and to carrie his soule immediately in-
to Heauen.

Hereto

Hereto, and to al other the like, M. Hardinge saith, These Popes, these Doctours, these Fathers, these Pages, these Parasites, in these pointes were not Catholique.

Notwithstandinge, he saith further, If the Pope for his fanie, take somewhat vpon him, and sometimes be a litle ouer bolde with Gods Angels, to Commaunde them, and Countermaunde them, at his pleasure, in sutch cases we oughte not to be ouer scrupulous.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Diuision. 16.

Whiche of the Aunciente Fathers euer saide, that you are the Lorde of Lordes, and Kinge of Kinges?

M. Hardinge.

He vseth the cleane contrarie Title, callinge him selfe *Seruum Seruorum Dei*, the Seruante of the Seruantes of God. Yet if he, for the largenesse of his Iurisdiction, haue a number of Lordes and Kinges within his folde, although he him selfe doothe not boaste of it, yet in a right good sense, that Title maie be geuen to him.

In a righte
good sense.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Notwithstandinge the Pope cal him selfe the Kinge of Kinges, and the Lorde of Lordes: Notwithstandinge he saie, The Emperour is so far inferiour vnto him, as the Moone is inferiour vnto the Sunne: Notwithstandinge he saie, The Emperour is but his Bailife, his Stewarde, and his Man: Notwithstandinge he saie, He hath power to set vp, and to put downe Kinges, and Emperours at his pleasure. Yet, saith M. Hardinge, Al this maie be spoken in righte good sense: for the Pope vseth a cleane contrarie Title, and calleth him selfe, *Seruum Seruorum Dei*, The Seruante of Goddes Seruantes. Thus he thinketh it sufficiente, to weighe maters onely by Title, and not by Truthe.

Extra, De Maiorita. & obedientia, solita. Dist. 58. Si Imperator. In Glossa. Auentinus in Adriano.

Howe be it, al this is but plaicinge vnder a Cassarde. The Diuel seldome sheweth his honyes: but rather changeth him selfe into an Angel of Lighte. Touchinge this Title, as the Pope calleth him selfe a Seruant, euen so doth the Emperour likewise abase him selfe to the name of a Seruante. If ye doubt hereof, reade your owne Glose vpon your Decretals. The wordes there be these: Etiam Imperator seipsum appellat Seruum: Likewise againe the same Glose saith, Papa se Seruum appellat, & Imperator vocat se Seruum Vltimum Ecclesie: The Pope calleth him selfe a Seruante, and the Emperour calleth him selfe the Vilest Seruante of the Church.

2. Corinth. ii.

Al this is nothinge els, but a politique kinde of dissimulation, and Hypocrisie. For if these be Seruantes in dede, I praise you then, who be the Lordes?

In Decretal. Grego. in Proemio, in Glossa.

Herurus, one of your owne Doctours, hercof saith thus, Dicere, quod talia dicunt Summi Pontifices ex Humilitate, est omnino perniciosum. Et hoc est ponere os in Coelum. Philosophus dicit, hoc esse peccatum Ironie, quod opponitur virtuti. Et addit, tales humiles non esse virtuosos, sed timidos, & blanditores. Et Augustinus ait, Cum Humilitatis causa mentiris, si non eras peccator antequam mentireris, mentiendo peccator efficeris: To saie, that the Pope spake these wordes of Humilitie, it were very hurtful. And this is, To set the face againste the Heauens. Aristotle saith, This is the sinne of Dissimulation, or Hypocrisie, whiche is contrarie vnto vertue. And saith further, that sutch humble menne are not vertuous, but feareful, and flatteringe. And S. Augustine saith, When so euer thou speakest vnto the vnder the colour of Humilitie, if thou were not a sinner before, yet by telling vnto the thou arte made a Sinner.

Extra, De Preben. & Dignitatib. Iohan. 22. Excecrabili. In Glossa. Iohan. de Paris. sup. cap. 13. August. de Verbis Apostoli.

Good Reader, pul of this painted Cassarde: and vnder this vaine Title of, Seruant of Seruantes, thou shalt finde a Lorde of Lordes: and sutch a Lorde, so Lordelike, and so princely, as seldome thou shalt finde emonge the Heathens. For proufe hereof, thus the Pope him selfe saith, Neither Emperoure, nor Kinge mai iudge the Pope. And his reason is this, Non est Seruus supra Dominum: For (the Emperour beinge a) Seruante, is not aboue (the Pope, that is) his Lorde.

Epistola Nicolai Pape. Inter Decreta Adriani Pape. Concil. Tomo. 1. In Purgatione sexti.

Thus ye see, he that so humbly calleth him selfe the Seruante of Seruantes, if ye touche him, or anger him, will suddainely change him selfe into the Kinge of Kinges, and Lorde of Lordes. For in these wordes he plainly, and expressly calleth the Emperour the Seruante, and him selfe the Lorde. And, what so euer be saide to the contrarie, verily the Popes Exposition muste needes be moze Authentical, then M. Hardinges.

He maketh the Emperoure to holde his Strophe: He maketh the Emperoure to leade his Bishop: He maketh the Emperour to kisse his Fete: He maketh the Emperour to lie downe grovelinge, and setteth his fete on his Necke: And yet still calleth him selfe, The Seruante of Seruantes. Fewe good Seruantes wilbe so homely with their Maisters. Doctoure Bonner saith thus, *Notwithstandinge the Pope be a very Raueninge Wolfe, dressed in Sheepes clothinge, yet he calleth him selfe, The Seruante of Seruantes.*

Doct. Bonner in
Præfa in Libel.
Stephani Gardis
nere, De Vera
Obedientia,

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 1.

Wee can also goe further with you in like sort. What one, amongst the whole number of the Olde Bishoppes, and Fathers, euer taughte you either to saie Priuate Masse, whiles the people stared on, or, to lifte vp the Sacramente ouer your heade, in whiche pointes consisteth nowe al your Religion: Or els, to mangle Christes Sacramentes, and to berene the people of the one parte thereof, contrarie to Christes Institution, and plaine expresse wordes? But that wee maie once comine to an ende: What one is there of al the Fathers, whiche hath taughte you to distribute Christes Bloude, and the Holy Martyres Merites, and to selle openly your Pardons, and al the rouines, & lodgings of Purgatorie, as a gaineful kinde of marchandise.

M. Hardinge.

Your obiections of Priuate Masse, of liftinge vp the blessed Sacramente, of ministringe the Communion vnder one Kind, be as common with you, as Life be with Beggars, and Lies with Heretikes. For in deece your questions be but beggerly and heretical. Touchinge the same, I haue saide (a) so muche in my answere to M. Iewel your nearest frinde his Challenge, as here to rehearse it againe, it is needlesse. You maie seeke it there. The Doctrin of Pardons I iudge verily you vnderstande not. Here is no oportunitie to discusse it. The full treatise of the same requiringe a longe processe, maie wel to an other time be deferred. Of Purgatorie I haue saide some deale here before. These be the matters, wherein you and your ignorant felowe ministers gladly shewe your vile railing, and scoffing eloquence. Yet concerninge the Doctrin of Pardons (b) leaste I seeme to saie nothinge, this much I haue thought good to saie here.

(a) Note, that
M. Hardinge, in
his saide three
Articles of An-
swere to M.
Iewel, hath pu-
blished three
score & niene
greate Vn-
truthes.
(b) And al the
same is lesse
then nothinge.
(c) A Doctrin
ful of fundrie
Blasphemies.
(d) King Dauid
alleged for
prouse of Par-
dons.

Artic. 17.

Fol. 117.

2. Reg. 12.

Math. 12.

In the Sacramente as wel of Baptisme, as of penance, al the bandes of sinne are loosed, and the whole euerlastinge paine due to sinne is forgoen. At Baptisme no temporal paine is enioined to vs, because Christe moste freely bestoweth the benefite of his deathe vpon vs at our firste entrie into the Church. (c) But if afterwaide wee abuse his mercie, returninge againe to filthy sinne, Christe would our seconde, thirde, and al other reconciliations from thence soorth, to be with due satisfaction, not of his dreadful anger, (whiche onely his bloude, and the Sacramente of penance, by due contrition and confession in deece or in vowe receiued, is able to remoue) but with satisfaction of suche temporal paine, as his merciful iustice required bothe of al others from the beginninge, and (d) namely of Kinge Dauid: To whom confessinge his faulte Nathan saide, Our Lorde hath put awaie thy sinne, thou shalt not die. Beholde the forgoenesse of the Mortal sinne, and of the euerlastinge paine due to the same. But yet so is it forgoen, that withal it is transferred into a temporal satisfaction. VVhat was that? It foloweth in the storie, that because Dauid through his aduouerie and murder had caused the enemies of God to blaspheme his holy name, the childe borne of the wife of Urias shoulde surely die. And so it came to passe. If the deathe of a sonne be so greuous a punishment to a good Father, that Kinge Dauid was contente to praie, to faste, to lie on the grounde, afflictinge him selfe seven daies, onely to trie whether he might as it were by exchange bye out this deathe of his Sonne, and yet so could not obtaine his desire: we maie be moste certaine, that the very best sinners of God, sinninge after Baptisme (or circumcision, whiche in the olde lawe stode in place thereof) muste by ordinary course satisfie with some temporal affliction that iuste iudgemente of our merciful Maker, and Redeemer, if farther grace be not founde by some other waie. This satisfaction hath bene therefore iustly called the thirde and laste parte of penance. VVhiche if it be not fulfilled in this life, vndoubtedly it shalbe straightly exacted in the worlde to come in the fire of Purgatorie. For that sinnes maie be in an other worlde forgoen to those who die not in the deadly bondes of them, (e) our Sauiour hath geuen vs to vnderstand, saicinge, That the sinne against the Holy Ghoste shal not be forgoen, neither in this worlde, nor in the worlde to come, whereof it appeareth that some other sinners maie be forgoen in the worlde to come.

(c) Vntruthes a-
uouched vpon
Christe. See the
Answere.

VVel, this satisfaction maie notwithstandinge be fulfilled more then one waie. For a man beinge

beinge once by the Sacramente of penance iustly reconciled to the mystical Body of Christe, which is his Church, wherein (as the Prophete saith) a faithful man is made partaker of al that feare God and keepe his commandementes: wee haue in that bande of peace suche an vnitie of Spirite communicated to vs al, that the defecte of one maie be in spiritual causes (f) supplied out of the plenty of al others his felowe members, accordinge as the Apostle saith, (g) Beare ye one an others burdens. And because the Heade (which is Christe) is the chiefe member of al, and farre more then al the rest, suche influence is from him deriued throughout his mystical Body, that euen his deathe maie as well inwardly by charitie, as outwardly by an other waie also be applied to vs for the pardoning of that temporal satisfaction, which after the Sacramente of penance is leste vnforgeuen. And that is by suche authoritie, as Christe gaue to Peter, sayinge: To thee I wil geue the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen, and what so euer thou bindest in Earthe, it shalbe bounde also in Heauen, and what so euer thou (h) loostest in Earthe, it shalbe loosed also in Heauen. Lo, what so euer Peter looseth in Earth, it shalbe loosed in the sight of God. If therefore the Pope, who succedeth Peter, doo by iuste cause loose not onely the mortal sinne by the Sacramente of penance, but also the bande of Temporal paine, which remaineth, yet due to the sinne: it is vndoubted, that suche paine is loosed in the sight of God. The cause of loosinge muste be, not onely the wil of the Pope (who is put in authoritie to builde, and not to destroe: to dispense, and not to lauish) but a reasonable change of recompence substituted in that behalfe, such as apperteineth to the Honour of God, or to the profite of soules: As mainteininge warre and fightinge againste infidels for the defence of Christendome, recovery of the Sepulchre of Christ, succoringe widowes, Orphanes, and other poore persons, the buildinge or mainteininge of Holy places, the visitinge of Prisons and Martyrs tombes, or any like Devoute and Charitable deedes. VVhich whiles the Faithful Christian doth obediently performe (although otherwise the thinge enioined be not great) he maie obtaine remission also of that temporal satisfaction, which was leste in penance vnremitted. This kinde of Pardon S. Paule gaue to that notorious sinner, who at Corinth had his Fathers wife, and was for that faulte separated from the Church of God, to be afflicted temporally in his fleashe. But when the Corinthians had informed S. Paule of his earnest and true repentance, and had shewed their owne fauour and good willes towards him: the Apostle answered, VVhom ye forgeue ought, I also (forgeue) for I also in that I haue forgeuen, if I haue forgeuen ought, for your sakes in the person of Christe, I haue forgeuen it. VVel, we are assured the Apostle speaketh of forgeuinge suche afflictions, as the partie was in, by reason he was deliuered out of the defence of holy Church, to that state, where the Diuel, as S. Chrysostome vpon that place noteth, *Pro solutione Peccatorum*, for payment of his sinnes, might vex him: and wherein suche persones beinge put to their penance, vsed to remaine for a certaine space of moneths or yeres, vntil their penance were donne and expired. Nowe the reconcilinge of the man not yet hauinge donne due satisfaction before his ordinarie time is a pardon. VVhiche the Apostle saith, he dothe geue in the person of Christe, as hauinge authoritie of him to doo it: and for the Corinthians sakes, as who were able by their Holy Praiers and common sorowe (whereof the Apostle speaketh) to make recompence for that which lacked on the behalfe of his owne satisfaction. If this muche doo not satisfie any man, desiringe to be fully resolued herein, let him resorte to the Latine woorkes of that holy and learned Bishop of Rochester.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

These objections, y^e saie in your pleasaunte homely comparisson, are as common with vs, as Life with Beggars. And yet to my remembrance, neither your Priuate Masse, nor your Halfe Communion was ener touched in this whole Apologie, moze then once, befoze this place: the Liftinge vp, or Shewing of the Sacramente, not once at al. Y^e shoulde haue twighed your advantages better, M. Hardinge, befoze y^e thus bestowed your tothesome quarrelles.

But thought you in dede, M. Hardinge, I wil not saie, as you saie (that with so Lowly, and Beggerly, but) that with so moze, and simple stufte y^e shoulde be hable to mocke the world: Many sonde sales both you, and your felowes haue sent vs ouer: but a sonder sale, then this sale is, of your Pardons, and Purgatories, y^e haue sent vs none. I muste saie to you, as S. Augustine sommetyme saide to Iulianus the Pelagian Heretique: *Necessitate compellitur Talibus pannis indui tam magna etiam Vestra superbia: Tour Pride, be it neuer so greate, enen for very poore neede, and beggerie, is faine to couer it selfe with suche soary clowtes.*

I beseeche you, consider, how aduisedly, & reuerently y^e vse Gods Holy VVorde. Thus you saie, Nathan saide vnto Dauid, Our Lorde hathe put awaie thy sinne: thou shalt not die: Christe saith, *The sinne against the Holy Ghoste shal not be forgeuen, neither in this Worlde, nor in the Worlde to come:* M^o, as S. Marke saith, *He that sinneth against the Holy Ghoste, hathe no remission for Euer: but shalbe guilty of Euerlastinge Sinne:* S. Paule saith, *Beare yee one an others burthen:* Christe saith to Peter, *To thee wil I geue the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen, &c.* S. Paule saide of him, that had liued in shame-ful in-

(f) A folie passinge al other folies.

(g) A texre ful wisely applied to prooue Pardons.

(h) O fond man Christe saith, VVhat so euer thou loostest in Earthe, and not in Purgatorie.

And thus M. Hardinge awaked out of his dreame.

Augusti. contra Iulianum, Lib. 5.

cap. 1.

2. Reg. 12.

Matthe. 12.

Marci. 3.

Galat. 6.

Matth. 16.

2. Cor. 5.

ful inceste with his Fathers wife, Let such a one be geuen ouer to Satan. These be your Scriptures, M. Hardinge: ful properly applied, and to god your purpose: herof you ful discretely, and Learnedly Conclude thus: Ergo, The Pope hathe a warrant sufficient to graunte his Pardones, and that, as wel to the deade, as to the quicke.

August. De Ci-
uit. li. 13. ca. 24.

M. Hardinge, God is not to be mocked: Feare his Judgements: Abuse not his Name, or VVoorde in vaine. Ful wel you knowe, that neither Dauid, nor Nathan, nor Christe, nor Paule were Pardonnourers. What should I further saie to him, that with so greate a countenance, and so mutche adu. can saie nothings: Let S. Augustine briefly answere al these vanities. Eius he saith, Hoc quid est aliud, nisi diligenter pro humana suspitione contendere, & Scripturas Sanctas negligenter attendere? What thinge els is this, but stoutly to strue for mannes sense: and negligently to consider Goddes Holy VVoorde?

Psal. 118.

The Prophete Dauid, upon whom pee woulde seme to grounde these folies, aswareth you thus, Narrauerunt mihi iniqui fabulationes: Sed non ut Lex tua Domine: The wicked haue tolde me many foolish tales: But, O Lord, their tales are nothings to thy Lawe. S. Augustine, if he were nowe aliue, woulde saie of you, as he saide of other your Predecessours, O Vanitas, vendens Vanitatem, Vanitatem audituris, Vanis, & Credituris: O Vanitie sellinge Vanitie, to them that wil heare Vanitie: and Vaine are they, that wil beleene it.

August. in Li. 10
Homil. Rom. 36.

Leo saith, In hanc insipientiam cadunt, qui cum ad cognoscendam Veritatem aliquo impediuntur obscuro, non ad Propheticas voces, non ad Apostolicas Literas, nec ad Euangelicas Authoritates, sed ad semetipfos recurrunt: Into this folie they falle, that, when they be hindered by somme darkenesse from the knowledge of the Truthe, goe not to the Voices of the Prophetes, nor to the Writings of the Apostles, nor to the Authorities of the Gospelles: but onely haue recourse vnto them selues.

Leo ad Flauia-
num. Epist. 10.Syluester Prieris
contra Luthe.

Nowe, somme what to saie particularly of the mater, touchinge your Pardons, your owne Doctoure Syluester Prieris, Maister of the Popes Palaice, writeth thus: Indulgentiarum Auctoritate Scripturarum non innotuere nobis: Sed Auctoritate Ecclesie Romanæ, Romanorumque Pontificum, quæ maior est: Pardones are not knowne vnto vs by the Authoritie of the Scriptures: But by the Authoritie of the Church of Rome, and of the Popes, whiche is greater then the Authoritie of the Scriptures.

Therefore, M. Hardinge, by this Doctours Iudgemente, it was greate folie to allege so many Scriptures for proufe of your Pardones. For here ye are taught in god sabnesse, that your Pardones can neuer be proued by any Scriptures. It had benne mutche better for you, to haue alleged onely the Popes Authoritie. For that, as your Syluester teacheth you, farre passeth al the Authoritie of the Scriptures.

Rossensis con-
tra Lutherum.
Polydor. De In-
uentorib. Lib. 2.
Cap. 1.

Rossensis saith, Ego respondeo, non satis certò constare, à quo primum Indulgentiarum tradi coeperint. Apud Priscos vel nulla, vel certè quàm rarissima fiebat mentio de Purgatorio. Quàm diu autem nulla esset cura de Purgatorio, nemo quesit Indulgentias. Nam ex illo pendet omnis Indulgentiarum estimatio. Si tollas Purgatorium, quorsum Indulgentiarum opus erit? Coeperunt Indulgentiarum, postquam ad Purgatorii Cruciatum aliquandiu trepidatum est: Thui? answere: It cannot wel appeare, from whom Pardones firste beganne. Emonge the Olde Doctours, and Fathers of the Church, there was either no talke at al, or very litle take of Purgatorie. But as longe, as Purgatorie was not cared for, there was no man that soughte for Pardones. For the whole price of Pardones hangeth of Purgatorie. Take awaie Purgatorie, and what shal wee neede of Pardones? Pardones beganne, when folke were a litle fraide with the paines of Purgatorie.

Iohan. Maior. in
4. sent. Dist. 20.
quest. 2.

Iohannes Maior saith, De Indulgentiis pauca dici possunt per certitudinem, quia Scriptura de illis expresse non loquitur. Nam quod dicitur Petro, Tibi dabo Claves, &c. certum est, quod oportet intelligere illam Auctoritatem cum sale. Fatue ergo & Superstitiosæ sunt quedam Indulgentiarum viginti millium annorum: Of Pardones litle maye be saide of certaintie: for the Scripture expressly saith nothings of them. Touchinge that Christ saith vnto Peter, Vnto thee wil I geue the Keyes &c. wee must vnderstand this Authoritie with a corne of Salte (other wise it maye be vsuauerp). Therefore certaine of the Popes Pardones, that promise twentie thousande yeeres, are foolish, and Superstitious.

Puer

Yonr Schoole Doctours them selues were wonte sometime to saie, Inuentio Indulgentiarum est pia fraus, & dolus non malus, quò populus officioso errore trahatur ad Pietatem: The diuisinge of Pardons is a godly guile, and a hurtlesse deceite: so the intente, that by a deuoute kinde of errour the people maie be drawn to godlinesse.

veselus.

Here, M. Hardinge, you see, the Antiquitie, Authoritie, and best countenance of your Pardons: That they flowed firste out of the fynkes of your Purgatorie, as one flammie floweth out of an other: You see, that your Pardons sometimes maie be Superstitious, and full of folie: You see, that the Sale of your Pardons is a Godly guile, and a deuoute kinde of errour, to leade the people. This is the fairest colour, we can blusse, to late vpon it. But miserable is that people, that thus muste be leade by guile, and errour.

Alphonfus de Castro saith, Nulla res est, quàm minus apertè Sacræ Literæ prodiderint: & de qua minus vetusti Scriptores dixerint. Non est mentio vlla de Indulgentijs: There is nothinge that the Scriptures haue lesse opened, or whereof the Old Learned Fathers haue lesse written, then of Pardons. Of Pardons (in the Scriptures, & Doctours) there is no mention.

Alphonf. de Castro. Li. 2. Indulgentia.

Of the Shamelesse Marchandise, and Sales hereof, many Godly men haue complained, We haue turned Godlinesse into gaine: and, as S. Peter saith, Through the couetousnesse, by fained speache, yee haue made Martes, and Markettes of the people. One of your owne friends saith thus, In multis Breuibz continentur tot Indulgentia, vt boni Viri mirentur, vnquam de Conscientia Papæ, vel alicuius boni viri potuisse illa procedere: In many of their Bookes there are contained so many daies, and yeers of Pardons, that good menne marueile, that they could euer come out, by the consente either of the Pope, or of any other good man.

1. Timoth. 6.
2. Petri. 2.
Opus Tripart.
coniunctum cum
Concil. Lateran.
Lib. 3. Cap. 8.
Aug. in Epist.
Iohan. Tracta. 1.

To conclude, we will saie with S. Augustine, Fratres, Iesum Christum Iustum habemus Aduocatum apud Patrem. Ipse est propitiatio pro peccatis nostris: Hoc qui tenuit, Hæresim non fecit: Hoc qui tenuit, Schisma non fecit. Vnde enim facta sunt Schismata? Cum dicunt homines, Nos iusti sumus: Nos Sanctificamus immundos: Nos iustificamus impios: Nos petimus: Nos impetramus: My Brethren, we haue Iesus Christe the Righteous our Aduocate with the Father. He is the Propitiation, or Pardons for our finnes. He that helde this, neuer made Hæresie: He that helde this, neuer made Schisme. For whereof doo Schismes come? Hereof they come: When menne saie, (as now the Pope saith) Wee are righteous: wee doo make Holy the vnholie: wee doo iustifie the Wicked: wee doo Praise: wee doo obtaine Pardons for others by our Prayer.

The Apologie, Cap. 8. Diuision. 1.

These menne are wonte to speake mutche of a certaine secreete Doctrine of theirs, and of their manifolde and sundrie readings. Lette them therefore bringe forth the somnewhat now, if they can, that it maie appeare, they haue at leaste readde, or doo knowe somnewhat. They haue often stoutely noised in al corners, where they went, that al the partes of their Religion be very Olde, and haue benne approued, not onely of the multitude, but also by the consent, and continual obseruation of al Nations, and times. Let them therefore once in their life, Wee this their Antiquitie: Let them make appeare to the eie, that the thinges, whereof they make suche a doo, haue taken so longe, and large encrease: Let them declare, that al Christian Nations haue agreed by consente to this their Religion.

Nay, nay, they turne their backs, as wee haue saide already, and flee from their owne Decrees, and haue cutte of, and abolished againe within a short space, the same thinges, whiche but a fewe yeeres before, their selues had established, for euer more, forsooth, to continue. Howe shoulde one then truste them in the fathers, in the Olde Councils,

celles, and in the woordes spoken by God: They haue not, good Lorde, they haue not (I saie) those thinges, whiche they boaste, thei haue: they haue not that Antiquitie, they haue not that Vniuersalitie, they haue not that Consent, neither of al places, nor of al times. And though they haue a desire rather to dissemble, yet they them selues are not ignorant hereof: yea, and sometime also they let not to confesse it openly. And for this cause they saie, that the Ordinances of the Olde Councelles, and fathers be such, as maie nowe, and then be altered, and, that sundrie, and diuers Decrees serue for sundrie, and diuers times of the Church. Thus lurke they vnder the name of the Church, and beguile seely creatures with their vaine glosinge. It is to be maruelled, that either menne be so blinde, that they cannot see this: or, if they see it, that they can be so patiente, so lightly, and so quietly to beare it.

M. Hardinge.

Here is muche a doo, and as some saie, greate boaste, and smal roast: many woordes, litle mater. The sence of these woordes (if I be not deceiued) resemblith M. Iewelles chalenginge sprite, as like as an Ewe resemblith a sheepe. VVhat we can bringe soorth, we saie not. *Neither thinke we it neede saie at your requeste, to shewe, what we haue readde, and what we knowe. Boasting and bragginge shewes we leaue to you: that you require, hathe benne sufficiently declared otherwheres. Al thinges are not to be saide at al times. Yet twoo of your lowde lies beside other that you make here, I will be so bolde, as to discouer. The Decrees of the late Tridentine Council (for that is it that you meane) although they be not yet in al places moste exactly obserued, yet be they not repealed, cut off, and abolished againe, as you saie.

* It is good policie, for him, that hathe nothing to shewe, to holde his peace.

* For trial hereof, consider onely the wordes of Roffensis Maior, and Alphonsus, alleged in the former Diuision.

* And what a fowle lie is that other, where you saie, that our selues acknowledge and confesse openly, that the Faith and Doctrine, whiche wee holde, is not Ancient, and Vniuersal, for times, places, and consente of al? VVhat els meane wee, when wee challenge vnto vs and claime the Catholike Faith? but this is your accustomed slender Rhetorike, when proufes and argumentes of truthe faile you, to vse the figure of impudente lyinge, and saie, that wee our selues confesse it to be true, whiche you impute vnto vs. VVhat so euer you saie in this place, wee maie of righte retourne it euer to you. So wee doo, and so take you it, &c. And for oughte that I can see yet, as our Synagog had of late the beginninge, so it is like shortly to make an endinge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Of al these wordes, and others moe, so vaine, as many, there is not one worde worthe the answeringe. What assistance M. Hardinge, and his friendes haue in their Antiquitie, it maie appeare by the laste Diuision.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 1.

But, whereas they haue commaunded, that those Decrees shoulde be hoide, as thinges nowe waxen too olde, and that haue losse their grace, perhaps they haue prouided, in their steede, certaine other better thinges, and moze profitable for the people. For it is a common saiesinge with them, that, If Christe him selfe, or the Apostles were aliue againe, they coulde neither better, nor more Godly gouerne Goddes Church, then it is at this presente Governed by them. They haue put somme what in their steede in deede: but it is chaffe in steede of vvhete, as Hieremie saith: and such thinges, as according to Esaie the Prophetes woordes, God neuer required at their handes. They haue stopped vp (saith he) al the vaines of the cleare springing vvater, and haue digged vp for the people deceiueable, and puddelike pittes, ful of mire, and filche, vvhiche neither haue, nor are hable to holde pure vvater. They haue plucked awaie from the people the Holy Communion, the VVoorde of God, from whence al comforte shoulde

Hierem. 23.
Esa. 1.

Mould be taken, the true V Voorshippinge of God also, and the right vse of Sacramentes, and Praier: and haue geueen vs of their owne to plate withal in the meane while, Salte, V V ater, Oyle, Boxes, Spittle, Palmes, Bulles, Iubilces, Pardones, Crosses, Sensinges, and an endlesse rabble of Ceremonies, and (as a man might terme them with Plautus) pzetp games to make spozte withal,

M. Hardinge.

Indos Indifica-
biles.

Mich. 3.
111.

Raile and reuel whylesye wil, the Church is gouerned by (a) woorde, and by (a) discipline. If Christe him selfe or his Apostles were aloue againe, the woorde, that is to saie (b) the Doctrine of our beleefe, nowe preached and receiued in the Catholike Church (b) neither shoulde be altered, (b) nor coulde be bettered. (b) For it is the same, he taught him selfe. And that we doubt not of it, according to his promise, he hath sente the holy Ghoste, to enforme the Church of al truthe. Remember you not who saide, I am God, (c) and am not changed? againe, that the woorde of God remaineth for euer?

(a) But the Pope vsfeth nether of them bothe.
(b) Vntruthes, without either measure, or regarde of shame
(c) O folie. The Change is in you, and not in God.

Deoford
11m. 5.

This beinge true, it is euidente, that we haue the sounde and weighty wheate, whiche no persecution of tyrantes, no blastes of Heretikes, no contagion of euil manners, for these siftere hundred yeeres coulde either blowe from the floore of our Lordes berne, the Church, or corrupt. VVee haue accordinge to the Apostles counsel, keapte that hath benne committed vnto vs. VVee haue enioyed the fountaine of the water of life.

(d) The Gospel in your mouth. For al these hie things the Pope hath quite taken awaie.
(e) Vntruth. For your Barbarous vnkowen Praiers, in the time of the Anciente Learned Fathers, were neuer knownen.
(f) Vntruthes ioined with ope Blasphemie.

Yee charge vs soare, that wee haue plucked awaie from the people the holy communion, the VVoorde of God, the true woorsippinge of God, the right vse of the Sacramentes, and Praier. VVho so euer taketh these hie awaie, wherein chiefly standeth our Saluation, the same (d) is Antichriste. VVere not that yee haue already donne so muche for vs, as the worlde maie take you for impudent liers, wee woulde not quietly beare so greuous a mater. But nowe, that yee haue tried your selves so false of your woorde, wee litle esteeme it: your railing is no flander. Lastly concerninge praier, what hath benne ordeined by our holy forefathers (e) of al ages, directed with the sprite of God, for the maintenance and encrease of it to Goddes honoure, al that in fewe yeeres by the insticte of Sathan, to promote his Kingedome, yee haue vterly abolished, and by wicked violence brought the people from (f) deuotion to a carelesse idlencesse, from speakinge to God with hartes and lippes, to (f) a spiritual dumnesse, from praiers (f) to chapters, from holy thinkinge to (f) vnprofitable harkeninge.

Ye can not abide Salte, VVater, Oyle, Palme, the Crosse, Incense, &c. no maruel. No more can not the Deuill, who possesseth you, and rideth you, and after his owne wil driueth you from truthe, from Christen Religion, and from al Godlines. VVere it so that your sprite coulde awaie with those thinges, then were it not agreeinge with his sprite. Vntil yee geue place to the sprite of God, who maie driue out of you the spirite of Sathan, wee looke to heare no better tidinges of you.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We were somewhat angrie, M. Hardinge, when ye thus besturred your selfe. It pitteth me in your behalfe. Christe, and his Apostles (ye saie) neuer ruled the Church in better order, then it is now ruled by the Pope, and his Cardinales. Euen so mighte they haue saide, that had tounred The House of God into a Cane of Thernes. One of your frendes saith, Apostolorum temporibus rudis adhuc erat Ecclesia: In the time of the Apostles, the Church as yet was rude, and Barbarous, and out of order. And, beinge afterwarde repproued for his blasphemous speache, he answered lewdely in his pleasure.

Matth. 21.
Lazarus De Vn-
traque specie,

Rudis, indigestaque moles.

Others of you saie, Christus in Caelo praesidet: Papa in Terris residet: Christe ruleth in Heauen: the Pope in Earthe. An other saith, Omnes Sanctiones Sedis Apostolicae sic accipiendae sunt, tanquam ipsius Diuina voce Petri firmatae: Al the Lawes of the Apostolique See of Rome muste so be taken, as if they were confirmed by the Diuine voice of Peter him selfe. An other saith, Sicut ostium regitur Cardine, ita Ecclesia Romana regitur Consilio Cardinalium: As the doore is ruled by the booke, so is the Church of Rome ruled by the Counsell of Cardinales.

Iacobus Andre-
aduersus Hosi-
um. Pag. 70.
Dist. 19. Sic om-
nes.
Andreas Bar-
batus siculus
ad Bessarionem,
Polydor. Vergil.
de Inuentorib.
Lib. 4. Ca. 9.
Ceremoniar. li. 1.
sect. 8. Ca. 6.

Therefore the Pope him selfe saith thus vnto them, Vos eritis Senatores vrbis, & Regum similes, veri Mundi Cardines, super quos militantis ostium Ecclesiae voluendum, & regendum est: Yee shal be the Senatours of my Cittie, and like vnto Kinges, the very booke, and staine of the worlde, upon whom the very doore of the Church Militante muste be turned, and ruled. Dutche are they, whome S. Hierome imagineth thus to

ccc

saie,

Hierony. in Pro-
uerb. 7.

Malach. 3.
Psal. 118.
Matth. 13.
Malach. 2.

Bernard. in Con-
uersione Pauli.

Origen. in Iosua
Homil. 15.

Dionysius Eccle.
Hierar. Ca 3.
ἀγιογραφῶν
ἀδελτῶν. ἀνέ-
γνωσις.
Iustinus Martyr
Apologia. 2.

Cyprian. Lib. 2.
Epist. 5.

Concil. Constanti-
nopol. 5. Actio. 1.

Concil. Laodice.
Can. 16.

safe, Non est vir in domo. Non est Christus Corporaliter in Ecclesia. Surgens enim à mortuis, Ascendit in Cælum: nobisq; Ministerium gubernandæ Ecclesiæ, suam, vide- licet, Domum reliquit: *My Husbande is not at home. (That is to saie) Christe is not nowe Corporally in the Church. For, beinge risen from the dead, he is Ascended into Heauen: and hath leaue vnto vs the gouernement of his Church: that is, the whole orderinge of his House.*

True it is, as you saie, God is one, and is not changed. And his Wordes endureth for euer. But the Change is in you, *W. Hardinge*, and not in God. Goddes Word is the Word of Life: your Word is the Word of Vanitie. God the Father hath not planted it: Therefore it shalbe plucked by by the rootes. God saith by the Pro- phete Malachie: The lippes of the Prieste shal keepe knowledge: and the people shal require the Lawe at his mouthe. For he is the Angel of the Lorde of Hostes. Vos autem recessistis de Via, &c. But you are gonne backe from the VVaie: you haue offended many a one in the Lawe: you haue broken the Couenante of Leui, saithe the Lorde of Hostes. And therefore haue I made you to be despised.

We haue changed the moste parte of the Apostles Doctrine: And of al, that euer they ordeined, ye haue in a manner leaue nothinge standinge. S. Bernarde saithe of your owne Church of Rome, A planta pedis vsq; ad verticem Capitis, non est san- titas vlla: *From the sole of the foote, vnto the Crowne of the heade, there is not one whole place.* And yet ye beare vs strongly in hande, that Christe, and his Apostles neuer ruled the Church in better order, then it is nowe ruled by the Pope, and his Cardinales.

All the reste of youre emptie talke is answered sufficiently befoze. Yet one pange of your eloquence, I maie not in any wise leaue vntouched. Thus ye saie, By the instincte of Satan, yee haue brought the people from deuotion to carelesse idlenesse: from speakinge to God with hartes, and lippes, to a spiritual dounbenesse: from Prayers, to Chapters: from Holy thinkinge, to vnprofitable hearkeninge. If I were not wel acquainted with your speeche, *W. Hardinge*, I coulde not thinke, ye woulde so vnadvisedly bestowe your wordes. I doubt not, but even hereby it shal soone appeare, whether of vs hath wilfully broken the Apostles Orders, and refused the Godly examples of the Holy Primitiue Church of God. We telle vs, that the Readinge of the Scriptures vnto the people, in the Church of God, is a Spiritual dounbenesse, and a thinge vnpro- fitable, as onely diuised of our selues, and that, as you saie, by the instincte of Sa- than, and neuer befoze, either known, or vled by any Catholique Learned Father.

So little regarde you, what you saie. Any man, that hath benne conuersante in the Anciente Fathers, maie easily repproue your folie.

Origen saithe, Iudaicarum Historiarum Libri traditi sunt ab Apostolis, Legendi in Ecclesijs: *The Bookes of (the Olde Testamente, whiche are called) the storie of the Iewes, were deliuered by the Apostles, to be readde in the Churches.* Dionysius saithe,

Deinde ordine habetur Sacrorum Librorum Lectio: *Afterwarde folowe in order the Les- sons of the Holy Bookes.* Iustinus Martyr saithe, Die Solis omnes, qui in oppidis, vel in agris morantur, vnum in locum conueniunt: Commentariaque Apostolorum, vel Prophetarum Scripta leguntur. Deinde, is, qui præest, admonet omnes, & hortatur, vt ea, quæ lecta sunt, bona, imitentur. Deinde surgimus omnes, & comprecamur: *Upon the Sondaie, the Christian people, that dwel in towne, or Countrie, meete together in one place. There the Epistles of the Apostles, or the Prophetes VVritings are pronoun- ced vnto vs. Afterwarde, he that is the Chiefe, or Minister, warneth, and exhorteth al the reste, that they wil folowe those good thinges, that thei haue heard readden. That donne, wee rise vp al, and prae together.* S. Cyprian saithe, Lector personat Verba sublimia: Euangelium Christi legit: A Fratribus conspicitur: Cum gaudio Fraternitatis auditur: *The Reader soundeth out the highe, and Heauenly Wordes: He readeth out the Gospel of Christe: He is scene of the Brethren: He is heard with ioie of (al) the Brotherhoo.*

The Fathers in the Council of Constantinople saie thus, Tempore Diptycho- rum cucurrit omnis multitudo cum magno silentio circumcirca Altare, & audiebant: *At the time of Readinge (of the Chapter) al the multitude of the people with greates silence drewe rounde aboute the Altare, or Communion Table, and gaue eare.*

In the Council of Laodicea it is wrytten thus, In Sabbatis Euangelia cum alijs

alijs Scripturis legi conuenit: *Vpon the Sabbath daie it is conuenient, that the Gospels, and other Scriptures be readde (in the Churche vnto the people).* Leo saith, Solennitati Sacratissimæ Lectionis subiungatur exhortatio Sacerdotis: *After the solempne Readinge of the moste Holy Lesson, let there folowe the Sermon, or Exhortation of the Priest.*

S. Chrysostome saith, Stat Minister, & Communis Minister, & alta voce clamat. Post illam vocem Lector incipit Prophetiam Esaie: *The Minister, and Common Minister standeth vp, and crieth out with lowde voice (saletnge, keepe silence, and geue eare).* After that, the Reader beginneth the Prophecie of Esaie. S. Ambrose saith, Non possum ego à me facere quicquam: sicut audio, & iudico. Audistis Lectum: Ego non accuso vos: Moses vos accusat: Audistis Lectum: (Christe saith) *I cannot doo any thinge of mee selfe: As I heare, so I iudge.* Ye haue hearde it readden: *I accuse you not: it is Moses, that accuseth you.* Yee haue hearde it readden.

S. Augustine saith, Audistis, cum Euangelium legeretur: Modò, cum legere-
tur, si intenti fuistis Lectioni, Audistis: Audiuimus in Lectione, quæ lecta est, Fra-
tres dilectissimi: *Yee hearde, when the Gospel was readde: Ye hearde erewhile, when it was
readde, if yee gaue eare to the Readinge: Dearely beloued, wee haue hearde in the Lesson,
that hath benne readde.*

Hereby, I thinke, it maie appeare, that the Readinge of Lessons, and Chapters,
is no *Netwe Diuise* in the Churche of God.

And yet wil you tel vs, *M. Harding*, that al this is onely a Spiritual dounbe-
nesse, and an vnprofitable Harkeninge, broughte in by the instincte of Sathan, to
promote his Kingedome? *Q. If you dare so to tel vs, muste we beleeue you?*

Wertly, Isidorus saith, Lectio est non parua audientium ædificatio. Vnde oportet, vt quando Psallitur, Psallatur ab omnibus: quando Oratur, Oretur ab omnibus: quando Lectio legitur, facto silentio, æquè audiatur à cunctis: The Lesson (in the Church) bringeth great profite to the hearers. *Therefore, when Singinge is, let al Singe to-
gether: When Praier is, let al Praie together: And, when the Lesson, or Chapter is
readde, let silence be made, and let al heare together.* Tertulian saith, Coimus ad li-
terarum Diuinarum Commemorationem: Fidem Sanctis Vocibus pascimus: Spem
erigimus: Fiduciam figimus: *We comme together to the readinge of the Holy Scriptures:
Wee feede our Faith with those Heauenly Voices: We raise vp our affiance: Wee fasten our hope.*
Agaïne he saith, Vbi fomenta Fidei de Scripturarum Lectione? *Where is the feedinge
of Faith, that commeth by the open pronouncinge, and Readinge of the Scriptures?*

Origene saith, Non fuisset necessarium, Legi hæc in Ecclesia, nisi ex his ædifi-
catio aliqua audientibus præberetur: *It had not benne Necessary to haue these thinges
readde in the Churche, onlesse thereof might growe somme profite to the hearers.*

Leo saith, Sacram Dominicæ Passionis Historiam Euangelica, vt moris est, nar-
ratione decursam, ita omnium vestrum arbitror inhæsisse pectoribus, vt vnique au-
dientium, ipsa Lectio quædam facta sit visio: *I thinke, that the Holy storie of oure Lordes
Passion, whiche we, as the manner is, haue readde vnto you, is so fastened vnto al youre hartes,
that the very hearinge is selfe vnto euery of the Hearers is a kinde of seeinge.* Chrysostome
saith, The manner was, that befoze euery sutch Lesson, or Chapter, the Minister
shoulde saie vnto the people, with a loude voice, Attendamus: *Let vs Marke.*

S. Augustine saith, Epistolæ Apostolicæ, non tantum illis Scriptæ sunt, qui
tempore illo, quo scribebantur, audiebant, sed etiam nobis. Non enim ob aliud in
Ecclesia recitantur: *The Apostles Epistles were not written onely to them, that hearde them
at the same time, when they were written, but also to vs.* For to none other purpose are they
readde openly in the Churche.

This therefore is no Spiritual Dounbenesse, *M. Harding*: This is no Vnpro-
fitable Harkeninge: This is no Instincte, or VVoorke of Sathan. S. Augustine
saith, Vide Formicam Dei: Surgit quotidie: Currit ad Ecclesiam Dei: Orat: Audit Le-
ctionem: Hymnum cantat: ruminat, quod audit: Apud se cogitat: recondit intus grana
electa de Area: Beholde Goddes Emote: *Shew riseth she daiely: Shew rennethe to the Churche of
God: Shew Praieth: Shew heareth the Lesson, or Chapter: Shew singeth the Psalme: Shew
cheweth, or remembreth, that shee hath hearde: Shew museth vpon it within her selfe: And*

Ccc ij

With

Leo, de Resurre-
ctione Domini,
sermo, 2.

Chrysostom, in
Acta, Homil. 19.

Ambros. Lib. 3.
Epist. 20.
Iohan. 5.

Aug. in Psal. 36.
August. in. 30.
Homil. Hom. 49.
August. de Tem-
pore, sermo, 2.

Isidor. de Eccles.
Offic. li. 4. ca. 10

Tertulian, in
Apologetico.
Tertulian ad
Vxorem, Li. 2.

Origen, in Le-
uiticum, Hom. 5.

Leo de Passione
Domin. sermo, 19.

Chrysost. in Acta
Homil. 19.

August. Contra
Cresciantum, li. 1
cap. 9.

Aug. in Psal. 66.

Within Shée laieth vp the Corruis chosen from the floore.

Howe maie you bethinke your selfe, *M. Hardinge*. Howe can you so vaine-ly saie, that the Readinge of the Holy Scriptures, or Chapters is a newe diuise in the Church of God: You see, al the Ancient Learned fathers with one consente beare witness againste you. What shal we thinke, is the VVoorde of God, if the Hearing of Goddes Word, be the VVoorke of Sathan? What wil you cal Spiritual speakinge in the Church, if the Publishinge of Goddes Holy Will, be Spiritual Doumbenesse? Acknowledge your errour. Cease gloie to God. What wise man wil beleue you further?

But, here maie you calle to minde, the Spiritual Speeches, and Heauenly soundes of your Churches. There maie you heare, Ave Mater Anna, plena melle Canna: Dic nobis Maria, quid vidisti in via? And at the Halowinge of your Agnos Dei, in commeth a Poste in haste, and sweatinge, and telleth the Pope, Domine, Domine: Isti sunt Agni nouelli, qui annuntiauerunt Alleluia. Modò uenerunt ad fontes &c. Here is pietie geare to comforte the Conscience, as good, as a songe of Robin Hoode. Better were it for you, to sitte doumb in the Church of God, then thus to speake.

*Durandus. li. 6.
sabbato sancto.*

*August. de Gen.
ad Literam. li.
12. ca. 8.
Chrys. in Math.
Hom. 131.*

In Pontificali.

Your Churches, your Churches, *M. Hardinge*, are ful, not onely of Spiritual, but also of Corporal, and Horrible doumbnesse. Of al, that is saide, or donne there, be it neuer so sonde, the poore Godly People knoweth nothinge. *S. Augustine* saith, Si intellectum mentis remoueas, nemo edificatur, audiendo, quod non intelligit: If ye remove the vnderstandinge of the minde, no man is edified, or taketh profite by hearinge, that he cannot vnderstande. *Chrysostome* saith, Perdiderunt audiendi laborem, & tempus. Nam qui non intelligit, quod audit, perdit quod audit: They haue loste both their laboure in hearinge, and also the time. For he, that vnderstandeth not, that he heareth, loseth the thinge, that he heareth. Therefore the Pope him selfe in his Pontifical, geueth this special Charge vnto the Reader, Stude Lectiones Sacras distincte, & aperte proferre ad Intelligentiam, & edificationem fidelium: Endeouore thee selfe to pronounce the Holy Lessons (or Chapters) distinctly, and plainly (not to a Spiritual doumbenesse, but) to the vnderstandinge, and profite of the Faithful.

Math. 23.

Touchinge the Prayers, that the simple people maketh in a tongue vnknewen, *Christe* saith, This people honoureth me with their lippes: But their hartes are farre from mee.

The Apologie, Cap. 9. Diuision. 2.

In these thinges haue they set al thaire Religion, teachinge the people, that by the same, God maie be duely pacified, Spirites be diu-
uen awaie, and mennes consciences wel quieted.

M. Hardinge.

**Vntothe. For
hereby ye pro-
fesse to quiet
mennes consci-
ences. Reade
the answere.*

What shal I saie to al this, but that ye lie? I would saie, as the manner is, Sauinge your wor-
shippes: but that your often and vnshamefast lieinge hath quite taken awaie from you al opinion
of honestie. Al Christes Religion whiche wee professe, consisteth not in these thinges, * neither by
these be mens consciences quieted. By certaine of these, euil and impure sprites be driuen awaie in
deede. VVhiche here by sundrie Auncient recordes and Testimonies I woulde declare to be moſte
true, were it not wel yenough knowne by daily experience. But as for you, whereas neither Brea-
de, nor VVater, nor Crosse drineth you awaie, it seemeth yee are woorse to be coniu-
red, then the deuill
him selfe. Many of your secte Catholike Princes haue founde so stubborne, as they could yet neuer
ridde thaire Countries of them, but by coniu-
ration of fire.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

*Augu. Steuchus
in Librum Na-
meror. ca. 19.
Sinnes fore-
geuen by
Holy VVater.
In Pontificali.*

We saie, yee neuer sought to quiet mens Consciences by Oile, VVater, Pal-
mes, &c. And therefore ye stande by a tippe toe, and in your familiare manner, cri-
oute, yee lie. For thoyte trial herof, one example maie suffice, in steade of many.
Augustine Steuchus, one of your special, and worthy Doctoures, saith thus, Aquas
Sale, & Orationibus Sanctificamus, vt ad earum Aspersum nostra deleantur deli-
cta: Wee halowe Water with Salte, and Prayers, that by the Sprinklinge thereof our sinnes
maie be foregeuen. Reade your owne Pontifical: and ye shal finde in the halowinge
of your VVater, your Ashes, your Palmes, your Candles, &c. this clause euer more
in the ende, Vt sint nobis ad Salutem Animæ, & Corporis: That they maie be to vs to
the

the Saluation of Body, and Soule.

Whereas in the ende yee vaunte your selfe of your Crueltie, and so pleasantly make sporte with the Bloude of your Brethren, take it not for ill, if I answer you with the wordes of Salomon, Viscera impiorum crudelia: The bowelles of the wicked be alwaies cruel. wherein, M. Hardinge, standeth your greatestt puissance. If ye were no better armed with Fire, and Swerde, then ye be with Scriptures, and Doctours, no wise man woulde greatly feare your force.

Proverb. 12.

The male safe of you, as Cardinal Cusanus saith of the Turke, Omnium, quæ in Alchorano continentur, vltima resolutio est Gladius: The laste resolution and trial of all thinges that are contained in the Alchoran, is the Swerde.

Nicola. Cusan. Cribrationu.

As for vs, we maie answer you now, as S. Cyprian sometime answered the Heathens: Nobis ignominia non est, pati à Fratribus, quod passus est Christus: neque vobis gloria est, facere, quod fecit Iudas: It is no shame for vs, to suffer of our Brethren the same violence, that Christe suffered: neither is it any praise for you, to doo the same thinge, that Iudas did.

Li. 3. Ca. 3.

Cyprian. Li. 1. Epist. 3.

Tertullian saith unto your Fathers, Crudelitas vestra, gloria nostra est: Semen est Sanguis Christianorum: Your crueltie, is our glorie: The Bloude of Christians, is the seede of the Gospel. I praise God, al that innocent Bloude, that hath bene shedde in this cause, be not required at your handes in the daie of Wraithe, and at the declaration of the iuste iudgements of God.

Tertullian in Apologetica.

Roma. 2.

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Division. 1.

For these, loe, be the oriente coloures, & pretious sauoures of Christian Religion: these thinges doothe God looke vpon, and accepteth them thankfully: these muste comie in place to be honoured, and must put quite awaie the Institutions of Christe, and of his Apostles. And, like as in times past, when wicked Kinge Ieroboam had taken from the people the righte seruinge of God, and had brought them to woozhip the Goulde Calues, lest perchance they mighte afterwarde change theire minde, and slippe awaie, geatinge them againe to Ierusalem to the Temple of God there, he exhorted them with a longe tale, to be stedfast, saieinge thus vnto them: O Israel, these Calues be thy Goddes. In this sorte commaunded your God, you should woozhip him. For it should be wearisome, and troublous for you, to take vpon you a iourney so farre of, and peerele to goe by to Ierusalem, there to serue, and honour your God: Euen after the very same sorte, when these menne had once made the Lawe of God of none effecte, thzough theire owne Traditions, fearinge that the people woulde afterwarde open theire eyes, and sal an other waie, and shoulde some whence els seeke a surer meane of their Saluation, Iesu, how often haue they cried out, This is the same woozhippinge, that pleaseth God, & which he straitly requireth of vs, and wherewith he wil be turned from his wraithe: that by these thinges is conserued the Unitie of the Church: that by these al sinnes be cleansed, and consciences quieted: and that, who so departeth from these, hath leaste vnto him selfe no hope of Euerlastinge Saluation. For it were wearisome, and troublous (saie they) for the people to resort to Christe, to the Apostles, and to the Anciente Fathers, and to obserue continually, what their wil, and commaundement should be. This, yee maie see, is to vvithdrawe the people of God from the vveake Elementes of the vvorld, from the Leauen of the

Scribes, and Phariseis, and from the Traditions of menne. It were reason, no doubt, that Christes Commandementes, and the Apostles were remoued, that these theire hestes, and diuises might come in place. Iuste cause, I promise you, why that Aunciente, and so longe allowed Doctrine should be nowe abolished, and a newe forme of Religion be brought into the Church of God.

M. Hardinge.

It shoulde haue becomene Scoggin, Patche, Iolle, Hary Pattenfon, or VVil Sommer, to haue tolde this tale muche better, then your Superintendentships. And if ye woulde needes haue plaied the parte your selues, it had bene more conuenient to haue done it on the stage, vnder a vices cote, then in a booke set abroad to the worlde in defense of al your new Englishe Church, ye shall neuer make any reasonable man beleue your Scoffinge tale. VVe esteeme litle your railing comparison, with your spiteful woordes, and so muche deuillish willany.

The Apologie, Cap. 10. Division. 2.

And yet, whatsoeuer it be, these menne crie stil, that nothinge ought to be changed: that mens mindes are wel satisfied herewithal; that the Church of Rome, the Church, which cannot erre, hath decreed these thinges. For Syluester Prierias saith, that the Romishe Church is the Squire, and Rule of Truthe, and that the Holy Scripture hath receiued from thence Authoritie, and Credite. The Doctrine, saith he, of the Romaine Church, is the infallible Rule of Faith, from the vyhiche the Holy Scripture taketh her force. And indulgences, and Pardones (saith he) are not made knowen to vs by the Authoritie of the Scriptures, but thei are made knowen to vs by the Authoritie of the Romaine Church, and of the Bishoppes of Rome, vyhiche is greater, then the Scriptures. Pigghius also letteth not to saie, that without the licence of the Romaine Church, wee ought not to beleue the very Plaine Scriptures. Muche like as if any of those, that cannot speake pure, & cleane Latine, and yet can babble out quickly, & readily a litle somme suche Lawe Latine, as serueth the Courte, would needes holde, that al others ought also to speake after the same waie, that Mammetrectus, & Catholicon spake many yeeres agoe, and whiche themselves doo yet vse in pleadinge in Courte: for so maie it be vnderstood sufficiently, what is saide, and mennes desires maie be satisfied: and that it is a soudenesse, nowe in the later ende, to trouble the worlde with a newe kinde of speakinge, and to cal againe the olde finenesse, and eloquence that Cicero, & Cesar vsed in their daies in the Latine tongue. So much are these men beholden to the folie: and darkenesse of the former times. Many thinges, as one writeth, are had in estimation oftentimes, bicause they haue benne once dedicate to the Temples of the Heathen Goddes: Euen so we see at this daie, many thinges allowed, and highly set by of these menne, not bicause they iudge them so muche woorthie, but onely bicause they haue benne receiued into a custom, and after a sorte dedicate to the Temple of God.

M. Hardinge.

We haue neuer done with the Church of Rome. I cannot blame you. For so longe as that standeth,

death, without ye repente and turne, (a) ye shal neuer be taken but for sutch as ye be, Schismatikes, and Heretikes. But alas poore soules, what thinke ye to ouerthrowe that Church builded vpon the Rocke (b) Peter, against which hitherto neither Tyrantes, nor Heretikes sarre passinge you in learninge, and honestie of common life, coulde euer preuaile? Trowe yee to extinguishe that Faith of the Romaine Church, (c) which is the same that was the Faith of Peter, for which Christe praised, that it shoulde neuer faile? Ye labour in vaine. VVell maie Satan winne you: the Church which our Lorde praised for, by you shal he neuer winne. Geue ouer therefore your vaine and wicked attempts. Truste not in the patcher, that falsly ye allege out of Canonisles Gloses, Scholemenues, ryminge Poesies, Heretikes, and who so euer, be they neuer so bad. Somme ignorant persons maie ye deceiue, whose lianes deserue the same.

VVhat Syluester Prierias saith, I minde not here to discusse. (d) Neither where he saith, that you allege, haue you thought good to tel vs, leste, by perusinge the place, we should take you in a lie, as we haue almoste in al your other allegations. The like sinceritie you vse in alleginge Pighius. VVee binde our selues neither to the woordes of Syluester, nor of Pighius. If they erre, what is that to vs? Let them beare their owne burthen. If they tel truth, we beleue them for truthe sake. If otherwise, we leaue that parte for you to carpe. If Syluester Prierias saide, that for pointes of belese, the Doctrine of the Romaine Church is a squire to trie their truthe by: the same (e) beinge wel vnderstanded it is right true. Likewise if Pighius saie, that the Romaine Church sheweth vnto vs, which be the approued and vndoubted Scriptures, and which be not: this is so true, as your selfe (I suppose) wil yeelde thereunto. As for that the scripture receiued from the Church of Rome Authoritie, credite, and force: (f) if in your meaninge you exclude God, that is your lie, not Syluesters sentence. If relation be made to vs, that we ought not geue credite vnto it, (g) onles it had beene shewed to be holy scripture by the Romaine Church, which is the true Church of Christe: in this sense, be it Syluester, or who

els so euer saith it, it is a true sayinge, and agreeable to S. Augustine, who saide, *Ego Euangelio non crediderem, nisi me Catholica Ecclesia commoueret Authoritas*: I would not beleue the Gospel, except the Authoritie of the Catholike Church moued me. And for that you allege out of him, touching indulgences, truthe it is, the ful, and whole knowlege of them is not plainly opened vnto vs, by expresse and euident wordes of Scripture, no more then the mysterie of the Blessed Trinitie, baptizinge of infantes, and many other truthe: but rather by the doctrine of the Romaine Church.

VVhere you tel vs of Pighius, that he letteth not to saie, that without the licence of the Romaine Church (for in that worde you please your selfe wel) wee oughte not to beleue the very plaine scriptures: (h) wee wil proclaime you a lyer, vntil you shewe vs, where he spake so far beside reason, and learninge. The Holy Church doth not wil vs to staie from belese of the Scriptures, vntil wee haue licence: but by al waies and meanes inuitheth and stirreth vs to beleue the truthe in the Scriptures uttered.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The greatest weighte hercof hangeth vpon two of your Doctours, Syluester Prierias, and Albertus Pigghius. Whoes credite not withstandinge we would saue otherwise saue vpyght, yet here, as it seemeth, we are contente, for thame to geue them ouer. VVee binde our selues (we saie) neither to the woordes of Syluester, nor of Pigghius. If they erre, what is that to vs? This shorte, and blunte answer, notwithstandinge it seeme to like wel you, yet perhaps Prierias, and Pigghius it would not like. I see no greate cause to the contrarie, but either of them mighte as wel renounce poure Authoritie, and saie of you, VVee are not bounde, neither to M. Hardinges woordes, nor to his felowes. For that ye doubt the Truthe of our allegations, reade Syluester Prierias, Master of the Popes Palasce, in his Booke intituled, *Contra præsumptuosas Martini Lutheri Conclusiones, de potestate Papæ*. His wordes there emongest others be these, *Quicumque non inititur Doctrinæ Romanæ Ecclesiæ, ac Romani Pontificis, tanquam Regulæ Dei infallibili, à qua etiam Sacra Scriptura robur trahit, & Authoritatem, Hæreticus est: Who so euer leaneth not to the Doctrine of the Romaine Church, and of the Bishop of Rome, as vnto the infallible Rule of God, of which Doctrine the Holy Scripture taketh force, and Authoritie, he is an Heretique.*

Syluester Prierias, contra Lutherum.

Here, M. Hardinge, this Doctoure teacheth you, that the Authoritie, and creite of the Scriptures hangeth of the allowance of the Pope, as without which, the Scriptures of God, shoulde be no Scriptures.

Againe he saith, *Authoritas Romanæ Ecclesiæ, Romanique Pontificis maior est, &c. The Authoritie of the Romaine Church, and of the Bishop of Rome is greater, (then the Authoritie of Goddes worde).* If this suffice you not, M. Hardinge, I knowe not, what thinge maie suffice you.

As for that, is here alleged of Pigghius, it is the very sounde, and sense of the greatest parte of his common place, *De Ecclesia*. Of whoes iudgemente hererin,

- (a) So was Christe taken of the Phariseis.
- (b) The fundation of the Church of God, is not Peter, but Christ.
- (c) Vntruth. For generally it is contrarie to the Faith of Peter.
- (d) It is easily founde in his Booke, *Contra Lutherum*.
- (e) A commentarie beside the Texte.
- (f) A proper Glose.
- (g) Vanitie of Vanities: For the Scriptures were knowne, and beleueed, before there was any Church in Rome.
- (h) It is no hard matter to finde it. Reade the Answer.

The
Churchie
about
Goddess
Vvoorde.

Alber. Pigghius,
in locu Commun-
nik, De Eccle.

586

The Defense of the Apologie of the

M. Caluin writeth thus, Pigghius aie, Nullius Scripturæ Authoritate, quantumlibet Claræ, nostro quidem iudicio, & euidentis, aduersus Claram, consonantem Ortho-
doxorum Patrum Sententiam, & aduersus communem Ecclesiæ Definitionem, ali-
quid credere cuiquam licere: Pigghius saith, that noman mai lawfully beleue any thinge, by
the Authoritie of any Scripture, be the same, in oure Judgemente, neuer so plaine, and euident,
against the cleare, and agreeable iudgements of the Catholique Fathers, and against the Com-
mon determination of the Church. By which he meaneth onely the Church of
Rome. Therefore, M. Harding, it maie please you nowe a litle to spare your voice,
and to state your Proclamation.

But for as mutche as ye saie, so litle to esteeme these two Doctours, Pri-
erians, and Pigghius, bringe otherwise, not longe sithence, the chiefe Readers, and
Captaines of al poure bandes, ye maie therefore tolne others to them, to better
their credite, and to encrease the Companie. And, for as mutche as we speake of the
Church of Rome, let vs heare the Judgement of a Cardinal of the Church of Rome,
notwithstandinge otherwise alleged before.

Nicola Cusan.
ad Bohemos,
Epist. 2.

Cardinal Cusanus therefore hereof saith thus, Hæc est omnium, &c. This is the
iudgement of al them, that thinke rightly, that fünde the Authoritie, and vnderstandings of the
Scriptures in the allowance of the Church: and not contrariwise, laie the Fundation of the
Church in the Authoritie of the Scriptures. There be no commandementes of Christe, but
saith onely, as so be taken, and holden by the Church. Therefore the Scriptures folowe the
Church: but contrariwise the Church foloweth not the Scriptures. Likewise saith
Iohannes Maria Verractus, Humiliter confitemur, Ecclesiæ Authoritatem esse supra
Euangelium: Wee doe humbly confesse, that the Authoritie of the Church, is aboute the
Authoritie of the Gospel. Likewise Albertus Pigghius saith, Apostoli quædam scrip-
serunt: non vt Scripta illa præessent Fidei, & Religioni nostræ, sed potius, vt subes-
sent. Scripturæ sunt Muti Iudices: Scripturæ sunt veluti Cereus Nasus: The Apostles
haue written certayne thinges: not that their saide Writings should rule oure Faith, or Reli-
gion: but rather, that they should be vnder, and be ruled by our Faith. The Scriptures
are doumbe Iudges: The Scriptures are like a Nose of waxe.

Iohan. Maria
Verractus. Editio
tunc est, Ann. 1561.
Alber. Pigghius,
Hierar. li. 1 ca. 2.
Alber. Pigghius,
in controuersis,
De Eccle.

By thesse, and other like vncuerente, and godlesse speeches, they seeke to
trade the poore simple deccied people from the Holy Scriptures, and Voice of God,
to the Authoritie of thesse Church: by which Church, they vnderstande onely
the Pope, and his Cardinales of the Church of Rome.

Deuter. Cap. 17.
Hernani, de Po-
test. Pope, cap. 23

But ye saie, These be the Priests of the House of Levi: The Pope is the Iudge for the
time, in the place, that our Lorde hath chosen. Somme others of you saie, Papa est tota Ec-
clesia Virtualiter: The Pope is by Power, and Vertue, the whole Church. What so euer
thesse shal happen to saie, wee maie not swarue from their Iudgement, neither to the
Righte hande, nor to the Lease hande. Whereupon the Hebrewe Glose noteth thus,
Si dixerint tibi, quod Dextra sit Sinistra, aut quod Sinistra sit Dextra, talis Sententia
tenenda est: Although they tel thee, that the Right hande is thy Lease hande: or, that thy Lease
hande is thy Right hande, yet saith a Sentence muste be holden as good.

Nicol. Lyra in
Deuter. Cap. 17.

Augustin. contra
Epist. Fundam.
ca. 5.

S. Augustine, ye saie, holdeth harde of your side. He saith, Non crederem Evan-
gelio, nisi me Ecclesiæ Catholicæ Authoritas commoueret: I woulde not beleue the Gos-
pel, excepte the Authoritie of the Catholique Church moued mee. These selue poore
wordes, haue bene tossed of your parte, and wronge, and pressed to the uttermoste,
to pæide out that, was neuer in them. For hereby ye woulde saie proue, that the
Authoritie of the Church, whereby ye euermore vnderstande poure Church of
Rome, and none other, is aboute the Authoritie of Goddess V Voorde: that is to saie,
that the Creature is aboute the Creatour, that made Heauen, and Earthe.

Augu. in Psal. 57
Augu. de Vnitat.
Ecclesiæ. ca. 2.
Augusti. Contra
Cresconi. Graue
mar. Lib. 1. ca. 33.

But what if S. Augustine, as he saith, I beleue the Gospel because of the
Church, haue likewise saide, I beleue the Church because of the Gospel? Then, I
trowe, ye muste turne your tale, and saie, The Gospel is aboute the Church. His
wordes be plaine, Ex ore Veritatis, Ecclesiam agnosco participem Veritatis: By the
Mouth of God, that is the Trueth, I knowe the Church, that is partetaker of the Trueth.
Againe he saith, Nolo, Humanis Documentis, sed Diuinis Oraculis Ecclesiam San-

Quam

Etiam demonstrari: Ecclesiam quærere debemus in Verbis Christi, qui est Veritas, & optimè nouit Corpus suum: Ecclesiam sine vlla ambiguitate Sancta Scriptura demonstrat: In Scripturis Sanctis Ecclesia manifestè cognoscitur: Ecclesiam, sicut ipsum Caput, in Scripturis Sanctis Canonicis debemus agnoscere: *I would, the Church should be shewed, not by the Decrees of Menne, but by the Heauenly Oracles, or VVoordes of God: We muste seeke the Church in the VVoordes of Christ, which is the Truthe, and best knowe the his owne Body: The Holy Scripture sheweth vs the Church without doubtinge: In the Holy Scriptures the Church is plainly knowen: Wee muste knowe the Church by the Holy Canonical Scriptures, as wee knowe (Christe, that is) the Heade.*

Augustin. de Vv
nita Ecclē. ca. 16
August. eodem
loco.
Chrysostom. in
Matt. Hom. 49.

Likewise saith Chrysostome, Nullo modo cognoscitur, quæ sit Vera Ecclesia, nisi Tantummodò per Scripturas: *It is not any waies knowne, which is the True Church of Christe, but Onely by the Scriptures.*

And thus, so; as mutche as we knowe, bothe Christe by the Church, and the Church by Christ, the one geuinge euidence to the other, by this reckening, *W. Hardinge*, and by your shiftinge of turnes, we muste sometimes place Christe aboute the Church, and sometimes the Church aboute Christe.

Howe be it, S. Augustines minde was not, to commence an Action betwene Christ and his Church, in Comparison of their Dignities, or for trial, and keepinge of their boundes: or, to teach vs, that the Truthe of God taketh Authoritie of the Church: but onely, to shewe vs, that the Church is a Witnessse to Goddes Truthe. And certainly it hath greate weighte of perswasion, to moue the Conscience of any man, to see so many Kingedomes, & Countries to ioine together in the possession, & obedience of one Truthe. And I doubt not, but euen this daie, many thousandes are the sower leade, to humble them selues vnto the Gospel of Christ, so; that they see, the whole worlde, that is to saie, the whole Church of God, is contented so willingly, and so humbly to embrace the same. David, to testifie the Truthe, and certaintie of the Gospel, saith thus, *The sounde of the Apostles wente out into al the Earthe, and the Woordes of them into the endes of al the Worlde. This is the turninge of the right hande of God.*

Psalm. 18.
Roman. 10.
Psalm. 76.
Aug. contra Fau.
Lib. 32. ca. 19.

Wherefore S. Augustine saith vnto Faustus the Heretique, Cur non potius Euangelicæ Authoritati, tam Fundatæ, tam Stabilite, tanta gloria diffamatæ, atque ab Apostolorum temporibus, vsque ad nostra tempora, per Successiones certissimas commendatæ, te non subdis? *Why doest thou not rather submitte thee selfe vnto the Authoritie of the Gospel, beeing so grounded, so stablished, Preached, and published with so great glorie, commended, and deliuered vnto vs by moste certaine Successions from the time of the Apostles, until our time?*

Likewise in this same place by you alleged, S. Augustine saith, Ego non crederem Euangelio, nisi me Catholicæ Ecclesiæ commoueret Authoritas. Quibus ergo obtemperavi dicentibus, Credite Euangelio, Cur eis non obtemperem dicentibus, Non credere Manichæo? *I would not beleue the Gospel, onlesse the Authoritie of the Catholicke Church moued me. Seeinge therefore I haue obeyed them saicinge to mee, Beleue the Gospel, Why should I not also obeye them saicinge vnto mee, Beleue not Manichee?*

Augusti. contra
Epist. Fundam.
cap. 3.

Thus the consente of the Church beareth witnessse to the Gospel: without which witnessse not withstandinge, the Gospel neuerthelesse were the Gospel still. Wherefore Chrysostome saith, Si dixerint, in ipsis Veris Ecclesijs Christum apparuisse, nolite eis credere dicentibus ista de me: Quia non est digna Diuinitatis meæ notitia hæc: *If they shal tel you, that Christe hath appeared, yea euen in the very True Churches, yet beleue them not saicinge thus of mee: For this is no meete knowledge for my Godhead.*

Chrysostom. in
Matt. Hom. 49.
in Opere Imper.

To conclude, *W. Hardinge*, we wil saie to you, as S. Augustine sometime saide to the Donatian Heretiques, auancinge their onely Church in Aphrica, euen as you nowe auance onely your Church of Rome: Nos post vocem Pastoris nostri, per ora Prophetarum, per os proprium, per ora Euangelistarum nobis aperitissimè declaratam, voces vestras non admittimus, non credimus, non accipimus: *After the voice of our Sheepehearde, uttered moste plainly vnto vs by the mouthes of the Prophetes, by his owne mouth, and by the mouthes of the Euangelistes, if ye bringe vs youre owne voices, wee allowe them not, wee beleue them not, wee receiue them not.*

Augusti. De Vni-
tate Ecclesiæ,
ca. 11.

The Apo-

Our Church, saie they, cannot erre. Thei speake that (I thinke) as the Lacedæmonians longe sithence vsed to saie, that it was not possible to finde any Adulterer in al their Common wealthe: whereas in deede they were rather al Adulterers, and had no certaintie in their Marrriages, but had their wiues in common amongst them al: Or, as the Canonistes at this daie, for their bellies sake, vse to saie of the Pope, that, for so muche as he is Lorde of al Benefices, though he selle for monie, Bishoprikes, Monasteries, Priestthoode, Spiritual Promotions, & parte with nothing freely, yet bicause he counteth al his owne, he cannot committe Simonie, though he vvoulde neuer so faine. But, howe strongly, and agreeably to reason these thinges be spoken, we are not as yet hable to perceiue, excepte perchance these menne haue plucked of the winges from the Truthe, as the Romaines in olde time did pzoine, & pinion their Goddesse Victoria, after thei had once gotten her home, to the ende, that with the same winges she should neuer be hable to flee awaie from them againe.

M. Hardinge.

Towarde the ende of your Apologie, Syr Defender, who so euer you be, that peiced it together, you doo but trifle. Of like your stufte is spent. For here litle saie you, that you haue not saide already. VVherefore I craue Pardon of the Reader, if accordinge to the slenderesse of matters objected, my (a) confutation seeme also slender. Syr, you doo now but patche peeces together, which you haue gathered out of youre note Bookes, into the same inforced somme out of the Canonistes, somme out of the Scoolemen, and them not of the greatest estimation, most of al out of Humanitie Bookes, wherein you be pretely scene. And that seemeth to be your chiefe profession. As for Diuinitie, there appeareth no great knowledge in you. VVhat ye rehearse of the Lacedæmonians, it pertaineth to your owne companies, no lesse, then to them, I meane onely your Apostates, Monkes, Friers, Priests, and Nonnes.

You finde greate fauhte in the Canonistes that be at this daie, and name in your Margente *Summa Angelica*, the Authour whereof died many yeeres passe. If he, Theodoricus, what so euer he was, or any other Canoniste offend you, as for his bellies sake, speakinge of the Pope, that should set your teethe anedge: what pertaineth that to vs, who defende the Catholike Faith, not the saieinge of euery Canoniste? You were beste, seeinge you make so muche adoo with them, to Article their errors, and either write a railing Booke againste them, whiche ye (b) can easily doo, or sende one of your beste learned Superintendentes to Bononia, there in open schoole with disputations to confute them. And so doubtlesse ye shal either conuerter them, whiche were a woorthy acte: or proue your selues fooles, whiche were greate pitie.

But to answere your obiection, wee saie, first, that you allege *Summa Angelica* falsely. No thinge is founde soundinge to that yee burthen the Pope withal, in the place by you quoted, in *dict. Papa*. Nexte, whereas it is written in *Summa Angelica*, *In Curia Romana titulus de Simoniam non habet locum*: you Syr Defender, either not knowinge what solowed, or of malice abusinge the place, haue reported the same, as if it were precisely saide without any distinction. VVhereas in deede the selfe same Summe vseth this Distinction, saieinge, *Verum est in ijs qui sunt Simoniaca de iure positum solum: Sed non in ijs qua sunt Simoniaca de iure Diuino*. VVhereby he meaneth that the Pope is not vnder the rules of Simonie concerning (c) such lawes and paines, as him self, or his predecessours haue made in that behalfe. For they haue made many thinges belonginge thereto, as you maie wel knowe your selfe, who are so pretely scene in the Canon Lawe, as it maie appeare by the often and cunninge allegations whiche you bringe out of the Gloses. But concerning (d) that Simonie, whiche properly is so called, the Pope is no lesse subiecte thereto by the true iudgement of *Summa Angelica*, (e) whiche you haue shamefully belied, then any other man.

You ieste at Goddes blessed Truthe alwaies remaininge in his Holy Church, and prophanely resemble it to a birde prained and pinyoned, that it shie not awaie. As though the Church keppe truthe with such policie, as the olde Romaines are fained, to haue keppe their Goddesse Victorie. VVel Syr, wee tel you in sadnesse, wee are assured of the Truthe, that it is in the Church, and that it shal neuer departe from thence. And if ye cal this, cuttinge awaie of her winges, that it neuer shie from the Church: wee graunte they be cut in such sorte, as shee shal keepe the Church for euer, as her owne nest. And wil you knowe who telleth vs this? Euen God him self, saieinge in his Prophete Esaie to Christe of his Church: I wil make this couenant with them, saithe our Lorde: My

spirit

Summa Angelica, in dictione Pope. Theodoricus de Scismate.

Thearchus.

(a) This is good plaine dealinge. For in deede this Answere is very slender.

(b) VVith M. Hardinges good instructions.

(c) A woorthy distinction. Hereby the Pope maie selle Bishoprikes, & Benefices without Simonie.

(d) This kinde of Simonie standeth onely in sellinge of Orders, and Sacramentes, &c.

(e) VVtruthe, shamelesse, as shal appeare.

In d. Simoniam.

Ver. verum Audientia.

spirite whiche is in thee, and my wordes which I haue put in thy mouth, (f) shal not departe from thy mouth, and from the mouth of thy seede, and from the mouth of thy seedes seede, saith our Lorde, from this time forth for euermore.

Against this Truthe, what so euer yee bringe in reprove of Popes liues, whome notwithstandinge moste impudently yee belie, what vndiscrete sayings, or flateries so euer yee burthen the Canonistes with, al tourneth to nothinge. The Truthe remaineth vnshaken: your spirite of licinge scoffinge, and malice thereby is discypled.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, p^r safe, Syr Defender is pretely seene in Humanitie: For that (p^r safe) seemethe to be his chiefe profession. Clerly, M. Hardinge, We are wel pleased, to take sutch, and so mutche learninge, as you maie spare vs. We contende onely for Truthe, and not for Learninge. What so euer our Learninge be, if it maie please God, to vse it to his glorie, it shalbe sufficient, be it neuer so litle. Howe be it, had you not had somme good likinge in your owne Learninge, y^e would not vpon so simple occasions haue vpheld others.

Touginge that, sundrie of your Dottours haue saide, the Pope cannot commit Simonie, firste it shalbe necessaie in that behalfe, to consider the estate, and praisse of the Church of Rome. S. Bernarde, writinge vnto Pope Eugenius, saith, *Limina Apostolorum Ambitio iam plusterit, quam deuotio. Vocibus Ambitionis vestrum toto die resultat Palatium: Ambitio in Ecclesia per te regnare molitur: The Apostles Entries, or Gates in Rome, are nowe more worne with Ambition, then with Deuotion. Al the daie longe your Palaice ringeth with the sounde of Ambition. By thy meanes, Ambition seeketh to reigne in the Church of God.* Againe he saith, *Sacri gradus dati sunt in occasionem turpis Lucri: & questum assumant Pietatem: The Holy degrees, or Ecclesiastical rounnes are geuen ouer to occasion of filthy gaine: and the same gaine they compute Holinesse.* Ludouicus Viues saith, *Roma cum omnia prop^e vendantur, & emanant, nihil tamen agas sine Lege, ac Formula, atque etiam Sanctissimi iuris: At Rome, notwithstandinge al thinges be bought, and solde, yet maie yee doo nothinge there without Fourme, and Order, and that of moste Holy Religion.*

Your owne Glose saith, *Roma est Caput Auaritiae. Ideo omnia ibi venduntur: Rome is the Heade of Couetousnesse. Therefore al thinges there are boughte and solde.* Where as also Iohannes Andrex, your greate Canoniste, noteth this Verse, alludinge to the name of Rome:

Roma manus rodit: quos rodere non valet, odit.

Durandus saith, Simonie so reighneth in the Church of Rome, as though in deede it were no Sinne. To be shorte, these two Verses were commonly spreadde of Pope Alexander:

Vendit Alexander Clauis, Altaria, Christum,

Vendere iure potest: Emerat ipse prius.

Pope Alexander maketh sale of his Keies, of his Aultars, and of Christ him selfe. Wel maie he selle these thinges: for he him selfe paid wel for them.

Notwithstandinge, y^e doubt not, but al this, by a prett soare distinction, maie some be excused. For thus p^r safe, *Verum est in ijs, quae sunt Simoniacae de iure Positivo solum: Sed non in ijs, quae sunt Simoniacae de iure Diuino.* VVhereby (p^r safe) the Authour meaneth, that the Pope is not vnder the Rules of Simonie, concerninge sutch Lawes, and paines, as he him selfe, or his Predecessours haue made, and provided in that behalfe. And therefore, as your modeste manner is, p^r safe, wee haue falsely alleged, and shamefully belied *Summa Angelica.* But why do you not better open the seueral partes of your Distinction, M. Hardinge? Why do ye not better teache vs to vnderstande, what is Simonie by Lawe Positiue: and what is Simonie by the Lawe of God? And why do ye not declare eche parte by plaine Examples? Bringe you thus to keale awaie in the darke, we haue somme cause to doubt your dealinge.

Howe be it, to make the mater plaine, your owne Glose saith thus, *Simoniacae de sui natura, sunt, quae Nouo, aut Veteri Testamento prohibita sunt: vt, Emere, vel*

cannot commit Simonie.

(f) Ful discretely and wel applied: As if these wordes had benne meant of the Pope, and his Successours.

Bernardus de Consideratione, Lib. 3.

Bernardus in Conuersione Pauli. Sermo 1. De Caritate Dei. Li. 8. cap. 22. Viues.

In Sexto, De Electione, & lect. potest. Fundamenta. In Glossa.

Durandus, de modo celebrandi concil. Li. 20. Masculus in 100. hanc. Cap. 6.

Summa Angel. in Simonia.

Extra, De Officiis

Vende-

**The Pope
cannot
commit
Simonie.**

*cio Indicū De
legati, ex parte
N. in Glossa.*

*Anrenū Specu-
lū.*

*ff. De Offi. pra-
torū, l. Barba-
rius Colum. 2.
ff. Eod. Titulo,
Ead. l. Barroly.
Theodoricus, De
Schismate inter
Urban. & Cles-
ment. li. 1 ca. 32.
Felinus, de Offi-
cio Indicū dele-
gati, ex parte N.*

*A iustie, and a
reasonable cause
wherefore the
Pope maie selle
Bishoprikes,
Deanries, Ab-
bies &c.
Extra. De Simon-
ia. Ca. 1. Num-
ro 1 Fo. 13. Abb.
Archidiacon.
in Tract. de Ele-
u. super Et quia
canon. 11.
The Diuels
VVoorde
alleged vnder
the name of
S. Peter.*

590

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Vendere Sacramenta. Simoniaca de Iure Positiuo, sunt, quæ solum sunt Spiritualia ex Constitutione Ecclesiæ: vt sunt Tituli Beneficiorum Ecclesiasticorum, &c. *These things are Simoniacal of their owne Nature, that are forbidden in the Old, and New Testamente: as to buye, or selle Sacramentes. These things are Simoniacal by Law Positiue, whiche are Spiritual onely by the ordinance of the Church. Suche are the Titles of al Ecclesiastical Benefices, and Dignities: As be Bishoprikes, Deanries, Abbies, Archedeaconries, &c. Thus, &c. Hardsinge, if your Pope selle Sacramentes, whiche will yelde him but litle monie, he maie be charged with Simonie. But if he selle Bishoprikes, Deanries, Abbies, Archedeaconries, Prebendes, Personages, neuer so many, yet by the Gift of your pety Distinction, woman maie charge him. For al these things are Spiritual, onely by the Popes owne Positiue Lawe, that is to saie, by the ordinance of the Church. And thinke you not, so good a Distinction was wel worth the findinge out? One of your owne Companie, speakinge hereof, saith thus, O Petre, quantam animarum multitudinem cateruatim transmisit, & transmittit ad Infernum hæc Superstitialis, & Damnanda Distinctio? Multis est occasio, & viam aperit ad ruinam Damnationis æternæ: O Peter, Peter, howe many Soules hath this Superstitious, and Damnable Distinction sente by beapes, and yet doothe daierly sende into Hells? It is an occasion vnto many, and openeth the waie vnto the sal of. Euerlastinge Damnation.*

To make the matter plaine, Baldus saith, Simonia non cadit in Papam recipi- entem: *Though the Pope take monie, yet no Simonie can touche him. In like sorte saith he his selowe Bartolus, Papa non dicitur facere Simoniam, conferendo beneficia, & Dignitates, accepta Pecunia: The Pope is not saide, to committe Simonie, although he take Monie for the Benefices, and Dignities of the Church.*

Theodoricus saith, Papa non potest committere Simoniam. Sic tenent Iuri- stæ. Quia Simonia excusatur per Authoritatem eius: *The Pope cannot committe Si- monie. So holde the Canonistes. For Simonie by his Authoritie is excused.*

Felinus saith, Ista Glosa videtur dicere, quod Papa non committit Simoniam in recipiendo Pecuniam pro collatione Beneficiorum: ex quo non ligatur proprijs Con- stitutionibus. Tamen Moderni tenent indistincte, quod Papa non inuoluatur Cri- mine Simonie: Et ita ego teneo: Et sic est seruanda communis opinio. Ergo Papa po- test dictam prohibitionem Simonie firmatam in Vniuersali Ecclesia, limitare respec- tu Apostolicæ Sedis. Et si diceres, Requiritur in talibus apparens causa, dico, hic esse causam apparentem. Nam cessante tali redditu, qui maximus est, attenta hodierna Tyrannide, Sedes Apostolica contemneretur: *This Glose seemeth to saie, that the Pope committeth not Simonie, receiuinge Monie for the bestowinge of Benefices: for as muche as the Pope is not bounde to his owne Constitutions. Yet howe adaiers the Lawiers holde, without any such Distinction, (of Lawe Positiue, and Lawe of God) that the Pope cannot in any wise come within the danger of Simonie. And so I mee selfe doe holde: and so the common opi- nion muste be holden. Therefore, notwithstandinge the Lawe that forbiddeth Simonie, take place in the whole Vniuersal Church, yet in respecte of the Apostolique See of Rome, it maie be restrained. But thou wilt saie, In suche cases there ought to be somme apparente cause. I tel thee, that there is a cause apparente. For this reuenewe (of Simonie) whiche is very great, be- inge once cutte of, consideringe the Tyrannie, that nowe is, the Apostolique See would be de- spised. By this Authoritie it appeareth, The Pope is not hable to mainteine his E- state, and Countenance, nor to saue al things by right, without Simonie.*

Panormitane saith, Etsi Papa accipiat pecuniam pro collatione alicuius Præla- turæ, aut Beneficii, tamen Dominus Cardinalis ait, Non committitur Simonia: *Not- withstandinge the Pope take monie for the bestowinge of a Bishoprike, or of a Benefice, yet my Lorde Cardinal saith there is committed no Simonie. Archidiaconus Florentinus saith, Papa recipiendo pecuniam, non præsumitur animo vendendi recipere: Sed vt illa pec- unia ad vsu suum conuertatur: cūm Papa sit Dominus Rerum Temporalium, per illud dictum Petri, Dabo tibi Omnia Regna Mundi: The Pope receiuinge monie (for Bishoprikes, or Benefices) is not thought to take it by waie of sale: but onely, to turne the same monie to his owne use. For the Pope is Lorde of al worldly goodes, as it appeareth by the wordes of Peter (whiche wordes notwithstandinge Peter neuer spake, so they were spoken*

spoken by Sathan). Unto thee wil I geane al the Kingedomes of the Worlde.

Againe Felinus saith, Quod datur Papæ, datur Sacratio Petri: nec est proprium Papæ: Sed prodest danti, tanquam facienti opus piissimum: What so euer is geuen to the Pope (for Bishoprike, or Benefice, by waie of Simonie) it is geuen to S. Peters Treasure. Neither is it the Popes owne seueral goodes. But it is available to the geuer, as unto one, that doothe a moste Godly deede. Butche a special grace hathe the Pope. Of moste Diuelishe Vice, he is hable to make moste Godly Vertue. And for the better furtherance of the matter, S. Peter muste be made accessorie to the Simonie.

Hosliensis saith, Papa potest vendere Titulum Ecclesiasticum, vt Episcopatum, Abbatiam, &c. The Pope may selle any Ecclesiastical Title, or Dignitie, as a Bishoprike, or an Abbie, without danger of Simonie.

But, what speake we of the Pope? Your Cardinales them selues, by your honourable Constitutions, and Godly orders, are likewise privileged, to committe Simonie, safely, and freely, and without blame. Panormitane saith, Cardinales, pro Palafreno à nobili virarecepto, non præsumitur committere Simoniam: A Cardinal, for receiuinge a Palfraie of a Noble Man, (for a Benefice, or a Bishoprike) is not thought to committe Simonie.

Thus, whereas Christe dyauce Buiers, and Sellers out of the Church, you by your proper distinctions, haue receiued in Buiers, and Sellers, and thynke out Christ. S. Hierome saith, Per numularios significatur Beneficij Ecclesiastici venditores: qui Domum Dei faciunt Speluncam Latronum: By the Exchangers are signified the Sellers of Ecclesiastical Benefices: whiche make the House of God, a Denne of Thieves. In your owne Decrees it is witten thus, Tolerabilior est Macedonij Hæresis, qui asserit, Spiritum Sanctum esse Seruum Patris, & Filij. Nam isti faciunt Spiritum Sanctum, Seruum suum: The Heresie of Macedonius, that saide, the Holy Ghoste is Seruante, and slave to the Father, and to the Sonne, is more tolerable, then is the Heresie of these Simonistes. For these menne make the Holy Ghoste their owne Seruante.

Yet, ye saie, ye are wel assured, that the Truthe is in the Church of Rome, and shal neuer departe from thence, notwithstandinge any disorder, or faulte what so euer, there committed. And for proufe thereof, ye allege, as ye saie, the wordes of God him selfe in the Prophete Esaie. Al be it, in that whole Prophete, there is not one worde expressly mentioned of the Church of Rome. Butche a fantasie, as it appeareth, was sometimes in the Heretiques, called the Manichees. For thus they saide, A Principibus Gentis Tenebrarum Lumen, ne ab ijs aufugeret, tenebatur: The Princes of the Nation of Darkenesse helde faste the Light, leaste it shoulde flee from them. For euen so dothe the Pope, and his Cardinales holde the Truthe, as the Princes, or Powers of Darkenesse helde the Lighte. And what are the Popes & theire Cardinales, but onely the Powers, and Princes of Darkenesse. It was harde dealinge for you, to binde Christe in Recognisance, not to departe from the Pope: ye shoulde rather haue bounde the Pope, not to departe from Christe.

S. Hierome saith, Prophetæ Hierusalem non habent in ore Prophetiam: Et in Domino requiescant, & dicunt: Non venient super nos mala. Quorum causa Speculatorium Dei hostili aratro diuiditur: Et locus, quondam pacis ruinis plenus sit: & Templum Domini in vepres, spinasque conuertitur, & est Habitatulum bestiarum: The Prophetes of Hierusalem, haue neuer a worde of Prophecie in their mouthes. Yet they reaste them selues upon the Lorde, and saie: There shal no euil comme upon vs. For their sakes the Watche Tower of the Lorde is turned up with the enemies Ploughs: The place of peace is full of ruine: The Temple of the Lorde is turned into Breeces, and Thornes: and is become a stable of wilde beastes.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 2.

But what if Ieremie telle them, as is afore rehearsed, that these be Lies: what if the same Prophete saie in another place, that the self same men, who ought to be Keepers of the Vineyarde, haue brought

DDD

to nought

cannot
commit
Simonie.
Felinus de Offi.
iudicij delega.
Ex parte. N.
The Pope
changeth
Vice into
Vertue.

Extra. de Simon
ia. ca. 1. Host.

Extra. De Simon
ia. Esq. que
stiones.

Hieronymus.

1. Quæst. 1. Eos
qui.

Esaie. 59.

August. de Na
tura Boni. ca. 46

Hieronym. in
Michæam. ca. 4.

Hierem. 12.

to nought, and destroyed the Lordes Vineyarde: Howe if, Christe saie, that the same persons, who chiefly ought to haue a care ouer the Temple, haue made the Lordes Temple a denne of Theeues!

Mathe. 21

M. Hardinge.

*Learnedly
proued.

Here come you in with your whatisses, whiche commonly you vse, when other Rhetorike saileth you. VVee tel you plainly without any isses, that * Ieremie meante of you, and sutch as you be, and calleth your whole newe fangled Doctrine, *Verbum mendacij*, the woordes of lieinge, earnestly geeuinge warninge, that menne geue no credite to them. His other rebuke pertaineth also to you. VVhen were euer sutch Theeues in the Church of God, as yee are?

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Diuision. 1.

If it be so, that the Church of Rome cannot erre, it muste nedes folowe, that the good lucke thereof is farre greater, then al theise mennes policie. For sutch is theire Life, theire Doctrine, and theire Diligence, that for al them, the Church maie not onely erre, but also utterly be spoiled, and perishe. No doubt, if that Church maie erre, whiche hath departed from Goddes VVoordes, from Christes Commaundementes, from the Apostles Ordinaunces, from the Primitiue Churches Examples, from the Old Fathers, and Councelles Orders, and from theire owne Decrees, and whiche wil be bounde within the compasse of none, neither Olde, or Newe, nor theire owne, nor of others, nor Mannes Lawe, nor Goddes Lawe, then it is out of al question, that the Romishe Church hath not onely had power to erre, but also that it hath shamefully, and moste wickedly erred in be- ry Drede.

M. Hardinge.

The Church
of Rome is the
whole Catho-
lique Church.

A man woulde haue thought, you woulde haue brought some substantial Argument, where- by to prooue, that the Church erreth. Neither make you excuse in that, you speake of the Romaine Church. In this accompte wee make no difference betwene the Romaine Church, and the Church. But al your proues depende vpon your isses, whiche beinge denied, you haue nomore to saie. No doubt (saie you) if that Church maie erre, whiche hath departed from Goddes VVoorde, from Christes Commaundementes, &c. then it hath erred in very dede. But Syr, what if a man denie your supposal, and staie you in your firste if? VVhat haue you to prooue it? Al that you haue saide hitherto, we knowe, and of litle force it is. But no doubt, saie you, if that Church maie erre, whiche hath departed from Goddes VVoorde, &c. Yea forsoothe, if al isses were true, then if Heauen sel, wee shoulde catche Larkes. And if a Bridge were made betwene Douer and Calys, wee might goe to Bo- leine a foote, as VVilliam Somer once tolde Kinge Henry, if it be true, that I haue hearde saie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here is nothinge els, but onely the Canonization of poore VVilliam Somer. While your Bokes, *M. Hardinge*, shal liue, al his sage sawes shal neuer die.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Diuision. 2.

But saie they, ye haue bene of our Felovvship, but novv ye are become forefakers of your profession, and haue departed from vs. It is trewe: wee haue departed from them, and, for so dooinge, we bothe geue thanks to Almighty God, and greatly reioice in our owne behalfe. But yet for al this, from the Primitiue Church, from the Apostles, and from Christe wee haue not departed. True it is: wee were brought vp with theise menne in darkenesse, and in the lacke of the knowledge of God, as Moses was brought vp in the Lear- ninge, and in the bosome of the Egyptians. VVee haue benne of
your

your Companie,saith the Tertullian, I confesse it, and no marueile at al: For,saith he,menne be made,and not borne Christians.

M. Hardinge.

VVe saie,(as ye reporte) that yee haue benne once of our Fellowship, but nowe ye are become Apostates and forefakers of your Profession, and haue wickedly departed from vs. By the name of vs, wee meane not some one particulare companie, but Christes Catholike Church. VVe saie of you, as S. Iohn saide of the like, whome he calleth Antichristes: *Ex nobis exierunt, sed non erant ex nobis. Nam si fuissent ex nobis,permanissent utiq, nobiscum.*: They are departed from out of vs, but they were not of vs. For if they had benne of vs, they had doubtlesse remained stil with vs.

But what is your answere hereunto? It is desperate, foolishhe, and lieinge. First, ye confesse the thinge, and not onely that, but also thanke God for it, and greatly reioice in it. And therein yee followe the woorse sorte of Sinners, of whome Salomon saith, *Latanur cum male fecerint, & ex-*

ultant in rebus pessimis: They be glad when they haue donne euil, and reioyce in the woorse things that are. Yee haue diuided the Church of God, ye haue rente our Lordes nette, yee haue cut his whole wouen cote, whiche the wicked Souldiers, that Crucified him, coude not finde in theire hartes to doo. Dionysius Alexandrinus, writinge to Nouatus the greate Heretique, who did as yee haue donne, saith thus vnto him, as Eusebius reciteth, whereby ye maie esteeme the greatnesse of your grie: Thou shouldest haue suffered what so euer it were, that the Church of God mighte not be diuided. And martyrdome suffered for that the Church shoulde not be diuided, is no lesse glorious, then that whiche is suffered for not dooinge Idolatrie. Yea in mine opinion, it is greater. For there one is martyred for his owne onely Soule, and here for the whole Church. Thus it foloweth, that by your Apostasie, and by your diuidinge of Goddes Church, ye haue donne more wickedly, (a) then if ye committed Idolatrie.

But yet for al this (saie they) from the Primitiue Church, from the Apostles, and from Christ we haue not departed. VVhat can be saide more foolishly? VVhy Syrs, (b) is not the Primitiue Church and this of our time one Church? Doothe it not holde together by continual Succession til the worldes ende? VVhat, hath Christ more Churches then one? Is the Primitiue Church quite done, and nowe muste there beginne a newe? Is not Christ, his Apostles, and al true beleeuers, in what time or place so euer they liue, his one mystical Body, whereof he is the Heade, al other the members? As Christ is one, the Holy Ghost one, one Faith, one Baptisme, one Vocation, one God: so is the Church one, which beganne at the firste man, and shal endure to the laste: whereof the liuinge parte on earth before the comminge of Christ into Fleashe, was sometime brought to smal number: after his comminge, after that the Apostles had Preached and spreade the Gospel abroad, the number neither was euer, nor shalbe other then greate (though sometime accompted smal in respect of the vbeleeuers) vntil the cominge againe of the Sonne of Man, what time he shal skantly finde Faith, specially that which worketh by Charitie, in the Earth. Against which time busely ye make preparation.

For some parte of excuse of your forefakinge the Church, ye saie, ye were brought vp with vs in darkenesse, and in the lacke of knowledge of God, as Moses was among the Egyptians: For that ye bringe out of Tertullian, helpeth you nothinge at al. I praie you Sirs, what darkenesse meane yee: Speake ye of Sinne? VVe excuse not our selues. But when tooke ye out the beame of your owne eies, that ye espie the mote of our eie? It were wel ye proued your selues honest men, before ye required vs to be Angels. If ye meane the darkenesse of ignorance, as peradventure ye doo, and the lack of knowledge of God: Now that ye are departed from vs, and haue set chaire againste chaire, (I see no cause why to saie, Altar against Altar) what more and better knowledge of God haue ye, then we haue, and euer haue had: Doo we not knowe the Articles of our belefe? Tel vs, which doo we lacke? This is life Euerlastinge (saith our Sauour in the Gospel) to knowe thee God alone, and whome thou hast sente Iesus Christe. So farre as God lightneth our vnderstandinge with the Supernatural lighte of his grace, thus mutch we knowe. For whiche Peter the Sonne of Iona was accompted blessed of Christ, the same wee sinneful Papistes throughe Goddes grace, also knowe and confesse. The Commaundementes of God we knowe: what is good, what is euil, which be sinnes, which be Vertues, what is to be folowed, what is to be shunned, so farre as is behooful, we be not ignorant.

VVhat is the darkenesse then, for which ye would needes be gonne from vs? And what is that woorthy knowledge ye haue wonne by youre departure? Tel vs, that wee maie bie the Bookes, and goe to scoole with you. Truly without ye haue some hidden and secrete knowledge, which ye haue not vttered to the worlde hitherto, as we beleue ye haue not, beinge sutch boasters as ye are: wee see litle cause, ye shoulde twite vs of ignorance, and bragge of your owne knowledge. This wee see ful wel, they that runne awaie from vs to your side, be they Monkes or Friers, Tinkers, or Tapsters, Coblers, or Bodgers, white or blacke, by and by in your Synagoges they be great Rabbins. And yee, the superintendentes admitte them to be your Ministers and Preachers of the woorde, and tel them they can doo wel, and they beleue no lesse them selues. But the people take them for sutch, as they knewe them before they tooke sutch degree, and many times for theire good behauiour, they foregette their Holy Ministerie, and Christen them by their common name, whiche was not geueu them at the Fonte. Yet al this proueth not either oure ignorance, or youre marueilous and rare knowledge. Neither shal ye euer be able to prouue to any man of learninge and iudgemente, that in any liberal sciences, or right knowledge of the Scriptures, ye are comparable to the learned menne of

Tertullian, in
Apologético.

By this whole
discourse,
Christe, and his
Apostles maie
be condemned.

(a) A good ex-
cuse of Idola-
trie.
(b) Yes verily:
Euen as the
house of God
and the
Caue of
Theenes
were al One
Temple: Mar-
tha. 23.

Here M.
Hardinge
saith out his
heapes of
Learninge.

the Catholike Church. Though aboute fifty yeres passe and vpwarde for a space, the studies of eloquence and of tongues were intermitted, yet then and before those times was there no smal number of menne, who had profounde knowledge of al good Artes, and specially of the Holy Letters. I reporte me to Thomas VValden, who very learnedly confuted the Heresies of your greate Graunde-father Iohn VVicklef, to Alcuinus in the greate Charles time, to Beda before that, al three Englishe men, to Anselme and Lanckfranke Bishoppes of Englande, though strangers borne, to S. Thomas of Aquine, S. Bernarde, Rupertus, and hundreds moe, whiche here is no place to reckon. VVere not they by confession of al, greate Clerkes? doo not the beste learned of oure time, in obscure matters, fetch light of them? To saie the Truthe, in comparison of theire cleare lighte, youre il fauouringe snoffes maie scanty seeme to yeelde a darke smoke. Many talke of youre painted sheath, who, were they learned in dede, woulde soone perceiue neither that to be very freash and gaie: for, as for your sword, what rusty and beggerly metal it is, the wiser parte of the worlde seeth. * Therefore ye shal doo wel, Syrs, to speake no more of the darkenesse and ignorance of the Catholike Church, and to boaste lesse of your greate cunninge, and knowledge.

* A very Learned, and a worthy Conclusion. But woe be to them, that calle Light Darknesse, and Darknesse Light: Esaie, 5.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Your head was very sore, M. Hardinge, when it could so easily yeelde vs such idle talke. If ye thinke it in no case to be lawful, to departe from them, what so euer they be, that beare the shewe, and countenance of the Church, then must ye nedes condemne the Apostles, and Prophetes, and most specially Christe him selfe. But let vs consider, from what companie we are departed. So maie the causes of oure departure the better appeare. For the Pope him selfe saith not nay, but, vpon iuste considerations, any Church may leaue the Church of Rome. His owne wordes be thesse, Nulli agere licet, sine discretione Iustitiz, contra Disciplinam Romanæ Ecclesiæ: Without discretion of Justice, it is lawful for no man, to doo any thinge contrarie to the order of the Church of Rome. By this the Popes own Decree, with discretion of Justice, it is lawful to doe contrarie to the Orders of the Church of Rome.

Dist. 12. Non Decet, in Rubric.

Bernardus in Synodo Remensi,

In eadem Synod.

Paralipom. Vr. 1. p. 396.

But, for a shote, and general biewe of that whole Church in this behalfe, S. Bernarde saith thus, Parum est nostris Pastoribus, quod non seruant nos, nisi & periant. Non parant suis, qui non parant sibi, Perimentes pariter, & Percuntes: It is not sufficient for our Bishoppes, that they saue vs not, onlesse they also doo destroye vs: Sparinge not them selues, they spare not their people. They doo bothe perishe them selues, and kille others. Againe he saith, Non custodiunt hoc tempore Sponsam, sed perdunt: Non custodiunt Gregem Domini, sed maculant, & deuorant: They keepe not this daie the Spouse of God, that is, his Church, but they destroye her: They keepe not the Flocke, but they kille, and deuoure. He that writteth Paralipomena Vrspergensis, in the storie of the Council of Constance, saith thus, Spiritum extinguabant: Prophetias aspernabantur: Christum in Membris suis persequabantur: Eratque plane Persecutrix Ecclesia: They oppressed the Sprite of God: they desired the Voices of the Prophetes: they persecuted Christe in his members. And in dede the Church was geuen to worke persecution.

Aeneas Sylui. in Epist. ad Casparum Schlickium Paralipom. Vrsper. in Clem. 5. In Concil. Trident. Antonius Marinus.

Aeneas Syluius, that afterwarde was Pope Pius. 2. saith, Refrignit Charitas, & Fides omnis interiit: Charitie is waxen colde, and al Faith is dead.

Nicol. Cusanus Excusa Lib. 9.

In the life of Pope Clemens 5. it is written thus, Hic fuit Publicus Fornicator. Ab eo tempore defecit omnis Disciplina, & Religio in Cardinalibus, & tres Radices vitiorum, Superbia, Auaritia, Luxuria, valdisimè dominantur: The Pope was an open Whooremaister. From that time forward, al kinde of Discipline, and Religion decayed in the Cardinales: and three rootes of vices, Pride, Auarice, and Lecherie, mightily bare the sway.

Antonius Marinarius, at your late Chapter at Tridente, saith thus of the Church of Rome, Si Evangelica Fides nostræ vitæ Regula esset, re ipsa Christiani essemus. Nunc Titulo, & Ceremonijs vocamur Christiani: If the Faith of the Gospel were a Rule vnto our life, then shoulde wee be Christians in very dede. As nowe, by Titles, and Ceremonies, wee beare onely the name of Christians. So like yourpase saith Nicolaus Cusanus a Cardinal of Rome, Si rectè respicimus, omnis Religio Christiana, paucis demptis, degenerauit in apparentiam: If wee marke it wel, al our Christian Religion, a fewe excepted, is growen out of kinde vnto a shewe.

Concil. Trident. Episc. Bitontinus.

At the saide Chapter, the Bishop of Bitonto saith thus, as I haue reported before: Quibus turpitudinum Monstris, qua sordium Colluue, qua Peste non sunt fedati, non corrupti in Ecclesia Sancta, & populus, & Sacerdos? A Sanctuario Dei incipi-

capite: Si vllus iam pudor, si vlla pudicitia, si vlla superest bene viuendi vel spes, vel ratio: With what Monsters of Filthinesse, with what Villanie, with what Pestilence be they not corrupted, and defiled in the Holy Church (of Rome) as wel the Priest, as the People? Begynne euen with the Sanctuarie of God: if there be any Shame, if there be any regarde of Honesty, if there be any Hope, or waie to liue wel.

An other saith, O nos miseros, qui Christiani dicimur. Gentes agimus sub nomine Christi: Miserable are wee, that are called Christians. Wee liue as Heathens, vnder the name of Christe.

An other saith, O lugenda Roma, quæ nostris Maioribus clara Patrum Lumina protulisti: nostris temporibus, monstrosas tenebras, futuro sæculo famosas, offudisti: O miserable Rome, which in the time of our Elders haſte brought forth the lightes of woorthy Fathers, but in our daies, haſte brought forth the monstrous Darknesse, shameful, and ſclauderous to the time to come. Petrarcha calleth Rome a Schoole of Erroure, and a Temple of Heresie.

Brigitta, whose wordes, and Prophecies yee haue in reuerence, saith in her Reuelations, Christus sumet Benedictionem à Clero Romano, & dabit eam alteri Genti facienti voluntatem Domini: Christe shal take his Blessinge from the Clergie of Rome: and shal geue the same vnto a people, that shal doo his wil.

By these fewe, wee maye coniecture the whole state of the Church of Rome: which onely Church, aboue al others, M. Hardinge telleth vs, is so founded in the Truthe, that it neuer can haue power to be deceiued.

We haue departed therefore, from Shepheardes, that spoiled the Flocke: From Bishoppes, that destroyed the Church: that oppressed the Sprite of God: that defied the voice of the Prophetes: that persecuted Christe in his members: that bothe perished them selues, and killed others: that walowed in monsters of filthinesse: that liued, as Heathens vnder the name of Christe: That were voide of Charitie: voide of Faith: voide of Discipline: voide of Religion: that were Christians onely in Titles, and Ceremonies: From whome Christe had withdrawn his Blessinge: To be thoyte, we haue departed from the Temple of Heresie, and from the Schoole of Erroure.

This, M. Hardinge, is the bewtie, and face of your Romaine Clergie: this is that blessed Companie, that wee haue forsaken.

Pet, saie you, It had benne better, to haue died, then to haue broken the Vnitie of such a Church. For your friends tel you, that without the Obedience of the Bishop of Rome, there is no Hope of Saluation.

Notwithstandinge, your owne Clemens, whome yee commonly calle the Apostles Fellow, saith thus, Schisma efficit, non qui ab Impiis secessionem facit, sed qui à Pijs: He maketh no Schisme, or Division in the Church, that departeth from the wicked: but he, that departeth from the Godly. And therefore he addeth further, Laici, cum ijs qui contraria sententia Dei dogmata defendunt, nolite societatem habere, neque participes illorum Impietatis fieri. Ait enim Dominus, Recedite de medio horum hominum, ne cum eis pereatis: Yee Laic menne, haue yee no Fellowship with them, nor be you Partakers of their Wickednesse, that defende Doctrines contrarie to the Doctrine of God. For our Lorde saith, Depart from the middes of this people, leaſte ye perishe together with them.

Pope Pius. 2. saith, Resistendum est quibuscunque in faciem, siue Paulus, siue Petrus sit, qui ad Veritatem non ambulat Euangelij: Wee muste withſtande any man vnto the face, be it Peter, be it Paule, if he walke not after the Truthe of the Gospell.

S. Augustine saith, Ne Catholicis quidem Episcopis consentiendum est, sicubi forte falluntur, & contra Canonicas Scripturas aliquid sentiant: Wee maye not agree, no not with the Catholique Bishoppes, if they happen to be deceiued, and thinke any thinge contrarie to the Canonical Scriptures.

Therefore Cardinal Cusanus aduise, by the Council at S. Gregorie, whome he allegeth, is, Alia haue growen in the Church, through ouermutche obedience towards the Prelates, that wee fauoure them not, but withſtande them: Vt, si qua vitia ex nimia obedientia exorta sint, non foueantur, sed eis resistatur: In which wordes it is to be noted, that the People maye geue too mutche obedience, and reuerence towards their Prelates.

Francisc. Zephyrus in Apolog. titum Testull.

Arnolphus, auc Bernardus in Concil. Remen. Petrar. in Rithm. m. i. i. i. i. i.

Schola di Errori: è Tempio de Erosia.

Hermannus Riddus.

De Maioribus, Obedientia, vnam sanctam.

subesse Romano Pontifici, est de necessitate salutis.

Clemens. Apostolicar. Constitut.

Lib. 6. cap. 4. Andreas Syluius ad Rectos.

Vniuersitate Colonien. Paralipomena Vespergeni. Pag. 435.

August. de Vnit. Eccl. ca. 10.

Nicol. Cusan. De Concordan. Li. 1. Cap. 35.

Departing
from the
Churche
of Rome.

596

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Chrysostom. in
Matth. Hom. 49.
Anselmus. in 2.
Thessalon. 2.

Ambrosius. in Epist.
ad Theodosium. &
Valent. 1.
Aug. contra Faust.
Li. 13. ca. 3.

Ambrosius. in Epist.
in Apocalyp. Li.
2. ca. 2.

Cyprian. De
Lapsis. serm. 5.

Chrysostom. ad po-
pulum Antio-
chen. Hom. 17.

Chrysostom. in
Matth. Hom. 49.

S. Chrysostome saith, Ex ipsis Veris Ecclesiis frequenter exeunt seductores. Propterea nec ipsis omnino credendum est, nisi ea dicant, vel faciant, quæ convenien-
tia sint Scripturis: *Euen out of the very True Churches oftentimes there come deceiuers. Therefore we maie not in any wise beleue, no not them (not withstanding thei be the True Churches of God) onlesse they speake, or doo sutch things, as be agreeable to the Scriptures.*

Anselmus, a late VVriter, expounding these wordes of S. Paule, Tunc Reuelabitur ille iniquus, saith thus, Romanus Pontifex, qui tenet nunc Ecclesias, teneat illas, donec de medio fiat: id est, donec ab ipsa Romana Ecclesia, quæ est Medium, & Cor Ecclesiarum, fiat iniquitas, ob quam ab ea multæ discedant Ecclesiæ: *Let the Bishop of Rome, that now holdeth the Churches, holde them stil, until it be taken awaie from the middes: that is to saie, until Wickednesse be wrought of the Church of Rome, that is the Middleste, and harte of Churches: for whiche wickednesse, many Churches shal departe from her.*

S. Ambrose saith, Nullus pudor est, ad meliora transire: *It is no shame, to goe to the better.* S. Augustine saith vnto Faustus the Heretique, Ille me quondam de gremio tuo Error excusserat. Expertus fugi, quod experiri non debui: *That Erroure shooke me once out of thy bosome. Being taught by proufe, I haue fledde that thinge, that I should not haue proued.*

Ambrosius Ansbertus, one of your olone Doctours saith, Per Hieremiam Prophetam dicitur, Exite de medio eius populus meus, & saluet vnusquisq; animam suam ab ira furoris Domini. Nequaquam enim in hac vita de medio Ciuitatis reproba, id est, de medio malorum, quos Babylon illa significat, electorum aliquis valet exire, nisi detestando, quod ab ipsis agitur, & agendo, quod ab ipsis detestatur: *The Prophete Hieremie saith, O my people, goe forth from the middes of them, and saue every man his owne soule from the rage of our Lordes furie. For none of the Eleite of God can in this life goe soorth from the middes of that Wicked Cittie, that is to saie from the middes of the euil, whiche that Babylon signifieth, but by detesting, that they doo: and by dooinge, that they desie. And, whereas you woulde so faine daffe our eyes, and touer poure deformities with the name of the Churche, S. Cyprian saith, Non est Pax, sed Bellum: Nec Ecclesiæ iungitur, qui ab Euangelio separatur: It is no Peace, but Warre: neither is he iyned to the Churche, that is diuided from the Gospel.*

S. Chrysostome saith, Mihi Ciuitas, non habens pios Cives, Omni Villa vi-
lior est, & quacunq; Spelunca ignobilior: *A Cittie, that hathe not Godly Citizens, is vnto mee viler, then any Village: and more lothesome, then any Cane.* And this he saith of the Cittie of Antioche, whiche, by erpysse wordes, he more esteemeth, then the Cit-
tie of Rome.

Whe graunte, we haue departed from you, vpon sutch occasion, and in sutch
sorte, as Moses sometime departed from out of Egypte: or, as S. Augustine depar-
ted from the Manichees. Howe be it, in very verbe, you haue rather departed from
out of vs. Chrysostome saith, Sic de ista Noua Hierusalem, id est, de Ecclesia, qui Spi-
rituales Christiani fuerunt, relicta Corporali Ecclesia, quam perfidi occupauerant vio-
lencia, exierunt ab illis. Magis autem illi exierunt à nobis, sicut Iohannes exponit. Non
enim illi de Ecclesia exire videtur, qui corporaliter exit, sed qui Spiritualiter Veritatis
Ecclesiasticæ Fundamenta reliquit. Nos enim ab illis exiuimus Corpore: illi à nobis a-
nimo. Nos enim ab illis exiuimus Loco: Illi à nobis Fide. Nos apud illos reliquimus
Fundamenta parietum: Illi apud nos reliquerunt Fundamenta Scripturarum. Nos ab
illis egressi sumus secundum aspectum Hominum: Illi autem à nobis secundum Iudi-
cium Dei. Relicta est autem deserta, ex quo de illa Corporali Ecclesia Spiritualis exi-
uit: Id est, de populo suo, qui videbatur Christianus, & non erat, Populus iste exiuit
qui non videbatur, & erat. Magis autem, secundum quod diximus, illi à nobis exie-
runt, quam nos ab illis: *Euen so, touching this Newe Hiernsalem, whiche is the Churche, they, that were Spiritual Christian menne, leauinge the Bodily Churche, whiche the wicked by violence had innaded, departed out from them: Or, as S. Iohn expoundeth it, they rather de-
parted out from vs. For he seemeth nor in deede to departe from the Churche, that Bodily de-
parteth: but he, that Spiritually leaueth the Foundations of the Ecclesiastical Trunke. Wee
haue departed from them, in Body: they haue departed from vs, in Minde. Wee from them,*

by

by Place: they from vs, by Faith. Wee have taste with them the Foundations of the Wall: They have taste with vs the Foundations of the Scriptures. Wee are departed forth from them, in the sight of Man: They are departed from us in the Judgement of God. But now, after that the Spiritual Church is gone forth, the Bodily Church is lease forsaken: That is to say, from that people, that seemed to be a Christian people, and was not, this people is gone forth, that seemed not outwardly, but was so in deede. Notwithstandinge, as wee have said before, they have rather departed from vs, then wee from them.

Wee sale, your Church of Rome, that now is, and the Primitive Church, is al One Church. Euen so the Moone both in the ful, and in the wane, is al One Moone: Euen so Hierusalem, as wel vnder David, as vnder Manasses, was al One Citie. The Holy place, whether the Maiestie of God, or the Abomination of Desolation stande in it, is al one place. The Primitive Church, sale you, and the Church of Rome, that now is, is al one Church. Therefore wee sale, the Church of Rome, that now is, in Trathe, and Religion, ought to agree with the Primitive Church.

Whether the Church maie be brought to a few in number, or no, wee had occasion somewhat to saie before. The Anciente father Irenaeus saith, Quemadmodum ibi in plurimis eorum, qui peccauerunt, non bene sensit Deus; Sic & hic multi sunt vocati: pauci vero electi. As in the Olde Testamente, in many of them, that offended, God was not pleased: Euen so now in the Newe Testamente, many are called, and few are Chosen. In consideration whereof, S. Hierome crieth out in an Agonie within himselfe, Tanta erit Sanctorum paucitas: So smal shalbe the number of holy menne. One of your owne Doctors saith, as it is alleged once before, Licet in hoc bello Daemonum cadant Religiosi Principes, & Milites, & Praelati Ecclesiastici, & Subditi, semper tamen manent aliqui, in quibus seruatur Veritas Fidei, & Iustificatio bonae Conscientiae. Et, si non multum viri Fideles remanerent in mundo, tamen in illis saluaretur Ecclesia, quae est Unitas Fidelium: Notwithstandinge in this warre of Diuells, bothe the Godly Princes, and Soldiery, and Ecclesiastical Prelates, and Subditi be ouerthrowne, yet euermore there remaine some, in whome the Trueth of Faith, and the Righteousnesse of good Conscience is preserved. And, notwithstandinge there were but twoo Faithful menne remaininge in the worlde, yet euen in them, the Church of God, whiche is the Unitie of the Faithful, shoulde be saued.

But, for that wee sale, wee were brought vp, amonge you in Darkenesse, and Ignorance. We enter out of season into a needlesse discourse of comparison of Learninge. In the Liberal Sciences (ye saie) we are not comparable to the Learned men of your side. It was not our meaninge, M. Hardinge, to tal the Bright beames of your Liberal Learninge into question. It appeareth ye woulde faine haue it blased, and magnified to the uttermost, and no waie to be disgraced. We meante onely the knowledge of God, and the open profession of his Holy Word: In comparison of whiche knowledge, al other knowledge, what so euer, is more darkenesse.

Woe be it, touchinge any kinde of the Liberal, and Learned Sciences, there was no greate cause, why ye shoulde, either so highlye rowse your selfe in your owne opinion, as so greatlye disdaine others. We maie remember, that your Prouincial Constitutions beginne with these wordes, Ignorantia Sacerdotum.

It were no greate matter, to charge the Chiefe Doctors of your side with some wante of Learninge. Ludouicus Vines saith, Vt quidem his superioribus saeculis minus tritum fuit studentium manibus, ita purius ad nos peruenit: For the space of certaine hundred yeeres past, the lasse any Booke came into your Learned students handes, the purer, and better it came to vs. Meaninge thereby, that euery thinge was the worse, for your Learned handeling. Of Thomas, Scotus, Hugo, & others, of whom ye saie to make so greate account, your owne frende Catharinus saith, Scholastici mulea inuoluti Commiscentur: These Schole Doctors imagine many matters vnlearnedly. Erasmus saith, Portenta, quae nunc passim legimus in Commentariis Recentium Interpretum, tam impudentia, & insulla sunt, vt videantur Suius ea scripsisse, non Hominiibus: The monstrous folies, that we commonly reade in the Commentaries of the late Interpreters,

Ddd iij

preters,

Irenaeus, Lib. 4. cap. 46.

Hieronymus in Esai. Lib. 8. cap. 24. Fortassinum Filii dei, Lib. 5.

De Summa Trinitate, Lin Wood.

Ludouici, viues de Casis corrupt. Artium, Lib. 5.

Catharinus aduersus Dominum cum a Soto. Erasmus, in Scholijs in Hieronymum, ad Marcellam,

*Erasmus ad Ar-
chiepisc. Tolosa.
Extra. de Elect.
et Electi potest.
signifi. in Gloss.
Manipul. Curat.
Pag. xxi.
Inter Decreta.
Belici pp. Conc.
Tomo 1. Pag. 168
In Donatione
Constantini.
Herm. Roddus.
Hieron. in Reg.
Monacho. Ne ad
Risum provo-
centur Angelici
Spiritus.*

*Hierony. in Pro-
myth. Li. 2. Ca. 19*

Actus. 8.

1. Corin. 13.

3. E. d. 4.

1. Cor. 13.

Matth. 23.

Hieron. 14.

Isa. 41.

preters, (whereby he meaneth the very croppe, & the worthiest of al your Scholasticall Learned Doctours) are so far without shame, as so pennishe, as if they had benne written for Swine, and not for menne. One of your Doctours saith, Apostolus, dicitur ab Apos, quod est Argumentum, vel preeminencia, & Stolon, quod est Missio: quasi preeminenter missus. An other saith, Apocrisarij, dicuntur Nuntij Domini Papæ. Nam crisis dicitur Secretum: & Apos dicitur Nuntius. An other saith, Cathedra, est nomen Græcum, & componitur à Cathos, quod est Fides, & Edra, quod est Sponda. An other saith, Eleemosynia, dicitur ab Eleis, quod est, Misereri, & Mois quod est Aqua, Peter Crab in his late Scholies upon the Councelles, saith thus, Mulieres euvuodæter, id est, Cohabitanes Sacculos: and so by his highe Learninge, like a Clerke, he tourneth VVeimenne into Sackes. Likewise againe he saith, Phrygium factum est ex Pennis Pavonum. Of your Liberal Learned Clergie one saith thus, Nec verba Canonis intelligunt, nec, quæ sint verba Consecrationis sciunt: They understande not the wordes of their Canon: neither knowe they, whiche be the wordes of Consecration. And therefore he, that forged the Rule of Monkes, under the name of S. Hierome, chargeth them in any wise to pronounce every worde distinctly, and warily, lest by their foolish utterance they shoulde make the Angels to falle a laughinge.

These fewe mai serve you for a taste. Hereby, M. Hardinge, it maie appeare, your Clergie hath no greate cause, to make such triumphe of their Learninge. How be it, we upbraide you not herewith: nor was this the cause of our departure. We holde for the Faith, & Learninge, and Church, and Religion, by inheritance. Christe hath once praied for Peter: Therefore your Faith, and Learninge can neuer faile. Yet not wit standinge, your late Bookes, freight with so many unciulle, and vaine speeches (for of your often Vntruthes I will saie nothinge) savour moze of Choler, and Romake, then of Learninge. S. Hierome saith, Doctrina viri per patientiam noscitur. Quia tanto quisque minus ostenditur doctus, quanto conuincitur minus patiens: A mans Learninge is known by his patience. For the lesse patiente a manne sheweth him selfe to be, the lesse he sheweth to be his Learninge. Therefore, M. Hardinge, your impatiente demeanoure, and unkindely beates, maie calle your Learninge in to question.

Woulde God, we woulde humble your knowledge, and make it obediens to the knowledge of God. Otherwise, that Peter saide unto Simon Magus, of his monie, maie likewise be saide unto you, of your knowledge: Thy knowledge be with thee to thy destruction. Our Learninge, is the Crosse of Christe: of other Learninge we make no vauntes. God is called the God of Truthe, and not of Learninge. S. Paule saith, Al kinde of Learninge shalbe abolished, and consumed to nothinge. God make vs al Learned to the Kingdome of Heauen.

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Division. 1.

But, wherefore, I prae you, haue they themselves, the Citizens, & dwellers of Rome, removed, and come downe from those Seven Hilles, wherupon Rome sometime stood, to dwell rather in the plaine, called the fiede of Mars? They wil saie peradventure, because the conduites of water, wherewithout menne cannot commodiously liue, haue now failed, & are dried vp in those Hilles, wel then, lette them geue vs like leaue in seekinge the VVater of Eternal Life, that they geue themselves in seekinge the VVater of the vvel. For that water verily failed amongst them. The Elders of the Ievves, saith Ieremie, sente their lide ones to the VVateringes: and they, findinge no VVater, being in miserable case, and vtterly losse for thirst, brought home againe their vessels emptie. The needy and poore folke, saith Esaie, sought aboute for VVater: but no vvhere founde they any: their tongue

tongue vvas euen vvithered for thirste. Euen so these menne haue bzen in peeces al the pipes, and conduites: they haue stopped vp al the springes, & choked vp the fountaine of Liuinge Water with dirte, and myre. And, as Caligula, many peeces paste, locked vp faste al the garnardes, and storehouses of corne in Rome, and thereby brought a general dearth and famine amongst the people, euen so these menne, by damminge vp al the Fountaines of Goddes VVoorde, haue brought the people into a pitifull thirste. They haue broughte into the worlde, as saith the Prophete Amos, a Hunger, and a Thirste: not the Hunger of Breade, nor the Thirste of VVater, but of hearing the VVoorde of God. With greate distresse wente they scatteringe aboute, seekinge somme sparke of heauenly light, to refresh theire consciences withal: but that lighte was already thozoughly quenched out, so that they coulde finde none. This was a rueful state: This was a lamentable forme of Goddes Church. It was a miserie, to liue therein, without the Gospel, without Light, and without al Comfozte.

M. Hardinge.

VVell, and wittely reasoned forsoothe. No no Sirs, if it were that holesome VVater of Eternal life whiche yee thirste after, * yee woulde neuer haue departed from the highe hil, the Catholike Church, and come downe into the valles, where yee finde the durty puddles of fleshely pleasures, where the Deuil Behemoth, as Iob saith, *Dormit in locis humentibus*, sleapeth in moiste places. Al were not serued for hunger and thirste of that water of Gods VVoorde. Therefore yee speake bothe flanderously and ignorantly for diuines, where yee saie, that wee had broken in peeces al the pipes and conduites, that wee had stopped vp al the springes, and choked, and dammed vp al the Fountaines of Liuinge VVater with durte and myre.

Yet vnwares or ignorantly, they cal that faithles and pagane state, a lamentable forme of Gods Church, whereas they shoulde haue accompted it no Church at al. For where is no woorde of God, no light, no Gospel at al, howe can there be any Church? VVithout these, any multitude is no more a Church, then without Christe, a man is a Christian, then a deade man is a man. And thus with malicious flanderinge, not with learned reasons: with their owne affirmations, not with apte allegations, haue they gone aboute to proue, that these many hundred yeeres the Church hath erred. But thanks be to God, al this winde shaketh no corne. VVhen al these hasty blastes be blowne ouer, the Church of God shal stande stil vmoued, vpon the rocke, Christe builded it on, and appeare glorious in her stedfastnes and truthe, maugre the gainsaicinge of al Heretikes, and shal appeare to them terrible, as a stronge armie set in battaile raye.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, *M. Hardinge*, ye beginne out of season to plaie with your Allegories, and Mystical Fantasies. Your Catholique Church of Rome, you saie, is the Mounte: Worldly pleasure is the Vale. By whiche Comparison, we muste beleue, that the Pope, and his Cardinales, sittinge on highe vpon the Mounte, passe their time there onely in fastinge, and Prayer, and in al manner pauertrie, and penurie, and streitnesse of Life, and haue vterly abandoned al worldly pleasures. Notwithstandinge somme haue saide, In Cardinalibus Superbia, Auaritia, Luxuria validissime dominantur: *In the Cardinales of Rome Pride, Auarice, and Lecherie are in their greatest Courrage.* Howe be it, touchinge as wel this, as other your like follies, concerninge the Church, I wil not saie, Ye haue your wonte: but I muste needes saie, Ye do but trifle.

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Division. 1.

Wherefore, though our Departinge were a trouble to them, yet ought they to consider withal, howe iuste cause we had of our Departure.

M. Hardinge.

In deede our charitie is suche, as wee confesse it to be a grieve vnto vs, to see you plaie the parte of rebellious children, to vse presumption for submission, contempt for obedience, spite for loue. Yet such that yee are desperate and incorrigible, as by your departinge from vs the Church felte some anguishes

Amos. 8.

* Vntruthe. For wee are not departed fro the Catholique Church.

Paralipomen. Vrspergen, in Clement. 5.

departing
from the
Churche
of Rome.

600

The Defense of the Apologie of the

anguishe and trouble, so nowe that ye are gone, it is relued, as the body is eased, when after a purgation it hath auoided euil humours. Nowe saie your Beste.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We are not gonne from the Churche of God, *M. Hardinge*: We are gonne onely from you, that haue so vnrerrently abused the Churche. But yee feelee good ease (ye saie) and are wel relued by our departure, as, to vse your homely comparisons, a sicke body is relued by a purgation. God of his mercie graunt, that ye maie likewise be purged of al the reste of our bryethen in other Countreies. So shal ye saie moze ease, and be better relued.

Hierome, ad Fabiolam, De. 42. Mansionibus, Mansione. 1.

S. Hierome saithe, Hebræi dicunt, quod ea nocte, qua egressus est Israel ex Aegypto, omnia in Aegypto Tempia destructa sunt: siue terræ motu, siue ictu fulminum. Spiritualiter autem dicimus, quod, egredientibus nobis ex Aegypto, errorum Idola corruant, & omnis Peruersarum Doctrinarum cultura quatiatur: *The Rabinis, or Hebrewe Doctours saie, that the same nighte, that Israel departed out of Egypte, all the Idolatrous Temples in Egypte were destroyed: either by Earthquakes, or by Lightenings. But hereof wee learn, in a Spiritual sense, that, when wee departe out of Egypte (that is to saie, from the companie of Idolaters) the Idolles of erreure falle to the grounde, and al the bountie of false Doctrine is shaken downe. Butche reliefe, M. Hardinge, we truste, ye shal finde by our departure.*

Beda in Apocal. Lib. 3. Cap. 18.

Beda, expoundinge these wordes of the Apocalyps, Comme foorth from her, my people, and be not partetakers of her sinnes, saithe thus: Inducit discessionem, quæ est ruina Babylonis: cum enim Loth discesserit à Sodomis, Sodomæ funditus tolleretur: S. Iohn speaketh of the departure, whiche is the ruine, and fall of Babylon. For, when Loth shal departe out of Sodome, then shal Sodome utterly be overthrowen.

Beda in Apocal. Lib. 3. Cap. 19.

Againe he saithe, Post hæc audiri vocem, Alleluia: Laus, & Gloria, & Virtus Deo nostro. Hæc nunc ex parte dicit Ecclesia. Tunc autem perfecte dicet, cum discessio facta fuerit: After this I heard a voice, Alleluia: Praise, and Glorie, and Power be to our God. This singe the Churche in parte singeth already. But then shal see in deede and perfectly singe it, when departure shalbe made (from Antichriste, or Babylon).

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 1.

For if they saie, It is in no wise lawful for one, to leaue the fellowship, wherein he hath benne broughte vp, they maie aswel in our names, and vpon our heades, likewise condemne the Prophetes, the Apostles, and Christe him selfe. For why complaine they not also of this, that Loth wente quite his waie out of Sodome: Abraham out of Chaldee, the Israelites out of Egypte, Christe from the Iewes, and Paule from the Phariseis? For excepte it be possible, there maie be a lawful cause of Departinge. wee see no reason, why Loth, Abraham, the Israelites, Christe, and Paule maie not be accused of Sectes, and Seditions, aswel as others.

M. Hardinge.

Yet bringe ye nothinge to the purpose. Your prouises be so weake, and hange so euil together, that wee maie wel tel you (whiche Irenæus obiected to Heretikes) that yee make a rope of sande. VVee saie not, it is in no wise lawful for one to leaue the fellowship, wherein he hath benne broughte vp. But contrarywise (a) if the fellowship be naught and wicked, euery one is bounde to eschewe it. Departe from Babylon my people, and be not ye partakers of her sinnes, saithe the heavenly voice to S. Iohn. (b) Therefore the examples, ye bringe, helpe nothinge your cause.

(a) Thus mitch confessed is sufficiente.

(b) A suddaine Conclusion.

(c) A vaine folie For a good mā maie folowe Christ without presumptuous Comparison.

Loth wente out of Sodome, Abraham of Chaldea, the Israelites of Egypte, Paule from the Phariseis, by Gods special warninge. VVhere yee saie, Christe wente from the Iewes, onlesse yee referre it to his steppinge aside from them for a while: yee shoulde rather haue saide, the Iewes wente from Christe. But whereto pertaineth this? Though yee were so malicious, as to compare the Catholike Churche to Sodome, to Chaldea, to Egypte, to the Iewes and Phariseis: yet, I weene, yee are not so proude, as (c) to compare your selues to Loth, to Abraham, to Gods peculiar people, to Paule, to Christe him selfe. These departinges wee allowe, and God required them: yours we blame, and God detesteth.

The

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We compare not our selues, *B. Hardinge*, neither with Loth, nor with Abraham, nor with Paule: leaste of al with Christe him selfe. But we humbly submitte our selues bothe in life, and in Doctrine, to be guided by their Examples. And thus, I truste, we maie lawfully do, without iuste note of presumption. *S. Chrysostome* saith, *Data est tibi potestas diuinitus imitandi Christum, vt possis illi similis fieri. Noli expauescere hoc audiens. Timendum enim tibi potius est, si similis illi fieri negligas: Thou haste power geuen thee from God to folowe Christe, that thou maiste be like vnto him. Be thou not afraide to heare this thinge. Thou haste more cause to feare, if thou refuse to be like vnto him.* Likewise saith the Anciente Father *Origen*, *Si quem imitari volumus, propositus est nobis Christus ad imitandum; If wee desire to folowe any man, Christe is set before vs, that wee shoulde folowe him.*

Chrys. in Mar. the, Homil. 79.

Origen, in Ezechiel, Homil. 7.

But if it be so Prowde a parte, as you saie, in Religion, and Life to folowe Christe, what is he then, that claimeth to him selfe Christes Authoritie, and calleth him selfe euen by the name of Christe? We knowe, who is wel contented, to heare him selfe thus saluted: *Touchinge Primacie*, thou arte Abel: *touchinge Gouvernemente*, thou arte Noe: *touchinge the Patriarkeship*, thou arte Abraham: *touchinge Order*, thou arte Melchisedech: *touchinge Dignitie*, thou arte Aaron: *touchinge Authoritie*, thou arte Moses: *touchinge Iudgemente*, thou arte Samuel: *touchinge Power*, thou arte Peter: *touchinge Anointinge*, thou arte Christe. These wordes, I trowe, *B. Hardinge*, maie somewhat seme to sauoure of Pride. Your Pope is wel contented, to take the name, not onely of Peter, but also of Christe.

Bernard, De Consideratis, Lib. 2.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 2.

And, if these menne wil needes condemne vs for Heretiques, bicause we doo not al thinges at their Commaundemente, whom (in Goddes Name) or what kinde of menne ought they them selues to be taken for, whiche despise the Commaundement of Christe, and of the Apostles?

M. Hardinge.

Our frailtie concerninge life, wee accuse, and lamente, and commende our selues to Gods infinite mercie. Touchinge belefe and necessarie doctrine of faith, rayle ye at vs neuer so muche, we neither despise the Commaundementes of Christe, nor the traditions of the Apostles.

*Vntruthes notorious, and manifeste vnto the worlde.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 3.

If wee be Schismatiques, bicause wee haue leaste them, by what name then shal they be called them selues, whiche haue foresaken the Greekes, from vvhom thei firste receiued their Faith, foresaken the Primitiue Church, foresaken Christe him selfe, and the Apostles, euen as if Children shoulde forsake their parentes?

M. Hardinge.

VVho so euer departe from the Catholike Church, they be Schismatikes: yee haue departed from the Catholike Church, of (a) these nine hundred yeres: ergo ye be Schismatikes. The firste proposition ye wil not denie, The seconde your selues confesse: the conclusion then muste needes be true. If we saie the same, blame vs not. Neither saie we that onely, but also that yee are Heretikes. VVhereby the measure of your iniquitie is increased. The same crime yee woulde impute vnto vs, if ye wiste howe. If ye haue no more to laie to our charge, but that wee haue forsaken the Greekes, ye shal not be offended with the worlde, if it geue vs the title, name, and estimation of Catholikes, as heretofore. For (remember your selues) we haue not forsaken the Greekes, but the Greekes in somme pointes haue forsaken vs. By the name of vs, alwaies I vnderstande the Catholike Church, euen the Holy Romaine Church, whose faith wee professe, and with whom wee communicate.

(a) Here M. Hardinge freely yeldeth vs the firste sixe hundred, and three score yeres, that is to saie, the whole time of the Apostles, and Holy Fathers of the Church.

(b) Reade the Answer.

(c) Vntruth, As shal soone appeare.

(d) Certainly we receiued not our Faith firste fro the Church of Rome.

(b) And how standeth it with your learninge, that we receiued the faith firste from the Greekes? For where ye saie, we haue forsaken the Primitiue Church, yea Christe him selfe, and the Apostles, ye haue tolde vs this so oft, that now we take them to be but wordes of course, and a common blasphemie of your railing spirit. The Romaine Church receiued the faith from Ierusalem (c) and not from Greece, as the reste of the worlde did, accordinge to the Prophecie, *De Sion exibit lex, &c.* As for the lande of Britaine our native Countrey, if the faith were firste brought hither by Ioseph of Arimathea, and his selowes, as by olde tradition wee are tolde: (d) then was the Church here firste planted by faithfull Iewes, and not by Greekes. This beinge true, wee maruel what yee meane, to charge

**The Faith
brought
to Rome
oute of
Gracia.**

602

The Defense of the Apologie of the

charge vs with forsakinge the Greekes, specially where ye saie, we firste receiued the faith from them. VVhiche is no truer, then that wee receiued our English language from them.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

It were no shame for you, *Mr. Harding*, to confesse, that the Church of Rome firste receiued her Faith from the Churches of Gracia. Neither are they so utterly voide of Learninge, that haue saide the same. Rather I maruelle, what Learninge can leade you so vnlearnedly to saie the contrarie. *S. Augustine* saith, Terra Græcorum, vnde vbiq; destinata est Fides: *The Lande of Gracia, from whence the Faith into al places was sente abroad.* Againe he saith, Radix Orientalium Ecclesiarum, vnde Euangelium in Aphricam venit: *The Roote of the East Churches, from whence the Gospel came into Aphrica.* *S. Chrysostome* saith vnto the people of Antioche, Christianorum nomen velut ex quodam Fonte, hinc incipiens, omnem mundum inundauit: *The name of Christians beginnynge firste from this Citty of Antioche, as from a Springe, hath flowed ouer the whole worlde.* The Bishoppes of the East wrote thus vnto *Iulius* the Bishop of Rome: Ad Ecclesiam Romanam ab Oriente Prædicatores Dogmatis adueniunt: *The Preachers of Christian Doctrins came from the East to the Church of Rome.* Likewise *S. Basile* saith, The Gospel of the Kingedome springinge up firste in the Church of Gracia, was from thence published abroad into al the worlde. *Eusebius* saith, Lucis virtus, & Sacre Religionis Lex, beneficio Dei, quasi è sinu Orientis profecta, cunctum simul Orbem Sacro Iubare illustrauit: *The power of the Lighte, and the Lawe of Holy Religion, by Goddes benefite, springinge out, as it were from the bosome of the East, hath shined ouer the whole worlde together with a blessed beame.* The Emperoure *Iustinian* saith, Constantinopolis, Religionis, & Fidei Mater perpetua: *Constantinople is the Everlastynge Mother of Faith, and Religion.* And likewise againe, Sacrosancta Constantinopolitanz Crustatis Ecclesia, Mater Pietatis nostræ, & Christianorum Orthodoxæ Religionis omnium: *The moste Holy Church of the Citty of Constantinople, the Mother of our Holynesse, and the Mother of al Christians of the Catholique Faith.*

In like sorte, the Church of Constantinople, even vntil this date, intituleth it selfe: *ἡ Κωνσταντινουπόλις ἑκκλησία, ἡ μητήρ πάντων τῶν ὀρθοδόξων, καὶ καθολικῶν.* *The Church of Constantinople, the Mother, and Maistresse of al that be Catholique.* To be shorte, if ye wil not beleue any of these, yet at leaste ye maie beleue your owne felowes. The Bishop of Bitonto in your late Chapter at Tridende, vttered these wordes, as it maie wel appeare, with lusty courage: Eia igitur Gracia Mater Nostra, cui id totum debet, quod habet Latina Ecclesia: *O therefore oure Mother Gracia: vnto whom the Latine Church, or the Church of Rome, is beholden for al, that euer shes hath.*

These thinges, *Mr. Harding*, beinge true, woe maruelle, what should moue you to denie, that ye first receiued the Faith from the Church of Gracia. Touchinge the mater it selfe, it is written thus in your owne Councelles, Si Græci per quandam Scissuram, diuiduntur à Latinis, ita Latini à Græcis. Et ideo videtur, quòd, Si Græci debent dici Schismatici propter huiusmodi Diuisionem, eadem ratione, & Latini: præterea Græci magis seruant Antiquas Consuetudines Apostolorum, & Discipulorum Christi, in Barbis, &c. If the Greekes, by a certayne Diuision, be sundred from the Latines, euen so be the Latines sundred from the Greekes. And therefore it seemeth, if the Greekes in respecte of this Diuision ought to be called Schismatiques, that then the Latines also ought to be called in like case. Furthermore, the Greekes doo more duely keepe the Olde Customs of the Apostles, and of Christis Disciples, touching Beards, &c. then doo the Latines.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Diuision. 1.

For though those Greekes, who this date professe Religion, and the name of Christe, haue many thinges corrupted amongst them, yet holde they stil a greate number of those thinges, whiche they receiued from the Apostles. They haue neither Priuate Masses, nor mangled Sacramentes, nor Purgatories, nor Pardones. And as for the

Titles

*Augu. Epist. 172.
Augu. Epist. 170.
Chrysost. ad Popul. Antiochen.
Homil. 4.*

*Trispart. Histor. Lib. 4. Cap. 16.
Socrus. Li. 3. ca. 8*

ἡ καὶ ἐκ τῆς ἑκκλησίας αὐτῆς ὁ τῆς ἀρχαίας τῆς ἀρχαίας

Basile. in Epist. ad Epist. per Italia & Galliam.

Euseb. De Vita. Const. Orati. 2. Cod. de sacrosan. Ecclesijs. L. 1.

benus, & scientes.

Cod. in Eod. titu. L. Decernimus.

Epist. Eccle. Constantinop. Ad Eccle. Vragen. Concil. Trident.

Oratio Episcopii Bitontini.

In opere Trispart. li. 2. ca. 1. In. 2. To. Concil.

titles of Highe Bishoppes, and those glorious names, they esteeme them so, as whosoever he were, that woulde take vpon him the same, and would be called, either Vniuersal Bishop, or the Heade of the Vniuersal Church, they make no doubt, to cal lutch a one, bothe a passing proud man, and a man, that woorkethe despite againste al the other Bishops his Brethren, and a plaine Heretique.

M. Hardinge.

As ye haue oftentimes belied vs, (a) so now ye belie the poore Greekes. So little are ye able to saie somewhat, and therein to saie truth. For they haue Masse commonly without companie to communicate with the Priest, whiche ye cal Priuate Masse: so (b) it is al Greece ouer, so is it in Asia, in Syria, in Assyria, in Armenia, and where so euer the Religion of Christe is professed: As amonge the Greekes, in Venis, I my self and diuerse of our countrie menne haue seene it commonly practized. Likewise prae they al for the deade, and thinke them to be relieved by the praers, oblations, almose, and deedes of charitie, done for them by the living. Which minde, and opinion implieth that faith, (c) which the Church holdeth of Purgatorie.

(a) Vntruth. As it shall plainly appeare.

(b) Vntruth, As large, as man's selfe.

(c) Vntruth for the Grecians neuer receiued these fantasies of Purgatorie. O, so merily this man plaieth with his fanisie.

But though (saie ye) those Greekes haue many thinges corrupted, yet holde they stil a greate number of those thinges, whiche they receiued of the Apostles. And wilt thou know, Reader, what they be? Forsothe they haue neither Priuate Masses, nor mingled Sacramentes, nor Purgatories, nor Pardons. Is not the witte of this Defender to bee commended, that maketh a man to holde that whiche he hath not? Those Greekes holde stil, saith he. What holde they? Mary they haue not this and that. This is a newe kinde of holding, for a man to holde, that he hath not. If they holde stil, then haue they stil. But they haue not, saith he then how holde they? A man maie by the rules of this newe Logique, thus reason. The Turkes, Saracenes, Iewes, Infidels, Idolaters, yea, the shepe of Cotterholde also, if yee wil, haue neither priuate Masses, nor Communion vnder one kinde, nor Purgatories, nor Pardons. Ergo, they hold a number of thinges, which they receiued from the Apostles. The antecedent is true. Who so euer denieth the consequente, muste compe this Defenders Logique very simple. What if one should make this argumente, This Defender hath neither good Logique, nor very fine Rhetorique, nor profound Philosophie, nor the right knowledge of Diuinitie, Ergo, he holdeth many Heresies? Though bothe the Antecedent, and Consequente be true, yet is the argument naught. For by like reason one might conclude, an honest vlearned Catholike man to be an Heretique, whiche were false and iniurious. Suche is the Logique, suche are the topikes of this newe negative, and ablatiue diuinitie, for so may we worthely cal it. Negative, in respect of their blasphemous tongues, denieing sundrie weightie pointes of our Faith, ablatiue, in respect of their wicked hands, casting away, throwinge downe, and takinge away many good thinges, pertaininge to the maintenance of Christen Religion, and Goddes honour. Put them from their Negatiues, and from their Ablatiues, then in what case shal they stande?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

I am ashamed, the worlde should be combered with so childlike folies. This Defenders witte (ye saie) is to be commended. He maketh a man to holde, that he neuer hadde. And what holde they? saie you. Mary, they haue not this, and that. This is a newe kinde of holdinge, for a man to holde, that hee hath not. If they holde stil, then haue they stil. But they haue not, then howe holde they? Now surely, M. Hardinge, I trowe, we shal haue, and holde a mery man. So pretie spoote ye canne make youre selfe with Hauing, and Holdinge. It were great pittie, ye should ener be otherwise occupied. Annibal of Carthage, when he hadde hearde Phormio the Oratoure talke pleasantly a longe while together, beinge afterwarde demanded, what he thought of his Cloquence, made aunswere in this homely sort, Multos te vidisse deliros tenes: sed, qui magis, quam Phormio, deliraret, vidisse neminem.

Cicero. De Oratore. 2.

But, somewhat to yelde vnto your pleasure, why maie not a man holde, that he neuer Hadde, as wel as Lose, that he neuer Had? In the Learned Gloses vpon your Decrees, ye maie finde this note emongest others, Nota, quod aliquis dicitur perdere, quod nunquam habuit: Marke wel this: A man maie be saide to Lose, that he neuer Hadde. And yet, ye saie commonly in the Scholes, Priuatio semper praesupponit habitum: The Losing of a thinge alwayes presupposeth the Hauing of the same. Now, to answere you in plainer wise, why maie not a man as wel holde nothinge, as you maie talke so much and yet saide nothinge? Galene saide sommetime to one, that spake then in lutch sub- stantiall manner, as you speake nowe, Accipe nihil: & tene fideliter: Take nothinge, and keepe it safely, and holde it faste.

Dist. 17.

Concil. in

Gloss.

Galenus.

But to leaue youre folies, and saely toies, M. Hardinge, with youre Turkes, and

Ecc.

Saracenes,

Saracens, and Sheepe of Cotesholde, we saye not, The Grekes Holde still, that they neuer Had: But we say, They Holde still, that they euer Had. Your Priuate Maties, and your Dimi Communion they Holde not: for they Had them neuer. The Holy & VVhole Communion in Both kindes, they Holde still: and sithence the Apostels time they haue had them euer.

They pray also for the deade: and therein (ye say) is implied your Fayth of Purgatorie. I wil not here touche the simplicitie of your Rhetorique, or Logique, M. Harding: But enely with you, to haue better regarde to your Diuinitie. For oughte that I can see, Prayer for the Deade, and your fantasie of Purgatorie, were neuer so straitely coupled together, but that they might well and easily go asunder. The Grecians as they Pray for the Deade, so do they Praie for the Apostels, for the Patriarkes, for the Prophetes, and for the Blessed Virgin our Ladie: as you may some perceiue by S. Basilius and by S. Chrysostoms Liturgie. Yet, I thinke, ye would not haue your Reader beleue, as an Article of your Faith, that the Apostels of Christe, the Patriarkes, the Prophetes, and the Blessed Virgin Christes Mother are still in Purgatorie. What so euer other opinion the Grecians haue of the Dead; certaine it is, they could neuer yet be brought to beleue your Purgatorie.

Liturgia

Basili.

Liturgia

Chrysostomi.

Polydor. De
Inuentoribus
lib. 3. cap. 1.

Roffensis, one of your greatest doctors, as I haue sayd before, sayth thus: Purgatorium Græcis vsque ad hunc diem non est creditum: The Grecians vntil this daye be- lieue not Purgatorie. Thus M. Harding, I knowe not, whether by your Logique, or by your Diuinitie, (for both are maruellous) ye would saue soze your poze Grekes, to Holde that thing, that they neuer Had.

Another proper pastime ye make your self with your Negatiues, and Ablatiues. And wherfoze, it were hard to tel, sauing that, I see, ye were wel disposed, & wel it became you, to be mery. The Ancient Learned fathers, hauing to deale with impudent Heretiques, that in defense of their Errours, auouched the Iudgement of al the Olde Bishops, and Doctors that had bene before them, and the generall consente of the Primitive and whole vniuersal Church, and that with as good regard of Truth, and as saythfully, as you do now, the better to dyscouer the shamelesse boldnesse, and nakednesse of their Doctrines, were oftentimes likewise forced to vse the Negatiue, and so to vaine the same Heretiques as we do you, to proue their Affirmatiues: which thing to do it was neuer possible. The Auncient father Irenæus thus stayed himselfe, as we do, by the Negatiue: Hoc neque Prophetæ prædicauerunt, neque Doctores docuit, neque Apostoli tradiderunt: This thing neyther did the Prophets publishe, nor our Loyde teache, nor the Apostles deliuer. By a like Negatiue Chrysostome saythe, Hanc arborem non Paulus plantauit, non Apollo rigauit, non Deus auxit: This tree (of Error) neyther Paule planted, nor Apollo watred, nor God encreased. In like sort Leo the 9. sayth, Quid opus est in cor admittere, quod Lex non docuit, quod Prophetia non cecinit, quod Euangelij Veritas non prædicauit, quod Apostolica doctrina non tradidit? What needeth it to beleue that thing, that neyther the Lawe hath taught, nor the Prophets haue spoken, nor the Gospel hath preached, nor the Apostles haue deliuered? And agayn, Leo, epist. 97. Quo modo noua inducitur, quæ nunquam nostri sensere Maiores? How are these new doctines brought in, that our Fathers neuer knewe?

Irenæus,
Lib. 1. Cap. 1

Chrysost. De
Incompre-
hens. Dei na-
tura, hom. 3.

Leo, epist. 93

Cap. 12.

Leo, epist. 97

Cap. 3.

August.
Epist. 165

Gregorius.
Lib. 4. epi. 32

S. Augustine hauing reckened by a great number of the Bishoppes of Rome, by a generall Negatiue saythe thus: In hoc ordine Successionis nullus Donatista Episcopus inuenitur: In al this order of Succession of Bishoppes, there is not one Bishop found that was a Donatist. S. Gregorie, being himselfe a Byshop of Rome, and wryting against the Title of Vniuersal Byshop, sayth thus, Nemo Decessorum meorum hoc tam prophano vocabulo vti consensit: Nullus Romanorū Pontificū hoc singularitatis nomen assumpsit: None of al my Predecessors euer consented to vse this vngodly Title: No Bishop of Rome euer toke vpon him this name of Singularitie. By such Negatiues M. Harding, we reprove the Vanitie, and Poneltie of your Religion. We tell you, none of the Ancient Catholique Learned fathers, eyther Greeke, or Latine, euer vsed, eyther your Priuate Masse, or your Halte Communion, or your barbarous Vnknowen Prayers. Paule neuer planted them: Apollo neuer watred them: God neuer increased them. Thei are of your selues: Thei are not of God.

Theis

These, and other like Negatiues, the Holy Fathers in Olde times might safely vse without controlment: for that there was no Doctoure of Louaine as yet vp start, to carpe, and quarrel at their Diuinitie. Leane this childishe, and vsauery sporting, and trifling with your Negatiues, *M. Harding*. It weare a great deale moze sowlly, for a man of Learninge, and Grauitie, and moze to your purpose, to proue your Affirmatiues.

They haue Priuate Masse (*pée saie*) in al Grecia, Asia, Syria, Assyria, Armenia, and, where so euer the Religion of Christe is professed. Al this we must needs beleue upon your word: for other proue yee offer to make none. Notwithstandinge, if they haue sutch Priuate Masses in al those Countries, tel vs, I beseeche you, who were the Authours, and Makers of these Masses: *M. Wyl saie*, S. Iames, S. Chrysostome, or S. Basile. For of these Names and Masses, yee haue tolde vs many a tale. Yet, if yee consider the mater wel, yee shal finde, that these selfe same Masses were our Communions, and nothing like to your Masses: And, that the Holy Sacramentes at the same, contrarie to your newe diuises, were deliuered generally in Bothe kindes to al the people.

S. Iames in his Masse saith thus, *Diaconi tollunt Discos, & Calices, ad impertien-* *Liturgia*
dum populo: The Deacons take vp the Dishes, and the Cupper, to minister the Sacramente *Jacobi.*
unto the people.

S. Chrysostome in his Masse saith thus, *Pōst Myſteria ſeruntur ad locum, ubi po-* *Liturgia*
pulus debet communicare: Afterward the Holy Myſteries, or Sacraments be brought un- *Chryſoſtom.*
to the place, where the people muſte receiue together.

S. Basile in his Masse saith thus, *Nos omnes de vno Pane, & de vno Calice partici-* *Liturgia*
pantes, &c. Cantores cantant Communione: & sic Communicant Omnes: Al we recei- *Basily.*
uinge of One Breaðe, and one Cuppe. &c. The Quiere singeth the Communion: and so they
Communicate Altogether. Therefore, M. Harding, yee muſte needs confeſſe, either
that the Grecians this daie vse none of these Masses, or, that their Masses, are not your
Masses, but our Communions: Whiche bothe are contrarie to your ſelfe.

In the Armenians Liturgie, it is wriſten thus, *Qui non ſunt digni Communicare* *Georgius*
hanc Oblationem Dei, exeant foras ante fores Eccleſie, & ibi Orent: They, that are not *Cassander in*
worthy to receiue this Oblation of God, let them goe forth before the Churches doore: and *Liturgys.*
there let them praye.

Of the Grecians order in this behalfe, your greate, and ſpecial Doctoure, Cardi- *Bessarion,*
nal Beſſarion, beinge him ſelfe a Greeke boine, ſaith thus, Hoc ipſe ordo rerum poſce- *De Sacra-*
bat: primū, Conſecrare: deinde, Frangere: poſtea, diſtribuere: Quod nos in præſenti faci- *ment. En-*
mus: This the very order of the things required: firſt, that wee ſhoulde Conſecrate, or *chariſtie.*
Bleſſe the Breaðe: Next, that wee ſhoulde Breake it: Laſt of al, that wee ſhoulde Diuide it, *Circa annū*
(or deliuer it to the people). VVhiche thinge vvee (Grecians) doo at this preſente daie. *Domi. 1450.*
Thus you ſee, M. Harding, that the Grecians this daie Conſecrate, Breake, and Diuide
the Sacramente unto the people, as wee do. They receiue it not priuately to them
ſelues alone, the people ſtandinge by, and gaſinge on them, as you do. Therefore it is
vntrue, that you ſaie, They haue this daie your Priuate Maſſe.

Touginge the Grecians, that liue now in Venice, what order they vse there, I cannot telle Notwithstandinge, as I haue heard ſaie, Priuate Maſſe they haue not. But if they haue, liuinge vnder the Popes Iuriſdiction, it is no marueile. Certaine it is, that Venice is no parte of Grecia.

Matthias Illyricus, beinge him ſelfe boine in Dalmatia, not far from the Conſines, *Matthi. Il-*
or Borders of Grecia, and therefore, as it maie be thought, the better acquainted with *lyricus, in*
their Orders, ſaith thus: Eccleſia Græca, eique coniunctæ, Aſiatica, Macedonica, My- *Teſtibus ve-*
ſica, Valachica, Rutenica, Moſchouitica, & Aphrica, id eſt, totus Mundus, vel certè eius *ritatis. pag. 3*
maxima pars, nunquam Primatum Papæ communi conſenſu conceſſerunt: nunquam Pur-
gatorium probauerunt: nunquam Priuatas Miſſas: nunquam Communionem ſub vna ſpe-
cie: The Churches of Grecia, and the Churches of Asia, Macedonia, Myſia, Valachia, Ruſſia,
Moſchouia, and Aphrica, joined therunto, that is to ſaie, in a manner the whole Worlde, or at
leaſt, the greateſt parte thereof, neuer graunted the Pope his Supremacie: neuer allowed either
Purgatorie, or Priuate Maſſes, or the Communion vnder one kinde.

606 The Defense of the Apologie of the

Cons. Ferrar-
ien. in Pro-
omis.

Petrus Ur-
benuianus in
Vita Deus
dedit Papa.
Durandus
in Rationa-
li. Lib. 4.

In the Poeme of Entrie of the Councel of Ferrara, it is written thus, Proxima Dominica quindecim Græci Sacerdotes domi Imperatoris, iussu eius Missam solenniter celebrarunt. Vbi etiam Marchio cum suis Ciuibus adfuit, & Panem Benedictum, per Patriarchæ dextram more Græcorum, accepit, atq; libauit: *The next Sondaie, fiftiene Greeke Priestes within the Emperours Palaice, by his Commandement, saide a Solemne Masse (or Communion) where as the Marquese was presents with his Cittizens, and, as the manner of the Grecians is, receined, and tasted the Bread Consecrate, at the hands of the Patriarke. Here it is to be noted by the way, & these fiftiene Priestes said not fiftiene Seneral Masses, as the manner is in the Church of Rome, but al together one onely Masse: and that the same one Masse was no Priuate Ministracion, but a Communion.* Wherefore Petrus Urbeuetanus saith thus, Tum ad instar Græcorum, non cantabatur in vna Ecclesia, nisi forsitan vna Missa: *Then there was songe in one Church no more, sauing per-*
chance one onely Masse, as the manner of the Grecians is.

Like wise saith Durandus, Offerebant magnum Panem, & omnibus sufficientem: Quod adhuc Græci seruare dicuntur: *They offered up one greete lease, that might suffice al the Church: VVhy the order they saie, the Grecians keepe vntil this daie.*

By these fewe, I truste, M. Hardinge, it maie some appeare, whether of vs haue belied your poore Grecians.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Division. 2.

Now then, since it is manifest, and out of al peradventure, that these men are fallen from the Greekes, of whom they receiued the Gospel, of whom they receiued the faith, the True Religion, and their Church it selfe, what is the mater, why they wil not now be called home again to the same men, as it were, to their Originall, and firste Founders? And why be they afraid to take a paterne of the Apostles, and Old Fathers times, as though they al hadde benne boide of vnderstandinge? Doo these menne, weene yee, see more, or sette more by the Church of God, then they did, who firste deliuered vs these thinges?

M. Hardinge.

Soft and faire, Sir Defender, you haue not yet proued, that you saie, is manifest, and out of al peradventure. Remember you not the old homely verse of your Sophistrie, *Negus negatus recte concludere si uis* Speake to the purpose, or els I woulde you woulde make an ende of your silly follies. For in deede you trifle and saie nothinge woerthe to be answered. And heere litle cause doe you minister vnto me, to vnderstande substantiall stuffe. VVhiche I desire the discrete Reader to consider, for mine excuse, that with a trifle I doe also but trifle.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, by your owne confession, yee doe but trifle with your Filly follies: for that, I trowe, your substantiall, and better kniffe was not yet ready.

The Apologie Cap. 15. Division. 3.

In deede, wee haue renounced that Church, wherein wee coulde neither haue the Woorde of God sincerely taught, nor the Sacramentes rightly administered, nor the name of God duely called vpon: Whiche Church also them selues confesse to bee faulty in many pointes: And wherein was nothinge, hable to staie any wise man, or one, that hath consideration of his owne safetie.

M. Hardinge.

And why haue yee renounced this Church, but for that yee might not be suffered to sette forth to the losse of Christen soules the detestable heresies of Wickles, Luther, Zwinglius, Caluine and other your false Maisters, whiche yee cal your sincere woordes of God, after your Schismatical, and Heretical manner? That ye saie, there was nothinge in the Catholique Church, hable to stay any wise man, or any that hath consideration of his safetie, it is one of your impudent lies.

There were bothe wise men in the Church, and greete multitudes of suche as hadde good regarde of their soule health, alwaies before the Deuil hadde suche a hande vpon Luther, and the rest of your newe Apostles, and Apostates.

The

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Division. 4.

To conclude, wee haue forselaken the Church, as it is now, not as it was in Old times past, and haue so gone from it, as Daniel went out of the Lions Den, and the three Children out of the Furnesse: and, to saie the truth, we haue benne caste out by these menne, (beinge cursed of them, as they vse to saie, with Booke, Bel, & Candel) rather then haue gonne awaie from them of our selues.

M. Hardinge.

The Church that now is, and the Church that was in Old time, is one Church, as a man in his old age is the same man, he was in his youth. * From the whiche Church no fautes, or imperfections can ex-
 cuse you for youre departing. Neither haue ye gone from it, as Daniel was deliuered out of the Lions Den, nor as the three Children out of the Furnesse: but ye haue departed wilfully from the house of God, where touching Faith, al be of one accorde, vnto the Synagoge of Antichriste, vnto Babylon of Sectes, where is no order, but confusion, vnto the kingdom of Satan: and there ye remaine as it were in a Denne of Lions, where that roring Lion with his fellows, lieth in waite, seekinge whom he maie deuoure. Ye haue stepped from the place of Spiritual refregerie, into the friinge panne of Schismes and Heresies, and from thence, after that ye haue nowe boyled and fried, in malice and rancoure againste the Church, excepte ye repent, ye are like to leape into the Furnesse of Hel, that for euer shal tormente you, and neuer consume you. Complaine not of youre castinge out of the Church. To be excommunicate, ye haue deserued. And that kinde of punishment is by a merciful discipline, extended vpon you, partly for your amendement, partly to conserue the rest of the body whole from youre pestiferous contagion.

* Vnto the. For God him selfe saith, Comme forth from the middes of the, O my people. Apocal. 18. A hote kinde of Diuinitie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Nowe ye beginne to keepe Hote Scholes, M. Hardinge. Your friinge pannes, and Furnesses, with youre like Witchin implementes of Friinge, and Boilinge, are hot, and dangerous to deale withal.

The causes of oure departure from you, are answered before.

The Church (ye saie) that now is, and the Church, that was in Old times, is one Church. Euen so, as I shewed you before, the Moone, beinge full, and the same Moone eclipsed, is one Moone: Euen so, a Man wel aduised, and the same Man starke madde, is one Man: Euen so, the house of God, and a Caue of theues is one house.

Ye haue Excommunicate vs, and put vs from you. So did certaine of youre Predecessours, and Fathers, Excommunicate Christe, and his Apostles. So did Diotrophes, that firste claimed youre Papale primacie, Excommunicate the Faithfull of Christe, that were the firste Planters of the Gospel. So it is writen in the Apocalyps, that Antichriste shal Excommunicate al them, that wil not Adoure the Image of the Beaste.

Matthe. 21. Joh. 9. 12. 16. Johan. epi. 3. Qui amat Primum gerere. Apoca. 13.

But the Pope him selfe saith, Excommunicatus non potest Excommunicare: He that is Excommunicate him selfe, hath no Right, or Power to Excommunicate others. And in youre owne Law it is writen thus, Qui illicit alium Excommunicat, seipsum non illum, condemnat: He that unlawfully Excommunicate the an other, Condemneth not him, but him selfe.

24. Que. 1. Au. 24. Que. 3. Comperimus Rybri.

S. Augustine saith, Quid obest homini, si eum de illa Tabula delere velit Humana ignorantia, quem de Libro viuientium non delet iniqua conscientia? What is a man the worse, if the ignorance of a man strike him out of the Booke of the Church, if a Conscience strike him not oute of the Boke of Life? In this case S. Augustine saith, It commeth sometimes to passe, Vt plurimæ sint Foris oues, & plurimi sint Intus Lupi: That there be many Sheepe without the Church, and many Woulues within the Church.

August. Ad Clericos Hipponen. Citatur. 11. que. 3. Quid obest. Aug. In Ioban. Tra. 45.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Division. 5.

And wee are comine to that Church, wherein they them selues cannot denie (if they wil saie truely, and as they thinke in theire owne conscience) but al thinges bee gouerned purely, and reuerently, and as muche, as wee possibly could, be very neere to the order used in old times.

M. Hardinge.

Ye are come vnto the malignant Church, to the Congregation of Reprobates, whither as into a sinke in manner al the Heresies that Satan euer raised vp from the beginninge, be auoided,

Ecc. 19.

The

Let them compare our Churches and theirs together, and they shall see, that them selves haue moste shamefully gonne from the Apostles: and wee moste iustely haue gonne from them. For wee, following the Example of Christe, of the Apostles, and the Holy Fathers, geue the people the Holy Communion, whole, and persfite: But these menne, contrarie to al the fathers, to al the Apostles, and contrarie to Christe him selfe, doo seuer the Sacraments, and plucke awaite the one parte from the people, and that with moste notorious Sacrilege, as Gelasius termeth it.

Wee haue brought againe the Lordes Supper vnto Christes Institution, and haue made it a Communion in very deede, common, and indifferent to a greate number, accordinge to the name. For of that it is called a Communion. But these menne haue changed al thinges, contrarie to Christes Institution, and haue made a Priuate Masse, of the Holy Communion. And so it cometh to passe, that wee geue the Lordes Supper vnto the people: and they geue them a vaine Pageante, to gaze vpon.

Wee affirme together with the Ancient Fathers, that the Body of Christe is not eaten, but of the good, and faithfull, and of those, that are endued with the Sprite of Christe. Their Doctrine is, that Christes very Body Effectually, and, as they speake, Really, and Substantially maie not onely be eaten of the wicked, and vnfaitfull menne, but also (whiche is monstrous, and horrible to be spoken) of Mice and Dogges.

Wee vse to praye in our Churches, after that fashion, as, according to Pauls Lesson, the people maie knowe, what wee praye, and maie answer, Amen, with a general consente. These menne, like soundinge Shettalle, yelle oute in the Churches vnknewen, and straunge wordes withoute vnderstandinge, without knowledge, and without deuotion: yea, and doo it of purpose, because the people shoulde vnderstande nothinge at al.

1. Cor. 14.

(a) Vnto that there is no better comparison then betwene Contraries.
Coniunctio iuxta se posita, magis illustrat.

(b) Yeeough, no doubt, and sufficient. For in the selfsame foure Articles, M. Hard. hath vntied foure score and fourentene great vntuities.

(c) Vnfruitful, and vaine Distinction. Read the Answer.

(d) This Booke is full of Fables and Vanities, and therefore not thought to be S. Gregories in holisome effecte.

M. Hardinge.

(a) As comparison can not duly be made betwene Lighte and Darkenesse, betwene Trueth and Lyinge, betwene Christe and Belialso neither betwene the Catholike Church, and startinge holes of Heretiques. You saie muche, and proue nothinge. The moste ye haue to crake of, which ye haue neuer donne withal, is your ministringe of bothe kindes vnto the people, your new founde holy daie the Englishe Communion, your Seruice in the vulgare tongue, and your vile obiection of Mice and Dogges. This is the store-bore of M. Iewelles high Diuinitie, which he maketh no great store of, but shaketh it abroad euerie where. To euerie pointe I haue saide so muche, as is (b) yeeough, to staie the hartes of those, that feare God, in mine answer to M. Iuelles challenge. To the mater of bothe kindes, and the obiection made out of Gelasius, in the seconde article, To that of Priuate Masse, in the firste article. To al that is saide for the Churches Seruice in the vulgare tongue, in the thirde Article. To the Obiection of Mice, Dogges, and Wormes, in the. 23. Article. The same here to rehearse againe, I thinke it needeles.

But where yee asserme the Bodye of Christe not to be eaten, but of the good, and faithfull onely, if yee meane the Sacramental eatinge, so as it is eaten vnder the Sacramente, in the visible forme of Bread, and Wine, and not of the (c) Spiritual eatinge onely, that is false. In that yee saie the Fathers be on your side, meaninge the (c) Sacramental eatinge, ye belie them. And so likewise reportinge our Doctrine to be, that wicked and vnfaitfull menne maie eate the Body of (c) Christe effectually, ye belie vs. We teache that the euil maie eate the Body of Christe Really that is in deede, but not effectually. They onely eate effectually, who eatinge it worthely, obtaine the effecte of Christes Bodye. Which is the vnitie of the mysticall body of Christe, and increase of grace. (d) There is verely (saith S. Gregorie) in sinners, and in them whiche receiue vnnorthely the true Fleashe of Christe, and his true Bloude, *sed essentia, non salubri efficientia*, but in substance, not

That

That euil menne receiue the true Body of Christe Sacramentally, no lesse then good, where I mighte allege in maner al the Olde Fathers, (e) S. Augastine onely maie suffice, who affyrmeth the same, speakinge thus of Iudas. *Tolerat ipse Dominus Iudam, Diabolum, Furem, & venditorem suum sinit accipere inter innocentes Discipulos, quod norum fideles, premium nostrum.* Our Lorde him selfe doth tolerate Iudas, and suffereth a Deuill, a Thiefe, and him that solde him, to receiue amongst his innocent Disciples (f) our Price, whiche the Faithful doo knowe. But what neede any man to requize the testimonies of Fathers, siue Paule teacheth vs, so to beleue? Who so euer (saith he) eatethe this Breade, and drinketh of the Cuppe of our Lorde vnnouthely, he shalbe guilty of the Body and Bloude of our Lorde.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

There is no better comparison to be made, *M. Wardinge*, then betwene Lighte, and Darkenesse: Truthe, and Falsched: Christe, and Belial. For one of these contraries dothe euermore betwaine the other. And therefore Christe saith, *He that dothe it, ha-* *Ioban. 3:* *seth the Lighte, and commeth not to it, lest his euil doings shoulde be espied.* And this is it, *M. Wardinge*, that you so carefully keepe the people from the Lighte of Goddes VVoorde lest by comparison thereof, they shoulde beginne to lothe your Darkenesse: *Plin. Lib. 18.* *Plinie saith, Tritico reperto, continuò damnatum est Hordeum, & quadrupedum refe-* *Sti-* *bus traditum: As soone as VVheate was once founde (by comparison thereof) streighway* *Barly was refused, and geuen to Cattel to feede upon.*

S. Cyprian saith, *Hæc est, frater, vera dementia, non cogitare, quòd mendacia non* *Cyprian. ad* *diu fallant: Noctem tam diu esse, donec illucescat dies: This is very meere madnesse, my Bro-* *Cornelium.* *ther, not to consider, that lies cannot longe deceiue the worlde: (Remember) it is Nighte no* *Lib. 1. Epi. 3* *longer, but until the Daie springe. And therefore Chrysostome saith, as it is alleged be-* *Chrysost. 7n* *foze, Heretici claudunt Ianuas Veritatis: Heretiques shut vp the Gates of the Truthe. For* *Ad ar. cap. 23* *they knowe right wel, if the Truthe maie appeare, their falsched vvil soone be espied, and* *Tertull. De* *the Churches shalbe none of theirs. Tertullian saith, Ipsa Doctrina Hæreticorum cum* *prescriptions* *Apostolica comparata, ex diuersitate, & contrarietate sua pronuntiabit, neque Apostoli a-* *licuius Authoris esse, neque Apostolici Viri: The very Doctrine of Heretiques compared* *togeather with the Apostles Doctrine, euen by the diuersitie, and contrarietie, that is in it,* *beareth witnesse of it selfe, that is neuer came, neither from any Apostle of Christe, nor from a-* *ny Apostolique Man.*

When the Emperoure Adrianus had yeldeo to graunt the Christians one Church within the Cittie of Rome, certaine of his Prinite Counsell aduised him in any wise not so to do: For that, they saide, if the Christians might haue but one Church within the *Alia* *Lampridius.* *Cittie, the whole people would al become Christians: and by comparison thereof, their Idolles* *Churches, shoulde be forsaken. Nexto you the Holy Communion, M. Wardinge, and* *ye shal see your Masses, and Pocheries sone falle to grounde, as did the Idole Dagon* *at the presence of the Arke of God. S. Hierome saith, Mendacium Antichristi, Christi* *1 Regum. 5.* *veritas deuorabit: The Truthe of Christe shal deuoure, and consume the Lieinge of Anti-* *Hierony. Ad* *christe.* *Algasiam.* *Qua. 11.*

Touching the Shakinge out of Stoareboxes, ye had no great cause to complaine. For there is not one of al these matters, not onely of Priuate Masse excepted, that hitherto throughout this Apologie hath, to my remembraunce, benne touched, or mentioned more, then once. But it is a smal mater, *M. Wardinge*, that maie suffice you, to make a quarrel.

That Mife, and Dogges maie eate the very Natural Body of Christe, and that Really, Substantially, and in dede: it is your Doctrine: it is not oures. For we utterly abhorre it, and desie it, as moske detestable, and lothesome villanie.

I marueile not, to heare you saie, that vicked Creatures, and Faithlesse Infidelles maie eate Christes Body, seeing ye doubt not, to auouche the same of Brute Beastes, and Dounbe Cattel. Yet, S. Augustine saith, *Hoc est Manducare illam Escam, & illum Po-* *Aug. In Io-* *rum Bibere, in Christo manere, & illum manentem in se habere: This is the Eatinge of* *han. Tra. 26* *that Meate, and the drinkinge of that Drinke, for a man to dwelle in Christe, and to haue* *Christe dwellinge within him. Euen so saith Christe him selfe, He that Eatethe my* *Ioban. 6.* *Fleshe, and Drinkethe my Bloude (is neither vicked Creature, nor Faithlesse Infidelle,*

See. liij.

no2

Dogges,
Mise.August. E.
pist. 162.Chrysostom.
Ad Hebræ.
Homi. 16.
De Confe.
Dist. 2.
Hoc est.August. In
Psal. 3.

1. Cor. 11.

August.
Contra Cres-
co. li. 1. ca. 23August.
Contra Cres-
co. li. 2. ca. 13
Tertull. De
Baptismo.August. De
Tempore.
Sermo. 20.Athana. De
Passione,
Ezechiel. 33

Luke. 11.

no; Dogge, no; Moulse, but) dwellethe in mee, and I in him.

But S. Augustine saith, *Iudas accepit Pretium nostrum: Iudas received our Price, which, by your exposition, can bee none other, but the Body of Christe.* *M. Hardinge*, ye should not thus haue mockte the world with this Authozitie of S. Augustine. You your selfe knowe, ye deale not plainely: you your selfe knowe, that S. Augustine by these wordes (Our Price) meante onely the Sacramente of oure Price. So Chrysostome saith, *Baptisma Christi, Sanguis Christi est: The Baptisme of Christe, is the Bloude of Christe.* Not, so; that it is so in dede, but so; that, it is a Sacramente of the Bloude of Christe. Euen so is it noted in your owne Decrees: *Ipsa immolatio Carnis Christi, quæ Sacerdotis manibus fit, Vocatur Christi Passio, Mors, Crucifixio: Non Rei Veritate, sed Significante Myserio: The Oblation of the Fleashe of Christe, that is wroughte with the Priestes handes, is called the Passion, the Deathe, and the Crucifixeinge of Christe: not in Truthe of mater, but in a Myserie Significeinge.* This Exposition ye maie not wel refuse: It is S. Augustines: It is your owne. Hereof we haue spoken other wheres more at large. But, to put the mater out of doubte, that it maie appeare, in what sense Iudas received the Price of Christes Deathe, S. Augustine him selfe thereof saith thus, *Christus adhibuit Iudam ad Conuiuium, in quo Corporis, & Sanguinis sui Figuram Discipulis suis commendauit, & tradidit: Christe received Iudas vnto his Banquet, whereat he gaue to his Disciples the Figure of his Body, and Bloude.*

The Booke, that ye allege in the name of S. Gregorie, is vaine, and Childishe, as you knowe, and full of fables, and not S. Gregories.

But S. Paule saith, *Who so euer Eateth of this Bready, and Drinketh of the Cuppe of our Lorde vnworthily, he shal be guilty of the Body, and Bloude of our Lorde.* And what wil you conclude hereof, *M. Hardinge*? Euen so S. Augustine writeth of the VVacer of Baptisme: *Baptismus valet, alijs ad Regnum: alijs ad Iudicium: Baptisme is available to somme vnto the Kingdome of God: to somme vnto Iudgemente.* Againe he saith, *Baptismus multi habent, non ad Vitam Eternam, sed ad Poenam Eternam, non bene viuentes tanto Bonos: Somme haue Baptisme, not to Life Everlastinge, but to Paine Everlastinge, not wel vsinge so good a thinge.*

Likewise saith Tertullian, *Si qui pondus intelligant Baptismi, magis timebunt consecutionem, quam dilationem: They that vnderstande the weight of Baptisme, wil feare more the geattinge of it, then the delaicinge.*

Yet ye presse the mater further: S. Paule saith, *Who so eateth vnworthily of this Bready, is guilty of the Body, and Bloude of Christe: Ergo, (saie you) Christes Body and Bloude must neede be Really Presente.* Here, *M. Hardinge*, it were a worthy mater, to see, by what enginnes ye woulde proue these hasty Conclusions, or how ye woulde soze this Guilt, and this Presence to goe togetther. For, thinke you, that no man can be guilty of the Body, and Bloude of Christe, but he that hath Christes Body, and Bloud Really Present in his hande? Verily, S. Augustine saith, *Reus erit, non parui pretij, sed Sanguinis Christi, qui violat, & commaculat Animam, Christi Sanguine, & Passione munitam: Hee is Guiltye of no smal price, but euen of the Bloude of Christe, that (by Fornication, or Aduenterie) defileth his owne soule, that was made cleane by the Passion, and Bloud of Christ.* Yet hath he not therefore Christes Bloude Really Presente.

Athanasius saith, *Adorantes Dominum, neque ita, vt dignum est eo, viuentes, non sentiunt se reos fieri Dominice Mortis: Worshippinge Our Lorde, and not liuinge so as is cruce domini merito fir our Lorde, they feele not, that thereby they are made Guilty of our Lordes Deathe.* So saith the God by the Prophete Ezechiel, *I wil require the Bloude of the people at thy hande.*

Christe saith, *God shal require of you the Bloude of the Prophetes, that hath bene shedde from the beginninge of the worlde.*

This Guilt, *M. Hardinge*, maie wel stande withoute any Real Presence of the Bloude either of Christe, or of the Prophetes. Ye maie wel be Guilty of Christes and the Prophetes Bloude, notwithstanding ye haue neither the one, nor the other Really Presente in your hande.

This therefore is S. Pauls meaninge, that the wicked, resortinge vnworthily

to the Holy Mysteries, and hauinge no regarde, what is meane thereby, despise the Deathe, and Crosse of Christe, and therfore are Guilty of the Lordes Body and Bloud, that are represented in the Sacramente.

the Body
and bloud
&c.

Chrysostome saith, as he is alleged in the seconde Council of Nice, Is, qui Imaginem Imperatoris violat, in prototypum Dignitatis iniustus est: He, that defileth the Emperours Image, is iniurious to the Maieſtie of the Emperours Person, that is portraide in the Image.

Council. Ni-
cen. 2. Act. 6

S. Cyprian saith, Impiis, in Morte Christi nullus superest quæstus: sed iustissime eos beneficia neglecta condemnant: The wicked haue no gaine by the Deathe of Christe: but the benefiter, that they haue despised, doo moſte iuſtly condemne them.

Cyprian. De
Abſuſione
pedum.

To come neare to the pourpoſe, S. Auguſtine ſaith, Habent Foris Sacramentum Corporis Christi: ſed Rem ipsam non tenent Intus, cuius est illud Sacramentum. Et ideo ſibi iudicium manducant, & bibunt: Outwardly they haue the Sacramente of Chriſtes Body, but the thinge is ſelfe (whiche is Chriſtes Body, represented by the Sacrament) inwardly in their hartes, they haue not. And therefore they Eate, and Drinke their owne iudgements. Here S. Auguſtine ſaith, they are Guilty, not bicauſe they receiue, but bicauſe they receiue not the Body of Chriſte. Marke wel theſe wordes, P. Hardinge: They are effectual. The wicked, by S. Auguſtines iudgements are Guilty, Not bicauſe they receiue, but bicauſe they receiue not the Body of Chriſte.

Auguſt. Ad
Bomfaci. E-
piſt. 30.

Againe he ſaith, Qui non manet in Christo, & in quo non manet Christus, procul dubio non Manducat Spiritualiter Carnem eius, nec Bibit eius Sanguinem: licet Carnaliter, & Viſibiliter premat dentibus Sacramentum Corporis, & Sanguinis Christi: Sed magis tantæ rei Sacramentum ad iudicium ſibi Manducat & Bibit: Who ſo abideth not in Chriſte, nor Chriſte in him, out of doubt he eateth not Spiritually his Fleaſh, nor Drinketh his Bloude: notwithstanding Carnally (that is to ſaie, with his bodily Mowthe) and viſibly he doo preſſe with his teethe the Sacramente of the Body, and Bloude of Chriſte: And rather, eateth and drinketh (not Chriſtes very Body, and Bloude, but) the Sacrament of ſo greates a thinge vnto his Iudgemente. Theſe wordes, P. Hardinge, be ſo plaine, that I can not imagine, what ye ſhoulde moze deſire. They are Guilty of the Bloude of Chriſte, ſo, that they deſpiſe the price, wherewith they were ſaued, not ſo, that they receiue it Really into their mowthes.

Auguſt. 7^m
Job. Tra. 20

So S. Auguſtine ſaith againe, Reus erit Eternæ Mortis, quia vilem in ſe habuit Sanguinem Redemptoris: The Aduneratione is Guilty of Euerlaſtinge Deathe, bicauſe he deſpiſed in him ſelfe the Bloude of our Sauoure.

The Diſtinction, that you imagine betwene Real Receiuinge in the wicked, and Effectual Receiuinge in the Cobly, as it is onely of your ſelfe, without the Authoritie of any Doctoure, Greeke, or Latine ſo is it nothinge els, but a very Effectual, and Real Folie. For the very Body of Chriſte, if it be not Effectually receiued, is not receiued. Chriſte him ſelfe ſaith, He, that eateth mee, ſhal liue by mee. S. Ambroſe ſaith, Hic panis eſt remiſſio peccatorum. Qui accipit, non moritur morte peccatoris: This Breade is the Remiſſion of Sinnes He, that receiueth it, ſhal not die the deathe of a Sinner.

Auguſt. De
Tempore,
Sermon. 120.

Ambroſ.
De Benedi-
ction. Patri-

S. Auguſtine ſaith, Qui non ſumit hanc Eſcam, non habet Vitam: & qui eam ſumit, habet vitam, & hanc vtiq; Eternam: He, that receiueth not this meate, hath no Liſe: and he, that receiueth the ſame, hath Liſe, and that Euerlaſtinge.

arch Cap. 6.
Auguſt. In
Job. Tra. 26

Likewiſe againe he ſaith, Huius rei Sacramentum in Menſa Domini præparatur, & de Menſa Domini ſumitur: quibusdam ad vitam, quibusdam ad exitum: Res verò ipſa, cuius eſt Sacramentum, omni homini ad vitam, nulli ad exitum. quicunque eius particeps fuerit: The Sacramente hereof is prepared vpon the Lordes Table, and from the Lordes Table is receiued: ſo ſomme vnto Liſe, ſo ſomme vnto deſtruction. But the thinge is ſelfe, (that is, the Body of Chriſt,) whereof it is a Sacramente, is receiued, of al menne to liſe, and of no man to deſtruction, vho ſo euer ſhalbe partaker of it. You maie not negligently paſſe ouer theſe wordes, P. Hardinge. S. Auguſtine ſaith plainely, The thinge is ſelfe, that is preſented by the Sacrament (that is to ſaie, the very Body of Chriſte) is receiued of al menne to Liſe, and of no man to deſtruction, vho ſo euer ſhalbe partetaker of it. Here of you muſt needes conclude againſt your ſelfe, That the wicked receiue not the Body of Chriſte.

Auguſt.
eodem loco.

The

But, not to tarrie about rehearsing al pointes, whererin wee and they differ, for they haue wel might no ende, wee turne the Scriptures into al tongues: they scaute suffer them to be hadde abroade in any tongue.

M. Hardinge.

(a) This is no-
thing, but ope
mockerie
(b) Vntothe,
to fonde for a
child, for there
was neuer such
order taken by
the gouernours
of the Church.

We (a) gladly suffer them to be hadde in euery place of Christendome in the learned tonges, Hebrew, Greeke, and Latine (to be readde of the vulgare Unlearned people). Neither were they altogether forbidden to be hadde in some vulgare tongues, before the saucy malepertnesse of Heretiques forced the gouernours of the Church, for sauegarde of the people, (b) to take other orders.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We can vouchsaue to allowe the Scriptures in the thre Learned Tongues, Greke, Hebrew, and Latine: that is to saie, in such sort, as the simple people maie in no wise touche them. But where did God euer sanctifie these thre tongues, & cal them Learned? Or, where were they euer so specially Canonized and allowed, aboue al other tongues, to the custodie of the Scriptures?

Aug. epi. 48

S. Augustine saith, Scriptura Canonica tot Linguarum Literis, & ordine, & successione Celebrationis Ecclesiasticæ custoditur: The Canonical Scripture is kept in the Letters of so many tongues, and by the order, and succession of Ecclesiastical publishinge. Againe he

August. De
Doctrina
Christiani.
Lib. 2. cap. 5

saith, Scriptura Diuina ab vna Lingua profecta, per varias interpretum Linguas, longè, lateque diffusa: innotuit Gentibus ad Salutem: The Holy Scriptures, passinge from one Tongue, and beinge published abroade, far, and wide, (not only by three Learned Tongues, but also) by sundrie Tongues of Interpretours, haue come to the knowledge of Nations, and people, to their Salvation. Againe he saith, Habemus Dei beneficium, qui Scripturas

August. In
Psalm. 105.
Chrysost. In
Ioh. homil. 1.

suas in multis Linguis esse voluit: We haue the benefite of God, that wold haue his Scriptures to be (not onely in Three, but) in many Tongues. S. Chrysostome saith, Syri, Egyptij, Indi, Persæ, Ethiopes, & innumere aliz Gentes, Dogmata ab hoc introducta, in suam transferentes Linguam homines Barbari, Philosophari didicerunt: The Syrians, the Egyptians, the Indians, the Persians, the Ethiopians, and other nations innumerable, translatinge into theire owne tongues the Doctrines, that they had receiued of S. Iohn, beinge

Hierony. 7n
Psal. 86.

Barbarous people, endeauoured them selues to learne wisdom. S. Hierome saith, Scriptura Sancta populis omnibus legitur, vt omnes intelligant: The Holy Scripture is readde to al Nations, that al maie vnderstande it. It were harde to saie, that al the Nations of the world be readde, or hearde the Scriptures in Greeke, Hebrew, or Latine, to the intent they mighte the better vnderstande it. If these Authozities seeme not plaine, and sufficiente, Theodoretus saith further, Hebraici Libri, non modo in Græcum idioma conuersi sunt, sed in Romanam quoque Linguam, Egyptiam, Persicam, Indicam, Armenicam, & Schythicam, atque adeo Sarmaticam: semelque vt dicam, in Linguas omnes, quibus ad hanc diem Nationes vtuntur: The Hebrew Bookes of the Scriptures are translated,

Theodoret.
Decorriged.
Græcorum
officibus lib. 5

not onely into the Greeke, or Latine tongue, but also into the tongues of Egypt, Persia, India, Armenia, Scythia, and Sarmatia: and, so be short, into al the tongues, that vntil this daie are vsed in the worlde. This, I trowe, is somewhat more, then Greeke, Hebrew, & Latine.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Division. 3.

Wee allure the people to reade, and to heare Goddes Woorde, they dzine the people from it.

M. Hardinge.

* A mockerie.
For many hear
not one Ser-
mon in twen-
tie yeres.

Yee allure the people busely to heare and reade the Scriptures for euill purpose. And thereby yee haue filled theire hartes, whom ye haue deceived, with pride, so as they thinke them selues able to iudge of the highest questions, that be in diuinitie. Wee keepe the people, so farre as wee can for you, from heresies, and require them rather to be hearers, then iudges, and to learne necessary knowledge of Goddes Woorde * at hole some, and Godly sermons.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Wee trache not the people, to presume of knoweledge, as you teache them, to presume of Ignozance: But onely we exhort them, for the better satisfaction of theire consciences, to read the Scriptures, and therein to learne the god wil of God. And, notwithstanding ye maie not allow them to be iudges, that is to saie, to discern betwene the

The Scri-
ptures in
the vul-
gare ton-
gues.

*Apol. Sacra.
Matka. 11.*

*Aug. contra
Iul. li. 5. ca. 1.
Chrysost. in
Mat. Ho. 1.*

Aug. Epist. 3.

*Cyrill. contra
Iulian. lib. 7.*

*August. in
Psal. 131.*

*August. In
Sentent. ex-
cerptu ex Au-
gustino, pag.
1067.*

the Light of God, and your Darknesse, yet ye might suffer them to pike out somme small crummes, that fall from the Lodes Table. How be it. Socrates sayth, The simple vnlarned people, in cases of Trueth, iudgeth often times more uprightly than the deepest Philosophers. Likewise Christe saithe, I thanke thee O Father, for that thou hast hidde these things from the wise and politique, and hast opened the same to litle babes.

But ye wil say, The Scriptures are hard, and above the reach of the people. Cuen so sayd the Pelagian Heretique Iulianus. And therefore S. Augustine thus repponeth him for the same: Exaggeras quam sit difficilis, paucisque conueniens eruditus Sanctarum cognitio Literarum: Tee enlarge and lay out with many woordes, howe harde a matter the knowledge of the Scriptures is, and meete onely for a fewe learned men. S. Chrysostome sayth, Scripturæ & Seruo, & Rustico, & Viduæ, & Puero, & illi, qui valde imprudens esse videatur, faciles sunt ad intelligendum: The Scriptures are easie to the Sclaue, to the Husbandman, to the Widovve, to the Childe, and to him that may seeme to be very simple of understanding. S. Augustine sayth, Modus ipse dicendi quo Sacra Scriptura contextitur, &c. quasi amicus familiaris, sine luto ad cor loquitur indoctorum, atque doctorum: The phrase, or manner of speache, wherein the Scriptures are written, &c. speaketh without colour as a familiar friende vnto the harte, as well of the Vnlarned, as of the Learned. S. Cyril sayth, Scripturæ, vt omnibus essent notæ, paruis & magnis, vtilliter familiari sermone commendatæ sunt, ita vt nullius captum transcendat: The Scriptures, that they might be easie to all men as well small as great, are profitably set abroade in familiar speache: so, that they ouer reache no mannes capacitie. S. Augustine sayth, Sunt quidam homines, qui, cum audierint, quod debent esse humiles, nihil volunt discere: putantes, quod, si aliquid didicerint, Superbi erunt, &c. Hos reprehendit Scriptura: Somo men, when they heare say they must be lowlie, will learne nothing: thinking, that, if they learne any thing, they shalbe proude. But these are reprovved by the Scriptures. Likewise agayne S. Augustine sayth, Ipsa ignorantia in illis, qui intelligere noluerunt, sine dubitatione peccatum est: In eis autem, qui non potuerunt, est poena peccati. Ergo, in vtrisque non est excusatio, sed iusta damnatio: Ignorance in them, that would not understande, without doubt is sinne: But in them that could not vnderstande, it is the punishment of sinne. Therefore neither of them hath good excuse: but either of them hath iuste damnation.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Division. 4

Wee desire to haue our cause knowen to all the worlde: they flee to come to any triall.

M. Hardinge.

Then why came ye not to the * chiefe, and most lawfull consistorie of the worlde, the late generall Council at Trent? VVhat triall should wee come vnto? Our doctrine hath had too high a teacher, to be tried by men nowe. It hath bene approued too long, to be put in daicing in these dayes, at the latter ende of the worlde.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

That we made not our appearance at your late Chapter at Trident, ye haue already made our excuse. The Journey was too long, to be taken in vaine. Ye saye Your Doctrine, be it right, be it wrong, may not nowe be tried, nor put in daicing. So sayd sometime the Olde Donatian Heretiques vnto S. Augustine, Disputare nolumus: & Baptizare volumus: Dispute we wil not: But (continue in our error and) Baptize we will.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Division. 5.

Wee leane vnto Knowledge: they vnto Ignorance. Wee trust vnto Light: they vnto Darkenesse.

M. Hardinge.

Ye leane to the fauour of secular Princes, whome by flatterie, and Heresie ye may deceyue. Crake not of your great knowledge, nor of your light. O be to them, crieth our Lorde in Esay, that say, good is euil, and euil is good: that put light for darknesse, and darknesse for light. Your deuicancour is so euil, your doctrine so false, your tong so rayling, that we take your worde for no flaunder.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Wee flatter oure Princes, M. Harding, as Nathan flattered King David: as Iohn Baptist flattered Herode: as S. Ambrose flattered Theodosius, and as salt flattereth the grane

*A Woorthy
Council of
fourty bishops,
sucche as they
were, in the
time of Paulus
the thirde.

*August.
Epist. 169.*

*Dorman.
Pag. 15.
Stanislaus
Orichonius
in Chimara.*

*Ambro. Li.
5. Epist. 33.*

*Petr. De Pa
lud. de pote-
state Pp. di.
40. Non nos
In Glossa.
Extranag.
Iohan. 22.
Cum Inter.
In Glossa.
Hosti. De
translatione
Prelati.
Quanto.*

*Vatruh, stan-
dinge in open,
and wilful cor-
ruption.*

*Jacobus
Andrea co-
tra Hosium.
Pag. 352.*

grāne soare. In dāde wā despise not the Minister of God, as sundrie of your felowes haue vsed to doe: of whom one doubteth not to saie, The Pope is the Head: and Kings, and Emperours are the Feete. An other saith, The Priest is so farre above the King, as a Man is about a Beaste. Butche wordes of contempt, and villanie wā haue not vsed. They agrā wel with you, and with your Religion. Wā yalde to the Kinge, that is delue to the Kinge. Wā yalde to God, that is delue to God. Wā saie to the Prince, as S. Ambrose sommetime saide to the Emperoure Valentinian: Noli te grauari Imperator, vt putes te in ea, quz Diuina sunt, Imperiale aliquod ius habere: Trouble not your selfe, my Lorde, to thinke, that you haue any Princely Power ouer those thinges, that pertaine to God.

But if they be flatterers, that humbly aduertise, and directe their Liege Princes by the Word of God, what are they then, that saie, as you saie, Totus Mundus non potest accusare Papam: Nemo potest dicere Papæ, Domine cur ita facis? Sacriegij instar esset, disputare de facto Papæ: Dominus Deus noster Papa. Papa potest, quasi omnia facere, quz potest Deus: The whole worlde maie not accuse the Pope: No man maie saie to the Pope, Sir, why doo yee thus? It were a sinne as bad as Sacrilege, to dispute of any the Popes dooings: Our Lord God the Pope: The Pope maie doo in a manner, what so euer God maie doo. These, these, M. Hardinge, and a thousande other your like speeches maie serue somme what to smel of flatterie.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Division 6. & 7.

Wā reuerence, as it becommeth vs, the Writings of the Apostles, and Prophetes: and they burnte them.

Finally, wee in Goddes cause; desire to stande to Goddes onely iudgemente: they wil stande onely to their owne.

M. Hardinge.

What so euer ye pretende, the cause ye haue taken in hande to defende, is not Goddes cause, neither is this stirre, which ye make in the worlde, for Christes sake. Luther him selfe, when at a disputation with do. Etour Eckius, inflamed with anger, and passinge the boundes of modestie, was admonished of certaine, for as muche as it was Gods cause, that was treated, to handle the matter more soberly, and with the sprite of sobriety, he brake out into these words. *Nō propter Deum hac res capta est, nec propter Deum finietur.* This mater is not begonne for Goddes sake, neither for Goddes sake shal it be ended. Therefore speake no more to vs of Goddes cause. There be other causes, that moue you to doo, as ye do.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Luther (ye saie) in disputation, was inflamed with anger, and passed the boundes of modestie. No doubt, M. Hardinge, if he had had somme parte of your sobrietie, and modestie, he might haue donne a greate deale better. Touchinge the mater, beinge zelously moued with the iniquitie, & wicked wilfulnesse of his Aduersaries, he vttered these wordes, not of him selfe, but of Eckius, that disputed against him: Eckius, and his felowes, neuer beganne this mater for Goddes sake, nor for Goddes sake wil they ende it. For, he saie, they had begonne, and were bente to ende it againste God, as beinge enflamed with ambition, and malice, and procured, and hired by the Pope. These wordes Luther spake of Eckius, his Aduersarie, and not of him selfe. Euen so, M. Hardinge, maie wā also truely saie, You, and your felowes haue not begonne these youre Contentious Vanities for Goddes sake: no, for Goddes sake wil you ende them.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Division 8.

Wherefore, if they wil weigh al these thinges with a quiet minde, and fully bente to heare, and to learne, they wil not onely allowe this Determination of ours, who haue sozaken Errours, and folowed Christe, and his Apostles, but themselues also wil sozake their owne selues, and loyne of their owne accorde to our side, to goe with vs.

Here endeth the fifthe
Parte.

The Sixth parte.

The Apologie. Cap. 1. Division. 1.

At peradventure they will say, it was treason, to attempt these matters without a sacred Generall Councell: For that therein consisteth the whole force of the Church: there Christ hath promised he will ever be a present assistant. Yet they themselves, without carrying for any Generall Councell, haue broken the Commandements of God, and the Decrees of the Apostles: and, as we sayde a little aboue, they haue spoiled, and disabled almost al, not only the Ordinances, but euē the Doctrine of the Primitiue Church.

And where they say, It is not lawfull, to make a chaunge without a Councell, what was he that gaue vs these lawes, or from whence had they this Iniunction?

M. Hardinge.

If generall Councils continue in that estimation, and Authoritie they haue euer had, their private conspiracies and false conuoyances in corners be like to be dashed. Now priketh forth their Secretary, who thinketh himselfe a fresh souldiour in Rhetorike, and giueth the onser vpon vs, with a blinde peradventure. But Sir, what so euer you imagin vs to say, touching a Generall Councell, we are not so simple as to graunt (which you Peradventure seme to surmise of vs) that your Heretical and most vngodly matters, which you speake of, might without blame be attempted by licence of any Councell. Such wicked changes in Religion, as ye haue made, neyther is it lawfull to make with a Councell, nor without a Councell.

The Apologie. Cap. 1. Division. 2.

In deepe King Agesilaus did but fondely in this behalfe: who, when he had a determinate aunswere made him of the opinion and will of mightie Iuppiter, woulde afterwarde bringe the whole matter before Apollo, to know whether he would allowe therof, as his Father Iuppiter had done or no. But yet shoulde we do much more fondely, when we heare God him selfe plainly speake to vs in his moste holy Scriptures, and may vnderstande by them his wil and meaning, if we woulde afterward (as though this were of none effect) bring our whole cause to be tried by a Councell: whiche were nothinge els, but to aske, whether men woulde allowe as God did, and, whether menne woulde confirme Goddes Commandemente by their Authoritie.

M. Hardinge.

For as muche as the Scriptures, wherein God speaketh vnto vs, be in sundry places not moste open and plaine to (a) humane senses, and many by mistaking them be deceived: were it not wel doone of you, for the more suretie, and better vnderstandinge of that ye goe about, I meane, in matters concerning religion, to folowe the iudgement of the Catholike Church represented in general Councils? Yea we say boldly, that surer it is in poynts of Faith to leane to the (b) exposition of the Fathers agreeing together, and to folowe the tradition of the Church, then to truste to your selues, or to the letter of the Scriptures, are they for the scanned onely by your owne wittes. For the Church is promised to be leade into al Trueth by the Holy Ghoste. Ye can not saie, any luche promise hath benne made to your particular companie. Therefore it contrary to the were not fondly donne, as ye saye, but wisely, saie we, if ye tried, and examined your Doctrine, whiche ye

(a) Vaine folie.
For what haue
Humaine, or
Natural senses
to do with the
sense of Gods

(b) The Expo-
sitions of the
Fathers very
seldome agree
together: yet
are they for the
moste parte,
pretend Rome.

(4) The Traditions, now vied in the Romain Church, are commonly estrange to the Traditions of the Anciente Learned Fathers. Therefore this Rule is deceitful.

Parte. 5. cap.

10. Diuisi. 2.

Nicol Cusa.

Ad Boha-

mos Epist. 2.

Hierem. 2.

Tertull. in

Apologético.

Clemens A-

lexandrin. in

Oratione ad

Gentes.

Chrys. in E-

pist. ad Ga-

lat. Ca. 1.

pretende to be accordinge vnto the Scriptures, by the (c) rule of Ecclesiastical Tradition, which is the che- rule to trie every Doctrine by.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We neuer despised the iudgements of the Learned, and Holy Fathers: but rather take them, and embrace them, as the Witnesses of Goddes truth. And there- in we finde you the more blame worthy, M. Hardinge, for that, hauinge withoute cause renounced the iudgements, and orders of the Primitive Church, and Anciente Fathers, as to the wise, and Learned it maie some appeare, yet neuertheless ye euer more make haunte of your Antiquitie, and fraite the worlde with a bisarde of the Church, and a thewe of Olde Fathers: as if a poore Summonere, that had losse his Commission, woulde serue Citations by the vertue of his empty bore. And thus haue ye sette al your vaine fantasies in place of Goddes Church: and your Church in place of God: as, by the wordes, and witnesse of your owne felowes, I haue be- fore shewed more at large. For example, Cardinal Cusanus saith, Nulla sunt Chri- sti Præcepta, nisi quæ per Ecclesiam pro talibus accepta sunt: The Commandementes of Christe, are no Commandementes, unless they be so allowed by the Church.

Thus ye leaue Iuppiter, and renne to Apollo: or rather, ye forsake God, and sette to Man: and as it is written in the Prophete Hieremie, Ie leaue the Fontaine of the Water of Life: and rippe vp broken, and filthy Cesternes, that can holde no Water. Ter- tullian thus rebraideth the Heathens, Apud vos de humano arbitrio Diuinitas pen- sitatur: Empryie ye the right of God is weighed by the Iudgemente of menne.

But Clemens Alexandrinus saith, Quoniam ipsum Verbum ad nos venit de Cælo, non est nobis amplius eundem ad Humanam Doctrinam: For so muche as the VVorde it selfe, (that is, Christe) is come to vs from Heauen, we maie not nowe any more sette vnto the Doctrine of Man.

Likewise S. Chrysostome saith: Fuisse ex- tremæ absurditatis, eum, qui edocui fuerat a Deo, postea cum hominibus communi- care: It had been great folly for S. Paule, hauinge receiued his Doctrine from God him selfe, afterwarde to confesse thereof with menne, that is to saie, with Peter, or Iames, or with any others.

The Apologie. Cap. 1. Diuision. 3.

Why, I beseeche you, excepte a Councel wil, and commaunde, shal not Truthe be Truthe, or God be God? If Christe had meante to do so from the beginnunge, as that he woulde preache, or teache nothinge, with- out the Bishoppes consente, but referre al his Doctrine ouer to Annas, and Caiphas, where shoulde nowe haue benne the Christian Faith? Or, who at any time shoulde haue hearde the Gospel taught? Peter be- rily, whom the Pope hathe oftener in his mouthe, and more reue- rently blesse to speake of, then he doothe of Iesus Christe, did boldly stande againste the Holy Councel, saieinge, It is better to obey God, then Men. And after that Paule had once intierely embraced the Gospel, and had receyued it, not from menne, nor by man, but by the onely VVil of God, he did not take aduise therein of Fleashe, and Bloude, nor broughte his case before his kinnemen, and Brethren, but wente forthwith into Arabia, to preach Goddes Diuine Mysteries, by Goddes onely Authoritie.

M. Hardinge.

Wee preache againste your folies, and fan- tasies, and not againste the doctrine of the Church, If you occupie a flute no better, by my reade, you shal geue over your pipinge and floutinge. Truthe is Truthe, and God is God, whether any Councel wil or nil. May, as for the Truthe, and for God, euery Councel lawfully assembled haie wil, so againste the Truthe and againste God, it hathe no nil. When ye proue vnto vs, that ye are specially called, as Paule was, and haue a special Commission to preache againste the Doctrine of the Church, as he had againste the wicked Iewes, then a Goddes name take no aduise of any man, but forthwith preache, and crie out so loud as ye liste, so that ye goe into Arabia,

Arabia, as S. Paule did, or where els so euer ye wil. For euerie good man would be lothe, England should be troubled with you.

The Apologie, Cap. 2. Division. 1.

**Yet truly, wee doo not despise Councelles, Assemblies, and conferen-
ces of Bishoppes, and Learned menne: neither haue wee donne, that wee
haue donne, altogether without Bishoppes, or without a Councel. The
mater hath benne treated in open Parliamente, with longe consultation,
and befoze a notable Synode, and Conuocation.**

M. Hardinge.

Either your tonge agreeth not with your harte, or els I wil proue the contrarie by your owne ar-
gumentes, whiche in the nexte Paragraphe before this ye haue huddled vp. For whereas your maters
are (as ye ordinarily saie) euident by plaine scripture, and the Woorde of God is readen of euerie manne
(without distinction and limitation) therefore ye doo firste of al plaie as fond a parte as Kinge Agestilaus
did, who receiuinge an answer of mighty Iupiter, would afterwarde bringe the mater before Apollo.
Yea furthermore, ye doo muche more fondly then he, if when ye maie heare God him selfe speake plain-
ly to you in the most holy Scriptures, ye would bringe the whole cause to be tried by a Councel.

Howe saie ye then now, are not these your owne proper reasons, &c. Your foresaide woorship-
ful reasons, &c. If yee meane (as by reason yee muste) the Parliaments of these later daies, the firste of al
did make meste of you: and yet howe open was it for you? Had yee any place at al in it? Were ye ad-
mitted within the dores? Or had ye any thing to doo in that assemblie? Consider then with what
consultation your purposes were concluded. Did they tarie many monethes about it? Had they Bi-
shoppes? Had they Diuines and the moste learned, to reason too and fro with al libertie? Was the
Authoritie of the Vniuersal Church of Christe, and the Doctrine of the Aunciente Fathers considered? * Vntrithe en-
closed. For they
Ye saie in Latine, *Plenis comitijs*, that is, in the ful and whole assemblie, as though none at al had there
refisted, but euerie man had yielded to your maters. What saie ye then of the spiritual Lordes, a great parte
of the Parliamente, and without al doubt, the parte, whiche muste be chiefly and onely regarded, when
the question is of religion? Howe many of them gaue you theire voice to your Gospell? Yea, whiche
of them al did not refiste it? One alone, I must confesse, was afterwarde made to breake Vnitie, of whom
a right good, and Catholike Bishop saide to a noble man, we had but one soele amonge vs, and him ye
haue gotten vnto you. But as of the spiritual Lordes ye had none at al (excepte that one, litte woor-
thy of the name of a Bishop and Lorde, whose learninge was smal, and he noure thereby muche steined)
so of the temporal ye had not al; and so had ye also in the lower howse very many, and wel learned, that
speake againste you. * Had Diuines, &
a solemne Di-
sputation was
appointed at
Westminster
in the presence
of the States of
the Realm. But
your Fellowes
siedde from it.

But let vs consider the notable conuocation, in whiche your mater hath benne treated. If ye meane
the clergie comminge together at that firste Parliamente time, of whiche wee speake, it was of Catho-
likes, not of Sacramentaries, and it put vp a bil againste your proceedings: so farre it was of from confir-
minge them. If ye meane any since that time, in whiche your superintendenships mette together, for
what cause was it a notable Synode, and a notable conuocation?

Yee be desperate in your licenge, and crake of a ful Parliament, and a notable Synode, not regarding
by what meanes yee promote your cause to the multitude, and saue your selues from reproche of extreme
folie. For otherwise yee, whiche can so amplye the smal and obscure meetings of a fewe Caluenistes
of one litte llande what would ye not saie of the laste general Councel, to whiche more Nations were
assembled together, then are Shyres in Englande: more yeres were bestewed in consultation, then
weekes in your full Parliamente: more Bishoppes defined and subscribed, then were Ministers of al
sortes in your notable Synode by many partes.

Lastly if they wil nedes haue their maters seme to depende of theire Parliamente, let vs not be bla-
med, if wee cal it Parliamente Religion, Parliamente Gospel, Parliamente Faithe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

**We wil not discusse the Right, and Interest of the Parliaments of Englande.
As mutche, as concernethe Goddes euerlastinge Truthe, we holde not by Parla-
mente, but by God: Parliaments are vncertaine, and often contrarie, as we haue
sene. But Goddes Truthe is one, and certaine, and neuer changethe. The thinges,
that were so suddainely, and so violently shaken downe in the late time of Quene
Marie, are now, of Goddes greate mercie, by oure moste Noble, and Graceous La-
dy Quene Elizabeth, aduisedly, and soberly reared vp againe, that they maie the
more firmly continue, and stande the better. What so euer wante, ye imagine,
was in that Parliamente: so, as mutche, as we were no parte thereof, I trust, we
maie the more easily be excused.** Howe be it, so scornefully disbequing the
whole

* A notable
greate compa-
nie. These wer
onely fourtie
poore Bishoppes,
and yet foure

of the same
were no Bi-
shoppes at al.

whole State of so Noble a Realme, ye shall hardly winne the opinion, eyther of Sobrietie, or of greate Wisedome. The Parlamente was summoned by Roial Authoritie, and was continueed, and concluded in Wyder, as heretofore it hath benne vsed.

But your Bishoppes (ye say) withstoode vs: and your Brethren in the Conuocation promoted a bille against our Doctinne. I knowe, M. Hardinge, they subscribed then againste vs with the very same handes, with which, not long before, they had openly protested, and solemnely swozne against the Pope: and with which they haue sithence receiued, and embraced our whole Religion, to the utter condemnation of al your folies. These were they, that promoted billes againste vs, and withstode our Doctrine.

Onely one foole (ye say) ye had amongst al your Bishoppes: And he was soone gotten to come to vs. Happy were they, M. Hardinge, that had but one foole in so great a companie. If somme of your Louanian Clergie had then benne Bishoppes, I thinke, they might haue benne somme what better stored: notwithstanding, it was not wel donne, of your parte, so vnciuilely to calle your Brother foole. Al the reste of your Eyes then, very fewe excepted, haue donne the like. Yet fooles, I trowe, ye will not call them: lest happily your owne wittes be called in question.

Where ye woulde seme to saie, that the Parlamente holden in the firste yere of the Queenes Maiesties Reigne, was no Parlamente, so that your Bishoppes refused wilfully, to agree vnto the Sobly Lawes there concluded, ye seme therein to betwaine in your selfe somme wante of skille. The wise, and learned coulde sone haue tolde you, that in the Parliaments of Englands, matters haue euermore vsed to passe, not of necessitie, by the special consente of the Archebishops, and Bishoppes, as if without them no Statute might lawfully be enacted: but onely by the moze parte of the voices, yea althoughe al the Archebishops, and Bishoppes were neuer so earnestly bent against it. And Statutes, so passinge in Parlamente, onely by the voices of the Lordes temporal, without the consente, and agrement of the Lordes Spiritual haue neuertheless alwayes benne confirmed, and ratified by the Real assent of the Prince, and haue benne enacted, and published vnder the names of the Lordes Spiritual, and Temporal.

An. do. 1296 Reade the Statutes of Kinge Edwarde the firste. There shal ye finde, that in a Parlamente solemnely holden by him at S. Edmundes Burie, the Archebishops, and Bishoppes were quite shutte fowthe. And yet the Parlamente helde on, and good, and wholesome Lawes were there enacted, the departinge, or absence, or malice of the Lordes Spiritual notwithstanding. In the Records thereof it is witten thus, *Habito Rex cum suis Baronibus Parlamento, & Clero excluso, Statutum est, &c.* The King keeping the Parlamente with his Barons, the Clergie, (that is to saie, the Archebishops, and Bishoppes) being shutte fowthe, it was enacted, &c.

An. 1273. Like wise, In Prouisione de Martona, in the time of Kinge Henry the thirde, whereas mater was moued of Bastardie, touchinge the Legitimation of Bastardes bozne before Marriage, the Statute past wholly with the Lordes Temporal, whether the Lordes Spirituall would or no: Yea: and that contrarie to the crypse Decrees and Canons of the Church of Rome The like hereof, as I am enformed, maie be found, *Cap. 9.* Richardi. 2. An. 11. Ca. 3. Howe be it, in these cases, I muste confesse, I walke somewhat without my compasse. Touchinge the Iudgemente hereof, I referre ma selfe wholly vnto the Learned.

Extra. Quis filij sunt Legitimi. Further, whereas ye call the Doctrine of Chyiste, that now by Goddes great Mercie, and to your great grase, is vniuersally, and freely preached, a Parlamente Religion, and a Parlamente Gospell, (for suche sobrietie becommeth you well, and maie stande you in steele, when learning faileth) ye might haue remembred, that Chyiste him selfe, at the beginning, was vniuersally receiued, and honoured through this Realme, by assent of Parlamente: and further, that without Parlamente, your Pope him selfe was neuer receiued, no not in the late time of Queene Marie Yea, and euen then, his Holinesse was clogged with Parlamente Conditions, that, what so euer had

had benne determined in Parleme[n]te, and vvas not repealed, vvere it neuer so con-
trarie to his vvill, and Canons, shoulde remaine stil inuolable, and stand in force. **Other**
wife, his Holinesse had goune home againe. **Suthe, M. Wardinge, is the Autho- Superinten-**
tie of a Parleme[n]te. Verily, if Parleme[n]tes of Realmes be no Parleme[n]tes, then wil denten.
your Pope be no Pope. Therefore as you nowe calle the Truthe of God, that wee
professe, a Parleme[n]te Religion, and a Parleme[n]te Gospel, euen so with like sobrietie,
and grauitie of speache, yee might haue saide, Our fathers in olde times had a Parla-
mente Christe: And your late fathers, & Brethren had of late in the time of Queene
Marie a Parleme[n]te Faith, a Parleme[n]te Masse, and a Parleme[n]te Pope.

Neither is it so strange a mater, to see Ecclesiastical Causes debated in Parla-
mente. Reade the Lawes of Kinge Inas, Kinge Elfrede, Kinge Edwarde, Kinge E-
thelstane, Kinge Edmunde, Kinge Edgare, Kinge Canute: and yee shal finde, that our
godly forefathers, the Princes, and Peeres of this Realme, neuer vouchsafed to en-
treat of maters of Peace, or Warre, or otherwise touching the Common state, before
al controuersies of Religion, and Causes Ecclesiastical had benne concluded. Kinge
Canute in his Parleme[n]te, holden at VVinchester vpon Christmasse daie, after sun-
drie Lawes, and Orders made, Touchinge the Faith, the keepinge of Holy Daies,
Publique Praiers, learninge of the Lordes Praier, Receiuinge of the Communion thise
in the yere, the manner and forme of Baptisme, Fastinge, and other like maters of
Religion, in the ende thereof saithe thus, *Item sequitur Institutio legum Sæcularium:*
Nowe folowethe an order for Temporal Lawes.

Legu Cannu

Thus wee see, that the godly Catholique Princes in Olde times, thought it
theire duetie, before al other affaires of the Common Weale, first to determine ma-
ters of Religion, and that euen by the Parleme[n]tes of this Realme.

In a Parleme[n]te holden by Kinge VVilliam the Conqueroure, it is witten thus:
Rex, quia Vicarius Summi Regis est, ad hoc constituitur, vt Regnum, & populum Do-
mini, & super omnia, Sanctam Ecclesiam, Regat, & defendat, &c. The Kinge, for as
much as he is the Vicare of the Highest Kinge, is therefore appointed to this purpose,
that he shoulde Rule, and defende the Kingedome, and People of the Lorde, and aboue al
things the Holy Church, &c. Hereby it appeareth, that Kinges, and Princes are spe-
cially, and of purpose appointed by God, not onely to defende, but also to Gouverne,
and Rule the Holy Church.

Nowe be it, if any imperfection shal appeare in the former Parleme[n]tes, wee geue
God thanks for the same, that is: and truste, that, for his owne names sake, he wil
confirm, that he hath begonne. The hartes of Princes, and Determinations of Parla-
mente are in his hande. If any thinge wante, the Arme of the Lorde is not shortned:
He is hable to supplie the same.

Yee magnifie muche your late Chapter of Tridiente, whiche you woulde so
saue haue to be called a General Council: with so many Nations: so many Bishops:
and so many yeeres of Consultation. Yet notwithstandinge of al these so many, and
so many Nations, and Countries, if it maie please you to sitte downe, and to take the
account, yee shal finde there were onely fouretye Bishoppes, and certaine of
the same, (as Richarde Fates, the Bishop of VVorcestre, and Blinde sir Roberte, the
Archebishop of Armach) that onely had the bare Titles of Bishoprikes, and in dede
were no Bishoppes at al. Two others of your saide so many, and so Notable Lear-
ned, and Holy Bishoppes, beinge at your saide worthy Council, were euen there kil-
led in Abouenterie: the one stricken downe with a Clubbe: the other taken in the ma-
noure by the Husbande, and hanged by the necke, out of a greate Lucane windowe,
into the stræte. For these, and other causes, Henry the Frenche kinge openly, by
his Embassadoure, protested againste the same Council in the presence of al your
so many, and so many Bishoppes there, and saide, It vvas not a Council General, but
a Priuate Conuente, or Assemblie of a fewe certaine people summoned together for
gaines sake.

Concil. Tri-
dent.Sub Carolo
Quinto.

Rob. Carca.

vide Concil.
Trident. sub

Paulo. 3.

Matt. Flac.

Illyricum in
Protest. cõtra

Conc. Triad.

Pag. 79.
Io. Sleida. li.23. An. 1551
Conuentus
quorundam

Nowe, whereas it hath pleased you, as wel here, as els where, to spozte your
selfe

*Privatum tri
lustrationis
institutum.*

*Aug. De Ci-
uit. li. 19. c. 19*

*Augustin. in
Psalm. 126.*

*Chrysost. 1. ad
Tim. hom. 10.*

*Hieron. Ad
Eungrum.*

*Anf. ad Phi-
lippen. Ca. 1.*

Beda. 1. Pe. 2

*Petrus de
Palude, De*

*Potest. Colla
Apostolis.*

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

selfe with Superintendentes, and Superintendentshippes, and to refreache your wittes with so vaine a fantasie of your owne, if ye had benne so deeply trauailed in the Doctoures, Nerve, or Olde, as ye beare vs in hande, ye might easily haue knowen, that a Superintendente, is an Anciente name, and significthe none other, but a Bishop. S. Augustine saithe, Vocabulum Episcopatus inde ductum est, quod ille, qui praeficitur, eis, quibus praeficitur, Superintendit. Ergo, ἐπισκοπῶν, Latine dicere possumus Superintendere. Againe he saithe, Quod Graece dicitur, Episcopus, hoc Latine, Superintensor, interpretatur. Chrysostome saithe, Episcopus ex eo dicitur, quod omnes inspiciat. S. Hierome saithe, ἐπισκοπῶντες, id est, Superintendentes. Anselmus saithe, Episcopus Latine Superintendens dicitur. Beda likewise saithe, Episcopus Latine Superintendens dicitur. Petrus de Palude saithe, Episcopus dicitur Superintendens: Et Petrus fuit Superintendens toti Mundo: Peter was the Superintendente of the whole worlde. Your owne Thomas of Aquine saithe, Episcopi dicuntur ex eo, quod Superintendunt. Therefore, M. Hardinge, if you desire more you not, yet at leaste for your grauitie sake, leaue plaieinge with these vaine, and childishe soles. The Bishoppes of Englande haue this date, not onely the same name, but also the same Roome, and Authoritie, and Jurisdiction, that other Bishoppes haue euer had before.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 1.

But touchinge this Councel, whiche is now summoned by Pope Pius, wherein menne so lightly are condemned, beinge neither called, nor hearde, nor seene, it is easy to gheasse, what we maie looke for, or hope of it.

M. Hardinge.

The General Councel of Trente is now at length by Gods special fauours concluded and ended. What haue ye to saie to it? Forbeare your accustomed lyinge, what haue ye to saie to it? For matters of Faith, what is not sounde and true? For manners, what sore lacketh due laue? For discipline, what disorder hath not wholesome restraints and punishments? What defectes be not prudently considered, how to be supplied? What abuses be not required to be taken away, as farre, as manners wit could deuise, and the weakenesse of the presente age can beare?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

At this mater is fully answered by VVhat, and by VVhat. VVhat mater of Faith? VVhat manners? VVhat disorder? VVhat defectes? VVhat Abuses? But all these vvhattes notwithstanding, vvhath if your Pope, your Cardinales, and youre Clerergie, with the whole disorder of youre Romaine Church, with so manie Priestes keepinge Concubines, with so manie Non Residentes, with so manie Doumbe Bishoppes, and with so many thousandes of common Harlottes, be in case now, euen as they were before? Will ye telle vs neuerthelesse, that al your soares bee sufficiently salued? No, muste we beleue that your keepinge of Concubines, your open Steeves, and Fornications &c. be no soares? Merily S. Bernarde saithe, as it is alleged before, Intestina, & insanabilis est plaga Ecclesiae: The wounde of the Church blede is inwardely, and is past cure: And againe, A planta pedis, vsque ad verticem Capitis non est in ea sanitas: There is no whole parte in the Church from the sole of the foote, to the toppes of the Heade. Baptista Mantuanus saithe, Aegrotatque Fides iam proxima morti: The Faith of the Romaine Church is sicke, and almoste deade.

In your Olde Latine Translation of the Bible, there be sundrie errors, so open, and so grosse, that a very Babe maie some espie them: as it maie more plainly appeare by Budzeus, Erasmus, Valla, Faber, Lindanus, and others. Yet, that notwithstanding, your Councel saithe precisely thus, Ne quis Veterem vulgata Editionem rejicere quouis pretextu audeat, vel praesumat: Lette no man dare, or presume by any manner of colour, to refuse the Olde Common Translation of the Bible: As if your Councelles were purposely summoned, to maintein errors. If ye wil so wilfully deceiue us in sensible maters, howe maie we then truste you in maters of Faith?

The

• Vntruster o-
pen and with-
out shame. For
example your
Steeves, your
Courtheigh-
anes, and For-
nications and
Concubines
were neuer
touched.

*Bern. in Ca-
tica, firm 33.*

Holcote in

Lib. Sapient.

Leitio. 23.

*Bern. in Co-
uers. Pauli.*

Bapt. Mat.

Fastor lib. 4.

ad Leon. 10.

*Council Tri-
dent.*

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

Th. 2. 2. qua.

134. Ar. 6.

Arti. 1.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Division. 2.

In times passe, when Nazianzene saw in his daies, how menne in sutch Assemblies were so blinde, and wilfull, that they were carried with affectious, and laboured moze to geate the victorie, then the Truthe, he pronounced openly, that he neuer had seene any good ende of any Council. What woulde he saie now, if he were alieue at this daie, and vnderstoode the heauinge, and shouinge of these menne: For at that time, though the matter were laboured on all sides, yet the controuersies were wel hearde, and open errorrs were put cleane a waie by the general voice of al partes: But these menne will neither haue the case to be freely disputed, nor yet, how many errorrs soeuer there be, suffer they any to be changed. For it is a common custome of theirs, often, and shamelesly to boaste, that their Church cannot erre, that in it there is no faulte, and that they must geue place to vs in nothinge. Or, if there be any faulte, yet must it be tried by their Bishoppes, and Abbates onely, because they be the Directours, and Rulers of matters: for that they be the Church of God. Aristotle saith, that a Cittie cannot consist of Bastardes: Nowe whether the Church of God may consist of these menne, lette them selues consider. For doubtelesse, neither be theire Abbates, Abbates in deede, nor theire Bishoppes sutch natural right Bishoppes as they ought to be.

M. Hardinge.

Gregorie Nazianzene in his Epistle to Procopius saith thus: I refuse to come to whatsoever Council of Bishoppes. Because I coulde neuer yet to this daie see the ende of any Council enuoyed with any profit, and after whiche, thinges amisse were not rather made more greuous, then healed. Nazianzene in that Epistle spake of (1) prouincial Councelles, specially those that were holden in his troublefome times, where mooste commonly Heretikes through fauour of their deceived Princes bare the sway. Which in matters of Faith coulde hardly then obtaine any credite amonge the Catholikes, onlesse they hadde benne confirmed by the auctoritie of the Bishop of Rome, of whiche sorte at that age were fewe. Those other Nazianzene had experience of, of these he had not. Albeit in deede the vilitie of the Nicene Council in his time, that is to saie, within a fewe yeres after the same was holden, was not yet thoroughly estied, and fully knowen abroad. Neither would he if he were alieue at this daie, reprove the Holy general Council of Trente, as yee doe. For why shoulde he? And howe shamelesse be ye, to require vs, to yelde and geue place vnto you? Who made you iudges ouer vs? Who gaue you commission? Where is your warrant?

What neede yee to shewe your malice so muche at Bishoppes, and Abbates? Whiche of them hurteth you? Haue ye not in prison, or in custody (b) at your appointment al the Bishoppes of Englande, one Apostata yet liuinge excepted, whiche after sundry fighes and changinge of coates, is fledde from the tentes of the Church to your scattered troopes? The Abbottes, haue ye not driuen them awaie? Be yee yet afrayde of their shadowes? As by Aristotle a Cittie can not consist of Bastardes, no more can the Church of Englande consist of sutch Bastarde Bishoppes, as ye be: what number of Abbottes yee haue leaue in Cloisters, sutch number of true Bishoppes haue yee lefte in Churches. One must I stil excepte, who is a true Bishop by consecration (as I vnderstande) though a false man by Apostasie, and going from his Faith, and from his Religion.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Touching the vn lucky successe of Councelles, the Ancient Father Nazianzene saith thus, Equidem, vt verè, quod res est, scribam, prorsus decreui fugere Omnem Conuentum Episcoporum. Nullius enim Concilij bonum exitum vnquam vidi. Concilia enim non minuunt mala, sed augent potius: To saie the truthe, I am vtterlye determined neuer to come to any Council of Bishoppes. For I neuer yet sawe good end of any Council. For Councelles abate not ill thinges, but rather encrease them. These wordes thus vttered, whether they be vniuersally true, or otherwise I will not reason.

Nazian ad Procopium.

(a) vntuthe. For he speakerhe of al manner of Councelles, as wel General as Prouincial His woordes be, Prorsus decreui fugere Omnem

Conuentum Episcoporum.

(b) This is a lū. the kinde of Diuinitie.

(b) Vntuthe. For they are committed onely by the appointments of the prince.

Grego. Nazianzen ad Procopium.

The successe
of Councils.
Monkes, &
Abbatess.

Ὁ ἱεροῦ συνόδος
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ
ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ

Sozomenus.

Hieron. Ad-
uersus Lu-
ciferianos.

Tir. Linius.

Maiores
virescit melio-
rem.

Oratio Sy-
nodica.

Legati Regis

Francia.

An. 1562.

Copm. f. 284

Aug. Ep. 11.

Aug. Ep. 76.

Erasmus in

Scholys in

Epistolam

ad Rusticum

Monachum.

reason. It maie seeme hereby, this Learned Father, for his time, by experience found them true. And for ought, that maie appeare to the contrarie, notwithstanding any thinge contained in your Glose, he saimeth to utter the same, as wel of General Councils, as of Prouinciall.

Certainely after the Create General Council of Nice, the Arian Heretiques waied more, and more mightie, then euer they hadde benne before. The Emperours Constantius, and Valens, with their Waiues, and Courtiers became Arians. Constantinus the Create him selfe was also doubted to be an Arian. Liberius the Bishop of Rome, and Hosius that famous Learned Bishop of Spaine gaue their handes vnto the Arians. Tenne seuerall sundrie Prouinciall Councils, gaue their voices with the Arians. S. Hierome saith, Ingenuit totus Orbis, & Arianum se esse miratus est: The whole world beganne to erre, and marueiled, that it had taken parte with the Arians. Therfore Nazianzen saith, He neuer sawe Council, nor Prouinciall, nor General, that ended well: For this, as one saith, The greater side oftentimes ouerweigheth the better. Euen so saide the frenche Kinges Embassadoure, in the behalf of his Prince, in your late Chapter at Tridente: Nostra, Patrumque nostrorum, & Avorum memoria, Synodos inlicitas fuisse, Episcopos conuenisse, maximos in Germania, atque Italia Conuentus peractos esse, scimus. Vix tamen vllus, aut perexiguus inde fructus Christianitati consistit: Wee knowe, that bothe in our Grandfathers, and Fathers daies, and in oure owne time, Councils haue benne summoned, Bishoppes haue mette together, greate and sleming Assemblies haue benne kepte, as wel in Germanie, as in Italie, yet scarcely any good at al, or very litle good came thereof to the state of Christendome.

Concerninge Abbates and Monasteries, we haue saide before. We haue as many Abbates in Englande this daie, as either Christe euer had, or his Apostles. Notwithstanding one of your Learned felowes of Louaine, for the god wil be beareth to that Religion, telleth vs, that the Apostles were Monkes, and Christe him selfe was the Abbate. The Abbates, and Monkes, that were in olde times, were menne giuen to studie, and learninge. And out of Monasteries learned menne were then taken, as out of schooles, and Vniuersities, to the rule, & gouernement of the Church. But your Abbates now are as much like those Abbates, as your Church is like the Primitive Church of Christe.

Erasmus saith, Haud scio, an nunc magis expediret Ecclesie Christiane, si pauciora essent Monasteria, essetque omnium idem Cultus, eademque preces, eademque vitæ ratio: I knowe not, whether it were better for the Church of Christe, that there were fewer Monasteries: and that al menne had one Seruice of God, one kinde of Praier, and one order of Life.

The Apologie. Cap. 3. Diuision. 3.

But graunt, they be the Church: let them be heard speake in Councils: let them alone haue authoritie, to geue consente: yet in old time, when the Church of God (if yee wil compare it with their Church) was very wel gouerned, bothe Elders, and Deacons, as saith the Cyprian, and certaine also of the Common people were called thereunto, and made acquainted with Ecclesiastical maters.

M. Harding.

Al Syn, would yee haue the common people come to the General Council? Whome meane ye, I praie you? Tinkers, and Tapsters, Fiddlers and Pipers, such as your ministers be? Alas poore soules, what shoulde they doo there? For there is no tinkinge nor tipplinge, no fiddlinge nor pipinge. There may they shutt vp bothe budgits and mouthes. For neither can they speake in such an audience to be vnderstanded, nor can they vnderstande what is spoken. Looke in your Bookes better, and you shal finde Cyprian to make lile for your purpose.

Had your maters benne good, it might haue benne defended without lies. Being as it is, altogether beside Truth and reason, for some colour of the mainetenance of the same, yee passe al measure in lying.

Where

Where saith S. Cyprian that certaine of the common people were called to Ecclesiastical Councelles? Yea, (a) S. Cyprian specially where saith he, (a) that the common people were made iudges of Ecclesiastical matters, for so words be plain haile your Latine, which not without guile your Lady interpreter commonly tourneth, were made acquainted with Ecclesiastical matters? If you had meante true dealing, Defender, you woulde haue quoted the place: but you knewe thereby your falsehead shoulde haue bene espyed. (b) The place which you meane, doubtles is in the seconde tome of S. Cyprians woorkes, where we finde the sentences of the Bishoppes of Aphrike, *De Hæreticis Baptizandu*: Which proueth your strange saying, by you fathered vpon that holy Martyr, nothing at al. The wordes be these. *Cum in unum Carthagini conuenerent, &c.* When many Bishoppes in the firste daie of September were assembled together at Carthage, out of the prouince of Aphrike, Numidia, Mauritania, with their Priestes, and Deacons, the most parte of the people also being present, &c.

Now what can you gather out of them for your purpose more, but that a great number of the people were present onely in the Church or other place, where the Bishoppes were assembled? That certaine of the common people were called to this Councell, there is no suche woorde mentioned, nor by Cyprian, nor by any els. Neither were the Priestes them selues (which this youthful Gentilwoman interpreteth Elders) and Deacons called thither, but they attended vpon the Bishoppes, as in suche case it hath benne accustomed: for sentence none might geue in any Councell, but onely Bishoppes. Then howe muche lesse were the common people called to that Councell, specially to litle as iudges in Ecclesiastical matters? Mary, hau you would so haue it, I thinke well, for such confusion might best serue you to procure the ouerthrowe of good order, in whiche the Church is gouerned, whiche if it be maintained duly, your disordered and rebellious state will soone be confounded.

The Bishop of Salisbury.

Your Tinkers, and Tapsters, M. Hardinge, are ashamed in your behalfe, to see your folie. A poxe saile Jester, to winne his dinner, woulde be lothe to scoffe so colde-ly. Certaine of our Clergie wante the knowlege of Rhetorique, Logique, Philosophie the Hebrewe, the Greeke and the Latine tongues: Therefore we call them Tinkers and Tapsters. Dutche is the Sobrietie, and Modestie of your talke. Of what Schoule, or tongue then are your Priestes, M. Hardinge, that, for the moze parte, can neither speake Latine, nor Reade Englishe, nor vnderstand the Articles of their Faith, nor any portion of the Scriptures: Cloudes without Raine: Lanternes without Light: Salte without sauoure: Blinde guides: Dombe Dogges: that, as one saith, seeme to haue their soules geuen them in steele of salte, to keepe them from sinking? Of what Arte, or Occupation was he, that Baptized a childe in Latine, in this sorte, In nomine Patria, & Filia, & Spiritu Sancta? What a skilfull Clerke was your Pope Iulius. 2. that assigning a warrant, in steele of Fiat, wrote, Fiatur? Your owne Doctoure, Alphonsus de Castro, saith, Constat plures Papas adeo illiteratos esse, vt Grammaticam penitus ignorent: It is mozte certaine, that many Popes be so vnlearned, that they be utterly ignorant of their Grammar. And, being utterly ignorant of their Grammare Rules, I beseeche you, for what other profounde science of Logique, or Philosophie, can we praise them? An other of your Doctoures saith, Papa propter defectum literaturæ non potest deponi: The Pope may not be deposed for lacke of Learning. That is to saie, the Pope, though he be neuer so ignorant, or void of knowlege, yet is as good a Pope as the beste.

An other of your Doctoures saith: Venalitate Curia Romanæ, inanimè præficiuntur Lenones, Coqui, Stabularij equorum & Pueri: Through the briberie of the Court of Rome, Baudes, Cookes, Hostlers, and Children be placed in Offices to gouerne the Church. Shal we therefore saie, as you saie, that al your Priestes be Fidler, and Pipers, Tinkers, and Tapsters?

Somme certaine of oure Clergie be ignorant in the tongues, as yours be: but not ignorant in knowlege, as yours be. The wiser of them saith, and lamenteth your wilful ignorance. S. Augustine saith, Multo minus malum est, indoctum esse, quam indocilem: Lesse hurte is it, to be vnlearned, then to be wilful, and vnapt to learne. Irenæus saith, Melius est, & vtilius, idiotas, & parum scientes existere, & per Charitatem proximos Deo fieri, quam putare se multum scire, & multa expertos in suum Deum blasphemos inueniri: Better is it a greate deale, for menne to be ignorant, and to knowe his lile, and by lone to drawe neare to God, then to thinke them selues to knowe muche, and

Vottruses
boldly auouch-
ched. Read the
Antiuuare.

De con. dist.
4. Regularit.
Iulius. pp. 2.
Alphon. Lib.
1. Cap. 4.

Felinus de
Rescriptis. Si
quando.

Aureu Spe-
culum. In
Antilogia.

2. Corin. 11.
August con-
tra Academi-
cos. li. 3. ca. 7
Irena. Li. 2.
Cap. 45.

to haue greate experience, and yet to be fownde blasphemers againste God.

*Ambros. De
Fide, Lib. 1.
Cap. 3.
Ambros. De
Incarnatio-
ne Domini.
C. 9.
Origen in
Cantica.
Homeli. 4.*

S. Ambrose saith, Non in Dialectica complacuit Deo, saluum facere populum suum. Regnum enim Dei in simplicitate Fidei est, non in contentione Sermonis: *It pleased not God, by Logique to saue his people. For the Kingdome of God standeth not in contention of talke, but in simplicitie of Faith.* Likewise he saith, Verba Philosophorum excludit simplex Veritas Piscatorum: *The simple plaine Faith of Fishers confoundeth the woordes of the learned Philosophers.* The Ancient Father Origen saith, Sapientes huius seculi, videntes absque Arte Grammatica, & peritia Philosophica confurgere muros Euangelij, velut cum irrisione quadam dicunt, perfacile hoc posse destrui calliditate Sermonum, per astutas fallacias, & Argumenta Dialectica: *The wise menne of this Worlde, seeinge the walles of the Gospel to rise vp without Grammaire, and profounde knowledge in Philosophie, saie scornfully amongst them selues, that al this by subtiltie of speache, and crafty sutes, and Logical Argumentes, maye ful easily be shaken downe.*

*Conci. Car-
thage. 3. Can.
19. Et Con-
cil. Hippon.
Chrysostom.
Genes. ho. 44*

It appeareth by the Councils of Carthage, and Hippo Regius, that in olde times Children vnder fouetene yeres of age were admitted to be Readers in the Church, and notwithstandinge either their age, or wante of learninge, the people was wel contented, with silence, and reuerence to geue eare vnto them. S. Chrysostome saith, In humanis negotijs, quando Rex Diademate coronatus, &c. *Euen in worldly affaires, when the Kinge sendeth forth his Proclamations, the Currier, or Pourfauante is oftentimes a man of smal accompte, and sometime so base, that he neuer knewe his owne Father, or Grandefather. But they that receiue the Proclamation, haue no regarde vnto him, that brought it: notwithstandinge, in respecte of the Kinges letters, that he brought, they yeelde him honoure, and with silence, and reuerence geue attendance to the Proclamation.*

*Numer. 22.
Hieronym.
in Psalm. 32*

These simple vnlarned ones, whom you so disdainfully despise, shal rise vp in the daie of our Lorde, & condemne you with al your knowledge. God is hable to make the poore Alle to speake, to controlle blinde Balaams wilful pourposes. S. Hierome saith, Paulus, qui Solæcismos facit in loquendo, Christi Crucem portat, & quasi triumphans omnes capit: Totum Orbem subegit, ab Oceano vsque ad Mare Rubrum: Paule, *that is not hable to utter his minde in congrue speache, beareth the Crosse of Christe, and triumpheth al menne Prisoners, as if it were in triumph: from the Ocean vnto the Redde Sea, he subdued the whole worlde.* Likewise S. Ambrose saith, Non querro, quid loquatur Philosophi: requiro quid faciant. Soli in suis Gymnasijs remanserunt. Vide, quam Fides Argumentis præponderet. Illi quotidie à suis consortibus deferuntur, qui copiose disputat: Illi quotidie cresunt, qui simpliciter credunt. Non creditur Philosophis: Creditur Piscatoribus: *I demaunde not, what these greate learned Philosophers saie, but what they doo. They are forsaken, and leaue alone in their Schooles. Beholde, howe mutche more weighte there is in Faith, then in Arguments. They with their profounde Reasones are dailey forsaken of their felowes: These with their Simple Faith goe forwarde, and encrease dailey. Ad euen beleeue not the learned Philosophers: They beleeue vnlarned Fishers.*

*2 Timoth. 3.
1. & 2. Timoth.
Curtius.
Cyprian.
Quintian.
lib. 3. ca. 76.
Thomas Se-
cunda.
Secunde qua.
18. p. art. 6.
Dist. 21. Clo-
ros.
Hierony. ad
Titum. cap. 1
Plinius. lib. 1.
Publicola.*

Priestes, (ye saie) this yongful Gentlewoman Interpreteth Elders. S. P. Hardinge, little needeth that godly Learned, and vertuous Lady, to feare your so vnmanly, & childlike toies. If ye had benne either so sagely studied, as ye pretende, and your frendes haue thought, ye might some haue learned, that Presbyter a Prieste, is nothinge els, but Senyor, that is, an Elder: and, that a Prieste and an Elder are both one thing. And therfore wheras S. Paule saith, Aduertus Presbyterum accusationem ne admiseris: S. Cyprian translating the same, saith thus, Aduertus Maiorē natu accusationē ne receperis. Your own Doctour Thomas Aquina saith, presbyteri in Græco dicuntur, quasi Seniores. Your owne Gratian saith, Presbyter Græce, Latine Senior interpretatur. S. Hierome saith, Idem est Presbyter, qui Episcopus. *These two wordes, πρεσβύτερος, πρεσβύτερος, are expounded in Latine, Natu maior; Natu maximus. So Plutarchus saith, πρεσβύτερος, O de Tree: So Nazianzene saith, πρεσβύτερος, Goeinge by a stiffe, as olde menne use to doo. Therfore, P. Hardinge, it had benne moze for your grauitie, to haue spared these youre yontheful folies.*

Ye saie, The Priest, & Deacons waited onely vpon the Bishoppes: but Sentence in Council they might geue none. This tale were true, P. Hardinge, if euery youre word were

a Gospel. But S. Luke would haue tolde you far other wise. For, speakinge of the and Laie firste Christian Councel, holden in the Apostles time, he saith thus: Conuenerunt minime in Apostoli, & Seniores, vt dispicerent de hoc negotio: *The Apostles, and Elders mette councelles, together, to take order, touching this matter.* And againe in the Conclusion, Placuit Apostolis, & Senioribus, cum tota Ecclesia: *It seemed good to the Apostles, and Elders, together with the whole Church.* Were you see, the Apostles, and Elders, gaue their voices together. Nicephorus saith, Athanasius inter Diaconos Alexandrie Primarius, non minima pars Nicenae Synodi: Athanasius beinge (not a Bishop, but) one of the chiefe Deacons of Alexandria, was not the least parte of the Councel of Nice. Tertullian saith, Praesident probati quique Seniores, honorem istum non pretio, sed testimonio adepti: *The iudges in sure the Ecclesiastical Assemblies be the best allowed Elders, hauinge obtained that honoure, not for minie, but by the witness of their Brethren.* And in the seconde Councel of Nice, Petrus Protosacerdos, and Petrus Presbyter, not beinge Bishoppes, but onely Priestes, sent thither by Agrianus the Bishop of Rome, gaue their assentes, and subscribed their names before al the Bishoppes.

Niceph. li.
8. Cap. 15.

Tertullian
in Apologia
lico.

Council Ni-
cen. 2. Actio.

Touchinge S. Cyprian, ye saie, as your grauntie, and modestie best leadeth you, wee live without coloure, and passe measure in lyinge. And here, as minie say, that goe by gheasse, and answere longe before they knowe, ye finde out a place in S. Cyprian, that wee thought not of: and, upon assurance thereof, ye blowe by your trumpe, and call us liars. Wot ye it, wise minie thinke him a halfe Judge, that pronounceth before he knowe the cause. S. Cyprian saith, A primordio Episcopatus mei, statui, nihil sine consilio vestro, & sine consensu Plebis, mea priuatum sententia, gerere: *From my first entringe into the Bishopricke, I haue determined to doo nothinge by mine owne authoritie, without your aduise (beinge the Priestes, and Deacons), and withens the consente of the people.* For doinge the contrarie herof, the Ancient father Origen rebuketh Bishoppes of pride, and statelynesse. Thus he saith, Quis hodie eorum, qui populis praesunt, Consilium dignatur inferioris saltem Sacerdotis accipere? Ne dixerim Laici, vel Gentilis: *What one nowe adays, of al the Bishoppes, that haue the oversight of the people, toucheth saith to take the Counsel of any Inferioure Prieste? I wil not saie, of a Laie man, or of an Heathen.* But, S. Hardinge, are youre Cardinales, and Bishoppes of Rome: They disfigure the companie, and Counsel of their inferiours, S. Ambrose, touching a case of Faith against the Arians, saith thus: Veniant, si qui sunt, ad Ecclesiam, Arianam cum populo: Non vt quisquam Iudex resideat: sed vt vnusquisque de suo affectu habeat examen: *If there be any of them, let them come to the Church: Let them geue eare, and hearken with the people: Not that any man there shal sitte as Iudge: but that euery man maie haue the examination of his owne minde.* S. Ambrose alloweth no one man, to sit as Pope, and to ouerrule al the reste, what so euer he saie.

Cyprian, li. 3
Epist. 10.

Origen in
Exodum,
Homil. 11.

Ambros. li. 3
Epist. 32.

To conclude, your owne Pope Nicholas, writinge vnto Michael the Greeke Emperoure, saith thus, Vbinam legistis, Imperatores, Antecessores vestros, Synodalibus conventionibus intersuisse? Nisi forte in quibusdam, vbi de Fide tractatum est: quae vniuersalis est, quae omnium communis est: quae non solum ad Clericos, verum etiam ad Laicos, & ad omnes omnino pertinet Christianos: *Where did your Maiestie euer read, that your Predecessours, beinge Emperours, were euer presente at the Assemblies of Councelles? Onlesse it were, when question was moued concerninge the Faith. For Faith is vniuersal, and common to al minie, and belongeth not onely to the Priestes, but also to the Laie minie, and generally to al Christians.* But herof wee shal haue occasion to speake moze hereafter.

Epist. Ni-
cholai pp. ad
imp. Mi-
chaelem.

The Apologie. Cap. 3. Division. 4.

But, I put case, these Abbates, and Bishoppes, haue no knowlege: what if they vnderstande nothinge, what Religion is, nor howe wee ought to thinke of God? I put case, the pronouncinge, & ministringe of the Lawe be decayed in Priestes, and good counsel faile in the Elders, and as the Prophete Micheas saith, The Nighte be vnto them in steede of a vision,

Michea. 8.

and

Eſay 56.

and darkeneſſe in ſteede of Propheſying: **As** Eſaias ſaith, VVhat if all the vvatchemen of the Cittie be become blinde? VVhat if the Salte haue loſte hys propre ſtrength and ſauerineſſe, **and** **as** Chriſte ſaith, be good for no uſe, ſcant vvoorthe the caſtinge on the dounghill?

Mat. 5.

M. Hardinge.

The worlde knoweth ſo well, (yea Heauen alſo) the great woerthineſſe of thoſe Fathers in every reſpect, that, I ſhould doo them wronge here to praiſe them, for that by your ſoonde furniſhes you ſecke their diſpraiſe.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

*Matthias
Flacius Illy-
ricus, in pro-
teſtatione cō-
tra Concil.
Tridē. pa. 79*

Heauen, and Earthe knoweth, **M. Hardinge**, that two of your Reuerende vvor- thy Fathers, notwithstandinge their vertues, and al their great woerthineſſe, were ſla- ken, and ſlaine in Aduonterie, euen there preſente at your Council. Therefore ye do your ſelfe ſomme wronge, in reſpecte of your credite. ſo waſtefully to beſtowe your ppraiſes.

The Apologie. Cap. 4. Diuiſion. 2.

Well yet then, they wil bring al matters before the Pope, who can not erre. To this I ſaie, firſte it is a madneſſe to thinke, that the Holy Ghoſte takerh his flight from a General Council, to reuue to Rome, to the ende if he doute, or ſicke in any matter, and cannot expounde it of him ſelfe, he may take counſel of ſome other ſprite, I wote not what, that is better learned, then him ſelfe. For if this be true, what needed ſo many Biſhoppes, with ſo great charges, and ſo farre iorneyes, to haue aſſembled their Conuocation at this preſent at Tridē? It had ben more wiſedome, and better, at leaſt it had bene a mutche nearer, and hand- ſommer waie, to haue brought all things rather before the Pope, and to haue come ſtreight forth, and haue aſked counſel at his Diuine Breſt. Secondly, it is alſo an vnlaſtfull dealing, to toſſe our mater from ſo many Biſhoppes and Abbates, and to bring it at laſte to the triall of one onely man, ſpecially of him, who himſelfe is appeached by vs of hai- nous, and ſoule enormities, and hath not yet put in his anſwere: who hath alſo aforehand condemned vs without iudgement by order pro- nounced, and ere euer we were called, to be Judged.

M. Hardinge.

** We Honour,
and Adour the
Holy Ghoſt, as
very God: But
you ſhameful-
ly abuſe the
name of God.*

We maie leſſe wonder at your ieſſinge in other things, ſith now we ſee you ſcoffe and ieſſe in things touching God him ſelfe. Sir, ſet you ſo lighte by the Holy Ghoſte, was thus vnreuerently to talke of hys flight and runninge to Rome, of his doubtinge, and ſickinge, of his vnableneſſe to declare doubtfull ca- ſes, of aſking counſell of an other ſprite? Who euer vttered ſuche vile talke of the Holy Ghoſt, but ſome vile caitiſe, quite void of his grace? Can not you conceiue that reaſonably, the determinations of Coun- cels be referred to the Pope Chriſtes Vicare in Earthe, onleſſe ye vtter ſuche vnreuerent and prophane ſcoffes? It had become a wicked Celſus, a Porphyrius, a Iulian, thus to talke, rather then any Chriſtian Hickſcorner. You ſhould at leaſt haue looked on your ſquare Cappe, and your white rochet, if you haue any: if nothing els, they would haue told you, that ſuch prophane lightneſſe became not your perſon.

** Vntruthes
huddled toge-
ther without
ſhame.
(a) A ſage kind
of mockerie.
For then dothe
not the Pope
Confirm his
Brethren: but
rather is Con-
firmed by his
Brethren.*

Concerning the pointe it ſelfe you touche, * although the Pope haue that Priuiledge, which Chriſte *Luke. 22.* prayed to his Father for to be geuen vnto Peter, as being Peters Succellour, that his * Faith faile not, and that he confirme his Brethren, and therefore be an * aſſured iudge in matters of Faith, yet this notwithstanding, Councils be not aſſembled together in vaine. For the Fathers of the Council doo (a) help: the Faith and Doctrin of the higheſt paſtour, Wherefore in the firſt Council at Ieruſalem, when as a greate *Act. 15.* queſtion roſe, and Peter had layde his iudgement, nor proppe d with any teſtimonie of the Holy Scryp- tures. Iames approoued it, adding thereto the teſtimonies of the Prophetes. For Gods providence ſo rende- reth the Church, that the chiefe * members, though they depende of the * Heade, yet defende and heale the Head.

Wherefore Beda admoniſheth diſcretely, that Paule conferred the Goſpell, which he had Preached a- mongeſt the Gentiles, with the other Apoſtles, ſeeking warily to be reſolued, whether he preached right- ly of the craſſinge of the obseruances of the Lawe. Not that he doubted ought thereof him ſelfe, (ſayth he) *1 Cor. 9.* but

but that the mindes of them, that were in doubt, might be confirmed by the Auctoritie of that Aposto- like Councell,

To that you alleage secondly, as a great inconuenience, we tel you, that forasmuch as the Pope is at eu- ry generall Councell lawfully assembled, eyther in person, as sundry Popes haue benne, or by his Legates: (b) neither is it an vnlawfull dealing, nor such toying as you terme it, matters maturely debated in the Councell, to be referred to the Pope head of the Councell, not so much for a newe trial, as for final confir- mation. The Fathers of the Nicene Councell, besought S. Syluester, that what they had ordeined, he wold confirme and ratifie. And Leo what things the Councell of Calcedon had decreed, touching matters of Faith, saith, that he approueth them. And the Councell it selfe speaking to Leo, sayth thus: *Decretis tuis nostrum honora Iudicium*: With thy decrees honour our Iudgement. Likewise the Fathers of other Councells, required their constitutions to be strengthened by confirmation of the Popes auctoritie.

And fir, finde you fault with the Pope, by cause he hath not yet put in his answere? I pray you, (c) who accused him? Where, when, and wherof? In what lawfull Courte? Before what lawfull Iudge? O you saie, he hath not yet put in his answere. Be it that Hicke, Hob, and Hans of your sectes haue impu- dently accused him. Howe woulde ye haue him bring in his answere? To what seate of Iudgement, to what Consistorie can ye cite him, that is by Christe appoynted (d) to be the Supreme Iudge of all his Church, the Sheperde of all his Flocke? It is not for him you knowe, to bringe in his answere in Westminster Haull, nor in Sterre Chamber. Will ye haue him appeare before your high Commissioners in the longe Chappell at Powles, or in M. Grindalles chamber thereby, where ye haue saide and donne your pleasure, and deprived many honest menne of their benefices? Or will ye rather haue him come to Geneva, to Zurich, to Frankforde, to Strasburg, to Wittenberg, or to some other corner, where ye haue your congregations, there to be Iudged by Iacke and Galles? I pitty you, poore soules, that yee talke thus so farre out of square, and woulde the Pope to bringe in his answere, ye knewe not where, hauing nei- ther iuste Courte, or Consistorie to call him vnto, nor lawfull Iudge, nor lawe to passe vpon him. For through your Schismes and Heresies, as ye haue made your selues Churchlesse, Christelesse, and Godlesse: so also Courtlesse, Iudgelesse, and Lawlesse, I can not compare you better then to the Rebelles of North- folke vnder Captaine Kere, amongst whom Mount Surrey was their London, and an Oke or an Elme commonly called the tree of Reformation, was their Westminster Haull. Suche Prince, such Dominion, suche Iudge, suche Consistorie.

Ye complaine, the Pope hath condemned you without Iudgement by order pronounced, and before ye were euer called to be Iudged. This is as true as that the Murderer, or Theefe answereth the Iudge at the barre, saieinge, not guilty my Lorde. Ye haue benne sundry times called to lawfull Consistories, to Synodes, to Councells. Alwayes eyther ye made not your appearance, or by right of falseconduct con- ueyed your selues away, without any shewe of Obedience: or vpon promise of amendement, you were dismissed. Howe many Legates and *Nuncios* haue sundry Popes sente into Germanie and other Pro- uinces, to conuent you, to heare you, to moue you to a better mynde, and call you home, and with all merciful meanes to gather you againe into the lappe of the Church? He may say, to your condemna- tion, that was saide of the Iewes: What is that I ought to haue done to (e) my Vineyarde, whiche I haue not donne? But all was in vaine, suche hath bene your stubborneesse.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Wee ieste not at Goddes Holy Spirite, *pp. Wardinge*. *Wee* know, it is the same Spirite of VVitedome, that hath renewed the face of the worlde, and discovered the multitude of your folies. But wel may wee iest at your vnhandsome, and open legier- dumaine, that so vainely seeke to blinde vs with a painted shadowe of the Sprite of God. *Wee* pretende longe *Wdiars*, mutche fastinge, great conference of Doctours, and Scriptures, and the vndoubted presence, and assistance of Goddes Holy Sprite in all your doings, and yet openly strue against the manifest VVoorde, and Sprite of God. and solow only your owne Sprite, whiche we may truly cal the Sprite of Vani- tie. The sprite that you meane, is nothing els, but the Sprite of Rome: whiche you saie, is the Sprite of Truthe, and can not erre. In one of your late Councelles, holden in Rome, as ye were singing, and roaring out *Veni Creator Spiritus*, a poore old *Wole*, amazed with the noyse, leapte out of the hole, tobere the late, and pitched doونه in the middes, and late amongst you. Thus it pleased God to discover your Hypocrisie, and your folie, that the worlde might knowe, in what Sprite ye were assembled.

Elias the Prophete of God iested thus at the Priestes of Baal: *Crie out aloud: Is your God. Either he is occupied in somme talke: or he is in his inne: or he is trauaylynge* 3. Regum. 18. *upon the waie: or els perhaps he is a sleepe.* Yet neither was Elias, an *Wicke Scozner*: nor iested he at Goddes holy Sprite: nor did he any thinge, that was vnseemely for his personne.

By this Dis- nitielames was a member of Peter.

(b) Wisely. The Holy Ghoste that is presente at the Councell, for better aduise, taketh Coun- sel of the Pope.

(c) The whole worlde accu- seth him.

(d) A soule vn- truebe. For Christe neuer gaue the Pope anye luche Commission.

(e) Open Bla- sphemie: The Church is the Popes Vine- yarde.

Nicolaus de Clauengijs.

Sprite of *Addition.* **C** If this iestling so muche offende your tender eares, *Addition*
Council. beware ye offende not your dearest frendes, that haue moze liberally used the lyke
Nic. Cusan. iestling. For Nicolaus Cusanus, being himselfe a Cardinall of the Church of Rome,
De Concor- thus iesteth at Pope Eugenius, his Lorde, and maister: Quomodo potest Papa Euge-
dan. Lib. 2. nius dicere, hoc verum esse, si ipse velit, & non aliter? Ac si inspiratio ipsius Sancti Spi-
Cap. 20. ritus foret in potestate Romani pontificis, ut tunc inspiret, quando ipse velit: *Howe can*
Pag. 749. Pope Eugenius saie, This is true, if it please him to haue it so, & none otherwise? As though
1. qua. 1. Eos the inspiration of the Holy Ghoste were wholly at the Popes commaundement, to breake
qui. ouely, when he wil haue him. Terasius the Patriarke of Constantinople writeth thus
to Adrianus the Bishop of Rome: Tolerabilior est Hæresis Macedonij, qui allerit, Spiritum
Sanctum esse seruum Patris, & Filij. Nam isti faciunt Spiritum Sanctum seruum
suum: The Hæresie of Macedonius, that helde, that the Holy Ghoste is a slave to the Fa-
ther, and to the Sonne, is more tollerable, then the Hæresie of Simonistes. For they make the
Holy Ghoste their owne slave. I wil saie nothinge of Laurentius Valla, Canon of the
Church of Rome: for that he so many yeres agoe, so sharply found fault with sundrie
errours in the Church, and therefore was thought euer partial in his speeches. Note
withstandinge his wordes be these: Papa etiam rem Ecclesiasticam, & Spiritum San-
ctum quæstui habet, quod Simon ille Magus detestatur: The Pope maketh Marchan-
dis of Church goodes, and raiseth gaine of the Holy Ghost, and setteth him to sale. This
iestlinge is broade, and bitter, *P. Harding*, and yet nothing prejudicial to the Sprite
of God.

Appellatio **As** for your Councelles, whether they be al, and euermoze summoned by the
vm. Parisien. Sprite of God, or no, it maie wel be doubted. The Vniuersitie of Parie thus prote-
Anno. 1517. sted, by waie of Appeale, againste Pope Leo. 10. and his Council of Rome: Dominus
Leo Papa Decimus, in quodam Cœtu in Ciuitate Romana, nescimus qualiter, non ta-
men in Spiritu Domini, Congregato: Our Lorde Pope Leo 10. in a certaine Council,
gathered in the Citie of Rome, by what meane, wee know not: but surely not by the Sprite
of God. And touchinge your Late Chapter, or Conuenticle, which ye call the Coun-
cell of Trente, the Frenche Kinges Embassadoure, beinge there in presence, said thus:
Oratio Sy- Minus legitima, minusue libera fuisse dicuntur illa Concilia. Qui aderant, ad voluntatem
nodica. Anno alterius semper loquebantur: The saieinge is, that these were neither Lawfull, nor free
1562. Councelles. The Bishoppes, that were there, spake (not alwaies of the Sprite of God,
but) cheremore to please somme other: By whiche Other, he meante the Pope.

Luc. 22. Christe saithe vnto Peter, I haue praied for thee: and Paule went vp to Hierusalem,
Galat. 2. to visite Peter: Ergo (ye saie) The Pope hath Authoritie to confirme Councelles. *P. Har-*
dinge, your Logique of Louaine is marvellous hasty. Ye force your Conclusions, to
renne in poste. For what maketh, either Christes praier for Peter, or Pauls iourney
from Arabia to Hierusalem for the Confirmation of your Councelles? Verily, here is
no manner mention, neither of Confirmation, nor of Conncel, nor of Pope. You
might as handsomely haue concluded thus, Peter tooke his boate, and wente a Fishinge:
Ergo, The Pope hath the ful authoritie to confirme Councelles. And whether ye will make
this same to serue you for an Argumente, or no, it were harde to telle.

Au. De que. **Whereas** Christe praied namely for Peter, S. Augustine saithe, as he hath benne
Noui Testa. alleged before, Nunquid pro Petro rogabat: pro Iacobo, & Iohanne non rogabat? Did
quasi. 75. Christe praie onely for Peter, and did he not praie for Iames, and Iohn?

Au. De ver. **Againe** he saithe, Hac nocte postulauit Satanas vexare vos, sicut triticum: Sed ego
Dom. 7n E- rogauit Patrem pro vobis, ne deficiat Fides vestra: This mighte haue Sathan desired to
uang. Secun- breache you, as if ye were wheate. But I haue praied to my Father (not onely for Peter,
dum Lucam. but) for you that your Faith maie not faile.

Sermo. 36. **Touching** that Paule went vp to Hierusalem, of Courtisie, to see Peter, he sought
Galat. 2. not thereby the certaintie of his owne Doctrine, as a man, that otherwise trade in
doubt, whether he had so longe, for the space of fourtiene yeres, preached Truthe, or
Falsehede: but rather founde faulte with Peters dissimulation in Doctrine, and re-
proued him openly euen vnto the face. S. Hierome saith, Pertexit Hierusalem, non tam
ut disceret aliquid ab Apostolis, quam cum eis Euangelium, quod docuerat, collatus: *Paul*

Hier. in Epi.
ad Gal. ca. 1.

Paule went up to Hierusalem, not so muche to learne any thyng of the Apostles, as to conferre with them touching the Gospel, that he had preached.

S. Chrysostome saith further, Paulus nihil opus habebat Petro: nec illius egebat voce, sed honore par erat illi: Nihil enim hic dicam amplius: Paule had no neede of Peter, Chrysost. ad nor had any cause to craue his voyce: but in honour and worthynesse was his equall: As for Gala. cap. 1. more I will not saie.

Concerning the Confirmation of Councelles, wee haue spoken otherwhere more at large. Councelles were Confirmed, not onely by the Bishop of Rome, but also by other Bishoppes, and Patriarkes: and not onely by other Bishoppes, but also by Kinges, and Emperours. The Emperour Martianus saith, sacro nostra Serenitatis edicto ve nerandum Synodum Confirmamus: By the holy Edicte of our Maiestie wee Confirm this do. Actio. 3. Reuerende Councell. Likewise the Bishoppes in the Councell of Constantinople, besought the Emperour Theodosius, Rogamus Clementiam tuam, vt per literas tuae pietatis ratum esse iubeas, Confirmesque Concilij Decretum: Wee beseeche your fauour, that by your Maiesties Letters, yee will ratifie, and Confirm the Decree of the Councell.

Touching the Councell of the Apostles at Hierusalem, your owne Doctour saith, Postquam Petrus dixisset, Iacobus, Autoritate pontificali, protulit Definitiuam Sententiam: When Peter had said his minde, Iames by his Episcopall authoritie, pronounced the Definitive Sentence: that is to saie, gaue his Confirmation to the whole. By which saieinge it maie appeare, that Iames was in authoritie aboue Peter. For he that pronounceth Definitive Sentence, in all assemblies is euer the greatest.

To conclude, Councelles haue benne allowed, and holden for god, whether the Bishop of Rome woulde or no. Liberatus saith, when Anatolius, by consent of the Councell of Chalcedon, had obtained the Primacie, and the Bishop of Rome Legates stood againste it, their gaine saieinge of the Iudges, and Bishoppes there, was not receyued. And notwithstanding the apostolike See of Rome euen hitherto stande againste it, yee the Decree of the Councell, by the Authoritie, and maintenance of the Emperour, after a sorte, standeth stil in force.

Putte pleasant spoote yee make vs M. Hardinge, vvithe puttinge in the Popes answer. Be it (saie you) that Hicke, Hob, and Hans haue accused the Pope. Woulde yee haue him appeare in this Courte, or in that, to be iudged by lacke and Gill? The whole worlde, M. Hardinge, hath of long time charged the pope with Ambition, Briberie, Simonie, Superstition, Idolatry, and open Corruption of the ordinances and wil of God. If he disdigne the iudgement of so many, and call the whole worlde Hicke and Hob, let him not marueile, if the whole worlde disdigne him. If he maie be both Iudge, and Partie, and may make answer onely before him selfe, I doubt not but he shal haue a good fauourable hearinge.

I beseeche the good Christian Reader, for shortnesse sake, consider that I haue writte ten before, touching the Accusing, and Iudging of the Pope. There shalte thou see, as Ennodius saith, That the Pope together with the Power of Teachinge, hath receiued free libertie to doo ill, without controlment. Therefore he saith, Neque ab Augusto, neque ab omni Clero, neque a Regibus, neque a populo, Iudex iudicabitur: The Pope, that is the Iudge, shalbe iudged neither by the Emperour, nor by the vvhole Clergie, nor by Kinges, nor by the people. Dutche a Prerogative saith Athanasius, was sometyme claymed by the Arian Heretiques: Cum ipsi sint rei, ac Iudicio obnoxij, veluti Caiphas, iudicandi minus inuadunt: Where as they them selues be guilty, and in danger of Iudgement, they plaie the parte of Caiphas, and take vpon them to bee Iudges them selues. In like manner Chrysostome saith, Figura ibi duntaxat Iudicij erat: re autem ipsa erat Latronum impetus: There was onely a shew of Iudgement: but in deede it was a brunt or violence of Thieues.

Yee saie further The Pope maie saie to our condemnation, that God saith vnto the Iewes: What is it, that I ought to haue done to my Vineyard but I haue done it? And thus yee giue the pope power to challenge the Church of God, to be his owne. Euen so Nero, that wicked Tyran, when he had wasted, and consumed the Cittie of Rome, and burnt it with fire, he called the same neuertheless by his owne name, Neronopolis, Neros towne. One of your Doctours saith, Huius Communitatis non est Dominus, nisi Christus, vel Papa, potest. Papa There is no Lords of this common state, (that is to say, of the Church,) but eyther Christe, or the

or the pope: As if Christe and the pope were ioynt Pourchasers.
1. Pet. 5. But in dede Christ only is the Prince of pastours. And the Church is his only Spouse,
Aug. de verb. and not the popes. S. Augustine saith vnto Christe, Tu Petro non disalli, Paice Oues
Dom. in E. tuas: sed, pasc Oues meas: Thou saidst not vnto Peter, Feede thy Sheepe: but feede mine.
uang. Secun- Peter belongeth vnto the Church: but the Church belongeth not vnto Peter.
dam Iohan. The Church is not the popes Vine: It is the Vine of the Lorde of Sabaoth. Ther-
Sermo. 50. fore, P. Hardinge, aduise your selfe better. Your wordes are guilty of great blasphemie,
Esa. 5. if it shalbe sufficient for the Pope, if he maie be onely a branche in this Vine: if he
be not withered: if he be not cut of, and thzowen into the fiere.
S. Augustine saith, Qui hoc animo pascunt Oues Christi, vt Suas velint esse, non
Aug. in Io- Christi, se conuincuntus amare, non Christum: vel gloriandi, vel dominandi, vel acqui-
han. Tracta. rendi cupiditate: They, that feede the Sheepe of Christ, to that end, that they would haue
123. them to be theire Sheepe, and not Christes, are founde to loue themselues, and not Christe: for
desire, either of Glorie, or of Gouernement, or of Gaine.

The Apologie. Cap. 4. Diuision. 2.

Howe saie ye, do we deuise these tales? Is not this the very course
of the Councelles in these daies? Are not all thinges remoued from the
whole holy Councel and brought before the Pope alone: that as though
nothinge had benne donne to purpose, by the iudgements and con-
sentes of sutch a number, he alone maie adde, alter, dimynishe, dis-
anulle, allowe, remitte and qualitie, what so euer he list? Whose
wordes be these then? And why haue the Bishoppes and Abbates in the
late Councell at Tridente, concluded thus in the ende, Sauinge alwaies the Au-
thoritie of the See Apostolique in all thinges? Or why dooth Pope Paschale write
so proudly of him selfe? As though, saith he, there vvere any General Coun-
cell hable to prescribe a Lawe to the Church of Rome: where as all Councelles bothe
haue benne made, and haue receyued their force, and strength by the Authoritie of the
Church of Rome: and in ordinaunces made by Councelles, is euer plainly excepted
the Authoritie of the Romaine Bishop. If they will haue these thinges al-
lowed for good, why be Councels called? But if they commaunde them
to be void, why are they least in theire Bookes, as things allowable?

De Election.
& Electio-
is. Significa-
ti.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Who made
al the Faithfull
of Christe, the
Popes Mem-
bers.
(b) Vnto the
plain and ma-
nifest. For the
Pope had no
Authoritie to
cal Councels: As
it shal appeare.
Nicol. Pap. 1
Ad 7^{mp}.
Michaelem
Concil. Late-
ran. Sub Leo-
ne 10.
Sessione 10.

Sir, what neede you bestowe so muche talke in vaine? Is it not reason the (a) members acknowledge
the heade? Woulde you the members to worke their actions without the heade? Is Pope Paschalis to be
called proude for preferringe the Church of Rome before a Councell? Haue not Councelles euer benne
thought to lacke their full Auctoritie, (b) whiche were not called and confirmed by the Bishop of Rome?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Apostolicke, and Sobrietie of pope Paschalis claime, shall better appeare in the
next Diuision. Verily the Church of Rome theise many yeres, may saie so haue
benne nothing els, but a potter of falsehed, and a scholl of pride. Pope Nicolas
saith, De Sedis apostolicæ iudicio nemini licet iudicare: It is lawfull for no man to iudge
of the popes iudgement. And of late yeres, pope Leo saide, Papa habet Authorita-
tem super omnia Concilia: The Pope hath Authoritie ouer al Councelles. What al law-
full Councelles haue euermore ben summoned, and confirmed by the pope, it is a ma-
nifest, and grosse Vntruthe: as hereafter it shalbe opened more at large.
Where ye saie, It is reason, the Members shoulde acknowledge their Heade that is the pope.
For shame, P. Hardinge, and for your credites sake, once leaue theise vanities. If the
Pope be any parte of Goddes Church, he is a member, and not the Heade: and
the Faithfull of the Church of God, are Christes members, and not the popes.
In dede Pope Arhanasius saith thus of him self, Mihi cura erit, Euangelij Fidem circa
meos populos custodire, partesque Corporis mei per spatia diuersa terrarum, quantum
possum

possum literis conuenire: *7 wil be careful to keepe the Faith of the Gospell amongst my people, and by letters to deale with the partes of my Body, lying ouer the sundrie Coastes of the Worlde.* Thus he imagineth in his dreame, that al the Princes, and states of the Worlde be nothinge els, but the partes, & members of his Body.

The Apologie, Cap. 5. Diuision. 1.

But be it so: Let the Bishop of Rome alone be aboue al Councells, that is to saie, let somme one parte be greater then the whole: let him be of greater Power, let him be of more Wisedome, then al his: and, in spite of Hieromes heade, let the Authoritie of one Cittie be greater, then the Authoritie of the whole V Worlde.

M. Hardinge.

It is a common maner of this Defender, what he muste needes graunt, to make a shewe in woordes, as though it were free giste. So many times beggers wil seeme gentlemen, and payers of dette wil seeme gentlemen. Let the Bishop of Rome, (saith he) alone be aboue all Councelles. (a) Sir, he is so, no thanke to you Yet speake you like a liberal gentleman, That is to saie, let some one parte be greater than the whole. No sir maugre your scoffing heade, parte shal not be greater than the whole, but parte shall be greater then parte, (b) in a right sense is not the heade greater then the body, the Bishop, then his Clerergie, the (b) maister of a house, more than his familie: Neither is the Councel the whole pardy, except your mery witte can diuise vs a whole body without a heade. Let him be of greater power, saie you. And so he is. Lette him be of more wisdome, then all his. VVee saie not so. It may be, that his Councel hath more learninge (c) more knowledge and more wisdome, then his onely person. Albeit, when we speake of that wisdome of the see Apostolike, whiche is sure, infallible, and can not erre. We meane not onely the Popes singulare persone, but heade Pastour and Bishop, as he doothe those things, which pertaine to that chaire, that is to saie, in as much as he procedeth not vpon his owne priuate iudgement, (d) but by the instincte of the Holy Ghost, promised by Christe to his Vicare. VVhere you saie, And in spite of Hieromes heade, Let the Authoritie of one Cittie be greater than the Authoritie of the whole worlde, we tel you, that this you speake more spitefully, then learnedly. For S. Hierome in his Epistle to Eusagrius speaketh (e) onely of a particular matter, blaming the custome of Rome, where contrary to the custome of the whole worlde, Deacons in certain cases were preferred before Priestes, wherof we haue spoken before.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Sir Defender (ye saie) speaketh like a liberrall Gentleman. Againe ye saie, No sir, Maugre your scoffing heade. These, and other like wordes, M. Hardinge, are sittinge, and seemely for your person, and maie wel becomme your mery witte.

Where we saie, Let the Bishop of Rome be of greater power, then any General Councel. Ye answere vs readily, And so he is: Where we saie, Let him be of more Wisdome, then al other Bishoppes, Ye answere againe, Wee saie not so: As if ye woulde allowe the Pope Authoritie, and Power without Wisdome. Yet wise menne haue saide, that Power without Wisdome is the Kingedome of Folie.

The Pope (you saie) Maugre your scoffing heade, shall be greater then the Church. And yet shal not the parte be greater, then the whole. Awake a litle, M. Hardinge, and erpound vs youre dreame.

The whole, we speake of, is the whole Church of Christe. And, I trowe, by your learninge, the Pope is a Parte, or member of the same. Other wise ye muste telle vs, that the Pope is no parte of the Church of Christe. Whiche thinge, al circumstances considered, were not harde to be graunted.

But the Pope (saie you) bringe but a parte, is greater then the whole Church. Ergo, saie I, it must needes folow, that the parte is greater, then the whole. Neither was it my scoffing heade, as it pleaseth you to say, that framed this reason. Your owne Doctoure Gerson the Directoure of the Councel of Constance, saue it, and vttered it aboue a hundred and fiftie yeres agoe: Yet was he not therfore thought to be a Scoffer. These be his wordes: *Quartum, utrum Papalis autoritas sit maior, quam Ecclesia, redit in idem, ac si quateretur, utrum totum sit maius sua parte: To demaunde, whether the Authoritie of the Pope be greater, then the Authoritie of the Church, is as muche, as if a man woulde demaunde, whether the whole be greater, then the parte.* You sae, M. Hardinge, these be Gersons wordes, and not mine. Therefore ye might with more sobrietie haue spared your scoffing, at scoffing heades.

Egg. iij.

Domine

Hierony. ad Eusagrium.

(a) Vntuthe. O

therwise shew

vs by what au

thority he is to

(b) Ful wisely.

As if the Pope

were the Hed

and the whole

Church w. 10

his Body: Or

as if the Pope

were the Mai

ster, and the

Church his

Familie.

(d) O fond so

lie. For so any

simple creatur

so long as bein

led by the Ho

ly Ghoste, can

neuer erre.

(e) Vntuthe,

vaine, and chil

dish For S. Hie

rome speaketh

plainly of Au

thoritic: Si

Autoritas

quaritur.

Maiores est

Orbis Vrbe.

Io. Gerso. de

de potestate

Ecclesiastic.

Consid. 7.

Somme of your frenches haue saide, Si totus mundus sententiaret in aliquo negotio contra Papam, videtur quod standum esset Sententiæ Papæ: *If the whole world should geue sentence in any mater against the Pope, it seemeth, wee ought rather to stande to the Popes iudgement, than to the Iudgement of all the worlde.* Albertus Pigghius saith, Certius est iudicium Papæ, quàm iudicium Generalis Concilij, aut Totius Orbis terrarum: *The Iudgement of the Pope is more certaine, then is the Iudgemente of a Generall Council, or else of all the whole Worlde.* **An other saith,** Papa virtualiter est tota Ecclesia: *The pope by power is the whole vniuersall Church.* **An other saith,** Potestas solius papæ excedit potestatem residuæ Ecclesiæ. *The popes onely power exceedeth the power of al the Church beside.* **An other saith,** Papa non potest subijcere se Concilio Generali: *The pope can not submit himselfe to a Generall Council.* **Upon these two thy foundations, ye haue buylt by the popes Infinite, and Vniuersal pouer: And therfore ye saie,** Sir Defender would

Item, to graunt you of free gift, that he muste needes graunt perforce, whether he will or no. **All this notwithstanding,** **S. Harding,** others of your moze indifferent Doctours, would haue tolde you an other tale. **S. Bernarde saith,** Quæ maior superbia esse potest, quàm vt Vnus homo toti Congregationi iudicium suum præferat, tanquàm solus habeat Spiritum sanctum? *What greater pride can there be, then that One man should esteeme his owne iudgement more, then the iudgement of al the Church, as if he onely had the Sprite of God?* **Whereto** Picus Mirandula addeth these wordes, Imò simplici potius Rustico, & Infanti, & Aniculæ magis, quàm Pontifici Maximo, & mille Episcopis credendum est, si isti contra Euangelium, illi pro Euangelio faciant: *N aie, Wee ought to beleue a Simple plaine Husbandman, or a Childe, or an Olde Woman, rather then the Pope and a thousands Bishoppes, if the Pope, and the Bishoppes speake against the Gospel, and the others speake with the Gospel.*

The Bishoppes in the Council of Ferrara saie thus, Quacunque facultate Romana Ecclesia prædita sit, Vniuersali tamen Ecclesiæ, quàm Generalis Synodus, præ se fert, inferior est: *With what so euer power the Church of Rome be endewed, yet is it inferior to the Vniuersal Church, that is represented by the General Council.* **But perhaps ye wil saie,** **This was a Council of Rebelles, and Schismaticques:** so; that the Bishops assembled there, were not so appliable vnto the Pope.

The Bishops in the Coucel of Basile saie thus, Etsi papa sit Caput Ministeriale Ecclesiæ, nō tamen est maior tota Ecclesia. Alioqui errante pontifice, quod sæpe contingit, & contingere potest, tota erraret Ecclesia: *Although the pope be the Ministerial head of the Church, yet is he not greater, then al the Church. Otherwise, when so euer the pope erreth, Which thing hapneth oftentimes, and may wel happen, the whole Church should likewise erre.*

Againe they saie, Nonnulli os suum ponentes in Cælum, Potestatem Romani Pontificis supra potestatem Sactorum Generalium Conciliorum, contra Iuris Diuini, & Humani Veritatem, à Sanctis Patribus aliàs declaratam, exaltare nituntur: *Many menne setting their face agaynst the Heauen, goe aboute to exalt the power of the Bishop of Rome above the Power of Holy General Councelles, contrarie to the Truth of the Lawe, bothe of God, and Man, declared vnto vs by the holy Fathers.*

Againe they saie, Ecclesia Romana non est Vniuersa, sed est de Vniuersitate Corporis Mystici, id est Ecclesiæ: Et sic est Membrum dicti Corporis Mystici, vt patet per Beatum Gregorium. Igitur ex quo est membrum dicti Corporis, non est, nec esse potest Caput illius: Cum differentia sit inter caput, & Membra: *The Church of Rome is not Vniuersal, but a parte of the Vniuersal Mystical Body of Christe, whiche is the Church: and so is it a Member of Christes saide Body Mystical, as it appeareth by S. Gregorie. Therefore, for as mutche as it is a Member of the saide Body, it is not, neither can it be the Hede of the same Body. For there is a difference betweene the Head, and the Body.*

Lykewise againe they saie, Allegant, papam impunè posse tollere Constitutionem Concilij Generalis contra prohibitionem ipsius Concilij Generalis: supponentes, papam esse pastorem Vniuersalis Ecclesiæ. Sed ipsorum suppositum est falsum: & consequenter ipsorum assertio super eo fundata est falsa: *They saie, The pope maie safely abolish the Decree of a General Council, notwithstandinge the same General Councell haue decreed the contrarie: supposinge that the Pope is the Bishop of the Vniuersal Church. But their suppo-*

The Pope is
not pastoure
of the Vni-
uersall
Church.

In eadē appē-
dice. Eod. ca-
pite.

In eadē ap-
pendice. Et
primo.

In appēdice
Cōcil. Basili.
Sacrosancta
Generalis.

Con. Ferrar.
Sessio. 10.

Conci. Basil.
Inter Episc.

Synodales.

In appēdice
Cōcil. Basili.

Sacrosancta
Generalis.

In eadē ap-
pendice. Et
primo.

In appēdice
Cōcil. Basili.

Sacrosancta
Generalis.

In eadē ap-
pendice. Et
primo.

In appēdice
Cōcil. Basili.

Sacrosancta
Generalis.

In eadē ap-
pendice. Et
primo.

In appēdice
Cōcil. Basili.

Sacrosancta
Generalis.

9. quæst.
Nemo.
In Glo.
Alto. Po.
ghim. Ed.
Hiera. 2.
6. ca. 12.

sal is false: and so consequently false is their Doctrine, that they haue builde thereupon.

But, lesse, you shoulde saie, that al these Bishoppes, & Fathers in the Councelles of Ferrara, & Basile, were inflamed with Schismatical Sp:ites, or possessed with Diuels, Nicolaus Culanus, beinge him selfe a Cardinal, and a Childe of the Church of Rome, hathe by expresse wordes auouched the same. Thus he saith: Quia sedentes in ipsa Sede ab hominibus assumuntur, deuia biles, & peccabiles, & nunc maxime, mundo ad finem tendente, & malitia excrecente, sua potestate ad ædificationem data ad destructionem abutuntur, quis dubitare potest sanæ mentis, Vniuersale Consilium tam in abusum, quam in abutentem potestatem habere &c. Vniuersaliter dici potest, Vniuersale Consilium esse omni respectu tam supra Papam, quam supra Sedem Apostolicam: For as much as the Popes, sittinge in the Apostolique See of Rome, be chosen of men, and be such, as maie erre, and sinne, and now specially, the worlde drawinge towards an ende, and wickednesse increasing, abute their Power to the destruction of the Church, that was geuen them for the rearinge vp, and furnishing of the Church, what man, hauing his right wittus, can doubt, but a General Council hathe Authoritie, as wel ouer the abuse, as also ouer the Pope that hath made the abuse: Vniuersally it maie be saide, that the Vniuersal Council is in euery respect, as vvel above the Pope, as also above his Apostolique See. Here is specially to be notes, that Cardinal Culanus saith, The Pope sittinge in his Apostolique See, abuseth his Vniuersal Power, and that to the destruction of the Church.

Therefore, M. Wardinge, this parte of your Booke, emonge the reste, woulde moze aduisedly haue benne considered. D. Cole him selfe, notwithstandinge otherwise well inclined vnto your faction, yet in this point is wel content to geue you ouer. Thus he saith of him selfe: I holde hersin rather with Gerlō, that the Council is above the Pope. The Pope (ye saie) in one respect, as he is a Man, in his owne singulare person, maie happen to erre. But in another respect, as he is Heade Pastoure, and Chiefe Bishop, and is placed in Peters Chaire, he cannot erre.

And thus, as the Heathens, in olde times, imagined their Centaurus to be halfe a Span, and halfe a Horse: or their Ianus to haue two faces, the one behinde, and the other before: euen so haue you imagined two Popes in one body: the one goinge backwarde, the other forwarde: the one bearinge Light, the other Darckenesse: the one deceiued, the other not deceiued: the one speakinge Truthe, the other Fallehedde: & yet bothe these Popes incorporeat together in one Person. Geue vs leaue therefore, M. Wardinge, to saie now, as the whole Vniuersitie of Parise saide, not longe sithence, vnto Pope Leo, A Domino nostro Papa, iam non bene consulto, appellamus: Wee appeale from our Lorde the Pope, beinge, as now, not wel aduised. Wee appeale from the Pope, that hathe erred so shamefully, vnto that Pope, that cannot erre.

Certainely, S. Hierome saith, Non est facile, stare in loco Pauli, tenere gradum Petri iam cum Christo regnantium: ne tortē veniat Angelus, qui scindat Velum Templi tui, qui Candelabrum tuum de loco moueat: It is no easy mater, to stande in Peter, or Pauls place now reigninge with Christ: lest the Angel come, and rent a sunder the velle of thy Temple and thy Candelsticke from his place.

The place of S. Hierome to Euagrius, is answered before.

The Apologie. Cap. 5. Division. 2.

Howe then, if the Pope haue scene none of these thinges, and haue neuer read, eyther the Scriptures, or the Olde Fathers, or yet his owne Councelles? Howe if he fauour the Arians, as once Pope Liberius did? Or haue a wicked, and a detestable opinion of the life to come, and of the immortalitie of the Soule, as Pope Iohn had but fewe yeres sithence? Or, to increase his owne Dignitie, doo now corrupte other Councelles, as Pope Zosimus corrupted the Council holden at Nice in tymes paste: and doo saie, that those thinges were diuised and appoynted by the Holye Fathers, whiche neuer once came into their thought: and, to haue the full swaie of Authoritie, doo wrestle the Scriptures, whiche thinge, as Camotensis saith, is an vsuall custome with the Popes? Howe if he haue

Ggg iij.

remoun-

Nicol. Cusa.
De concord.
Li. 2. Ca. 17.

D. Cole.

Appellatio
Vniuers. Pa-
risi. à Leona
10. An. 1517.
Hic. ad He-
liodornū, De
laude vita
Solitaria.
Part. 2. cap.
3. Diuisi. 5.

renounced the Faith of Christ, and become an Apostata, as Lyranus saith, many popes haue benne & yet, for all this, shall the Holy Ghost with turning of a hand, knock at his breast, and euen whether he wil, or no, yea, and wholly against his wil, kindle him a light, so as he maie not erre. Shal he straight waie be the Headspring of al Right, and shal al the treasures of Wisdom, and Understanding be found in him, as it wer laid vp in store? Or, if these thinges be not in him, can he geue a right, and apte iudgemente of so weighty maters? Or, if he be not hable to iudge would he haue, that those maters should be brought before him alone?

M. Hardinge.

(a) What then should he there fore be aboute Generall Coun-
celles.

Sadly and sagely and much to the purpose.

(b) Vnturthe, fōd & childish For Christ prai ed no more for Peter, than for others: nor euer made mention of Peters suc-
cessours.

O worthy and graue reasons.

(c) Vnturthe. For Christ neuer entred into such couenant with Peters Successours.

(d) Vnturthe plainly repro- ued by S. Hierome.

(e) S. Hieromes Authoritie refu sed as a man writing rash- ly, he knewe not what.

(f) The Pope denieth Christ, for lack of cha rity, but not for lack of Faith.

(g) Vnturthe. manifeste See the Answer.

(h) Vnturthe contrary to that M. Hardinge said immediat ly before. For he held this Er ror in the time of his Popedō.

To your howe if, and what if, I could soone make an answer by the contrary. (a) And Sir, how if the Pope haue seene al these thinges, the Scriptures, Fathers, and Councils? What haue ye then to saie? Is not your tale then at an ende? Were your maters good, and your selfe wise, you woulde not so commonly vse that weake kinde of reasoninge. But to answer of your howe if, and what if, for the readers sake, so put away all scruple, I geue you this answer.

Goddes wisdom (as the Scripture saith) disposeth all thinges sweetely, and in one instant seereth the ende, and meanes that be necessarie to the ende. If he promise any man lye euertlastinge, wuthall he geue him grace also to doo good dedes, whereby to obteyne the same. Whome he hath glorified (saith S. Paule) them he hath iustified and called. So where as he hath by force of his prayer made to the Father promised to Peter, and for the safetie of the Church, (b) to every Peters successour, that his faith shall not faile, and therefore hath willed him to confirme his brethren, that is, to remove all doubtes and enou res from them: we are assured, he will geue him suche witte, diligēce, learninge, and vnderstandinge, as this firmesse and infallibilitie of Faith, and confirminge of brethren requireth. Shall wee stande in doubte whether that hapneth in thinges supernaturall, which we see to be in thinges natural, that who geueth the ende, he geueth also thinges, that pertaine to the attaininge of the ende? If God woulde promise an abun dānce of corne for the next yere to come, what were more foolish, then to doubte, and saie like to this De fender, howe if, and what if men wil not till the ground, nor sowe any seede? Doubtlesse if they sowe, they shal reape: if they sowe not, neither shal they reape. But what? Wee maie gather of the Promise of God, that we shal haue not onely faire and seasonable weather, whereby the fruites of the earthe maie growe plentiful, but also that the husbandmen shal employe their endeuoure, paines, and labour. For the abundance of corne so promised shal not be geuen but so suche, as till, sow, and traualle. I use so where as Christ hath promysed to the (c) Successours of Peter firmesse of Faith, to the Apostles, and their Successours the Spi rite of Truthe, and likewise to Councilles gathered in his name, wee must perswade our selues, that no thing shal wante necessary for the continuance, touchinge Faith to be decided.

That you saie of Liberius the Pope, is sharke false. (d) He neuer fauoured the Arians. The moste ye can find against him, is, that he was compelled by the greater persecution of Constantius, the Emperour, to sub scribe to the Arians. Neither is that by the Auncient writers of the Ecclesiastical histories constantly affirmed but of the chiefe of them not spoken of, where most occasion was, to signifie it, if it had so bene: of it wee denied, of somme mentioned not as true, but as a false rumour bruted abroade of him. By whiche rumour it seemeth (e) S. Hierome was decciued, remaininge in the East, farre from the places where the Tricke might more certainly be knownen. But were it true, that he subscribed, as Peter denied Christ, yet beinge done (f) for lacke of Charitie, and not by erreure in Faith, well might that acte be slanderous to the Church, but it was not a decree made in fauour of the Arians, neither to confirme that heresie.

That you reporte of Pope Iohn the 12. is likewise moste false. The worst that Marcellus of Padua, and William Cokam Heretike wrote of him to flatter the Emperour Ludowicus of Bawaria, is, that he had taught openly (g) (which also is referred to the time before he was Pope) that the soules of the iust see not God vntil the daie of iudgement. That he had a wicked and a detestable opinion of the immortallitie of the soule, there was no such his opiniō, but it is your false slander, by which your wicked and detestable malice ima gined to deface the church, and specially the auctoritie of the holy Set Apostolik. No stone of any cōfirmā tion mentioneth, that he was of that first opinion, after he came to be Pope, much lesse that he gaue any Defini tive sentence of any suche mater. But contrarywise, (h) when as he prepared himselfe to goe to the detinition of that question concerning the seeinge of God, which iust soules haue before the daie of iudgement, as Be nedictus theleventh in *his extraneante* saith, he was preuented by deathe, so as he might not do it.

You belye Zosimus: (i) he corrupted not the Council of Nice: But signified to the Bishoppes of A phrike assembled in Council at Carthage, the Truthe concernyng the Canons of the Nicene Council. The same maye bee proued by Iulius the firste, by the Epistle of (k) Athanasius, and other Bishoppes of Egypte, Thebais, and Libya, written to Marcus the Pope of the originall of the 72. Canons of the Nicene Council, that remayned in safe custodie in the Church of Rome, subscribed with the handes of the Fathers, that at the same Council were present. And what credit was to be geuen to the contrary information of onely

cap. 11.
Rom. 1.
Mark. 16.
Luc. 22.

Senten. li.
cap. 15.
Lib. de vi
sibus
Chronic.

(i) Vntu
manitū
reprent
the Coun
of Aphon
(k) The
very name
childish
gerina.

of onely twenty Canons, that was returned from the Bishops of Constantinople and Alexandria (1) when Heretikes before had burned the Bookes, where the whole number was contained, and left but thole twenty, that al Bokes now commonly haue?

If wee should alleage Camotensis and Lyre, you would cal them the blacke garde, and set lile by them. First shewe vs where they haue that you alleage our of them. M. Iuel allegeth that of Camotensis in an other place. But where it is, he kepeth it to his selfe, and of him selfe it is likely it proceeded. For his dealinge is such, as any false practise in respecte of him, maie seeme credible. Albeit what woooshipfull Doctor ye meane by Camotensis (m) I knowe not: Peraduenture ye meane Camotensis, otherwise called Iuo I haue cause to geile, that so it should be. And yet foure Bookes of sundry Printes bothe Englishe, and Latine so haue. It there be any such, as I suppose there is not, he is very obscure, nor worth the naminge.

The Bishop of Sarisbure

Here ye saie, And Sir, Howe if the Pope haue seene al these things the Scriptures, the Fathers, the Councelles? V What haue you then to saie? Is not your tale then at an ende? No verily, M. Hardinge. I would further desire God to geue him grace, to vse the m wel, and to his Glorie. Notwithstandinge your owne Doctors wil some put al these your whattes and what-its, out of question. For, concerninge the Popes great, and high Learning, Alphonsus de Castro saith, as he hath benne alleged before, Costar, plures papas adeo uiteratos fuisse, vt Grammatica penitus ignorarent: it is certainly knowne, that sundrie popes haue benne so vnskilful in learninge, that they neuer understoode their Grammare. And this he speaketh, not of one Pope onely, but of sundrie. But (you saie) Christe hath praied for Peter, and made sure promise, that his Faith should neuer faile. Therefore the pope is wise: the pope is learned: the pope is Catholique: the pope can not erre. Al this, and a great deale moze, the pope maie claime onely by Vertue of Christes Praier. Howe therefore, if the pope should erre, or be in Heresie, he might sue Christe in an Action of conenaunt, and require him to perfourme his Promise. So saith the Prophete Micheas, Sacerdotes in mercede docebant, & prophetae in pecunia diuinabant: & super Dominum requiescebant, dicentes, Nonne est Dominus in medio nostrum? The Priestes taught the people for hire: and the prophetes prophesied for monie: and yet they rested them selues upon Gods Promise, saie- inge, And is not the Lorde in the middes emongest vs? So the Valentinian Heretiques said sommetime of them selues, Nos salutem de Priuilegio status possidemus: Wee haue our safetie by the Priuilege of our state. Wee cannot miscarris: we cannot erre. But the prophete saith, Every man is a lier: Accursed be he, that trusteth in Man. Your owne Doctor Alphonsus saith, Omnis homo errare potest in Fide, etiam si papa sit: Every man maie erre in Faith: yea, although he be the Pope.

How be it, that your Unlearned Reader maie the better consider, how safely he maie geue credite to your bare worde, whether the Pope maie be deceived in Faith, or no, it maie easily appeare by these fewe Examles. Who so listeth to sake, maie finde moe. Pope Marcellinus offred vp incense, & m. de Sacrifice vnto Diuelles. Tertullian saith, Episcopum Romanum agnoscitenti iam Prophetias Montani, Priscæ, Maximillæ, &c. The Bishop of Rome, wel liking now the propheties (or Heresies) of Montanus, Prisca, and Maximilla, &c. Upon whiche wordes Beatus Rhenanus noteth thus, Episcopus Romanus Montanizat: The Bishop of Rome fauoureth the Heresie of Montanus. Pope Liberius was an Arian Heretique: as hereafter it shal better appeare. Pope Honorius was condemned for an Heretique in two General Councelles. In the Councell of Constantinople the wordes of his condemnation be alleged thus: Anathematizari curauimus Honorium, qui fuerat Papa Antiquæ Romæ: quia in omnibus mentem Sergij sequutus est, & impia dogmata confirmauit: Wee haue caused Honorius, the late pope of olde Rome, to be accursed: for that in al things he folowed the minde of Sergius the Heretique, and confirmed his wicked Doctrine. Alphonsus de Castro saith, Anastasium papam, fauuisse Nestorianis, qui Historias legerit, non dubitat: Who so euer hath readde the storie, or conuerse of time, cannot doubt, but Pope Anastasius fauoured the Nestorian Heretiques. In the very Legende of Hilarius it is mentioned, that Pope Leo was an Arian Heretique. In a sinode holden at Rome againste the pope Hildebrande, it is witten thus, Incendio impresso Contradimus Decreta eorum Heretica: Wee haue burnte their Heretical Decrees. Pope Syluester. 2. was made Pope by Necromancie, and in recompense thereof, promised him selfe bothe Body, and Soule vnto the Diuel.

Hulderi.

(m) If ye know him not, then maie you beste blame your Ignorance.

Alphons. contra Hæres. li. 1. ca. 4.

Michea. 4. Tertul. Aduersus Valentinian.

Psalme. 118. Hierem. 17.

Cœci. Tom. 1. Tertull. Contra Praxeas. Beat. Rhenan. Hier. in praefatione de viris illustribus. Concil. Nice. an. 2. Acti. 7. Con. Costan. 6. Acti. 13. Alphons. li. 1. ca. 4. In Le genda Hilarij. Synod. Romanica in Fa- sciulo rerum sciendarum. lonia. 1553. Joannes Stel- la Venetia.

Whether the
Pope
may erre
or no.

638

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Huldericus the Bishop of Augusta in Germanie, expelleth the Restraint of priestes Marriage by these wordes, Periculosum huius Hæren Decretum: *The dangerous Decree of this Heresie.*

Conci. Basl.
Sessions. 34.

24. Q. 3.
Hæresis.

In Con. Constantin. in Appendice. c. In primis. Qui ab Ecclesia non recesserit, tamen Hæreticus appellari potest: *Who so ever otherwise understandeth the Scriptures, then the sense of the Holy Ghost requireth, by whom they were written.* Pap. 22. *al-dixit, et pertinaciter credidit, animam hominis cum corpore humano mori,*

& extingui, ad instar animalium brutorum. Conci. Basl. inter Epistol. Synodales. Visellus.

24. Q. 1. A. recta.

In Glossa. Ioan. de Parisijs de potestate Regiæ Pap. cap. 13. Gerson. An liceat appellare à Papa. Hostiæ. de cõcessione præbenda. Proposit.

Extra. de electione. Significasti. Abb. Aeneas Syluius. De Concilio. Basiliens. Lib. i.

Notwithstandinge, I haue sene the same Epistle vnto P. Nicolas, together with another Epistle to like purpose, written in olde Teleme of very anciente Heresie, under the name of Voluhanus the Bishop of Carthage. But, what made way to touche al the particulars: The Doctours of the Greate Schole of Sorbona in Parise, haue determined in their Articles, that S. Peter himselfe erred in the Faith. The Councel of Basle condemneth pope Eugenius by these wordes: Eugenium contemptorem Sacrorum Canonum: pacis, & Veritatis Ecclesie Dei perturbatorem notorium: Vniuersalis Ecclesie Scandalizatorem: Simoniacum: peritum: Incorrigibilem: Schismaticum a Fide Denium: pertinacem Hæreticum. &c. *We condemn, and depose Pope Eugenius, a despiser of the Holy Canons, a disturber of the Peace, and Vnitie of the Church of God: a notorious offendour of the whole Vniuersal Church: a Simonist: a Forsworne man: a man Vncorrigible: a Schismatic: a man fallen from the faith, and a wilful Heretique.* Of pope Johns Heresie, touching the immortalitie of the soule, we shall speake more hereafter. S. Hierome saith, Qui Scripturam intelligit aliter, quam Sensus Spiritus Sancti flagitat, quo scripta est, licet ab Ecclesia non recesserit, tamen Hæreticus appellari potest: *Who so ever otherwise understandeth the Scriptures, then the sense of the Holy Ghost requireth, by whom they were written.* (as it is moste certaine, the Pope in infinite places both hath donne, and doeth,) al-dixit, et pertinaciter credidit, animam hominis cum corpore humano mori, & extingui, ad instar animalium brutorum.

Nowe, if Idolaters, Montanistes, Arians, Monothelites, Nestorians, Deniers of the Immortalitie, Simonistes, Sorcerers, Maintainers of Filthinesse, and other obstinate and wilful Heretiques maie erre, then, what soeuer sp. Hardinge, and his felowes, shall saie to the contrarie, it is easily sene, that the pope maie erre.

Writly, the Councell of Basile saith thus, Multi ex summis pontificibus in Hæreses, & Errores lapsi esse dicuntur, & leguntur: Certum est, Papam Errare posse: Concilium sæpè Condemnavit, atque deposuit Papam, tam ratione Fidei, quam Morum: *It is reported, and readde, that many Popes haue fallen into Erroures, and Heresies: It is certaine, that the Pope maie erre: The Councell hashe oftentimes Condemned, and removed the Pope, in respect, as wel of his heresie in Faith, as of his lewdnesse in lyfe.* Visellus saith, Summorum Pontificum quidam pestilenter errauerunt: *Certaine of the Bishoppes of Rome haue bene in pestilente Heresies.* Your owne glose saith: Certum est, quod Papa errare potest: *It is certaine, that the pope maie erre.* An other of your Doctours saith, Interdum possit aliquis esse, qui esset à Sede reinouendus: vt si esset Fœmina, vel Hæreticus: sicut fuerunt aliqui: & ob hoc non numerantur in Catalogo Paparum: *The Pope maie sometimes be such a one, as maie seeme woorthy to be remoued: as if he were a VVooman, or an Heretique.* And certaine such there haue benne: and therefore they be not reckened in the Calendar of the popes. An other saith, Aliqui papæ inuenti sunt flagitiosi, & Hæretici: *Some popes haue benne founde wicked men, and Heretiques.* An other saith, Et Papa, & Episcopi sunt deuiables à Fide: *Bothe Popes and Bishoppes maie wander from the Faith.* An other saith, Papa mandans, aliquid fieri, quod sonet in Heresim, turbat statum Ecclesie, & non est ei parendum: *The Pope commaunding any thinge to be donne, that soundeth of Heresie, troubleth the state of the Church, and we maie not obeie him.* An other saith, Papa potest esse Hæreticus, & de Hæresi iudicari: *The pope maie be an Heretique, and of Heresie maie be iudged.*

All these were the popes vndoubted frendes. But nowe let vs heare the pope himselfe Pope Pius. 2. otherwise called Aeneas Syluius, saith thus, Quid si criminosus papa contraria Fidei prædicet, Hæreticisque Dogmatibus imbuat Subditos? *What if a notorious vicked pope teach things contrarie to the Faith, and with Hereticall Doctrines peruerse his Subiects?* He coulde neuer haue moued this question, if he had thoughte it a matter impossible, that euer the pope shoulde be an Heretique.

I woulde not stand so longe in so cleare a case, were it not, that sp. Hardinge, al this notwithstanding, telleth vs so sadly, and biddeth vs beleue it vpon his warrant, that the Pope vndoubtedly can neuer erre. Stanislaus Hosius, the greatest sickler of that side, blusht

VVhe-
ther the
Pope
may erre
or no.

Alph. Con-
tra Hæres.
li. 1. cap. 4.

Franc. Pet.
Epist. 20.
Schola di er-
rore, & Tēpio
da Eresia.
Nico. Lyra:
in Matth.
cap. 16.

Baldus de
offic. præsidi-
i. Sæpe.

Origen in
Matth.
Tracta. 1.
Cyp. in Ora-
tionem Do-
minicam.

August. De
verbis Dom.
secund. Luc.
Sermo. 36.

Chrys. Mat-
thæ. Hom. 33
Ambros. de
Pœnitent.

Li. 1. Ca. 6.
Hier. in So-
phomian. ca. 1
Pope Libe-
rius.

blasphemeth not to saie thus, Numerentur omnes &c. Recken al the Popes, that euer wer, from Peter, vntil this Iulius, that now is: There neuer saie in this Chaire any Arian, any Dona- tiste, any Pelagian, or any other, that professed any manner Heresie. Yet neuertheless, your owne Doctoure Alphonsus saith, Non credo, aliquem esse adeo Impudentem Papæ Af- fentatorem, vt ei tribuere hoc velit, vt nec Errare, nec in Interpretatione Sacramentorum hallucinari possit: I beleue, there is no so shamelesse a Flatterer of the Pope, that wil graunt him this Prerogative, that he can neuer erre, nor be deceiued in the expoundinge of the Scriptures. Here, M. Hardinge, your owne principal Doctour Alphonsus calleth al them, that mainteine your Doctrine, and saie, as you saie, The shamelesse Flatterers of the Pope. Certainly, I thinke, it maie safely be saide: If a man wil take the view of al Christendome, he shal not finde so many Heretiques in any one See, what so euer, as may be found in the See of Rome. And, for that cause perhaps, Franciscus Petrarcha cal- leth Rome, Aylum Hæresum, & Errorum, The Sanctuarie of Errours, and Heresies: And in his Italian Sonets, he calleth it, The Schoole of Errour, and the Temple of Heresie.

As for Nicolaus Lyra, ye doubt of our dealinge, for that the Wynter hath not quoted the place. It maie please you therefore to peruse his Notes vpon the fiftieth Chapter of S. Mathewe. There among others ye shal finde these wordes: Ex hoc pa- ter, quod Ecclesia non consistit in hominibus, ratione Potestatis, vel Dignitatis Ecclesia- sticæ, vel Secularis: Quia multi Principes, & Summi Pontifices inuenti sunt apostatasse à Fide: Hereby it appeareth, that the Church standeth not vpon menne, in consideration, either of theire Power, or of theire Dignitie, either Ecclesiastical, or Temporal. For many Princes, and Popes haue benne founde to haue strayed from the Faith. Therefore Baldus saith, Cautela est, quod quis dicat. Credo, quod credit Sancta Mater Ecclesia: non, quod credit Papa: It is to be marked, that a man maie saie, I beleue, that the Church beleueth: but he maie not saie, I beleue, that the Pope beleueth. His meaning is, that the Pope maie be decei- ued, and beleue amisse. Ye saie, Christe praied for Peter, Ergo, the Pope cannot erre. But where was Christes Praier then, when so many Heretiques were Popes in Rome? Will ye saie, that Christe praied for Arians, for Nestorians, for Montanistes, for Monothelites, for Simonistes, for Idolaters, for Necromancers, for Poisoners, for Murtherers, and for Dame Iohane too? No, that by the vertue of Christes Praier, none of these could euer erre: No, that the Popes Errours muste goe for Truthe, or his Heresies be holden as Right Religi- on, onely because, you telle vs, that, what so euer he saie, He can not erre? M. Har- ding, I shew you before, that Christe Praied not onely for Peter, but also for al the rest of his Disciples. Origen saith, as he is before alleged, Num audebinus dicere, quod aduersus vnum Petrum non præualituræ sint Portæ Inferorum: aduersus ceteros Aposto- los præualituræ sint? Shall wee dare to saie, that the Gates of Helle shal not preuaile against Onely Peter? And that the same Gates shal preuaile againste al other the Apostles? S. Cy- prian saith, Rogabat pro delictis nostris, hinc ipse declarat, cum dicit ad Petrum: Ego Rogavi pro te, ne deficiat Fides tua: Christe praied (not for Peter onely, but) for our sinnes, as hee him selfe declareth, saieinge vnto Peter, I haue praied for thee, that thy Faith shoulde not faile. S. Augustine, expoundinge the same wordes, saith thus: Ego rogavi Patrem pro vobis, ne deficiat Fides vestra: I haue praied (not onely for Peter, but also) for you, that your Faith shoulde not faile. Chrysostome saith, Omnis Christianus, qui susci- pit Verbum Petri, Thronus sit Petri: & Petrus sedet in eo: Every Christian man, that recei- ueth the wordes of Peter, is made Peters Chaire: and Peter him selfe sitte in him.

Other wise, M. Hardinge, they are not al Peters, that sitte in place of Peter, S. Am- brose saith, Non Habent Hereditatem Petri, qui Fidem Petri non habent: They haue not Peters Enteritance, that haue not the Faith of Peter.

S. Hierome saith, Auferet Dominus nomina vana gloriæ, & admirationis falsæ, quæ versantur in Ecclesia: God wil take awaye these names of vaine Glorie, and false ostenta- tion, that are used in the Church. Where we saie, Pope Liberius fauoured the Arians, that (ye saie) is sturke false. And yet, ye knowe, S. Hierome is the repoyter of that falsehed. But S. Hierome (ye saie) was deceiued. In such Keuerence, and Regarde ye haue the Doctoures, and Learned fathers of the Church. Ye beleue them no further then ye like. I doubt not, but S. Hierome might better saie, Maister Hardinge is deceiued.

VWhether
the Pope
may erre
or no.

Hier. de Ec.
Scriptorib.
In Fortuna-
tians.

Nico. Cusa.

De Concor-

dan. li. 2. ca. 5

Alphonf.

Contra Ha-

res. li. 1. ca. 4.

Platy. in

Librio An-

tonis. par. 2.

Tit. 19. ca. 4.

§. 5.

Jomerius.

Her. Giga.

Historia Lo-

gobardica.

Eras. in

annotation.

in Hierony.

Contra Lu-

ciferian.

Nico. Cusa.

de Concor-

dan. li. 1. c. 14

Pope Iohn.

August. ad

Quodvult-

deum.

Gerson. Ser.

1. in Festo

Paschali.

Copus Dial.

1. Pag. 50.

Pag. 51.

M. Har. f. 64. a

St. Hieromes authoritie suffice you not, you may take also the authoritie of S. Augustine. For S. Augustine saith, as he is alleged by Cardinal Cusanus that Pope Liberius gave his hande, and consent unto the Arians. Certainly, Alphonfus, your owne Doctour, saith, De Liberio Papa, Constat fuisse Arianum: Touchinge Pope Liberius, it is wel known, he was an Arian. Platina saith, Liberius, vt quidam volunt, in rebus omnibus sensit cum Hæreticis: Pope Liberius, as somms saie, was in al pointes of one iudgemente with the (Arian) Heretiques.

Antoninus, the Archbishop of Florence, saith, Liberius Papa consensit præceptis Augusti, (Ariani) vt vnâ cum Hæreticis communicaret: Pope Liberius is consented to the Commandementes of the Arian Emperoure, that he Communicated with the Heretiques. So saith Iouerus in the Abridgement of Councils: So saith Hermannus Gigas: So saith your very Legende, commonly called Historia Longobardica. Amonge others, Erasmus saith, Ariana Heresis, & Romanum Pontificem inuoluit, & ipsos Imperatores: The Arians Heresie entangled, and wrapt bothe Pope, and Emperoure. By the Pope namely he meaneth the Pope Liberius.

Cardinal Cusanus saith, Liberius, & Honorius, & alij in Cathedra Petri aliquandiu sedentes, in errorem Schismaticum seducti ceciderunt: Pope Liberius, and Honorius, and others sitting in Peters Chaire, haue fallen into Schismatical error, and haue benne deceived. Yet you doubt not to saie, S. Hierome was shamefully deceived, and wrote of ignorance, he knew not what, and a is false.

Likewise ye saie, That wee reporte of Pope Iohn is moste false and impudent. Our reporte is, That Pope Iohn denied the immortalitie of the Soule: not thozowly, and altogether, but onely in that he saide, Vntil the time of the Laste Iudgemente, the Soule liethe stil, as in a traunce, as doth the Body, without sense of ioie, or paine. Wherein he, not onely without the Expresse VVoord of God, but also withoures quite ouerthrow his owne whole Kingedome of Purgatorie, whiche is the greatest and fairest of all his thre Crownes. For what auails his Pardons, and Trentalles, if the Soule lie stil asleepe vntil the daie of Iudgement, and feele no paine: Verily, after the laste Iudgement, by common consente, there shalbe, no Purgatorie, no Trentalle, no Masse, no Pope, no Pardonne. Nowe, if there be no place of Purgatorie, neither before, no after the last Iudgement, then maie we wel conclude, that absolutely, and without doubt, there is no Purgatorie. The firste Authours of this erreure, as S. Augustine saith, were the Heretiques called Arabici.

Touchinge Pope Iohns erreure, Gerson saith thus, Iohannes Papa. 22. decreuit, &c. Pope Iohn. 22. decreed, that the Soules of the wicked shoulde not be pounished, before the daie of the laste Iudgemente: whiche erreure the Vniuersitie of Parise condemned for Heresie, and caused the Pope to recante. One of your owne Companions of Louaine saith, Pope Iohn kepte this erreure secretly to him selfe, and neuer had the open consente of the Church of Rome. And so better excuse hereof he saith, Petrus, non Fidem Christi, sed Christum, salua Fide, negauit: Peter denied not the Faith of Christe, but, his Faith he sawed, he denied nomore but onely Christe. And so by this pretty shift of your Louanian Diuinitie, ye haue bothe Christe without Faith, and also Faith without Christe. Thus M. Hardinge, it is plaine by your owne Doctours and Felowes, that oure reporte of Pope Iohn, is neyther a false Sclaunder, as you saie, nor procedeth of detestable, and vicked malice.

Addition. M. Hardinge. ¶ What shal I saie, but all is false? It is a fowle thing, M. Iewel, and a wicked impudencie, thus to beelie the Doctours. Certaine it is, Gerson neuer said it, not in Sermon Paschali, as you reporte, nor any where els, that this Pope Iohn made any suche decree. Neither was his erreure, as you vtruelly burthen him, &c. That ye write, touching this Pope, either proceedeth of Malice, or of Ignorance, &c. The erreure of Pope Iohn. 21. was not, that the soules of the wicked be not pounished before the day of the laste iudgement, but that the soules of the good see not the face of God before the laste daie. His position was conceived with these termes, as wee finde it in Adrianus that learned Pope, and in the Extravagante of Pope Benedictus Vndecimus who succeeded him nexte: Anima purgata ante finale Iudicium non habent Stolum, quæ est clara, & facili visio Dei.

Of this question some Doctours the held the Affirmatiue, some the Negative. Amongst them that held the Negative, this pope Iohn. 21. was one before he was Pope, and perhaps also afterwaide, But he helde it onely

only as his priuate opinion.

Nowe this was an erreure in Pope Iohn: I denie not: Yet for the same is not he to be compted an Heretike: as neither S. Irenæus, Theophylactus, and S. Bernarde are, who seme to haue bene of the same opinion. Gerson saith, *Propter, quod apparuit Falsam Doctrinam Papæ Iohannis. 22. qua damnatum fuit cum sono Buccinarum, vel Tubarum coram Rege Philippo Anunciatum per Theologos Parisiensis.* Remember, good Reader, this erreure of Pope Iohn. 22. was not condemned by the Diuines of Parise, when he was Pope, but before, when he was a priuate Doctor, and Lived in the Realme of France &c. And so by this Purgatorie is not taken away at al, as your scoffinge tale, that liketh you so wel, prettendeth it to be, Neither were the heretikes, that of S. Augustine are called *Arabies*, the firste Authours of this erreure, as you saie but the Armenians, and Grecians, if we maie beleue Guido. Now touching that you haue alleged out of the Coliect of Constantine, I marvel with what face you bring it in. And what a great falschod is it to put in your Booke the name of Pope Iohn. 22. for Pope Iohn. 23. The name of this Iohn. 23. was before he toke vpon him to be Pope, Balthazar de Cassa, as there ye haue it declared &c. Nether was he a true Pope, Lawfully elected, but an Vsurper, as two others were with him at the same time. So by this place ye haue proued no Heresie against Pope Iohn. 22. nor against any true Pope at al, but onely haue shewed your selfe a shamelesse thiffer, and one that hath a more maliceous minde to hurte the Authoritie of the Pope, then mater of iuste accusation against him.

The Answer. Al this is true, no doubtles. For P. Hardinges saies must goe for Gospel. First, Good Reader, I wil tel the, what man this Pope Iohn was: and then make answere to euery peece hereof in order. The next Pope before him was Clemens the fifth, that caused Franciscus Dandalus the Embassadoz of Venice to come before him tied in an iron chaine, and to wallow vnder his Table as a Dogge, while his Holinesse sate at supper. Otherwise the indignation, he had conceived against the Venetians, could neuer be swaged. The fourth Pope after him was Vrbanus the fourth, that toke fine of his Cardinals vpon displeasure, and tied them vp in Sackes, and threw them out into the Sea. As for this Pope Iohn him selfe, he turned Bishopps into Abbies, and Abbies into Bishopps, Bishopps into Archebishopps, and Archebishopps into Bishopps: One Bishoppe into two, and two into one: Citties into Townes, and Townes into Citties: And thus was euermore alteringe, and neuer contented. Pope Clemens his Predecessour beinge deade, the Cardinales, after they had longe contended among them selues aboute the Election of a Pope, and could not agree, they committed the whole mater in truste vnto this Iohn, being then also him selfe a Cardinal, that he should chuse, whom he beste liked, and ended the strife, nothing doubting, but he would haue chosen one of the same Cardinales, that they had named. But he, hauinge the whole power in his owne hande, like a man of trust, foregate al others, and chose him selfe, and so was Pope by his owne election. Beinge Pope he excommunicated Lodouicus the Emperour, for that he had attempted to execute some parte of his Imperial office without his Licence. By meane wherof, he enflamed al Christendome with such discorde, and hatred, and deadly warres, as could not after ward be quenched in thirtie yeeres. He said, he had power to raise vp Emperours and to Depose them at his pleasure: and that, when so euer the Emperie is voide, the Pope is Emperour: and that there is no power aboute the Pope.

And, whereas certaine Preachers, Loathing the intollerable Ambition, and Lordelincesse of the Clerergie, that then was, had tolde the people openly in their sermons, that Christ, and his Apostles, were simple, and poore, and possessours of nothing, he caused them to be taken, and condemned, and burnt as Heretiques: Al which thinge, saith he one, he did, the better to iustifie his owne greedinesse. For at the time of his death he least in his Treasurie five & twentie thousand thousand Crownes in ready Gold: which thinge was y more to be wondred at, for y not longe before the same Pope Iohn had joined in warre with Robert the King of Apulia, in defense of the state of Genua: in which warre, as Antoninus Florentinus saith, such abundance of Golde, and treasure was spent on bothe sides, as might haue bought a good Kingedome. Unto a one, P. Hardinge, was Pope Iohn: whoes Doctrine you may not in any wise suffer to be stained. And therefore, touching the mater it selfe, you haue minced it pretily. It was no Heresie, you saie, but onely an Erreure And why so? I trowe, because this Iohn was no inferioure Bishop nor priuate man, but a Bishop of Bishopps and a Pope. For in

Fol. 66.b.

Fol. 66.a.

67.a.

Sabell. Enm.
ad. 9. Lib. 7.Sabell. Enm.
ad. 9. Li. 9.
Naucl. Genera. 44.
Naucl. Genera. 44.

Nauclerni.

Carion. pro
sua voluntate.
Paralipon.
V. 15. pergen.Ansel. Rid.
Millies viginti
quingus milia.
Anto. Par. 3
Ti. 21. ca. 4.

M. Har. 65. b.

Whh.

any

Pope
Iohn. 22

*Angu. ad
Quodvult-
deum.*

Pope Iohn
an Here-
tique.

*Antonin.
par. 3. ti. 21.
ca. 6. § 13.*

*Afferbat ex
eo, ipsum esse
Hæreticum.*

*Massa. li. 18
an. 1332.*

*Nacl. Ge-
nera. 45.*

M. Har. 64. b.

Decreed.

Massa. li. 18.

*De Transf.
Episcopi.*

Quanto.

Before he
was Pope.

642

The Defense of the Apologie of the

any other poze man it had benne an Heresie. The Arabians and Armenians many hun-
dred yeres befoze were Condemned, and Holden as Heretiques for the same. So blest
sed a thinge is it to be a Pope.

But if this Errour were no Heresie in Pope Iohn, then a great many, that so char-
ged him, did him greates wronge, and were mutche to blame. Antoninus saith, Iohannes,
22. Sermonem faciens in publico Consistorio, dixit quædam Hæresim sapientia: Pope
Iohn, speaking openly in the Consistorie, uttered certayne wordes favouringe of Heresie. And
therefoze, he saith, that of many he was iudged an Heretique. Christianus Massæus
saith, Iohannes Papa. 22. misit Parisios duos, qui hanc Hæresim prædicarent: Pope Iohn
sent two Preachers to Parise, so sette forth this Heresie. Naclerus saith, Imò Iohannem
Papa. 22. magni, & multi Theologi, scientia, & vita probati dogmatizabant esse Hære-
ticum: Nay, many greates, and famous Doctours of Diuinitie, notable, as wel for their Lear-
ninge, as for their Life, published Pope Iohn to be an Heretique. So many Historiogra-
phers, and so many, and so notable Doctours of Diuinitie, maie be witnesses sufficiente
to proue one Heretique, if he were not a Pope.

Gerlon saith not, Iohannes Papa decreuit: Pope Iohn decreed. This (you saie) is a
fowle thinge, and a wicked impudencie. *The Answer.* It is no manly part, M. Harding,
to strue, and wangle aboute wordes, when the mater is plaine. If Gerlon saide not,
Pope Iohn decreed these thinges, yet he said, Pope Iohn Published, and taught these thinges.
And a man would thinke, that Teachinge, and Publishinge were not mutche lesse, then
Decreeinge. Gerlons wordes be plaine, euen as you your selfe haue alleged them.
Propterea apparet falsitas Doctrinæ Papæ Iohannis 22. *Hereby appeareth the falsed of*
the Doctrina of Pope Iohn. Christianus Massæus saith, Papa Iohannes prædicauit er-
rorem: Pope Iohn preached, or sette forth this erroure. And it is saide, the Popes VVil
muste needes stande for Læue.

You saie, Remember good Reader, this erroute of Pope Iohn. 22. was not condemned by the Diuines
of Parise, when he was Pope, but before when he was a priuate Doctor, & lived in the Realm of France.
The Answer. Thou muste beleue M. Harding, good Reader, be his tale neuer so un-
likely, yea though he speake impossibilities. This errour of Pope Iohn (saith he) was cōdemmed
in the presence of Philip the French Kinge, not when Iohn was Pope, but before, when he was a priuate
man. Nowe, I beseeche thee, good Reader, for thy better satisfaction, consider wel the
yeres, and ages, as wel of this Kinge Philip the sixth, as also of this Pope Iohn the twy
and twentieth. It appeareth by al Writers what so euer, that this Iohn was Conse-
crate Pope at Auenion anno 1316, and, that Philippus Valeſius was crowned Kinge in
France. anno. 1328. By which Computation it is plaine, that this Iohn was Pope thir-
tiene yeres befoze this Philip was Kinge. This doctrie is euidente, and agréably con-
fessed by al that haue witten. Yet it please the M. Hardinge, to take it by the toppe, and
to turne it backewarde: and to telle vs of him selfe onely, without further authoritie,
that Philip Valeſius was Kinge, and sate in place of Iudgement, to heare Causes of Re-
ligion, thirtiene yeres at the leaste, befoze this Iohn was Pope. Thus by M. Hardinges
Hardling, Pope Iohn when he was Pope, yet was no Pope: And Philip was a Kinge
thirtiene yeres at the leaste, befoze he was Kinge. Suche pretty Merities M. Hardinge
can shewe vs for his aduantage.

Yet, M. Hardinge, you telle vs, That this Iohn was condemned by the Diuines of
Parise, not vwhen he was Pope (God so bidde: for then must we confesse, that the Pope
was an Heretique) but before, vwhen he was a Priuate Doctour, and lived in the Realme
of France. Here is a marueilous case, M. Hard. An Heretique by your confession may be
a Pope: But a Pope in no wise may be an Heretique. This Iohn (you saie) was heretofore con-
demned when he was a Priuate man, and lived in France. I praye you, M. Hardinge, and where li-
ued he afterwarde beinge Pope? Lo ke by your Chronicles. Where was Pope Iohns
aboade? Where was his Consistorie? Where was his Courte, duringe al that whole
time, while he was Pope? Perhappes, you thinke, it was at Rome. For there sate S.
Peter: There is the Continuance of his Succession: And thereof the Popes are called
the Bishoppes of Rome. If you so thinke, M. Hardinge, youre thought deceiuethe you.
For in dede it is wel known, that Pope Clement the fifth, that was the nexte Prede-
cessours

VVhen he
lived in
France.

*Sabell. Enne-
ad. 9. Lib. 7.*

nexte Predecessoure before Pope Iohn. 22. removed him selfe, and al his traine from Rome to Auenion in France, in the yere of our Lorde. 1303. From whiche time, duringe the space of thre score and fourtiene yeres followinge, the Pope continued still at Auenion, and neuer returned backe to Rome. It was in vaine therefore for you to saie, Pope Iohn at the time of his Condemnation, was no Pope, but only a Private man & lived in France. For duringe the whole time of his Popedom, he continued still in France at Auenion. And beinge foure score and tenne yeres of age, He died at Auenion, and at Auenion was buried, in the Cathedral Church: where his Body resteth until this daie, and not in Rome.

Saie nomore therefore, M. Hardinge, that Pope Iohns erreure was condemned in Parie, and blowen out with Trumpettes in the presence of the Kinge, not when he was Pope, but onely when he lived in Private estate. For al the Historiographers, that haue written hereof, wil some Controlle you. Antoninus saith, Pope Iohn helde this erreure in the time of his Popedom, and pronounced woordes sauouringe of Heresie, openly in the Consistorie (beinge Pope.) Naclerus saith, Imo papam Iohannem Magni, & multi Theologi Scientia, & vita probati, Dogmatizabant esse Hæreticum propter errores certos: quos tamen Die obitus sui dicitur tepide reuocasse: & eius Successor, Benedictus, eos errores fertur publice damnasse: Nay, many great, and famous Diuines, of great Learninge, and good Life, proclaimed (not one, or other, by the name of Iohn, but) pope Iohn, by the name of pope, to be an Heretique for certaine erroures. Whiche erroures, notwithstandinge it is saide, that he coldely renoked at the time of his death. (But not before, neither then, but coldely). Againe it is saide, That pope Benedictus, his nexte Successoure, openly Condemned the same erroures. Christianus Massæus saith, papa Iohannes prædicauit errorem &c. Mistique Parisios duos, alterum Dominicanum, alterum Franciscanum, qui eandem Hæresim prædicarent &c. Pope Iohn preached, and professed an erreure &c. And sente two Preachers to Parie, the one a Blacke Freere, and the other a Graye Freere, to mainteine the same Heresie. But one Thomas a Preacher of Englande, withstode the pope. Him the pope tooke, and threwe into Prison. Herupon the Kinge summoned a Counsel vnto his Palace in Vinciana Sylua. The whole assemblie subscribed againste the pope. Immediately the Kinge sente to pope Iohn, and willed him to resourne his erreure, and to sette the Preacher at libertie: And so he did.

Thus you see, M. Hardinge, That pope Iohn, beinge pope, stode in erreure: That pope Iohn was Condemned for an Heretique: That pope Iohn professed, and preached false Doctrine: That pope Iohn sente out Preacheres, to mainteine his Heresie, and they were freeres: That pope Iohn was controlled by an Englishe Preacher: That pope Iohn was reproued by a Counsel: That pope Iohn was willed by the Kinge to resourne his erreure. And yet can you telle vs, al this notwithstandinge, that pope Iohn was then a Private man, and no pope at al.

Further you saie, Touchinge that you haue alleged out of the Council of Constance, what a greate falsched is it, to put in your Booke the name of Pope Iohn 22. for Pope Iohn. 23. The name of this Iohn. 22. was before he tooke vpon him to be Pope, Balhazar de Casta as there yee haue it declared. Neither was it certaine, that he helde that detestable opinion. Howe so euer it be, Pope Iohn. 23. was not a true Pope Lawfully electe, but an Vsurper.

The Answer. And what if I shoulde here confesse an erreure, M. Hardinge? Or, what if I shoulde saie, I had not aduisedly considered the Storye, but had taken one number for an other? Yet haue you not one heretique pope Iohn the lesse, but one the more. For how so euer yee number them, as it shal wel appere, both were Iohns, & both were popes, and bothe were Heretiques. But as you can so fauourably telle vs, it is no Heresie in a pope, wilfully to mainteine an open erreure, touchinge the state of the Soule: So I truste of youre courtesie, yee wil not so hastily Condemne it for hainous Heresie, if a man happen onely to misrechen the name, or number of a pope. For more, then that, you cannot make it. Otherwise it maie happen, that you your selfe, euen in this selfe same place, maie finde your selfe in like erreure, and yelde your selfe to be an Heretique. For where you saie, It was Benedictus vndecimus that folowed Iohannes. 22. Onuphrius calleth him Benedictum decimum: And Sabellicus saith, It

Naclerus
Genera. 45.
Anno. 1324

Tepide.

Benedictus
Condemnat.
Massæus.
Lib. 18.
An. 1338.

M. Har. 66. b.

M. Har. 67. a.

Sab. Enna. 9

Ob. y.

was

was Benedictus duodecimus. In this reckoning, if every misnaminge of a pope, be an Heresie, by your iudgemente we muste needs haue two Heresies at the leaste. As for Balthazar à Cossa, whome ye calle Pope Iohn. 23. Platyna calleth him Pope Iohn. 24. Onuphrius calleth him, euen as I did, Pope Iohn. 22. and not as you doe, pope Iohn. 23. And the other Iohn, whome you calle pope Iohn. 22. He calleth the pope Iohn. 21. Loke on your Bookes, and you shal finde it. Nowe, M. Hardinge, you see the very causes of al this erreure: and yet no great cause, why you shoulde so fiercely vphayde vs with so greates falschod.

The mater, wherewith this Pope Iohn the Later was charged, was this, as it is specially objected against him in the Council of Constance, Quin imò dixit, & pertinaciter credidit, Animam Hominis cum Corpore Humano mori, & extingui, ad instar animalium Brutorum: Pope Iohn saide, and stubbornly beleued, that the Soule of Man dieth together with the Body, and is consumed to nothinge, as the Soule of Brute Beastes. Thersfore M. Hardinge, the greates faulte, ye can finde in me in this behalfe, is this, that seekinge to finde Heretiques amonge the Popes, I thought there had bene but one pope Iohn Condemned of Heresie, whereas in dede there were two.

You saie, This Heresie was objected only against Pope Iohn. 23. but neuer proved. But it was objected against him, M. Hardinge, in the Council of Constance, and of his parte neuer purged. Seeke his Purgation where you wil: ye shal neuer finde it. And the wante of Purgation is called in Lawe, a plaine Conviction.

Yet the better to Countenance a badde mater, you saie, This Later Pope Iohn was no true pope, nor Lawfully chosen, as appertained. Who saithe so, M. Hardinge, but onely your selfe? And what is your owne onely Authoritie against al others? In the Council of Constance, where al the Enormities, and Villanies of this pope Iohns whole life were blased abroade, yet this Article of his Election, and title of Popedome was neuer laide to his charge. Platina, touchinge his Election, saithe thus, Bononiz omnium Consensu Pontifex creatur: This Iohn was chosen Pope at Bononia by the consent of al the Cardinales. Hauinge the Consente of al the Cardinales, he wanted none, no not one. And what Election can be moze Canonical, or Lawful, then, when the voices of al the Electours agree together. Certainly, in any reasonable iudgement, he was muche moze Lawfully chosen, then pope Iohn 22. that chose him selfe. And yet was that pope Iohn a Lawful pope. In dede the other two Popes were set vp in Schisme, and Division, onely by a parte of the Cardinales. But pope Iohn. 23. was Lawfully chosen by the Consent, and agreement of the whole: and had he not benne charged with other crimes, He had neuer benne remoued.

To conclude, you saie, The Heretiques that of S. Augustine are called Arabici, were not the first Authors of this erreure. But the Armenians, and Grecians, if wee beleue Guido.

The Answer. And why so, M. Hardinge? Did not the Arabian Heretiques holde this same erreure? Clerikly, S. Augustines wordes are plaine: Arabici dixerunt, animas cum corporibus mori, atque dissolui: & in fine Sæculi vtrunque resurgere. But you saie, The Armenians were the Founders of this erreure: and they were longe before the Arabians. For I trowe, so saithe your Guido. But examine you better the course of times. You maie happen to finde your erreure. S. Augustine saithe, The Arabians were in the time of Origene, welneare fourtiene hundred yeres agoe. As for the Armenians, Alphonfus saith, They began aboute eleuen hundred yeres agoe, after the Council of Chalcedon about the yeres of our Lord. 450. that is to saie, two hundred, & fiftie yeres after the Arabians. Nowe, M. Hardinge, telle vs, I praye you, whether of these two sortes of Heretiques was the former? Is it not a likely mater, that the Arabians, that were fourtiene hundred yeres agoe, lerned first their Heresie of the Armenians, that folowed two hundred and fiftie yeres after them.

As for Guido the Carmelite Freere, no doubt, He was a wise, and worthy Doctoure, to be broughte forth for a Witnesse against the Authoritie of S. Augustine.

Nowe, that the truthe of your wordes maie appeare the better, Lette vs laie forth a Briefe hercof, by waie of Comparison, as in a table,

M.

Platina. in
Iohan. 24.

August. ad
Quodamli-
deum.

Arabici.
Anno. 212.
Alphonf. de
Hæresi. li. 2.
De Adamet
Eua Arme-
nij. an. 450.

M. Hardinge.

This was an erreure in Pope Iohn. 22. I denie not. Yet for the same is not he to be counted an Heretique.

The Answer.

Antoninus. Pope Iohn uttered wordes of Heresie. And many iudged him to be an Heretike.
Massius. Pope Iohn sente Preachers to Parise to mainteine his Heresie.

M. Hardinge.

Gerson neuer saide, that *Pope Iohn* made any such Decree.

The Answer.

Gerson. Pope Iohn professed and taughte this Heresie.
Massius. Pope Iohn preached, and published this erreure. *Prædicaui errorem.*

M. Hardinge.

This erreure of *Pope Iohn. 22.* was not condemned by the Diuines of Parise, in the presence of the Kinge when he was *Pope*, but before, when he was a Private Doctoure.

The Answer.

Pope Iohn. 22. was *Pope* thirtiene yeres before Kinge Philip was Kinge.
Antoninus. Pope Iohn spake wordes saouring of Heresie in the Consistorie, that is to saie, beinge pope.
Nauclerus. The most famous Diuines proclaimed pope Iohn, beinge pope to be an Heretike.
Massius. Pope Iohn preached erreure, and sente Preachers abroade to mainteine his Heresie.

M. Hardinge.

Pope Iohn was condemned before he was *Pope*, when he liued in the Realme of France.

The Answer.

Pope Iohn, duringe the whole time of his Popedom, Continued still at Auenion in France, and neuer departed thence to Rome. *Sabellius.*

M. Hardinge.

Pope Iohn held this errour, onely as his Private opinio.

The Answer.

Gerson. Pope Iohn professed, and taughte this Doctrine.
Massius. Pope Iohn preached it, and sente out preachers to mainteine it, and empisoned them, that durst to withstande it.

M. Hardinge.

You name *Pope Iohn. 22.* for *Pope Iohn. 23.*

The Answer.

Onuphrius calleth him as *Iohn. 22.*
Platina calleth him *Pope Iohn. 24.* and not as you doe, *Pope Iohn. 23.*
In Steele of one Heretical Pope Iohn, we haue founde two, and the Later mutche more horribile, then the former.

M. Hardinge.

This Heresie was objected against *Pope Iohn. 23.* in the Councel of Constance, but neuer proued.

The Answer.

This Heresie was objected against *Pope Iohn*, but neuer purged.

M. Hardinge.

Pope Iohn. 23. was neuer Lawfullye chosen.

The Answer.

Platina. Pope Iohn was chosen at Bononia, by the Consente of al the Cardinales. Who so hath the Consente of al the Electoures, is lawfullye chosen.

M. Hardinge.

The *Arabians* were not the Authours of this erreure, but the *Armenians.*

The Answer.

S. Augustine. The *Arabians* were the Authours hercof.
The *Arabians* were two hundred, and fiftie yeres before the *Armenians.*

Obh. iv.

So

Whether the Pope may erre or no.

Pope Zosimus.

Art. 4. di. 6.

Conc. Aphr.

Can. 101.

An Epistle

forged vnder

the name of A-

thanasius.

The Coucel

of Nice corrupted.

In the Replie.

Art. 4. di. 6.

Copius. pa. 78

Con. Floren.

Sessione. 20.

Concil. Car-

thagi. 6. ca. 4

So many waies, *Sp. Wardinge*, haue you corrupted, and altered the Trueth of this storie. And yet you thinke it Lawful for you, to crie out against vs, Al is false, Wicked impudencie. Yee belie the Doctours. Yee are malicious. Yee are Ignorant. Yee are shamelesse shifters.

For the reste, ye saie, we belie Pope Zosimus. He corrupted not the Council of Nice. For trial wherof, I referre mee self to my former Replie vnto your Answer. Certainly, what so euer Learned man wil stande to the denial hereof, he muste needs wante colour in his face. The fraude was notoriously sounde, and detected to the whole world by the Aunciente Learned Fathers, Cyrillus, and Articus, the one beinge Patriarke of Alexandria, the other of Antioche, and was reprinted, and published by two hundred, and seuentie Bishoppes, openly in the Council of Aphrica. The penitthe forged epistle, that ye allege vnder the name of the Learned godly Father Athanasius, the fantastical burninge of the Canons of Nice without fire, with other your like childlike vanities, scarcely mete for children to plaie withal, are likewise answered.

One of your owne Louanian compaignie confesseth, that in the Late Council of Florence, the Greekes there made open complainte, that the Bishop of Rome had corrupted the Canons of the Council of Nice. Alypius the Bishop of Tagasta, speakinge hereof in the council of Carthage, saithe thus, Adhuc tamen me mouet, quoniam cum inspiceremus Græca Exemplaria huius Synodi Nicenæ, ista ibi, nescio, qua ratione, minime inuenimus: Yet this thinge moueth me, that, when wee examined, and conferred the Originall of the Nicene Council, written in Greeke, I knowe not, by what means, these things we founde not there.

Addition.

Howe be it, al this maie be easily holpen by a Writte of Erroure. For you will saie, Pope Zosimus alleged the Council of Sardica in steede of the Council of Nice. And herein he was deceived: and this was his whole faulte. If this were al the faulte, *Sp. Wardinge*, yet were it a greates faulte for Christes Vicare, and S. Peters Successour, in maintenance of his owne inordinate ambition, to allege one Council for another, and therewith to face downe two hundred, and seuentie Bishoppes in General Council. If it were the Council of Sardica and not of Nice. Why then did Pope Zosimus so often, and so stoutely allege it for the Council of Nice? How durst he saie, He had seene it, He had read it, and had the very true Copie of it in his library in Rome? Why did he cause the Bishops of Aphrica to sende so many hundred myles, to Constantinople in Thracia, to Alexandria in Egypte, and to Antioche in Syria, to searche the originals of the Council of Nice, him selfe knowinge, there was no suche thinge written in the Council of Nice? Was this plaine dealinge, *Sp. Wardinge*? Was this no corruption of a Council? The Lawe saithe, Magna negligentia culpa est: Magna culpa Dolus est: Great negligence is a fault: and a great fault is Guile, and falsheed.

As for the Council of Sardica, Nicolaus Cusanus saithe, Sardicense Concilium pro statuto Niceni Concilij per Legatos Apostolicæ Sedis falso fuit allegatum: The Council of Sardica was deceitfully, or falsly alleged by the Legats of the Apostolike See of Rome, sente from Pope Zosimus, in steede of a Canon of the Council of Nice. Here, *Sp. Wardinge*, I beseeche you, of your courtesie, soe grate not this. Nicolaus Cusanus one of your principal Doctours telleth you, that Pope Zosimus and his Legats, deceitfully, and falsely alleged the Council of Sardica vnder the name of the Council of Nice.

But yet let vs see, of what authoritie, and credite was this Council of Sardica?

Nicolaus Cusanus saithe, Augustinus non putauit, illud Concilium esse Catholicum, sed potius Arianum: S. Augustine helde not the Council of Sardica for a Catholique Council: but rather for a Council of Arian Heretiques. It goeth hard with the Pope, *Sp. Wardinge*, when he is bounden to leaue al Catholique Councilles, and to holde by suche euidence.

Verily Cusanus openinge his owne iudgemente, touching the saide Council of Sardica, saithe thus, Verum est, ipsos Patres Aphricani Concilij (in quo & S. Augustinus interfuit) in Epistola ad Cælestinum scribere, se hanc Constitutionem nulla Patrum Synodo inuenisse Constitutam. Quare satis posset dubitari, an Sardicensis Concilij Constitutio existat: It is certaine, that the Bishoppes in the Council of Aphrica, amonge whom also was S. Augustine, in their Letters vnto Pope Celestine write thus, that they neuer founde this

Nic. Cusan.

de concordia

Li. 2. Ca. 25.

ther the
Pope
may erre
or no.

this Constitution Decreed in the Council of any Bishoppes, Wherefore it maie wel be doubted, whether this be a Constitution of the Council of Sardica, or rather no. Thus, M. Harding, you see, pope Zosimus falsely alleged a Canon of the Council of Sardica, for a Canon of the Council of Nice: You see the saide Council of Sardica whereby ye woulde holde, was a Council of Heretiques: You see, your owne Doctour Cusanus doubteth, whether euer there were any sutch Canon witten, or no, either in the Council of Nice, or in the Council of Sardica, either by Catholiques, or by Heretiques. And yet wil you say, It cannot be proued, that Pope Zosimus was a Corrupter of Councils.

Yet Pope Bonifacius, to saue the Credite of the See of Rome, was forced to saie, and publishe openly, that the saide Alypius, and Aurelius the Bishop of Carthage, and S Augustine the Bishop of Hippo, and two hundred and fouretene other Bishoppes, that had espied, and reueled this falsheed, were all enflamed, and leade by the Diuell. And one of your owne Iudaine Doctours of Louaine saith, Hæc omnia, tanquam somnia, tanquam fabulæ, tanquam superflua, abolita; antiquata; calcata sunt: Al these Decrees (of these Councils of Carthage, and Aphica) are abolished, and repealed, and troden vnder foote, as Dreames, and Fables, and thinges superfluous. This, M. Harding, is the weighing of youre Councilles. If they like you, they are the expresse Voices of the Holy Ghost: If they like you not, they are Dreames, and fables, and thinges superfluous.

Camotensis (ye saie) is some wootshipful Doctore, such as by our owne iudgement, mighte passe in the Blacke garde. Yet was he a Bishop, M. Harding, in al respects farre better, then either your Leontius, or your Hippolytus, or your newe founde Clemens, to whom ye cal the Apostles Felovve, or your vaine fable of Amphilocheus. Ye would seme to finde faulte with the name: and thinke, we should not haue witten, Camotensis, but rather, Iuo Camotensis. Your gheasse ye shewe vs: but reason thereof ye shewe vs none. Ye mighte as wel haue saide, Fulbertus Camotensis, who bringe very mutche consumed, and spent with sicknesse, as it is learnedly noted emonge other your Merities, for a restorative, suckte our Ladies breste, and by vertue thereof was made whole. Ye might likewise haue ghesse, it had ben Io. Sarisburiensis: otherwise called by some, Ruperus Camotensis. For he saith, In Ecclesia Romana sedent Scribæ, & Pharisei: In the Church of Rome sate the Scribæ, and the Pharisei. But in deede, this Writers name is Iohannes Camotensis alleged by Cornelius Agrippa. His wordes be these, Angelis præcipiunt: potestatem habent in Mortuos: Vim faciunt Scripturis, vt habeant plenitudinem Potestatis. Ipse Papa iam factus est intolerabilis. Eius pompam, & fastum nullus Tyrannorum vnquam æquauit. Legati Romanorum Pontificum sic bacchantur in Prouincijs, ac si ad flagellandam Ecclesiam Sathan egressus sit à facie Domini: They laie their Commandement vpon the Angells of God: They haue power vpon the Dead: They wreathe, and racke the Scriptures, that they maie haue the Fulnesse of Power. The Pope him selfe is nowe becomene intolerable. No Tyran was euer hable to matche him in Pompe, and Pride. The Popes Legates keepe sutch reuel in Kingdoms, and Countreies, as if Sathan were sente abroade from the face of the Lorde, to scourge the Church. This is not your Iuo Camotensis: It is Iohannes Camotensis: And this is his iudgement of your Church of Rome.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 1.

What wil ye saie, if the Popes Aduocates, Abbates, and Bishoppes Dissimble not the mater, but shewe them selues open enemies to the Gospel, and though they see, yet wil not see, but wite the Scriptures, and wittingly, and knowingly corrupte, and counterfeit the VVoorde of God, and fowly, and wickedly applie to the Pope, al the same thinges, which euidently, and properly be spoken of the Person of Christe onely, nor by no meanes can be applied to any other: And what though they saie, The Pope is al, and aboue al? Or, That the Pope can doo asmuche, as Christe can doo: And, That one iudgement place, and one Council House serueth for the Pope, and

Hhh.iiij.

for

Epist. Boni-
facij. 2. ad
Eulaliū.

Copus p. 93

Iohan. Ca-
motensis.

Herm. Rid.
Jo. Sarisbu-
riensis in Pa-
lycratico.
Cornel. A-
grippa, de
vanit. Scien-
tiarum.

Host. ca.
Quanto.
Abas Panor
De Elect.
c. i. venera-
bilis.

the Pope
may erre
or no.

*Cornelius
Episcopus
in Concil.
Tridentino.*

• Vnto the,
blasphemous,
vnadvisedly
defended. For
the wordes
be plain.
Papa Lux
venit in
Mundum.

*Con. Tridē.
sub Paulo. 3.
Oratio Cor-
nelij Episcopi
Bitontini.*

*Hosius con-
tra Bren-
num. Lib. 2.*

The Defense of the Apologie of the

for Christe bothe together? **Q^y**, That the Pope is the same Lighte, whiche should
comme into the VVorlde: **whiche woordes Christe spake of him selfe alone:**
and, That vwho so is an euil doer, hateth, and flieth from that Light: **Q^y**, that al
the other Bishoppes haue receiued of the Popes fullness?

M. Hardinge.

You haue neuer done with your whatifs. Your interpreter good Gentil woman, that fauoureth your
pleasant Diuinitie so muche, seemeth to be weary of it her selfe. For here she turneth your *Quid si*, into
what wil ye saie, if. And now Sir, doo you demande of vs, as Madame interprets maketh you to speake
what we wil say. Forsoothe, for this you allege against the Popes aduocates, Abbates and Bishops, we saie,
that the moste parte is very false and slanderous: somewhat maie be taken for truthe in a right sence. As
for the Aduocates, I minde not to be their Aduocate neither haue they neede of my helpe. Let them answer
one for an other. Hostiensis for Abbot Panormitane, and he for Hostiensis. In good sooth we were those ex-
cellent men at this daie liuinge, I thinke verily they woulde not doo you that honoure, as to answer you
them selues. Or if they woulde vouchsafe to doge so much, I doubt not, but they woulde make shote
worke with you, and take you vp roundely for haltinge, with one worde, *Mentiri*, dashinge al your
allegations, which wordes in your Diuinitie is a verbe Commune.

Thus leaue Hostiensis, and Panormitane to defense of the Canonistes, tellinge you by the waie
that in questions of Diuinitie we stande not alwayn to their saicings: we answer you on the behalfe
of Cornelius the Bishop of Bitonto in Italie, (for him ye meane, I suppose, putting in your margin the name
of Cornelius only) that he neuer saide, * the Pope is the Light, which should come into the world, in that
sence, as it is spoken of Christe. If you were handely charged to shewe, where he sayde it, or where he
wrote it, * you woulde be founde a lier, as in many other pointes you are founde already. That he neuer
wrote it in any of his eloquent Italian Sermons, sette forth in Printe, I am assured. And more hathe he
not let forth. Now it remaineth, that you tel vs where he saith so, * or els confesse your slanderous lie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Where ye saie, *M. Hardinge*, I thinke, ye haue learned of a childe, that, *Men-
tiris*, is a Verbe Common. If ye holde on, as ye haue begonne, ye wil shortly alter
the Propertie thereof to youre selfe, and make it henceforth a Verbe Priuate. *Tou-
ching* Hostiensis, and Panormitane, I wil saie nothinge, but onely referre you to
the places.

As for Cornelius the Bishop of Bitonto, for as muche as, contrarie to youre
Nature, ye pleade ignorance, and saie, ye cannot finde the place, reade therefore these
wordes in his Oration, openly pronounced in youre Late Chapter at Tridente: *Quis
erit tam iniustus rerum æstimator, qui non dicat, Papa Lux venit in Mundum: sed dilex-
erunt homines Tenebras magis quam Lucem. Omnis, qui malè agit, odit Lucem: & non
venit ad Lucem: vt non arguantur opera eius, quia mala sunt: Who wil so vnjustly weighe
things, but he wil saie, The Pope is the Lighte, that is come into the vvorld? But menne
haue loued Darkenesse, more then (the Pope, that is) the Lighte. Who so euer dothe euil,
hateth the Lighte: and cometh not to the Lighte: least his workes shoulde be discovered,
for that they be euil.*

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 2.

Shortly, what though they make Decrees expressely againste
Goddess VVoorde, and that, not in hucker mucker, or couertly, but open-
ly, and in the face of the worlde: muste it needes yet be Gospel streight,
what so euer they saie? Shal these be Gods Holy Armie? **Q^y**, wil Christ
be at hande among them there? Shal the Holy Ghoste floue in theire
tongues, or can they with truthe saie, *Woe*, and the Holy Ghoste haue
thoughte so?

M. Hardinge.

After a great many of your foolishhe and false what ifs, you conclude shortly, with What if they make
decrees expressely againste Goddesses Wordes, and that openly in the face of the world: Hereto we answer,
requiting your what if, with an other what if, and saie, What if the Learned and Holy Fathers, &c.

The Apologie, Cap. 6. Division. 3.

In deede Peter Afotus, and his Companion Hosius Nicke not to affirme,
that the same Council, wherin our Saueour Iesus Christe was condemned to
die,

die, had bothe the Sprite of Prophesieinge, and the Holy Ghoste. and the Sprite of Truthe: and that it was neither a false, nor a triflinge saieinge, when those Bishoppes saide, VVe haue the Lavve, and by our Lavve he ought to die: and, that they, so saieinge, did light vpon the very Truthe of Iudgemente (for so be Hosius woordes) and, that the same plainly was a iuste Decree, whereby they pronounced, that Christe was woorthy to die. This, me thinketh, is straunge, that these men are not hable to speake for themselves, and to defende their owne cause, but they muste also take parte with Annas, and Caiphas againste Christe. For, if they wil calle that a Lawful, and a good Councel, wherein the Sonne of God was moste shamefully condemned to die the deathe, what Councel wil they then allowe for false, and naughte? And yet (as al their Councelles, to saie truthe, commonly be) necessitie compelled them, to pronounce these thinges of the Councel holden by Annas, and Caiphas.

M. Hardinge.

Suche vn honest roles better become Brentius, that shamelesse railinge Heretike. Nowe to you, Sir Defender. You belie Hosius, as Brentius, of whom you borrowed this, belied the reuerent Father Petrus a Soto. Either you haue readen the place of Hosius, or you haue not. If you haue not, then are you to blame, to saie so muche euill, that you knowe not. If you haue readen the place, then is your faulte plaine malice, in putting that to Hosius, the contrarie whereof you finde in the place by your selfe alleaged, by whiche you leade vs, as it were by the hande, to beholde and consider your owne dishonour. How iuste cause you haue to reprehende Hosius, for that he wrote againste Brentius in defence of Petrus a Soto, touchinge the Councel in whiche Christe was condemned by Caiphas, it shoulde beste appeare to him, that woulde reade the whole place, where Hosius treateth that mater. The same woulde I here haue rehearsed, to the discoveringe of your false dealinge, and shamelesse lyinge, were not the same very longe.

Firste, this is the Truthe, touchinge the whole. (a) The Actes of those Priestes of the Iewes Synagoge were wicked, and contrarie to Christe. (a) A discrete, and a woorthy proctour. The Actes were naught, and the sentence good. The Sentence was, That Christe should die the deathe. (b) This Truth of Iudgemente was, That the Sonne of God, was a Blasphemer and had deserued to die. (c) Vntruthe. For thus saide Caiphas: And his Iudgement Hosius defendeth as true, and Godly. (d) Christe, by Hosius Iudgemente, was guilty of death.

Where ye impute to Hosius, to haue saide, that the same plainly was a iuste decree, (c) whereby they pronounced that Christe was woorthy to die, that is your slanderous lie, not Hosius saieinge. (c) For he saith the cleane contrarie, and that sundrie times, that it was a wicked Councel, and moste vniuste decree. God forbid any christen man shuld saie, that Christ was woorthy to die. (d) He saith, it might haue bene truly pronounced by Caiphas, that he was guiltie of death. And there he sheweth how, very religiously, and witley admonishing the reader, that he was most innocent, and deserued not to die. And thus, Syr you maie see, we take not parte with Annas, and Caiphas, as you saile, and yet be able, God be thanked, to defende our true cause, and declare you to the worlde to be false teachers. Therefore belie vs no more.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Good Christian Reader, this whole matter concerneth onely the credite, and certaintie of Generall Councelles, Sotus, and Hosius saie, what so euer is determined in Councel, muste be taken as the vndoubted Iudgemente, and VVoorde of God. Here vnto the Goodly Learned Father, Iohannes Brentius, replieth thus, Councelles sometime haue erred, and haue vnterly wanted the Sprite of God: as it maie appeare by that in a Councel

Sprite of
Truth in
Annas
and Cai-
phas.
Christ wor-
thy to die,

**The
Sprite of
Truch in
Annas
and Cai-
phas.**

*Hosius, lib. 2
contra Bren-
tium.*

Pag. 62. b.

*Pag. 63. a.
Dist. 13. l. 11
In margine.
Hosius con-
tra Brent.
Pag. 63. b.*

Council the Sonne of God was condemned, and iudged to die the death. Hosius answereth, When Annas, and Caiphas sat as presidentes in the council, and Christe the Sonne of God, was by them condemned to die, yet neuertheless the same Council had the assistance of the Holy Ghoste, and the vndoubted Sprite of Truche. For, speakinge of the same Council, he saith thus, Vides, Brenti, quemadmodum non detuerit Sacerdotio Leuitico Spiritus Propheticus, Spiritus Sanctus, Spiritus Veritatis: Yee see, friend Brentius, how that the Leuitical priestthoode (that pronounced sentence of death against Christe) wanted not the Sprite of prophesie, the Holy Ghoste, the Sprite of Truche. Againe he saith. Ex quo tempore primus parens noster de vetito ligno gustauit, factus est Mortis Reus Christus Dei, &c. Nec falsum fuit illud, quod dixerunt, Nos legem habemus & secundum Legem hanc debet mori: From the time, that our first Father tasted of the firebidden fruite, Christ the Sonne of God became guilty of death: Neither was it false, that the Jewes saide, VVee haue a Lavve, and according to that Lavve he ought to die. With this Sprite, I trowe, he was inspired, that wrote this Marginal Note vpon your Decrees, Iudzi mortaliter peccassent, nisi Christum crucifixissent: The Iewes had committed mortal sinne, if they had not nailed Christe vnto the Crosse. Againe, Hosius saith, Nulla esse potest tanta pontificum improbitas, quæ impedire queat, quod minus vera sit illa Dei promissio, Qui indicabunt tibi iudicii Veritatem: Be the wickednesse of Bishoppes neuer so greate, it can neuer hinder, but that this promise of God shal euer be true, The Bishoppes shal shewe thee the Truche of Iudgemente.

This therefore, M. Wardinge, is your Doctoures meaning: It is sufficient, that Bishoppes onely maie in Council. God wil supplie al the reste. What so euer they determine, the Holy Ghoste will assiste them: they cannot erre. Al this is as true, as that Hosius your Doctoure saith, Annas, and Caiphas coulede not erre in pronouncinge Sentence of death against Christe.

But, for excuse hereof, somme what to saue a fessrie mater, yee tel vs a longe tedious tale, without heade, or fote: and that your Reader maie thinke, yee saie somme what, yee crie out aloud, Shamelesse railinge Heretiques, wee belie Hosius, wee belie Sotus. Our false dealinge, our shamelesse lyinge, wee are impudente and continuwe in lyinge. These, M. Wardinge, be the proufes, and groundes of your Doctrin, and the most sauerie, and fairest floures in your garlande.

The substance of your tale is this: The Actes of the Council, where Christ was condemned, were lewde, and wicked. But the Sentence of death pronounced by the Bishoppes against Christe, was iuste and true. And thus by your dalliance in darke wordes, and by your blinde Distinction, betwene Acte and Sentence, yee saie shittes to mocke the worlde. Yee shoulde plainly haue tolde vs, what were these Sentences: and what were these Actes: and what greate difference yee canne espie betwene Acte and Sentence: or, when euer yee hearde of sentence in Iudgemente without Acte: or, of perfitte Acte without Sentence: or, howe the Sentence of the Iudge maie be true, if the Acte be false: or, howe the Acte maie be right, if the Sentence be wronge. For the Acte is a direction to the Sentence: and the Sentence groweth vpon the Acte. For your credites sake, leaue these toies, M. Wardinge. Yee haue vsed them ouer longe. They are to childishe for a childe: they becomme not your grauitie: they deceine the simple.

In dede, I can easily beleue, that neither Sotus, nor Hosius was euer so wicked, to saie, that Christe was rightly, and woorthily donne to death. How be it, he that saith, The Sentence of death pronounced in Council against Christe, was iuste, and true seemeth in dede to saie no lesse. For, if the Sentence of Christes Death were iust, then had Christe vndoubtedly deserved to die. The very case, and course of your Doctrin vndoubtedly forced them thus to saie. For, if al councelles be good, and Holy, without exception, then muste that also be a good, and a Holy Council, that was assembled against God and against Christe.

Hosius your Doctoure, to make the mater plaine, saith thus, Iudasne sit, an Petrus, an Paulus, Deus attendi non vult: Sed solum hoc, quod sedet in Cathedra Petri, quod Apostolus: quod Christi Legatus: quod Angelus est Domini exercituum: de cuius

*Hosius in
Confessione
Petri con-
tin. Cap. 29.*

culus ore Legem requirere iussus es. Hoc solum spectari vult. Si Iudas est, quandoquidem Apostolus est, nihil te moueat, quod Fur est: God wil neuer haue thee consider, whether the Pope be a Iudas, or a Peter, or a Paule. It is sufficiente, onely that he sitteth in Peters Chaire: that he is an Apostle: that he is Christes Embassadoure: that he is the Angel of the Lorde of Hostes: from whose mouth thou arte commanded to require the Lawe. This thing onely Christe would haue thee to consider. Be he Iudas: for as muche as he is an Apostle, let it not moue thee, though he be a Theefe.

But Caiphas saide, *It is good, that one man die for the people, lest al the people perish.* Ergo, saie you, Caiphas had the Sprite of God. Alas, *Mr. Hardinge*, although you little passe for your Diuinitie, yet why haue you nomore regarde vnto your Logique: Every childe knoweth, that this is a Paralogismus, or a deceitful kinde of reasoninge, called Fallacia Accidentis. And, that ye maie the better espie your oversighte, like as ye saie, Caiphas prophesied blindly, him selfe not vnderstandinge, what he saide, Ergo, he had the holy Ghoste: Euen so maie ye saie, Balaams Ass reprobued his maister, and spake the Truth, as Caiphas did. Ergo, Balaams Ass had the Holy Ghoste. S. Paule saithe, *N o man can saie, The Lorde Iesus, but in the Sprite of God.* Hereof, by your Logique, ye maie reason thus: The Diuel saide vnto Christ, I know, that thou arte Christe the Sonne of the Liuinge God: Ergo, the Diuel had the Sprite of God.

It pitieth me, *Mr. Hardinge* to see your folies. Although Caiphas vnwares, and againste his wil, by the enforcemente, & power of God, at one onely time spake wordes of Truthe, as did also Balaams Ass, and the Diuel, yet it foloweth not, that we shoulde therefore at al times runne to Caiphas to seeke the Truthe.

S. Augustine saithe, Quando Deus voluit, etiam mutum iumentum rationabiliter loquutum est. Nec ideo admoniti sunt homines, in deliberationibus suis, etiam Asinina expectare Consilia: When it pleased God, Balaams Ass, being a donmbe beast, was able to speake as a man. Yet are not men therefore commanded, in al their Consultations, and doubtful cases to seeke Counfel of an Ass.

As for the Lies, Shames, and Sclaunders, ye would so liberally laie vpon vs, if maie please you, to take them freely home againe. If ye be sul freight, and haue stoare sufficiente of your owne, yet maie you diuide them emonge your poore Louanian Brethren. It shalbe a worke of Supererogation. For ye wisse, they haue of their owne yenough al ready. To conclude, your whole drift herein is, to force your Reader, to haue a good opinion of Annas, and Caiphas, that condemned Christe to die the deathe: for that, as Hosius saithe, they had the Sprite of Prophecie, the Holy Ghost, and the Sprite of Truth and therefore coulde not erre in their Iudgemente.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 1.

But will theise menne (I saie) reforme vs the Church, beinge themselues bothe the Persons guilty, and the Iudges too: Will they abate their owne Ambition, and their Pride: Will they ouerthrowe their owne causes, and geue sentence againste them selues, that they muste leaue of to be vnlearned Bishoppes, Slobellies, Heapers togeather of Benefices, takers vpon them as Princes, and Men of Warre: Will the Abbates, the Popes deere darlings iudge that Monke for a Theefe, whiche laboureth not for his liuinge: and that it is againste al Lawe, to suffer lutch a one to liue, and to be founde either in Cittie, or in Countrie, al of other mennes charges: Or els, that a Monke ought to lie on the grounde, to liue hardely with Hearbes, and Peason, to studie earnestly, to Argue, to Praie, to Worke with hande, and fully to bend him selfe, to comme to the Ministerie of the Church: In faith, as soone will the Phariseis, and Scribes repaire againe the Temple of God, and restore it vnto vs a House of Praier, in steede of a Denne of Theeues.

Yet leape with a light skippe from one thinge to another, neither dwell yee longe in any one point, but in beinge.

But ye saie, they be bothe the persons gilty, and the Iudges also. Iudges doubtlesse they be. For their vocation is lawfull, ye can not disprove it. Guilty also they be, we denie not, but whereof? Of fraile livinge, not of false teachinge (so commonly they teache nothinge). And where? In the court of conscience, (a) not in the court of man. Or if any of them be, both before this counsel, and in this counsel godly orders have benne decreed for wholesome reformation.

(A) Verrueth.
For their life is
notorious to
the world.

As for Monkes, yee maie not looke nowe, that either they gette their livinge onely by thair hand labour, or that they be bounde to the harde discipline, which Monkes lived in, for twelue hundred yeres past. Nowe be other daies, other manners. Suche great austeritie is to be wondrous at, and to be wished for. But whether the Religious men of our time be to be compelled thereto, I leaue it to wise consideration. If it maie be lawfull to direct vs in suche spiritual cases, by an olde example of extreme prudence, me thinketh the discretion of Iacobs answere to his Brother Esau is woorthie to be thought on. When Esau courteously offered his Brother Iacob retourninge from Mesopotamia with al his traine of houtholde and cattel to go with him, and keepe him companie, the reste of the iourney that remained from the place of their first meetinge. Iacob ful mildely saide, Syr, you knowe, if it like your Lordship, that I haue heere with me tender babes, (b) ewes with Lambe, (b) and Kine with Calfes. If I ouerlabour them with faste goyinge, my flockes wil die al in a daie. Maie it please your Lordship to goe before me your seruant? I will folowe after the flocke saue and softe, so as I shall see my litle ones able to beare it.

(b) M. Harding
liketh his
Monkes to
draues of
Cattel.

Gene 33.

Likewise if there be not a discrete moderation vsed, but al Monkes be rigorously driven to the austeritie of life, they lived in of olde time in this so great loosenesse of manners, specially the discipline of al religious beings so farre flaked in comparison of the antienter severitie, it is to be feared, wee shal rather see Cloisters forsaken (which God graunt), then a godly reformation procured (which wil neuer be).

In the ende of this Paragraph, ye shewe your selfe to despaire of our amendement. God geue you grace so to doo for your partes, as wee maie haue good cause to hope better of you. But whether we amend our faultes, or otherwise, what pertaineth that to the iustificacion of your newe Gospel, and to the disproofe of the Catholike Faith by vs defended? You knowe, it is no good argument a moribus ad Doctrinam. Who would not hille you, and trampe you out of schooles, if ye made this sonde reason: The Papistes liue be faultie, Ergo their teachinge is false? To this heade al the reasons of your Apologie in effect maie be reduced: and they holde Per locum topicum noui Euangelij a malis moribus. (c) Doth not Christo him selfe confute al suche your feble reasons, where he saith, The Scribes, and Phariseis sit in the chaire of Moyses, what so euer they saie to you, doo yee, but after their woorkes doo yee not.

(c) Here M.
Harding com-
pareth the Pope
and his bishops
with the Scri-
bes and Pha-
rises.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Math. 23.

Ye saie, If your Monkes, and Freeres should: nowe be forced to keepe the olde Discipline, and severitie of their Foundations, they woulde rather breake their Cloisters and leaue altogether. And therefore by a fitte comparison, ye resemble them to the brauy dyoates of Iacobs Cattell. Whereby ye saie secretly to geue vs to imagine, that the Monkes coule be not alwaies so holy a weede, as is pretended. S. Hierome describinge the life, and order of Monkes in his time, saith thus, Nihil arrogat sibi de continencia supercilij. Humilitatis inter omnes contentio est. Quicunque nouissimus fuerit, hic primus putatur. In veste nulla discretio: nulla admiratio. Vtuncque placuerit incedere, nec detractionis est, nec laudis. Ieiunia neminem subleuant: nec defertur inedia: nec moderata saturitas condemnatur. Suo Domino stat vnusquisque, aut cadit. Nemo iudicat alterum, ne a Domino iudicetur: They bragge not of their sole, or Single life. Al their contention is, who maie be mooste humble. Who so euer is laste, he is counted firste. There is neither difference, nor wonderinge in Apparel. How so euer it pleaseth a man to goe, he is neither sclaundered for it, nor commended. No man is auanced for his Fastinge. Neither is abstinence praised, nor sobre refreshing condemned. Ecce man either stindeceth, or falleth to his Lorde. No man iudgeth other, leaste of the Lorde he him selfe be Iudged.

Hierony. ad
Marcellam,
in commigros
Bethlehem.

But (ye saie) your Monkes nowe a daies are waxen nice, and cranke. Suche extreame rigoure, and severitie they maie not beare.

Sulpitius Se-
uerus in
Chronico.
Hierony. ad
Eustochiu de
Virginita.
firuanda.

Suche Holy menne they were, of whom Sulpitius Seuerus writeth: Sedentes muneris expectant, atque omne vite decus mercede corruptum habent dum quasi venalem prae se ferunt sanctimoniam: These Freeres sate stil, and looke for monie: and haue al the lewte of their life corrupted with hire, sittinge their holinesse out to sale. Of suche Holy Persons, S. Hierome telleth vs, Post cenam dubiam Apostolos somniant: After they haue well filled their bellies, they dreame of the Apostles. In like sort, he writeth of cer-
taine

aine Monkes : Apud hos affectata sunt omnia : Laxe manica : Caligae follicantes : Vestis crassior : Crebra suspiria : Visitatio virginum : Detractio Clericorum : Et si quando Dies Festus venerit, saturantur ad vomitum : *Emonge theise meune, all things are coun-*
terfite : Their wide sleeves : their greates Bootes : their course gowne : theire often sighes : theire
Visitinge of Virgines : their Backbitinge of Priestes. And if there come a Holy Daie, they
eat. untill they be faine to perbrake. This, no doubt, is that holynesse that Chyiste brou-
ght into the worlde.

Nicolaus Cusanus, a Cardinall of the Church of Rome, thus setteth out the whole
Life, and Holynesse of your Monkes : Apud plures non nisi habitus extrinsecus remanet,
& nihil de Spiritu Fundatoris : *In the moiste parte of them there appeareth only an outward*
shewe in theire apparell : but they haue leste them selues no parte of their Founders Sprite.

Nicolaus Cu-
san. Excita.
Libr. 9.
Anima.
Nicola. Cu-
sanus Exci-
ta. Libr. 7.
Moneta.

Againe he saith, Fallacia illorum, qui sub habitu Christi apparent, vix potest sciri, ob
suam varietatem. Nam alius quidem sub hac veste, alius sub alia, alius sub capitis, alius
sub hoc Religionis signo, alius sub alio se Christo militare asserit: Licet penè omnes non
quæ Christi, sed quæ sua sunt, quærant. Omnes enim student auaritiæ à maximo vsque ad
minimum : *The falsched of them, that walke vnder the apparell of Chyiste can hardly be*
known. They are so diuers. For they all saie, they serue Chyiste, One vnder one weeds, an
other vnder an other : One vnder a Couel, an other vnder a Hoode : One vnder one badge of
Religion, and an other vnder an other. Notwithstandinge, the whole sorte of them, for the
moiste parte, seeke theire owne, and not, that pertaineth to Iesus Chyiste. For they are all
bente to conetousnesse, euen from the greatest to the least.

These are your Monkes, M. Harding : This is their holynesse. They haue no part of
their Founders Sprite : They seeke their ovne : They seeke not the glorie of Chyiste.

But your lyfe (ye saie) is no preiudice to your Faith : **How soeuer you liue, yet is your Do-**
ctrine right god and Catholique : And that ye proue by the wordes of Chyiste : The
Scribes and Phariseis sit on Moses Chaire. *Whatsoeuer they say to you, do yee, but after their*
woorkes doo yee not. If this be the best claime, ye can holde by, then suffer vs, M. Har-
ding, to saie to you, as Chyist sometime said to them, whom yee confesse to be your Fa-
thers : Woe be vnto you, yee Scribes, and Phariseis, yee Hipocrites. Ye deuoure and rauē vp
poore VVidowes houses, vnder the coloure of longe prayer. Outwardly ye seeme Holy : but
within yee are full of Hipocrisie and wickednesse.

Matth. 23.

In eod. Ca-
pita.

S. Augustine saith vnto the **Olde Heretiques,** called the Manichees : Dicitis, non o-
portere omnino quæri, quales sunt homines, qui vestram sectam profitentur : sed qualis
sit ipsa professio. Quid vobis fallacius, quid insidiosus, quid malitiosus dici aut inueniri
potest : *Ye saie, we maie not examine, what men they be, that professe your Sect : but only what*
is their profession. What thing can there be founde more false, more deceytfel, more malicious,
than you are?

Augusti. De
morib. Ma-
nicha. lib. 2.
ca. 19 & 20.

Thus saide S. Augustine to the Manichees : Take hede M. Hardinge, lest the same
maie be saide to somme of you.

The Apologie. Cap. 7. Division. 2.

There haue ben, I know, certain of their owne companions, which
haue founde faulte with many errors in the Church, as Pope Adrian, Aeneas
Sylvius, Cardinal Poole, Pigghius, and others, as is afore saide : they held af-
terwardes their Councel at Tridente, in the selfe same place, where it is
now appointed. There assembled many Bishops, and Abbates, and others,
whome it behoued for that matter. They were alone by them selues :
whatsoeuer they did, no body gainsaid it : for they had quite shut out &
barred our side from al maner of assemblies : and there they sat six yeres
feeding folkes with a matueilous expectation of theire dooinges. The
first sixe Monethes, as though it were greatly nedeful, they made ma-
ny Determinations of the Holy Trinitie, of the Father, of the Sonne, and of
the Holy Ghoste, which were godly things in deede, but not so necessary
for that time. Let vs see, in all that while, of so many, so manifest, so

Iii. j.

often

The
Per-
dome of
the
Council
of Tri-
dent.

often confessed by them, and so euident Errours, what one Errour haue they amended? From what kinde of Idolatrie haue they reclaimed the people? What Superstition haue they taken awaie? What peece of their tyrannie, and Pompe haue they diminished? As though al the worlde maie not now see, that this is a Conspiracie, and not a Council: & that theise Bishops, whom the Pope hath nowe caled together, be wholly sworne and become bounde to beare him their faithfull allegiance, and wil doo no manner of thinge, but that they perretue please him, and heareth to auance his Power, and as he wil haue it: Or that they reckon not of the number of mens voices, rather then of the weight, and value of the same: Or, that Right, there doth not oftentimes ouercome Right.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruth
manifeste,
hereafter it
shal appeare.
(b) A cleun-
rous Vntruth.
For M. Caluin
was euer an
enemie vnto
the Arians.
(c) Vntruth
most impudēt.
For their own
confessed and
knowne Errours
they neuer
onctouched.
(d) Vntruth
confessed by M.

As you proceede, you talke your pleasure of the godly and learned Faibers assembled in the late Council of Trent. By the waie, as your manner is, you drop lies. Of which one is, that they had quite shut out, & barred your side from al manner of assemblie: (a) which is a foule lie. That the first six moneths, they occupied themselves with making many determinations of the Holy Trinitie, that also is an other lie. For then the worlde had no neede of any new determinations or decrees, concerning the Trinitie: what it shall haue hereafter by occasion of your chiefe Maister Iohn (b) Caluins doctrine, it is more feared, then yet perceived.

Where ye would sayne see of so many, so many left, so often confessed by them selves, and so euident errours, what one errour they haue amended: they are not like to satisfie your longinge. And yet they haue taken order for the amendment (c) of so many, as they know. Neither is any of the same about any point of our faith, but about things of lesse weyght. Your exaggeration of the termes, so many, so many, left, so often confessed by them, and so euident, reporteth in one sentence your so many, so manifeste, so often confuted by vs, and so euident lies. VVhen you folow your houre humoure, and aske, from what kinde of Idolatrie the Fathers of the Tridentine Council haue reclaimed the people, you go to farre. VVhat fouer blasphemie ye vnder in Bookes and Sermons against the Adoration of the blessed Sacramente of the Altare, wee knowe no kinde of Idolatrie vsed in the Church. (d) Neither is any Idolatrie committed by vs in worshipping of Saints, in praying to them, nor in the reuerence we exhibite to their Images, as ye beare the people in hande. (e) As I can not well take a leaue from your lying braide, so wishe I, that I should plucke malice from your blasphemies haire.

The Bishop of Sarisbury.

Whether the Learned menne of our side were shut out from the right, and libertie of your Council, or no, it maie some appeare, partly by that is already saide: partly by that shalbe saide hereafter. Clerily, the Pope, for his Premunice, wil not suffer any Bishop, to geue voice in Council, onlesse he haue him firste solemnely sworne to the See of Rome: and therefore they be al called his Creatures. So Cicero saith, Verres, when he had bysed, and spoiled the whole Isleland of Sicilia, thought it not good, to suffer his name, or any part of his doings to come in hazarde, but onely before a Iudge, or Arbitrer of his owne.

Therefore, the Frenche Kinges Embassadoure, as it is saide before, protested thus openly euen in your saide Council, Minus legitima, minusque libera dicuntur fuisse illa Concilia: qui aderant, ad voluntatem alterius semper loquebantur: These Councilles are controuerted neither so free, nor so lawefull, as they ought to be: they that were there, spake ouermuch to please an other: (by which Other, he meante the Pope.)

And for that cause, the Emperours Maiestie, by his Embassadoure Hurtado Mendoza solemnely protested againste the assemblee of the same Council. His wordes be these: Ego Iacobus Hurtado Mendoza, nomine Pientissimi, & Iustissimi Domini mei, Caroli Caesaris Romani Imperatoris, ex illius speciali mandato, ac nomine totius Sacri Romani Imperij, aliorumque Regnorum, ac Dominioru suorum protestor, Nullam posse esse Auctoritatem assertorum legatorum Sanctitatis Vestre, & eorum Episcoporum, qui sunt Bononiæ, Sanctitati vestre maiori ex parte obnoxiorum, atque ab illius nutu omnino pendentium, ut in Religionis, & morum Reformationis causa, &c. Legem prescribant: I James Hurtado Mendoza, in the name of the moste godly, and moste mighty Prince my Lord Charles, the Romaine Emperoure, by his special Commission, and in the name of the whole Romaine Emperie, and al others his Realmes, and Dominions doe proteste, that the Autho-

Parte 1. Di-
uisi. 19.
Part 6. ca. 8.
Diuisi. 1.
Cic. in Ver-
re actione. 1.
In Con. Tri-
dent.
An. 1562.
Anno. 1547.
Citatur ab
Illyrico in
Protestatio-
ne contra Co-
cil. Trident.

rite of the pretended Legats of yours holiness, and of such other Bishoppes, as he nowe at Bononia, (unto whiche towne the Councell of Tridene was then adiourned,) for the moste parte bound unto your Holinesse, and wholly hanginge upon your becke, as of no force, namely to make Lawes in cause of Reformation of Religion, and maners.

And that it maie appeare, in what obedience, and servaile subiection, al Bishoppes be unto the Pope; Aeneas Sylvius, otherwise called Pope Pius the Second, saith thus, Quod si Episcopus Papæ contradicat, etiam vera loquendo, nihilominus peccat contra Iuramentum Papæ præstitum: If a Bishop speake againste the Pope, yea, although he speake the trueth, yet neuerthelesse he sinneth againste the Othe, that he hath made unto the Pope. Therefore, wher as at the late Conference at Noremburg, it was required by the Princes, and States of Germanie, that al Bishoppes comming to the Councell, mighte bothe be discharged from their Othe made to the Pope, and also sworne to speake, and to promote the Trueth, the Popes Legate there made answere in greate disdeigne, that it might not so be: For that so the Popes handes should be bounde. Hereby, O. Hardinge, a blinde man maie easily see the fourme, and freedome of your Councelles. If the Bishoppes be free, to saie the Trueth, then is the Pope leaste in Bondage.

Whether your Fathers, in the Chapter at Tridene sate there five whole Monethes, debatinge, and reasoninge about the Trinitie, or no, of certaine knowledge, I cannot telle. But certainly, what thinge els they did, either in al that time, or longe after, you can hardlye thewe vs. Therefore, if they did not this, so as mutche, as no thinge els appeareth of their doings, we must imagine, they sat mute in a stupor, and saide nothinge. Notwithstandinge, Cassander saith, they bestowed one whole Monner in great, and holy Disputations about meaner maters, then the Trinitie: I meane, onely aboute the Communion of the Cuppe. Martinus Kemnitius saith, They helde Disputations there and kepte greate sturre, beuent whole Monethes together, about the Iustification of Falche and Vvorkes: and yet in the ende leaste it woise, then they founde it. He saie, You your selues haie elpied many disorders in your Church of Rome, as it is plain by your owne Confessions. To reckon them al in particular, it were too long. I haue partly touched them heretofore. Albertus Pighius confesseth, there be Abuses in your Masse: The Frenche Kinges Embassadour, at your late Tridentine Chapter, saith thus, Vel Praefectorum Ecclesiarum incuria, vel etiam (ne quid grauius dica) praepostera Pietate, irrepressibile in Ecclesiam res nonnullas Antiquatione. Abrogatione, vel Moderatione dignas, fateamur necesse est: Wee must needs confesse, that either by the negligence of the Bishops, or by som disordred opinion of Holinesse (for I wil saie no more: vs meaneth falsehed, & Spockerie, and wilful Anarice) certain things are brought into the Church, woorthy either to be put awaye, and abolished, or at leste, to be qualified.

Picus Mirandula besoughte Pope Leo. 10. to abate the vaine Multitude of your Ceremonies: to resourne your Praiers: and to cut of your Fables.

One of your owne Louanian Fellowes saith, Euen nowe a daies, many good menne mislike so many Appelles to Rome: Somme others finde faulte with your Pardones: Somme with your Simonie: Somme with your Stewes: Somme with your licences: and keepinge, and mainteininge of Concubines.

I wil not enlarge the mater further. These, and other like things are confessed by your selues. Other greater maters I wil not touche. For in cases of Faith, for your credite sake, ye maie graunte no manner Erroure. For, otherwise it might be thought, ye haue neither the Faith, nor the Life of Christian menne.

Now therefore tel vs, O. Hardinge, what one Abuse of al the Abuses in your Masse what one Disorder, or Defozmitie, of so many Disorders, and Defozmities in your Church: What one vaine Ceremonie: What one Childishe Fable: What Appelle to Rome: What Simonie: What Pardone: What Stewes: What Courteghianes: What Concubines haue ye reformed? If ye reuerse not those grosse, & sensible Abuses, that ye see with your eyes: how then wil ye redresse other moze secrete maters, that pertaine onely to Faith, and be not sene? If ye wil not resourne your open Stewes, whi wil ye reform the Church of God? But ye are bold to assure vs, that there is no kind of Idolatrie, nor enier was any in your whole Church of Rome. Notwithstanding

Paralipomena Vrsperg.
Aeneas Syl.
ad Capitulum
Moguntinum.
Io. Sleidan.
Anno. 1523.
lib. 4. Hoc enim esse colligere manus Pontificis.

Cassander in
Cōsultatione
de Communione
sub vtraque
specie: In
praefatione.

Anno. 1562.
Mart. Kemnitius in Examinat.
Conc. Trid.
Pag. 638.
Albert. Pighius. In controuer. De

Miss. p. 10.
In Conc. Trid.
dem. an. 1563.
Picus Mirand.
de ad Leonem
Pp. 10.
In Conc. Lateran.
Copus dialo.

1. pag. 15.

in the
Churche
of Rome

Epiph. lib. 3.

In Oratione

De Fide ca-

tholica.

Oi iſta dicit

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

me. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

ſe. ſe. ſe. ſe.

some others of your best Lernered friends have thought otherwise, as it shal appeare.

Firste, Epiphanius saith of certaine Persians, named Maguari, Idola quidem detestantur: tamen Idolis cultum exhibent: They abhorre the sight of Idoles: yet they fall downe, and worship Idoles. And what if a man would saie the same of your Clergie of Rome? Merely, notwithstandinge ye would seeme to mislike of Idoles, yet your Churches, and Chapels are full of Idoles. Againe, he reporteth certaine wordes of S. Paule, as uttered of him by the Spirit of Prophecie, Erunt Mortuis Cultum Divinum prestantes, quemadmodum etiam in Israel impie coluerunt: They shal give godly honor unto dead men, like as also they did in Israel.

What opinion ye have had of Saintes departed, I neede not here to remember. Cardinal Bembo, in an Epistle unto the Emperoure Charles, calleth the Blessed Virgine, Dominam Deam nostram: Our Lady and Goddesse. Your greate Hercules Lipomanius, crieth out in his marginal agonie, Ecce quam potentissima est Sapientia Dei genitrix: & quomodo nullus saluus fieri possit nisi per eam: Beholde how mighty is the holy Mother of God, and howe no man may be saved but by her. If this be not manifest Idolatrie, it maie please you to give it some other name.

It seemeth, this Erroure beganne to springe longe since, even in the time of the Olde fathers: and that hereof faultus the Heretique take occasion thus to charge the Catholiques for the same: Idola vertitis in Martyres: For he changed the Heathen Idols into your Martyrs. Further, ye saie, the Heretique, that ye give unto Sunnes Images, is no Idolatrie. Yet Polidorus Vergilius, speakinge hereof, saith thus: Quia sacerdotes populum non docent, & vulgo ex vi suo tacere putantur, ideo eo infans decentum est, ut hac pars Pietatis parum differat ab Impietate: For that the Priests instruct not the people, and are thought to holde their peace for gaine sake, the matter is brought to such a degree, that this parte of Devotion differeth but litle from Extreme Wickednesse.

And Ludovicus Vives saith, he saith no greates difference betwene many Christian menne worshippinge their Images, & an Heathen man adoring his Idoles. Catharinus, one of your greate Doctors of Tridens, saith thus: An licet Adorare Imagines ipsas, & illis cultum præbere? Sunt qui hoc omnino negent, & clament, esse Idolatriam. Videntur autem non inutilibus Argumentis moveri: nec absque Maiorum, imo etiam Scripturarum Autoritate: Whether it is lawful to worship the very Images, or no? Summa mentis saie, may: and calle it Idolatrie. And they seeme to be moved with no light Argument, without the Authoritie, as wel of the Fathers, as of the Scriptures.

Jacobus Nancantus saith, Non solum fatendum est, Fideles in Ecclesia Adorare coram Imagine, sed & Adorare Imaginem, sine quo volueris scrupulo: quin & eo illam venerari cultu, quo & prototypon eius. Propter quod, si illud habet Adorari Latria, & illa habet Adorari Latria: Wee muste graunte, that the Faithful people in the Churche, doe not onely vvorshippe before the Image, but also vvorshippe the Image it selfe: and that without any manner scruple of Conscience, whatsoever. And further, They vvorshippe the Image with the selfe same honoure, vvhervith they vvorshippe the thinge it selfe, that is represented by the Image. As if the thinge it selfe be vvorshipped with godly honoure, then must the Image it selfe likewise be vvorshipped with godly honoure. Hereto agreth one other of your late Writers, Iacobus Payna: And an other of your like Doctors saith, This is the very use, and practise of your Church of Rome. But Robertus Holcote saith, This kinde of vvorshippinge, is plaine Idolatrie. Therefore, I trowe, there hath ben some Idolatrie in the Church of Rome. Ye wil saie, Yee knowe the Image is no God: And this ye thinke, is excuse sufficient. But so likewise saide the Heathens of their Idoles: and yet, as S. Augustine saith, they were Idolaters notwithstanding.

Ye wil saie, It is the Image of an Apostle of Christ, or of God himselfe, and therefore it can be no Idolatrie. But S. Augustine saith: Marcellina colebat Imaginem Iesu, & Pauli, & Homeri, & Pythagoræ, Adorando, Incensumq; ponendo: Marcellina worshipped the Image of Iesus, and of Paule, and of Homere, and of Pythagoras, by kneelinge unto them, & burninge Incense before them.

Yet neuerthelesse, there was an Idolater. The Saracenes, this daie make their Sacrifices in Mount Mecha, not to Diuels, or Heathen Gods: But to Abraham, to Isaac, and to S. Thomas: yet are they not therfore excused of

Idola-

Idolatrie. Gregorius the Bishop of Nyssa, S. Basiles Brother, saith thus: Qui Creaturam Gre. Nyssen. Adorat, etsi in Nomine Christi id faciat, tamen Simulachrorum Cultor est, Christi nome In Orat. su. Simulachro imponens: He that worshippeth a Creature, notwithstanding he doe it in the nebride Name of Christe, yet is he a worshipper of Images, as geuinge the name of Christ vnto an Placilla. Image. By these fewe, & Hardinge, it may some appeare, that your Churches are not boide of all Idolatrie.

The Apologie, Cap. 7. Division. 3.

And therfore we know, that diuers tymes many good men, and Catholique Bishops did carrie at home, and would not come, when sutch Councels were called, wherin men so apparently laboured to serue factions, and to take parts, bicause they knew, they should but lose their trauaile, and do no good, seeing wherinto theyr enimies mindes were so wholly bente. Athanasius denied to come, when he was called by the Emperour to his Council at Cæsaria, perceiving plainly he should but come among his enimies, which deadly hated him. The same Athanasius when he came afterwarde to the Council at Syrium, and forsaue what would be the ende, by reason of the outrage, and malice of his enimies, he packed by his carriage, and went away immediately. John Chrysostome, although the Emperour Constantius, commaunded him by foure sundry letters, to come to the Ariens Council, yet kept he himself at home still. The Maximus, the Bishop of Hierusalem, sate in the Council of Palestine, the Old Father Paphnutius tooke him by the hande, and ledde him out at the dores, saying: It is not lawfull for vs to conferre of these matters with wicked men. The Bishops of the East would not come to the Syrmian council, after they knew, Athanasius had gotten himselfe thence againe. Cyril called menne back by letters from the Council of them, whiche were named Patropalsians, Paulinus, Bishop of Trier, and many others moe, refused to comine to the Council at Millaine, when they vnderstoode, what a sturre, and rule Auxentius kepte there: for they sawe, it was in vaine to goe thither, where not reason, but faction should preuaile: and where folke contended, not for the Truthe, and right iudgemente of the mater, but for Partialitie, and fauour.

Hister. Tri-
part. lib. 10.
cap. 13.
Ensch. Lib. 1.
cap. 17.

And, al be it those Fathers had sutch malicious and stiffe necked enimies, yet if they had come, they should haue had free speech at leaste in the Councelles.

M. Hardinge.

First, here I note the falsched of the Lady enterpreter, who turneth the Latine speaking of Athanasius, *Cum vocatus esset ab Imperatore ad Concilium Cæsariense*: When he was called by the Emperour to this Council at Cæsaria. Where by addinge the woorde *his*, of her owne shree or a worse shree vnder her name, goeth aboute to perswade, as Heretiques doo, that the Councels be to be accompted the Councels of Temporal princes, not of Bishoppes: and that they be the heades of them, not the Bishop of Rome. This muche to her. Nowe Sir to you Defender. All these examples serue you to no purpose. It is not denied you but that in cases, menne maie refuse to comine to Councels. Your examples declare, that Catholique Bishoppes shunned to come vnto the vnlawfull Councels of Heretiques. But ye holding strange opinions, condemned by the Church, denie to come to the lawfull Councels of Catholique Bishoppes. When yee haue proued vs to be Heretiques, I meane the Fathers of the late Council, then maie yee iustly alleage the example of Athanasius, Chrysostome, Maximus, paphnutius, Cyril, paulinus, and suche other, for not cominge to the Council.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Had you not had a shrewd sharpe wit, & Hardinge, and a very good liking of the same, yee coulds neuer haue made your selfe so great spozte of so smal a mater. The Lady interpreter pitieth your case, and wisheth you a little moze discretion: and would

* And why not
as well as M.
Hardinge may
call the whole
Church the
Popes Vine-
yard. pa. 283. b
Or, al the Bi-
shops through
the worlde, the
Popes Sheepe
Page. 308. b.

be mutche ashamed to answere your folies.

*In Concil.
Roman. 3.
sub Symma-
cho. pp.*

*Gerfon. Tri-
logus in Ma-
teria Schif-
matia.
Liberat.
Cap. 11.*

*Hilarius
contra Ari-
anos, &
Auxentium
Theodo. Li.
2. cap. 3.
Hieron. Ad
Marcum
presbyterum
Celedensem.*

If the Council, we speake of, were not the Emperours Council, then much lesse was it the Popes Council. For in those daies, as hereafter it shalbe declared moze at large, Councelles were summoned by Emperours, and not by Popes. And what reason haue you to shew vs, that the Council, being summoned by the Emperoure, might not be called the Emperours Council, as wel, as the Pope, being admitted, & allowed by the Emperour, might be called the Emperours Priest? Odoacer, in the third Council of Rome, in the time of Pope Symmachus, said thus: Miramur, prætermisiss nobis, quicquam fuisse tentatum: Cum etiam Sacerdote nostro superstitite, nihil sine nobis debuisset assumi: Wee marvelle, that any thinge was attempted without our knowledge: for as mutche as, Our Priest (he meaneth the Pope) bringe a line, nothinge maie be donne without vs. As the Pope maie be called the Emperours Priest, so maie the Council be called the Emperours Council, without any impeachment of Christian Faith. Againe, why mighte not a General Council holden in Rome, be called the Emperours Council, as wel as a General Council holden in Fraunce, might be called the Kings Council? Gerfon, speaking of a Council holden in Parise, saith thus, Infamare Regem cum Generali Concilio suo conati sunt: They sought to disfaime the Kinge with His General Council. It was a General Council, and a Council of Bishoppes: And yet was it called the Kings Council. Liberatus saith, Flavianus Episcopus Eutychem ad Concilium suum venire præcepit: Flavianus the Bishop (not of Rome, but of Antioche) commanded Eutyche to come to his Council. Hereafter, M. Hardinge, ye maie take time, to studie for some better quarrel. Surely this was very simple.

Ye excuse Athanasius, Chrysostomus, Maximus, Paphnutius, Hilarius, Cyrillus, Paulinus, and other Learned Bishoppes, and holy fathers, for not appearinge at General Councelles: for that they were summoned to appeare before Heretiques. As for the fathers of your late Tridentine Chapter, what so euer they were, ye must in any wise calle them Catholiques. Yet notwithstandinge, ye maie remember, that by such good Catholiques, as you be, the same holy fathers, Athanasius, Chrysostomus, Maximus, Paphnutius, Hilarius, Cyrillus, Paulinus and others were called Heretiques. Hilarius saith, Congreget nunc Auxentius, quas volet in me Synodos, & Hæreticum me, ut sepe iam fecit, publico titulo proscibat: Nowe let Auxentius, the Arian Heretique, calle what Councelles he listeth against me: and by open Proclamations let him publishe me for an Heretique, as he hath oftentimes donne already. The Arian Heretiques saide, that the Catholique Christians, whome they called Homousians, whiche in their meaning was as mutche, as Heretiques, were the cause of al diuision.

S. Hierome saith vnto Marcus, Hæreticus sum: quid ad te? Quiesceriam dictum est: I am an Heretique: What is that to thee? Hold your peace: ye haue tolde your tale. By like right, Christe him selfe, by certaine your Ancients fathers, was called a Samaritane, a Deceiuer of the people, and an Heretique. And, if it maie please you soberly, and aduisedly to consider the mater, ye shal finde throughout the whole Body of the scriptures that no people made euer so greate crakes of the Church, as they, that were the deadly Enimies of the Church: no: none were so ready to condemne others of Heresie, as they, that in deede were them selues the greatest Heretiques.

The Apologie, Cap. 3. Diuision. 1.

But now, since hence none of vs maie be suffered so mutche as to sitte, or once to be seene in these mennes meetings, mutche lesse suffered to speake freely our minde: and seing the Popes Legates, Patriarches, Archbishops, Bishops, and Abbates, al being conspired together, al linked to-gether in one kinde of fault, and al bound by one othe, sit alone by them selues, and haue power alone to greue theire consente: and at last, when they haue al donne, as though they had donne nothinge, bringe al their opinions to be iudged at the wil, and pleasure of the Pope, being but one man, to thende he maie pronounce his owne sentence of him selfe, who ought

ought rather to haue answered to his complaint: lieth also the same Ancient, and Christian libertie, which of al right should specially bee in Christian Councelles, is nowe vtterly taken awaie from the Council: for these causes, I saie, wise and good menne ought not to marueile at this date, though we do the like now, that, they see, was done in times past in like case, of so many Fathers and Catholique Bishoppes: which is, though we chuse rather to sit at home, and leaue our whole cause to God, then to iourney thither, where as wee neither can haue place, nor be hable to doo any good: where as we can obtaine no audience: where as Princes Embassadours be but bled as mocking stockes: and where as also we be al condemned already before trial: as though the matter wer aforehande dispatched, and agreed vpon.

M. Harding.

If I wish, yee would take my counsel in good parte, and listen vnto it, as it standeth you vpon, I would advise you to cal in al the bookes of your Apologie, and that with no lesse diligence then yee wente aboute to suppress the Bookes of my answer to M. Iuelles challenge, at their first comming abroad. That done, and more for a to caste bruires abroad, that the Apologie was made and counterfeited by some crafty Papiste, to bringe you quite out of credit with al the world. So might ye perhaps in time recouer some parte of your lost estimation. For whiles your Bookes be in mennes hands, they shalbe an euident witness to al the worlde, of your shamelesse lyinge.

The Booke of the Canons, and Decrees of the Council, hath bene printed almoste in al partes of Christendome. Looke who list, in euery Booke he shal finde three seueral solemne Safeguards, granted by the Council, and confirmed by the three Popes, vnder whome the same was celebrated. While the Canons, and Decrees continue firste in moste ample written Libertie, Power, Authority, and assurance for al and singuler persons of al Germanie, of what degree, state, condition, or qualite so euer they bee, that woulde come to that ecumenical and general Council, to conferre, propounde, and treat with al freedom, of al things to be treated there, and to the same Council freely and safely to come, there to tarry and abide, and to offer and put vp Articles, so many as they thought good, as wel in writtinge as by woorde, and with the Fathers, and others thereto chosen, to conferre, and without any reproches, or vbraidinges to dispute, also at there pleasure safely againe from thence to departe.

An extension to other Nations.

The same holy Council in the holy Ghoste lawfully assembled, the same Legates de latere of the See Apostolike, beinge president in it, to al and singuler others, whiche haue not communion with vs in those matters, that be of Faith, of what so euer Kingdomes, Nations, Prouinces, Citties, and Places, in vvhich openly, and without punishment, is preached, or taught, or beleueed the contrarie of that, whiche the holy Romaine Church holdeth, geueth Faith publique or Safeconduit, vnder the same forme, and the same vvordes, vvvith vvhich it is geuen to the Germanies.

This beinge moste true, as the better parte of the worlde seeth, and the Bookes, and Publique instruments extant do witness, your excuse of your refusal to come to the Council, as Bishops of other Christian Realmes did, is founde false. (a) Yee had al free libertie and securitie, granted vnto you for that behalfe, in so ample and large manner, as mannes wit coulde deuise.

The seconde cause why yee came not, is, for that the Popes Legates, Patriarches, Archebishops, Bishops, and Abbates, al beinge conspired together, al lincked together in one kinde of faulte, and al bounde in one Othe, sate alone by them selues, and haue power alone to geue their consent. What is here that shoulde let you to ioine with others for procuringe vniue and peace in Christendome? Complaine ye of the Fathers conserde and agreeing together? (b) That is a signe, the spirit of God, authour of Charitie and vnitie go- uerneth their hartes. In that respect, they seeme to come together in the holy Ghost. And in deede, had ye gone thither, your Heresies had benne confuted, your selues required to yelde, and to conforme you to the Catholique Church: or els ye had benne anathematized, accursed and condemned.

Your thirde cause is, for that the determinations, and Decrees of the Council, be referred to the Pope. To that wee haue answered before, The Pope confirmeth al, beinge heade ouer the Council. (c) Doe the not the Queene so pardy confirme your actes of Parliaments, by geuinge her Roial assente vnto them at the end of the Parliament? What thinge can be done p. s. itely by a body without the heade? And who might better confirme Councils, then he, whose Faith in pronouncinge sentence, rightly, and duely, in matters con- cerninge Faith, wee are assured by Christes prair to be infallible? he geue his Real Consent

Your fourthe cause is, for as muche as the Ancient, and Christian libertie, whiche of righte shoulde be specially

iiiij.

specially

(a) A full dis-
crete kinde of
mockery. Thei
offer men li-
bertie to come
and speake: but
reterue the
whole iudge-
mente to them
selues.
(b) They agree
together a-
gainst God, and
his Christe.
(c) But who
made you
a Kinge?
And when wil
he geue his
Real Consent
against him
selfe.

The
Popes
sauecon-
duit.

(d) They are
allowed a
place, but tou-
ching iudge-
mente, they
maie saie no-
thinge.

(e) Vntothe,
withour any
coloure of ho-
neste shame,

659

The Defense of the Apologie of the

specially be in Christian Councils, is nowe vterly taken away. This cause is not different from your first. Your fifth cause is a false lye, that Princes Ambassadors be used, but as mockinge stockes. (d) Trueth is, they have moste honourable seates in all Councils. In this Council they saie by the Legates. Every Ambassador hath his place there accordinge to the degree of honour, the kingdomes, Common weales, States, and Princes be of, from whence, and from whome he cometh.

The first and the last cause, ye allege for your not cominge to the Council, is, for that yee be condemned already before trial, as though the matter were aforehand dispatched and agreed vpon. In deede (e) your heresies for the more parte be and have bene condemned about a thousande yeres past. And therfore they are not nowe to be called to a newe triall, as though the Church vntill this daie, hadde bene decryued, and so many Fathers overseene. This notwithstanding, maie yee wel saie, touching that point, your matter is aforehande dispatched and agreed vpon. For they knowe what yee can say, and see that ye saie nothing, but onely stande wilfully and stubbornly in your false opinions and fleshly pleasures.

The Bishop of Sarisbury.

We neuer suppressed any of your Bookes of Harding, as you know: but are very wel contented, to see them so common, that as nowe, children maie plaie with them in the streets. Your manifest Vntruthes: your Simple Conclusions: your often contrarieties to your selfe: your newe found Authours: your Childish Fables: your Vncourteous Speeches: your rackinge, Corruptinge, & Disreputinge of the Donours therein contained, haue mutche betwained the miserable feeblenesse of your cause. Your selowes haue no cause, greatly to glorie in such heales: none then in other your like Pamphlettes, vnnate of any wise man to be answered. This was your onely, and special policie, in the time of your late Kingdome. Ye suppressed, and called in, & burnt al our Writings, what so euer, yea, the very Testament, and Gospel of Christe, truly translated into Englishe, naminge them heretical, and Vnlawful Bookes. And, if any man had concealed, & kepte vnto him self for his comforts, any such Booke written by any of our side, by most terrible, & bloudy Proclamations, ye made it felonie. So mutche ye dispaired, and doubted your owne folies.

As for the Bookes of our Apologie, they haue benne spreade so farre, and printed so often, in Latine, in Italian, in Frenche, in Dutche, in Englishe, that, as nowe, it were harde to suppress them. Touchinge the shamelesse lyinge, wherewith ye charge vs, wee are wel content to stande to the Iudgement of the wise. Certainly, it shameth vs mutche, to see so litle shame in yours Writings.

We saie, The Pope gaue out his Saueconduite to al the Princes, and Free Cities, and to the whole people of Germanie, to come to the Council to propound, to dispute at their pleasure, and when they should think it good, safely and safely, to return with a large Extension to other Nations, as yee saie, to like purpose.

But firste, P. Hardinge, what safetie can there be in his Saueconduite, that is not hable to saue him selfe? Pope Eugenius the fourth, if he had come to the Council of Basile, as you knowe, had benne quite deposed from his Popedom, al his Saueconduites notwithstanding. Pope Iohn. 22 gaue out as sure a Saueconduite for the Council of Constance, as Pope Pius could diuise any for your late Chapter of Tridente. Yet notwithstanding al his Safetie, bringe him self present in the Council, he was pulled out of Peters Chaire, and deppriued of his Dignitie, & stripte out of his Pontificalibus, and turned home againe in his Minoribus, and allowed onely to be a Cardinal, and no longer to be a Pope. Ye maie remember, Cicero saith, Qui multorum Custodem se profiteatur, cum sapientes sui primum capitis aiunt Custodem esse oportere: Wise men saie, Who so wil take vpon him to saue others, ought firste to saue him selfe.

And what credit may wee geue to your Saueconduites? Iacobus Nachianus, the Bishop of Chioca, for that he had simpred out one halfe word of truth to the misliking of the Legates, was faine to ren to Rome, to creepe to the Popes seete, & to craue Pardon. Ye shamefully betraied, and cruelly murdered Iohn Husse, and Hieronymus Pragen. Ye in your Council of Constance, neither the Protection of the Emperoure, nor the Popes Saueconduite was hable to saue them. So, youre selues haue already ruled the case in your saide Council for this ye saie, fides non est seruanda Hereticis: Tee may holde no Fasthe vnto them, that yee calle Hereticques. Dutche is the Safetie, & Libertie of your Councelles.

We saie,

Concil. Ba-
siliens. Session.
3. & 4.
Concil. Con-
stan. Session.
23. 4.

Cicero,
Philipp. 12

Jllyricus in
Protest. con-
tra Concil.
Tridentin.
Pag. 77.
Con. Const.
Session. 9.

Feb. 15. *R.* *Trid.* *P. 15.* *Our Learned Men were allowed to Propound, to Talk, to Dispute. What shoulde this*
auaille: for ye reserved the Determination and whole Iudgement to your selues:
and your selues are sworne to submitte your whole Iudgement to the Pope, and
without his Iudgement to Iudge nothing. And how maie this seme a Free Council
where the guiltie partie shall be the Iudge.

P. 15. *There is an extension granted to other Nations. All this is true in deede. But this*
same Truthe discerth your open Pocherie. For if ye hadde seene the Instrumente it
selfe, in the ende thereof you shoulde haue founde your saide extension restrained on
ly to them that would Repent and Recant the Truthe of God, whiche you calle Er-
our, and yelde themselves thall unto the Pope.

Ioan. Sleide
mu. li. 23.
Anno. 1552.

Howbeit, not longe sithence, the Bishops of your saide Chapter at Trident, were
very lothe to allowe any tolerable Sauconduite at all, eyther to the Germanes or to
any others.

But ye saie, if wee hadde comen to your Chapter, we had bene Confounded. No doubtles, by
the reuerend authoritie of your Amphilocheus, your Abdias, your Leontius, or some
other like Doctors, whom ye haue so lately raskit out of your Channels, or at least
by your Fry Argumentes of Swerdes and Faggottes: for such proofes must helpe
you when others saie.

As for the gais stuffe, that your Tridentine Fathers, after theyr Nature Delibera-
tion, as they calle it, and more than twentie yeres studie, haue sente vs oute lately
into the worlde, it is so simple to mocke Children. Hadde they not benne men inpu-
dente, and voide of all shame, they woulde rather haue stolen home secretly in the
darke, and haue vttered nothing.

We finde no fault with you, P. Harding, for that your Bishops, and Abbates agree
together: but for that they agree together, as did Herode and Pilate, the Sadduceis,
and Phariseis againste Christe.

Mat. 22.
Ch. 26.

Neither maie you wel haunte your selues of your greates agreementes. Ye maie
remember, that two of the Principall Pillers of your Chapter Dominicus a Soto,
and Catharinus, dissented euen there openly and shamefully, and that in great popu-
tes of Religion: and wrote, the one mightily against the other: the one charging the
other with Errour, and Heresie, and coulde neuer yet be reconciled.

Dominicus
a Soto.
Catharinus.

Notwithstanding, against other pointes of Goddes Truthe, bothe they, and the rest
toynded stoutely together. S. Augustine saith, Tunc inter se concordant, quando in per-
niciem luti conspirant. Non quia se amant, sed quia eum, qui amandus erat, simul ode-
unt: Then they agree together, when they conspire to destraine the lulle: not for that they
them selues loue one an other: but for that they bothe hate him, whome they ought to loue. Of
suche kinde of Consente, S. Hierome, although to a farre contrary purpose, imagineth
Iouinian thus to saie: Quod me damnant Episcopi, non est ratio, sed conspiratio. Nolo
mihi illo, vel ille respondeat, quorum me Authoritas opprimere potest, docere non po-
test: That the Bishops condemne me, there is no Reason in their dooings, but a Conspiracie.
I woulde not that this man, or that man should answer me, whiche maie oppresse mee by
their Authoritie, and can not teache mee.

August. in
Psal. 36. con-
spione. 2.

Hierony. in
Apologeti-
co ad Domi-
nianum.

Whether it be convenient, that the Pope, being notoriously accused of manifeste
corruption in Goddes Religion, shoulde neuerthelesse, be the whole and onely Iudge
of h same, & pronounce sentence of himselfe, let it be indifferently considered by the wise.

The Lawe saith, Qui iurisdictioni preest, non debet sibi Ius Dicere: No
man maie be his owne Iudge. Suche Authoritie (ye saie, Kings haue in Parliamences. Hereof I
am not able to dispute. The Princes right many times passeth by Composition: & ther-
fore is not euermore one in al places. Howbeit, the Pope is a Bishop, and not a King,
and other Bishops be not his Subiectes, but his Brethren.

f. De iuris-
dict. omnium
Iudicium.

Your fourth Obiection is but a Cauill. Ye saie, Ye make not Princes Embassadors, but
place them nexte vnto your Legates to sitte still, & to telle the Clocke. For voice
in Iudgement ye allowe them none. Thus ye proue their Authoritie, and allowe
them Honour, and sette them alofte, to saie nothyng. Notwithstandinge, whe-
ther the Emperours and Princes Embassadors maie sitte so neare to the Popes Le-
gates

gates or no, I can not tell. Surely, the Emperoure him selfe maie not be so bold, to
p[re]sume so neere vnto the Pope. For thus it is ordered in your Booke of Ceremonies,
Aduertendum est, quod locus vbi sedet Imperator, non sit altior loco, vbi tenet pedes
Pontifex: This is to be noted, that the place where the Emperour sitteth in (General Coun-
cell) be no higher, then the place, where the Pope sitteth his feet. That is to say, the Em-
perour must sitte at the Popes foote stoll, and no higher. And this, saith your Magister
Ceremoniarum, is a thing specially to be noted.

All the partes of our Religion, which you call Heresies, y^e saie, are already con-
demned, since a thousande yeres past. If all this be not true, then haue you sowly
abused your penne, to slander Goddes Truthe, and to beguile the worlde. But I
p[re]sume you M^r. Hardinge, leaue some parte of your worte, and telle vs the Truthe.
Was the Publique Ministration of the Holy Sacrament, was the Holy Communion,
in bothe kindes, was the publike Order of Common prayer, in the Common knowen
tongue, was the withstanding of the Ambition, and Pride of Rome condemned so
longe agoe? Howe coulde you dare thus to saie, and the same to publishe
Hereticke so longe agoe? Howe coulde you dare thus to saie, and the same to publishe
so openly, and so boldly vnto the worlde, if you had any regard to your sayings? He
would haue wonne you some good credite, if you coulde haue tolde vs, in what
General Council, vnder what Emperour, by what Doctours, by what Catholike hear-
ned Fathers, these great Errours were thus condemned. As nowe y^e roare merrily at
large, and seide your simple Reader with your emptie Calendars, of thousandes of
yeres, and speake at randomne. If it hadde benne true, y^e would better haue she-
wed it: but being most vntue, as you knowe it to be, so y^e very shame, y^e shoulde
neuer haue saide it.

The Apologie. Cap. 9. Dimisi. 1. & 2.

Neuerthelesse wee can beare patiently, and quietly our owne private
wronges. But wherfore do they ouer our Christian Kings, and good Princes
from their Conuocation? Why doo they so vncourteously, or with such
spite leaue them out, and as though either they were not Christian men,
or else coulde not iudge, will not haue them made acquainted with the
causes of Christian Religion, nor vnderstand the state of their owne Churches?

O, if the saide Kings and Princes happen to entermeddle in such mat-
ters, and take vpon them to doo, that they may doo, that they be com-
maunded to doo, and ought of dutie to doo, and the same things that,
we know, both David and Salomon, and other good Princes haue done,
that is, if they, whiles the Pope and his prelates slugge, and sleepe, or else
mischeuously withstande them, doo hide the Priestes sensualitye, & driue
them to do their dutie, and kepe them still to it: If they do ouerthrowe
Idolles, if they take away Superstition, and set vp againe the true worship-
ping of God, why doo they by and by make an outcrie vpon them, that
such Princes trouble al, and presse by violence into an other bodie of
fice, and doo therein wickedly and malapertly? What Scripture hath
at any time forbidden a Christian Prince to be made priuie to such cau-
ses? Who, but them selues alone, made euer any such Lawe?

M. Hardinge.

(a) Ye confounde the offices of the Spirituall Gouvernours, and Temporall Magistrats. That Kings
and Princes maie doo, what they be commaunded to doo, and ought of dutie to doo: in Gods name lette
them doo, and yvel maie they so doo. Who is he, that giueth himselfe by the p[re]cedent example of David and
Salomon, y^e (b) animate them to intermeddle with Bishoply offices, when beware they (as wee) that Gods
vengeance light not vpon them for such wicked presumption, which lighted vpon King Oziass, for the like
negligent as the
Priests.

Ye teache Princes to vse violence against Priestes, as though their faultes coulde not be redressed by the (c)
Prelates of the Clergie, of whome yee speake by spiritual iurisdiction, as though God had vicerly withdrawen
his holy

(a) Vnto the
Vaine, and vn-
advised. Reade
the Answer.
(b) Vnto the
It is not oure
Doctrine. But
why embolden
you the Pope,
to entermeddle
with Princes
Offices?
(c) Your Prela-
tes be as blame
worthy, and as
negligent as the
Priests.

Comm
an Rom
lib. 1. Sall
14. ca.

his holy Spirit from them. But forasmuch as Christe abisseth his Church alwayes, and shal neuer faile in things necessary, it is not to be doubted, but the Church shal ever be provided of some good Governours, so as though some sluge and sleepe, yet some other shall wake, and diligently attende their charge. Priests haue their Ecclesiasticall Courtes, where their defaultes and offences maie duly, and Canonically be punished: and the offenders, by Priestly discipline, bereft of life. Neither is it convenient for a King, to come into Priests consistories, (d) nor to call Priests before him, to his owne seate of iudgement.

So many as be necessary to minister, and performe those thinges, that appertayne to the buylding up of Christes Body the Church, until it come to his perfection, S. Paule reckoneth by name in his Epistle to the Ephesians, laicing, that Christe hath to that ende, placed in his Church, (e) some Apostles, some Prophetes, some Euangelistes, some Shepherdes, and teachers. Kings and Princes be not there named, as they, who haue their propre rancke. That the people be to be stirred by vs to more feruor devotion to worshippinge God, and some perhappes, to be warned of some cases of superstition, vnder graunte. But that any other manner or kinde of worshippinge of God, is either by vs, or by temporal Princes, to be set vp in Christes Church, that we denie.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

We confounde not these Offices, *op. Harding*, as ye beste knowe: but rather we teache eche man carefully to attende his owne office. You, and your Fathers haue brought Confusion of Offices into the Church of God, in that ye haue made your Pope Heire apparente vnto the Emperie: and haue armed him with al manner Authoritie, Spirituall and Temporal: and haue giuen him the right of Bothe Sverdes.

For thus your owne Pope Nicolas telleth you Roundly in his owne behalfe, Christus Petro Aeternæ vitæ Clauigero, Terreni simul, & Cœlestis imperij iura commisit: Christe hath committed vnto Peter, the Keybearer of Everlasting life, the right as well of the Earthly, as also of the Heauenly Emperie. No doubtles. For Christe by his Commis- sion made Peter a Kinge of this worlde, and dubbed him accordingly with Sverde, and Scepter, and bade him sitte vnder his Cloth of Estate. Thus by your Doctrine, Priestehode, Kingdome, Popedom, Emperie, are al conueighed wholly into one mans handes: and so by your handlinge, one man is Prieste, Kunge, Pope, and Emperour, al at once. This perhappes vnto the wise, maie seeme to be some confusion of Offices.

Touching that ye write of the rathe attempte of Kinge Ozias, ye seeme not to vnderstande, neither our wordes, nor your owne. For we teache not Princes, to offer by Incense in sacrifice, as Ozias did: or by intrusion to thrust themselves into Bishops roumes: or to Preache, or to minister Sacramentes, or to Witte, or to Lese: But onely to discharge their owne Offices, and to doo that duetie, that Dauid, Salomon, Ezechias, Iosias, and other Noble and godly Kinges did, and euermore was lawfull for the Prince to do. As for righte of Place, and Voice in Councell, it pertaineth no lesse to the Prince than to the Pope: as hereafter it shall better appere.

Ye saie, Christe shal alwayes assiste his Church: and shal euermore provide her of good Governours. Thus, be your negligence, and carelesse slouthfulnesse neuer so greate: be your liues ner so lose: be you Doumbe Dogges, not habile to barke, be you Lanternes without Lighte, be you salte withoute sauoure, yet ye euermore dreame sweetely of Christes Promise, and assure your selues vnboutdoubtly of his assistance: Euen as he, that sometime saide, Pan curet oues ouiumque magistros. Would God your Bishops would do their dutie, and do it faithfully: The worlde should haue lesse cause to complaine. Notwithstandinge, Christe is euermore mindefull of his promise. For when he seeth his Church defaced, and laide waste, he raiseth vp faithful Magistrates, and godly Princes, not to do the Priestes, or Bishoppes duties: but to force the Priestes, and Bishoppes, to do their duties.

But ye saie, Christe hath placed in his Church, some Apostles, some Prophetes, some Euangelistes, some Pastours, some Teachers. Kings, (ye saie) and Princes be not there named. Whereof ye conclude, Ergo, The Prince maie not cause the Abuses of his Church to be returned: nor ouertie the Priestes, and Bishoppes, if they be negligent: nor force them to do their duties.

I marueile, *op. Harding*, where ye learned somutch Logique, How frame ye this Argument? In what Moode? In what Figure? With what cement can ye make these sely lose peeces to cleaue together? It pitieth me to see your case. For by like soyme of Argu-

(d) Vnto this, is proved by the Auncient practise of the Church, as it shal appeare. (e) Marke, that Popes and Cardinalls in this ranke are not named.

Dist. 22. Omnes.

2. Paral. 26.

conuen-
ted be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

Argumente, and with mutche moze likelihode of Reason, we maie turne the same againste your selfe, and maie saie thus: Christe hath placed in his Church, somme Apostles, somme Prophetes, somme Euangelistes, some Pastoures, somme Teachers: The Pope and his Cardinales are not here named: Apostles they are not, for the Apostles were but twelue: Prophetes they are not, for they Prophesie nothinge: euangelistes they are not, for they Preache not: Pastoures, or feeders they are not, for they feede not: Doctours, or Teachers they are not, for they teache not. Ergo, by this Authozitie of S. Paul, and by your owne Argumente, The Pope and his Cardinales be utterly excluded, and maie not meddle with the charge of the Church of God.

In such good Substantiall sorte Pope Paulus. 3. not longe sithence, reasoned againste the Emperoure Charles the Fift, En ego supra Pastores meos: Beholde, saith God Almighty, I wil punishe my Priestes, and Bishoppes, for their negligence, and wickednesse: Ergo saith Pope Paulus, The Prince, or Emperoure maie not punishe them: as though when the King, or Emperoure pounissheth the wicked by Goddes appoyntment, God him selfe were not the punisher.

In this your manner of Reasoning, M. Hardinge, there are welneare as many Errors, as there be wordes. The first is, Ignoratio Elenchi: which is the grossest fallax of al the rest: Secondly, ye conclude without either Moode, or Figure: as a very childe maie easily see. Thirdely, ye Reason à Meris Particularibus, or, A non Distributo ad Distributum. Fourthely, these wordes, Rule, or Charge of the Church, are wordes of double, and doubtful meaninge. And therefore your Syllogismus such as it is, must needs stande of foure termes: whiche erreure in Reasoning is too simple for a childe.

Touching these wordes, Rule, and Charge, whiche I saide, are double, and doubtful, notwithstanding we saie, bothe the Prince, and the Bishop haue Charge of the Church, yet the Prince, and the Bishop haue not bothe one kinde of Charge. The Bishoppes Charge is, to Preache, to Minister Sacramentes, to Order Priestes, to Excommunicate, to Absolue &c. The Princes charge is, not to do any of these thinges him selfe, in his owne Personne, but onely to see, that they be donne, and orderly, and truly donne, by the Bishoppes.

I graunte, there be many Special Privileges graunted vpon greates, & iuste considerations, of the more sauoure of the Prince, that a Priest, being founde negligent, or otherwise offending in his Ministerie, should be conuicted, & punished, not by the Temporal or Ciuile Magistrate, but by the discretion of the Bishop. Yet muste you remember, M. Hardinge, that al these, & other like priuileges passed vnto the Clerergie from the Prince and not from God: and proceeded onely of speciall sauoure, & not of right. for from the beginninge, you know, it was not so.

And therefore to saie, that a Prince or Magistrate maie not lawfully call a Priest before him, to his owne seate of iudgement, or that many Catholique and Godly Princes haue not so donne, and donne it lawfully, it is moste vnttrue. The Emperoure Iustinian himselfe, who of all others most enlarged the Churches Priuileges, saith thus: Nullus Episcopus inuitus ad Ciuilem, vel Militarem Iudicem, in qualibet causa producat, vel exhibeat: nisi Princeps iubeat: Let no Bishop be brought, or presented, againste his wil, before the Captaine, or Ciuile Iudge, what so ener the cause be: Onlesse the Prince shall so commaunde it. Whereby it appeareth, the Bishop was bounde, to make his answere before the Magistrate if it had benne the Princes pleasure.

Addition. M. Hardinge, Iustinian in the lawe, that you reherse, M. Jewell, is to be vnderstanded, to speake of Ciuile, and Temporal cases: And that in those cases no Bishop shoulde be brought before the Lieutenant and Ciuile Magistrate, excepte the Prince so commaunde it. It is a *Maxima*, and a Principle with the Lawyers, that suche Lawes speakyng indefinitely, muste be vnderstanded by an other lawe, that speaketh specially, and particularly &c. It had benne your part to haue vnderstanded those wordes, *In qualibet causa*, spoken there indefinitely by the other Lawes, that speake more specially. But then had you loste a pcutishe Sophistical argument, and men had not knowne your woorthie skill in the Lawe, whiche no doubt will appeare great by your practise.

The Answer. We muste take these wordes, you saie, to be spoken of Ciuile, or Temporal cases onely: In which onely Cases, you graunt the Temporal iudge maie calle

Cod. Li. 1 de
Epis. & Cla-
ricis Nullus

M. Hard.
Fol. 379. b

calles a prieste before him, by the commandements of the Prince. **Herein I wil not** greatly contende againste you. Notwithstandinge Iustinians wordes be general, and include al kindes of Cases. For thus he saith, as I have alleged his wordes: In qualibet Causa, that is, Whatsoever the action be: Be it Ecclesiastical, be it Civile. But, that you maie the better conceiue, bothe Iustinians meaninge, and also the weakenesse, and vanitie of your Glose, Photius the Patriarke of Constantinople saith thus, Clerici Constantinopolitani, si nolint actores apud patriarcham agere, a solis prelectis pratorio iudicantur, siue conueniant, siue conueniantur, tam pro priuatis, quam pro Ecclesiasticis: The Priestes of Constantinople, if they wil not pleade before the Patriarke, are iudged onely before the Lorde Chauncellare, whether they pleade, or be impleaded: and whether it be their owne Priuate mater, or Ecclesiastical. **W**elue be it, by this exposition, it appeareth, if the case be Temporal, that then a prieste may be conuicted before a Temporal Iudge. **B**ut what saie you then to Thomas Becket? He alone, as you know, withstode al the Bishoppes of this Realme, and woulde neuer yelde, that a prieste althoughe he were a Clerk, or a furtherer, shoulde submit him selfe to Temporal Iudgemente. Theaste, and Murther doubtlesse be Cases Temporal: And it was the expresse wil, and commandements of the Prince. **B**ut, as I have saide, Thomas Becket woulde neuer yelde, that the Temporal Magistrate shoulde iudge of it: And therefore the Pope made him a Saincte. **S**owe, if he did wel, why do you, by this exposition, controlle his dwinge? If in so dwinge he did euil, why was he Saincted for the same? **W**ithe the Pope make folkes Sainctes for euil dwinge?

couented
before the
magistrate

Guliel. Neu-
brigenfis. li. 2
cap. 16.

As for the reste, whereas it pleaseth you to make your selfe somme prety spost, and to say, Menne had not knowen the woorthy skil I haue in Lawe &c. Despise not, **M**. Harding leaste you your selfe be despised. For ought, that I knowe, the Lawe is your pfecti- on, no moze, then mine?

The Emperoure Martianus commandeth, if the cause be criminal, that the Bishop be conuicted before the Lieutenant: Vt coram prafide conueniantur.

Addition. **M**. Harding. The Lawe, Cum Clericis, hath not these wordes, Vt coram prafide conueniantur, nor any Clause, or sentence soundinge to that purpose. For trial whereof, I referre me to the Booke.

The Answer. **T**he Booke wil condemne you, **M**. Harding. **T**he wordes there are plaine: Cum Clericis in iudiciu vocatis pateat Episcopalis Audientia, volentibus tamen actoribus, si actor discepcionem sanctissimi Archiepiscopi noluerit experiri eminentissimæ tux Sedis examen tam de suis, quam de Ecclesiasticis negotijs sibimet no- uerit expetendum: qui in nullo alio foro, vel apud quenquam alterum Iudicem, eosdem Clericos litibus irretire, & Ciuilibus, vel criminalibus negotijs tentet inuectere: **Whereas** Priestes, when they bee sued in Lawe, maie haue access to the Bishoppes Audiente, (if the plainetife thinke it good, and none otherwise) if the plainetife wil not yelde him selfe to stande to the moste Holy Archebishoppes iudgemente, then let him knowe, that he must come to the trial of youre moste highe Courte, whether it be in his owne, or in Ecclesiastical Cases. **N**either shal it be lawfull to the saide plainetife to emplead the said priestes in any other Court, of youre or before any other Iudge, (sauiugs onely before the Archebishop, or before thea beings the Pre- sidente, or Lieutenante there) whether the mater be Civile, or els Criminal. **H**ere you se, that the plainetife, if he thought it good, might sue a prieste before the Lieutenante, yea and that in Causes Ecclesiastical: and, that the priest was bound to make his appearance, & to stand to his Iudgement. **B**ut wil you tel vs, **M**. Harding, that in this Law there are no sutch wordes, nor any Clause, or Sentence to that purpose? **C**an you without blushing so vainely blase your Margine with M. leuels Forgeries?

Cod. De
Epis. & Cle-
ricis L. Cum
Clericis.

If the plain-
tife thinke
it good.
To the triall
of youre
Courte.
In Causes
Ecclesiasti-
cal.

Pope Innocentius. 3. him selfe confesseth, that the Pope maie make a Laye man his Delegate, to heare, and determine in priestes Causes. **T**he like thereof you maie finde in your owne Glose: Papa Laico delegat causam Spiritualem: The Pope committeth the hearinge of a Spiritual mater vnto a Laye man.

11. qua. 1.
Clericum
nullus.

Addition. **B**ut, for as mutche as, **M**. Harding saith, It is not writ- ten, Papa delegat, but, Si Papa delegat, whiche neuertheless is nothinge els, but an emptie quarrel, he maie also finde this selfe same sentence in the same place specially

likk.

noted

A Bishop
cōunted
before the
magistrate

666

The Defense of the Apologie of the

noted without any, Si, or condition at al. The wordes there are thiese, Clericus coram Seculari quandoque conuenitur: A Prieste sometimes is called to make answere before a Temporal Iudge.

2. Qua. 4.
Menna.

Pea, further yet shal finde euen in the Popes owne Decrees, that the Pope hath committed a Spiritual matter in a cause of Simonie, to be heard, and ended by a VWoman: and that Brunichildis, beinge a VWoman, by vertue of the Popes Commission, summoned a Bishop, to appeare, and solemnely to make his Purgation before her. Notwithstandinge, in your Glose upon the same it is noted thus: Fuit tamen hic nimum Papaliter Dispensatum: The Pope was too Popelike in this Dispensation.

M. Har. 382. a.

Addition. **Sp. Harding.** But what if wee cannot finde in the Popes Decree, to whiche you referre vs, that the Pope euer committed a Spiritual matter in a cause of Simonie to be heard, and ended by a Woman, and that Brunichildis had neither Commission from the Pope, to summon a Bishop, nor euer summoned a Bishop to appeare, and solemnely to make his Purgation before her? What then shal wee saie, but that M. leuel is a shamelesse falsifier, and a deceiver of al that beleue him?

M. Har. 383. a.

I beleue you Sir, where is it saide in al this Decree, that the Pope committed a Spiritual matter in a cause of Simonie to be heard and ended by a Woman &c. In the Text it is not nor in the Glose, that you so solemnely allege. Had your Lawrier forgotten to tel you, or were you so simple, that you could not con-

M. Har. 383. b.

ceiue, that, whiche is commonly saide, *Maledicta Glosa, qua corruptit textum &c.* To let passe your scoldinge, and your Ministerlike interpretation, let vs come to the matter &c. You saie, the Pope committed a Spiritual matter in a cause of Simonie to be heard, and ended by a Woman. And this is a vaine tale, and Vntrue fause of yours, not hable to be gathered by any woord of that Decree. For the cause of Simonie was heard, and ended by the Pope, and the Bishop was absolved and sente home (*et els Sp. Hardinge ouer boldly reporteth Vntrue as his manner commonly is to do.*) And a cause once heard, and determined by the Pope, is not wonte to be committed afterward to the hearinge, and determination of a Woman. After this as though this lie had not bene lowde yenough, you tel vs, that Brunichildis summoned the Bishop to appeare before her &c. Brunichildis, beinge so Holy, so Vertuous, so Religions a Lady, as S. Gregorie reported shee was, it is to be presupposed, that shee would not disquiet a good, and innocent man, nor put him to further trouble.

The Answer.

A shamelesse falsifier (you saie) A deceiver of them, that beleue hym: Scoldinge, and Ministerlike interpretation: As though this lie had not bene lowde yenough &c.

This eloquence, **Sp. Harding**, becommeth noman, but your selfe. It is reason, you shoulde haue the whole glozie of it without copartencere. Hows be it, sutch intemperance of speache, and sutch vnciuile dealinge will winne smal credite to your Cause in the iudgemente of the Wise. You saie, It cannot be founde, that Queene Brunichildis had any sutch Commission from the Pope. You Hunt wantonly, and Roane at pleasure, **Sp. Harding**, and wil finde nothing, but that maie like you. But Pope Gregories Commission is so plaine, that I marueile, with what god countenance you could denie it: sauinge that, I see you are armed with boldenesse, to denie, what you list. These be

2. Quest. 4.
Menna.

Pope Gregories owne wordes, Purgationem ante te, duobus sibi Sacerdotibus iunctis, eundem ex se præbere tuo commissum arbitrio: I haue geuen Commission to your discretion, that the saide Bishop, takinge too him two other Priestes, or Bishoppes, shal make his Purgation before you. And thus he saide to Brunichildis, beinge the Queene of Fraunce. Is not this yenough, to proue, that the Pope gaue Commission, that the Bishop should make his Purgation before the Queene? Is not here the plaine, and expresse word, Purgatio? Are not here two other Priestes, or Bishoppes appointed, to be Compurgatours? Is not here the Latine word, Commissum, whereby the Pope gaue the Queene to vnderstande, that he had sent her his special Commission? Are not here these other two Latine wordes, Ante te, that is to saie, before thee, or, in thy presence? Are not here these other two Latine wordes, Tuo arbitrio, whereby it is signified, that the matter was committed to her Discretion? Dothe not the same Pope immediately afterwarde in the same Decree streightly charge the saide Queene Brunichildis, that she should not require the saide Bishop, to cleare him selfe by any Vulgare Purgation, as by standinge in scalding water, or by bearinge a Caddes of burning iron in his bare hand,

Purgation.
Compurgatours.
Commissum.
Ante te.

Vulgaris
Purgatio.

hand, as many then vsed to trie their innocencie, & to purge them selues: Wought you so busily for these things, *M. Harding*, and could you not finde them? Or could you see al these things in a heape together, and yet could you see nothing? Or, al this notwithstanding, can you so assuredly tel vs, that the Pope neuer committed any Spiritual matter to be heard by a Woman? Or, that the Queene had no Commission to any such purpose from the Pope? The Pope him selfe saith, *Pea*: you onely say, *mais*. And maie we safely gene credite to you alone against the Pope?

It is not likely (you saie) that beinge once purged before the Pope, he should afterwarde be purged againe before a Woman: It is not likely (you saie) that Brunichildis beinge so holie, so Vertuous, and so religious a Ladie, woulde disquiete a good, and an innocente man, or put him to any further trouble, after his cause hadde benne heard, and ended by the Pope.

And are not these good and substantiall, and likely reasons, *M. Harding*? Or, were it not wel worth the while, that your Heaier, contrary to the Popes plaine wordes should beleene you, and rather geue to such pretie likelihoods? Is it not likely, that *M. Harding*, so boldly mainteining manifest falsehood, wil ever geue place to any Truthe? I beseeche you, how had this Bishop made his sufficient Purgation before the Pope? By what wordes of Gregorie can you learne it? In dede he offered an othe for him selfe. And so sarre for the onely, and none other wise, he was purged. But there was no Proclamation giuen out vnto his accusers, if any man had to allege againste his Purgation, nether had he any man there to be his Compurgatoire. Nor was he fully restoorded to his fame: nor had the Pope thowoly concluded, and ended the matter. And therefore he committed it ouer, to be ended at home before the Queene.

For the Lave saith, *Ibi fieri debet purgatio, ubi quisque est infamatus: ut ibi moriatur malum, ubi conuigat.* There ought every man to make his Purgation, where he is defamed: that the euil maie die, and haue an ende, where it began to springe firste.

To be shorte, where as you tel vs, *M. Harding*, that Queene Brunichildis had no such Commission from the Pope, the Expositour of that Decree, if it might haue pleased you to haue seene him, would haue taught you the contrarie.

For thus he saith, *Papa mandat Reginæ, ut indicat eidem Episcopo purgationem cum duobus Sacerdotibus: Et delegatur hic Laico negotium spirituale: Et Episcopus expurgatus coram Papa, cogitur adhuc coram muliercula se purgare: The Pope geueth the Commission to the Queene, to appointe the saide Bishop to make his Purgation together with two other Priestes: Againe, Here a Spiritual matter is committed ouer to a Laie person: And againe, A Bishop beinge purged (in parte, and after a sort) before the Pope, yet neuerthelesse is compelled afterwarde, to purge him selfe before a Woman.*

I knowe not, what I should saie further, *Committit* is Latine, to geue Commission: *Purgatio*, in English, is a Purgation: *Simonie*, is a Spiritual Cause: Queene Brunichildis was a Laie person: *ante Reginam*, is, before the Queene: The text is plain: The Glose is plaine: The wordes are plaine: The sense is plaine. The Pope him selfe saith, *I haue geuen out my Commission, that the Bishop should appeare before the Queene: The Expositour saith, A Spiritual cause is committed to the Iudgement of a person Temporal.*

Yet, *M. Harding*, it is lawfull for you to saie, *M. leuel* is a leue le lier, and a shamelesse falsifier, and a deceiver of al them, that wil beleene him: Thus maie you lawfully, and boldly saie: For ful wel it becommeth you thus to saie, as a man, that reeketh not, what he saie.

The Emperour Constantinus wrote thus vnto the Bishoppes that had benne at the Councel of Tyrus: *Cuncti quotquot Synodum Tyri compleuistis, sine mora ad Pietatis nostræ castra properate: ac re ipsa, quam sincerè, ac rectè iudicaueritis, ostendatis: Idque coram me, quem sincerum esse Dei Ministrum, ne vos quidem negabitis: Al yee, that haue benne at the Councel of Tyrus, comme without delaye vnto our Campe, and shewe me plainly, and without Coloure, howe vprightly ye haue deales in Iudgements: and that euen before me selfe, whome you cannot denie to be the true Seruant of God.*

Iustinian the Emperour, in the Lave, that he maketh, touching the Publike praierers of the Church, saith thus: Wee commaunde al Bishoppes, and Priestes, to Minister the Holy Oblation, and the Prayer at the Holy Baptisme, not under silence, but with such voices, as maie be heard of the Faithful People, to the intent, that the heartes of the hearers

conuen-
ted be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

M. Harding.
fo. 383. b.
M. Har. 384. a

*In Mar-
garita.*

*2 Qua. 4.
Menna.*

*Socratu, lib.
1. Cap. 34.*

*Amben.
Constitu-
tione. 123.*

conuen-
red be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

maie be stirred to more deuotion, &c. Afterward he addeth further, And let the Holy prie-
stes vnderstande, that if they neglecte any of these things, they shal make answere therfore at
the dreadful Iudgement of the Great God, and our Sauesur Iesus Christ. And yet neuerthe-
lesse we our selues vnderstanding the same, vvil not passe it ouer, nor leave it vnpunished.

Hereby is to be seen, that Godly Princes maie summons Bishoppes, to appeare before
them, euen in Causes Ecclesiastical, to receive such punishment, as they haue deserved.
Likewise the Emperoure Constantinus, in his Letters vnto the People of Nicomedia,
speaking of the wilful Erroures, and Heresies of Priestes, and Bishoppes saith thus:
Illorum temeraria præsumpcio, mea, hoc est, Ministri Christi, manu coercetur: Their
rash attemptes shalbe repressed by my hands, that is to saie, by the hands of Christes Seruants.

Theodoretm
Lib. 1. cap. 19
M. Har. 387

Addition. ¶ *M. Harding.* Where you saie, that the Emperoure spake of the wil-
full Erroures and Heresies of the Priestes, and Bishoppes, and adde not, Arian Priestes, and Arian Bi-
shoppes, you declare your malicious hate against Priestes, and Bishoppes. But I wil leave that cankred spite of
yours &c. Why doo you not reporte the Emperours woordes, as they are in your Authour? Wil you ne-
uer leave this your accustomed vile corruption?

Addition
D

The Answer. And wil you neuer leave this Flanitie, *M. Harding?* You saie,
I spake of Errours and Heresies of Priestes, and Bishoppes, but I adde not, Arian Priestes, and Arian Bi-
shoppes. And therein, you saie, I declare my malicious hate. Of such a god wozdes your
gentle harte wanteth no feare. It is your skill with such terrours, and outcries
to amaze the simple. For no wise man, I trowe, woulde thus demaine him selfe with-
out somme cause. I saide not, The Errours, and Heresies of Arian Priestes, and of Arian
Bishoppes. What then? Thinke you therefore, I meante the Erroures, and Heresies
of Catholique Priestes, and Godly Bishoppes? No, can any man imagine Heresies
without an Heretique? *¶ M. Harding.* But the wantonnesse is not fitte for your
gravitie. When I spake of Errours, and Heresies, a very Babe might wel knowe, I
spake onely of Heretiques and of none others.

But I haue not reported the Emperours wozdes, as I founde them. And this,
in youre accustomed courteous speache, you calle my accustomed Vile Corruption.
Howe be it in dede, I corrupte no parte of the Emperours wozdes. He speaketh none
otherwise, but as I reporte him. Adde hardly the wozdes, that you woulde saie to
misle. You canne adde nothinge to the meanings. Thus saith Theodoretus, euen as
you haue translated him your selfe: If any man be inflamed boldly, and vncircumspectly
at the remembrance, and commendation of those wicked, and Pestilente Heretiques, his bolde-
nesse shalbe repressed straight waie by my workinge, that is to saie, by the Minister of God.
This is al that I saie, *M. Harding:* I saie no more.

August.
Contra Epi.
Parmeniani.
Lib. 1. cap. 7.
M. Har. 388.2

So likewise saith S. Augustine vnto the Donatistes: An fortè de Religione fas non
est vt dicat Imperator, vel quos miserit Imperator? Cur ergo ad Imperatorem Legati ve-
stri venerunt? Is it not lawfull, that the Emperour, or the Emperours Deputie shoulde pro-
nounce in a case of Religion? Wherefore then went your own Embassadors to the Emperour?

Addition. ¶ *M. Harding.* S. Augustine, reasoninge against Parmenian the
Donatiste, tooke aduantage of his owne doings, not as allowinge the appeale to the Emperoure, but as pro-
uinge him vnreasonable, who for aduantage, woulde appeale to the Emperoure, and, when the Emperoure
had pronounced sentence against him, would strue, and repine at the sentence, and saie, that he beinge a
Temporal Prince, ought not to punish the Bishoppes, &c. S. Augustine in this take against the Donatistes,
cannot be saide, to allowe the Emperours authoritie in condemninge of Bishoppes, and other Ecclesiastical
causes. For he answeringe an other Donatiste, that saide, Non debuit Episcopus proconsulari iudi-
cio Purgari, saide, If he be woorthy to be blamed, whom the Temporal Iudge hath absolved, whereas he
him selfe did not require it, howe muche more are they to be blamed, whiche woulde haue a Temporal
Prince to be Iudge in their cause? By this it appeareth, that he thought that Princes coulde not be Iudges
ouer Bishops. Moreover he reporteth, that Constantine, who appointed Iudges to heare their cause, did it,
à Sanctis Antistibim veniam petiturus, as mindinge to aske pardone of the Holy Bishoppes for his
facte. And the same Emperour, seeinge their importunitie in repainge to him, as Iudge, saide, O rabida
furoris audacia. Sicut in causis Gentilium solet fieri, appellationem interposuerunt.

Addition
D

The Answer. The very Roote hereof, is this: The Donatian Heretiques be-
inge condemned by an assemblie of Bishoppes in Africa, and appealing for aide vnto
the Emperoure, were by his authoritie appointed ouer by special Commission to be
Iudged

Judged by Miltiades the Bishop of Rome with certaine others. Wherin two thinges are fpecially to be noted: Firſt, that the Pope in thoſe daies thought not, him ſelfe to be Superioure to the Emperoure, but could be contented, to be his Delegate, and to receiue Authozitie by his Commiſſion: Again, that the pope was not appointed to Judge alone, but had other Biſhoppes ioined with him. The Donatians beinge condemned befoze the Pope, and the other Commiſſioners, and once againe appeale to the Emperoure. were eſſones appointed ouer by like Commiſſion to be iudged by the Biſhop of Arle in France, with certaine others. And here alſo it is to be noted, that in thoſe daies, it was lawful to appeale from the Pope, and, that an inferioure Biſhop might lawfully Judge of his Judgements. But the Donatians, ſeing them ſelues condemned by the Biſhop of Arle, as they were befoze by the Biſhop of Rome, laſt of al appealed to the Emperours owne perſone, complaininge of the partialitie of the Biſhop of Rome, and of the Biſhop of Arle, as at whoſe handes they coulde finde no Juſtice. In the ende, beinge like wiſe condemned by the Emperoure him ſelfe, they ſounde them ſelues alſo grieved with his Maieſtie, and condemned his Judgements to, as they had done the others. Hereof S. Auguſtine ſaith thus, *iudex eligitur Imperator: iudicans contemnitur Imperator: They chuſe the Emperoure to be their Iudge: and after Iudgements, they deſpiſe the Emperoure.* This is it, that the Emperoure ſo muche miſliked in the Donatiſtes. Therefore he abhorred them as impudent, and peruerſe Wranglers, that ſeinge Judgement ſo many waies, and ſo clearly paſſe againſt them, yet woulde not ſubmit them ſelues to any order. But theſe this daie, *M. Harding*, is the peruerſeneſſe, and impudencie of al them, that ſeinge their ſolies laide open to the woꝛlde by Goddes Holy Woꝛde, and ſo many Kingdomes, and Countieſ depaꝛtinge from them, yet neuertheleſſe haue hardened their faces againſt al ſhame, and wil neuer yelde ſo the confeſſion of any one erreure. Therefore S. Auguſtine ſaith vnto the Donatiſtes, as we likewiſe maie ſaie vnto you, *Quid vultis amplius homines, quid vultis amplius? O yee menne, telle me, what woulde yee haue more? What more woulde yee haue?*

conu: n-
ted be-
fore the
Magi-
ſtrate.

*Enſ. Lib. 10.
capit. 5.*

The Pope
the Empe-
rours Dele-
gate.

Appeale frō
the Pope.

Aug. ep. 162

*Auguſti. in
eadem Epist.*

As touching the mater, that lieth betwene vs, the queſtion is this, Whether a Prince maie be Iudge in Eccleſiaſtical cauſes, or no. He maie not, ſaie you. Here we alleage the example of the Godly Chriſtian Emperoure Conſtantine, who beinge on- ly a Temporal Prince, neuertheleſſe toke vpon him, to Judge in Cauſes Eccleſiaſtical betwene the Donatian Heretiques, and the Catholiques. Vnto this you Anſwere: Thus he did, *à Sanctis Antiliſibus veniam petiturus*, as minding to aſke pardone of the Holy Biſhoppes for his faule. Fez what his faule, *M. Harding*? Why deale you ſo darkely? Will you tel vs, that Conſtantine knewe in his Conſcience, he did it, and committed Sa- crilege, and wickedly intruded vpon the Biſhoppes Offices, and wilfully deſerued Goddes Vengeance, as did Ozias, *or Oza*, and yet woulde do it notwithstanding vpon the hope of pardone? Verily this had benne the ſinne of Preſumption, whiche ſome men haue reckened, as the ſinne againſt the Holy Ghoſt, that ſhal neuer be foꝛgeuen, neither in this life, nor in the life to come. O, doe you thinke, that theſe Holy Fathers woulde ſo eaſily haue foꝛgeuen ſo great an offence, ſo preſumptuouſly committed againſt God? O, is it likely, that the Emperoure Conſtantine, ſo thus doinge, ſhoulde Excommunicate ipſo facto, and was therefore diuided from the Sacramentes, and Prayers, and al other Communion, and comfoꝛte of the Church? O, that afterwarde he waited thre daies togeather bareheaded, and barefoote, as did that Noble Emperoure Henrie. 4. vpon Pope Gregorie. 7. to receiue Abſolution foꝛ his finnes? I knowe, you dare to ſaie many thinges. But thus muche, I trowe, you dare not ſaie. What Pardon then was it, that the Emperoure minded to ſaue at the Biſhoppes handes? In daide the place it ſelfe is very darke, and ſuche as whereof it is harde to geather a ready, and perſite ſence. Thus ſtande the woꝛdes: *Atque vti nam, ſaltem ipſius iudicio, inſaniſſimi, animoſitatibus ſinem poſuiſſent, atque, vt eis ipſe ceſſit, vt de illa cauſa poſt Episcopos iudicaret, à Sanctis Antiliſibus poſtea veniam petiturus, dum tamen illi, quod vltius dicent, non haberent, ſi eius ſententiz non obtemperarent, ad quem ipſi preuocauerunt, ſic & illi aliquando cederent veritati?*

lik. iij.

3f

*Sabell. En-
ned. 9. lib. 3:*

conuen-
red be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

If ye vnderstande this sentence thozowly, *M. Harding*, ye are more then *Darius*: ye are as good as *Oedipus*. Notwithstandinge, that seely sense, that you haue imagined, cannot possibly be gathered hereof by any reasonable order of construction. This onely is it, that *S. Augustine* saith, The Emperoure minded to excuse him selfe vnto the Bishoppes, not for that he had deale in a cause Ecclesiastical, but for that he had deale therein, after that it had benne twice heard, and determined by the Bishoppes. These are his wordes: Ipse eis cessit, vt de illa causa post Episcopos iudicaret, à Sanctis Antistitibus postea veniam petiturus. You knowe, right wel, *M. Harding*, *Veniam petere*, is not alwaies to aske Absolution, as for somme hainous offense against God. Bona tua Venia dicam: dabis mihi hanc Veniam &c. are Whyles commonly used amonge the Learned. In this place *S. Augustine* saith, The Emperoure minded courteously to excuse his doings vnto the Bishoppes, for that, callinge the mater before him selfe, that had benne before thozowly considered, and debated by them, he mighte seeme to Iudge of their Iudgements, and to calle their doings into question. This onely was the thinge, that he would haue excused. And this was his courtesie onely, and not his dewtie.

As for the hearinge of Ecclesiastical Causes, whiche is the mater, that lieth betwene vs, he neither thought he offended God therein, for any thinge, that maie appeare, nor minded to aske pardon for the same. For he did nomore therein, then was lawfull for him to do: nor euer was there any Pope so vnicuile, that durste to condemn him for so doinge.

Aug. epi. 162

S. Augustine saith plainly in the same Epistle, Diximus Felicem Aptungitanum ad Constantini iussione, Proconsularibus gestis fuisse Purgatum. Ait quidam, Non debuit Episcopus proconsulari iudicio purgari: quasi verò ipse tibi hoc comparauerit, ac non Imperator ita quæri iusserit: ad cuius Curam, de qua rationem Deo redditurus esset, res illa maximè pertinebat: Wee tolde you, that *Felix the Bishop of Aptungita*, was purged before the Lorde Lieutenant by the commandement of the Emperoure. But one of the Donatian Heretiques saith, A Bishop shoulde not haue made his Purgation before the Lieutenant: As though it had benne the Lieutenantes sickinge, and not rather the Emperours Commandement: to whose charge, whereof he shal yeelde Account vnto God, that mater specially did belonge. Beholde these wordes, *M. Harding*: Laye them abroade: casse no clowde ouer them: *S. Augustine* telleth you, that the Purgation of a Bishop, notwithstandinge it were an Ecclesiastical mater, yet belonged specially to the Emperours Charge: And thus he saith euen in the selfe same Epistle, and place, that you haue alleaged. And yet muste we beleue you vpon your word, that the Emperoure coulde not deale herein without offendinge of God, and craninge of Pardon? Again *S. Augustine* saith, An fortè de Religione fas non est, vt dicat Imperator? Cur ergo ad Imperatorem Legati vestri venerunt? What, Is it not lawfull perhaps, that the Emperoure shoulde geue sentence in a case of Religion? Wherefore then did your Legates sue to the Emperoure? Here, *M. Harding*, marke this by the waie, They that saide, the Emperoure mought not be Iudge in Ecclesiastical cases, were Donatian Heretiques. For *S. Augustine*, and the Catholiques saide the contrarie. Again *S. Augustine* saith, Si criminis non est, prouocare ad Imperatorem, non est criminis, audiri ab Imperatore. Ergo nec ab illo, cui causam delegauerit Imperator: If it be no faulte to appeale to the Emperoure. Then is it no faulte, to be heard, or Iudged by the Emperoure. And so consequently it is no faulte, to be Iudged before him, to whome the cause was committed by the Emperoure. *S. Augustine* presupposeth, and laith it as a grounde, that it is no faulte, neither to appeale to the Emperoure, nor to be Iudged before his Maiestie, be the cause neuer so mutche Ecclesiastical.

Ad cuius curam res ea maximè pertinebat.

Specially be-
longeth to
the Empe-
roures
charge.

Au. Contra Epist. Parmeniani. Li. 1. Cap. 7. Aug. epi. 162 Non est criminis.

Nowe, *M. Harding*, if there were no faulte committed by the Emperour, why shoulde you thus force him to crane Pardon? *Photius*, the Patriarke of Constantinople saith thus, Clerici Constantinopolitani, si nolint actores apud Patriarcham agere, à solis præfectis prætorio iudicantur, siue conueniant, siue conueniantur, tam pro priuatis, quam pro Ecclesiasticis: The Priests of Constantinople, if they wil not pleade their maters before the Patriarke, then are they iudged onely before the Lorde Cauncellare, whether they be plaintines or Defendants, and whether

Photius de peccatis iudicio Episcopi. Tit. 9

whether

ther their causes be priuate, or Ecclesiastical.

Againe he saith, Bishoppes, Priests, and Monks are to be sued before the Lorde Deputie, or Presidente of the Countrey: Or, if they be founde in the Cittie of Constantino- ple, where the Emperoure hath his Courte, then are they to be sued onely before the Lorde Chauncelloure.

Likewise Balsamon, expounding a Canon of the Councel of Antioche, alleageth this sentence of Iustinian, Patriarcha debet rationem reddere Imperatori, & ab eo cor- rigi: The Patriarke is bounde to make his answere before the Emperoure, and of him to re- ceive correction. And thus he speaketh of a cause Ecclesiastical, as by the place it maie appeare. Againe he saith, Patriarcha ab Imperatore, qui Ecclesia habet potestatis scientiam, iudicabitur, forte vt sacrilegus, vel male de Fide sentiens, vel alicuius alius cri- minis reus. Hoc enim nos iudicialiter factum esse vidimus diuersis temporibus: The Patriarke shalbe Iudged of the Emperoure, hauinge the knoweledge of the Ecclesiastical Power, whether the mater be of Sacrilege, or of Heresie, or of any other crime. For this haue we seene donne oftentimes in fourme of Iudgemente.

What woulde ye haue moze, M. Hardinge? Heresie is a Cause Ecclesiastical: The Patriarke is a Bishop: The Emperoure is a Ciuile, or Laie Magistrate. Yet Bal- samon telleth you, that this Bishop, in this cause, is to be tried before the Emperoure: and, that he him selfe hath oftentimes seene the same iudicially put in practise, no re- strainte, or Lawe beinge to the contrarie.

Gerson, standinge before the Frenche Kinge, and answeringe his Aduersaries in a Cause Ecclesiastical, saide thus vnto him: Loquimur sic ad eos, Rex Serenissime, te audiente, te Iudice: Thus we saie to them, o moste Gracious Kinge in youre hearinge: your Grace shalbe Iudge. Here you see, M. Hardinge, the Cause is Ecclesiastical, and the Iudge is the Kinge.

But what speake we of other Priestes, and Inferior Bishoppes? The Popes them selues, notwithstanding al their Vniuersal Povver, haue submitted them selues, and made their Purgations before Kinges, and Emperours, Pope Liberius made his hum- ble appearance before the Emperour Constantius: Pope Sixtus was accused, and made his Purgation before the Emperoure Valentinian: Pope Leo. 3. beinge accused, by Paschalis, and Campulus, pleaded his cause before Carolus Magnus at Rome, not yet chosen Emperoure. Pope Iohn. 22. was accused of Heresie, and forced to recante the same vnto Philip the Frenche Kinge.

Pope Leo 4. in this wise humbly submitted him selfe vnto the Iudgemente of Levves the Emperoure: Nos, si incompetenter aliquid egimus, & in subditis iustis Le- gis tramitem non conseruauimus, vestro admissorum nostrorum cuncta volumus emen- dare iudicio: If we haue donne any thinge out of order, and if we haue not folowed the right course of the Lawe ouer oure Subiectes, wee will amende al our faultes by your Ma- iesties Iudgemente.

Addition. M. Hardinge. If this submission had not benne made volunta- rily by them, nor Kinge, nor Cesar could haue had Authoritie, or power to be Iudges ouer them. The good Emperoure Constantine saide to the Bishoppes, Vos non potestis ab hominibus iudicari. You cannot be Iudged of menne, that is of, Laie menne.

The Answere. This is wisely considered, M. Hardinge, and for god ad- uantage, Laie Menne be menne: Al others be Angelles, Otherwise if Bishoppes were Menne, as others be, then could no Prieste, or Bishop be Iudged before them. For Constantinus saith, Vos non potestis ab hominibus iudicari: You cannot bee Iudged by menne. And yet in the selfe same place, he seemeth to saie, that a Bishop maie not be Iudged, neither before any other Bishop, nor before the Pope himselfe, or any other Creature, but onely before God alone. For thus he saith vnto the Bishoppes: Ite accusationes vestre opportunum tempus habent Magni Iudicii diem, & Iudicem tunc omnibus iudicaturum: These quarrelles of yours haue a meete time of triall, I meane the daie of Greate Iudgemente, and a meete Iudge, that shal Iudge ouer al. Vppie are you, M. Hardinge, that maie do what ye like, and neuer comme to answere before the daie of our Lorde.

conuen-
ted be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

In eodem
Titul.

Apud Pra-
sides pronin-
ciarum.

Apud solos
praefectos
pratorio.

Balsamon.

Conc. Anti-
och. Cano. 12.

Balsamon,
eodem loco.

Gerson. Tri-
logus. p. ma-
teria schif-
matis.

Theodo. li. 2
Cap. 16.

2. q. 4. Man-
datis.

2. q. 4. Au-
ditum.

Gerson in
sermone pas-
chali. 2. qua.
7. Nos si.

M. H. f. 389, 2

Zozomen.
lib. 1. cap. 17.

conuen-
red be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

Greg. Lib. 3.
Epist. 61.

9. Qu. 3.
Nemo.

Ennodius
conc. Tom. 1
Dist. 63. 7a
Synodo. 7a
Gloss.
Franc Zabarella
De
Schismate
& Concilio.
M. Hat. 391. b

M. Hat. 391.

¶ Hardinge. Pope Liberius, you saie, made his humble appearance before Constantius. It is true But Liberius dealing with the Emperoure Constantius was such, as became a Bishop of the Apostolique See. For in that cause he would neither be overborne by the Authoritie of the Emperour, nor yelde vnto his wickedesse against Athanasius for a long time (yet at length he yeelded, and subscribed too, as it became a Bishop of the Apostolike See) much lesse acknowledge him for his Superioure, or Iudge. (And yet Pope Gregorie, that folowed longe after Pope Liberius, called the Emperoure his Lorde and Maister: *Ego sum vestre seruus, & Imperator: Ego sum vestra iussioni subiectus: Imperatori obediens.* am prabui: These be his woordes). As for Pope Sixtus, it is certaine he made his Purgation before the Emperoure Valentinian. But he did it of Humilitie &c. The Emperoure of him selfe had no power too summon the Pope to his Iudgement Seate, nor any Iurisdiction, to force him to make his Purgation before his Maistie. He gaue him licence to heare his Purgation. Concerninge Leo the 3 and Leo the 4. their case is like &c. And therefore wee saie, The Emperoure was not their Iudge, nor Superiour, by any Princely Authoritie, but by their Popes Permission and appointment.

The Answer. O, what a blessed thinge it is, to be a Pope? We be neuer so wicked, yet if he be also stoute, and wilful, and refuse Iudgemente, then maie he not be Iudged by any creature vnder Heauen, neither by Kinge. nor by Emperoure, nor by the Church, nor by the whole people of God. For so it is enacted by Pope Innocentius him selfe, Kinges and Emperoures liue vnder Lawes. But the Popes Peregative is, to doe, what him listeth without controulment, or feare of Lawes. And so is it true that Ennodius saith, *Successores Petri, vna cum Sedis priuilegijs peccandi quoque licentiam accepisse: That the Successours of Peter: together with the Priuileges of their See, haue also gotten free libertie to doo ill.*

Your owne Glose saith, *Papa potest dare, potestatem Imperatori, vt deponat ipsum: & seie in omnibus illi iudicare: The Pope maie geue the Emperoure power to depose him selfe: and maie in all things submit him selfe vnto him.*

Nowe, ¶ Hardinge, if the Pope maie geue the Emperoure this Authoritie, what Scripture finde you to the contrary, why the Emperoure maie not take it of him selfe? To be short, Francis

Zabarella saith, *Papa accusari potest coram Imperatore de quolibet crimine notorio: Et Imperator requirere potest à papa rationem Fidei: The Pope maie be accused before the Emperoure of any notorious crime: and the Emperoure maie require the Pope to yeelde an accompte of his Faith.*

Addition. **¶ Hardinge.** Zabarella saith not, as you reports. *Papa potest accusari coram Imperatore, &c.* These woordes, *coram Imperatore* are of your owne interlacing, and bee not in the Authoure. You ought to bee ashamed, so fouly to corrupte your Authours, and deceiue the people. Again Zabarella saith not, *Imperator requirere potest à Papa rationem Fidei.* They are your woordes, M. Iewel. That whiche Zabarella saith, is this, *Si Papa erit suspectus de Hæresi, potest Imperator, ab eo exigere, vt iudices, quid sentiat de Fide.* Nowe sir, so require a man to yeelde an accompte of his faith, and to require him to declare, what he thinketh touchinge the faith, are twoo diuers thinges.

The Answer. You ought to be ashamed, you saie: Verily, and so I am, & so ought I to be, in your behalfe, **¶ Hardinge** to see your Clannitie. These woordes, *coram Imperatore*, are not interlaced by me: you maie easily finde them in the Authour. Where in I wil vse no other prouise, but onely the very same woordes, that you your selfe haue alleged: *These they be, Nec quenuquam moueat, quod Imperator est Laicus, vt ex hoc putet esse inuouemens, quod se intromittat de Clerico. Non enim semper prohibetur iudicare de Clerico. Sed tunc prohibetur, quando non subest ratio specialis: Let no man be moued, for that the Emperoure is a Laic man, to thinke it therefore a thinge vncouenient, that he shoulde intermeddle with Priestes causes. For he is not alwaies forbidden to Iudge of Priestes. But then is he forbidden, when there is no special cause.*

You see therefore, ¶ Hardinge, that vpon soume cause, (him specially mouing) the Emperoure maie lawfully Iudge in Ecclesiastical maters. Again you saie, Zabarella saith not, *Imperator requirere potest à Papa rationem Fidei.* But thus he saith, *Imperator exigere potest à Papa, vt iudices, quid sentiat de Fide.* Here haue I put, *Requirere*, in stead of *Exigere*: And, *rationem Fidei*, in stead of, *quid sentiat de Fide.* To require a man to yeelde an accompte of his faith. And, to require a man to declare, what he thinketh, touchinge the faith (saie you) are twoo diuers thinges. Is not here a wonderful difference, and a foule corruption of Authours, **¶ Hardinge**? Is not this a foule deceiuinge of the People?

Are

M. Harding
389. b.

Addition
b.

Are not thefe weighty, and worthy maters, to be proclaimed, and publifhed to the world? I marueile, if you be not affamed in your owne behalfe?

The wordes of Zabarella are plaine: Imperator exigere potest à Papa, vt iudicet, quid sentiat de Fide: The Emperoure maie require the Pope, to shewe him, what he thinketh of the Faith: That is to saie. The Emperoure maie require the Pope, to yelde a reckeninge of his Faith. Others also it is to be noted, that the Pope maie be an Heretique, or at leasts suspected of Heresie. For thus saith Zabarella, Si Papa erit suspectus de Heresi. Crie out therfore, M. Hardinge, nomore of deceiuinge the People. For, as it appeareth, the Pope him selfe maie be an Heretique, and a deceiuer of the People.

Howe therfore, M. Hardinge, I reposit me to your owne indifferent iudgement, howe true it is, that ye saie, it is not conueniente, for a Kinge to cal Priests before him to his owne Seate of iudgement. Merily, this Note ye might haue founde Closed in your owne Decretalles: Queritur, quis exerceat Clericum de Iurisdictione Imperatoris, cum prius esset illi subiectus? Dicit Laurentius, quod Papa de consensu Principis: A question is moued, Who hath exempted a Priests from the Iurisdiction of the Emperoure, whereas before he was subiect to the Emperours Courts? Laurence saith, The Pope hath exempted him, by the Consents of the Prince. Vnle therfore, M. Hardinge, your Priestes, Abbates, and Bishoppes haue their Priuileges, and Exemptions, not by any right of Goddes Wordes, but onely by the Popes Policie, and by the Special sauoure of the Prince.

The Apologie. Cap. 9. Division. 3.

They wil saie to this, I ghesse, Ciuile Princes haue learned to gouerne a Common Wealthe, and to order maters of Warre: but they vnderstande not the secreete Mysteries of Religion. If that be so, what is the Pope, I praye you, at this day, other then a Monarch, or a Prince? Or, what be the Cardinales, whose must be none other now but Princes, and Kinges Sonnes? What els be the Patriarches, and for the moste parte, the Archebishoppes, the Bishoppes, the Abbates? What be they els at this present in the Popes Kingdome, but worldly Princes, Dukes, and Erles, gorgeously accompanied with bandes of menne, whether so euer they goe: Oftentimes also gaily araid with Chaines, and Collars of Golde: They haue at times too, certaine Ornamentes by them selues, as Crosses, Hattes, Miters, and Palles: whiche Pompe the Vnsciente Bishoppes, Chrysostom, Augustine, and Ambrose neuer had. Settinge these thinges aside, what teache they? What saie they? What doo they? Howe liue they? I saie not, as maie become a Catholique Bishop, but as maie become a Christian man: Is it so greate a matter, to haue a vaine title, and by changinge a garment onely to haue the name of a Bishop?

M. Hardinge.

The durtie of Ciuil Princes consisteth in ciuil maters: the durtie of Bishoppes, in spiritual thinges. That serueth to the preservation of mennes personnes: this is the Salvation of their Soules. Every Highe Priests (saith S. Paule) that is taken from amonge menne, is ordeined for menne in thinges appertaininge to God. (a) Yee moue Temporal princes, to take vpon them the office of the Pope, and Bishops, as though it were a thinge so indifferent, and so common, that when Bishoppes be negligent, temporal menne maie also theire steede. But the reason, whiche to this ende ye make, is so slender, as I wene, fewe princes that feare God, wilbe greatly moued to aduenture that thinge so muche subiecte and thral to Goddes reuenge. (b) In effecte your reason is this, consider it who wil: They of the Clergie be no other but Ciuil princes. Ergo, Temporal princes maie beare the office of Bishoppes. Syr, both your argumente is naughte, and your Antecedent is false. For although Bishoppes had but a title, and the name of Bishoppes by changinge a garment onely, as you saie, yet that defecte in them shoulde not geue habilitie to the mere laie, as to Kinges, and Queenes, to doo the Office of Bishoppes. Nowe is your Antecedent manifestly false, for the Bishoppes of the Catholike Church, whiche in cosse ye cal the Popes Kingdome, be duly ordinated and consecrated.

conuen-
ted be-
fore the
Magi-
strate.

*Extra. de
maiorum &
Obedien.
Cap. 2. In
Margin.*

(a) Vntrithe
manifest. For
wee moue no
prince, to take
vpon him the
Bishops office.
(b) vntrub. for
this is our Rea-
son. A Tempo-
ral prince, saie
you, maie not
meddle in Ec-
clesiastical
causes. But the
Pope, saie we, is
nothinge els,
but a Temporal
prince. ergo, by
your iudgement
the Pope maie
not meddle in
Ecclesiastical

Though Causes.

entangled in worldly affaires.

Though the Pope haue a Princely Dominion, and some other Bishoppes of Christendome haue Dukedomes and Erlesdomes, though they ride wyl accompanied with menne and Horse, yea though some of them otherwise then becommeth that vocation, doe weare Chaynes and Colets of Golde, (c) as you belieue them: though they haue other ornaments to their states pertaininge, whiche grieueth you muche in comparison of the (d) beggerie of your married estate: yet al this imbarreth them not but that they be Bishoppes. Though they teache not, though they saie not, though they doe not, though they liue not, as becommeth Bishoppes, neither to becommeth euen a Christen man, (e) as you saie, al this notwithstanding, yet be they Bishoppes, though euil Bishoppes. Neither for al this may it be lawfull for Laicemen to take their office vpon them. (f) Iudas was an Apostle til the rope choked him. Neither for his wickednesse might Steuen, Mathias, or any other of the Disciples of his owne presumption haue stepte into his rounne. Now as this is true, so is your railinge talke false, which mallice hath stirred you to vnder.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Once againe we telle you, *M. Wardinge*, we confounde not these Offices not withstandinge you so often, and so letwoly report vs, to your owne discredit. Sure Princes neuer take vpon them the Office of Bishoppes: But your Bishoppes haue taken vpon them the Office of Princes. Of your Bishoppes it is written in your owne Councelles: Ecce, iam pene nulla est actio seculi, quam non Sacerdotes admittunt: Be-

Cenci. Ma-
crense. Cita-
tur ab Illy-
rico inter
Testas Veri-
tatis. In
Pag. 121.
Chrysost. In
Mat. Ho-
mil. 35.
Hieronym.
contra Lu-
ciferianos.
Hieronym.
in Sophoni-
am. Cap. 1.
Concil. Tri-
dent.
Sub Paulo. 3
Admonitio
Legator.

holdes, there is nowe in a manner no worldly affaire, but Priestes, and Bishoppes haue it in hande. Dutche Bishoppes be they, of whome S. Chrysostome writeth thus, Qui non credunt Iudicium Dei, nec timent, abutentes Primatu suo Ecclesiastico seculariter, conuertunt eum in secularem: They that neither beleue, nor feare the Iudgements of God, abuse their Ecclesiastical Dignitie in Seculare sorte, turne the same into Seculare Dignitie. Dutche Bishoppes they be, of whome S. Hierome saith thus, Ipsi sibi, & Laici sunt, & Episcopi: They them selues be to them selues bothe Laicemenne, and Bishoppes too. And againe, Adorant Dominum, & Melchom, qui seculo pariter, & Domino putant se posse seruire, & duobus Dominis satisfacere, Deo, & Mammon: qui Militantes Christo, obligant sese negotijs secularibus, & eandem imaginem offerunt, & Deo, & Cesari: They worship the Lorde, and Melchom bothe together, thinkinge, that they maye serue bothe the Worlde, and the Lorde, and satisfie two Masters at once, God, and Mammon: who fightinge vnder Christe, binde them selues to worldly affaires, and offer vp one Image, bothe to God, and to Cesar.

And therefore Cardinal Cusanus saith, Maxima ex hac re deformitas oritur, quod Prelati tantum secularibus curis inuigilant: Hereof groweth a greates deformitie, that Bishoppes are bent onely to worldly cares. Parke wel these wordes, *M. Wardinge*: He saith, Your Bishoppes are bent onely to worldly cares.

If ye wil beleue none of these, yet your Popes owne Legates, in your late Chapter at Trident, speakinge of your Priestelike Apparel, saie thus: Nihil a Laicis, praterquam in Vestis genere, ac ne in hoc quidem differunt: Our Priestes differ nothinge from Laicemenne, sauinge onely in Apparel: naue, in dede they differ not so muche from them, as in Apparel.

He saie, Your Bishoppes are gaie, and gallante, attended, and garded with Princelike routes, bothe behinde, and before: And thereof ye make no small account, specially in respect of our estate, whiche you calle beggerly. In such disdeigne the Heathens sometime saide, That Christe was the Beggerliest, and Poorest of al the Goddes that were in Heauen. Howe be it, our Bishoppes, sauinge that certaine of your fathers haue shamefully spoiled them, are nowe, euen as they were before. Certainly the Poorest Bishoprike in Englande, as it is reported, is better in reueneues, then somme thize of your Popes Italian Bishoprikes in the Kingedome of Naples. Howe be it, the Gospel of Christe standeth not by Richesse, but by Truthe. In comparison of the one, we make smal reckeninge of the other.

Neuerthelesse, the Wise, and Godly haue euermore founde fault with the Ecclesiastical Brauerie of your Romaine Clerergie. S. Bernarde saith, Inde est, quia quotidie vides, Meretricius Nitore: Histrionicus habitus: Regius Apparatus. Inde Aurum in Frenis, in Sellis, in Calcaribus: Thence cometh theire Whoorelike Finenesse: thence Players Weede: thence Princely Apparel. Thence cometh theire Goulde in theire Bridle, in theire Saddels, and in theire Spurres. Againe he saith, Incedunt nitidi, & or-

Anentius.
Lib. 3. De
Ruperto.
Christu om-
nium Deoru
esse paup-
erimum.
Bernard. in
Cantica Ser-
m. 43. Holcot
in Sapien-
tialib. 23.
Bernard. in
Cantica.
Sermo. 77.

(c) Vener-
Rade-
Anfuer-
(d) Ogle-
ous Th-
Then v-
the Apost-
state mu-
more be-
gerly.
(e) This
large m-
our, but
Bernard-
(f) The P-
by M. H-
dunge-
pared w-
Iudas.

ornati, circumamicti varietatibus, tanquam Sponsa procedens de Thalamo suo. Nonne si quempiam talium eminens procedentem aspexeris. Sponsam nouis putabis, quam Sponsa Custodem? *They goe trimly, and finely in their cauloures, as if a Spouse shoulde come from her Chamber. If thou shouldest suddainely see one of them setting a face of, wouldst thou not rather thinke it were a Spouse, then the keeper of the Spouse?*

Laurentius Valla. although bitterly, yet not vnpleasantly, thus expresse the your **Lordly Bzauerie.** Existimo, si qua inter Dæmones, qui aerem incolunt, ludorum genera exercentur eos exprimendo Clericorum cultu, fastu, luxu exerceri, & hoc Icenici latus genere maxime delectari: *I thinke, if the Diuels in the aire haue any game amonge them, to make sport withal, they are moste busily occupied in counterfeiting the apparel, and attire, and Pride, and riot of Priestes, and haue greatest pastime in this kinde of Mascurie.*

Laur. Valla
de Donations
Constan.

Pope Bonifacius. 8. in a great Iubilee, and in a Solemne procession, went appa-
relled in the Emperours Robes: and had the Crowne Imperial on his Heade, and the
Sverde of Maiestie borne before him, as an Emperoure.

Paralipome,
Urspergen.

This Spiritual Iollitie, **Dr. Hardinge**, liketh you wel. Notwithstanding **S. Bernard**
saith, Dæmonum magis, quam Ouium, sunt hæc pascua. Scilicet, sic factitabat Petrus sic
Paulus iudebat: *Thise be Pastures for Diuels, not for Sheepe. No doubt, Euen thus did Pe-*
ter: enen such pastime plaide S. Paule.

Bernard. De
consideratione
ad Eugenium.
Lib. 1.

Pætel vs further, Though they teache not, though they saie not, though they doo not, though
they liue not, as becometh Bishoppes, nor as becometh a Christian man, yet bee they Bishoppes not-
withstanding. **Vereat** we will not greatly strue. For so the **VVoulte**. If he once geate a
Whæpehoke, and a **Cloke**, maie be a **Shephearde**: and a **Blinde** man if he geate once
into the **Watch Tower**, maie be a **Spie**. But miserable are the **Pope Whæpe**, that so
are **sedde**: miserable is that **Pope Castle**, that so is **watched**.

S. Augustine saith, Episcopatus est nomen Operis, non Honoris: vt intelligat, se non
esse Episcopum, qui præ esse dilexerit, non prodesse: *A Bishoppes Office is a name of La-*
bour, and not of Honour: that, who so loneth to rule, and not to profite, may understand him-
selfe to be no Bishop. Againe he saith of such a one, Canis impudicus dicendus est magis
quam Episcopus: He ought rather to be called a shamelesse Dogge, then a Bishop.

8. qua. 1. Qui
Episcopatum
Augustinus.

As, so, that ye saie, Your Bishoppes be duly Ordained, and Consecrated. **S. Augustine** re-
plieth, Ipsum Characterem multi, & Lupi, & Lupis imprimunt: *Touching the outward*
Consecration of a Bishop, many geue it to Woulde, and be Woulde them selues.

2. qua. 7. qui
n. c. August.
August. vobis
ira. Dona-

S. Bernard speakinge of your Priestes, and Bishoppes, saith, Habitu Milites: quæ-
stu Clericos: actu neutros exhibent. Nam neque vt Milites, pugnat: neque vt Clerici euan-
gelizant. Cuius ergo Ordinis sunt? Cum vtriusque esse cupiunt, vtrunque deserunt: vtrun-
que confundunt. Vnusquisque, inquit, in suo Ordine resurget? In quo ista? An qui sine Or-
dine peccauerunt, sine Ordine peribunt? Vereor, non alibi Ordinandus, quam vbi nullus
Ordo, sed sempiternus horror inhabitat: *In their apparel, they are Souldiers: in their games,*
they are Priestes, and Bishoppes: but in effecte, and in deede, they are neither of bothe. For
neither do they fight in the fildes, as doo Souldiers: nor doo they preache, as Priestes, and Bi-
shoppes. Of whether Order therefore be they? Whereas they woulde be of bothe Orders, they
forseake bothe, and confounde bothe. S. Paule saith, Every man shal rise againe in his owne
Order. But in what Order shal theise rise? Whether, for as mutche, as they haue sinned
without Order shal they perishe without Order? I feare me, they shalbe Ordered none other-
where, but where as is no Order, but disorder, and horroure Euerlastinge.

Lib. 6.
Bernard. De
Confid. ratio-
ne ad Eugeni-
um. Lib. 3.

1. Corinth. 15.

Thus plainly speake your owne **Doctoures**, touching your Clergie: whiche
plainenesse it pleaseth you, **Dr. Hardinge**, to calle false, and malicious railinge.

The Apologie. Cap. 10. Diuisions.

Surely, to haue the principal staie, and effecte of al matters com-
mitted wholly to these mens hands, who neither know, nor will knowe
these thinges, nor yet set a iote by any pointe of Religion, saue that,
whiche concerneth their bellie, and riote, and to haue them alone sitte
as Iudges, & to be sette vp as ouerscers in the **Watche tower**, being no
better

Supreme
Heade.
Supreme
Gouer-
noure.

676

The Defense of the Apologie of the

better then Blinde Spies: of the other side, to haue a Christian Prince of good vnderstandinge, and of a right iudgemente, to stande still like a block, or a stake, not to be suffered, neither to geue his voice, nor to shew his iudgement, but onely to waite, what thiese meime shall will, and commaunde, as one, which had neither eares, nor eyes, nor witte, nor harte, and, what so euer they geue in charge, to allowe it without exception, blindly fulfillinge theire Commaundementes, be they neuer so blasphemous, and wicked, yea, although they commaund him quite to destroe al Religion, and to Crucifie againe Christe him selfe, This surely, biddes that it is proude, and spiteful, is also beyonde al right, and reason, and not to be endured of Christian, and wise Princes. For why, I praie you, maie Caiphas, and Annas vnderstande these maters, and maie not Dauid, and Ezechias doo the same? Is it lawfull for a Cardinal, being a man of warre, and deliting in Bloude, to haue place in a Councel: and is it not lawfull for a Christian Emperoure, or a Kinge?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Hereto M. Hardinge saith nothinge, but that he hath oftentimes said before.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Dunstons.

Verily, wee graunte no further Libertie to our Magistrates, then that we knowe hath bothe benne geuen them by the Woorde of God, and also benne confirmed by the Examples of the very beste gouerned Common Wealthes.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vntruthe.
For we alleage
many other
places besides,
as it may lone
appeare.

(b) Then can
not the Pope
be heade of the
Church: for
he hath perfe-
cted the
Church as
Nero.

(c) Much a do
about nothing:
For our Prince
hath not this
Title. But

Queen Marie
had, and vsed
the same title
of Supreme
Heade, as many
waies it maie
be proued.

If a man shoulde aske you, where that woorde of God is, that maketh a Temporal Prince Supreme heade of that parte of the Church, whiche he hath gouernement of in al Ciuil maters, I am sure you can bringe forth (a) no other woorde of God, then that, wherein S. Peter, and S. Paule willet al menne to obeie the Superiour powers, especially Kinges. Which thinge was written to al Christian men, whiles they liued vnder Heathen Princes, and Infidels, as Claudius Cesar, and Nero were, whome, I suppose, ye wil not saie to haue benne heades of the whole Church, as they were Monarkes and Princes of the whole world. If then by those Scriptures whiche cannot proue Nero (beinge a (b) persecutour of Christes Church) to haue benne heade of the same, you wil now proue, that other Princes are supreme heades of the Church: it seemeth that either you make Nero heade of the Church with them, or geue more vnto them, then the word of God wil beare. And as for examples of good Common Weales, shewe vs but one since Christes ascension, wherein before Luthers time, any Emperoure Christian, or other Prince did attribute that title vnto him selfe: and wee wil saie, that when you speake of Common Weales in the plural number, you make but one lie: but in case you shewe vs no one Common Weale that hath so done, then you lie in the plural number. Yea further, it at this daie the Common Weales in Christendome, not onely that are Catholike, but the best also of those, that Lutherische, and newfangled, doo abhorre from that straunge, and vnhard title of (c) Supreme heade of the Church, whie doo you saie, that yee haue, either Woorde of God for it, or example of approued Common weales?

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Concerninge the title of Supreme Heade of the Church, wee neede not to search for Scripture to excuse it. For, first, wee diuised it not: Secondly, wee vse it not: Thirdly, our Princes at this present claime it not. Pour fathers, M. Hardinge, first entituled that most Noble, and most Worthy Prince, Kinge Henry the Eighth, with that Awful, and Strange Title, as it maie wel be thought, the rather to brynge him into the talke, and sleaude of the world.

Nowe be it, that the Prince is the Highest Iudge, and Gouernoure ouer al his Subiectes what so ener, as wel Priestes, as Laie menne, without exception, it is most euidente, by that hath benne already saide: by that shalbe said hereafter: by the whole court

course of the Scriptures: and by the vndoubted practise of the Primative Church. Eie-
rily, the Prince as it shal afterwarde better appeare, had Bothe the Tables of the lawe
of God euermore committed to his charge: as wel the firste, that pertaineth to Re-
ligion, as also the seconde, that pertaineth to Ciuile Gouernement.

But now, *M. Hardinge*, if a man would aske you, by what VVorde of God your
Priestes, and Bishoppes haue exempted their selues from the Iudgement, and Co-
uernement of their Princes: *M.*, by what VVorde of God the Princes hande is re-
strained more from his Cleargie, then from other his Subiectes: *M.*, by what VVorde
of God, ye would stablishe Twoo Supreme Gouernoures in one Realme, I marueile,
in what Scriptures ye would seeke to finde it. Your owne Doctoures and Clofers
saie, as it is befoze alleged: *Quæritur, quis exemit Clericum de Iurisdictione Impera-*
toris, cum prius esset illi Subiectus? Dicit Laurentius, quod Papa de consensu Principis:
Question is moued, who hath exempted the Priest from the Iurisdiction of the Emperoure,
whereas before he was his subiecte? Laurentius saith (not the VVoorde of God, but) the
Pope exempted him, by the consente of the Prince.

*Extra de
Majorita.
& Obedien.
ca. 2. in mar.*

Further, *M. Hardinge*, we beseeche you, by what VVoorde of God can your Pope
claime him selfe to be the Heade of the Vniuersal Church of God? Where is it recoz-
ded? Where is it writtten? In what parte of the Testamente. Newe, or olde? In what
Lawe? In what Prophete? In what Epistle? In what Gospel? Where is his Headship?
Where is his Vniuersal power? If ye can finde it, then may ye shewe it: If it can-
not be founde, then shoulde ye not saie it. As for that you, and other your felowes
haue alleged befoze, for proufe hercof, it is so childlike, and so weake, that, I thinke,
ye cannot now come againe with the same, without blushing.

Touchinge the Right, that we saie, belongeth vnto al Christian Princes, it hath
benne inneded, and planted in them from the beginninge. For, to leaue other Autho-
rities of the Scriptures, Pope Eleutherius him selfe wrote thus vnto Lucius, sometime
King of this Realme of Englande: Vos estis Vicarius Dei in Regno, iuxta Prophetam
Regium: You are Goddes Vicare within your ovne Realme, accordinge to the Prophete
Dauid.

*Epist. Eleut.
Cumtur inter
Leges Edwar-
di primi.
Quinta Sy-
nodi actio. 1.
pissimo.*

Paule the Bishop of Apamea, writeth thus vnto the Emperoure Iustinian, in a cause
mere Ecclesiastical, touchinge Religion: Transiit ipse Dominus, vt Plenitudinem
directionis vestre custodiret Serenitati: Our Lords hath taken Pope Agapetus away, that
he might leaue the fulnesse of order (concerning these Heretiques, Dioscorus, and Euti-
ches) vnto your Maiestie.

Tertullian saith, Colimus Imperatorem, vt hominem a Deo Secundum, Solo Deo
Minorem: Wee worship the Emperoure, as a man, nexte vnto God, and inferioure onely
vnto God.

Tertullian.

And, notwithstandinge the name of Heade of the Church belongeth peculiarly, and
onely vnto Christe as his onely Right, and Inheritance (for as the Church is the
Body, so Christe is the Heade) yet maie the same sometimes also be applied in sober
meaninge, and good sense, not onely vnto Princes, but also vnto others, far inferiour
vnto Princes. Chrysostome saith, Videntur mihi istæ mulieres Caput fuisse Ecclesie,
quæ illic erat: It seemeth vnto mee, that these vveemen were the Heade of the Church,
that was at Philippi. Likewise againe, speakinge of the Emperoure, he saith thus:
Læsus est, qui non habet parem vllum super terram. Summitas, & Caput omnium super
terram hominum: Wee haue offended him, that in the Earthe hath no peere, the Toppe, and
the Heade of all menne in the vvorlde. If he were the Heade of al menne, then was he
the Heade, not onely of Bishoppes, and Cardinales, but also of the Pope him selfe: On-
lesse the Pope were no man.

*Chrys. in
Epi ad Phil.
Hom. 13.
A woman
heade of the
Church.
Chrysost. ad
populū Ant.
Homi. 2.*

To conclude, our Princes neede no more to claime their Lawfull Authoritie, and
Emperial Righte, by the example of Nero, wherof ye haue moued mutche vntimely,
and wanton talke, then your Pope needeth to claime his Assurped, and Coloured Po-
wer, by the Examples of Annas, and Caiphas.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Division. 2.

For besides that, a Christian Prince hath the charge of Both Tables
committed

All.

Supreme
Heade.
Supreme
Gouer-
noure.

678

The Defense of the Apologie of the

committed to him by God, to the ende he maie vnderstande, that, not
Temporal matters onely, but also Religious and Ecclesiastical Causes
pertaine to his office, &c.

M. Harding.

(a) A graue di-
sputer. Ye con-
clude against
that, that is not
avouched.

(b) Vntruche,
fonde, and ma-
nifest, and lea-
dinge directly
to desperation.

(c) Vntruche,
ioyned with
blasphemie
Reade the An-
swere.

* Afonde folie.
For this Keie is
geuen nomore
to the Pope,
then to any o-
ther simple
Prieste.

*August. in
Epist. 30. ad
Bonifac.*

*Aug. Conf.
Lib. 10. ca. 3.*

*Aug. in
Psalm. 26.*

You wil proue that Ecclesiastical causes pertaine to a Kinges office, because he hath the charge of bothe
Tables. If you meane that a Kinge is bound to keepe both Tables of the Lawe, so is also every priuate man.
And yet, as no priuate man is supreme heade of the Church by keeping them, so neither the king is proved
thereby the (a) supreme heade. If you meane that the kinge ought to see others to keepe bothe Tables of the
Lawe: that maie he doo, either in appointing temporal paines for the transgressours of them, or in execu-
ting the saide paines vpon the transgressours. But as he cannot excommunicate any man for not appearing,
when he is called, so can he not iudge all causes of the lawe. For if a man sinne onely in his harte, as for ex-
ample in Murther, or Admoutrie, the kinge cannot haue to doo with him. And yet the true supreme heade
of the Church shal haue to doo with him. For that malicious and sinful thought (b) shal neuer be forgiven,
except the party come to be absolued of their succellours, to whom Christe saide, whose sinnes yete forgive,
they are forgiven: and whose sinnes ye reteine, they are reteined. To commit murder in harte, is a sinne, and
it is reteined: vntil it be forgiven. (c) Neither can it be forgiven, vntil he that is iudge, by the keie of discre-
tion, perceiue that it is to be forgiven. Whiche he cannot know, vntil it be confessed with a contrite harte,
by him who onely knoweth it, and is bounde to tel it, for absoluciones sake. If then there be a iudge, who
can see the lawe kepte in an higher pointe, and beyonde the reache of the Kinge, surely the Kinge shal not
be supreme heade, siue an other is more like to God, then he. As who is iudge of the inward conscience,
whereto no kinge reacheth, but onely the minister of Christe, who is the spiritual Kinge, and hath gi-
uen the keies of his Kingdome to his minister.

John. 10.

Math. 16.

The Bishop of Sarisburie

Here, M. Hardinge, ye roaue, and wander without a marke, and replie to that,
that was not spoken. I marueile, whereof ye can spinne your selfe suche idle talks.
For we neither calle our Princes the Heades of the Church of Christe: (it was your
fathers inuention, and not ours) nor saie we, They haue power, either to Excommu-
nicate: or to binde: or to loose: nor haue we leasure to make sutche vaine conclusions.

Thus we saie, the Prince is put in truste, as wel with the Kirke, as with the Se-
rende Table of the Lawe of God: that is to saie, as wel with Religion, as with Tem-
poral Governement: not onely to keepe, and performe the contentes of Bothe Ta-
bles in his owne persone (for so mutche every priuate man is bounde to doo) but also
to see, that all others his Subiectes, as wel Priettes, as Laiemenne, eche man in his cal-
linge, doo dewly keepe them. This is it, that no priuate man is able to doo. There-
fore S. Augustine saithe, In hoc seruiunt Domino Reges, in quantum sunt Reges, cum ea
faciunt ad seruendum illi, quae non possunt facere, nisi Reges: *Herein Kinges serue the
Lorde, in that they be Kinges, when they doo those thinges to serue him, that noman can doo, but
onely Kinges.* We saie not, the Prince is bounde to doo the Bishoppes dewty: And
therefore it is the greater folie of your part, M. Hardinge, to obieate it so often. We
menne vse not so to adventure theire wordes in vaine. But thus we saie, The Prince
is bounde to see the Bishoppes to doo theienduties.

But what meante you, so far out of season, to talke so fondly of your priue Confes-
sion, of Bindinge, and Loosinge and pouer of Keies? For as it is saide befoze, we saie
not, that Princes maie, either Binde, or Loose, or Minister Sacramentes: or preache the
Gospel, or sitte downe, and heare Confessions. Therefore with all this greate adoe, ye
soine onely at your owne shadowe, and hit nothinge.

We saie ful discretely, If a man sinne onely in his harte, the Kinge cannot haue to doo with him,
for that he cannot enter, to knowe his secret. Here, I beseech you, M. Harding, what entrance
then hath the Pope to knowe the secretes of the Hartes? Perhaps ye wil saie, the Pope
maie knowe all the woordes by Confession. But S. Augustine saithe, Quid mihi est cum
hominibus, vt audiant confessoris meas, &c. Vnde sciunt, cum a me ipso de me ipso audi-
unt, an verum dicam: quandoquidem nemo scit hominum quid agatur in homine, nisi Spi-
ritus hominis, qui in ipso est: What haue I to doo with these menne, that they shoulde heare
my Confessions, &c. How know they, when they heare mee reporte of mee selfe, whether I saie
true, or no? For noman knoweth, what is in man, but the Sprite of man, that is within him.
Againe he saithe vnto the people, Intrantes vos, & exeuntes possumus videre. Vique ad
autem non videmus, quid cogitatis in cordibus vestris, vt neque quid agatis in domibus
vestris

vestris videre possimus: We maie see you comminge in, and goeing forth. But wee are so far from seeinge the thoughtes of your hartes, that wee can not see, what you doo at home in youre houses. Likewise againe he saith, Quid singulorum quorunq; inodo conscientie dixerint, ad aures meas, quia homo sum, peruenire non potuit. Ille, qui Absens est praesentia Corporis, sed praesens est Vigore Maiestatis, audiuit vos: What euery of your consciences hath saide, it coulde not enter vnto my eares, for that I am but a Mortal man. Notwithstandinge, Christe, that is Absente, as touchinge the presence of his Body, but presence by the power of his Maiestie, hath hearded you wel. It is, not the Pope, but God onely, that trieth the reines, and searcheth the harte.

Yet yee saie, the true Supreme Heade of the Church shall haue to doe with him, that sinneth onely secretly in his harte: For that malicious, and froward thought (you saie) shall neuer be forgiven, excepte the partie come to be absolved of their Successours, to whom Christe saide, Whose finnes yee forgive, &c. This, M. Hardinge, is the Supreme Folie of al other Folies. For, firste, where euer hearded you, that the Pope would once vouchsaue to Heare Confessions? And, if he would, yet by your owne Doctours Iudgemente, the Pope hath nomore power to Binde, and to Loole, then any other poore simple Priest. As I haue shewed you before, Alphonsus de Castro saith, Quando Absoluit Simplex Sacerdos, tantum Absoluit de Culpa, sicut Papa: When a Simple Priest Absolueth, he Absolueth as muche touchinge the sinne, as if it were the Pope him selfe. Origene saith, Quae sequuntur, velut ad Petrum dicta, sunt omnium communia, &c. Quod si nos idem loquimur, quod Petrus loquutus est, efficiamur Petrus: The wordes that folowe, as spoken vnto Peter, are common vnto al. If wee speake the same, that Peter spake, then are wee made Peter. Euen in the Popes owne Glose vpon his Decretalles it is noted thus, In necessitate Laicus potest, & Audire Confessiones, & Absolvere: In case of necessitie a Laicman maie bothe heare Confessions, and also geue Absolutions. Yet will yee not saie, that euery Laicman is Peters Successour.

To what purpose then serueth al this your vaine talk, M. Hardinge, The true Supreme Heade of the Church shall haue to doe with him, that sinneth onely in his harte? For euery Simple Priest, hauing the keie of Goddes wordes, entred into the harte, and hath to doe with the same, as wel, and as muche, and as deeply, as the Pope: and in respecte of beinge Iudge of the Conscience, is aboue Kinges and Princes no lesse then he.

But where yee saie, The malicious, and froward thought shall neuer be forgiven, excepte the partie come to be Absolved of their Successours, to whom Christe saide, whose finnes yee forgive, &c. this Doctrine is not onely strange, and false, but also full of Desperation. Your owne Gratian saith, Latentia peccata non probantur necessario Sacerdoti confitenda: It is not proued (by any sufficient authoritie of Scriptures, or of Doctours) that secret finnes are of necessity to be vttered in Confession vnto the Priest. Againe he saith, Datur intelligi, quod etiam, ore tacente, veniam consequi possumus: It is giuen vs to vnderstande, that wee maie obtaine pardone, although we vtter nothinge with our mouth. And againe, Non Sacerdotali iudicio, Sed largitate Diuinae Gratiae peccator emendatur: The sinner is cleansed, not by the Iudgement of the Priest, but by the abundance of Goddes grace. Againe he saith, Confessio Sacerdoti offertur in signum veniae, non in causam Remissionis accipiendae: Confession is made vnto the Priest, in token of forgiveness already obtained: not as a cause of Forgiveness to be obtained. Your very Glose saith, Apud Graecos Confessio non est necessaria: quia non emanauit ad illos Traditio talis: Enong the Christians in Graecia Confession of finnes is not necessarie: for that this Tradition neuer came amonge them. Yet, M. Hardinge, I trowe, yee wil not saie, but their finnes maie be forgiven.

Certainely Christolome saith, Soluste Deus confitentem videat: Let God onely see thee makinge the Confession of thy finnes.

It was very muche therefore, M. Hardinge, for you, so assuredly, and so precisely to saie, that finnes can neuer be forgiven without your Priuie Confession: and specially thereby to proue the Vniuersal Headship of the Pope.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 3.

Besides also, that God by his Prophetes often, & earnestly commaundeth the King, to cutte downe the Groues, to breake downe the Images

All. ii.

and

Supreme
Heade.
Supreme
Gouer-
noure.

August. in
Psalm. 127.

Alph. de Ha-
resi. li. 2. De
Absolutione.
Origen. in
Matthae.
Tractat. 1.
Extra. De
offic. Iudicis
Ordina. Pa-
storalis. In
Glossa.

De penit.
Diss. Quid
aliquando.

De pecc. dist. 1.
Conuersimus.
In eodem ca.
De pecc. dist. 1.
Omnis qui.

De pecc. di. 5.
In penitent.
In Glossa.

Chrysost. De
Confess. &
penit.

Supreme
Heade.
Supreme
Gouer-
noure.

685

The Defense of the Apologie of the

and Aultars of Idoles: and to write out the Booke of the Lawe for him selfe: and besides that, the Prophete Esaias saith, A Kinge ought to be a Patrone, and a Nurse of the Church, &c.

M. Hardinge.

(a) The Kinge is the Priestes Executioner. Your seconde argumente for the Ecclesiastical power of Kinges is, because God had them to cut downe superstitious groues, and overthrowe idols: (a) as though this were not an office of executing a commaundement, rather then of decreting any thing. The authoritie to discern an image of Christe from an idol of the Deuil, belongeth to them, who knowe, that an image is a name of arte, whiche is of God: an idol is a name of false worshipping, which is of the Deuil. So that an Image is godly: an idol deuillish. When the Aaron the Bi. Priest hath iudged this or that to be an idol, or when it is euident, that so it is, then the King shal doo wel shop set vp the to breake it downe. (b) But if the Kinge wil breake downe the image of Christe, when the Priest telleth golden Calfe, him it is a godly representation, and no idol: then the Kinge dothe more then his office requireth, and shal and cried vnto not onely not proue his supremacie, but also shal incurre danger to be reiected of God, as kinge Saule was, when he despised to keepe the commaundement of Samuel the highe Priest. 1. Reg. 13.

(b) O Vanitie of Vanities: The Kinge muste write out a Booke of the Deuteronomie: but the example thereof he muste receiue of the Priestes that bee of the Tribe of Levi. If in Spirituall matters the Kinge were about the Priestes (c) why hadde hee not the keepinge of the Lawe in his owne handes? Why muste he take it of the Priestes? why did not rather the Priestes come to him, sicke the inferioure taketh al his righte of the Superioure? If the Priestes must giue the holy Scripture vnto the king, then verily muste he take suche as they giue him, and with suche meaninge as they geue vnto it. So that if you had not (b) falsified the meaninge of Goddes woordes by leauinge out halfe the sentence, this place had proued against you. It is to be wicied to what ende a King is required to haue, and to reade that holy booke, Verily not to take vpon him the partie of a iudge in causes of Religion: but as there it is expressed, to the intent he learne to feare his Lorde God, and keepe his woordes and ceremonies in the law commaunded, and that his harte be not lifted vp into pride, aboue his brethren &c. I omitte that you reade, *Librum legis*, whereas the Church readeth, *Deuteronomium*, it were too longe to enter into that disputation. The booke of the lawe signifieth the whole lawe, the Deuteronomie is but one of the fise bookes. Deut. 17.

(c) Ful discretely. As though the Kinges had not Officers, to keepe their Records. (d) Vnto the. For ver haue falsified no part hereof, as it shal appeare. *Heie M. Ha woulde faine saie somewhat if he wiste what it were. Reade the Answer.

(e) by this pretye conclusion, the king is the seruant, and the Priest is the Maister. Where Esaias calleth a Kinge a Patrone of the Church, I haue not founde. But were it he called him so, it berokeneth that he should defende the Church from woorldly enemies, as in repelling the Turkes, in expelling Herenkes, and suche like kingely actes. Whiche proueth no spiritual supremacie, but vnder God a fealtie, and seruiceable power. I finde where Esaias saith, *Eterni Reges nutricij tui, & Regina nutrices tuae*. Kinges shalbe thy fosterers, and queenes thy nourses. But not euery nourse, or fosterer is aboue him, who is nourished. (e) A faithfull seruant oftentimes fostereth the maister. Yer is he not aboue his maister. P. 123. S. Hierome vnderstandeth the Kinges, whom Esaias nameth, to be the Apostles: according to whiche sense it maketh nothing to the purpose as it is alleged for. Cap. 43.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Al Christian Princes are mutche beholden to you, M. Hardinge: ye make them so like to Polyphemus the Giante after his eyes were striken out: that is to saie, to a man mighty in body, and greate in boanes: but starke blinde, and no waie hable to guide him selfe. A Kinge ye saie, maie not take vpon him, to iudge, or pronouet in maters of Religion, be they neuer so cleare: but onely must hearken, and be ready to execute, what so euer shal be thought good, and commaunded by your Bishops: as if he were onely your Bishops man. So saith your holy Father Pope Bonifacius 8. *Gladius Materialis exercendus est manu Regum, & Militum: Sed ad nutum, & patientiam Sacerdotis: The Material, or Temporal Sworde muste be used by the hande of Kinges, and Souldiers: but at the becke, and sufferance of the Priest: By whiche Priest he meaneth the Pope.*

But David saith, *Noue, yee Kinges, haue vnderstandinge: Be learned, yee, that iudge the Earthe.* God kinges haue oftentimes reformed Religion, and haue lawfully controlled, and corrected, and depofed idle, and wicked Bishoppes: as before, in place conuenient, it is largely proued. The Emperour Iustinian threateneth, if the Bishop offended in saying the publique seruice, or in the Ministration of the Sacramentes, that then he him selfe would use his Authoritie ouer him, and see him punished. Franciscus Zabarella saith, *That for any crime notorious, the Emperour maie summe the Pope to appeare before his Maestie, and maie require him, to yelde a reckening of his Faith And yet wil ye saie, The Emperoure is stil the Popes man, and maie iudge nothinge in causes of Religion without him.*

The

The Kinge (ye saie) is not able to Iudge, whether an Idole be an Idole or no, but by the leadinge, and reachinge of the Priest. **So wel ye wishe al Christian Princes were instructed, that they shoulde not be hable, either to see, or to speake without you.** But what if your Priestes saie, as it hath often happened, **God is an Idole, and an Idole is God?** Lighte is Darkenesse, and Darkenesse is Lighte? what if they saie, **Greate is Diana the Goddesse of Ephesus?** What if they condemne the innocent, and saie, as they sometime saide of Christe, **Onlesse this man were a malefactor, we would neuer have brought him to thy hand?** **Yet muste the Prince neuerthelesse drawe his swerde, and strike, when, and whom so euer the Priest biddeth: and blindly execute his wicked wil?** In deede, *M. Hardi.* you saie precisely: If the mater decreed be Spiritual and appertaining to Faith, the Prince ought to beie without question or grudge. Likewise againe ye saie, It shal be yonghe for you, to doo, as the Successours of Peter bid you to doo. Christe nowe requirith of you, not to obeie Peter, and Paule: but to obeie him that sitteth in their Chaire. What so euer he saie, True or false. For this, no doubt, muste be your meaninge. *Pag. 302. a. M. Hardi. Pag. 192. a. Pag. 92. b.*

The Kinge was bounde to write out the Booke of the Lavve: This (ye saie) proueth not the Kinges Superiortie ouer the Priestes. **No verily, M. Wardinge:** Neither was it alleged by vs to that purpose. Neuerthelesse, hereby it appeareth, that God would haue the Kinge to be learned in his Lawes.

But the Kinge muste receiue the Booke of the Priest, and of none other: Therefore, saie you, the Priest is aboue the Kinge. **Ye thinketh, M. Wardinge, euen for your credites sake, ye should looke better to your Logique.** For what auaileth the deliuerie of a Booke, to make the Priest, either higher, or lower? When the Pope is at his Consecration, the Cardinal, that is his orderer, deliuereth him a Booke of the Epistles & Gospelles. Will ye therefore conclude, that the saide Cardinal is aboue the Pope? *Ceremoniar. Lib. 1. Sect. 2.* Mary God so bidde.

Goddes meaninge, touching this Ceremonie, was this, that the Kinges Booke shoulde be true, and faultlesse. And therefore God commaunded him to take a Copie thereof out of the Registrie, or Records, whiche were thought to be void of al corruption, and were euermore kepte in the Temple, vnder the custodie of the Priestes. Paulus Phagius saith, **Every Private man was commaunded to haue one Booke generally to him selfe: But the Kinge was commaunded to haue two.** And for as mutche as the Kinge was a Publique person, therefore God willed him to take his Copie out of the publique Records of the Temple. *Paul. Phag. in Den. c. 7.*

Your owne Hugo Cardinalis saith, **Accipiet exemplar à Sacerdotibus: non à quibilibet, sed à bonis.** Vbi nihil fucatum est: nihil corruptum: **He shal take his Copie of the Priestes: not of enery Priest, what so euer: but of the good: that in the same Copie there be nothinge, neither coloured, nor corrupted.** *Hugo in D. n. Ca. 17.*

Al this, **M. Wardinge, wil hardly proue your strange Conclusion, that the Priest is vvas Superiour to the Kinge:** It seemeth mutche better to proue the contrarie, that the Priest is vvas the Kinges Inerioure, and his Subiecte, and his Clerke of Records, as beinge appointed to kepe his Bookes. **So S. Augustine saith, the leues this daie kepe the very true Bookes of the Scriptures: Al be it, not for their owne vse, but onely for ours.** And therefore he saith, they be, not our Superiours, but our Seruantes. *An. Contra. Faust. li. 16. cap. 21. Et in Psal. 58. Et saepe alibi.*

Certainely, concerninge the Kinges and Priestes of the Olde Lavve, one of your owne frendes, whom for many causes ye maie not wel refuse, sayeth thus: In veteri Lege Sacerdotium indignius erat Potestate Regia, & ei Subiectum: **In the Olde lavve the Priestes Office was Inferioure to the Prince, and Subiecte vnto him.** *Joan. de. Pa. De Potesta. Reg. & Pa. p. 1. Ca. 5.*

Ye saie, If the Priest muste geue the Holy Scriptures to the Kinge, then verily muste he take sutch as they geue him: and with sutch meaninge as they geue vnto it. **Yea, I trowe, though they teare their robes against Christe, as Caiphas did, & crie out, He blasphemeth: yet must we by your Iudgements, euermore sake to them for the sense, and meaninge of the Scriptures.** For this is the ground, and Foundation of your Diminitie: The Scripture of God hath none other sense, but as it shal please the Priest to geue vnto it. But S. Chrysostome speakinge of the same Priestes, and Bishoppes, from whom we haue receiued the selfe same Scriptures, saith thus: **Littera quidem Legis apud illos sunt: Sensus autem apud nos: The Letters, or Wordes of the Scriptures are with them: but the** *Chry in Genesi. Hom. 2. Lll. ij. 1746*

Deutero-
nomie.
A Copie.
A double.

682

The Defense of the Apologie of the

true meanings of them is with vs.

Here you mutche disadvantage your selfe, and, as you saie, omitte that we reade, *Librum Legis*, whereas the Church readeth, *Deuteronomium*: for that, as you saie, it were to longe for you to enter into that disputation. Therefore, M. Hardinge, we wil patiently abide your leasure, until ye haue founde out the whole mysterie, and considered it better. In the meane season, it shal not be good for you, to be ouer rank with your Comentaries, until ye better vnderstand the Text. Certainly the wise and learned thinke, that here, in ye are mutche deceined. For, whereas the wordes are these, *Describit sibi Deuteronomium Legis huius*, they thinke, that, *Deuteronomium*, in this place, is not put for any one certaine seuerall Booke of the five Bookes of Moses, as it is otherwise commonly vsed, but rather for a Copie, or a Draught of the whole Lawe. And in this sense they saie, that, *Δευτερονόμιον* is none other, but *Απόγραφοι*, that is to saie, a Copie, or a Double. The Italian Translation hath thus, *Essa si scriuono questo Doppio de la Legge*. The Frenche Translation hath, *Le double*. Leo Iudas translateth it thus, *Describet sibi Exemplum Legis huius*: He shal write out from him selfe a Copie of this Lawe. And, for some proufe hereof, it is thus written in the Booke of Iosua: *Afterwarde Iosua readde out of the wordes of the Lawe, bothe the blessinges, and the cursers, accordinge to euery thinge, that is written in the Booke of the Lawe. And there was not one worde of al the thinges, that Moses commaunded, but Iosua readde the same before the whole Congregation of Israel.* Thus ye see, M. Hardinge, that this worde, *Deuteronomium*, in this place signifieth not the fifthe Booke of Moses, as you imagine, but a full Copie of al the Lawe. And therefore esteemes I telle you, ye are mutche deceined.

Questio
Doppio.
Le Double.

Iosua. 3.

Where we allege the wordes of the Prophete Esai, *Kinges shalbe thy Fosterers, and Queenes thy Nurses*: ye saie, Every Nurse, or Fosterer, is not about him, that is nourished. A faithful seruante oftentimes fostereth his Maister. Yet is not he about his Maister. So lothe ye are, the Kinge shoulde be Superioure to a Priestle. And thus haue ye broughte aboute by your handsome Conclusions, that your Priestles be the Maisters and Kinges their Seruantes. And therefore it is discretely noted in your Glose, *Imperator Romanus est Procurator, siue Defensor Romanæ Ecclesiæ*: The Emperoure of Rome is the Stewarde, or Bailiffe of the Church of Rome.

Dist. 98. Si
imperi. In
Glosa.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 4. & 5.

I saie, besides al these thinges, we see by Histories, & by Examples of the beste times, that good Princes euer tooke the Administration of Ecclesiastical maters to pertaine to their duetie.

Exod. 32.

Moses beinge a Ciuile Magistrate, and chiefe guide of the people, both receiued from God, & deliuered to the people al the order for Religion, and Sacrifices, and gaue Aaron the Bishop a beehemente, and soare rebuke for makinge the Golden Calfe, and for sufferinge the Corruption of Religion.

(a) Vntrethe.
For at that
time he was
no Priestle.
(b) Vntrethe.
For it proueth
the contrarie.
Reade the An-
swere.
(c) Vntrethe.
cited by M.
Hard. frende.
For in the time
of Moses lawe
the Priestle was
inferioure to
the Prince.

M. Hardinge.

Moses was not onely a Ciuile Magistrate, (a) but also a Priestle. In that he had bothe offices, (b) it proueth that a Priestle maie haue bothe: but not contrariwise, that a Kinge maie haue bothe. For the greater may include the lesse, but the lesse can not include the greater. (c) The office of a Priestle is the highest of al. (d) And Christe comminge naturally of the Kinges line from Dauid, in the tribe of Iuda, yee esteemed that honour nothinge in respect of that he was a Priestle according to the order of Melchisedech. Therefore Melchisedech also beinge bothe Priestle and Kinge, was not yet saide to be the figure of Christe so mutche concerninge his Kingdome, as his Priesthoode. For Dauid saide of Christe, *Thou art a Priestle for euer after the order of Melchisedech*. As for his kingdome, it was included in his Priestles office. And therefore when wee speake of Christes Kingdome, though in euery respect he be the very Kinge in dede of al Kinges, and Lord of al Lordes: yet wee assigne it also to haue benne vpon the Crosse, *Vbi regnauit a ligno Deu*, where God reigned from the woode. Accordinge to the same meaninge, whereas the people of Israel were called *Regnum Sacerdotale*, a Priestly Kingdome. S. Peter writinge to the Christians, tourned the order of the wordes, callinge the Church of Christe, *Sacerdotium Regale*, a Kingely Priesthoode. Moses was both a Priestle and a Ciuile Gouvernoure, as beinge a figure of Christe, who ioyned bothe together, makinge the tribe of Iuda, which was before kingly, now also to be priestly. Therefore S. Augustine vpon those wordes of Dauid,

psal. 109.

Infirmis in
Dial. scilicet
Ius Tryph.
Exed. 19.
1. 1. et. 1.

of David, Moses and Aaron are in the number of his Priestess: concludeth, that Moses must needs have ben a Priest. For (saith he) if he were not a Priest, what was he? *Nunquid maior Sacerdos esse potuit*, could he be greater then a Priest? As who shoulde saie, there is no greater dignitie then Priesthoode. And, seeing Moses had the greatest dignitie, for he ruled al, and consecrated Aaron highe Bishop, and his sonnes Priestess, therefore him selfe muste needs have benne a Priest. (e) Nowe if Moses were bothe, and his chiefe office was priesthoode, it foloweth by that example, that the Pope may rule temporally, but not that a Kinge may rule spirituallly. This you have gained nothinge by this example.

The Bishop of Sarisbury.

Here, M. Hardinge hath many greate wordes of smal weight. The final Conclusion, and Summa Summarum is this: The Pope must needs be a Kinge. And that he proueth, as his manner is, by these his ponge vntied Arguments: Moses beinge a Civile Magistrate, or a Prince, had also the Priesthoode, and was a Priest: Ergo, saith he, The Pope, beinge a Priest, muste have also the Kingdome, and be a Kinge. And thus he percereth these maters handsomely together, as though, what so euer were once in Moses, ought of necessitie to be also in the Pope. But if a man shoulde desire him to proue his Argumente, and to make it good, and to shewe vs, howe these pieces may be framed together, I thinke he woulde be faine to take a daie. Firste, whether Moses were a Priest, or no, it is not certaine. As so; that M. Hardinge allegeth these wordes of David, Moses, & Aaron in *Sacerdotibus eius*, he him selfe wel knoweth, that the Hebrewe worde there, is doubtfull, and signifieth as wel a Prince, as a Priest. And therefore we cannot necessarily conclude by force of these wordes, that Moses was a Priest: It is sufficiente, that he was the Captaine, and Prince, and had the leadinge of the people.

S. Hierome saith, *Vnus Legis, alter Sacerdotij Regulam tenuit*: Moses holde the rule of the Lawe: Aaron the rule of Priesthoode.

Againe he saith, *Emisit ante faciem nostram Moysen Spiritualem Legem, & Aaron Magnam Sacerdotem*: God sente out before our faces, Moses (not as the Priest, but as) the Spiritual Lawe, and Aaron the greates Priest. Cuen Hugo, your owne Doctoure, touching the same wordes, saith thus, Moses eth, &c. *Nonwithstanding* Moses were not a Priest, yet because he halowed the peoples prayers, &c. he was called a Priest. For in the Scriptures Great, and Noble menne are called by the name of Priestess.

Here your Hugo telleth you, that, notwithstandinge Moses were called a Priest, yet in dede he was no Priest.

Who so listeth to knowe moze hereof, let him reade Sanctus Pagninus, David Kimchi, Nicolaus Lira, &c.

But if Moses in dede were a Priest, ye shoulde doe wel, M. Hardinge, to resolve vs, first, whether he were a Priest bozne, or els afterwarde made a Priest. A Priest bozne, I trowe, ye wil not saie. If ye saie, he was afterwarde made a Priest, then telle vs, by what Bishop, or other Creature was he Consecrated? At whose handes receiued he Authoritie? When, where, and to what purpose? What Priestlike Apparel euer ware he? Or in what Office, or Ministerie euer shewed he him selfe to be a Priest? S. Paule saith, *A Priest is appointed to offer up Oblations, and Sacrifices for sinne*. What Oblations, or Sacrifices for sinne, can ye tel vs, that Moses offered? If he were neither bozne a Priest, nor made a Priest, nor euer knownen by office to be a Priest, then was he, I trowe, a very strange Priest.

If Moses were the Highest Priest, and Heade of the Church, and Aaron likewise the Highest Priest, and in so mutche the Heade of the Church too, as wel as he, then had the Church two Highest Bishops, and twoo Heades bothe together: Which thinge were monstrous, not onely in speache, but also in Nature.

Notwithstandinge, whether Moses at any one certaine time were a Priest, or no, it is a mater not woorthy the strivinge. Certaine it is, that before the Lawe was written, Kinges, and Princes, and the beste bozne, and Enheritours, and the wealthiest of the people, were euer Priestess. S. Hierome saith, *Hebraei tradunt, Primogenitos luncos Officio Sacerdotum, & habuisse Vestimentum Sacerdotale: quo indunt, Deo Victimae offerebant, antequam Aaron in Sacerdotium eligeretur*: The Hebrewe Rabbines

is a King. Moses a Priest.

(d) Substantial Arguments, whereby to proue the pope a Kinge.

*Discreetly reasoned. For al this pertaineth as wel to a simple priest, as to the Pope.

(e) Louania Logique.

Moses consecrated Aaron: Ergo, the Pope is a Kinge.

Psal. 98.

Hiero. in Psal. 98.

Hie. in Michaeam. ca. 6.

Hugo. in Psal. 98.

Hebra. 3.

Hie. in Q. n. Hebraicis in Genesim.

Lil. sig.

saie,

saie, that the First borne children did the office of the Priestes, and had the Priestlike Apparel, and wearinge the same, offered up their Sacrifices vnto God, vntill the time, that Aaron was chosen into the Priestthoode.

*Hierry. in
Iob. ca. 3.
Dis. de ca-
pitu. in Gloss.
Inter alia
Gelasij.*

Againe he saithe, Priuilegium Offerendi Primogenitis, vel Maximè Regibus debebatur: The Priuilege of offeringe vp Sacrifices, was deuote to the First borne of the children, but moste of al vnto Kinges. The Heathen Romane Emperoures, as Vespasianus, Traianus, and others, to encrease their Maiestie towards their Subiectes, beside the State of the Empire, would also be called Pontifices Maximi.

Exod. 29.

Therefore wee will graunt M. Hardinge, seeinge he hath taken so mutche paines, aboute a mater not worthy so longe talke, that Moses for some litle shorte time bare the Office of a Prieste. Yet neuerthelesse had he no Ordinarie Priestthoode: neither was he a Prieste more, then for the space of two, or thre houres, onely vntill he had consecrated Aaron, and his children, and no longer. Immediately afterwarde, at this greate Priestthoode was at an ende. One of your owne Doctours, M. Hardinge, saithe thus, Non erant Sacerdotes Legales, Dignitate, & Officio, sicut Aaron: Licet in necessitate, & propter Defectum Sacerdotum, aliquos actus Sacerdotum fecerint: vt, quod Moses inunxit Aaron: propter quod Moses Sacerdos dicitur in Psalmis: The First borne were not Priestes in Office, and dignitie, as Aaron was: notwithstanding, in case of necessitie, and for lacke of Priestes, they did some parte of the Priestes Office: as, that Moses annointed, or consecrated Aaron: for whiche thinge Moses in the Psalmie is called a Prieste.

*Iohan. de Pa-
risys. ca. 18.*

This, M. Hardinge, is that Foundation, that must needs beare the burthen of your whole Church of Rome. The Pope (ye saie) maie be a Kinge, because Moses was bothe Prince, and Prieste. And yet your owne felowes saie, Moses by office and dignitie, was neuer Prieste. Ye saie, The Pope, beinge a Bishop, maie be a Kinge: but of the other side, a Kinge maie in no wise be a Bishop. And thus, either unwittingly, or willingly, ye seeme to ouerthrowe your owne Position. For the example that ye grounds vpon, of Aaron, and Moses, proueth quite the contrarie. For Moses beinge a Prince, did also the Office of a Bishop. But Aaron, beinge a Bishop, did neuer the Office of a Prince. Therefore hereof ye mighte better conclude, that a Prince maie be a Bishop: But a Bishop maie not be a Kinge. Streighten your boltes therefore, M. Hardinge and haue them better, before ye so sodainely, I wil not saie, so rudely, shote them from you.

*Extra. De
Maiorita et
Obed Solim,
In Glossa. H
Ioan. de Pa.
De potesta.
Regia &
Papa. ca. 5.*

Neuerthelesse, ye saie, The Priestthoode whiche is the more, maie containe the Kingdome beinge the lesse. In this respecte, I trowe, your Glose, as it is saide before, compareth the Pope to the Sunne, and the Emperour to the Moone: and findeth out substantially by god Geometrical Propozition, that the Pope is inke seuen and fiftie times greater, then the Emperoure. Howe be it, your owne Doctours saie, as I haue likewise scrued before, that in the Lawe of Moses, the Prince was greater then the Prieste.

I. Ioh. 18.

That ye allege of the Priestthoode, and Kingdome of Christe serueth you to smal purpose. For, I beseeche you, what Crowne, what Scepter, what Suerde bare Christe? What Ecclesiastical priestthoode had he, but onely, that he executed vpon the Crosse? Merily touchinge any Ciuile thewe, or outwarde Office, as he was no Kinge. so was he no Prieste. As he saide, My Kingdome is not of this worlde. So mighte he also haue saide, My Priestthoode is not of this worlde. Otherwise, he was bothe Kinge, and Prieste, in power, and vertue: but not apparently in outwarde Office.

*Ioan. de Pa.
De Potesta.
Papa. cap. 3.*

One of your felowes saithe thus, Pater, per Sanctos Expositores, quod Christus non habuit in Temporalibus, Autoritatem, vel Iudicium. Sed dare potuit, & dare habuit Virtutis Documentum: It appeareth by the Holy Expositours, that Christe had neither Authoritie, nor Iudgemente in thinges Temporal. But he coulde bothe geue, and had to geue Instructions of Vertue.

1. Pet. 2.

As for these two wordes of S. Peter, Yee are a Kingely Priestthoode, ye woulde not haue alleged them to this purpose, had ye not benne in your dreame. For thinke you, that S. Peter called the whole Body of the Church of Christe, a Kingely priestthoode, for that you fanie your Pope to be together both Prieste, and Kinge? Certainly, the Church of God was a Kingely priestthoode, before either the Church of Rome was a Church, or the Pope of Rome was a Pope. Ye shoulde haue sonne care to deale

more reuerently with the VVoorde of God: For it is holy. S. Peters meaning is this, that euery faithful Christian man is now, after a Spiritual, or Cholly meaninge, not onely a Priest, but also a King: and therefore he calleth the whole Church, a Kingly Priesthoode. Tertullian saith thus, Nonne & Laici Sacerdotes sumus? Reg-
num quoque nos, & Sacerdotes Deo, & Patri suo fecit: And wee, that be Laiemenne, are
wee not Priestes? Truly Christe hath made euen vs a Kingdome, and Priestes vnto his
Father. S. Augustine saith, Hoc Sacerdotio Regali consecrantur omnes pertinentes ad
Corpus Christi, Summi, & Veri Principis Sacerdotum: With this Roial Priesthoode, al
they are consecrate, that pertaine to the Body of Christe, whiche is the high, and true Prince of
Priestes. Againe he saith, Omnes sunt Sacerdotes, quia membra sunt vnus Sacerdotis: Al
be Priestes, because they are the Members of one Priest. S. Ambrose saith, Omnes
Filij Ecclesie Sacerdotes sunt: Al the Children of the Church be Priestes.

S. Hierome saith, Genus Sacerdotale, & Regale sumus omnes, qui Baptizati in Chri-
sto, Christi censemur Nomine: Al wee are that Priestly, and Kingly kintred, that beeing
Baptized in Christe, are called Christians by the name of Christe.

Christostome saith, Et tu in Baptismo, & Rex efficeris, & Sacerdos, & Propheta: Euen
thou in thy Baptisme arte made bothe a Kinge, and a Priest, and a Prophete.

Nowe, M. Hardinge, let vs take the viewe of your Priestly Conclusions.

Moses once did one parts of the Bishoppes Office, in Consecratinge Aaron and his
Chilozen: and that, neuer at any time els, neither after, nor befoze. Againe, Christe
hath a Spiritual Priesthoode, and a Spiritual Kingdome: for otherwise Ordinarie
Priesthoode, and Earthly Kingdome he had none. Againe: S. Peter calleth the whole
Church of Christe, a Kingly priesthoode: Ergo, saie you, The Pope beareth bothe the
Office of a Priest, and also the Righte and State of an earthly Kinge.

To dissemble al other the sonde weakenesse of these folies, Christe him selfe saith
to the Pope, and to al other Priestes, and Bishoppes: The kinges of Nations rule ouer
them: and they that are greates, exercise Authoritie ouer the people: But it shal not be so
amongest you.

S. Cyprian saith, as he is alleged by Gracian, Christus a Sibus proprijs, & Dignitati-
bus distinctis officia Potestatis vtriusque discreuit: Christe by seueral dueties, and distinct
honoures, hath set a difference betweene the offices of bothe Powers.

Whereupon your owne Glose saith, Hic est Argumentum, quod Papa non habet
vtrunque Gladium: Here is a good Argumente, that the Pope hath not bothe Swerdes, that
is to saie, that the Pope is not bothe Priest, and Kinge.

S. Bernarde saith thus vnto Pope Eugenius, Planum est, quod Apostolis interdic-
tur Dominatus. Ergo tu tibi usurpare aude, aut Dominans Apostolatam, aut Apostolicus
Dominatum. Plane ab alter vtro prohiberis. Si vtrunq; similiter habere vis, perdes vtrun-
que. Alioqui ne te putes exceptum illorum numero, de quibus conqueritur Dominus, di-
cens ipsi regnauerunt, & non ex me: It is plaine, that Temporal Dominion is forbidden
the Apostles. N owe therefore, thou, beinge Pope, dare to usurpe, either the Apostleship, being
a Prince: or the princehoode, beinge the Successoure of the Apostles. Doubtlesse from the
one of them thou arte forbidden. If thou wilt indifferently haue bothe, thou shalt loose bothe.
Otherwise thinke not, thou canst be excepted from the number of them, of whom the Lorde
complains, They haue made them selues kinges, and not by mee.

Concerning the place of S. Peter, one of your companie saith, it nothinge furthe-
reth the Popes Kingdome. Thus he saith, Sacerdotium dicitur Regale, a Regno, non
huius mundi, sed Caeli: S. Peter calleth vs a Kingly priesthoode, of the kingdome of Hea-
uen, not of the kingdome of this worlde. Yet is this the selfe same kingdome, that the
Pope craueth, and that by the authoritie of S. Peter.

Notwithstandinge one of your Louanian companie, hath sente vs home lately
other newes from Louaine. His wordes be these: Vos estis Regale Sacerdotium,
You are a kingly priesthoode: as who should saie, the priesthoode befoze, was not kingly,
for that then Kinges ruled our Priestes: But nowe is the priesthoode kingly, for that so we
subiecte euen kinges themselues. Thus, onlesse your Priestes make rule kinges and prin-
ces, and al the worlde at their pleasure, ye thinke, they haue no Kingly priesthoode.

In the

*Cont. Mae.
Citatur ab
Ilyrico inter
Testes Ve-
rita. Pa. 121.*

In the Councel, holden at Macra in France, it is witten thus: Solus Dominus noster Iesus Christus vera fieri potuit & Rex, & Sacerdos. Post incarnationem vero, & Resurrectionem, & Ascensionem eius in Caelum, nec Rex Pontificis Dignitatem: nec Pontifex Regnam Potestatem sibi usurpare praesumpsit: Only our Lorde Iesus Christe might truly be bothe Prieste, and Kinge. But since his Incarnation, and Resurrection, and Ascension into Heauen, neither hath the Kinge presumed to take upon him the dignitie, or office of a Bishop, nor hath the Bishop presumed to usurpe the power and maiesty of a Kinge.

To be shorte, M. Hardinge, we saie not, as you so often, and so vntruly haue reported of vs, that the Kinge maie in any wise execute the Bishoppes Office. But thus we saie, and bicause it is true, therefore we saie it: The King maie lawfully correct, and chastice the negligence, and falsehed of the Bishoppe: and that in so doinge, he dothe onely his owne office, and not the Bishoppes.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 6.

Iosua. ca. 1.

Iosua also, though he were none other, then a Ciuile Magistrate, yet as soone as he was chosen by God, and sette as a Ruler ouer the people, he receiued Commandementes, specially touching Religion, and the Seruice of God.

M. Hardinge.

There is no doubt, but Iosue receiued commission, and commandment to worship God, but none to rule Priestes in spiritual maters. Yea rather he was commanded to goe forth, and come in at the voice and woordes of Eleazarus the Highe Prieste, he and al the children of Israel. Doe not these meane proue their maters handsomely?

The Bishop of Sarisbury.

Iosua was commanded to goe in, & out, and to be directed by the voice of Eleazarus the Highe Prieste. Therefore (ye saie) in spiritual causes, the Priestes maie not be controlled by the Prince. We deliuer out your Argumentes, M. Hardinge, befoze they be readie. These peeces woulde haue benne better tied together.

Though the Prince be commaunded to heare the Prieste, yet if the Prieste be negligent, or deceiue the people, he maie by his Ordinarie authoritie controlle the Prieste.

Exod. 32.

When Aaron the Highe Prieste had consented to the makinge, and worshipping of the golden Calfe, Moies, beinge then the Temporal Prince, rebuked him sharply vnto his face: and in so doinge, did not the Bishoppes office, but onely his owne. As touching Iosua, whom ye woulde saie haue restrained from al Ecclesiastical Causes, he caused the people to be circumcised: He caused Altars for their bloudy sacrifices to be reiected: He caused the Priestes to make their sacrifices: He caused the Deuteronomie to be witten in stoanes: He caused both the Blessinges, and the Curses of God to be pronounced: He spake openly to the people, and frated them from Idolatrie. Al these were cases, not of Ciuile pollicie, but of Religion. S. Augustine saith, In hoc Reges Deo seruiunt, sicut eis Diuinitus praecipitur, in quantum sunt Reges, si in suo Regno bona iubeant, mala prohibeant: non solum quae pertinent ad humanam Societatem, verum etiam, quae ad diuinam Religionem: Herein Kinges serue God as it is commanded them from aboue, in that they be Kinges, if within their kingdome they commaunde good thinges, and forbidde euil: not onely in thinges pertaininge to humaine Felowshippe, or Ciuile Order, but also in thinges pertaininge to Goddes Religion. We maie see therefore, M. Hardinge, how handsomely so euer we proue our maters, that of your parte, hitherto they are but vnhandsomely, and courselv answered.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Diuision. 7.

1. Paral. 13.

King David, when the whole Religion of God was altogether brought out of frame by wicked Kinge Saule, brought home againe the Arke of God, that is to saie, he restored Religion againe: and was not onely amongst them him selfe, as a Counsellor, and furtherer of the woorkes, but he appointed also Hymnes, & Psalmes, put in order the companies, and was the onely doer in setting forth that whole Sollemne Triumphe,

King Dauid ordereth matters in Religion.

Triumphe, and in effecte ruled the Priestles.

M. Hardinge.

As Dauid restored al thinges to good order, after the euill kinge Saule, so did Queene Mary redresse disorders before committed. But as Queene Mary did it by the consente of Priestles, so kinge Dauid in Priestly matters called for Sadoch, and Abiathar. In deede Dauid passed other Princes herein because he had the gifte of Prophesie, whereby he wrote Psalmes, which to this daie wee singe. But al this maketh nothinge to proue him iudge in Spiritual matters. He did not vsurpe the authoritie to Sacrifice, to discerne the Lepre, and to doo the like thinges of Priestly charge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Kinge Dauid (ye saie) restored Religion by meane of the Priestles. **say, verily, M. Hardinge:** for by meane of the Priestles, the Religion utterly was decayed. Therefore ye spoile that moste Noble Prince of his worthy praises: and giue them to others, that neuer deserved them. The Holy Tabernacle was broken, and losse: the Arke of God was heapt, not in the Temple, but in a private mannes house: the people had no Common place to resort vnto, to heare Goddes will: they had eche man his owne private Chapel in their Hilles, and Groaues. And al this was donne by the slothfulness and negligence of the Bishoppes.

Dauid therefore called the Bishoppes, and Priestles together: He shewed them, in what sorte the Religion of God was defaced: he willed them to bring the Arke into Sion: He was presente him selfe: He appointed, and ordered the whole Triumphe: He assigned, which of the Leuites, and in what order they shoulde serue before the Arke: He allotted Aarons Children, which were the Priestles, to walke eche man in his seuerall office.

So likewise it is written of kinge Salomon, touching the same: Kinge Salomon accordinge to the Decree, and order of his father Dauid, appointed the offices of the Priestles in their seuerall Ministeries, and the Leuites, eche man in his Order: that they shoulde praise God, and minister before the Priestles. For so Dauid the man of God had commanded. Likewise it is written of kinge Iosaphat: He appointed, and ordered the Leuites and Priestles.

Thus then did these Godly Princes: and thus doinge, they vsurped not the Bishoppes Office, but onely did that, they lawfully mighte do, and appertained wholly vnto them selues.

Where ye saie, Dauid was a Prophete, and not onely a Kinge, as though he had donne these thinges by vertue of his prophesie, and not by the righte of his Princely Power, this maye shifte is very simple. For notwithstandinge Kinge Dauid were a Prophete, yet Kinge Iosaphat, and other Princes, that did the like, were no Prophetes: neither do we reade of any other Prophete, that euer attempted to do the like: nor did Dauid these thinges, as a Prophete, but as a Kinge.

The Apologie. Cap. 11. Diuision. 3.

Kinge Salomon builde vnto the Lorde the Temple, which his father Dauid had but purposed in his minde to doo: and after the finishinge thereof, he made a godly Oration to the people concerning Religion, and the Seruice of God: He afterwarde displaced Abiathar the Priestle, and sette Sadok in his place.

M. Hardinge.

Salomons buildinge of the Temple, and prayinge therein proueth no Supremacie ouer the Priestles in Spiritual thinges. His puttinge of Abiathar out of his dignitie and roome, was like to that queene Mary did to Cranmre. Whom she might haue removed for treason, as Salomon laide the like to Abiathar: yet she chose rather to burne him for Heresie. But this proueth onely an outward execution of iustice, without any preiudice to the Substance of our question, Which is, whether a Temporal Prince maie determine the causes of Religion, or no.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Deposinge of Abiathar, ye saie, was onely the execution of outward Justice: like to that, Queene Marie did to Doctoure Cranmre the Archbishop of Canturburie. Wherein ye shewe youre selfe to be muche ouersene. For these comparisons are in no wise like, Salomon, by his Princely Authoritie, lawfully Deposed the

*An il compassion. For that the one set vp, the other pluckt downe. A simple shifte God wrote. For other kinges, that did the like, were no Prophetes.

1. Paral. 16.

1. Paral. 24.

2. Paral. 3.

2. Paral. 19.

2. Paral. 3.

3. Reg. 3.

*Vnto the For what Superiour Bishoppes authoritie vied Salomon in the Deposition of Abiathar?

*Vnto the manifeste. Reade the Answer.

King Salomon
iudgeth in
Spiritual
cases.

680

The Defense of the Apologie of the

2. Paral. 5.
6. 7. 8.

2. Paral. 8.

the Highe Prieste Abiathar: But Queene Mary Deposed not, nor coulde shee by your Canonnes lawfully Depose the Archbishop of Canturburie: nor do you thinke it in any case lawful, that a Bishop shoulde be Deposed by a Prince. For, Deposition (ye saie) is a Spirituall punishement, and onely belongeth vnto a Bishop. And your Lavve saith, Eius est Destituere, cuius est Instituire: Hee maie depose a Priest, that hath the Authoritie to place a Priest. Therefore these two Princes dwinges were not like. But touchinge the Highe Prieste Abiathar, King Salomon Summoned him to appeare before him: King Salomon saie in Iudgemente, and hearde the Accusations, wherewith he was charged: King Salomon pronounced Sentence against him: King Salomon Deposed him: King Salomon appointed Sadoch to succede him. If al this be not sufficient, ever and besides these thinges, King Salomon placed the Arke of God: King Salomon Sanctified, and Halowed the Temple: King Salomon offered up burnt Sacrifice: King Salomon directed, and ordered the Priestes in their severall Offices: King Salomon blessed the whole people. And as it is writen, The Priestes, and Levites leaue nothinge undone, of al, that was commanded them by the Kings. If these cases be not Spiritual, telle vs then, what cases maie be allowed for Spiritual? Thus the Godly King Salomon thoughte it lawful for him to deale, not onely in maters of Temporal Governemente, but also in Ecclesiastical or Spiritual cases of Religion. Therefore, M. Hardinge, it is but a toie, that ye telle vs of the Execution of outwarde iustice.

Concerninge that mosse Craue, and Godly, and Learned father, the Archbishop of Canturburie, with whom ye did, what so ever your pleasure was, God graunt, his Bloude be neuer required at your handes.

The Apologie. Cap. 11. Division. 9.

3. Paral. 29.

4. Reg. 18.

After this, when the Temple of God was in shamefull wise polluted throughe the naughtinesse, and negligence of the Priestes, King Ezechias commaunded the same to be cleansed from the rubble and filthe, the Priestes to light by Candles, to burne Incense, and to doo their Divine Service, according to the Olde, and allowed Order: The same King also commaunded the brasen Serpente whiche then the people wickedly woozhipped, to be taken downe, and beaten to powder.

M. Hardinge.

*Vnto the For the Priestes did nothinge, but against their willes. Reade the answer.

2. Paral. 29.

2. Paral. 30.

Howe often shal I telle you, that this prouethe no more, but that good Kinges doo good dedes, maintaininge true Religion, and pul downe the false, as the Constable of Fraunce burned the Pulpites of the Huguenotes in Parite? But these factes proue not, that Kinges, and Constables be iudges of Religion, whiche is good, and whiche is euil: whiche true, whiche false. For therein they followe the iudgements and aduise of Priestes, and Prophetes, who be aboute them as Esaias was at hande with good King Ezechias to directe his dooings: and so was Elizeus with King Iehu.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

King Ezechias (ye saie) and other kinges folowed the aduice and iudgement of the Priestes and Prophetes. This tale, M. Hardinge, is not onely unlikely, but also untrue. For ye know, that Esaias and Elizeus notwithstandinge they were the Prophetes of God, yet were they neither Priestes, nor Bishoppes nor had any manner of Ordinarie Administration in the Church. The Bishoppes and Priestes, of whome ye speake, had disordered, and wasted Goddes whole Religion. The Holy place of God was full of filthinesse: The Gates of the Temple were shutte by, that no man might enter in: The people had tourned awaie their faces from the Tabernacle of the Lorde: There was no Incense: There was no Sacrifice. Al these thinges had happened throughe the negligence, and wickednesse of the Priestes. In the Olde Latine texte it is writen thus, sacerdotes & Leuitae tandem Sanctificati obtulerunt Holocausta: The Priestes, and Levites, at the laste, or with muche adoe, were Sanctified, and offered up Sacrifices. Upon whiche place the Later Translation saith thus, Sacerdotes, & Leuitae pudore suffusi, Sanctificauerunt se: The Priestes, and Levites, even for very shame, Sanctified them selves. So ready were they, to calle vpon, and to further the kinge in his godly purpose.

They

helde backe, what they could, and yalbed to nothinge, but with mutche a doo, and for very shame. They did nothinge, but by the Kinges Commaundements: and made him a reckeninge of theire doinges.

Do we be it, perhappes ye wil discharge this whole mater with one Ordinarie excuse, and telle vs, that al these were but Tempozal Cases.

The Apologie. Cap. 11. Division. 39.

King Iehosaphat ouerthrewe, and vtterly made awaie the Hille Aultars, and Groaues, wherby he saue Goddes Honour hindered, and the people holden backe with Priuate Superstition from the ordinarie Temple, which was at Ierusalem: whereto they shoulde by order haue resorted yeerely from euery parte of the Realme.

M. Hardinge.

Ye put vs in minde to consider, howe that your selues are those Priuate Hille Aultars, and darke Groaues. For ye be they, that stoppe the people from the common Temple of Christendome the Catholike Church: out of whiche is no Saluation, the heade whereof sitteth in Peters Chaire at Rome. For settings or ler both in matters of Common Weale, and others, Iehosaphat saide thus, concerninge Religion: *Amarias Sacerdos & Pontifex vester in ijs, que ad Deum pertinent, praesidebit*: Amarias the Priest and High Bishop for such matters as pertaine to God, he shal be heade ouer you.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

At this whole mater, touchinge as wel Kinge Iehosaphat, as also Amarias the Highe Priest, is answered in that is paste before.

The Apologie, Cap. 11. Division. 1.

King Iosias with greate diligence put the Priestes, and Bishoppes in minde of their dueties: Kinge Iohas bridled the Riot, and Arrogancie of the Priestes: Iehu put to death the wicked Prophetes.

M. Hardinge.

The puttinge of Priestes, and Bishoppes in minde of their duetie, is not a Supremacie in determining Ecclesiastical causes. And whereas you saie, that Kinge Iohas bridled the riot, and arrogancie of the Priestes, if it were so, it was wel donne. But I finde not thole woordes in the texte. Concerninge that Iehu did, it is a more temporal office, to put false Preachers, and Heretikes to death. Neither can it belonge to Priestes, oules they haue also ciuil iurisdiction. Muche lesse doth that acte proue, that Kinges be Supreme heades of the Church, and ought to be iudges in controuersies and questions of Religion.

The Bishop of Sarisburie

Concerninge the ffole of Kinge Iohas, I repute me to that is witten of him in the Booke of Kinges. He requested the Oblations of the people, which the Priestes had bestowed lewdely, and wantonly vpon themselves, and by his owne Authoritie, turned the same to the Reparations of the Temple. Of King Iosias, it is witten thus, Constituit Iosias Sacerdote, in officijs suis: Kinge Iosias appointed the Priestes to minister in their seuerall offices. And againe, Mundauit Iudam, & Hierusalem ab Excelsis, & Lucis: Kinge Iosias cleansed, and ridde Iuda, and Hierusalem from their Hille Aultars, and their Groaues.

But ye wil saie, He did al thinges by the discretion of the Priestes, and Bishoppes. This thinge in deede is necessarie, while the Priestes, and Bishoppes be Learned, and Godly. But Kinge Iosias did farre otherwise: for he sente the Bishop him selfe vnto Olda the Prophetesse, to learne the Discretion, and Judgemente of a VVoman: and so was directed in matters of Higheste Religion by a VVoman, and not by a Priest.

These Examples be so manifest, that one of youre felowes of Louaine is faine thus to excuse the mater, by ouer muche Antiquitie. If we woulde in these daies (saith he) vse in al pointes the Examples of the Olde Lawe, there woulde folowe an huge number of in. conueniencies. It is no good reason, to saie, that therefore oure Kinges nowe a daies muste haue the like Authoritie. Thus saith he, As though the Princes right were nowe abated, and altered, as the Ceremonies of the Lawe: and were otherwise nowe, then it was before: As if the Comminge of Christe into the worlde, and the Preachinge of the Gospel, had pouposely benne to reppresse and pulle downe the Seate of Kinges.

M. m.

The

1. Paral. 17.

4. Reg. 11.

4. Reg. 10.

ye might haue founde it 4. Reg. cap. 12. But he iudged them, and condemned them for false prophets. This was no mere Temporal Office.

4. Reg. 12. 2. Paralipo. 35.

4. Reg. 21.

Dorm. Fol. 37 Dorm. Fol. 39

M. Hardinge.

Deur. 19

3. Parallel

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

*Aristo. Po-
lyticor. lib. 3.
Socrat. lib. 5.
In Proæmio.
τὰ τῆς Εὐκλει-
δούς πρόγραμμα
τα ἡρηκτο
ἐξ αὐτῶν. τῇ
αὐτῶν γνώμῃ*

30

In dede, in that the Priest doth his Office, in that he either openeth Gods Vvil, or declareth his thzeates, or rebuketh sinne, or Excommunicateth, and cutteth of a deade member from the Body, so farre soe the Prince, be he neuer so mightye, is inferiour vnto him. But in this respect the Prince is inferioure, not onely to the Pope or Bishop, but also to any other simple Prieste: And the Pope him selfe, in this respect, is inferioure to his Confessoure, be he neuer so poore a Prieste. So the Emperoure Constantinus was wonte to saie to the Godly Bishoppes: *Be you Bishoppes within the Church: and I wilbe Bishop without.* But if the Bishop had benne faulty, either in Negligence, or in Falsehedde, whether he had ben within the Church, or abzoade, he was alwaies to be controlled by the Prince.

Per saie, When the Highe Prieste had geuen Sentence, the Prince might see the execution thereof to be donne. And thus ye make the Emperoure the Popes man, to putte his Sentence in Execution. So Pope Bonifacius. 8. telleth you, *Materialis Gladius exercendus est manu Regum, & Militum, sed ad nutum, & Patientiam Sacerdotis: The Temporal Swerde muste be drawne by the hande of Kinges, and Souldiers: but at the becke, and sufferance of the Prieste.*

But, I beseeche you, at whos becke did Kinge Salomon Depose Abiathar the Highe Prieste? At whose becke did Iosias, and other Godly Princes, of whom we haue saide befoze, redresse the Religion of God, which the Priestes so shamefully had decayed? At whose becke did they rebuke the carelesse negligence of the Priestes? Verily, one of your owne Doctours saith, *In Veteri Lege Sacerdotes, qui Reges inungebant, indubitanter Regibus subdebantur: In the Olde Lawe, the Bishoppes, that annointed the Kinges, out of doubt were subiectes vnto the Kinges.*

And S. Augustine saith, *Quando Imperatores Veritatem tenent, & ipsa Veritate contra Errorem iubent, quilibet illud contempserit, ipse sibi iudicium acquirit. Nam & inter homines penas luit, & apud Deum frontem non habebit, qui hoc facere noluit, quod ei per Cor Regis ipsa Veritas iussit: When the Emperoure holdeth the Truth, and by force of the same Truth geueth out Lawes, and Proclamations against Erroure, who so euer despiseth the same, procureth iudgement againste him selfe. For he shalbe punished before menne, and before God he shal haue no face, that refused to doo that thing, that the Trueth it selfe, throughe the harte of the Prince, hath commanded him.*

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Diuision. 2.

The Christian Emperours in the old time, appointed the Councelles of the Bishoppes. Constantine called the Council at Nice: Theodosius the firste, called the Council at Constantinople: Theodosius the second, called the Council at Ephesus: Martian called the Council at Chalcedon.

M. Harding.

The callinge, or summoninge of Councelles maie be donne (a) either by waie of auctoritie, whiche the caller him selfe hath: or by waie of auctoritie, whiche he taketh of an other. If Constantine, the two Theodosians, and Marcan called the foure firste generall Councelles by their auctoritie only, then were they no general Councelles. Neither coulde their decrees binde the whole worlde. For although they were greate Emperours, yet was not the whole Christian worlde vnder them. And therefore those Christian Bishoppes, who liued in Persia, in Ethiopia, in Scotlande, in Seythia, or in any other lande not subiecte to the Emperoure, were neither bounde to comie, nor bounde to obey the Lawes made by them, who were not their Superiours. But if it be farre from reason, that a general Council shoulde not binde al Bishoppes and al Christians, it is also farre from reason, to saie, that Emperours called general Councelles by their owne onely auctoritie. In dede they called them by the assente of the Bishop of Rome. (b) Who beinge the general Shephearde of Christs flocke, and therefore also of al Bishoppes, mighte commaunde (c) all his Sheepe to come together, excepte they were reasonably to be excused: and they were bounde to heare his voice, and to obey his decree. So that, although ye proued the Emperours to haue summoned and called the foure firste Councelles, yet were ye not able to proue, they did it (d) withoute the assente of the Bishoppes of Rome, whiche for the time saie in Peters Chaire. And by the force of that assente the dede muste take effecte. And this muche generally.

Neue to proue vnto you, that (e) S. Syluester assented to the callinge of the firste Council, at Nice, it is to be considered, that he onely hath auctoritie to ratifie, who hath auctoritie to commaunde, and to geue

Mmm.ij.

assente

(d) vnto truth. for the Emperoure may summons Councels wherther the rope wil, or no.
(e) vnto truth. for Syluester was dead longe before the summoning of the Council.

(a) A discret distinction, as it the Emperoure receiued his Auctoritie from the Pope.
(b) Vnto truth manifest, as it shal appere.
(c) All the Bishoppes throughe out the whole worlde are the Popes Sheepe.

(g) vnturth im-
pudente. For
they had the
fourthe place in
the Council, &
subscribed after
Eustathius.

(h) vnturth: on-
lesse a dead mā
maie Summon
Counclles.

(i) by the Coun-
sel of the empe-
roure, or of his
mother, wisely:
He woteth not
whether. And
yet the Empe-
rours Mother
was ded before
Sozom. Lib. 2.

Ca. 2. and 3.

(k) Ful Clerkly
proued. For
Ruffinus saith,
The Emperour
herein soloued
the aduice of a-
lexander the Bi-
shop of Alexan-
dria, &c. But of
the Pope there
is no mention.

(l) Vnturthe
fondly forged.
For Syluester
was dead long
before.

(m) Vnturthe,
standinge in
plaine corrup-
tion of the
woordes. See
the Answer.

(n) So had sun-
drie other Bi-
shoppes.

(o) Other Bi-
shoppes like-
wise gaue their
Consentes, and
Confirmed &c.
Yet had they
no Authoritie
to Summone
Counclles.

(p) Vnturthe
manifest. For
Theodosius the
Emperoure ex-
pressely deter-
mined, what
should be hel-
den for Chri-
stian Faith.

assente and strength from the beginninge. For none other difference is betwene commaunding, assen-
tiage, auctorizinge, and ratifyinge, but that assenting is common to them al, commaunding is a thinge
that goeth before the faile, auctorizinge is the makinge of a thinge good by present agreeing to it, whiles
it is donne, ratifyinge is the allowiage of it, when it is donne. If then I saye, both that the Pope did rati-
fie the callinge of the general Counclles, and auctorize them: I shewe much more that he assented to the
callinge of them. The auctorizinge is proued, by reason he sente his legates to euery of them. As (l) S. Syl-
uester sent Osius Cordubensis of the prouince of Spaine vnto Nice, with Victor & Vincentius, Priests of the
Cittie of Rome. Of which the laste two beinge then selues no Bishoppes, yet for that they were Legates
of the chiefe Bishop, did as (g) the first place put vnto the decrees of that Council their consent and names,
writinge after this sorte: *Pro venerabili viro Papa & Episcopo nostro Syluestro subscripsimus*.
We haue subscribed for the reuerende man our Pope and Bishop Syluester. And at the very (h) same time,
that the general Council was kepte at Nice, S. Syluester called an other Council in Rome, at the which
two hundred seuentie and five Bishoppes were assembled. And it is expressely written in the same Coun-
cel, *Syluester collegis vnter sum Synodum Episcoporum cum consilio Augusti, vel matris eius*.
Syluester gathered together the whole Synode of the Bishoppes with the Council of the (i) Emperoure, or
his mother. Why his Counsel was needefull, it appeareth there. Because the Emperoure bare the charges of
theire dietie, and cariage. So that his Counsel was necessary, not chiefly for Religion, but rather for suppor-
tation of the charges of so greate a iourney. For then neither was the Bishop of Rome, nor other Bishoppes
endowed with so large possessions, as they were afterward.

Nowe to returne to the Council of Nice. The Emperour was in dede the cause of their comming to-
gether, aswel for that him selfe persuaded that meane of concord, as also for that liberally he defrayed the
charges. Yet called he not the Bishoppes of his owne heade. And that these men might haue seene in the
Ecclesiastical Historie, where Ruffinus writeth: *Tum ille ex Sacerdotum sententia apud Urbem
Nicenam Episcopale Concilium conuocat*. The Emperoure called together a Council of Bishoppes
accordinge to the determination of the Priestes. He did it accordinge as it seemed a good to the Bishoppes.
(k) And shal we thinke the Bishop of Rome was none of them that consented to the callinge? Yes verily
he was the chiefe of al. Howe can it otherwise seeme? For when al the Decrees were made, *Placuit
ut haec omnia mitterentur ad Episcopum Urbis Romae Syluestrum*: It was thought good, that al
those actes, and Decrees should be sente to Syluester Bishop of the Cittie of Rome. If he were the last, that
had the vewe and confirminge of al thinges, there is no doubte, but he had a voice, and greate auctoritie in
callinge the Council.

What other is that, which Socrates in his Ecclesiastical Historie witnesseth, saying, *Cum utique Re-
gula Ecclesiastica inbeat, non oportere (l) prater sententiam Romanum Pontificis concilia celebrari*:
Whereas the Ecclesiastical rule commaundeth, that no Counclles ought to be kepte bishops the determi-
nate consente of the Bishop of Rome? Wee knowe (saith Athanasius, and the Bishoppes of Aegypt assembled
in Council at Alexandria) that in the greate Council of Nice of 318. Bishoppes, it was with one ac-
corde by al confirmed there, that without the determination of the Bishop of Rome, neither Counclles
should be kepte, nor Bishoppes condemned. I omit here as a thinge wel knownen, howe Constantine
the Emperoure refused in expresse woordes, to be iudge ouer Bishoppes, sayinge, that God had geuen them
power to iudge of him: muche lesse did he arrogate to him selfe enely and chiefly auctoritie to summe
Counclles, or to iudge Bishoply affaires. As for mee (saith Valentinian the Emperoure) in as muche as I
am but one of the people, it is not lawfull to searche suche matters: (he speaketh of the Heretikes doctrynes)
but let the priestes, to whome this charge belongeth, be gathered together within them selues, where they
wil, &c.

Concerninge the seconde Council, which was the firste of those that were kepte at Constantinople,
it maie be, that Theodosius called it, as Constantine called the firste at Nice. But what auctoritie Da-
masus bare in the same, it appeareth partly by that he had his (n) Legates there, partly also by that Photius Patri-
arke of Constantinople writeth in his Epistle to Michael Prince of Bulgaria. Where hauinge declared the
comming together of the Patriarkes of Alexandria, and Ierusalem, he saith thus, *Quibus hand multo
post & Damasus Episcopus Rome eadem confirmans, atque idem sentiens accessit*. To which
(Patriarkes of Alexandria and Ierusalem) not longe after, Damasus the Bishop of Rome ioyned him selfe,
confirminge (o) and determininge the same mater. This muche saith Photius of the seconde Council, the
confirmation whereof he doothe attribute, not to Theodosius the Emperoure, but to Damasus the Pope.

But what did Theodosius then? (wil some man saie). Did he nothinge? Yes verily he did verie
much, as in the saide Epistle Photius recordeth. Then did greate Theodosius (saith he) in dede woorthy
of greate praise rule the Emperie, who was him selfe also a Defender, and a maintainer of Godliness. Be-
holde what the Emperours parte was, not to sitte in iudgemente of matters of Religion, and (p) determine
whiche was the true Faith, but to defende it, and mainteine it. And that thou maist see, reader, plaine-
ly, what Theodosius thought of Religion, whome these Defenders would make a iudge in causes of
Religion. I aduise thee to Reade the ninth Booke of the Tripartite Historie, where appeare many greate
argumentes of his owne Faith, which he published to the worlde from Thessalonica, in a Publique
lawe,

(f) Vnturth,
vaine, and
vnaduised.
For neither
was Hosius
Syluester
Legate, nor
was Sylue-
ster then
aloue.

Li. 10. ca. 1.
Marke, ex
sacerdotum
sententia.

In summ.
Nica. concil.

Hist. trip.
li. 4. ca. 9.
Epistola
Aegyptior.
pontificum.

Ruff. li. 10
Cap. 2.

Hist. Trip.
lib. 7. ca. 13.
Photius in
Li. de con-
cilij.

lawe, to be suche, as Peter had taught the Romaines, (q) and as Damasus who succeeded Peter, taught at that daie, requiringe al his subiectes to beleue the same. He required not them to folow his owne Private Faith, but Peters Faith, and the Popes Faith. And whereas there were twoo Bishoppes of Alexandria at that time, the one, whose name Peter, holdinge with the Bishop of Rome, the other named Lucius, not so: Theodosius commaunded his subiectes, to beleue as Peter did, who folowed the firste Peter, and Damasus the Bishop of Rome.

Touchinge the thirde General Council, it was kepte in deede vnder Theodosius the yonger at Ephesus. But he was not Supreme Heade there. Yea rather who knoweth not, that Cyrillus beinge him selfe Patriarke of Alexandria, yet was President at Ephesus, bearinge the steede, and person of Pope Celestine? If Cyrillus was in steede of the Bishop of Rome there President, (r) who maie doubt, but that he was Supreme Heade of the Church, in whose name the President saie? Doothe the President of the Queenes Maiesties Counsell vse to sitte at her Counsell in the name of any other inferiour person? If Theodosius were Supreme and Chiefe, why saie not Cyrillus in his name, as President? But seeinge that Photius writeth, and Nicephorus also, that Cyrillus Archebishop of Alexandria saie in the steede of Celestine pope of Rome ouer that Council kepte at Ephesus, vndoubtedly it can not be denied, but that Celestine was Supreme Heade, as well of the Church, as of the General Council.

It is not therefore onely to be considered, that Theodosius sente abroad his messengers to summe the Fathers to the General Council, but also it is to be considered, (s) by whose Auctoritie it was donne. If in our time it had pleased the Emperoure Ferdinando of Famouse Memorie to haue sente his Messengers to the Kinges and Princes of Spaine, Fraunce, Englande, Hungarie, Bernelande, Pole, and to the Estates, and Dukes of Italie, and Germanie, to summe them to the Council, whiche the Pope thought good to indite at Trente: I thinke verily the Pope would haue thanked the Emperoure for it, and him selfe shoulde haue saued so muche charges as merne of experience knowe, suche an enterprise to require. But now, sithe the Pope hath of his owne sufficient to beare the charges of suche affaires, he asketh not any more of the Emperoure suche expenses, as in olde time to that necessarie purpose by the Emperoures were allowed.

Liste of al Martians (saie you) called the fourth General Council at Chalcedon. Wee answere. He called it not in suche sorte as yee meane, to wit, as Supreme Heade and Ruler therof, but as one hable to send Messengers for the Bishoppes aboute the worlde, and to susteine the charges, also willinge to see peace, and concord in the Church of God. Who liste to reade the Epistles of Pope Leo to Pulcheria the Emperesse, to Martians him selfe, to Theodosius, to Flavianus Archebishop of Constantinople, to the Synode firste assembled at Ephesus, afterwarde for certaine causes at Chalcedon: in the same Epistles he maie see bothe the cause of the Council, and what (t) conference was had thereof with the saide Leo Bishop of Rome, who sent first to Ephesus, Iulianus a Bishop, Renatus a priest, and Hilarius a Deacon, and afterwarde to Chalcedon, Paphlaginus, and Lucentius Bishoppes, and Bonifacius a priest, to represente his persone. In one of the said Epistles writen to the Seconde Synode at Ephesus, Leo saith thus. *Religiosissima Clementissimi Principis Fides &c.* The moste religious Faith of our moste clemente prince knowinge it to pertaine chiefly to his renowme, if within the Catholike Church no brache of erreure springe, hath deferred this reuerence to Gods ordinaunces, as to vse the auctoritie of the see Apostolike, to archieue the effect of a Holy purpose, as though he were desirous by the moste blessed peter him selfe, that to be declared, whiche in his confession was prayed. By whiche woordes it is plaine, that in matters of Religion the Emperoure proceeded not vpon his owne Head, but (u) was directed by the See of peter. What shal I saie more?

If the Emperoure first Christened the pope, let the Emperoure be superiour in thinges to Godwarde. But if the Pope Christened the Emperoure, (as (x) Syluester did Constantine) let the spiritual Father in that degree of rule be aboute the spirituall Childe.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

No man coulde offer so many Vntruthes together, with suche assistance, without some cunninge. Firste, M. Hardinge, y^e beare vs in hande, that the Emperours of the Worlde, in those daies, summoned Councelles, not by their owne Authoritie, but by the Authoritie, and Warrant of the Pope: As if the Popes authoritie at that time, had ben many degrees aboute the Emperoure. Notwithstandinge, Pope Pius. 2. as you knowe, saith thus, *Ante Nicenam Synodum vnusquisque sibi vixit: & parvus respectus ad Romanam Ecclesiam habebatur?* Before the Council of Nice, eche Bishop liued seuerally to him selfe: and liitle regarde was there then hadde to the Church of Rome. Pope Innocentius complaineth, that he had not Authoritie sufficiente, to force Pelagius, beinge but one manne, to comine before him: muche lesse had he Authoritie sufficiente, to commaunde, and calle the whole worlde. Pope Leo bothe was an humble suiter him selfe vnto the Emperoure Martianus, that it woulde please his Maiettie to commaunde a Council, and also entreated other Bishoppes to promote the cause.

Epim. iij.

Thus

(q) Vntruth, as well the faith of sundry other Bishoppes, as the Popes. (r) A fonde folie. For the Bishop of Rome had euermore the firste place in Councelles. (s) Yet was he not therefore the Heade of the Church. (t) No dout by the Authoritie of the pope. And so was the Emperour onely the popes man. (u) Vntruth for the Council was summoned to Chalcedon quite contrary to the popes will, read the Answer. (v) Vntruth, most manifest. Reade the Answer. (x) Vntruth, for he was Christened by Eusebius the Bishop of Nicomedia, long after that Syluester was deade.

Eneas Sylvius in Epist. 288.

Inter Epistolae Aug. Epist. 96.

Leo ad Clerū
et plebem Cō-
stān. Epi. 23

Thus he writeth, Humiliter, ac sapienter exposcite, vt Petitioni nostræ, qua Plenaria indici Synodum postulamus, Clementissimus Imperator dignetur annuere: *Make suite with discrete, and humble Praier, that our moſte Graceous Emperoure woulde vouchefane to graunte oure requeste, in that wee haue desired a General Council* It is not likely, that Pope Leo would thus haue written, if his owne Authozitie had benne sufficiente.

Theod. Li. 5.
ca. 9. Αὐτὸς ἱερ.
τὸ θεοφι-
λὸς ἔχον-
τες γεγε-
νημένων.

Eusebius, lib.
10 Cap. 5.
Concil. Chal-
cedo. Actio. 1
Pag. 748.

Saie, it is the moze unlikely, that the Emperoure should herein at any time vse the Authozitie of the Pope, for that the Pope him selfe was neuer hable to sumnone Bishoppes, as hereafter it shal appeare, but onely by the Authozitie of the Emperoure. Pope Damasus commaunded the Bishoppes of the East, to come to Rome: *How be it, not in his owne name, for that had benne no warrante, but by the Emperours special letters.* Eusebius saith thus, *Ἀντίγραφον βασιλικῆς, ἐπιστολῆς, δι' ἧς ἐνόησεν ἐπισκόποις ἐπὶ ῥώμης γενέσθαι κελύου: Exemplar Regiarum Literarum, quibus iubet Romanæ Episcoporum Concilium celebrari: This is a Copie of the Emperours Write, whereby he commaunded a Council to be kepte in Rome.* As for the Pope, notwithstandinge al his Vniuersal Power, he was commaunded by the Emperours sumnone, to be present at Councilles, as wel as other.

Sozom. Lib. 1
Cap. 17.
Theod. Lib. 1
Ca. 7. Αὐτὸς ἱερ.
(αὐτὸς ἱερ.)

In the Council of Chalcedon it is written thus: Eodem tenore à Pijssimis, & Christianissimis Imperatoribus, Sanctissimus noster Papa, Romanæ Ecclesiæ præpositus Leo, vocatus est: *By order of the same VVrite, Oure moſte Holy Pope Leo, ruler of the Church of Rome, was called to the Council by the moſte Godly, and moſte Christian Emperours.*

M. Hardinge.
Fol. 329. a.

Zozomus saith, Constantinus scripsit ad omnes Præfides Ecclesiarum, vt ad diem adessent: Ad Episcopos Apostolicarum Sedium: Ad Macarium Hierosolymitanum: ad Iulium Romanum, &c. *The Emperoure Constantinus sent out his Letters vnto al the Rulers of the Church, that they shoulde meete al at Nice vpon a daie: vnto the Bishoppes of the Apostolike Sees: vnto Macarius the Bishop of Hierusalem: and vnto Iulius the Bishop of Rome &c.* But Iulius excused his absence because of his age. *Otherwise of Obedience, and Dewtie towarde the Emperoure, he was as mutche bound, to haue made his appearance there, as the rests of his Brethren.*

Nicol. Cu-
san. De Con-
cord. Cathol.
Lib. 2. ca. 25

For saie, If the Emperoure shoulde haue summoned the Council by his owne Authoritie, then the Bishoppes of Persia, and Scotlande, whiche Countries were not then vnder the Obedience of the Ro- maine Emperie, woulde not haue appeared vpon the Sumnone and so had it benne no General Council. This cauil wanteth bothe truthe and sauoure. For proufe whereof, I wil bringe for the your selfe, M. Hardinge, to reproue your selfe. We should not so soone haue for gotten your owne Decree, specially conceiued, and published in this self same Booke. Thus you saie: these be your owne wordes: A Council is not accounted General, because Bishoppes of al Countries vnder Heaven be assembled, but because many be assembled, and al bee lawfully called *Otherwise your Late Chapter of Trident, with your worthy number of Fountie Prelates, whereof certaine were onely Maie Bishoppes, otherwise by you called Nullatenes, coulde neuer haue benne a General Council.*

Chrysost. Ad
Popul. Anti-
ocbe. Hom. 2

Nicolaus Cusanus saith, *Authoritas Concilij non ita dependet à congregante, vt, nisi à Papa congregetur, non sit Concilium: quia tunc omnia Octo Vniuersalia Concilia non fuissent firma: quoniam per Imperatores conuocata leguntur. Et Romanus Pontifex ad instar aliorum Patriarcharum, Diuales Sacras iussiones, de veniendo, aut mittendo ad Concilium, recepit: The authoritie of a Council dependeth not of him, by whom it was summoned, that onlesse it be summoned by the Pope, it can be no Council. For so wee should auoide al the first eight General Councils. For we read, they were summoned by Emperours, and not by Popes. And the Pope received the Emperours Maiesties Commandement to come, or sende to Councilles, as other Patriarches did.*

Certainely it cannot appeare, that there was any Bishop, either of Scotlande. or of Englande then called Britaine, at any of the firste foure Councilles, either at Nice. or at Ephesus. or at Constantinople, or at Chalcedon. Yet are these Councilles neuer thelesse called General.

Touching the reste, the Emperoure was then the onely Monarke of the world: and, as Chrysostome calleth him, Summitas, & Caput omnium super terram hominum:

The

The Toppe, and Heade of al menne in the worlde. No doubt, who so euer would then haue refused the Emperours Summone, mutche moze woulde he haue refused the Summone of the Pope.

To qualifie the mater, y^e saie, the Emperoure did these thinges, althoughe not by the Popes VVarrante, yet, at the leaste, by the Popes Consent and neuer otherwise. Were likewise is an other Vntrute. For the Emperoure commaunded Councelles, bothe when he woulde, and whither he would, whether the Pope would, or no, many times without any manner of regarde had to his pleasure. Pope Leo wrote thus vnto the Emperoure Theodosius, Omnes nostræ Ecclesiæ, Omnes Mansuetudini Vestre cum gemitibus, & Lachrymis supplicanti Sacerdotes, vt Generalem Synodum iubeatis intra Italiam celebrari: *Alour Churches, and al our Priests most humbly beseeche your Maiestie with sobbyes, and teares, that yee wil commaunde a General Councel to be holden within Italie.* In like sorte he moued the Clergie of Constantinople, to be suiters vnto his Maiestie for the same: yet neuerthelesse the Emperoure continued still in his purpose: and, contrarie to the Popes humble petition, keapte the Councel, not in Italie, but at Chalcedon: where also, as it is said befoze, Pope Leo him selfe was summoned to appeare by the Emperours Commaundement, with other Bishoppes.

Leo ad Theodosium. Epist. 14.

Of such Authoritie was the Popes consent in Summoninge of Councelles. He humbly craued it vpon his knees, with sighes, and teares: and could not geate it. And therfoze Nicolaus Cusanus saith, Habetur ex præscriptis vna Conclusio, scilicet in Concilij Romanum Pontificem in condendis statutis generalibus non habere eam potestatem, quam quidam adulescentes illi tribuunt: *Hereof wee haue one Conclusion, that in Generall Councelles, and in makinge of Lawes General, the Bishop of Rome hath no such Power, as certaine flatterers would allowe him.* Take heede therfoze, M. Harding, lest for your great paines in a desperate cause, y^e be taken for one of the Popes Flatterers.

Nicol. Cusa. De Concord. Cathol. Lib. 2. Ca. 12.

Whereof Aneas Syluius, whiche afterwarde was Pope Pius. 2. saith thus. Ex hisce Autoritatibus mirum in modum se putant armatos, qui Concilia negant fieri posse sine consensu Papæ. Quorum sententia, si, vt ipsi volunt, inuiolata persistet, ruinam secum Ecclesiæ trahit. Quid enim remedij erit, si criminofus Papa perturbet Ecclesiam: si animas perdat: si peruertat malo exemplo populos: si denique contraria Fidei prædicet, Hæreticisq; Dogmatibus imbuat subditos? Sinemusne cum ipso cuncta rueret? At ego, dum veteres lego Historias, dum Actus perspicio Apostolorum, hunc equidem morem non inuenio, vt Soli Papæ Concilia conuocauerint: Nec post, tempore Constantini Magni, & aliorum Augustorum, ad Congreganda concilia quæsitus est magnoperè Romani consensus Papæ: *By these Authorities they thinke them selues armed, that saie, no Councel maie be keapte without the consente of the Pope. Whose Iudgemente, if it shoulde stande, as they woulde haue it, woulde drawe with it the decayinge, and ruine of the Church. For what remedie were there then, if the Pope him selfe were vicious, destroyed soules, ouerthrowe the people with euil example, taught Doctrine contrarie to the Faith, and filled his Subiectes full of Heresies? Should wee suffer al to goe to the Diuel? Verily, when I reade the Olde Stories, and consider the Actes of the Apostles, I finde no such order in those daies, that onely the Pope shuld Summone Councelles.* And afterwarde, in the time of Constantine the Greate, and of other Emperours, when Councelles shoulde be called, there was no greate account made of the Popes consente. Cardinal Cusanus saith, Negligente, aut Contradicente Papa, Imperator potest præceptiue Synodos indicere, ad prouidendum fluctuanti Ecclesiæ: *If the Pope be negligent, or if he saie naie, the Emperoure, to staie the waueringe state of the Church, maie commaunde Councelles by his owne Authoritie.* Thus the Emperoure Sigismunde called a Councel at Constance: notwithstandinge it stode Pope Iohn mutche vppon, neuer to yelde his consente vnto it. For in the same Councel he was depriued, and of a Pope was made a Cardinal.

Aneas Syluius, De Concilio, Basiliensi Lib. 1.

Cusanus. De Concordia Catholica. Lib. 3. cap. 15.

Y^e saie, The Pope had no Authoritie to Confirme Councelles. Ergo, Mutche more he had Authoritie to calle Councelles. And here y^e tel vs a very solemne tale, what is Commaunding: what is Assenting: what is Authorizing: what is Ratifying: as if it had benne somewhat to the purpose. But if your reason holde, then muste General Councelles haue many Callers. For, as I shal hereafter sufficiently proue, not on

The Sum-
moninge
of Coun-
celles.

686

The Defense of the Apologie of the

ly the Pope, or the other pꝛincipall Patriarkes, but also al other Bishoppes, that were presente, yea Emperours, Kinges, Lieutenantes, and Counsellers had Authoritie to Confirme Councilles.

Sozomen. li.
6. Cap. 23.

Concil. Calce
don. Actio. 3
Pag. 804.

Zozomenus saith, Nec Romanus, nec Vincentinus, nec alij confirmarunt: This Council was Confirmed, neither by the Bishop of Rome, nor by the Bishop of Vincentia, nor by the rest of the Bishoppes. Whereby it appeareth, that in Confirmation of Councilles al other Bishoppes what so euer, had as god right, and authoritie, as the Bishop of Rome. And the Emperoure Martianus saith, Sacrosancto nostræ Serenitatis Edicto venerandam Synodum Confirmamus: Wee Confirme the Reuerende Council by the Holy Edicte of onre Maieslie. Thus you see, that, not onely al Bishoppes, but also Emperours, and Laie Princes had authoritie to confirme Councilles. Nowe therfore, M. Hardinge, if it be true, that you saie, that who so euer hath authoritie to Confirme Councilles, mutche moze hath authoritie to Calle Councilles, then muste it nedes follow, that, not onely Kinges, and Emperours, but also al Bishoppes thꝛough the world, haue authoritie to Calle Councilles.

Athana. A-
pologia. 2.

Ye saie, Pope Syluester sente that Famous Learned Father Hosius, the Bishop of Corduba, to the Council of Nice, to represente his personne. This maie wel passe amonge the reste of your Eruthes. For neither was Hosius there in the Popes behalfe, but in his owne: nor was Pope Syluester then aliue, or hable to sende him, duringe the whole time of the Nicene Council, notwithstanding any thinge, that your Fabulare, Peter Crabbe hath saide to the contrarie. As for Hosius, the Bishop of Corduba, of what Authoritie, and estimation he was in al Ecclesiastical Assemblies, it maie appeare by these wordes of Athanasius: In qua Synodo Dux ille, & Antesignanus non fuit? Quæ Ecclesia istius Præfidentia non pulcherrima monumenta retinet? In what Council hath not Hosius benne Chiefe, and Presidente? What Church is without some notable remembrance of his gouernement? Certainly, M. Hardinge, it seemeth, he was a greate deale too good, to be sent so farre in a deade mannes errante.

Sozomen. lib
1. Cap. 17.

Con. Constan-
tinopolitan.
Actio. 4. Ad
Heraclium,
& Tiberium
Imper. pagi.
288.

Notwithstandinge, Iulius, bringe then Bishop of Rome, for that he was vnhablle to trauaile, because of his age, sente thither two Priestes, Vitus, and Vincentius, to supplie his roune. Thus he did, not of pride, the better by his absence to mainteine a state, but onely for that he was forced of necessitie so to do. For if he had benne hable to trauaile so farre, he had benne forced to goe thither him selfe. Therefore Pope Agatho afterwarde thus excused his Absence vnto the Emperours: Christianissimi Domini Filij, secundum Pijssimam lusionem Mansuetudinis vestræ, pro Obedientia, quam debuimus, præsentibus confamilios nostros misimus: My most Christian Lordes, and Children, accordinge to the moste godly Commaundement of your Maieslie, and accordinge to the Obedience, that wee owe of dewtie, wee haue sente these presente our Fellowes Seruantes.

Athanas. ad
Antio. To. 2

Other Bishoppes in like cases of age, or infirmitie, did the like. For example, Lucifer the Bishop of Sardinia: sente Herennius, and Agapetus: and Paulinus sent Maximus, and Calemerus to the Council of Nice to be in their steades.

Theodoret.
Lib. 1. cap. 7.

Ye saie, Vitus, and Vincentius, for that they were the Popes Legates, had therefore the firste place in Subscription amonge the Bishoppes. Here is an other greate Vntruth. For Theodoretus saith, the firste, and Chiefe of al that companie, bothe in place, and in speache, was, not Vitus, or Vincentius, the Popes Legates, but Eustathius the Patriarke of Antioche.

Sozomenus.
Lib. 1. cap. 17
Athanasius.
Apologia. 2.

Touchinge these two the Popes Legates, Zozomenus placeth them onely in the fourth roune: Athanasius saith, Subscripserunt, Hosius ab Hispania, Iulius Romanus per Archidamum, & Philoxenum Presbyteros: They Subscribed their names to the Council, Hosius that came out of Spaine, and Iulius the Bishop of Rome, by Archidamus, and Philoxenus Priestes, that were his Legates: By which wordes he alloweth the Bishop of Romes Legates the seconde place in Subscription: but not the firste. And thus, ye see, Hosius the Bishop of Corduba subscribeth before Iulius the Bishop of Rome.

Conc. Aphri-
cap. 100.

Conc. Chalce-
don. Actio. 1.
Sancta.

In the Council of Aphrica, Philippus, and Asellius the Popes Legates, had the Last Place in Subscription after al others. In the Council of Chalcedon, Philippus, one of the Popes Legates, had an hundred, and seuen & fiftie others to subscribe before him.

These

These fathers, I trowe, would not haue binne so vnhamerly in their dealing, if they had taken the Pope for the Heade of the vvhole Vniuersal Church, to haue placed his Legate behinde so many. further, y^e say, At the very same time, that the General Council was kepte at Nice, Syluester called an other Council in Rome. This, I trowe, M^r. Harding, is an other Vntruth: Unless y^e haue power to raise y^e deade manne, to keepe Councilles. For Syluester was deade longe before of this date. Sozomenus saith, Victor, and Vincensius were sente to Nice, not by Pope Syluester, who then was deade, but by Pope Iulius, that was the seconde after him. The like may easily appeare by Athanasius, Theodoretus, Nicephorus, and others. Beda also in his Chronicles telleth y^e, that the Council of Nice was holden, not in the time of Pope Syluester, who then was deade, but in the time of Pope Iulius.

Therefore, M^r. Harding, you must needs denie two Councilles of Nice about one time: two Syluester Popes: two Writers of this one Storie, the one true, the other false. Otherwise, this fraile stiffe wil neuer holde. We are ouer easie to create fables.

The Council, that y^e imagine, was holden in Rome by Pope Syluester, is nothing els but a greate heape of childlike vanities. The Holy discrete Learned fathers saie there, Nemo Presbyterorum Christiana conficiat: quoniam Christus a Christiane vocatur: Praesul Summus non iudicabitur a quoquam. Quoniam scriptum est, Non est Discipulus supra Magistrum. Neque ab Augusto, neque ab omni Clero, neque a Regibus, neque ab omni Populo Iudex iudicabitur: Ne Prielle maye make or halowe the Chrisme: For Chrisme of Chrisme hath his name. The Highest Prelate (that is, the Pope) maye be iudged of no man: For it is writtē, The Scholar is not aboue his Maister. The Judge, (that is, the Pope) shal not be iudged, neither by the Emperoure, nor by al the Clergie, nor by Kinges, and Princes, nor by the whole people. Dutche and other like good stiffe haue you in your Council of Rome.

Notwithstandinge, of what credite so euer this Council were, yet, M^r. Harding, it utterly ouerthroweth your whole purpose. For, if euer there were any such Council summoned in Rome, it was summoned, not by Syluester the deade Pope, but by the Authoritie of the Emperoure that then was alive. So Eusebius writeth of the Council of Rome, holden in the time of Pope Meliades as it is saide before; Exemplar Regiarum Literarum, &c. Here is a Copie of the Emperours VVrite, whereby he hath commaunded a Council of Bishoppes to be kepte at Rome.

Likewise the Bishoppes assembled in the Council of Constantinople, wrote vnto the Bishoppes in the Council of Rome: Cum indixissetis, &c. After y^e had called a Council to Rome, y^e warned vs also to come thither, as the Members of your owne Body, by the mooste godly Emperours VVrite.

By these it appeareth, if there were any such Council called to Rome, it was called by the Emperoure, and not by the Pope.

Yet saie, The Emperoure in such affaires was aduised euermore by the Bishoppes. This is not vnlkely, and therefore easily maye be granted. Notwithstandinge, for ought, that y^e can finde, he was moze aduised oftentimes by somme other Bishoppes, then by the Pope, as it shal appeare. Eusebius, touching the Emperoure Constantius, writeth thus: Quasi Communis quidam Episcopus a Deo constitutus, Ministrorum Dei Synodos conuocauit: As if he had benne one Common Bishop appointed by God, he appointed Councilles of Bishoppes to assemble together. Rufinus saith, The Emperoure was aduised hereto by Alexander, the Bishop of Alexandria, and by other Bishoppes, and Priestes of Egypte. Here is no mention of the Pope. In the like cases of Ecclesiastical Affaires, Athanasius was an earnest suiter vnto the Emperoure Constantius: Dioscorus, vnto Theodosius and so others, vnto other.

But of the Popes omnipotent consent, without whiche, y^e telle vs, no Emperoure maye summe a Council, there is no man that maketh mention.

Yet saie, There ought no Council to be kepte without the determinate consent of the Bishop of Rome. This also is an other of your Vntruthes, standinge in the manifest corruption of the wordes of Socrates: as in my Former Replie I haue declared moze at large.

The approbati.

Sozom. Lib.
1. Cap. 17.
Athanas. A-
pologia 2.
Theodo. Lib.
1. Cap. 7.
Nicephor.
Lib. 8. cap. 14
Beda in
Chronis.

Conc. Rom.
Ca. 5. Ca. 3.
Ca. 12.

Euseb. Lib.
10. cap. 5.

Theodoret.
Lib. 5. cap. 9.

Euse. De vita
Constantini
Oratione 1.

Ruf. lib. 1. c. 1
Art. 4. di. 29

Soc. li. 2. ca. 17
m. d. d. d. d.

Y. d. d. d. d.
m. d. d. d. d.

Y. d. d. d. d.
m. d. d. d. d.

Y. d. d. d. d.
m. d. d. d. d.

Y. d. d. d. d.
m. d. d. d. d.

Y. d. d. d. d.
m. d. d. d. d.

The wordes of Socrates be these, Non licet scribere Ecclesiastica Decreta præter sententiam Episcopi Romani: *It is provided, that Ecclesiastical Lawes be not made without the consente of the Bishop of Rome: For that the Bishop of Rome was one of the Four* Greate Patriarkes, *whoes assentes in al General Councelles were thought necessarie.* But Socrates meaneth sutch Ecclesiastical Lawes, as pertain to the whole Church of God. For this is a rule agreeable to reason, That toucheth al, must be allowed by al.

Nowe, whereas ye haue exchanged the Allowinge of Canons, into the Summoning, or Callinge of Councelles, it maie please you to remember, that Allowinge of Canons was common to al the Members of the Council, and specially to the Four Principal Patriarkes, as it is saide befoze: But the Authozitie of Callinge Councelles belonged onely to the Emperoure.

That ye allege of Achanasius, is a vaine, and shamelesse Forgerie: as I haue othertwhere declared moze at large. Sutch Religion, sutch Doctoures, sutch Folie is worthy no other answere.

Sozomen. lib
6. Cap. 7.

Nicephor.
Lib. 11. cap. 3

Conc. Roma.
3. Cap. 2.

Valentinian the Emperoure (ye saie) accounted him selfe as one of the people: and therefore saide, It was not lawful for him, to Examine maters of Religion. Thus he saide, either of humilitie, or els for wante of time. His foraine Enimies, his Warres, and his Ciuile Cares had filled his heade with other thoughtes. Nicephorus imagineth him thus to saie, Mihi negotijs occupato, & Reipublicæ curis distento, res huiusmodi inquirere non est facile: For me, being thus occupied with Busynesse, and Publique Cares, it is not easie to enquire of sutch maters. Otherwise, that Ecclesiastical Causes be within the Princes Charge, I doubt not, but hereafter it shal wel appeare. King Odoacer saide vnto Pope Symmachus, and vnto the Clergie of Rome, as it is alleged once befoze: Miramur, quicquam tentatum fuisse sine nobis: Nam, viuente nostro Presbytero, sine nobis nihil tentari oportuit: Wee marueile, that any thinge was attempted without vs: For without vs nothing should haue benne donne, so longe as Our Priestte (he meaneth the Pope) was aliue.

After this ye fille the house ful with Patriarkes of Constantinople, Patriarkes of Alexandria, Patriarkes of Hierusalem, Princes of Bulgaria, and with other like greate, and stately persones. The Conclusion hereof is this, That Pope Damasus gaue his consente to the Council of Constantinople. Al this, M. Hardinge, ye might some haue obtained with moze fauoure, and lesse adu. Nowe be it, ye maie not bers of wel reason thus, The Pope consented vnto the Council: Ergo, The Pope had Authozitie to calle the Council: lest childzen wonder at your Logique.

In the meane while, ye saie, the Emperoure Theodosius ruled the Emperie: whereby ye geue vs to vnderstand, that he had no charge ouer the Church And thus ye continue stil to enriche your self, and to heape your Reader with vntruthes.

Post Conc.
Ephesinum
Primum.

Certainely the Bishoppes in the Council of Constantinople wrote thus in humble wise vnto the same Emperoure Theodosius: Obsecramus Clementiam tuam, vt, quemadmodum Literis honorasti Ecclesiam, quibus nos Conuocasti, ita finalem Conclusionem nostrorum Decretorum corroboret sententia tua, & Sigillo: We beseeche your Maiestie, that, as you haue honoured the Church by your Letters, wherewith yee haue called vs together, so it maie please you, to Confirm the final Conclusion of our Decrees with your Sentence, and with your Seale.

The Empe-
roure limi-
teth the Ca-
tholique
Faith.

Further, as it appeareth by your owne Allegation, the same Emperoure Theodosius toke vpon him, to bounde, and to limite the Catholique Faith, and that euen in the Bodie of his Ciuile Lawes: which thing neither coulde he haue donne without Judgemente: no: woulde he haue donne without Authozitie. But, if ye meane, that by this Determination of the Emperoure Theodosius, that Faith onely shoulde be taken for Catholique, that was then professed by Pope Damasus, and should afterwarde be professed by others succedinge in Peters Chaire, then haue ye secretly conuiged

Cod. Theodo-
sian. Lib. 16.
Tit. de Fide
Catholic.
Cunctos Pu-

vs in an other Vntruth. The place it selfe wil sone repproue you. The Emperoures wordes be these, Cunctos populos in tali volumus Religione versari, quam Diuinum Petrum Apostolum tradidisse Romanis, Religio vsque nunc ab eo insinuata declarat: quantū Pontificem Damasum sequi claret, & Petrum Alexandriæ Episcopum, Virum Apostolicæ Sanctitatis: Wee wil al manne to walke in that Religion, which Holy Peter the Apostle

posse delivered to the Romaines, as the Faith firste enkindled by him, and stil continued
until this daie, dooth declare: which Religion also it is plaine, that Pope Damasus fleweth,
and Peter the Bishop of Alexandria, a man of Apostolique holinesse. Here the Emperoure
Theodosius commaundeth his Subiectes to folowe, as wel the Faith of Peter the
Bishop of Alexandria, as of Damasus the Bishop of Rome.

And yet in the nexte title folowinge, he openeth his owne meaning in this wise
by other Examplis, moze at large: Episcopis tradi omnes Ecclesias mox iubemus, quos
constabit vti Communione Nectarij Episcopi Constantinopolitanz Ecclesiaz, & Timothei,
&c. Wee commaunde, that forth with the Churches be restored to al Bishoppes, of whom
it shal appeare, that they Communicate with Nestorius the Bishop of Constantinople, or
with Timotheus: or such as shal haue fellowship or agreement in Faith, with the Bishoppes
of Alexandria in Egypte: and with Pelagius the Bishop of Laodicea: and with Diodorus
the Bishop of Tarsus in Asia: and with Amphilocheus the Bishop of Iconium: and with
Optimus the Bishop of Antioche: and with Helladius the Bishop of Caesaria: and with O-
treius the Bishop of Melite: and with Gregorius the Bishop of Nyssa: and with Terennius
the Bishop of Scythia: and with Marimarius the Bishop of Martianopolis. Euery of
these feneral Bishoppes, M. Harding, by the Emperoures Iudgemente, in trial of the
Catholique Faith, had as great Authozitie, and weight, as had Damasus the Bishop of
Rome.

But Pope Celestinus, y^e saie, desired Cyrillus the Bishop of Alexandria, to re-
presente his persone, and to supplie his roume in the Council of Ephesus, that is to
saie, to haue the First Place in the Council. For the First Place in al Ecclesiastical
Assemblies was allotted to the Bishop of Rome: The Seconde, to the Bishop of Con-
stantinople: The Thirde, to the Bishop of Alexandria: The Fourth, to the Bishop of An-
tioche: The Fift, to the Bishop of Hierusalem.

This packinge of places therefore betwene Celestinus, and Cyrillus, was a
Myserie, pourposely canuelled, to keepe the Bishop of Constantinople, whome the
Pope euermore enuied, out of countenance. For by this Policie, the Bishop of Alex-
andria, that shoulde haue had the Thirde Place, was handsomely shifted into the Firste:
and the Bishop of Constantinople which, in the Bishop of Romes absence, shoulde haue
had the First Place, was remoued downe to the Seconde.

Woe be it, what auaieth al this, M. Harding, to further your pourpose, and to
proue, that Councilles were summoned by the Pope: Merely it appeareth not hither-
to, that either Celestinus, or Cyrillus, or any other Bishop had any sutch Power, or
Authozitie to summe Councilles. This is it, that y^e shoulde haue proued. As for
the First, or Seconde Place in Councilles, we moued no question.

Againe, y^e saie, The Pope of right was euermore presidente in al Councilles. This
if y^e knowe it is an other Vntrute: If y^e knowe it not, it is an erreure. For it is
plaine, that in the first Council of Nice Pope Iulius was not Presidente, but Eustachi-
us the Bishop of Antioche. The Popes Legates, as it is saide befoze, were placed be-
nethe in the fourth roume: In the fift Council of Constantinople, Menna the Bi-
shop of the same Cittie, was Presidente, and not the Pope. In the Seconde Ephesine
Council, Dioscorus the Bishop of Alexandria was Presidente. In the Second Council
of Carthage it semeth Gennedius was the Presidente.

Nicolaus Cusanus, after he had wel debated this mater, saith thus, In Concilijs
fuit semper Prædentialis Romani Pontificis autoritas, sine qua Vniuersale Concilium
non fuisset, dummodò saltem interesse voluisset, aut potuisset: The Bishop of Rome had
alwaies authoritie to be Presidente in Councilles, otherwise the Council had not ben Gene-
ral: So that the Bishop of Rome either woulde, or coulde be presente at the Council. For
otherwise he was not Presidente. Therefore of your parte, M. Harding, it was a great
Vntrute, to saie, The Pope of Right was euermore Presidente in al Councilles. Againe
Cusanus saith, Imperatore in persona existente, reperio eum semper præfuisse. In Sex-
ta Synodo Constantinus Imperator præfedit in medio cum decem de maioribus Patri-
tijijs, & ad leuam eius Vicarij Senioris Romæ &c. When the Emperoure was presente in
persone, I finde, that he was alwaies Presidente. In the Sixthe Council of Constantinople,
Con-

Dist. 22. Reg.
nonanier.

Theo. li. 1. ca.
7. Enag. lib.
4. ca. 38. Ni-
cephor. li. 17
Cap. 27.
Conc. Calced.
Act. 1. Dioc.
Exaltis con.
Carthag. 2.
Nicol. Cusā.
De concor. Ca-
thol. li. 2. ca. 2

Nico. Cusan
De Concord.
li. 3. Cap. 16

Constantinus the Emperoure was Presidente, and sate in the middes with tenne of his greatest Lordes: and at his leaſte hande ſate the Popes Legates. &c. This, M. Hardinge, is verie farre from your reckeninge.

*Sozom. Li. 3
Cap. 12.
Socrat. Lib.
2. Cap. 20.
Athanaſ.
Apologia. 2.*

This Dignitie then paſſed not by Enheritance, or by Succeſſion, as the Pope nowe woulde ſaie to claime it: but, either by choiſe of the Councel, or by fauoure of the Prince. Thus Hotius, the Biſhop of Corduba in Spaine, not by right of his place, but for the worthineſſe of his perſone, was appointed Presidente in the Councel of Sardica. And Athanaſius ſpeaketh of him in this wiſe with greaſt admiration, Cuius non ſuit Concilij Princeps? In what Councel hath he not Hotius benne the Presidente, or Chiefe?

Ye ſaie, Pope Sylueſter Chriſtened the Emperour Conſtantinus, and therefore was his Spiritual Father. This maie paſſe among other your Truthes. For your Popes, by their Omnipotent Power maie Miniſter Sacramentes, bringe dead. It is knowne, that, as longe as Sylueſter was alieue, Conſtantinus was neuer Chriſtened. And, yet notwithſtanding al this were true, M. Hardinge, yet your cauſe thereby were litle furthered. Onleſſe perhaps ye wil reaſon thus: Pope Sylueſter Chriſtened the Emperoure: Ergo, The Pope hath the Authoritie, to cal Councelles. Nowe be it, onleſſe this Argumente be better digeſted, youre very Sophiſters, of Louaine wil hardly allowe it. But in deede, that whole tale, touching the Chriſtninge of the Emperour Conſtantine, is nothing els, but a peniſhe fable. Conſtantius, the Emperours owne Sonne, utterly denieth, that Sylueſter euer Baptized Conſtantinus his Father. Euſebius ſaith, Conſtantinus was Chriſtened, not in the ſlowing ſtate of his age, but onely a litle befoze he died: not in Rome, but at Nicomedia, in the Kingdome of Epirus. not in a corner, but in the preſence of many Biſhoppes: and, as S. Hierome ſaith, not by Pope Sylueſter that then was deade, but by Euſebius the Biſhop of Nicomedia. For prouſe whereof, S. Ambroſe ſaith, Conſtantino in ultimis conſtituto, Gratia Baptiſmatis omnia peccata dimiſit: The Grace of Baptiſme foregaue Conſtantine al his ſinnes, euen at the endinge of his life. Therefoze, M. Hardinge, we muſt needs ſaie, that either your tale is vntrue, which is not ſtrange: or els Conſtantine was twiſe Baptized, which is very unlikely.

*Inter Acta
Liberij. ca. 6
Euſeb. De
vita Conſt.
Orat. 4.
Socrat. Anno
ſtatij ſua. 65
συγκαλέσας
τοὺς ἐπισκο-
πους:
Hierony. in
Chronico.
Ambro de
obitu Theo-
doſij.
Cardinal.
Polus de Bap-
tiſmo Conſt.
mini. pag. 87.*

Cardinal Poole, ſeing the mater to paſſe ſo cleare, telleth vs roundely in one worde, that Euſebius, and Conſtantius were Arian Heretiques, and therefore refuseth the whole ſtozie witten by Euſebius, touching the Chriſtninge of Conſtantine. But, ſomme what to ſothe you in your tale, let a fable ſtand for Truth: and let vs graunt you an impoſſibilitie, that Conſtantine was Baptized by Pope Sylueſter being deade. Yet wil you needs geather hereof, that therefore the Emperoure is Subiecte to the Pope? No, that the Pope hath Authoritie to cal Councelles? What wil you then ſaie, when the Emperoure is Baptized by ſomme other Prieſte, or Biſhop, or by a Midwife? What euery of theſe therefore require to haue, and to do the like? No, muſt we beleeue, that ſutche a Prieſte, Biſhop, or Midwife, ſhal haue Authoritie to calle Councelles? In deede, this were a good ſhozte waie to geate Supremacie. But it mighte haue pleaſed you, to remember, that the Cardinal of Oſtia uſeth alwaies of Office to Conſecrate the Pope. Yet, I trowe, ye wil not therefore place him aboue the Pope. Elias anointed Kinge Iehu: yet was he not therefore aboue the Kinge. Your owne Doctoure ſaith, Quod hoc Argumentum non concludat, patet: quia in Veteri Lege, Sacerdotes, qui Reges inungebant, indubitanter Regibus Subdebantur: It appeareth, that this Argumente is nothinge woorth, and concludeth nothinge. For in the Old Lawe, the Prieſtes that anointed the Kinges, were vndoubtedly ſubiecte to the Kinges.

*Iohan. De
Paris. cap. 19*

To conclude, what right Emperoures had in Summoning of Councelles, by theſe fewe Authorities, and Examplis ſolowinge, it maie ſome appeare. Euſebius ſaith, Conſtantinus ſynodum Oecumenicam Collegit, & Episcopos, vt vndique accelerarent, honorificis literis conuocauit: (Not the Pope, but) Conſtantine the Emperoure geathered a General Councel, and by honorable Writes called the Biſhoppes of all Countreies to reſpaire thither. Theodoretus ſaith, A great, and a Holy Councel was gathered to Nicæa, by the Grace of God, and (not by the Pope, but) by the Godly Emperoure Conſtantinus. Sozomenus ſaith, (Not the Pope, but) The Emperoure Conſtantine wrote vnto al the Rulers

*Euſeb. De
Vita Con-
ſtan. Orat. 3
Theod. Lib.
1. Cap. 9.
Soz. li. 1. c. 17*

Rulers of the Churches, that they shoulde be at Nice by a daie: to the Bishoppes of the Apostolique Sees, to Marcarius the Bishop of Hierusalem, and to Iulius the Bishop of Rome. In whiche wordes this also maie be noted, that the Pope then was under the Emperours Summone, no lesse then others.

In the Council of Constantinople, the Bishoppes wrote thus vnto the Emperoure, Ex mandato tuæ Pietatis Constantinopolim conuenimus: Wee are come to Constantinople, (not by the Popes Authozitie, but) by your Maiesties Commission. Athanasius saithe, Ab Imperatore, Præfectisque Literæ sequentes in omnem partem missæ sunt, eos, qui illuc ituri essent, conuocantes: These Letters, or VVrites followinge were sente out into rimin. & al places (not from the Pope, but) from the Emperoure, and his Lieutenantes, summoninge them, that shoulde come vnto the Council. S. Chrysostome saithe, Wee wente in, and humbly besought (not the Pope, but) the moste Christian Prince, to calle a Council. S. Ambrose, speakinge of him selfe, and of other Bishoppes, beinge then at the Council of Aquileia, saithe thus, Nos conuenimus Aquileiam iuxta Præceptum Imperatoris: Wee are mette together, at Aquileia, by the Commaundement of the Emperoure (and not of the Pope.)

S. Hierome saithe, Orientis, atque Occidentis Episcopos ob quasdam Ecclesiasticas dissensiones Roman Imperiales Literæ contraxerunt: To staine certaine Ecclesiastical dissensions, (not any the Popes Letters of Commaundement, but) the Emperours VVrites caused the Bishoppes, as wel of the Easte, as of the VVeast, to drawe to Rome.

Of Pope Leo, we haue saide before. Beinge Pope, and, as, M. Wardinge imagineth, hable to summe the worlde with a becke, thus he writeth to the Emperoure Theodosius: Dignetur Pietas vestra supplicationi nostræ annuere, vt intra Italian haberi iubeatis Episcopale Concilium: Wee beseeche your Godly Maiestie, to graunte vnto our humble requeste, that it maie please you to Commaunde a Council of Bishoppes to be holden within Italie.

Sozomenus saithe, The Arians besought (not the Pope, but) the Emperoure Constantius, to commaunde a Council, to be holden at Antioche. The same Arians afterwarde besought (not the Pope, but) the same Emperoure Constantius, to summe an other Council at Millaine. Againe Sozomenus saithe, The Catholique Bishoppes sente Hypatianus their Embassadoure, to entreate (not the Pope, but) the Emperoure, that to redresse certaine Errors, they might haue leaue to meete together. Pope Liberius saithe, A Council is holden at Millaine, (not by my Authozitie, but) by the commaundement of the Prince. Pope Leo saithe, The greates Council of Calcedon was summoned (not by him selfe, but) by the traualle of Martianus the Emperoure. The Emperoure Constantius Commaunded twoo fuenal Councelles to be keapte at one time: the one at Seleucia in Isauria in the Easte: the other at Ariminum in Italie in the VVeaste. Socrates saithe, Sithence the Emperours were firste Christened, the state of the Church hath hanged on them: and the greatest Councelles haue benne, and be keapte by their aduise.

Thus many Anciente Councelles we are hable to shewe you, summoned by Emperours. Nowe shewe you, M. Wardinge, either that the Emperoure, did al these thinges by the Authozitie of the Pope: or, that the Emperoure was onely the Popes Summoner to cal to Council sutch, and so many, as it shoulde like his Holinesse to commaunde: Or, that the Pope did euer summe any one Anciente General Council by his owne onely righte, without further Commission from the Emperoure. then wil we saie, ye haue saide somme thinge. As for al that ye haue nowe saide, in god sothe, it is lesse, then nothinge.

The Apologie. Cap. 12. Diuision. 3.

And when Rufine, the Heretique, had alleged for his Authozitie a Council, whiche as he thought, shoulde make for him: S. Hierome his Aduersarie, to confute him, Tel vs (quod he) what Emperoure commaunded that Council to be called? The same S. Hierome againe in his Epitaphe vpon Paula, maketh mention of the Emperours Letters, whiche gaue commaundement, to cal the Bishoppes of Italie, and Græcia to

Nnn

Rome,

The Defense of the Apologie of the Rome, to a Council.

M. Hardinge.

•Vntruth, proceeding of Ignorance: as it may soon appear.

•Reade the former Annotations.

Hierom. in Apologia contra Ruffinū.

In eadem Apologia.

Ana. ad Episcop. Hierosol. Apud Hieronym. To. 4.

Vinc. in Speculo. li. 17. ca. 99.

Eras. in vita Hieronymi.

Eras. in Epist. Hieronymi. ad Principi.

In Schol.

Eras. in Argumentum. Epistola Theophili.

ad Hieronymum.

Eras. de libris Origenis.

Besides that yee do strangely, to call Ruffine an Heretike, wee saie, that S. Hierome might wel demande, what Emperours summoned that Council, whiche was neuer summoned. Againe wee confesse, that some Emperours haue summoned bothe Latine and Greeke Bishoppes. But yee proue not, that any did it as supreme heade, and as iudge in maters of Religion, but by the consente of the Bishoppes of Rome, as I haue declared before.

The Bishop of Sarisbury.

Were you not a stranger in your owne Tokes, *M. Hardinge*, yee would not thinke it so strange a mater, to heare Ruffinus called an Heretique. S. Hierome doubted not so to calle him. Thus he saith: Dum mihi inconstantiz crimen impingit, se Hæreticum, &c. Ita vertit Origenem, vt, qui in Trinitate Catholiquum legeret, in alijs Hæreticum non Caueret: While Ruffinus chargeth me with inconstancie, he proueth him selfe to be an Heretique, &c. Ruffinus hath so translated Origen into Latine, that who so findeth him Catholique touching the Trinitie, shoulde neuer suspect him, in any thing els, to be an Heretique. Againe he saith vnto him, Solos Hereticos non recipimus, quos vos solos recipitis: Only Heretiques wee receiue not into our houses: And yet them onely you receiue.

Anastasiū, the Bishop of Rome, hath thus published his Judgemente of the same Ruffinus: Omni suspicione seposita, Ruffinum scito, quod propria mente Origenis dicta in Latinum transtulit, ac probauit. Nec dissimilis ab eo est, qui alienis vitijs præstat assensum. Illud tamen scire te cupio, ita haberi à nostris partibus alienum, vt quid agat, vbi sit, nec scire cupiamus: Al suspicion sette aparte, knowe thou, that Ruffinus hath translated Origen (the Heretiques) wordes into Latine, accordinge to his owne likinge, and vvel aloweth the same. And, who so euer geueth his consente vnto an other mannes faulte, is not vnlike vnto him. Notwithstanding, thou mutche I would haue thee to knowe, that Ruffinus is so farre from our fellowship, or profession of Faith, that wee desire not to knowe, neither what he dooeth, nor where he is.

Vincentius saith, that S. Hierome charged Ruffinus with the Pelagian Heresie. Erasmus saith, Ruffinus non fuit alienus ab Origenistarum Hæresi: Ruffinus was not cleare from the Origenians Heresie. Againe, speaking of the same Heretiques, he saith, Huius rei Dux, & Signifer Aquileiensis Ruffinus fuit. Againe, Notat, nescio quem: ipsum, opinor, Ruffinum, qui in eam Hæresim inductus est à Magistro quopiam, &c. Againe, Monet, vt explosa factione Origenistarum, eos etiam eiiciat, qui clam, & oblique essent Origenistæ, Ruffinum, & illius amicos: The Captaine, and Standarde bearer of this Heresie, was Ruffinus of Aquileia, &c. Againe, S. Hierome here noteth, some bodye, I knowe not whom: but I thinke, Ruffinus him selfe: that was broughte into this Heresie by somme teacher, &c. Againe, He warneth his friends, that hauing renounced the Origenian Heretiques, be woulde likewise renounce them, that prively: and in secretes were Origenian Heretiques, meaning Ruffinus, and his friends.

Likewise againe he saith, Ruffinus grauissima suspicione premebatur, quod esset Origenista. Sub hoc enim titulo Arianorum Hæresis conata est repullulascere: Ruffinus was greuously suspected to be an Origenian Heretique. For vnder that name the Arian Heresie beganne to renine. Thus yee see, *M. Hardinge*, it was not so great an Heresie, to saie, that Ruffinus was an Heretique.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Division. 4.

Continually for the space of fīue Hundred yeeres, the Emperours alone appointed the Ecclesiastical Assemblies, and called the Councelles of the Bishoppes together.

Wee now therefore maruelle the more at the vnreasonable dealing of the Bishop of Rome, who knowing what was the Emperours right, when the Church was wel ordered, knowinge also that it is now a common righte to al Princes, for so mutche, as Kinges are now fully possessed in the seuerall partes of the whole Empire, doothe so withoute consideration, assigne that office alone to him selfe, and taketh it sufficient

ciente in Summoninge a General Councel, to make that man, that is Prince of the whole worlde, none otherwise partaker thereof, then he woulde make his owne seruante.

M. Hardinge.

Where you saie, The Emperoure alone celebrated, krapte, or helde Councelles, for so is your Latine, (a) it is too impudently faced, without any face, withoute prouise, withoute Truthe. They were Cebra-
brated, or holden (b) by the Popes Legates, the Patriarkes, and Bishoppes, and not by Emperoures. Al be it, Emperoures mighte sitte in them, (c) but not as Iudges. And they haue euer bene called *Episcopalia Concilia*, not (d) *Imperatoria*, Councelles of Bishoppes, not of Emperoures. And diuers Councelles, not accompted General, were keapte by Bishoppes before any Emperoure was Christened. As those, whiche were keapte by S. Peter in Hierusalem, mentioned in the Actes of the Apostles: in the time of Victor the Pope, in Palestina, and other places, concerning the keepinge of Easter. At Rome about the time of Pope Fabian, againste the Nouatian Heresie: At Antioche, againste Paulus Samosatenus, and many others. Al whiche Councelles were keapte, not onely without the presence of the Emperoures person, but (e) also without his Power, or Authoritie. And yet, at he were heade of the Church, it coulde not haue benne donne without him.

If you saie, He was not then Christened, I answere, that Christianitie is no parte of his Imperial Power. It is a spiritual Power, whereby he is made the sonne of God. He maie thereby be ruled by a Christian Bishop. But verily, he hath no Power geuen to him, whereby he maie rule Bishoppes. (f) Baptisme maketh a man the Childe of the Church. But (g) it is Imposition of handes, in consecratinge a Christian Priest to be a Bishop, that geueth him rule ouer others, and not the Sacramente of Baptisme. Therefore Emperoures were not the holders, or keepers of Councelles, the firste five hundred yeres. Yea, three hundred were fully expired, before the Emperoure professed openly the Christian Faith. So muche the lesse maie you marueile, that nowe the Bishop of Rome, calleth, and keepeth Councelles, chiefly by his owne authoritie (g) For he succedeth Peter, not Nero. He took his authoritie of Christe immediately, not of the people of Rome. Be the Emperoure Christian, or not Christian, the Bishop of Rome by (h) nature of his Bishoppes Office, is not onely alwayes a Christian man, but also a chiefe Priest.

Where you saie, the Bishop of Rome in summoninge the Late Councel did besides good consideration, in that he made a man, that is Prince of the whole worlde, no otherwise partaker thereof, then he woulde make his owne seruante: you forgette your selfe foully, and seeme to reeke litle what you speake, so you vtter your malice. For who is that, whome you cal Prince of the whole worlde? What contradiction is this: Saide you not in the same sentence before, that Kinges are nowe fully possessed in the Seuerall partes of the whole Empire? Howe then cal you Ferdinande (i) Prince of the whole worlde? Wel, this is but one of the common ornamentes of your Rhetorique. Sir, the Emperoure Ferdinande, of famous memorie, was not so abused of Pius the fourth, that blessed man, Bishop of Rome in these our daies. Ye rather are they who abuse the Emperoures Maiestie. For ye depose him cleane from his seate: ye finde faulte that euer Leo the thirde made an Emperoure in the Weste. Ye complaine openly that the Imperial Maiestie had not continued stil at Constantinople. Belike to the intente the Turke mighte nowe haue had it, who is knowne to suffer in his Dominions al Faithes and Religions: For which cause it traie seeme yee fauer him. As for Pope Pius that nowe is, he deferred the olde priuilege of honoure vnto the Emperoure Ferdinande, without the olde burthen. For whereas in olde times (k) Councelles were holden by authority of the Pope, (k) as Socrates witnesseth, yet the Emperoure bare the charge of callinge the Bishoppes together. But nowe the Pope him selfe bare a greate parte of that burthen, and communicated his pourpose fully with the Emperoure.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Whether it were the Emperoure alone, that appointed Ecclesiastical Councelles, it maie appeare by that we haue already saide. The Pope alone, I assure you, it was not. Saie, the Emperoure as it is saide, and proued befoze, oftentimes helde sutch Assemblies, when, and where him selfe liked, whether the Pope would, or no. The Popes duetie was onely to appeare amongst other Bishoppes, when he was called.

I graunte sutch Councelles had their name of Bishoppes, and were called Concilia Episcopalia, for that maters there were specially ordered by the discretion, and iudgemente of the Bishoppes. But wil you therfore conclude, that the same Councils pertained nothings to the Prince? Certainly, the Emperoure Constantinus commaunded al the Bishoppes to appeare befoze him, and to yelde him a reckeninge of their Determination in the Councel. This was the tenour of his Write: Quotquot Synodum Tyri habitam compleuistis, sine mora ad Pietatis nostrae Castra properetis: ac re ipsa, quam sincere, ac recte iudicaueritis, ostendatis: Idque Coram me: quem sincerum esse Dei Ministrum, ne vos quidem ipsi negabitis: As many of you, as haue keapte the Councel at Tyrus, repaire to our Campe without delay: and shewe me in dede, how sincerely,

Nnn. y.

(a) This is M. Hardinges modestly. Read the answers nexte goinge before this sauing one (b) Vnto the,

plaine, and evident. For many times the Popes Legates were vnderlinges, and inferiours vnto others.

(c) Vnto the. For the Emperours Embassadours sittinge in Council, were called, illustissimi iudices.

(d) Nomore were they called Concilia Papalia.

(e) So were the moste partie of the same councelles keapte without, either the presence, or the power, or authoritie of the Pope.

(f) O folie of folies. As if the Emperours power wer either encreased, or empaied by his Baptisme.

(g) A substantial good reason. But what if S. Ambrose saie, He succedeth Iudas.

(h) The Pope a Christian man by Nature of Office.

(i) It is written in your owne Glose, lura communia dicunt, quod Imperator est Dominus Mundi.

(k) Vnto the. two together. For neither was it so, nor dothe so. record in lo. Sec. 1. 2. c. 28. Sec. 1. 1. c. 33.

and

Tripart.
H. l. 2. c. 2.

and rightly yet haue proceeded: And that, euen before me, whom you your selues cannot de-
mie to be the Sincere seruante of God.

Athanasius was the greatest trauailer in the Councel of Nice, againste the Ariana:
yet was he then no Bishop, but onely a Deacon. Your owne Ceremoniarie of Rome
tolleth you, that Abbates haue right & authozitie to determine, and subscribe in Coun-
cel, as wel, as Bishoppes: And yet were they neuer called the Councelles of Abbates,
but onely of Bishoppes. Therefore, M. Wardinge, this ghesse is ouer simple, and ser-
ueth you not. But hereof we haue sufficiently saide before.

Christianitie (ye saie) is no parte of the Emperial Power. Ye mighte likewise haue saide,
Christianitie is no parte of the Papale Povver. Merily, it can not wel appeare, that
Peter and Paule had euer any sutch Christianitie. The Emperours righte is neither
encreased, nor abated by his Baptisme. Whether he be faithfull, or vnfaithfull, he is
the Minister of God, and beareth the Swerde to punish sinne.

Ye saie, The Pope succedeth Peter, and not Nero: Therefore he calleth, and keepeth Councelles chiefly
by his owne authoritie. Of sutch proper Argumentes, M. Wardinge, we marueile not
much, though ye make no stoare. How be it, somme menne haue thought, ye do S.

Peter greate wronge, appointinge him sutch Childzen, to be his Heires. For many of
them in al their dealinges, haue resembled Nero, moze then Peter. S. Bernarde saithe
thus vnto Pope Eugenius: In his succellist, non Petro, sed Constantino: In these thinges
ye haue succeeded, not Peter the Apostle, but the Emperoure Constantine. Pope Adrian
the fourth was wont to saie, Succedimus, non Petro in docendo, sed Romulo in parrici-
dio: Wee succede, not Peter in teachinge, but Romulus in killinge our Brethren.

Erasmus saithe, Pontifices nunc sunt Vicarij Iulij Cæsaris, Alexandri Magni, Cræsi,
Xerxis: non Christi, non Petri: The Popes nowe are the Vicars of Iulius Cæsar, of Alex-
ander the Great, of Cræsus, and of Xerxes: not of Christe, nor of Peter. It is written in
a Sermon, bearing the name of S. Ambrose: Qui debuerint esse Vicarij Apostolorum,
facti sunt Socij Iudæ: They that shoulde haue ben the Apostles Vicars, are nowe become Iu-
das felowes. Robertus Gallus, that liued twelue hundred yeres past, imagineth
Christe thus to saie of the Pope: Quis posuit Idolum hoc in Sede mea, ut imperaret Gre-
gi meo? Who set this Idole in my rouse, and made him ruler ouer my Flocke? And bringe so
vile in his owne house, howe can he be glorious in the House of God?

Yet (you saie) the Pope is alwaies, not onely a Christian man, but also a chiefe Priest, (not by
saith, but) by the nature of his Office. Euen so your Glose telleth you, Papa Sanctitatem
recipit a Cathedra: The Pope receiveth his holinesse of his Chaire: that is to saie, of the na-
ture of his Office. Cardinal Cusanus saithe, Veritas adhæret Cathedræ, &c. Veritas per
Christum Cathedræ alligata est, non Personis. Ait enim: super Cathedram Moysi sederunt
Scribæ, & Pharisei: The Truthe cleaueth saith to the Popes Chaire, &c. Christe hath nai-
led his Truthe to the Popes Chaire, and not to his Person. For he saith, The Scribes, and
Phariseis are placed in Moses Chaire. An other saith, Tametsi Papa non sit bonus, tamen
semper præsumitur esse bonus. In Papa si desint bona acquisita per meritum, sufficiunt, quæ
à loci Prædecessore præstantur: Notwithstandinge the Pope be not good, yet he is ener pre-
sumed to be good: If the Pope lacks good vertues of his owne, the vertues of Peter his Prede-
cessour are sufficiente.

Addition. M. Wardinge. You beare your Reader in hande, that Nicolaus
Cusanus wrote a Booke intituled, De Auctoritate Ecclesiæ, & Concilij, supra & contra Scri-
pturam. Nowe, M. Iewell, if you be hable to shewe vs any Booke of Cusanus so intituled, either
in printe, or in authentique written hande, I wil saie, that you wil proue your selfe a truer man, then euer
I tooke you to be, &c.

The Answer. This mater, M. Wardinge, you haue blased out with sutch elo-
quence, as is mosse meete for a man of your sobyietie. In dede, at what time I wrote
mine Answer, I had not that Booke of Cusanus, nor coulde not geate it by any
meanes: but was faine therein to vse the report, and credite of Matthias Flacius Illy-
ricus: whom, I thinke you wil not denie, to be a man of good readinge. His wordes
hereof are theise, Nicolai Cusani sententia, de Auctoritate Ecclesiæ, & Concilij, supra
& contra Scripturas. Whereupon you erie out in the mildnesse of youre spirite, A shame-
lesse

Addition

lesse Man: A false Harlotte, An impudent Lier, Growen to satche impudencie, A Sclaunderer, A Bragger, A Boaster of greate readinge, &c. **M. Hardinge**, it were mutche sifter for a wise man, to be sober, then thus to fare. But you passe alonge boldly, and constantly, as your manner is.

M. Hardinge. Wel, saie you, perchaunce you wil saie, though the title be altered, yet the wordes out of the same Epistle be truly recited, wherein consisteth the chiefe effecte, and principal purpose. If you so saie, you wil be proued no lesse a lier, and false reporter herein, then you haue benne in the reste. And for example herein, I wil bringe euen the very firste place, that you haue alleged out of him. You telle vs Pag. 55. that thus he saith, *Sequuntur Scriptura Ecclesiam, & non e conuerso*: The Scriptures of God folow the Church: but contrariwise, the Church foloweth not the Scriptures. You haue here clipped the Authours sentence, and quite altered the sense. His wordes are these, *Ecclesia igitur, sicut recipit Scripturam, ita & interpretatur: sequitur Scriptura Ecclesiam, qua prior est, & propter quam Scriptura, & non e conuerso*: The Church, as it receiueth the Scripture, so doth it expounde the same. The Scriptures therefore doo folowe the Church, which is the former, and for the which the Scripture is ordeined, and not contrariwise. Cusanus woordes in their righte forme doo bothe stande wel, and haue a good meaninge. But your false changinge of them, causeth them to importe an intolerable derogation of the Scriptures, withoute anye colour of trueth. For as it is mooste true, that the Church was before the Scriptures, that is to saie, the written wordes of God, and that the Scriptures were ordeined and appointed for the Church: For it is very false, that the Scriptures doo folowe the Church, and the Church not the Scriptures. For why hath the Church, receiued the Scriptures, but to followe them, and to put them in Execution, bothe in our inwarde beleefe, and in our outwarde actions? Doo you not blushe, M. Jewell, thus wilfully to peruerse that with your false iuggling, and conueighing awaie of those wordes, *Qua prior est, & propter quam Scriptura*, which before had a good tighte sense? You thought belike, you shoulde neuer here hereof againe, nor be called to any reckning: or els ye would haue had more regarde to your good name, and honestie, &c. You thought, you would passe Illyricus an ase in falschoode, although he be his craftes maister therein.

The Answer. A fierce Dyatoure you are, and a fauourable Interpreter, **M. Hardinge**. Cardinal Cusanus muste needes be defended, and staide byrighte, in respect of his Dignitie, and what so euer he hath written, it muste haue a right good Catholique meaninge. But perze, **M. Jewell** muste blushe, and be ashamed of his iuggling. But, I praise you, **M. Hardinge**, are not theise Cusanus your Doctoures owne wordes? Doothe he not saie plainely, *Sequuntur Scriptura Ecclesiam, & non e conuerso*? The Scriptures folowe the Church, but contrariwise, the Church foloweth not the Scriptures? You saie, There be other wordes betwene *Qua prior est, & propter quam Scriptura*: That is to saie, The Church was before the Scriptures, and the Scriptures are to serue the Church. Is this the mater, **M. Hardinge**, that muste make **M. Jewell** to change his colour? Or, are theise wordes sufficiente, to putte Cusanus from his meaninge? Firste he saith, The Scriptures folow the Church: Doothe he afterwarde by theise wordes recante the same, and telle vs, that the Church foloweth the Scriptures.

You wil saie, Cusanus saith not, The Scriptures folow the Church in Authoritie, but onely in time: For he saith, The Church was before the Scriptures. So might he haue saide, Moses was before Christe: or, The Lawe was before the Gospel: or, the Synagoge was before the Church. But what had this benne to his purpose? Awake a litle, **M. Hardinge**, and remember your selfe. Was this the question, that laie betwene Cardinal Cusanus, and the Bohemians, whether the Church, or the Scriptures were former in time? Or if it were not the question, would he speake so mutche, and so vainely, as you oftentimes do, besides his purpose? Leauie, leauie this triflinge, **M. Hardinge**, It wil not healpe you: learne rather to vnderstande youre Doctoures meaninge by him selfe.

The mater, as you knowe, was this: The Bohemians required the Holy Communion in bothe kindes, and therein alleged the warrante of the Scriptures. For Christe saide they, ordeined, and ministred the Communion in bothe kindes. Cusanus defended the abuse, and disorder of the Communion in one kinde onely, and therein alleged the warrante of the Church of Rome. Hereof this issue grewe betwene them, whether they ought, in cases of Religion, to folowe the Church of Rome, or rather

ther the Scriptures. To this Cusanus saith, The Church of Rome is above the Scriptures. This he saith, as a foundation of the whole. And therefore of the Scriptures he speaketh full meancly, and very coldly, or rather disceinfully, as it shal appeare, and alloweth al Power, and Authozitie to the Church of Rome. These thinges considered, I beseeche you, to what purpose had it benne, for Cusanus to saie, The Church was before the Scriptures? For the question was not, whether the Church, or the Scripture were the elder, but whether of these two, in trial of the Truthe, we ought rather to followe.

Cusan. ad
Bohe. Epi. 2.

Cusan Exci-
tationū. li. 2.
Non diceret.
Excitmt. li. 6.
Vbi Ecclesia.

No man can
be deceived.
Vnto Salua-
tion.

Obedience
without rea-
son:

As a Horse
is obediente
to his Mai-
ster.

Nic. Cusan.
ad Bohemos
Epi. 2.
Pag. 832.
Pag. 833.

Ep. 7. p. 837.
Ep. 2. p. 833.

Nowe, concerninge the Authozitie of the Church, Cusanus saith thus, Veritas adhæret Cathedræ Petri: Vniuersa Catholica Ecclesia ad Petri Cathedram conglabata, à Christo nunquam recedet: Hæc est vna, quæ tenet, & possidet omnem Sponsi sui Domini potestatem: Veritas Cathedræ per Christum alligata est, non personis: Extra Romanam Sanctam Catholicam Ecclesiam non est salus: Quam firma est ædificatio Ecclesiæ? Quia nemo decipi potest etiam per malum præfidentem. Si dixeris, Domine obediui tibi in præposito, hoc tibi sufficiet ad salutem: etiam si præpositus de oneribus humeris tuis impositis, Præceptis, & solutionibus rationem sit Deo redditurus. Tu enim per obedientiam, quam facis præposito, quem Ecclesia tolerat, decipi nequis, etiam si præceperit alia, quam debuit. Quare Sententia Pastoris ligat te pro tua salute, propter bonum obedientiæ, etiam si iniusta fuerit. Nam ad te non attinet cognoscere, quod Sententia sit iniusta, nec conceditur tibi, vt non obedias, si tibi iniusta videatur. Nulla enim esset obedientia, si in tuo arbitrio esset, de Sententia Pastoris iudicare. Præsumit enim Ecclesia de illa Sententia: Cui si tu obedieris, magna erit merces tua. Obedientia igitur irrationalis est consummata obedientia, & perfectissima: scilicet, quando obeditur sine inquisitione rationis, sicut iumentum obedit Domino suo. &c. The truth cleaueth faste to Peters Chaire: The whole Vniuersal Catholique Church, rolled vp to Peters Chaire, shal neuer departe from Christe: This Church (of Rome) is that onely Church, that holdeth, and possesseth al the Power of the Lorde her Spouse: Christe hath tied his Truthe to the Chaire, not to the persones of the Bishoppes: Without the Holy, Catholique Church of Rome there is no saluation: How strange is the buildinge of the Church? For no man can be deceived, no not by an euil Bishop: If thou saie vnto God, O Lorde, I haue obeyed thee in my Bishop, this shal suffice thee vnto Saluation: notwithstandinge the Bishop of his parte shal yeelde an accompte vnto God, for the burthens, that he hath laide vpon thy shoulders, for his commaundementes, and for thy paymentes. For thou canste not be deceived by thy obedience, that thou yeeldeste to the Bishop, whome the Church suffereth, although he commaunde thee other thinges, then he ought to doo. Therefore the Bishoppes sentence, although he be vniuste, bindeeth thee for thy Saluation, because of the goodnesse of obedience. For it behoueth not thee, to know, that his Sentence is vniust: Nor is it lawfull for thee to disobey it, although thou take it to be vniuste. For it were no obedience at al, if it were in thy Power, to iudge of the sentence of thy Bishop. For the Church presumeth his Sentence to be good: Whiche Sentence if thou obey, thy rewarde shal be greate. Obedience therefore without reason is a full, and moste perfit obedience: That is, when thou obeyest without requiring of reason, as a Horse is obediente to his Maister. With such coloures Cusanus abourneth and blasphemeth the Palettre of the Church of Rome: and such obedience, and bondage he requireth to be yeldeo vnto the same. So muste we be obediente vnto the Pope, as a Horse is obediente vnto his Maister.

Nowe let vs consider, in what regarde he hath the Scriptures of God: so shal we see, howe farre he placeth the one in Authozitie before the other. Thus therefore he saith to the Bohemians: Dicitis, Præcepto Christi obediendum esse primo loco, deinde Ecclesiæ: & si aliud præceperit Ecclesia, quam Christus, non Ecclesiæ, sed Christo obediendum esse. Certè in hoc est omnium præsumptionum initium, quando iudicant particulares suum sensum in Diuinis Præceptis conformiorem, quam Vniuersæ Ecclesiæ. Intellige, Scripturas esse ad tempus adaptatas, & variè intellectas, ita, vt vno tempore secundum currentem vniuersalem ritum exponantur: mutato ritu, iterum Sententia muteatur. Non mirum, si Praxis Ecclesiæ vno tempore interpretetur Scripturam vno modo, & alio tempore alio modo. Nam intellectus currit cum Praxi. Intellectus enim, qui cum Praxi concurrat, est Spiritus viuificans. Fatuum est ergo argumentum, velle Vniuersalem Ecclesiæ ritum,

ritum, ex Scripturis Prædecessorum arguere. Legitur epim, Apostolos non tradidisse Fi-
dem per Scripturas &c. Hæc est omnium sanè intelligentium Sententia, qui Scripturarum
Authoritatem, aut intellectum in Ecclesiæ Authoritate fundant, quæ vnā accipit, & al-
teram abijcit: & non è conuerso, Ecclesiæ firmamentum in Scripturarum Authoritate lo-
cant. Dicetis forsitan, quomodo mutabuntur Præcepta Christi Authoritate Ecclesiæ, vt
tunc sint obligatoria, quando Ecclesiæ placuerit? Dico, nulla esse Christi Præcepta, nisi
quæ per Ecclesiam pro talibus accepta sint. Mutato iudicio Ecclesiæ, mutatum est & Dei
iudicium: *You saie, wee muste Firste obeie Christes Commaundemente, and afterwarde the
Church. And if the Church commande vs to doo otherwise, then Christe commandeth, wee
muste then obeie Christe, and not the Church. Vtrily herein standeth the beginnunge of al
presumption, when particulare menne thinke, theire owne iudgements to be more agreeable to
Goddess commaundementes, then the iudgements of the Vniuersal Church. Vnderstande thou,
that the Scriptures are appointed to serue the time, and haue diuerse vnderstandinges: so
that at one time they may be expounded after the Vniuersal, common, and ordinarie cu-
stome: and that, the same custome beinge changed, the meaninge of the Scriptures maie like-
wise be changed. No maruile, though the Practise of the Church at one time doo expounde
the Scriptures after one sorte, and at an other time, after an other sorte, for the vnderstandinge
of the Scriptures renueth with the practise of the Church. For the vnderstandinge, that renu-
meth with the Practise is the quickening Sprite. It is a foolish enterprife, to goe about to reprove
the Vniuersal order of the Church by the Scriptures of our Ancestours. For wee reade, that
the Apostles deliuered not the Faith by the Scriptures. This is the iudgements of al that, be
wise, that builde, and founde the Authoritie, and vnderstanding of the Scriptures in the
Authoritie of the Church, which receineth one Scripture, and refuseth an other: but contrari-
wise they builde not the staie of the Church, in the Authoritie of the Scriptures. Perhaps you wil
saie, how shal Christes cōmaundementes be changed by the Authoritie of the Church, that they
shal binde vs, when the Church shal thinke it good? I tel thee, there is nothing to be taken for
Christes cōmaundement, o, lesse it be so allowd of the Church. VVhen the Church hath
once changed her iudgemente, Goddess iudgemente is likewise changed.*

Whereby, M. Hardinge maie you knowe Cardinal Cusanus iudgemente, touchinge
the Scriptures of Almightye God. The Scriptures, saith he, solovv the Practise of the
Church, not onely in tune, as you saie, but also in Authoritie, and in credite. As for
your Commentaries, they are to simple, and ouer partial, and a greate waie beside the
texte. **Howe iudge you indifferently, M. Hardinge, whether** Cusanus saie not, as I
haue alleaged him, Sequuntur Scripturæ Ecclesiam, & non è conuerso. The Scriptures
folowe the Church: but contrariwise the Church followeth not the Scriptures. To con-
clude, hereby maie yē iudge of the Title of these Epistles, wherewith you finde your
selfe so mutche encombyed, De Authoritate Ecclesiæ, supra, & contra Scripturas: Cer-
tainely it is manifest by his plains wordes, that he placeth the Authoritie of the Church, not
onely above, but also againste the Authoritie of the Scriptures.

There were neuer so many Heretiques in any one See, as haue benne in the See of
Rome: as I haue already sufficiently, and fully proued. And yet, yē saie, The Pope
cannot erre. **There were neuer so notozious Examplis, or, as** Platyna calleth them,
Sponsters of filthy life: Yea (yē saie) they are al Holy Fathers, and holde their Christianitie by na-
ture of Office. **Howe be it, your Doctour Alphonfus saith,** Quamuis credere teneamur
ex Fide, Verum Petri Successorem esse Supremum Pastorem Totius Ecclesiæ, non tamen
tenemur eadem Fide credere, Leonem, aut Clementem esse Verum Petri Successorem:
*Although wee be bounde to beleue, that the true Successor of Peter is the Higheste Pa-
stoure of al the Church, yet are wee not bounde with like Faith to beleue, that Pope Leo,
and Pope Clement are the True Successors of Peter.* **Iohn the Baptiste saide rightly**
unto the Phariseis, that likewise made banntes of their Succession, Neuer saie Abraham
is your Father. For God is able, enen of these stonnes to raise vp Children vnto Abraham.
Christostome saith, Non locus Sanctificat hominem, sed homo locum: Nec Cathedra
facit Sacerdotem, sed Sacerdos Cathedram: *The place sanctifieth not the Man, but the
Man sanctifieth the Place: Neither dooth the Chaire make the Prieste, but the Prieste
maketh the Chaire.*

Peters
Successors.

708

The Defense of the Apologie of the

Greg. Naz.
In sanctum
Lauacrum.
Chrysost. 7ⁿ
Mat. ho. 33.

In sexto, li. 3
Tul. 16.
aa. Unico.

Dist. 98. Si
Imperator.

7ⁿ Glossa.
Chrysost. ad
Pop. Antio.

Homil. 2.
Conci. Chal.

Actio. 1. 7ⁿ
nomine.

Extra de
Maioris. &
Obedien.

7ⁿ Glossa.

Holcote, In
Sapient.

Lectio. 199.

Otho Frisingensis.

Nazianzene saith: Non locorum est Gratia, sed Spiritus: The grace of God goeth not by Place, but by the holy Ghost.

Chrysostome saith: Omnis Christianus, qui suscipit Verbum Petri, sit Thronus Petri, & Petrus sedet in eo: Every Christian man, whiche receiveth the wordes of Peter, is made Peters Chaire, and S. Peter reaseth in him.

But here have you founde out a soule contradiction in our wordes. who is he (saie you) whom ye calle the Prince of the woorld? Not the Pope, M. Hardinge: Least ye should happily be deceived: notwithstandinge, your felowes have so often tolde us, Papa totius Orbis obtinet Principatum: The Pope hath the Princehood of al the woorld.

The Emperours Maestie was kinde oftentimes entituled by this name: but your Popes Holinesse, so entituled, was kinde neuer: Unless it be some certaine late Decrees, and Closes of his owne. Al be it, you of late have muche abated the Emperours honoure, and have made him onely the Popes man. For thus ye saie: Imperator (Occidentis) est Procurator, siue Defensor Romanæ Ecclesiæ: The Emperoure (of the VVeast) is the Proctoure, or Stewarde of the Church of Rome.

Pet Chrysostome saith, Imperator est Summitas, & Caput omnium super terram hominum: The Emperoure is the Toppe, and Heade of al menne upon the Earthe. In the Councel of Calcedon, the Emperoure is called, Dominus Vniuersi Mundi: The Lord of the whole woorld.

Perhaps ye will saie, The state of the Empire is nowe empouered: And therefore the Emperoure hath losse his Title. Pet your owne Doctoures, and Closures coulde haue tolde you: Iura communia dicunt, quod Imperator est Dominus Mundi: Notwithstandinge the Decale of the Emperie, The Common Lawes saie, that the Emperoure is the Lord of the woorld. Roberte Holcote, speakinge of the Emperoure of Germanie, saith thus: Hic est Rex Regum, cui omnes subditæ sunt Nationes, & Populi, &c. The Emperoure is the Kinge of Kinges, vnto whome al Nations, and Countries be in subiection.

The Romaines of late yeres wrote thus vnto the Emperoure Conradus: Excellentissimo, & Præclarissimo Urbis, & Orbis totius Domino, &c. Vnto the moste excellent, and moste noble Emperoure, the Lord both of the Citie of Rome, and also of al the whole woorld. Therefore, M. Hardinge, to moue this vaine quarel, without some cause, it was great folie.

Councelles (ye saie) in old times were holden by authoritie of the Pope. For prouise whereof, ye allege Socrates in the eighth Booke, and the seconde Chapter: But the wordes, or sentence ye allege none. How be it, it was a great oversight, to allege the eighth Booke of Socrates, whereas Socrates him selfe neuer wrote but Seuen, and so farre to overleape your Authoure. Notwithstandinge, this smal Erroure maie wel be dissembled amongst so many. Howe be it, touchinge the thinge it selfe, ye maie as easly kinde it in the eighth Booke of Socrates, that neuer was written, as els where. For in dede amongst al, that euer he wrote, this thinge certainly, that you allege, he wrote neuer.

The Apologie, Cap. 12. Division. 5. & Cap. 13. Division. 1.

And, although the modestie, and mildenesse of the Emperoure Ferdinando be so greete, that he can beare this wronge, bicause peradventure he vnderstandeth not wel the Popes packing, yet oughte not the Pope of his holinesse to offer him that wronge, nor to claime an other mans right, as his owne.

But hereto somme will replie: The Emperoure in dede called Councelles at that time, ye speake of, bicause the Bishop of Rome was not yet growen so great, as he is nowe, but yet the Emperour did not then sitte togeather with the Bishoppes in Councel, nor bare any stroke with his Authoritie in their consultations. I answer, nay, that is not so. For, as witnesseth Theodore, the Emperoure Constantine, not onely

only sate together with them in the Council of Nice, but gaue also aduise to the Bishoppes, howe it was beste to trie out the mater by the Apostles, and Prophetes writings, as appeareth by these his owne woordes. In disputation (saith he) of maters of Diuinitie, we haue set before vs to folowe, the doctrine of the Holy Ghost. For the Euangelistes and the Apostles woorkes, and the Prophetes sayings shewe vs sufficiently, what opinion we ought to haue of the wil of God.

M. Hardinge.

For the sittinge of Emperours in Councelles, you treat a common place not necessarie. No man euer denied, but Emperours maie sitte in them, wee acknowledge two sortes of settinge: one for the assesseurs, and another for the Iudges. (a) No Emperoure euer sate as a Iudge in Council: but many, bothe Emperours in person, and their Lieutenantes for them haue sitten, as beinge ready to assiste and defende that, whiche the Bishoppes had iudged and decreed.

What maner a seate great Constantine had in the firste Council at Nice. Eusebius in his life and Theodoritus doothe declare. After that al the Bishoppes were sette in their seates to the number of 318. in came the Emperoure laste with a smal companie. (b) A lowe litle chaire beinge sette for him in the middell, he would not sitte downe, before the Bishoppes had reuerently signified so muche vnto him, and as Theodoritus writeth, not before he had desired the Bishoppes to permitte him so to doo. Nowe thinke you that the Supreme heade of the Church shoulde haue (c) comme in laste, and haue sitten (d) beneath his subiectes, and haue staide to sitte, vntil they had as it were geuen him leaue.

Neither consulted he with the Bishoppes, but required them to consulte of the maters, they came for, as Theodoritus witnesseth. Neither spake he there so generally as you reporte, nor framed his tale in that sorte as you saie. (e) Vniuersally of the wil of God, (e) but of the Godheade, sayinge, that the Bookes of the Gospelles, and of the Apostles, and the Oracles of the Prophetes doo plainly teache vs, what we ought to thinke of the Godhead, $\pi\tau\epsilon\iota\varsigma\ \delta\epsilon\iota\tau\upsilon$. For the controuersie aboute whiche the Ariens made so muche ado, was touchinge the equalitie of the Godhead in Christe, and his consubstantialitie with God the Father. And by those woordes and other, whiche there be uttered, he tooke not vpon him to define, or Iudge, but onely to exhorte them to agree together in one Faith. For amonge those Bishoppes certaine there were, that fauoured the Heresie of Arius. Sathce examples you bringe for defense of your parte, as make muche againste you, Not that you delight in making a roilde for your selfe, but bicause you haue no better: and somewhat muste you needes saie, lest the stage you plaie your parte on, shoulde stande stil.

The Bishop of Sarisbury.

Emperours (yee saie) sate in Councelles, as Assesseurs onely, but not as Iudges: That is to saie, they sate by the Bishoppes, and helde their peace, and tolde the clocke, and saide nothinge. Yet your Doctoures saie, Assessor Episcopi non potest esse Laicus: The Assesseur of a Bishoppe maie not be a Layman. But, touching the mater it selfe, Eusebius, that was alwaies neare aboute the Emperoure Constantine, and wrote his life, and was presente at the Council, saith thus: Constantinus, quasi Communis quidam Episcopus a Deo constitutus, Ministrorum Dei Synodos conuocauit: Nec dedignatus est adesse, & considere in medio illorum, consorsque fieri ipsorum, &c. Constantine, as if he had benne a Common Bishop appointed by God, called together Councelles of Goddes Ministers: and disdained not him selfe to sitte in the middell amonges them, and to be partaker of their dooings. Againe, Constantinus him selfe saith thus: Ego intereram Concilio, tanquam vnus ex vobis: I was presente at the Council amonge you, as one of you. Againe Eusebius saith, Vnus, & Vnicus Deus instituit Constantinum Ministrum suum, & Doctorem Pietatis omnibus terris: The One, and Onely God, hath appointed Constantine to be his Minister, and the Doctoure of true Godlinesse vnto al Nations. And Theodoretus saith, Laudatissimus Rex Apostolicas curas suscepiebat animo suo: At Pontifices, non solum non edificare Ecclesiam, sed etiam illius fundamenta labefactare conabantur. The good Emperoure had Apostolique Cares in his barte. But the Bishoppes did not onely not builde vp the Church of God, but also overthrowe the foundations of the same. M. Hardinge saith, The Bishoppes did al, and the Emperoure did nothinge. But Theodoretus saith, The Emperoure builde vp, that the Bishoppes had throwen downe.

And againe Eusebius saith, Constantinus erat Vocalissimus Dei præco, & quasi
Seruator ca. 24.

(a) Vntrithe.
For Cusanus
saith,
Inuenio Im-
peratores in
Concilijs in
dicia fecisse.
Reade the An-
swere.

(b) Vntrithe.
Chaire was al
of beaten gold,
and was set in
the highest
place of the
Council

(c) Vaine folie.
For the Prince
neuer commeth
into the Parlia-
mente Houle,
before the
Lordes be set.

(d) Vntrithe.
For Some-
nus saith.
Erat thronus
ille max-
imus, & a-
lios omnes
superans.

(e) Vntrithe,
euidet. For
Castiodorus
expoundeth
it thus,
Quid de di-
uina Lege
Sapere de-
beamus.

De Prescri-
ptis Statu. 9.
Assessorem
Geminianus.

Euseb. In vita
Con. Orat. 3.
 $\alpha\upsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma\ \delta\epsilon\iota\tau\upsilon\ \alpha\epsilon\iota\varsigma\ \epsilon\varsigma\ \epsilon\varsigma\ \theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma\ \epsilon\iota\varsigma\ \epsilon\varsigma\ \chi\alpha\upsilon\omicron\upsilon\ \sigma\upsilon\mu-
\pi\alpha\gamma\alpha\upsilon.$

Euseb. Eodem
loco.

Constantine in the
Council
of Nice.

Eus. 7^m vita
Con. Orat. 1.
Niceph. in
praefatione
ad Emma-
nualem.

Theo. l. 1. c. 7
Ἰωάννης τὸ
ἐπίτερε
δὴν νόμος
τῶ πρέποντι
ἢ πᾶσαι αἱ
σοφίαι.
πάντων δὲ
ἐξουσιάζων
ἐπὶ συνήμα-
τι ὁ τῆς βα-
σιλείας ἑσο-
δὸν ἐσθλός·
σμίκεος τῶς
αὐτῶ καὶ δι-
μοχτοῦ ὕλης
χρυσὸς πτε-
ροειμένον.

Περὶ τοῦ
ἐπὶ τῆς προ-
θέου τῶν
ταγματῶν
ἀρχιε-
ρέως δὲ
ἡν ὁ σὺν καὶ
τῶν ἄλλων
ὑπερέτατος.

Ceremonia.
li. 2. Sect. 14.
cap. 2.

Et adverte-
nim quod lo-
cus, ubi sedet
Imperator,
non sit altior
loco, ubi te-
net pedes
Pontifex.

Servator, ac Medicus animarum: Constantine the Emperoure was a moste cleare Preacher of God, and as it were, the Saviour, and Phisition of Soules. In this sorte Nicephorus writeth vnto the Emperoure Emmanuel Palæologus: Tu es Dux professionis Fidei nostra, &c. Your Maiestie is the Captaine of the Profession of our Faith: your Maiestie hath restored the Catholique, and Vniuersal Church. Your Maiestie hath reformed the Temple of God from Merchantes, and Exchangers of the Heauenly Doctrine, and from Heretikes, by the woordes of Truthe.

Per saie, When the Bishoppes were set, in came the Emperour last with a smal companie. Whereof yee woulde haue vs to gather, that he came onely as somme inferioure persone, and not as a Judge. **W**olue be it, Theodoretus saith, Constantinus iussit Episcopos ingredi: Constantine commaunded the Bishoppes to goe in.

And Eusebius saith, Sedit tota Synodus reuerenter, vt par fuit, cum silentio expectans aduentum Principis: The whole Councel sate in reuerence, and comely order, quietly, and in silence lookinge for the Princes comminge.

This is no good proufe, **M**. Wardinge, that the Emperour in the Councel was inferioure to the Bishops. **S**ay, the Bishops were commaunded to take their places, to sitte in silence, and to waite for the Emperours comming, as it becommeth subiectes to waite for their Prince. **A**gain he saith: Signo, quo aduentus Imperatoris indicatus est dato, omnibus exurgentibus, ipse deinde ingressus est medius, tanquam aliquis Dei Cœlestis Angelus: When the watchwoorde was giuen, that the Emperour was come, the Bishoppes stoode vp from their places, and his Maiestie passe alonge through the middes of them, as if he had benne an Angel of God.

But the Emperoure (yee saie) sate vpon a litle lowe stoole, and therein shewed him selfe inferioure to the Bishoppes. **Y**ea, **M**. Wardinge, but Eusebius saith, that the same litle lowe stoole was made of whole beaten Golde: And therein, I trowe, as low as yee place him, he was some what aboute the Bishoppes.

Per saie, he sate alowe, and in the middes of the Bishoppes. And hereof yee conclude, he was their Inferiour. **S**o Iulius Cæsar, notwithstanding he were the Emperoure of Rome, yet, when he came into the College of Poëtes, he was wel contented, to be placed in the lowest roume: And yet was he not therefore their Inferioure.

But touching the Emperoure Constantines place in the Councel, Eusebius saith thus: Accessit ad summum gradum ordinum: He wente up to the highest risinge of al the benches. Sozomenus saith: Imperator peruenit ad Caput Concilij, & sedit in Throno quodam, quem ipse sibi fecerat. Erat autem Thronus ille Maximus, & alios omnes superans: The Emperoure wente up to the head, or highest place of the Councel, and sate downe in a Throne of estate, that he had prepared for him selfe. But this Throne was very great, and faire passed al the reste. In the meane season, the Popes Legate sate, as it is saide before, neither in the firste place, nor in the seconde, nor in the thirde, but in the fourth. Whereby it seemeth, to appeare plainely, that Constantinus the Emperour had his place in the Councel aboute al the Bishoppes.

Notwithstanding, sithence that time the Pope hath determined, it muste not be otherwise. **W**is order is this, as it hath benne alleged before: Sedes Imperatoris parabitur, &c. The Emperours Seate shalbe prepared nexte vnto the Popes Seate, and shal haue twoo steppes ioined vnto the same: but neither so broad, nor so longe, as be the Popes. It shalbe apparelled with clothe of Golde: but Canapie ouer the headde it shal haue none. **H**owe be it, this thinge is specially to be marked, that the place, where vpon the Emperoure sitteth, maie be no higher, then the place, where the Pope sitteth his Feet. **T**hus wee see, the Emperoure is allowed to sitte at the Popes foote stoole: but in any case to mounte no higher.

The Emperoure (yee saie) stoode stil (no doubt, with Cappe in hands) and durste not sitte downe without leaue: And thereby testified, him selfe to be Inferioure to the Bishoppes. **T**hese colde Conclusions, **M**. Wardinge, wil hardly serue you. **F**or Traianus, beinge the Emperoure of Rome, was contented him selfe to stande asote, and, for honours sake, commaunded the Consulles to sitte downe. Yet was he not therefore Inferioure in dignitie to the Consulles.

Helena

Helena the Emperesse, apparelled her selfe like a Seruante, and ministred vnto the Holy Virgines, and gaue them water to their handes, as if in deede she had benne their Seruante: yet was she not therefore Inferioure vnto the Virgines.

The same Emperoure Constantine at the time of the Ecclesiastical Sermons, stood vpright, and would not sitte: for the reuerence, that he bare to the VVoord of God. Yet was he not Inferioure to the Preacher. This was that good Emperoures modestie, and Humilitie, & Hardinge: but not his detetie. It were wisdom for Princes, to take hede, they committe not ouermuchte to your handes. For what so euer they once yelde of more curtesie, straight waie ye claime it, as your owne,

Howe be it, touchinge the Emperoures sittinge in the Council, it was farre otherwise, then you repozte it. Sozomenus saith, Imperator resedit in Throno, qui il-
li paratus fuerat: & Synodus iussa est sedere: The Emperoure sate downe in his Chaire of
estate provided for him: and (then) the Council was Commaunded to sitte downe. Here
by it appeareth, the Emperoure needed not the Bishoppes leaue: but rather gaue
leaue to the Bishoppes.

No doubt, that Godly, and Milde Prince, bainge in that reuerende assemblie, bare him selfe with mutche Reuerence. And therefore Eusebius saith, He sate not
downe, befoze the Bishoppes had beckned vnto him. But he added withal, Post Impera-
torem, idem fecerunt omnes: (Not before, but) After that the Emperoure was sette, they
sate downe al together. Howe, & Hardinge, if he, that sate firste in the Council,
were the Heade of the Church, as you saie to saie, then maie I reason thus: The
Pope, or his Legate in that Council sate not firste: Ergo, the Pope then was not
Heade of the Church.

Againe, The Emperoure in that Council sate firste: Ergo, by your owne Con-
clusion, the Emperoure was the Heade of the Church. Certainly the Pope him
selfe saith plainly, The Emperoure Constantine was the Presidente, or Ruler of the
Nicene Council. His wordes be these: Constantinus Præsidentis Sanctæ Synodo, quæ
apud Nicæam congregata est: Constantius the Emperoure, beinge Presidente of the Holy
Council that was kepte at Nice. These be not our wordes, but the Popes, registred
euén in his owne Records. Therefore, I truste, ye wil not refuse to graue them
credite.

But you saie, The Emperoure determined, and defined nothinge. Yet the Emperoure him
selfe, contrarie to your sayinge, saith thus: Ego suscepi, & perteci res salutiferas, persua-
sus Verbo tuo: O Lorde, I tooke in hande, and brought to passe vwhole some thinges, be-
ing perfwaded by thy vwoorde.

And againe, wrytinge hereof vnto the Bishoppes of sundrie Churches, he saith: Ego
vobiscum interfui, tanquam vnus ex vobis. Non enim negauerim, conseruum me
vestrum esse: Quia de re mihi maxime gratulor: I was presente at the Council with
you, as one of you. For I cannot denie mee selfe to be your Felow Seruante. In which thinge
I moste reioyce.

Likewise againe he saith: Ego Nicæam contraxi magnum numerum Episcoporum: Cum
quibus, cum essem vnus ex vobis, & Conseruus vester vehemeter esse cuperem, etiam
ipse suscepi inquisitionem Veritatis: I caused a greate compaignie of Bishoppes to come to
Nice: with whom together, I tooke in hande the Examination of the Truthe, beinge mee
selfe one of you, and mutche desiring to be therein your Felow Seruante.

Likewise saith Eusebius, Imperator, quasi luculentam faciem accendens, ne quæ oc-
cultæ Erroris reliquæ superessent, oculo Regio circumspexit: The Emperoure, as hauinge
enkindled a great flame, lookte wel about with his Princely Eye, that no prinie remnantes
of error should rest behinde.

The Bishoppes in the same Nicene Council, beinge at variance amongst them
selues, offered vp their Bookes of accusation, not vnto the Pope, or to his Legates,
of whome they had then no greate regarde, but vnto the Emperoure. Neither did the
Emperoure putte ouer their quarells vnto the Popes Iudgemente, but vnto the
Iudgemente of God. Againe, the same Emperoure Constantine saith: If any Bi-
shop vickedly offende, by the hande of Goddes seruante, that is to saie, by my hande, he
shalbe

Ruffinus.

li. i. ca. 7.

Euseb. In vita

Con. Ora. 4.

Soz. li. i. ca. 19.

Kai n sunc

dos naxthou

kaleuon.

Euseb. In vita

Con. Ora. 4.

n pteuon

n tōs epōnō

pōs epōnō

cai in dēiē

tōrtōn

in ex tōrtō

pōntēs

metā eapō

nēa.

12. Qua. 1.

Futu. Greg.

Euseb. In vita

Con. Ora. 2.

Euseb. In vita

Con. Ora. 3.

Soz. li. i. ca. 9.

Kai autōs

tōn tōs dēi

dēiōs epōnō

cipōn dēiō

zōmōn.

Euseb. In vita

Con. Ora. 3.

Epōnōtēi

oīnōn pēti

tōnōn.

Soz. li. i. ca. 8.

Theodoros.

li. i. ca. 9.

Constantine in the
Council
of Nice.

Card. Cusa.
De Con. l. 3.
cap. 16.

712

The Defense of the Apologie of the

shalbe punished.

To be chozte, Cardinal Cusanus saith, Sciendum est, quod in vniuersalibus octo Concilijs, vbi Imperatores interfuerunt, & non Papa, semper inuenio Imperatores, & Iudices suos cum senatu Primatum habuisse, & officium Præsidentie per interloquutiones, & ex consensu Synodi, sine mandato, conclusiones, & iudicia fecisse. Et non reperitur instantia in octo concilijs, præter quam in tertia Actione Concilij Calcedonenfis: *Wee must knowe, that in the Eight General Councelles, where the Emperoures were presente, and not the Pope, & euermore finde, that the Emperoures, and their Iudges, with the Senate had the Gouvernemente, and Office of Presidence, by hearinge, and conferringe of matters: and that they made Conclusions, and Iudgements, with the consente of the Councel, and without any further Commission. And there is no manner instance, or exception to be founde in the first Eight Councelles, sauinge onely in the thirde Action of the Councel of Calcedon.*

Here y^e see plainly, by the Authoritie of Cardinal Cusanus, one of your owne speciall Doctoures, that in the Eight firste General Councelles, the Emperoure was Præsidente, and not the Pope.

Where as the Emperoure willed the Bishoppes to conclude their maters by the Apostolical, and Prophetical Scriptures, He speaketh not (saie you) so generally, as wee reporte him, nor frameth his tale in that sorte, as wee saie, vniuersally of the wil of God, but of the Godheade. **For, τὸ θεῖον in your sanse** signifieth onely the Substance, and nature of God, and not Goddes Vvil, or his Religion. **Here, M. Hardinge,** it were somme points of Learninge, to knowe, what skilful Greeke Reader tolde you this tale, that, τὸ θεῖον is nothinge els, but the Godhead, or Nature of God. **Werily** Calliodorus, in plaine wise, translateth it thus: Euangelici & Apostolici libri erudiunt nos, quid de Sacra Lege sapiamus: *Ths Bookes of the Euangelistes, and Apostles, teache vs, what wee ought to thinke, (not onely of the Substance, and Nature of God, but also) of the Holy Lavve.*

Trip. H.
lib. 2. cap. 3.

Theodoret.
lib. 1. cap. 7.
περὶ θεῶν
παρακρίσεων

Hilar. De
Trin. li. 7.
De rebus dei
περὶ τῶν θεῶν

Therefore Theodoretus addeth further these wordes: Accipiamus Explicationes quaestionum nostrarum ex dictis Sancti Spiritus: *Let vs take the resolution of our questions, out of the wordes of the Holy Ghoste.* And immediately befoze he saith: De rebus Diuinis disputantes, præscriptam habemus Doctrinam Sancti Spiritus: *In our Disputations (not onely of the Godheade, but also) of Godly maters, wee haue laide befoze vs the Doctrine of the Holy Gospel.* In like sense S. Hilarie saith: Non est relictus hominum eloquijs de Dei rebus alius, præterquam Dei Sermo. Omnia reliqua & arcta, & conclusa, & impedita sunt, & obscura: *In maters touchinge God, there is no speache leaste vnto menne, but onely the worde of God. Al other Authorities be shorte, and narrowe, and darke, and troublisome. Belæue them not hencefoz, the, M. Hardinge, that telle you, that, τὸ θεῖον, signifieth onely the Substance, and Nature of Goddes Diuinitie. For as y^e maye easily see, your Gloie is vaine, and fighteth directly against the Texts.*

The Apologie, Cap. 13. Diuision. 2.

The Emperoure Theodosius (as saith the Socrates) did not onely sitte emongest the Bishoppes, but also ordered the whole argueringe of the cause, and tare in peeces the Heretiques Bookes, and allowed for good the Iudgemente of the Catholiques.

(a) As if a priu-
ate Councel
were no Coun-
cel.

*Fonde folie.
As though the
Emperoure
coulede Con-
demne the He-
retiques, or al-
lowe the Ca-
tholiques, with-
out Iudge-
mente.

M. Hardinge.

It is a wonder to see, how these menne abuse the Ecclesiastical histories. Whereas they talke a litle before of the sittinge of Emperoures in General Councelles, a man woulde thinke, that nowe also Theodosius had ben laide to haue sitten amonge Bishoppes (a) in some General Councel. But there is no such matre. Theodosius the Emperoure conferred with Nectarius the Bishop of Constantinople, how al Christen men might be broughte to an vnitie in Faith. And after that Nectarius had learned of Sisinnius a greate Clarke, the beste waile to be, if al the Heades of eche Heresie and Secte, might be induced to be iudged by the olde Fathers and Doctoures of the Churche: the Godly Emperoure hearinge this aduise, caused bothe the Heretiques and Catholiques also, to write eche of them such thinges, as eche of them had to saie for his Beliefe. And after praiser made, readinge ouer al the writings, he reiected the Arians, the Macedonians, and the Eunomians, embracinge onely their senterce, who agreed vpon the Consubstantialitie of the sonne of God. Here (saie these Defenders) Theodosius did not onely sitte amonge the Bishoppes, but also ordered the whole

v. hole

whole arguing of the cause, tearing the papers of the Heretikes, and allowinge the Iudgement of the Catholikes. To which obiection I make this answere. First, that Theodosius here tooke counsel of Nectarius the Bishop, and followeth it. Secondly, that he intended not to iudge, whether opinion of al the Sectes were truer, (b) but onely sought howe to ridde the Church of controuersies. Otherwise he would not onely haue taken counsel of Nectarius the Catholike Bishop, but also of the Arians, Macedonians, and Eunomians. For he is not a right Iudge, that calleth one side onely to him, and in iudgement is ruled by it. If then it be plaine, that the Emperour onely consulted with Catholiques, it is no lesse plaine, (c) that he saie not Iudge vpon the Catholiques. What did he then? Verily he intended to execute that iudgemente, which the Bishoppes had pronounced at Nice, and therefore he conferred onely with men of that side. And because he was instructed, that by disputation no good should come, he chose this way, to make al to write their opinions. Not that he minded nowe to learne his Faith out of their writings, for he had learned that longe before, and professed the same in his Baptisme: but he sought a way, whereby to put al Heretikes to silence. Therefore, hauinge read al the writings, and hauinge made his prayer to God for grace, (d) to chole the better side, which also he made not doubtinge of his Faith (for els he were an Infidel, and unworthy to be a Iudge, euen in the Temporal matters amonge Christians) but partly he declared, that all goodnesse is to be asked of God, (e) partly he would the Heretikes to vnderstand, that he went not to worke with affection, but with the feare of God. Thus hauinge prayed and readen the writings, he executed the iudgemente of the Nicene Council, and reiected those Heretiques.

Nowe to retourne to the woordes of the Apologie, howe saie they, that the Emperour not onely saie amonge Bishoppes, but also *causa disputationis praeiud*, was chiefe Ruler and moderatour of the reasoninge, and debatinge of the matter? They cannot saie thus, as of a Council, whereof they talke. * For there was no Council indicted, nor onely by the Pope, but neither by the Emperour, nor by any other Archebishop. * It was a priuate callinge together of certaine heads of eche Secte, and not a solemne ordinarie Council. If there were no Council of Bishoppes, no sittinge of Bishoppes: if no sittinge, no presidence at al. Howe then was Theodosius President, and Iudge of Ecclesiastical causes?

If wee shall reporte the thinge, as it was in truthe donne, onely Theodosius vsed a polinke waie, to put Heretiques to silence. Other iudgemente he tooke not vpon him as he that protested alwaies, that Spiritual causes, and controuersies of Doctrine coulde not better be decided, then by Bishoppes. For which sake, S. Ambrose praised him. So that we are sure of Theodosius, that he next instant to intermedle with Ecclesiastical matters, (f) otherwile then to execute the Bishoppes Decrees.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here (ye saie) it is a wonder, to see, howe these men abuse the Ecclesiastical stories: It was a Priuate Council (ye saie) whereat Theodosius was present, and not a General: As if this poze heaelp were sufficient, to saue the matter: or, as if a Priuate Council, were no Council: Or, as if an Emperour mighte sitte as a Iudge in Priuate Councilles, but not in General. I cannot blame you, P. Hardinge, for seekinge such shifts. A simple Riche maie make a state. The storie in briefe is this, Theodosius the Emperour, the better to bring his Churches into Vnitie, Commaunded an Assemblie of the Bishoppes, and best Learned, to appeare before him, and eche parte to write a seuerall Confession of his Faith, that he him selfe might Iudge betwene them, which Faith were the best. Hauinge receiued their writings, he willed Publique Prayers to be made, and also bothe openly, and priuately prayed him selfe, that it might please God, to assiste him with his Holy Spirit, and to make him habile to Iudge iustly.

Then he perused, and considered eche Confession a sunder by it selfe: allowed onely the Catholiques, and Condemned the Confessions, that were written by the Arians, and Eunomians, and tare them in peeces. This is the true reporte of the storie, P. Hardinge. What former ye haue added herunto, as youre manner is, it is youre owne. Nowe, whether the Emperour Theodosius tooke vpon him, to Heare and Determine Ecclesiastical Causes of Religion, or no, I report me to your owne indifferent iudgemente.

But ye wil saie, The Emperour presumed not, to Iudge any thing of him selfe: but was wholly ruled by the Bishoppes, as the executoure of their willes. And hercof, ye saie, yee are well assured. Thus by your handlinge, ye make the Prince onely youre Bishoppes man: to strike blindly, to whom so euer your Bishop shal Commaunds: to Condemne, to Depriue, to Spoile, to Kill his owne Subiectes: not of any Iudgemente, or Knowledg, but onely vpon the doubtful Credite, and at the pleasure of your Bishop. So simple ye make this Godly Emperour in his dealinge. He willed the Congregation to pray: He prayed him selfe, that God would direct him with his Spirit, and gaue him

(b) A woorthy reason. The Emperour sought meanes to abandon Heresies: Ergo, He iudged not whether parte was the truer.

(c) Veritue, contrary to the plaine storie.

(d) And howe could he make choise without iudgemente?

(e) Thus M. Hardinge maketh the Emperour a dissemblinge Hypocrite.

(f) A sond canil. It was a priuat Council of sundry Bishoppes, and the Iudgemente thereof was specially directed by the Emperour.

(f) Thus the Emperour is become the Bishops man. See. 1. 7 cap. 12. Sac. li. 5. c. 10

him wisdom, to discern the Truthe: He Conferred the Confessions: He weighed
eche Reason: He allowed one side for true and goodly: At the rest he Condemned for
false, and wicked. And yet (ye saie) yee are sure of Theodosius that he intended not to iudge, whe-
ther of all these Sects were the truer. And so, by your discretion, he both Allowed, and Con-
demned without Judgement, he kine we not, what.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 1.

In the Council of Chalcedon a Civile Magistrate Condemned for
Heretiques, by the Sentence of his owne mouthe, the Bishops, Diosco-
rus, Iuuenalis, and Thalassius, and gaue Judgement, to put them downe
from their Dignities in the Church.

M. Harding.

(a) Vnto an
etioled, standing
in ignorance.
For if M. Har-
had read the
Council, he
might haue
foundest.

* This was spo-
ken euen like
the Popes Le-
gate. For S.
Paule saith,
Christ was the
Rocke, &c.

(b) This is the
patience of M.
Hardings

Sprite. When
he shal reade
the Council,
& see his owne
erroure, he wil
be colder.

(c) Vnto the
vaine and chil-
dishe. For then
there belonged
no lutch Authoritie to the
See of Rome.

(d) Vnto the
shamelesse a-
boue measure.
For they reuer-
aked pardone,
for sittinge
without the
Popes Autho-
ritie.

(e) M. Harding
is wel assured,
before he
knowe.

(f) Manifeste
Vnto the Rea-
d the Antweare.
Copie, Dia-
lo. 1. pag. 22.

Where true, and good matter wanteth, for defense of this cause, these men care not what they bring, so
they make a shew of some Learning, to deceive the vnclearned. Firste, for condemnation of Heretiques, by
sentence of a Civile Magistrate, they allege the name of the longe Council of Chalcedon, not shewing in
what Action or parte thereof it may be found True it is, that all these three are named in that Council, Di-
oscorus, Iuuenalis, and Thalassius. (a) But that al three were condemned, we finde not. Muche lesse, that they
were condemned by any Civile Magistrate, doo we finde. The condemnation of Dioscorus Archbishop of
Alexandria, was pronounced by the Legates of the Pope of Rome in forme as followeth.

Pachianus, hauing asked the consent of the Fathers present in the Council to the condemnation of
Dioscorus, after his fautes rehearsed, with his two felowes Lucentius Bishop of Tusculum, and Bonifacius
Prioste of the greates Church of Rome, saide: The most holy, and blessed Archbishop of the yea, and elder
Rome Leo, by vs, and by this present holy Synode, with the thirfe moste blessed and worthy of all praise,
Peter the Apostle, who is the rocke, and highest topp of the Catholique Church, and who is the foun-
dation of the right Futher, hath deprived Dioscorus, as well of the dignitie of his Bishoppe, as also of his
Priestly ministerie. This was the sentence pronounced by the Popes Legats in the name of the Bishop of
Rome, vnder the Authoritie of Peter, which sentence the whole Council allowed. This being true, howe
did the Civile Magistrate condemne Dioscorus? Was then the Bishop of Lilybrum, or the Pope, in whose
name he gaue sentence, a Civile Magistrate? (b) What is impudencie, what is licentious lying, what is de-
ceitful dealing, if this be not?

Of Iuuenalis Archebishop of Hierusalem, and Thalassius Archebishop of Caesaria in Cappadocia, thus
much I say. They might wel haue a rebuke for misusing them selues in the seconde Council at Ephesus,
where they sat, like Iudges, (c) without authoritie of the See of Rome (which, as Lucentius said in the Sy-
node of Chalcedon, was neither orderly done, neither was it lawful to be done) they might, I say, take a rebuke
for so presuming besides the Popes authoritie, but for as much as they (d) maintained not their facts, but a-
monge other Bishoppes of the East cried out, *Omnes peccauimus, Omnes veniam postulamus*: Wee
haue all sinned, We al beseeche pardone: Yea for as much as Iuuenalis reiected the fault vpon Euplius, who
did not commaunde Eusebius the acquer of Eutyches to come in, and Thalassius saide, he was not cause
thereof: it maie well be, they were pardoned, although the honorable Iudges, and Senate sayde vnto them
In Iudicio Fides non est defensio: In a Iudgement of Faith this is no excuse. But in case they were de-
posed, (e) then are we sure, (f) it was not done by the Civile Magistrates otherwise, then that they might al-
lowe, and execute the sentence of Deposition before geuen.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

If the Council of Chalcedon seeme ouer longe, with better Readinge, ye maie
make it shorter. That Dioscorus, Iuuenalis, and Thalassius were al three condemned in
that Council, That (ye saie) yee finde not. Howe be it, if ye had soughte it better, ye
might some haue founde it. One of your owne Frenches of Louaine saith, that hererin
ye were too mutche ouersene. The very wordes, truly recorded in the Council are
these: *Videtur nobis iustum esse, eidem poenx Dioscorum Reuerendum Episcopum A-*
lexandriae, & Iuuenalem Reuerendum Episcopum Hierosolymorum, & Thalassium Re-
uerendum Episcopum Caesariae Cappadociae subiungere: & a Sancto Concilio, secundum
Regulas, ab Episcopali dignitate fieri alienos: Vnto vs it seemeth righte, that Dioscorus
the Reuerende Bishop of Alexandria, and Iuuenalis, the Reuerende Bishop of Hierusalem,
and Thalassius, the Reuerende Bishop of Caesaria in Cappadocia, shoulde be put to the same
pounshement: and by the holy Council, accordinge to the Canons, shoulde be remoued from
their Episcopall dignities. The whiche wordes ye might also haue founde fully repo-
ted in Euaerius.

Like wise also saith Pope Leo, touching the same: *De nominibus Dioscori, Iuuenalis
& Eusta-*

& Eustachij (vel potius, Thalassij) ad Sacrum Altare non recitandis, dilectionem tuam hoc decet custodire: Touchinge the names of Dioscorus, Iuuenalis, and Eustachius (or rather, Thalassius) not to be rehearsed at the Holy Altare (whiche was the Communion Table), we muste keepe this order.

But ye saie, Notwithstandinge these Bishoppes were condemned in the Councel, yet the Ciuile or Laie Iudges condemned them not. For they were there (ye saie) onely to see good order, and to keepe peace. This, M. Hardinge, is your owne onely idle gheasse, without any manner further Authoritie, onely grounded vpon your selfe. Certainly, the wordes of the Councell be plaine: Gloriosissimi Iudices, & amplissimus Senatus dixerunt: The moste Noble Iudges, and moste worthy Senate saide. Likewise saith Euagrius, Οἱ αὐτὸ τῆς ἐκκλησίας τοῦ βασιλέως: The Lordes of the Emperours Councel decreed these thinges.

Neither were the Ciuile Iudges then so scrupulous, to thinke, they mighte not deale in Cases of Religion, as it maie wel appeare by their wordes. For thus they saie: Gloriosissimi Iudices, & Amplissimus Senatus dixerunt, De Recta, & Catholica Fide, perfectius sequenti die, conuenienti Concilio, diligentiore Examinationem fieri oportere, perspicimus: The moste Noble Iudges, and moste vvorthy Senate saide, Wee see, that touching the Right Catholique Faith, the nexte daie, when the Councel shal meete, there muste be had a more diligente Examination.

And when the maters were concluded, and published, the Bishoppes of the East brake out into fauourable shotes, in this sorte: Iustum, & Rectum Iudicium: vita longa Senatui: Multi anni Imperatori: Iuste, and Righte is this Iudgement: Longe life vnto the Senate: Many yeeres vnto the Emperours. For in al cases, as wel Ecclesiastical, as Temporal, the Emperours was Iudge ouer al. What so euer the Councel had determined, without the Emperours consent, it had no force. And therefore bothe the Bishoppes, and other Temporal Iudges in the Councel, vsed oftentimes to suspnde, and to staie their Decrees in this sorte: Videtur nobis iustum, si placuerit Diuinissimo, & Pississimo Domino nostro: Vnto vs it seemeth right, if it shal also like our moste vertuous, and moste Godly Lords (the Emperours.) In the ende, they conclude thus: Omnibus, quæ acta sunt, ad Sacrum Apicem referendis: So that al oure doings be remitted to the Emperours Maestie. Al whiche wordes are boiowed, as the reste, out of the Ecclesiastical storie of Euagrius.

Ye saie, Iuuenalis, and Thalassius might wel haue a rebuke, for sittinge like Iudges in the Second Councel of Ephesus, withoute Authoritie of the Pope. M. Hardinge, either ye are muche deceined, and presume to speake befoze ye knowe, whiche were greates folie: or els ye speake directly against your knowledge, and Conscience, and willinglye like to deceiue others: which were great wickednesse. Merily, ye might easily haue knowne that those three Bishoppes were condemned, not for intruding vpon the Popes authoritie, as ye haue imagined, but onely for Condemninge other Godly Bishoppes, wickedly, and without cause. The wordes of the Councel are plaine: Gloriosissimi Iudices dixerunt, Vos quidem primitus docuistis, quia per vim, & necessitatem, in pura Charta Coacti estis subscribere ad damnationem Sanctæ memoriæ Flauiani. Orientales, & qui cum ipsis erant. Reuerendissimi Episcopi, clamauerunt. Omnes peccauimus: Omnes veniam postulamus: The moste Noble Iudges saide, yee haue heere proued before vs, that yee were drinen by force, and violence, in a blanke paper to subscribe youre names to the Condemnation of Flavianus of godly memorie. The Bishoppes of the East, and other Reuerende Bishoppes, that were with them, cried oute, Vvee haue all offended: Vvee al desire pardonne. This in dede was their faulte, M. Hardinge: Al that you imagine of vsurpinge the Popes Authoritie, is but a faulse. For the Popes Huge, and Vniuersal Authoritie, wherebye no we be claimeth the whole Iurisdiction of al the woylde, at that time was not knowne.

The same Councel of Chalcedon maketh him Equal in Authoritie, and Dignitie with the Bishop of Constantinople. The wordes be these: Sedi Senioris Romæ, propter Imperium Ciuitatis illius, Patres consequenter Privilegia reddiderunt. Et eadem in mentione permoti Centum quinquaginta Deo amantissimi Episcopi, æqua Sanctissimæ

Dioscorus Iuuenalis.

Thalassius

Con. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Euagr. Lib.

2. Ca. 4.

Leo Epi. 40.

Ad Anatolium.

Conc. Chalcedon. Actio. 1

Euagr. Lib. 2

Ca. 4.

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Conc. Chalce.

Act. 1. pa. 831

Sedi Noue Romæ Priuilegia tribuerunt rationabiliter iudicantes, Imperio, & Senatu Vrbem Ornata, æquis Senioris Regis Romæ Priuilegijs frui, & in Ecclesiasticis, sicut illa, Maiestatem habere negodis: *Unto the See of the Olde Rome, in consideration of the Emperie of that Citie, Our Fathers haue accordingly geuen Priuileges. And vpon like consideration, the hundred and fiftie godly Bishoppes haue geuen equal, and like Priuileges to the Citie of Nerve Rome, (whereby is meant the Citie of Constantinople:) For that they thought it reasonable, that the same Citie of Constantinople, being now adourned with Emperie and Senate, should also haue Priuileges, Equal vwith Rome the Elder, and haue the same Maiestie, and Authoritie in Ecclesiastical affaires, that Rome hath.*

Thus, ye see, your Pope hath not then a Power Preëlleue ouer al the Elders: but was made Like, and Euen, and Equal in al respectes to one of his Brethren. Therefore, if the Bishop of Rome were the Head of the Church, then was the Bishop of Constantinople likewise the Heade of the Church. And if the Bishop of Rômes Power were Vniuersal, then was the Bishop of Constantinoples Power Vniuersal, as well as his. For the Councel alloweth as muche Ecclesiastical Authoritie to the one Bishop as to the other.

Nowe, shortly to consider the whole substance of your talks. Firste, ye saie, These three Bishoppes, Diocorius, Iuuenalis, and Thalassius were neuer condemned in the Councel of Chalcedon: This, ye see, is One Vntruth. Secondly, ye saie, The ciuile Magistrare neuer condemned them: This is an Other Vntruth. Thirdely, ye saie, Iuuenalis, and Thalassius were rebuked for sittinge as Iudges in Councel without the Popes Authoritie. These are two other Vntruthes. For neither had the Pope anye sutch Prerogatiue at that time, nor was this the Cause of theire Condemnation. And yet, as if ye would renne vs ouer with terrour of wordes, ye crie out with a courage, What is Impudencie, what is Licentious Lieinge, what is deceitful dealinge, if this be not?

Touchinge these heere termes, M. Hardinge, I dare not Answer you. But, as for Plaine lieinge, without a difference, if ye knowe not, what it is, looke through your owne Bookes, and ye cannot faile of it. Merily, it is, to publishe Vntruthes so largely, and so liberally, as you haue done, onely vpon asiance of the simplicitie, and ignorance of your Reader, without regarde, or feare, of God, or Man.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 2.

In the Thirde Councel at Constantinople, Constantine a Ciuile Magistrare, did not onely sitte amongst the Bishoppes, but did also subscribe with them. For, saith he, Wee haue bothe reade, and Subscribed.

M. Hardinge.

(*) A Vaine Distinction. Vntruth manifeste. Reade the Answer.

The subscribinge is not the matter, but the iudginge. Constantine subscribed to the Councel as nowe al Christian Princes, beinge required, ought to subscribe to the Tridentine Councel. (*) But Constantine subscribed not this stile, when he subscribed, *Definient subscripsi*, I haue subscribed with geuinge definitive sentence. * For so to subscribe, it appertained onely to Bishoppes.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 3.

In the Second Councel called Arausicanum, the Princes Embassadors, beinge Noble Men bozne, not onely spake their minde, touching Religion, but sette to theire handes also, as well as the Bishoppes. For thus it is written in the later ende of that Councel: Petrus, Marcellinus, Felix, and Liberius, beinge Moste Noble Menne, and famous Lieutenants, and Captaines of France, and also Peres of the Realme, haue geuen their consent, and sette to their handes. Further, Syagrius, Opilio, Pantagathus, Deodatus, cariantho, and Marcellus, men of very great honour, haue Subscribed.

M. Hardinge.

What if al the Laiemen of the worlde had subscribed by the woorde of consentinge, or agreeing: to the Bishops decrees, eche one writinge thus, as in that cause the olde manner was, *Consentiens subscripsi*? What other thinge is proued thereby then that they thought it necessarie to allowe that, whiche Bishoppes had determined? Whiche vice wille ye would doe.

The Apologie. Cap. 14. Division. 4.

If it be so then, that Lieutenantes, Chiefe Captaines, and Peeres haue hadde Authoritie to Subscribe in Councel, haue not Emperoures, and Kinges the like Authoritie :

M. Hardinge.

Kings, and Queens, not onely might, but ought to subscribe, when they are required.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here, M. Hardinge, yet are bylen to many Mistes. Some of you saie, that Princes Embassadours, and Ciuile Magistrates had no right to Subscribe in Councel, but only by licence, and sufferance of the Bishoppes. Some others haue found out a certaine difference in Subscriptions. The Bishop (yet saie) subscribed in one Fourme, and the Ciuile Magistrate in an other: **The Bishop thus, *Definiens Subscripsi***, By geuing my Definitive Sentence, I haue subscribed: **The Laie Magistrate thus, *Consentiens Subscripsi***, Geuinge Consente hereto, I haue subscribed. **Thus haue you found out a knot in a rush: and diuised a Diuersitie without a Difference. Certainly in the Olde Councelles there appeareth only one Fourme of Subscriptions, and no moe. And afterwarde these *Two Wordes*, *Definiens*, and *Consentiens*, wherein you imagine so greate a difference, were used indifferently, as wel of Bishoppes, as of others: as eche man was best affected. Sometime the Bishop subscribed, *Consentiens*: Sometime the Laieman subscribed, *Definiens*, without scruple. In the Councel of Chalcedon it is wrytten thus, *Ego Dorotheus Episcopus Consensi, & Subscripsi*: I Bishop Dorothee haue Consented, and Subscribed &c. Likewise it is wrytten in the Councel of Parise, *Ego Probianus Episcopus Bituricensis Consensi, & Subscripsi*: I Probiane the Bishop of Bourges haue Consented, and Subscribed. Marius Victorinus saith, *Nicene Fidei multa Episcoporum millia Conseruerunt*: Many thousands Bishoppes Consented vnto the Nicene Faith.**

consentient
Subscripti.
*Conci. Calce.
Actio. 1. Pa.
780. Conc.
Parisien.
Marini Vi-
ctorinus con-
tra Arianos.*

Of the other side, the Laie Prince in Council hath had Authoritie, not onely to Consente, and agree vnto others, but also to Define, and Determine, and that in Cases of Religion, as by many euident Examples it maie appeare. Euagrius saith, as it is before alleged, οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς συγκλητῆ βασιλεῖς ἐκρίναντο τὰς αἰ: *They, that were of the Senate of the Lordes of the Council, Determined these things.* Sozomenus saith, Imperator Constantinus iussit decem Episcopos Orientis, & totidem Occidentis, quos Synodus designasset, ad Aulam suam venire, & sibi exponere Decreta Concilij: vt ipse quo consideraret, an secundum Scripturas inter se conuenissent: & de rebus agendis, quæ optima viderentur, Determinaret: *The Emperoure Constantine commaunded, that tenne Bishoppes of the Eaſte, and tenne of the Weaſte, choſen by the Council, ſhoulde repaire to his Courte, and open vnto him the Decrees of the Council: that his Maieſtie might conſider, whether they were agreed accordinge to the Scriptures: and that he might further, (not onely Conſente, or agree, but also) Determine, and Conclude, what were beſte to be donne.* Aeneas Syluius, which afterwarde was Pope Pius. 2. saith thus: Visum est Spiritui Sancto, & nobis: vnde apparet, alios quàm Episcopos, in Concilij habuisse vocem Decidentem: *It ſeemeth good to the Holy Ghoſte, and to vs: Hereby it appeareth, that ſomme others, beſide Bishoppes, had a voice Definitive in Councilles.* And againe he saith, Nec ego cuiusvis Episcopi mendacium, quamuis ditissimi, Veritati præponam Pauperis Presbyteri. Nec dedignari debet Episcopus, si aliquando ignarus, & rudis, sequacem non habeat multitudinem: *Neither wil I sette more by any Bishoppes lie, be he neuer ſo riche, then I would sette by any Priestes Truthe, be he neuer ſo poore. Neither maie the ignorant, and vnlearned Bishop diſleigne, if he ſee the people unwilling to folowe him.*

Lib. 2.
 Definiens.
 Subscripti.
 Eua. li. 2. c. 4
 Soc. li. 4. c. 16
 ἄρα καὶ αὐ-
 τὸν συνθεῖν
 ἐκ τῆς ἑ-
 ραῖς γραφῆς
 συνθεσκα
 ἀλλήλοισι
 καὶ περὶ τῶν
 πρακτικῶν
 ὅπως αὐτοῖς
 δοκῇ ἐπιτε-
 λῆσαι.

*Aeneas Syl-
vius de Gestis
Concil. Basi-
lien. Lib. 1.*

Eodem Loco

Gerfon **saieth**, Iudiciū,& Conclusio Fidei, licet Authoritatū spectent ad Prælatos, & Doctores, spectare tamen possunt ad alios, quàm Theologos, Deliberatio, sicut & Cognitio super his, quæ Fidem respiciunt. Ita, vt ad Laicos etiā hoc possit extendi : & plūs aliquando, quàm ad multos Clericorum : *Norwithstandinge the Iudgemente, and Conclusion of Faith, belongs by Authoritie vnto Bishoppes, and Doctoures, yet as wel the Deliberation hereof, as also the Knoweledge, and Iudgemente, concerninge matters, that touche the Faith, maie belonge vnto others too, besides the Diuines, or Doctoures, and Professours of Diuinitie. Yea, it maie sometimes be extended euen vnto the Laichmenne :*

Gerson. qua
Veritates sine
credenda.

Ooo.ii.j.

And

Subscri-
beth in
Council.

Anthe. Col-
la 1. Tit. 6.

Quomodo
opor. Episco.

Dist. 96.

Vbi nam.

Nico. Cusa.

de Concord.

lib. 3. cap. 36.

And more sometimes vnto them; then vnto many Priestes.

The Emperoure Iustinian in Ecclesiastical Causes, oftentimes vseth these wordes, Definimus, Mandamus, iubemus, &c. Wee Determine, wee Conclude, wee Commaunde, wee Bidde. **Touchinge** Bishoppes, he writeth thus, Definimus, vt nullus Deo amabili- um Episcoporum foris à sua Ecclesia, plus quam per totum annum abesse audeat: Wee De- fine, or Determine, that none of the Godly Bishoppes shal dare to be absent from his Church more then by the space of one whole yere. **Here, yea saie,** the Temporal Prince, in an Ecclesi- astical Cause saith, Definimus. **To be shorte,** Pope Nicolas him selfe saith, writinge vnto the Emperoure Michael, Vbi nam legistis, Imperatores Antecessores vestros Syno- dalibus Contentionibus interfuisse? Nisi fortè in quibusdam, vbi de Fide tractatum est: quæ Vniuersalis est: quæ omnium Communis est: quæ non solum ad Clericos, verum etiam ad Laicos, & ad omnes omnino pertinet Christianos: Where haue you readde, that your Predecessours, being Emperours, were euer Presente at our Disputations in Councils? Onlesse happily it vvere in certaine cases, vvhetheras mater vvas moued touching the Faith. For Faith is Vniuersal, and Common to al: and pertaineth not onely vnto Priestes, but also vnto Laiemen: and generally, and thorovvly to al Christians.

Nicolaus Cusanus saith, In Sexta Synodo Basilii Imperator Patriarchalium Se- dium Vicarijs, & Patriarchis in Subscriptione se postposuit ex humilitate, tota Synodo rogante, vt se præponeret: In the Sixthe Council of Constantinople the Emperoure Basili- us subscribeth his name after the Legates of the Patriarkal Sees, and after the Patriarkes: But this he did of humilitie. For the whole Council besought him, to subscribe his name be- fore al others: Thus yea saie, M. Hardinge, by the Popes owne Judgemente, that Cases, and Disputations of the Faith, belonge as wel to the Temporal Prince, as to the Pope.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Division. 5.

Truely, there had benne no neede to handle so plaine a matter, as this is, with so many wordes, and so at lengthe, if we had not to doo with those menne, who for a desire they haue to strue, and to winne the maisterie, vse of course to denie al thinges, be they neuer so cleare, yea, the very same, whiche they presently see, and beholde with their owne eyes.

M. Hardinge.

¶ Vnto truth, cui-
dent, as by sun-
drie examples
it shal appeare.

(*) O vaine
man. What per-
tein these keyes
to General
Councelles?
For by them
every poore
Prieste hath as
good righte in
Council, as the
Pope.

The matter, ye speake of, is so cleare, that from the beginninge of the worlde to this daie, no secular Prince can be named, who by the ordinary power of a Prince without the gifte of prophete, or special re- uelation, did laudably intermeddle with Religion, as a iudge and ruler of spiritual causes. The reason ther- of is cleare. Religion is an order of Diuine woorthippinge, belonging to God onely: whereupon no man hath power, but he that is called thereto by God. He is called in the iudgemente of men, who can shewe his callinge outwardly, as by consecration, and imposition of handes. Priestes and Bishoppes are called to be the dispensatours of the mysteries of God. In that consecration the keyes of knowledge, and discretion, the po- uer of bindinge and loosinge is geuen. (*) If a secular Prince can not shewe the keyes geuen too him, howe dareth he aduenture to breake vp rather then to open the clasped booke of God, the doore of the Church, and the gates of the Kingedome of Heauen? Wherefore S. Ambrose saide vnto Valentian, Quando audiuiſti, Imperator, in causa Fidei Laicos de Episcopo iudicasse? When haſte thou hearde, Emperoure, Laymen to haue benne Iudges of a Bishop in the cause of Faith? And yet nowe these menne thinke, that whiche S. Ambrose neuer hearde of, not onely to haue benne vsed continually the firste five hundred yeceres after Christes birthe, but also to be as cleare a matter, as if we behelde it with our eyes.

Mat. 16. 13.

Li. 3. Ep. 36.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Temporal Prince (yea saie) hath not the Keyes of the Kingedome of Heauen: Ergo, he maie not iudge in Ecclesiastical Causes, nor geue Definitive Sentence in General Council. This is a very selfe poore Argument, M. Hard. as hereafter it shal appere. But S. Ambrose saith vnto the Emperoure Valentian: When did your Maieſtie euer haue, that in a Cause of Faith the Laiemenne were Iudges ouer Bishoppes? Here, M. Hardinge, by the waie, S. Am- brose giueth you to vnderstand, that onlesse it be in a Cause of Faith a Laieman may be Iudge ouer a Bishop: whiche thinge is contrarie, not onely to your former Do- ctine but also to the whole course, and practise of your Church of Rome.

Parte 6. ca. 9
Dini. 2.

Howe be it, touching the meaning of these wordes, it behoueth vs to knowe,
Firste,

Firste, the cause, wherefoze S. Ambrose so shunned, and fledde the Emperours Judgemente: Nexte, befoze what Judges he desired to be tried.

Firste, the Emperour Valentinian, at that time, was very yonge, as wel in Age, as also in faith: He was not yet Baptized: He knewe not the Principles of Christes Religion: He was an Arian Heretique, and belaued not the Godhedde of Christe, but bente al his studie, and power to mainteine the Arians: He woulde haue thrust out the Christians and woulde haue possessed the Heretiques in their Churches: and to that ende had raised his Power, and filled Millaine full of Souldiers: He saide, *It was lawfull for him, so doo, what him listed* Whiefely, his whole dealing was full of force, and violence, sutch as hath benne seene in somme Countries, not many yeres sithence.

In consideration hereof, S. Ambrose worthily refused him to be his Iudge. And therefore he saide vnto him, *Tolle Legem, si vis esse Certamen*: Take awaye the rigour of your Lawe, if yee wil haue the mater tried by disputation. Againe, *Noli te grauare, Imperator, vt putes te in ea, quæ Diuina sunt, Imperiale aliquod ius habere: Noli te extollere: Esto Deo subiectus* Scriptum est, quæ Dei, Deo: quæ Cæsaris Cæsari: O my Lorde, trouble not youre selfe, to thinke, you haue any Princely Power ouer those thinges, that pertaine to God. Vauite not youre selfe: be subiecte vnto God. It is written, Geue vnto God, that belongeth vnto God: Geue vnto Cæsar, that belongeth vnto Cæsar.

But, as S. Ambrose saith, The Emperour hath no power ouer Goddes causes, so maie we likewise, and as truly saie, The Pope hath no power ouer Goddes causes. S. Chrysostome saith, *Siquidem est in Causa Fidei, fuge illum, & euita: non solum si homo fuerit, verum etiam si Angelus de Cælo descenderit: si sit be a Mater of Faith, (where in he seeketh to abuse thee) flee him, and shunne him: not onely, if he be a man, but also if an Angel shoulde come downe from Heauen.* Thus it appeareth, S. Ambrose refused not the Emperours Power, and Authoritie of Judgemente in Cases Ecclesiastical, but onely his wilful Ignorance, and his Tyrannie: for that he knewe, his Judgemente was corrupted, and not indifferente.

And for that cause he saith, *Venisse, Imperator, ad Consistorium Clementiæ tuæ, &c.* O my Lord, I would haue made mine appearance at your Consistorie, to haue vittered these thinges in your Presence, if either the Bishoppes, or the People woulde haue suffered mee. For they tolde mee, that Maters of Faith ought to be disputed in the Church openly before the people. Againe he saith, *Veniant sanè, si qui sunt, in Ecclesiam: Audiant cum Populo: non vt quisquam resideat iudex, sed vt vnusquisque de suo affectu habeat examen, & eligat quem sequatur: Let them hardely come to the Church: let them hearken together with the people: not that any man should sitte as Iudge: but that euery man maie after his owne minde examine the mater, and so chuse whome he maie folowe.* Of sutch Tyrannie in Councelles, Athanasius likewise complaineth: *Quo iure contra nos Synodum vllam constituere poterunt? Aut qua fronte talem Conuentum Synodum appellare audent, cui Comes præsedit? Vbi Spiculator apparebat? Vbi Commentariensis, siue Carcerarius, pro Diaconis Ecclesiæ, aduentantes introducebat? Comes Imperio utebatur: Nos a militibus ducebamur: By what Lawe could they keepe a Councel againste vs? Or with what face could they cal sutch an Assemblie by the name of a Councel? Where as the Lorde Lieutenant was President? Where the Hangman was Apparitor? Where the Iailer presented the suters in steede of the Deacons of the Church? The Lieutenant did al thinges by Authoritie, and Commandement: Wee were taken by the Souldiers, and carried to Prison.*

Therefore he saith, *Fiat Ecclesiastica Synodus longè à Palatio: vbi nec Imperator præsto est: nec Comes se ingerit: nec Iudex minatur: & vbi Solus Timor Dei ad omnia sufficit, &c.* Let there be made an Ecclesiastical Synode, far from the Emperours Palace: where as neither the Emperour is Present: nor the Lieutenant thrusteth in him selfe: nor the Iudge with his threats maketh merne afraide: but where as the feare of God to al purpose is sufficiente. For this cause S. Ambrose refused to be tried by the Emperour Valentinian: That is to saie, as then it was, by a rathe yonge man: by a man Vnbaptized, and therefore no Christian: by a Tyranne: and by an Arian Heretique, that bitterly denied the Godhedde of Christe.

Otherwise Athanasius him selfe was wel contented to commit his whole cause

Princc
may deale
in Ecclesi-
astical
Causes.

*Ambro. lib. 3
Epi. 33. Ma-
datur deni-
que Trada
Basilicam.*

*Allegatur
Imperator
licere omnia:
Ambro. lib. 3
Epi. 32. ad
Valentinian
Li. 5. epi. 33.*

*Chrysostom.
ad Hebra.
Hom. 34.*

*Ambro. lib. 3
Epi. 32.
Dicentes de
Fide in Ec-
clesia coram
populo debe-
ri tractari.
In eadem E-
pist. Athan.
Apolo. 2.
Poteram.*

*Athanas. ad
Solitariam
vittam agen-
tes.*

Deo. ity.

vnto

The
Prince
may deale
in Ecclesi-
astical
Causes.

Athana. A-
pol. 2. Cum
multis.

August. con-
tra Epist.

Parmenia-
ni. Lib. 1.

720

The Defense of the Apologie of the

unto the Emperoure. For thus he saith, Postulamus, causam istam Pientissimo Impe-
ratori reservari: apud quem licebit, & iura Ecclesiarum, & nostra proponere, Planè enim con-
fidimus, eius Pietatem, cognitis nostris rationibus, nequaquam nos condemnaturam esse:
Wee require, that the Emperoures moste Godly, and moste Religious Maiestie, maie haue
the hearings of the same mater: Before Whome Wee maie open bothe our Churches Right, and
also our owne. For wee haue good hope, that his Godlinesse, understandinge our Reasons,
wil neuer condemne vs.

Likewise S Augustine saith unto the Donatian Heretiques, An fortè de Religione
fas non est, vt dicat Imperator, vel quos miserit Imperator? Cur ergo ad Imperatorem ve-
stri venere Legati? Cur eum fecerunt Causæ suæ Iudicem? Is it not lawfull, for the Empe-
roure, or his Deputie, to reueue Sentence in a mater of Religion? Wherefore then went your
Embassadours to the Emperoure? Why made they him the Iudge of theirs cause?

Thus, M. Hardinge, it appeareth, that you, in defraudinge Emperoures, and
Kinges of their Imperial, and Princely Right, are saine to take parte with the Dona-
tian Heretiques.

As for vs, we claime no other right in Ecclesiastical Causes unto oure Christian
Princes this daie, then that maie wel appears, hathe benne iustly vsed, both by Con-
stantinus the Emperoure, and also by other Catholique, and Godly Princes.

Liberatus.
Ca. 11.

The Emperoure Theodosius wrote thus unto the Councel of Chalcedon: Quo-
niam scimus, Magnificentissimum Florentium Patritium esse Fidelem, & probatum in
Recta Fide, volumus eum interesse audientie Synodi: quoniam sermo de Fide est: For
that wee knowe, the moste Noble Florentius to be faithfull, and wel approued in the Righte
Faith, therefore wee wil, that he be present at the hearing, and debating of Cases in the Coun-
cel, for as mutche as the Disputation is of the Faith.

dis. 96. ubi nã

For Pope Nicolas him selfe saith, as it is alleged before, Fides Vniuersalis est:
Fides omnium Communis est: Fides non solum ad Clericos, verum etiam ad Laicos, &
ad omnes omnino pertinet Christianos: Faith is Vniuersal: Faith is common to al: Faith
perteineth, not onely unto Priestes, but also unto Laiemenne, and generally to al Christians.

*He spea-
keth of que-
stions of
faith moued
in councelles
Athana. Ad
Solitariam
Vitam a-
genies.

As touching the Pope, and his Vniuersalitie of Power, in, and ouer al Councelles
of Bishoppes, we maie rightly saie, as Athanasius saith of Constantius the Arian Em-
peroure, Obtendit in speciem Episcoporum Iudicium: sed interim facit, quod ipsi libet:
Quid opus est Hominibus Titulo Episcopis? He maketh a shewe of Iudgements, or De-
terminations of Bishoppes: In the meane while he dothe what he listeth him selfe. What are
wee the neare for these menne, that beare onely the name of Bishoppes? But the commonly
be the Popes Prelates. What so euer Learninge they haue binde, Diuinitie is com-
monly the least parte of their studie. And therefore, when they are assembled in
Council, they maie wel iudge by Authoritie, but not by Learninge.

Luitprandus.
Iohan. 13

Cleriky, Luitprandus saith, Imperator, vt experientia didicimus, intelligit negotia
Dei, & facit, & amat ea: & tuetur omnibus viribus, & Ecclesiasticas res, & Ciuiles. Sed Io-
hannes Papa facit contra hæc omnia: Wee see by experience, that the Emperoure vnderstan-
deth Goddes causes, and fauoureth, and perfourmeth the same: and with all his Power
mainteineth bothe Ecclesiastical, and Temporal maters. But Pope Iohn doothe al the con-
trarie.

The Apologie, Cap. 14. Diuision. 6.

The Emperoure Iustinian made a Lawe, to correcte the behaueour
of the Clerergie, and to cutte short the insolente lewdnesse of the Priestes,
And, albeit hee were a Christian, and a Catholique Prince, yet putte hee
downe from their Papal Throone, two Popes, Syluerius, and Vigilius,
notwithstandinge they were Peters Successours, and Christes Vicars.

*Vnto this, For
he did but con-
ferre with the
Pope, touching
his Lawes.

Touching the
asking of al-
lowance, there
appeareth no-
thinge.

M. Hardinge.

Iustinians lawe concerninge good order to be kepte amonge Priestes mortally was good, and bound
them by the force of reason. If he made any other Lawe touching matters of Religion, Pope Iustines then
beinge, approued it, or at the lesse, Iustinian asked approbation thereof, as it maie appeare in his owne
Epistle, wherein he confesseth in the facte it selfe, that his Lawes could not binde in supernaturall causes
belonginge to faith, excepte the heade of the Vniuersal Church confirm them. Syluerius and Vigilius
were

deposed rather by Theodora the Emperesse, then by Iustinian the Emperour. (*) Yee doe wrong to impute that wicked tyrannie vnto him. (*) He is not to be burthened therewith, unless the man be countable for his wines iniquities.

How so euer it was, that extraordinary violence and tyrannie can not iustly be alleged to the defense of your false assertion. Neither woulde your selfe haue mentioned the same, if ye could haue founde better matter. As hungrie Dogges eat durtie puddinges, accordinge to the prouerbe, cleane yenough be for sutch vnclene written, so your soule matters be defended by soule fautes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Iustinian (yee saie) might wel make somme Moral Lawe, to keepe Priestes and Bishops in good order. Wherein neuerthelesse Pope Paule. 3. condemneth you bitterly. For thus he writeth, and reasoneth substantially against the Emperour Charles the Fift: Ego super Pastores meos: Beholde, saith the Almighty God, I mee selfe wil oversee my Shepherdes: Ergo, saith Pope Paulus, The Emperour maie not deale with the manners of Priestes, and Bishops. Thus, it appeareth, the Pope and M. Hardinge cannot agree.

Howe be it, the Emperours made Lawes, Touchinge the Holy Trinitie: Touchinge the Faith: Touchinge Baptisme: Touchinge the Holy Communion: Touchinge the Publique Praiers: Touchinge the Scriptures: Touchinge the keeping of Holy daies: Touchinge Churches and Chapels: Touchinge the Consecration of Bishops: Touchinge Non Residences: Touchinge Perurie, &c.

Addition. Michael an Emperour of the East, contrarie to the custome, and order of the Church, made a Lawe that no Monke shoulde serue the Ministerie in any Cure. The Emperour Iustinian gaue licence to a Bishop, to release a Priest from parte of his Penance, and to restore him to the Ministerie. Emperours had Authoritie to appointe Patriarkal Sees, and that, not by warrante from the Pope, but, as Balsamon saith, Secundum Potestatem illis deluper Datam. According to that Power, that is geuen to them from aboue. By the Ecclesiastical Lawes no Bishop maie geue orders without his owne Diocese. Yet Balsamon saith, Characterem dare extra Diocesim Imperatorio iussu permillum est: A Bishop beinge without his owne Diocese maie geue orders, so that the Emperour so commaunde him. Here wee see, the Emperours commaundement is aboue the Lawe of the Church. By the Apostles Canons a Priest maie not wander from one Diocese, or Cure to an other. Yet Balsamon saith, Nota, quod etiam Imperatori Concessum est, facere Clericorum translationes: Marke thou, that the Emperour hath a Priuiledge, to translate Priestes, from one Cure to an other.

It were mutche for you, M. Hardinge, to saie, as nowe yee woulde seeme to saie, All these were Moral Lawes, and pertained onely to good order. But the Pope (yee saie) allowed the Emperours Ecclesiastical Lawes: Otherwise, of the Emperours owne Authoritie, they had no force. The truthe her of, by the Particulares, maie some appeare.

By one of the Emperours Lawes it is provided, That the Bishop of Constantinople shal haue Equal Power, and Prerogative with the Bishop of Rome. This Lawe the Pope coulde neuer brooke. And yet, that notwithstandinge, Liberatus saith, It holdeth stil by the Emperours Authoritie, whether the Pope wil, or no. Again, it is provided in the same Lawe, that the Churches of Illyricum, in their doubtful cases, shal appeale to Constantinople, and not to Rome.

The Emperour Constantine saith, If the Bishop moue trouble (by Doctrine, or otherwise) by my hande he shalbe punished: For my hande is the bande of Gods Minister:

Iustinian the Emperour in his Lawe commaundeth, That the Priest, or Bishop in Pronouncing the Publique Praiers, and in the Administration of the Sacraments, lifte vp his voice, and speake aloud, that the people maie saie, Amen, and be stirred to more Devotion: Again, he saith, as it is noted in the Glose vpon the Authentiques, Papa Temporalibus immiscere se non debet: The Pope maie not intermeddle with Temporal Causes:

In the same Lawes, the same Emperour Iustinian saith, Wee Command the most Holy Archbishops, and Patriarkes, of Rome, of Constantinople, of Alexandria, of Antioche, and of Hierusalem. The same Emperour Iustinian commaundeth, That al Monkes either be drinen to studie the Scriptures, or els be forced to Bodily Labour: Carolus Magnus made a Lawe, That nothinge shoulde be readde openly in the Church, sauinge onely the Canoncal

Vntruth. For it was no tyrannie, but iust iudgement and Iustinian gaue Balsamon great thanks for so dooinge. Ezechiel. 34. Paulus. 3. in Epistola ad Cor. 5.

Balsamon De Fide, Titulo. 7. Balsam. De periculis. ap. tit. 9. Balsam. in Concilio Chalced. ca. 18. Balsam. in Canon Apost. Can. 14.

Balsam. in Canon Apost. Can. 16.

Cod. de Sacrosanct. Ecclesijs. omni innotatione. Liberat. ca. 23. Imperatoris Patrocinio. Cod. de Sacrosanct. Ecclesijs. Omni innotatione. Theod. Lib. 7. Ca. 20. Ministri Dei, hoc est, manus, coequebatur. Auth. Tit. 123. Authen. coll. 1. Quomodo oporteat Episcopos.

The
Prince
may deale
in Ecclesi-
astical
Causes.

Authen. Col. 9
Tita. 15. De SS
Ecll. xpi. copis.
Iubemus Bea-
tissimos Ar-
chiepiscopos,
Scilicet Ro-
mae &c.
Authen. tit. 133
Ansgilut. Lib.
1. Cap. 19.
Carolus Mag-
nus. L. b. 2.
Cap. 162.
Authen. Col. 1.
Tita. 6. Quo-
modo oporteat
Gloss in verbis
Sanctis.
Cod. de Sum-
ma Trinita. &
Fide Catho.
Inter Claras.
Cod. De Ve-
ritate Em-
cleandae Chry-
sost. ad Ro-
manos. bo. 18
Prudentius in
Enchiridio.
Nazian. in
Ep. ad Basi-
lium. Col. 1.
Vt determi-
natus.

Cod. de Sum-
ma Trinita.
et fide Catho.
Inter Claras

Gregor. Ha-
lander, Et
Azo.

monical Bookes of the Holy Scriptures: And that the Faithful People should receive the Ho-
ly Communion every Sonnedaie. I leave the rehearal of infinite other like Examples.

Nowe, M. Wardinge, wil you saie, or maie wee beleue, that al these, and other
like Lawes were allowed by the Pope? Merily, certaine of them are made directly as
gainste the Pope.

In dede your Gloser saithe, Ad quid intromittit se Imperator de Spiritualibus, vel
Ecclesiasticis, cum sciat ad se non pertinere? Wherfore doothe the Emperours thus busie him
selfe with these Spiritual, or Ecclesiastical matters, seeinge he knoweth, they are no parte of his
Charge? To so profound a question, after a long solempne studie, he diuiseb this an-
swere: Dic, quod Autoritate Papae hoc facit: Saie thou, that he doothe it by the Popes
Authoritie: And then the whole mater is discharged, and at is wel.

Notwithstandinge, somme likelihoode herof y^e woulde seme to geather, euen
out of Iustinians owne wordes. For thus he saithe vnto the Pope, although far other-
wise, then you haue forced him to saie: Omnia, quae ad Ecclesiarum statum pertinent, fe-
stinauimus ad notitiam deferre Vestrae Sanctitatis. Necessarium ducimus, vt ad notitiam
Vestrae Sanctitatis peruenirent. Nec enim patimur, quicquam, quod ad Ecclesiarum Sta-
tum pertinet, vt non etiam Vestrae innotescat Sanctitati: quae Caput est omnium Sancta-
rum Ecclesiarum: What so euer thinges pertaine to the state of the Churches, wee haue speedily
brought to the knowledge of youre Holinesse. Wee thought it necessarie, that youre Holinesse
should haue knowledge thereof. Wee suffer not any thinge, that concerneth the State of the
Churches, but it be brought to the knowledge of your Holinesse, which is the Head, or Chiefe
of al the Holy Churches.

The Emperours willeth the Pope, to take knowledge of his Lawes, for that he
was the Chiefe of the Foure Principal Patriarkes, and, in respect of his See, the greatest
Bishop of al the Worlde: for whiche cause also he calleth him, the Heade, or Chiefe of
al Churches. So Iustinian saithe, Roma est Caput Orbis Terrarum: Rome is the Head
of al the Worlde. So S. Chrysostome saithe, Caput Prophetarum Elias: Elias, the Heade of
the Prophetes. So saithe Prudentius, Sancta Bethlem Caput est Orbis: The Holy town
of Bethlem is the Heade of the Worlde.

So Nazianzene calleth S. Basile, τὸν τῆς οὐμανίας ὀφθαλμὸν, Oculum Orbis Ter-
rarum: The Eye of al the Earthe. So Iustinian calleth the Bishop of Constantinople, an
Vniuersal Patriarke: Epiphano Vniuersali Patriarchae.

These, and other like wordes, passe oftentimes in fauoure, as Titles of Ho-
nour. But they impoerte not alwaies that Vniuersal Gouvernemente, or Infinite Au-
thoritie, that the Pope sitthence hath imagined. But, touchinge the Confirmation, and
allowance of the Emperours Lawes, in these wordes of Iustinian y^e finde nothings:
Unlesse y^e wil saie, Notitia, is Latine for, Allowance: or, Peruenire, is Latine to
Confirme.

The Emperours purpose was, as it plainly appeareth by his wordes, by these,
and al other meanes, to bringe the See of Rome into credite. For thus he saithe, Pro-
peramus, Honorem, & Autoritatem Crescere Sedis Vestrae: Omnes Sacerdotes Vniuer-
si Orientalis Tractus, & subicere, & vnire Sedi Vestrae Sanctitatis properauimus. Plus ita
Vestrae Sedis crescet Autoritas: Wee labour, to auance the Honour, and Authoritie of
your See: Wee labour to subdue, and to ioine al the Priestes of the East parte vnto the See
of your Holinesse. Thus shal the Authoritie of your See the more encrease.

Notwithstandinge, it is noted by the Learned of your owne side, that these E-
pistles bitwene the Emperours, and the Pope, in the Oldest Allowed Bookes are not
founte: and therefore are suspected to fauoure of somme Romaine forgerie. Whereby it
is easie to vnderstande, that vntil the time of the Emperours Iustinian, which was wel
nere five hundred yeres after Christ, the Bishoppes of the East Church were not
subiect to the Bishop of Rome: and, that for so long time, the Pope was not yet known
for the Head of the Vniuersal Church of God. One of your owne Allowed Doctors
saith thus, Dicere, quod Princeps non potest facere Leges, vel eis vi, quousque fuerint
approbat per Papam, falsum est: To saie, that the Prince cannot, either make, or v^s his
Lawes, before the Pope haue allowed them, it is plainly false. Abbate Panormitane, to qua-
liff

The
Prince
may deale
in Ecclesi-
astical
Causes.

*Johan. De
Parisijs, De
Potestate Re-
gia. et Papa-
li. cap. 14. 23
Idem, eodem
loco.*

*Conc. Tom. 2
In Syluerio.
Enagrius.
lib. 1. cap. 19.
Pontificale,
In Vigilio.*

*Iohā. de Pa-
risijs, Cap. 14*

*Franc. Za-
barella De
Schismate,
& Concilio.*

as it is recozded, not by wilful might, or Tyzannie, but, Imperiali, & Canonica Cen-
sura: By his Imperial, and by the Canonica Censures. **Pea,** one of your owne friends
saith thus: Populus commendabiliter Zelo Fidei commotus, Constantinum Papam, qui
erat Ecclesie in scandalum, priuauit oculis, & Deposuit: The people of Rome, moued with
the Zelo of Faith, toke Pope Constantine, and pulled out his eyes, and Deposited him, for that
he was sclanderous vnto the Church: and they deserued greate Praise for the same.

Howe be it (ye saie) these twoo Popes, Syluerius, and Vigilius were good menne, and Godly Fa-
thers: and therefore the remouinge of them was Violence, and Tyrannie. And hereto ye applie the
vnlikerie Similitude of your Homely Puddinges. **Notwithstandinge,** what **Ver-**
tue, or **Holinesse** was in either of these menne, it maie sone appeare by the **Storie.**
Pope Syluerius was chosen Pope by **Corruption,** and **Simonie,** contrary to the wil of
the Clergie: Pope Vigilius accused him of **Treason,** for that he woulde haue betraied
the Cittie of Rome to the Gothians. As for Pope Vigilius, youre Pontifical saith, He
was a False Witnesse againste his Predecessoure, Pope Syluerius: He sought vnderwe meanes
to remoue him, and to place him selfe: He kept him in Prison, and sternd him for hunger: He
gaue a greate summe of monie to procure the Popedom to himselfe: He killed his owne No-
tarie: He killed a yonge man, beinge a Widowes Sonne: And of these crimes he was accused be-
fore the Emperoure. **Sutche Vertue,** and **sutche Holinesse** the wo:ld sounde in them.
Therefore the Godly Emperoure in remouinge of them, vled neither Extraordinaris
Violence, as you saie, nor Iniurious Tyzannie.

Your owne felowes saie, Si papa sit incorrigibilis, nec Cardinales possint per se a-
mouere scandalum de Ecclesia, tunc in subsidium iuris, deberent supplicando inuocare Bra-
chium Sæculare. Et tunc Imperator, requisitus à Cardinalibus, deberet procedere contra
Papam: If the Pope be vncorrigible, and the Cardinales be not hable of them selues to remoue
the offense from the Church, then ought they, for aide of the Lawe, by way of intreatie, to cal
vpon the Seculare power. And then the Emperoure, beinge thus desired, ought to proceede or-
derly againste the Pope.

Franciscus Zabarell saith, as he is alleged before, Papa potest accusari coram Im-
peratore, de quolibet crimine notorio: Et Imperator requirere potest à Papa rationem
Fidei: The Pope in any notorious crime, maie be accused before the Emperoure: And the Em-
peroure maie require the Pope, to yeelde a reckening of his Faith.

The Apologie. Cap. 15. Diuision. 1.

Lette vs see then, **sutche** menne as haue **Authozitie** ouer the Bishops,
sutche menne, as receiue from God **Commaundements** concerning Re-
ligion, such, as bring home againe the Arke of God, make Holy Himnes
ouersee the Priestes, build the Temple, make **Ozations** touching Diuine
Seruice, cleanse the Temples, destroy the Hil Aultares, burne the Idolles
Groues, teach the Priestes their dueties, write them out Precepts, howe
they shoulde liue, kill the wicked Prophetes, displace the High Priestes,
summon togeather Holy Councelles, sit togeather with the Bishops,
instructinge them what they ought to doo, **Examine,** **Condemne,** and
punishe Heretiques, be made acquainted with mater of Religion, **Sub-**
scribe, and geue **Sentence** to the **Determinations** of Councelles: and
do all these thinges, not by any other Mannes Commission, but in their
owne name, and that both **vprihtly,** and **Godly**: **Shal** we say, **It per-**
teineth not to such men, to haue to do with Religion? Or, **Shall** we say,
A Christian Magistrate, which dealeth amongst others in these maters,
doth either naughtily, or presumptuously, or wick:dly? The moste An-
cient, and Christian Emperours, and Kinges, that euer were, did occupie
them selues in these maters: and yet were they neuer, for this cause no-
ted either of wickednesse, or of Presumption. And what is he, that can
finde out, either Princes more Catholique, or Examples more notable.

M.

M. Hardinge.

Nowe then Kinges, and Emperours, who haue their firste authoritie by the positive Lawe of Nations, not by supernatural grace from God, as Priestes haue, who can haue no more power then the people haue, of whom they take their Temporal iurisdiction: Who haue euer (a) ben annoiuted and blessed by Bishoppes, who so euer blesteth, beinge greater then he that is blessed: shal we saie, that such Kinges, and Emperours haue authoritie to rule the Church, (b) whose Sonnes they are: To be supreme Heades ouer them, whom they ought to kneele vnto for absolution: To control their spiritual Iudges, whom if they sone by humanitie frailtie, they (c) ought to couer with their clokes, (c) as the Great Constantine saide: to degrade them, of whom they muste be Baptized, Annoiuted, Crowned, and Buried.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Nowe, M. Hardinge, ye huddle vp hastily your poore Argumentes in heaped together. One, or two of them onely I minde to touche: The rest are not worthy the hurryinge. Firste ye saie, The Popes Power is of God, The Princes Power is onely of Man. The one Supernatural, the other Natural. In such sort your Pope Nicholas abourneth, and magnifieth his owne Chaire. For thus he saith with a lolly courage: Illud Verbum, quo constructum est Caelum, & Terra, quo denique omnia facta sunt Elementa, Romanam fundauit Ecclesiam: The wordes of God, whereby Heauen, and Earth was made, and whereby al the Elements were fashioned, the same wordes founded the Church of Rome. As if the Church of Rome and other Churches, were not al of one Foundation. An other of your Popes Retainers saith, Papatus est summa Virtus Creatura: The Popedome is the Highest Vertue, or Power, that euer God made: that is to saie, the Popedome is aboue Angels, Archangels, Thrones, Dominations, and al the Poyntes, in, vnder, or aboue the Heauens. An other saith, euen as you saie, and, as I haue partely saide before: Rex per hominem fit: Sacerdos autem proxime nascitur ex Deo ipso: Quantum Deus praeat Sacerdoti, tantum Sacerdos praeat Regi: The Kinge is made by man: But the Priest is immediately begotten of God. As muche as God excelleth the Priest, so muche the Priest excelleth the Kinge.

And notwithstanding, al this Supernatural power, be as wel in the simplest Priest, as in the Pope, yet an other of your Doctors saith, Papa eligitur secundum Ius Diuinum: alij vero Episcopi, secundum Ius humanum: The Pope is chosen by the Lawe of God: But other Bishoppes are chosen by the Lawe of Man. Thus ye thinke no colour to beare, to painte out the Popes face, and to make it to shine faire, and glorious.

But the Princes power (ye saie) is Temporal, and Natural, and onely from beneath, and onely of Man: and therefore can be no greater then Man maie geue him. This is your Louanian Diuinitie, M. Hardinge: So highly ye esteeme the Dignitie, and Maiestie of the Prince, But God him selfe saith, Per me Reges regnant: Kinges rule by me (and not by Man.) S. Paule saith, Non est potestas, nisi a Deo: There is no power, or Princehoode, but from God. Likewise Christe him selfe saide vnto Pilate, Thou couldest haue no Power ouer me, onlesse it were geuen thee (not from Man, but) from aboue. So like purpose the Emperoure Iustinian saith, Maxima in omnibus sunt Dona Dei, & superna collata Clementia, Sacerdotium, & Imperium &c. Ex vno eodemque Principio vtraque procedentia Humanam exornant vitam: Priesthoode, and Princehoode be in al thinges the greatest gifts of God, geuen vnto vs from the Mercie aboue. These two flowinge (not the one onely from Man, and the other from God, but) bothe from one Original, do adourne and beautifie the Life of Man. Upon whiche wordes it is noted in your Glose, Idem Principium habent, & Parum Differunt: Priesthoode, and Princehoode haue one Original, and smal difference. He saith not, as you saie, The odds betwene these two is so great, as is betwene Natural, and Supernatural: betwene Heauen, & Earth: or betwene God, & Man. But he saith plainly, Priesthoode, and Princehoode haue one Original, & litle odds, and smal difference. Theodorus Balsamon saith thus, Nota Canonem, qui dicit, Spirituales dignitates esse praestantiores secularibus, seu mundanis dignitatibus. Sed ne hoc eo traxeris, vt Ecclesiasticæ dignitates praferantur Imperatoriis: Illis enim subiunguntur: Marke wel this Canon, that saith, The Spiritual dignities, are better then the Temporal, or worldly dignities. But yet maie not gather thereof, that the Dignities of the Church are aboue the Dignities of an Emperoure. For they are subiects and inferioure to him.

Virtutes tres together, Open, and Manifeste Reade the answer.

(a) Vntothe, Confessed by M Hardinge own Doctors.

(b) And is not the Pope a sonne of the Church? Then is he not the sonne of God. Di. 22. om.

Iohan de Paris. De Potesta. Regia, & Pap. Cap. 25. Stanislaus Orichonius in Ch. mara: Fo 97 et. 99. Pe. de Palude. de Potestate Curator. Ar. 11. 6.

Proverb. 8. Rom. 13. Iohan. 19. Anth. Col. 8. Quomodo oporteat Episcopos. Maxima. Glosa. In Verbum Vtraque

Balsamon in Sexto Syon. Canon. 7.

ppp. adms. 27. 10. 11. Thus

The
Popes po-
wer Super
natural
*Iohan. de
Parisi. c. 2.*

726

The Defense of the Apologie of the

*Iohan. de
Parisi De
Potestate
Regia. & Pa
pal. ca. 25.*

Thus you see, *P. Hardinge*, howe easily your lighte coniectures maie deceiue you; And therefore an other of your Doctours saith, *Supponunt, quod Potestas Regalis sit Corporalis, & non Spiritualis? & quod habeat Curam Corporum, & non Animarum: quod falsum est: They imagine, that the Princes Powver is onely Bodily, and not Ghostly: and, that the Prince hath the charge of menues Bodies, but none of their Soules. But this is starke false.*

And whereas you so highly extolle your Popes Vniuersal Powver, as if it were Supernatural, and heauenly, & came onely from God: An other of your Doctours saith, *Ea, quæ sunt Iurisdictionis Papæ, non sunt supra Naturam, & Conditionem negotij, nec supra Conditionem hominum. Quia non est supra Conditionem hominis, quod homines præsent hominibus. Imò naturale est quodammodo: Sutch things, as belongs to the Popes Iurisdiction, are not aboue Nature, nor aboue the Condition of the things it selfe, nor aboue the Capacitie of a Man. For it is not aboue the Nature of a Man, for Man to rule ouer Menne Nature rather, in a sorte, it is Natural.*

Howe, *P. Hardinge*, if the Princes Powver be from God, as wel, as the Popes: If the Popes Powver concerninge Jurisdiction, be Natural, as wel, as the Princes: If they flowe bothe from one Original: If they haue so smal difference, what meant you then, by these odious Comparisons, so highly, and so ambitiously to auance the one, and so disdigneously, and scornfully to abase the other? What meant you so vaine-ly to saie, that Kinges, and Emperours haue their Authoritie by the positive Lawe of Nations: and Popes haue their Authoritie by the Supernatural grace of God? Touchinge the Princes Powver, we are certainly assured by Goddes Holy VVorde, It is from God. As for the Popes Infinite, and Vniuersal Powver, throughout the whole Scriptures, from the Genesis vnto the Apocalips, onlesse it be the Powver of Darknesse, ye can finde nothinge.

*Hernam. de
Potestate
Pa. Ca. 18.*

Ye saie, Kinges haue euermore benne Anointed, and Blessed by Bishoppes. This is an other fowle Vntruth. For you might easily haue knowen, that Christian Kinges in olde times were neuer Anointed. Your owne Doctoure saith, In Nouo Testamento non legimus, quod Sacerdotes debeant inungere Reges: Nec etiam nunc obseruatur in omnibus Regibus Christianis: vt patet in Regibus Hispaniæ: Wee reade not in the New Testament, that Priests or Bishoppes ought to anoint Kinges. Neither is that order at this daie used amongst al Kinges, that be Christened: as it appeareth by the Kinges of Spaine. For they are not anointed. Againe ye saie, and that ye bringe in, as a special god Argumente of your side, The Emperoure kneeleth to the Prieste for Absolution: Ergo, The Emperour is not the Heade of the Church. Howe maie a man answere sutch Folies, better then with the like Folie. The Pope him selfe, by your owne Decrees, is bounde to Confesse his Sinnes, and kneeleth downe to a Simple Prieste for Absolution: For your Canonistes saie, Papa tenetur Confiteri peccata sua vni Sacerdoti: Et Simplex Sacerdos potest illum Ligare, & absoluerè: The Pope is bounde to confesse his Sinnes to somme one Prieste: and a Simple Prieste maie bothe Binde him, and Absolue him. Ergo, by your owne Conclusion, The Pope is not Heade of the Church. Sutch a handsome Doctour the Pope hath gotten to promote his Cause.

With sutch Pretz Auste, *P. Hardinge*, ye thinke to ouerrenne, and to conquere the worlde.

The Apologie, Cap. 15. Diuision. 2.

Wherefore, if it were lawfull for them to doo thus, bringe but Ciuile Magistrates, and hauinge the chiefe Rule of Common Weales, what offence haue our Princes at this daie committed, that they being in the like degree, maie not haue leaue to doo the like? Or what especial gifte of Learning, or of Iudgement, or of Holinesse haue these menne now, that they, contrarie to the Custome of al the Anciente and Catholique Bishoppes, who vsed to conferre with Princes, and Peeres, concerninge Religion, doo now thus reiecte and cast off Christian Princes from knowinge of the cause, and from their meetings?

M. Har-

M. Hardinge.

We asswere, it was neuer lawful in any Temporal Prince, to iudge in causes of Religion. Neither did any Prince before this time enter vnto it.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

This is an other of your Absolute Truthes, *M. Hardinge.* For asswere whereof, it maie please you indifferently to weighe, that I haue written a litle befoze touching the same.

The Apologia, Cap. 15. Division. 3.

Well, thus dooing, they wisely, and warily prouide for them selues, and for their Kingdome, which otherwise they see is like shortly to come to naught. For if so be they, whom God hath placed in greatest Dignitie, did see, and perceiue these mennes practises, howe Christes commaundementes be despised by them, howe the Light of the Gospel is darkened, and quenched out by them, and howe them selues also be subtilly beguiled, and mocked, and vnwares be deluded by them, and the waie to the Kingdome of Heauen stopped by befoze them, no doubt they would neuer so quietly suffer them selues, neither to be disordered after such a proude sorte, nor so despitefully to be scorned, and abused by them. But nowe through their owne lacke of vnderstandinge, and through their owne blindenesse, these menne haue them faste yoked, and in their daunger.

M. Hardinge.

Bishoppes (a) can not vpholde their Kingdome by wronge dooings: (b) that is the waie to put them downe. Therefore wee are well assured that your Schismatical Superintendenship can not stande, though al the power of the worlde were beate to holde it vp. Your wicked state is not planted of God, and therefore it shalbe rooted out. It is God that ruleth: it is God that setteth vp, and putteth downe. This state of Christes Church hath continued, and the succellour of Peter hath governed it, whereas the groundlesse building of al the Heretiques from Simon Magus downewarde to this date hath failed. Luther is rotten, and his newe found Religion decayed, and the Pope sitteth in Peters Chaire, and so shal his succellours to the ende.

Yee woulde menne to beleue, that Emperours, and Kinges are deceived by the Popes and Bishoppes. But I praie you, what is the cause, that Princes can not espie these deceites (if any such were) as well as yee? If them selues lacke your knowledge, yet haue they wise menne aboute them, who for their duties sake, and their allegiance to them, woulde soone aduertise them, howe by the Bishoppes they be subtilly beguiled and mocked.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Of the maintenance of your Kingdome, *M. Hardinge,* Christe saide vnto certaine of your Forefathers, Hoc est tempus vestrum, & Potestas Tenebrarum: *This is your time, Luke. 22.* and the Power of Darkenesse. Blinde they are, and the guides of the Blinde. If the Blinde leade the Blinde, they wil bothe fall into the ditch. The Lion, or wilde Bulle, be they neuer so cruel, or great of courage, yet, if yee maie once closely couer their eies, yee maie easily leade them, whither yee liste, without resistance. Euen so doth the Pope hoodwinke, and blindefolde the Princes of the worlde, and holde them in Ignorance: which don, he maketh them to holde his Strophe, to leade his Horte, to knole downe, and to kisse his Shooe, and to attende vpon him, at his pleasure. But, if they knewe, either him, or them selues, they woulde not doe it.

S. Chrysostome saith, as it is noted befoze, Hæretici Sacerdotes claudunt Ianuas Veritatis. Sciunt enim, si manifestata esset veritas, quod ipsorum Ecclesia esset relinquenda: & ipsi de Sacerdotali Dignitate ad Humilitatem venient popularem: Heretical Bishoppes shut vp the gates of the Truth. For they knowe, that, if the Truth be once laide open, their Church shalbe forsaken: And they from their Pontifical Dignitie, shalbe brought downe to the basenesse of the people.

Petrus Ferrariensis, in consideration hereof, complaineth thus, O miseros Imperatores, & Sæculares Principes, qui hæc, & alia sustinetis, & vos seruos Ecclesiæ facitis: Et Mundum per eos infinitis modis usurpari videtis: nec de remedio cogitatis: Quia prudentiæ, & Sapienciæ non intenditis: *Alas, miserable are yee, the Emperours, and*

Princes

a Vntothe, As it maie easily appeare by that, hath ben saide befoze.

Part. 6. c. 14

Dia. 4. G. 3.

(a) Otherwise it were not the Kingdome of Darkenesse. (b) VVhen they beonce espied: and not befoze.

Luke. 22.

Mat. 13.

Chry. in O. per. imper. Ho. 44.

Petrus Ferrariensis. Cnatur ab Imper. Inter Testa Veritatis.

Hier. in E-
saia. li. 9. 30.

Princes of the VVorlde, that abide theise, and other like thinges (at the Popes handes,) and make your selues slauins vnto their Church. Ye see the worlde is by innumerable waies misera- bly abused by them: yet yee neuer bethinke your selues of any remedie: Bicause yee apply not your mindes to vvilidome, and knowvledge.

S. Hierome saithe, Vt sagittent in obscuro rectos corde. Isti tantam sibi assumunt Authoritatem, vt siue Dextra doceant, seu Sinistra, id est, siue bona, siue mala, nolint Dis- cipulos ratione discutere, sed se Praecessores sequi. Tunc hi, qui prius decipiebant, nequa- quam vltra ad eos valebunt accedere, postquam se senserint intellectos: *To strike in the darke them, that be simple of hart. These menne challenge vnto them selues such Authoritie, that, whether they teache with the Right hande, or with the Lease, that is to saie, whether they teache good thinges, or badde, they wil not haue theire Disciples, or Hearers, with the reason to examine theire sayings, but onely to folowe them, beinge theire Leaders. For then they, whiche before deceiued the people, can no more come vnto them, after they once perceiue, they be aspiend.*

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Diuision. 1.

Verily, we for our partes, as we haue saide, haue donne nothinge in alteringe Religion, vpon either Rascallnesse, or Arrogancie: nor nothing, but with good leasure, and great consideration. Neither had we euer intended to doo it, except both the manifest, & most assured Wil of God, opened to vs in his Holy Scriptures, and the regarde of our owne Sal- uation had euen constrained vs therevnto. For though we haue depar- ted from that Church, which these menne cal Catholike, and by that meanes geate vs enuie amongst them, that want skil to iudge, yet is this yenough for vs, and it ought to be yenough for euery wise, & good man, and one that maketh accompte of euerlastinge Life, that we haue gonne from that Church, which hath power to erre: whiche Christe, who can not erre, tolde so longe before, it shoulde erre: and whiche we our selues did evidently see with our eies, to haue gonne from the Olde Holy Fathers, and from the Apostles, and from Christe him selfe, & from the Primitiue and Catholique Church of God: and we are comme, as neare, as we possibly could, to the Church of the Apostles, and of the Olde Catholique Bishoppes, & Fathers: which Church we knowe, was sound, and perfite, and, as Tertullian termeth it, a Pure Virgine, spotted as then with no Idolatrie, nor with any foule, or shamefull faulte: and haue directed, according to their Customes, & Ordinances, not only our Doctrine, but also the Sacramentes, and the fourme of Common Praier.

M. Hardinge.

Ye haue treated thereof, after your accustomed manner, that is to saie, with al Vntruthe, and Lies.

Ye comme in with many gale woordes. Whereto with guiltie conscience inwardly yee saie yea, thereto with lyinge tongue outwardly doo yee not saie yea.

This generation of loose Apostates, incestuous Voyebreakers, Sacrilegious Churchrobbers, despisers of al Holinesse breakers of the deade mennes willes, ouerthrowers of al ancient order, and discipline.

If it be so, where be your Signes? where be your Miracles? where be the examples of your rare Ver- tue, and Holinesse.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Mat. 27.

Legin Ser.

De Passione

Dauid.

The reste of your speache we wil passe ouer, as talke of course. Touchinge your curious demaunde of Signes, and Miracles, Pope Leo made answere you. For wher- as certaine of your forefathers in olde times saide, If he be the Kinge of Israell, let him come downe from the Crosse, and wee wil beleue him: Pope Leo saithe thus vnto them, Non erat vestra Cœcitatib arbitrio, Stulti Scribæ, & Impij Sacerdotes, ostendenda poten- tia Saluatoris: Ye foolish Scribes, and wicked Priestes, the Power of our Saneour was not to be shewed at the discretion of your Blindenesse. God sheweth his Miracles when, and where, and to whom he wil.

S. Chrysostome

S. Chrysostome *saithe*, In fine temporis concedenda est potestas Diabolo, vt faciat Signa vtilia: vt iam Ministros Christi non per hoc cognoscamus, quia vtilia faciunt Signa, sed quia omnino hæc Signa non faciunt: *In the ende of time Power shalbe gauen to the Diuel, to worke profitable Signes, and Miracles. So that then wee cannot knowe the Ministers of Christe, by that they worke profitable Miracles, but by that they vvoorke no Miracles at al.*

Ch. in Mat. Hom. 49.

S. Augustine *saithe*, Non dicat, Ideo verum est, quia illa, vel illa mirabilia fecit, vel iste, vel ille: aut quia homines ad memorias mortuorum nostrorum orant, & exaudiuntur: aut quia illa, vel illa ibi contingunt, &c. Remoueantur ista, vel Figmenta mendacium hominum, vel portenta Fallacium Spirituum: *Let no man saie, Therefore it is true, for that this man, or that man hath wrought this, or that Miracle: for that men make their Prayers at the Tombes of our dead, and obtaine their desires: or, for that these, or these Miracles be wrought there, &c. Awaie with these things, they maie be either the Iuggelings, and Mockeries of deceitful menne, or els Illusions of lying Sprites.*

August. De Unitate Ecclesie. Ca. 10.

Againe S. Chrysostome *saithe*, Per Signa cognoscebatur, qui essent Veri Christiani, qui Falsi. Nunc autem signorum operatio omnino leuata est: Magis autem inuenitur apud eos, qui falsi sunt Christiani: *In olde times it was knowne by Miracles, who were the True Christians, and who the False. But nowe the working of Miracles is taken quite away: and is rather founde among them that be false Christians.*

Ch. in Mat. Hom. 49.

Therefore S. Augustine *saithe*, Contra illos Mirabiliarios cautum me fecit Deus meus, dicendo: In nouissimis diebus exurgent Pseudoprophetae facientes Signa, & Portenta, vt inducant in errorem, si fieri possit, etiam Electos: *Against these Moungers of Miracles, my God hath armed me, saying: In the later daies there shal rise vp false Propheets working Signes, and wonder, to deceiue the Electe of God, if it be possible.*

An. in Joh. Tracta. 13. Matt. 24.

Neither is the Gospel of Christe preached this daie utterly without Miracles. The Blinde see: the Dourbe speake: your Idolles are fallen: your Great Babylon is comme to grounde. These, &. Wardinge, if you haue eyes to see them, are no final Miracles.

S. Chrysostome *saithe*, The Conuersion of the vvorlde is a Miracle. S. Augustine *saithe*, Modò Caro Cæca con aperit oculos Miraculo Domini: Et Cor Cæcum aperit oculos Sermonem Domini: *Newe a daies the Blinde sleash openeth not her eies by the Miracle of our Lorde: But the Blinde harte openeth his eies at the VVoorde of our Lorde. And againe, Modò Aures Corporis surda non aperiuntur. Sed quàm multi habent clausas Aures Cordis, quæ tamen, Verbo Dei penetrante, patefiant. Newe adaias the deafe Eares of the Body be not opened: yet many there are, that haue the Eares of their harte shut vp: Which Eares notwithstanding are opened by entering of the VVoorde of God.*

Chrys. in 1. Cori. Ho. 6. An. de Verbis Domi. Secun. Mat. Serm. 18. Eodem loco.

Therefore wee maie rightly saie to you with other wordes of S. Augustine, Quisquis adhuc Prodigia, vt credat, inquirat, Magnum est ipse prodigium: qui, mundo credente, non credit: *Who so euer yet requireth Miracles, to bringe him to the Faith, is him selfe a great Miracle: that the woorlde beleeuinge, remaineth stil in unbelieve.*

An. De Ciuitate Dei. Li. 22. ca. 8.

Where as the Phariseis saide of Christe, Lette him nowe comme downe from the Crosse, and wee wil beleue him. S. Hierome *saithe* vnto them, Etiam si de Cruce descenderet, similiter non crederetis: *Tea, although he should come downe from the Crosse, yet would ye not beleue him.*

Hierony. in Matt. 27.

But it were a wozld, to behold the glorious countenance of your Miracles, & Wardinge. Your Crosse can speake: your Idolles can goe: your Images can light their own Lamps: your Holy vwater is hable to calme the Sea, to chase awaie Pile, & to make barren women to conceiue. If you doubt hereof, conferre with M. Cope, one of your owne Louanian companie: or with that worthy Prelate the Bishop of Verona, your Holy Father Lipomanus. I am ashamed to remember the thinges, that you are not ashamed, euen nowe in these daies to publishe in Writinge. Woe be it, such Religion, such Miracles. S. Hierome *saithe*, Mendacium Antichristi Christi Veritas deuorabit: *The Truthe of Christe shal deuoure, and consume the Falschedge of Antichriste.*

Copru Di. 1. Pag. 18.

Hierony. ad Ag'siam.

The Apologie, Cap. 16. Division. 2.

And as wee knowe, bothe Christe him selfe, and al good menne here-
Opp. iij. tofore

tofoze haue donne, wee haue called home againe to the Original, and
firſte Fundation, that Religion, whiche hath bene ſoboly neglected,
and utterly corrupted by theſe menne. For we thought it meete, thence
to take the Paterne of reſorming Religion, from whence the ground of
Religion was firſt taken: Bicauſe this one reaſon, as ſaith the moſt
ancient father Tertullian, hath great force againſt al Heresies, Looke
what ſo euer was firſte, that is true: and what ſo euer is later, that is cor-
rupte. Irenæus oftentimes appealed to the Oldeſt Churches, which had
ben neareſt to Chriſtes time, and which, it was harde to beleue, had ben
in erreure. But why, at this day, is not the ſame common regarde, and
conſideration had? Why returne we not to the Paterne of the Olde
Churches? Why maie not we heare, at this time amongſt vs, the ſame
ſaying, which was openly pronounced in times paſte in the Councel at
Nice, by ſo many Biſhoppes, and Catholique Fathers, and no body once
ſpeaking againſt it: *Ἐν ἀρχαῖς καὶ κατὰ τὴν αἰσθησιν*: Holde ſtil the Olde Cuſtomes.

M. Hardinge.

(a) Here ſolow-
wed ſomewhat
touchinge the
Sacrament, and
ſacrifice, which
maters are o-
therwhere an-
ſwered more
at large.

(b) Irenæus ne-
uer knewe the
diſorders and
deformities,
that nowe are
in the Church
of Rome.

(c) Vntruth. For
as now, it is al
vnfaithfully
perverted.

(d) Vntruth
manifeſte, and
apparente to al
the worlde.

Yee ſaie mathe in your owne commendation, but lies be no prouſer: Yee haue not called Religion
home againe to the Original, and firſt Fundation, as yee ſaie: but yee haue quite overthrowne al true Re-
ligion from the fundation. As for your Apishe noueltie, yee haue taken the paterne thereof from Satan
auſtor of diuſion, the anciente enemye of Chriſte, and of his true Religion. Wee admitte the ſayinge
of Tertullian (thoughe it be not altogether as yee alleage it) that this reaſon hath great force againſt
al Heresies. What ſo euer was firſte, that is true, what ſo euer is later, that is corrupte. Of the Bleſſed Sacra-
mente Chriſte ſaide firſte, &c. (a)

Contra
Praxianum

Yee woulde ſeeme to be ſaine, that wee folowed the Aduiſe of Irenæus. Wee are contente with al
our hartes. And with Irenæus wee appeale to that Tradition, whiche is from the Apoſtles, whiche (as
he ſaith) is kepte in the Churches by Priſtes, that ſuccceeded them. With Irenæus leauinge other Church-
es, whoſe ſuccelſions of Biſhoppes it were a longe woorke to rehearſe, wee require to haue recourſe for
trial of our Faith to the Tradition of Doctrine of the Romaine Church, whiche he termeth, Greateſt
Oldeſt, beſte knowne to al, founded, and ſet vp by the two moſte glorious Apoſtles, Peter, and Paule. Wee
appeale to the Faith of that Church, taughte abroad in the worlde, and by ſuccelſions of Biſhoppes
brought downe vnto vs. For to this Church, (b) ſaith Irenæus, muſt al the Church of Chriſte repaire,
where ſo euer it be, for that it is the chiefe of al, and for that the Tradition of the true Doctrine, whiche the
Apoſtles leaſte behinde them, (c) is there faithfully kepte. Wherefore if yee woulde after the Councel of
Irenæus reſorte to Rome for deciſion of the Controuerſies, that be betwixte yon and vs, and woulde them
to be tried by that ſenſe of Doctrine, (d) whiche hath continued by ſuccelſions of Biſhoppes even from
Peter to Pius the fourth, newe Pope, and woulde ſtande to the Authoritie of that See Apoſtolike, al ſtrife
were ended, wee ſhoulde be at accorde. But wee haue hile hope yee wil folowe this Godly Councel of
S. Irenæus that bleſſed Martyr, whoſe body your brethren the Huguenotes of Fraunce, vilanouſly burned
at Lyons, Anno Dom. 1562. after it had reſted there thirtene hundred yeres and more.

Lib. 3. ca. 1

Lib. 3. ca. 3

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

Ire. l. 3. c. 3.
Valde longū
eſt, omnium
Eccleſiarum
enumerare
ſuccelſiones.

Ced. Theod.
Li. 16. Ti. 3.

The Preeminence that Irenæus gæueth to the Church of Rome, ſtandeth in
Conſente, and Vnitie, and Agreement of Doctrin, not in Superiortie, or Gouerne-
mente ouer al the worlde. For prouſe whereof, yee maie vnderſtande, that Irenæus
in the ſame place likewiſe ſpecially noteth the Church of Smyrna planted by Poly-
carpus: and the Church of Ephesus, planted by S. Iohn: and generally ſundry other
great Churches, planted by menne of Apoſtolical Dignitie: vnto which he willett vs
in like maner to repaire: and not onely to the Church of Rome. The Emperoure
Theodofius willett his Subiectes, to conſorme them ſelues in Doctrin, not onely to
the Romaine Biſhop, but alſo, either to Neſtarius the Biſhop of Conſtantinople: or
to Timotheus the Biſhop of Alexandria: or to Pelagius the Biſhop of Laodicea: or to
Diodorus the Biſhop of Tharſus: or to Amphilochius the Biſhop of Iconium: or to
Optimus the Biſhop of Antioche: or to Helladius the Biſhop of Caſarea: or to Otreius
the

the Bishop of Melite: to Gregorius the Bishop of Nice: to Terennius the Bishop of Scythia: to Macarius the Bishop of Martianopolis. Unto al, and euery of these notable great Churches the Emperour willett al other inferiour Churches to reparaire.

By sutch Examples the Fathers in the Council of Calcedon were contented, to direct their Faith. For thus they brake out in a general shoute, Omnes ita credimus: Leo Papa ita credit: Cyrillus ita credit: Leo & Anatolius ita credunt: Al wee beleue thus: Pope Leo beleueth thus: Cyrillus (the Bishop of Alexandria) beleueth thus: Leo, and Anatolius (the Bishop of Constantinople) beleue thus.

So saith Tertullian, Videamus, quod lac à Paulo Corinthij hanferint: ad quam Regulam Galathæ sint reco: it: quid legant Philippenfes, Thessalonicenses, Ephesij: quid etiam Romani de proximo sonent: quibus Euangelium Petrus, & Paulus sanguine quoque suo signatum reliquerunt: Let vs see, what milke the Corinthians sucke of S. Paule: after what Paterns the Galathians were reformed: what the Philippians read, what the Thessalonians, what the Ephesians: what sounde the Romaines geue, that are so neare vs: vnto whom Peter, and Paule haue leaue the Gospel sealed, and confirmed with their Bloud. In like sorte writeth Gregorie Nazianzene of the Church of Cæsarea: Cuiuslibet Ecclesiæ, tanquam Corporis Christi, habenda est ratio: maxime verò nostræ (Cæsariensis) quæ & ab initio fuit & nunc est, Mater propè omnium Ecclesiarum: Eam Christiana Respublica, velut Centrum suum Circulus, vndique obseruat, non solum propter Orthodoxam Doctrinam ubiq: ab initio prædicatam, sed etiam propter conspicuam Concordiæ Gratiâ, quam diuinitus accepit: Wee must make greate accompt of al Churches, euen as of the Body of Christ: but specially of this our Church of Cæsarea: for that it hath benne from the beginninge, and stil is in a maner the Mother of al Churches. The whole Christian cõmon VVale beholdeth this Church of euery side, euen as the Circle beholdeth the Center: not onely for the Catholike Doctrin, that from thence hath ben published euery where, but also for the notable Grace of Concorde, that it hath receiued from aboue.

Tert. Contra
Marcion.
Lib. 4.

Nazianze.
Epist. 30.

Thus the Anciente Godly Fathers willed the Faithful, to haue recourse vnto euery of these Churches, of Smyrna, of Ephesus, of Constantinople, of Alexandria, of Laodicea, of Tharus, of Iconium, of Antioche, of Cæsarea, of Melite, of Nice, of Scythia, of Martianopolis, of Corinthus, of Galatia, of Philippi, of Thessalonica, of Ephesus, and of Rome: not for any secreete vnremouable vertue in them contained, but onely as Irenæus saith, for that the Tradition, and Doctrin of the Apostles had continued there stil without corruption.

Iren. l. 3. c. 3.
In qua sem-
per conserua-

Therefore Tertullian saith, Percurre Ecclesias Apostolicas, apud quas ipsæ adhuc Cathedræ Apostolorum suis locis præsidetur: apud quas ipsæ Authenticæ Literæ eorum recitantur, sonantes vocem, & representantes faciem vniuscuiusque. Proxima est tibi Achaia: habes Corinthum. Si non longè es à Macedonia, habes Philippos, habes Thessalonicenses. Si potes in Asiam tendere, habes Ephesum. Si autem Italiæ adiaces, habes Romanam: vnde nobis quoque Authoritas præstò est: Renne ouer, and beholde the Apostolike Churches, where as the Apostles Chaires are yet stil continued, and where as the Authentical VVritings of the Apostles are openly pronounced, sounding out the voice, and representing the face of eche one of them. The next Countrey to you is Achaia: There haue you the Church of Corinthe. If yee be not farre from Macedonia, there haue yee the Church of Philippi, and the Church of Thessalonica. If yee maie goe ouer into Asia, there haue yee the Church of Ephesus. If yee border neare to Italie, there haue yee the Church of Rome: from whence we also (dwelling in Africa) maie with speede receiue Authoritie.

ta est ea qua
est ab Apo-
stolis tradi-

Tertul. De
Prescriptio.
contra Ha-
reticos.

Againe, touching the name of a Church Apostolike, whereby yee would euermore seeme to vnderstande the Church of Rome, he saith thus: Tot, ac tantæ Ecclesiæ, vna est illa ab Apostolis Prima, ex qua omnes. Sic omnes primæ, & omnes Apostolicæ, dum vnâ omnes probant vnitatem: These so many, and so greate Churches, are al that same one firste Church, planted by the Apostles, from whence issued al the reste. And so are they al firste Churches, and al Apostolique, in that they al folowe one Vnitie.

Tertul. De
Prescriptio.
contra Ha-
reticos.

Thus the Anciente Fathers taughte the people to reforme them selues by the Example, and Doctrin, not onely of the Church of Rome, but also of al other Notable Apostolique Churches.

Neither were they directed onely by the Authozitie of Aunciente Churches, but also by the Authoritie of certaine particulare vwoorthy menne. For resolution in cases of doubte, somme sente to S. Augustine: somme to S. Hierome: somme to the Bishoppes of France: somme to the Bishoppes of Rome: and somme to others. S. Hierome thus writeth vnto S. Augustine, Tu, vt Episcopus in toto Orbe notissimus, debes hanc promulgare Sententiam, & in Assensum tuum omnes Coepiscopos trahere: Then, as the most notable Bishop in al the woorld, oughtest to publishe this Decree, and to drawe al thy Felovv bishoppes vnto thy Iudgemente. Yet was S. Augustine the Bishop of Hippo in Aphrica, and not of Rome. Certainly, wheresoeuer any flame of Truth, and Learninge maie appeare, out of what place soeuer it breake forth, menne wil of them selues willingly, and grādely flie vnto it.

Damasus the Bishop of Rome, wrote vnto S. Hierome in doubtful Cases, to knowe his Councel. S. Hierome him selfe saith, Filius meus Apodemius de Oceani Littore, atque vltimis Galliarum sinibus, Roma præterita, quæsiuit Bethlehem: My sonne Apodemius, comminge from the shoare of the Ocean Sea, and from the furthest Coast of France, leauinge Rome, sought for Bethlehem, (where I dwell to confesse with mee.)

Thus we see, Godly menne, desirous to knowe the Truthe, and to be resolved of theire doubttes, leaste the Bishop of Rome with al his Cardinales, and wente eighte hundred miles further, to seeke Councel of poze Hierome. Likewise S. Ambrose saith, Post Alexandrinæ Ecclesiæ, Episcopi quoque Romanæ Ecclesiæ Definitionem, per Literas plerique meam adhuc expectant Sententiam: After the Resolution of the Church of Alexandria, and also of the Bishop of the Church of Rome, many menne yet write Letters vnto me, and woulde also vnderstande my iudgemente. Pope Liberius him selfe writeth thus vnto Athanasius, the Bishop of Alexandria: Si mecum sentis quæso subscribas: quod certiores reddamus, num eiusdem nobiscum suffragij sis, eademque statuas de Vera Fide: vt & ego securior efficiar, Tuque mandata indefinenter obeam: If yee be of my Iudgemente, then I beseeche you to subscribe (your name vnto these Articles) that I maie be out of doubt, whether you thinke, as I thinke, touching the True Faithe: and, that I maie be the better confirmed in mee selfe, and maie euermore doo your Commandementes without delaie. Here you see, your Head of the Church offereth him selfe with al his Vniuersal Powver, to be at the Commandementes of an other inferiour Bishop.

Bernarde beinge but an Abbate, writeth thus vnto Pope Eugenius: Aium, non vos esse Papam, sed me. Et vndique ad me confluant, qui habent negotia: They saie, that I am Pope, and not you. And on euery side, they, that haue sutes, come running vnto mee.

Thus were menne wont to seeke for Councel, not onely at Rome, but also, where so euer it mighte be founde. And therefore was Origen called, Magister Ecclesiarum, The Enformer, or Maister of the Churches. S. Basile, Canon Fidei, The Ruler of the Faithe. Eusebius Samosatensis, Regula Veritatis, The Standerde of the Truthe. Athanasius, Orbis oculus, The eye of the woorld. And in doubtful Cases, they were as diligently sought vpon, as the Pope.

Here, by the waie, it were a woorthy mater, to consider somme of the pzoofoude, and Learned Resolutions, that we haue receiued from the See of Rome. Augustine the Italian Monke, whom somme haue called the Apostle of Englande, demaunded of Pope Gregorie, by waie of great councel, whether a Woman with Childe mighte be Baptized, or no: and howe long afterwarde it might be lawfull for her to come to the Church.

Bonifacius, the Apostle of Germanie, demaunded the like questions of Pope Zacharie, whether, Iaies, Daves, Storkes, Beauers, Otters, Hates, and Vilde Horses be manne meate, or no: what order were to be taken with Man, or Horse, hauinge the fallinge sicknesse: at what time of the yere it maie be lawfull, or wholesome for folkes, to eate Bacon: and, if a man like to eate it Rawe, how olde it ought to be, before he eate it: What maie be donne, if a Priette haue a blacke in his eie: Who maie hallovv oile: Who maie weare the Palle: At what time, in what place, in what sorte, euer, or vnder, openly, or secretly, it maie be lawfull to weare it.

To these, and other like doubtful, and pzoofoude questions, the Pope hath geuen cuts

out his Answeres, and that in such graue, and solemne sort, as if no other Creature vnder Heauen, besides his Holinesse, were hable to vnderstande such highe Mysteries.

There felle out sometime an Odious quarel betwene the Thomistes, and Scotistes. Whether the Blessed Virgine were conceived in sinne, or no. The one side saide: yea: the other cried, nay. There were learned menne of bothe sides: Parties grewe: the Scholes were enflamed: the world was troubled: no Conference, no Doctour, no Council was hable to quiet the mater, and to make them frendes. The Scotistes alleged for them selues the Council of Basile: The Thomistes cried out, the Council of Basile was disorderly summoned, and therefore vnlawful. In the middes of this heate, Pope Sixtus tooke vpon him, to be Iudge betwene them, and to determine the votome of the cause. In conclusion, when al the world lookte to be resolved, and satisfised in the question, the Pope commaunded bothe the Thomistes, and the Scotistes, to departe home, and to dispute no moze of the mater, but to let al alone: and so least them as doubtful, as he founde them. This was a resolution for a Pope.

A greate contention felle out betwene them, of Ratispone in Germanie, and the Abbie of S. Denise in France, whether of them had the whole Body of S. Denise: for that either parte saide, and bare the world in hande, they had the whole. To Rome they wente: The Pope sate sadly in Iudgemente, and examined their Allegations, and grewe to conclusion: and in the ende, gaue his aduised, and definitive Sentence, that either part, as wel they of France, as also the others of Germanie, had the whole Body of S. Denise: and that who so euer would saie nay, should be an Heretique. Of such substance, and certaintie are the Oracles of your See of Rome.

Somme of your frendes haue saide, Veritas per Christum Cathedra alligata est: non personis: Christe hath fastened his Truthe, not to the Popes personnes, but to his Chaire. Meaninge thereby, that the Pope, what so euer he decree, or saie, sittinge in S. Peters Chaire, can neuer erre. And thus, by this Doctours Iudgemente, we are taught, to geue credite rather to the Popes Chaire, then to the Pope.

Yet neuerthelesse, the same frenzis, whom ye haue here alleged, openly repproued Pope Victor: and S. Ciprian likewise repproued Pope Steuin: for that they thoughte, notwithstandinge their Chaire, they were in erreure. Erasmus, speaking of the Answer of Pope Innocentius vnto the Council of Carthage, saith thus, In hac Epistola & Dictionem, & Ingenium, & Eruditionem, tali Praefule dignam cogimur desiderare: In this Epistle wee misse both Eloquence, and Wits, and Learninge misse for such a Prelate. S. Ambrose saith, In omnibus cupio sequi Ecclesiam Romanam. Sed tamen & nos Homines sensum habemus. Ided quod alibi rectius seruatur, & nos recte custodimus: I desire in al thinges to folowe the Church of Rome. Howebeit, wee our selues, for that we be menne, haue vnderstandinge, and Iudgements too. Therefore, what so euer is better kepte in other places, wee doo wel to keepe the same.

But touchinge the state of Rome, that now is, Arnulphus saide openly in the Council of Remes, Cum hoc tempore Romae nullus sit, vt fama est, qui Sacras Literas didicerit, qua fronte aliquis illorum docere audebit, quod non didicerit? For as muche as nowe a daies, as it is reported, there is none in Rome, (neither Pope, nor Cardinal) that hath Learned the Scriptures, with what face dareth any of them to teache vs that thinge, that he him selfe neuer learned?

It is not sufficiente to saie, The Pope sitteth in Peters Chaire. As Antichriste maie sitte in place of Christe, so maie Iudas sitte in place of Peter. S. Hierome saith, Bethel, quae prius vocabatur Domus Dei, postquam Vtuli in ea positi sunt, appellata est Bethauen, id est, Domus inutilis, & Domus idoli: Bethel, that before was called the House of God, after that Hieroboams golden Calues were sette vp in it, was called Bethauen, that is to saie, a House vnprofitable, and the House of an Idole. And what marueile is it, if the like haue happened to the Church of Rome.

Therefore wee thinke it better, to examine, and trie the groundes of your Religion, by the Wordes of God, that is one, and vni forme, and endureth for euer, then by your touch of Rome, that is so vncertaine, and so mutable, and so often hath decoiued vs,

August. De
Citta. l. 20.
c. 26. V. iues.

Io Calui. de
Reliquijs.

Nico. Cusa.
Ad Bohem.
Epist. 2.

Eu. l. 5. c. 26
Cyprian ad
Pompeium.
Inter Epist.
Aug. Ep. 91.
Eras.

Ambro. De
Sacr. l. 3. c. 1

Arnulph. in
Con. Remen.

Hic. in Ose.
lib. 1. Cap. 4.

vs. S. Cyprian saith, Si ad Diuinæ Traditionis Caput, & Originem reuertamur, cessat error humanus: If wee returne to the Head, and Original of the heavenly Tradition (which is the VVoorde of God) al humane errour giueth place.

Touching the reste of your needlesse talke, our Bzethzen in France, whom in your pleasante manner yecall Huguenotes, burnte not the Body of Irenæus. They knewe, he was a Blessed Martyr of God: and his Body sometime the Temple of the Holy Ghoste. Without any repzoche, or vilanie, either donne, or meant to that Holy Father, if they burnte any thinge, whiche also maie wel be doubted, they burnt onely an Idole, that you had so vnreuerently set vp against the Glorie of God. Chrysostome saith, Ioseph moriens ait. Ossa mea efferetis hinc vobiscum: Ne Aegiptij memores beneficiorum eius, Corpus Iusti haberent in occasionem Impietatis: Ioseph lieinge in his deathe bedde, saide vnto his Children, and Neewes, yee shal carrie my bones with you forth out of Egypte: Leste the Egyptians remembre the good thinges, he had donne, shoulde vsi

Chry. in Ge-
nes. Ho. 67.

Aug. de mi-
rabili. Sacra

Scriptu. li. 1.

Cap. ultimo.

In the former

reple.

Art. 17.

Aug. contra

Iudaos. ca. 1.

August in

Psal. 41.

that good mannes Body, to an occasion of VVickednesse, or Idolatrie. So saith S. Augustine, Nemo conscius erat Sepulchri Moysi, ne populus, si cognouisset, ubi esset: adoraret: Noman was made priuie to Moyses Graue: lest, if the people had knowne, where his Body had bene, they shoulde adoure it.

For obiection of the Sacrifice is otherwhere answered moze at large. S. Augustine saith, Nunc manibus no offerimus Carnem: Sed Corde, & ore offerimus Laudem. Nowe wee offer not vp flesh with our hands: but with harte and mouthe wee offer praise. Againe he saith, Intra habeo Sacrificium, quo flectam Deum meum: Within mee selfe I haue a Sacrifice, wherewith I maie pacifie my God.

The Apologie. Cap. 17. Division 1. & 2.

When Esdras went about to repaire the ruines of the Temple of God he sente not to Ephesus, although the most beautiful & gorgeous Temple of Diana were there: and when he purposed to restore the Sacrifices, and Ceremonies of God, he sent not to Rome, although peradventure he had heard, that there were the solemne Sacrifices called Hecatombæ, and other called Solitorilia, Lectisternia, and Supplicationes, & Numa Pompilius Ceremonial Bookes, or Manuals, or Portuques, containing the seruice of their Goddesses. He thoughte it yenough for him, to set before his eyes, and folowe the Paterne of the Olde Temple, which Salomon at the beginninge builded, accordinge as God had appointed him, and also those olde Customes and Ceremonies, whiche God him selfe had written out by special woordes for Moyses.

The Prophete Aggæus, after the Temple was repaired againe by Esdras, and the people might thinke, they had a very iuste cause to reioyce on their owne behalfe, for so great a benefite receiued of Almighty God, yet made he them al buell out into teares, bicause that they which were yet alieue, and had sene the former building of the Temple, before the Babylonians destroyed it, called to mind, how far of it was yet, from that beautie, & excellencie, which it had in the old times past before. For then in dede would they haue thought the Temple worthily repaired, if it had answered to the ancient Paterne, & to the Fairie of the firste Temple. S. Paule, bicause he would amend the abuse of the Lordes Supper, which the Corinthians euen then begonne to corrupte, he set before them Christs Institution, to folowe, saying: I haue deliuered vnto you, that thing, that I first receiued of the Lorde. And when Christs confuted the errour of the Phariseis, yee must, saith he, returne to the firste beginninge: for from the beginning it was not thus. And when he found great fault with the Priestes for their uncleannesse of life, and courtousnesse, and

and would cleanse the Temple from all euill Abuses, This house, saith he, at the firste beginninge it was a house of Praier, wherein all the people might deuoutly, & sincerely pray togeather. And so it were your part to ble it now also at this daie. For it was not builded to the end it should be a denne of theues. Likewise all the good & comendable Princes mentioned of in the Scriptures, were praised specially by these wordes, that they had walked in the waies of their Father Dauid: That is, because they had returned to the firste and Original Foundation, & had restored the Religion euen to the perfection wherein Dauid leaft it. And therefore when we likewise saw, that all thinges were quite troden vnder foote by these men, & that nothing remained in the Temple of God, but pitifull spoiles, & detraies, we reckened it the wisest, and the safest waie, to set before our eyes those Churches, which we knowe for a suretie, that they neuer had erred, and yet neuer had, neither Priuate Masse, nor Praiers in a strange, and barbarouse Language, nor this corruption of Sacramentes, and other toies.

And, for so much as our desire was, to haue the Temple of the Lord restored anewe, we would seeke none other Foundation, then the same, which, we knew, was longe agoe laide by the Apostles, that is to wit, Our Saueour Iesus Christe. And, for so much as we heard God him selfe speaking vnto vs in his Worde, and saw also the notable Examples of the Olde, and Primitiue Church: againe, howe vncertaine a mater it was, to waite for a General Council, and, that the successe therof wold be much more vncertaine: but specially, for so much as we were most ascertained of Goddes Wil, and therefore counted it a wickednesse to be too careful, and ouercombered aboute the Iudgements of Mortal Menne, wee could no longer stande takinge aduise with fleashe, and blood, but rather thought good, to doo the same thing, that both might rightly be donne, & hath many a time benne donne, as wel of other good men, as also of many Catholique Bishoppes: that is, to remedie our owne Churches by a Prouincial Synode. For thus we knowe, the Olde Fathers bled to put maters in experiece, before they came to the Publike Vniuersal Council. There remaine yet at this daie sundrie Canons, written in Councelles of Free Cities, as of Carthage vnder Cyprian, as of Ancyra, Neocesarea, and Gangra, whiche is in Paphlagonia, as somme thinke, before that the name of the General Council at Nice was euer heard of. After this fashion in Olde time did they speedily meete with, and cutte shorthe those Heretiques, the Pelagians, and the Donatistes at home, by Priuate Disputation, without any General Council. Thus also, when the Emperoure Constantius evidently, and earnestly tooke parte with Auxentius, the Bishop of the Arians faction, Ambrose, the Bishop of the Christians, appealed, not vnto a General Council, where he sawe no good could be done, by reason of the Emperours mighte, and greate labour: but onely to his owne Cleargie, and people, that is to say, to a Prouincial Synode. And thus it was decreed in the Council at Nice, that the Bishoppes shoulde assemble twice euery yere. And in the Counsel at Carthage it was decreed, that the Bishoppes shoulde meete togeather in eche of their Prouinces, at leaſt once in the yere: whiche

whiche was donne, as saith the Council of Chalcedon, of pourpose, that if any Errours, or Abuses had happened to springe vp any where, they might immediatly at the first entrie be destroyed, euen where they firste began. So likewise when Secundus, and Palladius reiected the Council of Aquileia, because it was not a General, and Common Council, S. Ambrose Bishop of Millaine, made answer, that no man oughte to take it for a newe, or strange mater, that the Bishoppes of the West Parte of the world did cal together Synodes, and make Private Assemblies in their Prouinces, for that it was a thinge before that time not seldome vsed by the Bishoppes of the West Church, & by the Bishoppes of Græcia vsed oftentimes, and commonly to be donne. And so Charles the Greate, bringe Emperoure, helde a Prouincial Council in Germanie, for puttinge awaie Images, contrarie to the Seconde Council at Nice. Neither pardie euen amongst vs is this so very a strange, and a newe trade. For we haue had ere now in Englande Prouincial Synodes, and haue gouerned our Churches by home made Lawes. What shoulde one saie more? Of a truthe, euen those greatest Councelles, and where moste Assemblie of people euer was (whereof these menne vse to make such an exceeding reckening) compare them with al the Churches, which throughout the world acknowledge & professe the Name of Christe, & what els, I pray you, can they seme to be, but certaine Priuate Councelles of Bishoppes, and Prouincial Synodes? For admitte peraduenture Italie, France, Spaine, Englande, Germanie, Denmarke, and Scotlande meete together: if there wante Asia, Græcia, Armenia, Persia, Media, Mesopotamia, Egypte, Ethiopia, India, and Mauritania, in al whiche places there be bothe many Christian menne, and also Bishoppes: howe can any man, bringe in his righte minde, thinke sutch a Council to be a General Councell? And, where so many partes of the world doe lacke, howe can they truly saie, they haue the consente of the whole world? Or what manner of council weene you, was the same laste of Iherosolyme? Or howe mighte it bee termed a General Council, where as oute of al Christian Kingedomes, and Nations, there came hnto it but onely fourtie Bishoppes, and of the same, some so cunninge, that they mighte be thoughte meete, to bee sente home againe, to learne their Seammar, and so wel learned, that they had neuer studied any parte of Diuinitie?

M. Hardinge.

(a) Vnto this.
For in many
respects it was
unlawful.

Your waitinge for a general Council, was not vncertaine. For at the settinge forth of your Apologie, it was sette and wel entred, and almost ended. What so euer successe thereof shoulde folowe, yee oughte not to haue refused it (a) beinge in al respects lawful.

Your assurance of Gods wil is none. That is but your common bieuoorde, as it hath alwaies bene of Heretikes. Ye ought to haue shewed good euidence for your beinge sure of Gods wil, before ye attempted these great and dangerous changes in Religion. Neither becommeth it you to cal the determinations of a General Council, the iudgements of mortal men, so muche as concerneth declaration of necessarie points of Faith, but the prompting and teaching of the Holy Ghost.

(b) Vnto this, by
many exam-
ples loone re-
proued.

As for your prouincial Synode, it was none. Synodes can not be keapte without Bishoppes. Be-
cause yee claime the name of a Synode for your packing and huddling together, yee must proue your seuerall Bishoppes, whiche yee are not able to doe. What so euer yee saie, (b) there were neuer good men, nor Catholique Bishoppes, that keapte prouincial Synodes, contrarie to approued and lawful General Councells.

(c) 21

(c) as your Synode is most contrary. Neither can yee pretende, that yee folowed any olde fathens, puttinge things in experience before the cominge to an vniuersall Council.

Your Council provincial holden in Germanie by Charles the greate, againste the seconde Nicene Conncel general, (d) is a false forged matter, as the Booke againste Images is, whiche one Eli Philo the man in the Moones sonne, Caluine, Illyricus, and other Heretikes haue fathered vpon that moste godly, and Catholike Emperoure.

The Council, whiche you meane, was a Godly, and a Catholike Council, holden at Frankforde by Pope Adrian, and Charles the greate, againste the wicked Council of the Heretikes named Imagebreakers, whiche they helde a litle before that at Constantinople, whiche of those Heretikes was called the seventh, and general, of the Catholikes *Pseudosynodus*, that is to saie, the false or forged Council. Of bothe these Councils, thus writeth Abbas Vrspergensis, so muche commended of Melancthon: The Council whiche a few yeres before, was assembled at Constantinople, in the time of Irene and Constantine her sonne, of them called the seventh and Generall (in this Council holden at Frankforde) was repealed and put away by consente of al, as voide and superfluous, so as from thence forth it shuld be named neither the seventh, nor ought els.

If you beleue not this at the witnesse of a Catholike writer, then beleue Anselmus Rid an earnest professoure of your owne the newest Gospell. Who writeth that Adrian the Bishop of Rome, and Kinge Charles at the Council holden at Frankforde, execrated, and accursed as Heretikes, the Council of the Empire of Constantinople and of the Greekes, whiche they helde for the abolishinge of Saintes images. Those be his very woordes. Beleeue Peucerus Philip Melancthon's Sonne in lawe writinge, that the Council of Nice, was keppe by common consente of the Greeke Emperoure and of Charles. If the Seconde Council of Nice, whiche was altogether for Images, was holden by consente of Charles, howe helde he a Council in Germanie, for puttinge away Images, againste the Council of Nice? Beleeue Carion, and specially Partaleo of Zurich, a man of your owne the moste Euangelical Religion, who vpon warrante of the authentic of Regino writeth, that the Council of Frankforde abrogated, and disanulled the Greekes Council, that was againste the worship of Images. Briefely, howe falsely you and fundrie other of your sect haue here, in belied that woorthy Prince Charles the Greate, it maie easely appeare by that Paulus Aemylus writeth of him: That he sente twelue Bishoppes out of France to the Council then holden at Latrane in Rome, in whiche the Imagebreakers false named and forged Council was abrogated.

Where of Generale Councilles yee make priuate and provincial Synodes, yee doo busies learninge, reason, and custome of the Cherche. A Council is not accompted general bicause Bishoppes of all Countreies vnder Heauen be assembled, (e) but bicause many bee assembled, and all be lawfully called. Els in times, when Heretikes raigene the Church should neuer haue the necessarie remedie of a general Council, for alwayes Heretikes woulde refuse to come to it, as yee haue, to come to the late Council at Trente.

In Persia, Media, Egypte, Mauritania, I weene, yee finde fewe Bishoppes at this date nor manye in the other Countreies, whiche yee recken, and those in manner altogether ignorant and schismatiques. Yet the Patriarkes of Assyria, or Syria Orientalis, and of Armenia, who of late yeres were at Rome, haue for their Provinces bethe subscribed to the Council of Trente, (f) and receiued the whole Decrees of the same for their peoples.

Your reporte of fourtie Bishoppes onely presente at the Council of Trente, and of their slender learninge, is as true, as your Doctrines contrary to that Council is, (g) that is to saie, in plaine termes, stark falsite, it is wel knowne there were at this late Council of Trente in this Popes time, wel neare two hundred Bishoppes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

What hope wee might conceiue of your Late Chapter of Trident, wee were sufficiently warned by the former, holden vnder Pope Paulus. 3 and Pope Iulius. 3 wherin, notwithstandinge your many pæres studie, and great conference of so many, and so learned, and so excellent, and so mutche a do, yet in the ende, yee were neuer able, neither to suppress your open strewes, nor to auoid your Priestes-Concubines, nor to cause your Bishoppes to be residente vpon their Charge, and to do their duties, nor to resolu vs, whether the Pope be aboue the Council or the Council aboue the Pope. In the Summons, and firste Entrie of your Assemblie there, Pope Paule had forgotten Christe, and leaft him quite out of Companie, and supplied the want of him, with the Authozitie of Peter, and Paule as it is plaine, and euident by his Bulle. An other of your Reuerende Fathers there, maketh Marie the Blessed Virgine, Equalle with Christe, and calleth her, his moste Faithful Felovve: Fidelissimam Christi Sociam. An other of the same Companie telleth vs, that the Pope is the Lighte, that is comme into the worlde: Papa Lux venit in Mundum. To be shorte, the whole Issue, and

¶qq

Conclusion

(c) vnturth. For our Synode is most agreable to the Olde Councilles.

(d) A greaze vnturth. As it may soone appeare.

(e) Vnturth. For the woordes be plaine,

Pseudosynodus pro Adotandis Imaginibus, abrogata est. Ado.

(e) By this rule the Council of Trente was not General.

(f) Vnturth, without shame or measure.

(g) Vnturth manifest Read the Records, and Subscriptions of the same Council.

Omnipotens Dei benignitate, & Apostolorum Petri, & Pauli Auctoritate freti. Ambros. Charinus. Cornel. episc. Bitontinus.

*Sessio. 6.
Salva semper
in omnibus
Sedis Apo-
stolica Au-
thoritate.*

*9. qu. 3. nemo
Io. Sleidan.
Anno 1551.
Illyri. in pro-
testa. advers.
Conc. Tri-
dent. pag. 24
August. De
Vnitate Ec-
cl. Ca. 10.
Eod. lib. ca. 7*

*Extra. De
Consecrati-
on. Affin.
Non debet.*

*Basil. Ep. 72
Ζήνοδορος ὁ
ἐκ τῶν τῶν
προσέχοντων
ἐστὶν, μὴ δὲ
δεχόμενοι
ἐπισκοπῆς
αὐτὸς λέ-
γει. Καὶ
τὴν αὐτῶν
προσέθε-
σαν τὴν μὴ
εἶναι αὐτὸς
ἐπισκοπῆς
ἀλλ' ἐπὶ ἀρε-
στῶς, φησὶ
ποικίλως
προσέτινασι.
Basil. Epist. 82
φροντικῶν
τῶν μὴ ἐν
νόμῳ ἐλ-
θεῖν, προσέ-
πειν ἡμᾶς,
ὡς ἐπισκο-
πῆς.*

*Ad arc. 1.
1. Cor. 15.*

Conclusion of al your doings there, by the full agrément of al your Fathers, hangeth vpon the Popes onely pleasure, as by expresse wordes vttered in the first Session of the same Council it maie appeare. And, by your Doctrine, the Pope maie not be controlled, what so euer he do, neither by the Clergie nor by al the whole World. And, what so euer the Pope shal wil to staie, or passe, your Doctoures telle vs, His onely Will muste stande for Lawe.

For these, and other like causes, bothe the Emperoure Charles. 5. and also Francis the Frenche King, beside sundrie other Chriitian Princes, made open Protestation against your saide Tridentine Conuenticle, beinge then removed to Bononia, and said, it was no lawfull General Council, but onely an Assemblie of a fewe certaine persons, to serue one mannes affection, and to seeke for gaine. But he is the opinion, that your owne Princes haue of your Assemblies.

It becommeth vs not (ye saie) to calle the determinations of your General Councelles, the Iudgements of Mortal menne. Yet it became S. Augustine to calle the same, Concilia Contententium Episcoporum: The Councelles of quarrelling Bishoppes.

And againe, Humanarum contentionum animosa, & perniciofa certamina: The bolde and hurtful Contentions of worldly quarrells. If it like you not, that your Councels should be called the Iudgements of menne, then calle them, as S. Augustine dothe, The Councelles of quarrelling Bishoppes. Or, The hurtful Contentions of worldly quarrells. But what neede was many wordes? Your owne Panormitane saith, Leges Summorum Pontificum, & Conciliorum appellantur Statuta Humana: & sic strictè non possunt dici Ius Diuinum: The Lawes, and Determinations of Popes, and Councelles, are called the Determinations, and Lawes of menne: and so in streite manner of speache, they cannot be called the Lawes of God.

Ye saie, Wee are no Bishoppes, and therefore haue no Authoritie, to holde a Synode. Euen so your Fathers in olde time saide, that S. Paule was no Apostle, and that S. Basile, and S. Hilarie were no Bishoppes. Of S. Paule, it is plaine, therefore he saith in his owne Defense, Am not I an Apostle? Am not I a free man? Et ane? not scene the Lorde Iesus? S. Hilarie saith of him selfe, Auxentius de Persona mea calumniatus est, damnatum me à Saturnino, audiri, vt Episcopum, non oportere: The Heretique Auxentius laith a quarrel to my personne: and, for as muche as Saturninus the Heretique, hath condemned me, he saith, I maie not be heard, as a Bishop.

Likewise the Arian Heretiques vsed to say, that S. Basile and other like I learned, and Catholique Fathers of that time, were no Bishoppes. S. Basile therof writeth thus: They calle the Council of Catholique Bishoppes, a Council of vicked menne: neither wil they once vouchsaue to name them Bishoppes, leaste they shoulde seeme to allowe the Decrees, that they haue made against them. And they challenge them to be no Bishoppes, for that, as they saie, they are the Captaine of a wicked Heresie. In like sort he writeth vnto Patrophilus of the Heretique Eustathius: He taketh great heede, lest he should happily be forced to calle vs Bishoppes. Thus, M. Hardinge, in denicing vs to be Bishoppes, ye do none otherwise, but as other your Predecessours haue donne before you.

Of the Bishops, and Prelates of your side, I wil saie nothing. What your owne frendes haue thought of them, I haue saide before.

As for vs, it shalbe sufficient, if wee be onely the voice of a Crier in the Wilderness. S. Paule, to auouche his Apostleship, saide openly thus, Gratia Dei sum id quod sum: By the Grace of God, I am, that I am.

Ye saie, A Provincial Council maie not repeale a Council General: as if the Authoritie of your Councelles stode onely in number, and not in Truthe. Howe be it, the Simple Truthe of God shal ouerweigh Falsehood, be it neuer so General. But euen thus saide Auxentius, the Arian Heretique, against S. Hilarie. These be his wordes, Ego quidem, pijissimi Imperatores, existimo, non oportet sexcentorum Episcoporum Vnitatem, post tantos labores, ex contentione Paucorum hominum resfricari: As y moste Graecous Lordes, in my Iudgemente, it is not meete, after so greate paines taken, for the contentions strininge of a fewe, to hazarde the consente, and Vnitie of Sixe hundred Bishoppes.

Thus the Heretique Auxentius alleged greate multitudes of his Companions againste

1. Cor. 9.

Hila. C. tra Arianos et Auxentium.

againſte S. Hilarie and the Catholiques: whiche, he ſaide, were but a ſewe.

Notwithſtandinge, it were no harde mater, to ſhewe euident Examples of General Councilles, that haue benne ouerruled by Particulars. The General Council of Nice determined, as you ſaie, but you ſaie it vntruely, that al appeales, eute of al partes of the worlde, ſhoulde lye to Rome. Yet the Particulare, or Prouincial Council of Aphrica ſaith, Si prouocandum putauerint, non prouocent, niſi ad Aphricana Concilia. Ad tranſmarina autem qui putauerit appellandum, à nullo intra Aphricam in Communionem recipiatur: If they ſhal thinke it good to appeale, let them not appeale, but onely to ſuche Councilles, as ſhal be holden within Aphrica. But who ſo euer ſhal appeale beyonde the Seas (that is to ſaie, to the Biſhop of Rome) let noman within Aphrica receiue him to his Communion.

The General Council of Nice durſte not to diſſolue Prieſtes Mariage: The Particulare Council of Carthage diſſolued it utterly. The order of Priuate, or Auricular Confession, whiche in your fantaſie, was receiued generally throughout the whole Church of God, was notwithſtanding quite aboliſhed in the Church of Conſtantinople, onely by the particulare aduiſe of Neſtarius.

Your Blacke Friers in their Particulare Chapters, haue controlled, and cut of the General Determination of the Council of Baſile, touching the conceit of our Lawe by in Original Sinne. And Albertus Pigghius, by his like Particulare Authoritie, telleth you, that as wel this Council of Baſile, as alſo the Council of Conſtance, bringe bothe General (in that they ſaie, The Council is aboue the Pope) Decreed plainly againſte Nature, againſte the manifeſte Scriptures, againſte al Antiquitie, and againſte the Catholique Faith of Chriſte.

Certainely, the Trueth of God is not bounde, neither to Perſonne, nor to Place. Where ſo euer it be, either in ſewe, or in many, it is euermoze Catholique, euen becauſe it is the Trueth of God. In the Council of Conſtantinople, it is wriſten thus, Definierunt pariter, vt, ſi quid in Prouincia quahber emergeret, Prouinciar Concilio finiretur: The fathers agreed al together, that, if any mater ſhould happen to growe in the Prouince, by a Council of the Prouince it ſhoulde be ended. Likewiſe ſaith Iſidorus: Maniſeſtum eſt, quod illa, quæ ſunt per vnâquâque Prouinciam, ipſius Prouinciar Synodus diſpenſet: ſicut Niceno conſtat decretum eſſe Concilio: It is cleare, that maters happening in euery Prouince, by a Prouincial Synode maie be ordered: as it is concluded in the Council of Nice. Likewiſe S. Ambroſe ſaith, Sciebant eſſe conſuetudinem, vt in Oriente, Orientalium eſſet Concilium: Intra occidentem, Occidentalium: They knewe, it was a Cuſtome, that a Council of the Eaſt Biſhoppes ſhoulde be holden in the Eaſte, and a Council of the VVeſte Biſhoppes ſhoulde be holden aparte in the VVeſte.

S. Auguſtine ſaith, Literas Episcoporum, & per Sermonem fortè ſapientiorum cuiuſlibet in ea re peritoris, licet reprehendi, ſi quid in eis fortè à Veritate deuiatum eſt: Biſhoppes Letters, if they ſwarne any thinge from the Trueth, maie be controlled by the diſcretion of any other man, that hath more ſkil in the mater.

In like ſorte Abbate Panormitane your owne Doctoure ſaith, as it hath benne alleged befoze: In concernentibus Fidem, etiam dictum Vnius Priuati eſſet præferendum dicto Papæ, ſi ille moueretur melioribus rationibus Noui, & Veteris Testamenti: In maters concerninge the Faith, the ſaieinge of any one Priuate Man were to be taken before the ſaieinge of the Pope, if he were moued with better reaſons of the Olde, and New Teſtamente, then the Pope.

Shoulde we it, we haue not by our Prouincial Council remoued, or ſhaken the Authority of any one Anciente General Council. Wordinge. For of al the Anciente Councilles, that haue benne, touchinge the caſes, that lie betwene vs in controuerſe, we are not yet hable to allege one. We haue vpon god cauſes remoued your Vanities, and vnſenſibly Folies: and haue reſtoared againe, ſo mutche as in vs lie, the Decrees, and Canones of the Ancient Councilles. Hincmarus the Biſhop of Reims, ſaith thus: Cum dearum, aut trium Prouinciarum Præſules in vnum conueniunt, ſi Antiquorum Canonum institutione muniti, aliquid prædicationis, aut dogmatis instituunt, quod tamen ab Antiquorum Patrum dogmatibus non discrepit, Catholicum eſt, quod

Concil. A-
phric. ca. 92.

Soz. l. 1. c. 23
Concil. Car-
thag. 2. c. 2.
Soz. l. 5. c. 9.

Aug. de Ci.
li. 20. ca. 26.
Vines.

Alber. Pigg.
in Hierarc.

Hift. Trip.
lib. 9. ca. 13.

Iſid. in Pra-
fatione in
Concilia.
In concilio
Aquilan.

Aug de Ba-
ptism. contra
Do. li. 2. c. 3.

Extra de
Electis & E-
lectis poſeſt.
Significasti.
Abb.

Hincmarus
Rem. ca. 20.

faciunt: & fortasse dici potest, Vniuersale: When the Bishoppes, of two, or three Prouinces meete together, if they by the Warrant of the Olde Councelles, appointe any mater of preaching or doctrine, so that it disagree not from the doctrine of the ancient Fathers, it is Catholike, that they doo, and perhaps maie be called Vniuersal. Butche are our doingses, *P. Harding*: they agree with the doingses of the Anciente Fathers, and haue the warrant of the Councelles of the Primitiue Church: and therefore they are Catholique.

The credite of the Emperoure Charles Booke, reportinge the Decrees of the Council of Franktoorde, touchinge the Adoration of Images, I leaue wholly to the indifferente discretion of the Reader.

It was printed, not at Geneva, as you surmise, but in Parise: The better out proueth it, not to be forged, by many good, and likely Reasons. An ancient copie of the same is yet still to be seene in Rome in Laterane, euen in the Popes owne Librarie. *Aug. Steuc. De Donat. Const. l. 1. c. 6. Ecci. De Imaginibus.* Augustinus Steuchus, the Spaisker of the same Librarie, reporteth the same. The Emperoure Ludouicus, Sonne vnto Charles, wrote a Booke, yet extante, and remaininge in France, to like purpose. Eckius also beareth witness vnto the same Booke of the Emperoure Charles, although vntruely, and guilefully, as his manner is. For he saith, that Charles wrote foure Bookes in Defence of Images: where as in deede the Bookes are directly written against Images.

Although yet thinke Eli Philii, or I knowe not, who, maie easily be charged with corruption, and forgerye, yet why the Pope him selfe shoulde corrupte, and forge his owne Booke, in his owne Librarie, against him selfe, it were harde for you to shewe good Reason.

The Council of Frankforde (*ye saie*) was Godly and Catholique, and made Decrees againste Image breakers, in the behalfe of Images. *Pet notwithstandinge Auentinus saith.* In Frankfordienii Concilio Scita Græcorum, de Adorandis Imaginibus, rescissa sunt: *In the Council of Frankforde the Græcians Decrees, for the Adoration of Images, were quite abolished.* *Regino* saith, Pseudosynodus Græcorum, quam pro adorandis Imaginibus fecerunt, reiecta est: *The False Council of the Greekes, whiche they had made for Adoration of Images, was repealed in the Council of Frankforde.* *Likewise Ado saith,* Pseudosynodus, quam Græci Septimam vocant, pro Adorandis Imaginibus, abdicata est penitus: *The False Council, whiche the Greekes calle the seventh, wherein Decrees were made for the Adoration of Images, was there utterly put away.*

Hincmarus, the Archbishop of Remes, speakinge of the same Council of Frankforde saith thus: Pseudosynodus Græcorum destructa est, & penitus abdicata. De cuius destructione, non Modicum Volumen, quod in Palatio adolescentulus legi, ab eodem Imperatore Romam est per quosdam Episcopos missum: *The False Council of the Greekes was repealed, and utterly overthrowen in the Council of Frankforde. Whereof, when I was a yonge man, I readde a pretty bigge Booke in the Popes Palaice in Rome: whiche Booke was sente thither by certaine Bishoppes from the saide Emperoure Charles.*

Certaine wordes of the saide Levde, or False Council of the Greekes, amongst others are these: Qui timet Deum, Adorat Imagines, vt Filium Dei: Qui Adorat Imaginem, dicit: hoc est Christus, non peccat: peccat, qui non Adorat Imaginem. Qui non Adorat Imaginem, est Hæreticus, Imago Adoranda est eodem cultu, quo Sancta Trinitas: *He, that feareth God, adoureth an Image, as he would adoure the sonne of God: He that adoureth an Image, and saith, This is Christe, offendeth not: he offendeth that adoureth not an Image: He that adoureth not an Image, is an Heretike: we must adoure an Image with the same Reuerence, wherewith wee adoure the Holy Trinitie.*

Nowe, whether these, and other like worthy sayings, and sentences were to be reprocued, or no, it maie please you, *P. Harding*, to consider.

That Council (*ye saie*) is called General, not, whereunto al Christian Nations doo resorte in deede: but whereunto al Christian Nations are lawfully summoned. As this answere is true, and not denied, so by the same, your late Council of Tridemie, maie in no wise be called General. For, what lawful Summones sente your Pope Pius, either to Preter Gian into Ethiopia: or to other Bishoppes, and Christians in India or Europa onely, and that not whole, excepted what Summones sente he into any other Kingdome, or Coun-
tris

*Aug. Steuc.
De Donat.
Const. l. 1. c. 6.
Ecci. De I-
maginibus.*

*Auentin.
Fol. 337.*

Regino.

Ado.

*Hincmar.
ca. 20.*

*Cicantur. in
Libro Caro.
Magni.*

trie of the worlde :

But yet saie, in Persia, Media, Egypte, Mauritania, and in other Countries adioininge, there are few Christians at this day to be founde. Yet the Authours of Nouus Orbis, describinge the state of the worlde, saie thus, In al Countries vvhither so euer yee comme, there be somme Christians. Againe, In India many Kinges, and Princes professe Christe. And againe, In Armenia, and Cilicia, in a manner, the vvhole people is Christened. Onely they are subiecte to the Cham of Tartarie. Their Priestes be married: And, vvho so is vnmarried, maie be no Prieste.

Nouus Or-
bis.

Fel. 324.

Yet saie, The Patriarkes of Assyria and Armenia (that neuer saw, neither the one Countrie, nor the other) were at Rome (yet knowe not, when) and subscribed to all the Articles of your Council of Tridende. M. Hardinge, yet can geate no greate credite by open mockerie. It is no harde matter for your Pope, oute of his owne garde to make sutch Patriarkes yenough, one for Hierusalem, an other for Constantinople, an other for Alexandria, an other for Antioche, an other for Sidon, an other for Tyrus: and I marueil, if there be not somme Patriarke, one, or other, for Sodome, and Gomorte. These poze Holy, and Hungry Fathers are contented at al times, to yelde their Submissions, and to sette their handes to what so euer they shalbe required, and in the names of those Countries, that they scarcely euer hearde of, to confesse, the Pope their maister, to be al, and moze then al. With sutch vaine shewes, and visardes, it pleaseth you to smother the worlde.

If yet doubt ye hereof, yet maie easily finde, that one Augustinus de Roma in your late Conncel of Basile, bare the name of the Archebishop of Nazareth in Iurie: And yet, poze man, had he neuer sene Nazareth in al his life. Likewise, that one Petrus Paluden, ludensis, a poze frere Obseruante, not longe sithence, bare the name of the Patriarke of Hierusalem: And yet hadde he neuer sene Hierusalem, nor knewe, whiche waie to goe to it. But what neede mee Examples? Your owne Ceremoniarie of Rome telleth you thus, Confluuerunt Antiqui ponere Patriarchas quatuor Ecclesiarum Principium, inter Episcopos Cardinales mixtim. Nostro tempore ponuntur immediate post Cardinales. Sunt enim quodammodo Titulares: They were wonte in olde times, to place the Patriarkes of the foure Principal Churches together with the Cardinal Bishoppes, one with an other. But nowe adain they are placed nexte beneath al the Cardinales. For in a manner they haue nowe nothinge els, but the names of Patriarkes. Sutch gheastes were your Patriarkes of Assyria, and Armenia, that subscribed to your Council of Tridende. They bare the names of these Countries, M. Hardinge: but the Countries they had neuer sene.

Concil. Basile.
lien. Petrus
Paluden,
Patriar. Hierusalem.
Ceremoniar.
li. 1. section. 9

It is moste certaine, that the Christian Patriarkes, and Bishoppes of those Countries, will neither Communicate with the Pope, either in Sacramentes, or in Prayers: nor any wise yelde to his Authozitie, nor geue any manner of honoure, or reuerence to his personne: nomoze then to Mahomete or Antichriste: as I haue sufficiently shewed befoze.

Touchinge the Number of Bishoppes, presente at your Former Assemblie at Tridende, I referre mee selfe to the Records of the same. If yet finde there moze then Fourtie Bishoppes, I am contente to lose my credite. And yet of the same number, Blinde sir Roberte of Scotlande, as I haue saide befoze, and M. Pates of Englande were sely poze Bishoppes, God knoweth, endewed onely with bare names, without Bishoprikes. In your later Assemblie, two of your Holy Fathers were slaine there presently in Aduonterie. By meane of whiche misfortune, your number by so much was abated.

Flacius Hy-
ricus. In pro-
testatione ad-
uersus concil.
Trident.

These be the greate worthies of the worlde: These, Cornelius Bitontinus, one of the same Companie, calleth the Starres of the Churches, and the Mightie Armie of Goddes Angelles. These haue power to determine matters, that they neuer vnder-
stode, by Authozitie onely, but not by knowledge.

Alphon. ad-
uersus here-
ses. li. 1. cap. 4

Alphonius de Castro, as I haue shewed you befoze, saith thus, It is certaine, that somme Popes be so void of Learninge, that they vnderstande not the Graminare Rules. Erasmus, speakinge of sandie the greate Learned of your side, saith thus, Sibi Hierony. ad-
videntur Enstochium

Erasmus.
In epistolam
Hierony. ad
videntur Enstochium

Q. 99. iij.

The buil-
dinge of
Goddess
Church.

740

The Defense of the Apologie of the

videntur Semidei, miro supercilio præ se despicientes Grammaticos: Qui si Grammaticæ litassent, non ad hunc modum se pueris deridendos propinarent: *They thinke them selves halfe Goddess, and with highe looks they despise poore Grammariens. But if they had wel Learned their Grammare, they woulde not offer suche occasions, that Children, and Babes shoulde scorne at their folie.*

Concerning the whole mater, your Doctours of Sorbona in Parise haue concluded thus, Vt Concilium legitimè congregetur, sufficit, quod solennitas, & forma iuris solenniter sit seruata. Quia, si quis trahere velit hoc in disputationem, vtrum Prælati, qui ibi sedent, habeant Rectam Intentionem, & vtrum sint Docti, & vtrum habeant Scientiam Sacrarum Literarum, & animum obediendi Sanæ Doctrinæ, esset processus in infinitum: That the Council be lawfully assembled, it is sufficient, that the Solemnitie, and forme of Law be solemnly obserued. For if a man woulde caste doubt, whether the Bishoppes, that sitte in Council, haue a good Meanninge, and whether they be Learned, and whether they be skilful in the Scriptures, and whether they haue a minde to obeie sounde Doctrine; or no, then wee shoulde neuer make an ende.

Articul. 22.

August. con-
tra Cresconi.
lib. 3. cap. 79.

These be they, M. Hardinge, to whom yee woulde haue vs to geue care, what saeuer they saie, euen as to the Secretaries of the Holy Ghoiste. But S. Augustine saith, Ecclesiæ inter nos agitur causa, non mea Ecclesia in nullo homine ipem ponere, à suo didicit Redemptore: It is the Churches cause, that wee talke of, it is not mine. The Church hath learned of her Redeemer, to put no trust in any man.

The Apologie. Cap. 12. Division. 1.

Howe so euer it bee, the truthe of the Gospel of Iesus Christe dependeth not vpon Councelles, nor as S. Paule saith, vpon the Iudgements of Mortal Creatures. And if they, whiche ought to be carefull for Goddess Church, wil not be wise, but slacke their durtie, and harden their heartes againste God, and his Christe, goeing on stil to peruert the righte waies of the Lorde, God will stirre vp the very stones, and make children and babes cunninge, that there maie euer be somme, to confute their lies.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Hierets M. Hardinge answaereth nothing els, but thus, The Councel is the Schoole of Truth: The Bishops cannot foreslowe their duties: The Church of Rome cannot erre. Which saies wee haue so often, and not without wearinesse heard already, Petrus de Palude, amongst other your Doctours saith, Non est credendum, Ecclesiam Romanam errasse à Fide. Ipsa enim potest è contrario cum Christo dicere, Ego Testimonium perhibeo de me ipsa. Testimonium meum verum est: No man maie beleue, that the Church of Rome maie erre from the Faith: Contrarywise that Church maie sitte with Christe. I beare witnesse of mee selfe. And my witnesse is iuste, and true. Therefore so long, as the Church of Rome can speake for her selfe, there is no doubt, but al is wel.

Petrus De
Palude, De
Potesta. Pa-
pa. Artic. 4.

The Apologie. Cap. 18. Division. 2.

For God is hable (not onely without Councelles, but also, wil the Councelles, and the Councelles) to mainetaine, and aduance his owne Kingdome. Ful many be the thoughtes of mannes heart (saith Salomon) but the Counsel of the Lord abideth stedfast: There is no wisdom, there is no knowledge, there is no counsel against the Lord. Things endure not saith Hilarius, that be set vp with mannes woorkmanship: By an other manner of meanes must the Church of God be builded and preserued: For that Church is grounded vpon the Foundation of the Apostles, and Prophets, and is holden fast together by one corner stone, which is Christ Iesus.

Hilarius in
Psalm. 126.

M. Hardinge.

Where yee saie, that by an other manner of meanes the Church of God must be builded and preserued

Curve

Shewe vs what other meanes they are, and wee muste saie, yee are very cunninge menne, who correct, I wil not saie. *Magnificat*, but Christes owne ordinance for gouernement of his Church, who hath ordeined Apostles, Prophetes, Euangelistes, Shepherds, and Teachers (as is before mentioned) *In adificationem Corporis Christi*, To the buildinge vp of the Body of Christ, which is his Church. Yee shal pardone vs if wee belecue S. Paule before you. Wee see what is the marke yee shoote at, that the lawfull successours of the Apostles, Prophetes, and Euangelistes, and the lawfull Shepherdes, and Doctours beinge putte from the buildinge of Christes Body the Church, your selues maie take the wooke in hande, and gouerne all. Set your heartes at reaste, it shal not be so.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

In dede Christ hath ordeined Apostles, Prophetes, Euangelistes, Pastours and Teachers, for the gouernment of his Church: notwithstandinge the same be not alwayes allowed to sitte in Councelles, nor be alwayes knowne by Rochetes or Miters. If God had not prouided other Pastours, and Feeders, besides your Prelates the whole Church might sterue for hunger. S. Paules wordes be true: but your idle Constructions are vntrue. Wee finde not faulte with Goddess Ordinance: but wee reioice in Goddess Mercie, for that it hath pleased him, to visite his people, and to discouer the multitude of these Vanities, where with you haue so longe, and so vncourteously beguiled the worlde. Therefore wee correcte not *Magnificat*, *Ps. Vardinge*, as you saie: but rather wee humbly singe, *Te Deum laudamus*, and reioice in God our Saueoure. The right, and onely waie of buildinge Goddess House, is, to laie the foundation thereof vpon the Euerlastinge vvoorde, and vvil of God. S. Paule saith, *Other Fundation no man can laie, but the same that is laide already: which is Christe Iesus.* The Prophet Esaie saith, To the Lawe (of God) and to the Testimonie. *If they speake not accordinge to this Woode, they shal haue no morninge Light.* Therefore S. Hilarie saith, as it is alleged in the Apologie, *Aliter extruenda, aliter custodienda Ecclesia est: Fundamentum eius super Prophetas, & Apostolos collocandum est. Ecclesia ita à Deo, id est, Doctrinis Dei ædificata, non concidet: Otherwise must the Church be built, and otherwise must it be kept. The Foundation of it must be laide vpon the Apostles, and Prophetes. The Church beinge thus built by God, that is to saie, by the Doctrine of God, shal neuer falle.*

The Apologie, Cap. 19. Division. 1.

But marueilous notable, and to very good pourpose, for these daies, bee S. Hieroms wordes: Whom so euer (saith he) the Deuil hath deceiued, and entised to fall a slepe, as it were with the sweete, and deadly enchauntments of the Maremaids the Sirenes, those persons dooth Goddess Woorde awake vp, saicing vnto them: Arise thou that sleapest, lift vp thee selfe: and Christ shal geue thee light. Therefore at the comming of Christ, of Gods Word, of the Ecclesiastical Doctrine, and of the full destruction of Niniue, and of that most beutiful Harlot, then shal the people, which heretofore had benne cast in a traunse vnder their Maisters, be raised vp, and shal make hast to goe to the Mountaines of the Scripture: and there shall they finde Hilles, I meane Moses, and Iosua the Sonne of Nun: other Hilles also, which are the Prophetes: and Hilles of the Newe Testamente, which are the Apostles, and the Euangelists. And when the people shall see for succour to sutch Hilles, and shal be exercised in the reading of this kind of Mountains, though they finde not one to teach them (for the harvest shalbe grear, but the labourers few) yet shal the good desire of the people be wel accepted, in that they haue gotten them to sutch Hilles: and the Negligence of their Maisters shalbe openly reprobued. These be S. Hieromes words, and that so plaine, as there nedeth no Interpretour. For they agree so iuste with the thinges, wee nowe see with our eyes, haue already come to passe, that wee maie verily thinke, he ment to foretel, as it were, by the Spirite of Prophecie, and to paine before oure face,

Qqq.iiij.

the

* By this reckoning, it appeareth not, that God euer ordeined Popes, or Cardinalls, to builde his Church.

1. Corin. 3.
Esa. 8.

Hilarie in
Psalm. 126.

Hieronym. in
Naum. cap. 3.

Ephes. 5.

the Uniuersall state of our time: the falle of the moste gorgeous Harlot Babylon: the repairing againe of Gods Church: the blindnesse, & slouth of the Bishops, and the good wil, and forwardnesse of the people. For, who is so blinde, but he seeth, these menne be the Maisters, by whome the people, as saith the S. Hierome, hath bene leade into erroure, and lulled a sleepe: Or, who seeth not, that Rome, that is their Ninive, which sometime was painted with fairest colours, nowe, her bizarde beinge pulled of, is bothe better scene, and lesse set by: Or, who seeth not, that good menne, beinge awaked, as it were, out of their deade sleepe, at the light of the Gospell, and at the voice of GOD, haue resorted to the Hilles of the Scriptures, waitinge not at all for the Councelles of sutch Maisters:

M. Harding.

(a) yntruth, For S. Hierome in the same place saith, *Hec in Consummatione Mundi magis complentur &c.* *Hucusq; de mundi ruina.*

(b) yntruth, nor he speaketh of times of hereticks, and saith plainly, that Rome is Babylon.

(c) As though he thoughte the Birth of Christe, there neuer had ben, neither Ninive nor Babylon, nor Ignorance, nor Negligence in the Clergie. (d) No doubt, if there be credite sufficient in this Commentarie.

* As though the Popes Clerkes were not Heretiques and teachers of False doctrine.

Ye wrestle the saicinge of S. Hierome to your purpose, that is to saie, so as it maie seeme to be spoken againste the Church, that nowe is, wherein ye make him a Prophete. And that this place might founde the more againste the Clergie, to the commendation of the people, and to stirre them to reade the Scriptures: after your accustomed manner yee sticke not to adde somewhat of your owne in one place: to take awaie a litle of the Doctoure in an other place: to alter the woordes in an other place. Who looketh so narrowly for trial of this, as your secretarie thought maliciously, when he wrote it: by diligent conference of this Apologie with S. Hierome he shal finde it.

Nowe, concerninge the right sense of the place S. Hieromes intente was not, to foretel and painte before our face (as you saie) the vniuersal state of our time, but to tell, and declare the meaning of the Prophet Nahum, (a) signifyinge the state of the time nowe passe, to witte, the time of Christes firste comminge in to the worlde: for the woordes doo expressely speake thereof. After S. Hieromes exposition, by Ninive, that Prophete meaneth the worlde: by the Assyrian Kinge, the Deuil. And there he prophesieth the ruine of the worlde, and of the Deuil at the comminge of Christe. S. Hierome (b) speaketh neuer a worde of your Harlotte Babylon, whereof yee and your vnlearned Ministers haue neuer donne bablings, meaninge there by the Holy Romaine Church.

Firste you Sir Defender, that penned this geare, haue plaide a false parte by diuidinge the one member of the sentence into twos: Or rather by puttinge in one worde, and leauinge out an other. For, where S. Hierome hathe thus, *Et consummationis Ninive speciosissima quondam Meretricis*, whereby he meante the vndoinge of the Devils power in the worlde once, that is to laie (c) before the comminge of Christe a moste beautiful harlotte) that you mighte geue occasion of reproche to the Romaine Church, which falsifyinge the Doctours sense you vnderstande by Ninive, you haue set it forth thus, *Et consummationis Ninive, & speciosissima meretricis*. Then you descant vpon it, as though S. Hierome had so writtten, and saie that he setteth before our face the falle of the moste gorgeous Harlot Babylon, which you interpret to be Rome. And then further corruptinge S. Hieromes sense, you make him to speake of the repairinge againe of Goddes Church, as though at this daie it were by default of the Catholike Clergie fallen downe, and shoulde be set vp againe by you and your Ministers, also of the blindnesse of the Bishoppes of our time, that they be the maisters by whome the people hath bene lead into erroure, and lulled a sleepe. And hereto ye adde as saith Hierome, where S. Hierome saith not so neither of the maisters at Christes first comminge, but of the Deuil, who broughte the people a sleepe, by whom he vnderstandeth (d) not the people that liueth nowe, but them that were deceiued by the Deuil vnder euil maisters, before the comminge of Christe.

But because this Defender thinketh he hath acquitted him selfe like a Clerke by alleging this place out of S. Hierome againste the Catholike Church, I requise all the learned to reade ouer what S. Hierome writteth vpon the ende of the Prophete Nahum, from these woordes of the text, *Brachii irriti & euoluti &c.* forth to the ende of the Chapter, and moste diligently to marke that goeth immediately before the place by this defender alleaged. As for thee, good reader, that vnderstandest not the Latine tongue, I assure thee, S. Hierome speaketh those woordes of * Heretikes, * of teachers of euil Doctrine, of suche as wil not heare the voice of the Church, of whiche sorte this newe Englishe Clergie is. And in that discourse he commendeth to true beleeuers, nor onely the Hilles, that is, the writtten Scriptures, but also the Doctrine of the Church (as thou seest in the allegation put in the Apologie) and before that he commendeth likewise *Latuscula Doctrinam*, the Causes of the Doctours, in whiche the faithful people also as by flying to the Hilles, couche them selues safe from danger of the Deuil stirrer of Hereticks. So that if the place bee well scanned, by that allegation they shal seeme to haue made a rodde to whipe them selues. The whole place beinge our longe to recite here, a sentence or twoo, that are specially meane of suche as they be, may suffice.

Va inq; his &c. Woe then to those, whiche are teachers of peruerse Doctrines in Ninive, by whiche is signified

In Commenta
ta in Nahum
Cap. 3.

In Nahum
Cap. 3.

is signified the world. And aptly to them it is saide, thy Shepheardes haue slepte, for they haue geuen slepe to their eyes, and slumberinge to their eiecyldes. And therefore haue they not founde a place for our Lorde, nor a Tabernacle for the God of Iacob. Neither haue they hearde out of Ephrata, that is to saie *Frugifera Ecclesia*, the fruitfull Church. Nor haue they founde the Church in the thickettes of the woddess. Neither onely the Shepheardes of this risselasse (*maxicij bini*, he meaneth by οὐρακτις, which is the woorde of the seventy translators, al sortes of people deceived by false teachers) and of the locuste (they are the capitaine Hereuikes) which in time of frost sitteth in the hedges, haue slepte: but by the King of Assyrians (who is the Diuel) they haue bene lulled asleepe. For alwayes it is the studie of the Diuel, howe he maie bringe asleepe waking soules. Thus S. Hierome.

Nowe I reporte me to those that haue eyes to see, whether our newe Cleargie maie not seeme those, whom the Diuel lulled asleepe (gladly I vse their owne terme) in their newe deuises, in their owne liked conceites, in their Schismes, and Heresies, in their vniuste possession of Benefices, and Bishopricks, those yet liuinge, to whome the righte belongeth, in their presumption of that office they are not duly called vnto, in their malice towards the Church, in the continual satisfiyinge of their fleshly lutes, and in their vnlawful and lecherous embracings.

Let them feare the dreadful saicinge of S. Hierome followinge straight after their allegation: *Non est sanitas contritionis tuae, &c.* There is no healthe for thy bruise, thy woonde swelleth. Therefore the risselasse of Niniue cannot be healed, because he laieth not downe his pride, and the wounde is alwayes freshe, and dailey he is wounded whyles the Deuil strike at him. And when al cometh to al, there is no healthe for his bruise. For although he seeme to him selfe hole, yet is his soule broken, and crushed with the bitte of the whole earthe, that tounceth downe vpon it. and it is not healed, because continually it is lifted vp with pride. But if it become humble, and submit it selfe to Christe, a contrite and humble harte God despiseth not. Thus describeth S. Hierome these menne. Neither let them saie, they submit them selues to Christe, whome and whose Gospel they haue so mutche in their mouthes, vntil they solowe his Doctrine, saicinge of the gouernours of his Church. He that heareth you, heareth me: and he that despiseth you, despiseth me. And this mutche for answere to the place of S. Hierome.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here is a marvellous worke. Sir defender singeth disant, and quireth him selfe like a Clercke, He altereth S. Hieromes minde, He saith S. Hierome saith so, whereas S. Hierome saith not so. He of proude Niniue, hath made the Church of Rome. He of the Diuel, hath made Antichriste. He babbleth about Babylon. He diuideth one sentence into two. He putteth in one woorde, and leaueh out an other. He is lulled asleepe. He scattereth his risselasse. He doothe, and saith, I knowe not what, what so euer it shal please, &c. **Hardinge of his courtesie to repoze.**

Tonchinge this heinous imagined corruption, and altering of S. Hieromes minde, S. Chrysostome saith, *Qui mendax est, neminem putat verum dicere, ne ipsum quidem Deum: He that him selfe is a liar, imagineth, that no man saith the Truthe, no not God him selfe.* With such corruption, and change of wordes, Palladius, a lewde felowe, thought him selfe sometime hable to charge S. Hierome. S. Hieromes wordes therof are these: *Concionatur, me esse Falsarium: me Verbum non expressisse de Verbo: pro Honorabili, dixisse Charissimum. Hæc, & huiusmodi nugæ mea crimina sunt: He preacheth, and publisheth abroade, that I am a Falsarie: that I haue not precisely translated wordes for wordes: That I, in steede of this wordes, Honorable, haue written these wordes, decerely Beloued. These things, and such trifles are laide to my charge.*

To these folies S. Hierome answereth thus, *Cum ipsa Epistola doceat, nihil mutatum esse de sententiâ, nec res additas, nec aliquod dogma confictum, faciunt nã isti intelligendo, ut nihil intelligant: & dum alienam imperitiam volunt coarguere, produnt suam: Where as the Epistle it selfe declareth, that there is no alteration made in the sense, and that there is neither mater of substance added, nor any Doctrine imagined, verily by their greata cunninge, they proue them selues folles: and seekinge to reprove other menne vnskilfulnesse, they beirae their owne.*

Per saie, S. Hierome in these wordes spake nothinge neither of the Church of Christe, nor of your Cleargie of Rome. For trial whereof, let S. Hierome him selfe be hearde to speake, as a witnesse indifferente of him selfe. Firste in other places he saith thus (**To this place was that resoze afterwarde**) *Dicimus, Non venient super nos mala. Audiamus sententiam Domini. Sion, & Hierusalem, & Mons Templi speculatorium, & visio pacis, & Templum Christi in Consumatione. & in fine: Wee saie, There shal no burte come vpon vs. Let vs heare the saicinge of our Lords. Sion, and Hierusalem, and the Mounte, that is the Watche Tower of the Temple, and the sight of peace, and the Temple, or Church of Christe,* shalbe

Chrysost. in Mat. H. 19.

Hiero. Ad Pamma. De optima genere Interpretandi. To. 2.

In eadẽ Ep.

Hierom. In Micha. c. 3.

Rome.
Niniue.
Babylon.

746

The Defense of the Apologie of the

shalbe consumed, and brought to an ende.

These wordes be plaine: not onely that the haute Lady Niniue, but also that the Church, or Temple, that beareth the Name of Christe, shalbe consumed, and brought to an ende.

Hiero. in Sophonia. ca. 2.

Likewise he saith, Quos Deus inseruit ex Oleastro in radicem Bonæ Oliuæ, si illi immemores recesserint à Conditore suo, & adorauerint Assyriam, cur illos Deus non eueruat, & ad eandem sitim reducat, in qua prius fuerunt? **Even they, whom God hath grafted from out of the wild Olive tree, into the roote of the good Olive (that is to saie, the children of the Church) if they forgeate them selues, and flee from their Maker, and worshippinge the Kinge of Assyria (that is to saie, Antichriste, or any other Creature, that is not God) why maie not God ouerthrowe them, and bringe them to the same thirste, and drouthe, they were in before.**

Hi in Mat. ca. 24. Li. 4.

Parke, M. Hardinge, S. Hierome applieth these wordes, not onely vnto Niniue, but also vnto the Church of God. Againe he saith, Abominatio Desolationis, intelligi potest omne dogma peruersum: quod cum viderimus stare in Loco Sancto, id est, in Ecclesia, debemus fugere de Iudæa ad Montes: **The Abomination of Desolation, maie be taken for any Vicked Doctrine: which when wee shal see standinge in the Holy Place, that is to saie, in the Church of Christe, then wee muste flee from Iurie to the Mountaines (of the Scriptures.)**

Hierony. in Esai. ca. 23.

And againe likewise he saith, Paxillus auferetur de loco fidei, hoc est, de Ecclesia, per impietatem quotidie succrescentem: & qui super eum antè pependerant Fide: postea infidelitate frangentur, & cadent, & peribunt: **The Pinne, or barre (whereby he meaneth Christe, for that the faithful hangs vpon him, as in a house things are hanged vpon safely vpon a Pinne,) shalbe taken awaie from the place, that was Faithful, that is to saie, from the Church, because of the wickednesse, that daely groweth. And they, that before honge vpon him by Faith, afterwarde, by infidelitie, shalbe broken downe, and fall, and perishe.**

Greg. in Job. c. 9. l. 9. c. 29

What so euer Glose ye shal geue to the other wordes of S. Hierome, certainly these wordes are plaine, & euident, and wil not easily receiue your Glose. Likewise S. Gregorie, speakinge, not of the first comminge of Christe, but of the time, that is described, to be before the ende of the worlde, saith thus: In diebus illis Ecclesia, quasi quodam senio debilitata, per Prædicationem parere filios non valebit: In those daies the Church, as beinge ouermuche weakened with age, shal not be hable by preachinge to, beare Children.

Hie. in Hieremi. l. 1. c. 4.

Howe, touching your Cleargie, S. Hierome him selfe saith, Ipsi quoque Sacerdotes, qui Legem Domini docere deberent, & subiectos sibi populos à Leonis furore defendere quodam stupore infatuati, vertentur in amentiam: **The Priestes them selues, that ought to teache the Lawe of our Lorde, and to defende the people, committed to their charge, from the fure of the Lion (that is the Diuel) beinge amazed, and bereft of their witt, shalbe turned into madnesse.**

Hierony. in Num. 3.

Againe he saith, Scit Rex Assyrius, non posse se Oues decipere, nisi Pastores antè conspicerit. Semper Diaboli studium est, vigilantes animas consopire: **The Kinge of Assyria (that is the Diuel) knoweth, that he can neuer deceiue the Sheepe, onlesse firste he cast the shephearides into a traunse. It is euermore the Diuels policie, to laie watchful soules asleepe.**

Hier. in Sopho. cap. 1.

And therefore againe he saith, Auferet Dominus nomina Vanæ Gloriæ, & Admirationis saltæ, quæ versantur in Ecclesia. Sed & nomina Sacerdotum cum Sacerdotibus, qui frustra sibi applaudunt in Episcopali nomine, & in Presbyterij Dignitate, & non in opere: **God wil take awaie the names of vaine Glorie, and False Credite, that are in the Church: and the names of Priestes, together with the Priestes them selues, that vaine-ly boaste them selues of the Names of Bishoppes, and of the Dignitie of Priesthoode, but doo nothinge. Witherto, I trowe, it is plaine, that S. Hierome by these wordes, meant not onely Niniue, as you saie, but also the Church, and the same Church that is called, the Church of God.**

Hier. in Calo. Script. Eccles. in Marco.

But about Babylon (ye saie) ye neuer make an ende of Bablinge. What Bablinge then, I pray you, made S. Hierome, when he saide, Petrus in Prima Epistola, sub nomine Babylonis, Romam significat? S. Peter in his first Epistle means Rome vnder the name of Babylon.

S. Augustine

The peo-
ple shal
flee to the
Scriptures

S Augustine saith, Roma est quasi Secunda Babylon: Rome is as the Secunde Baby-
lon. **Againe he saith,** Ciues Babyloniz nos fecerunt: dimissimus Creatorem: Adorauimus Creaturam: dimissimus eum, à quo facti sumus: Adorauimus illud, quod fecimus: *They haue made vs the Citizens of Babylon: (ffoz) wee haue leaft our Creatour, and haue worshipped a Creature: wee haue leaft him, that made vs: and haue Adoured that thing, that wee made our selues.*

August. De
Genesi contra
Maniche.

Likewise saith Primasius, Tunc Babylon cadet, quando nouissimè potestatem persequendi Sanctos acceperit: *Then shal Babylon comme to grounde, When shee shal last of al take power to persecute the Sainctes of God.*

Lib. 2. Ca. 1.
An. in ps. 44
Primasius in
Apoc. ca. 16.
In idē caput

And againe, Vbi Mulierem sedentem super Vestem Coccineam, plenam Nomini-
bus Blasphemiz, habentem Capita Septem. Septem Capita dicit Septem Montes: Ro-
mam, quæ super Septem Montes præsidet, significans: *I sawe a Woman sitting vpon a scar-
let robe, ful of Names of Blasphemie, hauinge Seuen Heades. Seuen Heades he calleth the
Seuen Mountes, vpon which Rome vvas built: meaninge thereby Rome, that sitteth vpon
Seuen Hills.*

Ludouicus Viues, **your owne very friende, saith thus:** Hieronymus, ad Marcel-
lam scribens, non aliam existimat describi &c. S. Hierome, writing vnto Marcella, *thinketh
there is none other Babylon described by S Iohn in his Reuelations, but the Citie of Rome.*

August. De
Cinque Dei
Lib. 11. Ca.
22. Vnus.

Ambrosius Ansbertus saith, Vbi est illa dudum super omnium Regna exaltata In-
clita Roma, Babylon Secunda? *Where is that Noble Rome, ananced of late aboue al King-
domes, the Secunde Babylon?*

Ambrosius
Ansbertus in
Apocal. li. 6.

I passe ouer Beatus Rhenanus, Auentinus, Petrarcha, Dantes, and a great number
of other your owne Doctors, mentioned before in place moze conuenient: Al whom
ye maie not of your courtesie charge with bablinge.

For saie, S. Hierome meant not the state of our time, but onely the state of the time nowe paste:
that is to saie, as it is said before, The time of Christes first comming into the worlde.
And this, in your Margine, ye cal the right sense of S Hierome truely reported. **How**
be it, your Reader, that hath eies to see, maie easily finde, that this is your owne on-
ly sense, P. Hardinge, and not S. Hieromes. **For,** I beseeche you, howe was Ninie
fully destroyed, or what Gospelles were there written, at the first comming of Christ
into the Vworld? S. Hieromes meaning, and speache is plaine, The people, before the
Secunde Cominge of Christe, vvhiche shalbe in Glorie, shal leaue their negligente, and
idle Scholemaisters, vvhich haue of long time deceiued them: and shal flee to the Moun-
taines of the Scriptures. And, al be it they finde not one to teache them, yet shal their de-
sire, and endeouore be accepted before God, for that they haue sought vnto these Moun-
taines: and the negligence, and slouthfulness of their Maisters shalbe reproofed.

Hierony in
Nauum. ca. 3
The true sense
of S. Hieromes
wordes.

So like pourpose S. Chrysostome saith, Fieri non potest, vt is, qui Diuinis Scrip-
turis magno studio, seruentique desiderio vacat, semper negligatur. Licet enim desit nobis
hominis Magisterium, tamen ipse Dominus, superne intrans in corda nostra, illustrat in-
temperationi iubat suum infundit: deregat occulta: Doctorque sit eorum, que ignoramus:
tantum si nos ea, que à nobis sunt, asserre velimus: *It cannot possibly be, that he, that with
earnest studie, and seruent desire readeth the Scriptures, should enuoyre be forsaken. For
althoughe wee wante the instruction of Man, yet God him selfe from aboue entring into our
hartes, lighteneth our minde: poureth his beames into our wittes: openeth thinges, that were
bidden: and becommeth vnto vs a Scholemaister of that, wee knowe not: onely if wee wil doe
so muche, as in vs lieth.*

Chrysostom.
In Gen.
Hom. 36.

So saith S. Hierome, Postquam conuersi fuerint, & Clarum Christi Lumen aspexe-
rint, pascant in vijs, & in semitis Sanctarum Scripturarum: & dicent, Dominus pascit me,
& nihil mihi deerit: *When they shalbe turned, and shal behold the Cleare Lighte of Christ,
they shal feede in the pathes, and waies of Holy Scriptures, and shal saie, The Lorde feedeth
me: and I shal vvaite nothinge.*

Hierony in
Esa. Lib. 12.
ca. 49.
Psal. 23.

Againe he saith, Circundabit sibi, quasi murum firmissimum, Scripturarum doctri-
nam: ne ad interiora eius possit hostis irumpere: *He wil enclose him selfe with the Doctrine
of the Scriptures, as with a stronge wall: that the Enemie maie not enter into his heart. Againe
he saith, Hæc est via, Ambulate in ea. Neque ad Dextram, neque ad Sinistram. Tunc
lib. 9. ca. 30.*

Hieron. In
Nauum. ca. 3
Hic in Esa.
lib. 9. ca. 30.
omnes

The peo-
ple shal
flee to the
Scriptures

748

The Defense of the Apologie of the

omnes Errores, & Idola, & Similitudines Veritatis comminues, atque disperges, & ita iudicabis immunda, vt ea menstruatæ mulieris sordissimo Sanguini compares: *This is the waie: Waite in it. Goe neither to the Righte hande, nor to the Left. Then shalt thou breake, and scatter al Erroures, and Idolls, and Counterfeite likenesse of the Truthe: and shalt iudge them to be so filthy, that thou shalt liken them to moste vile, and loselyme Blonde.*

*Chrysostom.
In Matt.
Hom. 49.*

But, for as much as ye saie, Al these woordes of S Hierome pertaine vnto some other mater, I knowe not what, and not vnto the overthrowe of Babylon, or fal of Antichriste, that shalbe before the ende of the worlde, notwithstanding S. Hieromes woordes of them selfe be plaine yenoughe, yet it maie please you, to consider these woordes of S. Chrysostome, touching the same. Thus he saith, Tunc, qui in Iudæa sunt, fugiant ad Montes, id est, qui sunt in Christianitate, conferant se ad Scripturas. Montes sunt Scripturæ Apostolorum, & Prophetarum, &c. Sciens Dominus tantam confusionem rerum in nouissimis diebus esse futuram, idem mandat, vt Christiani, qui sunt in Christianitate, volentes firmitatem accipere Fidei veræ, ad nullam rem fugiant, nisi ad Scripturas. Alioqui, si ad alias res aspexerint, scandalizabuntur, & peribunt, non intelligentes, quæ sit Vera Ecclesia. Et per hoc incident in Abominationem Desolationis: *Then let them, that be in Ievvie, flee to the Mountaines: that is to saie, let them that be in Christes Profession, flee to the Scriptures. The Scriptures of the Apostles, and Prophetes, be the Mountaines, &c. Our Lorde knowinge, that there should be suche confusion in the last daies, therefore commaundeth, that Christian menne, that beleue in Christe, willinge to haue an assurance of the True Faithe, shoulde haue reuerse to nothinge els, but vnto the Scriptures. Otherwise, if they haue regarde to any other things, they shalbe offended, and perishe, not understanding, what is the True Church. And by means hereof they shal falle into the Abomination of Desolation.*

Here, M. Harding, no Glofe wil serue you. Certainly, these woordes were spoken, not of the Firste comminge of Christe into the worlde, as you imagine: but of the Kingdome of Antichrist, and of the Ende, and Consummation of the worlde.

*Gregori. In
Iob. ca. 29.
li. 19. ca. 9.*

Hereof S. Gregorie saith thus: Ecclesia post eisdem dies, quibus deprimitur, tamen circa finem temporum grandi prædicationis Virtute roborabitur: *The Church after these daies of her affliction, shal afterwarde notwithstandinge be strengthened with greates Power, and mighte of Preachinge.*

The Apologie, Cap. 20. Division. 1.

But, by youre fauoure, comme wil saie, these thinges oughte not to haue benne attempted without the Bishop of Romes commaundement for so muche as he onely is the knotte, and band of Christian Societie: He onely is that Priest of Leuies Order, whome God signified in the Deuteronomie, from whome Counsel in matters of weighte, and true iudgemente oughte to be sette: and, who so obeieith not his iudgement, the same man oughte to be killed in the sight of his brethren: and, that no Mortal creature hath Authoritie to be Iudge ouer the Pope, what so euer he doo: that Christ reigneth in Heauen, and the Pope in Earth: that the Pope alone can doo as muche, as Christe, or God him selfe can doo: because Christ, and the Pope haue but one Consistorie: That with out him is no Faith, no Hope, no Church: and, who so goeth from him, quite casteth awaie, and renounceth his owne Saluation. Such talke haue the Canonistes, the Popes Parasites, but with smal discretion, or sobrenesse. For they coulde scantly saie more, at leaste, they coulde not speake more highly of Christe him selfe.

M. Harding.

What comme wil saie, we knowe not. We tel you, that your change of Religion and manifold Heresies ought not to haue benne attempted at al, neither without the Bishop of Romes commaundement, nor with his commaundement. Touching the Bishop of Rome him selfe, you haue neuer donne with him. He is a great blocke in your waie, And so hath he euer bene in the waie of al Heretikes. Yet could he neuer
by

by you, or them be removed. To your scoffes against him, and belicings of the Canonists before by you uttered, and here idly repeated, my former answer may suffice.

The Apologie, Cap. 20. Division. 2.

As for vs, truly, wee haue fallen from the Bishop of Rome, vpon no manner of worldly respect, or commoditie. And would to Christe, he so behaued him selfe, that this fallinge awaie had not needed: But so the rafe stode, that onlesse wee leaste him, wee coulde not come to Christe. Neither wil he now make any other League with vs, then such a one, as Nahas the King of the Ammorites woulde haue made in times past with them of the Citie of Iabes, whiche was to put out the righte eye of eche one of the Inhabitanes, and so to receiue them into his frendship. Euen so wil the Pope plucke from vs the Holy Scripture, the Gospel of our Saluation, and al the Confidence, whiche we haue in Christe Iesu, as the eye from our heade. And vpon other condition can he not agree vpon peace with vs.

M. Hardinge.

Yee are not fallen from the Bishop of Rome onely, whiche were a damnable schisme: but ye are fallen from Christes Church. Your comparison of the Pope with kinge Nahas is not very agreeable. But first, yee speake more maliciously, then credibly. Be yee good Christen men, and conforme your selues to the Catholike Faith, (and denie Christe, and his Gospel: for, this also yee shoulde haue added) and I warrant you, the Pope wil nat plucke from you, neither the Scriptures, nor your confidence in Christ Iesu, no more then he dothe from vi.

The Apologie, Cap. 20. Division. 3.

For whereas somme vse to make so greate a haunte, that the Pope is onely Peters Successour, as though thereby he caried the Holy Ghost in his bosome, and could not erre, this is but a mater of nothing, and a very trifeling tale. Goddes grace is promised to a good minde, and to any one, that feareth him, not vnto Sees, and Successions. Richesse, saith the S. Hierome, maie make one Bishop to be of more might, then the rest: but al Bishoppes, who so euer they be, are the Successours of the Apostles. If so be the Place, and Consecration onely be sufficient, why then, Manasses succeeded Dauid, and Caiphas succeeded Aaron. And it hath bene often seene, that an Idol hath bene placed in the Temple of God. In olde time, Archidamus the Lacedemonian boasted much of him selfe, howe he came of the bloude of Hercules, as the Pope this daie boasteth him selfe of the succession, and place of Peter. But one Nicostratus, in this wise abated his pride: Ate, quod he, thou seemest not to descende from Hercules. For Hercules destroyed euil menne: and thou makest good menne euil. And when the Phariseis bragged of their Linage, howe they were of the kindred, and bloud of Abraham. Ye, saith the Christe, seeke to kil me, a man whiche haue tolde you the truthe, as I heard it from God. Thus Abraham neuer did. Yee are of your Father the Deuil, and wil needes obeie his wil.

Iohn. 8:

M. Hardinge.

The Pope succeedeth Peter in authoritie and power. For whereas the Sheepe of Christe continewe to the worldes ende, he is not wise, that thinketh Christe to haue made a shepheard temporarie, or for a time, ouer his perperual stocke. Then what shepherdly endurancie our Lorde gaue to the first shepheard, at the institution of the shepherdly office of the Church: that is, he vnderstanded to haue geuen ordinarily to eueri Successour. To Peter he gaue that he obtained by his prayer made to the Father, that his Faith should not faile. Againe, to him he gaue grace, that to perseuerance, the performance whereof at him he required, to witte, that he confirmed and strengthened his Brethren, wherefore the grace of stedfastnesse, of Faith, and of Confirminge the waueringe and doubtful in Faith, eueri Pope obtaineth

Rit.

of the

The
Pope can
not erre.

748

The Defense of the Apologie of the

• Vntruth, ioi-
ned with im-
pudente flatter-
ry and extreme
folie.

• This place of
S. Hierome is
answered be-
fore, Part 2.

Ca. 3. Diu. 5.

• Peter was the
Shepherd, and
the Apostles
the Sheepe. A
vaine vntruth
without fauor,

Ciptian fathre,

*Christus pa-
rem dedit*

*Apostolis o-
mnibus pote-
statem.*

The Pope Suc-
cedeth in po-
wer, but not in
holinesse.

• Al this maie
be called the
succesion of
folie.

*Salustius in
Ciceronem.*

Nico. Cusa.

ad Bohemos

Epist. 2.

Part. 6. ca. 5.

Diu. 2.

Alphon. De

Her. l. 1. c. 4.

of the holy Ghoste for the benefite of the Church. And so the Pope, although he maie erre by personall error, in his owne priuate iudgemente, as a man, and as a particular Doctour in his owne opinion: yet as he is Pope, the Successour of Peter, the Vicare of Christe in earth, the Shepherde of the Vniuersall Church, in publike iudgemente, in deliberation and definitiue sentence, he neuer erreth, nor neuer erreth. For when so euer he ordeineth or determineth any thing, by his high Bishoply authoritie, intending to bind Christian menne to performe or beleue the same, he is alwaies gouerned and holpen with the grace and fauour of the holy Ghoste. This is to Catholique Doctours a very certaintie, thoughte to sutch doubtles

Clerkes as ye are, it is but a mater of nothinge, and a very triflinge tale. Goddes grace in one respecte, is promised bothe to a good minde, and to one that feareth God, and also in an other respecte, to the Successours of Peter. S. Hieromes saieinge to Euagrius, whiche nerue you haue alleged three or foure times, wil not handsomely serue you for so diuers pointes, as a shipmans hofe for diuers legges.

• Once againe I tel you, thereby he meaneth nothinge els, but that, the greatnesse of Rome ought not to giue authoritie to a wronge priuate custome, (by whiche Deacons in certaine cases were preferred before Priestes) against the right general custome of the worlde. And Bishoppes be the Successours of the Apostles, we graunte, yet is the Pope the Successour of Peter, who was Shepherde of all Christes Lambes, and Sheepe, and therefore also of the Apostles them selues, and so haie a higher authoritie.

As for your example of Archidamus, who boastingly fetched his Petigree from Hercules, you must consider, succesion of Vertue alwaies foloweth not succesion of Bloude. Nowe we doo acknowledge in the Pope a succesion of Shepherdy power, euen sutch as was in Peter. • Whiche power is not taken awaie by lacke of Peters holinesse.

Christe likewise by his answere to the Phariseis, thoughte he affirmed, they succeeded not Abraham in loze of trithe and that for their malice they were of their father the Diuel: yet he denied not, but that they came lineally of Abraham, and were of his bloude, thoughte not of his godlinesse. Sutch succesion means not wee, (speaking of the Pope, whose succesion is deriued of Peter: but the succesion of Power and authoritie, and of infallibilitie of Faith in iudgemente and sentence definitiue.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Here we haue founde one Pope with two Capacities. In one respecte he is a Man: in an other respecte he is moze then a Man: but whether, in that respecte, he be Angel, or Archangel, it is passe in silence. One waie he succedeth Peter: an other waie, he succedeth, I knowe not, whom. One waie he maie erre: an other waie, thoughte he would neuer so faine, he can not erre. In his Bed, at his Table, on Hyssebacke, or els where, we maie wel mistruste him: for in these places he maie be deceived, as wel, as others. But, in Councel, in Consistorie, and in place of Iudgemente it is moste certaine, yet saie, he can not erre. For in these places he hath the Holy Ghost, I trowe, at his Commandement. His power Pastoral, his Succesion in Antiquitie, and Infallibilitie of Faith, his Place, his Chaire, and his Consecration, are sufficient for euer to preserve him from Errour. To like purpose Saluste sometime saide of Cicero, Aliud stans, aliud Sedens, de Republica sentit: While he standeth up, he hath one minde, touchinge the common State: When he sitteth downe, he hath another. It is fitte for a Pope to haue shift of mindes. Apoloes Nonne, while she sate methwed in his Cave, was inspired, and Prophecied, and gaue Oracles: but after that she came abroade, she was no wiser, then other women. Thus your Doctours saie, as it is before reported, Veritas adheret Cathedra: Papa Sanctitatem recipit a Cathedra: The Popes Trueth is fastened vnto his Chaire: The Pope from his Chaire receiveth his Holinesse.

It shameth me, M^r. Wardinge, to see you so vainely occupied aboute these vanities. Ye maie wel be liberal in dealinge hercof. They colle you but litle: they are onely your owne. Scriptures, Doctours or Councelles, to witnesse your saieinges, yet allege none. For further declaration of this whole mater, I beseeche the, god Chyristian Reader, to consider the shorte treatie that I haue witten before, touchinge the sundrie Errours and evident Heresies, that haue benne notably founde in Popes. Alphonsus de Castro, one of M^r. Wardinges owne special Doctours, saith, Non dubitamus esse adeo Impudentem Papæ Assentatorem, vt ei tribuere hoc velis, vt nec Errare, nec in Interpretatione Sacrarum Literarum hallucinari possit: Wee doubt not, whether one man maie be a Pope, and an Heretique bothe together. For, I beleue, there is none so shamelesse a Flatterer of the Pope, that wil saie (as you saie, M^r. Wardinge) The Pope can neuer erre, nor be deceived in the Exposition of the Scriptures. There is no Flatterer so shamelesse,

lesse, that wil so saie.

Likewise Erasmus saith, Si verum est, quod quidam asseuerant, Romanum Pontificem Errore Iudiciali Errare non posse, quid opus est Generalibus Concilijs? quid opus est in Concilium accersere Iurisperitos, ac Theologos eruditos? Si Papa pronuncians labi non potest, cur datus est Appellationi locus, vel ad Synodum, vel ad eundum rectius edoctum? Quorsum attinet, tot Academies in tractandis Fidei quæstionibus distorqueri, cum ex vno Pontifice, quod verum est: audire liceat? Imò qui sit, vt huius Pontificis decreta cum illius Pontificis decretis pugnent? *If it be true, that somme menne sai, that the Bishop of Rome can neuer Erre in Erroure of Iudgemente, what neede wee then so many General Councelles? And in the same, what neede wee so many Lavviers, and learned Diuines? If the Pope cannot Erre in geenuinge sentence, wherefore lieth there any Appeale from the Pope, either to a Councel, or els to the Pope him selfe, beinge better enfourmed? What neede wee so trouble so many Vniuersities, in discussinge of Matters of Faith, whereas wee maie learne the Truthe of the Pope alone? Naie, howe cometh it aboute, that one Popes Decrees are founde contrarie to an others, if it be so certaine, that the Pope, who so euer he be, and what so euer he saie, can neuer Erre.*

What ye speake of Peters Succession, is vaine, & childishe. **Of** Antiche folie Athanasius saith, Periuasus est, in Magnitudine Urbium Religionem esse suam: *This wise man imagineth, that Religion standeth in the Greatenesse of Citties.* S. Hierome saith, Potentia Diuitiarum, & Paupertatis Humilitas vel Sublimiorem, vel Inferiorem Episcopum non facit. Ceterum omnes Apostolorum Successores sunt: *The wealthie of Rubesse, and the Basenesse of Pouertie maketh a Bishop neither Higher, nor Lower.* But al Bishoppes be the Apostles successours.

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Division. 1.

Pet notwithstandinge, bicause wee wil graunte somme what to Succession, tel vs, hath the Pope alone Succeeded Peter? And wherein, I pray you? In what Religion, in what Office, in what peece of his life hath he Succeeded him? What one thinge (tel me) had Peter euer like vnto the Pope, or the Pope like vnto Peter? Excepte peraduenture he wil saie thus, That Peter, when he was at Rome, neuer taught the Gospel, neuer fedde the flocke, tocke awaie the keyes of the Kingdome of Heauen, hid the Treasures of his Lorde, sat him downe onely in his Castle of S. Iohn Laterane, and pointed out with his finger al the places, and Chambers of Purgatorie, and kindes of punishments, committinge some pooze Soules to be tormented, and other some againe suddainely releasinge thence at his owne pleasure, takinge Rome for so dooinge: or, that he gaue order to saie Priuate Masses in euery corner: or, that he mumbled by the Holy Service with a loude voice, and in an vnknown language: or, that he hanged by the Sacrament in euery Temple, and on euery Altare, and caried the same about before him, whither so euer he wente, vpon an amblinge Jamnet, with lightes, and belles: or, that he Consecrated with his holy Breathe, Oile, Waxe, Wulle, Belles, Chalices, Churches, and Altars: or that he sold Iubilees, Graces, Liberties, Auoufons, Preventions, First frutes, Palles, the wearing of Palles, Bulles, Indulgence, and Pardons: or, that he called him selfe by the name of the Heade of the Church, the Highest Bishop, the Bishop of Bishoppes, alone most Holy: or, that by vsurpation, he tooke vpon him selfe the right, and authoritie ouer other Bishops Churches: or, that he exempted him selfe from the Power of any Ciuil Gouernment: or, that he mainteined warres, and set Diuines togeather at variance: or, that he sittinge in his chaire, with his Triple crowne ful of Labelles,

Art. ij.

with

Pope can not Erre.

Erasm in Annotatio. In. 1. Cori 7.

Athana. In Apologia Secunda. Hierony. ad Enag. um.

with sumptuous, & Persianlike gorgiousnesse, with his Roial Scepter, with his Diademe of gould, and glittering with hoanes, was carried about, not vpon a palfraie, but vpon the shoulders of Noble men. These thinges, no doubt, did Peter at Rome in times paste, and leaste them in charge to his Successours, as you would saie, from hande to hande: for these thinges be nowe a daies donne at Rome by the Popes, and be so donne, as though nothinge els ought to be donne.

M. Hardinge.

The Pope alone hath succeeded S. Peter. Aske you wherein in what Religion in what office? We tel you, he succeeded in Peters Chaire, in whiche he sat at Rome, and ruled the Church, in Christian Religion, (a) in that office whiche Christe committed to Peter, when he saide, *Pasce Oves meas*: Feede my sheepe. Then whiche office he neuer gaue greater, nor with like circumstance of charge, (b) nor to any other gaue he it, then to Peter. For to him alone, he saide, Feede my Sheepe. What aske yee vs of this Officers life?

(a) Vntrithe.
For the Pope
feedeth not.
(b) Vntrithe.
For the same
power was
geuen to al the
sesta
(c) And wel
proued.

You aske what thinge had Peter euer like vnto the Pope, or the Pope like vnto Peter? We tel you, Peter had authoritie to feede Christes sheepe, like vnto the Pope. And the Pope hath authoritie to feede Christes sheepe, like vnto Peter, (c) Like power, like commition. He that gaue them authoritie so feede, gaue them also authoritie to doo what so euer maie pertaine to feedinge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

For that ye telle vs so many faire tales of Peters Succession, we demaunde of you, wherein the Pope succeedeth Peter? You answer, He succeedeth him in his Chaire: as if Peter had benne sometime enstalled in Rome, and had sat solemnely al daies with his Triple Crowne, in his Pontificalibus, and in a Chaire of goulde. And thus, hauinge losse bothe Religion, and Doctrine, ye thinke it sufficiente, at leasse to holde by the Chaire: as if a souldier, that had losse his swerde, would plaie the man with the scaberde. But so Caiphas succeeded Aaron: So wicked Manasses succeeded David: So maie Antichriste easilie sitte in Peters Chaire.

*Dist. 40.
Multi.*

Chrysostome saith, Non Cathedra facit Sacerdotem: sed Sacerdos Cathedram: Nec Locus Sanctificat Hominem: sed Homo Locum. It is not the Chaire, that maketh the Bishop: but it is the Bishop, that maketh the Chaire. Neither is it the Place, that baloweth the Man: but it is the Man, that baloweth the Place. Likewise S. Hierome saith, Non Sanctorum Filij sunt, qui tenent Loca Sanctorum: They are not alwayn the Children of holy Menne, that sitte in the rounes of holy Menne.

*Dist. 49.
Non est fa-
cile.*

He dothe great wrongs vnto S. Peter, that placeth sutch a one in sutch a Chaire: For, neither is the Pope in any thinge like S. Peter: nor was S. Peter in any thinge like the Pope. When Simeones saue, that Arfacius, an vnlearned, and an vnwoorthy olde doatinge Man, was placed in Chrysostomes rounge, he cried out, Pro pudor: Quis, Cui? Ouz for shame: what a sary kinde is this? and in whose place haue we sette him? Euen so maie we iustly saie of the Popes sitting in Peters Chaire, Pro pudor, Quis, Cui? If he haue any regarde of him selfe, he cannot thinke of S. Peter without blashings.

*Nicep. l. 13.
cap. 23.*

The Apologie, Cap. 21. Division. 2.

O contrarie wise, peradventure they had rather saie thus, that the Pope dothe nowe al the same thinges, that wee knowe, Peter did many a daie ago: that is, that he reiueth bp and downe into euery Countrie, to preache the Gospel, not onely openly abroad, but also priuate-ly from house to house: that he is diligente, and applieth that businesse, in season, and out of season, in due time, and out of due time: that he dothe the parte of an Euangeliste, that he fulfilleth the worke, and ministerie of Christe, that he is the watchman of the House of Israel, re- iueth answers, and wordes at Gods mouth: and, euen as he recei- ueth them, so deliuereth them over to the people: That he is the Salt of the earth: that he is the Lighte of the world: that he dothe not fede him selfe, but his flock: that he doth not entangle himselfe with the worldly cares

cares of this life: that he dorhe not vse a Soueraintie ouer the Lordes people: that he seeketh not to haue other menne Minister vnto him, but him selfe rather Ministereth vnto others: that he taketh al Bishoppes as his felowes, and Equalles: that he is Subiect to Princes, as to personnes sente from God: that he geueth to Cesar that, whiche is Cæsars: and that he, as the Olde Bishoppes of Rome did without contradiction, calleth the Emperoure his gracious Lorde. Onlesse therefore the Popes do the like nowe a daies, as Peter did, there is no cause at al, whye they shoulde glozie so mutche of Peters name, and of his Succession.

M. Hardinge.

The Pope now renneth not vp and downe into euery Countrie, he goeth not openly and priuately, from house to house, and to euery alchouse, as yee woulde him to doo, like one of your Ministers: Neither I trowe, yee maisters that be Superintendentes your selues, thinke it conuenient, that ye goe from house to house, to preache your Gospel at these daies. And woulde yee the Pope to abase him selfe to that yee thinke becommeth not your selues? He hathe (as meete it is) other fitte menne to helpe to beare his burthen with him. And where as one body sufficeth not for so greate and so many affaires: For Councel, he hath many heades: for oversight, many eies: for care, many hartes: for preaching, many tongues: for worke, many handes: for knowledge, many eares: for expedition of matters, many teete: for the greate weghte of his charge, many shoulders. Briefly, for al necessarie and behooueful cases, conueniente helpes. The like helpes woulde S. Peter vse, were he nowe liuinge.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

To speake of the Popes renninge vp, and downe, from Alehouse to Alehouse, it is great folie. It shoulde be sufficiente, if he woulde goe from Church to Church, and remember his Charge, and Feede the Flocke, and Preache the Gospel. As saie, The Pope hath many heades, many eies, many hartes, many tongues, many handes, many eares, many shoulders. And thus, of your Pope yee make a Monster, with many eies, eares, tongues, and hartes of others, and none of his owne. A wise man sometime saide: Improbè facit, qui, cum alienis oculis omnia ei agenda sint, postulet aliorum vitas omitti sibi: *It is but lewdely donne, if a man, that muste oversee al thinges with other mennes eies, desire to haue the liues of others committed ouer to his charge.*

Titus Livius.

God geus him eies to see, and eares to heare, and harte to vnderstand: that he maie knowe the tyme of Goddes Visitation.

The Apologie, Cap. 22. Division. 1.

Mutche lesse cause haue they, to complaine of our departinge, and to calle vs againe to be felowes, and frendes with them, and to beleue, as they beleue. Menne saie, that one Cobilon a Lacedemonian, when he was sent Embassadour to the King of the Persians, to treat of a league, and founde by chaunce them of the Court playinge at dice, he returned straight waie home againe, leauinge his message vndonne. And when he was asked, why he had slackt to doo the thinges, whiche he had receiued by publique Commission to doo, he made answer, he thought it shoulde be a great reproche to his common Wealthe, to make a league with Dicers. But, if we shoulde content our selues to returne to the Pope, and to his Errours, and to make a couenant, not onely with Dicers, but also with men farre more vngraceous, and wicked, then any Dicers be: besides that, this shoulde be a great blotte to our good name, it shoulde also be a very dangerous mater, both to kendle Goddes wraath against vs, and to clogge, and condemne our owne soules for euer.

M. Hardinge.

Ye doo wel to compare your selues with this Cobilon. For in deede (a) yee doo as he did. Yee were sente (b) by Christe to his Vicars, Peters Successour, to be fedde and gouerned like sheepe vnder the shepe.

Rrr. iij.

bearde.

(a) Wee see wicked company, as he did.
(b) Vnto vs.
For where did Christe euer sende vs to the B. of Rome.

(c) By this rule the Pope is left voide of life: For that he is deuided from Christe, that is the heade.

hearde. Christe and his Church be a perfite body, he the heade, the true beleuers knitte together in charitie the members, eche one in his order and degree. He is the vine, wee the boughes and branches. (c) What member cutte off from the body, liueth? what bough broken from the tree, groweth? As euery such member dieth and bough withereth, So if yee remaine not in the Catholique Church, whiche is the body of Christe, yee drawe no life from the head, yee haue no parte of the Spirit, that from thence redoundeth to euery member, yee haue no portion of the vital iouyle that issueth from the roote. Then what remaineth, but that yee be caste into the fire? For this cause S. Cyprian and other Fathers, oftentimes haue saide that out and besides the Church, there is no saluation.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Christe neuer tolde vs, neither of any his Vicare General: nor of Rome: nor of Laterane: nor of Peters Chaire: nor willed vs to haue recourse to the Bishop of Rome, nor then to any other feneral Bishop. Therefore, M. Hardinge, we muste reckon this amongest the reste of your Vntruthes.

It is true, that you saie, A member diuided from the body cannot liue. But your Conclusion is vntrue, like the reste. For, Rome is not the Body, but onely a Member of that Body: Rome is not the Tree, but onely a Bough: Rome is not the Heade, but onely a Springe. And therefore, saings it is now diuided from that Body: seeing it is broken from that Tree: seeing, it is cutte off from that Heade, it is no maruile, though it be sterued, though it be withered, though it be leaste drie, without either Sap, or life: as this daie it appeareth to the eyes of al them, that wil beholde it.

The Apologie. Cap. 22. Dimision. 2.

For of very truth, we haue departed from him, who we sawe had blinded the whole worlde this many a hundred yere: from him, who too farre presumptuously was wonte to saie, He coulde not erre, and, what so euer he did, No mortal man had power to condemne him, neither Kinge, nor Emperour, nor the whole Cleargie, nor yet al the people in the worlde together, no though he should carrie away with him a thousande Soules into Hel. From him who toke vpon him power to comaund, not only men, but euen the Angels of God, to goe, to retorne, to leade Soules into Purgatorie, and to bringe them backe againe, when he liste him selfe: who, Gregorie saithe, without al doubt, is the very forrenner, and stander bearer of Antichriste, and hath utterly forsaken the Catholique Faith: from whom also these ringleaders of ours, who nowe with might & maine resist the Gospel, and the truth, which they knowe to be the truth, haue ere this departed euery one of their owne accorde, and good wil: and would euen now also gladly departe from him againe, if the note of inconstancie, & shame, & their owne estimation among the people, were not a let vnto them. In conclusion, we haue departed from him, to whom we were not bounde, & who had nothing to laie for him selfe, but onely, I knowe not what, vertue, or power of the place, where he dwelleth, and a continuance of succession.

M. Hardinge.

As yee confesse your departing, so woulde God yee vnderstande your gylt.

Those reuerent Fathers and Godly learned menne, whose roomes yee holde wrongfully, whom it liked your interpreter to cal ringleaders, resist not the Gospel, but suffer persecution for the Gospel. Your Gospel, that is to saie, your vile heresies and blasphemies, woorthely they deteste. Your newe trowth, that is to saie, your false and wicked lies, they abhorre. Neither euer departed they from any parte of the doctrine of the Catholique menne, by their owne accorde and good wil, as yee saie. But wherein they slepe aside, they were compelled by such feare, as might happen to a righte constant man, I meane the terrour of death, whiche as Aristotle saithe, of al terrible thinges is moste terrible. Nowe because yet they finde the terrour of a guilty conscience, more terrible then death of their persons, they entende by Gods grace assistinge them, neuer so to steppe aside againe, but rather so suffer what so euer extremities. Whose bloud, or the bloud of any of them, if God so his honour shal at any time permitte you to drawe, whiche so muche yee thinke,

*M. Hardinges
Reuerende Fa-
thers continued
seventie yeres
together in
Hypocrisie.

thirke, looke after looke yee for the retourninge of the Israelites againe, that terte beinge then fulfilled,

Completa sunt iniquitates Amorrhæorum.

Were not they wel assured of the trueth, moſte certaine it is, what ſo ever ye ſaie, they would not make ſo fooliſhe a bargaine as your ſelves doo, as to buye vaine eſtimation among the people, with the certaine loſſe of their ſoules.

The Biſhop of Sarisburie.

Certaine of your frendes, whome yee cal Reuerende Fathers, ſuffer imprisonmente, (yee ſaie) and perſecution for the Goſpel. **Notwithſtandinge**, it is not ſo longe ſithence the ſaide Reuerende Fathers, were them ſelves the Burners, and Perſequoutours of the Goſpel. **Dutche** complainte ſommetime made Arius the wicked Heretique. **For thus** *Epiphanius* he writeth, Arius, that ſuffer perſecution for the Trueth, that ever preuaileth. *Ruffinus*, *Διὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν* notwithstanding he were a great ſauourer of the Origenian Heretiques, yet he ſaide, *νικῶντες ἀνέναντον* even as you ſaie, Noſtra Fides perſecutionis Hæreticorum tempore, cum in Sancta *Λέχεια Διό- Alexandrina* Eccleſia degeremus, in carceribus, & Exilijs, quæ pro fide inferrebantur, probata eſt: *While we lived in the Holy Church of Alexandria, in the time of the perſecution* *Hier. Ad- of Heretiques, our Faith was proved in Prifons, and Banishments, which were laide upon us for the Faiths ſake. Unto whome S. Hierome in his pleaſante manner anſweareth* *lib. 2.* thus: *Miror quod non adiecerit, Vincit Ieſu Chriſti: Liberatus ſum de ore Leonis: Hier. eodem* Alexandriz ad beſtias depugnaui: Curſum Conſummaui: Fidem ſeruaui: Superſt mihi *loco.* corona Iuſtitiz: *I maruile mutche, that he ſaide not further, Ruffinus the Priſoner of Jeſus Chriſte: I was deliuered out of the Lions mouth: I was throwen amongſt wilde beaſts at Alexandria: I haue paſt my Courſe: I haue kepte the Faith: Nowe there remaineth for me the Crowne of Rightuouſneſſe.*

Thus the Wolfe, when he is reſtrained from ſpoile and rauen, maie likewiſe complaine of Perſecution.

S. Hierome, writing vnto Apronius of the ſtate of the Eaſte Churches, where he then *Hieron. Ad* lined, ſaith thus: *Hic quieta ſunt omnia. Eſt enim venena pectoris non amiſerint, ta-* *Apronium.* men os impietatis non audent aperire. Sed ſunt ſicut aſpides ſurdæ, obturantes aures ſuas: *All thinges here are quiet. For, al be it they haue not leaſte the poiſon of their heartes, yet they dare not open their wicked mouthes. But they are as the deaſe Serpentes, ſhutting up their eares, and wil heare nothinge.*

The ſaide Reuerende Fathers, that, as nowe, liſte ſo ſtirmely of your ſide, not longe agoe, were wel contented, bothe to maintaine, and to publiſhe the contrarie, as wel, as you. Howe be it, al this (you ſaie) they did not of good wil, but onely of feare, and of ſutche feare, as maie happen vpon a conſtante man. **What is to ſaie, of mere Hypocriſie, and Diſſimulation, and by open flatteringe of their Prince.**

And thus, to ſaue your fathers from beinge Schiſmaticques, yee are wel contente to make them Hypocrites. Thus ſaie you. But your ſaide Reuerendes them ſelves woulde haue tolde you farre otherwiſe.

Doctour Gardiner of him ſelfe ſaith thus: In the diſcuſſinge, and trial of the trueth, I did not ſo eaſily contente me ſelfe. But I ſo framed me ſelfe, that, as it had benne in askinge the iudgemente of al me ſenſes, onleſſe I perceiued, that I firſte of al heard them vwith mine eares, ſmelled them vwith my noſe, ſawve them vwith mine eies, and felte them vwith my handes, I thought, I had not ſcene yenough.

Againe he ſaith, This aduiſed conſideration hath pulled arvaie al ſcrupulous doubtess: And by the vworkinge of Goddes grace, hath conueighed and brought them into the lighte of the Veritie.

And againe, In deede to tel you at a vvoorde, that compelleth me, that compelleth al men, euen the mightie pover of the Trueth.

Likewiſe ſaith Doctour Bonner, touching the ſame: The mater vvas not raſhly taken in hande: but vwith Iudgement, and vwiſedome examined, and diſcuſſed. **Againe he ſaith,** The Biſhop of VWincheſter had longe agoe throughly bulted this mater, euen vnto the branne.

If theſe tales be true, M. Wardinge, then is your tale moſte vnttrue. If yee wil in- ſtice your ſelfe, yee muſte nades condemne your Reuerende Fathers. Certainly,

M. iij.

your

Epiphanius
Διὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν
νικῶντες ἀνέναντον
Hier. Ad-
Apronium.
lib. 2.
Hier. eodem
loco.

Steph. Gard.
De Vera
Obedien.
He exami-
neth the ma-
ter vwith al
his ſenſes.
He is per-
ſvaded by
the working
of Goddes
grace.
He is cōpel-
led by the
pover of
the Trueth.
Doctour Bon-
ner, In Pre-
ſatione in
Veram Obe-
dientiam.
He exami-
neth the ma-
ter to the ve-
termoſte.

your tales beinge direadly contrary, to make them bothe true, it is not possible.

But here ye beginne to fraie vs with your Prophetical threates. If wee once beginne to touche your Bloude, then (ye saie) your Louianian Israel immediately afterwarde shalbe rehoared. I thinke, you meane, Dominus Iacob de populo Barbaro.

In such blind Prognostications, I haue no skil. God oftentimes suffereth iniquitie, and falsehedde to preuaile for a season, to chasten the vnkindnesse of his people. Let his wil be donne with mercie, as it shal seeme good in his eyes. But if ye preuaile againe, ye shal preuaile to your owne confusion. Wel ye maie repesse the Truthe of God, as your Fathers haue often donne before: But utterly to abolishe it, ye shal neuer be hable.

Suche vaine hope had the Iewes in olde times, to recouer their Religion, and Ceremonies, and utterly to ouerthrowe the Gospel of Christe. They conspired together, gotte Spasors, and Carpenters, and beganne to caue the fundation, to repaire their Temple. Immediately the Emperoure Constantine raised a power, and set vpon them, and put them to the swerde, as rebelles, and Traitors. Others, that he spared alive, he made slaues, and cutte of somme their tongues, somme their handes, somme their eares, somme their noses: somme he burnt in the face: and so sente them abroade, for an example, from Towne to Towne throughout the world.

Chrysost. In
Oratio, con-
tra Iudaos.

Afterwarde, when the wicked Emperour Julian, in despite of Christe, had gotten the Jewes leaue to builde, and repaire their Temple, as is saide before, and, the Princes power assisting them, no power seemed hable to withstande their purpose, then God him selfe from Heauen aboue encountred with them. Earthquakes brake out, and ouerthrowe their buildinges. Lightnings fel downe, and burnt both the soles in their handes, and the coates on their backs. Then was the Gospel of Christe more bewtiful, and more glozious, then euer it had binne before.

Ambros. li. 5.
Epist. 29.
Grego. In pri-
mum Regum
ca. 4. l. 3. & 5.

S. Gregorie saith, Tulerunt Dagon, & restituerunt eum in locum suum. Hoc est, in Templo, vbi arca Dei posita fuerat. Quid est ergo Dagon in locum suum restituere, nisi Idololatriæ statum subtili consideratione perquirere? Et quia, quod subtilius Idololatriæ error aspicitur, eò verius condemnatur, subiunctum est, Rursus diluculo surgentes inueniunt Dagon iacentem super faciem coram Arca Domini: They took the Idole Dagon, and restoared him againe into his place: that is to saie, in the Temple of God, where the Arke of God was placed before. And what is it, to restoare againe Dagon into his place, but discretely, and aduisedly to examine the state of Idolatrie? And, for as muche as, the better the Errour of Idolatrie is seene, the better it is condemned, therefore it followeth farther, They rising in the morning, founde Dagon lyinge flatte vpon his face, before the Arke of the Lorde.

Pron. 21.

Euen so, H. Hardinge, if ye raise vp your Dagon once againe, once againe he shal comme to grounde, and shal squatte his handes, and face, and be utterly dismembred by the faile, and shal lie grouelinge, as a blocke, before the presence of the Arke of God. There is no Councel againste the Lorde.

Iosua. 6.

The Noble Prince Iosua after that he had once, by Gods commaundement destroyed the Cittie of Ierico adiuured al his posteritie in this soyte: Accursed be he before the Lorde, that shal stande vp, and restoare againe this Cittie of Hiericho. In the deathe of his eldest sonne he shal laie the fundation: and in the deathe of his yongest childe he shal close the gates.

Theodo. Ec-
cle hi. fo. 28.
in Græco.

Theodoreus saith, The wicked shal not be hable to preuaile againste God. But if they once geate the over bande, yet shal they comme downe againe: as it is written by the Prophete Esaie.

ναυ γὰρ πάλιν
ἡμῶν ἡ χάρις
οὐ καὶ πάλιν
ἡμῶν ἡ χάρις

As for drawinge of your bloude, ye neede not so greatly to complaine. The Gospel of Christe is not bloudy. It hath hitherto preuailed without any one drop of al your bloude. God giue you grace, to repent, leaue your owne bloude be vpon your owne heade in the daie of the Lorde. Fire, and swerde, and mercilesse Crueltie are the onely instrumentes of your Doctrine. And therefore ye same nowe to saie, in your blind hope, as cursed Eiaū sometime saide of his Brother Iacob, Venient dies luctus patris mei, & occidam Iacobum fratrem meum: The daie shal come, that my Father shal dre. Then wil I kille my Brother Iacob.

Genesi. 27.

Athanasius saith, Cædi Christianorum proprium est: Cædere autem Christianos, Pilati, & Caiphæ officia sunt: *It is the parte of Christians, to suffer persecution: But to persecute the Christians, it is the very office of Pilate, and Caiphæ.*

We will saie vnto you with S. Augustine: Illi in vos sœuiant, qui nesciunt, quo cum labore verum inueniatur, & quàm difficile caueantur errores: Let them persecute you, and use cruelties oner you, that knowe not, what a labour it is, to finde the trueth: and howe harde it is, to beware of errors.

Athana. Ad Solitaria vitam agentem. Aug. Contra Epistolâ Fuldensium. c. 2.

Againe he saith, Nemo de præteritis insultat Erroribus, nisi qui Diuinam Misericordiam expertus non est, ut careret erroribus. Tantum id agamus, ut errores aliquando finiantur: *No man rebraideth other, with errors past, but he, that hath not felt Goddes Diuine Mercie, to be void of errors. Let this be oure onely labour, that errors at laste maie haue an ende.*

We will saie of you, as S. Peter sometime saide of Simon the Sorcerer, when the people for anger, seeing his falsehede, would haue stoned him to deathe, Imò viuat, & Regnum Christi crescere videat, vel inuitus: Naie, naie, let him liue: and let him see the kingdome of Christe to growe, and prosper, euen against his wil. Thus, M. Hardinge, maie we saie to you. As for your blood, we longe not for it.

The Apologie. Cap. 23. Division. 2.

And, as for vs, we of al others haue moste iustly leaste the Pope. For our Kinges, yea euen they, whiche with greatest Reuerence did follow, and obeie the Authortie, and faith of the Bishoppes of Rome, haue longe sithence founde, and felte the yoke, and Tyrannie of their Kingdome. For the Bishoppes of Rome sometime tooke the Crowne from the Heade of our Kinge, Henry the Seconde, and compelled him to put aside al his Princely estate, and Maiestie, and like a meere Priuate man, to come vnto their Legate with great submission, and humilitie, so as al his Subiectes mighte laughe him to scoone. More then this, they caused Bishoppes, and Monkes, and somme parte of the Nobilitie, to be in the fiede againste our Kinge Iohn, and set al the people at libertie from theire othes, wherby they oughte allegiance to their Kinge: and at laste, wickedly, and moste abhominably they bereeued the same Kinge, not onely of his Kingdome, but also of his life. Besides this, they Excommunicated, and cursed Kinge Henry the Eighth, that moste famous Prince, and stirred by against him, sometime the Emperoure, sometime the Frenche kinge: and as mutche as in them was, put our Realme in hazarde to haue benne a very preie, and spoile vnto the Enemie. Yet were they but fooles, and madde men, to thinke, that either so mightie a Prince coulde be fraide with bugges, and rattles: or els, that so Noble, and greate a Kingdome might so easily, euen at one morsel, be deuoured, and swallowed vp.

M. Hardinge.

Concerninge the case betweene these three Kinges of Englande, and the Bishoppes of Rome for the time beinge, I saie litle. If they did wel, and the Bishoppes euil, they haue their rewarde: the other theire punishment. If otherwise, or howe so euer, eche one at Goddes iudgemente shal haue his deserued measure. But be it graunted, al were true ye saie, though we knowe the more parte to be false. What thought Kinge Henry the Seconde were euil treated of Pope Alexander, about the murthinge of S. Thomas the Archbishop of Cantuarie, and Kinge Iohn likewise of that selous and learned Pope Innocentius the thirde. &c.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

Notwithstandinge the Pope as his manner hath benne, raise Commotion within the Realme, and arme the subiectes against their Soueraigne, and pul the Crowne Imperial from his heade, yet by your Doctrine, who so euer dare speake in his Princes right, is a soile, and killeth him selfe: as if there were no life, or saluation, but onely vnder

Under the frantike gouernements of the Pope. Dutche obedience, and loialtie the Pope hath taught you towarde your Prince.

The Apologie. Cap. 23. Division. 2.

And yet, as though al this were to little, they woulde needes haue made al the Realme Tributarie to them, and exacted thence yereely most vniuste and wrongfull Taxes. So deere coste vs the frendship of the Cittie of Rome. Wherefore, if they haue gotten these thinges of vs by extortion, through their fraude, and subtle sleightes, wee see no reason, why we maie not plucke awaie the same from them againe, by lawfull waies, and iuste meanes. And, if our Kinges in that darknesse, a blindness of the former times, gaue them these thinges of their owne accord, and liberalitie, for Religions sake, bringe moued with a certaine opinion of their fained holinesse: now, when the ignorance and erreure is espied out, maie the Kinges their Successours take them awaie againe, seinge they haue the same Authozitie, the Kinges their Aunccestours had befoze. For the gifte is voide, excepte it be allowed by the wil of the geetuer: and that cannot seeme a perfitte wil, whiche is dimmed, and hindered by erreure.

M. Hardinge.

• No doubt.
For the very
cause hereof
was Auarice,
and treache-
rie.

As for Peterpens, and what other so euer summes of monie were yereely paide to the Church of Rome, whiche were not by extortion and subtil sleightes by the Popes gotten, as yee saunde, but freely and discretely by the Prince and the Realme for a greates cause graunted, it is not a thinge that so muche grieueth the Pope, as your departure from the true Faith and Church dothe, as it maie wel appeare by that whiche happened in Queene Marias raigne, in whiche time althoughe the Pope were acknowledged, yet him selfe neuer was knowen to haue demaunded his Peterpens, or any other yereely pementes againe. But what is this to your Schismes and Heresies? This healeth you nothinge for answere to the heinous crime of your Apostasie. The liberalitie of our Countrie to the See of Rome, whiche is the mother of al the VVest Churches, hath bene so smal in comparison of certeine other Realmes, as with the honour of the Realme it might not seeme to finde it selfe greued therewith. Yet here yee set a Gnatte to an Elephant, and make greates ado aboute a little. The Realme is not so muche enriched by retaininge that smal summe from the Pope, as it is dishonored by your vndiscrete talke. saueringe al together of miserie and nigardnesse. Yee shoulde haue shewed better stuffe at leaste in the ende of your Booke. The laste acte of a Fable, by rules of Poetrie shoulde be beste. Yee haue donne like a fool. The Poete, makinge your ende so badde. The Pope seeketh not your monie, he seeketh you. He seeketh the saluie of your soules.

• But remember
the olde Verbe,
Curia Romana
non capiat Oue
sine lana.
*Aug. de Ver-
bis Domini
Secundum
Matthae.
Sermo. 19.*

He seeketh, like a good shepheard, howe to reduce the strayed sheepe of Englands vnto the folds of Christes Church. God graunt wee maie see his good intente happely achieved.

The Bishop of Sarisburie.

The Pope hath enriched him selfe, and gotten the treasures of the worlde into his owne handes, not by fraude, or guile, as you saie, but onely by the fra liberalitie of Kinges, and Princes. *Pet. S. Augustine saithe, Non possumus dicere, Nemo nos inuasores arguit: violentiz nullus accusat: Quasi non maiorem interdum praedam a viduabus Blandimenta eliciant, quam tormenta. Nec interest apud Deum, vtrum vi, an circumuentione quis res alienas occupet: dummodo quoquo pacto teneat alienum: Nec enim facit, No man chargeth vs with extortion: no man accuseth vs of violence. For oftentimes of poore widowers a man maie geate more by flatterie, then by rackinge. And there is no difference before God, whether a man holde an other manns goodes by open violence, or by guile: if the thinge that he holdeth be not his owne.*

*Archidiacono.
De Haresi.
Ver. Et quia
tanta. Fo. 15.*

But howe maie this be by your learninge, *M. Hardinge*, be called the Liberalitie of the Prince? He is liberal, that is free in bestowinge of his owne. But you tel vs, that al the Temporal goodes of the worlde are the Popes and not the Princes: and that the Prince hath nothinge, but by fauoure and sufferance of the Pope. Your Donours wordes be these, *Papa est Dominus omnium Temporalium: secundum illud dictum Petri, Dabo tibi omnia regna mundi: The Pope is the Lord of al temporal goodes, according*

so that saieinge of S. Peter (that S. Peter neuer spake: for they are the wordes of the Di-
uel) I wil geue thee al the Kingdomes of the worlde.

An other of your Doctours saith thus, Dicunt quod solus Papa est verus Dominus
temporalium, ita quod potest auferre ab alio, quod aliis suum est. Sed prelati ceteri, &
Principes, non sunt Domini, sed Tutores, Procuratores & Dispensatores: They saie, that
the Pope onely is the very Lorde of Temporal thinges: so that he maie take from any man, that
is his owne. As for other Prelates, and Princes, they be the ouerscers, and fermours, and stewar-
des of worldly thinges, but not the Lordes. And Matthias Parisiensis saith, that Pope In-
nocentius 3. called Kinge Iohn the Kinge of Englande, Vassallum suum, That is to saie,
his fcede man, or his Tenante, meaning thereby, that the Realme of Englande was the
Popes, and not the Kinges. If al this be true, howe can the Kinges of Englande, in
graunting any thinge to the Pope, be counted liberal? Verily it is an easie kinde of
liberalitie, for a man to geue that thinge that is not his owne.

But the Pope (ye saie) setteth no more by al his reuenues out of Englande, then an Elephante by
a Gnatte: and that therefore, duringe the whole time of Queene Marie, he neuer demaunded of vs any
manner of yeerely paiemente. We muste beare with your erreure herein, M. Hardinge,
for that ye neuer were the Popes Collectoure, and therefore not mutche acquaint-
ted with his Bookes. Otherwise ye mighte haue remembred, that Cardinal Poole,
beinge not the Pope, but onely a Legate, or messenger from the Pope, had a thousande
poundes paide him yeerely out of one Bishoprike in Englande, towarde the proui-
sion of his kitchin. Ye might haue remembred, that al the Bishoppes of Englande,
paide the Pope the vvhole firste frutes of al their liuinges: whiche by any common
estimation, amounted to moze somme what, then a Gnatte. And althoughe I were
neuer, neither skilful, nor curious in the Popes Collections, yet, as wel for the disco-
uerie of so greate vntruthe, as also for the better satisfaction of the Reader, I haue
thought it good, briesly, and by the waie to touche, what maie be founde in olde Re-
cordes of god credite, touchinge the same.

Firste therefore the Archbishop of Canturburie paide vnto the Pope for his An-
nates, or Firste frutes, at euery vacation, tenne thousande florenes: besides other fise
thousande florenes for the vse, and right of his Palle.

The Archbishop of Yorke paide likewise for his Firste frutes tenne thousande
florenes, and, as it is thought, other fise thousande florenes for his Palle.

The Bishop of Elie paide for his Firste frutes seuen thousande florenes.

The Bishop of London paide for his Firste frutes thre thousande florenes.

The Bishop of VVinchester paide for his Firste frutes ry. thousande florenes.

The Bishop of Excester paide for his Firste frutes fise thousande florenes.

The Bishop of Lincolne paide for his Firste frutes fise thousande florenes.

The Bishop of Licheshire, and Couentrie, paide for his Firste frutes thre thousand
florenes.

The Bishop of Herforde paide for his Firste frutes one thousande, and eight hun-
dred florenes.

The Bishop of Sarisburie paide for his Firste frutes foure thousande, and fise hun-
dred florenes. And so the reste, eche man accordingly after his rate.

Here is to be noted, that a Florene is an Italian Crowne, of the valewe of foure
shillinges and fise pence sterlinge.

Thus much I haue noted onely for example. By these seue the discrete Reader
maie easilie ghesse the Erations, and paiementes of the other Bishoppes.

The whole value of the Popes Firste frutes throughout Europe, as I finde in ene
Recorde (although very vnperfite, for that it lacketh sundrie great, knowen, and no-
table Bishoprikes, as Durham, Carlile, VVorcestre, Norwiche, Bathe, Chichester, which
with many other mo Archbishoprikes, and Bishoprikes, as wel within the Domini-
ons of our Kinges of Englande, as also in sundrie other Christian Kingedomes,
and Countries, are leaste vnreckened) ariseth to the Summe of two thousande
thousande, foure hundred thre scoare thousande, eighte hundred, fourtie and thre
florenes,

Popes Ex-
actions.

Matthe. 4.

Citatur a

Felino, de

Offi. & Po-

test. iudicia

delega. Ex

parte 1.

Ioh. de Pa-

risiis, de Po-

testa. Regia,

& Papali.

Math. Pari.

in Iohan.

Legatio A-

driane Papa

6. Excusa.

Wittenber.

Anno. 1538.

Notwith

Notwithstandinge ye make your Pope as bigge, as an Elephant. yet, *P.* Harding these reckonings are euer huge, in any reasonable proportion, to be resembled to a Gnatte. Here I leaue out the yearly perquisites, that the Pope made of his Elections, Prebentions, Dispensations, Pluralities, Trialities, Torquottes, Tolerations: for his Bulles, his Seales, his Signatures: for Eatinge Fleashe, for Egges, for VWhite meate, for Priestes Concubines, and for other like marchandise, I know not what. The summe whereof notwithstandinge amounteth to moze, then niene hundred thousande florens. As for your Smoke farthinges and Peter pence, I make no reckninge, by the vile and contemptuous repute whereof, ye shewe your selfe, not onely ignozante, and unskillful, in that ye write, whiche argueth somme folie, but also iniurious vnto your Countrie. Heade Matthias Parisiensis and ye shal finde, both by what tyrannie, and treacherie, and also what masses, and intolerable summes of money the Popes Ministers haue caried out of this Realme.

Anno. 1215. The Pope (saith he) beinge diseased with a spiritual dropsie, that is to saie, with an vnquencheable thirste of monie, shooke out al the Priestes purses, and spoiled the Abbies of al theire Treasures.

Anno. 1246. Againe, The Pope made a Decree in Rome, that the goodes, and monies of al Bishoppes, and Priestes deceased within Englande, should be taken to his vse.

Eodē Anno. The Pope gave strenghtie commandement to the Bishoppes of Englande, that al Personnes, and vicars beinge resident vpon their Benefices should paie yeerely vnto him the third parte of al the valewes of their saide Benefices: And, that al Personnes, and Vicars, beinge not residents, should paie vnto him yeerely the one ful halfe parte of theire benefices. Al theise payementes to continue, duringe the space of thre whole yeere. Whiche amounteth at the least to the summe of a hundred and thre score and tenne thousande poundes.

Anno. 1247. The Bishoppes of Englande after great and forcible intreatie, agreed together to geue the Pope a Contribution of eleuen thousande Markes.

Eodē Anno. At that time the poore Prior of VVincester was forced to paie yeerely three hundred thre score and fve Markes towarde the furniture of the Popes Table.

Eodē Anno. The Pope made a straitte Decree, that al Bishoppes Elec should immediately traualle out of Englande to Rome, to attende vpon his Holinesse, as Matthias saith, *Ut Romanorum loculos impregnaret, in ruinam regni Angliæ: To stuffe the Romaines purses, and to decaie the Kingdoms of Englande.*

Matthæus Westmonastriensis. The Pope had the Tenthes of al the Spiritual Liuinges in Englande, during the space of some whole yeres.

Anno. 1301. Rustandus the Popes Legate craved intolerable great payementes of the Cleargie of Englande in a Synode holden in London, as Matthias saith, *Per scripta Papæ plenè*

Anno. 1255. iniurijs, & iniquitate, quæ possent patientissimum cor virulenter lauciare: *Al thu he did by the Authoritie of the Popes Letters, ful of iniurie, and iniquitie: Whiche were habls mozte cruelly to wounde any heart, were it neuer so patiente.* The Bishoppes of London, and VVorcestre answered the Popes Legate, that they woulde rather lose their liues, then they woulde geue their consente to so open iniurie, and seruitude, and intolerable oppression of the Church.

The Kinge had entred into an Obligation, to paie vnto the Pope two hundred thousande Markes, besides other fiftie thousande poundes sterlinge. For payment whereof, the Bishop of Hereforde, beinge then the Popes Agente, had bounde the Bishoppes of Englande, before they were ware. Dutche like prettie Gnattes your Pope can straine, if Kinges and Princes wil geue him leaue.

Ioh. Sarisburien. lib. 6. cap. 24. Iohannes Sarisburien. lib. 6. cap. 24. Iohannes Sarisburien. lib. 6. cap. 24. Iohannes Sarisburien. lib. 6. cap. 24. Iohannes Sarisburien. lib. 6. cap. 24.

otherwise called Rupertus Carnotensis, in the familiare talke that he had with Pope Adriane 4. saide thus vnto him: *Ipse Romanus Pontifex omnibus ferè est intolerabilis: Latatur spolijs Ecclesiarum: quæstus omnem repurat pietatem: Prouinciarum diripit spolia, ac si Thesauros Cæsi studeat reparare: The Pope is nowe become intolerable almoste to al the worlde: He reioiseth in the spoile of Churches: Al manner of gaue he counteth Holinesse: He maketh suche haucke of Kingedomes, and Provinces, as if he had intended to repaire againe Cæsus Treasurie. Againe, His Legats so rage, and ranpe for monie, as if the infernal furies were sent from*

Helle to goe at libertie. That shal wee neede many wordes: Ambition, and Avarice haue no bottome. Matthias Parisiensis saith, In Romana Curia omnia possunt pecunia: *Monie maie do al things in the Courte of Rome.* And he calleth these vnassatiablen p[ro]lling[es] of the Pope, Quotidianas Extortiones, *Daily Extortions.* Againe he saith, that the King of Englande, vpon a very frinolous, and sonde mater, made true paiement vnto Pope Alexander the fourth, of nine hundred, and fiftie thousande Markes. VVhich thinge, he saith, is horrible, and abominable to be thought. *To be short, that ye maie the better viewe the bignesse, and quantitie of youre Gnatte,* Doctoure Bonner hereof writeth thus: *The Popes prais in Englande was so greate, that it came to as muche almoste, as the Reuenues of the Crowne.*

Therfore Mathias saith, Imperator reprehendit Regem Angliæ, quod permitteret terram suam tam impudenter per Papam depauperari: *The Emperoure frendly reproued Henrie the 3. Kinge of Englande, for that he suffered his Kingdome so impudently to be empouerished by the Pope.*

Againe he saith, King Henrie the third made open complaint by his Embassadour in the Councel of Lions in Fraunce, of the Popes innumerable Exactions.

Likewise he saith befoze, Rex Henricus 3. repræsit impetum Legati, propter violentiam Denariorum: *The Kinge staied the attemptes of the Popes Legate touching his intolerable greedinesse in p[ro]lling[es] for monie.*

Ye see therfore, *Sp. Harding,* neither is this gnatte so litle, as by your scoznesfull comparison, to the greate dishonoure of this Noble Realme, ye woulde seeme to make it: nor is the grieffe, and complainte thereof so newe, as ye beare vs in hande. Kinge Canutus, the King of Englande, almost fure hundred yeres agoe, being at Rome, wrote home to the Archebishops, and Bishoppes, and States of the Realme, on this wise: *Conquestus sum item coram Domino Papa, & mihi valde displicere dixi, quod mei Archiepiscopi in tantum angariabantur inmensitate pecuniarum, quæ ab eis expetebantur, &c. Also I haue made my complainte vnto the Pope, and tolde him, that it muche misliketh mee, that my Archebishoppes shoulde be vexed with suche vnreasonable summes of monie requi- red of them.*

Likewise Matthias Parisiensis writeth of King VVilliam the Conqueroure, Con- cipiens indignationem contra Papam, allegauit quod nullus Archiepiscopus, vel Episcopus de Regno suo, ad Curiam Romanam, vel ad Papam haberet respectum: *Kinge VVilliam, vpon displeasure conceiued againste the Pope, saide, that no Archebishop, or Bishop of his Realme, shoulde from thencefoorth haue regarde either to the Courte of Rome, or to the Pope.*

Al this notwithstanding, ye saie, the Pope is an Elephant: and al these summes, in Comparison of his treasures, are but a gnatte.

Merily, al these, and other farre greater reckonings the Realme of England is wel hable to defraie. Neither make wee any account of the monie, but of the deceit- ful extorting of the monie: neither is it dishonorable to the Realme to repress these lewde, and iniurious mockeries, and to preserue the subiects from open spoile. Other Kinges, and Countries haue oftentimes donne the same. Leues the Frenche Kinge, whom, for his Holinesse, they haue made a Saincte, hereof complaineth thus, Exactiones impositas per Romanam Curiam, quibus Regnum nostrum miserabiliter depauperatum est, leuari, aut colligi nullatenus volumus: *These Exactions, or payments of monie, laid vpon vs by the Courte of Rome, by meane whereof our Realme is miserably empouerished, wee wil not in any wise to be leuiad, or gathered.* The gaires, and pelferies, that the Phariseis made of the people, were not so greate. Neuerthelesse Christe saide vnto them, *Voe be vnto you, yee Scribes, and Phariseis, that rauen vp poore vvidowes houses, vnder pre- tense of longe praieinge.*

I knowe, you make no greate account of Laurentius Valla, yet thus he writeth, touching the vnassatiablen ambition, and greedinesse, that in his time he saue in the Church of Rome: Quid ergo, Summe Pontifex, omnes Reges, ac principes Occiden- tis spoliare Urbibus, aut cogere, vt annua tibi tributa pensitent, sententia est? At ego contra existimo, iustius licere principibus spoliare te Imperio omni, quod obtines: *What then,*

ss

my

Lib. 5. ca. 16
Ac si mita-
tur ab Inferis
Tefiphone,
vel Megera.
Anno. 1247
Anno. 1257
Quod est
horribile co-
gitatu.

D. Bonner,
in praefatione
in Libell. Sto-
pham Gar-
dineri de ve-
ra Obedient.
Anno. 1249
Anno. 1215.

Wilibelmus
Malmeſbu.
Anno. 1031.

Anno. 1094.

Ludovicus 9

Matth. 23.

my Lords Pope, is it your minde, to spoile al the Kinges, and Princes of the Weaste of their Towns, and Territories, or els to force them to beare you an yerely tribute? Nay, in my iudgement it were far meeter, that they shoulde spoile you of al that Emperie, that you haue gotten. Thus wrote Laurentius Valla an hūdzēd yēre & moze, befoze Luther began to preach. And therfoze, what so euer he were, I trowe, at least he was no Lutherane.

Rome (yē saie) is the Mother Church of al the West: and therfoze, I trowe, wē are bounde to paie, what so euer painmentes shē shal require. If wē allowe sutch simple reasons, then is the Pope likewise bounde to paie to the Church of Hierusalem, what so euer painmentes shē shal require. For Hierusalem is in dēde the Mother Church, not onely of the Weaste, but also of al the whole world. Wōve be it, it is a cruel Mother, that deuoureth vp her owne Childzen. S. Paule saith, Non debent filij parentibus thesaurizare, sed parentes filijs: The Children oughte not to laie up treasure for their Parents, but the Parents for their Children. But Iohannes Sarisburiensis in his Polycraticon saith, Roma nunc non tam matrem exhibet, quā nouercam: Rome nowe sheweth her selfe not so muche a natural Mother, as a Stepdame: For shē spoileth, and deuoureth her Childzen.

This Defender (yē saie) in makinge his ende so badde, hath plaied the parte of a foolish Poete. Here, M. Wardinge, wē haue god cause to thinke, your Diuinitie is wāren colde, seeinge you are thus dīnen to pleade in Poetrie. But maie wē beleue, the Church of Rome is of late growen so Holy, that monie is now become the vilest parte of al her Plaise? Certainly, if your Pope once lose his monie, al his Plaies wil sone sit a cold.

One of your owne Doctours saith thus, Cessante tali redditu, qui maximus est, attenta hodierna Tyrannide, Sedes Apostolica contemneretur: If this rente (of Simonie) whiche is very greate, were once shūde, consideringe the Tyrannie of Princes, that nowe is, the Apostolike See of Rome woulde be despised. In whiche wordes thus muche is also to be noted by the waie, that, what so euer Prince wil not suffer the Pope to take what him listeth, muste be taken, and iudged, as a Tyranne. Therfoze Iohannes Andreæ, one of

your greattest Canonistes, saith thus, Roma fundata fuit à Prædonibus, & adhuc de primordijs retinet: dicta Roma, quasi rodens manus. Vnde versus, Roma manus rodit: quos rodere non valet, odit. The firste foundation of Rome was laid by Theeves: and hitherto shē sauoureth stil of her beginning, and is called Roma, quia rodit manus. Therof cometh the common verse, Rome biteth you by the handes. And vvhome shē cannot bite, them shē hateth.

The state of the Romaine Popedome sponge firste of monie, and encreased by monie, and standeth now, neither by Truthe of Doctrīne, nor by seueritie of Discipline, nor by Praier, nor by Holinesse, nor by ought els, but onely by monie. Set monie aparte, and the Pope is equal with other Bishoppes. Codrus Vrceus saith, Pontifex Maximus, si non Virtute, tamen Pecunia: The Pope is the greattest Bishop, although not in Vertue, yet at least in monie. Therfoze wē maie saie of the Pope, as Diphilus sonne time saide of Pompeius, Nostra miseria Magnus es.

Baptista Mantuanus, speakinge of the state of Rome, saith thus,

Venalia nobis

Templa, Sacerdotes, Altaria, Sacra, Corona,
Ignis, Thura, Preces, Cælum est venale, Deusq̃:

Emongest vs in Rome, Churches, Priestes, Altars, Masses, Crownes, Fire, Incense Praiers, and Heauen are set to sale. Yee God him selfe emongest vs maie be had for monie.

Budæus saith, Sanctiones Pontificiæ non moribus regendis vsui sunt: sed, prope modum dixerim, Argentariæ faciendæ Authoritatem videntur accommodare: The Popes Canons serue not nowe, to guide menns liues, but, if I maie so saie, they serue rather to make a bank, and to geate monie.

Bernarde of Clunice, saith thus,

Roma

*Roma dat omnibus omnia dantibus: Omnia Romæ
Cum Pretio.*

Rome giveth al thinges to them, that geve al thinges: Al thinges at Rome wil passe for monie.

Even in the Popes owne Decretales y^e shal finde it noted thus, Roma est Caput Auaritiae. Idded omnia ibi venduntur: Rome is the Heade of al Conetom treacherie. And there fore al thinges there are set to sale.

Pea Thomas Becket him selfe, whome a little befoze, y^e called a Saincte, when, for his wilful disobedience, and Treason committed againste his Prince, he had for aide, and succoure, fledde to Rome, and saue, that nothinge woulde be wrought there without monie, thus he wrote thereof to the Bishop of Menze: Mater Roma facta est Meretrix, & prostituta est pro mercede: Rome our Mother is become an Harlot, and for monie, and meede laieshe her selfe to sale. So be thozte, y^e knowe, that our Fathers, longe sithence, were wonte to saie,

Curia Romana non captat Ouem sine lana:

The Courte of Rome wil not take the Sheepe without the fleefe.

Therefore, P. Hardinge, your Poete concluded in god order, and wente not so farre besides his Rules. For, monie is bothe the Firste, and the Middle, and the laste Acte of al your Fable.

Christe sometime thusse sutch Buiers, Sellers, Brokers, and Broffers out of the Temple: But contrariwise, ye haue receiued in Buiers, and Sellers, and thusse out Christe: and so haue turned the House of God into a Caue of Theues. S. Paule saith thus vnto the people of Ephesus, Argentum, & Aurum nullius concupiui: I haue desired no mans Gould, or Syluer. Upon whiche wordes, in the Glose it is noted thus, Per hoc Lupi cognoscuntur, qui talia concupiscunt: Hereby, they that desire suche thinges, are knowne for Woulues. S. Hierome saith, Quia Prophetæ pecuniam accipiebant, Prophetæ eorum facta est Diuinitio: For that the Prophetes take to takinge of monie, therefore their Prophesie was become a Southsaieinge: that is to saie, it was of the Diuel and not of God.

Thus, P. Harding, to conclude, what so euer faulte, y^e can finde with the Defenders Poetrie. Verily, by the iudgement of your nearest friends, Monie was the best parte of al your Fable.

The Recapitulation of the Apologie.

Thus thou seest, good Christian Reader, It is no newe thinge, though at this daie the Religion of Christ be entertained with despites, and checkes, being but lately restoaded, and as it were, co- winge by againe a newe: for so mutche as the like hath chaunced bothe to Christe him selfe, and to his Apostles: yet neuerthelesse, for feare, thou maieste suffer thee selfe to be leadde amisse, and to be seduced with these exclamations of our Aduersaries, wee haue declared at large vnto thee the very whole manner of our Religion, what our Faith is of God the Father, of his onely Sonne Iesus Christe, of the Holy Ghoste, of the Church, of the Sacramentes, of the Ministerie, of the Scriptures, of Ceremonies, and of euery parte of Christian Beliefe. Wee haue saide, that wee abandon, and deteste, as plagues, and poisons, al those olde Heresies, which either the sacred Scriptures, or the Ancient Councils haue vtterly condemned: that wee calle home againe, as muche as in vs lieth, the right Discipline of the Church, which our Aduersaries haue quite brought into a pooze, and weake case: That we punish the al licentiousnesse of life, and vnrulinesse of manners, by the olde, and long con-

tinued Lawes, and with as mutche sharpenesse, as is conueniente, and lieth in our power: That we mainteine stil the state of kingdomes, in the same condition, and state of honoure, wherein wee founde them, without any diminishinge, or alteration, reseruinge vnto oure Princes their Maiestie, and worldly preeminence safe, and without empairing, to our possible power: That wee haue so gotten our selues awaie from that Church, whiche they had made a denne of Theeues, and wherein nothinge was in good frame, or once like to the Church of God, and which, by their owne confessions, had erred many waies, euen as Loth in times past gate him out of Sodome, or Abraham out of Chaldec, not vpon a desire of contention, but by the warninge of God him selfe: And that wee haue searched out of the Holy Bible, which wee are sure, cannot deceiue vs, one sure fource of Religion, and haue returned againe vnto the Primitiue Church of the Anciente Fathers, and Apostles, that is to saie, to the grounde, and beginninge of thinges, vnto the very foundations, and headsprings of Christes Church.

Neither haue wee tarried in this mater for the Authoritie, or consente of the Tridentine Councel, wherein wee sawe nothinge done vprightly, nor by good order: where also euery body was swozne to the maintenaunce of one man: where Princes Embassadours were contemned: where not one of our Diuines coulde be heard, and where partes takinge, and Ambition was openly, and earnestly procured & wrought: but as the Holy Fathers in former time, and as oure Predecessours haue commonly donne, wee haue restored our Churches by a Prouincial Conuocation, and haue cleane shaken of, as oure duetie was, the yoke, & tyrannie of the Bishop of Rome, to whom we were not bound: who also had no manner of thinge like, neither to Christe, nor to Peter, nor to an Apostle, nor yet like to any Bishop at al. Finally, we saie, that wee agree amongst our selues, touching the whole Iudgemente, and chiefe substance of Christian Religion, and with one mouth, and with one spirit do worship God, and the Father of our Lord Iesus Christe.

Wherefore, O Christian, and Godly Reader, for so mutche, as thou seeest the Reasones, and Causes, bothe why wee haue restored Religion, and why wee haue forsaken these menne, thou oughtest not to marueile, though we haue chosen to obrie our Maister Christe, rather then menne. S. Paule hath geuen vs warninge, that we should not suffer oure selues to be carried away with such sundrie learninges, and to see their companies, specially suche, as would some debate and variance, cleane contrarie to the Doctrine, whiche they had receiued of Christe, and the Apostles.

Long sithence haue these mennes crafts, and treacheries decayed, and banished, and fledde awaie at the lighte, and lighte of the Gospel, euen as the owle doothe at the sunne risinge. And albeit their trumpetrie be built vp, and reared as highe as the Skie, yee euen in a moment, and as it were of it selfe, falleth it downe againe to the grounde, and commeth to naught.

For you muste not thinke, that al these thinges haue come to passe by chaunce, or at aduenture: It was Gods pleasure, that, againste al mennes

menne's willes wel nighe, the Gospel of Iesus Christe shoulde be spread abroad throughout the whole world at these daies. And therfore men folowinge Goddes commaundemente, haue of their owne free wil resoyrd vnto the Doctrine of Iesus Christe.

And, for our partes, truly wee haue sought hereby, neither glorie, nor wealth, nor pleasure, nor ease. For there is plentie of all these thinges with our Aduersaries.

And, when wee were of their side, we enioied such worldly commodities muche more liberally, and bountifullly, then wee doo now.

Neither doo we eschewe Concorde, and Peace: But, to haue peace with man, wee maie not be at warre with God. The name of Peace is a sweete, and pleasant thinge, saith Hilarius: But yet beware, saith he, Peace is one thinge, and bondage is another. For, if it shoulde so be, as they seeke to haue it, that Christe shoulde be commaunded to keepe silence, that the Truthe of the Gospel shoulde be betrayed, that horrible errorrs shoulde be cloked, that Christian menne's eyes shoulde be blinded, and that they might be suffered to conspire openly againste God: this were not a Peace, but a most vngodly couenaunt of seruitude. There is a peace, saith Nazianzene, that is vnprofitable: againe, there is a Discorde, saith he, that is profitable. For wee muste conditionally desire peace, so far, as is lawfull before God, and so far, as we maie conveniently. For otherwise, Christe him selfe broughte not peace into the worlde, but a swerde. Math. 10. Wherfore if the Pope wil haue vs reconciled to him, his duetie is first to be reconciled to God: For, from thence, saith Cyprian, springe Schismes, and Sectes, bicause menne seeke not the Heade, and haue not their recourse to the Fountaine. (of the Scriptures) and keepe not the Rules geuen by the Heauenly Teacher: For, saith he, that is not peace, but warre: neither is he ioined vnto the Church, whiche is seuered from the Gospel. As for these men, they vse to make a marchandise of the name of peace. For that peace, which they so faine wold haue, is onely a reast of idle bellies. They, and wee might easily be broughte to atonement, touchinge al these maters, were it not that Ambition, Gluttonie, and excesse doothe let it. Hence cometh their whininge: their harte is on their Halfe penny. Out of doubt, their clamours, and strifes be to none other ende, but to maineteine more shamefully, and naughtily il gotten goodes.

Nowe a daies the pardoners complaine of vs, the Dataries, the Popes Collectoures, the Bawdes, and others whiche thinke gaine to be godlinesse, and serue not Iesus Christe, but their owne bellies. 1. Tim. 6. Many a daie agoe, and in the olde worlde, a wonderful great aduantage grewe hereby to these kindes of people. But now, they reckon, al is losse vnto them, that Christe gaineth. The Pope him selfe maketh a greate complaint at this present, that Charitie in people is wahren colde. And why so, trowe yee. Forsooth bicause his profites decaille more, and more. And for this cause dooth he hale vs into hatred, al that euer he maie, laieing lode vpon vs with despiteful railinges, and condemninge vs for Heretiques, to the ende, they, that vnderstande not the matter, maie thinke

there be no woofse menne vpon earth, then ther be. Not withstanding, in the meane season we are not withind in this helpe: neither ought we to be ashamed of the Gospell. For we lerre more by the glorie of God, then we doo by the estimation of menne. We are sure, al is true, that we teache, and we make not either goe against our owne conscience, or beare any witnessse against God. For if we denie any part of the Gospel of Iesus Christe before menne, he on the other side wil denie vs before his Father. And if there be any, that wil stil be offended, and can not endure Christes Doctrine, let the same we be blinde, and Leaders of the blinde. Yet the neuerthelesse must be preached, and preferred aboue al: and wec muste with patience waite for Goddes Judgemente.

Let these folke in the meane time take good heede, what they doo, and let them be well aduised of theire owne Saluation, and cease to hate, and persecute the Gospel of the Sonne of God, for feare lest they feeke him once a redresser, and reuenger of his owne cause. God wil not suffer him selfe to be made a mockinge stocke. The worlde espieth a good while agoe, what there is a dooinge abroad. This flame, the more it is kepte doone, so mutche the more with greater force, and strengthe doothe it breake out, and flie abroad. The vnfaithfulnessse of menne shal not disapointe Goddes faithful promise. And, if they shal refuse, to laie a waie this theire hardenisse of hearte, and to receiue the Gospel of Christe, then shal Publicanes, and sinners goe before them into the Kingdome of Heauen.

God, and the Father of our Lord Iesus Christ, open the eyes of them al, that they maie be hable to see that blessed hope, wherunto they haue herme called: so as we maie altogether in one, glorifie him alone, who is the true God, and also that same Iesus Christe, whome he sent downe to vs from Heauen: vnto whom with the Father, and the Holy Ghoste, be geuen al Honoure, and Glorie Euerlastingly. So be it.

FINIS.

To M. Hardinge.



I appeareth, M. Hardinge, by that

ye haue lately sente vs ouer, and specially by the vpleasante verbiage of your speache, that my Replie hath somewhat disordered your quiet minde. Whiche thing notwithstanding I might easily haue gheassed, was not unlikely to comie to passe, specially beinge before not utterly vnuskeful of your affections: Yet, as I haue neuer soughte to write any thinge, that of purpose, and intely mighte offende you (the righte of the cause, and Defense of the Truthe euermore foreprised) euen so am I nowe right sorry, to see you so vnable to maister your passions, and so vnadvisedly to make them open to so many. If it greeue you in respect of your credite, for that I haue thus discovered your errors, that was your fault: it was not mine. If ye had not made your errors knowne, they should neuer, of my parte, haue benne discovered. If you knowe, howe sorry I am in your behalfe, ye woulde not so impatiently be offended.

It misliketh you, that I haue alleged so many Doctours, and Councelles, and, as you saie, haue so ambitionly painted my Margine with so many Authorities, bothe Greeke, and Latine. Yet you, for your parte, haue not spared, ouer and besides these twoo tongues, to painte your Margine, for a surcharge, with woordes in Hebrew: besides other sutch ranks of your Englishe Scholiers, so many, so thicke, and so close together, that it were a harde mater, to force in one woorde of Truthe to stande amongst them. It is no courteous dealinge, M. Hardinge, to reprove that in others, that you so commonly doo your selfe.

If the number of Doctours haue offended you, I doo not marneille: A cowardly Challenger woulde alwaies wishe the Defendente to comie unarmed vnto the fight. If I had alleged, either no Doctours at al, or nothinge to purpose, as your woonte commonly is to doo, ye woulde haue borne it a greate deale better. Howe be it, my Authorities of Doctours, and Councelles, be they neuer so many, yet, as you haue used them, are fewe yenough. For of the whole number, by your good skil, more then three partes are leaste vntouched. And in decde this was the wisest waie. Children, where they cannot reade, thinke it beste, to skippe ouer.

Whereas I examine, and laie abroad, al the partes, and branches of your Argumentes, and shewe, howe directly ye growe to youre Conclusions, that youre Reader maie see, by what weapons ye seeke to maister him, this, you saie, is a kinde of scoffinge. Tee telle mee, I racke, and alter, and abuse your Argumentes, and plaie with shadowes of mine owne. But, M. Hardinge: if ye wil haue your Argumentes to passe smoothly without controlment, then learne henceforth to make them better. Tee are ouer tender, if ye looke to speake, what ye like, and yet to heare nothinge, but to your likinge: and, to sende abroad sutch simple wares, to serue the people, and yet maie suffer noman to telle you of it. Verily, where ye saie, I haue of purpose Changed your Argumentes, if ye make them otherwise, then I haue made them, hauinge alwaies an eye vnto your Conclusion, ye shall be forced to make them woorse. Touching the Scoffes, wherewith ye finde your selfe so matche agreed, doubtelesse, who so hadde that grace that is in you, as maie wel appeare throughout al your Bookes, might soone deserue to be called a Scoffer.

Where ye saie, you, and youre Felowes haue espied a thousande fowle greate Lies in my Writings: had not one of you benne a greate Father of Lies, ye could neuer haue hitte so readily vpon the number. Sutch an auditour, I trow, was he, that founde vs out eleven thousande Lies in Sleidans storie. The very true multitude hercof, and the hugeness of the heape, Laurent. Surtius as it bewraie: Wel youre stomake, so in any indifferente indgemente, it decaith the credite of Carthusian. In your reckeninge. A man maie reasonably thinke, it is as possible, to finde twoo hundred, and fiftie Untruthes in your Booke, as in mine to finde a thousande. Verily, as I neuer minded

M Hardinge.
Reioinder.
Fol. 103 b.

M Hardinge.
Reioinder.
Fol. 115 b.

M. Hardinge.
Reioinder.
Fol. 163 b.

to defende any thinge, what so euer, that in any my writings, shalke stande amisse, so I see, by the viewe, of your accomptes, it were no harde matter, with your eies, to finde Vntruthes in the Gospel. For what so euer I saie, be it neuer so true, yet if it like not your taste, it is a Lie: What so euer I allege, or translate, it is corrupted. So euill is my lucke: I can touche nothinge, but it is either too muche, or too litle: or too shorte, or too longe: or to blacke, or to white: or one waie, or other it standeth awrie. If I translate, Nonnulli Sacerdotes, Sundrie Priestes, yee crie out, A corrupter, a falsarie. I should haue saide, Certaine Priestes: or, some Priestes: but I should not in any wise haue said, Sundrie Priestes: For that were an Heresie.

If I translate *quod quod*, Vn nutritos, Fedde togeather, yee telle the worlde, It is falschedde, it is fowle corruption. Thus yee saie, I should haue translated it, Eodem Cibo alitot: Fedde with one Kinde of meate: as if *quod* in Greeke, were not Vn in Latine, or had not Relation to the Place. Deale herein with your freendes, M. Hardinge, as you maie: The Greeke Reader wil allowe you no such Translation. If I happen to saie, M. Hardinge saith, The thing, that vee receiue in the Sacramente, is no Breade, Yee crie, *Alarma*: Looke, yee saie, in my Booke, Reader: M. leuvel is an vntrue man: Here he is taken with a Lie: Marke vvel: I saie, it is not Breade: I saie not, It is No Breade. Not Breade, yee saie: No Breade, yee saie not: As if there were so many miles distance betwene No and Not.

These and such like, be the shameful Vntruthes, and horrible Lies, that you, and your Felowes with greate seekinge, and diligence haue espied. And thus, if a man happen to vse Enlis, for Gladius, or Nam, for Enim, or Que, for Ex, yee thinke it cause sufficiente to make a Tragedie. Howe be it, I doubt not, but in my Replie, beinge so longe, and so ful of necessaries allegations, yee maie happen to finde some oversightes of greater importance. And in acknowledginge, and rephrasing of the same, yee shal finde me as sharpe, and eger, as your selfe. But these fwe examples I haue touched by the waie, that it mighte appeare, howe inquisitiue, and fierce yee are, to seeke occasions: and that your Reader maie see, yee hunt wantonly, and venne riote, and open oftentimes without a cause. Yet notwithstandinge, if yee can telle vs saily, as your manner is, that M. leuvel bringeth triflinge obiections, and trashe, and pelfe, and nothinge to purpose, without learninge, without reason, without witte, that he racketh, that he stretcheth, that he wringereth, that he wreasteth, that he nippeth, and clippeth the Doctours, and Councelles: (for these be the wordes, whereby yee thinke yee mighte beste viter your preaty-fancies): if yee can crie out, Falsie partes, Falsie reportes, Falsie dealings, Falsie Marchantes, Falsie Ballance, Falsie Dife, and al is Falsie: If yee can saie, Loc, Sir Defender, yee vrangle, yee trifle, yee are taken tardee, yee haue prooued nothinge, yee haue nothinge to answere: If yee can thus saie, and saie it boldly, it shalbe sufficiente: al is fast: your freendes wil thinke, yee haue saide somwhat, and that yee woulde neuer haue framed such a countenance, to saie nothinge.

2. Corin. 9.

1. Corin. 15.

Yee telle vs ful often, wee are no Bishoppes. I trowe, for that wee haue not sworne our obedience to the Pope. And therefore yee geue the worlde to vnderstande, wee can consecrate no Ministers, wee can holde no Synodes, wee can dooe nothinge. Euen so certaine your Forefathers in Olde times tolde S. Paule, He was no Apostle: and others afterwarde by like authoritie tolde S. Basile, and S. Hilarie, they were no Bishoppes. But M. Hardinge, they were Falsie Apostles, they were Arian Heretiques, that so tolde them. It booteth not, to vie our titles before you. Wee wil onely saie with S. Paule. By the grace of God, vee are, that vee are. And wee truste, wee haue not his Grace in vaine.

M. Hard. Confuta. 214. a. Et 259 a. And commonly in other places.

But specially, and aboue al other thinges, and that throughout al your three Bookes, yee saie, that Sir Defender is vnlearned: that his beste skil is in a fewe figures of Rhetorique: that he hathe neither Greeke, nor Logique, nor Philosophie, nor Diuinitie: that he hathe readde no kinde of Doctours, nor Newe, nor Olde, nor of his owne, nor of others: that al the furniture of his Booke was broughte to his hande, somme by Greeke Readers, somme by Schoolemaisters, somme by Civilians, somme by Canonistes, somme by Summistes, somme by Glofers, somme by others: that he hathe nothinge els but patched Notebooke, huddled togeather by snappes, and peeces. Somme parte hereof, or rather the whole altogether, without exception, so doo you pleasure, I woulde easily haue graunted you, M. Hardinge, vpon small suits, with more fauours, and lesse adoe. Take from mee, what learninge yee liste: distreine it, and

it, and poude it at your pleasure: ? Wil neuer trouble you with Repleuie. Howe be it, if yee utter al this of your indifferente iudgements, and certaine knowledge, yett is it impertinente for wee pleaded of Faillie, and not of Learninge: if otherwise ye speake it of beate of minde, and abundance of Cholere, and thereupon thus proclaime it to the Worlde, it is great folie. Truly yee neuer sawe Sir Defenders Bookes, nor neuer sette your foote within his studie. A wise Judge wil seldome pronounce before he knowe. If it shal please you, for trial hereof, to send your freende, he maie happily see, that Sir Defender hath al these Summittes, and Canonistes, and Greeke readers, and Scholemaisters of his owne.

Notwithstanding, it maie become vs bothe to saie, as a Heathen wiseman sometime saide. This onely thing wee knowe, that wee knowe nothinge. Goddes Truthe dependeth not of our knowledge. Our tongues shal cease, and our knowledge shal faile: But the Glorie of God shal stand for ever. For my parte, I wil saie to you with S. Augustine, Quære doctores: sed caue presumptores: Seeke others of more Learninge: but bevvare of them, that presume of Learninge. If any praise falle out in this respect, bestowe it freely upon your Greeke readers, and Scholemaisters, who, in your iudgements, haue best deserved it. It shalbe sufficiente for mee, to haue saide the Truthe: which though it appeare neuer so simple, yett is hable to remoue a Mountaine of Learninge. But happy are your Brethren of Louaine, that are so speedely grown Learned upon the suddaine, not by great studie, I trow, but rather by destinee. As sone as they had once sauoured the soile of that Countrie, they looke onely upon two poore Titles of the Lavve, De Maledicis, and De Clerico promoto: per Saltum: and suddainely they were transformed, and nowe goe for Doctours.

Socrate

Extra lib. 9.

As for your Learninge, M. Hardinge, wee neuer reponed it. Howe be it, greatly to feare it, wee haue no cause. God geue you Grace, yee maie wholly turne it to his glorie: leaste in the daie of the Lorde it be laide againste you. He is ouer wel learned that bendeth his Learninge againste God. But, if wee be so viterly void of al manner of Learninge, paintinge, as you saie, our Bookes, and Margines with the Names, and Authorities of so many Doctours, what maie your freendes then thinke of you, that standinge so longe in the Defence of your Priuate Masse, are not yett hable to allege one Doctoure, nor Greeke, nor Latine, nor one, nor eiber? It seemeth greate marueile, yee shoulde haue such abundance of Doctours, and shewe, so sowe, specially where it standeth you so much upon, to open your stoare. Consider, I beseeche you, your late Reioinder: wherein, as it is thoughte, nothinge of your parte is leaste vntouched. Of the Seuen and twentie Articles contained in my Replie, yee haue taken upon you onely to answeare One. And yett of the same One, yee haue scarcely touched the tenth parte. Your purpose shoulde haue benne herein, by euidente Examples, and good Authorities, substantiaily to haue proued your Priuate Masse.

Nowe consider the order, and plainnesse of your dealinge. Yee bestowe welneare the thirde parte of your Booke aboute the Sacrifice: as though there were no Sacrifice without Priuate Masse. At the reste yee consume in idle discourses, and needelisse talkes, of Consecration, of the Intention of the Priest, of Minglinge the water with the wine, of the Name of the Masse, of Transubstantiation, of Real Presence, of Church Feasts, (which in Old time were called Agapæ,) of Singulare Communion, of Communion of Faiche, of our Vnion with Christe, of sendinge abroad the Sacrament, of Priestes viues, of Vowes, of Bigamie, of Good vwoorkes, of Only Faith, of Publique Praier in a tongue unknowne, of Ceremonies, of Fourmes, of Accidentes, of the Epistles Decretal, of Clemens, Cletus, Anacletus, Abdias, Leontius, &c. Hereof ye haue told vs such thinges, as perhaps we knewe before, and were not harde to be knowen, and pertained full litle to the purpose. Ye shoulde rather haue proued, that within the first sixe hundred yeres after Christ, some one, or other of the Holy Learned Catholique Fathers Ministred the Holy Communion openly in the church, & receiued the Sacrament alone, not diuiding the same to any other, the vvhole multitude of the people sitting, or standing by, and looking on him. This was the water that laie betweene vs: Hereunto yee should haue laide your force. This was it, ye should haue proued. For proufe of such thinges, as needed no proufe, yee haue brought forth great shewes of Learninge. But as touching your Priuate Masse, which only ye had taken in hand to proue, yee haue hitherto proued nothinge. Your Reader, M. Hardinge, can neuer be, neither so
simple,

simple, nor so partial, but he muste needes haue an eie vnto your issue, and remember, what yee had in hande. If amongst so many, and so Learned woordes, he finde not one worde of that he soughte for, may not he thinke, he hat he loste his labour, and that there is somme filie in your fardle? Maie he not saie with him selfe, Quo nunc se proripit iste? What shal I make of these vagaries? What meaneth this man, to shoote so faire beside the marke? He muste needes perceiue by your silence, that, notwithstandinge your so many faire, and liberal promises, yet the thinge, he soughte for, cannot be founde. Naie, you your selfe, for excuse hereof, by expresse woordes haue tolde vs plainly, It mighte be, that none received the Sacramente with the Prieste: And againe, VWhether the Prieste had alwaies a companie, to receiue with him, or sometimes received alone, that is a circumstance of a facte: the proufe whereof by manifeste testimonies, can not with reason be demaunded. Againe, It is contentious, to put vs to proufe of the Circumstance. Againe, It forceth not, whether wee bringe foorthe Testimonies of the fixe hundred yeeres, or no. Againe, VWhether I can shewe, that a Masse vvas said without companie present to receiue with the Prieste, that saide it, or no, vvhich skilleth it? Againe, I muste telle you, that I seeke not for Priuate Masse, vvhich to finde, your scoffinge pretendeth mee to be desirous. I seeke not for that, vvhich I acknowledge not. And againe, It forceth not greatly, whether it maie be prooued, or no: Whiche is as mutche, as if yee had tolde vs in plainer wise, that for the space of fixe hundred yeeres after Christ, yee can heare no tidings in any Doctoure, or Councel, of your Priuate Masse. Whiche thinge, thus of your parte confessed, to our purpose is sufficiente.

Nowe, touching the Authoritie of your Amphilocheus, not longe since, yee thought his force had benne inuincible. And therefore yee stoode up alofte, and braied aloude, Nowe M. Ieuvel, and his Consacramentaries doo stagger, I doubt not. And for that cause, as if it had benne some greate woort by Authoure, yee alleged him seuen times, with speciall reverence, in your Booke. And yet nowe at the laste, yee are contente, for shame, to turne him ouer, and to let him goe. Perhappi yee thoughte, for the while, a weake threede was sufficiente to leade the people: and that, as folkes vse sometimes to please children, yee mighte quenche their thirste with an emptie Cuppe. Thus mutche hitherto, touching some partes of your Reioinder.

Concerninge your Former Booke, whiche yee haue entituled, a Confutation, I neede to saie nothinge. By the iudgemente of the Wise, it saith sufficiently of it selfe. But what meante you, M. Hardinge, therein to make so large discourse, I wil not saie, in the Defense, (for that worde your freendes maie not beare) but at the leaste in the fauour of Open Stevves: and to calke the same, Malum necessarium, that is to saie, although it be an ill thinge, yet futeche a thinge, as no good Common Weale maie be without it? What meante you, to that purpose, to shewe vs the name, and Authoritie of S. Augustine: must we thinke, that S. Augustine was a Proctoure, or Patrone for your Stevves? What meante you? thus to vbraide vs in the ende, In good sooth Maisters, yee are too yonge, to controlle the Citie of Rome in her dooings? What needed you to bestowe so fine eloquence in so foule a cause? Is vice growne so colde in Louaine, that it must now be enflamed, and authorised by open writings? What meante you to allegge the Prophete David, the Euangeliste S. Matheue, and S. Paule the Apostle, for proufe of your Pardones? Wil ye telle vs, that David, Matheue, and Paule, were Pardones? Or, if yee dare to telle vs so, muste wee beleue you? if you so manifestly mocke vs with open filies, howe maie wee truste you in higher Mysteries? S. Paule saith, I thought our outwarde man be corrupted, yet our inwarde man is renewed daie by daie. Here yee telle vs, in greatesooth, that these woordes vndoubtedly serue to proue Purgatorie. Christe saith vnto Peter, I haue praied for thee, &c. Therefore yee saie, Christe nowe requireth vs, not to be obediente to Peter, or Paule, but to the Pope, that sitteth in their Chaire. Christe saith, The Sonne of Man came, not to destroe, but to saue: Ergo, saie you, The Breade, and Vine in the Sacramente lose no parte of their former vertues: but remaine in Fourmes, and Accidentes, euen as they were before: as if the Sonne of God had comme downe from Heauen to saue Accidentes.

Thus yee nippe of the sense, and meaninge of the Holy Scriptures, and feede vs onely with

M. Hard. Re.
ioind. fo. 281. a
Et fol. 28. a.
M. Hard in his
Reioinder, in
the Preface to
the Reader &c.
In the same
Preface, & ij.
M. Hardinge,
Reioinder, fol.
210. a.
Reioind. 219. a

M. Harding in
his answere.
fol. 29. a.
Reioinder, fol.
267. b.

M. Har. Confu.
fol. 162. b.

Con. fol. 162. a

M. Har. Confu.
fol. 163. a.
Confu. 251. a.
& deinceps.

a. Corin. 4.

M. Har. Confu.
fol. 117. a.
Luke. 22.

M. Har. Confu.
fol. 192. b.

M. Har. Confu.
fol. 99. a.

With emptie wordes, as if yee woulde pike awaie the corne, and geue vs the chaffe: or conueighe away the Jewelles, and throwe vs the Bagge. O M. Hardinge, be not wilful: let your owne conscience leade you. Was this the meaning of S. Paule? Was this the comminge of Christe into the worlde? Was this the sense of the Holy Ghoste? I wil not saie, what Olde Doctoure, or Anciente Father, but what Summist, what Canonist, what Childe, what Heretique, euer either so indiscretely, or so unreuerently used the VVoorde of God?

I leaue the misconstruinge, and falsifyinge of so many Fathers: the allowinge, and settinge of manifeste Forgeries: the upholdinge of Abuses, and open Erroures: your weak Proufes: your feely Coniectures: your simple Gheasses: your greate ouersightes: your bold Affirmations: your heapes of Vntruthes: your disdeignefull scornes, your immoderate Scoffes: your vngentle, and vncluse wordes, as for example, Villaines, Theeues, Fooles, Disardes, Lourdaines, &c. I leaue other your vnmanerly, and vnclusely speeches: Hungry Dogges eate dirtie puddinges: As common as Life vwith Beggars: They serue the belly, and the thinges beneath the belly. These be your wordes, M. Hardinge: you may not denie them. These be the floures, and ornamentes of your Bookes.

Confut. 318. b.
Confut. 250. b.

But was this a presente, M. Hardinge, meete either for the modestie of a Virgine, or for the Maiestie of a Prince: specially sutch a Virgine, and sutch a Prince, so Chaste, so Grate, so Learned, so Wise, so Vertuous, so Godly, as Christendome seldome hath seene the like? What? thought you, that either her Wisedome coule not eschew your fraudes, and mockeries: or, that her chaste eares coule quietly beare your lothesome talke? Or, thought you by the weight of sutch reasons, to moue Mountaines, and to worke woonders, and to force her Maiestie to leaue Christe, and his Gospel, and come to Louaine to folowe you?

Yee threape her Maiestie fondely with kindenesse, and, as yee woulde haue the worlde imagine with good likinge, and fauouringe of your side: as if her Maiestie, hauinge benne broughte vp from her cradle in the knowledge and feare of God, and throughe Goddes great Mercie, and accordinge to his knowne wil, by the good aduise, and Counsel of the states of her Realme, hauinge reformed the House of God from the filthe, and soile of your diuises, shee stode nowe in a manning, and were not hable to discern, either falsched from Truthe, or Darkenesse from Light: or, as if your Erroures were not so grosse, that a blinde man may grope them with his fingers.

Yee telle her Maiestie, shee hath neither Parlaunte, nor Lawe, nor Church, nor Clerergie: The Church of Englande yee commonly calle the Towver of Babylon, the Synagog of Antichriste, and the Schoole of Sathan: yee charge her Maiestie with disordered proceedings, with maintenance of infidelitie, of Sacrilege, of Schisme, of Heresie: For your possible power, yee dishonoure her Maiestie, bothe abroade, and at home: Where yee maie geate credite to your folies, yee slander the gouernemente: yee disquiet her Maiesties louinge Subiectes: yee breede Seditions: yee procure Rebellions: yee hazarde her estate. And yet dare yee to pouder al this poison with a fewe dissemble, and sugred wordes, and to offer the same vnto her Maiestie for a Presente? Wel, M. Hardinge, if yee had foreseene the thanks, that her Maiestie moiste iustely yeelde you for your traiailes, yee woulde not haue benne so bolde, so rudely to presse into her presence. It becomed you, to be aduised, not onely, what yee wrote, but also what Personage should viewe your Writinges.

Confut. 422.
& to throught
out the whole
Booke.
Confut. fol 52.
15. 238. 249.
& to throught
the whole.

If yee shal happen to write hereafter send vs fewer wordes, and more Learning. If ye shal diuise to talke any more of your Priuate Masse, leaue your vagaries, and goe directly to the purpose. Telle vs no more sutch longe tales, either of the Sacrifice, or of other matters so farre from the question. It is no good Logique, to shifte of the thinge, yee haue in hand, and to mocke your poore Reader with an other. Treade not so nicely, and so gingerly, M. Hardinge: Saie not, your Masse is a Circumstance, and a mater of Falle, and standeth onely vpon supposalles, and gheasses, and therefore needeth no further prooffe. Why should yee so trifles with the simple? This is the Issue, that falleth out betweene vs: VWhether any one of the Anciente Learned Fathers, &c. euer saide your Priuate Masse, &c. This is it, that is denied. If yee proue not this, what so euer yee proue, yee proue nothinge. Bringe out somme Learned Father: shewe somme Catholique Doctoure: keepe them no longer forth the comminge. The worlde looketh yee should deale plainly.

Reioind. 232.
b. 233. 2.

Denie

Denie no more the manifest Truth: anouch as no more the open Falshood: let there be
summa probabilita, and likelihood in your saicings. Leave your immoderate, and uncour-
tous talkes. They are tokens of stomake, and not of Learning. Therin yee haue deserued
the honoure aboue al others. In such kind of Eloquence no man can mathe you, but your
selfe. A good cause mighte haue benne pleaded with better Woordes. The more untempe-
rate, and fiery yee shewe your selfe wihout cause, the more in the ende wil appeare your filie.
If yee haue hitherto taken any pleasure in speakinge it, at my bande, by hearinge it, yee shal
not lose it. If yee bring vs moe Fables of your Pardones, and Purgatories: If yee frede vs,
as yee haue done, with Vntruthes: If yee depraue the Scriptures: If yee falsifie the Doctours:
If yee conclude without Premisses: If yee place your Antecedente at Rome, and your Con-
sequente at Louaine: If yee stuffe so muche Paper, and blotte so many Leaues, and shewe vs
nothinge: briefly, if yee write none otherwise, then yee haue done hitherto, no wise man wil
greatly feare your force.

Decoue not the simple. They are bought with price: They are the people of God, for
whom Christe hath shedde his Bloude. Your suffer be miserable. Yee trouble your selfe, as a
birde in the Lime. The more yee strue, the faster yee cleaue: the longer yee strue, the wea-
ker yee are. Yee cannot bridle the flowinge Sea: yee cannot blinde the Sonnebeames. Kick
not stil againste the spurre: Geue place vnto the Glorie of God. Wil yee, wil yee, the Truthe
wil conquere. God geue vs bothe humble hartes, and the people eyes to see: that al flesh maye
be obediante to his wil. Amen.

From London. 27. Octobris. 1567.

Iohn Sarisburie.

